Too hot or too disturbed? Temperatures more than hikers affect circadian activity of females in northern chamois

Thel Lucie[1,2], Garel Mathieu[3], Marchand Pascal[4], Bourgoin Gilles[5,6], Loison Anne[1]

Authors details

[1] Laboratoire d'Écologie Alpine, UMR 5553 (CNRS, Université Savoie Mont-Blanc), Savoie Technolac, 73376 Le Bourget-du-Lac, France.

[2] Laboratoire Évolution et Diversité Biologique, UMR 5174 (CNRS, Université Paul Sabatier), 118 route de Narbonne, 31062 Toulouse, France.

[3] Office Français de la Biodiversité, Direction de la Recherche et de l'Appui Scientifique, Service Anthropisation et Fonctionnement des Écosystèmes Terrestres, Gières, France.

[4] Office Français de la Biodiversité, Direction de la Recherche et de l'Appui Scientifique, Service Anthropisation et Fonctionnement des Écosystèmes Terrestres, Juvignac, France.

[5] Univ Lyon, VetAgro Sup, Campus Vétérinaire de Lyon, 1 avenue Bourgelat, F-69280 Marcy l'Etoile, France.

[6] Univ Lyon, Université Lyon 1, CNRS, UMR 5558, Laboratoire de Biométrie et Biologie Évolutive (LBBE), F-69622 Villeurbanne Cedex, France.

Correspondence author: Thel Lucie, lucie.mc.thel@gmail.com.

Too hot or too disturbed? Temperatures more than hikers affect circadian activity of females in northern chamois

ABSTRACT

Recreational activities often result in a spatial and/or temporal activity shift in wildlife. With the concurrent development of outdoors activities and increase in temperatures due to climate change, mountain species face increasing pressures in terms of managing their activity pattern to limit both risk exposure and thermal discomfort. Using more than 15 years of long-term GPS and activity sensor data, we investigated how female northern chamois (*Rupicapra rupicapra rupicapra*) adjust their summer circadian activity to spatio-temporal variations of both temperatures and hikers' presence. Chamois behaviour was more affected by high temperatures than by hikers' presence. During the hottest days, they shifted their activity peak earlier in the morning (17 minutes earlier), were more active at night (+22%), less active during daytime (-10%), and had longer morning and evening peaks (+60 and +20 minutes, respectively) compared to the coldest days. Global activity was only slightly different during the hottest (+5%) compared to coldest days. Conversely, hikers' disturbance had weak effects on activity levels and on the timing of activity peaks. This is especially true for temporal disturbance (weekdays versus weekends and public holidays), possibly due to the fact that most weekdays in summer fell during school holidays. Only during the hottest conditions, the morning activity peak was shorter (46 minutes) and the evening peak longer (18 minutes) in female living in the most exposed areas compared to females living in the least exposed areas. One possible explanation for the overall low effect of hikers' disturbance may be that behavioural changes buffering animals from high temperatures and hikers' presence (*e.g.*, moving away from trails) allow them to just marginally modify their activity pattern. In the

context of ongoing socio-environmental changes, it is critical to conserve habitats providing

thermal refuges against summer heat and preventing them from disturbance to mitigate

potential detrimental consequences.

KEYWORDS: behavioural thermoregulation, circadian rhythm, climate warming,

ecotourism, human disturbance, mountain ecosystem, nocturnality, recreational activities,

Rupicapra rupicapra rupicapra

INTRODUCTION

A noticeable development and diversification of outdoor activities has been ongoing in the

twenty-first century, especially in Western countries (Wheaton, 2010). While mountain

ecosystems have been preserved until recently because of their low accessibility, they now

face an increase of unaffiliated activities such as hiking, cross country skiing, trail running, or

climbing. Those recreational activities are non-lethal, but humans can be consistently

perceived as predators by wildlife (Frid & Dill, 2002), leading to a suite of negative

physiological and behavioural responses (Arlettaz et al., 2007; Marchand et al., 2014; Larson,

Reed, Merenlender, & Crooks, 2016). The consequences of repeated reactions to disturbance

can be detrimental on several fitness-related components (Phillips & Alldredge, 2000;

French, González-Suárez, Young, Durham, & Gerber, 2011). This is particularly true in

mountain ecosystems, where the diversification of human activities challenges animals all

year long, both during the period of energy acquisition from spring to autumn, and of energy

loss during winter (Lindstedt & Boyce, 1985). This increased pressure on the animals is

accompanied by environmental modifications, including climate change, that are particularly

strong in the Alps (Pepin et al., 2015). Accordingly, temperatures are rising rapidly in all

seasons, with consequences such as earlier springs and plant cycles, more frequent summer

heatwaves and droughts, and decreased duration of snow cover associated with an augmented

risk of frost for plants (*e.g.,* Gobiet et al., 2014). Mountain animals are therefore facing

concomitantly two major changes, namely an increased disturbance level and climate change.

     To reduce their exposure to human disturbance, animals can adjust their behaviour in

terms of movements, habitat use and selection, and time devoted to their different activities

(foraging, resting, vigilance and social interactions). When facing a disturbance perceived as

a risk, animals can modify their activities (*e.g.,* from foraging to vigilance; Benoist, Garel,

Cugnasse, & Blanchard, 2013; Xu, Gong, & Wang, 2021), and move away temporarily or

even definitively (Rogala et al., 2011). They can also modify their activity pattern by

delaying activities, such as foraging in disturbed places, from high- to low-risk periods

(Bonnot et al., 2013). The benefits of such responses have been explained within the "risk

allocation hypothesis" framework (Lima & Bednekoff, 1999): the costs of lost opportunities

to forage during risky periods could be dampened if animals transfer part of their diurnal

activity to night-time when human activities stop for instance (*e.g.,* Marchand et al., 2014;

Bonnot et al., 2020). However, activity patterns are regulated, such as the critical

foraging/rumination succession in ruminants (Hamel & Côté, 2008). Any forced modification

of those patterns can negatively impact the fitness of individuals *via* an increased encounter

risk with predators (Lesmerises et al., 2018) or mismatches in interactions with conspecifics

(Greives et al., 2015), for instance.

     At the same time, animals need to deal with climatic constraints, and behavioural

thermoregulation is among the most important mechanisms to avoid thermal stress. Indeed,

temperatures influence space use (in arctic ground squirrels *Spermophilus parryii*, Long,

Martin, & Barnes, 2005; in Mediterranean mouflon *Ovis gmelini musimon* x *Ovis* sp.,

Marchand et al., 2015) and activity budget (in Japanese monkeys *Macaca fuscata*, Watanuki

& Nakayama, 1993), with animals having to trade off foraging and thermal cover to limit

thermoregulatory costs while keeping their energy balance (van Beest & Milner, 2013). In the same way as to avoid human disturbance, animals can shift their activity peaks earlier in the morning and later in the evening, and increase their nocturnal activity during the hottest summer days (Bourgoin et al., 2008; Bourgoin et al., 2011). However, in temperate ecosystems, night can be significantly shorter than day during summer, and riskier when nocturnal predators are present. Thus, the transfer of the diurnal activity to night-time does not necessarily allow to fully compensate for the loss of foraging opportunities during day and may have consequences on mass gain (Garel, Loison, Gaillard, Cugnasse & Maillard, 2004) or predation risk (Gehr et al., 2017), for instance. These results highlight the importance of investigating full daily cycles to get a comprehensive picture of how animals may adjust their behaviour in the face of human-induced environmental changes (Marchand et al., 2014; Marchand et al., 2015).

Moreover, ongoing climate change and expansion of human activities in mountain ecosystems are also ultimately connected. An extended summer season or more frequent summer heatwaves in valleys may, for instance, exacerbate human attendance in mountains. Although predicting tourism attendance under scenarios of climate change is probably not straightforward (Beniston, 2003), this context raises questions on animal responses to those interrelated human-induced environmental changes and on their potential cumulative or even multiplicative consequences for populations in terms of both immediate and compensatory responses (Schmeller et al., 2022). One critical challenge is therefore to understand whether the behavioural mechanisms used by animals to buffer against increasing temperatures may also provide an advantage against human attendance or *vice versa*.

Here, we relied on the GPS monitoring of 62 females of northern chamois *Rupicapra rupicapra rupicapra* to investigate at a fine scale their behavioural responses to temporal and spatial stressors during summer, through the study of their activity pattern. More specifically,

we assessed the day-to-day variation in temperatures and hikers' attendance for each chamois.

We also computed an individual-specific index of spatial disturbance, combining data on the

density of hiking trails in each chamois summer home range and the attendance of each trail

section by hikers. A diversity of activity metrics (*e.g.,* daily activity levels, timing of activity

peaks, length of activity peaks) allowed us to investigate the relative contribution of spatio-

temporal variations in both temperatures and human disturbance to changes in circadian

activity patterns of female chamois in summer. We expected the chamois to adjust their

circadian activity pattern by avoiding being active during the hottest hours during the hottest

days (**H1**) and during the most disturbed (*i.e.,* weekends and public holidays) versus less

disturbed (*i.e.,* weekdays) days (**H2**), with a compensation of activity loss during daytime at

night. However, as individuals were exposed to variable levels of disturbance depending on

the location of their summer home range, we also expected more marked responses in

chamois that were the most exposed to hikers' disturbance (**H3**), as most of them show low

tolerance to hikers' presence in this population (Courbin et al., 2022).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Site and Species

The study took place in the National Game and Hunting Reserve of Les Bauges massif

(NGHRB), a protected area in the French Alps (45°40' N, 6°13' E; 900 to 2200 m a.s.l.; 5

200 ha). The Bauges massif is made of limestone, with cold (mean annual temperature:

9.6°C, snow cover from November to April above 1 600 m, Duparc et al., 2013) and wet

(mean cumulative annual rainfall: 1 600 mm, Duparc et al., 2013) climatic conditions (see

Appendix for details about summer temperatures). Fifty-six percent of the area is covered by

forests (treeline around 1 500 m a.s.l. in the study site), dominated by beech *Fagus sylvatica*

and fir *Abies alba*, 36% by grasslands, and 8% by rocks (Lopez, 2001). This heterogeneous

environment leads to a huge diversity of habitats and landscapes that attracts numerous

tourists every year (> 37 000, most of them during summer; 1.1 million and 0.5 million

overnight stays in summer and winter respectively in 2014, Cloud Parc des Bauges, 2014).

All alpine grasslands are crossed by a network of trails where nearly all hikers are

concentrated due to the NGHRB restrictions, exposing chamois to a very localised

disturbance (Courbin et al., 2022). Other recreational activities (e.g., paragliding) occur in the

study site during summer, but far less frequently than hiking.

Most adult female chamois annually give birth to one young in late May. During

summer, they form groups with other females, kids and yearlings, that share and keep the

same home range from year to year (Loison, Jullien, & Menaut, 1999). Females play a major

role in the demography of the population, particularly through fecundity and survival of

young females (Gaillard, Festa-Bianchet, Yoccoz, Loison, & Toigo, 2000). Chamois have no

natural predators in the study area during the study period, except golden eagles *Aquila*

chrysaetos and red foxes *Vulpes vulpes* that may occasionally predate newborn and injured

animals. The presence of very few non-resident wolves *Canis lupus* has been noticed in some

years (Loupfrance.fr, 2021). Hunting performed by small groups of three to four hunters

occurs in the NGHRB from the beginning of September to the end of February (Courbin et

al., 2022), with chamois being the main target species (~100 chamois harvested per year on

average, i.e., <12% of the chamois population in the area and ~70% of the total number of

ungulates harvested), in addition to Mediterranean mouflon (~20%), roe deer *Capreolus*

capreolus (~4%), wild boar *Sus scrofa* (~4%) and red deer *Cervus elaphus* (~2%; see

Courbin et al., 2022 for details).

Data Collection

We focused on summers from 2004 to 2020, between the 15th June and the 7th September.

This period allows to encompass days falling in and out from the French summer break

which starts in early July, but excludes the hunting season which ranges from the first

weekend of September to the end of February, so that chamois were exclusively exposed to

hikers' disturbance during our study period. The study period is critical for female chamois

because it follows the birth season and it is characterised by high energy requirements for

lactation and storage of fat reserves for winter survival (Clutton-Brock, Albon, & Guinness,

1989; Richard, Toïgo, Appolinaire, Loison & Garel 2017), so that any disturbance during this

period could be particularly detrimental.

We trapped 62 adult female chamois >2 years old during summer in four alpine

grasslands near two trails highly used by hikers (Armenaz and Dent des Portes). As

deployment/recovery of GPS collars occurred during summer, chamois locations were not

necessarily recorded daily during all the study period. Only chamois (n = 62) for which we

could reliably estimate the summer home range (95% kernel contour using the *adehabitatHR*

package; Worton, 1989; Calenge, 2006) were included in the study, *i.e.,* chamois with GPS

locations available during at least 2/3 of the summer period, corresponding to 56 days in

total. Animal motion was recorded every 5 minutes by two perpendicular captive-balls in the

GPS collars monitoring neck movements (0: no movement, 255: highly mobile) and the

proportion of time the animal was head down. We discriminated each chamois as "active"

(*i.e.,* feeding, standing, travelling, or doing other activities such as interactions or scratching)

or "inactive" (*i.e.,* sleeping, ruminating or resting) for every 5-minutes boot using the

discriminant model developed by Bourgoin et al. (2011; see also Darmon et al., 2014). From

these animals, we obtained a total of 4 464 complete days of activity records in summer (*n =*

131 chamois-year, 1 285 632 5-minutes activity measurements in total). The activity of each

chamois was monitored during 18 to 129 days in total, corresponding to 11 to 49 days (*mean*

± SD = 34 ± 10 days, median [Q1; Q3] = 36 [26; 42] days) during a single summer period

for a given chamois (see Appendix).

Ethical Note

We trapped chamois using falling nets baited with salt licks. Although captures occurred

during the birth and weaning period, females generally avoided drop nets (positioned on flat

areas) when juveniles were particularly vulnerable (leading to the use by females of very

steep slopes as an anti-predator strategy). During the weaning period, chamois form nursery

groups and kids are not always closely associated with their mother, so that temporary

separation between them, longer than those resulting from capture/handling, also naturally

occurred in this species (Ruckstuhl & Ingold, 1998). The drop nets were triggered manually

by an observer located a few hundred meters away from the nets, preventing from non-target

captures, and chamois were handled immediately after the capture by several experienced

people coordinated by the Office Français de la Biodiversité. As soon as an animal was

handled, it was restrained with the eyes covered to reduce stress and legs attached to avoid

injuries. An average of three individuals were captured at the same time and this number was

adjusted according to the number of handlers to limit the waiting time for each chamois. All

efforts were made to minimise the handling time (approximately 10 to 15 minutes per

individual) to release animals on site as soon as possible. Juveniles and mothers were

released at the same time to prevent them from separation. As part of a long-term Capture-

Marking-Recapture monitoring, recaptures were not avoided, although unmarked individuals

were targeted when present. Since 2003, 916 individuals have been captured or recaptured,

causing 5 deaths (*i.e.,* 0.55% of the individuals handled). When necessary, slaughter was

done by shooting a bullet in the head or by destruction of the nervous system by percussion

of the cranial cavity (matador), following the ethical and legal ways recommended for large

mammals after important injuries. All captures, handling and sampling were conducted

according to the appropriate national laws for animal welfare, following the ethical

conditions detailed in the specific accreditations delivered by the Préfecture de Paris

(prefectorial decree n°2009–014) in agreement with the French environmental code (Art.

R421-15 to 421–31 and R422-92 to 422–94-1).

Females were equipped with GPS collars Lotek 3300S (Lotek Engineering Inc., Carp,

Ontario, Canada). The GPS collars weighed < 450 g and were deployed only on individuals >

20 kg judged to be fully healthy so that it never exceeded 2.5% of the body mass of the

equipped animal (average weight of females fitted with GPS collar = 30 kg, so 1.5% of

individuals body mass on average). To allow for possible seasonal variation in neck

circumference, we left a gap of ~ 3 cm between the GPS collar and the animal's neck while

making sure that the collar would not rotate. We retrieved the collar using a remote drop-off

system triggered manually or automatically (TRRD or TRD drop-offs, respectively; Lotek

Engineering Inc., Carp, Ontario, Canada) at the end of the monitoring of the individuals,

nearly one year after deployment. From repeated observations of GPS-collared individuals

throughout the year as part of long-term Capture-Mark-Recapture monitoring of this

population, we have no indication that GPS collars cause changes in behaviour compared to

other non-equipped individuals. Similarly, we did not detect any adverse effects linked to the

wearing of the collars in terms of injury or reproductive activity.

Estimation of Variables of Interest

We assessed daily characteristics (see below) from 0139 hours UTC of day d to 0139

hours UTC of day $d+1$. This allowed us to explore potential compensation processes during

the night following the day of interest. We selected the starting hour in accordance with the

median value of the beginning of the crepuscular period in the morning during our study

period. We used a micro-climate model that integrates ERA5 hourly weather data produced

by the Copernicus Climate Change service at ECMWF (spatial resolution 30 km x 30 km)

and a digital elevation model (30 m) for downscaling atmospheric data at the population scale

(micro_era5 function in NicheMapR package; Kearney & Porter, 2017; Maclean, Mosedale,

& Bennie, 2019; Kearney, Gillingham, Bramer, Duffy, & Maclean, 2020). This allowed us to

overcome the issue of incomplete weather data collected in the valley by local weather station

and to get standardized temperatures within the population range over the study period. Mean

daily temperatures were predicted from this model at 1 m high on flat terrain for a spatial

location and elevation (1 950 m a.s.l.) corresponding to the centroid of all chamois GPS

locations. We explored the effect of temperatures on female chamois activity through a

categorical variable with two levels defined according to the quantiles of the mean daily

temperatures distribution. Days with mean temperature ≤ 25% quantile (*i.e.,* 10.8°C) were

considered as **cold days** and days with mean temperature > 75% quantile (*i.e.,* 15.5°C) were

considered as **hot days**. Cold days experienced by chamois ranged between 3.4°C and 10.8°C

(mean ± SD = 8.6 ± 1.6°C, median [Q1; Q3] = 8.9 [7.6; 10.0]°C), while hot days ranged

between 15.5°C and 20.9°C (mean ± SD = 17.2 ± 1.2°C, median [Q1; Q3] = 17.1 [16.2;

18.1]°C, see also Appendix).

Temporal disturbance associated with hiking was defined as a categorical variable

with two levels. Weekends and public holidays, *i.e.,* vacation days such as National Day,

were considered as the most disturbed days, hereafter called **weekends and public holidays**.

Days between Monday and Friday, *i.e.,* working days including weekdays during school

holidays, were considered as the least disturbed days and hereafter called **weekdays**. To

check for the reliability of these two categories in the field, we compared the daily attendance

of hikers (number of hikers recorded on the trail) between 0000 hours UTC and 2359 hours

UTC and the hour of first arrival for both temporal disturbance levels using an eco-counter

(PYRO sensor Eco-Compteur, www.eco-compteur.com; 90 cm above ground) located on

Armenaz trail (45°37'18.60" N, 6°13'2.53" E) and present during two consecutive summers

(2016 and 2017). Our study period encompassed 189 weekends and public holidays and 440

weekdays. During the same period of the year in 2016 and 2017, hikers were almost two

times more on the trails during weekends and public holidays (*mean ± SD = 74 ± 53* hikers*,*

median [Q1; Q3] = 73 [39; 106] hikers) than during weekdays (*mean* = 47 ± 34 hikers,

median = 40 [25; 73] hikers; Mann-Whitney: *test statistic = 2 558, N1 = 41, N2 = 96, p-value*

= 0.006). Hikers also tended to arrive 60 minutes earlier during weekends and public holidays

(mean ± SD = 0744 ± 120 minutes UTC, median [Q1; Q3] = 0700 [0700; 0900] hours UTC)

compared to weekdays (mean ± SD = 0813 ± 180 minutes UTC, median [Q1; Q3] = 0800

[0700; 0900] hours UTC), although this difference was not statistically significant (Mann-

Whitney: *test statistic = 1 559, N1 = 40, N2 = 91, p-value* = 0.188; see Appendix). We also

conducted a Mann-Whitney test to assess if hikers' attendance was affected by temperatures

(see *Results* section). We also ran supplementary analyses considering three contrasted

temporal disturbance categories, namely i) weekdays of school period, ii) weekdays of

summer break, iii) weekends and public holidays (see Appendix). These supplementary

analyses contrasting three levels of exposure to hiker's disturbance (i.e., weekdays of school

period, weekdays of summer break, and weekends / public holidays) provided very similar

results to the original analysis contrasting two levels of disturbance (i.e., weekdays versus

weekends/public holidays; see Appendix).

Potential spatial exposure to hikers' disturbance was evaluated within each female

chamois home range using attendance rates of the trails extracted from Strava Global

Heatmap (Strava, 2022; www.strava.com, download date: 2022/03/09, data from the two

previous years; see also Courbin et al., 2022 for a similar approach on this study site). Strava

heatmap provides a value ranging from 0 for no attendance to 255 for the highest attendance

for each pixel (pixel size = 25 m x 25 m) and is a good proxy of relative human attendance

(see Appendix; Thorsen et al., 2022; Venter, Gundersen, Scott & Barton, 2023). The lowest

and highest values recorded for a trail pixel in our study site were 51 and 255 respectively.

We thus defined attendance classes according to the quantiles of the [51; 255] distribution in

order to cover trail pixels exclusively: 1 = [51; 119] (between 0% and 33% quantile, low

attendance); 2 =]119; 187] (between 33% and 66% quantiles, intermediate attendance); 3 =

]187; 255] (between 66% and 100% quantiles, high attendance). We counted the number of

trail pixels of each class in a given home range, and multiplied this number by the

corresponding class value (*i.e.*, 1, 2 or 3) to give a different weight to each trail pixel

according to its attendance level. We then summed these values for a given home range and

normalised the total by dividing it by the home range surface to obtain our spatial disturbance

index. We used the median spatial disturbance index (*i.e.*, 0.00577) as a threshold to

discriminate between **most exposed chamois** and **least exposed chamois**.

As our study period ran over almost three months, day length varied widely and is

known to influence chamois activity (Brivio et al., 2016; Fattorini et al., 2019). We accounted

for this effect by including day length in our models (for a similar approach, see Semenzato

et al., 2021). We evaluated day length as the time elapsed between dawn and dusk using the

crepuscule function from the *maptools* package (Bivand & Lewin-Koh, 2022; reference angle

of the sun below the horizon = 6°, *i.e.,* civil dawn/dusk).

Statistical Analyses: Predicting Activity Patterns and Calculating Activity Metrics

We first modelled the daily activity of chamois as a series of values of either 0 (inactive) or 1

(active) for each 5-minutes boot using a generalised additive mixed model (GAMM) with a

binomial distribution of errors (*mgcv* package, Wood, 2011; Wood, Goude, & Shaw 2015;

Wood, 2017). We included three fixed effects in the model: 1) *time of the day*, *i.e.,* exact time

every 5 minutes, and 2) *day length*, as well as their interaction (using the *ti* function dedicated

to interactions in generalised additive models), as continuous variables with a cyclic cubic

regression splines as smoothing basis for terms including *day length* exclusively; 3) a

synthesis 8-levels categorical variable representing all possible combinations between

temperatures classes, levels of temporal disturbance and of spatial disturbance, in interaction

with the *time of the day* (using the *by* argument of the *s* function in the implementation of the

GAMM). The levels were ordered, with the reference level corresponding to a chamois living

in the least exposed areas during a cold and weekdays (see Appendix). The interaction

between *day length* and *time of the day* allowed us to account for the fact that the activity

pattern is determined by the timing of sunrise and sunset (Ashby, 1972; Cederlund, 1989),

which varies widely according to the date. We defined the unique annual id-year ID of each

chamois as a random effect (using the parameter "re" for the *bs* argument in the *s* function in

the implementation of the GAMM) to account for inter-individual variations in circadian

activity pattern (see Appendix). We set the maximum number of knots for the smoothing

functions to 24. We also fitted the null model, which was similar to the model described

above but excluded the *temperatures-disturbance* variable, for comparison purposes. All

details about model fitting are provided in Appendix.

We used the predictions of the model to investigate the effect of temperatures and

hikers' disturbance on several activity metrics derived from this model (described below).

We controlled for the effect of *day length* by fixing it to the median of the complete dataset

(*i.e.,* 963 minutes) when predicting chamois activity for each level of the *temperatures-*

disturbance combination. We derived the *total*, *diurnal*, *nocturnal* and both *morning* and

evening crepuscular activity as the area under the activity curve (*AUC* function of the

DescTools package; Signorell, 2023) for each level of the *temperatures-disturbance* variable.

We defined daytime as the period elapsed between 120 minutes after dawn and 120 minutes

before dusk, and night-time as the period elapsed between 120 minutes after dusk and 120

minutes before dawn (see Bonnot et al., 2020 for a similar approach). Crepuscular periods

corresponded to the remaining periods between daytime and night-time. We assessed the

timing of the morning and *evening activity peaks* as the time when the highest activity

probability occurs in the morning and the evening, respectively. We finally evaluated

morning and *evening peak duration* as the period elapsed between the first time when the

activity probability reached 0.5 and the last time before it went underneath 0.5 for both

activity peaks (for a similar approach see Bourgoin et al., 2011). The percentage of variation

between two situations was calculated as *100*(value$_2$ – value$_1$)/value$_1$*. We performed all

analyses using the R software (R Core Development Team 2022, version R 4.3.0). Data is

available from the Zenodo Repository: https://zenodo.org/record/8123239.

RESULTS

Model Adjustment

The full generalised additive mixed model (*AIC* = 1 578 865, *explained deviance* = 11.4%,

adjusted R2 = 0.149) was better than the null model including *time of the day* and *day length*

effects and excluding the *temperatures-disturbance* variable (*AIC* = 1 591 999, *explained*

deviance = 10.7%, *adjusted R2* = 0.140, see Appendix) to predict activity pattern (Fig. 1). All

smooth terms (fixed and random effects) were significant (*p-values* < 0.001).

Temperatures

Whatever the level of spatial exposure and temporal disturbance, chamois increased their

total activity during hot days compared to cold days, by 5% on average (Fig. 2). This change

corresponds to an average increase of 22% in the nocturnal activity and a decrease of 10% in

the diurnal activity, from cold to hot days (Fig. 2). In addition, crepuscular activity increased

from cold to hot days by more than 31% in the morning and 18% in the evening on average

(Fig. 2). Chamois also advanced their morning peak by 17 minutes from cold to hot days, and

they only slightly delayed their evening peak by 5 minutes on average (Fig. 3). Morning and

evening peaks lasted longer during hot compared to cold days of almost 60 minutes and of 20

minutes respectively, on average (Fig. 4). Chamois maintained a certain amount of activity in

the middle of the day during cold days (*i.e.,* above 40%), whereas the activity probability at

the same hours was much lower and more variable during hot days (Fig. 1).

Hikers' Disturbance

All the summer home ranges used by the studied chamois were crossed by at least one hiking

trail. Our spatial disturbance index ranged between 0.00317 and 0.02912 (mean ± SD =

0.00699 ± 0.00433, median [Q1; Q3] = 0.00577 [0.00458; 0.00774]), with only seven

chamois above 0.01000 (see Appendix). In 2016 and 2017, hikers' attendance was

significantly higher when temperatures were high (mean ± SD = 45 ± 56 hikers, median [Q1;

Q3] = 21 [7; 63] hikers during cold days; mean ± SD = 62 ± 37 hikers, median [Q1; Q3] = 54

[31; 84] hikers during hot days; Mann-Whitney: *test statistic* = 333, *N1* = 23, *N2* = 48, *p-*

value = 0.007).

Overall, the effect of temperatures was much more pronounced than the effect of

disturbance and very few differences between the general activity patterns were discernible

between the different levels of hikers' disturbance for a given temperature (Figs. 1 and 5).

Our analyses revealed very similar amounts of total, diurnal, nocturnal and crepuscular

activity whatever the level of disturbance (Fig. 2). Similarly, the changes in terms of timing

of the activity peaks according to hikers' disturbance was not biologically significant (< 7

minutes, Fig. 3). Only the duration of the activity peaks during hot days (also the most

disturbed days) was affected by the spatial exposure to hikers' disturbance (Fig. 4) with the

morning peak being 46 minutes shorter and the evening peak 20 minutes longer for chamois

living in the most exposed areas compared to chamois living in the least exposed areas. On

the contrary, temporal disturbance (*i.e.,* weekdays which are the least disturbed days versus

weekends and public holidays which are the most disturbed days) had almost no effect on the

length of activity peaks (Fig. 4).

DISCUSSION

Our objective was to determine and compare the relative contribution of summer

temperatures and hikers' disturbance on the activity pattern of females of a mountain

ungulate, the northern chamois. During hot days, chamois adjusted their circadian activity by

shifting their activity peak earlier in the morning and by increasing its duration. As expected

during hot days, they also increased their nocturnal and crepuscular activity while being less

active during the hottest hours of daytime. These behavioural adjustments allowed females to

maintain a similar level of total daily activity between cold and hot days. On the other hand,

and contrary to our expectations, chamois only rarely adjust their activity pattern according to

hikers' disturbance (see also Appendix). Only during hot days (also the most disturbed days),

we observed a marked decrease in the duration of the morning activity peak and a slight

increase in the duration of the evening activity peak for chamois living in the most exposed

areas compared to chamois living in the least exposed areas, as well as a tenuous increase in

the nocturnal and crepuscular activity at the expense of the diurnal activity. Temporal

disturbance (*i.e.,* weekends and public holidays *versus* weekdays) had almost no effect on

chamois activity peaks.

Though chamois seem to be less sensitive to high temperatures than other alpine

ungulate species (see Darmon et al., 2014 for a comparison between chamois and mouflon;

see also Semenzato et al. 2021 for Alpine ibex), their faecal glucocorticoid metabolites

concentration increases during drought conditions in summer (Anderwald, Campell Andri, &

Palme 2021), as well as the time they spend in thermal refuges during hot days (Malagnino,

2022). This may explain that chamois responded much more strongly to temperatures than to

hikers' disturbance. Moreover, in our study site, hikers' attendance was significantly higher

when temperatures were high. Hence, the behavioural changes to buffer from high

temperatures may also protect chamois from hikers' disturbance, as both factors were related.

Animals have to face several stressors (*e.g.,* human disturbance, predation, high

temperatures) and they eventually cannot indefinitely adjust their behavioural response as

stressors accumulate (Benoist, Garel, Cugnasse, & Blanchard, 2013). During cooler periods,

yellow-blotched sawback (*Graptemys flavimaculata*) tend to increase their basking time even

when disturbed by humans, presumably because low temperatures force them to favor

thermoregulation at the expense of risk avoidance (Selman, Qualls, & Owen, 2013).

Moreover, most of the response to human disturbance in our population seems to happen at

the spatial level rather than the temporal level (Fig. 4a) and only during the hot days (when

hiker's attendance was at its highest level), causing a marked decline in the duration of the

morning activity peak in chamois living in the most versus least exposed areas.

Recent studies provided evidence of a non-negligeable nocturnal activity peak in

chamois (Carnevali, Lovari, Monaco, & Mori, 2016). Here, we also highlighted that

nocturnal activity significantly increased while diurnal activity decreased during hot days,

confirming the influence of high temperatures on chamois activity. As in numerous other

species, chamois decreased their diurnal activity when exposed to high temperatures and/or

human disturbance and attempted to compensate for the activity loss when they are absent

(*e.g.,* later in the day or at night, Gaynor, Hojnowski, Carter, & Brashares, 2018). Similarly,

the lynx (*Lynx lynx*) displays a spatial answer to disturbance by using less frequently the

areas located close to the trails inside its home range during the day than at night (Thorsen et

al., 2022). However, an increase in nocturnal activity could expose individuals to other

threats, such as nocturnal predators (Bonnot et al., 2020). This is particularly true in our study

site with the progressive return of wolves recorded since 2020. Large herbivores may face the

difficult challenge of avoiding being exposed to high temperatures while limiting their

exposure to human disturbance, but without shifting completely their activity at night because

of predation risk. The shift to more crepuscular activity observed in this study could be an

answer to this trade-off.

Interestingly and in contrast with Brivio et al. (2016) and Grignolio et al. (2018) in

another chamois population, total activity was slightly higher (+5%) during hot than cold

days in our chamois population. Such a finding is not necessarily surprising as other studies

have found similar results (in the same population, Malagnino, 2022; in Alpine ibex

maintaining a constant activity both during cold and hot days, Semenzato et al., 2021). In

addition, this does not necessarily translate into an increase in feeding behaviour, but might

be because chamois display diel migrations between refuges and feeding spots (Courbin et

al., 2022), particularly if both habitats are distant or heterogeneous. With the increasing

temperatures in summer, chamois could be forced to commute more frequently or move

further to find shelter from the heat while maintaining the same level of feeding activity,

eventually leading to the overall increase observed. Unfortunately, our data provides no clue

about the nature of the behaviours displayed (*i.e.,* foraging, moving or social behaviours) and

we cannot ascertain if this rise of activity effectively corresponds to spatial displacement.

Likewise, the pigmy rabbit (*Brachylagus idahoensis*) selects significantly more ground

depressions as refuges during summer to mitigate the adverse effects of high temperatures

(Milling, Rachlow, Johnson, Forbey, & Shipley, 2017).

We observed only minor differences between the most and least disturbed chamois,

either because chamois respond similarly to human presence regardless of the encounter risk

because hikers are present almost every day even if at different level (especially during

summer with summer school break), or because they shift their activity in habitats further

away from the main source of disturbance, *i.e.,* the trails (Courbin et al. 2022). In our

population, 85% of the individuals move temporarily away from trails every day when hikers

are present (Courbin et al., 2022), demonstrating that even the less exposed chamois adjust

their behaviour to human disturbance. This low tolerance affects the whole population and

not just the most exposed individuals and might explain the weak difference observed

between groups. Wolves also display high sensitivity to human disturbance and completely

avoid the areas frequented by tourists, even if the level of attendance is as low as 40 visitors

per week (Sytsma, Lewis, Gardner, & Prugh, 2022).

Mountain animals face both rising temperatures from ongoing climate warming, and

modifications in their exposure to actual and perceived risks from the development of

recreational activities and the return of predators in these landscapes. The interaction between

those factors could eventually jeopardise their demography if particular attention is not paid

in conserving habitats providing both refuge against summer heat and human disturbance

(Frid & Dill, 2002; Chirichella, Stephens, Mason, & Apollonio, 2021). Moreover, both

constraints can lead either to similar responses (*e.g.,* rest in refuge areas), or to opposite

reactions (*e.g.,* reduce activity to avoid thermal stress, increase movement to reach thermal

refuges or escape to limit predation risk), which complicates our understanding of their

behavioural response. Here we highlight a strong effect of temperatures but low effects of

hikers' disturbance on the activity pattern of northern female chamois. Identifying the effect

of human disturbance on wildlife remains a difficult task, particularly at the population scale

(Tablado & Jenni, 2017), and an integrated approach is needed to consider all forms of

response, such as variations in activity level and timing, behavioural budgets and spatial

displacements.

REFERENCES

Anderwald, P., Campell Andri, S., & Palme, R. (2021). Reflections of ecological differences? Stress responses of sympatric Alpine chamois and red deer to weather, forage quality, and human disturbance. *Ecology and Evolution*, *11(22)*, 15740–15753. https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.8235

Arlettaz, R., Patthey, P., Baltic, M., Leu, T., Schaub, M., Palme, R., & Jenni-Eiermann, S. (2007). Spreading free-riding snow sports represent a novel serious threat for wildlife. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, *274(1614)*, 1219–1224.

Ashby, K. (1972). Patterns of daily activity in mammals. *Mammal Review, 1*, 171–185.

Beniston, M. (2003). Climatic change in mountain regions: a review of possible impacts. Climate Variability and Change in High Elevation Regions: Past, Present & Future, 5–31.

Benoist, S., Garel, M., Cugnasse, J.-M., & Blanchard, P. (2013). Human disturbances, habitat characteristics and social environment generate sex-specific responses in vigilance of Mediterranean mouflon. *PLoS One*, *8(12)*, e82960.

Bivand, R., & Lewin-Koh, N. (2022). maptools: Tools for Handling Spatial Objects. https://cran.r-project.org/package=maptools

Bonnot, N. C., Couriot, O., Berger, A., Cagnacci, F., Ciuti, S., De Groeve, J. E., Gehr, B., Heurich, M., Kjellander, P., Kröschel, M., & others. (2020). Fear of the dark? Contrasting impacts of humans versus lynx on diel activity of roe deer across Europe. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, *89(1)*, 132–145. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2656.13161

Bonnot, N., Morellet, N., Verheyden, H., Cargnelutti, B., Lourtet, B., Klein, F., & Hewison, A. J. (2013). Habitat use under predation risk: hunting, roads and human dwellings influence the spatial behaviour of roe deer. *European Journal of Wildlife Research*, *59(2)*, 185–193.

521 Bourgoin, G, Garel, M., Van Moorter, B., Dubray, D., Maillard, D., Marty, E., & Gaillard, J.-

522   M. (2008). Determinants of seasonal variation in activity patterns of mouflon.

523   *Canadian Journal of Zoology*, *86(12)*, 1410–1418.

524 Bourgoin, G., Garel, M., Blanchard, P., Dubray, D., Maillard, D., & Gaillard, J.-M. (2011).

525   Daily responses of mouflon (*Ovis gmelini musimon* x *Ovis* sp.) activity to summer

526   climatic conditions. *Canadian Journal of Zoology*, *89(9)*, 765–773.

527   https://doi.org/10.1139/Z11-046

528 Brivio, F., Bertolucci, C., Tettamanti, F., Filli, F., Apollonio, M., & Grignolio, S. (2016). The

529   weather dictates the rhythms: Alpine chamois activity is well adapted to ecological

530   conditions. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology*, *70(8)*, 1291–1304.

531 Calenge, C. (2006). The package adehabitat for the R software: tool for the analysis of space

532   and habitat use by animals. *Ecological Modelling*, *197*, 1035.

533 Carnevali, L., Lovari, S., Monaco, A., & Mori, E. (2016). Nocturnal activity of a diurnal

534   species, the northern chamois, in a predator-free Alpine area. *Behavioural Processes*,

535   *126*, 101–107.

536 Cederlund, G. (1989). Activity patterns in moose and roe deer in a north boreal forest.

537   *Ecography*, *12*, 39–45.

538 Chirichella, R., Stephens, P. A., Mason, T. H. E., & Apollonio, M. (2021). Contrasting

539   effects of climate change on Alpine chamois. *The Journal of Wildlife Management*,

540   *85(1)*, 109–120.

541 Cloud Parc des Bauges. (2014). Observatoire touristique du Parc Naturel Régional du Massif

542   des Bauges - Présentation 25 novembre 2014. Retrieved from

543   https://cloud.parcdesbauges.com/index.php/login. Accessed July 3, 2023

544 Clutton-Brock, T., Albon, S. & Guinness, F. (1989). Fitness costs of gestation and lactation

545   in wild mammals. *Nature*, *337*, 260–262.

Courbin, N., Garel, M., Marchand, P., Duparc, A., Debeffe, L., Börger, L., & Loison, A.

(2022). Interacting lethal and nonlethal human activities shape complex risk tolerance

behaviors in a mountain herbivore. *Ecological Applications*, e2640.

https://doi.org/10.1002/eap.2640

Darmon, G., Bourgoin, G., Marchand, P., Garel, M., Dubray, D., Jullien, J.-M., & Loison, A.

(2014). Do ecologically close species shift their daily activities when in sympatry? A

test on chamois in the presence of mouflon. *Biological Journal of the Linnean*

Society, *111(3)*, 621–626. https://doi.org/10.1111/bij.12228

Duparc, A., Redjadj, C., Viard-Crétat, F., Lavorel, S., Austrheim, G., & Loison, A. (2013).

Co-variation between plant above-ground biomass and phenology in sub-alpine

grasslands. *Applied Vegetation Science*, *16(2)*, 305–316.

Fattorini, N., Brunetti, C., Baruzzi, C., Chiatante, G., Lovari, S., & Ferretti, F. (2019).

Temporal variation in foraging activity and grouping patterns in a mountain-dwelling

herbivore: Environmental and endogenous drivers. *Behavioural Processes*, *167*,

103909.

French, S. S., González-Suárez, M., Young, J. K., Durham, S., & Gerber, L. R. (2011).

Human disturbance influences reproductive success and growth rate in California sea

lions (*Zalophus californianus*). *PloS One*, *6(3)*, e17686.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0017686

Frid, A., & Dill, L. (2002). Human-caused disturbance stimuli as a form of predation risk.

Conservation Ecology, 6(1). https://doi.org/10.5751/es-00404-060111

Gaillard, J.-M., Festa-Bianchet, M., Yoccoz, N. G., Loison, A., & Toigo, C. (2000).

Temporal variation in fitness components and population dynamics of large

herbivores. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics*, *31(1)*, 367–393.

https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.31.1.367

Garel, M., Loison, A., Gaillard, J. M., Cugnasse, J. M., & Maillard, D. (2004). The effect of a

severe drought on mouflon lamb survival. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of*

London. Serie B: Biological Sciences, *27(suppl_6)*, S471–S473.

Gaynor, K. M., Hojnowski, C. E., Carter, N. H., & Brashares, J. S. (2018). The influence of

human disturbance on wildlife nocturnality. *Science*, *360(6394)*, 1232–1235.

https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aar7121

Gehr, B., Hofer, E., Pewsner, M., Ryser, A., Vimercati, E., Vogt, K. & Keller, L. F. (2018).

Hunting-mediated predator facilitation and superadditive mortality in a European

ungulate. *Ecology and Evolution*, *8*, 109–119.

Gobiet, A., Kotlarski, S., Beniston, M., Heinrich, G., Rajczak, J., & Stoffel, M. (2014). 21st

century climate change in the European Alps - A review. *Science of the Total*

Environment, *493*, 1138–1151.

Greives, T. J., Kingma, S. A., Kranstauber, B., Mortega, K., Wikelski, M., van Oers, K.,

Mateman, A. C., Ferguson, G. A., Beltrami, G., & Hau, M. (2015). Costs of sleeping

in: circadian rhythms influence cuckoldry risk in a songbird. *Functional Ecology*,

29(10), 1300–1307.

Grignolio, S., Brivio, F., Apollonio, M., Frigato, E., Tettamanti, F., Filli, F. & Bertolucci, C.

(2018). Is nocturnal activity compensatory in chamois? A study of activity in a

cathemeral ungulate. *Mammalian Biology*, *93*, 173-181.

Hamel, S., & Côté, S. D. (2008). Trade-offs in activity budget in an alpine ungulate:

contrasting lactating and nonlactating females. *Animal Behaviour*, *75(1)*, 217–227.

Kearney, M. R., Gillingham, P. K., Bramer, I., Duffy, J. P., & Maclean, I. M. D. (2020). A

method for computing hourly, historical, terrain-corrected microclimate anywhere on

Earth. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, *11(1)*, 38–43.

Kearney, M. R., & Porter, W. P. (2017). NicheMapR - an R package for biophysical

modelling: the microclimate model. *Ecography*, *40(5)*, 664–674.

Kerouanton, C. (2020). Analyse spatio-temporelle des mobilités de randonneurs dans le PNR

du Massif des Bauges. Université de Savoie, Chambéry, France.

Larson, C. L., Reed, S. E., Merenlender, A. M., & Crooks, K. R. (2016). Effects of recreation

on animals revealed as widespread through a global systematic review. *PloS One*,

11(12), e0167259.

Lesmerises, F., Johnson, C. J., & St-Laurent, M.-H. (2017). Refuge or predation risk?

Alternate ways to perceive hiker disturbance based on maternal state of female

caribou. *Ecology and Evolution*, *7(3)*, 845–854. https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.2672

Lesmerises, F., Déry, F., Johnson, C. J., & St-Laurent, M.-H. (2018). Spatiotemporal

response of mountain caribou to the intensity of backcountry skiing. *Biological

Conservation*, *217*, 149–156.

Lima, S. L., & Bednekoff, P. A. (1999). Temporal variation in danger drives antipredator

behavior: the predation risk allocation hypothesis. *The American Naturalist*, *153(6)*,

649–659.

Lindstedt, S. L., & Boyce, M. S. (1985). Seasonality, fasting endurance, and body size in

mammals. *The American Naturalist*, *125(6)*, 873–878. https://doi.org/10.1086/284385

Loison, A., Jullien, J.-M., & Menaut, P. (1999). Subpopulation structure and dispersal in two

populations of chamois. *Journal of Mammalogy*, *80(2)*, 620–632.

Long, R. A., Martin, T. J., & Barnes, B. M. (2005). Body temperature and activity patterns in

free-living arctic ground squirrels. *Journal of Mammalogy*, *86(2)*, 314–322.

Lopez, J. F. (2001). Inventaire systématique de la flore et de la végétation du Massif des

Bauges.

Loupfrance. (2021). Situation du loup en France. Retrieved from

https://www.loupfrance.fr/suivi-du-loup/situation-du-loup-en-france/. Accessed July 3,

2023

Maclean, I. M. D., Mosedale, J. R., & Bennie, J. J. (2019). Microclima: An r package for

modelling meso-and microclimate. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, *10(2)*, 280–

290.

Malagnino, A. (2022). Déterminants environnementaux et individuels des mouvements et de

l'utilisation de l'espace chez trois espèces d'ongulés sauvages (Doctoral dissertation).

Chambéry, France: Université Grenoble Alpes.

Marchand, P., Garel, M., Bourgoin, G., Dubray, D., Maillard, D., & Loison, A. (2014).

Impacts of tourism and hunting on a large herbivores spatio-temporal behavior in and

around a French protected area. *Biological Conservation*, *177*, 1–11.

Marchand, P., Garel, M., Bourgoin, G., Dubray, D., Maillard, D., & Loison, A. (2015). Sex-

specific adjustments in habitat selection contribute to buffer mouflon against summer

conditions. *Behavioral Ecology*, *26(2)*, 472–482.

Pepin, N., Bradley, R. S., Diaz, H. F., Baraër, M., Caceres, E. B., Forsythe, N., Fowler, H.,

Greenwood, G., Hashmi, M. Z., Liu, X. D., & others. (2015). Elevation-dependent

warming in mountain regions of the world. *Nature Climate Change*, *5(5)*, 424–430.

Phillips, G. E., & Alldredge, A. W. (2000). Reproductive success of elk following

disturbance by humans during calving season. *The Journal of Wildlife Management*,

521–530.

Richard, Q., Toïgo, C., Appolinaire, J., Loison, A., & Garel, M. (2017). From gestation to

weaning: Combining robust design and multi-event models unveils cost of lactation in

a large herbivore. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, *86*, 1497–1509.

Rogala, J. K., Hebblewhite, M., Whittington, J., White, C. A., Coleshill, J., & Musiani, M.

(2011). Human activity differentially redistributes large mammals in the Canadian

Rockies National Parks. *Ecology and Society*, *16(3)*.

Ruckstuhl, K. & Ingold, P. (1998). Baby-sitting in chamois: a form of cooperation in

females? *Mammalia*, *62*, 125-128.

Schmeller, D. S., Urbach, D., Bates, K., Catalan, J., Cogălniceanu, D., Fisher, M. C., Friesen,

J., Füreder, L., Gaube, V., Haver, M. & others (2022). Scientists' warning of threats to

mountains. *Science of the Total Environment*, *853*, 158611.

Selman, W., Qualls, C., & Owen, J. C. (2013). Effects of human disturbance on the behavior

and physiology of an imperiled freshwater turtle. *The Journal of Wildlife*

Management, 77(5), 877–885.

Semenzato, P., Cagnacci, F., Ossi, F., Eccel, E., Morellet, N., Hewison, A. J. M., Sturaro, E.,

& Ramanzin, M. (2021). Behavioural heat-stress compensation in a cold-adapted

ungulate: Forage-mediated responses to warming Alpine summers. *Ecology Letters*,

24(8), 1556–1568.

Signorell, A. (2023). DescTools: Tools for Descriptive Statistics.

Strava. (2022). Strava Global Heatmap. Retrieved from https://www.strava.com/heatmap.

Accessed March 9, 2022

Sytsma, M. L., Lewis, T., Gardner, B., & Prugh, L. R. (2022). Low levels of outdoor

recreation alter wildlife behaviour. *People and Nature*, *4*(6), 1547-1559.

Tablado, Z., & Jenni, L. (2017). Determinants of uncertainty in wildlife responses to human

disturbance. *Biological Reviews*, *92(1)*, 216–233.

Thorsen, N. H., Bischof, R., Mattisson, J., Hofmeester, T. R., Linnell, J. D. C., & Odden, J.

(2022). Smartphone app reveals that lynx avoid human recreationists on local scale,

but not home range scale. *Scientific Reports*, *12(1)*, 1–13.

van Beest, F. M., & Milner, J. M. (2013). Behavioural responses to thermal conditions affect

seasonal mass change in a heat-sensitive northern ungulate. *PloS One*, *8(6)*, e65972.

Venter, Z., Gundersen, V., Scott, S. L., & Barton, D. N. (2023). Bias and precision of

crowdsourced recreational activity data from Strava. *Landscape and Urban Planning*,

232, 104686.

Watanuki, Y., & Nakayama, Y. (1993). Age difference in activity pattern of Japanese

monkeys: effects of temperature, snow, and diet. *Primates*, *34(4)*, 419–430.

Wheaton, B. (2010). Introducing the consumption and representation of lifestyle sports. *Sport

in Society*, *13(7–8)*, 1057–1081.

Wood, S. N. (2011). Fast stable restricted maximum likelihood and marginal likelihood

estimation of semiparametric generalized linear models. *Journal of the Royal

Statistical Society (B)*, *73(1)*, 3–36.

Wood, S. N. (2017). Generalized Additive Models: An Introduction with R (2nd ed.).

Chapman and Hall/CRC.

Wood, S. N, Goude, Y., & Shaw, S. (2015). Generalized additive models for large data sets.

Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series C (Applied Statistics), *64(1)*, 139–155.

Worton, B. J. (1989). Kernel methods for estimating the utilization distribution in home-

range studies. *Ecology*, *70(1)*, 164–168.

Xu, W., Gong, Y., & Wang, H. (2021). Alert time reflects the negative impacts of human

disturbance on an endangered bird species in Changbai Mountain, China. *Global

Ecology and Conservation*, *28*, e01709.

Authors' contributions

Lucie Thel: Conceptualization; Data curation; Formal analysis; Investigation; Methodology; Visualization; Writing - original draft; Writing - review & editing. **Mathieu Garel**: Conceptualization; Data curation; Formal analysis; Funding acquisition; Investigation; Methodology; Supervision; Validation; Visualization; Writing - review & editing. **Pascal Marchand**: Conceptualization; Data curation; Methodology; Supervision; Validation; Writing - review & editing. **Gilles Bourgoin**: Conceptualization; Methodology; Supervision; Validation; Writing - review & editing. **Anne Loison**: Conceptualization; Funding acquisition; Methodology; Project administration; Resources; Supervision; Validation; Writing - review & editing.

Acknowledgments

This study was funded by Agence Nationale de la Recherche (French National Research Agency, ANR) Grant Mov-It No.16-CE02-0010 coordinated by Anne Loison, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, CNRS). The authors thank all participants for helping with the capture and marking of animals, for the recovery of GPS collars, and for collecting GPS data on human activities: volunteers and professionals from the Office Français de la Biodiversité (formerly Office National de la Chasse et de la Faune Sauvage), the Office National des Forêts, the Groupement d'Intérêt Cynégétique des Bauges, the Parc Naturel Régional du massif des Bauges, the Observatoire Grande Faune et Habitats, and the laboratory Environnements Dynamiques Territoires Montagnes (EDYTEM). This work was performed using the computing facilities of the IFB Cloud.

Conflict of Interest

715   The authors declared they have no conflict of interest.

717   **Data availability statement**

718   Data available from the Zenodo Repository: https://zenodo.org/record/8123239.

Figures

Figure 1: predicted circadian activity patterns (activity ranges between 0 for inactivity, to 1 for full-time activity) of 62 female northern chamois in the National Game and Hunting Reserve of Les Bauges massif, in summer (15th June to 7th September, 2004-2020), according to temperatures (blue: cold day; red: hot day) and human disturbance: a) chamois living in the least exposed areas during weekdays; b) chamois living in the least exposed areas during weekends and public holidays; c) chamois living in the most exposed areas during weekdays; d) chamois living in the most exposed areas during weekends and public holidays (see also pictograms directly in the figure). Solid lines represent predicted values from the model, shaded areas around the curves represent 95% confidence intervals. Background shades represent the periods used to calculate the area under the activity curve for morning and evening crepuscules (grey), daytime (yellow) and night-time (blue; see also pictograms directly in the figure). Time of the day represents hours in Coordinated Universal Time (UTC).

Figure 2: Area Under Curve (AUC, unitless) normalised by the duration of each period for

each situation of interest, namely a combination of different levels of temperatures (blue: cold

day; red: hot day), spatial (dot: low exposure; triangle: high exposure) and temporal (empty

symbol: weekdays; filled symbol: weekends and public holidays; see also pictograms directly

in the figure) disturbance: a) total (1 440 minutes), b) diurnal (724.8 minutes), c) nocturnal

(235.2 minutes), d) morning crepuscular (240 minutes) and e) evening crepuscular (240

minutes) activity (see also pictograms directly in the figure). AUC are calculated from

predicted circadian activity patterns of northern chamois of the National Game and Hunting

Reserve of Les Bauges massif, in summer (15th June to 7th September, 2004-2020). We

defined "diurnal" as the period elapsed between 120 minutes after dawn and 120 minutes

before dusk, "nocturnal" as the period elapsed between 120 minutess after dusk and 120

minutes before dawn, and "crepuscule" as the remaining periods in the morning and in the

evening (see text for details).

Figure 3: Timing of the Activity Peak (TAP, time of the day when the activity peaks happen,

i.e., the time when the highest probability of activity occurs) for each situation of interest,

namely a combination of different levels of temperatures (blue: cold day; red: hot day),

spatial (dot: low exposure; triangle: high exposure) and temporal (empty symbol: weekdays;

filled symbol: weekends and public holidays; see also pictograms directly in the figure)

disturbance: a) morning peak and b) evening peak. TAP are calculated from predicted

circadian activity patterns of northern chamois of National Game and Hunting Reserve of Les

Bauges massif, in summer (15th June to 7th September, 2004-2020). Note the change of

interval on the y axis between figures, although the scale stays the same.

Figure 4: Peak Duration (PD, in minutes) for each situation of interest, namely a combination

of different levels of temperatures (blue: cold day; red: hot day), spatial (dot: low exposure;

triangle: high exposure) and temporal (empty symbol: weekdays; filled symbol: weekends

and public holidays; see also pictograms directly in the figure) disturbance: a) morning and b)

evening peak. PD are calculated from predicted circadian activity patterns of northern

chamois of National Game and Hunting Reserve of Les Bauges massif, in summer (15th June

to 7th September, 2004-2020).

Figure 5: predicted circadian activity patterns (activity ranges between 0 for inactivity, to 1

for full-time activity) of female northern chamois in the National Game and Hunting Reserve

of Les Bauges massif, in summer (15th June to 7th September, 2004-2020), according to

temperatures and human disturbance: a) cold day and b) hot day. In both panels, brown

represents chamois living in the least exposed areas during weekdays; green represents

chamois living in the least exposed areas during weekends and public holidays; orange

represents chamois living in the most exposed areas during weekdays; purple represents

chamois living in the most exposed areas during weekends and public holidays (see also

pictograms directly in the figure). Solid lines represent predicted values from the model,

shaded areas around the curves represent 95% confidence intervals. Background shades

represent the periods used to calculate the area under the curve for morning and evening

crepuscules (grey), daytime (yellow) and night-time (blue; see also pictograms directly in the

figure). Time of the day represents hours in Coordinated Universal Time (UTC).