RNA conformation and metal ion coordination in the nonenzymatic primer extension reaction center

Shriyaa Mittal[†,‡], *Collin Nisler*[||], *Jack W. Szostak*[*,†,‡,§,||]

[†]*Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Department of Molecular Biology, and Center for Computational and Integrative Biology, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA, 02114 USA;* [‡]*Department of Genetics, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, 02115 USA;* [§]*Department of Chemistry and Chemical Biology, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA, 02138 USA;* [||]*Department of Chemistry, University of Chicago, Chicago, IL, 60637 USA*

ABSTRACT

Nonenzymatic template-directed RNA primer extension with 2-aminoimidazole activated nucleotides begins with the generation of an imidazolium-bridged dinucleotide intermediate that binds to the template adjacent to the primer. Crystal structures have illuminated the overall conformation of the primer/template/bridged-dinucleotide complex, but critical aspects of the structure remain unseen or unresolved. Most significantly the catalytic metal ion has not been visualized, and its coordination to functional groups in the reaction center remains unknown. In addition, the orientation of the imidazolium moiety of the bridged dinucleotide is unresolved, and static crystal structures do not provide insight into the dynamic aspects of catalysis. To address these questions, we performed atomistic molecular dynamics simulations of primer/template/bridged-dinucleotide/helper-oligonucleotide complexes. Our simulations suggest that one particular orientation of the imidazolium moiety of the bridged dinucleotide is likely to be more favorable for the reaction. Additionally, Mg^{2+} ions are known to play an important role in the catalysis of RNA copying chemistry. We have examined potential Mg^{2+} contacts with multiple oxygen atoms in the reaction center. Our simulations suggest a preferred coordination of the catalytic Mg^{2+} between O3′ of the terminal primer nucleotide and one of the non-bridging oxygens of the reactive phosphate of the imidazolium-bridged dinucleotide. We also observe significant stabilization of the geometry of the reaction center in this preferred coordination of the catalytic Mg^{2+}. Our simulations suggest that the catalytic metal ion plays an important role in overcoming electrostatic repulsion between a deprotonated O3′ and the incoming phosphate of the bridged dinucleotide.

INTRODUCTION

Nonenzymatic primer extension with 2-aminoimidazole activated monomers has been extensively used as a model to explore prebiotic template copying. Two RNA strands, one acting as the primer and the other as the template, first anneal to form a duplex with a 5′-overhang. Nonenzymatic RNA primer extension then involves two chemical steps. First, two 2-aminoimidazole activated monomers react with each other to form an imidazolium-bridged dinucleotide[1,2] which binds to the 5′-overhang adjacent to the primer. In the second step, the 3′-hydroxyl of the terminal primer attacks the adjacent phosphate of the bridged dinucleotide (Figure 1A, B), leading to the formation of a new phosphodiester bond and displacing an activated nucleotide as the leaving group. Hence the primer is extended one nucleotide at a time even though the intermediate is a dinucleotide. Previous studies have shown that the primer 3′-hydroxyl is deprotonated before the transition state[3], consistent with an essential role for the catalytic Mg^{2+} ion in lowering the p*Ka* of the 3′-hydroxyl by inner-sphere coordination with $3'O^-$.

Our understanding of nonenzymatic primer extension has benefited greatly from high resolution crystal structures of RNA primer/template complexes with a bound bridged dinucleotide, which have shown that a 2-aminoimidazolium-bridged guanosine dinucleotide (G*G) is bound to the template through two canonical Watson-Crick base pairs[4]. A well-ordered G*G bridged dinucleotide was observed next to the terminal primer nucleotide with a 4.6 Å distance between the 3′-hydroxyl and the reactive phosphate of the nucleotide in the +1 position. However, this structural study was unable to resolve the orientation of the 2-aminoimidazolium group, i.e. whether the 2-NH_2-Im group is pointing towards the major groove or the minor groove of the

RNA duplex. The orientation of the 2-aminoimidazolium group affects the O3′-P distance and angle of attack, which is likely to affect the rate of the primer extension reaction and the regioselectivity of the reaction product. In addition, the catalytic Mg^{2+} ion enhances the rate of primer extension by over 300-fold, but its binding to the reaction center is extremely weak, with an apparent K_d of > 100 mM depending on the pH. As a result, none of the crystal structures determined to date have captured Mg^{2+} in its catalytic binding site.

Additionally, while crystal structures have provided a three-dimensional view into the mechanism of primer extension, they can not provide insights into structural fluctuations that may occur in solution. Structures solved to date have been determined using constructs in which critical template residues are restricted to a single sugar conformation using conformationally locked nucleotides. Furthermore, phosphodiester bond formation involves a decrease in the O3′-P distance of about 3 Å. Understanding how this occurs will require an appreciation of the dynamic nature of the primer extension reaction site.

Divalent cations, especially Mg^{2+}, play an essential role in stabilizing RNA molecules in their folded states, in which the negatively charged phosphate groups of the backbone are in close proximity[5,6]. Mg^{2+} ions also play vital roles in ribozyme catalysis by stabilizing the leaving group, activating the nucleophile, and coordinating non-bridging phosphate oxygens[7]. Furthermore, biochemical and kinetic studies performed in our laboratory have shown that the rate of primer extension is enhanced by over two orders of magnitude by the catalytic Mg^{2+} ion. Multiple possible modes of metal ion interaction with RNA have previously been categorized as[8] free, condensed, glassy, and chelated. Of these, the weaker interactions are likely to play a role in stabilization of the primer/template/bridged-dinucleotide complex. The stronger and more well defined inner-sphere interactions are more likely to catalyze primer extension by favoring deprotonation of the 3′-hydroxyl and formation of the metal-alkoxide nucleophile[9], and by coordination with a non-bridging phosphate oxygen of the bridged dinucleotide. Such a bridging interaction could decrease electrostatic repulsion between the alkoxide nucleophile and the phosphate being attacked, thereby decreasing the O3′-P distance. Coordination with a non-bridging phosphate oxygen could also make the phosphorous more electrophilic.

Computational studies involving molecular dynamics (MD) simulations have played a key role in understanding RNA structure, dynamics, and functions involved in processes at various lengths and timescales[10] such as base-pair opening[11], sugar puckering and bond rotations[12], dynamics of tetraloop structures[13], ion binding[14,15], the mechanistic role of metal ions[16,17] and riboswitch conformational changes[18]. However, no study to date has demonstrated the dynamic and mechanistic role of Mg^{2+} in non-enzymatic primer extension.

In this work, we use MD simulations to explore the role of the catalytic metal ion through its effects on the structure and dynamics of the RNA primer/template/bridged-intermediate complex. Our simulations provide an atomistic perspective of the pre-catalytic Mg^{2+}-free state and the catalytically competent Mg^{2+}-bound ground state, and have helped to predict the metal ion coordination state that is most favorable for primer extension. Mechanistic and structural insights into how the metal ion stabilizes the catalytically competent structure may facilitate further improvements in the efficiency, extent, and accuracy of nonenzymatic primer extension.

METHODS

A computational model of a 12 base-pair RNA duplex (5′-GGAUG G*G GUAGG-3′/5′-CCUACCCCAUCC-3′) was generated using the nab scripting language[19], where G*G represents the imidazolium-bridged diguanosine intermediate that is bound to the -CC- template nucleotides (Figure 1A-C). We chose a diguanosine bridged dinucleotide and guanosine residues to flank the bridged dinucleotide on both sides to mimic the sequence used for crystallographic studies[4]. The sequence design includes G-C terminal base pairs to stabilize the termini of the RNA duplex. The G*G bridged dinucleotide was placed within the RNA duplex by aligning the generated RNA duplex model used in our work with a previously solved crystal structure (PDB ID: 6C8E)[4]. These structures were aligned using Tcl scripting in VMD[20]. A five-nucleotide oligomer downstream of the bridged dinucleotide acts as a helper oligonucleotide. Downstream helper oligonucleotides have been previously suggested to contribute to primer extension by favoring a pre-organized reactive conformation of the primer-template-intermediate complex[21,22] and also by stabilizing the RNA duplex.

In each MD simulation the RNA complex was placed in a cubic periodic box with at least 15 Å to the box boundaries and was neutralized by adding magnesium ions and a further 0.15 M $MgCl_2$ in the solvent. The standard CHARMM36[23,24] force field was used to represent the nucleic acid and ions. The force field parameters of the imidazolium-bridged dinucleotide were developed on the basis of the CHARMM General Force Field (CGenFF)[25] through analogy to existing parameters. All oligonucleotide strands are capped with 5TER and 3TER residues within psfgen on the 5′- and 3′-end respectively, except in the case of the unprotonated 3′-end of the primer strand where after removal of the hydrogen, charge was distributed to the 3′ oxygen and neighboring atoms in a manner similar to what has been done previously[26]. The TIP3P water model was used to represent explicit water molecules.

The NAMD 2.14 program[27] was used to perform molecular dynamics simulations. Nonbonded interactions were smoothly switched off at 10-12 Å and long-range interactions were calculated using the Particle-Mesh Ewald (PME) method. For all simulation steps, bond distances involving hydrogen atoms were fixed using the SHAKE algorithm. Minimization was done for 10,000 steps followed by 250 ps equilibration at 300 K, followed by production MD runs. Production runs were performed in the *NpT* ensemble at 1 atm using a hybrid Nosé-Hoover Langevin piston method, and temperature was controlled using Langevin dynamics with a damping coefficient of $\gamma = 1$. Distances between O3′-P and O2′-P were restrained to distances observed in the crystal structure (PDB ID: 6C8E)[4]- 4.6 Å and 6.5 Å when the 2-NH_2-Im group of the imidazolium bridge faces the major groove and 4.6 Å and 6.0 Å when the 2-NH_2-Im group of the imidazolium bridge faces the minor groove - for the first 5 ns of the production runs. This initial simulation stage was discarded, and the following 200 ns was used for all analysis. Simulations were run with a 2 fs timestep, and coordinates were saved every 10 ps.

While the above simulations contained multiple Mg^{2+} ions in the bulk solvent, we did not see a metal ion spontaneously form close contacts with the oxygen atoms in the reaction center. Therefore, to place a Mg^{2+} ion in the reaction center, we extracted frames with the smallest O3′-P distance from our simulations without Mg^{2+} and then introduced a Mg^{2+} ion within 2 Å of the

O3′-atom and either the R_P or S_P oxygen atoms on the reactive phosphate of the bridged dinucleotide using Packmol[28].

We performed five replicates for all MD systems yielding a total of 1 µs simulation time for each system. Overall we analyzed ~7 µs of simulation data in the current work. To prevent base-pair fraying during the simulations, we applied weak restraints on the distances d(N4,O6), d(N3,N1), and d(O2,N2) on the terminal G-C base pairs using the NAMD collective variables module[29]. Canonical RNA geometries were also maintained by applying weak restraints between the C1′ atoms of the primer/template and helper/template nucleotides two nucleotides upstream and downstream of the nicked backbone.

The structural analysis of the MD trajectories was performed using CPPTraj V5.1.0[30], MDTraj 1.9.4[31], and VMD[20]. Root mean-squared deviation (RMSD) was calculated by superposing the simulation frame onto the first frame of the simulation trajectories prior to minimization. RMSD values were calculated for all nucleic acid atoms and bridged dinucleotide atoms (except hydrogen atoms). Sugar puckering was calculated from the five torsion angles of the five-membered sugar ring. The torsion angles were converted to the pseudorotation phase angle and amplitude parameters based on the Altona-Sundaralingam definition[32]. Each point on the circular histogram plots corresponds to a value of the phase angle (0 - 360°) moving clockwise from a vertical value of 0° and the amplitude of the pucker (0 - 70°) which increases radially from the center of the plot. Ribose rings avoid a planar conformation and are usually present in the C2′-endo or C3′-endo conformation.

Control simulations were performed on the same major groove-facing configuration system as above starting from the same initial coordinates, using the AMBER OL3 force field[33] to simulate the RNA duplex primer, template, and helper nucleotides. Parameters were converted to AMBER using the CHARMM-GUI Force Field Converter[34,35], and simulations were run using NAMD 2.14 using the TIP3P explicit model of water and 12-6-4 ion force field. Bonded parameters for the imidazolium-bridged dinucleotide, including bonds, angles, and dihedrals, were taken from those generated in CGenFF. Nonbonded parameters for the imidazolium group were generated using OpenFF, while OL3 parameters were used to represent the remaining non-bonded terms for the bases of the imidazolium-bridged dinucleotide. The final system was minimized for 10,000 steps, followed by 250 ps of equilibration in which the nucleic acid backbone heavy atoms were constrained with a force constant of 1 kcal mol^{-1} $Å^{-2}$ and non-backbone nucleotide heavy atoms were constrained with a force constant of 0.5 kcal mol^{-1} $Å^{-2}$. Production MD was performed in the *NpT* ensemble at 1 atm using a hybrid Nosé-Hoover Langevin piston method. Simulation parameters, including temperature and pressure control, PME, hydrogen atom constraints, and timestep were implemented exactly as above, except for a 1-4 scaling term of 0.833333, a cutoff of 9 Å, and rigid Tolerance of 0.0005, as suggested by NAMD developers when using the AMBER force field (ks.uiuc.edu). Analysis of the control simulation was performed identically as described above.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Effect of protonation state of the primer 3′ hydroxyl in the absence of Mg^{2+}

Previous work suggests that the active nucleophilic species in nonenzymatic primer extension is a 3′-alkoxide that is generated with the aid of a Mg^{2+} ion[9]. In principle O3′ could be deprotonated by proton transfer to a Mg^{2+} coordinated to 5 water molecules and a hydroxide ion, such that O3′ would not be inner-sphere coordinated to the Mg^{2+} ion. Such an alkoxide would be more nucleophilic than a directly metal coordinated alkoxide, and much more nucleophilic than a protonated 3′-OH[7]. To provide atomistic insight into the dynamics of the ground state and structural implications of a deprotonated O3′, we compared MD simulations in which O3′ was a protonated 3′-OH vs. an O3′ alkoxide, both in the absence of Mg^{2+}.

We first carried out simulations with the conformation of the bridged dinucleotide such that the 2-amino group of the 2-aminoimidazolium was hydrogen bonded with the R_P oxygen atoms of the flanking phosphates (2-NH_2-Im:R_P, Figure 1D), referred to as the major groove-facing conformation in Zhang et al.[4]. Overall, the unrestrained MD simulations show low root-mean-square deviation (RMSD) with respect to the starting conformation indicating excellent maintenance of the double helical structure (Figure S1 A, B). The higher RMSD of the reaction center when O3′ is deprotonated indicates that the deprotonated species makes the reaction center slightly more dynamic (Figure S1 C, D).

To further characterize the reaction center and understand the origin of the increased flexibility of the reaction center in the deprotonated case, we compared the distance between the O3′ atom of the terminal primer nucleotide and the P of the adjacent phosphate of the bridged dinucleotide (Figure 1D). Shorter distances, which would favor the primer extension reaction, are observed when O3′ is protonated, while the median distance increases from 3.7 Å to 5.3 Å in the deprotonated case (Figure 1E). In our most recent crystal structure (PDB: 6C8E[4]), the corresponding distance is 4.6 Å. Crystal structures solved with G(5')ppp(5')G – an analog of the imidazolium bridged dinucleotide – in the absence of helper oligos (PDB: 5UED[36]) show the distance between O3′ and the nearest phosphorous atom to be 4.1 Å. In the presence of helper oligos (PDB: 6AZ4[22]) the corresponding distance is significantly shorter, 3.7 Å. Our protonated O3′-P distances lie within the range of those observed in these crystal structures, but this key distance increases significantly when the 3′-hydroxyl is deprotonated.

To examine the effect of the protonation state of the 3′-hydroxyl on the ribose conformation, we calculated the pseudorotation angles of the ribose sugar of the terminal primer nucleotide. Figure S2 shows the simulated conformational ensemble projected on a circular plot where the phase angle increases clockwise from a vertical value of 0° and the puckering amplitude increases radially from the center. The resulting probability density plot shows that the most prominent conformation observed is the C3′-endo for both protonated and deprotonated O3′ simulations. During our MD runs, the ribose sugar exhibits reversible conformational switches to the C2′-endo conformation (Figure 2C, D). As seen in Figure S2, this conformational change from C3′-endo to C2′-endo occurs through the O4′-endo conformation. The C2′-endo conformation is observed for longer periods in the deprotonated case and the time spent in this conformation increases from 3.5% when O3′ is protonated to 30% when O3′ is deprotonated. In contrast, the terminal primer nucleotide sugars in the crystal structure (PDB: 6C8E[4]) are all in the C3′-endo conformation (Figure S2A).

During the simulation runs, periods of high O3′-P distance are highly correlated with the primer ribose conformation switch to C2′-endo (Figures 2, S3, and S4), in both protonated and deprotonated cases. It is unclear whether the increase in distance is a cause or consequence of the sugar conformation switch. Nevertheless, the correlation explains why modified primer 3′-nucleotides with sugars with an increased preference for the C3′-endo conformation are better at primer extension[3,37,38] because this conformation is associated with shorter distances of attack. The larger O3′-P distances and the prevalence of the C2′-endo sugar conformation observed when O3′ is deprotonated in our simulations suggest that deprotonation without a bound Mg^{2+} (while highly unlikely to occur) would be anti-catalytic. This observation is consistent with the possibility that the deprotonated O3′ primer is stabilized by coordination with a metal ion, which may act to overcome the electrostatic repulsion between the deprotonated O3′ and the phosphate it is attacking.

Orientation of the 2-aminoimidazole affects the distance and angle of attack

To examine the effect of the orientation of the imidazolium moiety of the bridged dinucleotide on the geometry of the reaction center, we performed MD simulations beginning with the minor groove-facing conformation, in which the imidazolium 2-NH_2-Im group forms hydrogen bonds with the S_P oxygens of the flanking phosphates (2-NH_2-Im:S_P, Figure 3A). As before, we performed these simulations for both the protonated and deprotonated states of the primer 3′ hydroxyl. As in the major groove-facing orientation discussed above, the minor groove-facing orientation simulations show a smaller O3′-P distance of attack when O3′ is protonated (Figure 3B). When O3′ is deprotonated, the median distance increases by 1.7 Å, similar to the 1.6 Å increase seen in the major groove-facing simulations discussed previously.

The minor groove-facing orientation of the imidazolium group has relatively minor effects on the ribose pucker of the 3′-primer nucleotide. In both protonation states, the sugar is predominantly in the C3′-endo conformation (Figure S5). However, when O3′ is deprotonated, the simulations show a slightly higher probability of a C3′-endo conformation as opposed to when O3′ is protonated, which is opposite to the trends observed for the major groove-facing imidazolium state (comparing Figures S2 and S5). The sugar conformation is also not as well correlated with the O3′-P distance. We suggest that the increased distance between the primer and the bridged dinucleotide causes the sugar pucker and the O3′-P distance to vary independently of each other. The first sugar of the bridged dinucleotide (G1) is predominantly C3′-endo for the simulations with a major groove-facing imidazolium, but predominantly C2′-endo for the minor-grove facing imidazolium orientation (Figure S6A). In contrast the ribose of the second sugar (G2) is always predominantly C3′-endo (Figure S6B). Both G1 and G2 sugars in crystal structures with the G*G bridged dinucleotide and GpppG are in the C3′-endo conformation. Overall, in the four simulation systems discussed so far, the maximum probability for the C3′-endo sugar conformation for all three ribose sugars is seen when the primer O3′ is protonated and the bridged dinucleotide is in the major groove-facing orientation.

The orientation of the imidazolium moiety also affects the angle of attack between the 3′-hydroxyl and the P-N bond to be broken during phosphodiester bond formation. A more favorable angle for in-line attack (closer to 180°) is primarily seen in the major groove-facing conformations (Figure 3C). This is in contrast with the crystal structures, where the angles of attack are 132° and 170° for the major groove and minor groove-facing conformations,

respectively. While the cause of this discrepancy is unclear, it may reflect an artifact of fitting both possible orientations into the same density in the crystal structures, while MD simulations provide insights into the relaxed conformations explored during the time course of the simulations.

The O3′-P distance, the terminal primer sugar pucker, and the angle of attack are key structural characteristics of the primer extension reaction center. We observe a shorter O3′-P distance, increased C3′-endo conformation of the terminal primer sugar, and a higher than ~150° angle of attack when the imidazolium 2-NH_2-Im faces the major groove of the RNA duplex. All three parameters shift towards less catalytically favorable values when the 2-NH_2-Im faces the minor groove. Therefore, while both conformations are likely to occur, we suggest that the major groove-facing conformation of the bridged dinucleotide imidazolium is more favorable for phosphodiester bond formation.

Evidence suggests that the major groove-facing conformation is favored through coordination with Mg^{2+}. In a recently solved crystal structure (PDB ID: 6C8D[4]) in which two template-bound 2′-deoxyguanosine-5′-monophosphate monomers sit next to the primer in an RNA duplex, a Mg^{2+} ion (Sr^{2+} ion in PDB ID: 6CAB[4]) forms water-mediated contacts with the terminal nucleobase, and through hydrogen bonds with the N7 atoms of the G1 and G2 template-bound monomers. Both inner-sphere and outer-sphere interactions of Mg^{2+} with the N7 atom of guanosine have been observed in a large number of solved RNA crystal structures[39], although this interaction has not yet been identified in any solved crystal structures of the primer extension complex with the bridged dinucleotide. Interestingly, we observe water-mediated interactions between a Mg^{2+} ion and N7 of the first guanosine (G1) of the bridged dinucleotide, and with its O6 atom. Additionally, the same metal ion forms water-mediated interactions with the primer 3′ guanosine and the G2 nucleobase of bridged dinucleotide which supports its previously suggested role in better copying chemistry of G*G as opposed to other nucleobases. Moreover, it is possible that this Mg^{2+} may also form a contact with the imidazolium 2-NH_2-Im when it is in the major groove-facing conformation (Figure S7A). This imidazolium-ion contact is mediated through water molecules and does not occur when the imidazolium faces the minor groove (Figure S7B). Hence, we posit that a secondary metal ion may help to pre-organize the catalytically favorable major groove-facing conformation of the bridged dinucleotide.

To determine the extent to which the observed dynamics are dependent on the choice of force field, a 100 ns equilibration simulation without constraints was performed on the major groove-facing system using the AMBER force field (see methods for simulation details). Compared to the first 100 ns of the first replicate simulated using the CHARMM force field on the same major groove-facing configuration, the AMBER system exhibited similar terminal primer sugar puckering (Figure S8A-C), RMSD of nucleic acid heavy atoms (Figure S8D), O3′-P distance (Figure S8E-F), O-P-N attack angle (Figure S8G), and stability in WC base pairing in sites flanking the imidazolium-bridged dinucleotide (Figure S8H). While some variability is observed in the degree of fluctuation between systems, the probability distributions show similar mean values (Figure S8D-F, insets). Additionally, similar variability is observed between replicates using the CHARMM force field (Figure S3, first and second rows). Finally, the terminal primer sugar in the CHARMM simulation does exhibit a greater propensity for switching to a C2′-endo conformation compared to the AMBER simulation. However, this simulation replicate exhibited the highest conformational dynamics in the terminal primer sugar of all the CHARMM

simulation replicates (Figure S3), suggesting the sugar conformational ensemble seen in the AMBER simulation is comparable to the CHARMM simulations in aggregate (Figure S2A). Overall, these results suggest that the dynamic effects presented in previous and subsequent simulations are the result of differences in the systems being investigated and not the simulation methods used.

Effects of Mg^{2+} coordinated by the primer O3′ and the adjacent phosphate of the bridged dinucleotide

In the simulations discussed above, we never saw a Mg^{2+} ion move from the bulk solvent into the reaction center to form inner-sphere contacts with one or more oxygen atoms, likely due to the expected long timescales of this ligand exchange reaction. To identify potentially important interactions of a Mg^{2+} ion in the reaction center, we therefore introduced a Mg^{2+} ion with inner-sphere interactions with a deprotonated O3′ and either the S_P or R_P oxygen atoms on the reactive phosphate of the bridged dinucleotide. These systems will be referred to as 3′O$^-$ Mg^{2+}@S_P, 2-NH_2-Im:R_P (Figure 4A) and 3′O$^-$ Mg^{2+}@R_P, 2-NH_2-Im:R_P (Figure 4B), respectively. We also performed simulations with the Mg^{2+} coordinated with the R_P oxygen when the 2-NH_2-Im faces the minor groove (3′O$^-$ Mg^{2+}@R_P, 2-NH_2-Im:S_P) (Figure 4C). When we attempted to start our MD simulations in the remaining 3′O$^-$ Mg^{2+}@S_P, 2-NH_2-Im:S_P arrangement, the Mg^{2+} ion spontaneously switched its coordination from the S_P to the R_P oxygen. In all replicates of our three Mg^{2+} bound simulations the ion forms stable bridging interactions between the terminal primer nucleotide and the bridged dinucleotide.

Comparison of the 3′O$^-$ Mg^{2+}@S_P, 2-NH_2-Im:R_P simulation (yellow curve in Figure 4D) with the corresponding protonated simulation ensemble with no Mg^{2+} ion (dark blue curve in Figure 4D) shows similar unimodal O3′-P distance distributions with the same median of 3.7 Å. The O3′-P distances are slightly larger for the 3′O$^-$ Mg^{2+}@R_P, 2-NH_2-Im:R_P simulation (purple curve in Figure 4D) and the median increases to 4.0 Å. The 0.3 Å increase in the median O3′-P distance is too small to indicate that Mg^{2+} coordination with one or the other of the phosphate oxygen atoms would significantly favor the primer extension reaction. However, in the latter case where both the 2-NH_2-Im and the Mg^{2+} are coordinated with the same oxygen atom, the reaction might be affected for other reasons, such as effects on the nucleophilicity of the 3′O$^-$ or the electrophilicity of the reactive phosphorous atom.

Our previous simulations in the absence of bound Mg^{2+} showed that deprotonation of the 3′-hydroxyl is correlated with longer O3′-P distances. However, when there is a Mg^{2+} ion bridging the primer and the phosphate, the O3′-P distances in our MD simulations greatly decrease, with the median distance decreasing from 5.7 Å (red curve in Figure 3B) to 3.9 Å (green curve in Figure 4D). Hence, although deprotonation was anti-catalytic in the absence of Mg^{2+}, a bridging Mg^{2+} atom coordinating with the deprotonated O3′ overcomes this effect and plays an important role in preventing the primer and bridged dinucleotide from moving apart from each other. This effect of Mg^{2+} is independent of which of the oxygen atoms of the bridged dinucleotide the Mg^{2+} coordinates with, or the orientation of the imidazolium 2-NH_2-Im.

To further characterize the ground state of the primer/template/intermediate complex we have also examined the terminal primer sugar conformation, angle of attack, and RMSD of the reaction center in the presence of Mg^{2+}. In addition to decreasing the O3′-P distance, the

presence of a bridging Mg^{2+} ion tends to lock the terminal primer nucleotide sugar in the C3′-endo conformation (Figures 4E and S9), which has been shown experimentally to favor primer extension[37,38]. In simulations where the imidazolium 2-NH_2-Im group faces the major groove the G1 sugar pucker is predominantly C3′-endo, but when the imidazolium 2-NH_2-Im group faces the minor groove, the G1 nucleotide sugar pucker conformation shifts toward being C2′-endo (Figure S10A), consistent with our simulations without Mg^{2+} in the reaction center (Figure S6A). In the simulation in which both the Mg^{2+} ion and the imidazolium 2-NH_2, are interacting with the R_P oxygen of the phosphate, the G1 sugar adopts a range of conformations including C4′-exo, C1′-exo, and C2′-endo, with very low probability C3′-endo sugar puckering (Figure S10A). As seen in simulations without Mg^{2+} the sugar pucker of the G2 nucleotide does occasionally adopt the C2′-endo conformation but the C3′-endo conformation shows a larger population density (Figure S10B). Recent work has shown that the primer extension reaction is faster when the bridged dinucleotide sugars are in the C3′-endo conformation[40]. From our simulations, only the 3′O^- w/ Mg^{2+}@S_P, 2-NH_2-Im:R_P system exhibits a primarily C3′-endo conformation for both G1 and G2.

The 3′O^- w/ Mg^{2+}@S_P, 2-NH_2-Im:R_P system also shows a favorable angle for in-line attack (Figure 5A; median value of 148°, yellow curve in Figure 5B) which is comparable to the median value of 150° for the simulations with protonated O3′ without a Mg^{2+} ion (dark blue curve in Figure 5B). All other simulation systems with Mg^{2+} show less favorable angles of attack. Overall, a reaction center organization in which the imidazolium group faces the major groove and the Mg^{2+} ion forms inner-sphere contacts with the S_P oxygen of the reactive phosphate would appear to favor the primer extension reaction. Moreover, a lower RMSD of the base-paired primer/template and helper/template regions (Figure S11A) and the bridged-dinucleotide (Figure S11B) region for the 3′O^- w/ Mg^{2+}@S_P, 2-NH_2-Im:R_P simulations suggest that the presence of the bridging metal ion in the reaction center has a global effect in stabilizing the primer extension complex.

Interestingly, we also observed that when O3′ is deprotonated and no Mg^{2+} is present in the reaction center, the average O2′-P distance is less than the average O3′-P distance, which might suggest an increased probability of forming a 2′-5′ linkage. Previous studies from our laboratory have shown that primer extension by reaction with an imidazolium bridged dinucleotide intermediate increases the proportion of 3′-5′ linkages formed, compared to reaction with an activated monomer[41]. Our results suggest that through its role in stabilizing the conformation of the bridged dinucleotide, the metal ion also prevents the formation of the incorrect 2′-5′ extended product. Furthermore, the predicted favorable metal coordination with the S_P oxygen atom of the bridged dinucleotide (yellow symbols in Figure S12) typically shows a more significant difference between the average O3′-P and O2′-P distances, increasing the likelihood of formation of the 3′-5′ linkage.

The structural features expected for optimal reaction center geometry such as short O3′-P distance, C3′-endo sugar conformations, in-line angle of attack, and 3′-5′ regioselectivity are either absent or not present for extended timescales in the 3′O^- w/ Mg^{2+}@R_P, 2-NH_2-Im:S_P and 3′O^- w/ Mg^{2+}@R_P, 2-NH_2-Im:R_P simulation systems. In the latter case, the hydrogen bond between the 2-NH_2-Im and the R_P oxygen is likely to be weakened due to the Mg^{2+} being coordinated to the same oxygen atom (Figure S13E). Thus Mg^{2+} coordination with the S_P oxygen

and 2-NH_2-Im hydrogen bonded to the R_P oxygen– the major groove-facing orientation - is likely to be the preferred geometry for the preorganization of the reaction center.

CONCLUSION

Understanding the structure and dynamics of the primer extension reaction center is vital for studying the factors leading to rapid[1] and accurate[42] primer extension. In this study, we performed unbiased MD simulations of the primer/template/bridged dinucleotide complex, in the absence or presence of a catalytic Mg^{2+} in the reaction center. We modeled the system with both protonated and deprotonated O3′, and with both likely orientations of the imidazolium moiety of the bridged dinucleotide intermediate. Experimental results show that the 3′-hydroxyl is deprotonated before the transition state, suggesting that inner-sphere coordination with the catalytic Mg^{2+} ion is an essential pre-equilibrium aspect of the reaction. Our MD simulations also support an important role for the bridging Mg^{2+} in overcoming the electrostatic repulsion between the ionized O3′$^-$ and the negatively charged phosphate. In our simulations, the median distance from the primer O3′ to the phosphorous atom of the adjacent phosphate is 3.7 Å in the presence of Mg^{2+} in the reaction center. Along with the organizing role of Mg^{2+} in the reaction center, the preferred major groove-facing conformation of the imidazolium moiety of the bridged dinucleotide is correlated with an increased fraction of the C3′-endo sugar conformation and a higher in-line angle of attack. Our comparison of Mg^{2+} coordination with either of the two non-bridging phosphate oxygen atoms, S_P and R_P, of the bridged dinucleotide strongly suggests that an inner-sphere coordination with the S_P oxygen atom is structurally favorable for the catalytic step. Recent long-timescale simulations suggest that this mode of binding is favored in RNA, where it was shown that the most common divalent cation coordination involved a bridging interaction between backbone O1P oxygen atoms[43]. Our predicted 3′O$^-$ w/ Mg^{2+}@S_P coordination can be tested by phosphorothioate metal rescue experiments[17,44] and X-ray crystallography. Future investigations into alternative divalent metal ions in the reaction center may reveal why Mn^{2+} is a more active catalyst than Mg^{2+} and whether Mg^{2+} can be replaced with other ions such as Fe^{2+} at neutral pH[45,46].

Based on studies of primer extension with modified 3′-terminal sugars, Giurgiu et al. proposed that the primer extension reaction involves an increase in the puckering amplitude of the terminal sugar as the O3′ atom attacks the adjacent phosphorous atom of the bridged dinucleotide[3]. In this work, we have only examined the reaction center in its ground state. Surprisingly, in all but the major groove-facing 3′O$^-$ system, we see a larger puckering amplitude for the configurations with the shortest O3′-P distance, e.g. for the protonated O3′ simulation cases without Mg^{2+} (Figure S14). In the catalytically favorable Mg^{2+} coordination cases, this trend is reversed when Mg^{2+} is bound to the S_p oxygen and the highest puckering amplitudes correlate with the shortest O3′-P distance in the major groove-facing 3′O$^-$ system (Figure S15A). Since modified sugars can significantly impact the rate of the primer extension reaction, future computational studies incorporating sugars such as ANA, DNA, and LNA in the primer/template/bridged dinucleotide complex may reveal the contribution, if any, of increasing sugar pucker amplitude and related structural factors to the rate of the primer extension reaction[3,47–49]. Because transient intermediate conformations cannot be sampled efficiently through conventional MD, alternative biased simulation strategies may provide further insights into the primer extension reaction. In addition, the current study is limited by the choice of force field[10] and does not

consider the subsequent steps on the reaction pathway such as O3′-P bond formation, expulsion of Mg^{2+} from the reaction center, and the structural dynamics of the leaving group, all of which will require quantum mechanical studies using QM/MM techniques.

Our study provides the first visualization of the possible binding sites of the catalytic Mg^{2+} ion in the primer extension reaction center. We predict a specific catalytically favorable coordination of the metal ion within the reaction center. This prediction can be tested by thiol-metal substitution experiments, in combination with conventional and time-resolved X-ray crystallographic studies. We suggest that the catalytic Mg^{2+} ion plays additional roles beyond facilitating deprotonation of the 3′-hydroxyl and increasing the electrophilicity of the reactive phosphorous. We propose that the catalytic Mg^{2+} plays an electrostatic role in overcoming repulsion between the negative charge of the deprotonated O3′ and the phosphate being attacked, and also plays an organizational role in stabilizing the conformation of the bridged dinucleotide and its position relative to the terminal primer nucleotide. Our study also provides the necessary structural information for the evaluation of potential Mg^{2+} chelating agents that could allow template-directed RNA copying to proceed within fatty-acid membrane compartments[50].



Figure 1: (A) Overview of the simulation system. The template is shown in gray, helper oligo in blue, and reacting imidazolium-bridged dinucleotide and primer are colored by atom type. Hydrogen atoms are excluded for clarity. (B) A zoomed in view of (A), showing the attack of the template 3′-hydroxyl on the phosphate of the dinucleotide and subsequent displacement of the activated monomer. (C) Schematic of the RNA complex used in this work. Five nucleotide long primer and helper oligonucleotides sandwich the G*G bridged dinucleotide (shown in blue) and base-pair with the 12 nucleotide template. (D) Structure of the primer extension reaction site, showing the last primer nucleotide and the G*G bridged dinucleotide. Hydrogen atoms are not shown for clarity. The dashed line shows the distance between reacting O3′ and P atoms, and R_p atoms of the backbone phosphates are indicated. (E) Probability distribution of the distance between O3′ and P atoms observed in the 3′-hydroxyl w/o Mg^{2+} (dark blue) and 3′O^- w/o Mg^{2+} (light blue). Dashed lines show median values for the distance distributions. The black dashed line shows the distance value of 4.6 Å in the crystal structure[4].



Figure 2: Correlation of sugar conformation O3′-P distance during MD simulations without Mg^{2+}. (A,B) Molecular figures showing the conformation of the terminal primer sugar in the (A) C3′-endo conformation and (B) C2′-endo conformation. (C, D) Time series of the primer 3′-nucleotide sugar pucker pseudorotation angle for the (C) 3′-hydroxyl and (D) 3′O^- simulation systems, without Mg^{2+} in the reaction center. Sugar pucker angles close to 0° correspond to the C3′-endo sugar conformation and angles close to 180° correspond to the C2′-endo sugar conformation. Time series for cosine of the angle (cos θ) are shown for clarity and angle (θ) values are indicated. (E,F) Time series of the distance between O3′ (primer) and P (bridged dinucleotide) for the (E) 3′-hydroxyl and (F) 3′O^- simulation systems, both without Mg^{2+} in the reaction center. The horizontal dashed lines indicate the crystal structure value. Intervals with larger distance values and C2′-endo sugar conformations are highlighted in gray. For all time series plots, dark traces show the data averaged over a 1 ns window, while the lighter envelope shows the full range of the data recorded at 10 ps time steps in our simulations. All simulation replicates are shown in Figure S3 and S4.



Figure 3: (A) Structure of the primer extension reaction site, showing the last primer nucleotide and the G*G bridged dinucleotide with the imidazolium 2-NH_2-Im pointing towards the minor groove. Hydrogen atoms are not shown for clarity. The dashed line shows the O3′-P distance. (B) Probability distribution of the distance between O3′ and P atoms observed in the four simulation ensembles: major groove-facing 2-NH_2-Im conformations for 3′-hydroxyl w/o Mg^{2+} (dark blue) and 3′O^- w/o Mg^{2+} (light blue) and minor groove-facing conformations for 3′-hydroxyl w/o Mg^{2+} (brown) and 3′O^- w/o Mg^{2+} (red). Colored dashed lines show median values for the distance distributions. The black dashed line shows the crystal structure distance. (C) Probability distribution of the angle of attack, measured using the O3′-P-N atoms, in the four simulation ensembles. Dashed lines show median values for the distributions. The black and gray dashed lines show the crystal structure angle for the major groove and minor groove-facing conformations, respectively.



Figure 4: Representative snapshots showing plausible Mg^{2+} coordination geometry studied through MD simulations, (A) 3′O$^-$ w/ Mg^{2+}@S_P, 2-NH_2-Im:R_P with the template residues visualized in gray, (B) 3′O$^-$ w/ Mg^{2+}@R_P, 2-NH_2-Im:R_P, and (C) 3′O$^-$ w/ Mg^{2+}@R_P, 2-NH_2-Im:S_P. (D) Probability distribution of the distance between O3′ and P atoms observed in the three simulation ensembles with Mg^{2+} in the reaction center. The simulation ensembles are 3′O$^-$ w/ Mg^{2+}@S_P, 2-NH_2-Im:R_P (yellow), 3′O$^-$ w/ Mg^{2+}@R_P, 2-NH_2-Im:R_P (purple), and 3′O$^-$ w/ Mg^{2+}@R_P, 2-NH_2-Im:S_P (green). Distance probability distribution for 3′-hydroxyl w/o Mg^{2+}, 2-NH_2-Im:R_P (dark blue) is shown for comparison. Colored dashed lines show median values for the distance distributions. (E) Circular histogram of pseudorotation angles of the terminal primer nucleotide sugar for the 3′O$^-$ w/ Mg^{2+}@S_P, 2-NH_2-Im:R_P simulation case. All Mg^{2+} coordination geometries with respect to the oxygen atoms are comparable and Figure S16 shows that the O3′-Mg^{2+}-O angles are close to 95° for all Mg^{2+} coordination.



Figure 5: (A) Molecular figure of the 3′O$^-$ w/ Mg^{2+}@S_P, 2-NH_2-Im:R_P system showing the angle of attack measured throughout the simulations. (B) Probability distribution of the angle of attack, measured among O3′-P-N atoms in four simulation ensembles: 3′-hydroxyl w/o Mg^{2+}, 2-NH_2-Im:R_P (dark blue), 3′O$^-$ w/ Mg^{2+}@S_P, 2-NH_2-Im:R_P (yellow), 3′O$^-$ w/ Mg^{2+}@R_P, 2-NH_2-Im:R_P (purple) and 3′O$^-$ w/ Mg^{2+}@R_P, 2-NH_2-Im:S_P (green). Dashed lines show median values for the distributions. The black and gray dashed line shows the crystal structure angle for the major groove and minor groove-facing conformations, respectively.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*Email: jwszostak@uchicago.edu

Author Contributions

The manuscript was written through contributions of all authors. All authors have given approval to the final version of the manuscript.

Funding

This work was supported by the Simons Foundation [grant number 290363 to J.W.S.,]; the National Science Foundation [grant number CHE-1607034 to J.W.S.]. J.W.S. is an Investigator of the Howard Hughes Medical Institute. Funding for open access charge: Howard Hughes Medical Institute.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

Acknowledgements

Portions of this research were conducted on the O2 High Performance Compute Cluster, supported by the Research Computing Group, at Harvard Medical School.
See https://it.hms.harvard.edu/our-services/research-computing for more information. Further simulations were performed using HPC resources on the Midway3 supercomputer at the Research Computing Center at the University of Chicago. We thank Dr. Rafal Szabla for his helpful comments and insights. This work was supported by a grant (290363) from the Simons Foundation to J.W.S. J.W.S. is an Investigator of the Howard Hughes Medical Institute.

REFERENCES

(1) Walton, T.; Szostak, J. W. A Highly Reactive Imidazolium-Bridged Dinucleotide Intermediate in Nonenzymatic RNA Primer Extension. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2016**, *138* (36), 11996–12002. https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.6b07977.

(2) Walton, T.; Pazienza, L.; Szostak, J. W. Template-Directed Catalysis of a Multistep Reaction Pathway for Nonenzymatic RNA Primer Extension. *Biochemistry* **2019**, *58* (6), 755–762. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.biochem.8b01156.

(3) Giurgiu, C.; Fang, Z.; Aitken, H. R. M.; Kim, S. C.; Pazienza, L.; Mittal, S.; Szostak, J. W. Structure–Activity Relationships in Nonenzymatic Template-Directed RNA Synthesis. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2021**, *60* (42), 22925–22932. https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.202109714.

(4) Zhang, W.; Walton, T.; Li, L.; Szostak, J. W. Crystallographic Observation of Nonenzymatic RNA Primer Extension. *eLife* **2018**, *7*, e36422. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.36422.

(5) Brion, P.; Westhof, E. Hierarchy and Dynamics of RNA Folding. *Annu. Rev. Biophys. Biomol. Struct.* **1997**, *26*, 113–137. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.biophys.26.1.113.

(6) *Nucleic Acid-Metal Ion Interactions*; 2008. https://doi.org/10.1039/9781847558763.

(7) Frederiksen, J. K.; Fong, R.; Piccirilli, J. A. Chapter 8. Metal Ions in RNA Catalysis. In *RSC Biomolecular Sciences*; Hud, N. V., Ed.; Royal Society of Chemistry: Cambridge, 2008; pp 260–306. https://doi.org/10.1039/9781847558763-00260.

(8) Bowman, J. C.; Lenz, T. K.; Hud, N. V.; Williams, L. D. Cations in Charge: Magnesium Ions in RNA Folding and Catalysis. *Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol.* **2012**, *22* (3), 262–272. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbi.2012.04.006.

(9) Giurgiu, C.; Wright, T. H.; O'Flaherty, D. K.; Szostak, J. W. A Fluorescent G-Quadruplex Sensor for Chemical RNA Copying. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2018**, *57* (31), 9844–9848. https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201805785.

(10) Šponer, J.; Bussi, G.; Krepl, M.; Banáš, P.; Bottaro, S.; Cunha, R. A.; Gil-Ley, A.; Pinamonti, G.; Poblete, S.; Jurečka, P.; Walter, N. G.; Otyepka, M. RNA Structural Dynamics As Captured by Molecular Simulations: A Comprehensive Overview. *Chem. Rev.* **2018**, *118* (8), 4177–4338. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemrev.7b00427.

(11) Zgarbová, M.; Otyepka, M.; Šponer, J.; Lankaš, F.; Jurečka, P. Base Pair Fraying in Molecular Dynamics Simulations of DNA and RNA. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* **2014**, *10* (8), 3177–3189. https://doi.org/10.1021/ct500120v.

(12) Li, L.; Szostak, J. W. The Free Energy Landscape of Pseudorotation in 3′–5′ and 2′–5′ Linked Nucleic Acids. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2014**, *136* (7), 2858–2865. https://doi.org/10.1021/ja412079b.

(13) Bottaro, S.; Nichols, P. J.; Vögeli, B.; Parrinello, M.; Lindorff-Larsen, K. Integrating NMR and Simulations Reveals Motions in the UUCG Tetraloop. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **2020**, *48* (11), 5839–5848. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkaa399.

(14) Yu, T.; Chen, S.-J. Hexahydrated Mg2+ Binding and Outer-Shell Dehydration on RNA Surface. *Biophys. J.* **2018**, *114* (6), 1274–1284. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpj.2018.01.040.

(15) Ratnasinghe, B. D.; Salsbury, A. M.; Lemkul, J. A. Ion Binding Properties and Dynamics of the Bcl-2 G-Quadruplex Using a Polarizable Force Field. *J. Chem. Inf. Model.* **2020**, *60* (12), 6476–6488. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jcim.0c01064.

(16) Zhang, S.; Stevens, D. R.; Goyal, P.; Bingaman, J. L.; Bevilacqua, P. C.; Hammes-Schiffer, S. Assessing the Potential Effects of Active Site Mg2+ Ions in the GlmS Ribozyme–Cofactor Complex. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **2016**, *7* (19), 3984–3988. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpclett.6b01854.

(17) Ganguly, A.; Weissman, B. P.; Giese, T. J.; Li, N.-S.; Hoshika, S.; Rao, S.; Benner, S. A.; Piccirilli, J. A.; York, D. M. Confluence of Theory and Experiment Reveals the Catalytic Mechanism of the Varkud Satellite Ribozyme. *Nat. Chem.* **2020**, *12* (2), 193–201. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41557-019-0391-x.

(18) *Molecular Dynamics Study of Twister Ribozyme: Role of Mg2+ Ions and the Hydrogen-Bonding Network in the Active Site | Biochemistry*. https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.biochem.6b00203 (accessed 2022-02-15).

(19) Macke, T. J.; Case, D. A. Modeling Unusual Nucleic Acid Structures. *ACS Symp. Ser.* **1998**, *682*, 379–393. https://doi.org/10.1021/BK-1998-0682.CH024.

(20) Humphrey, W.; Dalke, A.; Schulten, K. VMD: Visual Molecular Dynamics. *J. Mol. Graph.* **1996**, *14* (1), 33–38, 27–28. https://doi.org/10.1016/0263-7855(96)00018-5.

(21) Prywes, N.; Blain, J. C.; Del Frate, F.; Szostak, J. W. Nonenzymatic Copying of RNA Templates Containing All Four Letters Is Catalyzed by Activated Oligonucleotides. *eLife* **2016**, *5*, e17756. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.17756.

(22) Zhang, W.; Tam, C. P.; Zhou, L.; Oh, S. S.; Wang, J.; Szostak, J. W. Structural Rationale for the Enhanced Catalysis of Nonenzymatic RNA Primer Extension by a Downstream Oligonucleotide. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2018**, *140* (8), 2829–2840. https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.7b11750.

(23) MacKerell, A. D.; Bashford, D.; Bellott, M.; Dunbrack, R. L.; Evanseck, J. D.; Field, M. J.; Fischer, S.; Gao, J.; Guo, H.; Ha, S.; Joseph-McCarthy, D.; Kuchnir, L.; Kuczera, K.; Lau, F. T. K.; Mattos, C.; Michnick, S.; Ngo, T.; Nguyen, D. T.; Prodhom, B.; Reiher, W. E.; Roux, B.; Schlenkrich, M.; Smith, J. C.; Stote, R.; Straub, J.; Watanabe, M.; Wiórkiewicz-Kuczera, J.; Yin, D.; Karplus, M. All-Atom Empirical Potential for Molecular Modeling and Dynamics Studies of Proteins. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **1998**, *102* (18), 3586–3616. https://doi.org/10.1021/jp973084f.

(24) Denning, E. J.; Priyakumar, U. D.; Nilsson, L.; MacKerell, A. D.; Jr. Impact of 2′-Hydroxyl Sampling on the Conformational Properties of RNA: Update of the CHARMM All-Atom Additive Force Field for RNA. *J. Comput. Chem.* **2011**, *32* (9), 1929. https://doi.org/10.1002/jcc.21777.

(25) Vanommeslaeghe, K.; Hatcher, E.; Acharya, C.; Kundu, S.; Zhong, S.; Shim, J.; Darian, E.; Guvench, O.; Lopes, P.; Vorobyov, I.; Mackerell, A. D. CHARMM General Force Field: A Force Field for Drug-like Molecules Compatible with the CHARMM All-Atom Additive Biological Force Fields. *J. Comput. Chem.* **2010**, *31* (4), 671–690. https://doi.org/10.1002/jcc.21367.

(26) Bignon, E.; Monari, A. Modeling the Enzymatic Mechanism of the SARS-CoV-2 RNA-Dependent RNA Polymerase by DFT/MM-MD: An Unusual Active Site Leading to High Replication Rates. *J. Chem. Inf. Model.* **2022**, *62* (17), 4261–4269. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jcim.2c00802.

(27) Phillips, J. C.; Hardy, D. J.; Maia, J. D. C.; Stone, J. E.; Ribeiro, J. V.; Bernardi, R. C.; Buch, R.; Fiorin, G.; Hénin, J.; Jiang, W.; McGreevy, R.; Melo, M. C. R.; Radak, B. K.; Skeel, R. D.; Singharoy, A.; Wang, Y.; Roux, B.; Aksimentiev, A.; Luthey-Schulten, Z.; Kalé, L. V.; Schulten, K.; Chipot, C.; Tajkhorshid, E. Scalable Molecular Dynamics on CPU and GPU Architectures with NAMD. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2020**, *153* (4), 044130. https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0014475.

(28) Martínez, L.; Andrade, R.; Birgin, E. G.; Martínez, J. M. PACKMOL: A Package for Building Initial Configurations for Molecular Dynamics Simulations. *J. Comput. Chem.* **2009**, *30* (13), 2157–2164. https://doi.org/10.1002/jcc.21224.

(29) Fiorin, G.; Klein, M. L.; Hénin, J. Using Collective Variables to Drive Molecular Dynamics Simulations. *Mol. Phys.* **2013**, *111* (22–23), 3345–3362. https://doi.org/10.1080/00268976.2013.813594.

(30) Roe, D. R.; Cheatham, T. E. PTRAJ and CPPTRAJ: Software for Processing and Analysis of Molecular Dynamics Trajectory Data. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* **2013**, *9* (7), 3084–3095. https://doi.org/10.1021/ct400341p.

(31) McGibbon, R. T.; Beauchamp, K. A.; Harrigan, M. P.; Klein, C.; Swails, J. M.; Hernández, C. X.; Schwantes, C. R.; Wang, L.-P.; Lane, T. J.; Pande, V. S. MDTraj: A Modern Open Library for the Analysis of Molecular Dynamics Trajectories. *Biophys. J.* **2015**, *109* (8), 1528–1532. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpj.2015.08.015.

(32) Altona, C.; Sundaralingam, M. Conformational Analysis of the Sugar Ring in Nucleosides and Nucleotides. New Description Using the Concept of Pseudorotation. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1972**, *94* (23), 8205–8212. https://doi.org/10.1021/ja00778a043.

(33) Zgarbová, M.; Otyepka, M.; Šponer, J.; Mládek, A.; Banáš, P.; Cheatham, T. E.; Jurečka, P. Refinement of the Cornell et al. Nucleic Acids Force Field Based on Reference Quantum Chemical Calculations of Glycosidic Torsion Profiles. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* **2011**, *7* (9), 2886–2902. https://doi.org/10.1021/CT200162X/SUPPL_FILE/CT200162X_SI_001.PDF.
(34) Jo, S.; Kim, T.; Iyer, V. G.; Im, W. CHARMM-GUI: A Web-Based Graphical User Interface for CHARMM. *J. Comput. Chem.* **2008**, *29* (11), 1859–1865. https://doi.org/10.1002/jcc.20945.
(35) Lee, J.; Hitzenberger, M.; Rieger, M.; Kern, N. R.; Zacharias, M.; Im, W. CHARMM-GUI Supports the Amber Force Fields. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2020**, *153* (3), 035103. https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0012280.
(36) Zhang, W.; Tam, C. P.; Walton, T.; Fahrenbach, A. C.; Birrane, G.; Szostak, J. W. Insight into the Mechanism of Nonenzymatic RNA Primer Extension from the Structure of an RNA-GpppG Complex. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **2017**, *114* (29), 7659–7664. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1704006114.
(37) Wu, T.; Orgel, L. E. Nonenzymic Template-Directed Synthesis on Oligodeoxycytidylate Sequences in Hairpin Oligonucleotides. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1992**, *114* (1), 317–322. https://doi.org/10.1021/ja00027a040.
(38) Kozlov, I. A.; Politis, P. K.; Van Aerschot, A.; Busson, R.; Herdewijn, P.; Orgel, L. E. Nonenzymatic Synthesis of RNA and DNA Oligomers on Hexitol Nucleic Acid Templates: The Importance of the A Structure. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1999**, *121* (12), 2653–2656. https://doi.org/10.1021/ja983958r.
(39) Zheng, H.; Shabalin, I. G.; Handing, K. B.; Bujnicki, J. M.; Minor, W. Magnesium-Binding Architectures in RNA Crystal Structures: Validation, Binding Preferences, Classification and Motif Detection. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **2015**, *43* (7), 3789–3801. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkv225.
(40) Ding, D.; Zhou, L.; Giurgiu, C.; Szostak, J. W. Kinetic Explanations for the Sequence Biases Observed in the Nonenzymatic Copying of RNA Templates. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **2022**, *50* (1), 35–45. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkab1202.
(41) Giurgiu, C.; Li, L.; O'Flaherty, D. K.; Tam, C. P.; Szostak, J. W. A Mechanistic Explanation for the Regioselectivity of Nonenzymatic RNA Primer Extension. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2017**, *139* (46), 16741–16747. https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.7b08784.
(42) Duzdevich, D.; Carr, C. E.; Ding, D.; Zhang, S. J.; Walton, T. S.; Szostak, J. W. Competition between Bridged Dinucleotides and Activated Mononucleotides Determines the Error Frequency of Nonenzymatic RNA Primer Extension. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **2021**, *49* (7), 3681–3691. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkab173.
(43) Cruz-León, S.; Schwierz, N. RNA Captures More Cations than DNA: Insights from Molecular Dynamics Simulations. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2022**, *126* (43), 8646–8654. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcb.2c04488.
(44) Thaplyal, P.; Ganguly, A.; Hammes-Schiffer, S.; Bevilacqua, P. C. Inverse Thio Effects in the Hepatitis Delta Virus Ribozyme Reveal That the Reaction Pathway Is Controlled by Metal Ion Charge Density. *Biochemistry* **2015**, *54* (12), 2160–2175. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.biochem.5b00190.
(45) Jin, L.; Engelhart, A. E.; Zhang, W.; Adamala, K.; Szostak, J. W. Catalysis of Template-Directed Nonenzymatic RNA Copying by Iron(II). *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2018**, *140* (44), 15016–15021. https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.8b09617.

(46) Athavale, S. S.; Petrov, A. S.; Hsiao, C.; Watkins, D.; Prickett, C. D.; Gossett, J. J.; Lie, L.; Bowman, J. C.; O'Neill, E.; Bernier, C. R.; Hud, N. V.; Wartell, R. M.; Harvey, S. C.; Williams, L. D. RNA Folding and Catalysis Mediated by Iron (II). *PLOS ONE* **2012**, *7* (5), e38024. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0038024.

(47) Kim, S. C.; Zhou, L.; Zhang, W.; O'Flaherty, D. K.; Rondo-Brovetto, V.; Szostak, J. W. A Model for the Emergence of RNA from a Prebiotically Plausible Mixture of Ribonucleotides, Arabinonucleotides, and 2′-Deoxynucleotides. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2020**, *142* (5), 2317–2326. https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.9b11239.

(48) Zhang, W.; Kim, S. C.; Tam, C. P.; Lelyveld, V. S.; Bala, S.; Chaput, J. C.; Szostak, J. W. Structural Interpretation of the Effects of Threo-Nucleotides on Nonenzymatic Template-Directed Polymerization. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **2021**, *49* (2), 646–656. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkaa1215.

(49) Kim, S. C.; O'Flaherty, D. K.; Giurgiu, C.; Zhou, L.; Szostak, J. W. The Emergence of RNA from the Heterogeneous Products of Prebiotic Nucleotide Synthesis. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2021**, *143* (9), 3267–3279. https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.0c12955.

(50) Schrum, J. P.; Zhu, T. F.; Szostak, J. W. The Origins of Cellular Life. *Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Biol.* **2010**, *2* (9), a002212. https://doi.org/10.1101/cshperspect.a002212.