Fine-tuning spatial-temporal dynamics and surface receptor expression support plasma cell-intrinsic longevity

Zhixin Jing[1], Phillip Galbo[2,3], Luis Ovando[1], Megan Demouth[2], Skylar Welte[1], Rosa Park[1], Kartik Chandran[3], Yinghao Wu[3,4], Thomas MacCarthy[5], Deyou Zheng[2,4], David Fooksman[1,2]

Affiliations

[1] Department of Pathology, Albert Einstein College of Medicine, Bronx, NY 10461

[2] Department of Genetics, Albert Einstein College of Medicine, Bronx, NY 10461

[3] Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Albert Einstein College of Medicine, Bronx, NY 10461

[4] Department of System and Computational Biology, Albert Einstein College of Medicine, Bronx, NY 10461

[5] Department of Applied Mathematics and Statistics, Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, NY 11794

LEAD CONTACT

David Fooksman, David.fooksman@einsteinmed.edu

HIGHLIGHTS

• LLPCs have reduced motility and increased clustering in the BM

• LLPCs accumulate in the BM PC pool, with mouse age

• LLPCs have unique surfaceome, transcriptome, and BCR clonality

• CXCR4 controls maintenance of PCs and antibody titers

ABSTRACT (199 words)

Durable serological memory following vaccination is critically dependent on the production and

survival of long-lived plasma cells (LLPCs). Yet, the factors that control LLPC specification and

survival remain poorly resolved.   Using intra-vital two-photon imaging, we find that in contrast to

most plasma cells in the bone marrow (BM), LLPCs are uniquely sessile and organized into

clusters that are dependent on APRIL, an important survival factor. Using deep, bulk RNA

sequencing, and surface protein flow-based phenotyping, we find that LLPCs express a unique

transcriptome and phenotype compared to bulk PCs, fine tuning expression of key cell surface

molecules, CD93, CD81, CXCR4, CD326, CD44 and CD48, important for adhesion and homing.

Conditional deletion of *Cxcr4* in PCs following immunization leads to rapid mobilization from the

BM, reduced survival of antigen-specific PCs, and ultimately accelerated decay of antibody titer.

In naïve mice, the endogenous LLPCs BCR repertoire exhibits reduced diversity, reduced

somatic mutations, and increased public clones and IgM isotypes, particularly in young mice,

suggesting LLPC specification is non-random. As mice age, the BM PC compartment becomes

enriched in LLPCs, which may outcompete and limit entry of new PCs into the LLPC niche and

pool.

INTRODUCTION

Prophylactic antibodies induced by vaccines provide rapid, systemic and in some cases, long-lasting immune protection against many infectious diseases. Variability in the duration of antibody responses is chiefly dependent on the composition of short-lived and long-lived plasma cells produced, which can have distinct lifespans of a few days and months or years in mice, respectively [1]. The ability to generate LLPCs also declines with old age, and hence the durability of the vaccine response [2] [3]. Therefore, understanding how LLPCs are generated and maintained are essential for enhancing durability of vaccine-induced antibody responses in humans.

Previous studies have reported these LLPCs are enriched in the bone marrow (BM) but can also be found in spleen and mucosa [4-8]. Tracking of endogenous polyclonal LLPCs is challenging, requiring labeling and tracking by thymidine analogs like BrdU, or looking for antigen-specific antibody forming cells by ELISPOTs. However, these approaches are not amenable to tracking live cells by flow cytometry, as there have been no phenotypic markers for endogenous LLPCs, making these cells elusive. Approaches to genetically track LLPCs were recently established [9], which allows studying their turnover and generation.

One major question is how these cells are specified. LLPCs can mature from newly-minted plasmablasts (PBs) in the germinal center that have undergone affinity maturation [10,11]. However, LLPCs can also develop in a T cell-independent manner [12], and B-1 lineages [13], suggesting that there are multiple, distinct pathways to becoming LLPCs, or specification is regulated extrinsically by their niche, or both [14]. Thus, it is unclear if LLPCs arise from unique clones, unique pools of B cells or are just randomly specified from the bulk PC pool, in a stochastic manner, potentially through maturation in the bone marrow niche. Determining what is required for LLPC specification is important for vaccine development.

A second major question regarding LLPCs is how they are maintained and survive in a cell-

specific manner. While functionally they are metabolically active, quiescent, murine LLPCs

(defined as B220- 2NBDG$^+$) are thought to have minimal transcriptional specificity compared to

bulk PCs [15]. In contrast, human LLPCs (CD19$^-$ CD138^{high}) have been shown to be

transcriptionally distinct from other mature PCs [16].

The BM is a major lodging site for LLPCs and it is believed that key cell-extrinsic cellular and

molecular factors support their longevity. PCs migratory behavior and positioning within BM

parenchyma is also linked to chemokine receptor signaling, cell adhesion, cytokine, and age of

mice. Previous work from our laboratory found that as PCs age, CXCR4 expression is increased,

suggesting LLPCs may upregulate certain key molecules for survival [17]. CXCR4 is a master

chemokine receptor for BM tropism but its role in humoral immunity is thought to be dispensable

[18]. However, CXCR4 drives PC motility in the BM and is upregulated on PC with aging [17]. We

and others have shown that BM PCs are spatially organized in clusters [17,19] and PCs are less

motile when they enter these clusters, suggesting extrinsic signals may be important cues for

motility. Moreover, in mice lacking APRIL, a key survival cytokine for PCs [20], these clusters were

reduced, suggesting clusters and cell dynamics may be functionally important for PC survival.

In this study, we aim to understand what unique features are associated with LLPC physiology,

at a molecular, cellular, and spatial-temporal level using cell fate labeling of PCs. We find that

these cells exhibit intrinsic changes in gene expression and cell motility patterns that may

underlie their unique ability to persist for long periods of time, despite potential competition from

a continuously evolving PC pool. Among the factors promoting their survival, CXCR4 plays a

dominant cell-intrinsic role in promoting LLPCs retention and survival and thus, maintaining

durability of humoral responses.

EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS

Mice

Prdm1-EYFP [21] were generated previously and can also be obtained from the Jackson

Laboratory. Rosa26-CAG-LSL-tdTomato (Ai14) [22], Rosa26-LSL-EYFP [23], and *Cxcr4*^{fl/fl} [18] were

purchased from the Jackson Laboratory. C57BL/6 (CD45.2) and B6-Ly5.1/Cr (CD45.1) mice

were purchased from Charles River. All mice were housed in groups of 2–5 animals per cage in

SPF facilities at Albert Einstein College of Medicine. The animal protocol in this study was

approved by Albert Einstein College of Medicine Institutional Animal Care Use Committee

(IACUC). For PC turnover experiments, both females and males that are young (6-8 weeks old)

or middle-aged (20-24 weeks old) were used. For mixed bone marrow chimera experiments, 6-8

weeks old sex-matched mice were used as hosts, and 16-24 weeks old WT or CXCR4[cKO] mice

were used as donors.

Blimp1-Cre[ERT2]-IRES-TdTomato (BEC) mouse were constructed using CRISPR-Cas9

technology on the C57BL/6 background by knocking-in Cre[ERT2]-IRES-TdTomato cassette

downstream of the exon 6 of Prdm1 locus, targeted with one single guide RNA

(TCTGTGGGCAGAAACCCGCG). Founders and F1 progenies were genotyped by PCR using

primers (Integrated DNA Technologies) targeting *Prdm1* genomic region (5'-

GGCAAGATCAAGTATGAGTGC-3', Forward) and IRES sequence (5'-

GCCAAAAGACGGCAATATGG-3', Reverse). This mouse line was backcrossed to C57BL/6 for

at least three generations. Since BEC is a knock-in knockout allele, only heterozygotes were

used for all experiments.

Generation of mixed bone marrow chimera

6-8 weeks old CD45.1 recipient mice were lethally irradiated (950 RAD) and reconstituted with

7-8 x 10^6 50:50 mixture of WT:CXCR4[cKO] total bone marrow cells, and allowed to recover for 8

weeks with Sulfamethoxazole and Trimethoprim (ANI Pharmaceutials) added to the drinking

water (1:50 v/v) in the first 2 weeks post reconstitution.

Immunizations and treatments

For hapten-protein conjugate immunizations, WT or CXCR4^{cKO} mice were immunized

intraperitoneally (i.p.) with 50 µg of NP$_{(32)}$-KLH (Biosearch Technologies) in PBS emulsified with

alum (Imject Alum; Thermo Fisher Scientific) at 2:1 v:v ratio in 150 µl volume. For PC turnover

experiments, 4 mg tamoxifen (MilliporeSigma) were administered by oral gavage per mouse for

three consecutive days. For intratibial injection experiments, 5 µg of 4-Hydroxytamoxifen

(MilliporeSigma) in 10 µl 5% ethanol (diluted with PBS) was given through shaved knee joint

into the tibia using 29G insulin syringes, and 2.5 µg pertussis toxin (MilliporeSigma) in 100 µl

volume PBS were intravenously (i.v.) injected to recipient mice. For glucose uptake experiments,

50 µg 2-NBDG (Thermo Fisher Scientific) in 100 µl volume PBS was i.v. injected into mix

chimeric mice for exactly 15 min before sacrifice.

Flow cytometry

Single cell suspensions of bone marrow and spleen were resuspended in PBS containing 0.5%

BSA and 1 mM EDTA and filtered through a 70 µm nylon mesh. Cells were first stained with

LIVE/DEAD Fixable Aqua Dead Cell Stain Kit (Invitrogen). Then they were blocked with anti-

CD16/32 (2.4G2, Bio X Cell) and stained for surface proteins with a combination of antibodies

on ice for 30min, and analyzed on Cytek Aurora (Cytek Biosciences). Single stains for YFP and

TdTomato were prepared using blood cells from Blimp1-YFP mouse and OT-II TdTomato

mouse, and all other stains were made by staining wildtype bone marrow cells with individual

fluorescently labeled antibody. Compensations were done by automatic live unmix in SpectroFlo

software (Cytek Biosciences) during acquisition, followed by manual adjustment of the

compensation matrix. To ensure the accuracy of the manual changes in the compensation

matrix, day 5 middle-aged BEC-YFP bone marrow cells were stained with each panel antibody

separately to control for the matrix for each marker accordingly such that each stain consists of

a basic panel including YFP, TdTomato, CD138-APC, B220-APCCy7, live/dead-CD4/8-BV510,

and one of panel markers. Then, for each timepoint (except day 5) analyzed in the PC

timestamping experiments, a day 5 middle-aged BEC-YFP mouse stained with all panel

antibodies were included as a compensation control. The profiling of the total 19 markers were

done by splitting into 3 subpanels with each panel sharing CD138-APC, B220-APCCy7, and

live/dead-CD4/8-BV510. The antibody dilution was determined by titrating absolute amount (in

µg) per million total bone marrow cells. For intracytoplasmic (4-hydroxy-3-nitrophenyl)acetyl (NP)

staining in PCs, surface-stained cells were fixed and permeabilized using BD Cytofix/Cytoperm

Fixation/Permeabilization kit (BD Biosciences), followed by NP-BSA-Fluorescein (Biosearch

Tech) staining in 1:200 dilution for 1 hr at 4℃.

Anti-B220 (RA3-6B2), Bcl-2 (BCL/10C4), CD4 (GK1.5), CD8 (53-6.7), CD37 (Duno85), CD44

(IM7), CD45.2 (104), CD48 (HM48-1), CD81 (Eat-2), and CD98 (RL388) were purchased from

Biolegend. CD28 (37.51), CD53 (OX-79), CD79b (HM79B), CD93 (AA4.1), CD126 (D7715A7),

CD138 (281-2), CD147 (RL73), CD184 (2B11), CD267 (8F10), CD268 (7H22-E16), CD319

(4G2), CD326 (G8.8) were purchased from BD Biosciences. CD3e (145-2C11), CD45.1 (A20)

and CD49d (R1-2) were purchased from Fisher Scientific. CD269 (REA550) was purchased

from Miltenyi Biotec. Mcl-1 (D2W9E) was purchased from Cell Signaling Technology.

In-vitro assays

For NP-binding ELISA of mouse serum, high-binding 96 well plates (Corning Costar) were

coated with 2 µg/ml NP-OVA (Biosearch Tech) in 50 µl volume bicarbonate/carbonate binding

buffer (Abcam) overnight at 4℃. Parafilm were used to minimize evaporation of coating buffer

inside the plate. Then coating buffer were removed and the plate was blocked with 200 µl PBS

containing 1% BSA per well for 2 hrs at room temperature (RT). After removing blocking buffer,

serum samples were added in 50 µl volume with starting dilution at 1:4000 (v:v in blocking buffer)

for 4 serial 2-fold dilutions in triplicates, and anti-NP standard antibody (9T13) were added in 50

µl volume with starting concentration at 1 µg/ml for 8 serial 2-fold dilutions in duplicates,

followed by incubation for 2 hrs at RT. The plates were washed 4 times with PBS containing

0.05% Tween (PBST) before adding 50 µl peroxidase goat anti-mouse IgG-HRP (Jackson

ImmunoReseach) at 1:5000 dilution (v:v in blocking buffer) per well for 1 hr at RT. The plates

were again washed 4 times with PBST, followed by adding 50 µl TMB substrate (MilliporeSigma)

for 5-10 mins at RT, which is stopped by adding 25 µl sulfuric acid (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

The plates were read by EMax Plus microplate reader (Molecular Devices) at 450 nm

wavelength using SoftMax Pro 7 software.

Multiphoton intravital imaging and analysis

Surgical preparation for BM intra-tibial imaging was done as previously described [17]. Mice were

anesthetized using isoflurane gas during imaging process for 4-5 hours. Z-stack images for

multiple regions of tibia were collected sequentially and stitched together either before or after

long-term steady state intravital imaging using Olympus software. All imaging was performed

using an Olympus FVE-1200 upright microscope, 25x1.04 NA objective, and Deepsee MaiTai

Ti-Sapphire pulsed laser (Spectra-Physics) tuned to 920 nm. To maintain mouse body

temperature and limit room light exposure, the microscope was fitted with custom-built incubator

chamber and heated 37℃ platform. Time lapses were conducted every 3 mins as 100-120 µm

deep Z-stacks (5 µm or 3 µm steps) with 1x zoom and with 512 x 512 X-Y resolution. All image

analysis was conducted using Imaris software 9.3 (Bitplane) to detect and track LLPCs

($YFP^+TdTomato^{bright}$) and bulk PCs ($YFP^+TdTomato^{dim}$) in young and middle-aged mice and to

correct drift. A ratioed channel (YFP over TdTomato, ch2/ch3) was created together with

background subtraction from infrared channel (ch4) to separate LLPCs from bulk PCs. A

threshold of 1.02 in track intensity mean of the ratioed channel was used so that LLPC with

higher TdTomato expression would exhibit lower value below the threshold whereas bulk PCs

expressing lower TdTomato level would be higher value above the threshold.

Nearest neighbor analysis

LLPCs (YFP$^+$TdTomato^{bright}) and bulk PCs (YFP$^+$TdTomato^{dim}) in stitched z-stack images were

detected as described above. The 2D position coordinates (X and Y) were generated from

Imaris built-in spot's function. Nearest neighbor analysis program was created in Fortran using

high performance computing. The average distance between individual LLPC spots and 20

nearest total PC spots (combining both LLPCs and bulk PCs), and between individual bulk PC

spots and their 20 nearest total PC spots were calculated by the program. Then both LLPC

spots and bulk PC spots were randomly picked and the sample size for each subset was

determined using 95% confidence level, 5% margin of error, and total number of spots from

each mouse inputted as population size. The random picking process was iterated twice per

subset. The scripts were executed using a Fortran compiler (cygwin). All code of the data

analysis and work flow can be viewed as text document files provided at github link:

https://github.com/davidfooksman/nearest-neighbor/

RNA isolation and quantitative real-time RT-PCR

At least 20000 LLPCs (YFP$^+$TdTomato$^+$) and 80000 bulk PCs (YFP$^-$TdTomato$^+$) from bone

marrow or spleen were sorted using Aria III (BD) for total RNA extraction using RNeasy Plus

Mini Kit (Qiagen) according to manufacturer's protocol. 30 µl RNase-free water were loaded to

the spin column membrane twice to reach higher RNA concentration. 4 µl RNA samples were

used for reverse transcription using High-Capacity RNA-to-cDNA Kit (Applied Biosystems)

according to manufacturer's protocol. 2 µl cDNA from each sample were used for real time PCR

using TaqMan Universal Master Mix II with UNG (Applied Biosystems) according to

manufacturer's protocol. Predesigned TaqMan assays for Actb (Mm02619580_g1) and Cxcr4

(Mm01996749_s1) were purchased from Thermo Fisher Scientific.

Bulk RNA sequencing cDNA library preparation

Bone marrow and splenic PCs were isolated and enriched using CD138$^+$ Plasma Cell Isolation

Kit (Miltenyi Biotec), and stained for CD4 (GK1.5) and CD8 (53-6.7) to dump TdTomato$^+$ T cells

and DAPI for excluding dead cells before sorting on Aria III (BD) or MoFlo XDP (Beckman

Coulter) for RNA extraction. ~1000 CD4$^-$CD8$^-$DAPI$^-$TdTomato$^+$ cells from each enriched

samples were sorted into a PCR tube (USA Scientific) containing 0.5 µl 10x reaction buffer and

half the final volume of nuclease-free water provided in SMART-Seq v4 Ultra Low Input RNA Kit

for Sequencing (Takara Bio), and subsequent processes were following manufacturer's protocol.

All mixing steps were done by pipetting up and down 5-6 times. ERCC RNA Spike-In Control

Mixes (1:5000) (Life Technologies) were added to sorted cells together with lysis buffer.

Purification of amplified cDNA was done using Agencourt AMPure XP Kit (Beckman Coulter) on

a magnetic separation rack for 1.5 ml tubes (New England Biolabs). The concentration of

purified cDNA was determined using Qubit 1X dsDNA HS Assay Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific)

on a Qubit 2.0 fluorometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The quality of purified cDNA was verified

on a 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies), and the average cDNA fragment size for a typical

PC sample was peaked at approximately 600 bp following a normal distribution pattern. Finally,

sequencing adaptors were added using Nextera XT DNA Library Preparation Kit (Illumina)

following manufacturer's protocol. The library containing all samples was manually mixed to

ensure equal final concentration of all samples, and sent for next generation deep sequencing

by Genewiz/Azenta using NovaSeq S4 lane machine (Illumina) to reach an average of 50

million reads per sample.

RNA-seq data processing and analysis

RNA-seq reads were aligned to the mouse genome (mm39/GRCm39) using STAR aligner

(v2.6.1b) [24]. Counts for individual genes were quantified using the RSEM program (v1.3.1) [25].

Differential expression was computed using the DESeq2 (v 1.26.0), from pair-wise comparisons

at adjusted p value < 0.05 (without additional fold change threshold) were collected, clustered

by K-mean clustering (k=5), and used for Gene Ontology (GO) enrichment analysis with the

patherdb.org server. Enriched GO at adjusted p < 0.05 were obtained and then differentially

expressed genes in biologically relevant GO terms for each of the six comparisons were subject

to over representation analysis by the Fisher's test, with the results shown as bubble plots. Raw

data and processed files were uploaded to the NCBI server (GSE221251).

Transmission electron microscopy

PCs are isolated and enriched the same way as mentioned in the "Bulk RNA sequencing cDNA

library preparation" section. 4000-8000 LLPCs (YFP[+]TdTomato[+]) and 40,000-200,000 bulk PCs

(YFP[-]TdTomato[+]) were subsequently collected by sorting into a 500 µl low adhesion

microcentrifuge tubes (USA Scientific) using Aria III (BD) or MoFlo XDP (Beckman Coulter), and

5-6 million sheep red blood cells (Innovative Research) were added to the same tube to provide

contrast to the PCs, as previously described (Joyner CJ et al., 2021 Life Science Alliance),

which were pelleted by centrifugation at 350g for 5 mins at RT. The supernatant was removed

by aspiration, and the fixative containing 2.5% glutaraldehyde in 0.1M cacodylate (prewarmed at

RT) was gently added by layering on top of the residual volume of buffer including the cell

pellets for 15 mins at RT. Samples were postfixed with 1% osmium tetroxide followed by 2%

uranyl acetate, dehydrated through a graded series of ethanol and embedded in LX112 resin

(LADD Research Industries, Burlington VT). Ultrathin sections were cut on a Leica Ultracut UC7,

stained with uranyl acetate followed by lead citrate and viewed on a JEOL 1400 Plus transmission

electron microscope at 120kv.

BCR repertoire analysis

BCR clones were inferred from RNA-Seq data, individually for each sample, using MIXCR

v4.0.0b [26] using the following commands:

mixcr align -s mmu -p kAligner2
mixcr assemble --write-alignments
mixcr assembleContigs
mixcr exportClones -c IG -p fullImputed
The resulting clone files were pre-processed using a custom python script to separate IGH, IGK

and IGL clones and to remove small clones of size <10. The resulting datasets were processed

using the R package immunarch (https://immunarch.com/). Each repertoire (IGH, IGK, IGL) was

loaded using repLoad. Diversity (Chao1) statistics were calculated using repDiversity and

repertoire overlaps using repOverlap, For SHM estimates, we used custom R scripts. We

filtered out fragmented (lists of sequences with commas), then processed the resulting

sequences through IMGT-High V-Quest, which identifies mutations with respect to the closest

germline sequence (from IMGT file "8_V-REGION-nt-mutation-statistics.txt"). To avoid double-

counting of mutations within a clone, we selected a random sequence from each clone (most

clones only had one sequence), then calculated the mean mutation frequency per sequence

(number of mutations/V gene length), and then aggregated these to calculate a mean for each

IGHV gene allele within each sample (e.g. the mean for all clones assigned to the IGHV8-9*01

allele). Pairwise statistical comparisons between the samples were performed using a paired t-

test based on matching alleles (alleles that did not match were not used). Benjamini-Hochberg

corrected P values were calculated using the R function p.adjust with the argument

method="BH".

Quantification and statistical analyses

Statistical tests were performed using GraphPad Prism (v7 and v8). Specific tests used in each

figure are provided in the figure legends with asterisks for statistical significance (*, p-value $\leq$

0.05; **, p-value $\leq$ 0.01; ***, p-value $\leq$ 0.001; ****, p-value $\leq$ 0.0001) or "ns" denoting

comparisons that are not statistically significant. Data are presented as the mean ± SD or mean

± SEM. For PC half-life ($t_{1/2}$) calculation, the procedure was done exactly as previously

described [9]. For RT-qPCR analysis, $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ method was used to calculate the fold change in

Cxcr4 gene expression relative to the expression of housekeeping gene *Actb* in WT samples.

RESULTS

<u>Plasma cell turnover rate decreases with mouse age</u>

We previously reported that in middle-aged mice, PC motility and clustering within the BM and their recirculation capacity was increased, in comparison to young mice [17]. We speculated that these changes in PC dynamics could alter homeostatic PC turnover rates and may also reflect changes in frequency of LLPCs within PC pool with aging. To study LLPC survival mechanisms, we constructed a novel mouse line, Blimp1-ERT2-Cre-TdTomato (BEC), which contains a tamoxifen-inducible cre recombinase (ERT2-cre) and fluorescent reporter TdTomato under the control of the *Prdm1* (BLIMP1) locus (Figure S1A). We verified that >99% of CD138^{high}B220- BM PCs were TdTomato$^+$ (Figure S1B) and that 94% of TdTomato$^+$ were ASCs (CD138^{High}) (Figure 1A, Figure S1C). Tomato expression was about 1.5-2 log higher than Blimp-1 negative cells, similar to expression by other reporters in the *Prdm1* endogenous locus [27-29]. To label and track lifespans of polyclonal PCs under steady-state conditions, we crossed allele BEC with Rosa26-LSL-EYFP conditional reporter [23] to generate BEC-YFP mouse. Acute treatment with tamoxifen for three consecutive days induced robust Cre-mediated recombination and irreversible expression of YFP, comprised of 98% PCs at day 5 (Figure 1A) but not in the absence of tamoxifen treatment (Figure 1B). Over time, YFP$^+$ PCs that survive for months should by definition be bona fide LLPCs. However, in these mice, not all LLPCs would be YFP$^+$, as new LLPCs should develop in the unlabeled (YFP$^-$) fraction.

To study age-related changes in PC turnover, naïve young (6-8 weeks old) and middle-aged (20-24 weeks old) BEC-YFP mice were acutely treated with tamoxifen and tracked over 150 days after treatment (Figure 1C). At day 5 post treatment, both age groups had similar frequency of YFP$^+$ PCs in the BM (~65%) and in the spleen (~62%) (Figure 1D). However, over time, the remaining frequency of YFP$^+$ cells of total PCs in young mice were significantly lower than in middle-aged mice in both BM and spleen, indicating more PC turnover in the young mice.

At day 150, only 1.8% BM PCs were YFP$^+$ in young mice compared to 14% in middle-aged mice,

while in spleen, 0.6% YFP$^+$ PCs remained in young mice compared to 3.1% in middle-aged

mice (Figure 1E-F). Based on absolute numbers of YFP$^+$ PCs, we analyzed rate of PC decay in

the BM and spleen and found that BM PCs decay more rapidly in young mice ($t_{1/2}$ = 58 days)

than in middle-aged mice ($t_{1/2}$ = 93 days) (Figure 1G). In the spleen, decline of labeled PCs was

overall faster than in the BM, in line with previous reports [9]. However, we observed that the

decline was slightly more rapid in young mice ($t_{1/2}$ = 28 days) as compared to middle-aged mice

($t_{1/2}$ = 39 days) (Figure 1H). Thus, we conclude that homeostatic PC turnover is dependent on

tissue-specific microenvironment and aging, suggesting that LLPCs may accumulate with aging,

particularly in the bone marrow.

<u>BM LLPCs display cell-intrinsic arrest and clustering</u>

Reduced PC turnover with age, specifically in the BM niche, suggested that PCs were more

sessile and better retained in the BM with aging. However, our previous study that showed

middle-aged mice had increased overall PC motility and recirculation compared to young mice

[17]. We hypothesized that in our previous study, imaging and recirculation measurements did not

discriminate between behaviors of LLPCs and immature PCs, which may have different

dynamics.

To test this idea, we applied BEC fate labeling to specifically track polyclonal LLPC dynamics

and organization in the BM of unimmunized mice. While YFP expression from Rosa26 reporter

was bright enough to visualize labeled LLPCs, YFP$^-$ bulk PCs, which also expressed low levels

of Tomato from expression of the BEC allele (Tomato^{dim}), were insufficiently labeled for deep

imaging in the BM. Thus, we bred double PC reporter, Blimp1-YFP BEC *rosa26$^{LSL-Tomato}$* mice,

in which all PCs were YFP^{high} from expression of the Blimp1-YFP reporter, and with tamoxifen

treatment, could be fate-labeled to co-express high levels of Tomato. We treated these mice

with tamoxifen and analyzed surface phenotype of PCs at day 5 and 60 post treatment (Figure

2A). Tomato[bright] labeled PCs were easily discernable from Tomato[dim] bulk PCs by flow

cytometry (Figure 2B). While at day 5 post treatment, Tomato[bright] and Tomato[dim] PCs were

similar in PC maturation markers CXCR4 and CD93, by day 60, Tomato[bright] were phenotypically

distinct suggesting they had matured to a LLPC state (explored further in the next section).

Using intra-vital time-lapse imaging, we compared Tomato[bright] and Tomato[dim] BM PCs dynamics

in young and middle-aged mice at day 5, day 30 and day 60 post tamoxifen in order to

determine the contribution of intrinsic PC age/maturity to their motility and positioning. We could

discriminate and track both PC populations on the basis of Tomato expression in the same time-

lapse movies (Figure 2C, Supplemental Video S1). At day 5 after treatment, dynamics of both

subsets of PCs were similar, based on cell trajectories, track and displacement velocities, and

mean-squared displacement analysis (Figure 2D). However, at day 30 and 60 timepoints,

Tomato[bright] PCs showed reduced motility as compared to Tomato[dim] PCs indicating PC age

correlated with reduced cell motility. This effect with PC aging was seen in both young and

middle-aged mice, suggesting it was cell intrinsic, and thus related to LLPC maturation. While

average speeds for Tomato[bright] PCs were relatively slow, some rare PCs were highly motile. At

day 30 and 60 timepoints, these fast cells were predominantly in Tomato[dim] populations (Figure

2E), consistent with immature, short-lived PCs having faster motility than LLPCs.

Next we analyzed LLPC spatial organization, as we and others have shown the bulk BM PCs

are organized in clusters [17,19] and that clusters were sites of reduced PC motility [17]. We used

two approaches to determine if LLPCs were more clustered than total Bulk PCs. First, we

applied our custom script [17] to identify high density PC clusters. We masked these regions and

found that at late timepoints after tamoxifen, Tomato[bright] LLPCs were more enriched in clusters

than bulk PCs (Figure 2F-G). As this approach can be sensitive to PC densities, we developed

a second approach to determine if subsets of PCs were enriched in clusters, based on

measuring the nearest distance to twenty PC neighbors (Figure 2H). Using this measurement,

we found that at day 60, Tomato^{bright} LLPCs were closer to neighboring PCs (i.e., more clustered)

than Tomato^{dim} bulk PCs, in the BM of both young and middle-aged mice (Figure 2I). Taken

together, while overall PC motility increases with mouse age, most of the increases in motility

can be accounted for by bulk PCs and not by LLPCs, which were relatively sessile. This

decrease in LLPC motility is also accompanied by an aggregation or retention in PC clusters,

suggesting these are LLPC niches, and may be important for their cell-intrinsic survival or

retention in the bone marrow.

<u>LLPCs exhibit unique surface phenotype and accumulate in the BM with mouse aging</u>

Based on previous datasets [15,30-32], we curated a candidate list of 19 PC markers, to identify

surface markers of LLPCs. We measured normalized surface expression (fold-change) on YFP$^+$

LLPCs over YFP$^-$ bulk PCs, in the BM and spleen of both young and middle-age BEC-YFP mice

at day 5, 30, 90 or 150 post tamoxifen treatment (Figure. 3A). We identified 6 markers that

were upregulated (CD93, CD81, CXCR4, and CD326) or 2 downregulated (CD44 and CD48)

with PC age (Figure. 3B). For the most part, these changes were subtle, whereas CD93 and

CD81 expression showed the largest difference in surface expression with PC age. CD93

expression was uniquely bimodal among all tested markers, with YFP$^+$ LLPCs in BM and spleen

were predominantly found in CD93^{high} subset, in line with genetic evidence for its importance in

LLPC maintenance [33] (Figure. 3C). Expression changes of these factors varied based on mouse

age and tissue in some but not all cases (Figure. S2A-C), and also varied by isotype depending

on the marker (Figure 3D) suggesting both intrinsic programs and extrinsic signals control LLPC

surface phenotype. Several notable markers important for PC survival, were not differentially

expressed, including Syndecan-1 (CD138) (Figure. S2C), BCMA (CD269), and TACI (CD267)

involved in in APRIL signaling [34] nor CD28 [35] (Figure 3A).

Previous work used glucose uptake, using the fluorescent analog, 2NBDG, as a marker for

LLPCs [15]. Indeed, while LLPCs had a high (~80%) frequency of 2NBDG+ in the BM and spleen

(Figure. 3E), there was no difference between bulk PCs and LLPCs in the BM, indicating that

metabolism was not linked with maturation in the bone marrow.

Based on changes in PC turnover with age, we hypothesized the overall BM PC pool may

become enriched with LLPCs with aging. Using the six differentially expressed surface

receptors, we developed an LLPC enrichment panel to identify quasi-LLPCs in WT mice. Using

this gating approach, we could enrich for YFP$^+$ LLPCs in BEC-YFP mice up to 6-fold (Figure. 3F,

Fig. S2E). Using this LLPC panel, we found that middle-aged mice had a higher frequency of

quasi-LLPCs within the BM PC compartment as compared to young mice (Figure 3G).

Previous transmission electron microscopy (TEM) studies have shown changes in morphology

during PC maturation [16,21]. We also sorted YFP$^+$ LLPCs and YFP$^-$ bulk PCs from the spleen and

BM at day 90 and conducted TEM to see if morphological differences accompanied LLPC

maturation (Figure S3). Overall, we did not detect statistically significant differences in cell size,

cytoplasmic area, mitochondrial density between mature PC subsets, although the distributions

had wide ranges. There were minor yet significant changes in nuclear size and chromatin

density in splenic LLPCs over BM LLPCs. Taken together, we conclude that differential surface

protein expression accompanies cell-intrinsic LLPC maturation, but otherwise cells appear

morphologically similar.

CXCR4 controls durability of humoral responses by promoting PC survival and retention in the

BM.

CXCR4 is the master chemokine receptor required for lymphocyte entry and retention in the

bone marrow [36]. Based on its important role in BM PC motility and retention [17], and its

upregulated expression on LLPCs (Figure 3B, 3D), we decided to test if it is required in PCs

specifically to maintain humoral responses. Previous work [18] had shown that conditional deletion

of *Cxcr4* using a pan B cell expressing cre (CD19-cre) was dispensable for humoral responses

and PC survival following vaccination, but potentially this approach did not specifically target

PCs and may not be fully penetrant [37].

To delete *Cxcr4* expression in PCs, we bred BEC *rosa26^{LSLYFP} Cxcr4$^{fl/fl}$* mice (or CXCR4^{cKO}).

Cohorts of control BEC-YFP (here referred to as WT) and CXCR4^{cKO} mice were immunized (on

day -30) with NP-KLH/Alum to generate similar NP-specific PCs and titers at day -3 (Figure 4A-

B, Figure S4A-C), at which point, they received tamoxifen to induce *Cxcr4* deletion in CXCR4^{cKO}

mice and fate-label PCs with YFP in both groups of mice. We confirmed that *Cxcr4* expression

was diminished specifically in YFP$^+$ PCs in CXCR4^{cKO} mice at mRNA transcript and protein

levels (Figure 4C, Figure S4A) at day 60 in the BM and splenic LLPCs but not in control bulk

PCs. Interestingly, CXCR4 surface protein levels were significantly reduced but not completely

lost, suggesting incomplete deletion in some cells. Nevertheless, anti-NP titers declined faster in

CXCR4^{cKO} mice as compared to WT controls (Figure 4B). Decreases in anti-NP titers were

associated with reduced numbers of NP-specific LLPCs (YFP$^+$) in spleen and bone marrow of

CXCR4^{cKO} mice as compared to WT mice (Figure 4D).

To determine the role of CXCR4 in homeostatic PC turnover and LLPC competition, we

generated chimeric animals using 1:1 ratio of congenically-labeled cells from WT and CXCR4^{cKO}

mice. For these studies, mice expressing BEC *rosa26$^{LSL-Tomato}$* alleles were used as WT controls.

Eight weeks post reconstitution (Figure 4E), mice were treated with tamoxifen and PC decays

were tracked over 90 days by flow cytometry. At day 5, fewer PCs were found in the CXCR4^{cKO}

vs WT compartment in the bone marrow and spleen (Figure 4F, Figure S4D), suggesting

labeling efficiency was reduced or there was rapid decline in KO PCs cells from the tissue.

Correcting for their relative abundance at day 5, labeled WT (Tomato[bright]) PCs in BM hardly

decayed over 90 days, whereas ~50% of CXCR4[cKO] were lost (Figure 4G, Figure S4E). Within

the spleen, PC turnover was overall more rapid, with a 50% and 90% loss of WT and CXCR4[cKO]

labeled PCs, respectively. Overall, WT PCs outcompeted CXCR4[cKO] PCs in the BM and spleen

over time as assessed by competency ratio (Figure 4H, Figure S4F). We analyzed changes in

key PC pro-survival factors, Mcl1 and Bcl2 (Figure 4I-J), and found that WT labeled PCs had

higher relative expression than CXCR4[cKO] counterparts, and while they had similar levels at day

5, PC survival was compromised by loss of CXCR4 over time in bone and spleen suggesting

CXCR4 is important for long term survival of PCs.

CXCR4 signaling can directly promote cell survival via AKT pathway [38], but it may act indirectly

on PC survival by dislodging from survival niches. Thus, we asked if loss of antigen-specific

CXCR4[cKO] PCs was due to cell death in the bone marrow, or egress from the bone marrow

niche, eventually leading to PC loss. Chimeric mice were intra-tibially (IT) injected with 4-

hydroxy-tamoxifen (4OH-TAM), to induce cre recombination in PC subsets in one bone (Figure

5A). We used this approach previously to track recirculation of BM PCs [17]. At day 1 post

injection, WT PCs within the injected tibia were the predominant location of labeled PCs,

consistent with a local administration and activity (Figure 5B-C). However, within CXCR4[cKO] PC

pool, most of the labeled PCs were predominantly found in the spleen, but also found at higher

frequencies in other bones. This subset-specific effect is unlikely due to leakage of 4OH-TAM to

other tissues, as it would have affected both groups of PCs equally. Thus, the likely conclusion

is that CXCR4[cKO] must have rapidly egressed the BM upon cre-deletion of *Cxcr4*. Over time

labeled WT PCs egressed the tibia and redistributed to other sites whereas the labeled

CXCR4[cKO] PC remained fixed in spleen and other niches (Figure 5D). To confirm this effect was

due to rapid egress, mice were pretreated with pertussis toxin (PTX), which we had found could

block PC motility in the BM [17]. Pretreatment with PTX prevented CXCR4[cKO] PCs from

accumulating in the spleen, following IT-administration of 4-OH-TAM (Figure 5E). Thus, deletion

of *Cxcr4* triggers rapid mobilization of PCs from the BM, suggesting dislodging PCs from their

niche occurs prior to defects in cell survival.

<u>Shared transcriptional program accompanies BM and splenic LLPC specification</u>

As ASCs mature and migrate to the bone marrow, their transcriptome changes [31]. Based on the

changes in surface expression, we hypothesized that LLPCs may also encode a unique

transcriptome that fuel these protein expression differences. Based on previous studies of PC

transcriptome studies [15], we expected mRNA expression differences in LLPCs to be minor, and

due to the over-representation of immunoglobulins in the transcriptome, we performed bulk RNA

sequencing with deep reads (50 million reads per sample) to improve our resolution of global

changes. For these studies, we FACS-purified matching populations of YFP$^+$ LLPCs and YFP$^-$

bulk PCs from bone marrow and spleen of BEC-YFP mice, on day 90 post tamoxifen treatment.

We used groups from both young and middle-aged mice for these studies to see what effect

mouse age played in gene expression or PC composition. As negative controls, we also sorted

YFP$^+$ and YFP$^-$ PCs from middle-aged mice, on day 5 post treatment. In all, we analyzed 12

groups of PCs (n=3/4 per group, 44 samples total). For these global analyses, we excluded

immunoglobulin genes, but analyzed them separately in the next section.

We performed unsupervised clustering of day 90 PC samples using all differentially expressed

genes (DEGs) measured by pair-wise comparisons of YFP$^+$ and YFP$^-$ samples, from matching

tissues (p_{adj} <0.05, with no cut-offs for fold-change or reads). Based on the sample dendrogram,

we found that most LLPC (yellow) and bulk PC (red) samples clustered separately, and within

the LLPCs, BM (light green) and splenic (dark green) samples were closely-related (column

headings on Figure 6A). Unsupervised clustering of DEGs (rows) revealed five groups of genes

(Figure 6A, Supplemental Table 1). Specifically, groups 5 and 2 genes contained DEGs that

were either upregulated or downregulated in all LLPC groups, respectively. Group 1 genes were

specifically upregulated in splenic LLPCs, suggesting tissue-specific expression patterns. To

better understand the overlaps or similarities of these LLPC groups, we generated an UpSet

analysis plot [39,40], based on pair-wise comparisons (Figure 6B). Splenic LLPCs from young and

middle-aged mice had the most DEGs, likely because splenic (YFP⁻) bulk PCs used in

comparisons were highly enriched in short-lived PCs. Among DEGs shared among LLPC

subsets, many were shared by 3 or 4 of groups. 12 DEGs were shared by all LLPCs (*Cd55*,

Cxcr3, *Cyp4f18*, *Fam3c*, *Gpx3*, *H2-Aa*, *H2-Ab1*, *Hcst*, *Prss57*, *Rab3b*, *Slamf6*, *Spag5*). As

negative controls, comparisons of day 5 YFP⁺ vs YFP⁻ PCs were conducted, and as expected,

very few DEGs were detected or shared with LLPCs. Using circle plots (Figure 6C), we

summarized the overlaps of DEGs, and found that BM LLPCs DEGs were more commonly

shared among LLPC groups, as compared to splenic LLPCs. We also observed that LLPCs

from middle-aged mice had fewer DEGs than young mice, consistent with the view that bulk

PCs (YFP⁻) are enriched with LLPCs in older mice (Figure 4C).

Next, to determine which biological pathways were altered in LLPCs, we generated GO-terms

based on the previously identified DEGs, and assessed term-enrichment in LLPC subsets and

day 5 control groups (Figure 6D).   As expected, LLPCs showed downregulation of MHC Class

II pathway and proliferation related pathways. In contrast, LLPCs showed increased in cell

survival and stress response pathways, increased lipid metabolism, and neural-immune

signaling. Changes in cell adhesion and chemotaxis were highly enriched in LLPCs and there

were also changes in cytokine production pathways. From the total DEG list, putative cell

surface receptors were extracted to generate heatmaps of normalized expression among PC

subsets in the BM and spleen, clustered by DEG groups (Figure 6E). These included

chemokine receptors (*Ccr10*, *Cxcr3*, *Ccr9*, *S1pr1*, *Ebi3*), adhesion molecules (L-selectin, *Ly6*

family, Galectins, *Cd93*), MHC-related molecules, co-stimulatory factors (SLAM family, *Tigit*),

and cytokine receptors (*Il6st*, *Il13ra1*, *Ifnar2*, *Tgfb2*) to name a few. Some of these LLPC

factors were tissue-specific, such as *C1q* and *Adgre5* expressed by splenic LLPCs. There were

notable absences from the list, such as *Cxcr4*, which is upregulated at the protein level in

LLPCs, suggesting that minor changes in transcripts may be regulating larger changes at the

protein level, or important regulation may be occurring post-transcriptionally [41].

We also generated a putative list of transcription factors (TF) and chromatin-remodeling factors

differentially expressed in LLPCs (Figure 6F). Among known PC-related factors, *Bmi1* [42] was

upregulated in LLPCs while *Myb* [43], *Klf2* [44] and *Zbtb32* [45] were down-regulated. Interestingly,

Aire [46] was among the most upregulated LLPC genes, but its role in PCs has not been explored.

Many classical PC TFs were not differentially expressed by LLPCs, including *Prdm1* (encoding

Blimp1), *Irf4*, and *Xbp1*. Taken together, murine LLPCs exhibit a unique global transcriptome,

fine-tuning surface receptors and transcriptional factor expression, which may support longevity.

<u>LLPC receptors have reduced BCR diversity but enriched in public clones.</u>

As expected, the major RNA transcript in these PCs were immunoglobulin heavy and light

chains. We assembled over 26,000 complete clones (but not paired sequences) for the BCR

heavy and light loci and analyzed their clonal properties, to determine if LLPCs had unique

features in different tissues and are from mice of different ages. Notably, these are unselected

polyclonal PCs from naïve mice, with unknown antigen specificities.

First, we analyzed isotype usage and found that IgA PCs were the major isotype within the BM

and also in the spleen, to a lesser extent (Figure 7A). The one notable exception was that

splenic LLPCs from young mice that were time-stamped at 6-8 weeks of age were highly

enriched in IgM, in comparison to all other samples, including splenic bulk PCs from same

(young) mice. This suggest that these splenic IgM LLPCs are specified early in life and tend to

be selectively retained, and maybe derived from B-1 lineages [47]. Within LLPCs subsets, both in

young and middle-aged mice, BM LLPCs have a higher IgA:IgM composition as compared to

splenic LLPC counterparts, suggesting tissue-specific homing or retention of different LLPCs on

the basis of isotype.

Next, we analyzed diversity of clones in the LLPC and bulk PC subsets, by comparing the heavy

chain V-segment + CDR3 exact amino acid sequences. We found that LLPC samples (day 90

YFP$^+$ BM and spleen) had reduced clonal diversity based on Chao1 estimation index (Figure 7B)

as compared to bulk (day 90 YFP$^-$ BM and spleen) PCs, while no differences were observed

between YFP$^+$ and YFP$^-$ subsets at day 5 post TAM. This suggested LLPCs had a reduced

repertoire and complexity compared to bulk PCs. This also raised the possibility that different

subsets of clones were selected for LLPC fate specification.

To see if LLPC and bulk PCs arise from same pool of B cells, we calculated frequencies of

shared clones from different PC groups within the same mouse (Fig. 7C). As expected, PCs

from day 5-treated mice showed the highest overlap of clones between YFP$^+$ and YFP$^-$ subsets

in all tissues, along with bulk (YFP$^-$) PCs in BM and spleen, consistent with their heterogenous

PC phenotypes and coordinated timing for PC differentiation. LLPCs in the BM and spleen had

more clonal overlap than with matched bulk PCs taken from the same sites. This trend was

more striking in young mice, which have fewer LLPCs in the YFP$^-$ subset than in middle-aged

mice, suggesting these LLPCs may arise from a different clonal population of B cells in young

mice than in middle-aged mice.

LLPCs have been suggested to arise from germinal centers, which could suggest that residency

time in the GC may regulate selection into the LLPC pool. To see if polyclonal LLPCs were

more highly mutated than total bulk PCs, we compared overall somatic hypermutation (SHM)

frequencies in V regions matching samples of YFP$^+$ (LLPC) and YFP$^-$ (bulk PCs) and found that

day 90 LLPC clones had fewer mutations than bulk PCs in BM or spleen whereas no

differences in mutations were observed between day 5 samples (Figure 7D). When averaging

all clones per sample, LLPCs also had fewer SHM than paired bulk PC samples in the same

tissue of the same mouse. (Figure 7E). LLPCs timestamped at 6-8 weeks (young mice) had

even fewer mutations than LLPCs in middle-aged mice. Overall, this confirms that PC cells

need not arise from affinity-selected GC B cells in order to enter the LLPC pool.

Finally, to see if certain clones were "public" (or shared by at least 2 samples from different

mice), we analyzed heavy chain clonal overlap (as in Figure 7B-C) in all samples. Young mice

had the highest overlap of shared public clones compared to other groups (Figure 7F). We

analyzed which groups of PCs were responsible for this elevation and found that LLPCs in BM

and spleen accounted for most of the public clones (Figure S5A). Next, we analyzed the top 100

most abundant public clones across all samples (Figure S5B) and found a biased enrichment

towards LLPC samples (Figure 7G). While LLPCs represented about 28% of all found clones

(n= 26144), in the top public clones across samples, 75% were found in LLPC samples from

multiple tissues and mice (Figure 7H). Among the top LLPC public clones (found in >75% of

LLPC samples, Figure S5B), they were surprisingly absent in bulk PC groups (Figure 7I). This

suggests that the some of LLPC endogenous repertoire is directly selected into the LLPC

compartment for long term maintenance.

DISCUSSION

The mechanisms and conditions underlying cell fate into long-lived plasma cells following

vaccination remains a long-standing question for durable humoral memory. Moreover, once

LLPCs are specified, the intrinsic programming and extrinsic factors that control their longevity

are still undefined [14,28]. While the field has leaned towards a model that LLPCs arise from late

GC B cells [48], LLPCs can be generated by T-independent fashion [6] as well. Recent work using

similar PC time-stamping tools have demonstrated that NP-specific LLPCs can arise from pre-

GC stages and accumulate at constant click during the immune response, showing no bias

towards late stages [28] nor requiring high affinity for longevity, at least in the NP-immunization

model. In "naïve" mice, which are not biased by immunization, we find clones selected into

LLPCs pool bear fewer somatic mutations than bulk PCs, consistent with recent scRNA-seq

analysis [27], and aforementioned findings that LLPC generation is not strictly dependent on late

GC B cells following immunization [28] [49]. We also find limited diversity in BCR repertoire, which

may reflect unique clones or antigens are pre-programmed for LLPC or, merely that longer

immune responses engender more clonal LLPC over time [28]. We also find LLPCs are enriched

in public or shared clones, which have been recently shown to be microbial and self-reactive

[27,50-52]. Interestingly, while some of our public clone lists have shared V-regions with known self-

reactive and microbial specificities, many are not found on any of these lists, suggesting

variations in microbiome composition or diet may shift the LLPC clonal composition.

Within the BM, we found that IgA$^+$ LLPCs are the major subset, similar to the bulk BM PC

composition, in line with previous studies [9,27], and likely depend on microbial composition in the

gut [27,53]. In contrast, the majority of splenic LLPCs are a mix of IgM$^+$ and IgA$^+$ LLPCs, and

particularly in young mice, IgM$^+$ LLPCs seem to be specified early and selectively retained in the

splenic niche. While IgM$^+$ LLPCs can be generated by various pathways [50,52], and even persist

in germ-free mice [51], this early wave of IgM$^+$ LLPCs is consistent with B-1-derived precursors

that maintain natural antibodies [47,54]. Indeed, LLPCs timestamped in young mice had more

public clones, less diversity and limited SHM. LLPC clones are shared across the BM and

splenic compartment, suggesting these niches can be redundant and may accommodate LLPC

recirculation between sites [17].

We find unique transcriptome and proteome expressed by endogenous LLPCs that

underlie their intrinsic longevity. On the RNA level, these changes are modest [15], and often

below detection limits for standard fold-change cut-offs, which may reflect LLPC heterogeneity

[27]. However, these small changes can reflect larger changes in protein levels, as we see for

CXCR4, suggesting that proteomics may be a more appropriate way to study changes in PC to

LLPC maturation changes. Among the GO-terms and DEGs found, most are shared by LLPCs

in the BM or spleen suggesting similar requirements for survival in both sites. One notable

exception was cluster 1 of DEGs among splenic LLPCs, which were associated with IgM-

specific factors such as complement receptors, consistent with recent studies [7] [55].

A major goal here was to address how LLPCs maintain their survival. Mechanistically, is

their lifetime intrinsically-regulated [28] or by competition for a limited niche [56]? Our imaging data

supports the later model, as LLPCs are preferentially arrested and are more enriched in PCs

clusters. Previously, we reported that cluster formation is dependent on hematopoietic-derived

APRIL, suggesting it may be enriched in these sites [17]. Thus, both LLPCs and bulk PC

(containing short-lived cells) may share and compete for the same cell-extrinsic cues. However,

as LLPCs do not express higher levels of APRIL receptors, changes in adhesion receptors may

help LLPCs preferentially dock at these niches leading to advantages in survival over newly

minted PCs. Additionally, as PCs express different levels of homing and adhesion molecules

according to isotype, dynamics and positioning in the BM should vary leading to distinct decay

rates.

Among these LLPC intrinsic factors, we found CXCR4 plays a direct functional role in

PC survival. Our model directly targets CXCR4 during the PC stage, in an inducible fashion, in

contrast with a previous study [18] that used a constitutive deletion of CXCR4 in B cell lineage and

found no effect on humoral immunity. While it is tempting to simply conclude that increased

CXCR4 expression by LLPCs directly leads to cell-intrinsic arrest in BM niches, we have also

showed that CXCR4 promotes BM PC motility, and inhibitors quickly perturb PC motility [17]. In

vitro, LLPCs were unresponsive to CXCL12 chemotaxis (data not shown). Moreover, gain-of-

function alleles of CXCR4 also lead to shortened humoral responses but more total PCs [57].

Thus, there may be more complexity to CXCR4 function on PCs in the BM to unravel.

644   With age, both the number and maturation of PCs increases within the BM [58], which

likely affects competition. By intravital imaging, we see that overall polyclonal PC speeds

increase in older mice [17], which is likely due to faster motility of short-lived PCs [49] with no sites

to dock. Moreover, with aging, decreases in survival factors like APRIL [59], may further limit the

survival of newly-minted PC leading to weakened and shortened serological responses,

correlating to what is seen in older adults [60,61].

AKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank Dr. Yongwei Zhang, Einstein Transgenic facility, for helping construct

BEC mice, Dr. Xusheng Zhang for help with generating surface marker heat map, Einstein Flow

cytometry core for FACS sorting, Einstein Genomics Core for bioanalysis, Einstein Analytical

Imaging Facility for help with EM imaging and analysis. This work was supported by

R01HL141491 (DRF), Irma T. Hirschl/Monique Weill-Caulier Trusts Research Award (DRF),

R01AI132633 (KC) with support from the Albert Einstein NCI Cancer Center grant

P30CA013330., SIG #1S10OD016214-01A1. We thank Leslie Cummins for help analyzing and

preparing EM images. We thank Dr. Gregoire Lauvau for comments on the manuscript. We

would like to dedicate this study to the memory of our friend and co-author, Dr. Thomas

MacCarthy.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

The study was conceived, designed, by D.R.F. and Z.J, who also wrote the manuscript. Z.J.

conducted experiments for all figures. L.O. contributed to Figure 4. R.P. contributed work that

supported conclusions in Figure 2. S.W. analyzed EM data in Supplemental Figure 3. K.C. and

M.D. generated unique reagents. P.G., D.Z. analyzed global RNAseq transcriptome Figure 6,

and T.M. helped with BCR clonal analysis for Figure 7. Y.H. developed nearest-neighbor

analysis for Figure 2.

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. Plasma cell turnover rate decreases with mouse age.

(A), PC purity of TdTomato$^+$YFP$^-$ and TdTomato$^+$YFP$^+$ cells in BEC-YFP mouse at day 5 post tamoxifen treatment. (B), Percentage of YFP$^+$ PCs in BEC-YFP mouse in the absence of tamoxifen treatment or treated for 3 consecutive days and analyzed 5 days after the last treatment. (C), Experimental setup for measuring homeostatic PC turnover rate in young and middle-aged mice by timestamping at day 5, 30, 90, and 150 after oral gavage tamoxifen treatment, accompanied by transcriptional profiling using bulk RNA-seq at day 5 and 90 and morphological characterization using transmission electron microscopy at day 90. (D), FACS pseudo color plots showing decay kinetics of percentage of TdTomato$^+$YFP$^+$ in total B220$^-$ TdTomato$^+$ PCs remaining in the BM (upper panel) and spleen (lower panel) in young and middle-aged mice, quantified in (E) for BM and (F) for spleen. (G) and (H), Absolute numbers (left panel) and half-lives ($t_{1/2}$) (right panel) of TdTomato$^+$YFP$^+$ PCs in the BM (H) and spleen (I) in young and middle-aged mice. Curve fitting and $t_{1/2}$ calculations were conducted by using absolute numbers fitted in a one-phase decay model. All bars show mean (E-H) or mean ± SD (G and H). *, P< 0.05; **, P< 0.01; ****, P< 0.0001; ns, non-significant by unpaired Student's t test. All graphs show pooled data from at least two independent experiments. (E, n = 6-18; F, n = 7-13; G, n = 6-21; H, n = 7-13)

Figure 2. BM LLPCs display cell-intrinsic arrest and clustering.

(A), Experimental setup for intratibial two-photon intravital imaging of both TdTomato^{dim}YFP$^+$ bulk PCs and TdTomato^{bright}YFP$^+$ LLPCs in the same young or middle-aged mouse by timestamping at day 5, 30, and 60 after oral gavage tamoxifen treatment. (B), FACS gating strategy (left panel) for TdTomato^{dim}YFP$^+$ bulk PCs and TdTomato^{bright}YFP$^+$ LLPCs after intravital imaging and surface CXCR4 and CD93 expression (right panel) on TdTomato^{dim}YFP$^+$ bulk PCs

compared to TdTomato^{bright}YFP⁺ LLPCs at day 5 (control timepoint) and day 60 post tamoxifen

treatment. (C), Time-lapse images highlighting the cell migration trajectories of 4 bulk PCs

(green spot with light purple tracks) and 2 LLPCs (yellow spots with red tracks) in a small region

of BM parenchyma. Scale bars, 7 μm. (D), Individual cell tracks of total bulk PCs or LLPCs

plotted at a common origin (left panel) in young and middle-aged mice at day 5, 30, and 60 post

tamoxifen treatment. Comparison of total bulk PCs and LLPCs track velocity (middle left panel),

track displacement velocity (middle right panel), and mean-squared displacement (right panel)

in young and middle-aged mice at day 5, 30, and 60 post tamoxifen treatment. (E), Fractions of

fast-moving cells (track velocity > 1 μm/min) in bulk PCs compared to LLPCs at day 30 and 60

post tamoxifen treatment. Data were pooled from young and middle-aged mice for each

timepoint. (F) Representative intravital 3D flattened image of masked intensity channels of bulk

PCs (green) and LLPCs (yellow) (upper panel) and PC spots (bulk PCs in green and LLPCs in

yellow) and cluster surfaces (purple) (lower panel) identified for analysis in (G). (G), Average

percentage of bulk PCs compared to LLPCs staying inside cluster surface over time (left panel).

Average time of individual bulk PCs spent inside cluster surface compared to that of LLPCs

(right panel). (H) Depiction of nearest neighbor analysis for cell-cell distance (lines) in 3D

(collapsed in 2D) between bulk PCs (green) and total PCs (green and orange), or between

LLPCs (orange) and total PCs (green and orange), which is quantified in (I) for an average

distance between bulk PCs or LLPCs and their 20 nearest neighbor cells (total PCs combining

bulk PCs and LLPCs). Each symbol represents one randomly picked cell per subset, and data

were pooled from at least two mice. All bars show mean (D and I). *, P< 0.05; **, P< 0.01; ****,

P< 0.0001; exact p-values; ns, non-significant by Mann Whitney U test (D), Kruskal-Wallis test

with Dunn's test for multiple comparisons (I), or paired Student's t test (E and G). All graphs

show pooled data from at least two independent experiments. (E, n = 3-5; G, n = 4)

<u>Figure 3. Differentially expressed surface receptors accompany LLPC maturation.</u>

(A), Heatmap depicting average fold changes of surface marker expression level (gMFI) on

TdTomato$^+$YFP$^+$ LLPCs and TdTomato$^+$YFP$^-$ bulk PCs from 3-4 mice per unit at indicated

timepoints post tamoxifen treatment in the bone marrow and spleen of young and middle-aged

mice. Color scale showing fold increase in red, fold decrease in blue, or no difference in white.

(B), Overlay histograms comparing the expression level of differentially expressed surface

markers on TdTomato$^+$YFP$^-$ bulk PCs to TdTomato$^+$YFP$^+$ LLPCs at day 90 post tamoxifen

treatment. (C). Percentage of CD93$^+$ cells on TdTomato$^+$YFP$^+$ LLPCs relative to TdTomato$^+$YFP$^-$

bulk PCs in individual mouse at indicated timepoints post tamoxifen treatment in the bone

marrow (left panel) and spleen (right panel) of young and middle-aged mice. (D) The fold

change of the expression level (gMFI) of differentially expressed surface markers of isotype-

specific or total TdTomato$^+$YFP$^+$ LLPCs relative to matching isotype TdTomato$^+$YFP$^-$ bulk PCs in

individual mouse at day 90 post tamoxifen treatment in young BEC-YFP mice. (E) Analysis of

2NBDG$^+$ population within LLPC and bulk PC subsets at indicated timepoints. (F). Percentage

of TdTomato$^+$YFP$^+$ LLPCs pre and post enrichment using a combination of 6 antibody panel of

differentially expressed surface markers identified in Fig. 3B. No enrichment represents the

percentage of TdTomato$^+$YFP$^+$ LLPCs at day 90 post tamoxifen in young mice. (G), Percentage

of quasi-LLPCs in total PCs identified using 6-marker antibody panel in young and middle-aged

mice at steady state. All bars show mean ± SEM (C-E). *, P< 0.05; **, P< 0.01; ***, P< 0.001;

****, P< 0.0001; ns, non-significant by unpaired Student's t test. All graphs show pooled data

from at least two independent experiments. (A,C-E, n = 3-7; G, n = 5-6 mice)

Fig. 4. CXCR4 controls durable humoral response by promoting PC survival

(A), Experimental set up for examining the role of CXCR4 in sustaining antigen-specific antibody

responses using a NP-KLH/Alum immunization model. i.p., intraperitoneal. (B), Anti-NP antibody

titer in WT or CXCR4^{cKO} mice before tamoxifen treatment at day 30 post immunization (left

panel) and the remaining percentage of pre-treat anti-NP antibody at indicated timepoints post

tamoxifen treatment (right panel). (C), Fold change of FACS-purified YFP$^+$ PCs *Cxcr4* mRNA

level in WT or CXCR4^{cKO} mice, normalized to *Actb* levels in WT mice. (D), Absolute numbers of

NP-specific bulk PCs and LLPCs in the bone marrow (left panel) and spleen (right panel) of WT

and CXCR4^{cKO} mice. (E), Experimental set up for generating mixed bone marrow chimera

reconstituted with WT and CXCR4^{cKO} donors. (F), Absolute number of (YFP$^+$) labeled BM and

spleen PCs at indicated timepoints post tamoxifen treatment (G) normalized labeled PC

numbers shown in (F) (relative to day 5 post tamoxifen treatment). (H) PC competitive

competency (right panel) at indicated timepoints determined by normalizing the CXCR4^{cKO}:WT

ratio in the bone marrow labeled PC compartment to that of total splenic B cell compartment

(upper panels) or the CXCR4^{cKO}:WT ratio in the splenic labeled PC compartment to that of total

splenic B cell compartment (lower panels). (I and J), Mcl1 (I) and Bcl2 (J) intracytoplasmic

expression (by gMFI) of WT or CXCR4^{cKO} labeled PC compartment at indicated timepoints in

the bone marrow (left panel) and spleen (right panel). Fold changes of WT over CXCR4^{cKO}

labeled PCs in Mcl1 and Bcl2 expression level are indicated above the statistical significance

symbol. All bars show mean ± SEM. Each symbol in all plots represents one mouse. *, P< 0.05;

**, P< 0.01; ***, P< 0.001; ****, P< 0.0001; ns, non-significant by unpaired Student's t test (B, C,

D, F-G, L, and M), paired Student's t test (I and J) or one-way ANOVA with multiple comparison

correction using the Holm-Šídák test (H). All graphs show pooled data from two independent

experiments. (B, n = 13-15 (left), n = 6-9 (right); C, n = 4-8; D, n = 6-9; F-H, n = 8-10; I, n = 8-10;

J, n = 8-10)

<u>Figure 5. CXCR4 retains PCs in the BM</u>

(A), Experimental set up for intratibial injection into WT:CXCR4^{cKO} mixed bone marrow chimera

in the presence or absence of pertussis toxin treatment. (B), Absolute number of labeled WT or

CXCR4^{cKO} PC in injected tibia and distal organs/tissues at day 1 post 4-OH-TAM injection. (C),

Distribution of absolute numbers of labeled WT or CXCR4^{cKO} PCs in injected tibia and distal

organs/tissues. (D), FACS pseudo color plot showing the percentage of labeled PCs in injected

tibia and other distal organs/tissues at day 1 post intratibial injection. (E) Absolute number of

labeled CXCR4^{cKO} (left panel) or WT (right panel) PCs in injected tibia and distal organs/tissues

at day 1 post 4-OH-TAM injection in the presence or absence of pertussis toxin (PTX). All bars

show mean ± SEM. Each symbol in all plots represents one mouse. *, P< 0.05; **, P< 0.01; ***,

P< 0.001; ****, P< 0.0001; ns, non-significant by unpaired Student's t test (E), paired Student's t

test (B) or one-way ANOVA with multiple comparison correction using the Holm-Šídák test (E).

All graphs show pooled data from two independent experiments. (B, n = 4; E, n = 3)

<u>Figure 6. Shared transcriptional program accompanies BM and splenic LLPC specification</u>

(A), Heatmap depicting unsupervised clustering of total differentially expressed genes (DEGs,

p_{adj}-value < 0.05) between TdTomato$^+$YFP$^-$ bulk PCs to TdTomato$^+$YFP$^+$ LLPCs across tissue

types (bone marrow and spleen) and mouse ages (young and middle-aged) at day 90 post

tamoxifen treatment, with no cutoff for fold change and transcripts per million reads (TPM).

Color scale represents z-score for normalization per gene (row). Total DEGs were separated in

5 color-coded clusters. (B), UpSet plot visualizing total number of DEGs in each pair-wise

comparison (single node) and intersections (connecting nodes) between DEGs among different

pair-wise comparisons. (C), Pie charts showing the fractions of the DEGs that are unique in one

pair-wise comparison group or shared by 2-4 groups of pair-wise comparisons. Numbers in the

center of each chart represents the total number of DEGs in each indicated pair-wise

comparison group. (D), Bubble plots showing selected gene ontology terms (GO terms)

enrichment comparing LLPCs groups (highlighted in yellow) and day 5 control groups bulk PCs

(highlighted in red) based on previously identified DEGs in (A). Color scale bar showing the

percentage of DEGs upregulated per GO term in each pair-wise comparison group (red, > 50%

upregulated DEGs; blue, < 50% upregulated DEGs). Circle size represents the significance of

the enrichment based on the -log10(p-value). (E and F), Heatmap of all DEGs encoding surface

proteins (E) or transcription factors (F) between LLPC groups and bulk PC groups in both the

spleen (left) and the bone marrow (right), which are further separated by gene clusters identified

in (A).

<u>Figure 7. LLPC receptors have reduced BCR diversity but enriched in public clones.</u>

(A), Stacked bar plots showing isotype gene usage (the fraction of isotypes in total mapped

complete clones per group) in LLPCs and bulk PCs at day 5 and 90 post tamoxifen treatment

across tissue types (spleen and bone marrow) and mouse ages (young and middle-aged). Line

thickness represents the statistical significance based on p-value thresholds. Line color

represents the comparisons of color-coded isotypes between groups. (B), BCR repertoire

diversity of LLPCs and bulk PCs at indicated timepoints across tissue types (spleen and bone

marrow) and mouse ages (young and middle-aged), estimated by chao 1 richness index for the

abundance of unique clones in a repertoire per group. Each symbol represents one mouse, and

pooled bulk PC samples comparing pooled LLPC samples at day 90 post tamoxifen treatment

were shown on the right in black. (C), The percentage of clones shared by indicated PC subsets

within the same mouse (intra-mouse). X axis format (A::B) reflects samples A & B used for

comparison. (D), Violin plots comparing the distribution of somatic mutation frequencies per

specific V region (across all clones) in YFP$^-$ bulk PCs and YFP$^+$ LLPCs at indicated timepoints

in young and middle-aged mice. Each symbol represents one clone, and multiple samples were

pooled from each group. (E), Average mutation frequencies of all clones in (D) for each PC

subset in indicated tissues in young and middle-aged mice. Each symbol represents one mouse.

(F), The percentage of clones shared by all samples, day 5 samples, middle-aged mice samples,

and young samples. Each symbol represents one sample (e.g., BM YFP$^+$ sample, BM YFP$^-$

sample, etc.). (G), Frequency of top 100 most abundant public clones in all PC samples

compared to that in LLPC samples. Clones showing no preference for LLPC samples over total

samples are distributed on the diagonal line in the plot. Each symbol represents a clone. (H),

Pie charts showing the fraction of total clones or top 100 most abundant public clones in LLPCs

compared to other PCs. (I), Stacked bar plots showing the distribution of sample types (in

tissues and age of mice) per most frequent public clone in LLPCs. Number on the right of each

stacked bar represents the number of mice. *, P< 0.05; **, P< 0.01; ****, P< 0.0001; exact p-

values for non-significance by unpaired Student's t test.

References

1.   Sze, D.M., Toellner, K.M., Garcia de Vinuesa, C., Taylor, D.R., and MacLennan, I.C. (2000).
Intrinsic constraint on plasmablast growth and extrinsic limits of plasma cell survival. The Journal
of experimental medicine *192*, 813-821.
2.   Frasca, D., and Blomberg, B.B. (2020). Aging induces B cell defects and decreased antibody
responses to influenza infection and vaccination. Immun Ageing *17*, 37. 10.1186/s12979-020-
00210-z.
3.   Palacios-Pedrero, M.A., Osterhaus, A., Becker, T., Elbahesh, H., Rimmelzwaan, G.F., and Saletti,
G. (2021). Aging and Options to Halt Declining Immunity to Virus Infections. Frontiers in
immunology *12*, 681449. 10.3389/fimmu.2021.681449.
4.   Manz, R.A., Thiel, A., and Radbruch, A. (1997). Lifetime of plasma cells in the bone marrow.
Nature *388*, 133-134. 10.1038/40540.
5.   Slifka, M.K., Antia, R., Whitmire, J.K., and Ahmed, R. (1998). Humoral immunity due to long-lived
plasma cells. Immunity *8*, 363-372.
6.   Bortnick, A., Chernova, I., Quinn, W.J., 3rd, Mugnier, M., Cancro, M.P., and Allman, D. (2012).
Long-lived bone marrow plasma cells are induced early in response to T cell-independent or T
cell-dependent antigens. J Immunol *188*, 5389-5396. 10.4049/jimmunol.1102808.
7.   Bohannon, C., Powers, R., Satyabhama, L., Cui, A., Tipton, C., Michaeli, M., Skountzou, I., Mittler,
R.S., Kleinstein, S.H., Mehr, R., et al. (2016). Long-lived antigen-induced IgM plasma cells
demonstrate somatic mutations and contribute to long-term protection. Nat Commun *7*, 11826.
10.1038/ncomms11826.
8.   Lemke, A., Kraft, M., Roth, K., Riedel, R., Lammerding, D., and Hauser, A.E. (2016). Long-lived
plasma cells are generated in mucosal immune responses and contribute to the bone marrow
plasma cell pool in mice. Mucosal Immunol *9*, 83-97. 10.1038/mi.2015.38.
9.   Xu, A.Q., Barbosa, R.R., and Calado, D.P. (2020). Genetic timestamping of plasma cells in vivo
reveals tissue-specific homeostatic population turnover. eLife *9*. 10.7554/eLife.59850.
10.   Takahashi, Y., Dutta, P.R., Cerasoli, D.M., and Kelsoe, G. (1998). In situ studies of the primary
immune response to (4-hydroxy-3-nitrophenyl)acetyl. V. Affinity maturation develops in two
stages of clonal selection. The Journal of experimental medicine *187*, 885-895.
11.   Phan, T.G., Paus, D., Chan, T.D., Turner, M.L., Nutt, S.L., Basten, A., and Brink, R. (2006). High
affinity germinal center B cells are actively selected into the plasma cell compartment. The
Journal of experimental medicine *203*, 2419-2424. 10.1084/jem.20061254.
12.   Bortnick, A., and Allman, D. (2013). What is and what should always have been: long-lived
plasma cells induced by T cell-independent antigens. J Immunol *190*, 5913-5918.
10.4049/jimmunol.1300161.
13.   Vergani, S., Muleta, K.G., Da Silva, C., Doyle, A., Kristiansen, T.A., Sodini, S., Krausse, N., Montano,
G., Kotarsky, K., Nakawesi, J., et al. (2022). A self-sustaining layer of early-life-origin B cells drives
steady-state IgA responses in the adult gut. Immunity *55*, 1829-1842 e1826.
10.1016/j.immuni.2022.08.018.
14.   Robinson, M.J., Webster, R.H., and Tarlinton, D.M. (2020). How intrinsic and extrinsic regulators
of plasma cell survival might intersect for durable humoral immunity. Immunological reviews
296, 87-103. 10.1111/imr.12895.

15.   Lam, W.Y., Jash, A., Yao, C.H., D'Souza, L., Wong, R., Nunley, R.M., Meares, G.P., Patti, G.J., and
Bhattacharya, D. (2018). Metabolic and Transcriptional Modules Independently Diversify Plasma
Cell Lifespan and Function. Cell reports *24*, 2479-2492 e2476. 10.1016/j.celrep.2018.07.084.
16.   Joyner, C.J., Ley, A.M., Nguyen, D.C., Ali, M., Corrado, A., Tipton, C., Scharer, C.D., Mi, T.,
Woodruff, M.C., Hom, J., et al. (2022). Generation of human long-lived plasma cells by
developmentally regulated epigenetic imprinting. Life Sci Alliance *5*. 10.26508/lsa.202101285.
17.   Benet, Z., Jing, Z., and Fooksman, D.R. (2021). Plasma cell dynamics in the bone marrow niche.
Cell reports *34*, 108733. 10.1016/j.celrep.2021.108733.
18.   Nie, Y., Waite, J., Brewer, F., Sunshine, M.J., Littman, D.R., and Zou, Y.R. (2004). The role of
CXCR4 in maintaining peripheral B cell compartments and humoral immunity. The Journal of
experimental medicine *200*, 1145-1156. 10.1084/jem.20041185.
19.   Mokhtari, Z., Mech, F., Zehentmeier, S., Hauser, A.E., and Figge, M.T. (2015). Quantitative image
analysis of cell colocalization in murine bone marrow. Cytometry A *87*, 503-512.
10.1002/cyto.a.22641.
20.   Benson, M.J., Dillon, S.R., Castigli, E., Geha, R.S., Xu, S., Lam, K.P., and Noelle, R.J. (2008). Cutting
edge: the dependence of plasma cells and independence of memory B cells on BAFF and APRIL. J
Immunol *180*, 3655-3659. 10.4049/jimmunol.180.6.3655.
21.   Fooksman, D.R., Schwickert, T.A., Victora, G.D., Dustin, M.L., Nussenzweig, M.C., and Skokos, D.
(2010). Development and migration of plasma cells in the mouse lymph node. Immunity *33*, 118-
127. 10.1016/j.immuni.2010.06.015.
22.   Madisen, L., Zwingman, T.A., Sunkin, S.M., Oh, S.W., Zariwala, H.A., Gu, H., Ng, L.L., Palmiter,
R.D., Hawrylycz, M.J., Jones, A.R., et al. (2010). A robust and high-throughput Cre reporting and
characterization system for the whole mouse brain. Nat Neurosci *13*, 133-140. 10.1038/nn.2467.
23.   Srinivas, S., Watanabe, T., Lin, C.S., William, C.M., Tanabe, Y., Jessell, T.M., and Costantini, F.
(2001). Cre reporter strains produced by targeted insertion of EYFP and ECFP into the ROSA26
locus. BMC Dev Biol *1*, 4. 10.1186/1471-213x-1-4.
24.   Dobin, A., Davis, C.A., Schlesinger, F., Drenkow, J., Zaleski, C., Jha, S., Batut, P., Chaisson, M., and
Gingeras, T.R. (2013). STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. Bioinformatics *29*, 15-21.
10.1093/bioinformatics/bts635.
25.   Li, B., and Dewey, C.N. (2011). RSEM: accurate transcript quantification from RNA-Seq data with
or without a reference genome. BMC Bioinformatics *12*, 323. 10.1186/1471-2105-12-323.
26.   Bolotin, D.A., Poslavsky, S., Mitrophanov, I., Shugay, M., Mamedov, I.Z., Putintseva, E.V., and
Chudakov, D.M. (2015). MiXCR: software for comprehensive adaptive immunity profiling. Nature
methods *12*, 380-381. 10.1038/nmeth.3364.
27.   Liu, X., Yao, J., Zhao, Y., Wang, J., and Qi, H. (2022). Heterogeneous plasma cells and long-lived
subsets in response to immunization, autoantigen and microbiota. Nature immunology *23*,
1564-1576. 10.1038/s41590-022-01345-5.
28.   Robinson, M.J., Dowling, M.R., Pitt, C., O'Donnell, K., Webster, R.H., Hill, D.L., Ding, Z., Dvorscek,
A.R., Brodie, E.J., Hodgkin, P.D., et al. (2022). Long-lived plasma cells accumulate in the bone
marrow at a constant rate from early in an immune response. Sci Immunol *7*, eabm8389.
10.1126/sciimmunol.abm8389.
29.   Kallies, A., Hasbold, J., Tarlinton, D.M., Dietrich, W., Corcoran, L.M., Hodgkin, P.D., and Nutt, S.L.
(2004). Plasma cell ontogeny defined by quantitative changes in blimp-1 expression. The Journal
of experimental medicine *200*, 967-977.
30.   Akhmetzyanova, I., Aaron, T., Galbo, P., Tikhonova, A., Dolgalev, I., Tanaka, M., Aifantis, I., Zheng,
D., Zang, X., and Fooksman, D. (2021). Tissue-resident macrophages promote early
dissemination of multiple myeloma via IL-6 and TNFalpha. Blood Adv *5*, 3592-3608.
10.1182/bloodadvances.2021005327.

31.   Shi, W., Liao, Y., Willis, S.N., Taubenheim, N., Inouye, M., Tarlinton, D.M., Smyth, G.K., Hodgkin,
P.D., Nutt, S.L., and Corcoran, L.M. (2015). Transcriptional profiling of mouse B cell terminal
differentiation defines a signature for antibody-secreting plasma cells. Nature immunology *16*,
663-673. 10.1038/ni.3154.

32.   Cornelis, R., Hahne, S., Taddeo, A., Petkau, G., Malko, D., Durek, P., Thiem, M., Heiberger, L.,
Peter, L., Mohr, E., et al. (2020). Stromal Cell-Contact Dependent PI3K and APRIL Induced NF-
kappaB Signaling Prevent Mitochondrial- and ER Stress Induced Death of Memory Plasma Cells.
Cell Rep *32*, 107982. 10.1016/j.celrep.2020.107982.

33.   Chevrier, S., Genton, C., Kallies, A., Karnowski, A., Otten, L.A., Malissen, B., Malissen, M., Botto,
M., Corcoran, L.M., Nutt, S.L., and Acha-Orbea, H. (2009). CD93 is required for maintenance of
antibody secretion and persistence of plasma cells in the bone marrow niche. Proc Natl Acad Sci
U S A *106*, 3895-3900. 10.1073/pnas.0809736106.

34.   McCarron, M.J., Park, P.W., and Fooksman, D.R. (2017). CD138 mediates selection of mature
plasma cells by regulating their survival. Blood *129*, 2749-2759. 10.1182/blood-2017-01-761643.

35.   Utley, A., Chavel, C., Lightman, S., Holling, G.A., Cooper, J., Peng, P., Liu, W., Barwick, B.G., Gavile,
C.M., Maguire, O., et al. (2020). CD28 Regulates Metabolic Fitness for Long-Lived Plasma Cell
Survival. Cell reports *31*, 107815. 10.1016/j.celrep.2020.107815.

36.   Zehentmeier, S., and Pereira, J.P. (2019). Cell circuits and niches controlling B cell development.
Immunological reviews *289*, 142-157. 10.1111/imr.12749.

37.   Aaron, T.S., and Fooksman, D.R. (2022). Dynamic organization of the bone marrow plasma cell
niche. FEBS J *289*, 4228-4239. 10.1111/febs.16385.

38.   Scotton, C.J., Wilson, J.L., Scott, K., Stamp, G., Wilbanks, G.D., Fricker, S., Bridger, G., and Balkwill,
F.R. (2002). Multiple actions of the chemokine CXCL12 on epithelial tumor cells in human
ovarian cancer. Cancer research *62*, 5930-5938.

39.   Conway, J.R., Lex, A., and Gehlenborg, N. (2017). UpSetR: an R package for the visualization of
intersecting sets and their properties. Bioinformatics *33*, 2938-2940.
10.1093/bioinformatics/btx364.

40.   Lex, A., Gehlenborg, N., Strobelt, H., Vuillemot, R., and Pfister, H. (2014). UpSet: Visualization of
Intersecting Sets. IEEE Trans Vis Comput Graph *20*, 1983-1992. 10.1109/TVCG.2014.2346248.

41.   Greenbaum, D., Colangelo, C., Williams, K., and Gerstein, M. (2003). Comparing protein
abundance and mRNA expression levels on a genomic scale. Genome Biol *4*, 117. 10.1186/gb-
2003-4-9-117.

42.   Di Pietro, A., Polmear, J., Cooper, L., Damelang, T., Hussain, T., Hailes, L., O'Donnell, K., Udupa,
V., Mi, T., Preston, S., et al. (2022). Targeting BMI-1 in B cells restores effective humoral immune
responses and controls chronic viral infection. Nature immunology *23*, 86-98. 10.1038/s41590-
021-01077-y.

43.   Good-Jacobson, K.L., O'Donnell, K., Belz, G.T., Nutt, S.L., and Tarlinton, D.M. (2015). c-Myb is
required for plasma cell migration to bone marrow after immunization or infection. The Journal
of experimental medicine *212*, 1001-1009. 10.1084/jem.20150191.

44.   Winkelmann, R., Sandrock, L., Porstner, M., Roth, E., Mathews, M., Hobeika, E., Reth, M., Kahn,
M.L., Schuh, W., and Jack, H.M. (2011). B cell homeostasis and plasma cell homing controlled by
Kruppel-like factor 2. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *108*, 710-715. 10.1073/pnas.1012858108.

45.   Jash, A., Zhou, Y.W., Gerardo, D.K., Ripperger, T.J., Parikh, B.A., Piersma, S., Jamwal, D.R., Kiela,
P.R., Boon, A.C.M., Yokoyama, W.M., et al. (2019). ZBTB32 restrains antibody responses to
murine cytomegalovirus infections, but not other repetitive challenges. Sci Rep *9*, 15257.
10.1038/s41598-019-51860-z.

46.   Mathis, D., and Benoist, C. (2009). Aire. Annu Rev Immunol *27*, 287-312.
10.1146/annurev.immunol.25.022106.141532.

47. Baumgarth, N. (2016). B-1 Cell Heterogeneity and the Regulation of Natural and Antigen-
Induced IgM Production. Frontiers in immunology *7*, 324. 10.3389/fimmu.2016.00324.

48. Weisel, F.J., Zuccarino-Catania, G.V., Chikina, M., and Shlomchik, M.J. (2016). A Temporal Switch
in the Germinal Center Determines Differential Output of Memory B and Plasma Cells. Immunity
44, 116-130. 10.1016/j.immuni.2015.12.004.

49. Koike, T., Fujii, K., Kometani, K., Butler, N.S., Funakoshi, K., Yari, S., Kikuta, J., Ishii, M., Kurosaki,
T., and Ise, W. (2023). Progressive differentiation toward the long-lived plasma cell
compartment in the bone marrow. The Journal of experimental medicine *220*.
10.1084/jem.20221717.

50. Blanc, P., Moro-Sibilot, L., Barthly, L., Jagot, F., This, S., de Bernard, S., Buffat, L., Dussurgey, S.,
Colisson, R., Hobeika, E., et al. (2016). Mature IgM-expressing plasma cells sense antigen and
develop competence for cytokine production upon antigenic challenge. Nat Commun *7*, 13600.
10.1038/ncomms13600.

51. Lino, A.C., Dang, V.D., Lampropoulou, V., Welle, A., Joedicke, J., Pohar, J., Simon, Q., Thalmensi,
J., Baures, A., Fluhler, V., et al. (2018). LAG-3 Inhibitory Receptor Expression Identifies
Immunosuppressive Natural Regulatory Plasma Cells. Immunity *49*, 120-133 e129.
10.1016/j.immuni.2018.06.007.

52. Racine, R., McLaughlin, M., Jones, D.D., Wittmer, S.T., MacNamara, K.C., Woodland, D.L., and
Winslow, G.M. (2011). IgM production by bone marrow plasmablasts contributes to long-term
protection against intracellular bacterial infection. J Immunol *186*, 1011-1021.
10.4049/jimmunol.1002836.

53. Wilmore, J.R., Gaudette, B.T., Gomez Atria, D., Hashemi, T., Jones, D.D., Gardner, C.A., Cole, S.D.,
Misic, A.M., Beiting, D.P., and Allman, D. (2018). Commensal Microbes Induce Serum IgA
Responses that Protect against Polymicrobial Sepsis. Cell Host Microbe *23*, 302-311 e303.
10.1016/j.chom.2018.01.005.

54. Baumgarth, N. (2011). The double life of a B-1 cell: self-reactivity selects for protective effector
functions. Nat Rev Immunol *11*, 34-46. 10.1038/nri2901.

55. Higgins, B.W., Shuparski, A.G., Miller, K.B., Robinson, A.M., McHeyzer-Williams, L.J., and
McHeyzer-Williams, M.G. (2022). Isotype-specific plasma cells express divergent transcriptional
programs. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *119*, e2121260119. 10.1073/pnas.2121260119.

56. Radbruch, A., Muehlinghaus, G., Luger, E.O., Inamine, A., Smith, K.G., Dorner, T., and Hiepe, F.
(2006). Competence and competition: the challenge of becoming a long-lived plasma cell.
Nature reviews. Immunology *6*, 741-750. 10.1038/nri1886.

57. Biajoux, V., Natt, J., Freitas, C., Alouche, N., Sacquin, A., Hemon, P., Gaudin, F., Fazilleau, N.,
Espeli, M., and Balabanian, K. (2016). Efficient Plasma Cell Differentiation and Trafficking
Require Cxcr4 Desensitization. Cell reports *17*, 193-205. 10.1016/j.celrep.2016.08.068.

58. Pioli, P.D., Casero, D., Montecino-Rodriguez, E., Morrison, S.L., and Dorshkind, K. (2019). Plasma
Cells Are Obligate Effectors of Enhanced Myelopoiesis in Aging Bone Marrow. Immunity *51*, 351-
366 e356. 10.1016/j.immuni.2019.06.006.

59. Pangrazzi, L., Meryk, A., Naismith, E., Koziel, R., Lair, J., Krismer, M., Trieb, K., and Grubeck-
Loebenstein, B. (2017). "Inflamm-aging" influences immune cell survival factors in human bone
marrow. European journal of immunology *47*, 481-492. 10.1002/eji.201646570.

60. Wagner, A., and Weinberger, B. (2020). Vaccines to Prevent Infectious Diseases in the Older
Population: Immunological Challenges and Future Perspectives. Frontiers in immunology *11*, 717.
10.3389/fimmu.2020.00717.

61. Fedele, G., Trentini, F., Schiavoni, I., Abrignani, S., Antonelli, G., Baldo, V., Baldovin, T., Bandera,
A., Bonura, F., Clerici, P., et al. (2022). Evaluation of humoral and cellular response to four

vaccines against COVID-19 in different age groups: A longitudinal study. Frontiers in immunology
13, 1021396. 10.3389/fimmu.2022.1021396.

1017