Conflict during learning reconfigures the neural representation of positive valence and approach behaviour

Laura Molina-García*, Susana Colinas-Fischer, Sergio Benavides-Laconcha, Lucy Lin, Emma Clark, Blanca García-Minaur-Ortíz & Arantza Barrios*.

Department of Cell and Developmental Biology, University College London, UK

* Corresponding authors: l.molina-garcia@ucl.ac.uk; a.barrios@ucl.ac.uk

Abstract

Punishing and rewarding experiences can change the valence of sensory stimuli and condition animal behaviour in opposite directions, resulting in avoidance or approach. Often, however, a stimulus is encountered with both positive and negative experiences. How is such conflicting information represented in the brain and resolved into a behavioural decision? We address this question by dissecting a circuit for sexual conditioning in *C. elegans*. In this learning paradigm, an odour is conditioned with both a punishment (starvation) and a reward (mates) resulting in odour approach. We find that negative and positive experiences are both encoded by PDF neuropeptide signaling acting on and being released from different neurons. Each experience creates a separate engram in the circuit for odour processing. This results in the sensorimotor representation of odour being different in conflicting and non-conflicting contexts to drive approach behavior. Our results reveal that positive valence is flexibly represented according to past experience and what a stimulus predicts.

Introduction

Animals need to constantly evaluate the stimuli they encounter to decide to approach, avoid or ignore them. The valence (i.e. the value and sign -whether good or bad) of a stimulus is subjective and depends on genetics (and species-specific constrains), on physiological needs (or motivational state) and on previous experiences. Some stimuli, such as food odours or the pheromones of mating partners, have positive valence and are innately attractive, whereas other stimuli such as the smell of a predator are innately aversive. However, even valence that is innately assigned can be switched through learning and experience [1, 2]. For example, the behaviour of male fruit flies to female pheromones switches from attraction to avoidance after repeated courtship rejection [3], and *C. elegans* worms learn to avoid the innately attractive bacteria *Pseudomonas Aeruginosa* after ingesting this pathogen [4, 5]. How is valence appropriately and flexibly assigned? Studies in species as diverse as worms, insects, fishes and mice have identified specific and segregated circuits dedicated to process positive (approach) and negative (avoidance) valence [5-8]. Such circuits provide information about the motivational state of the animal or about the expected outcome (reward or punishment) of an action to modulate how a stimulus is processed and whether it is approached or avoided. However, and with the exception of the gill withdrawal reflex in Aplysia [9], a fully mapped out circuit and path of information flow from the rewarding or punishing experience that modifies

the valence of a stimulus, to the behavioural decision to approach it or avoid it has not been described. Furthermore, in nature, stimuli are experienced in environments of mixed valence, together with positive and negative events. How is this conflict in valence assignment resolved? We address this question by dissecting the circuit mechanisms underlying sexual conditioning in *C. elegans*.

C. elegans worms are innately attracted to many chemical stimuli, including salt and the odour benzaldehyde which is a bacterial metabolite [10, 11]. Innate approach however, can be switched to learned avoidance after aversive conditioning, in which worms experience the stimulus together with a punishment such as starvation [12-14]. An additional switch in preferences has been described in males, for which learned avoidance can be overridden by the presence of mates during conditioning the stimulus with starvation, resulting in approach [15, 16]. This form of male-specific learning, which is termed sexual conditioning, provides a paradigm to understand how conflicting rewarding and punishing experiences are integrated and resolved to assign valence and guide behaviour to a stimulus. Here, we conditioned the behaviour of males to the odour benzaldehyde and asked: how is information about mate-experience incorporated into the circuit for odour processing? and how does this information change odour processing to guide behaviour? Specifically, because in our paradigm, the rewarding experience with mates overrides the behavioural consequences of aversive learning resulting in overall approach, we postulated two alternative models. In one model the presence of mates inhibits the formation of the aversive memory. In an alternative model, two memories are formed, one appetitive (positive) and one aversive, but only the appetitive one is behaviourally expressed. Through cell-specific manipulation of neuropeptide signalling, imaging of neuronal activity and behavioural analysis, we identify a circuit modulated by mate experience through the neuropeptide PDF-1 and show that two parallel memories are formed during integration of reward and punishment in sexual conditioning. Furthermore, we observe different odour representations in the brain of sexually conditioned animals compared to naïve ones despite both displaying approach, revealing flexibility in the neural representation of positive valence.

Results

Odour preferences in males can be sexually conditioned.

The presence of mates has previously been shown to condition the behaviour of males to salt [15, 16]. We asked whether sexual conditioning could modify the behaviour to stimuli of other modalities such as odours. To assess whether the responses to the odour benzaldehyde could be sexually conditioned, we first established an aversive conditioning protocol that switched the animal's innate response to the odour from attraction to learned repulsion (aversive learning). We tested different odour concentrations and conditioning times to expose same-sex worm populations to the presence of odour in the absence of food. Exposure to 15% BZ for 3 hours was chosen as the optimal condition that, without producing any toxicity, elicits robust aversion to BZ as a result of a negative association between odour and starvation (Supplementary Table 1). As controls, animals were mock conditioned with starvation but no odour or with odour and food, neither of which treatments resulted in a switch in behaviour from attraction to repulsion (Fig. 1 a, b). We then tested whether aversive learning could be overridden by the presence of mating partners during conditioning, as a process of sexual conditioning which has been shown to occur in males during salt chemotaxis

learning [15, 16]. We observed that hermaphrodites underwent aversive learning and remained repelled by the odour regardless of whether mates (males) had been present or not during conditioning with odour and starvation (Fig. 1b). In contrast, males that were conditioned with odour, starvation and mates (hermaphrodites) did not display aversive learning and remained attracted to the odour (Fig. 1b). Together these results indicate that, as with salt, behavioural responses to odour can be sexually conditioned in males but not in hermaphrodites. This supports the idea that for males, who need to mate in order to reproduce, sex is a rewarding experience that can modulate the behavioural response to other environmental stimuli [17] [18] and even override aversive learning. We next sought to identify molecular and cellular mechanisms underlying sexual conditioning.

PDF neuropeptide signaling regulates aversive learning and sexual conditioning

We have previously shown that sexual conditioning to salt is regulated by the neuropeptide Pigment Dispersing Factor 1 (PDF-1) [16]. A second gene in the *C. elegans* genome, *pdf-2*, encodes a ligand that also acts through PDFR-1, the PDF-1 receptor [19, 20]. We therefore tested mutants in both ligands and receptor for their innate and learned behavioural responses to benzaldehyde. To determine whether a given genotype was proficient or defective at learning, we compared the frequency distribution of chemotaxis scores between conditions. We made two comparisons: one across genotypes comparing mutant and wild-type worms for a given conditioning treatment and another one within genotype comparing conditioning treatments (between mock and aversive conditioning or between aversive and sexual conditioning) (see material and methods). As previously reported for sexual conditioning to salt [16], *pdf-1(tm1996)* mutants exhibited a defect in sexual conditioning to odour (benzaldehyde) compared to *wildtype* males and displayed chemotaxis scores distributed across all possible categories and mainly concentrated around 0, indicating a lack of preference for the odour instead of attraction (Fig. 1c). The defect of *pdf-1* mutants was specific for sexual conditioning as they were proficient in naïve responses and aversive learning (Fig. 1c). *pdfr-1(tm4457)* receptor mutants, in contrast, were defective in both aversive learning and sexual conditioning. Most *pdfr-1* animals displayed a lack of preference or even some residual attraction after aversive conditioning and maintained this similar distribution after sexual conditioning (Fig. 1c). *pdf-2 (tm4393)* mutants did not differ from *wildtype* animals in either aversive learning or sexual conditioning and exhibited clear negative and positive preference for the odour after aversive and sexual conditioning, respectively. In contrast, *pdf-1(tm1996); pdf-2(tm4393)* double mutants lacking both PDF ligands were defective in both types of learning, aversive and sexual conditioning, like *pdfr-1* receptor mutants (Fig. 1c). Altogether these data indicate that both PDF-1 and PDF-2 can drive aversive learning in the absence of one another but only PDF-1 is required to modulate odour preferences after sexual conditioning.

PDF signalling acts on different neurons to drive odour avoidance or approach after learning

To determine the target cells that receive PDF-1 neuromodulation during sexual conditioning, we generated rescuing constructs that express *wildtype pdfr-1* cDNA in *pdfr-1(tm4457)* animals using two previously reported *pdfr-1* promoter sequences which drive expression in different groups of neurons [21, 22](Fig 2 a,b). A promoter containing a 3kb region upstream of the translational *pdfr-1* start site (proximal promoter) drove *pdfr-1* isoforms b and d in neurons and body wall muscle [21] (Fig. 2b). A second construct drove a floxed isoform d in reverse orientation under a 5.1 kb sequence located 12.1Kb upstream of the translational

pdfr-1 start site (distal promoter) [22] (Fig. 2b). This construct was used to express *pdfr-1* cDNA isoform d in specific neurons through intersectional expression of Cre recombinase (Fig. 2b).

Expression of *pdfr-1* under the proximal promoter fully rescued odour avoidance in *pdfr-1(tm4457)* mutants after aversive learning (Fig. 2c). After sexual conditioning, transgene-carrying mutant worms displayed a frequency distribution of chemotaxis scores that was not significantly different from that of *pdfr-1(tm4457)* mutants. However, their scores were shifted towards more positive values compared to those displayed after aversive learning, indicating partial rescue (Fig. 2c). Restoring expression of *pdfr-1* from the distal promoter with a panneuronal Cre line fully rescued odour attraction in *pdfr-1 (tm4457)* mutants after sexual conditioning, with a distribution of chemotaxis scores no significantly different from that of wildtype worms (Fig. 2d). This construct however, did not rescue odour avoidance after aversive conditioning and worms displayed a lack of preference, similar to *pdfr-1* mutants (Fig. 2d). Together, these data suggest that the PDFR-1 receptor is required in different neurons to drive either aversion or attraction to the odour after learning.

The distal but not the proximal *pdfr-1* promoter has been shown to drive expression in the interneurons RIM, RIA and AIY [22]. These neurons are part of the circuit for odour processing [23, 24] and have been implicated in naïve and learnt behavioural responses to other sensory stimuli [5, 25, 26]. We therefore, tested whether expression of *pdfr-1* only in these 3 classes of neurons could rescue odour attraction after sexual conditioning in *pdfr-1* mutants. For this, we used the *tdc-1* [22, 27], *glr-3*[22, 28] and *ttx-3* [29] promoters to drive expression of Cre recombinase in RIM, RIA and AIY, respectively. Expression of *pdfr-1* in RIM, RIA and AIY partially rescued odour attraction after sexual conditioning, shifting the chemotaxis scores of *pdfr-1 (tm4457)* males towards more positive values (Fig. 2d). After aversive learning, although the distribution of chemotaxis scores of transgene-carrying *pdfr-1* mutants was significantly different from that of mutants without the transgene, scores remained distributed across all possible categories and mainly concentrated around 0, indicating a lack of preference for the odour (Fig. 2d). Altogether, these results indicate that odour attraction after sexual conditioning but not odour avoidance after aversive learning, requires modulation of RIM, RIA and AIY by PDF-1.

The interneurons AVB and MCM are required sources of PDF-1 for sexual conditioning

We next set to identify the neurons that are important sources of PDF-1 to mediate odour attraction after sexual conditioning. We have previously shown that sexual conditioning to salt requires intact Mystery Cells of the Male (MCMs), a class of male-specific interneurons that express PDF-1 [16]. To test whether the MCMs regulate sexual conditioning also to odour, we used a temperature-sensitive mutant, *nom-8 (drp-8),* which fails to develop MCM interneurons at 25 °C. *nom-8* was isolated in the Poole lab and shown to affect exclusively the development of the male-specific MCM interneurons. When raised at the permissive temperature (20 °C), *nom-8* mutants were proficient at naïve and learned behavioural responses to odour (Fig. 3a). In contrast, when raised at the restrictive temperature (25 °C), which results in lack of MCM interneurons, *nom-8* mutants failed to undergo sexual conditioning and displayed a distribution of chemotaxis scores that were negative and no different from those displayed after aversive learning (Fig. 3a). Although raising animals at 25 °C had a slight shift of chemotaxis scores towards lower values in mock and sexually conditioned animals of both genotypes (Fig. 3a), sexual conditioning was severely impaired only in *nom-8* mutants. Together, these results suggest that the MCM neurons regulate sexual conditioning to odur.

To determine whether the MCM interneurons regulate sexual conditioning through release of PDF-1, we generated a strain that allowed the removal of *pdf-1* from selected neurons through a Cre-Lox intersectional strategy. An excisable *pdf-1* cDNA transgene flanked by LoxP sites [22] was integrated in *pdf-1(tm1996)* mutants as a single copy transgene [30] to avoid overexpression artifacts (Fig. 3b). This transgene fully rescued the sexual conditioning defects of *pdf-1(tm1996)* mutants (Fig. 3c). We then excised *pdf-1* from specific subsets of neurons by driving Cre recombinase under various different promoters (Supplementary Table 2). Removing *pdf-1* from the MCM interneurons resulted in a significant shift in the distribution of chemotaxis scores towards more negative values and across all categories (Fig. 3c). However, the effect on sexual conditioning was not as strong as removing the MCM neurons. When removing *pdf-1* from other neurons, we found that the MCMs are not the only relevant source of PDF-1 for sexual conditioning. Removing *pdf-1* from AVB, a class of premotor interneurons present in both sexes, resulted in males that failed to undergo sexual conditioning and displayed a similar distribution of chemotaxis scores after sexual conditioning and after aversive learning (Fig. 3c). In contrast, removing *pdf-1* from the SIAV interneurons did not result in any defects in naïve or learned odour preferences (Fig. 3c). These results indicate that not all *pdf-1*-expressing neurons are relevant sources of neuropeptide release during learning and that sexual conditioning requires *pdf-1* from both male-specific MCM and sex-shared AVB interneurons.

Removing *pdf-1* from MCMs or AVBs resulted in defects specific to sexual conditioning while keeping the naïve and aversively conditioned responses unperturbed. However, as described above, PDF-1 regulates also aversive learning, a role that is masked by the presence of PDF-2. To test whether MCM and AVB neurons are also relevant sources of PDF-1 for aversive learning, we carried out the same intersectional strategy of *pdf-1* removal in a *pdf-1(tm1996); pdf-2(tm4393)* double mutant background. We found that removing *pdf-1* from either MCMs or AVBs had no effect on the odour avoidance responses of aversively conditioned animals (Extended Data Fig. 1). Together, these data indicate that PDF-1 conveys different information to the circuit for odour processing depending on its source of release.

AVB interneurons are activated by mate sensation
During sexual conditioning, the information about mate experience needs to be incorporated into the circuit for odour processing. Consistent with a role in sexual conditioning, we have previously shown that the male-specific MCM neurons receive synaptic inputs from male-specific circuits (CEM and Ray to EF neurons) [16], dedicated to sensing mate cues [17, 31-33], that are required for sexual conditioning [15]. The AVB interneurons, despite being present in both sexes, also receive inputs from these male-specific mate-sensing circuits in males [34] (Fig. 4a). We therefore hypothesised that mate sensation may activate both MCM and AVB interneurons causing them to release PDF-1 and modulate the circuit for odour processing. To test this hypothesis, we measured changes in intracellular Ca^{2+}, as a proxy for neuronal activation, during conditioning. We used the *pdf-1* promoter to drive expression of the Calcium Modulated PhotoActivatable Ratiometric Indicator CaMPARI. This Ca^{2+} indicator irreversibly photoconverts from green to red upon blue light stimulation at high levels of intracellular Ca^{2+} [35]. We measured and compared levels of photoconversion (i.e. red:green ratio) in the soma and process of AVB and MCM neurons in animals that had been conditioned with odour and lack of food in the absence (aversive conditioning) or presence (sexually conditioned) of mates. Consistent with our hypothesis, we saw high levels of photoconversion in both soma and process of AVB neurons in sexually conditioned but not aversively

conditioned males (Fig. 4b). In contrast, and opposite to our expectations, we did not observe an increase in Ca^{2+} levels in MCM neurons, which displayed low and similar levels of photoconversion in animals that had been conditioned in the absence or presence of mates (Fig. 4b).

Learning has two phases: acquisition of the memory during conditioning and retrieval of the memory during odour navigation. Although we did not observe activation of MCM neurons during sexual conditioning, the behavioural analysis of developmental mutants and *pdf-1* manipulations described above implicate these neurons in the regulation of learned odour attraction. We therefore measured MCM neuronal activity (as changes in intracellular Ca^{2+} levels) upon odour stimulation after conditioning (i.e. during memory retrieval). For this, we drove expression of the calcium indicator GCaMP6f [36] under the *pdf-1* promoter and monitored changes in fluorescence upon odour exposure in animals stimulated in the olfactory chip [37, 38]. We did not observe any significant activation of MCM neurons upon upsteps or downsteps of odour exposure in naïve, aversively conditioned or sexually conditioned worms (Fig. 4c). To test whether the AVB interneurons may be involved in memory retrieval as well as in memory acquisition, we carried out the same approach as above to measure neuronal activity in AVB upon odour stimulation. Similar to what we observed for the MCM neurons, we did not detect any significant activation of AVB neurons upon upsteps or downsteps of odour exposure in naïve, aversively conditioned or sexually conditioned worms (Fig. 4d). Altogether, the experiments presented here indicate that AVB interneurons are stimulated by mate sensation and become active specifically during conditioning. PDF-1 neuropeptide released from AVB neurons during conditioning modulates the circuit for odour processing to switch subsequent behavioural responses to odour from repulsion to attraction. Further, they suggest that although the MCM interneurons are required for the modulation of odour preferences by mate sensation, their activation (either during acquisition or during retrieval) may not be reflected as changes in intracellular Ca^{2+} levels.

Reward and punishment form two parallel memories during sexual conditioning

Our data shows that, during conditioning, starvation and mate sensation can act as punishing and rewarding experiences, respectively, and modulate future behavioural responses to odour in opposite directions, as avoidance or approach. However, during sexual conditioning, when the odour is presented with both, starvation and mates, the behavioural response is not intermediate but approach. This raises the question of how does mate experience override the behavioural consequences of aversive learning? We envisaged two possible alternative models (Fig. 5a). In model 1, the presence of mates inhibits the formation of an aversive memory in which odour predicts starvation. In this model, only the appetitive (positive) memory in which odour predicts mates, is formed. Alternatively, in model 2, two memories with opposite valence are formed and compete for behavioural expression. Memories (or engrams) can be identified as biophysical changes in the brain. Therefore, to distinguish between the two models, we monitored and compared neuronal activity upon odour stimulation and other cellular changes within the circuit for odour processing after mock, aversive, and sexual conditioning. The expectation was that in aversively conditioned animals we would observe evidence of an aversive memory having formed as changes in neural activity within the circuit compared to naïve (mock conditioned) animals. If model 1 was correct, the engram observed in aversively conditioned animals would be absent in sexually conditioned animals. In contrast, if model 2 was correct, the changes observed after

aversive learning would remain after sexual conditioning in addition to novel changes associated with reward.

We measured neuronal activity with the genetically-encoded calcium indicator GCaMP6f upon odour presentation in the olfactory chip [37, 38]. We monitored 4 classes of interneurons (AIY, AIB, RIM and RIA) which act postsynaptically to the odour-sensing neuron AWC (Fig 4a). These interneurons control navigation in an odour gradient by driving forward movement (AIY) or reorientations (AIB, RIM, RIA) [23, 24, 39-41]; and three of them (RIM, RIA, AIY) receive PDF-1 neuromodulation during sexual conditioning (Fig. 2d). RIA interneurons have three axonal domains (dorsal (nr_d), ventral (nr_v) and sensory loop) which respond in a coordinated manner to odour presentation and removal [40]. During a first round of stimulation, we observed a decrease in Ca^{2+} upon odour presentation and an increase upon odour removal in naïve and conditioned animals (Fig. 5b). However, RIA responses in naïve animals became rapidly adapted and we did not observe a fall in Ca^{2+} levels upon second odour stimulation (Fig. 5b). In contrast, we did not observe adaptation in aversively or sexually conditioned animals (Fig. 5b) revealing a feature associated with memory.

In the absence of odour, AIB and RIM neurons have been shown to alternate between high and low activity states [24] (Extended Data Fig. 2a, b). Addition of odour inhibits AIB and RIM, and odour removal activates them [23, 24]. The responses of AIB and RIM to odour addition and removal are probabilistic and are constrained by whether the neuron is already in a high or low state of activity [24]. To examine the responses of AIB and RIM in naïve and conditioned animals, we classified the neurons according to their high or low activity state at the point of odour removal (see Methods). Similar to what has previously been shown for well-fed worms, we observed activation upon odour removal in naïve/mock-conditioned males (Fig. 5c). In aversively conditioned animals, in contrast, AIB activation upon odour removal was reduced (Fig. 5c). Reduction in AIB activity was represented by a trend of lower amplitude responses as well as by a significant reduction in the number of neurons that responded to odour removal (Fig. 5c). Importantly, a similar reduction in AIB activity and number of neurons that became activated upon odour removal was observed in sexually conditioned animals (Fig. 5c) in support of model 2. Similar to what we observed for AIB cell bodies, the axon of RIM interneurons became depolarized upon odour removal in naïve/mock-conditioned males and, although we did not observe a change in the magnitude of the responses, the number of RIM neurons that responded to odour removal was significantly reduced in both aversively and sexually conditioned animals (Fig. 5d). To confirm that the reduction in the number of AIB and RIM neurons responding in conditioned animals was specific to odor removal and not due to a general reduction in the probability to acquire a high activity state, we quantified the number of neurons in a high activity state during the baseline period, prior to odour exposure. During the baseline period, the proportion of AIB and RIM neurons in a high activity state was similar in conditioned animals and naïve (mock) animals (Extended Data Figure 2c,d). Together, these results indicate that the engram formed during aversive learning, by pairing odour with starvation and characterized by a significant reduction of responses to odour removal in AIB and RIM, is also formed during sexual conditioning.

Having observed signatures of aversive memory formation in sexually conditioned animals at the level of neuronal activity, we looked for additional changes associated with aversive learning. A cellular signature of aversive odour learning is the nuclear translocation of the cGMP-dependent protein kinase PKG/EGL-4 in the odour-sensing neuron AWC [42, 43]. To measure the cellular localization of PKG/EGL-4 we used a transgene driving expression of a GFP-tagged EGL-4 in AWC [42]. As previously reported for butanone aversive learning [42, 43], we

observed a significant increase (from 25% to 84.1 %) in the number of AWC neurons with EGL-4 nuclear localization in animals aversively conditioned to benzaldehyde compared to naïve/mock-conditioned animals (Fig. 5e). Consistent with the idea that the aversive memory is formed also during sexual conditioning, we observed a similar proportion (76.5%) of AWC neurons with EGL-4 nuclear localization in sexually conditioned animals, despite these animals displaying attraction to the odour (Fig. 5e). Importantly, EGL-4 nuclear localization was a consequence of pairing odour with starvation rather than a consequence of odour exposure during conditioning because conditioning animals with odour while on food did not result in EGL-4 nuclear translocation (Fig. 5e).

Next, we imaged neural activity in AIY neurons, which drive opposite behavior to RIA, AIB and RIM, inhibiting reorientations and promoting forward movement [41, 44, 45]. The ventral domain (zone 2) of the axon of AIY interneurons has been shown to respond to odour stimulation with a rise in Ca^{2+} levels [23]. Consistent with this, we observed a raise in Ca^{2+} in AIY axons of naïve/mock-conditioned animals upon odour presentation (Fig. 6a,b). In contrast to what we had observed for RIA, AIB and RIM, the responses of AIY did not change after aversive learning and AIY axons displayed a raise in Ca^{2+} levels similar to those of naïve/mock-conditioned animals (Fig. 6a,b). In sexually conditioned animals however, we observed a qualitative change in the responses of AIY. A significant proportion of animals (39%) displayed a delayed (maximum peak at least 15 seconds after odor exposure) increase in Ca^{2+} of high magnitude (R-Rmin/Rmax > 0.4) following the initial Ca^{2+} increase of lower magnitude upon odour presentation (Fig. 6 a,b). This type of response, which we termed category 2 response (Extended Data Fig. 3a) was significantly less represented in naïve/mock-conditioned (21%) and aversively conditioned (17%) animals (Fig. 6b,c), suggesting that it may be a signature of sexual reward. As shown above, we had identified AIY as one of the neurons where the neuropeptide PDF-1 acts to drive odour approach after sexual conditioning (Fig. 2d). We therefore looked at the effect that removing *pdf-1* had on the Ca^{2+} response of AIY in sexually conditioned animals. In sexually conditioned *pdf-1 (tm1996)* mutant males, the proportion of AIY category 2 responses was significantly reduced to levels similar to those of mock and aversively conditioned animals (Fig. 6a-c and Extended Data Fig 3 b,c). These results indicate that sexual conditioning produces specific changes in the responses of AIY to odour presentation which are dependent of PDF-1 neuromodulation and suggest that these changes may be important for odour approach after sexual conditioning.

In summary, the imaging experiments presented here demonstrate that during sexual conditioning, two distinct memories or engrams, one related to aversive learning and one related to sexual reward, form in parallel and compete for behavioural expression. The reduction of AIB and RIM activity upon odour downsteps in aversively conditioned animals will result in a reduction in the probability of reorientation when the animal is moving away from the odour source. While lack of reorientation may not account for the observed odour avoidance of aversively conditioned animals, it will result in reduced attraction and lack of preference [41, 43]. The inability to reorient when moving away from the odour may be compensated in sexually conditioned animals by the sustained and high activity of AIY upon odour upsteps, which will result in longer forward movement when the animal is moving towards the odour source. The increase in type 2 responses in AIY that we observe are unlikely to be the only neural changes occurring after sexual conditioning with an impact on behavior. Nonetheless, the frequency in which we observe AIY type 2 responses in sexually conditioned animals (39%) may account for the approach behaviour we observe in this population of animals. Not all animals are proficient learners and 29% of sexually conditioned animals do

not display the aversive memory engram, instead displaying AIB responses of similar magnitude to naïve/mock conditioned animals. Together, the frequency of AIB naïve responses and AIY type 2 responses could account for the 70% of sexually conditioned animals that display a chemotaxis score ≥ 3.

Discussion:

Specificity of information coding by neuropeptide signalling

Here we have shown how valence (i.e. the subjective value and sign of a stimulus), even when innate, can be flexibly modified by experience and neuropeptide signalling. In particular we found that the neuropeptide PDF-1 can encode both positive and negative valence depending on its neuron source of release and the target neurons on which it acts. PDF-1 release from the interneurons MCM and AVB provides information about mate experience which acts as a teaching signal for reward. This teaching signal is incorporated into the circuit for odour processing by acting on the interneurons RIM, RIA and modulating the Ca^{2+} responses of the AIY axon upon odour stimulation. It is important to note that while one of the sources of PDF-1 we identified (AVB) becomes activated specifically during conditioning, the consequences of PDF-1 neuromodulation on AIY are observed during retrieval. This underscores the characteristic delay and slow kinetics with which neuropeptide signalling affects neural circuit function and behaviour. Modulation of this circuit by PDF-1 leads to odor approach. Odour avoidance on the other hand, requires the release of PDF-1 or PDF-2 from neurons other than AVB and MCM and modulation of interneurons other than RIM, RIA and AIY. More detailed mapping of the circuit for avoidance awaits further study. The orchestration of valence assignment by PDF-1 through the action on segregated circuits is reminiscent of the function of dopamine in the mushroom body of insects [46, 47], and in the ventral tegmental area of mammals [48, 49]. In the amygdala as well, neuropeptides like neurotensin and cholecystokinin act on distinct circuits with segregated inputs and outputs to drive approach or avoidance ([50 51]. Therefore, the encoding of opposite valence by a single neuromodulator acting with circuit specificity appears to be a universal property of nervous systems. In mammals, the neuropeptide VIP, which belongs to the same family as PDF-1 [52, 53], is expressed in inhibitory interneurons with specific circuit topology [54]. In cortex, amygdala and thalamo-cortical projections, VIP-expressing neurons become activated by behaviourally relevant salient stimuli to allow associative learning, long-term potentiation and memory retrieval [55-57]. Although these functions have been previously assigned to the gabaergic neurotransmission of VIP-expressing neurons, in light of our results it would be important to investigate the role that neuromodulation through VIP plays in learning.

The mechanisms underlying sex-specific learning

As previously shown by Sakai *et al.*, we find that mate-experience is only rewarding for males since odour preferences can only be sexually conditioned in males. Perhaps a little surprising, this sexual dimorphism arises through activation of (and neuropeptide release from) a sex-shared neuron (AVB) and modulation of sex-shared neurons (RIM, RIA and AIY). Sex-specific activation of AVB is due to male-specific input connectivity from mate-sensing circuits. Although the male-specific MCM interneurons also receive input connectivity from mate-sensing circuits and are a required source of PDF-1, we did not observe changes in

intracellular Ca^{2+} either during conditioning or during retrieval. This suggests that other mechanisms may underlie their activation. A similar observation has been made for ASI neurons, which despite expressing receptors for pheromones and their function being required for pheromone-induced developmental and behavioural processes, they do not display changes in intracellular Ca^{2+} levels upon pheromone exposure [58-60]. Alternative activation mechanisms may include changes in gene expression [61] or membrane excitability [62]. Nonetheless we cannot rule out the possibility that our experimental system may not have enough sensitivity to detect all meaningful Ca^{2+} changes. Moreover, removing PDF-1 from the MCMs caused a milder defect than removing the MCM neurons, suggesting that other neurotransmitters, neuromodulators or cellular properties of the MCMs may also contribute to sexual conditioning. Regarding the extent to which sexual dimorphism exists within the circuit for dour learning, in future work, it will be important to determine whether there are sex differences in the ability of sex-shared neurons to respond to neuropeptide modulation to switch valence. Although sexually dimorphic innate behaviour has been extensively investigated, this is the first time that a multilevel (i.e. molecular, cellular, circuit and behavioural) analysis of sex differences in learning has been performed. We have been able to link the release of a neuropeptide from a particular class of neurons which are activated by male-specific input, to changes in neuronal activity and a switch in behaviour that underlies sex differences in learning.

Reward and punishment create two memories that co-exist and compete for expression

Our results reveal that pairing a stimulus with both a punishment and a reward creates two parallel engrams or memories, one aversive and one rewarding, which compete for behavioural expression. The aversive memory, which arises from the association of an odour with the punishment of starvation and leads to odour avoidance, leaves a signature trace in the circuit characterized by changes in neural activity and trans-location of cellular components some of which have previously been shown to underlie avoidance [42, 43]. Specifically, we observed lack of adaptation in RIA, a reduction in the number of AIB and RIM neurons that responded to odour removal, and nuclear translocation of PKG/EGL-4 in the odour-sensing neuron AWC. This signature, which is absent in naïve animals, remains present in animals that have been conditioned to associate the odour with both a punishment and the reward of mating partners (sexually conditioned animals) despite their behaviour being approach. In sexually conditioned animals, in addition, we observed a signature of reward characterized by perdurance in AIY axonal activity and that is dependent on PDF-1 neuromodulation. This (and possibly other) PDF-1-dependent trace appears to be important to override the behavioural expression of the aversive memory, since removal of PDF-1 results in sexually conditioned animals that display no preference for or even avoidance of the odour. Although we have been able to identify engrams of both memories, there are likely many other neuronal changes occurring during conditioning which we have not extracted with our analysis and which may account for the full behavioral expression of approach or avoidance. The idea that during integration of reward and punishment, individual memories with opposite valence are simultaneously stored in different circuits to affect behaviour has been previously proposed [63-66]. Those studies, performed in rodents and flies, are based on the observation that simultaneous stimulation of circuits that signal reward and circuits that signal punishment (either artificially or by substances of mixed valence) results in intermediate behaviours of approach and avoidance. Our work strengthens this idea by

revealing the formation of parallel engrams not only behaviourally but also at the level of brain activity.

<u>Distinct neural representations of positive valence according to task functionality</u>

Approach and avoidance are behavioural readouts of positive and negative valence, respectively. Research on how circuits encode valence has put forward two main functional types: those that act as modules and those that act as modes [67]. Modules are those in which approach and avoidance are encoded by the activity of distinct and segregated circuits. Examples of modules have been identified in the amygdala and the ventral tegmental area of rodents [50, 51, 68] and the fly mushroom body [69]. Modes are those in which avoidance or approach are encoded by the activation or inhibition of a neuron (or circuit, brain region) and examples have been found in the rodent dorsal raphe nucleus [68], *Lymnea* [70] and *C. elegans* [25]. Here, we do not find evidence of either modules or modes. We find no correlation between the activity of any particular neuron and the behavioural response to the odour. While it may be possible that we have not yet identified the neurons that encode odour valence, we think this is unlikely. We have imaged the main interneurons of the circuit for odour processing and two of them, AIY and RIA, have previously been shown to encode experience-dependent changes in valence for CO_2 and pathogenic bacteria [25, 26]. Instead, what our results reveal is that positive valence can be flexibly represented according to functionality or prediction. While both naïve and sexually conditioned animals approach the odour (benzaldehyde), odour stimulation elicits very different neural activity which seems to reflect the different predictive value of the odour. Benzaldehyde, which is a bacteria metabolite, innately predicts food for naïve animals. In sexually conditioned animals in contrast, benzaldehyde predicts mates, and this is due to top-down modulation from downstream interneurons (AVB and MCM) to first layer interneurons (AIY). A similar process has been proposed for how sensory representation in the cortex changes according to task-specific brain states [71]. Here we show that such flexible representation of sensory information can support learning and flexible behaviour.

Methods

***C. elegans* strains**

Nematode culture and genetics were performed following standard procedures[72, 73]. A complete list of the strains used can be found in Supplementary Table 2. All strains were in a *him-5* (e1490) or *him-8(e1489)* background to facilitate male analysis. The specific *him* allele used is indicated in each figure legend. All assays were performed at 20ºC, unless stated otherwise.

Odour conditioning assays
Males and hermaphrodites were picked as L4s the night before the assay and transferred to single-sex plates with food. Animals were recovered from the food plates with wash buffer (1 mM $CaCl_2$, 1 mM $MgSO_4$, and 5 mM pH 6.0 potassium phosphate) and centrifuged at 1,700 r.p.m. for 3 min. A total of four washes were performed to remove the food before placing the animals on the conditioning plates.
Odour conditioning assay plates were made with 2% agar, 5 mM potassium phosphate (pH 6.0), 1 mM $CaCl_2$ and 1 mM $MgSO_4$. Mock conditioning plates were 5 cm in diameter (4ml of

agar), whereas aversive and sexual conditioning plates were 3 cm in diameter (2ml of agar). Between 20-30 males were placed on each mock and aversive conditioning plate and exposed to either 100% ethanol or 15% benzaldehyde, respectively. For sexual conditioning, a maximum of 30 males and 200 hermaphrodites were used and animals were exposed to 15% benzaldehyde. Genotypes that were being compared against one another were sexually conditioned together in the same plate, tested and scored blindly and then identified through genotyping or by fluorescent markers. When different genotypes were conditioned together equal amounts of each genotype were used until a total of 30 males were added to the sexual conditioning plate. Controls in which animals were exposed to the odor on food were also performed for some experiments. In all cases animals were conditioned for 3 h.

Immediately after conditioning, animals were tested individually in 5 cm plates with a 1% benzaldehyde gradient by placing them in the centre of the assay plate, 2 cm away from the odor source (Fig. 1a). After 20 min, the tracks left by the animal were visualized and the chemotaxis score was calculated as previously described [15] by the sum of the scores of the regions by which the animal had travelled (Fig. 1a). Scoring of all sexual conditioning experiments were blind to the manipulation. All behavioural experiments were performed using N2 hermaphrodites. For the calcium imaging experiments *unc-51 (e369)* hermaphrodites were used for sexual conditioning.

Plasmid constructs and transgenic strains

All constructs were built using Gibson Assembly [74, 75]. Primers were designed using the NEBuilder assembly online tool (https://nebuilder.neb.com). The 3' UTR of the *unc-54* gene was used for all constructs. A complete list of primers and plasmids used in this study can be found in Supplementary Table 3 and Supplementary Table 4, respectively. Plasmid constructs were injected in *C. elegans* following standard procedures[76, 77]. The specific co-injection marker used as well as the concentration at which each array was injected can be found in Table 2.

CaMPARI measurements

*lite-1(ce314)*X;*him-5(e1490)*V;*oleEx58[pdf-1::Campari (25 ng/µl) + cc::GFP (30ng/µl)]* males were conditioned with 15% benzaldehyde in 3 cm plates with no food and with or without *unc-51* hermaphrodites for sexual and aversive conditioning, respectively. After 3 hrs, CaMPARI was photoconverted by exposing the plates to 405nm blue light (DAPI filter) for 2 minutes at 50% LED intensity under an inverted Zeiss Axiovert microscope and a 10x objective. Images of the MCM and AVB neurons were taken by mounting worms in 10% agarose pads with undiluted microbeads[78]. Classically used anesthetics such as NaN_3 or levamisole could not be used because they interfere with the fluorescence signal of CaMPARI. Photos were taken with a Zeiss Axio Imager 2 microscope using a 470nm LED source at 25% intensity and EX470/20 FT493 EM505-503 filter for the green channel and GYR LED source at 50% intensity and BP546/12 FT580 LP590 filter for the red channel and at 125x magnification. LED intensity and exposure time were kept constant for AVB and MCM neurons across the sample population but longer exposure was needed for the MCM neurons. Image analysis was performed using Fiji. Before image processing, background intensity was subtracted from both channels. The average fluorescence intensity for each channel was measured by manually drawing a polygon containing the region of interest (ROI) on the z-plane at which the neuron analyzed provided the brightest signal. The ratio between the average fluorescence intensity for green and red channels was calculated for each ROI.

Calcium imaging

Animals were first subjected to one of the three conditioning protocols in the same way as for behavioural analysis. Immediately after conditioning, animals were injected into a nose trap microfluidic device [37] using wash buffer 1 mM $CaCl_2$, 1 mM $MgSO_4$, and 5 mM pH 6.0 potassium phosphate and 0,5mM tetramisole to reduce movement of the animals while imaging. Animals were exposed to alternative periods of 10^{-4} benzaldehyde (diluted in wash buffer, no fluorescein) or wash buffer with 0.003mg/ml fluorescein. Flow control buffer contained 0.03mg/ml fluorescein. This allowed visualization of flow with fluorescence, and control checks of adequate flow were done between every recording. Imaging was performed in an inverted Zeiss Axiovert microscope with a 460/565nm LED. Emission filters ET515/30M and ET641/75 and dichroic T565lprx-UF2 were placed in the cube of a Cairn OptoSplit II attached between the microscope and an ORCA-Flash four camera (Hamamatsu). Acquisition was performed at 10 fps with a 63X objective (Zeiss plan-apochromat, numerical aperture 1.4) and 1.5X optovar. To avoid photobleaching pulse illumination was used. The cell body was imaged for AIB, MCM and AVB neurons, whereas the projection was imaged for RIM, AIY (specifically zone 2), and RIA (loop domain, ventral nerve ring domain and dorsal nerve ring domain).

Microfluidic chip fabrication

Male-adapted PDMS olfactory chips [38] were made and plasma-bonded to a glass coverslip as previously described [38, 79]. After plasma bonding, chips were placed on a hot plate at 80 ºC for one hour to improve bonding efficiency.

Calcium imaging analysis

A moving region of interest in both channels was identified and mean fluorescent ratios (GFP/RFP) were calculated with custom-made Matlab scripts by the de Bono lab (Busch et al., 2012). All further analysis was performed using our own custom-made Matlab scripts. For RIA neurons, where photobleaching was evident, bleach-correction was applied independently to each channel by fitting an exponential decay curve to the raw fluorescence signal and dividing the signal by its fitted exponential [80]. Ratios were smoothened using a 5-frame rolling median. Baseline-adjusted ratios were calculated as (R-R0)/R0, where R0 is the average ratio during the baseline period (10s prior to odour presentation for AIY, RIA, MCM and AVB, and 10s prior to odour removal for RIM and AIB). Normalised ratios were calculated as (R-Rmin)/Rmax, where Rmin and Rmax are the average of the smallest and largest 5% of values in that trace, respectively. For AIB and RIM, normalized ratios were calculated in order to classify neurons as being in either their ON or OFF state (classed as ON if (R-Rmin)/Rmax > 0.5, as per [24, 26], and then baseline-adjusted ratios were plotted to show differences in magnitude of response across conditions. For recordings of neurons with weak signal of the GCaMP transgene (e.g. MCM neurons) the fluorescein in the buffer upon valve opening interfered with GCaMP signal detection in the neuron and therefore, those frames immediately after valve opening have been excluded from the analysis.

EGL-4 quantification

Animals were first subjected to one of the four conditioning protocols in the same way as for behavioural analysis. Immediately after conditioning, animals were split in two groups. One group was imaged for EGL-4 localization and the other group was tested for chemotaxis to

benzaldehyde. Imaging of GFP-tagged EGL-4 was performed with a Zeiss Axio Imager 2 microscope, a Zeiss ECPLAN-NEOFLUAR 100x/1.3 oil immersion objective and TimeToLive 10_2016 Caenotec software. Animals were immobilized with 3μl of NaN_3 at a concentration of 25mM. AWC neurons were identified by expression of *odr-1::dsRed*, morphology and position. Z-stack images were taken for each animal and analysed using ImageJ blindly to the conditioning that the worms had been subject to. Average green fluorescence intensity at nuclear and cytoplasmic locations was calculated by drawing a polygon containing each region of interest (ROI) on the middle section of the z-stack for each AWC neuron and the ratio was claculated. Animals with ratios ≥ 1,4 were classified as having EGL-4 nuclear translocation.

Statistical analysis

For behavioural assays, because chemotaxis scores are discrete categorical data, χ^2 for trend analysis was used to compare the frequency distribution of values of different conditions. Bonferroni corrections were applied to account for multiple comparisons-derived false positives.

For CaMPARI photo conversion, a non-parametric two tailed Mann Withney-U test was performed.

To compare EGL-4 nuclear translocation between different conditioning groups a χ^2 test with Bonferroni corrections was used to account for multiple comparisons derived false positives .

For GCaMP fluorescence analysis, non-parametric one-way ANOVA (Kruskal Wallis test) with Dunn's multiple comparisons was performed because the data did not follow a normal distribution. The same test was used to compare the proportion of AIB and RIM neurons activated over time. χ^2 test was used to compare the proportion of type 2 responses in AIY between conditions and genotypes. The type of test used for each dataset is specified in each figure legend.

Acknowledgements

We thank the following labs and inidividuals for strains and reagents: Bargmann (The Rockefeller University), L'Étoile (University of California San Francisco), Carrera (Universidad de la Republica), Srinivasan (Worcester Polytechnic Institute), García (Texas A&M University), Hobert (Columbia University), Ch'ng (Kings College London), Poole (UCL), Busch (Health and Medical University, Berlin), Temmerman (KU Leuven), Barkoulas (Imperial College), Iino (University of Tokyo), Sengupta (Brandeis University), Rosie Truman (UCL), Evie Goss-Sampson and Michele Sammut (UCL). Additional strains were obtained from the Caenorhabditis Genetic Center (University of Minnesota) which is supported by the National Institutes of Health - Office of Research Infrastructure Programs (P40 OD010440). We also thank Chintan Trivedi (UCL) for help setting up the odour stimulation system. This work was supported by a Newton Fellowship from the Royal Society to LMG (NF160914), a Wellcome Trust PhD Studentship to SCF (175261) and a Leverhulme Trust project grant RPG-2018–287 to AB.

Author contributions

AB conceived the project. AB and LMG designed experiments, interpreted data and co-wrote the manuscript. LMG built the strains, performed the behavioural experiments, and analysed

data. LMG and SCF performed the neural recordings, interpreted and analysed the data. SCF developed custom MatLab scripts to analyse the calcium imaging data. EC, LL, SBL and BGMO contributed to imaging and behavioural experiments.

References

1.   Dal Bello, M., Perez-Escudero, A., Schroeder, F.C. & Gore, J. Inversion of pheromone preference optimizes foraging in *C. elegans*. *Elife* **10** (2021).

2.   Hughes, D.P. & Libersat, F. Parasite manipulation of host behavior. *Curr Biol* **29**, R45-R47 (2019).

3.   Keleman, K.*, et al.* Dopamine neurons modulate pheromone responses in *Drosophila* courtship learning. *Nature* **489**, 145-149 (2012).

4.   Zhang, Y., Lu, H. & Bargmann, C.I. Pathogenic bacteria induce aversive olfactory learning in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Nature* **438**, 179-184 (2005).

5.   Ha, H.I.*, et al.* Functional organization of a neural network for aversive olfactory learning in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Neuron* **68**, 1173-1186 (2010).

6.   Li, Q. & Liberles, S.D. Aversion and attraction through olfaction. *Curr Biol* **25**, R120-R129 (2015).

7.   Tye, K.M. Neural circuit motifs in valence processing. *Neuron* **100**, 436-452 (2018).

8.   Wagle, M.*, et al.* Brain-wide perception of the emotional valence of light is regulated by distinct hypothalamic neurons. *Mol Psychiatry* **27**, 3777-3793 (2022).

9.   Carew, T.J., Walters, E.T. & Kandel, E.R. Classical conditioning in a simple withdrawal reflex in *Aplysia californica*. *J Neurosci* **1**, 1426-1437 (1981).

10.   Ward, S. Chemotaxis by the nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans*: identification of attractants and analysis of the response by use of mutants. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **70**, 817-821 (1973).

11.   Bargmann, C.I., Hartwieg, E. & Horvitz, H.R. Odorant-selective genes and neurons mediate olfaction in *C. elegans*. *Cell* **74**, 515-527 (1993).

12.   Tomioka, M.*, et al.* The insulin/PI 3-kinase pathway regulates salt chemotaxis learning in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Neuron* **51**, 613-625 (2006).

13.   Nuttley, W.M., Atkinson-Leadbeater, K.P. & Van Der Kooy, D. Serotonin mediates food-odor associative learning in the nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **99**, 12449-12454 (2002).

14.   Lin, C.H.*, et al.* Insulin signaling plays a dual role in *Caenorhabditis elegans* memory acquisition and memory retrieval. *J Neurosci* **30**, 8001-8011 (2010).

15.   Sakai, N.*, et al.* A sexually conditioned switch of chemosensory behavior in *C. elegans. PLoS One* **8**, e68676 (2013).

16.   Sammut, M.*, et al.* Glia-derived neurons are required for sex-specific learning in *C. elegans*. *Nature* **526**, 385-390 (2015).

17.   Barrios, A., Nurrish, S. & Emmons, S.W. Sensory regulation of *C. elegans* male mate-searching behavior. *Curr Biol* **18**, 1865-1871 (2008).

18.   Lipton, J., Kleemann, G., Ghosh, R., Lints, R. & Emmons, S.W. Mate searching in *Caenorhabditis elegans*: a genetic model for sex drive in a simple invertebrate. *J Neurosci* **24**, 7427-7434 (2004).

19.   Janssen, T.*, et al.* Functional characterization of three G protein-coupled receptors for pigment dispersing factors in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *J Biol Chem* **283**, 15241-15249 (2008).

20.   Janssen, T*., et al.* Discovery and characterization of a conserved pigment dispersing factor-like neuropeptide pathway in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *J Neurochem* **111**, 228-241 (2009).

21.   Barrios, A., Ghosh, R., Fang, C., Emmons, S.W. & Barr, M.M. PDF-1 neuropeptide signaling modulates a neural circuit for mate-searching behavior in *C. elegans*. *Nat Neurosci* **15**, 1675-1682 (2012).

22.   Flavell, S.W*., et al.* Serotonin and the neuropeptide PDF initiate and extend opposing behavioral states in *C. elegans*. *Cell* **154**, 1023-1035 (2013).

23.   Chalasani, S.H*., et al.* Dissecting a circuit for olfactory behaviour in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Nature* **450**, 63-70 (2007).

24.   Gordus, A., Pokala, N., Levy, S., Flavell, S.W. & Bargmann, C.I. Feedback from network states generates variability in a probabilistic olfactory circuit. *Cell* **161**, 215-227 (2015).

25.   Guillermin, M.L., Carrillo, M.A. & Hallem, E.A. A single set of interneurons drives opposite behaviors in *C. elegans*. *Curr Biol* **27**, 2630-2639 e2636 (2017).

26.   Jin, X., Pokala, N. & Bargmann, C.I. Distinct circuits for the formation and retrieval of an imprinted olfactory memory. *Cell* **164**, 632-643 (2016).

27.   Alkema, M.J., Hunter-Ensor, M., Ringstad, N. & Horvitz, H.R. Tyramine functions independently of octopamine in the *Caenorhabditis elegans* nervous system. *Neuron* **46**, 247-260 (2005).

28.   Brockie, P.J., Madsen, D.M., Zheng, Y., Mellem, J. & Maricq, A.V. Differential expression of glutamate receptor subunits in the nervous system of *Caenorhabditis elegans* and their regulation by the homeodomain protein UNC-42. *J Neurosci* **21**, 1510-1522 (2001).

29.   Hobert, O*., et al.* Regulation of interneuron function in the *C. elegans* thermoregulatory pathway by the *ttx-3* LIM homeobox gene. *Neuron* **19**, 345-357 (1997).

30.   Frokjaer-Jensen, C*., et al.* Single-copy insertion of transgenes in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Nat Genet* **40**, 1375-1383 (2008).

31.   Srinivasan, J*., et al.* A blend of small molecules regulates both mating and development in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Nature* **454**, 1115-1118 (2008).

32.   Liu, K.S. & Sternberg, P.W. Sensory regulation of male mating behavior in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Neuron* **14**, 79-89 (1995).

33.   Barr, M.M. & Sternberg, P.W. A polycystic kidney-disease gene homologue required for male mating behaviour in *C. elegans*. *Nature* **401**, 386-389 (1999).

34.   Cook, S.J*., et al.* Whole-animal connectomes of both *Caenorhabditis elegans* sexes. *Nature* **571**, 63-71 (2019).

35.   Fosque, B.F*., et al.* Neural circuits. Labeling of active neural circuits *in vivo* with designed calcium integrators. *Science* **347**, 755-760 (2015).

36.   Chen, T.W*., et al.* Ultrasensitive fluorescent proteins for imaging neuronal activity. *Nature* **499**, 295-300 (2013).

37.   Chronis, N., Zimmer, M. & Bargmann, C.I. Microfluidics for *in vivo* imaging of neuronal and behavioral activity in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Nat Methods* **4**, 727-731 (2007).

38.   Reilly, D.K., Lawler, D.E., Albrecht, D.R. & Srinivasan, J. Using an adapted microfluidic olfactory chip for the imaging of neuronal activity in response to pheromones in male *C. elegans* head neurons. *J Vis Exp* (2017).

39.   Chalasani, S.H*., et al.* Neuropeptide feedback modifies odor-evoked dynamics in *Caenorhabditis elegans* olfactory neurons. *Nat Neurosci* **13**, 615-621 (2010).

40.   Liu, H.*, et al.* Cholinergic sensorimotor integration regulates olfactory steering. *Neuron* **97**, 390-405 e393 (2018).

41.   Gray, J.M., Hill, J.J. & Bargmann, C.I. A circuit for navigation in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **102**, 3184-3191 (2005).

42.   Lee, J.I.*, et al.* Nuclear entry of a cGMP-dependent kinase converts transient into long-lasting olfactory adaptation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **107**, 6016-6021 (2010).

43.   Cho, C.E., Brueggemann, C., L'Etoile, N.D. & Bargmann, C.I. Parallel encoding of sensory history and behavioral preference during *Caenorhabditis elegans* olfactory learning. *Elife* **5** (2016).

44.   Tsalik, E.L. & Hobert, O. Functional mapping of neurons that control locomotory behavior in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *J Neurobiol* **56**, 178-197 (2003).

45.   Ben Arous, J., Laffont, S. & Chatenay, D. Molecular and sensory basis of a food related two-state behavior in *C. elegans*. *PLoS One* **4**, e7584 (2009).

46.   Cohn, R., Morantte, I. & Ruta, V. Coordinated and compartmentalized neuromodulation shapes sensory processing in *Drosophila*. *Cell* **163**, 1742-1755 (2015).

47.   Traniello, I.M., Chen, Z., Bagchi, V.A. & Robinson, G.E. Valence of social information is encoded in different subpopulations of mushroom body Kenyon cells in the honeybee brain. *Proc Biol Sci* **286**, 20190901 (2019).

48.   de Jong, J.W.*, et al.* A neural circuit mechanism for encoding aversive stimuli in the mesolimbic dopamine system. *Neuron* **101**, 133-151 e137 (2019).

49.   McGovern, D.J., Polter, A.M. & Root, D.H. Neurochemical signaling of reward and aversion to ventral tegmental area glutamate neurons. *J Neurosci* **41**, 5471-5486 (2021).

50.   Li, H.*, et al.* Neurotensin orchestrates valence assignment in the amygdala. *Nature* **608**, 586-592 (2022).

51.   Zhang, X.*, et al.* Genetically identified amygdala-striatal circuits for valence-specific behaviors. *Nat Neurosci* **24**, 1586-1600 (2021).

52.   Frooninckx, L.*, et al.* Neuropeptide GPCRs in *C. elegans*. *Front Endocrinol (Lausanne)* **3**, 167 (2012).

53.   Janssen, T., Lindemans, M., Meelkop, E., Temmerman, L. & Schoofs, L. Coevolution of neuropeptidergic signaling systems: from worm to man. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* **1200**, 1-14 (2010).

54.   Pi, H.J.*, et al.* Cortical interneurons that specialize in disinhibitory control. *Nature* **503**, 521-524 (2013).

55.   Krabbe, S.*, et al.* Adaptive disinhibitory gating by VIP interneurons permits associative learning. *Nat Neurosci* **22**, 1834-1843 (2019).

56.   Melzer, S.*, et al.* Bombesin-like peptide recruits disinhibitory cortical circuits and enhances fear memories. *Cell* **184**, 5622-5634 e5625 (2021).

57.   Williams, L.E. & Holtmaat, A. Higher-order thalamocortical inputs gate synaptic long-term potentiation via disinhibition. *Neuron* **101**, 91-102 e104 (2019).

58.   Kim, K.*, et al.* Two chemoreceptors mediate developmental effects of dauer pheromone in *C. elegans*. *Science* **326**, 994-998 (2009).

59.   Luo, J. & Portman, D.S. Sex-specific, pdfr-1-dependent modulation of pheromone avoidance by food abundance enables flexibility in *C. elegans* foraging behavior. *Curr Biol* **31**, 4449-4461 e4444 (2021).

60.   McGrath, P.T.*, et al.* Parallel evolution of domesticated *Caenorhabditis* species targets pheromone receptor genes. *Nature* **477**, 321-325 (2011).

61.   Laurent, P.*, et al.* Decoding a neural circuit controlling global animal state in *C. elegans*. *Elife* **4** (2015).

62.   Stout, R.F., Jr., Verkhratsky, A. & Parpura, V. *Caenorhabditis elegans* glia modulate neuronal activity and behavior. *Front Cell Neurosci* **8**, 67 (2014).

63.   Burgos-Robles, A.*, et al.* Amygdala inputs to prefrontal cortex guide behavior amid conflicting cues of reward and punishment. *Nat Neurosci* **20**, 824-835 (2017).

64.   Aso, Y. & Rubin, G.M. Dopaminergic neurons write and update memories with cell-type-specific rules. *Elife* **5** (2016).

65.   Das, G.*, et al. Drosophila* learn opposing components of a compound food stimulus. *Curr Biol* **24**, 1723-1730 (2014).

66.   Stephenson-Jones, M.*, et al.* Opposing contributions of GABAergic and Glutamatergic ventral pallidal neurons to motivational behaviors. *Neuron* **105**, 921-933 e925 (2020).

67.   Berridge, K.C. Affective valence in the brain: modules or modes? *Nat Rev Neurosci* **20**, 225-234 (2019).

68.   Li, Y.*, et al.* Rostral and caudal Ventral tegmental area GABAergic inputs to different Dorsal Raphe neurons participate in opioid dependence. *Neuron* **101**, 748-761 e745 (2019).

69.   Waddell, S. Reinforcement signalling in *Drosophila*; dopamine does it all after all. *Curr Opin Neurobiol* **23**, 324-329 (2013).

70.   Pirger, Z.*, et al.* Interneuronal mechanisms for learning-induced switch in a sensory response that anticipates changes in behavioral outcomes. *Curr Biol* **31**, 1754-1761 e1753 (2021).

71.   Zhang, N. & Xu, N.L. Reshaping sensory representations by task-specific brain states: Toward cortical circuit mechanisms. *Curr Opin Neurobiol* **77**, 102628 (2022).

72.   Brenner, S. The genetics of *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Genetics* **77**, 71-94 (1974).

73.   Stiernagle, T. Maintenance of *C. elegans*. *WormBook*, 1-11 (2006).

74.   Gibson, D.G.*, et al.* Enzymatic assembly of DNA molecules up to several hundred kilobases. *Nat Methods* **6**, 343-345 (2009).

75.   Gibson, D.G.*, et al.* Creation of a bacterial cell controlled by a chemically synthesized genome. *Science* **329**, 52-56 (2010).

76.   Mello, C.C., Kramer, J.M., Stinchcomb, D. & Ambros, V. Efficient gene transfer in *C.elegans*: extrachromosomal maintenance and integration of transforming sequences. *EMBO J* **10**, 3959-3970 (1991).

77.   Berkowitz, L.A., Knight, A.L., Caldwell, G.A. & Caldwell, K.A. Generation of stable transgenic C. elegans using microinjection. *J Vis Exp* (2008).

78.   Kim, E., Sun, L., Gabel, C.V. & Fang-Yen, C. Long-term imaging of *Caenorhabditis elegans* using nanoparticle-mediated immobilization. *PLoS One* **8**, e53419 (2013).

79.   Lagoy, R.C. & Albrecht, D.R. Microfluidic devices for behavioral analysis, microscopy, and neuronal imaging in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Methods Mol Biol* **1327**, 159-179 (2015).

80.   Kaplan, H.S., Salazar Thula, O., Khoss, N. & Zimmer, M. Nested neuronal dynamics orchestrate a behavioral hierarchy across timescales. *Neuron* **105**, 562-576 e569 (2020).

Figure legends

Fig. 1: PDF neuropeptide signaling regulates aversive learning and sexual conditioning to odour. **a.** Schematic representation of the odour (benzaldehyde) conditioning paradigm. Adult worms were either mock conditioned (only starved), aversively conditioned (starved in presence of 15% odour), sexually conditioning (starved in presence of 15% odour and mates)

or exposed to 15% odour on food for 3 hours. Individual worms were then tested for their response to a gradient of 1% odour during 20 minutes. Their chemotaxis score was calculated based on the tracks left on the plate adding up the score of each sector through which the worm travelled. **b and c,** Chemotaxis score to benzaldehyde after conditioning. Each empty circle within a violin plot represents the chemotaxis score of an individual animal. The mode is marked with a white cross. χ^2 for trend analysis and Bonferroni correction was used to compare the frequency distribution of values of each sex or genotype between conditions (black asterisks) and for a condition between genotypes (green asterisks for aversive conditioning and red asterisks for sexual conditioning). *** $p < 0.0001$; ** $p < 0.001$; * $p < 0.01$; n. s. no statistically significant difference $p \geq 0.05$. n, number of animals. In **c,** different genotypes were assayed in different days but always with a *wildtype* control (pulled together for this graph). For sexual conditioning, control and genotype of interest were conditioned in the same plate and identified through genotyping after testing. At least 3 independent experiments were done for each group. *him* alleles used were *him-8(e1489)* and *him-5 (e1490)* for b and c, respectively.

Fig. 2: PDFR-1 is required in different neurons for learned approach and learned avoidance. a, Genomic locus of the *pdfr-1* gene. Promoter regions are depicted as grey bars and exons as black boxes. Arrows indicate transcription from either promoter. **b,** Schematic representation of the DNA constructs used to rescue expression of the *pdfr-1* gene. Expression of the *pdfr-1* sense mRNA from the distal promoter (bottom) relies on the intersectional expression of Cre recombinase in the cells of interest. The list of neurons in which the distal and proximal promoters drive expression is shown. **c and d,** Chemotaxis score to benzaldehyde after conditioning. Each empty circle within a violin plot represents the chemotaxis score of an individual animal. The mode is marked with a white cross. χ^2 for trend analysis and Bonferroni correction was used to compare the frequency distribution of values of each genotype between conditions (black asterisks) and for a condition between genotypes (green asterisks for aversive conditioning and red asterisks for sexual conditioning). *** $p < 0.0001$; ** $p < 0.001$; * $p < 0.01$; n. s. no statistically significant difference $p \geq 0.05$. n, number of animals. *pdfr-1(tm4457)* data in **2 c** is the same as in **1 c.** For sexual conditioning, controls (*wildtype* and mutant) and rescued animals were conditioned in the same plate and identified by genotyping or through visualisation of the fluorescent transgene after testing. At least 3 independent experiments were done for each group. *wt* background is *him-5(e1490).*

Fig. 3: The MCM and AVB interneurons are a source of PDF-1 for sexual conditioning. a, Chemotaxis score to benzaldehyde of *wildtype* and temperature-sensitive *nom-8 (drp-8)* mutants (both in the genetic background *inIs179(ida-1::gfp);otIs356(rab-3::rfp);him-8(e1489)*) raised either at 20ºC or 25ºC and then subjected to different conditioning protocols. For sexual conditioning, *nom-8* males grown at 20ºC or 25ºC were conditioned in the same plate and then checked for presence or absence of MCM neurons by fluorescent marker after testing. **b,** Top, lateral view (anterior to the left) of the anterior-most part of a male expressing a *pdf-1::rfp* reporter transgene. Some neurons are labeled with their names. Bottom, scheme of the excisable *pdf-1* transgene integrated as single copy in a MosCI site in chromosome II. **c,** Chemotaxis score to benzaldehyde after conditioning. Different genotypes were assayed in different days but always in parallel to a positive

control (*him-5 (e1490) V; pdf-1(tm1996)III;syb1393*). Data for *pdf-1(tm1996)* mutants is the same as in Fig 1C. For sexual conditioning, controls and genotype of interest were conditioned in the same plate and identified using fluorescence microscopy after testing. At least 3 independent experiments were done for each genotype. All strains were in *him-5(e1490)* background. For **a** and **c**, each empty circle within a violin plot represents the chemotaxis score of an individual animal. The mode is marked with a white cross. χ^2 for trend analysis and Bonferroni correction was used to compare the frequency distribution of values of each genotype between conditions (black asterisks) and for a condition between genotypes and temperatures (green asterisks for aversive conditioning and red asterisks for sexual conditioning). *** p< 0.0001; ** p< 0.001; * p<0.01; n. s. no statistically significant difference p≥0.05. n, number of animals.

Fig. 4: The AVB interneurons are activated by mate sensation. a, Diagram of the circuit for odour processing in males. Triangles, hexagons and circles represent sensory neurons, interneurons and PDF-1 neuropeptide, respectively. Synaptic connections are depicted as lines and peptidergic communication as arrows. Male specific neurons and PDF-1 target neurons are shown in blue and pink, respectively. The names of the different neurons within the circuit are indicated. **b**,Top, schematic of the CaMPARI photoconversion experiment. **b**, Bottom, measurements of CaMPARI photoconversion in AVB and MCM interneurons after conditioning. Each circle corresponds to a neuron. Two tail Mann-Whitney U test was used to compare conditions. Black asterisks show statistically significant difference between different conditioning protocols ****p<0.0001; ** p<0.01. Error bars represent SEM. n, number of neurons. **c** and **d**, Average baseline adjusted traces of GCaMP6f/RFP fluorescence ratiosn in AVB (c) and MCM (d) neurons upon odour exposure and removal in mock, aversively and sexually conditioned males. Shaded regions indicate S.E.M. Non-parametric ANOVA was used to compared conditions. n.s. no statistically significant difference p≥0.05. 32 neurons were analysed for mock, 29 for aversive, and 37 for sexual conditioning for AVB. 21 neurons were analysed for mock, 28 for aversive, and 70 for sexual conditioning for MCM. At least three individual experiments were done for each condition. In d, frames with distorted fluorescent artifacts have been removed.

Fig. 5: Two parallel memories are formed during sexual conditioning. a, Schematic representation of two proposed models for how punishment and reward are integrated during sexual conditioning. **b,** Average baseline-adjusted traces of GCaMP6f/RFP fluorescence ratios in dorsal nerve ring (nr$_d$), ventral nerve ring (nr$_v$) and loop domains of RIA interneurons upon odour addition and removal after different conditioning protocols. Turquoise rectangles frame the odour stimulation step in which mock-conditioned animals show adaptation. Non-parametric ANOVA was used to compare the responses of the 3 RIA domains between conditions during the second odor exposure (70-75 seconds). * p<0,01; n.s. no statistically significant difference p≥0.05. 32 neurons were analysed for mock, 23 for aversive, and 24 for sexual conditioning for RIA nrd. 26 neurons were analysed for mock, 24 for aversive, and 31 for sexual conditioning for RIA nrv. 28 neurons were analysed for mock,23 for aversive, and 26 for sexual conditioning for RIA loop. **c,** AIB activity**.** Left, average baseline-adjusted traces of GCaMP6f/RFP fluorescence ratios in AIB neurons. Only neurons with low activity (normalized fluorescence R- Rmin/Rmax <0.5) before odour removal were selected. 75 neurons were analysed for mock, 42 for aversive, and 48 for sexual conditioning. Middle, maximum activity in AIB neurons during the period of odour removal (60 sec). Each dot

represents one neuron. Average is indicated with a black line. Non-parametric ANOVA test was used to compare conditions. n. s. no statistically significant difference $p \geq 0.05$. Right panel, proportion of AIB neurons that became activated at each time point during odour removal. Non-parametric ANOVA test was used to compare conditions. *** $p < 0.0001$. **d,** RIM activity**.** Left, average baseline-adjusted traces of GCaMP6f/RFP fluorescence ratios in the axon of RIM neurons. Only neurons with low activity (normalized fluorescence R- Rmin/Rmax <0.5) before odour removal were selected. 36 neurons were analysed for mock, 29 for aversive, and 40 for sexual conditioning. Middle, maximum activity in the axon of RIM neurons during the period of odour removal (60 sec). Each dot represents one neuron. Black lines represent the average. Non-parametric ANOVA test was used to compare conditions. n. s. no statistically significant difference $p \geq 0.05$. Right panel, proportion of RIM neurons that became activated at each time point during odour removal. Non-parametric ANOVA test was used to compare conditions. *** $p < 0.0001$, n. s. no statistically significant difference $p \geq 0.05$. For b- e, at least three independent experiments were done for each condition. **e,** Left, images of EGL4::GFP localization in the cytoplasm and the nucleous of AWC neurons. Dashed lines indicate the edge of the AWC sensory neuron. Middle, percentage of animals with nuclear and cytoplasmic EGL-4 in AWC after being exposed to the different conditions indicated in the legend. χ^2 test with Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons was used to compare conditions. Right panel, chemotaxis score of animals carrying the *odr-3::egl-4::gfp* transgene. Each empty circle within violin plots represents the chemotaxis score of an individual animal. The mode is indicated with a white cross. χ^2 for trend analysis and Bonferroni correction was used to compare the frequency distribution of values of each group between conditions. Black asterisks show statistically significant difference between different types of conditioning. *** $p < 0.0001$; n. s. no statistically significant difference $p \geq 0.05$.

Fig. 6 Sexual conditioning changes the neural representation of odour in AIY neurons in a PDF-1-dependent manner. **a**, Heatmaps of normalized GCaMP6f/RFP fluorescence ratios (R-R_{min})/R_{max}) in the axon of AIY interneurons upon odour exposure after conditioning in *wild type* and *pdf-1* mutants. Each row represents an individual neuron. Neurons are sorted according to time to maximum activation. Type 2 responses are indicated in the sexual conditioning group, where they are most evident. **b,** average normalised traces of GCaMP6f/RFP fluorescence ratios (R-R_{min})/R_{max}) in the axons of AIY neurons. Type 1 and type 2 responses have been plotted separately for each condition and their frequency indicated. 29 neurons were analysed for mock, 24 for aversive, and 75 for sexual conditioning for AIY *wild type*. 35 neurons were analysed for mock, 36 for aversive, and 50 for sexual conditioning for AIY *pdf-1(tm1996)*. **c**, Proportion of type 2 responses in the axons of AIY neurons in *wild type* and *pdf-1 (tm1996)* mutants after conditioning. χ^2 test was used to compare groups. ** $p < 0.001$. At least 3 independent experiments were performed for each group.

Fig.1

Fig.2

a

P_Distal   *pdfr-1*   P_Proximal   3' UTR

b

P proximal → *pdfr-1 (cDNA (b+d))*

PVQ	PQR	URY
AVF	PHA	OLL
RIF	AVD	RMED/V
PVC	URX	TRN

Barrios *et al.,* 2012

P distal → *sl2::gfp* — *pdfr-1 (cDNA d)*

| RIM | RIA |
| AIY | Others |

Flavel *et al.,* 2013

+ Cell-specific Cre

P distal → *pdfr-1 (cDNA d)* — *sl2::gfp*

▶ Lox2272
▷ LoxP

c

- mock conditioning
- aversive conditioning
- sexual conditioning

Chemotaxis score

n=35   38   27   28   35   50   37   46   33

wt   *pdfr-1 (tm4457)*

Ex[Pprox::pdfr1 (cDNA) isoB+ iso D]   −   −   +

d

- mock conditioning
- aversive conditioning
- sexual conditioning

Chemotaxis score

n= 131   141   129   143   143   117   38   45   36   103   89   99

wt   *pdfr-1 (tm4457)*

Rescue		None	RIM, RIA, AIY + others	RIM, RIA, AIY
Is [Pd::flox::*pdfr-1* (cDNA iso d):sl2::*gfp*::flox]	—	+	+	+
Is [*rab-3::cre*]	—	−	+	−
Ex [*tdc-1, grl-3, ttx3::cre*]	—	−	−	+

Fig.4

a

b

t₀ Conditioning t₃hrs CaMPARI Photoconversion

405nm blue light (2')

Food	-	-
odour	+	+
mates	-	+

Aversive conditioning
Sexual conditioning

c

Mock conditioning
Aversive conditioning
Sexual conditioning

AVB

Odour ON Odour OFF Odour ON Odour OFF

n.s.

d

Mock conditioning
Aversive conditioning
Sexual conditioning

MCM

Odour ON Odour OFF Odour ON Odour OFF

n.s.

Fig.5

a

Model I: Only one memory is formed

Aversive memory — Appetitive memory → Output **Attraction**

Model II: Two memories are formed

Aversive memory → Output Repulsion

Appetitive memory → Output **Attraction**

b

Mock conditioning | Aversive conditioning | Sexual conditioning

RIA_{nrD} — Dorsal axonal domain
RIA_{nrV} — Ventral axonal domain
RIA_{Loop} — Loop axonal domain

c

AIB

Mock conditioning | Aversive conditioning | Sexual conditioning

d

RIM

Mock conditioning | Aversive conditioning | Sexual conditioning

e

AWC — Cytoplasmic EGL-4 | Nuclear EGL-4 — EGL-4::GFP

EGL-4 localization

Cytoplasmic | Nuclear

exposed to odour on food
mock conditioning
aversive conditioning
sexual conditioning

n= 61 52 63 50

Behaviour

n= 47 60 98 81

Fig.6

a

Mock conditioning — Odour ON / Odour OFF — $\Delta R/R_{max}$ — Time (s) — 0 10 40 70

Aversive conditioning — Odour ON / Odour OFF — $\Delta R/R_{max}$ — Time (s) — 0 10 40 70

Sexual conditioning — Odour ON / Odour OFF — $\Delta R/R_{max}$ — Type 2 — Time (s) — 0 10 40 70

Sexual conditioning *pdf-1(tm1996)* — Odour ON / Odour OFF — $\Delta R/R_{max}$ — Time (s) — 0 10 40 70

b

Mock conditioning — Odour ON / Odour OFF — Type 1 / Type 2 — 21% / 79% — AIY (*wt*) — $\Delta R/R_{max}$ — Time (s)

Aversive conditioning — Odour ON / Odour OFF — Type 1 / Type 2 — 83% / 17% — AIY (*wt*) — $\Delta R/R_{max}$ — Time (s)

Sexual conditioning — Odour ON / Odour OFF — Type 1 / Type 2 — 61% / 39% — AIY (*wt*) — $\Delta R/R_{max}$ — Time (s)

Sexual conditioning — Odour ON / Odour OFF — Type 1 / Type 2 — 90% / 10% — AIY *pdf-1(tm1996)* — $\Delta R/R_{max}$ — Time (s)

c

% AIY Type 2 responses

**

Conditioning: Mock (*wt*), Aversive (*wt*), Sexual (*wt*), Sexual (*pdf-1(tm1996)*)