An RNA to rule them all: Critical steps in Lassa virus ribonucleoparticle assembly and recruitment

Lennart Sänger [1,2,3], Harry M. Williams[1,2], Dingquan Yu[2,4], Dominik Vogel[1], Jan Kosinski[2,4,5], Maria Rosenthal[1,2,6,*], Charlotte Uetrecht[2,3,7,8,*]

1: Bernhard Nocht Institute for Tropical Medicine, Hamburg, Germany
2: CSSB Centre for Structural Systems Biology, Hamburg, Germany
3: Leibniz Institute of Virology (LIV), Hamburg, Germany
4: European Molecular Biology Laboratory Hamburg, Germany
5: Structural and Computational Biology Unit, European Molecular Biology Laboratory, Heidelberg, Germany.
6: Fraunhofer Institute for Translational Medicine and Pharmacology (ITMP), Discovery Research ScreeningPort, Hamburg, Germany
7: Faculty V: School of Life Sciences, University of Siegen, Germany
8: Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron (DESY), Hamburg, Germany
*: rosenthal@bnitm.de, charlotte.uetrecht@cssb-hamburg.de

Abstract

Lassa virus is a negative-strand RNA virus with only four structural proteins that causes periodic outbreaks in West Africa. The nucleoprotein (NP) encapsidates the viral genome, forming the ribonucleoparticles together with the viral RNA and the L protein, which have to be continuously restructured during viral genome replication and transcription. Z protein is important for ribonucleoparticle recruitment, viral particle assembly and budding, and has also been shown to interact with the L protein. However, the interaction of NP, viral RNA and Z is poorly understood. Here, we characterize the interactions between Lassa virus NP, Z and RNA using structural mass spectrometry. We identify the presence of RNA as the driver for disassembly of ring-like NP trimers into monomers to subsequently form higher order assemblies. We locate the interaction site of Z and NP and demonstrate that while NP binds Z independently of the presence of RNA, this interaction is pH-dependent. These data improve our understanding of ribonucleoparticle assembly and recruitment.

Introduction

Lassa virus (LASV) is the causative agent of Lassa hemorrhagic fever and belongs to the *Arenaviridae* family within the *Bunyavirales* order. LASV is rodent-borne and endemic to West Africa infecting between 100,000-300,000 humans each year leading to approximately 5,000 deaths [1]. Recent predictions estimate up to 186 million people at risk of LASV infections in West Africa by 2030 [2]. Arenaviruses are bi-segmented negative-strand RNA viruses and each genome segment encodes for two structural proteins using an ambisense coding strategy. The large genome segment encodes for the ~250 kDa large (L) protein, which contains the RNA-dependent RNA polymerase, and a small RING finger matrix protein Z, which has a molecular weight of 11 kDa. The small genome segment encodes for the viral glycoprotein precursor (GPC, ~75 kDa) and the nucleoprotein (NP, ~63 kDa) [3].
Despite the central role of the LASV L protein in viral genome replication and transcription, NP is also essential for these processes [4]. It encapsidates the viral RNA genome forming – together with L – the ribonucleoparticle (RNP). Within the RNP the viral RNA is protected from

degradation and recognition by cellular pattern recognition receptors. The RNP is the structural and functional unit of viral genome replication and transcription [5]. NP is composed of an N-terminal and C-terminal domain. The N-terminal domain contains an RNA binding cavity and the C-terminal domain an exonuclease activity [6, 7]. When not bound to RNA, NP forms homotrimeric rings with a head to tail arrangement of the NP molecules. In this conformation, RNA binding is hindered as the respective pocket is blocked by two helices [8]. To allow for RNA binding an RNA gating mechanism was proposed where the C-terminal domain rotates slightly away allowing one helix to become partly unstructured (α5) whereas the other helix (α6) shifts away from the RNA binding groove [9]. However, the trigger and mechanism of this conformational change are unknown.

The matrix protein Z lines the inner side of the viral envelope and mediates virion assembly and budding by homo-oligomerization and interaction with host factors [10-12]. It is anchored into membranes by N-terminal myristoylation [13] and has been hypothesized to mediate recruitment of RNPs to the plasma membrane for virion assembly by direct or indirect interaction with NP [10, 14, 15]. Z has also been shown to interact with the L protein inhibiting its polymerase activity potentially by blocking the formation of an elongation conformation of L [16-21]. It remains unclear whether RNP recruitment is driven by the interaction between NP and Z, L and Z and/or via a host protein.

In this study we investigate the mechanism and dynamics of RNP formation and recruitment. In presence of RNA, even short ones, the ring-like NP trimer undergoes conformational changes leading to its disassembly into RNA bound NP monomers, which subsequently form higher order NP-RNA assemblies, reminiscent of RNPs. Additionally, we show that LASV NP can directly interact with the Z protein via the C-terminal domain. We demonstrate that this interaction is strongly reduced at low pH, which would be in line with the conditions during virus entry via the endosomal pathway to initiate the release of RNPs from the matrix.

Results

The full-length LASV NP and Z proteins (AV strain) were expressed in *E. coli* and purified for subsequent interaction studies. As described previously, both NP and Z can form oligomers [22, 23]. While NP eluted from the size exclusion column (SEC) in one peak corresponding to a trimer, Z eluted in two peaks indicating a monomeric and oligomeric state. The oligomerization of the proteins was further analyzed by native mass spectrometry (nMS) [24]. We found that NP was mainly trimeric (189.93 +/- 0.17 kDa), which will be referred to as NP_3, but also traces of NP hexamers could be detected. Further characterizations of NP_3 using nMS revealed extraordinarily high gas phase stability. No collision-induced dissociation (CID) was observed at up to 200 V acceleration into the collision cell (Fig. 1). Beyond 200 V, fragmentation into peptides took place but no monomer dissociation, pointing towards a high non-covalent complex stability in the gas phase. We included dithiothreitol in our sample preparation to rule out covalent interaction via disulfide bonds. Worth mentioning is also the high gas phase stability of the hexameric NP complex. Even at 300 V acceleration voltage, the hexameric NP remained stable pointing to a specific and strong interaction. For the Z protein, SEC resulted in two Z protein containing fractions. For the Z protein, SEC resulted in two Z protein containing fractions. One fraction could be identified as monomeric Z (11.35 +/- 0.04 kDa), whereas the second fraction contained heterogeneous oligomers of Z (Fig. S1) in the range of around 120 kDa. All following experiments were performed using the monomeric fraction of Z.

96
97 **Figure 1: nMS of NP at different acceleration voltages (V)**. 6 µM of NP was measured in 150 mM ammonium
98 acetate buffer surrogate at pH 7.5 with acceleration voltages in the collision cell between 50 V and 300 V (indicated
99 in the graphs). The mass of the species between *m/z* 6,000-8,000 was determined as 189.93 +/- 0.17 kDa which
100 corresponds to an NP trimer. Charge states are indicated. Around *m/z* 10,000 an NP hexamer is detectable and above
101 200 V peptide fragments appear in the low mass range.

NP directly interacts with monomeric Z protein

It was previously shown that LASV NP colocalizes with Z in cells [10]. We analyzed the ability
of NP and Z to form complexes by direct interaction using purified proteins. nMS measurements
of the trimeric NP with a 10-fold excess of Z showed new peaks appearing in the mass-to-charge
(*m/z*) range between 5,000 and 7,000 (Fig. 2AC). To analyze the exact complex composition in
this area, we used tandem MS (MS/MS) with CID. CID-MS/MS of peaks corresponding to 1, 2 or
3 Z proteins attached to an NP_3 resulted in dissociation products of NP_3 (189 kDa), NP_3 + Z (201
kDa) and NP_3 + 2xZ (212 kDa), respectively (Fig. 2D). Overall, these experiments demonstrate a
direct interaction between LASV NP_3 and Z, in an RNA-free context with a stoichiometry of one
binding interface per NP monomer. To determine the affinity of Z for NP_3, we recorded nMS
spectra in triplicates at an NP to Z molar ratio of 1:3 and used the deconvoluted spectra to
determine the area under the curve (AUC). We determined an apparent K_D of 17 µM (± 2) for
binding of a Z protein to an NP_3 (Fig. S2).

115
Figure 2: NP₃ binds up to 3 Z monomers: NP and Z were incubated in a 1:10 molar ratio and subjected to nMS. (A)
Overview spectrum recorded with 50 V acceleration. Two peak series are observable between 1,000 and 4,000 *m/z*
(corresponding to the Z protein) and between 5,500 and 7,000 *m/z* (NP₃ complexes). Zoom to NP₃ 29+ charge state
without (B) and with Z protein (C). Several new species corresponding to NP-Z complexes are recorded. (D) CID-
MS/MS at 100 V acceleration for NP₃-Z (31+ charge state), NP3-2xZ (33+ charge state) and NP₃-3xZ (34+ charge
state). CID products correspond to masses for Z (11 kDa), NP₃ (189 kDa), NP₃-Z (201 kDa) and NP3-2xZ (212 kDa),
respectively. The charge states of the most abundant peaks are indicated.

Next, we tested whether NP-Z interaction depends on the oligomerization state of NP using an NP
trimerization-deficient mutant (R52A). Elution profile of the SEC for NP-R52A showed two
peaks corresponding to a trimeric and monomeric state. We analyzed both fractions by nMS and
found additional traces of dimers in the monomeric fraction. (Fig. 3A, S3). At a 1:3 ratio between
NP-R52A and Z, native mass spectra clearly showed the 75.5 +/- 0.23 kDa monomeric NP-R52A-
Z complex (Fig. 3B). CID-MS/MS of the NP-R52A-Z complex further confirmed this interaction
(Fig. S3) with a K_D of 32 (± 2) µM. These data show that the interaction is generally possible
between monomeric NP and Z.

131
Figure 3: NP-Z interaction is independent of the oligomerization state of NP in nMS. (A) NP trimerization
mutant R52A was measured with nMS in an ammonium acetate buffer at pH 7.5. Acceleration voltage in the collision
cell were at 50 V for the depicted spectrum. R52A appears primarily in the monomeric state between 3,500 and 4,500
with charge states between +17 and +14 and a corresponding mass of 63.9 +/- 0.08 kDa. A small fraction of dimeric
NP is visible in the *m/z* range between 5,000 and 6,000 with the corresponding charge state of +20, +19 and +18. The
most abundant species was normalized to 1. (B) R52A and Z were incubated in a 1:3 ratio and measured with the
same instrument settings as described in A. Spectra show new peak series appear for unbound Z protein in the *m/z*
range between 1,500 and 3,000. New peaks also appear in the range between 4,000 and 5,000 *m/z* with +18, +17 and
+16 charge states corresponding to a complexation of R52A and Z (75.5 +/- 0.23 kDa).

We then predicted the structure of NP-Z complex by AlphaPulldown [25], a python package built
upon AlphaFold [26] and AlphaFold Multimer [27] (Figure 4AB). The structural model obtained
high local and global quality scores as returned by AlphaFold and AlphaPulldown (S4, Tab. S1)
and predicted that Z interacts with the C-terminal domain of NP (Fig. 4A). Next, we designed
point mutations in the Z protein based on the NP-Z structural model to validate the proposed
interface. We exchanged polar residues to alanine or glycine at or in close proximity to the
predicted interface. These were R4, R16, S59, S61, N62, R74, and T82. We measured all Z
mutants together with NP in a 1:3 molar ratio by nMS and compared NP-Z complex formation by
using the deconvoluted spectra of at least 3 independent measurements (Figure 4C). Z mutants
R16A, R74A showed strong reduction of NP-Z complex formation compared to the wild-type Z,
whereas the other mutations had no effect on NP-Z interaction (Figure 4D). This leads to the
conclusion that NP-Z interaction is strongly dependent on arginine 16 and 74 of Z which is
supporting the predicted structure. The other point mutations of polar residues seem to be less
critical and were not able to influence NP-Z interaction.

Figure 4: Prediction of the NP-Z complex and Z mutant screening identifies important residues for NP-Z interaction. The trimeric model of NP-Z (A) generated by superposing the model of the N-Z dimer predicted by AlphaFold (Version 2.1.0) (B) on the crystal structure of NP trimer (PDB ID 3R3L). Regions in grey represent NP. The Z protein is shown in gold. The different Z mutants were recombinantly expressed and purified. (C) nMS screening of the Z mutants together with NP in a 1:3 (NP:Z) molar ratio. (D) The sum of the intensities of every mass species of one measurement was normalized to 1. The normalized intensities for every measurement were plotted. Error bars represent standard deviation of at least 3 independent measurements. Measurements with the mutants R16A and R74A show reduced abundance of NP-Z complex species compared to wildtype (WT). (E) Detailed closeup to the Z residues R74 and R16 in the AlphaFold Multimer model. Z residues R74 and R16 are in close proximity to NP-S464 and NP-D483, respectively.

RNA triggers disassembly of trimeric NP

To investigate the effect of RNA binding on the NP quaternary structure, NP_3 was incubated with short RNAs. As no sequence specificity of NP for RNA has been described so far, we used RNAs of different sequences and lengths. First, we mixed a 9 nt RNA (Tab. S2) in an equimolar ratio with NP and monitored the changes in quaternary structure over at least 500 s in the electrospray ionization (ESI) capillary (Fig 5A). During this time, the fractions belonging to the NP_3 decreased whereas peaks assigned to a mass of 66.08 +/- 0.02 kDa, corresponding to NP monomer bound to one RNA molecule (NP_1-RNA_1), increased. Importantly, we also observed NP_3 interacting with one RNA molecule (193.0 +/- 0.08 kDa) (Fig. 5B). As the RNA binding groove of NP is inaccessible in the trimeric ring conformation the observed complex of one NP trimer and one RNA molecule (NP_3-RNA_1) presumably constitutes an open conformation of NP_3, allowing the RNA to bind. After 500 s the NP_3 was mostly disassembled. To further support our results, we used negative-stain electron microscopy to confirm the disassembly of NP_3 in the presence of RNA. As in our nMS data, we observed NP mainly as a ring-shaped trimer in the absence of RNA (Fig. 5C, S5A). In the presence of RNA, however, the morphology changes to smaller rounded particles and more heterogenous species as NP_3 is not detectable anymore (Fig. 5C, S5B). We conclude that RNA is sufficient to trigger conformational changes leading to the disassembly of the trimeric ring structure of NP into RNA-bound NP monomers.

Figure 5: RNA triggers the dissociation of NP$_3$ into monomers. NP$_3$ and a 9 nt RNA were mixed together on ice in
a 1:1 (NP$_1$:RNA) molar ratio. The mixture was placed into an ESI capillary and the measurement was started
approximately 30 sec after mixing RNA and NP together. Spectra were recorded over 550 sec. (A) Each diagram
represents combined spectra recorded at 77-93 sec, 230-244 sec, 355-389 sec, and 543-582 sec. Main charge states
are labeled. Shown is one representative measurement of at least 3 independent measurements. (B) Recorded
spectrum at timepoint 230-244 sec. Zoom into the *m/z* range between 5000 and 8000 shows an RNA bound NP$_3$
(193.0 +/- 0.08 kDa) species. (C) NP at 0.01 mg/mL was mixed with a single-stranded 25 nt RNA at a 1:2 molar ratio
(NP:RNA) (Tab. S3) and incubated at room temperature for approximately 15 minutes. NP was applied to glow-
discharged carbon-coated copper grids and stained with uranyl acetate immediately before imaging. Images were
collected with a transmission electron microscope.

Next, we used a slightly longer RNA of 12 nts (Tab. S3) to investigate a potential assembly of
RNA bound NP monomers, corresponding to the beginning of higher order NP-RNA assemblies.
We again mixed the components and determined the complex species over a duration of 45 min in
an ESI capillary. We first tested an equimolar ratio of NP to the RNA of 12 nts (Fig. 6). After the
start of the measurement 3 species were visible: (i) NP$_3$, (ii) a trimeric NP ring structure bound to
one RNA molecule (193.63 +/- 0.09 kDa) and (iii) unbound RNA (3.9 kDa) (Fig. 6B). One
minute later, a signal corresponding to an NP monomer bound to one RNA molecule (67.03 +/-
0.05 kDa) became visible. Between 5 and 30 min after the start of the measurement NP-RNA
complexes assembled corresponding to NP$_2$-RNA$_2$ (134.03 +/- 0.05 kDa), and NP$_3$-RNA$_3$ (201.17
+/- 0.12 kDa) species. As in the previous experiment, the signal for the ring-structured NP$_3$
decreased over time. The NP$_3$-RNA$_3$ complexes were most abundant after around 30 min of
measurement. Notably, NP:RNA ratios of the complexes were always stoichiometric after initial
disassembly of the ring-structured NP$_3$. We increased the RNA concentration to a molar ratio of
1:2 (NP:RNA) to further evaluate the formation of higher-order RNA-NP complexes, which was
significantly faster compared to using an equimolar ratio (Fig 6C). Although the NP$_3$ and the NP$_3$-
RNA$_1$ complex (193.3 +/- 0.09 kDa), likely an intermediate, were observable at early timepoints
of the measurement, the decay rate of the trimeric ring structure was higher than observed in the
previous experiment with an equimolar NP:RNA ratio and NP$_3$ had completely disappeared after
40 min. In this experiment, we observed formation of NP$_1$RNA$_1$ (67.03 +/- 0.05 kDa), NP$_2$-RNA$_2$
(134.03 +/- 0.05 kDa), NP$_3$-RNA$_3$ (201.17 +/- 0.12 kDa) and NP$_4$-RNA$_4$ (268.25 +/- 0.05 kDa)
complexes. Small fractions of NP$_5$-RNA$_5$, and NP$_6$-RNA$_6$ (335.7 and 393.1 kDa) were also
detectable (Fig. S6A). In addition to the 12 nt RNA-NP complexes, we detected NP monomers
bound to truncated RNAs (RNA$_{tr}$). As we did not observe any RNA$_{tr}$ as free species, the NP$_1$-
RNA$_{tr}$ complexes were most likely a result of an onset of CID, clipping off nucleotides not
covered by the RNA-binding groove of NP (Fig. S6B). These NP$_1$-RNA$_{tr}$ complexes
corresponding to 8-9 bases bound to NP were observed with different RNA substrates of varying
lengths. This is in line with previously reported crystal structures of NP bound to RNA, where 6
nts were fit into the RNA-binding groove [9]. Furthermore, we can rule out that the assembly
between NP molecules happened via base pairing of overhanging RNA, as with our acceleration
voltage settings only single RNAs were observed (Fig. S7).

225
Figure 6: NP₃ dissociation and step-wise assembly of nucleocapsids. (A) Schematic summary of NP₃ dissociation
and NP-RNA complex assembly over time as detected in the experiment. (B) Total ion chromatogram of a
representative measurement of NP together with a 12 nt RNA in a 1:1 (NP:RNA) molar ratio recorded for 45 min.
Colors represent the spectra, which were combined. Spectra of all timepoints were deconvoluted with Unidec [28]
and plotted in one graph. The cartoons represent the complexes of NP and NP-RNA. Masses corresponding to NP₃
(189.56 +/- 0.02 kDa), NP₃-RNA₁ (193.63 +/- 0.09 kDa), NP₁RNA₁ (67.03 +/- 0.05 kDa), NP₂-RNA₂ (134.03 +/-
0.05 kDa), and NP₃-RNA₃ (201.17 +/- 0.12 kDa). The normalized intensity for every mass species from the
deconvoluted spectra were plotted according to the different timepoints. The sum of all mass species of one timepoint
was normalized to 1. (C) Representative measurement of NP together with a 12 nt RNA in a 1:2 (NP:RNA) molar
ratio. Spectra were analyzed as in (B). Masses corresponding to NP₃ (189.56 +/- 0.02 kDa), NP₃-RNA₁ (193.63 +/-
0.09 kDa), NP₁-RNA₁ (67.03 +/- 0.05 kDa), NP₂-RNA₂ (134.03 +/- 0.05 kDa), NP₃-RNA₃ (201.17 +/- 0.12 kDa) and
NP₄-RNA₄ (268.25 +/- 0.05 kDa).

Altogether, these results show the higher-order assembly of NP and RNA possibly representing
RNP formation during viral replication. Based on our data, we hypothesize that first, one
molecule of NP within the RNA-free NP trimer (a cellular storage form of the protein) binds to
RNA leading to an opening of the ring conformation, which is not as stable as the closed ring-like
trimer. After this trigger, the open trimer further disassembles into RNA-bound NP monomers.
Starting from that, higher-order NP-RNA complexes are then formed (Fig. 6A). We could
demonstrate that this process is dependent on the RNA concentration as an excess of RNA
relative to NP led to a faster trimer disassembly and higher-order RNP-like complex formation.

NP can bind Z and RNA simultaneously, NP-Z interaction is pH-dependent

As described above, Z binds to the C-terminal domain of NP whereas the RNA binding pocket of
NP is located in the N-terminal domain. Co-immunoprecipitation data has previously shown for
other arenaviruses that NP and Z, either direct or mediated by other factors, interact with each
other [6, 14, 29]. It has been hypothesized that the NP-Z interaction mediates virion assembly by
recruiting viral RNPs to the plasma membrane. We therefore investigated if LASV NP could

indeed bind to Z and RNA simultaneously. Mixing a 9 nt RNA, NP and Z in a 1:1:2 ratio for
subsequent nMS measurements, the NP_3 ring conformation again dissociated into RNA-bound
monomers (Fig 7A) (66.08 +/- 0.02 kDa), some of which were associated with Z (77.4 +/-
0.05 kDa) (Fig. 7B). CID-MS/MS confirmed these results (Fig. 7CD). In both cases the RNA
remained bound to NP at high collision voltage whereas Z dissociated. This indicates a strong
ionic interaction between RNA and NP compared to a weaker interaction between NP and Z. This
observation fits with our proposed model of NP-Z interaction, which shows a rather small protein-
protein interface between the C-terminal domain of NP and Z (Figure 4B). The affinity between
wild-type NP and Z for the NP_1-Z-RNA complex appeared to be in the same range when using
the NP-R52A trimerization mutant with a K_D around 30 µM. Taken together, these results show
that the NP_1-RNA complex can indeed interact with Z, supporting the idea that Z mediates the
recruitment of RNPs to the plasma membrane by direct interaction of Z with RNA-bound NP.

264
Figure 7: NP can bind Z and RNA simultaneously. (A) Full nMS spectrum of NP with Z and 9 nt RNA. NP_3
completely dissociates into RNA-bound monomers. (B) Closeup of the *m/z* range 3,000-6,000 for determination of
masses corresponding to NP-Z and RNA-NP-Z complexes. MS/MS of peaks corresponding to NP-Z isolated at 4,124
m/z (C) and RNA-NP-Z isolated at 4,549 *m/z* (D) at low and high acceleration voltage in the collision cell. CID
products for the RNA-NP-Z complexes led to masses corresponding to Z monomers and NP_1-RNA_1 complexes,
whereas no CID products for the NP-RNA complex were observed at higher acceleration voltage.

If the NP-Z interaction is responsible for RNP recruitment into budding virions, we wondered what
triggers the release of the RNPs upon virus entry and membrane fusion. A potentially important
factor could be the pH as LASV is taken up via the endosomal pathway. Low pH triggers membrane
fusion and could also lead to dissociation of RNPs from the Z protein lining the inner side of the
viral membrane. Therefore, we evaluated the pH-dependence of the NP-Z interaction by incubating
the proteins at varying pH between 5 and 7.5 and subsequently measured NP-Z complex formation
by nMS (Fig. 8A). The first step was to evaluate the protein homogeneity after changing the buffer
to lower pH. NP showed a similar oligomerization behavior at pH 5 and pH 7.5, with the masses
still corresponding to a trimer (Fig. 8B). In contrast, Z started to homo-oligomerize after changing
the pH to 5 forming up to homohexameric complexes (Fig. 8B). This is in line with an observation
on influenza matrix protein that also undergoes oligomerization at low pH [30]. However, we
observed very similar protein concentrations at both pH 5 and pH 7.5 after buffer exchange and
high-speed centrifugation indicating that no unspecific protein aggregation happens during the
buffer exchange. To test the pH-dependence of the NP-Z interaction, we recorded 3 independent
spectra of a mixture of NP and Z at a 1:3 (NP:Z) molar ratio at pH 5, 5.5, 6.5 and 7.5. We found
that almost no NP-Z interaction was observed at pH 5 while complex formation at pH 7.5 was as
observed in previous experiments (Fig. 8C). At pH values between 5.5 and 6.5 NP-Z interaction
was also lower compared to pH 7.5 (Fig. 8D). These experiments show that the interaction between
NP and Z is highly pH-dependent. This supports our hypothesis that the endosomal acidic pH
reduces NP-Z interaction and, as a result, RNPs are released from the viral matrix.

292
Figure 8: NP-Z interaction is less efficient at lower pH. (A) Scheme of the pH-dependent interaction assay.
Proteins were separately exchanged to ammonium acetate buffer with adjusted pH. NP and Z with the same pH were
incubated in a 1:3 (NP:Z) molar ratio and measured by nMS. (B) Deconvoluted spectra of NP and Z after changing
the buffer to pH 5. NP oligomerization status was unaltered whereas Z starts to oligomerize at pH 5 and 5.5. (C)
Spectra of NP and Z in a 1:3 molar ratio at different pH. (D) The normalized intensity for every mass species from
the deconvoluted spectra were plotted according to the different pH conditions. The sum of all mass species of one
measurement was set to 1. Error bars representing standard deviation of at least 3 independent measurements.

Discussion

NP is known to form trimers in the absence of RNA and during recombinant purification with its atomic structure solved [8]. The trimeric NP can be isolated from RNP containing cells alongside full RNP complexes demonstrating existence of this complex in infected cells [23, 31]. It was speculated that the trimer serves as a storage form for NP in cells. The ability of NP to trimerize was found to be essential for viral genome replication and transcription but was dispensable for interferon antagonism and NP recruitment into budding virions [23]. It was assumed that the NP trimer is a functional equivalent of the NP-phosphoprotein complex of non-segmented negative-strand RNA viruses preventing unspecific RNA binding to the NP [9, 32]. Structurally, the trimeric ring conformation of arenavirus NP is unable to bind RNA. To enable this, the C-terminal domain must first rotate away from the RNA-binding pocket allowing one helix to become partly unstructured (α5) and a second helix (α6) to shift away from the RNA binding pocket [9, 33]. To induce this conformational change, it was speculated that specific (host-) factors are needed [9]. In our experiments, we demonstrated that short RNAs are sufficient to induce this conformational change and trigger NP trimer dissociation. Yet, how does NP primarily associate with viral RNA instead of host RNA? We did not observe any sequence specificity as NP bound to all random RNAs used in our experiments, which is in line with structural data [9]. However, it cannot be ruled out that the LASV RNA genome contains some specific structural and sequence motifs (packaging signals) or additional modifications that could lead to a lower threshold for RNA binding. These signals would have to be different in the genomic RNA compared to viral mRNA, which is usually not encapsidated. It remains unclear whether and how specific recruitment of all genome segments is controlled in bunyaviruses as specific RNA secondary structures, as present in influenza viruses [34], seem to be missing. For Rift Valley fever virus, a non-selective recruitment of viral genome segments into budding virions was found to be the most likely scenario [35-37]. Another factor favoring viral RNA binding could be the local RNA concentration. In our experiments, we used relatively high RNA concentrations (1:1 or 1:2 molar ratio) and observed the dissociation and assembly process in real time, pointing to a rather slow RNA binding kinetic. Several scenarios are conceivable in support of the idea of local viral RNA concentration as a key determinant for preferred and efficient packaging of viral genomic RNA:

(i) Direct interaction of NP with the L protein for close proximity to nascent viral RNA. NP is a critical component of the arenavirus replication machinery. A direct interaction of NP and L was suggested based on co-immunoprecipitation studies, although an interaction mediated by RNA or host factors could not be ruled out in this case [38, 39].

(ii) Indirect interaction of NP with L during viral genome replication via a host protein. This has been observed for influenza virus polymerase complex, where the host acidic nuclear protein (ANP32) enables L-L interaction and also binds to NP to support encapsidation of nascent viral RNA and re-encapsidation of the template [40, 41].

(iii) Formation of local microenvironments for viral genome replication and transcription within the cell. Bunyavirus NPs have been detected in non-membranous compartments such as processing bodies and stress granules in cells [42-45]. Other studies reported NP containing cytosolic puncta forming upon arenavirus infection associated with cellular membranes. These puncta were concluded to contain full-length genomic and antigenomic RNAs along with proteins involved in cellular mRNA metabolism reminiscent of classical replication-transcription complexes [46]. Interestingly, phosphorylation of LCMV NP at position T206 was found to be critical for the formation of these cytosolic puncta [47]. However, there is no evidence that the L

346 protein is indeed present in these puncta and the presence of these local puncta would not provide
347 an explanation on why mRNAs are not encapsidated. It therefore remains unclear what exactly
348 determines viral RNA packaging by NP in addition to the presence of the RNA molecule itself.
349
In our experiments, we could observe NP trimer dissociation into RNA-bound NP monomers,
which then assembled to higher-order NP-RNA structures. NP-RNA higher-order complex
formation seemed to require a certain RNA length, indicating an additional stabilizing effect of the
RNA or even a secondary RNA binding site in NP as observed for other segmented negative-strand
RNA viruses [48]. Interestingly, we observed a complex of one RNA molecule bound to the NP
trimer (NP$_3$RNA$_1$) although according to our interpretation of the available structural data, RNA
binding is not possible in the trimeric ring conformation [8]. We propose that the observed
NP$_3$RNA$_1$ state is therefore likely a disassembly intermediate. Mechanistically, we hypothesize that
the trimer needs to open up first, allowing for one RNA-binding site to be occupied, while the NP
molecules are still connected to each other. The open conformation is less stable and therefore the
trimer quickly disassembles into monomers.
361

362
363
364 **Figure 9: Proposed model of the NP-Z interaction during the viral life cycle**. At a late stage of
365 infection, the cellular concentration of Z is high favoring NP-Z interaction. This leads to recruitment
366 of the RNPs to the cell membrane by Z for virion assembly (left). During virus entry into the host
367 cell via the endosomal pathway, the lower pH leads to dissociation of NP from Z, which coats the
368 inner virion membrane. This leads to the release of the RNPs into the cytosol.
369
Contrary to the NP-RNA interaction, the direct interaction of NP with Z protein was demonstrated
to be independent of the oligomeric state of NP as well as the presence or absence of RNA. We
mapped the NP-Z interaction site with an integrative structural approach of nMS and Alphafold
Multimer-powered complex prediction. Our data point towards Z binding to the C-terminal
domain of NP likely involving the side chains of R16 and R74 of Z. Previous Co-
immunoprecipitation studies already suggested the C-terminal domain of NP to be important for
the interaction with Z protein, either directly or mediated by cellular factors [6]. Several
conserved Z residues, including R16 and T73, were also demonstrated to be important for
inhibition of viral RNA synthesis and virus-like particle (VLP) infectivity although R16 and T73

are not involved in the binding of Z to the L protein [20, 49]. This points towards the importance
of R16 and T73 conservation for Z localization and/or proper folding. However, we could not
detect a difference in the protein folding comparing Z R16A to the wild-type Z *in vitro*.
It was hypothesized that Z residues R16, T73 and others were involved in RNP recruitment via
binding to NP and/or viral RNA [49]. Our experimental data and the proposed model support this
hypothesis as we find R16 and T73 to be located in the interface between NP and Z, while we did
not detect an interaction between Z and RNA *in vitro* as observed for the matrix protein of other
negative strand RNA viruses [50]. Upon RNA packaging, RNPs are recruited into nascent virions
and Z has been shown to be critical for budding of most arenaviruses [51]. While Z alone is
sufficient to drive the formation of VLPs, the process is significantly enhanced when NP is
present [14]. For Tacaribe virus, the so-called late-domain motifs ASAP and YxxL of Z have an
influence on the NP incorporation, with the Y56 in the YxxL motif being critical. These data
suggest a role of the late-domain motif YxxL in the NP-Z interaction [52]. Interestingly, although
the YxxL motif is also present in the LASV Z, the Y48 of the YxxL motif is not in the proposed
NP-Z interface in our model but rather on the opposite side of the Z molecule. However, the
proposed location of this motif in our model is in agreement with another study reporting the
binding of Z to a host protein required for budding via the YxxL motif [53].
The data shown here support the concept, that the NP-Z interaction is important for the recruitment
of RNPs to the plasma membrane and incorporation into nascent virions. We demonstrate that
RNA-bound NP is able to bind Z as would be expected for RNP recruitment.

Arenavirus cell entry is mediated by the glycoprotein complex. After receptor binding, virions enter
the host cell via the endosomal pathway [54, 55]. Our data showed that interaction between NP and
Z is highly pH-dependent with a decreased NP-Z interaction at pH values lower than pH 7.5.
Therefore, it is conceivable that RNP-Z dissociation triggered by a lower pH in the endosome leads
to the release of RNPs into the cytosol after viral membrane fusion. Unlike in influenza viruses,
arenaviruses do not have proton channels in their lipid envelope that actively acidify the virion upon
endocytosis. However, it has been recently shown that protons can also passively cross viral
membranes leading to viral matrix reorganization in Ebola virus [56]. Besides the pH-dependent
NP-Z interaction, we observed that Z starts to oligomerize between pH 5 and 5.5. We hypothesize,
that stronger Z-Z interaction at low pH, as indicated by oligomerization, further reduces availability
of Z for the low affinity Z-NP interaction. This is in line with several studies that report pH as a
critical factor for matrix protein oligomerization and protein conformation [30, 57-60]. Matching
our observations, a lower pH at around 5.4 also induced weakening of influenza virus matrix protein
M1-vRNP interactions [61].
Our findings support a model, in which Z binds to the RNP at neutral pH in the cytoplasm or a
cellular microenvironment via direct interaction with NP. This interaction facilitates targeted
transport of the RNP to the plasma membrane at high cellular concentration of Z protein during
late stages of the viral life cycle and subsequent virion budding. Upon virus entry into a host cell,
the RNPs are released from the virion matrix triggered by the low pH in the endosome allowing
the RNPs to be released into the cytosol after membrane fusion for viral genome replication and
transcription.

Materials and Methods

Expression and purification

426 Lassa Z (AV strain) was expressed with a pOPIN-J vector with an N-terminal GST tag and
427 purified as described in [16]. Mutations (if indicated) were introduced by mutagenic PCR
428 before cloning into the pOPIN-J Vector and expressed and purified according to the WT.

Lassa NP (AV strain) was expressed with a pOPIN-M vector with an N-terminal maltose binding protein (MBP) fusion protein. Mutations (if indicated) were introduced by mutagenic PCR before cloning into the pOPIN-M. Expression was performed in BL21 in *E. coli* strain BL21 Gold (DE3) (Novagen) at 37°C and grown in 1-liter cultures in terrific growth media to an optical density at 600 nm of 1.0. Cells were induced with 250 µM isopropyl-β-d-thiogalactopyranoside and incubated overnight at 17°C. Cells were harvested by centrifugation and lysed by sonication in 50 mM NaH_2PO_4, pH 8.0, 500 mM NaCl, and 5% (w/w) glycerol, 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, 0.05 % (v/v) TritonX-100, 0.025% (w/v) lysozyme and 2 mM dithiothreitol. The lysate was clarified by centrifugation at 30,000 g for 30 min at 4°C and incubated for 45 min with amylose beads (GE Healthcare). The loaded protein was cleaved on column by GST-tagged 3C protease at 4°C overnight. The protein containing buffer was diluted to reach 150 mM NaCl concentration by adding 20 mM NaH_2Po_4 pH 8 and loaded on a heparin column. (GE Healthcare). NP was eluted by a NaCl gradient and concentrated for further purification by size-exclusion chromatography on an SD200 column (GE Healthcare) in 50 mM Tris(HCl) pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 5% (w/w) glycerol, 2 mM dithiothreitol. Proteins were concentrated using filter units (Amicon Ultra, 30,000 Da cutoff), flash frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C.

Native MS sample preparation

Proteins for native MS analysis were buffer-exchanged into 150 mM ammonium acetate (99.99 % purity) at pH 7.5 (if not indicated otherwise) by at least three cycles of centrifugation at 13.000 g and 4°C in centrifugal filter units (Amicon 3,000 or 30,000 molecular cutoff and 500 µl volume). Proteins were centrifuged at 20,000 *g* for 15 min at 4 °C to clear the sample from possible protein aggregates occurring during the buffer exchange. Protein concentration after buffer exchange ranged from 5 to 100 µM. Proteins were mixed with or without ligands on ice and subsequently introduced to a mass spectrometer.

Samples were introduced to the mass spectrometer by gold-coated capillaries made from borosilicate glass tubes (inner diameter: 0.68 mm, outer diameter: 1.2 mm; World Precision Instruments). Capillaries were pulled in a two-step program using a micropipette puller (P-1000, Sutter Instruments) with a squared box filament (2.5 mm by 2.5 mm, Sutter Instruments) Capillaries were afterwards gold-coated using a sputter coater (5.0×10^{-2} mbar, 30.0 mA, 100 s, three runs to vacuum limit 3.0×10^{-2} mbar argon, CCU-010, Safematic)

Native MS data acquisition

Data acquisition was performed on a nanoESI Q-ToF II mass spectrometer (Waters/Micromass) modified for high mass experiments in positive ion mode [62]. Samples were ionized with voltages at the capillary of 1450 V and at the cone of 150 V. Pressure of the in-source region was constantly at 10 mbar. Pressure in the collision cell was at 1.5 x 10^{-2} mbar argon. Quaternary structure of protein complexes was determined in MS/MS mode with acceleration voltage ranging between 50- 400 V across the collision cell. The quadrupole profile was 1,000 to 10,000 *m/z* throughout all experiments. Cesium iodide (25mg/ml) in aqueous solution was used to calibrate the instrument and the data.

The NP-RNA assembly experiment (Figure 6) was performed on a Q Exactive Ultra-High Mass Range (UHMR) Orbitrap mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific) in positive

ion mode with a mass range from 350 to 20.000 *m/z* and a nano ESI source. Following parameters were used: Capillary voltage was kept at 1.2 kV, source temperature at 50 °C, noise level parameter at 4.64, S-lens radio frequency level 200, in source CID at 5 eV, resolution at 6250 setting value, ion injection time of 100 ms. Nitrogen was used in the HCD cell with a trapping gas pressure of 5 setting value with ultra-high vacuum readout at around 2.5×10^{-10} mbar. HCD energy setting was at 100. Instrument detector parameters were set to low *m/z*. 10 microscans were summed into 1 scan.

Data analysis for native MS

Raw data was analyzed with MassLynx V4.1, (Waters) for QToF2 datasets or Xcalibur for UHMR datasets by combining spectra and exporting them into txt file format. Following evaluation regarding full width at half maximum (FWHM) was done with mMass (Martin Strohalm [63]). Experimental mass, area under the curve (AUC) and visualization was evaluated with Unidec (Michael T. Marty [28]). K_d determination was calculated after [64]. The values for all recorded masses and FWHM resulted from at least 3 independent measurements and are provided in Table S3.

Negative-stain electron microscopy

Purified NP at 0.01 mg/mL was applied to glow-discharged carbon-coated copper grids (Electron Microscopy Sciences) and stained with uranyl acetate immediately before imaging. Transmission electron microscopy images were collected using a Talos L120c microscope (120 kV) with a LaB6 thermionic source at x92,000 magnification and a defocus of between -0.2 and 0.5 μm. For the NP + RNA condition, NP at 0.01 mg/mL was mixed with a single-stranded 25mer (Table S3) at a 2 molar-excess to the NP monomer. The NP + RNA was incubated at room temperature for approximately 15 minutes and then imaged as for the NP without RNA condition.

Structural modelling of LASV NP-Z complex
To model the NP-Z complex, we used the 'custom' mode of AlphaPulldown [65], a python package built upon AlphaFold [26] and AlphaFold Multimer [27]. Both the initial release of AlphaFold Multimer (version 2.1.0) and the latest version (version 2.3.0) were used. All parameters were set to default except for the max_recycles, which was increased from 3 to 12 for better model quality and to avoid steric clashes. The local quality of the model was assessed by predicted local distance difference test (pLDDT) scores as returned by AlphaFold. The confidence in the relative arrangement between NP and Z proteins was evaluated by predicted aligned errors (PAE), also as returned by AlphaFold. Other evaluations of the model quality and properties, including Predicted DockQ score (pDockQ) [66] protein-interface score (PI-score) [67], and biophysical properties of the interaction interface (using PI-score program), were calculated by AlphaPulldown.

Experimental design and Statistical analysis

The determined masses, FWHM and AUC from nMS analysis were taken from at least 3 independent measurements and are shown together with the resulting standard deviation. Values for quantification are shown as mean from three independent measurements. The error bars represent the standard deviation. The shown standard deviation for K_d values are calculated according to the rules of Gaussian error propagation.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Janine-Denise Kopicki for helpful advice of the K_d calculation. We thank Kay Grünewald for support and all current and past members of the Uetrecht and Rosenthal group for discussions and data interpretation. Part of this work was performed at the Multi-User CryoEM Facility at the Centre for Structural Systems Biology, Hamburg, supported by the Universität Hamburg and DFG grant numbers (INST 152/772-1|152/774-1|152/775-1|152/776-1|152/777-1 FUGG)

Funding:

Leibniz Center Infection Graduate School (to MR, CU)
The Leibniz Institute of Virology was supported by the Free and Hanseatic City of Hamburg and the Federal Ministry of Health (to LS, CU)
German Federal Ministry of Education and Research 01KI2019 (to MR)
DFG RO 5954/2-1 (to MR)
DFG KO 5979/2-1 (to JK)
Leibniz Association's Leibniz competition programme K72/2017 (to MR)
SAW-2014-HPI-4 grant (to CU)
Horizon 2020 ERC StG-2017 759661 (to CU)
BWFBG of the Free and Hanseatic City of Hamburg for an equipment grant (CU)

Author contributions:

Conceptualization: LS, CU, MR
Methodology: LS, HW, DY, DV, JK, MR, CU
Investigation: LS, HW, DY
Visualization: LS, DY
Supervision: JK, MR, CU
Funding acquisition: MR, CU
Writing—original draft: LS
Writing—review & editing: LS, MR, CU, DY, JK

Competing interests:

Authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Data and materials availability:

The AlphaFold model of the N-Z dimer will be deposited in ModelArchive upon manuscript acceptance. (https://www.modelarchive.org/).

Mass spectrometry raw data will be deposited in the PRIDE database upon manuscript acceptance.

References

1. Hallam, H.J., et al., *Baseline mapping of Lassa fever virology, epidemiology and vaccine research and development.* npj Vaccines, 2018. **3**(1).
2. Klitting, R., et al., *Predicting the evolution of the Lassa virus endemic area and population at risk over the next decades.* Nature Communications, 2022. **13**(1): p. 5596.
3. Auperin, D.D., et al., *Sequencing studies of pichinde arenavirus S RNA indicate a novel coding strategy, an ambisense viral S RNA.* Journal of Virology, 1984. **52**(3): p. 897-904.
4. Lee, K.J., et al., *NP and L proteins of lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus (LCMV) are sufficient for efficient transcription and replication of LCMV genomic RNA analogs.* J Virol, 2000. **74**(8): p. 3470-7.
5. Pinschewer, D.D., M. Perez, and J.C.d.l. Torre, *Role of the Virus Nucleoprotein in the Regulation of Lymphocytic Choriomeningitis Virus Transcription and RNA Replication.* Journal of Virology, 2003. **77**(6): p. 3882-3887.
6. Levingston Macleod, J.M., et al., *Identification of two functional domains within the arenavirus nucleoprotein.* Journal of virology, 2011. **85**(5): p. 2012-2023.
7. Hastie, K.M., et al., *Structure of the Lassa virus nucleoprotein reveals a dsRNA-specific 3' to 5' exonuclease activity essential for immune suppression.* Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 2011. **108**(6): p. 2396-401.
8. Brunotte, L., et al., *Structure of the Lassa Virus Nucleoprotein Revealed by X-ray Crystallography, Small-angle X-ray Scattering, and Electron Microscopy *.* Journal of Biological Chemistry, 2011. **286**(44): p. 38748-38756.
9. Hastie, K.M., et al., *Crystal structure of the Lassa virus nucleoprotein–RNA complex reveals a gating mechanism for RNA binding.* Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 2011. **108**(48): p. 19365-19370.
10. Eichler, R., et al., *Characterization of the Lassa virus matrix protein Z: electron microscopic study of virus-like particles and interaction with the nucleoprotein (NP).* Virus research, 2004. **100**(2): p. 249-255.
11. Salvato, M.S., et al., *Biochemical and immunological evidence that the 11 kDa zinc-binding protein of lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus is a structural component of the virus.* Virus Research, 1992. **22**(3): p. 185-198.
12. Perez, M., R.C. Craven, and J.C. de la Torre, *The small RING finger protein Z drives arenavirus budding: Implications for antiviral strategies.* Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 2003. **100**(22): p. 12978-12983.
13. Perez, M., D.L. Greenwald, and J.C.d.L. Torre, *Myristoylation of the RING Finger Z Protein Is Essential for Arenavirus Budding.* Journal of Virology, 2004. **78**(20): p. 11443-11448.
14. Casabona, J.C., et al., *The RING Domain and the L79 Residue of Z Protein Are Involved in both the Rescue of Nucleocapsids and the Incorporation of Glycoproteins into Infectious Chimeric Arenavirus-Like Particles.* Journal of Virology, 2009. **83**(14): p. 7029-7039.
15. Loureiro, M.E., et al., *Uncovering Viral Protein-Protein Interactions and their Role in Arenavirus Life Cycle.* Viruses, 2012. **4**(9): p. 1651-1667.
16. Vogel, D., et al., *Biochemical characterization of the Lassa virus L protein.* Journal of Biological Chemistry, 2019. **294**(20): p. 8088-8100.
17. Kranzusch, P.J. and S.P.J. Whelan, *Arenavirus Z protein controls viral RNA synthesis by locking a polymerase–promoter complex.* Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 2011. **108**(49): p. 19743-19748.

18. Kang, H., et al., *Structural basis for recognition and regulation of arenavirus polymerase L by Z protein.* Nature Communications, 2021. **12**(1): p. 4134.

19. Ma, J., S. Zhang, and X. Zhang, *Structure of Machupo virus polymerase in complex with matrix protein Z.* Nature Communications, 2021. **12**(1): p. 6163.

20. Xu, X., et al., *Cryo-EM structures of Lassa and Machupo virus polymerases complexed with cognate regulatory Z proteins identify targets for antivirals.* Nature Microbiology, 2021. **6**(7): p. 921-931.

21. Kouba, T., et al., *Conformational changes in Lassa virus L protein associated with promoter binding and RNA synthesis activity.* Nature Communications, 2021. **12**(1): p. 7018.

22. Hastie, K.M., et al., *Crystal Structure of the Oligomeric Form of Lassa Virus Matrix Protein Z.* Journal of Virology, 2016. **90**(9): p. 4556-4562.

23. Lennartz, F., et al., *The role of oligomerization for the biological functions of the arenavirus nucleoprotein.* Archives of virology, 2013. **158**(9): p. 1895-1905.

24. Duelfer, J., et al., *Structural mass spectrometry goes viral.* Advances in virus research, 2019. **105**: p. 189-238.

25. Yu, D., et al., *AlphaPulldown—a python package for protein–protein interaction screens using AlphaFold-Multimer.* Bioinformatics, 2022. **39**(1).

26. Jumper, J., *Highly accurate protein structure prediction with AlphaFold. Nature https://doi. org/10.1038/s41586-021-03819-2 (2021).*

27. Evans, R., et al., *Protein complex prediction with AlphaFold-Multimer. bioRxiv [Preprint](2021).* October.

28. Marty, M.T., et al., *Bayesian Deconvolution of Mass and Ion Mobility Spectra: From Binary Interactions to Polydisperse Ensembles.* Analytical Chemistry, 2015. **87**(8): p. 4370-4376.

29. Ortiz-Riaño, E., et al., *The C-Terminal Region of Lymphocytic Choriomeningitis Virus Nucleoprotein Contains Distinct and Segregable Functional Domains Involved in NP-Z Interaction and Counteraction of the Type I Interferon Response.* Journal of Virology, 2011. **85**(24): p. 13038-13048.

30. Shtykova, E.V., et al., *Structural Analysis of Influenza A Virus Matrix Protein M1 and Its Self-Assemblies at Low pH.* PLoS ONE, 2013. **8**(12): p. e82431.

31. Pyle, J.D. and S.P.J. Whelan, *Isolation of Reconstructed Functional Ribonucleoprotein Complexes of Machupo Virus.* Journal of Virology, 2021. **95**(22): p. e01054-21.

32. Leyrat, C., et al., *Structure of the vesicular stomatitis virus N0-P complex.* PLoS pathogens, 2011. **7**(9): p. e1002248.

33. Pattis, J.G. and E.R. May, *Markov State Model of Lassa Virus Nucleoprotein Reveals Large Structural Changes during the Trimer to Monomer Transition.* Structure, 2020. **28**(5): p. 548-554.e3.

34. Fujii, Y., et al., *Selective incorporation of influenza virus RNA segments into virions.* Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 2003. **100**(4): p. 2002-2007.

35. Raymond, D.D., et al., *Structure of the Rift Valley fever virus nucleocapsid protein reveals another architecture for RNA encapsidation.* Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 2010. **107**(26): p. 11769-11774.

36. Wichgers Schreur, P.J. and J. Kortekaas, *Single-Molecule FISH Reveals Non-selective Packaging of Rift Valley Fever Virus Genome Segments.* PLOS Pathogens, 2016. **12**(8): p. e1005800.

37. Bermúdez-Méndez, E., et al., *Visualizing the ribonucleoprotein content of single bunyavirus virions reveals more efficient genome packaging in the arthropod host.* Communications Biology, 2021. **4**(1): p. 345.

38. Pyle, J.D. and S.P. Whelan, *Isolation of reconstructed functional ribonucleoprotein complexes of Machupo virus.* Journal of virology, 2021. **95**(22): p. e01054-21.
39. Kerber, R., et al., *Cross-species analysis of the replication complex of Old World arenaviruses reveals two nucleoprotein sites involved in L protein function.* Journal of virology, 2011. **85**(23): p. 12518-12528.
40. Wang, F., et al., *The C-terminal LCAR of host ANP32 proteins interacts with the influenza A virus nucleoprotein to promote the replication of the viral RNA genome.* Nucleic Acids Research, 2022. **50**(10): p. 5713-5725.
41. Carrique, L., et al., *Host ANP32A mediates the assembly of the influenza virus replicase.* Nature, 2020. **587**(7835): p. 638-643.
42. Xu, M., et al., *Bunyaviral N Proteins Localize at RNA Processing Bodies and Stress Granules: The Enigma of Cytoplasmic Sources of Capped RNA for Cap Snatching.* Viruses, 2022. **14**(8): p. 1679.
43. Mir, M.A., et al., *Storage of cellular 5' mRNA caps in P bodies for viral cap-snatching.* Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 2008. **105**(49): p. 19294-19299.
44. Hopkins, K.C., et al., *Virus-induced translational arrest through 4EBP1/2-dependent decay of 5'-TOP mRNAs restricts viral infection.* Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 2015. **112**(22): p. E2920-E2929.
45. Hopkins, K.C., et al., *A genome-wide RNAi screen reveals that mRNA decapping restricts bunyaviral replication by limiting the pools of Dcp2-accessible targets for cap-snatching.* Genes & development, 2013. **27**(13): p. 1511-1525.
46. Baird, N.L., J. York, and J.H. Nunberg, *Arenavirus Infection Induces Discrete Cytosolic Structures for RNA Replication.* Journal of Virology, 2012. **86**(20): p. 11301-11310.
47. Knopp, K.A., et al., *Single Nucleoprotein Residue Modulates Arenavirus Replication Complex Formation.* mBio, 2015. **6**(3): p. e00524-15.
48. Ng, A.K.-L., et al., *Structure of the influenza virus A H5N1 nucleoprotein: implications for RNA binding, oligomerization, and vaccine design.* The FASEB journal, 2008. **22**(10): p. 3638.
49. Capul, A.A., J.C.d.l. Torre, and M.J. Buchmeier, *Conserved Residues in Lassa Fever Virus Z Protein Modulate Viral Infectivity at the Level of the Ribonucleoprotein.* Journal of Virology, 2011. **85**(7): p. 3172-3178.
50. Rodríguez, L., et al., *Human respiratory syncytial virus matrix protein is an RNA-binding protein: binding properties, location and identity of the RNA contact residues.* Journal of general virology, 2004. **85**(3): p. 709-719.
51. Strecker, T., et al., *Lassa virus Z protein is a matrix protein sufficient for the release of virus-like particles.* Journal of virology, 2003. **77**(19): p. 10700-10705.
52. Groseth, A., et al., *Efficient Budding of the Tacaribe Virus Matrix Protein Z Requires the Nucleoprotein.* Journal of Virology, 2010. **84**(7): p. 3603-3611.
53. Shtanko, O., et al., *ALIX/AIP1 is required for NP incorporation into Mopeia virus Z-induced virus-like particles.* Journal of virology, 2011. **85**(7): p. 3631-3641.
54. Jae, L.T., et al., *Lassa virus entry requires a trigger-induced receptor switch.* Science, 2014. **344**(6191): p. 1506-1510.
55. Cao, W., et al., *Identification of alpha-dystroglycan as a receptor for lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus and Lassa fever virus.* Science, 1998. **282**(5396): p. 2079-81.
56. Winter, S.L., et al., *The Ebola virus VP40 matrix undergoes endosomal disassembly essential for membrane fusion.* bioRxiv, 2022: p. 2022.08.24.505067.
57. Safo, M.K., et al., *Crystal Structures of Influenza A Virus Matrix Protein M1: Variations on a Theme.* PLoS ONE, 2014. **9**(10): p. e109510.

58.　Harris, A., et al., *The crystal structure of the influenza matrix protein M1 at neutral pH:*
M1–M1 protein interfaces can rotate in the oligomeric structures of M1. Virology, 2001.
289(1): p. 34-44.
59.　Fontana, J. and A.C. Steven, *At low pH, influenza virus matrix protein M1 undergoes a*
conformational change prior to dissociating from the membrane. Journal of virology,
2013. **87**(10): p. 5621-5628.
60.　Fontana, J., et al., *Structural changes in Influenza virus at low pH characterized by cryo-*
electron tomography. Journal of virology, 2012. **86**(6): p. 2919-2929.
61.　Stauffer, S., et al., *Stepwise Priming by Acidic pH and a High K^+*
Concentration Is Required for Efficient Uncoating of Influenza A Virus Cores after
Penetration. Journal of Virology, 2014. **88**(22): p. 13029-13046.
62.　Van Den Heuvel, R.H.H., et al., *Improving the Performance of a Quadrupole Time-of-*
Flight Instrument for Macromolecular Mass Spectrometry. Analytical Chemistry, 2006.
78(21): p. 7473-7483.
63.　Strohalm, M., et al., *mMass data miner: an open source alternative for mass*
spectrometric data analysis. Rapid Communications in Mass Spectrometry, 2008. **22**(6):
p. 905-908.
64.　Kopicki, J.-D., et al., *Opening opportunities for Kd determination and screening of MHC*
peptide complexes. Communications Biology, 2022. **5**(1).
65.　Yu, D., et al., *AlphaPulldown – a Python package for protein-protein interaction screens*
using AlphaFold-Multimer. bioRxiv, 2022: p. 2022.08.05.502961.
66.　Bryant, P., G. Pozzati, and A. Elofsson, *Improved prediction of protein-protein*
interactions using AlphaFold2. Nature communications, 2022. **13**(1): p. 1-11.
67.　Malhotra, S., et al., *Assessment of protein–protein interfaces in cryo-EM derived*
assemblies. Nature communications, 2021. **12**(1): p. 1-12.
744