At the core of salinity: convergent and divergent transcriptome response pathways to

neutral and alkaline salinity in natural populations of *Arabidopsis thaliana*

Maria Almira-Casellas[1], Sílvia Busoms[1*], Laura Pérez-Martín[1], Glòria Escolà[1], Álvaro López-Valiñas[2], Antoni

Garcia-Molina[3], Mercè Llugany[1*], Charlotte Poschenrieder[1]

[1]Plant Physiology Unit, Biosciences Faculty, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona (UAB), 08193 Bellaterra, Spain

[2]Unitat Mixta d'Investigació IRTA-UAB en Sanitat Animal, Centre de Recerca en Sanitat Animal (CReSA), Campus UAB,

08193 Bellaterra, Spain

[3]Centre for Research in Agricultural Genomics (CRAG), CSIC-IRTA-UAB-UB, Barcelona, Spain

*Corresponding author: Sílvia Busoms (ORCID 0000-0002-9917-7118; e-mail: silvia.busoms@uab.cat) and Mercè

Llugany (ORCID 0000-0002-9940-0383; e-mail: merce.llugany@uab.cat)

ORCID and e-mail of all authors:

Maria José Almira Casellas; 0000-0002-4035-8766; mariajose.almira@uab.cat

Laura Pérez-Martín; 0000-0001-6066-3939; laura.perezmartin@unige.ch

Glòria Escolà; 0000-0003-4231-4334; gloria.escola@uab.cat

Álvaro López-Valiñas; 0000-0002-7492-5108; alvaro.lopezv@irta.cat

Antoni Garcia; 0000-0002-7790-9736; antoni.garcia@cragenomica.es

Charlotte Poschenrieder; 0000-0002-3818-0874; charlotte.poschenrieder@uab.cat

Abstract

More than 70% of land's cultivated area is affected by alkaline salinity stress. Our previous research

focused on comparative studies of *Arabidopsis thaliana* demes with differential performance under

neutral (*neuSAL*) and alkaline salinity (*alkSAL*) due to local adaptation. Here, an integrated analysis

on leaf physiological, nutritional, endogenous phytohormonal and transcriptomic traits was performed

to understand differences in the molecular response mechanisms to each salinity type. Higher

sensitivity to alkSAL was observed in demes adapted to siliceous soils due to a decreased internal Fe

use efficiency, and sequence variation detected at β-CA1 and α-CA1 loci is proposed to contribute to

such Fe homeostasis imbalance. Moreover, dissection on DEGs shared by *neuSAL* and *alkSAL*

confirmed enhanced inhibition of central features on primary and secondary metabolism in these

demes under alkSAL. The cell wall and vacuolar β-galactosidase *BGAL4* was revealed as a candidate

for favoring stress-regulated cell wall rearrangement under *neuSAL* but not under *alkSAL*, due to pH-

restricted enzymatic activity. Weighted correlation network analysis confirmed the involvement of the

identified candidates in co-expression modules significantly correlating with favorable responses to

neuSAL and *alkSAL*. Overall, the present study provides useful insights into key targets for breeding

improvement in alkaline saline soils.

Keywords

Arabidopsis demes, abiotic stress, siliceous, alkaline salinity, transcriptome, WGCNA

Introduction

Saline stress is a major agricultural constraint and its co-occurrence with alkalinity is an arising

environmental concern, specially under arid and semiarid climates. Based on the most recent soil map

of the world by FAO/UNESCO, more than one million hectares of the Earth's land are affected by

alkaline salinity stress. This is the case of the Mediterranean region, where excess of soluble Na^+, Ca^{2+},

Mg^{2+}, K^+, CO_3^{2-} and $HCO3^-$ ions get to the superficial soil layers due to high evapotranspiration rates

(Singh 2021). Besides the induction of osmotic, ion and ROS stress by neutral salinity, alkaline salinity

exacerbates nutrient deficiency (Liu et al., 2021) and is reported to exert greater inhibition on plant

germination, growth, photosynthesis, and root system activity (Guo et al., 2010; Marconi et al. 2013;

Guo et al., 2017). Although there is increasing evidence that alkaline salinity is more detrimental for

overall plant performance than neutral salinity, the mechanisms driving such synergistic effects are

not yet clearly established. In this regard, more attention should be paid to the environmental factors

that generate local-scale diversity patterns in the model plant *Arabidopsis thaliana*, since

understanding natural genetic and phenotypic variation is essential for ecological and evolutionary

studies (Bomblies et al., 2010).

Genetic differentiation occurs at all geographic scales in *A. thaliana*, and the study of local populations

provides a valuable genetic tool for detecting adaptive traits (Bakker et al., 2006). To this end,

differences in tolerance to salinity and moderate levels of carbonate as individual stress factors have

been described among natural populations of *A. thaliana* in the NE region of Catalonia, Spain (Busoms

et al. 2015; Terés et al. 2019). Although T6[CS] and Ro2[CS] were shown to perform better under salinity

on siliceous soils than V1[PC] and LM2[PC], it was proven that tolerance to neutral salinity does not confer

tolerance to alkaline salinity in the studied individuals, and that populations from soils with

intermediate salinity and carbonate levels performed better under alkaline salinity stress due to

enhanced phenotypic plasticity (Pérez-Martín et al., 2022). However, the transcriptomic basis of such

differential responses were not explored. Plants are exposed to the co-occurrence of biotic and abiotic

stresses in their natural habitats but the effects of combined stresses at the genetic level are much less

extensively reviewed than plant responses to single stress factors. Still, there are several studies

targeting the existing interconnection of signaling pathways that regulate the coordination of plant

responses to different stresses (Sharma et al., 2018; Hosseini et al., 2021; Georgii et al., 2017; Suzuki

et al., 2016; Forieri et al., 2017; Rasmussen et al., 2013), whose results highlight that combinatorial

stress triggers responses not evoked by single stressors.

Despite the scarcity of studies on the effects of co-occurrence of salinization and alkalization at the

transcriptomic level in *Arabidopsis*, transcriptome responses to alkaline salinity have been assessed in

several crops. In cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.), shared responses in transcripts involved in ion

homeostasis were observed under NaCl and Na_2CO_3 conditions, while differential expression of genes

involved in starch and sucrose metabolism was reported to be exclusive of Na_2CO_3 stress due to high

pH (Zhang et al., 2018). Transcriptomics on alkalinity-tolerant and sensitive wheat varieties treated

for nine days with 100 mM mixed salts (9:1 $NaHCO_3$:Na_2CO_3 at pH 8.90) revealed enhanced ability

of nutrient uptake, intracellular pH regulation and ROS scavenging activation as the main traits

conferring tolerance to alkaline salinity (Sun et al., 2020). Similarly, recent transcriptome analysis in

Brassica napus revealed higher expression of genes from carbohydrate metabolism, photosynthetic

processes, ROS regulating, and response to salt stress attributing tolerance to alkaline salinity (Meng

et al., 2017). Enhanced antioxidant capacity was also reported to be a major player in the tolerance

response of alfalfa to saline-alkaline stress (An et al., 2016). In rice, alkaline tolerant and sensitive

cultivars were compared at the transcriptomic level under 0.5% Na_2CO_3 solution and pH 11, and

differentially expressed genes from plant hormone signal transduction pathway were primarily related

to the tolerance response (Li et al., 2018).

Linking changes in gene expression profiles to functional consequences is the ultimate goal of

transcriptomic analysis on stress tolerance-related traits (Yan et al., 2020). The combination of

physiological studies with transcriptomic analyses provides a deeper understanding of biological

processes (Yang et al., 2022) and, based on the available studies, some of which are reported above,

nutrient homeostasis and changes in endogenous phytohormone levels are considered among the most

important mechanisms conferring tolerance in the context of neutral and alkaline salinity. While

maintaining nutrient homeostasis starts from ion sensing, uptake, transport, and activation of response

mechanisms through regulation of gene networks (Farooq et al., 2018), the alteration in the content of

phytohormone implies a specific crosstalk between endogenous plant growth regulators. This leads to

the triggering of signal transduction pathways in response to external conditions and can affect

germination (Atici et al., 2005), morphology of root and aerial structures (Mao et al., 2018, Wen et al.,

2018, Song et al., 2019), and reproduction, specially flowering (Guo et al., 2019, Shu et al., 2018, Bao

et al., 2019). These considerations reinforce the idea that differences in mineral nutrition and

phytohormone profile orchestrate adaptive processes in populations grown on specific local

environments by modulating their growth and reproductive responses.

Here, we conduct a transcriptomic profiling experiment to analyze plant responses to neutral and

alkaline salinity stresses to identify the levels and functions of stress regulatory networks. To this end,

4 *A. thaliana* local populations (demes) from contrasted origin were used: 2 from coastal siliceous

soils (cs), NaCl-rich and pH between 5.7-6.2; and 2 from pre-litoral calcareous soils (pa), considered

non-saline and with moderate carbonate content (10-15%). The 4 demes were subjected to neutral

(neuSAL) and alkaline salinity (alkSAL) under the same light and temperature conditions. The

physiological and transcriptomic responses of the 4 demes under each type of salinity are analyzed,

and convergent and singular metabolic pathways involved in each type of salinity are

described. Finally, co-expression network analysis correlating transcriptomics with physiological data

is performed to identify hub pathways in shared and exclusive responses to neutral and alkaline
salinity.

Results & Discussion

Four *A. thaliana* demes differing in adaptation to soil salinity type were used. Two demes from coastal
siliceous soils (T6[CS] and Ro2[CS]) and two demes from pre litoral-calcareous soils (V1[PC], LM2[PC]) were
grown on potting mix soil irrigated with *neuSAL* (100 mM NaCl, pH 5.9) or *alkSAL* (75 mM NaCl; 15
mM NaHCO$_3$, pH 8.3) for 2 weeks. Figures S1 and S2 detail the geographic location and source of the
studied demes and the study workflow, respectively.

3.   Physiological responses of A. thaliana demes under neutral and alkaline salinity
To see if the adaptation to saline-siliceous soils in T6[CS] and Ro2[CS] (neutral salinity) and to non-saline
carbonated soils in V1[PC], LM2[PC] (moderately calcareous) confer tolerance to alkaline salinity, the
physiological, nutritional, and phytohormonal responses of the studied demes were assessed.
Multivariate analyses of all measured variables were performed to establish and verify the association
between traits and plant stress tolerance.

Demes from coastal siliceous soils display reduced growth and photosynthesis under alkaline salinity

Overall, *neuSAL* and *alkSAL* caused 50% decrease in relative rosette diameter (RD) [(RD$_{Treatment}$)/
(RD$_{Control}$)] regardless of deme and treatment, although LM2[PC] showed significantly better growth
maintenance than the other demes under alkSAL conditions (*p*-value ≤ 0.05, Tukey's HSD) (Figure
1A; Dataset S1). The chlorophyll (Chl) fluorescence parameter, Fv/Fm, reflects the maximum
quantum efficiency of PSII photochemistry in the dark-adapted state and has been widely used for the
detection of early stress responses in plants. Under control and *neuSAL* conditions, no significant
differences in Fv/Fm among demes were observed and mean Fv/Fm values were comprised in the
reading considered as optimal (between 0.79 and 0.84) for stress free plants (Maxwell and Johnson
2004). Under *alkSAL*, T6[CS] displayed a dramatic decrease in F_v/F_m values (mean $F_v/F_m = 0.520$) when
compared to the rest, while V1[PC] (mean $F_v/F_m = 0.770$) and LM2[PC] (mean $F_v/F_m = 0.820$) showed better
F_v/F_m maintenance (*p*-value ≤ 0.05, Tukey's HSD). Contrarily, under *neuSAL*, T6[CS] and Ro2[CS] (0.860
and 0.810, respectively) displayed significantly higher F_v/F_m values than V1[PC] (0.740) and LM2[PC]
(0.730) (Figure 1B; Dataset S2). Reduction of total photosynthetic activity is a common feature of
plant stress, and the results confirm that the ability to maintain the photosynthetic capacity is a good
indicator of tolerance to either *neuSAL* or *alkSAL* in *A. thaliana*. These observations point to a better
performance of demes from pre litoral calcareous soils (V1[PC], LM2[PC]) under *alkSAL* in terms of growth

maintenance and photosynthesis, and agree with the severe reduction in rosette diameter previously

reported on coastal demes under *alkSAL* (Pérez-Martín et al., 2022).

K^+ and Fe uptake efficiency are indicators of plant tolerance to salinity

Mean-standardized values (– 1< value >1) of leaf and soil elemental contents were used for the

analysis. Overall, significantly differentiated leaf nutrient profiles (*p*-value ≤ 0.05, Tukey's HSD)

were observed for all demes in most elements under control, *neuSAL* and *alkSAL* treatments (Figure

1C; Figure S3A; Datasets S3). Whereas nutrient profiles were clearly genotype-specific under control

conditions, *neuSAL* highlighted a significantly higher K^+ levels in T6^{CS} and Ro2^{CS} when compared to

V1^{PC} and LM2^{PC}, on one hand, and opposite trends in leaf Na^+ accumulation in coastal demes, on the

other: T6^{CS} accumulated significantly less leaf Na^+ when compared to all other demes, but Ro2^{CS}

increased leaf Na^+ due to reported allelic variation at the HKT1;1 locus, which leads to higher shoot

Na^+ accumulation in individuals bearing the weak *HKT1;1^{HLS}* allele (Rus et al., 2006; Busoms et al.,

2018). Still, highest leaf Na^+ accumulation under *neuSAL* was reported in V1^{PC} (*p*-value = 1,40E-04;

Tukey's HSD). Under *alkSAL*, V1^{PC} outrated all other demes in leaf nutritional status, while LM2^{PC}

displayed a general significant reduction in leaf nutrient concentration.

V1^{PC} and LM2^{PC} have been previously classified as good performers under *alkSAL* based on growth,

reproductive and physiological traits when compared to coastal T6^{CS} and Ro2^{CS}, and the most tolerance

phenotypes under neutral and alkaline salinity were observed for T6 and V1, respectively (Pérez-

Martín et al., 2022). Therefore, nutrient ratios with reported biological importance under salinity

conditions were compared in T6^{CS} – as tolerant to *neuSAL* but sensitive to *alkSAL* – and V1^{PC} – as

sensitive to *neuSAL* but good performer under *alkSAL*, to further explain the implications of the

differential nutrient accumulation under each type of salinity (Figure 1D; Dataset S4). Na:K ratio in

T6^{CS} (1.51) was significantly lower (*p*-value ≤ 0.05, Tukey's HSD) than V1^{PC} (2.88) under *neuSAL*,

but higher (2.56 and 1.86 for T6^{CS} and V1^{PC}, respectively) under *alkSAL*. It has been previously

concluded that salt tolerance is driven more by a plants ability to retain K^+ under salt stress, than its

ability to simply exclude Na^+ ions (Wang et al., 2007; Shabala & Cuin, 2008). The Na:Ca ratio

followed the same trend as Na:K, being significantly lower in T6^{CS} (1.49) than in V1^{PC} (2.88) under

neuSAL. Cellular Na^+ and Ca cell transport pathways are linked via SOS2 (a ser/thr protein kinase

activated by SOS3, a calcium sensor protein), as SOS2 regulates SOS1 and NHX1 (plasma membrane

and tonoplast Na^+/H^+ antiporters) but also CAX1 (a vacuolar Ca/H^+ antiporter) (Cheng et al., 2004).

Decreasing Na:Ca ratio is shown to enhance K^+ uptake and reduce Na^+ uptake, ultimately decreasing

Na:K (Garg et al., 2015). This seems to be the case for V1^{PC} under *alkSAL* but not under *neuSAL*,

which might be causing its sensitivity to neutral but not to alkaline salinity. Moreover, Fe uptake

efficiency is critical in a context of alkalinity, as high pH decreases Fe availability and leads to plant

chlorosis (Hsieh et al., 2016). Under *neuSAL*, the Fe:Mn ratio was increased in T6^{CS} (0.77) due to a

higher leaf Fe accumulation when compared to V1[PC] (0.01 vs -0.20). Under *alkSAL*, the maintenance

of Fe:Mn despite the high increase in leaf Mn concentration displayed by V1[PC] (0.30) indicates higher

Fe uptake or translocation efficiency (Figure 1D). This suggests that T6[CS] – adapted to moderate levels

of neutral salinity - could be hampered by the alkalinity component of *alkSAL*. Overall, the observed

response of each deme to *alkSAL* seems driven by other factors than the Na^+ content in their native

soils, as it is the case for *neuSAL* (Busoms et al., 2018), and reinforces the statement that tolerance to

salinity in siliceous soils does not confer tolerance to alkaline salinity (Pérez-Martín et al., 2022).

Differential auxin, ABA and CKs alkaline-elicitation is origin-dependent

Considering that regulation of endogenous phytohormone levels is one of the most important described

mechanisms that confer tolerance to a wide spectrum of abiotic stresses (Zhang et al., 2019),

endogenous phytohormones were quantified in leaf tissue of the studied demes under each treatment.

Mean relative concentrations of the analyzed phytohormones can be found in Dataset S5. An increase

in all analyzed phytohormones but SA was observed in all demes under *neuSAL* and *alkSAL* when

compared to control [phytohormone]$_T$ / [phytohormone]$_C$ (Figure 2; Figure S3B). IAA concentration

was higher (p-value ≤ 0.01, Student's *t*-Test) under *alkSAL* than under *neuSAL*, and coastal demes had

higher leaf IAA under *alkSAL* (Figure 2A). It has been shown that the role of auxin under salinity in

Arabidopsis is specific to ionic stress (Cacket et al., 2022). A significantly higher ACC accumulation

under *alkSAL* when compared to *neuSAL* was observed, but no significant differences in leaf ACC

concentration between demes were observed under any of the treatments (Figure S3B). Thus, the

differential tolerance to NaCl and $NaHCO_3$ among demes may not be attributed to their different

abilities to synthesize ACC. For ABA, higher mean relative concentrations were found under *neuSAL*

when compared to *alkSAL* in coastal demes (mean ABA$_{neuSAL}$ = 2.9 and 1.8; mean ABA$_{alkSAL}$ = 1.2 and

1.1 for T6[CS] and Ro2[CS], respectively), whereas in V1[PC] and LM2[PC] higher ABA concentration was

observed in *alkSAL* (mean ABA$_{neuSAL}$ = 4.2 and 2.8; mean ABA$_{alkSAL}$ = 3.9 and 3.3 for V1[PC] and LM2[PC],

respectively) (Figure 2B). Salinity-induced ABA biosynthesis causing stomatal closure, reducing

stomatal conductance and water consumption, and promoting overall plant tolerance to salinity is well

reported (Lovelli et al., 2012). Quantification of endogenous JA revealed a significantly higher leaf JA

concentration in plants submitted to *alkSAL* when compared to *neuSAL*, without differences among

demes (Figure S3). JA biosynthesis under salinity is well reported in plants and can either trigger the

expression of JA-related genes or physiological responses like activation of the antioxidant system,

accumulation of amino acids and soluble sugars or regulation of stomatal opening (Wang et al., 2020).

SA was the only analyzed phytohormone displaying a significant decrease in relative endogenous

concentration under *neuSAL* and *alkSAL* when compared to control (Figure S3), which supports

previous observations on ABA-SA and JA-SA antagonistic signaling (Kazan & Manners, 2012;

Moeder et al., 2010). Finally, CKs quantification showed significant accumulation of KA-like

compounds only in coastal demes under *neuSAL* (Figure 2C). Kinetin (N6-furfurylaminopurine) has

never been found in plants, but naturally occurring kinetin-like substances – mainly *trans*-zeatin – with

the same role as KA are usually quantified (Barciszewski et al., 1999).

Here, we report an alkalinity-elicitation of auxin biosynthetic processes in T6^{CS} and Ro2^{CS}, and of

ABA in V1^{PC} and LM2^{PC}. While enhanced auxin accumulation is possibly involved in T6^{CS} and Ro2^{CS}

smaller rosette size, higher ABA accumulation seems to contribute to the enhanced response of non-

saline calcareous demes V1^{PC} and LM2^{PC} to *alkSAL*, as ABA increases water use efficiency and boosts

plant antioxidant activity (Cardoso et al., 2020). The biosynthesis of increased KA-like compounds,

exclusively triggered in coastal demes by *neuSAL*, might help the plant to alleviate the negative impact

of neutral salinity stress by improving ion homeostasis, chlorophyll content or ROS scavenging

activity, as observed with exogenous KA application in *Salvia officinalis* (Tounekti et al., 2011).

Multivariate analyses reveal new players defining the alkalinity component of salinity

Multivariate analyses on all measured traits were performed for all samples by means of Principal

Component (PCA) and Multiple Pearson Correlation (MPC) analyses. The PCA showed that

eigenvalues of the two first principal components represented up to 48% (PC1 25%; PC2 23%) of the

total variance (*p*-value = 1.13E-09 for PC1 and 0.0002 for PC2 (Dataset S6). A general look at the

PC1–PC2 axes plane plot (Fig 3A) shows that alkalinity contribute to the construction of component

1 (samples submitted to neutral or alkaline salinity differentiate along the x-axis), whereas salinity

contributes to PC2 construction (control samples differentiate from *neuSAL* and *alkSAL* samples along

the y-axis). The loading matrix revealed that well correlated mineral nutrient traits (leaf Zn and leaf

Mg), as well as endogenous JA, were the variables contributing to PC1 with a loading factor above

0,70 (Dataset S7). In fact, *alkSAL* samples contained significantly higher Zn than *neuSAL* samples (*p*-

value ≤ 0.05, Tukey's HSD) (Figure 3B). In turn, MPC results showed that Zn displayed the highest

correlation with Mg and JA under *alkSAL* (R^2 = 0.8; R^2 = 0.6), but correlation with Mg was lost (R^2 =

0.15), and correlation with JA was inverted (R^2 = -0.48) under *neuSAL* (Figure 3C).

Leaf Na$^+$, leaf K$^+$ and leaf B contributed to the construction of component 2, being leaf B dramatically

decreased in the presence of salinity regardless of neutral or alkaline (Figure 3D). MPC showed how

Na-B correlated negatively under *neuSAL* (R^2 = - 0.42) but positively under *alkSAL* (R^2 = 0.35),

whereas no correlation was found in control (R^2 = 0.03) (Figure 3E). While co-toxicity of salinity and

B is reported (Pandey et al., 2019), few studies on neutral or alkaline salinity causing B deficiency are

found. Boron alleviates salinity and saline-sodicity stress by improving leaf water and soluble

carbohydrate contents as well as maintaining osmotic potential (Yousefi et al., 2020; Mehmood et al.,

2009). Remarkably, V1 displayed the highest B and K$^+$ maintenance under *alkSAL* and the highest B

and K$^+$ deficiency under *neuSAL* (Figure 3E-F).

In summary, the reported results suggest that growth and PSII efficiency maintenance are indicators
of differential tolerance to neutral and to alkaline salinity in the studied demes. Whereas neutral salinity
tolerance in coastal individuals is driven by a decreased Na^+ shoot translocation in T6^{CS} and by the
ability to retain K^+ in Ro2^{CS}, the maintenance of leaf K^+ homeostasis by increasing leaf Ca^{2+}
accumulation is a requirement for a better response to alkaline salinity. Moreover, enhanced tolerance
to *alkSAL* in V1^{PC} is partially explained by higher Fe, B and K^+ internal use efficiency. Differential
modulation of auxin, ABA and CKs among genotypes is likely involved in tolerance to either *neuSAL*
or *alkSAL* in the studied demes; and differences in leaf Zn concentration under *neuSAL* and *alkSAL*
may affect endogenous phytohormone content through the stimulation of tryptophan biosynthesis,
which is a precursor of indoleacetic acid and a potential conjugate of IAA and JA.

2. Transcriptome profiling of A. thaliana under neutral and alkaline salinity
275
Leaf transcriptome profiling of the 4 demes cultivated under control, *neuSAL* or *alkSAL* conditions
was performed to characterize the mechanisms underlying the differential response to neutral and
alkaline salinity at the gene expression level. Multi-Dimensional Scaling (MDS) was used to visualize
global transcriptional similarity among samples (Figure 4A). The transcriptome of each sample was
shaped by deme and colored by treatment. Accordingly, individuals showed higher transcriptional
similarity between samples corresponding to the same treatment, indicating that the studied
transcriptomic response is treatment specific.

Differentially expressed genes (DEGs) per deme and treatment were declared based on absolute $\log_2$
fold-change (FC) > 2 under each treatment compared to control conditions (*neuSAL*/Control,
alkSAL/Control) (*q*-value < 0.05, Wald test). When considering all demes, a total of 6164 DEGs (2559
up-regulated and 3605 down-regulated) were identified under neuSal and 10487 DEGs (4995 up-
regulated and 5492 down-regulated) under *alkSAL*. A 65% of total DEGs from *neuSAL* were found in
T6^{CS} and V1^{PC}, while 29% and 34% in Ro2^{CS} and LM2^{PC}. Under *alkSAL*, T6^{CS}, Ro2^{CS}, V1^{PC} and LM2^{PC}
displayed 1.9, 4.1, 2.2 and 1.53-times more DEGs than under *neuSAL*. Under *alkSAL*, T6^{CS}, still
displayed the most altered transcriptome, followed by Ro2^{CS} (73% and 68% of total *alkSAL* DEGs,
respectively), while V1^{PC} and LM2^{PC} transcriptomic response was more attenuated (58% and 44% of
total DEGs) (Figure 4B-C).

Exclusive and shared molecular components of neutral and alkaline salinity

To gain more insight into commonalities and particularities between treatments, the subset of DEGs
shared by at least 3 out of 4 demes in *neuSAL* and *alkSAL* were selected and merged, thus obtaining
individual and common DEGs under both treatments (Figure 4D). On the one hand, 345 of DEGs were

found in response to *neuSAL* but not to *alkSAL* and were referred as *onlyNEUSAL* DEGs set (Dataset 9). From these, 141 and 186 (41% and 54% of transcripts, respectively) were commonly upregulated or downregulated among demes, and 18 (5%) showed ambiguous expression trends depending on the deme (Figure 5A). On the other hand, 3585 DEGs changed under *alkSAL* but not under *neuSAL* and were referred to as *onlyALKSAL* DEGs set (Dataset 10). From these, 1621 and 1935 (45% and 54% of transcripts, respectively) were upregulated or downregulated, and only a minimal fraction of 29 (0,8%) showed opposite expression trends in at least 1 deme (Figure 5B). Finally, the 1219 of the selected DEGs were shared under both *neuSAL* and *alkSAL* and were referred as *coreSAL* DEGs set (Dataset 11). From these, 406 (65%) were upregulated, 786 (33%) downregulated and 27 (2%) with opposite expression trends in at least one deme (Figure 7A). It is well known that plants facing complex stress conditions trigger cascade of signals unique to specific stress components as well as shared responses (Zandalinas et al., 2020; Skalak et al., 2021). Studies assessing *Arabidopsis* response to combinatorial stresses described antagonistic expression trends for only 5% to 10% of transcripts, which is in line with the expression trends found for each DEGs subset described above. Moreover, 86% of DEGs from the *coreSAL* were found in the 4 studied demes (Figure S4), which reveals that contribution to the *coreSAL* DEGs set is high for all studied demes and, thus, similar strategies in the studied individuals lead to differential responses to *neuSAL* and *alkSAL*.

The overall results obtained from DEG analysis and classification provide evidence that the stress imposed on plants by alkaline salinity is distinct from that imposed by salinity in a neutral soil (Guo et al., 2010) and that alkaline salinity involves more complex processes than neutral salinity et the transcriptomic level (Fang et al., 2021).

KEGG Erichment analysis of onlyNEUSAL and onlyALKSAL DEGs

Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathway analysis was performed in onlyNEUSAL and onlyALKSAL DEGs to identify which categories were significantly enriched in metabolic pathways (q-value < 0.05), and thus target the presence of alkalinity-dependent salinity effects in the studied demes. *onlyNEUSAL* and *onlyALKSAL* enriched pathways are listed in Dataset 12.

Upregulated transcripts in onlyNEUSAL and onlyALKSAL reveal higher demand for antioxidant protection under alkaline salinity. In *onlyNEUSAL*, only 2 pathways containing 6 and 2 upregulated transcripts were significantly enriched: "ribosome biogenesis" and "anthocyanin biosynthesis". This could indicate that expression of such transcripts is triggered by the NaCl component, likely Cl^- ion toxicity, which is present in higher extent under *neuSAL* than under *alkSAL* is reported to be the most significant toxic component of the saline solution in some species (Munns & Tester, 2008) and, more specifically, strongly decreases ribosome stability (Brady et al., 1984). The role of anthocyanin accumulation in the positive regulation of tolerance mechanisms to abiotic factors

is well reviewed (Perea-Resa et al., 2017; Naing & Kim, 2021; Kubra et al., 2021; Zhang et al., 2019),

among others, has been reported. Here, activation of anthocyanin biosynthesis genes (AT4G14090 and

AT5G54060) could take place for scavenging ROS excess due to plant oxidative damage (Naing &

Kim, 2021). In *onlyALKSAL*, the most enriched pathways with up-regulated transcripts were

phenylpropanoid biosynthesis (25 DEGs) and glutathione metabolism (18 DEGs) (Figure S5).

Phenylpropanoid metabolism is elicited by abiotic stresses such as drought and salinity and, moreover,

is reported to contribute to salinity-alkalinity tolerance (Gan et al., 2021). The pH-dependent increase

in expression of DEGs from phenylpropanoid and glutathione signaling pathways is in line with that

reported in recent studies (Waters et al., 2018, Gan et al., 2021; Han et al., 2018).

The above results point to a pH-dependent response in the studied demes and a higher requirement of

the plant antioxidant machinery in the presence of alkaline salinity, which would lead to an enhanced

activation of transcripts from the phenylpropanoid and glutathione metabolism.

Convergence and divergence of endogenous phytohormonal pathways under neutral and

alkaline salinity. "Phytohormonal signaling" was the most KEGG enriched pathway containing

downregulated transcripts in *onlyNEUSAL*. Plants defense system to abiotic stressors is built, among

others, by phytohormone biosynthesis, signaling and metabolism (Yu et al., 2020). Therefore, the

contribution of phytohormone signaling to the context dependence (i.e. salinity type) of plant responses

was assessed. To identify if different salinity types lead to different strategies to integrate exogenous

stress signals with endogenous developmental cues in the studied demes, total DEGs enriched in "plant

hormone signal transduction" were further analyzed (Dataset 13).

First, a global overview of physical interactions according to STRING of DEGs involved in

phytohormone signaling under *neuSAL* and *alkSAL* was performed (Figure 6A). DEGs lists were

dissected according to phytohormone classes (Figure 6B-L). Inhibition of Small Auxin Up RNA

(SAUR) proteins was present in *coreSAL,* and transcripts displayed an average of x1.5 times enhanced

downregulation under *alkSAL* when compared to *neuSAL* (q-value < 0.05; Student t-Test) (Figure 6B).

SAURs are known for inhibiting PP2C proteins (2C-type Protein Phosphatases), which increases

plasma membrane (PM) H$^+$-ATPase activity. This process plays an important role in response to

alkaline salinity, as PM H$^+$-ATPase activity promotes cell wall acidification (Spartz et al., 2014).

Moreover, some SAURs have been reported to activate proton extrusion involved in the Fe-deficiency

response (Sun et al., 2020).

Twelve DEGs from the Ethylene Responsive Factor family (APETALA2/Ethylene Responsive

Element Binding Factor – AP2/ERF - superfamily), were found. From these, ERF2, 5 and 6, belonging

to the ERF-IXs clade and reported to suffer a continuous induction upon salinity (Xie et al., 2019)

were significantly downregulated under *neuSAL* but activated under *alkSAL* (Figure 6C).

The expression pattern of DREBs - another major family from the AP2/ERF superfamily –revealed a

strong upregulation in 5 DREBs in the *coreSAL* response, with an average of x2 times higher activation

under *alkSAL* (Figure 6D). Among them, DREB1A and DREB2C, reported as two of the DREBs

containing the most types of abiotic stress-related motifs in the promoter region (Xie et al., 2019), were

found. The induction of DREBs in the *coreSAL* response provides further evidence that DREBs form

a central response network to control salinity (Sazegari et al., 2015).

The ABA signaling pathway under salinity stress is well described: when facing osmotic pressure,

ABA receptors PYR/PLY/RCAR sense the increase in ABA levels and bind to PP2Cs. Levels of

transcripts encoding ABA receptors – PYL3, 5, 10, 12 – and ABA responsive genes –ABI2, ABI3,

ABI5 - were only significantly upregulated by *alkSAL* (Figure 6E-F), where 'PP2CG1' and 'PP2C5'

upregulation was also observed (Figure 6G). This supports the involvement of ABA-dependent

signaling transcripts in the response to *alkSAL* in the studied demes.

The significant upregulation of ABA receptors (PYLs) and ABA responsive genes in *onlyALKSAL*

correlated with an enhanced inhibition of JAs responsive transcripts LOXs (LOX2, LOX6) and MYCs

(MYC1, MYC4) (Figure 6H). Antagonistic interactions between ABA and JA signaling pathways by

MYCs in the modulation of abiotic stress-responsive gene expression have been described (Anderson

et al., 2004; Kazan & Manners, 2013; Sah et al., 2016). Moreover, the expression of JAZs (repressors

of JA response) like JAZ1 and JAZ8 was inhibited under *neuSAL* but induced in *alkSAL* (Figure 6I).

The results above suggest that *alkSAL* elicits ABA and JA intercross, whereas hormonal signaling

responses are attenuated under *neuSAL*. The increase in JA accumulation together with increase of

JAZ-mediated MYC repression seems to be a bicarbonate-specific balance mechanism that cannot be

predicted from plant responses to neutral salinity.

In CK signaling, CK receptors (AHKs) perceive CKs, phosphotransferase proteins (AHPs) transfer

phosphate groups from AHKs autophosphorylation to type-B ARRs and ARRs initiate the modulation

of expression of downstream genes. A significant downregulation of ARRs and AHKs but

upregulation of CRFs (CRF4-7) and AHPs were observed in the *coreSAL* response (Figure 6J). This

supports the direct CRF-AHP binding independently from ARRs (Yu et al., 2021). Finally, in the

Gibberellin (GA) signaling pathway, all downregulated DEGs belong to the DELLA protein family.

DELLAS RGL2, RGL3 and GAI were downregulated only under *alkSAL* (Figure 6K). DELLAs are

negative regulators of GA signaling and the key repressors of almost all GA responses. The

involvement of WRKYs – a big family of transcription factors - in ABA and GA signaling is well

known (Rushton et al., 1995), and direct interaction of DELLA and WRKY members has been found (Zhang et al., 2018; Chen et al., 2017). Here, significant activation of 22 WRKYs under *alkSAL* was observed in the 4 demes, while attenuated response under *neuSAL* for all transcripts and demes was shown (Figure 6L). Among them, enhancers of the osmotic, ROS and hormone signaling pathways under salinity stress have been recently observed (Price et al., 2022).

Overall, DEGs analysis on all phytohormone signaling under *neuSAL* and *alkSAL* provided further evidence that there is high natural variation in the transcriptional regulation of genes involved in salinity-triggered phytohormone responses, likely driven by alkalinity. In this regard, a complex interplay seems to take place in the core response to salinity, in which central regulatory switches such as PP2Cs might link auxin (through SAURs) to ABA/ET-independent (through DREBs) signaling pathways). Moreover, dynamics in the expression of AP2/ERFs, which show an inhibition under *neuSAL* but activation under *alkSAL* of clade IX ERFs, likely hamper ABA-dependent signaling under *neuSAL* (Hsieh et al., 2013). This supports a signature of salinity-type responses mediated by ET/ABA signaling and highlights the flexibility of the ET pathway in the response to salinity stress type (Llanes et al., 2016). The activation of the ABA-dependent signaling cascade (through ABIs, PYLs and PYRs) under *alkSAL* but not under *neuSAL* potentially contributes to further differentiation between salinity types by negative regulation of JA signaling. This could constitute a mechanism of minimizing JA inhibitory effect on plant growth and avoid further biomass loss under *alkSAL* in an attempt of acclimation process (Riemann et al., 2015). More importantly, maintaining ABA accumulation could be a requirement under *alkSAL* for PP2Cs inhibition and thus PM H^+-ATPases activation, which would allow stress-responsive adjustment of plasma membrane proton fluxes (Spartz et al., 2014). If so, the abrupt decay in ABA levels observed in coastal demes in *alkSAL* would lead to reduced cell pH homeostasis. Moreover, increased leaf PM H^+-ATPase activity is reported to favor cell expansion and carbon sink strength (Sibole et al., 2005), enhancing CO_2 assimilation. This would agree with the lower photosynthate deficiency (Munns, 1993) observed in V1[PC] and LM2[PC] under *alkSAL*, together with a better maintenance of cytosolic pH and enhanced *alkSAL* tolerance. The significantly higher accumulation of KA-like substances in coastal demes under *neuSAL* supports the role of CK signaling in the differential plant response to *neuSAL* and *alkSAL* by ARRs-independent modulation. Finally, the neutral and alkaline salinity response in the studied demes converge in the activation of GA signaling through WRKYs regulation, which suggests a salinity response modulated by WRKYs activation of phytohormone and ROS signaling processes rather than an ABA-dependent stabilization of DELLAs, as generally reported (Achard et al., 2006; Julkowska & Testerink, 2015).

KEGG Erichment analysis of coreSAL DEGs

448 KEGG pathway analysis was performed in *coreSAL* DEGs to identify significantly enriched metabolic
449 pathways (*q*-value < 0.05) in the general salinity stress response and the presence of genotype-
450 dependent salinity effects in the studied demes. *coreSAL* enriched pathways are listed in Dataset 14.
451

452   *coreSAL transcript activation reflects shared salinity stress responses.* The significantly
enriched pathways in the *coreSAL* set were "Ribosome biogenesis in eukaryotes", "Protein processing
in endoplasmic reticulum" and "Cutin, suberin and wax biosynthesis" (Figure 5C). Ribosome
biogenesis and protein synthesis in the ER are the two pathways comprising cellular processes with
highest metabolic cost (Piazzi et al., 2019). Here, we report a consistent upregulation of genes involved
in pre rRNA and RNA processing and maturation (FIB2, PWP2, AT4G04940, AT1G67120,
AT3G06530), nucleolytic cleavage (AT5G22100), and cell differentiation (AT2G18900) in all 4
demes under neutral and alkaline salinity, followed by the activation of 11 Heat Shock Proteins –
which encode for molecular chaperones that interact with ribosomes ensuring their stability (Piazzi et
al., 2019) (Figure S6A). This indicates nucleolar stress (Yang et al., 2018) triggered by both neuSAL
and alkSAL that leads to the activation of the protein synthetic machinery in the studied demes (Figure
S6B). Moreover, enhanced activation of 2 genes involved in suberin deposition (FAR1, FAR5) –
previously reported to be transcriptionally induced by salinity (Domergue et al., 2010) - were observed
in all demes under *neuSAL* and *alkSAL* (Figure S6C), which suggests that increase of suberin
deposition may enhance tolerance to neutral and alkaline salinity (Zhang et al., 2021).
467

468   *coreSAL transcript inhibition reveals differential sensitivities to alkSAL in the studied demes.*
The significantly enriched pathways containing down-regulated transcripts detected in the *coreSAL* set
were 'Photosynthesis' and 'Photosynthesis antenna proteins', 'Glucosinolate biosynthesis'
'Glyoxylate and dicarboxylate metabolism', 'Carbon fixation', 'Carbon metabolism', '2-
Oxocarboxylic acid metabolism', 'Nitrogen metabolism', and 'Glycine, serine and threonine
metabolism' (Figure 5C; Dataset 14). T6^{CS} suffered the highest inhibition of all DEGs from
"Photosynthesis" and "photosynthesis antenna proteins" (an average of 1.5 and x1.8 times more
downregulation than V1^{PC} and LM2^{PC}, respectively), followed by Ro2^{CS} in 2 transcripts: PSAH1-2 (an
average of x1.5 times more downregulation in T6^{CS}, Ro2^{CS} when compared to V1^{PC} and LM2^{PC} under
alkSAL) (Figure 7A). PSAH1 and PSAH2 encode subunits H of photosystem I reaction center and
their up-regulation under neutral salinity has been reported in halophytes (Hao et al., 2020). The
downregulation of photosynthesis-related transcripts in the *coreSAL* response agrees with previous
transcriptome studies under neutral salinity reporting a correlation between down regulation of antenna
proteins and higher salt sensitivity (Guo et al., 2017; Jing et al., 2019). Similarly, DEGs from
"glucosinolate biosynthesis" and a DEG subset from "carbon metabolism" displayed an average of
x1.7 times more downregulation in T6^{CS} and Ro2^{CS} when compared to V1^{PC} and LM2^{PC} under *alkSAL*
(Figure 7B). Glucosinolates are secondary metabolites mainly found in Brassicaceae. Downregulation
of genes involved in glucosinolates biosynthesis has been reported under several types of salts
(Aghajanzadeh et al., 2017). A previous study (Pérez-Martín et al., 2021) characterized the response

to bicarbonate exposure of T6^{CS} and A1$_i$ – as a carbonate sensitive and carbonate tolerant deme,
respectively – and reported the downregulation of several GSTU genes involved in glucosinolate
metabolism only in T6^{CS}. Two Ribulose Biphosphate Carboxylase Subunits (RBCS1-2B), an
Hydroxypyruvate Reductase (HPR) and a Fumarase (FUM2) comprised the DEG subset from "carbon
metabolism" sharing expression pattern with the glucosinolate pathway in coastal demes (Figure 7C).
The last three KEGG pathways significantly enriched in the downregulated transcript set from *coreSAL*
were "glyoxylate and decarboxylate metabolism" (19 DEGs), "glycine, serine and threonine
metabolism" (10 DEGs) and "nitrogen metabolism" (8 DEGs) (Figure S7; Dataset 12). *PGLP1*
(AT5G36700, from the glyoxylate decarboxylate metabolism) suffered x1.4 times more
downregulation in coastal demes under *alkSAL*. PGLP1 is one of the core metabolite repair enzymes
of plant photosynthetic carbon assimilation, as it degrades 2-phosphoglycolate (2PG) for its
reconversion to Calvin cycle metabolites (Flügel et al., 2017). After 2PG degradation to glycolate,
glyoxylate is generated, ultimately being catalyzed to glycine (Dellero et al., 2016). Thus, *coreSAL*
inhibition of glyoxylate and decarboxylate transcripts in the studied demes is likely affecting glycine,
serine, and threonine metabolism. In this regard, 10 DEGs enriched in the "glycine, serine and
threonine metabolism" pathway were downregulated in the 4 demes under neutral and alkaline salinity,
and *AK3* (AT3G02020) was x1.6 times more downregulated in T6^{CS} and Ro2^{CS} when compared to
V1^{PC} and LM2^{PC} under *alkSAL* (Figure S7). AK3 codes for an aspartate kinase that catalyzes the first
step in aspartate-derived amino acids (Clark et al., 2015). *AK3* upregulation was also reported in A1 –
carbonate tolerant deme – under bicarbonate exposure when compared to control, but not in T6^{CS} –
carbonate sensitive deme (Pérez-Martín et al., 2021).

Finally, inhibition of transcripts from the α-CA and β-CA (Carbonic Anhydrases) (α-CA1, α-CA2, β-
CA1, β-CA2 and β-CA4), and the glutamine synthetases 1;4 (GLN1;4) and 2 (GS) family proteins
comprised the "Nitrogen metabolism" down-regulated set (Dataset 14). From these, *βCA-1*
(At3G01500) and *α-CA1* (At3G52720) displayed x1.7 times more inhibition in T6^{CS} when compared
to V1^{PC} and LM2^{PC} under *alkSAL* (Figure S7). βCA-1 is reported to regulate CO_2 controlled stomatal
movements in guard cells together with *βCA4* (AT1G70410). Previous electrophysiological assays
reported *βCA4* reduction in T6^{CS} under bicarbonate conditions (Pérez-Martín, 2021), and *βca1ca4*
mutant under $NaHCO_3$ conditions show reduced photosynthetic efficiency (F_v/F_m) and increased total
ion leakage (as reporter for cell death) under $NaHCO_3$ treatment (Dąbrowska-Bronk et al., 2016). α-
CA1 belongs to the α-CA family and is involved in the transformation of HCO^{3-} to CO_2 in chloroplast
stroma to supply it at the active site of Rubisco (Burén 2010).

Considering the high demand of Fe cofactors by photosynthetic enzymes (Kroh & Pilon, 2020), the
above results raise the hypothesis that the defective Fe use efficiency in coastal demes under *alkSAL*
(especially T6^{CS}) leads to a stronger photosynthetic inhibition. This would result in the observed
unbalance in carbon fixation and metabolism in T6^{CS} and Ro2^{CS}, which ultimately would compromise
their total energetic investment into secondary metabolism. In such a scenario, the stronger

downregulation of **PGLP1** (entry enzyme into one of the major pathways of primary plant metabolism)
and the chloroplast carbonic anhydrases **β-CA1** and **α-CA1** in coastal demes under *alkSAL* is
consistent with their reduced photosynthesis efficiency (F_v/F_m values) and stronger downregulation of
transcripts from photosynthesis (**PSAH1-2**), carbon fixation and metabolism (**RBCS1-2B**, **HPR** and
FUM2). FUM2 (Fumarate hydratase 2 - AT5G50950, encoding a cytosolic chloroplast fumarase)
would link primary metabolism to secondary metabolism (Figure S8), leading to the downregulation
of all transcripts involved in glucosinolate metabolism and some transcripts from the glycine, serine
and threonine metabolism reported to enhance alkalinity tolerance, like **AK3**. Likewise, the results
confirm that a significant inhibition of glucosinolate signaling in coastal demes is caused in an
alkalinity-specific manner, likely by decreased availability of ferrous ions (Fahey et al., 2001;
Wittstock et al., 2002).

A reduced coreSAL DEG subset orchestrate contrasted plant response to neuSAL and
alkSAL. All shared DEGs displaying opposite expression trends between neutral and alkaline salinity
in more than 2 demes were analyzed to seek for contrasted transcriptomic responses among the studied
demes potentially driving their differential performance under each salinity type (Figure 7D). *BGAL4*
(AT5G56870), *DIN10* (AT5G20250) and *SEN1* (AT4G35770) exhibited contrasted expression trends
among V1[PC] and LM2[PC] (down-regulated) and T6[CS] and Ro2[CS] (up-regulated) under *neuSAL* (Figure
8A). This suggests that activation of *BGAL4*, *DIN10* and/or *SEN1* is advantageous under *neuSAL* but
not under *alkSAL*. Therefore, the genomic sequences of *BGAL4*, *DIN10* and *SEN1* in the 4 study demes
were extracted from the Catalan demes germplasm Whole Genome Sequencing (WGS) data (Busoms
et al., 2018) to identify sequence variation likely causal of the contrasted expression trends in the
studied demes under *neuSAL* and *alkSAL* (Figure S9; Dataset 15). A SNP located only 4 bp upstream
the 5'UTR at *BGAL4* promoter was consistent for all replicates of each deme and, moreover,
differentiated coastal from non-coastal demes (Figure 8B). BGAL4 encodes a glycosyl hydrolase
regulated by SNF1-related Kinase 1 (SnRK1), a kinase involved in key plant stress responses (Peixoto
et al., 2021). Degradation of structural polysaccharides in plant cell wall by cell wall-remodeling
enzymes - like BGAL4 – forms part of the stress-regulated cell wall rearrangement (Moneo-Sánchez
et al., 2016). A study comparing *A. thaliana* Wild Type vs salt-tolerant mutant roots exposed to NaCl
stress reported higher expression of glycosyl hydrolases in the salt-tolerant mutant root, which was
hypothesized to increase its potential of salt resistance (Guo et al., 2014). In contrast, β-galactosidases
are reported to dramatically decrease enzymatic activity at high pH and to have pH optima ranging
from 3.5 to 5.0 (Ross et al., 1994; Smith & Gross, 2000; Kotake et al., 2005, Hussien et al., 2021).
Thus, enhanced upregulation of *BGAL4* in T6[CS] and Ro2[CS] could point to an enhanced activation of
plant energy management functions under *neuSAL*, whereas a lack of ability to modulate BGAL4
activity for the prioritization of compounds more suitable under alkaline conditions could compromise
their energy budget (Tsai & Schmidt, 2020). Moreover, the decline in photosynthesis and carbon
fixation efficiency observed in coastal demes under *alkSAL* may cause further stimulation of the

hydrolase enzymes, as these are triggered by sugar starvation (Lee et al., 2007). Transcription factor
binding is the strongest contributor to variation in mRNA levels (Pai et al., 2015). This might be the
case for *BGAL4*, where the SNP change in the promoter region of V1^{PC} and LM2^{PC} could contain cis-
regulatory elements responsible for its consistently lower expression.
568
569 ### 3. WGCNA Network
570
To combine transcriptomic and physiological datasets and detect possible correlations between genes
determining traits of interest, all DEGs detected in each deme under *neuSAL* and *alkSAL* were retained
for WGCNA unsigned co-expression network analysis. This involved a total of 11432 DEGs (11K
WGCNA hereafter). The soft threshold power of 7 ($\beta = 7$) was selected according to the preconditions
of approximate scale-free topology (Figure S10). WGCNA 11K analysis identified sixteen distinct co-
expression modules which were assigned to different colors shown in the dendrogram (Figure S11).
The obtained module-trait correlation matrix displayed two major contrasted patterns defined by
correlation trends in 3 main traits: leaf Na$^+$, leaf B and relative rosette biomass (Figure 9). This
confirmed that correlation patterns observed in the performed multivariate analyses of physiological
traits (PCA and MPC) were maintained when integrating co-expressed transcriptome data into trait
correlations (WGCNA). Besides, most co-expression modules that negatively correlated with leaf Na$^+$
but positively correlated with leaf B and sample biomass were also displaying positive correlation with
leaf K$^+$ and F$_v$/F$_m$ values, confirming their biological relevance in the context of salinity stress.
Considering all the above, modules showing significant negative correlation with Na$^+$ and positive
correlation with B, K$^+$ or FW were picked for further analysis of their implication in tolerance
mechanisms to salinity stress and GO and KEGG enrichment analysis of each selected co-expression
module was performed for each significant selected module. After that, DEGs comprised in the
significantly enriched pathways of each module were merged with the representative set of DEGs from
coreSAL, *onlyNEUSAL* and *onlyALKSAL*, and results confirmed that DEGs selection criteria carried
for transcriptome analysis – picking only DEGs detected in at least 3 out of the 4 demes comprised in
the shared and the exclusive response to neutral and alkaline salinity - accurately reflected the behavior
of the entire dataset (Dataset 16). Moreover, all the genes highlighted from previous analysis have
also been identified in the 3 modules explored (Figure 10).
594
Overall, the combination of multivariate analysis on physiological traits, transcriptome analysis and
WGCNA results prove that the selection criteria performed to assess effects of salinity in the studied
demes are an accurate reflection of the whole dataset behavior. This combination provides knowledge
on the hub biological processes and metabolic pathways that reflect the differential responses of
neutral-salinity-adapted demes when compared to demes with tolerance to moderate alkaline-salinity.
Moreover, it gives evidence supporting the involvement of key nutritional (K$^+$ homeostasis, B
sufficiency, Na$^+$ uptake reduction and Zn limitation) and phytohormonal cues (ethylene, ABA-
dependent and independent, JA and CKs contrasted signaling) driving tolerance to salinity.

Conclusions

By means of an integrative physiological, ionomic, metabolomic and transcriptomic approach,

convergent and divergent biological pathways conferring shared and exclusive responses to neutral

(*neuSAL*) and alkaline salinity (*alkSAL*) have been revealed in natural populations of *Arabidopsis*

thaliana (Figure 11). From the analysis on up-regulated transcripts at the core of salinity, we concluded

that activation of the protein synthetic machinery and changes in cell wall composition by FARs -

mediated suberin deposition processes are common responses to salinity. Whereas antioxidant

mechanisms are boosted mainly through the anthocyanin biosynthesis pathway under *neuSAL*, the

phenylpropanoid and glutathione pathways are raised under *alkSAL*. Through screening of DEGs with

contrasted expression profiles among demes, we propose that a smart regulation of BGAL4, a cell wall

and tonoplast hydrolase, is key to tolerate different salt stresses, and identify a SNP at the 5'UTR of

V1^{PC} and LM2^{PC} as a pinpointed candidate for the differential regulation of gene expression levels. In

contrast, dissection on the down-regulated transcripts at the *coreSAL* set confirms that tolerance to

neutral salinity does not confer advantageous features under alkaline salinity. Key genes involved in

the photorespiratory cycle – PGLP1, βCA1, RBCS1-2 -, organic acid accumulation – FUM2 - and

other secondary metabolism processes for abiotic stress resistance – AK3, glucosinolate biosynthesis

genes – could be targets for alkaline salinity resistance breeding. WGCNA analysis confirms that the

presented candidates are comprised in co-expression modules significantly correlating with favorable

responses to *alkSAL*. In this concern, increasing internal Fe use efficiency for maintenance of

photosynthesis rate and Ca, B and K accumulation for decreasing Na-K, Na-Ca, Na-B ratios must be

essential targets when facing alkaline salinity stress. The enhanced activation of ABA-dependent

signaling is highlighted as a key trait for enhanced performance under *alkSAL* in V1^{PC} and LM2^{PC},

likely by PYL/PYRs binding to PP2Cs and consequent activation of H$^+$ATP-ase activity, allowing

enhanced apoplastic acidification.

Material & Methods

Plant material

632   Seeds of 2 coastal-siliceous (T6^{CS} and Ro2^{CS}) and 2 intermediate-calcareous (V1^{PC}, LM2^{PC}) *A. thaliana*

633   demes were collected from the natural habitat (Figure S1) and propagated in the laboratory. The

634   flowchart in Figure S2 summarizes the experimental procedures of the study.

Irrigation experiment

Seeds were surface sterilized by soaking them in 30% (v/v) commercial Clorox bleach and 1 drop of

Tween-20 for 15 min and rinsed 5 times in 18 MΩmilli-Q sterile water. Seeds were stratified at 4ºC

for 1 week in the dark and sowed on wet soil. Pots were covered with PVC film until the seedlings

germinated. Pots with germinated seedlings were placed in a growth chamber (Conviron CMP5090)
with 8 h light / 16 h dark photoperiod, an irradiance of 80 mmol·m^{-2}·s^{-1} and a constant temperature of
22 ºC. Plants were watered with 0,5-strength Hoagland solution every 3 days. After 2 weeks, all plants
were irrigated every 3 days with 0,5-strength Hoagland solution containing 0,5-strength Hoagland
solution alone (control), with 100 mM NaCl (neutral salinity: *neuSAL*) or with 75 mM NaCl and 15
mM NaHCO$_3$ at pH 8.3 (alkaline salinity, *alkSAL*) for two weeks. The Rosette Diameter (RD) of each
sample and the PSII efficiency for 2 different leaves were measured in 3 samples of each deme and
condition after two weeks of treatment. After harvest, samples for ionomic analysis were dried and
samples for RNA extraction and hormone determination were immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen
and stored at -80 ºC (n=6 per deme and treatment).

Leaf nutrition

Plant tissues were sampled by removing 2–3 leaves or cutting roots (1–5 mg dry weight). They were
then washed with 18 MΩ water before placing them in Pyrex digestion tubes. The sampled plant
material was dried for 2 days at 60 ºC and weighed before open-air digestion in Pyrex tubes using 0.7
mL concentrated HNO$_3$ at 110 ºC for 5 h in a hot-block digestion system (SC154-54-Well Hot Block,
Environmental Express, Charleston, SC, United States). Concentrations of the selected elements (Ca,
K, Mg, Na, P, S, Mo, Cu, Fe, Mn, Zn) were determined by ICP-MS (Perkin ElmerInc., ELAN 6000,
MA, United States) or ICP-OES (Thermo Jarrell-Ash, model 61E Polyscan, England) (n=4 per deme
and treatment).

PSII efficiency

The maximal photochemical efficiency of PSII was calculated from the ratio of variable (Fv) to
maximum (Fm) fluorescence [$F_v/F_m = (Fm - F_o)/F_m$] using a MINI-PAM-II/B portable chlorophyll
fluorometer (Walz) connected to an Arabidopsis Leaf Clip holder (model 2060-B). The minimum
fluorescence yield (F_o) was measured under measuring light (650 nm) with very low intensity (0.8
mmol m^{-2} s^{-1}). To estimate the maximum fluorescence yield (F_m), a saturating pulse of white light
(3000 mmol m^{-2} s^{-1} for 1 s) was applied. Leaves were dark-adapted for 30 min before measurements
and the analysis was performed in a dark room with stable ambient conditions (n=4 per deme and
treatment).

Endogenous phytohormones

Phytohormones extraction was done according to the protocol of Pan et al. (2008) with modifications
from Delatorre et al. (2017). The phytohormones analyzed were abscisic acid (ABA), indoleacetic acid
(IAA), 2-phenylacetic acid (PAA), kinetin (KA), 6-benzylaminopurine (BAP), 1-aminocyclopropane-
1-carboxylic acid (ACC), jasmonic acid (JA), and salicylic acid (SA). The following deuterated
standards were employed: ABA-^{2}H6, IAA-d5, PAA-d5, ACC-d4, JA-d5, MeJA-d2 and SA-d6. All of

them were supplied by Olchemim Ltd. (Czech Republic) except SA-d6 obtained from Sigma Aldrich

(St. Louis, MO, USA). Briefly, 0.05 g fresh weight of leaf samples were homogenized in liquid N_2 and

extracted with 250 µL of extraction buffer (2- propanol/Mili Q water/Hydrochloric acid; 2:1:0.002;

v/v/v) in 1.5 mL Eppendorf tubes (Eppendorf Safe Lock England) and agitated by repeated inversion

(60 rpm) for 20 min, at 4 ℃, in the dark. The resulting homogenate was transferred to a Teflon tube

(Thermo Scientific, England) with 450 µL dichloromethane and reextracted by repeated and inverted

agitation for 30 min, at 4 ℃ in the dark. Three phases were obtained, an aqueous, a material debris and

an organic phase. The organic phase (bottom) was recovered, and the intermediate debris phase was

also re-extracted. The combined extracts were evaporated under N_2 flow to remove propanol and

resuspended 150 µL of 100% methanol. Resuspended extracts were filtered through a 0.22 µm

cellulose acetate Spin-X centrifuge tube filter (Costar, Corning Inc., Salt Lake City, USA). Samples

were injected into a QTrap4000 LC–ESI(−)–MS/MS system following the method described by

Segarra et al. (2006) and modified by Llugany et al. (2013) using HPLC Agilent 1100 (Waldrom,

Germany) on a Discovery C18 2.1 × 150 mm ID, 5 µm column (Supelco, Bellefonte, USA) at 50 ℃,

a constant flow rate of 0.6 mL min−1 and 10 µL injected volume. MS/MS experiments were performed

on an API 3000 triple quadrupole mass spectrometer (PE Sciex, Concord, Ontario, Canada). All the

analyses were performed using the Turbo Ionspray source in negative ion mode for SA, JA, ABA,

IAA, and PAA and in positive mode for ACC, KA and BAP. Quantification was performed by

injection of extracted and spiked samples in multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) mode (n=4 per deme

and treatment).

Transcriptome profiling

Total RNA Exaction and RNA-Seq Library Preparation. Total RNA was extracted using Maxwell

plant RNA kit (Promega Corporation, Madison, WI, USA) following the manufacturer's instruction.

The RNA concentration was measured using Qubit® 2.0 Fluorometer (Invitrogen). 4 µg total RNA

was used to prepare RNA-seq libraries using the TruSeq Stranded mRNA Library Prep Kit (Illumina,

San Diego, US). Strand specific paired-end mRNA sequencing was performed on DNBSEQ platform

at the Next-Generation Sequencing Core of the BGI Genomics Service (Hong Kong, China) (n=3 per

deme and treatment).

RNA-Seq analysis. We used Bowtie2 (Langmead & Salzberg, 2012) was used to map the clean reads

to the reference gene sequence (transcriptome), and then used RSEM (Li & Dewey, 2011) to calculate

the transcript abundance of each sample. Quality check of raw sequence data was performed using

Fastqc. Reads containing adapters and poly-N and low-quality reads from raw data were removed

with Qualimap. Simultaneously, Q20 (percentage of bases with the quality score greater than 20;

sequencing error rate less than 1%), Q30 (percentage of bases with the quality score greater than 30;

sequencing error rate less than 0.1%), and GC (or guanine-cytosine) content of the clean data were
calculated. Paired-end reads were mapped to the TAIR10 reference genome using the STAR aligner
and the .sam output of STAR was then converted to its compressed format .bam and sorted by gene
identifier with samtools. The overlap of reads with annotation features found in the reference .gtf was
calculated using HT-seq (Putri et al., 2022).

The output computed for each sample (raw read counts) were imported into BGI data visualization
system (https://www.bgi.com/global/service/dr-tom) and parsed using DESeq2 (v 1.8.1). Raw counts
were normalized using DESeq2's function "rlog," which normalises sequences according to library
size to make them comparable among replicates and transforms the original count data to the log scale.
The DEseq2 method is based on the principle of negative binomial distribution (Love et al., 2014).
This method was used to perform differential gene expression analysis (DEG) with an absolute |FC| >
2. The p-values were corrected for multiple error testing according to Benjamini-Hochberg (q-value)
and q-value threshold of 0.05 was set. According to the results of differential gene detection, the R
package *pheatmap* was used to perform hierarchical clustering analysis and extract expression trends
on the union set differential genes.

Gene functional annotation. Gene Onthology (GO) functional significant enrichment analysis - used
to analyze molecular functions, cellular components and biological processes - was performed by
mapping all   candidate   genes   to   each   entry   in   the   Gene   Ontology   database
(http://www.geneontology.org/). A hypergeometric test was applied to find the GO function
significantly enriched in candidate genes compared to all background genes of the species. p-value
was   calculated   using   the   basis   function   phyper   (https://stat.ethz.ch/R-manual/R-
devel/library/stats/html/Hypergeometric.html) of R and then corrected for multiple error testing
according to Benjamini-Hochberg (https://bioconductor.org/packages/release/bioc/html/qvalue.html).
Finally, a q-value threshold of 0.05 was set, and the GO term that satisfied this condition was defined
as the GO term that was significantly enriched in candidate genes. Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and
Genomes (KEGG) pathway-based enrichment analysis – which links gene sets with a network of
interacting molecules in the cell, such a pathway or complex - was performed following the same
methodology and significance threshold than for GO functional enrichment analysis. Pathways
significantly enriched in differentially expressed genes were identified and visualized through BGI
data visualization system (https://www.bgi.com/global/service/dr-tom)

Protein-Protein Interaction (PPI) Network Analysis. Protein–protein Interaction (PPI) networks of
differential expressed genes were performed on STRING version 11.0. Active interaction sources,
including text mining, experiments, databases, and co-expression as well as species limited to
"*Arabidopsis thaliana*" and an interaction score > 0.4 were applied to construct the PPI networks.

WGCNA network analysis

Weighted gene co-expression network analysis (WGCNA) was conducted with the "WGCNA" package (Langfelder and Horvath, 2008) on BGI's Dr.Tom system (http://biosys.bgi.com). Gene expression file and trait file were combined, and the soft thresholding power (β value) was filtered based on the calculation of scale-free topological fit index and mean connectivity. The topological overlap matrix (TOM) was constructed based on the topological overlap between pairwise genes, and hierarchical clustering analysis was performed. Cluster dendrogram plot and clustering tree of co-expression modules of DEGs were created. The co-expression relationships among different modules were analyzed and modules with high similarity were merged at a similarity threshold of 0.25 and a minimum module size of 20 genes.

Statistical Analysis

All statistical analyses were performed using JMP SAS software (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, United States). Significant differences for multiple comparisons were determined by one-way or two-way ANOVA as indicated in figure legends, treatment or treatment relative to control (neuSAL/Control; alkSAL/Control) and deme (T6^{CS}, Ro2^{CS}, V1^{PC}, LM2^{PC}) or deme origin [coastal-siliceous$_{(T6+Ro2)}$; intermediate-calcareous$_{(V1+LM2)}$] as independent factors. Comparisons among demes were followed by post hoc Tukey HSD test (adjusted *p*-value < 0.05). Multivariate Correlation Analysis (MCA) was performed to determine the level of association among the measured physiological traits for each deme and treatment. Pearson's correlation coefficients were calculated for all pairs of measured parameters under neuSAL and alkSAL at a level of 5% significance. Principal Component Analysis, eigenvalues and relative proportion of the variance explained by each trait included in MCA were calculated.

Acknowledgements. Special thanks to Rosa Padilla for processing ICP and HPLC samples. This research was supported by the Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation (MICINN) (PID 2019 104000 RB I00).

Authors contribution. MJ-A performed the lab experiments. MJ-A, LP-M, GE-O and AL-V performed data analysis. MJ-A, SB, AG, MLL and CP conceived the study. All authors contributed to the writing of the manuscript.

Declaration of interests. The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

References

Achard, P., Cheng, H., De Grauwe, L., Decat, J., Schoutteten, H., Moritz, T., ... & Harberd, N. P. (2006).
Integration of plant responses to environmentally activated phytohormonal signals. *Science*, *311*(5757), 91-94.
https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1118642

Aghajanzadeh, T. A., Reich, M., Kopriva, S., & De Kok, L. J. (2018). Impact of chloride (NaCl, KCl) and sulphate
(Na2SO4, K2SO4) salinity on glucosinolate metabolism in Brassica rapa. *Journal of Agronomy and Crop*
Science, *204*(2), 137-146. https://doi.org/10.1111/jac.12243

Ahuja, I., de Vos, R. C., Bones, A. M., & Hall, R. D. (2010). Plant molecular stress responses face climate change.
Trends in plant science, *15*(12), 664-674. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2010.08.002

Ahuja, I., de Vos, R. C., Bones, A. M., & Hall, R. D. (2010). Plant molecular stress responses face climate change.
Trends in plant science, *15*(12), 664-674. https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.108.129791

An, Y. M., Song, L. L., Liu, Y. R., Shu, Y. J., & Guo, C. H. (2016). De novo transcriptional analysis of alfalfa in
response to saline-alkaline stress. Frontiers in Plant Science, 7, 931. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2016.00931

Anderson, J. P., Badruzsaufari, E., Schenk, P. M., Manners, J. M., Desmond, O. J., Ehlert, C., ... & Kazan, K.
(2004). Antagonistic interaction between abscisic acid and jasmonate-ethylene signaling pathways modulates
defense gene expression and disease resistance in Arabidopsis. The Plant Cell, 16(12), 3460-3479.
https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.104.025833

Atici, Ö., Ağar, G., & Battal, P. E. Y. A. M. İ. (2005). Changes in phytohormone contents in chickpea seeds
germinating under lead or zinc stress. *Biologia plantarum*, *49*(2), 215-222. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10535-005-
5222-9

Bakker, E. G., Stahl, E. A., Toomajian, C., Nordborg, M., Kreitman, M., & Bergelson, J. (2006). Distribution of
genetic variation within and among local populations of Arabidopsis thaliana over its species range. *Molecular*
ecology, 15(5), 1405-1418. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2006.02884.x

Bao, S., Hua, C., Huang, G., Cheng, P., Gong, X., Shen, L., & Yu, H. (2019). Molecular basis of natural variation
in photoperiodic flowering responses. *Developmental cell*, *50*(1), 90-101.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2019.05.018

Barciszewski, J., Rattan, S. I., Siboska, G., & Clark, B. F. (1999). Kinetin—45 years on. Plant science, 148(1), 37-
45. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9452(99)00116-8

Bomblies, K., Yant, L., Laitinen, R. A., Kim, S. T., Hollister, J. D., Warthmann, N., ... & Weigel, D. (2010).
Local-scale patterns of genetic variability, outcrossing, and spatial structure in natural stands of Arabidopsis
thaliana. *PLoS genetics, 6(3),* e1000890. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1000890

Burén, S. (2010). *Targeting and function of CAH1: Characterization of a novel protein pathway to the plant cell*
chloroplast (Doctoral dissertation, Institutionen för fysiologisk botanik, Umeå universitet). DiVA,
id: diva2:284338

Busoms, S., Teres, J., Huang, X. Y., Bomblies, K., Danku, J., Douglas, A., ... & Salt, D. E. (2015). Salinity is an
agent of divergent selection driving local adaptation of Arabidopsis to coastal habitats. *Plant Physiology, 168(3),*
915-929.https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.15.00427

Busoms, S., Paajanen, P., Marburger, S., Bray, S., Huang, X. Y., Poschenrieder, C., ... & Salt, D. E. (2018).
Fluctuating selection on migrant adaptive sodium transporter alleles in coastal Arabidopsis thaliana. *Proceedings*
of the National Academy of Sciences, 115(52), E12443-E12452. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1816964115

Cackett, L., Cannistraci, C. V., Meier, S., Ferrandi, P., Pěnčík, A., Gehring, C., ... & Donaldson, L. (2022). Salt-
specific gene expression reveals elevated auxin levels in Arabidopsis thaliana plants grown under saline conditions.
Frontiers in plant science, 15. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2022.804716

Cardoso, A. A., Gori, A., Da-Silva, C. J., & Brunetti, C. (2020). Abscisic acid biosynthesis and signaling in plants:
Key targets to improve water use efficiency and drought tolerance. *Applied Sciences*, *10*(18), 6322.
https://doi.org/10.3390/app10186322

Chen, Q., Bai, L., Wang, W., Shi, H., Ramón Botella, J., Zhan, Q., ... & Song, C. P. (2021). COP1 promotes ABA-
induced stomatal closure by modulating the abundance of ABI/HAB and AHG3 phosphatases. *New*
Phytologist, *229*(4), 2035-2049. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.17001

Chen, L., Xiang, S., Chen, Y., Li, D., & Yu, D. (2017). Arabidopsis WRKY45 interacts with the DELLA protein
RGL1 to positively regulate age-triggered leaf senescence. *Molecular Plant*, *10*(9), 1174-1189.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molp.2017.07.008

Cheng, N. H., Liu, J. Z., Nelson, R. S., & Hirschi, K. D. (2004). Characterization of CXIP4, a novel Arabidopsis
protein that activates the H+/Ca2+ antiporter, CAX1. *FEBS letters*, *559*(1-3), 99-106.
https://doi.org/10.1016/S0014-5793(04)00036-5

Che-Othman, M. H., Millar, A. H., & Taylor, N. L. (2017). Connecting salt stress signalling pathways with salinity-
induced changes in mitochondrial metabolic processes in C 3 plants. *Plant, cell & environment, 40(12)*, 2875-
2905. https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.106.085555

Chew, Y. H., & Halliday, K. J. (2011). A stress-free walk from Arabidopsis to crops. *Current Opinion in*
Biotechnology, *22*(2), 281-286. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copbio.2010.11.011

Clark, T. J., & Lu, Y. (2015). Analysis of loss-of-function mutants in aspartate kinase and homoserine dehydrogenase
genes points to complexity in the regulation of aspartate-derived amino acid contents. *Plant Physiology*, *168*(4),
1512-1526. https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.15.00364

Colebrook, E. H., Thomas, S. G., Phillips, A. L., & Hedden, P. (2014). The role of gibberellin signalling in plant
responses to abiotic stress. *Journal of experimental biology*, *217*(1), 67-75. https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.089938

Coolen, S., Proietti, S., Hickman, R., Davila Olivas, N. H., Huang, P. P., Van Verk, M. C., ... & Van Wees, S. C.
(2016). Transcriptome dynamics of Arabidopsis during sequential biotic and abiotic stresses. *The Plant Journal*,
86(3), 249-267. https://doi.org/10.1111/tpj.13167

Dąbrowska-Bronk, J., Komar, D. N., Rusaczonek, A., Kozłowska-Makulska, A., Szechyńska-Hebda, M., &
Karpiński, S. (2016). β-carbonic anhydrases and carbonic ions uptake positively influence Arabidopsis
photosynthesis, oxidative stress tolerance and growth in light dependent manner. *Journal of plant physiology*, *203*,
44-54. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jplph.2016.05.013

Davila Olivas, N. H., Coolen, S., Huang, P., Severing, E., van Verk, M. C., Hickman, R., ... & Dicke, M. (2016).
Effect of prior drought and pathogen stress on *Arabidopsis* transcriptome changes to caterpillar herbivory. *New*
Phytologist, *210*(4), 1344-1356. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.13847

de Kraker, J. W., Luck, K., Textor, S., Tokuhisa, J. G., & Gershenzon, J. (2007). Two Arabidopsis genes (IPMS1
and IPMS2) encode isopropylmalate synthase, the branchpoint step in the biosynthesis of leucine. *Plant physiology,*
143(2), 970–986. https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.106.085555

Dellero, Y., Jossier, M., Schmitz, J., Maurino, V. G., & Hodges, M. (2016). Photorespiratory glycolate–glyoxylate
metabolism. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, *67*(10), 3041-3052. https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erw090

Domergue, F., Vishwanath, S. J., Joubès, J., Ono, J., Lee, J. A., Bourdon, M., ... & Rowland, O. (2010). Three
Arabidopsis fatty acyl-coenzyme A reductases, FAR1, FAR4, and FAR5, generate primary fatty alcohols
associated with suberin deposition. Plant physiology, 153(4), 1539-1554. https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.110.158238

Fahey, J. W., Zalcmann, A. T., & Talalay, P. (2001). The chemical diversity and distribution of glucosinolates and
isothiocyanates among plants. *Phytochemistry*, *56*(1), 5-51. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0031-9422(00)00316-2

Fang, S., Hou, X., & Liang, X. (2021). Response mechanisms of plants under saline-alkali stress. Frontiers in Plant
Science, 12, 667458. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2021.667458

Farooq, S., Ahmad, S., Hussain, S., & Hussain, M. (2018). Nutrient homeostasis and salt stress tolerance. *In Plant*
Nutrients and Abiotic Stress Tolerance (pp. 391-413). Springer, Singapore. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-10-
9044-8_17

Flügel, F., Timm, S., Arrivault, S., Florian, A., Stitt, M., Fernie, A. R., & Bauwe, H. (2017). The photorespiratory
metabolite 2-phosphoglycolate regulates photosynthesis and starch accumulation in Arabidopsis. *The Plant*
Cell, *29*(10), 2537-2551. https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.17.00256

Forieri, I., Sticht, C., Reichelt, M., Gretz, N., Hawkesford, M. J., Malagoli, M., ... & Hell, R. (2017). System
analysis of metabolism and the transcriptome in Arabidopsis thaliana roots reveals differential co-regulation upon
iron, sulfur and potassium deficiency. *Plant, cell & environment*, *40*(1), 95-107. https://doi.org/10.1111/pce.12842

Gan, X., Stegle, O., Behr, J., Steffen, J. G., Drewe, P., Hildebrand, K. L., ... & Mott, R. (2011). Multiple reference
genomes and transcriptomes for *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Nature*, *477*(7365), 419-423.
https://doi.org/10.1038/nature10414

Gan, T., Lin, Z., Bao, L., Hui, T., Cui, X., Huang, Y., ... & Qian, Y. (2021). Comparative proteomic analysis of
tolerant and sensitive varieties reveals that phenylpropanoid biosynthesis contributes to salt tolerance in
mulberry. *International journal of molecular sciences*, *22*(17), 9402. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms22179402

Garg, N., & Pandey, R. (2015). Effectiveness of native and exotic arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi on nutrient uptake
and ion homeostasis in salt-stressed Cajanus cajan L.(Millsp.) genotypes. *Mycorrhiza*, *25*(3), 165-180.
https://doi.org/10.1007/s00572-014-0600-9

Georgii, E., Jin, M., Zhao, J., Kanawati, B., Schmitt-Kopplin, P., Albert, A., ... & Schäffner, A. R. (2017).
Relationships between drought, heat and air humidity responses revealed by transcriptome-metabolome co-
analysis. *BMC plant biology*, *17*(1), 1-23. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12870-017-1062-y

Guo, R., Shi, L., Ding, X., Hu, Y., Tian, S., Yan, D., ... & Yang, Y. (2010). Effects of saline and alkaline stress on germination, seedling growth, and ion balance in wheat. Agronomy journal, 102(4), 1252-1260. https://doi.org/10.2134/agronj2010.0022

Guo, M., Li, H., Li, L., Cheng, X., Gao, W., Xu, Y., ... & Liu, X. (2014). Comparative proteomic analysis of Arabidopsis thaliana roots between wild type and its salt-tolerant mutant. *Journal of Plant Interactions*, *9*(1), 330-337. https://doi.org/10.1080/17429145.2013.833653

Guo, R., Shi, L., Yan, C., Zhong, X., Gu, F., Liu, Q., ... & Li, H. (2017). Ionomic and metabolic responses to neutral salt or alkaline salt stresses in maize (Zea mays L.) seedlings. *BMC Plant Biology*, *17*(1), 1-13. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12870-017-0994-6

Guo, L., Wang, J., Li, M., Liu, L., Xu, J., Cheng, J., ... & Luedeling, E. (2019). Distribution margins as natural laboratories to infer species' flowering responses to climate warming and implications for frost risk. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, *268*, 299-307. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2019.01.038

Gupta, A., Hisano, H., Hojo, Y., Matsuura, T., Ikeda, Y., Mori, I. C., & Senthil-Kumar, M. (2017). Global profiling of phytohormone dynamics during combined drought and pathogen stress in *Arabidopsis thaliana* reveals ABA and JA as major regulators. *Scientific reports*, *7*(1), 1-13. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-03907-2

Gupta, A., Sarkar, A. K., & Senthil-Kumar, M. (2016). Global transcriptional analysis reveals unique and shared responses in *Arabidopsis thaliana* exposed to combined drought and pathogen stress. *Frontiers in plant science*, *7*, 686. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2016.00686

Han, H., Wang, Q., Wei, L., Liang, Y., Dai, J., Xia, G., & Liu, S. (2018). Small RNA and degradome sequencing used to elucidate the basis of tolerance to salinity and alkalinity in wheat. *BMC plant biology*, *18*(1), 1-17. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12870-018-1415-1

Hao, X., Li, J., Gao, S., Tuerxun, Z., Chang, X., Hu, W., ... & Huang, Q. (2020). SsPsaH, a H subunit of the photosystem I reaction center of Suaeda salsa, confers the capacity of osmotic adjustment in tobacco. *Genes & Genomics*, *42*(12), 1455-1465. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13258-020-00970-4

Heidari, P., Entazari, M., Ebrahimi, A., Ahmadizadeh, M., Vannozzi, A., Palumbo, F., & Barcaccia, G. (2021). Exogenous EBR ameliorates endogenous hormone contents in tomato species under low-temperature stress. *Horticulturae*, *7*(4), 84. https://doi.org/10.3390/horticulturae7040084

Hirayama, T., & Shinozaki, K. (2010). Research on plant abiotic stress responses in the post-genome era: past, present and future. *The Plant Journal*, *61*(6), 1041-1052. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-313X.2010.04124.x

Hosseini, S. Z., Ismaili, A., Nazarian-Firouzabadi, F., Fallahi, H., Nejad, A. R., & Sohrabi, S. S. (2021). Dissecting the molecular responses of lentil to individual and combined drought and heat stresses by comparative transcriptomic analysis. *Genomics*, *113*(2), 693-705.https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ygeno.2020.12.038

Hsieh, E. J., Cheng, M. C., & Lin, T. P. (2013). Functional characterization of an abiotic stress-inducible transcription factor AtERF53 in Arabidopsis thaliana. Plant molecular biology, 82(3), 223-237. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11103-013-0054-z

Hsieh, E. J., & Waters, B. M. (2016). Alkaline stress and iron deficiency regulate iron uptake and riboflavin synthesis gene expression differently in root and leaf tissue: implications for iron deficiency chlorosis. *Journal of Experimental Botany, 67(19),* 5671-5685. https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erw328

Hussain, A., Shah, M., Hamayun, M., Iqbal, A., Murad, W., Irshad, M., ... & Kim, H. Y. (2021). *Pseudocitrobacter anthropi* reduces heavy metal uptake and improves phytohormones and antioxidant system in *Glycine max L. World Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology*, *37*(11), 1-19. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11274-021-03156-6

Hussien, S. A., & Doosh, K. (2021, May). Extraction, Purification and Characterization of β-Galactosidase from Tomato (Lycopersicom esculentum). In *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science* (Vol. 761, No. 1, p. 012123). IOP Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/761/1/012123

Jin, H., Plaha, P., Park, J. Y., Hong, C. P., Lee, I. S., Yang, Z. H., ... & Lim, Y. P. (2006). Comparative EST profiles of leaf and root of Leymus chinensis, a xerophilous grass adapted to high pH sodic soil. Plant Science, 170(6), 1081-1086. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plantsci.2006.01.002

Jing, Y., Shi, L., Li, X., Zheng, H., Gao, J., Wang, M., ... & Zhang, W. (2019). OXS2 is required for salt tolerance mainly through associating with salt Inducible genes, CA1 and Araport11, in Arabidopsis. *Scientific reports*, *9*(1), 1-11. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-56456-1

Julkowska, M. M., & Testerink, C. (2015). Tuning plant signaling and growth to survive salt. Trends in plant science, 20(9), 586-594. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2015.06.008

Kazan, K., & Manners, J. M. (2012). JAZ repressors and the orchestration of phytohormone crosstalk. *Trends in plant science*, *17*(1), 22-31. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2011.10.006

Kazan, K., & Manners, J. M. (2013). MYC2: the master in action. Molecular plant, 6(3), 686-703.

Khare, R., Dhar, Y. V., Sandhu, G., Singh, S., Kumar, S., Khan, A., ... & Trivedi, P. K. (2022). Genome-wide
expression and variation in nucleotide sequences lead to differential response of Arabidopsis thaliana ecotypes
towards arsenic stress under sulfur limiting condition. *Environmental and Experimental Botany*, *195*, 104764.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envexpbot.2021.104764

Kotake, T., Dina, S., Konishi, T., Kaneko, S., Igarashi, K., Samejima, M., ... & Tsumuraya, Y. (2005). Molecular
cloning of a β-galactosidase from radish that specifically hydrolyzes β-(1→ 3)-and β-(1→ 6)-galactosyl residues
of arabinogalactan protein. *Plant Physiology*, *138*(3), 1563-1576. https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.105.062562

Kroh, G. E., & Pilon, M. (2020). Regulation of iron homeostasis and use in chloroplasts. *International Journal of*
Molecular Sciences, *21*(9), 3395. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms21093395

Kubra, G., Khan, M., Munir, F., Gul, A., Shah, T., Hussain, A., ... & Amir, R. (2021). Expression characterization
of flavonoid biosynthetic pathway genes and transcription factors in peanut under water deficit
conditions. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, *12*, 680368. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2021.680368

Kumar, D., Datta, R., Hazra, S., Sultana, A., Mukhopadhyay, R., & Chattopadhyay, S. (2015). Transcriptomic
profiling of *Arabidopsis thaliana* mutant pad 2.1 in response to combined cold and osmotic stress. *PLoS One*,
10(3), e0122690. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0122690

Kumar, D., Hazra, S., Datta, R., & Chattopadhyay, S. (2016). Transcriptome analysis of *Arabidopsis* mutants
suggests a crosstalk between ABA, ethylene and GSH against combined cold and osmotic stress. *Scientific reports*,
6(1), 1-13. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep36867

Langmead, B., & Salzberg, S. L. (2012). Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. *Nature methods, 9(4)*, 357-359.
https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.1923

Lee, E. J., Matsumura, Y., Soga, K., Hoson, T., & Koizumi, N. (2007). Glycosyl hydrolases of cell wall are induced
by sugar starvation in Arabidopsis. *Plant and cell physiology*, *48*(3), 405-413.**Li, B., & Dewey, C. N.** (2011).
RSEM: accurate transcript quantification from RNA-Seq data with or without a reference genome. *BMC*
bioinformatics, *12*(1), 1-16. https://doi.org/10.1093/pcp/pcm009

Lee, H. Y., Chen, Y. C., Kieber, J. J., & Yoon, G. M. (2017). Regulation of the turnover of ACC synthases by
phytohormones and heterodimerization in *Arabidopsis*. *The Plant Journal*, *91*(3), 491-504.
https://doi.org/10.1111/tpj.13585

Li, N., Liu, H., Sun, J., Zheng, H., Wang, J., Yang, L., ... & Zou, D. (2018). Transcriptome analysis of two
contrasting rice cultivars during alkaline stress. *Scientific reports, 8(1),* 1-16. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-
27940-x

Liu, Q., Zhang, Y. C., Wang, C. Y., Luo, Y. C., Huang, Q. J., Chen, S. Y., ... & Chen, Y. Q. (2009). Expression
analysis of phytohormone-regulated microRNAs in rice, implying their regulation roles in plant hormone signaling.
FEBS letters, *583*(4), 723-728. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.febslet.2009.01.020

Liu, P., Huang, R., Hu, X., Jia, Y., Li, J., Luo, J., ... & Chen, Z. (2019). Physiological responses and proteomic
changes reveal insights into Stylosanthes response to manganese toxicity. *BMC plant biology*, *19*(1), 1-21.
https://doi.org/10.1186/s12870-019-1822-y

Liu, L., & Wang, B. (2021). Protection of halophytes and their uses for cultivation of saline-alkali soil in China.
Biology, 10(5), 353. https://doi.org/10.3390/biology10050353

Llanes, A., Andrade, A., Masciarelli, O., Alemano, S., & Luna, V. (2016). Drought and salinity alter endogenous
hormonal profiles at the seed germination phase. *Seed Science Research*, *26*(1), 1-13.
https://doi.org/10.1017/S0960258515000331

Llugany, M., Martin, S. R., Barceló, J., & Poschenrieder, C. (2013). Endogenous jasmonic and salicylic acids
levels in the Cd-hyperaccumulator *Noccaea (Thlaspi) praecox* exposed to fungal infection and/or mechanical
stress. Plant cell reports, 32(8), 1243-1249. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00299-013-1427-0

Love, M.I., Huber, W. & Anders, S. (2014). Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data
with DESeq2. *Genome Biol 15*, 550. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-014-0550-8

Lovelli, S., Scopa, A., Perniola, M., Di Tommaso, T., & Sofo, A. (2012). Abscisic acid root and leaf concentration
in relation to biomass partitioning in salinized tomato plants. Journal of plant physiology, 169(3), 226-233.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jplph.2011.09.009

Ma, H. & Wang, P. (2021). Regulation of plant responses to salt stress. International *Journal of Molecular Sciences,*
22(9), 4609. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2019.00498

Mao, B., Yin, H., Wang, Y., Zhao, T. H., Tian, R. R., Wang, W., & Ye, J. S. (2017). Combined effects of O3 and
UV radiation on secondary metabolites and endogenous hormones of soybean leaves. *PLoS one*, *12*(8), e0183147.
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0183147

Mao, F., Nan, G., Cao, M., Gao, Y., Guo, L., Meng, X., & Yang, G. (2018). The metal distribution and the change of physiological and biochemical process in soybean and mung bean plants under heavy metal stress. *International journal of phytoremediation*, *20*(11), 1113-1120. https://doi.org/10.1080/15226514.2017.1365346

Marconi, G., Pace, R., Traini, A., Raggi, L., Lutts, S., Chiusano, M., ... & Albertini, E. (2013). Use of MSAP markers to analyse the effects of salt stress on DNA methylation in rapeseed (Brassica napus var. oleifera). *PloS one, 8(9)*, e75597. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0075597

Martos, S., Gallego, B., Cabot, C., Llugany, M., Barceló, J., & Poschenrieder, C. (2016). Zinc triggers signaling mechanisms and defense responses promoting resistance to *Alternaria brassicicola* in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Plant Science, 249,* 13-24. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plantsci.2016.05.001

Maxwell K., Johnson G.N. (2000). Chlorophyll fluorescence - a practical guide. *J. Exp. Bot. 51(345)*: 659-668. https://doi.org/10.1093/jexbot/51.345.659

Mehmood, E., R. Kausar, M. Akram and S.M. Shahzad. (2009). Is boron required to improve rice growth and yield in saline environment. *Pak. J. Bot., 41(*3):1339-1350.

Meng, C., Quan, TY., Li, ZY. et al. (2017). Transcriptome profiling reveals the genetic basis of alkalinity tolerance in wheat. *BMC Genomics* 18-24.   https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-016-3421-8

Moeder, W., Ung, H., Mosher, S., & Yoshioka, K. (2010). SA-ABA antagonism in defense responses. *Plant signaling & behavior*, *5*(10), 1231-1233. https://doi.org/10.4161/psb.5.10.12836

Moneo-Sánchez, M., Izquierdo, L., Martín, I., Labrador, E., & Dopico, B. (2016). Subcellular location of Arabidopsis thaliana subfamily a1 β-galactosidases and developmental regulation of transcript levels of their coding genes. *Plant Physiology and Biochemistry*, *109*, 137-145. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plaphy.2016.09.016

Munns, R. (1993). Physiological processes limiting plant growth in saline soils: some dogmas and hypotheses. *Plant, Cell & Environment, 16(1),* 15-24. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3040.1993.tb00840.x

Munns, R., & Tester, M. (2008). Mechanisms of salinity tolerance. Annual review of plant biology, 59, 651. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.arplant.59.032607.092911

Naing, A. H., & Kim, C. K. (2021). Abiotic stress-induced anthocyanins in plants: Their role in tolerance to abiotic stresses. Physiologia Plantarum, 172(3), 1711-1723. https://doi.org/10.1111/ppl.13373

Nam, Y. J., Herman, D., Blomme, J., Chae, E., Kojima, M., Coppens, F., ... & Gonzalez, N. (2017). Natural variation of molecular and morphological gibberellin responses. *Plant Physiology*, *173*(1), 703-714. https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.16.01626

Pai, A. A., Pritchard, J. K., & Gilad, Y. (2015). The genetic and mechanistic basis for variation in gene regulation. *PLoS genetics*, *11*(1), e1004857. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plaphy.2016.09.016

Pandey, A., Khan, M. K., Hakki, E. E., Gezgin, S., & Hamurcu, M. (2019). Combined boron toxicity and salinity stress - An insight into its interaction in plants. *Plants, 8(10)*, 364. https://doi.org/10.3390/plants8100364

Peixoto, B., Moraes, T. A., Mengin, V., Margalha, L., Vicente, R., Feil, R., ... & Baena-González, E. (2021). Impact of the SnRK1 protein kinase on sucrose homeostasis and the transcriptome during the diel cycle. *Plant physiology*, *187*(3), 1357-1373. https://doi.org/10.1093/plphys/kiab350

Perea-Resa, C., Rodríguez-Milla, M. A., Iniesto, E., Rubio, V., & Salinas, J. (2017). Prefoldins negatively regulate cold acclimation in Arabidopsis thaliana by promoting nuclear proteasome-mediated HY5 degradation. Molecular plant, 10(6), 791-804. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molp.2017.03.012

Pérez-Martín, L., Busoms, S., Tolrà, R., & Poschenrieder, C. (2021). Transcriptomics reveals fast changes in salicylate and jasmonate signaling pathways in shoots of carbonate-tolerant Arabidopsis thaliana under bicarbonate exposure. International journal of molecular sciences, 22(3), 1226. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms22031226

Pérez-Martín, L., Busoms, S., Almira, M. J., Azagury, N., Terés, J., Tolrà, R., ... & Barcelo, J. (2022). Evolution of salt tolerance in Arabidopsis thaliana on siliceous soils does not confer tolerance to saline calcareous soils. Plant and Soil, 1-21. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-022-05439-9

Piazzi, M., Bavelloni, A., Gallo, A., Faenza, I., & Blalock, W. L. (2019). Signal transduction in ribosome biogenesis: a recipe to avoid disaster. International journal of molecular sciences, 20(11), 2718. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms20112718

Prerostova, S., Dobrev, P. I., Gaudinova, A., Hosek, P., Soudek, P., Knirsch, V., & Vankova, R. (2017). Hormonal dynamics during salt stress responses of salt-sensitive *Arabidopsis thaliana* and salt-tolerant *Thellungiella salsuginea*. *Plant Science*, *264*, 188-198. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plantsci.2017.07.020

Price, L., Han, Y., Angessa, T., & Li, C. (2022). Molecular Pathways of WRKY Genes in Regulating Plant Salinity Tolerance. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, *23*(18), 10947. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms231810947

Putri, G. H., Anders, S., Pyl, P. T., Pimanda, J. E., & Zanini, F. (2022). Analysing high-throughput sequencing data in Python with HTSeq 2.0. *Bioinformatics, 38(10)*, 2943-2945. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btac166

Rasmussen, S., Barah, P., Suarez-Rodriguez, M. C., Bressendorff, S., Friis, P., Costantino, P., ... & Mundy, J.
(2013). Transcriptome responses to combinations of stresses in Arabidopsis. *Plant physiology*, *161*(4), 1783-1794.
https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.112.210773

Riemann, M., Dhakarey, R., Hazman, M., Miro, B., Kohli, A., & Nick, P. (2015). Exploring jasmonates in the
hormonal network of drought and salinity responses. *Frontiers in plant science*, *6*, 1077.
https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2015.01077

Ross, G. S., Wegrzyn, T., MacRae, E. A., & Redgwell, R. J. (1994). Apple [beta]-Galactosidase (Activity against
Cell Wall Polysaccharides and Characterization of a Related cDNA Clone). *Plant Physiology*, *106*(2), 521-528.
https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.106.2.521

Rus, A., Baxter, I., Muthukumar, B., Gustin, J., Lahner, B., Yakubova, E., & Salt, D. E. (2006). Natural variants
of At HKT1 enhance Na+ accumulation in two wild populations of Arabidopsis. *PLoS genetics*, *2*(12), e210.
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.0020210

Sah, S. K., Reddy, K. R., & Li, J. (2016). Abscisic acid and abiotic stress tolerance in crop plants. *Frontiers in plant*
science, *7*, 571. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2016.00571

Saini, S., Kaur, N., & Pati, P. K. (2021). Phytohormones: Key players in the modulation of heavy metal stress
tolerance in plants. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety*, *223*,
112578.https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2021.112578

Sazegari, S., Niazi, A., & Ahmadi, F. S. (2015). A study on the regulatory network with promoter analysis for
Arabidopsis DREB-genes. Bioinformation, 11(2), 101. https://doi.org/10.6026/97320630011101

Segarra, G., Jáuregui, O., Casanova, E., & Trillas, I. (2006). Simultaneous quantitative LC–ESI-MS/MS analyses
of salicylic acid and jasmonic acid in crude extracts of Cucumis sativus under biotic stress. *Phytochemistry, 67(4),*
395-401. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phytochem.2005.11.017

Shabala, S., & Cuin, T. A. (2008). Potassium transport and plant salt tolerance. *Physiologia plantarum, 133(4),* 651-
669. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1399-3054.2007.01008.x

Sharma, R., Singh, G., Bhattacharya, S., & Singh, A. (2018). Comparative transcriptome meta-analysis of
Arabidopsis thaliana under drought and cold stress. *PloS one*, *13*(9),
e0203266.https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0203266

Shu, J., Liu, Y., Zhang, L., Li, Z., Fang, Z., Yang, L., ... & Lv, H. (2018). QTL-seq for rapid identification of
candidate genes for flowering time in broccoli× cabbage. *Theoretical and applied genetics*, *131*(4), 917-928.
https://doi.org/10.1007/s00122-017-3047-5

Sibole, J. V., Cabot, C., Michalke, W., Poschenrieder, C., & Barceló, J. (2005). Relationship between expression
of the PM H+-ATPase, growth and ion partitioning in the leaves of salt-treated Medicago species. *Planta, 221(4),*
557-566. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00425-004-1456-6

Singh, A. (2021). Soil salinization management for sustainable development: A review. *Journal of environmental*
management, 277, 111383. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2020.111383

Skalak, J., Nicolas, K. L., Vankova, R., & Hejatko, J. (2021). Signal integration in plant abiotic stress responses
via multistep phosphorelay signaling. *Frontiers in Plant Science, 12,* 644823.
https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2021.644

Smith, D. L., & Gross, K. C. (2000). A family of at least seven β-galactosidase genes is expressed during tomato
fruit development. *Plant Physiology*, *123*(3), 1173-1184. https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.123.3.1173

Song, Y., Lv, J., Ma, Z., & Dong, W. (2019). The mechanism of alfalfa (Medicago sativa L.) response to abiotic
stress. *Plant Growth Regulation*, *89*(3), 239-249. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10725-019-00530-1

Spartz, A. K., Ren, H., Park, M. Y., Grandt, K. N., Lee, S. H., Murphy, A. S., ... & Gray, W. M. (2014). SAUR
inhibition of PP2C-D phosphatases activates plasma membrane H+-ATPases to promote cell expansion in
Arabidopsis. *The Plant Cell, 26(5),* 2129-2142. https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.114.126037

Sun, Y., Hao, P., Lv, X., Tian, J., Wang, Y., Zhang, X., ... & Wu, T. (2020). A long non-coding apple RNA,
MSTRG. 85814.11, acts as a transcriptional enhancer of SAUR32 and contributes to the Fe-deficiency
response. *The Plant Journal*, *103*(1), 53-67. https://doi.org/10.1111/tpj.14706

Suzuki, N., Bassil, E., Hamilton, J. S., Inupakutika, M. A., Zandalinas, S. I., Tripathy, D., ... & Mittler, R.
(2016). ABA is required for plant acclimation to a combination of salt and heat stress. *PloS one*, *11*(1),
e0147625. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0147625

Tang, X., Chang, L., Wu, S., Li, P., Liu, G., & Wang, N. N. (2008). Auto-regulation of the promoter activities of
Arabidopsis 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate synthase genes AtACS4, AtACS5, and AtACS7 in response to
different plant hormones. *Plant Science*, *175*(1-2), 161-167.https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plantsci.2008.01.002

Terés, J., Busoms, S., Perez Martín, L., Luís-Villarroya, A., Flis, P., Álvarez-Fernández, A., ... &
Poschenrieder, C. (2019). Soil carbonate drives local adaptation in Arabidopsis thaliana. *Plant, cell &*
environment, 42(8), 2384-2398. https://doi.org/10.1111/pce.13567

Torun, H., Novák, O., Mikulík, J., Strnad, M., & Ayaz, F. A. (2022). The Effects of exogenous salicylic acid on
endogenous phytohormone status in Hordeum vulgare L. under salt stress. *Plants*, *11*(5),
618.https://doi.org/10.3390/plants11050618

Tounekti, T., Hernández, I., Müller, M., Khemira, H., & Munné-Bosch, S. (2011). Kinetin applications alleviate
salt stress and improve the antioxidant composition of leaf extracts in Salvia officinalis. *Plant Physiology and*
Biochemistry, *49*(10), 1165-1176. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plaphy.2011.07.011

Tsai, H. H., & Schmidt, W. (2020). pH-dependent transcriptional profile changes in iron-deficient Arabidopsis
roots. *BMC genomics*, *21*(1), 1-11. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-020-07116-6

Van Veen, H., Vashisht, D., Akman, M., Girke, T., Mustroph, A., Reinen, E., ... & Sasidharan, R. (2016).
Transcriptomes of eight *Arabidopsis thaliana* accessions reveal core conserved, genotype-and organ-specific
responses to flooding stress. *Plant Physiology*, *172*(2), 668-689. https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.16.00472

Wang, X. S., & Han, J. G. (2007). Effects of NaCl and silicon on ion distribution in the roots, shoots and leaves of
two alfalfa cultivars with different salt tolerance. *Soil Science & Plant Nutrition, 53(3),* 278-285.
https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1747-0765.2007.00135.x

Wang, J., Song, L., Gong, X., Xu, J., & Li, M. (2020). Functions of jasmonic acid in plant regulation and response
to abiotic stress. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences, 21(4),* 1446. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms21041446

Waters, B. M., Amundsen, K., & Graef, G. (2018). Gene expression profiling of iron deficiency chlorosis sensitive
and tolerant soybean indicates key roles for phenylpropanoids under alkalinity stress. Frontiers in plant science,
9, 10. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2018.00010

Wen, R., Lv, H. N., Jiang, Y., & Tu, P. F. (2018). Anti-inflammatory flavone and chalcone derivatives from the
roots of Pongamia pinnata (L.) Pierre. *Phytochemistry*, *149*, 56-63.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phytochem.2018.02.005

Wittstock, U., & Halkier, B. A. (2002). Glucosinolate research in the Arabidopsis era. *Trends in plant science*, *7*(6),
263-270. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1360-1385(02)02273-2

Xie, Z., Nolan, T. M., Jiang, H., & Yin, Y. (2019). AP2/ERF transcription factor regulatory networks in hormone
and abiotic stress responses in Arabidopsis. *Frontiers in plant science*, *10*, 228.
https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2019.00228

Yan, F., Zhu, Y., Zhao, Y., Wang, Y., Li, J., Wang, Q., & Liu, Y. (2020). De novo transcriptome sequencing and
analysis of salt-, alkali-, and drought-responsive genes in Sophora alopecuroides. BMC genomics, 21(1), 1-15.
https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-020-06823-4

Yang, H., Wu, Y., Duan, Y., Zhang, C., Huang, Z., Wu, W., ... & Li, W. (2022). Metabolomics combined with
physiological and transcriptomic analyses reveal regulatory features associated with blueberry growth in different
soilless substrates. *Scientia Horticulturae*, *302*, 111145. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2022.111145

Yang, K., Yang, J., & Yi, J. (2018). Nucleolar Stress: hallmarks, sensing mechanism and diseases. *Cell Stress*, *2*(6),
125. https://doi.org/10.15698/cst2018.06.139

Yousefi, H., Dalir, N., Rahnemaie, R., & Babaei, A. (2020). The alleviation of salinity-induced stress by using
boron in soilless grown rose. *Journal of plant nutrition*, *43*(4), 526-537.
https://doi.org/10.1080/01904167.2019.1685103

Yu, Y., Li, Y., Yan, Z., & Duan, X. (2021). The role of cytokinins in plant under salt stress. Journal of Plant
Growth Regulation, 1-13. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00344-021-10441-z

Zandalinas, S. I., Sengupta, S., Fritschi, F. B., Azad, R. K., Nechushtai, R., & Mittler, R. (2021). The impact of
multifactorial stress combination on plant growth and survival. New Phytologist, 230(3), 1034-1048.
https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.17232

Zhang, L., Chen, L., & Yu, D. (2018). Transcription factor WRKY75 interacts with DELLA proteins to affect
flowering. Plant Physiology, 176(1), 790-803. https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.17.00657

Zhang, B., Chen, X., Lu, X., Shu, N., Wang, X., Yang, X., ... & Ye, W. (2018). Transcriptome analysis of
Gossypium hirsutum L. reveals different mechanisms among NaCl, NaOH and Na2CO3 stress tolerance.
Scientific reports, 8(1), 1-14. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-31668-z

Zhang, W., Wang, J., Huang, Z., Mi, L., Xu, K., Wu, J., ... & Jiang, D. (2019). Effects of low temperature at
booting stage on sucrose metabolism and endogenous hormone contents in winter wheat spikelet. *Frontiers in*
Plant Science, *10*, 498.

Zhang, Q., Zhai, J., Shao, L., Lin, W., & Peng, C. (2019). Accumulation of anthocyanins: an adaptation strategy
of Mikania micrantha to low temperature in winter. *Frontiers in Plant Science, 10*, 1049.
https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2019.01049
Zhang, S., Quartararo, A., Betz, O. K., Madahhosseini, S., Heringer, A. S., Le, T., ... & Drakakaki, G. (2021).
Root vacuolar sequestration and suberization are prominent responses of Pistacia spp. rootstocks during salinity
stress. Plant Direct, 5(5), e00315. https://doi.org/10.1002/pld3.315
Zhao, S., Zhang, Q., Liu, M., Zhou, H., Ma, C., & Wang, P. (2021). Regulation of plant responses to salt stress.
International Journal of Molecular Sciences, 22(9), 4609. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms22094609
Zheng, L., Ma, H., Jiao, Q., Ma, C., & Wang, P. (2020). Phytohormones: important participators in plant salt
tolerance. *International Journal of Agriculture and Biology*, *24*(2), 319-332.
https://doi.org/10.17957/ijab.15.1441
Zou, Y. N., Wang, P., Liu, C. Y., Ni, Q. D., Zhang, D. J., & Wu, Q. S. (2017). Mycorrhizal trifoliate orange has
greater root adaptation of morphology and phytohormones in response to drought stress. *Scientific reports*, *7*(1),
1-10. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep41134

1182
1183

1184 **Figure legends**

1185

**Figure 1. Leaf growth, photosynthesis efficiency and mineral nutrition in *A. thaliana* demes under control
(C), neutral (*neuSAL*) and alkaline (*alkSAL*) salinity. (A)** Mean ± SE of growth (relative rosette diameter) of
each deme and treatment. Each dot represents a biological replicate (n=3). Mean values with different letters
indicate significant differences (Tukey's HSD, adj. *p*-value < 0.05). **(B)** Mean ± SE of Maximum PSII
photochemical efficiency (F_v/F_m) of each deme and treatment. Each dot represents the mean value of 2 technical
replicates (2 measurements on each biological replicate) (n=3). Mean values with different letters indicate
significant differences (Tukey's HSD, adj. *p*-value < 0.05). **(C)** Radial plots showing normalized differences of
10 elements in plant leaf samples from each deme and treatment. Axes display *Z*-scores calculated per element,
and elements exhibiting significant differences in at least 1 deme (Tukey's HSD, adj. *p*-value < 0.05) are marked
with an asterisk on each radial plot. **(D)** Mean ± SE of analyzed nutrient ratios in the two contrasted demes T6[CS]
and V1[PC]. Each dot represents a biological replicate (n=5). Nutrient ratios exhibiting significant differences for
each deme between salinity treatments (Student *t*-Test, adj. *p*-value < 0.05) are marked with an asterisk (*: adj.
p-value < 0.05; **: adj. *p*-value < 0.01). Plants were grown in potting mix soil and irrigated with C (0.5-
Hoagland, pH 5.9), *neuSAL* (100 mM NaCl, pH 5.9) or *alkSAL* (85 mM NaCl, 15 mM $NaHCO_3$, pH 8.3) for 2
weeks.

1201

**Figure 2. Endogenous phytohormone content in *A.thaliana* demes under neutral (*neuSAL*) and alkaline
(*alkSAL*) salinity.** Mean ± SE of relative **(A)** Indole acetic and phenyl acetic acid (IAA), **(B)** Abscisic acid
(ABA), and **(C)** kinetin (KA) concentration in all demes under control and salinity treatments. Each dot
represents a biological replicate (n=3), and demes are color-coded (see legend). Zoomed boxplots show relative
phytohormone concentration for each deme. Mean values with different letters indicate significant differences
(Tukey's HSD, adj. *p*-value < 0.05). Plants were grown in potting mix soil and irrigated with C (0.5-Hoagland,
pH 5.9), *neuSAL* (100 mM NaCl, pH 5.9) or *alkSAL* (85 mM NaCl, 15 mM $NaHCO_3$, pH 8.3) for 2 weeks.

1209

**Figure 3. Multivariate analysis on physiological traits in *A. thaliana* demes under control (C), neutral
(*neuSAL*) and alkaline (*alkSAL*) salinity. (A)**: Principal Component Analysis (PCA). Left: individual factor
map with treatment-colored samples distribution on the 2 most informative axes (PC1 and PC2) of the PCA.
Right: variable factor map presenting the amount of variance from each trait on the total variance in the PCA.
Longer arrows represent larger amount from total variance. **(B)** Mean ± SE of leaf Zn ($\mu g\ g^{-1}$ DW) in all demes
under control and salinity treatments. **(C)** Scatter plot matrix section from multivariate correlation analysis of all
physiological traits. Scatter plots show correlation between leaf Zn [($\mu g\ g^{-1}$ DW), y-axis] and leaf JA and Mg
[(ng g^{-1} FW), left; ($\mu g\ g^{-1}$ DW), right; x-axis] in all samples. **(D)** Mean ± SE of leaf B ($\mu g\ g^{-1}$ DW) in all demes
under control and salinity treatments. **(E)** Scatter plot matrix section from multivariate correlation analysis of all
physiological traits. Scatter plots show correlation between leaf Na [($\mu g\ g^{-1}$ DW), y-axis] and leaf B (left) and K

(right) (µg g^{-1} DW); x-axis] in all samples. r = correlation coefficient. Each dot represents a biological replicate
(n=3 per deme) and treatments are color-coded (see legend). Letters indicate significant differences (Tukey's
HSD, adj. *p*-value < 0.05). Plants were grown in potting mix soil and irrigated with C (0.5-Hoagland, pH 5.9),
neuSAL (100 mM NaCl, pH 5.9) or *alkSAL* (85 mM NaCl, 15 mM NaHCO$_3$, pH 8.3) for 2 weeks.
1224

Figure 4. Differential Gene Expression (DEG) analysis in *A. thaliana* demes T6^{CS} (yellow), Ro2^{CS} (black),
V1^{PC} (blue), and LM2^{PC} (grey). (A) Multidimensional scaling (MDS) plot of RNA expression profiles in the
studied samples. Sample relations are plotted using a multidimensional scaling plot (MDS) generated with edgeR
showing the variability between replicates and treatments in log2-fold-change distance. The axes represent gene
expression levels between the different experimental factors. Each dot represented a sample, color coded by
treatment (Black: Control, Blue: *neuSAL*, Yellow: *AlkSAL*) and shape coded by deme (Color-filled square: T6^{CS},
empty square: Ro2^{CS}, color-filled octagon: V1^{PC}, empty octagon: LM2^{PC}). **(B)** Histogram showing the number
of differentially expressed genes for each deme (n=3) and treatment (*neuSAL*/Control; *alkSAL*/Control). **(C)**
Venn diagram showing the mutual overlaps of DEGs among demes under each treatment. DEGs were filtered at
log fold change (LFC > 2, LFC < -2), and *q*-value (FDR-adjusted *p*-value) < 0.05.
1235

Figure 5. Characterization of the *onlyNEUSAL* and o*nlyALKSAL* sets. DEGs were filtered at log fold change
(LFC > 2, LFC < -2), and q-value (FDR-adjusted p-value) < 0.05. Chart pie showing expression trend of total
(A) *onlyNEUSAL*, **(B)** *onlyALKSAL* and **(C)** *coreSAL* DEGs. KEGG pathway enrichment analyses of
downregulated (bubble plot, left) and upregulated DEGs (bubble plot, right) are shown for each corresponding
DEG set. In bubble plots, pathways belonging to different classifications are listed on the left. Only the top 4 are
presented, which are sorted by the q-value. Rich ratio (x-axis) is the ratio of the DEG number to the total gene
number in a certain pathway. Bubble size represents number of DEGs included in each pathway (see legend) and
enclosed bubbles indicate significant pathway enrichment. In heatmap: genes were clustered according to their
expression pattern (Euclidean distance) and column clustering groups samples based on gene expression
similarity. Color scale indicates the expression levels (yellow, low expression; blue, high expression).
1246

Figure 6. Global overview of phytohormone signaling dynamics. (A) Protein-protein interaction (PPI)
network of the analyzed DEGs involved in phytohormone signaling based on the STRING online database
(https://string-db.org/). Nodes are color-coded according to each DEGs subset, arrows inside nodules indicate
expression trend and colored surrounding areas distinguish between different hormone classes (see legends). **(B)**
Expression levels [mean ± SE log2(FC)] of DEGs from SAURs (n=70), **(C)** IX clade ERFs (n=12), **(D)** DREBs
(n=24), **(E)** PYLs/PYRs (n=23), **(F)** ABIs (n=16), **(G)** PP2Cs (n=8), **(H)** LOXs/MYCs (n=20), **(I)** JAZs (n=8),
(J) CRFs/AHPs (n=23), **(K)** WRKYs (n=88) and **(L)** DELLAs (n=16) in all demes among treatments. Asterisks
indicate significant differences in mean expression values among treatments (Student *t*-test, adj. *p*-value < 0.05;
*: adj. *p*-value < 0.05; **: adj. *p*-value < 0.01). Neutral salinity: ***neuSAL***; alkaline salinity: ***alkSAL***.
1256

Figure 7. Characterization of the *coreSAL* DEGs set. DEGs were filtered at log fold change (LFC > 2, LFC
< -2), and *q*-value < 0.05. Expression levels [mean ± SE log2(FC)] of **(A)** "photosynthesis", **(B)** "glucosinolate
metabolism" and **(C)** "carbon metabolism" DEGs in each deme (n=3) and treatment. Letters indicate significant
differences in mean expression values among demes (Tukey's HSD, adj. *p*-value < 0.05). **(D)** Heat map showing
the expression profile of *coreSAL* DEGs (1219 genes) for each deme between neutral and alkaline salinity when
compared to control (*neuSAL*/C; *alkSAL*/C). Genes were clustered according to their expression pattern
(Euclidean distance). In frame, heatmap showing the expression profiles of coreSAL DEGs with contrasted
expression trends among salinity types and/or demes. The color scale indicates the expression levels (grey, low
expression; pink, high expression). Genes were clustered according to their expression pattern (Euclidean
distance), while column clustering groups samples based on gene expression similarity.
1267

Figure 8. Characterization of *BGAL4* expression and sequence variation in *A. thaliana* demes. (A)
Expression levels [mean ± SE log2(FC)] of *BGAL4, DIN10* and *SEN1* in each deme (n=3) and treatment. Letters
indicate significant differences in mean expression values among demes (Tukey's HSD, adj. *p*-value < 0.05).
T6^{CS} (yellow), Ro2^{CS} (black), V1^{PC} (blue), and LM2^{PC} (grey). **(B)** SNP polymorphisms surrounding the *BGAL4*
locus. Triangles: candidate SNPs position. Amino acid change is indicated below each SNP. Gene orientation is

indicated with an arrow on the right. Exons are indicated with boxes and introns with lines connecting them.
Chromosome positions are indicated at the top left (picture from https://www.arabidopsis.org/index.jsp).
Reference and alternative allele nucleotide changes, variant type and deme bearing the SNP can be found in
Figure S9.

1277

1278 **Figure 9. WGCNA analysis.** Relationships of co-expression modules and analyzed physiological traits. Each
1279 row in the table corresponds to a co-expression module, and each column to a trait. Numbers in the matrix report
1280 the correlations of the corresponding module genes and each trait, with the p values below the correlations in
1281 parentheses. The table is color coded by correlation according to the color legend.

1282

1283 **Figure 10. Overview of WGCNA co-expression modules significantly correlating to alkaline salinity**
1284 **tolerance traits.** Protein-protein interaction (PPI) network of all DEGs comprised in darkgrey, plum1 and blue
1285 WGCNA co-expression modules, based on the STRING online database (https://string-db.org/). Proposed
1286 candidates identified in each module and appearing on each PPI Network are indicated, and main signaling
1287 pathways enriched per module encircled.

1288

Figure 11. Graphical model. Blue and yellow blocks represent neutral (*neuSAL*) and alkaline (*alkSAL*)
treatments. Size of rosette drawings show plant higher (big) or decreased (small) performance under each
treatment. Results in-between blocks represent shared responses to *neuSAL* and *alkSAL* (*coreSAL* responses),
results on the left (blue block) shows exclusive *neuSAL* responses and results on the right (yellow block)
represents exclusive *alkSAL* responses. Symbol "+" indicates enhancement of physiology and nutrition traits in
the connected demes, transcript up-regulation or pathway activation. Symbol "-" indicates transcript down-
regulation or pathway inhibition. "+ +" and "- -" indicate stronger effects in the specified treatment. Gene
symbols colored in blue, grey or plum indicate co-expression module to which they are assigned according to
WGCNA. All gene symbols point to candidate genes and pathways for *neuSAL* and *alkSAL* tolerance breeding.

1298

Supplemental information

1299

1300

Figure S1. Plant material and soil of origin.

Figure S2. Workflow.

Figure S3. Complementary data on nutrition and endogenous phytohormone concentrations.

Figure S4. Contribution of each deme to the *coreSAL* DEGs set.

Figure S5. Dissection on significantly enriched pathways from *onlyALKSAL* upregulated DEGs.

Figure S6. Dissection on significantly enriched pathways from *coreSAL* upregulated DEGs under neutral salinity

(*neuSAL* in blue) and alkaline salinity (*alkSAL* in yellow) treatments.

Figure S7. Dissection on significantly enriched pathways from *coreSAL* downregulated DEGs under neutral

salinity (*neuSAL* in blue) and alkaline salinity (*alkSAL* in yellow) treatments.

Figure S8. Key DEGs in the inhibition response of the studied demes.

Figure S9. Gene models for BGAL4 and DIN10.

Figure S10-13. WGCNA analysis.

Supplementary Datasets SD1-8. Statistical analyses.

Supplementary Datasets SD9-11. DEGs in *onlyNEUSAL*, *onlyALKSAL* and *coreSAL*

Supplementary Datasets SD12;14. KEGG enrichment analysis.

Supplementary Datasets SD13. Phytohormones signaling DEGs.

Supplementary Datasets SD15. Sequence variation on BGAL, DIN10 and SEN1 genes.

Supplementary Datasets SD16. Overlapping gens from WGCNA modules and DEGs subsets.

Figure 1. Leaf growth, photosynthesis efficiency and mineral nutrition in *A. thaliana* demes under control (C), neutral (*neuSAL*) and alkaline (*alkSAL*) salinity. (A) Mean ± SE of growth (relative rosette diameter) of each deme and treatment. Each dot represents a biological replicate (n=3). Mean values with different letters indicate significant differences (Tukey's HSD, adj. *p*-value < 0.05). **(B)** Mean ± SE of Maximum PSII photochemical efficiency (F_v/F_m) of each deme and treatment. Each dot represents the mean value of 2 technical replicates (2 measurements on each biological replicate) (n=3). Mean values with different letters indicate significant differences (Tukey's HSD, adj. *p*-value < 0.05). **(C)** Radial plots showing normalized differences of 10 elements in plant leaf samples from each deme and treatment. Axes display *Z*-scores calculated per element, and elements exhibiting significant differences in at least 1 deme (Tukey's HSD, adj. *p*-value < 0.05) are marked with an asterisk on each radial plot. **(D)** Mean ± SE of analyzed nutrient ratios in the two contrasted demes T6^{CS} and V1^{PC}. Each dot represents a biological replicate (n=5). Nutrient ratios exhibiting significant differences for each deme between salinity treatments (Student *t*-Test, adj. *p*-value < 0.05) are marked with an asterisk (*: adj. *p*-value < 0.05; **: adj. *p*-value < 0.01). Plants were grown in potting mix soil and irrigated with C (0.5-Hoagland, pH 5.9), *neuSAL* (100 mM NaCl, pH 5.9) or *alkSAL* (85 mM NaCl, 15 mM NaHCO₃, pH 8.3) for 2 weeks.

Figure 2. Endogenous phytohormone content in *A.thaliana* demes under neutral (neuSAL) and alkaline (alkSAL) salinity. Mean ± SE of relative **(A)** Indole acetic and phenyl acetic acid (IAA), **(B)** Abscisic acid (ABA), and **(C)** kinetin (KA) concentration in all demes under control and salinity treatments. Each dot represents a biological replicate (n=3), and demes are color-coded (see legend). Zoomed boxplots show relative phytohormone concentration for each deme. Mean values with different letters indicate significant differences (Tukey's HSD, adj. *p*-value < 0.05). Plants were grown in potting mix soil and irrigated with C (0.5-Hoagland, pH 5.9), neuSAL (100 mM NaCl, pH 5.9) or alkSAL (85 mM NaCl, 15 mM NaHCO₃, pH 8.3) for 2 weeks.

Figure 3. Multivariate analysis on physiological traits in *A.thaliana* demes under control (C), neutral (neuSAL) and alkaline (alkSAL) salinity. (A): Principal Component Analysis (PCA). Left: individual factor map with treatment-colored samples distribution on the 2 most informative axes (PC1 and PC2) of the PCA. Right: variable factor map presenting the amount of variance from each trait on the total variance in the PCA. Longer arrows represent larger amount from total variance. **(B)** Mean ± SE of leaf Zn [(μg g⁻¹ DW) in all demes under control and salinity treatments. **(C)** Scatter plot matrix section from multivariate correlation analysis of all physiological traits. Scatter plots show correlation between leaf Zn [(μg g⁻¹ DW), y-axis] and leaf JA and Mg [(ng g⁻¹ FW), left; (μg g⁻¹ DW), right; x-axis] in all samples. **(D)** Mean ± SE of leaf B (μg g⁻¹ DW) in all demes under control and salinity treatments. **(E)** Scatter plot matrix section from multivariate correlation analysis of all physiological traits. Scatter plots show correlation between leaf Na [(μg g⁻¹ DW), y-axis] and leaf B (left) and K (right) (μg g⁻¹ DW); x-axis] in all samples. r = correlation coefficient. Each dot represents a biological replicate (n=3 per deme) and treatments are color-coded (see legend). Letters indicate significant differences (Tukey's HSD, adj. *p*-value < 0.05). Plants were grown in potting mix soil and irrigated with C (0.5-Hoagland, pH 5.9), neuSAL (100 mM NaCl, pH 5.9) or alkSAL (85 mM NaCl, 15 mM NaHCO₃, pH 8.3) for 2 weeks.

Figure 4. Differential Gene Expression (DEG) analysis in *A. thaliana* demes T6[CS] (yellow), Ro2[CS] (black), V1[PC] (blue), and LM2[PC] (grey). (A) Multidimensional scaling (MDS) plot of RNA expression profiles in the studied samples. Sample relations are plotted using a multidimensional scaling plot (MDS) generated with edgeR showing the variability between replicates and treatments in log2-fold-change distance. The axes represent gene expression levels between the different experimental factors. Each dot represented a sample, color coded by treatment (Black: Control, Blue: *neuSAL*, Yellow: *AlkSAL*) and shape coded by deme (Color-filled square: T6[CS], empty square: Ro2[CS], color-filled octagon: V1[PC], empty octagon: LM2[PC]). **(B)** Histogram showing the number of differentially expressed genes for each deme (n=3) and treatment (*neuSAL*/Control; *alkSAL*/Control). **(C)** Venn diagram showing the mutual overlaps of DEGs among demes under each treatment. DEGs were filtered at log fold change (LFC > 2, LFC < -2), and *q*-value (FDR-adjusted *p*-value) < 0.05.

Figure 5. Characterization of the onlySAL and onlyALKSAL sets. DEGs were filtered at log fold change (LFC > 2, LFC < -2), and *q*-value (FDR-adjusted *p*-value) < 0.05. Chart pie showing expression trend of total **(A)** onlySAL, **(B)** onlyALKSAL and **(C)** coreSAL DEGs. KEGG pathway enrichment analyses of downregulated (bubble plot, left) and upregulated DEGs (bubble plot, right) are shown for each corresponding DEG set. In bubble plots, pathways belonging to different classifications are listed on the left. Only the top 4 are presented, which are sorted by the *q*-value. Rich ratio (x-axis) is the ratio of the DEG number to the total gene number in a certain pathway. Bubble size represents number of DEGs included in each pathway (see legend) and enclosed bubbles indicate significant pathway enrichment. In heatmap: genes were clustered according to their expression pattern (Euclidean distance) and column clustering groups samples based on gene expression similarity. Color scale indicates the expression levels (yellow, low expression; blue, high expression).

Figure 6. Global overview of phytohormone signaling dynamics. (A) Protein-protein interaction (PPI) network of the analyzed DEGs involved in phytohormone signaling based on the STRING online database (https://string-db.org/). Nodes are color-coded according to each DEGs subset, arrows inside nodules indicate expression trend and colored surrounding areas distinguish between different hormone classes (see legends). **(B)** Expression levels [mean ± SE log2(FC)] of DEGs from SAURs (n=70), **(C)** IX clade ERFs (n=12), **(D)** DREBs (n=24), **(E)** PYLs/PYRs (n=23), **(F)** ABIs (n=16), **(G)** PP2Cs (n=8), **(H)** LOXs/MYCs (n=20), **(I)** JAZs (n=8), **(J)** CRFs/AHPs (n=23), **(K)** WRKYs (n=88) and **(L)** DELLAs (n=16) in all demes among treatments. Asterisks indicate significant differences in mean expression values among treatments (Student *t*-test, adj. *p*-value < 0.05; *: adj. *p*-value < 0.05; **: adj. *p*-value < 0.01). Neutral salinity: **neuSAL**; alkaline salinity: **alkSAL**.

Figure 7. Characterization of the coreSAL DEGs set. DEGs were filtered at log fold change (LFC > 2, LFC < -2), and *q*-value (FDR-adjusted *p*-value) < 0.05. Expression levels [mean ± SE log2(FC)] of **(A)** "photosynthesis", **(B)** "glucosinolate metabolism" and **(C)** "carbon metabolism" DEGs in each deme (n=3) and treatment. Letters indicate significant differences in mean expression values among demes (Tukey's HSD, adj. *p*-value < 0.05). **(D)** Heat map showing the expression profile of coreSAL DEGs (1219 genes) for each deme between neutral and alkaline salinity when compared to control (neuSAL/C; alkSAL/C). Genes were clustered according to their expression pattern (Euclidean distance). In frame, heatmap showing the expression profiles of coreSAL DEGs with contrasted expression trends among salinity types and/or demes. The color scale indicates the expression levels (grey, low expression; pink, high expression). Genes were clustered according to their expression pattern (Euclidean distance), while column clustering groups samples based on gene expression similarity.

Figure 8. Characterization of *BGAL4* expression and sequence variation in *A. thaliana* demes. (A) Expression levels [mean ± SE log2(FC)] of *BGAL4, DIN10* and *SEN1* in each deme (n=3) and treatment. Letters indicate significant differences in mean expression values among demes (Tukey's HSD, adj. *p*-value < 0.05). T6[CS] (yellow), Ro2[CS] (black), V1[PC] (blue), and LM2[PC] (grey). **(B)** SNP polymorphisms surrounding the *BGAL4* locus. Triangles: candidate SNPs position. Amino acid change is indicated below each SNP. Gene orientation is indicated with an arrow on the right. Exons are indicated with boxes and introns with lines connecting them. Chromosome positions are indicated at the top left (picture from https://www.arabidopsis.org/index.jsp). Reference and alternative allele nucleotide changes, variant type and deme bearing the SNP can be found in Figure S9.

Co-expression module-trait correlation

Figure 9. WGCNA analysis. Relationships of co-expression modules and analyzed physiological traits. Each row in the table corresponds to a co-expression module, and each column to a trait. Numbers in the matrix report the correlations of the corresponding module genes and each trait, with the p values below the correlations in parentheses. The table is color coded by correlation according to the color legend.

Darkgrey Module

IAA signaling

JA signaling

PEP-Carboxylase (Photosynthesis)

Glucosinolate signaling

α-CA1

AK3   FUM2

Plum1 Module

PGLP1

HPR

Photorespiration

Blue Module

Photosynthesis & Antenna Proteins

DNA replication & Cell cycle

RBCS1B

PSAH-1

LHCs

PP2C (AT2G40860)

MAP kinases

Serine & threonine kinases

Figure 10. Overview of WGCNA co-expression modules significantly correlating to alkaline salinity tolerance traits. Protein-protein interaction (PPI) network of all DEGs comprised in darkgrey, plum1 and blue WGCNA co-expression modules, based on the STRING online database (https://string-db.org/). Proposed candidates identified in each module and appearing on each PPI Network are indicated, and main signaling pathways enriched per module encircled.

Figure 11. Graphical abstract. Blue and **yellow** blocks represent neutral (neuSAL) and alkaline (alkSAL) treatments. Size of rosette drawings show plant higher (big) or decreased (small) performance under each treatment. Results in-between blocks represent shared responses to neuSAL and alkSAL (coreSAL responses), results on the left (blue block) shows exclusive neuSAL responses and results on the right (yellow block) represents exclusive alkSAL responses. Symbol "+" indicates enhancement of physiology and nutrition traits in the connected demes, transcript up-regulation or pathway activation. Symbol "-" indicates transcript down-regulation or pathway inhibition. "+ +" and "- -" indicate stronger effects in the specified treatment. Gene symbols colored in blue, grey or plum indicate co-expression module to which they are assigned according to WGCNA. All gene symbols point to candidate genes and pathways for neuSAL and alkSAL tolerance breeding.