The Impact of Acute High-Intensity Activity on Perceptual Decision-Making Dynamics

Davranche Karen[1], Giraud Dorian[2],[3], Hays Arnaud[2], Gajdos Thibault[1]

[1]Aix Marseille Univ, CNRS, CRPN, Marseille, France

[2]Aix Marseille Univ, CNRS, Université de Toulon, Institut Paoli-Calmettes, HIPE

HumanLab, Marseille, France

[3]Aix Marseille Univ, CNRS, IUSTI, Marseille, France

Corresponding author:

Karen Davranche, Aix-Marseille Université, 3 place Victor Hugo,

13331 Marseille Cedex 03, France

Phone: +33(0)4 13 55 11 35

E-mail: karen.davranche@univ-amu.fr

ORCID: 0000-0003-4348-1265

Abstract

This study investigates the acute impact of high-intensity activity on perceptual decision-

making, using computational modeling to assess changes during and after physical activity.

Participants performed a two-alternative forced choice perceptual decision-making task at rest

(pre- and post-exercise) and during six of eight 5-minute cycling bouts (totaling 47 minutes)

under dual-task condition, while maintaining an average intensity of $86 \pm 7\%$ of their maximum

heart rate. Drift diffusion modeling was applied to accuracy and reaction time data to estimate

changes in evidence accumulation (drift rate), decision threshold (boundary separation), and non-

decision processes (t_{er}). Results revealed improved post-exercise performance, characterized by

shorter non-decision time, potentially reflecting a transient improvement in motor or perceptual

efficiency. During ongoing physical activity, results suggest that exercise primarily enhances

non-decision processes and improves the efficiency of evidence accumulation, while response

caution remains stable. These findings provide novel insights into how sustained high-intensity

exercise modulates perceptual decision-making dynamics under physiological stress.

Keywords: High-intensity exercise, Perceptual decision making, Drift diffusion model, Dual-task

performance, Non-decision processes.

Introduction

April 15, 2019. Notre-Dame is ablaze. After a strenuous climb, during which a firefighter analyzes and interprets the situation, she finally reaches the top of the tower. Now, she must decide which side of the raging fire to attack first. In such extreme conditions, is her decision-making affected by sustained physical and mental demand? And if so, which specific processes are altered during and after such intense exercise? These questions motivate our investigation into how high-intensity exercise influences perceptual decision-making.

It is well established that acute moderate-intensity physical activity can enhance cognitive performance across various cognitive tasks, including memory, vigilance, and conflict resolution (e.g., McMorris, 2021; Pontifex et al., 2019). However, the effects of high-intensity aerobic activity remain less clear. While some studies report impairments, others suggest null effects or even improvements (McMorris, 2021; Moreau & Chou, 2019). Recent reviews (Sudo, Costello, McMorris & Ando, 2022; Zheng et al., 2021; Pontifex et al., 2019) emphasize the need for more research into this intensity range, as most existing findings focus on moderate (58% of the literature) intensities of physical activity. This emphasis, often driven by methodological concerns, has left a gap in our understanding of how demanding physical exertion affects cognition.

High-intensity activity, typically defined as physiological demand exceeding 80% maximal oxygen uptake or HRmax (McMorris et al., 2016), triggers more pronounced physiological responses than conventional aerobic activity (Herold et al., 2019). These responses include changes in circulating lactate, blood glucose, circulating cortisol levels, or neurotrophic

factors (Hashimoto et al., 2021; Singh & Staines, 2015), which may differentially modulate

cognitive function. Such changes can affect various neural processes, potentially enhancing

sensory encoding, increasing arousal, and modulating motor readiness.

Because cognitive processes cannot be directly observed, we used a computational

modeling approach to infer them from behavioral data. Specifically, we applied the Drift

Diffusion Model (DDM, Ratcliff, 1978) a well-validated model for analyzing two-alternative

forced-choice tasks (Ratcliff et al., 2016). The DDM assumes that decisions result from the

accumulation of noisy evidence until a response threshold is reached (Bogacz et al., 2006). The

basic DDM contains four key parameters, each related to a different cognitive process: (1) the

drift rate (v), reflecting the efficiency of evidence accumulation; (2) the decision threshold (a),

representing response caution; (3) the starting point (z), indicating decision bias; and (4) the non-

decision time (t_{er}), encompassing stimulus encoding and motor execution. Participants completed

a random dot motion task before, during, and after engaging in 5-minute cycling bouts at >80%

HRmax. Behavioral data (accuracy and reaction times, RT) were used to estimate model

parameters and track changes in cognitive processes across conditions.

Materials and methods

Participants

Nineteen young adults (one woman, age range: 18–34; mean age: 25 years) voluntarily

participated in the experiment. All participants were healthy and practiced regular physical

activities (peak oxygen uptake: 55 ± 8 mL kg−1 min−1; maximal heart rate: 184 ± 9 bpm). All

participants were fully informed about the study and signed a written informed consent before

inclusion. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee for Research in Science and

Technology of Physical Sports Activities (IRB00012476-2022-21-01-148).

Random dot motion task (RDK)

We used a perceptual decision-making task, the random dot motion task (RDK), for

which the DDM has consistently provided excellent accounts of behavioral data. The experiment

was programmed in Python, utilizing components of the PsychoPy toolbox (Peirce et al., 2019),

and was executed on a PC computer operating natively on Windows 10 with a 19.5-inch screen.

Participant responses were recorded using two buttons (one for each hand).

The task requires participants to determine the global direction of a random dot

kinematogram (RDK) featuring a proportion of dots moving coherently in the left or right signal

direction. Each trial started with the presentation of the random dot motion stimulus, which

remained on the screen until the participant responded. A response time deadline was set to 5 s.

The interval between the response to the stimulus and the next trial was 1.5 s. The coherence

parameter (proportion of dots moving in the same direction) was set for each participant at the

beginning of the experiment according to a 2 up and 1 down staircase method lasting 30 trials.

White dots were presented within a virtual 12.6° circular aperture centered on a 24.8° 3 13.9°

black field. Each dot was a 4 x 4 pixel (0.05° square), moving at a speed of 8°/s. Dot density was

fixed at 16.7 dots/deg2/s. Random dot motion was controlled by a white noise algorithm (Pilly &

Seitz, 2009).

Procedure

Participants made three separate visits to the laboratory, each spaced at least 48 hours

apart: a characterization session, a familiarization session, and an experimental session (figure 1).

Participants were positioned on an upright cycle ergometer (Lode Excalibur Sport, Groningen,

The Netherlands), equipped with two thumb response buttons on the right and left handle grips. A

computer screen was positioned at eye level, approximately 1 meter in front of the participant.

100
Figure 1. *Overview of the experimental design and task structure. The study included three*

laboratory visits: (1) a characterization session to assess individual physiological parameters

and calibrate the difficulty of the cognitive task, (2) a familiarization session, identical in all

respects to the experimental session, aimed at stabilizing performance, and (3) an experimental

session combining 5-minute cycling bouts at >80% HRmax with (in grey) or without (in white)

cognitive testing. Cognitive performance was assessed at rest for 5 minutes before (PRE) and

immediately after exercise (POST), and during exercise at three-time windows: Beginning

(minutes 1–5, B1), Middle (minutes 19–30, B4–B5), and End (minutes 37–48, B7–B8) of the

physical exercise. A schematic representation of the random dot motion task (RDK) is shown in

the top right corner of the figure.

The preliminary session was conducted to individually adjust the difficulty of the

cognitive task, assess V̇O2max, and determine ventilatory thresholds (Wasserman, 2012) (see

table 1 for details). Participants were seated on the cycle ergometer, fitted with a facemask to

measure gas exchange data (Innocor CO, Cosmed, Italia) and a heart rate monitor. The

incremental cycling activity to exhaustion test started at an initial power level defined according

to the participant's level (100 watts for non-cyclists and 150 watts for cyclists) and increased by

30 watts every 2 minutes until exhaustion. The end of the test was determined by volitional

cessation of exercise or failure to maintain pedal cadence above 60 rpm despite strong verbal

encouragement. During the first step of this maximal test, 30 trials of the RDK task were

performed to set up the consistency parameter according to a staircase procedure.

	Mean ± standard deviation
Variables	**All**
Sample size	19
Age (years)	25±5
Height (cm)	177±7
Weight (kg)	67±9
Fat mass (%)	15±4
$\dot{V}O_2$max (ml.kg^{-1}.min^{-1})	55±8
Heart rate max (bpm)	184±9
Maximal aerobic power (W)	295±58
P_{SV1} (W)	208±55
P_{SV2} (W)	254±52
Heart rate HIE (% max)	86±6
Central temperature HIE (°C)	38,2±0,4

Table 1. *Anthropometric and physiological characteristics of participants.* Note. P_{SV}: Power

corresponding to the first SV1 and second SV2 ventilatory thresholds, HIE: high-intensity exercise,

V̇O2max: maximal oxygen consumption.

Before the experimental session, a familiarization session (about 1,250 trials), identical in

all respects to the experimental session, was conducted to stabilize performance and control for

potential learning effects, as well as to assess the physiological load of the dual task performed

while cycling. The data of the familiarization session were not included in the analyses.

The experimental protocol involved high-intensity interval activity, a discontinuous mode

of endurance exercise characterized by short bouts of high-intensity workloads interspersed with

periods of rest. Participants completed a perceptual decision-making task at rest both before and

after eight 5-minute bouts of cycling. Each 5-minute cycling bout was followed by a 1-minute

rest period, resulting in a total session duration of 47 minutes. During six of the eight cycling

bouts, participants performed the perceptual decision-making task while cycling at a workload

fixed at 90% of SV1—an intensity determined during the incremental test of the preliminary

session—placing them in a dual-task situation involving both cognitive and physical activity.

Cardiorespiratory parameters were continuously recorded, and capillary blood samples from the

fingertip were collected before and immediately after each of the 5-minute cycling bouts for the

measurement of lactate and glucose levels. The skin was prepared by cleaning with alcohol,

allowed to dry, and then punctured with an automated lancet. Blood samples were analyzed using

a Biosen blood analyzer (EKF Diagnostics, UK).

To examine the time-dependent effects of exercise on cognitive processes, the dual-task

period was segmented into three time windows: the Beginning phase (B1), corresponding to the

first 5 minutes of the physical activity; the Middle phase, spanning minutes 19 to 30 (B4-B5); and

the End phase, encompassing minutes 37 to 48 (B7-B8). This segmentation was based on the

expected temporal dynamics of physiological responses during sustained intermittent cycling.

The Beginning of exercise (B1) was chosen as a baseline, as it corresponds to the early phase of

exertion when physiological changes (e.g., blood lactate accumulation, cardiovascular strain)

have not yet fully developed, allowing us to capture the initial dual-task condition under minimal

metabolic load. The Middle window reflects a phase where physiological responses typically

plateau (e.g., elevated but stabilized lactate and heart rate), while the End window captures

potential cumulative effects of prolonged exertion and fatigue. This time window approach

enables us to better track the evolving impact of physical exercise on decision-making processes

over time.

Data Analyses

The experiment was designed to evaluate the exercise-induced effects on cognitive

processes throughout high-intensity activity and immediately afterward exercise bout. Due to the

interplay between physical demands and cognitive performance in the dual-task condition,

cognitive data recorded at rest (pre- and post-exercise, 4916 trials) and during the dual-task

condition (blocks while cycling, 12402 trials) were analyzed separately. During the physical

activity, we anticipated that the impacts on decision-making processes may vary according to

time spent on task. To capture the time-specific effects of exercise on cognitive performance, we

conducted analyses across three specific time windows.

Anticipations (RTs < 200 ms; 0.13 %) were discarded from all analyses. Five subjects did

not perform the perceptual task appropriately (i.e., exhibiting a percentage of accuracy below

50% or employing a stereotyped response strategy). They were excluded from the initial sample

of 24 participants. Regarding physiological measures, we analyzed glucose and lactate levels

within linear mixed-effects models. These models included the 'sample' (PRE and B1 to B8)

expressed in mmol/L as a fixed effect, with 'subject' as random effect.

The parameters of the Drift Diffusion Model (DDM) were estimated using a hierarchical

Bayesian approach implemented with the HSSM toolbox in Python (Fengler et al., 2025). Two

separate sets of model fits were conducted: one comparing the PRE and POST time windows,

and another comparing the Beginning, Middle, and End phases of exercise. In both cases, the

fitting procedure was identical. We evaluated eight versions of a DDM without inter-trial

variability. The first was a "basic" model, in which all parameters—starting point (z), drift rate

(v), threshold (a), and non-decision time (t_{er})—were held constant across blocks. The "full"

model allows all parameters, except the starting point, to vary across time windows. The

remaining six models each allowed different combinations of these parameters to vary across

time windows. For example, the "vt" model permits both the drift rate (v) and non-decision time

(t_{er}) to vary across time windows, and is formally defined in HSSM as:

v ~ 1 + time_window + (1 + time_window | subject)

z ~ 1 + (1 | subject)

$a \sim 1 + (1 \mid \text{subject})$

$t_{er} \sim 1 + \text{time\_window} + (1 + \text{time\_window} \mid \text{subject})$

For all models, we used the default priors provided by HSSM. We then assessed

convergence by visually inspecting the Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) traces and by

examining the R-hat statistic from Brooks and Gelman (1998). Model comparison was carried

out using the Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC). Subsequently, we evaluated model fits by

visually comparing the best-fitting model to the basic model and analyzed the parameter

estimates of the best model. This procedure provided two complementary approaches to assess

the influence of experimental blocks on the parameters: (i) identifying which model structure best

captures the data and (ii) examining the extent to which parameter estimates depend on time

windows.

Results

Physiological measures

Heart rate. The linear mixed model revealed a significant effect of block on heart rate ($F_{(7, 133)}$

$= 16.83$, $p < .001$). Post-hoc comparisons showed that heart rate at block B1 was significantly

lower than at all subsequent blocks (B3 to B8; all $p < 0.001$), while the difference between B1

and B2 was not significant ($p = 0.44$). Heart rate at B2 was also significantly lower than at blocks

B4 to B8 ($p < 0.05$), but not significantly different from B3 ($p = 0.21$; see table 2 for means and

standard deviation). No significant differences were found between any other block pairs from

B3 to B8, suggesting that heart rate stabilized after the second or third block (see Supplementary

figure 1 for individual trajectories across the protocol).

Blood Biomarkers. Linear mixed-effects models revealed a significant effect of exercise on both

blood glucose ($F(8, 127) = 5.76$, $p < 0.001$) and blood lactate levels ($F(8, 127) = 5.76$, $p < 0.001$),

measured at the end of each 5-minute cycling bout (table 2). Post-hoc comparisons showed that

glucose concentrations were significantly higher at the pre-exercise baseline compared to all sub-

sequent time points (B1 to B8; all $p < 0.01$), after which values remained relatively stable. In con-

trast, lactate concentrations were significantly lower at the pre-exercise baseline than at all subse-

quent time points (B1 to B8; all $p < 0.01$), indicating a sharp increase after exercise onset fol-

lowed by a plateau.

	PRE	B1	B2	B3	B4	B5	B6	B7	B8
Lactate (mmol/L)	1.63 (0.53)	5.92 (2.24)	5.51 (2.64)	4.92 (2.61)	4.56 (2.55)	4.20 (2.32)	4.28 (2.56)	4.17 (2.68)	3.92 (2.81)
Glucose (mmol/L)	4.79 (0.75)	4.04 (0.51)	3.89 (0.45)	4.14 (0.82)	3.94 (0.80)	4.06 (0.67)	4.21 (0.58)	4.17 (0.52)	4.18 (0.90)
HR (bpm)		151.07 (16.11)	153.54 (16.09)	156.28 (15.89)	156.79 (15.94)	157.41 (15.94)	159.34 (16.23)	158.54 (16.53)	159.36 (17.03)
%HRmax		81.82 (8.06)	83.23 (7.99)	84.51 (7.86)	85.04 (7.95)	85.61 (8.24)	86.79 (8.26)	86.47 (8.60)	86.94 (8.83)

212   **Table 2.** *Mean values of glucose, lactate, heart rate (HR), and percentage of maximum heart rate*

213   *(%HRmax) across bouts of high-intensity exercise.* Note. Values represent the mean (standard

214   deviation) for each bout.

215   ***Computational modeling results***

216   **Pre- and Post-exercise Effects.** BIC model comparison shows that models that allow non-

217   decision time (t_{er}) to vary between PRE and POST time windows clearly dominate those who

218   don't (table 3). There are, however, little differences between the models that allow t_{er} to vary

219   across time windows, which suggest that threshold and drift variations do not contribute to

220   improve fits.

Model	BIC
at	3585
vt	3590
full	3593
t_{er}	3602
a	3730
av	3733
v	3932
basic	4044

Table 3. *Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC) for each model. In the "basic" model, all*

parameters—starting point (z), drift rate (v), threshold (a), and non-decision time (t_{er})—were

held constant across PRE and POST time windows. The "full" model allows all parameters,

except the starting point, to vary across time windows. The remaining six models each allowed

different combinations of these parameters to vary across time windows. For example, the "vt"

model permits both the drift rate (v) and non-decision time (t_{er}) to vary across time windows.

However, quantile probability plots suggest that letting the threshold *a* and the non-

decision time t_{er} vary across time windows does not dramatically improve the fit quality

compared to the basic model (Figure 2).

Figure 2. *Quantile probability functions averaged across subjects for before (PRE, in blue) and after (POST, in purple) exercise, constructed by plotting RT quantiles (.1, .3, .5, .7, .9; y-axis) of the distributions of correct and incorrect responses against the corresponding response type proportion (x-axis). Dots represent observed data, "+" represent predictions of the basic model, and "x" represent predictions of the at model (using in both cases best fitting parameters).*

The results of the fit of the best model (*at* model) confirm this assessment (figure 3; see

Table S1 in appendix for a full summary of the results). We found that 82% of the posterior for

the boundary parameter (*a*) difference between POST and PRE time windows was below 0, with

a very small mean effect (M = -0.033, 94% HDI: [−0.099, 0.42]) compared to the average value

across time windows (M = 0.979, 94% HDI: [0.839, 1.114]). While the effect on the non-decision

time (t_{er}) is more significant, with 94% of the posterior between POST and PRE time windows

below 0, its amplitude is small (M = -0.032, 94% HDI: [−0.069, 0.008]) compared to the average

value across time windows (M = 0.50, 94% HDI: [0.43, 0.58]).

Figure 3. *Posteriors for the boundary (a, left panel) and non-decision time (t_{er}, right panel)*

parameters difference between POST and PRE time windows.

During-effort Effects. BIC model comparison shows that the model that allow drift rate (*v*) and

non-decision time (t_{er}) to vary across time windows is clearly the better model among those we

compared (table 4).

Model	BIC
vt	9655
full	9682
at	9710

Model	BIC
t_{er}	9721
av	9824
a	9851
v	9970
basic	10182

Table 4. *Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC) for each model. In the "basic" model, all*

parameters—starting point (z), drift rate (v), threshold (a), and non-decision time (t_{er})—were

held constant across time windows. The "full" model allows all parameters, except the starting

point, to vary across time windows. The remaining six models each allowed different

combinations of these parameters to vary across time windows. For example, the "vt" model

permits both the drift rate (v) and non-decision time (t_{er}) to vary across time windows.

Visual inspection of quantile probability plots confirm that letting the non-decision time

(t_{er}) and drift rate (v) vary across time windows improve the fit quality (figure 4).

Figure 4. *Quantile probability functions averaged across subjects at the Beginning (in orange),*

Middle (in green) and End (in red) of exercise, constructed by plotting RT quantiles (.1, .3, .5, .7,

.9; y-axis) of the distributions of correct and incorrect responses against the corresponding

response type proportion (x-axis). Dots represent observed data, "+" represent predictions of

the basic model, and "x" represent predictions of the vt model (using in both cases best fitting

parameters).

The results of the fit of the best model (*vt* model) confirm this assessment (figure 5; see

Table S2 in appendix for a full summary of the results). We found that the drift rate (*v*) likely

increases from the Beginning to the Middle time windows (94% of the posterior for the

difference above 0; figure 5, left-top), with a size effect about 7% (M = 0.097, 94% HDI :

[−0.017, 0.215]) compared to the average value across time windows (M = 1.427, 94% HDI :

[1.037, 1.861]). There is however no evidence for an effect between the Middle and End time

windows (61% of the posterior for the difference above 0; figure 5, left-middle). The non-

decision time (t_{er}) likely decreases between the Beginning and the End of exercise (97.5% of the

posterior for the difference below 0; figure 5, right-bottom), with a size effect about 8% (M = -

0.041, 94% HDI : [−0.070, -0.004]) compared to the average value across time windows (M =

0.492, 94% HDI : [0.421, 0.563]). This result suggests an acceleration of perceptual-motor

processes or motor facilitation induced by physical exertion. No clear difference was observed

between the beginning and the Middle of exercise (81% of the posterior for the difference below

0; figure 5, right-top), nor between the Middle and end of exercise (73% of the posterior for the

difference below 0; figure 5, right-middle), suggesting a stabilization of this effect.

Figure 5. *Posteriors for the drift rate (v, left panel) and non-decision time (t_{er}, right panel)*

parameters difference across time windows.

Discussion

Summary

The present study aimed to investigate the impact of high-intensity activity on cognitive

processes involved in perceptual decision-making. To this end, participants completed a

perceptual decision task at rest (pre- and post-exercise), as well as six times during exercise in a

dual-task condition involving both cognitive and physical activity. Cognitive processes were

assessed by fitting a Drift Diffusion Model (DDM) to behavioral data collected across these time

points. The transition from pre- to post-exercise conditions was associated with a possible

reduction in non-decision time (t_{er}), potentially reflecting a transient improvement in motor or

perceptual efficiency. During ongoing physical activity, results suggest that exercise primarily

enhances non-decision processes (t_{er}) and improves the efficiency of evidence accumulation (drift

rate, v), while response caution (boundary separation) remains stable.

Post-effort modulation of cognitive processes.

Findings indicate that transitioning from the Pre- to Post-exercise condition does not induce

robust changes in decision-making parameters modeled by the DDM. However, a possible

reduction in non-decision time (t_{er}) was observed, which may reflect transient enhancements in

sensory or motor efficiency. Bayesian model comparisons support a potential effect of exercise

on non-decision components, with no consistent change core decision parameters. This suggests

that exercise does not significantly impact perceptual discrimination processes, as measured by

drift rate (v) or boundary separation (a).

This interpretation is consistent with prior evidence that cognitive benefits can persist

briefly after the cessation of intense exercise (Tsukamoto et al., 2016a, 2016b; Moreau & Chou,

2019; McMorris et al., 2016), potentially due to sustained neurochemical or metabolic effects.

Notably, elevated blood lactate has been shown to enhance motor system reactivity and sensory

perception (Coco et al., 2009; 2016), possibly contributing to reduced t_{er}. Increased cerebral

blood flow and oxygenation following exercise may also support cognitive benefits (Rooks et al.,

2010). Furthermore, catecholamine metabolism and the neuromodulatory role of lactate—

produced even under fully aerobic conditions—warrant further exploration as potential mediators

of the post-effort cognitive modulation.

Ongoing physical activity impact on cognitive processes.

Bayesian model comparisons suggest that sustained exercise primarily enhances non-

decision processes (t_{er}) and increases the efficiency of evidence accumulation (drift rate, v), while

response caution (boundary separation, a) remains stable.

Drift rate analyses revealed a progressive improvement in evidence accumulation from

the Beginning to the Middle phase of exercise (minutes 19 to 30), suggesting enhanced

perceptual discrimination, followed by a plateau during the End phase (minutes 37 to 48). In

parallel, t_{er} decreased from the beginning to the end of exercise, particularly between the early

and middle phases, indicating a facilitation of perceptual-motor processes. The initial elevation in

t_{er} may reflect the time required for physiological adaptations, while the later stabilization could

result from improved lactate clearance and a metabolic shift from carbohydrate to fat oxidation

(Herold et al., 2022; Hashimoto et al., 2018; Tsukamoto et al., 2016b).

These results partially align with previous research demonstrating the influence of

physiological states on cognitive functioning. For instance, Ratcliff & Van Dongen (2011),

showed that reduced vigilance state induced by sleep deprivation accumulation via a reduction in

v, while Du Rietz et al. (2019) reported a positive association between high-intensity activity and

brain indices associated with arousal and attentional allocation. In contrast, the current findings

suggest that exercise-induced changes are more nuanced and primarily reflected in non-decision

processes.

This interpretation is consistent with prior evidence that physical exercise modulates both

early (sensory) and late (motor) peripheral processing stages. Early studies have shown that

moderate to intense exercise enhances sensory sensitivity and motor output speed, likely through

increased excitability of the central nervous system (Davranche et al., 2005, 2006; Audiffren et

al., 2008; Beyer et al., 2017). Exercise has been shown to increase cortical arousal and improve

the signal-to-noise ratio in sensory processing, possibly due to the transient release of

catecholamines and other neuromodulators (Davranche & Audiffren, 2004; Rodriguez et al.,

2017; Moxon et al., 2007). At the motor level, evidence from single-pulse TMS studies suggests

that exercise increases corticospinal excitability by reducing intracortical inhibition (Davranche

et al., 2015), which could support more efficient motor command and response execution. These

neurophysiological adaptations may underlie the observed improvements in t_{er} and v, by

rendering the brain more receptive to sensory input and more effective in translating decisions

into action. Although the exact mechanisms remain to be fully elucidated, transient factors such

as the release of catecholamines, serotonin, cortisol, or lactate production during exercise are

likely contributors to these adaptive changes (Singh & Staines, 2015). Supporting this view, Coco

et al. (2010) demonstrated that excitability of the primary motor cortex (M1), assessed via TMS

over the hand area, was significantly enhanced at the end of a maximal cycling test, and that this

enhancement strongly correlated with the increase in blood lactate levels. Interestingly, a similar

effect on M1 excitability was also observed when lactate was increased via intravenous infusion

in resting participants, suggesting a direct modulatory role of lactate on cortical excitability.

Limitations and Perspectives

Several limitations warrant consideration. First, the sample was predominantly male

(~95%), which may limit the generalization of the findings to female populations. Nonetheless,

previous studies involving gender-balanced cohorts have not reported sex-related differences in

cognitive responses to elevated lactate levels (Perciavalle et al., 2015; Coco et al., 2016).

Second, there was substantial inter-individual variability in physiological responses to

exercise at 90% of the first ventilatory threshold. Heart rates values ranged from 71% to 99% of

HRmax, indicating variability in the relative contributions of aerobic and anaerobic metabolism.

Post-hoc examination of individual lactate levels confirmed this variability, as participants were

nearly evenly split between those above and below the 4 mmol/L threshold, commonly associated

with the onset of blood lactate accumulation (OBLA). Since OBLA marks a key transition in

metabolic pathways, this variability in lactate response could account for the absence of pre- vs

post-exercise effect on v and may also obscure the effects during the different phases of the

exercise. Supporting this interpretation, Coco et al. (2009) and Perciavalle et al (2014) reported

that a large increase in blood lactate was associated with a significant worsening of attentional

processes, suggesting that beyond a certain threshold—possibly near OBLA—rising lactate

concentrations may no longer facilitate, but rather impair, cognitive functioning. This limit

underscores the need for careful consideration of individual physiological profiles in future

studies.

Additionally, differences in aerobic fitness likely modulate cognitive responses to intense

exercise. Individuals with higher fitness levels exhibit more efficient lactate clearance, which

may influence the duration and magnitude of post-exercise cognitive effects. Variability in

tolerance to elevated lactate concentrations may also modulate cognitive outcomes, underscoring

the importance of incorporating fitness assessments and lactate sensitivity measures in future

research.

Conclusion

By applying DDM to a perceptual decision-making task, this study provides new insights

into the cognitive mechanisms underlying high-intensity exercise-induced changes. Our findings

suggest that sustained physical activity facilitates decision efficiency primarily through enhanced

non-decision and evidence accumulation processes. As a time-efficient and ecologically valid

intervention, high-intensity exercise may represent a promising tool to transiently enhance

cognitive function, warranting further investigation.

Acknowledgements: We would like to thank the Filière Fastspor'in for its support in

carrying out this study, and Anita Vergnaud et Laurine Stefanuto for their assistance in data

collection.

Declarations:

Funding: Not applicable.

Conflicts of interest/Competing interests: Not applicable.

Ethics approval: Ethics Committee for Research in Science and Technology of Physical

Sports Activities (IRB00012476-2022-21-01-148).

Consent to participate: All participants were fully informed about the study and signed a

written informed consent before inclusion.

Consent for publication: All authors have reviewed and approved the final manuscript for

publication.

Availability of data and materials: All data and scripts used in the present study are

available at the following link:

https://osf.io/3r5ps/?view_only=9a137f99b2d14a278cd4e0bb498309ef.

Code availability: Not applicable.

Authors' contributions: KD and TG contributed to conceptualization, methodology, data

curation, formal analysis, and writing – original draft, review & editing. DG contributed to

investigation. AH contributed to resources and investigation.

References

Audiffren, M., Tomporowski, P. D., & Zagrodnik, J. (2008). Acute aerobic exercise and infor-

mation processing: Energizing motor processes during a choice reaction time task. *Acta*

Psychologica, *129*(3), 410□419. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actpsy.2008.09.006

Beyer, K. B., Sage, M. D., Staines, W. R., Middleton, L. E., & McIlroy, W. E. (2017). A single

aerobic exercise session accelerates movement execution but not central processing. *Neu-*

roscience, *346*, 149□159. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroscience.2017.01.020

Bogacz, R., Brown, E., Moehlis, J., Holmes, P., & Cohen, J. D. (2006). The physics of optimal

decision making: A formal analysis of models of performance in two-alternative forced-

choice tasks. *Psychological Review*, *113*, 700□765. https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-

295X.113.4.700

Brooks, S. P., & Gelman, A. (1998). General Methods for Monitoring Convergence of Iterative

Simulations. *Journal of Computational and Graphical Statistics*, *7*(4), 434□455.

https://doi.org/10.1080/10618600.1998.10474787

Coco, M., Alagona, G., Rapisarda, G., Costanzo, E., Calogero, R. A., Perciavalle, V., &

Perciavalle, V. (2010). Elevated blood lactate is associated with increased motor cortex

excitability. *Somatosensory & Motor Research*, *27*(1), 1□8.

https://doi.org/10.3109/08990220903471765

Coco, M., Di Corrado, D., Calogero, R. A., Perciavalle, V., Maci, T., & Perciavalle, V. (2009).

Attentional processes and blood lactate levels. *Brain Research*, *1302*, 205□211.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brainres.2009.09.032

Coco, M., Perciavalle, V., Cavallari, P., & Perciavalle, V. (2016). Effects of an Exhaustive Exer-

cise on Motor Skill Learning and on the Excitability of Primary Motor Cortex and Sup-

plementary Motor Area. *Medicine*, *95*(11), e2978.

https://doi.org/10.1097/MD.0000000000002978

Davranche, K., & Audiffren, M. (2004). Facilitating effects of exercise on information pro-

cessing. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, *22*(5), 419□428.

https://doi.org/10.1080/02640410410001675289

Davranche, K., Burle, B., Audiffren, M., & Hasbroucq, T. (2005). Information processing during

physical exercise: A chronometric and electromyographic study. *Experimental Brain Re-*

search, *165*(4), 532□540. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00221-005-2331-9

Davranche, K., Burle, B., Audiffren, M., & Hasbroucq, T. (2006). Physical exercise facilitates

motor processes in simple reaction time performance: An electromyographic analysis.

Neuroscience Letters, *396*(1), 54□56. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neulet.2005.11.008

Davranche, K., Temesi, J., Verges, S., & Hasbroucq, T. (2015). Transcranial magnetic stimula-

tion probes the excitability of the primary motor cortex: A framework to account for the

facilitating effects of acute whole-body exercise on motor processes. *Journal of Sport and*

Health Science, *4*(1), 24□29.

Du Rietz, E., Barker, A. R., Michelini, G., Rommel, A.-S., Vainieri, I., Asherson, P., & Kuntsi, J.

(2019). Beneficial effects of acute high-intensity exercise on electrophysiological indices

of attention processes in young adult men. *Behavioural Brain Research*, *359*, 474□484.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbr.2018.11.024

Fengler, A., Xu, Y., Bera, K., Omar, A., & Frank, M. J. (2025). HSSM: A generalized toolbox for

hierarchical Bayesian estimation of computational models in cognitive neuroscience.

https://lnccbrown.github.io/HSSM

Hashimoto, T., Tsukamoto, H., Ando, S., & Ogoh, S. (2021). Effect of Exercise on Brain Health:

The Potential Role of Lactate as a Myokine. *Metabolites*, *11*(12), 813.

https://doi.org/10.3390/metabo11120813

Hashimoto, T., Tsukamoto, H., Takenaka, S., Olesen, N. D., Petersen, L. G., Sørensen, H., Niel-

sen, H. B., Secher, N. H., & Ogoh, S. (2018). Maintained exercise□enhanced brain ex-

ecutive function related to cerebral lactate metabolism in men. *The FASEB Journal*, *32*(3),

1417□1427. https://doi.org/10.1096/fj.201700381RR

Herold, F., Behrendt, T., Meißner, C., Müller, N. G., & Schega, L. (2022). The Influence of

Acute Sprint Interval Training on Cognitive Performance of Healthy Younger Adults. *In-*

ternational Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, *19*(1), 613.

https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph19010613

Herold, F., Müller, P., Gronwald, T., & Müller, N. G. (2019). Dose–Response Matters! – A Per-

spective on the Exercise Prescription in Exercise–Cognition Research. *Frontiers in Psy-*

chology, *10*, 2338. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2019.02338

McMorris, T. (2016). History of Research into the Acute Exercise–Cognition Interaction. In *Ex-*

ercise-Cognition Interaction (p. 1□28). Elsevier. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-

800778-5.00001-3

McMorris, T. (2021). The acute exercise-cognition interaction: From the catecholamines hypoth-

esis to an interoception model. *International Journal of Psychophysiology*, *170*, 75□88.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpsycho.2021.10.005

Moreau, D., & Chou, E. (2019). The Acute Effect of High-Intensity Exercise on Executive Func-

tion: A Meta-Analysis. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, *14*(5), 734□764.

https://doi.org/10.1177/1745691619850568

Moxon, K. A., Devilbiss, D. M., Chapin, J. K., & Waterhouse, B. D. (2007). Influence of norepi-

nephrine on somatosensory neuronal responses in the rat thalamus: A combined modeling

and in vivo multi-channel, multi-neuron recording study. *Brain Research*, *1147*,

105□123. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brainres.2007.02.006

Peirce, J., Gray, J. R., Simpson, S., MacAskill, M., Höchenberger, R., Sogo, H., Kastman, E., &

Lindeløv, J. K. (2019). PsychoPy2: Experiments in behavior made easy. *Behavior Re-*

search Methods, *51*(1), 195□203. https://doi.org/10.3758/s13428-018-01193-y

Perciavalle, V., Alagona, G., Maci, T., Petralia, M. C., Costanzo, E., Perciavalle, V., & Coco, M.

(2014). Attentional processes during submaximal exercises. *Somatosensory & Motor Re-*

search, *31*(1), 1□6. https://doi.org/10.3109/08990220.2013.796924

Perciavalle, V., Maci, T., Perciavalle, V., Massimino, S., & Coco, M. (2015). Working memory

and blood lactate levels. *Neurological Sciences*, *36*(11), 2129□2136.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s10072-015-2329-4

Pilly, P. K., & Seitz, A. R. (2009). What a difference a parameter makes: A psychophysical com-

parison of random dot motion algorithms. *Vision Research*, *49*(13), 1599□1612.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.visres.2009.03.019

Pontifex, M. B., McGowan, A. L., Chandler, M. C., Gwizdala, K. L., Parks, A. C., Fenn, K., &

Kamijo, K. (2019). A primer on investigating the after effects of acute bouts of physical

activity on cognition. *Psychology of Sport and Exercise*, *40*, 1□22.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychsport.2018.08.015

Ratcliff, R. (1978). A theory of memory retrieval. *Psychological review*, *85*(2), 59.

Ratcliff, R., Smith, P. L., Brown, S. D., & McKoon, G. (2016). Diffusion Decision Model: Cur-

rent Issues and History. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, *20*(4), 260□281.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2016.01.007

Ratcliff, R., & Van Dongen, H. P. A. (2011). Diffusion model for one-choice reaction-time tasks

and the cognitive effects of sleep deprivation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of*

Sciences, *108*(27), 11285□11290. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1100483108

Rodríguez, O., Ramos Álvarez, J., Segovia Martínez, J., Lopez-Silvarrey Varela, F., & Marcos,

D. la V., R. (2017). The effect of cognitive strategies of association and dissociation on

central nervous activation: A controlled trial with long distance runners. *Journal of Sports Medicine and Therapy*, *2*(4), 095□108. https://doi.org/10.29328/journal.jsmt.1001014

Rooks, C. R., Thom, N. J., McCully, K. K., & Dishman, R. K. (2010). Effects of incremental exercise on cerebral oxygenation measured by near-infrared spectroscopy: A systematic review. *Progress in Neurobiology*, *92*(2), 134□150. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pneurobio.2010.06.002

Singh, A. M., & Staines, W. R. (2015). The effects of acute aerobic exercise on the primary motor cortex. *Journal of motor behavior*, *47*(4), 328□339.

Sudo, M., Costello, J. T., McMorris, T., & Ando, S. (2022). The effects of acute high-intensity aerobic exercise on cognitive performance: A structured narrative review. *Frontiers in Behavioral Neuroscience*, *16*, 957677. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnbeh.2022.957677

Tsukamoto, H., Suga, T., Takenaka, S., Tanaka, D., Takeuchi, T., Hamaoka, T., Isaka, T., & Hashimoto, T. (2016). Greater impact of acute high-intensity interval exercise on post-exercise executive function compared to moderate-intensity continuous exercise. *Physiology & Behavior*, *155*, 224□230. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physbeh.2015.12.021

Tsukamoto, H., Suga, T., Takenaka, S., Tanaka, D., Takeuchi, T., Hamaoka, T., Isaka, T., Ogoh, S., & Hashimoto, T. (2016). Repeated high-intensity interval exercise shortens the positive effect on executive function during post-exercise recovery in healthy young males. *Physiology & Behavior*, *160*, 26□34. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physbeh.2016.03.029

Wasserman, K. (Éd.). (2012). *Principles of exercise testing and interpretation: Including pathophysiology and clinical applications* (5th ed). Wolters Kluwer Health/Lippincott Williams & Wilkins.

523   Zheng, K., Zou, L., Wei, G., & Huang, T. (2021). Concurrent Performance of Executive Function

524   during Acute Bouts of Exercise in Adults: A Systematic Review. *Brain Sciences*, *11*(10),

525   1364. https://doi.org/10.3390/brainsci11101364