Population dynamics of the cosmopolitan eukaryotic picophytoplankton Bathycoccus

during seasonal blooms in the bay of Banyuls sur Mer (North Western Mediterranean

sea)

Martine Devic*[1], Cédric Mariac[2], Valérie Vergé[1], Philipe Schatt[1], Louis Dennu[1], Jean-Claude

Lozano[1], François-Yves Bouget*[1] and François Sabot*[2]

Author affiliations :

[1] Laboratoire d'Océanographie Microbienne (LOMIC), CNRS/Sorbonne Université,

UMR7621, Observatoire Océanologique, 66650 Banyuls/mer, France.

[2] Diversité, adaptation et développement des plantes (DIADE), UMR 232 IRD/UM/CIRAD,

Centre IRD de Montpellier, 911 avenue Agropolis, BP 604501, 34394, Montpellier Cedex 5,

France.

* corresponding authors : martine.devic@obs-banyuls.fr; francois-yves.bouget@obs-

banyuls.fr; francois.sabot@ird.fr

Running head : Markers of genetic diversity in Bathycoccus

Key words : Phytoplankton, Bathycoccus, intra-specific diversity, marker, bloom

word count for Abstract, Introduction, Materials and Methods, Results and Discussion :

7569 words

Abstract (251 words)

Although Bathycoccus is one of the most abundant picophytoplankton, little is known about

the genetic diversity underlying its adaptation to ecological niches. In this study, the diversity

of Bathycoccus populations during their annual bloom in the Mediterranean bay of Banyuls

France was assessed by an INDEL based approach. Oxford Nanopore Technology (ONT) was

used to characterise structural variants (SV) among the genomes of Bathycoccus sampled

from geographically distinct regions in the world ocean. Markers derived from INDEL were

validated by PCR and sequencing in the world-wide strains. These markers were then used to

genotype 55 Bathycoccus strains isolated during the winter bloom 2018-2019 in Banyuls.

With five markers, eight Multi Loci Genotypes (MLG) were determined, two of which

represented 53% and 29% of the isolates. Physiological studies confirmed that isolates are

phenotypically different, cells isolated in February growing better at low temperature than

those isolated in December. When tested on environmental samples, two diversity markers

showed a similar allele frequency in sea water as in individual Bathycoccus strains isolated at

the same period. We conclude that these markers constitute a resource to identify the most

abundant variant alleles in a given bloom. A follow-up on three consecutive blooms revealed

differences in allele abundance during the course of a bloom, particularly at initiation, and

between years. In addition to *Bathycoccus prasinos*, two other species of Bathycoccus were

identified including the recently described species *B. calidus* and a novel species *B. catiminus*,

suggesting that species diversity of the genus Bathycoccus may be underestimated.

Introduction (929 words)

Marine phytoplankton, including picoalgae, is responsible for a large fraction of primary production (Li et al., 1983). In temperate regions, the abundance and diversity of the phytoplankton is often seasonal and occurs in bursts, as algal blooms. Per se, blooms have a large impact on global primary production and therefore the understanding of the effects of ocean warming on phytoplanktonic blooms is of the utmost importance.

In the bay of Banyuls, Mamiellophyceae (Bathycoccus and Micromonas) bloom yearly from November to April. Previous work centred on the occurrence and abundance of different species during the course of a bloom showed that Bathycoccus was one of the most abundant species (Lambert et al., 2019). Bathycoccus together with Ostreococcus and Micromonas are picoalgae in the order of Mamiellales belonging to the green lineage. Widely distributed from the equator to arctic and antarctic poles with a marked seasonality in temperate and polar regions (Joli et al., 2017, Tragin et al., 2018, Lambert et al. 2019, Leconte et al., 2020), this cosmopolite presence illustrates a high capacity for adaptation to a wide range of contrasting environments. The highly reproducible yearly reoccurrence in the Banyuls bay during the last decade (Lambert et al., 2019) also raises the question of the persistence of a Bathycoccus population adapted to the bay or of a variation of the population structure each year. In addition, since outside of the bloom period, Mamiellales are virtually absent from the bay, is the Bathycoccus bloom initiated by an uptake of resident "resting cells" in the sediment or by a fresh input carried by North western Mediterranean currents along the gulf of Lion? At present no resting stages that can act as inoculum of subsequent blooms have been described for Bathcoccus.

Assessing interspecies diversity uniquely underestimates the diversity of the populations. Equally, a single isolate cannot represent the diversity of a population. Since natural selection

acts on variation among individuals within populations, it is essential to incorporate both intra- and inter-specific trait variability into community ecology (Violle et al. 2012). Raffard et al (2019) demonstrated that intraspecific variation has significant ecological effects across a large set of 52 species, confirming a previous estimate based on a more restricted species set (Des Roches et al., 2018). Furthermore, it has been shown that diversity within species is rapidly decreasing, making them more homogenous and highlighting the need to preserve intraspecies variations (Des Roches et al. 2018) since intra-species diversity reinforces the overall population stability in the face of environmental change.

In most studies, phytoplankton diversity and abundance have been primarily determined by microscopy at species level and by metabarcoding on the nuclear or plastidial 18/16S rRNA gene. It is now imperative to incorporate intraspecific variability into population studies.

In diatoms, intraspecific variation has been shown to play a key role in the responses of the species to several important environmental factors such as light, salinity, temperature and nutrients (Godhe et al. 2017). Modelling efforts indicate that this variation within species extends bloom periods and likely provides sufficient variability in competitive interactions between species under variable conditions. The intraspecific variation most likely corresponds to optimal fitness in temporary microhabitats. This rich intraspecific genetic diversity allows for the possibility of local adaptation and for differentiation in important physiological characteristics that produces local populations that are exceptionally fit and competitive in their respective local habitat.

To date, very little information is available on intraspecies diversity of Bathycoccaceae with the exception of Ostreococcus (Blanc-Mathieu et al, 2017). Bathycoccus may be divided in two clades or species, the polar and temperate *Bathycoccus prasinos* type B1 genome (Moreau et al. 2012; Joli et al. 2017) and the tropical *Bathycoccus calidus* type B2 genome (Vannier et al. 2016; Limardo et al. 2017; Bachy et al. 2021). Thus the cosmopolitan nature of

Bathycoccus from poles to equator might be due to the combination of the B1 and B2 clades

or species. Several studies suggest that previously recognized cosmopolitan species are

actually composed of multiple populations or even multiple species (Kashtan et al., 2014).

Genotypes or species can either replace each other temporally (but with overlap) as in the

case of the marine diatoms *Pseudo-nitzschia multistriata* (Tesson et al., 2014) and

Skeletonema costatum (Gallagher, 1980) or co-exist sympatrically as in the freshwater

Asterionella formosa (Van Den Wyngaert et al., 2015). Furthermore, the frontier between

variant genotype and species is thin and knowledge will be gained by whole genome

sequencing of a large number of accessions. Read et al. (2013) reveal a pan genome of the

coccolithophore *Emiliania sp* suggesting that what was previously considered a single

species, is actually composed of multiple species.

One of the major challenges in the study of marine phytoplankton intraspecies variation is the

difficulty to isolate individuals in sufficient number for classical diversity analysis

(microsatellites : Srivastava et al. 2019, mitochondrial DNA : Galtier et al. 2009, chloroplastic

DNA : Wheeler et al. 2014, nuclear Simple Sequence Repeats SSR, Single Nucleotide

Variant (SNV). Microsatellites have been described in some diatoms (Tesson et al. 2011) but

not yet in Mamiellales. Meta-ribosomal barcoding has opened the access to massive data in

time and space and has accelerated the study of natural communities, inter-species co-

occurrence and potential biotrophic interactions. Now it is imperative to develop new tools to

study intraspecies diversity in environmental samples. To address this problem, we combined

an efficient method to isolate Mamiellales with whole genome sequencing by Oxford

Nanopore Technology (ONT) in order to identify Structural Variants (SV) in the Bathycoccus

genome. Diversity markers designed from INDEL were used to genotype Bathycoccus

accessions and populations from environmental samples.

Materials and Methods (885 words)

Algal strains and culture conditions

World-wide Bathycoccus strains were obtained at the Roscoff Culture Collection (RCC) centre: RCC4222, RCC5417, RCC1613, RCC685, RCC1615, RCC1868, RCC4752 and RCC716. The strains were cultivated in 100 mL flasks in filtered artificial seawater (24.55 g/L NaCl, 0.75 g/L KCl, 4.07 g/L MgCl$_2$ 6H$_2$O, 1.47 g/L CaCl$_2$ 2H$_2$O, 6.04 g/L MgSO$_4$ 7H$_2$O, 0.21 g/L NaHCO$_3$, 0.138 g/L NaH$_2$PO$_4$ and 0.75 g/L NaNO$_3$) supplemented with trace metals and vitamins. Cultures were maintained under constant gentle agitation in an orbital platform shaker (Heidoph shaker and mixer unimax 1010). Realistic sunlight irradiation curves were applied during the light period in temperature-controlled incubators (Panasomic MIR-154-PE).

Cell isolation

Surface water was collected at 3 meters depth at SOLA buoy in Banyuls bay, North Western Mediterranean Sea, France (42°31'N, 03°11'E) approximately every week from December 2018 to March 2019, November 2019 to March 2020 and October 2020 to April 2021. Two ml aliquots were used to determine the quantity and size of phytoplankton by flow cytometry. For the bloom 2018/2019, 50 ml was filtered through a 1.2-μm pore-size acrodisc (FP 30/1.2 CA-S cat N° 10462260 Whatman GE Healthcare Sciences) and used to inoculate 4 culture flasks with 10 ml of filtrate each. The sea water was supplemented by vitamins, NaH2PO4, NaNO3 and metal traces at the same concentration then artificial sea water (ASW), antibiotics (Streptomycine sulfate and Penicillin at 50 μg/ml) were added in half of the cultures. The cultures were incubated under light and temperature conditions similar to those at sampling date for 3-4 weeks. The presence of picophytoplankton was analysed by a BD accury C6 flow cytometer. In general, superior results were obtained without antibiotics. Cultures containing

at least 90% of picophytoplankton with only residual nanophytoplankton were used for

plating on agarose. Colonies appearing after 10 days were hand picked and further cultured in

2 ml ASW in deepwell plates (Nunc, Perkin Elmer, Hessen, Germany) for 10 days. Cells were

cryopreserved at this stage. Circa 500 clones were cryopreserved. At the same time, DNA

extraction and PCR were performed in order to identify Bathycoccus clones.

DNA extraction, genome sequencing, assembly and PCR amplification

For PCR analysis, total DNA was extracted from 4 ml Bathycoccus cell cultures according to

the Plant DNA easy Qiagen protocol. For whole genome sequencing by Oxford Nanopore

technology (ONT), DNA was extracted by a CTAB method from 100 ml culture principally

based on Debladis et al. (2017). ONT libraries were barcoded using the Rapid Barcoding

Sequencing (SQK-RBK004) and deposited on R9.4 flow cell. For environmental samples, 5

litres of seawater at SOLA 3 meters depth were passed through 3 micron and 0.8 micron pore

filters. DNA from cells collected on the 0.8 micron filters were extracted using the Plant DNA

easy Qiagen protocol with the addition of a proteinase K treatment in the AP1 buffer. PCR

was performed using the Red Taq polymerase Master mix (VWR) with the required primers

(Supplemental data 2) and corresponding DNA. For sequencing, the PCR products were

purified using the NucleoSpin Gel and PCR Clean-up kit (Macherey-Nagel reference

740609.50) and the filtrate was sent to GENEWIZ for Sanger sequencing.

Raw ONT Fast5 data were basecalled using Guppy 4.0.5 (https://nanoporetech.com) and the

HAC model, and QC performed using NanoPlot 1.38.1 (De Coster et al. 2018). All reads with

a QPHRED higher than 8 were retained and subject to genome assembly using Flye 2.8

(Kolmogorov et al. 2019) under standard options. Raw assemblies were then polished with 3

turns of standard Racon (Vaser et al. 217) after mapping of raw reads on the previous

sequence using minimap2 (-ax map-ont mode; Li et al. 2021). Final scaffolding was

performed using Ragoo 1.1 (Alonge et al. 2019) upon the original B. prasineos reference

genome (GCA_002220235.1, Moreau et al. 2012). Final QC of assemblies was performed

using QUAST 5.0 (Mikheenko et al. 2018).

Relative allelic abundance in environmental samples

Amplifications were performed twice with a difference of 2 cycles in order to obtain clear

bands on ethidium bromide stained agarose gels for each sample. Similarly the gels were

photographed after different exposure times in order to obtain a non-saturated image for each

sample. Relative abundance of each variant within the same DNA sample was performed

using ImageJ software Analyse Gel.

Determination of Growth Rates

For each culture condition the cell number was determined by flow cytometry daily, for 10

days. The growth rate in batch culture was determined as $Ln(N)/dT$, where N is the cell

concentration per ml and T the time (days). The maximal growth rate (µmax) was determined

according to Guyon et al. (2018) on a graph expressing the neperian logarithm of cell

concentration as a function of time of culture. Mmax corresponded to the slope of the linear

part of the growth curve (i.e., excluding the lag phase and the stationary phase).

$\mu_{max} = Log(N_{fmax}) - Log(N_0)/Log(2) \times T$

Measurement of photosynthetic capacities

Cultures were acclimated to the temperature and light rhythm and intensity for 7-10 days

before subculturing at 10^6 cells /ml in triplicates. After 3-4 days of growth, the photosynthetic

activities were recorded with PHYTO-PAM-II (Walz). After 20 min in obscurity, the samples

were transfer into the PHYTO-PAM and Fv/Fm, ETRmax and NPQ were measured.

Ultrastructure of Bathycoccus species determined by transmission electronic microscopy

Cells were prepared according to Chrétionot-Dinet et al. (1995). Thin sections were stained

with uranyl acetate and lead citrate and observed with a 7500 Hitachi transmission electronic

microscope.

Results (3164 words)

Search for intraspecies diversity markers

With the aim to differentiate Bathycoccus isolates, we undertook a search for genetic

determinants of diversity. Since only two Mediterranean strains were available in the Roscoff

culture collection at the beginning of the project, we examined the world-wide diversity of

Bathycoccus and selected the most geographically dispersed accessions (Supplemental Table

1). Bathycoccus cells differ at the genome level and can be differentiated in two types, B1 and

B2 genomes (Limardo et al. 2017). Roughly the accessions possessing the B1 genome run

along a latitude gradient from the Baffin bay (67°) to the Mediterranean sea (40°). The most

tropical accession, RCC716 from the Indian Ocean (-14°) was not included in this analysis

since it has a B2 genome, recently described a novel species named *Bathycoccus calidus*

(Bachy et al. 2021). Oxford Nanopore Technology (ONT) was used to sequence the genome

of 7 B1 accessions (RCC4222 clone replaces RCC1105 which was lost). After *de novo*

assembly, each genome was compared to the reference genome of Banyuls isolate RCC1105.

There were some large chromosomal rearrangements but for the design of diversity markers,

we only considered INDEL inferior or equal to 2 kb within regions mapping on the RCC1105

genome. The number and size of INDEL are detailed in Table 1. The goal was to identify

INDEL instead of SNP (Single Nucleotide Polymorphism) that could be used to genotype the

strains directly by PCR.

Validation of sequence variations in the genomes of world-wide Bathycoccus

Putative markers were selected on several criteria. The insertion should be found at the same

or close location in the genome of at least three accessions and of different sizes in at least

two genomes, preferably three. In addition, the size of the amplified fragment should be

between 200 bp and 2 kb (this size restriction reduced the mean number of insertions from 88

to 35, Table 1) and sufficiently different among the genomes of the accessions to be

unambiguously visualised on agarose gel after amplification with a single set of primers. The

aim of this drastic selection was to identify the most divergent markers among the largest

available genetic diversity of Bathycoccus with the expectation that some of this variation

would be found in local communities of Bathycoccus in the Banyuls bay. Only five candidate

markers met these criteria and were experimentally tested. For two markers targeting

variations of the number of amino acid repeats in open reading frames, primers positioned at

proximity of the repeats did not produce a single amplimer and these two predictions from

ONT could not be validated nor invalidated. Marker on chromosome 15 (the number of

repeats in a zinc finger protein), marker chromosome 14 (variation in repeat number in a

flavodoxin-like protein) and marker chromosome 1 (insertion and deletion into the promoter

of yrdC gene) were validated (Table 2). To increase the number of markers, the striking

insertion of 1.5 kb into the promoter of the clock gene TOC1 on chromosome 17 was

included even though its diversity was below three (Table 2). The fifth marker was selected as

marker of the Big outlier Chromosome (BOC) on chromosome 14 (Moreau et al. 2012).

Typical PCR results for the 5 validated diversity markers are presented in Figure 1.

The detailed description of each marker is provided as supplementary data 1.

Bathy01g04300 encodes a yrdC domain-containing protein of unknown function. In

Escherichia coli, yrdC binds preferentially to double-stranded RNA, consistent with a role of

the protein in translation (Teplova et al. 2000). A diverse organisation was identified in the

promoter region of Bathy01g04300 in comparison to the reference genome RCC1105 and

was visualised by amplification with primers MDB33 an MDB34 (Figure 1, Table 2,

Supplemental data 1A). This set of primers showed marked differences in its amplification

success among the accessions indicating important nucleotide variations.

The intergenic region upstream Bathy17g01510 encoding an homolog of TOC1 involved in

the control of circadian rhythm was not similar in all accessions. A 2.2 kb insertion was

identified in RCC1613, RCC1615 and RCC4752 after amplification, whilst the other

accessions were similar to RCC4222 (Figure 1, Table 2, Supplemental data 1B).

Bathy03g02080 encodes a protein containing a flavodoxin-like domain, a flavin

mononucleotide (FMN)-binding site and 6 imperfect repeats of 25 amino acids. In

comparison to RCC1105, ONT sequencing revealed insertions of 147 bp in RCC4222, 74 bp

in RCC1613 and 375 bp RCC685, while RCC1868 and RCC4752 were unchanged. These

predictions verified by PCR and sequencing confirm that substantial INDEL can also occur

within coding regions (Figure 1, Table 2, Supplemental data 1C).

Bathy15g02320 encodes a protein with Zinc Finger repeats (ZF) of a greater length in Arctic

(9ZF) and RCC685 (7ZF) than in RCC4222 (6ZF). Primers were designed to amplify the

array of ZF motifs (Figure 1, Table 2, Supplemental data 1D). Since MDB68 and MDB69 did

not amplify a single fragment in RCC5417 and RCC1868, the corresponding region was

obtained by the ONT data (Table2). Zinc finger C2H2 protein are numerous (53 genes) and

highly conserved in the Bathycoccus reference genome (Moreau et al. 2012).

Bathy14g30100 encodes the protein containing a TIM domain found in the protein Timeless

involved in circadian rhythm control in Drosophila (Sehgal et al. 1995). This gene is located

in the BOC region of chromosome 14, a part of the chromosome potentially involved in

mating type (Moreau et al. 2012). The ORF of TIM was found conserved among the tested

strains (Figure 1, Table 2). This fifth marker was non discriminating among the subset of

world-wide Bathycoccus strains.

Accessory genes in Bathycoccus prasinos genome

The large insertions found in the promoters of yrdC and TOC1 were sequenced and analysed

in detail (supplementary data 1A-B).

In yrdC promoter, a gene encoding a protein of unknown function possessing ANK repeats

similar to the gene products of Bathy01g04610 (68% amino acid identity), Bathy01g04570

(67%) and Bathy11g02720 (57%) was inserted between an Evening Element-like (EEL) *cis*

element and the start of Bathy01g04300 in RCC5417, 1613, 685 and 4752. In order to gain

information on this additional gene, the Ocean Gene Atlas (OGA) website (http://tara-

oceans.mio.osupytheas.fr/ocean-gene-atlas/) (Villar et al., 2018, Vernette et al. 2022) was

interrogated with the additional protein and its three homologs. The only sequence retrieved

from OGA shared significant similarity with the 4 proteins but was not identical. So the

geographical distribution that we obtained is not the one corresponding to the accessory ANK

gene.

In TOC1 promoter, the 2.2 kb insertion identified in RCC1613, RCC1615 and RCC4752

encodes a Methyltransferase-like protein (AdoMTase *METTL24* IPR026913 IPR029063) of 320

aa with 41.7% amino acids identity to the predicted *Ostreococcus lucimarinus* CCE9901

protein (XP_001422352). Searches were performed at high stringency in OGA (Expect

threshold 1e-300) so that only the presence of the near-identical sequences was retrieved.

TOC1 and AdoMTase sequences were not strictly co-occuring. At one station located near

Chile (arrow Figure 2), TOC1 sequences were abundant but no AdoMTase was recorded

which suggests that in this particular area the Bathycoccus genomes are almost devoid of

AdoMTase. Surprisingly, it was possible to also find AdoMTase sequences not associated to

Bathycoccus TOC1 sequences indicating that this accessory gene can be found in other unknown microorganisms suggesting possible horizontal gene transfer. Most AdoMTase hits were found near the equator at temperature higher than 25°C. As a control, the co-occurrence of TOC1 and CCA1 (Bathy06g4380) sequences was complete (Supplemental Figure 1). Transcription of the gene encoding this AdoMTase was confirmed in the metaT database at OGA (data not shown).

In conclusion, two large INDEL comprised additional genes and these should be considered as structural rearrangements rather then simple INDEL. These accessory genes could potentially be beneficial for adaptation.

Isolation of Bathycoccus during the 2018/2019 winter bloom

Surface water was collected weekly from December 3rd to March 19th. During this period, the sea temperature rose to 15.87°C in December and did not descent below 10.68°C in February (Figure 3A). According to the 10-year study at the same location (SOLA Buoy in Banyuls bay) for 2007-2015 (Lambert et al. 2019) and 2015-2017, this period can be considered as an average climatic year in term of temperature (Lambert et al. 2021). The presence and abundance of phytoplankton were determined by flow cytometry after filtration on 3 μm (Figure 3). Whilst cyanobacteria were the most abundant at all time with a peak at the end of February, picophytoplankton was the second most abundant category with a first peak in December and a second in February (Supplemental Figure 2). At each sampling date, collected seawater was also filtered through 1.2 μm pore-size and transferred to culture flasks. After a period of acclimation of two weeks in supplemented sea water, cells were isolated by plating on agarose. Light green-yellow coloured colonies were picked and sub-cultured. In order to accelerate the identification of Bathycoccus among the isolated cells, amplifications of a fragment of the *LOV-HK* (Bathy10g02360) gene were performed. These primers were specific to the *Bathycoccus prasinos* genome and did not amplify the homologous gene in

Ostreococcus or Micromonas nor in any other species. The identity of these clones was

further confirmed by ribotyping (amplification of a 2kb ribosomal DNA fragment followed

by sequencing). In total, 55 Bathycoccus B1 genome isolates were recovered at nine sampling

dates (Supplemental Table2). Additional isolates producing ambiguous amplification of *LOV-*

HK were also ribotyped. Eleven were actually Bathyoccus, though not B1 type, and two

Nanochloropsis and four Cylindrotheca (Supplemental Table2).

Identification of dominant Bathycoccus Multi Loci Genotypes in Banyuls bay in 2018/2019

The five diversity markers were used in a combination of PCR and sequencing in order to

distinguish the different isolates of Bathycoccus sampled during the 2018/2019 winter bloom.

For the *yrdC* promoter, the inserted gene encoding a protein with ANK repeats was not

detected in the Banyuls samples. In one isolate only (B1 February 25th), the yrdC promoter

was near identical to the one in RCC4222 (99% identity in 332 bp, Supplemental data 1A). In

54 other isolates, a fragment of 200 bp similar in size to that in RCC1868 was amplified and

sequenced (100% identity, Supplemental data 1A). The insertion present in the *TOC1*

promoter was more prevalent in the Banyuls isolates (91%) than in the world-wide strains

(43%) (Table 2 and 3). A high degree of similarity (98.6%) was observed over the entire

insertion whilst the core promoter of *TOC1* containing the essential Evening Element-Like

(EEL) *cis* element had a minimum of 71.6% nucleotide identity in 400 bp. A phylogenetic

tree was constructed with these sequences (Supplemental data 1B). The 2 kb inserted

sequence was not included in the study but its occurrence is indicated between brackets for

each isolate. Most of the Mediterranean isolates were found in the main clade which divided

further into two subclades Ia and Ib. Subclade Ia contained all the Mediterranean reference

type and RCCC685, while subclade Ib most of the Mediterranean 2kb type and RCC1615.

RCC1613 and RCC4752 from Naples lie in a separate clade II. RCC1868 and the Arctic

RCC5417 contained the most divergent sequences in clade III. As a consequence of the 2kb

insertion, the *TOC1* promoter activity is most likely not abolished since the crucial EEL *cis*

element is still present but the analysis of the core promoter sequences indicates that its

activity could differ between the clades. Thus the *TOC1* promoter could constitute a

functional marker as well as a diversity marker.

The gene encoding a flavodoxin-like protein was more diverse in size than were the intergenic

regions (Supplemental data 1C,Table 2, 3). The maximum size difference increases to 245

amino acids between B1, a February Banyuls isolate and the Arctic RCC5417 strain. The

function related to this sequence variation is unknown. It forms a coiled-coil structure of

several alpha-helices. Coiled-coil domains have been identified in enzymes where they

function as molecular spacers positioning catalytic activities. So the variable length of the

repeats could influence the activity of the flavodoxin-like protein.

The genome of most Banyuls isolates encoded a Zinc finger C2H2 protein with 6 ZF motifs

(Bathy15g02320, Supplemental data 1D, Table 3). Only three isolates from February

sampling were similar to RCC685 with an additional ZF motif.

Amplification of a fragment of 530 bp of TIM (TIMa) was observed in 65% (approximatly

two thirds) of the isolates (Table 3). Based on ONT data for the A8 isolate, we designed an

additional primer in order to amplify a variant of TIM present in the remaining third of the

isolates, TIMb. The alignment of the two predicted variant proteins TIM4222 and TIMA8

showed that one third of the protein is well conserved while two thirds were more variable

(Supplemental data 1E). A phylogenetic tree further supported this dichotomy (Figure 4).

OGA MetaG database was interrogated with TIM4222 and TIMA8 and each retrieved a

single hit, respectively OGATIM4222 and OGATIMA8 confirming the existence of the 2

isoforms of TIM world-wide (Figure 4B). OGATIMA8 has a marked abundance for high

latitudes and cold temperatures in the Northern hemisphere while OGATIM4222 is more

widely distributed.

Based on the results described above, the Banyuls isolates were classified in eight MLG

(Table 3). MLG 1 and MLG 2 represent respectively 53% and 29% of the population. Since

the number of isolates from each sampling date was not identical (Supplemental Table 2), this

percentage may not be entirely representative. However the presence of MLG 1 and 2 in five

out of nine independent samplings rules out a bias due to experimental cloning during

aisolation, Figure 3). We can thus confidently state that these two MLG were dominant in

Banyuls bay during the 2018/2019 bloom. No isolate with a MLG identical to RCC4222 was

found.

Determination of major allelic variants in environmental samples : a three year follow-up

The identification of dominant MLG during the bloom 2018/2019 raises the question of their

yearly or occasional prevalence in Banyuls bay. Since isolating strains is highly time

consuming, an alternative approach was developed to estimate local diversity. Five litres of

seawater were sampled once a week and filtered between 3 and 0.8 μm. DNA extracted from

0.8 μm filters was used as template for PCR analysis using our set of diversity markers.

Samplings were performed during 3 successive blooms from 2018 to 2021 (Figure 3,

Supplemental Figure 2).

Variations in the yrdC and TOC1 promoters and TIM ORF sequences were analysed on these

environmental DNA samples. For markers of chromosome 3 and 15, despite the high

specificity of the primers on DNA of individual Bathycoccus (Table3), they could not be used

on complex environmental DNA samples due to the presence of high background for

flavodoxin sequences and to the marginal size difference for Zinc finger C2H2 variants.

The time of sampling is indicated as week of the month (e.g. Oct-01 = 1st week of October) to

facilitate the comparison between years. The complete dataset is presented in Supplemental

Figure 3 and Supplemental Table 3.

The initiation of the bloom was analysed during two consecutive years (Figure 5). In 2019,

the presence of Bathycoccus was detected in the third week of November with a clear

predominance of the 200 bp allele of yrdC promoter and the presence of both TIMa and

TIMb. In 2020, Bathycoccus was detected in October, was barely detected or absent in

November and reappeared in December, consistent with the recording the decrease of

abundance of picophytoplankton by flow cytometry (Supplemental Figure 2). In 2020, the

allelic ratios of 200/400 bp of the yrdC promoter were clearly different from those in 2019

and TIMb was not detected. We conclude that the populations at the onset of the bloom were

different in both timing and diversity.

The diversity of populations was also assessed during the bloom (Figure 5Figure 3). The

abundance of alleles of yrdC promoter and TIM were clearly different in November-

December compared to February.

In summary, the study of three successive blooms showed changes in the diversity of

Bathycoccus populations during a bloom, particularly at its onset and between years.

Physiological characteristic of isolated Bathycoccus strains

Since alleles abundance showed that November-December and February populations are

different and since temperature and light intensity are significantly different in December

(15.9°C, 9h15 light, maximum intensity 540 µE/m^2/s) and February (11.8°C, 10h30 light,

maximum intensity 830 µE/m^2/s), the physiological parameters of the "December " and

"February" isolates were determined. C2, an isolate from January 28th was included in the

experiment. Cells were grown at 13°c or 16°C under December or February illumination. At

low temperature, all the "February" isolates grew better than the "December" cells

independently of the light regime (Figure 6). At 16°C, the fitness of "December" isolates B9

and G11 was significantly improved and reached the growth rate of the "February" cells.

February illumination conditions further reduced the fitness difference between "December"

and "February" isolates. Overall, the "February" isolates were more performing than the

December" isolates particularly at low temperature. Photosynthesis parameters were

determined by PhytoPAM. For most isolates, no significant differences in indicators of

photosynthesis parameters were observed with the exception of the B1 February isolate with

the unique MLG 7 (Supplemental Figure 4).

Together, the results showed a clear difference in the growth rate of the Bathycoccus isolated

in December and February mainly due their capacity of adaptation to low temperature.

Presence of additional Bathycoccus species in Banyuls

Eleven isolates from December were characterised as Bathycoccus by their ribosomal 18S

sequences. However since specific primers for the LOV-HK gene and the TOC1 promoter did

not amplify a fragment using their DNA as template they were not B1 type. They could be of

B2 type. The presence of B2 Bathycoccus in Banyuls bay has been suggested by the TARA

metagenomic data analysis (Vannier et al. 2016). A closer examination of ITS2 sequences

showed that these 11 Bathycoccus were neither B1 nor B2 but a new type that we named B3

(Figure 7A). We included in the analysis a potential new Bathycoccus type identified among

uncultured seawater samples form Russian seas (Belevich et al. 2021) that we named B4 and

we showed that B3 is different from the 3 others.

In addition, primers were designed for the TOC1 promoter and the intein inserted into the

PRP8 gene in RCC716 B2 type genome and used them on Banyuls seawater. These 2 gene

portions (validated by sequencing, Supplemental data 1F and 1G) confirmed the presence of

Bathycoccus B2 type although none were isolated. Specific primers for B3 (TOC1 ORF) were

also used on environmental samples and taking together these results show that Bathycoccus

B1, B2 and B3 type were present from January to March 2019 in Banyuls bay (Figure 7B).

They relative abundance compared to the control DNA suggests that B2 and B3 were less

abundant than B1 in Banyuls. To provide additional evidence to classify Bathycoccus B3 type

as a new species, the cell ultrastructure were determined by electronic microscopy compared

to the one of B1 type. B3 cells showed the characteristic features of Bathycoccus with a single

chloroplast containing a single starch granule and scales at the surface. We named this new

species *Bathycoccus catiminus* (Figure 7C).

Discussion (2340 words)

Contribution of ONT sequencing to the identification of Bathycoccus molecular markers

Bathycoccus has a small genome of approximately 15 Mb distributed among 19

chromosomes and has only been found in an haploid phase (Moreau et al. 2012). This

organisation makes it suitable for Oxford Nanopore Technology Rapid barcoding libraries

and sequencing. On a single flow cell, it was possible to obtain sufficient coverage for the

genome of up to 3 strains. The main difficulty was to obtain good quality genomic DNA for

each strain, a criteria particularly important when pooling barcoded libraries. With the

exception of RCC1615, all ONT data were superior to 10 times the size of the Bathycoccus

genome (Table 1). When comparing ONT data from RCC4222 to the clonal RCC1105

reference, 19 INDEL were found. Two main reasons can be proposed. Firstly, RCC14222 is

not strictly identical to RCC1105. Secondly, ONT is particularly suitable to identify structural

variations previously undetected by conventional sequencing method (Michael et al. 2018,

Mantere et al. 2019). Therefore, most of the INDEL identified between RCC1105 and

RCC4222 genomes could result from the use of different sequencing techniques. To design

diversity markers, INDEL in intergenic regions (promoters) as well as ORF encoding repeat

amino acids sequences were selected. Most predictions were accurate and validated by PCR

and sequencing, except in two cases, where we could not design specific primers and the

marker status was unresolved (data not shown).

Identification of Bathycoccus local diversity using INDEL markers

The 55 Mediterranean B1 Bathycoccus isolates were genotyped using five INDEL markers

that were based on ONT sequencing of strains coming from contrasted geographic locations

between arctic and temperate regions. The percentage of the presence of a marker type was

quite different between the world-wide strains and the Banyuls strains (Table 2 and 3). For

example, the insertion of a gene encoding ANK repeats was found in four out of seven world

strains but was absent from Banyuls strains, while the insertion of the AdoMTase into the

TOC1 promoter was much more prevalent in Banyuls strains. In general, the genome of

Banyuls Bathycoccus isolates possesses allelic variants common to most of them (65-98%,

Table 3) representing the dominant MLG 1 and 2. Remarkably, these three dominant

INDEL/rearrangements (200 bp yrdC, 2.2 Kb TOC1, 1.2 Kb Flavodoxin) were not found in

the reference genome RCC4222 that was isolated in the Banyuls bay in 2006. In addition, no

2018-2019 isolates share the same five marker types with either the Banyuls reference nor the

RCC4752 Napoli genome that was isolated in 1986 (Table 3). Thus it is clear that RCC4222

isolated in Banyuls bay in 2006 was certainly not abundant and probably not present during

the bloom 2018/2019. Overall we did not observe any obvious consistent pattern of

occurrence between specific markers and the geographic origin of the world-wide strains

(Table 2 and 3).

The determination of eight MLG in Bathycoccus is probably an underestimation. For example

in diatoms, where more than 600 individuals have been genotyped using microsatellites, it

was estimated that the blooming population was comprised of at least 2400 different

genotypes (Rynearson and Armbrust 2005). However the dominance of two MLG among

Bathycoccus isolates is probably accurate, despite being based on a small number.

The existence of major MLG highlights an apparent paradox : how can blooms be diverse,

given that the best genotype should prevail? Blooms are predicted to quickly become

dominated by a few particularly well-adapted genotypes (De Meester, 1996). Nevertheless,

most studies describing genetic diversity of blooming phytoplankton populations report high

intraspecific variation (Rynearson and Armbrust, 2005; Alpermann et al.,2009 ; Lebret et al.,

2012 ; Dia et al., 2014). Indeed, our results revealed such diversity by the detection of six

minor MLG beside the two major ones. Remarkably, the different fitness observed between

December and February 2018/2019 isolates correlates with differences in allelic frequencies

at onset of a bloom and during the course of a bloom as determined on seawater samples,

suggesting that best seasonal MLG may become dominant at specific times of the year.

Similarly, temporal succession of two genetically distinct sub-populations was observed

during the bloom of the haploid *Alexandrium dinoflagellate* in Gulf of Maine (Erdner et al.,

2011).

Finally, in addition to eight *Bathycoccus prasinos* MLG (B1), we identified two other

Bathycoccus species, including the previously described species *Bathycoccus calidus* (B2)

and a yet not described Bathycoccus B3 species that we name *B. catiminus*. Our results

clearly demonstrate that under a single Bathycoccus 18S ribotype are hidden at least three

distinct species. This emphasises the necessity of isolating new Bathycoccus to design

interspecific markers that could be used on environmental samples and metagenomic datasets

in order to understand the dynamics of Bathycoccus blooms and the adaptation of this

cosmopolitan genus in the world ocean.

Structural variants as intraspecies markers to follow intra-specific diversity in

environmental samples

Historically, the intraspecies markers corresponded to small nucleotide repeats

(microsatellites) or chloroplastic or mitochondrial genes and a few nuclear genes that were

applied to several hundreds of isolated individuals. The development of Restriction-site-

Associated DNA sequencing (RADseq) techniques has allowed the discovery and genotyping

of thousands of genetic markers for any given species at relatively low-cost (Andrews et al. 2016). Some of these approaches have been used to analyse the diversity of populations during algal blooms (Regenfors et al. 2017). The recent dramatic increase of the number of sequenced genomes led to large-scale diversity studies with large sets of nuclear genes or whole genome comparisons. Most of these approaches required the isolation of a large number of individuals. As a consequence, intraspecies diversity has been poorly documented in the past in marine phytoplankton. Novel, rapid and cheap sequencing technologies have given access to Mamiellales diversity by the sequencing of the genomes of Ostreococcus isolates (Blanc-Mathieu et al. 2017) or by metagenomic approaches (Leconte et al. 2020, Da Silva et al. 2022; Richter et al. 2022) or metatranscriptomic approaches (Simmons et al. 2016).

We aimed to develop a rapid and cost effective alternative which did not rely on isolated individuals since it is very challenging and time consuming to isolate marine microalgae from complex microbial communities. Compared to short read sequencings, the recent ONT and PACBIO sequencing technology provided information on structural variants, in particular on relatively large INDEL and on repeated/low complexity sequences, with some of which previously overlooked (Wellenreuther et al. 2019). This knowledge was particularly useful to develop a novel type of marker for assessing intraspecies diversity that will rely only on PCR amplification of variable size fragment without the need of sequencing. Furthermore, with INDEL based on ONT we are reaching a higher level of population structure compared to microsatellite or SNP markers. Structural variants are expected to be less neutral and more stable than microsatellites (Mérot et al. 2020). Microsatellites can change in clonal strains of *P. multistriata* in the laboratory over several months (Ruggiero et al. 2018), while our structural markers are still identical in the reference genome published in 2012 and its clonal strain RCC4222 sequenced in 2018. Thus INDEL markers can identify large subpopulations

rather than small groups of individuals. Our studies on three successive blooms in Banyuls

showed that the ONT designed markers are capable of determining the dominant allelic

variants and their perennial occurrence. Similarly these INDEL markers could be used as

query on metagenomic databases for a wider and large analysis of Bathycoccus populations.

Variations in allele frequencies were observed for three consecutive years, raising the

question of the nature of the highly reproducible yearly re-occurrence of Bathycoccus in the

bay of Banyuls. Seasonal blooms may result either from re-activation of "dormant/survivor"

cells from the water column (whose genetic fingerprint will determine the genetic profile of

the next bloom) or by yearly *de novo* fertilisation by cells carried by the north Mediterranean

current along the gulf of Lion. At the first glance, our preliminary results are in favour of the

introduction of a new population rather than "resuscitation" of cells of the previous bloom

since allelic frequencies are distinct between the end of a bloom o the onset of the next

(Figure 5). By monitored the temporal population structures of the dinoflagellate *Alexandrium*

minutum in two estuaries in France, Dia et al. (2014) showed that interannual genetic

differentiation was greater than intra-bloom differentiation. Alternation of

genotypes/populations has also been observed with diatoms in the dominance of one of the

two sympatric populations of *Pseudonitzschia multistriata* which could be due either to

environmental factors favouring one population over the other or intrinsic factors coupled to

the obligate sexual life cycle of *P. multistriata* (D'Alelio et al. 2010). Thus the observed

differences in alleles frequencies could equally be the result of new inoculatum from currents

or of sexual reproduction. Even though sexual reproduction has not been demonstrated in

Bathycoccus, there is genomic evidence that it may occur (Benites et al. 2021). Sexual

recombination generates new combinations of alleles, whereas clonality favours the spread of

the fittest genotype through the entire population (Dia et al. 2014). Erdner et al. (2011)

propose for *A. fundyense* that mitosis is the primary mode of multiplication during blooms

whereas mating is triggered presumably in response to unfavourable conditions at the end of

blooms, with vegetative cells not overwintering in the water column. In Banyuls bay, the

abundance during the bloom is followed by severe bottlenecks in which Bathycoccus are

hardly detected in the water column (Lambert et al. 2019).

Knowing that (1) Bathycoccus blooms are followed by severe bottlenecks between one bloom

and the next, (2) allelic frequencies were not similar at the end of one bloom and at the onset

of the next and (3) structural markers are very stable in mitotic dividing cells, the hypothesis

of rare vegetative cells remaining in the water column between the blooms is unlikely except

if those remaining cells were produced by sexual reproduction. The other hypothesis of new

strains brought by current is equally probable.

Structural variants versus functional variants

Our principal interest was to identity markers of intraspecies diversity in order to follow the

dynamics of Bathycoccus population during annual blooms in the bay of Banyuls. However

structural variants are probably not neutral markers unlike microsatellites. Most of the

selected markers could also represent functionally significant variants such as an additional

gene or a modified promoter activity or protein function, that could correspond to an

adaptation to contrasted intra- and interranual variations in environmental parameters in the

Banyuls Bay (Lambert et al., 2021).

In only five worldwide INDEL, we discovered two additional genes in the genome of

Bathycoccus prasinos and a particular protein structure. The additional ANK repeat encoding

gene in chromosome 1 has probably arisen by gene duplication or gene loss since it belongs

to a multigenic family. The origin of the AdoMTase could be the result of Horizontal Gene

Transfer. The Flavodoxin-like protein has an organisation specific to Bathycoccus with a

coiled-coil domain of variable size with similarity to Eukaryotes parasites and toxic bacteria

proteins and a flavodoxin domain found in the 7 other Bathycoccus flavodoxin-like proteins.

Bathycoccus culture strains do not possess a flavodoxin per se while it was found in

uncultured Bathycoccus (Pierella Karlusich et al. 2015). This peculiar flavodoxin-like protein

could represent a case of neofunctionalisation in Bathycoccus. The core promoter of the

central circadian clock *TOC1* gene has a conserved evening element like box (EEL box) that

has been experimentally demonstrated as essential in the central oscillator of *Ostreococcus*

tauri (Corellou et al. 2009). Although the EEL box is found in all the accessions sequenced,

the distance between the *cis* element and the initiation codon is variable. In addition, the

phylogenetic tree of the core promoter sequences clearly discriminated the Arctic RCC5417

and RCC1868 and to a lesser extent, RCC1613 and the second Mediterranean strain,

RCC4752 (Suppl. data 1B). An insertion of the AdoMTase was found about 100 bp upstream

the EEL box. This insertion could potentially modify the promoter activity and ultimately the

expression pattern of TOC1. Such a natural variation of promoter length modulates the

photoperiodic response of FLOWERING LOCUS T by differentially spacing two

interdependent regulatory regions (Liu et al. 2014). Although the presence of the AdoMTase

was not correlated with the latitude or the temperature in the Ocean Gene Atlas (Figure 2), it

could still be associated with a seasonal niche. Less information is available for the promoter

and function of the yrdC gene. The rearrangments are more drastic, specially with the

displacement of the EEL box by insertion or deletion, and could lead to the inactivation of the

promoter. The most striking feature concerns the TIM protein where only one third of the

protein is conserved between TIMa and TIMb. Due to its position in the BOC of chromosome

14 putatively involved in mating, this raises the question of the mating types of cells with

genome containing TIMa or a genome with TIMb.

Altogether, this analysis suggests that our allelic markers may be more than diversity markers,

being potentially involved in adaptation to changing environmental conditions.

Conclusions and perspectives

In this paper we analysed the populations of Bathycoccus blooming in the Banyuls

bay. Based on structural variants, the isolates were categorised into eight MLG with two

dominant classes. The survey of Bathycoccus diversity in environmental samples during three

successive blooms confirms the yearly presence of dominant allelic variants that were

different within and between years. This pioneer study on Bathycoccus diversity in the bay of

Banyuls now paves the way to an in depth analysis of multiple markers present in more than a

decade of bimonthly sampled metagenomic data at a discrete location (Lambert et al. 2019;

Lambert et al. 2021). The sequencing of the whole genomes of the different MLG, together

with the assessment of their physiological performances will bring additional information

contributing to the local diversity of Bathycoccus and provide insight their seasonal pattern of

abundance. In addition, these diversity markers represent an essential tool for grasping the

maximum diversity of newly isolated Bathycoccus world-wide and identify putative

molecular mechanisms involved in adaptation to environmental niches of this cosmopolitan

genus.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to the captain and the crew of the RV 'Nereis II' for their help in acquiring the

samples. Additional ONT were performed with the help of Christel Llauro and Marie Mirouze

LGDP. The authors acknowledge the ISO 9001 certified IRD itrop HPC (member of the

South Green Platform) at IRD Montpellier for providing HPC resources that have contributed

to the research results reported within this paper (URL: https://bioinfo.ird.fr/ -

http://www.southgreen.fr). The work was financed by a internal LOMIC Microprojet and the

ANR Climaclock 2020-2024. We are thankful to Marie-Line Escande and the platform

PioPIC at the Banyuls Oceanographic Observatory for electron microscopy service. We thank

Thomas Roscoe for critical reading the manuscript.

References (1875 words)

Alonge M, Soyk S, Ramakrishnan S, Wang X, Goodwin S, Sedlazeck FJ, Lippman ZB,

Schatz MC. (2019) RaGOO: fast and accurate reference-guided scaffolding of draft genomes.

Genome Biol. 20(1):224. doi: 10.1186/s13059-019-1829-6.

Alpermann TJ, Beszteri B, John U, Tillmann U, Cembella AD. (2009) Implications of life-

history transitions on the population genetic structure of the toxigenic marine dinoflagellate

Alexandrium tamarense. *Molecular Ecology,* 18(10):2122-33. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-

294X.2009.04165.x.

Andrews KR, Good JM, Miller MR, Luikart G, Hohenlohe PA. (2016) Harnessing the power

of RADseq for ecological and evolutionary genomics. *Nature Reviews Genet*ics, 17(2):81-92.

doi: 10.1038/nrg.2015.28.

Bachy C, Yung CCM, Needham DM, Gazitúa MC, Roux S, Limardo AJ, Choi CJ, Jorgens

DM, Sullivan MB, Worden AZ. (2021) Viruses infecting a warm water picoeukaryote shed

light on spatial co-occurrence dynamics of marine viruses and their hosts. *The ISME Journal*,

doi: 10.1038/s41396-021-00989-9.

Belevich TA, Milyutina IA, Abyzova GA, Troitsky AV. (2021) The pico-sized

Mamiellophyceae and a novel Bathycoccus clade from the summer plankton of Russian

Arctic Seas and adjacent waters. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology*, 97(2):fiaa251. doi:

10.1093/femsec/fiaa251.

Benites LF, Bucchini F, Sanchez-Brosseau S, Grimsley N, Vandepoele K, Piganeau G. (2021)

Evolutionary Genomics of Sex-Related Chromosomes at the Base of the Green Lineage.

Genome Biology Evolution, 13(10):evab216. doi: 10.1093/gbe/evab216.

Blanc-Mathieu R, Krasovec M, Hebrard M, Yau S, Desgranges E, Martin J, Schackwitz W,

Kuo A, Salin G, Donnadieu C, Desdevises Y, Sanchez-Ferandin S, Moreau H, Rivals E,

Grigoriev IV, Grimsley N, Eyre-Walker A, Piganeau G. (2017) Population genomics of

picophytoplankton unveils novel chromosome hypervariability. *Science Advances*,

3(7):e1700239. doi: 10.1126/sciadv.1700239.

Chrétionot-Dinet MJ, Courties C, Vaquer A, Neveux J, laustre H, autrier J and Machado MC

1995. A new marine picoeucaryote:Ostreococcus tauri gen. et sp. nov. (Chlorophyta,

Prasinophyceae). *Phycologia*, 34(4): 285–292.

Corellou F, Schwartz C, Motta JP, Djouani-Tahri el B, Sanchez F, Bouget FY. (2009) Clocks

in the green lineage: comparative functional analysis of the circadian architecture of the

picoeukaryote ostreococcus. *The Plant Cell*, 21(11):3436-49. doi: 10.1105/tpc.109.068825.

D'Alelio D, Ribera d'Alcala M, Dubroca L, Sarno D, Zingone A, Montresor M (2010) The

time for sex: A biennial life cycle in a marine planktonic diatom *Limnol.ogy Oceanography*,

55(1) 106–114.

Da Silva O, Ayata SD, Ser-Giacomi E, Leconte J, Pelletier E, Fauvelot C, Madoui MA, Guidi

L, Lombard F, Bittner L (2022) Genomic differentiation of three pico-phytoplankton species

in the Mediterranean Sea. *Environmental Microbiology*, Aug 16. doi: 10.1111/1462-

2920.16171.

Debladis E, Llauro C, Carpentier MC, Mirouze M, Panaud O. (2017) Detection of active

transposable elements in Arabidopsis thaliana using Oxford Nanopore Sequencing

technology. *BMC Genomics*, 18(1):537. doi: 10.1186/s12864-017-3753-z.

De Coster W, D'Hert S, Schultz DT, Cruts M, Van Broeckhoven C. (2018) NanoPack:

visualizing and processing long-read sequencing data. *Bioinformatics* 34(15):2666-2669. doi:

10.1093/bioinformatics/bty149.

De Meester L. (1996) Evolutionary potential and local genetic differentiation in a

phenotypically plastic trait of a cyclical ârthenogen Daphnia Magna. *Evolution*, 50(3):1293-

1298. doi: 10.1111/j.1558-5646.1996.tb02369.x.

Des Roches S, Post DM, Turley NE, Bailey JK, Hendry AP, Kinnison MT, Schweitzer JA,

Palkovacs EP (2018) The ecological importance of intraspecific variation. *Nature Ecology*

Evolution, 2(1):57-64. doi: 10.1038/s41559-017-0402-5.

Dia A, Guillou L, Mauger S, Bigeard E, Marie D, Valero M, Destombe C. (2014)

Spatiotemporal changes in the genetic diversity of harmful algal blooms caused by the toxic

dinoflagellate Alexandrium minutum. *Molecular Ecology,* 23(3):549-60. doi:

10.1111/mec.12617.

Erdner DL, Richlen M, McCauley LA, Anderson DM. (2011) Diversity and dynamics of a

widespread bloom of the toxic dinoflagellate Alexandrium fundyense *PLoS One*,

6(7):e22965. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0022965.

Gallagher JC (1980) Population genetics of Skeletonema costatum (Bacillariophyceae) in

Narragansett bay. *Journal of Phycology*, 16, 464-474 doi.org/10.1111/j.1529-

8817.1980.tb03061.x

Galtier N, Nabholz B, Glémin S, Hurst GD. (2009) Mitochondrial DNA as a marker of

molecular diversity: a reappraisal. *Molecular Ecology,* 18(22):4541-50. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-

294X.2009.04380.x.

Godhe A, Rynearson T. (2017) The role of intraspecific variation in the ecological and

evolutionary success of diatoms in changing environments. *Philosophical Transaction of the*

Royal Society London B Biological Sciences, 5;372(1728):20160399. doi:

10.1098/rstb.2016.0399.

Guyon JB, Vergé V, Schatt P, Lozano JC, Liennard M, Bouget FY. (2018) Comparative

Analysis of Culture Conditions for the Optimization of Carotenoid Production in Several

Strains of the Picoeukaryote Ostreococcus. *Marine Drugs*, 16(3):76. doi: 10.3390/md16030076.

Joli N, Monier A, Logares R, Lovejoy C. (2017) Seasonal patterns in Arctic prasinophytes and inferred ecology of Bathycoccus unveiled in an Arctic winter metagenome. *The ISME Journal*, 11(6):1372-1385. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2017.7.

Kashtan N, Roggensack SE, Rodrigue S, Thompson JW, Biller SJ, Coe A, Ding H, Marttinen P, Malmstrom RR, Stocker R, Follows MJ, Stepanauskas R, Chisholm SW. (2014) Single-cell genomics reveals hundreds of coexisting subpopulations in wild Prochlorococcus. *Science*, 344(6182):416-20. doi: 10.1126/science.1248575.

Kolmogorov M, Yuan J, Lin Y, Pevzner PA. (2019) Assembly of long, error-prone reads using repeat graphs. *Nat Biotechnol.* 37(5):540-546. doi: 10.1038/s41587-019-0072-8.

Lambert S, Tragin M, Lozano JC, Ghiglione JF, Vaulot D, Bouget FY, Galand PE. (2019) Rhythmicity of coastal marine picoeukaryotes, bacteria and archaea despite irregular environmental perturbations. *The ISME Journal*, 13(2):388-401. doi: 10.1038/s41396-018-0281-z.

Lambert S, Lozano JC, Bouget FY, Galand PE. (2021) Seasonal marine microorganisms change neighbours under contrasting environmental conditions. *Environmental Microbiology*, 23(5):2592-2604. doi: 10.1111/1462-2920.15482. Epub 2021

Lebret K, Kritzberg ES, Figueroa R, Rengefors K. Environ Microbiol. (2012) Genetic diversity within and genetic differentiation between blooms of a microalgal species. *Environmental Microbiology*, 14(9):2395-404. doi: 10.1111/j.1462-2920.2012.02769.x.

Leconte J, Benites LF, Vannier T, Wincker P, Piganeau G, Jaillon O. (2020) Genome Resolved Biogeography of Mamiellales. *Genes (Basel)*, 11(1):66. doi: 10.3390/genes11010066.

Li H. (2021) New strategies to improve minimap2 alignment accuracy. *Bioinformatics* 37(23):4572-4. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btab705.

Li WK, Rao DV, Harrison WG, Smith JC, Cullen JJ, Irwin B, Platt T. (1983) Autotrophic picoplankton in the tropical ocean. *Science*, **219**: 292-5.

Limardo AJ, Sudek S, Choi CJ, Poirier C, Rii YM, Blum M, Roth R, Goodenough U, Church MJ, Worden AZ. (2017) Quantitative biogeography of picoprasinophytes establishes ecotype distributions and significant contributions to marine phytoplankton. *Environmental Microbiology*, 19(8):3219-3234. doi: 10.1111/1462-2920.13812.

Liu L, Adrian J, Pankin A, Hu J, Dong X, von Korff M, Turck F. (2014) Induced and natural variation of promoter length modulates the photoperiodic response of FLOWERING LOCUS T. *Nature Communications*, 5:4558. doi: 10.1038/ncomms5558.

Mantere T, Kersten S, Hoischen A. Long-Read Sequencing Emerging in Medical Genetics. (2019) *Frontiers in Genetics*, 10:426. doi: 10.3389/fgene.2019.00426.

Mérot C, Oomen RA, Tigano A, Wellenreuther M. (2020) A Roadmap for Understanding the Evolutionary Significance of Structural Genomic Variation. Trends Ecol Evol. 35(7):561-572. doi: 10.1016/j.tree.2020.03.002.

Michael TP, Jupe F, Bemm F, Motley ST, Sandoval JP, Lanz C, Loudet O, Weigel D, Ecker JR. (2018) High contiguity Arabidopsis thaliana genome assembly with a single nanopore flow cell. *Nature Communications*, 9(1):541. doi: 10.1038/s41467-018-03016-2.

Mikheenko A, Prjibelski A, Saveliev V, Antipov D, Gurevich A. (2018) Versatile genome assembly evaluation with QUAST-LG. *Bioinformatics* 34(13):i142-i150. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/bty266.

Monier A, Sudek S, Fast NM, Worden AZ. (2013) Gene invasion in distant eukaryotic

lineages: discovery of mutually exclusive genetic elements reveals marine biodiversity. *The*

ISME Journal, 7(9):1764-74. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2013.70.

Moreau H, Verhelst B, Couloux A, Derelle E, Rombauts S, Grimsley N, Van Bel M, Poulain

J, Katinka M, Hohmann-Marriott MF, Piganeau G, Rouzé P, Da Silva C, Wincker P, Van de

Peer Y, Vandepoele K. (2012) Gene functionalities and genome structure in Bathycoccus

prasinos reflect cellular specializations at the base of the green lineage. *Genome Biology*,

13(8):R74. doi: 10.1186/gb-2012-13-8-r74.

Pierella Karlusich JJ, Ceccoli RD, Graña M, Romero H, Carrillo N. (2015) Environmental

selection pressures related to iron utilization are involved in the loss of the flavodoxin gene

from the plant genome. *Genome Biology and Evolution*, 7(3):750-67. doi:

10.1093/gbe/evv031.

Raffard A, Santoul F, Cucherousset J, Blanchet S. (2019) The community and ecosystem

consequences of intraspecific diversity: a meta-analysis. *Biological Reviews of the Cambridge*

Philosophical Society, 94(2):648-661. doi: 10.1111/brv.12472.

Read BA, Kegel J, Klute MJ, Kuo A, Lefebvre SC, Maumus F, Mayer C, Miller J, Monier A,

Salamov A, Young J, Aguilar M, Claverie JM, Frickenhaus S, Gonzalez K, Herman EK, Lin

YC, Napier J, Ogata H, Sarno AF, Shmutz J, Schroeder D, de Vargas C, Verret F, von

Dassow P, Valentin K, Van de Peer Y, Wheeler G; Emiliania huxleyi Annotation Consortium,

Dacks JB, Delwiche CF, Dyhrman ST, Glöckner G, John U, Richards T, Worden AZ, Zhang

X, Grigoriev IV. Pan genome of the phytoplankton Emiliania underpins its global

distribution. (2013) *Nature*, 499(7457):209-13. doi: 10.1038/nature12221.

Rengefors, K., Kremp, A., Reusch, T.B.H. and Wood A. M. (2017) Genetic diversity and

evolution ineukaryotic phytoplankton: revelations from population genetic studies. *Journal of*

Plankton Research, (2017) 39(2): 165– 179.

Richter DJ, Watteaux R, Vannier T, Leconte J, Frémont P, Reygondeau G, Maillet N, Henry

N, Benoit G, Da Silva O, Delmont TO, Fernàndez-Guerra A, Suweis S, Narci R, Berney C,

Eveillard D, Gavory F, Guidi L, Labadie K, Mahieu E, Poulain J, Romac S, Roux S, Dimier

C, Kandels S, Picheral M, Searson S; Tara Oceans Coordinators, Pesant S, Aury JM, Brum

JR, Lemaitre C, Pelletier E, Bork P, Sunagawa S, Lombard F, Karp-Boss L, Bowler C,

Sullivan MB, Karsenti E, Mariadassou M, Probert I, Peterlongo P, Wincker P, de Vargas C,

Ribera d'Alcalà M, Iudicone D, Jaillon O. (2022) Genomic evidence for global ocean

plankton biogeography shaped by large-scale current systems. *Elife*, 11:e78129. doi:

10.7554/eLife.78129.

Ruggiero MV, D'Alelio D, Ferrante MI, Santoro M, Vitale L, Procaccini G, Montresor M.

(2018) Clonal expansion behind a marine diatom bloom. *The ISME Journal*, 2018

Feb;12(2):463-472. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2017.181.

Rynearson TA, Armbrust EV. (2005) Maintenance of clonal diversity during a spring bloom

of the centric diatom Ditylum brightwellii. *Molecular Ecology*, 14(6):1631-40. doi:

10.1111/j.1365-294X.2005.02526.x.

Sehgal A, Rothenfluh-Hilfiker A, Hunter-Ensor M, Chen Y, Myers MP, Young MW (1995).

"Rhythmic expression of timeless: a basis for promoting circadian cycles in period gene

autoregulation". *Science*, 270 (5237): 808–10. doi:10.1126/science.270.5237.808.

Simmons MP, Sudek S, Monier A, Limardo AJ, Jimenez V, Perle CR, Elrod VA, Pennington

JT, Worden AZ. (2016) Abundance and Biogeography of Picoprasinophyte Ecotypes and

Other Phytoplankton in the Eastern North Pacific Ocean. *Applied Environmental*

Microbiology, 82(6):1693-1705. doi: 10.1128/AEM.02730-15.

Srivastava S, Avvaru AK, Sowpati DT, Mishra RK. (2019) Patterns of microsatellite

distribution across eukaryotic genomes. *BMC Genomics*, 20(1):153. doi: 10.1186/s12864-

019-5516-5.

Teplova M, Tereshko V, Sanishvili R, Joachimiak A, Bushueva T, Anderson WF, Egli M.

(2000) The structure of the yrdC gene product from Escherichia coli reveals a new fold and

suggests a role in RNA binding. *Protein Science*, 9(12):2557-66. doi: 10.1110/ps.9.12.2557.

Tesson SV, Borra M, Kooistra WH, Procaccini G. (2011) Microsatellite primers in the

planktonic diatom Pseudo-nitzschia multistriata (Bacillariophyceae). *American Journal of*

Botany, 98(2):e33-5.

Tesson SV, Montresor M, Procaccini G, Kooistra WH. (2014) Temporal changes in

population structure of a marine planktonic diatom. *PLoS One*, 9(12):e114984. doi:

10.1371/journal.pone.0114984.

Tragin M, Zingone A, Vaulot D (2018) Comparison of coastal phytoplankton composition

estimated from the V4 and V9 regions of the 18S rRNA gene with a focus on photosynthetic

groups and especially Chlorophyta. *Environmental Microbiology*, 20(2):506-520.

Van den Wyngaert S, Möst M, Freimann R, Ibelings BW, Spaak P. (2015) Hidden diversity

in the freshwater planktonic diatom Asterionella formosa. *Molecular Ecology*, 24(12):2955-

72. doi: 10.1111/mec.13218.

Vannier T, Leconte J, Seeleuthner Y, Mondy S, Pelletier E, Aury JM, de Vargas C, Sieracki

M, Iudicone D, Vaulot D, Wincker P, Jaillon O. Survey of the green picoalga Bathycoccus

genomes in the global ocean. (2016) *Scientific Reports*, 6:37900. doi: 10.1038/srep37900.

Vaser R, Sović I, Nagarajan N, Šikić M. (2017) Fast and accurate de novo genome assembly

from long uncorrected reads. *Genome Res*. 27(5):737-746. doi: 10.1101/gr.214270.116.

Vernette C, Lecubin J, Sánchez P; Tara Oceans Coordinators, Sunagawa S, Delmont TO,

Acinas SG, Pelletier E, Hingamp P, Lescot M. (2022) The Ocean Gene Atlas v2.0: online

exploration of the biogeography and phylogeny of plankton genes. *Nucleic Acids Research*,

50(W1):W516-26. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkac420

.Villar E, T. Vannier, C. Vernette, M. Lescot, M. Cuenca, A. Alexandre, P. Bachelerie, T.

Rosnet, E. Pelletier, S. Sunagawa, P. Hingamp. (2018) The Ocean Gene Atlas: exploring the

biogeography of plankton genes online. *Nucleic Acids Research*, doi: 10.1093/nar/gky376.

Violle C, Enquist BJ, McGill BJ, Jiang L, Albert CH, Hulshof C, Jung V, Messier J. (2012)

The return of the variance: intraspecific variability in community ecology. *Trends in Ecology*

and Evol.ution, 27(4):244-52. doi: 10.1016/j.tree.2011.11.014.

Wellenreuther M, Mérot C, Berdan E, Bernatchez L (2019) Going beyond SNPs: The role of

structural genomic variants in adaptive evolution and species diversification. *Molecular*

Ecology, 28(6):1203-1209. doi: 10.1111/mec.15066.

Wheeler GL, Dorman HE, Buchanan A, Challagundla L, Wallace LE. (2014) A review of the

prevalence, utility, and caveats of using chloroplast simple sequence repeats for studies of

plant biology. *Applications in Plant Sciences*, 2(12):apps.1400059. doi:

10.3732/apps.1400059.

Data accessibility

Strains isolated in Banyuls have been sent to Roscoff Collection Centre and will be publicly

available after curation by the Centre. For whole genome sequences, basecalled reads and

RaGOO output for the 7 RCC strains used in this study are available at ????

Author contribution

MD, FYB and FS conceived the work and acquired funding. MD extracted high molecular

weighy genomic DNA. ONT sequencing was performed by CM and MD and sequence

analysis by LD and FS. Diversity markers were designed and validated by MD. PS analysed

seawater samplings by flow cytometry. MD and VV isolated Banyuls strains during the

winter bloom, genotyped by MD and JCL. MD determined diversity of seawater. MD and

FYB wrote the article and all authors participated in critical revisions and approved the final

version for submission.

Figure 1. Diversity markers among world wide accessions

Figure 2. Geographical distribution of TOC1 and AdoMTase proteins

Figure 3. Abundance of phytoplankton during three successive blooms

Figure 4. Distribution and abundance of TIM variant proteins in MetaG database

Figure 5. Diversity markers in seawater

Figure 6. Growth of Mediterranean Bathycoccus isolates

Figure 7. Presence of two additional Bathycoccus species in Banyuls bay

Table 1. Number and sizes of INDEL

Table 2. Distribution of diversity markers in world-wide strains

Table 3. Multi Loci Genotypes of Banyuls isolates

Supplemental Table1. Strains used in this study

Supplemental Table 2. Isolation of Bathycoccus strains during 2018/ 2019 winter bloom in

the Banyuls bay

Supplemental Table 3. Relative abundance of diversity markers in sea water

Supplemental data 1. Sequences and Alignments

Supplemental data 2. Primers used in this study

Supplemental Figure 1. Geographical distribution of TOC1 and CCA1 (Bathy05g02420)

proteins

Supplemental Figure 2. Abundance of picophytoplankton during winter blooms

Supplemental Figure 3. Images of sea water PCR amplification for yrdC, TOC1 and TIM

used for Supplemental Table 3

Supplemental Figure 4. Photosynthesis parameters of Mediterranean Bathycoccus strains

Table 1. Number and sizes of INDEL

Strain	Insertion		Insert 0.2-2kb	deletion		Coverage
	number	size range	number	number	size range	
RCC5417	101	36-15979 bp	36	80	50-19025bp	x9
RCC1613	149	51-18972 bp	37	67	50-13730 bp	x239
RCC685	116	51-16349 bp	60	69	51-19026 bp	x52
RCC1615	62	72-4620 bp	23	69	73-13730 bp	x4
RCC1868	49	50-5509 bp	22	43	51-13732 bp	x19
RCC4222	14	76-3011 bp	11	5	51-3999 bp	x30
RCC4752	53	50-15411 bp	34	60	50-13730 bp	x14
mean*	88		35			
*without RCC4222						
INDEL within aligment						

Table 2. Distribution of diversity markers in world-wide strains

Isolates	yrcD prom chr1	TOC1 prom chr17	Flavodoxin chr3	Zinc finger chr15	TIMa chr14
primers	MDB33+MDB34	MDB7+MDB9	MDB40+MDB41	MDB68+MDB69	MDB57+MDB58
RCC4222	400 bp	700 bp	800 bp	730 bp	530bp
RCC5417	1400 bp	700 bp	600 bp	900 bp	530bp
RCC1613	1400 bp	2.2 kb	1000 bp	730 bp	530bp
RCC685	1400 bp	700 bp	1200 bp	820 bp	530bp
RCC1615	400 bp	2.2 kb	1400 bp	730 bp	530bp
RCC1868	200 bp	700 bp	800 bp	730 bp	530bp
RCC4752	1400 bp	2.2 kb	1200 bp	730 bp	530bp
	3 size variants	2 size variants	5 size variants	3 size variants	1 size variant
	14.28% (200 bp)	42.86% (2.2 kb)	28.57% (1.2 kb)	71.5% (730 bp)	100% (530 bp)

Table 3. Multi Loci Genotypes (MLG) of Banyuls isol								
	Chr1	Chr17	Chr3	Chr15	chr14			
Strains	yrcD prom	TOC1 prom	flavodoxin	C2H2	TIMa			
4222 Banyuls	400bp	700bp	800bp	730 bp	530bp			
4752 Naples	1.4kb	2.2kb	1200bp	730 bp	530bp			
Banyuls 18/19								
MLG 1	200bp	2.2 kb	1200bp	730 bp	530bp	29 strains	5/9 samplings	53%
MLG 2	200bp	2.2kb	1200bp	730 bp	0	16 strains	5/9 samplings	29%
MLG 3	200bp	2.2 kb	800bp	730 bp	530bp	4 strains	3/9 samplings	8%
MLG 4	200bp	700 bp	1200bp	730 bp	530bp	2 strains	2/9 samplings	4%
MLG 5	200bp	2.2kb	1200bp	820 bp	0	1 strain	1/9 samplings	2%
MLG 6	200bp	700 bp	1200bp	820 bp	0	1 strain	1/9 samplings	2%
MLG 7	400bp	700 bp	1400bp	820 bp	530bp	1 strain	1/9 samplings	2%
MLG 8	200bp	2.2kb	1600bp	730 bp	530bp	1 strain	1/9 samplings	2%
Mediterranean								
Size number	2*	2	4	2	2			
Frequency	98% (200bp)	91% (2.2 kb)	89% (1.2kb)	95% (730 bp)	66% (530 bp)			
Globally dispersed								
Size number	3	2	4	3	1			
Frequency	14.28% (200 bp)	42.86% (2.2 kb)	14.28% (1.2 kb)	71.5% (730 bp)	100% (530 bp)			
* no insertion of ANK gene tested with MDB33+MDB35								
similar to RCC4222								
similar to RCC 4752								

889   **Figure 1.** Diversity markers among world-wide accessions

890   Samples are arranged on a latitudinal gradient from Arctic (RCC5417) to Mediterranean

891   (RCC4750) sea. The primers used for amplification are specified at the top of the gel. Arrows

892   indicate the size of the various fragments. In 1C TIMb, B1-B7 are 7 seven Bathycoccus

893   isolates from Banyuls during winter 2018/2019.

A. Diversity markers in intergenic region

B. Diversity markers in amino acid repeats

C. Diversity marker in BOC

Figure 1. Diversity markers world-wide accessions

Figure 2. Geographical distribution of TOC1 and AdoMTase proteins

The protein sequences of TOC1 and AdoMTase were used as a query at high stringency in

OGA. Under these conditions, a single hit was found and its presence and abundance are

represented on the world map at surface upper water (SFR) and dense chlorophyll maximum

layer (DCM) and in relation to latitude and temperature. The arrows point to a station at the

Chilean coast where TOC1 is present but not AdoMTase.

Figure 2 : Geographical distribution of TOC1 and AdoMTase proteins

Figure 3. Abundance of phytoplankton during three successive blooms

Seawater at SOLA buoy (Banyuls) was sampled at a depth of 3 meter. After passage on 3 μm filter, the flow through was analysed by flow cytometry. At each sampling time, the phytoplankton was categorised and quantified in function of cell size (pico- and nano-phytoplankton, cyano-bacteria) with indication of the seawater temperature. The mean seawater temperatures in the three years were in December 15.9°C in 2018, 14.8°C in 2019 and 14.7°C in 2020, in January/February 11.6°C in 2019, 12.8°C in 2020 and 11.8°C in 2021, in March/April 12.8°C in 2019, 12.2°C in 2020 and 12.8°C in 2021. The main peak of picophytoplankton abundance was in December 3th 2018 and February 19th 2019, January 7th and February 11th 2020, January 27th and March 2nd 2021. The most striking difference between the three years was the sudden abundance in nanophytoplankton in March 2021.

Figure 3. Abundance of phytoplankton during three successive blooms

Figure 4. Distribution and abundance of TIM variant proteins in MetaG database
A. Phylogenetic tree of TIM proteins from world-wide and Banyuls isolates presenting two
main clades each containing one TARA OGA hit, OGATIM4222 or OGATIMA8.
OGATIM4222 was retrieved after a query with the TIM protein from RCC4222 and
OGATIMA8 with the variant TIM protein in the A8 isolate.
B. Geographical presence of TIM variants in OGA. The presence and abundance of each
variant is represented in SRF and DCM samples with their correlation to the temperature and
latitude parameters.

A. Phylogeny of TIM proteins

B. Geographical presence of TIM variant proteins

Figure 4. Distribution and abundance of TIM variant proteins in MetaG database

Figure 5. Diversity markers in seawater

For clarity, only extracts are presented in this Figure. The complete set of results is available

in Supplemental Figure3 and Supplemental Table3.

Seawater was filtered in autumn from end of November in 2019 and from October 2020. The

relative abundance of the 2 allelic variants of yrdC (200 bp, a deletion or 400 bp, the

reference type) and TIMa and b (absence or presence) were recorded. The onsets of the bloom

were different both their chronology and their population diversity. ND : not determined.

Marker chromosome 1 : yrdC promoter

Marker chromosome 14 : TIM

Figure 5. Diversity markers in seawater

935   **Figure 6.** Growth of Mediterranean Bathycoccus isolates

936   The growth curve of the "December" and "February" Bathycoccus isolates was determined

937   under 4 different conditions by sampling every day for 9 days. Cell concentration was

938   determined by flow cytometry and is expressed as 10^6 cells/ml.

Figure 6 : Growth of Mediterranean Bathycoccus isolates

Figure 7. Presence of two additional Bathycoccus species in Banyuls bay
A. Alignment of ITS2 nucleotide sequences from B1 (RCC4752, B2 (RCC716) and B3 (C3) strains
and B4 from sea water samples in Kara sea (Belevich et al. 2021).
B. Presence of Bathycoccus B2 and B3 type in Banyuls seawater. Primers specific to B2 genome were
derived from TOC1 promoter and intein (Monier et al. 2013) in RCC716 and primers specific to B3
were designed from non-conserved sequences of TOC1 ORF in the C3 isolate. They were used on
seawater samples from 2019 and tested for their specificity on isolated DNA from B1, B2 and B3
strains.
C. Ultrastructure of *Bathycoccus catiminus* B3 type
Transmission electron microscopy image of (a) a B1 Banyuls isolate, (b) a B3 Banyuls isolate and (c)
a close up of detached spider web scales from B3 type Bathycocus. N: nucleus, Cp: chloroplast, St:
starch granule, Sc: scale. Bar = 100 nm.
955

A. ITS2 Alignment

```
B1  TGTCTCCTCACCTCACTT-TTATTTT---------TGAGCGTGGATCTGGGCGTCCGGAA
B2  TGTCTCCTCACCTCTCCT-------T---------TGAGCGTGGATCTGGGCGTCCGGAA
B3  TGTCTCCTCACCTCACCT-TTATTGTATAAAGGTACGAGCGTGGATCTGGGCGTCCGGAG
B4  TGTCTCCTCACCTCTCCTATTATTGTATTTTAATAAAGAGGTGGATATGGGCGTCCGGAA
    **************** * *       *        ****** ************

B1  TCTTTTGTTTTA----TTGAAAGA-CTCGGGTCGCCTGAAAAACAGTCGTACGTGCGACT
B2  TCTTTCGATTTT----TAGAAAGA-CTCGGGTCGCCTGAAAAACAGTCGTACGTGCGACT
B3  TCTTTCGTGTATATAATTGAAAGA-CTCGGGTCGCCTGAAAAACAGTCGTACGTGCGACT
B4  CATATTGTGTGTAAAAAACAAACAGTTCGKGTCGCCTGAAAAATAGTCGTACGTGCGACT
     * *  *        *** * *** ************* ****************

B1  GTCGCATAACCAACGTGGTAGACCACTCCGGTGGACGATCG-TTCGGTTTGACAGTTGTT
B2  GTCGCACAACCAACGTGGTAGACCACTCCGGTGGACGATCG-TTCGGTTTGACAGTTGTT
B3  GTCGCATAACCAACGTGGTAGACCACTCCGGTGGACGATCG-TTCGGTTTGACAGTTGTT
B4  GTCGCACAACCAGCGTGGTAGACCACTCCGGTGGACGATCGCTTCGGTTTGACAGTTGAT
    ****** *****  ***************************  ***************** *

B1  TACCTAAGTATGATCTCGACCG-AATTTATTTCCGT-GTCGAAACCTGTGCTTTTTTTACT
B2  TACCTAAGTATGATCTCGGCCG-AATTCATCTTCGC-GTCGAAACCTGTGCTTTCTTTAC
B3  TACCTAAGTATGATCTCGACCG-AATTGACTTTCGCTGTCGAAACCCGTGCTTTTTTTACT
B4  TACCTTA-GTACGATCTCGCTTTCGATTTAATTTATCGAGCGAAACCAGTGCTTTTATGAC
    *** ** *** *******       *** * *     ******* *******    *

B1  CCGCACTTTTTCTT-TCACAAGAAAGAGAGCAAACCAAAAAAGCTTCAC
B2  CCGCACTCTTCATTCTTGTAATGCAGAGCGCACCCAAGGAAAGCTTCAC
B3  ---CACTCTTCTCT-TCATCGAAAAGAGTAC---CCAAAAAAGCTTCAC
B4  T----CTGTTTATT-TTATTATAAACAGGAC----AATAAATGCTTCAC
      ** **   * *     * ** *      *  ** *******
```

B. Presence of Bathycoccus B2 and B3 type in Banyuls seawater

C. Ultrastructure of *Bathycoccus catiminus* B3 type

956 **Figure 7.** Presence of two additional Bathycoccus species in Banyuls bay

45