Water hardness variously influences tissue physiology of freshwater fish - *Cyprinus carpio*

var *koi*: A report on glucose, oxidative stress and antioxidant biomarkers

Proteek Dasgupta*,

Department of Zoology,

Bangalore University, Jnana Bharathi Campus,

Bengaluru – 560056, Karnataka, India

Corresponding author - proteek.dasgupta@gmail.com

ORCID ID - 0000-0003-3962-0664

Abstract

Fishes endemic to freshwaters are strongly influenced by water hardness due to low ionic

compositions with physiological consequences on gill and muscle. Aimed to comprehensively

understand such alterations, selected tissues (gills and muscle) of freshwater fish, Koi carp

(*Cyprinus carpio* var *koi*) were studied after sequential water hardness exposures. Secondary stress

biomarkers - Glucose, Oxidative stress (Malondialdehyde – Lipid Peroxidation damage) and

Antioxidative markers (Catalase, Glutathione-S-Transferase and Glutathione) were quantified

after 14 days of exposure to a four-fold increase from 75 (Soft - TS), 150 (Moderate - TM), 225

(Hard - TH) to 300 (Very Hard - TV) mg $CaCO_3$/L. Although the fish showed appreciable

endurance to all exposures, soft and moderate waters proved harmful to both tissues. Successive

increase was observed in the glucose content especially in gills ($p < 0.05$). Hardness of 75 and 150

mg $CaCO_3$/L was concluded to detrimental to both gill and muscle due to elevated MDA

concentrations ($p < 0.05$) than corresponding higher hardness indices. Elevated CAT, GST activity

and GSH levels indicated well-coordinated antioxidant enforcement. Overall, the results revealed

assorted tissue-specific biomarker responses and more importantly, concentrations < 225 mg

$CaCO_3$/L elicited strong oxidative impairment in both gill and muscle.

Keywords – Calcium; Carps; Gill; Muscle; Physiology; Water quality

Introduction

Hardness is perhaps the most significant physicochemical property of water because it directly or indirectly influences physiology. Aquatic environments manifest varying concentrations of water hardness due to appreciable quantities of divalent cations Calcium (Ca^{2+}), Magnesium (Mg^{2+}) and some trace cations as well (Baldisserotto 2011; Romano et al. 2020). Based on the concentration of these cations, water is classified into soft (< 75 mg $CaCO_3$/L) and hard (> 75 mg $CaCO_3$/L) (Portz et al. 2006). Both types exert their own physiological effects. Soft water poses challenges to the survival of fish, although various methods of adaptation have been reported, like compensation of calcium deficit environment through the consumed feed (Baldisserotto 2011). Similarly, hard waters can help balance ammonia, pH, and reduce mortality in animals (Baldisserotto et al. 2014). However, excessive hardness can also cause hypercalcemia, as reported in Tilapia (*Sarotherodon mossambicus*) (Wendelaar Bonga et al. 1983). Whatever the adjustment might be, these investigations are unequivocal that hardness ultimately targets tissues that lead to physiological changes including cellular rearrangements (Gonzalez et al. 1998; Gundersen and Curtis 1995).

It would not be wrong to mention that gill and muscle are favoured over other major tissues to assess hard water effects. While gills are the epicentre of Ca^{2+} homeostasis and osmoregulation (Evans et al. 2005; Wendelaar Bonga et al. 1983), muscle is susceptible to changes in constituent amino acids and ionic shifts due to water hardness (Buentello and Gatlin 2002). Both tissues have been exhaustively investigated to understand consequences of water hardness at ionic-level and associated cellular adaptations in freshwater species such as Pinfish (*Lagodon rhomboides*) and Tilapia (Carrier and Evans 1976; Flik and Verbost 1995; Wendelaar Bonga et al. 1983). Tendency of muscle mitochondria to take up Ca^{2+} from external sources and compensate for ionic stress has

been reported in *Tilapia mossambica* (Sulochana et al. 1977). These tissues localise diverse redox

reactions and biomarker changes, examination of which gives worthy information about

environmentally induced physiological alterations also, making it ideal to study effects of hard

waters (Lushchak 2011). Though, fish would prefer water hardness to be well within range, such

preference seems ecologically unrealistic since there is not constant external environment.

Therefore, possibility of stress is not negatable at any given moment and relevant response is

consequential to water hardness levels. Prior literature indicates that secondary biomarkers of

stress are instrumental in understanding the effects of water hardness in fish. Investigations in Pacu

(*Piaractus mesopotamicus*) (Copatti et al. 2019a; Neves et al. 2022), Silver Catfish (*Rhamdia*

quelen) (Neves et al. 2017) and Kutum fingerlings (*Rutilus frisii kutum*) (Taghizadeh et al. 2013),

justify the importance of glucose as marker for effects of water hardness. Even redox status also

provides substantial insights into the physiological effects of water hardness in fish. Evidence of

this is the study of oxidative stress by Copatti et al. (2019b) and Neves et al. (2022) in different

tissues of Pacu exposed to a range of hard waters. The consequences of oxidative stress are

prevented by antioxidants (Betteridge, 2000), either enzymatic (Catalase, Glutathione-S-

Transferase) or non-enzymatic (Glutathione) which attempt to restore the correct

prooxidant/antioxidant ratio (Lushchak, 2016). Despite broad insights offered by glucose and

redox markers, it is noteworthy that investigations involving its usage have gained momentum

recently (Copatti et al. 2019b; Michelotti et al. 2018). Biomarker examinations seem promising to

understand the extent and efficiency of physiological adaptations due to external hardness

(Limbaugh et al. 2021).

Koi carp is an ornamental species of common carp preferred by aquarists globally for

beautification. Therefore, it is generally spotted in natural conditions ponds, reservoirs, streams

and lakes. The species is physiologically sturdy under captive conditions as well, which makes it

a good model to study freshwater – water hardness interactions and associated biochemical

fluctuations. Therefore, the present study attempts to evaluate the effects of water hardness (75,

150, 225 and 300 mg CaCO$_3$/L) on biomarkers (glucose, oxidative stress and antioxidant profile)

in selected tissues (gill and muscle) of Koi carps (*Cyprinus carpio* var *koi*), an economically

important freshwater ornamental fish.

Methods

Acclimation of fish

94 Juveniles (6.70 ± 0.15 g; 5.90 ± 0.12 cm) were purchased from the Ornamental Fish Research

95 Centre, Bengaluru. Fish were randomly distributed in 50 L glass tanks filled with tap water. The

96 tanks were maintained under natural photoperiod (≈12 Light/12 Dark) with continuous aeration

97 (Venus Aqua AP-608A, China). Fish were fed twice a day (09:00 and 18:00) at 2% body weight

98 with commercial feed pellets (Taiyo Grow, India).

Experimental setup

The two-week study consisted of four levels of hardness: 75 (Soft - TS), 150 (Moderate - TM),

225 (Hard - TH), and 300 (Very Hard - TV) mg CaCO$_3$/L, selected based on its occurrence in

natural systems (Stumm and Morgan 1996). Every treatment (hardness) was maintained in

triplicate (three tanks per treatment × two fishes per tank = six fishes per treatment). All

concentrations were prepared by the addition of Calcium carbonate (CaCO$_3$) to water and

calibrated by complexometric EDTA titration (APHA 2005). Faecal matter accumulated in the

tank was drained out by suction pipe with subsequent renewal of the specific hardness. The tanks

were covered with a mesh net to prevent the fish from escaping. Water parameters were monitored

for temperature ($25.1 \pm 1°C$), pH (7.04 ± 0.1), dissolved oxygen (6.5 ± 0.08 mg/L) and alkalinity

(213 ± 0.02 mg/L) (APHA 2005).

Sampling

A total of six fish ($n = 6$) per treatment were sacrificed for biomarker evaluation. Individual fish

were dissected for white muscle and gill. Tissues were washed with an ice-cold phosphate buffer

solution (0.1M; pH 7.4) and homogenised in Potter-Elvehjem grinder. The homogenate (10% w/v)

was then centrifuged at $5000 \times g$ following which supernatant (stored at -20°C) was retained for

all assays except Glutathione (homogenate precipitated with TCA before centrifugation). The

absorbance values were measured on a spectrophotometer (Systronics UV-VIS 118, India).

Biochemical analyses

Glucose

119 Glucose was assayed according to Nelson (1944) and Somogyi (1952). The deproteinizing agent

120 ($Ba(OH)_2$ and $ZnSO_4$) was added to the supernatant and centrifuged at $5000 \times g$ for 10 minutes.

121 Alkaline copper reagent (potassium-sodium tartrate; Na_2CO_3; $NaHCO_3$ and Na_2SO_4 in distilled

122 water) was added to the supernatant. The mixture was heated, followed by the addition of an

123 arseno-molybdate reagent and the optical density of the solution was recorded at 540 nm.

Malondialdehyde (MDA)

Secondary product of Lipid peroxidation (LPO) - Malondialdehyde was estimated by using the

Niehaus and Samuelsson (1968) protocol. The supernatant was mixed with this TCA-TBA-HCl

reagent (15% Trichloroacetic acid, 0.38% Thiobarbituric acid and 0.25N Hydrochloric acid) in the

ratio of 1:2. This reaction mixture was heated in a boiling water bath for 15 minutes, cooled and

centrifuged at $1100 \times g$ for 5 minutes. The optical density of the solution was recorded at 535 nm.

Catalase (CAT)

Catalase activity was measured by using the Aebi (1984) protocol. The reaction was started by

adding the supernatant to an equimolar solution of H_2O_2 and phosphate buffer (50 mM; pH 7.1).

A decrease in absorbance was continuously recorded at 240 nm (UV) for 3 min.

Glutathione-S-Transferase (GST)

GST activity was estimated by using the Habig et al. (1974) protocol. The reaction mixture

contained the supernatant, phosphate buffer (0.1M; pH 6.5) and 2,4-Dinitrochlorobenzene

(30mM). The volume was adjusted with distilled water after which the reaction was initiated by

adding Glutathione (0.1 M). The optical density of the solution was recorded at 340 nm.

Glutathione (GSH)

GSH was estimated by using the Moron et al. (1979) protocol. Homogenate was precipitated with

TCA (5%) and centrifuged at $3000 \times g$ for 10 minutes. The supernatant collected after

centrifugation was then added to the phosphate buffer (pH 6.5) and Ellman's reagent. The optical

density of the solution was recorded at 420 nm.

Protein

Total protein content of the tissues was estimated according to Lowry et al. (1951) protocol.

Bovine serum albumin was used as standard. The optical density of the supernatant-reagents

mixture was recorded at 660 nm.

Statistical analysis

Data was summated as Mean ± SE. Normality and homoscedasticity were evaluated using the

Shapiro–Wilk and Levene tests, respectively. Group means were analysed by One-way ANOVA

followed by a post-hoc test (Tukey). Significant differences were fixed at 95 % ($p < 0.05$).

GraphPad Prism (Version 5.0, USA) and JASP (Version 0.16.2, Netherlands) were used for

statistical computation and visual presentations.

Results

Biomarkers in Gill

The glucose concentration increased progressively from TS to TV. Significant differences ($F =$

$10.91; p < 0.05$) were found between TV and the remaining treatments (Figure 1A). Soft water TS

elevated the concentration of MDA, followed by a spike in TV. Only TH differed significantly (F

$= 21.27; p < 0.001$) from the remaining treatments (Figure 2A). Highest antioxidant Catalase

activity was observed for TH, which differed significantly ($F = 50.26; p < 0.001$) from TS, TM

and TV. Also, TV differed significantly from TM and TS ($F = 50.26; p < 0.001$) (Figure 3A). GST

activity for TH and TV was comparatively higher than for TS and TM. While no significant

differences ($F = 26.45; p > 0.05$) were found between the low (TS and TM) and high hardness

groups (TH and TV), differences were observed between treatment pairs (Figure 4A). The highest

concentration of GSH was recorded for TV. Except TS that was not significant ($F = 42.78$; $p >$

0.05) with TM and TH, remaining treatments recorded intergroup differences (Figure 5A).

Biomarkers in White Muscle

The glucose concentration progressively increased from TS to TH but reduced at TV. Pairwise,

similar concentrations and no significant differences ($F = 22.98$; $p > 0.05$) were observed for TS

and TV and for TM and TH (Figure 1B). The highest level of MDA was observed for TM which

differed significantly ($F = 37.89$; $p < 0.001$) from TS, TH and TV (Figure 2B). The highest CAT

activity was observed in TM followed by a gradual reduction for TH and TV. Catalase was the

only biomarker that varied significantly ($F = 49.38$; $p < 0.05$) among all treatments for white

muscles (Figure 3B). No significant differences ($F = 57.13$; $p > 0.05$) were recorded for GST

between TM and TH. The highest GST activity was recorded for TH, which differed significantly

with TS and TV ($F = 57.13$; $p < 0.001$) (Figure 4B). Glutathione (GSH) initially increased from

TS to TM, thereafter, reducing gradually for the remaining treatments. Only significant difference

($F = 8.87$; $p < 0.05$) was observed between TM and the remaining groups (Figure 5B).

Discussion

Glucose concentration

Glucose is the primary energy source for metabolism due to its involvement in glycolysis.

Therefore, the assessment of glucose concentration would provide insight into the energy

expenditure for metabolic adaptations to hardness. Flik and Verbost (1995) have mentioned that

freshwater fishes take up Ca^{2+} through the gills, and this transcellular movement is dependent on

the surrounding Ca^{2+} concentrations, which affect the branchial permeability of the gills.

Therefore, external hardness strongly influences the energy reserves such as glucose to regulate

fish metabolism. An environment with high hardness reduces gill permeability and loss of ions to

water, thereby conserving energy (Golombieski et al. 2013). This study upholds the same rationale

in the fact that glucose increased subsequently in gills of Koi carp from soft to hard waters.

Contrarily, muscle showed reductions in glucose at the highest hardness level (TV), an observation

also reported by Michelotti et al. (2018) in juvenile Common Snook (*Centropomus undecimalis*)

exposed to 1000 mg $CaCO_3$/L. They concluded that increased energy demands for survival and

growth lowered muscle glucose levels. It would not be incorrect to assume that whereas gill is

conserving energy, muscles on the other hand strike balance to the metabolic needs. This

assumption however would need more justification.

Oxidative stress

Secondary products of lipid peroxidation (LPO) such as Malondialdehyde (MDA) are used as

biomarkers of oxidative stress (Ayala 2014). In this study, elevated MDA in the Koi carp gills

exposed to soft and moderate waters indicated improper osmoregulatory functioning. Therefore,

soft and moderate water hardness has detrimental effects on gills as also reported in Pacu gills

(Copatti et al. 2019b). An increase in MDA of gills exposed to TV was also observed. As a

deviation from the expected outcome, an explanation of this seems possible only after further

investigations. MDA concentration also surged in muscles of Koi carps exposed to moderate

hardness (TM), indicating oxidative damage to cell membrane fluidity and possible permeability

of muscle tissues. Previous literature suggests that fishes are predisposed to peroxidation due to

large amounts of Polyunsaturated Fatty Acids (PUFAs), ultimately changing lipid membrane

properties (Lushchak 2011). Therefore, the present study cannot negate the probable oxidative

damage in muscles exposed to moderately hard water.

Antioxidant response

Catalase is an important antioxidant enzyme that protects cells and tissues from oxidative damage because it reduces harmful hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2) to water (H_2O) (Betteridge 2000). The level of CAT activity in gills underwent reduction from soft to moderate waters of Koi carps which indicated oxidative damage due to such exposure. Since freshwater species already have less ionic presence in the surrounding environment, it might burden the gills to reduce loss of ions to water. Probably this must have decreased CAT activity, indicating that fish were inept in adjusting to concentration changes. Whereas in muscle, progressive decrease in Catalase activity from TM to TV, indicates subsided oxidative damage which might account for the protective effects of high-water hardness as suggested by (Copatti et al. 2019a).

Antioxidant activity of GST is specific to detoxification of xenobiotics. It conjugates GSH to various electrophiles, thereby preventing oxidative damage. After that, GSH indirectly or directly scavenges free radicals to defend the tissues from injury due to oxidative stress (Srikanth 2013). Elevated GST activity was noted in Koi carp gills at TH and TV, indicating that some degree of oxidative stress might have affected this tissue. But it is not feasible to generalise that the fish was under stress because antioxidant defence is always coordinated (Bagnyukova et al. 2006). Therefore, CAT and GSH might also have a role to play in protecting Koi carps from high hardness. Biomarker evaluation is majorly dependent on many factors including experimental conditions (Bagnyukova et al. 2006). Muscle also exhibited an elevated GST activity for all the exposures except soft waters (TS). This signifies that concentrations < 75 mg $CaCO_3$/L would be detrimental for freshwater fishes, with unrecoverable losses to osmoregulatory functioning. Given the intensive association between Glutathione antioxidant system, assorted expression of both GST and GSH in the investigated tissues indicated a well-coordinated response to all hardness

232 exposures. Lower GST levels were complemented by a higher concentration of GSH for both the

233 gills and muscle. Such type of tissue-specific antioxidant responses for the Glutathione antioxidant

234 system has also been reported in Nile Tilapia, Sharp Tooth Catfish (*Clarias lazera*) and Common

235 carp (*Cyprinus carpio*) (Hamed et al. 2004).

Conclusions

The results show that sequential increase in water hardness reshuffled the physiological

adjustments in both the examined tissues. Glucose proved to be an excellent marker for hard waters

especially in case of gills. It can also be deduced that soft and moderately hard waters can prove

detrimental to freshwater fishes due to tissue oxidation induced elevated MDA concentrations.

Since the antioxidant markers revealed multitudinous and assorted responses, an extension of this

study warrants an Integrated Biomarker Response (IBR) due to sequential water hardness.

List of abbreviations -

244 Malondialdehyde - MDA; Catalase - CAT; Glutathione-S-Transferase - GST; Glutathione GSH;
245 Glucose - GLU; Soft water - TS; Moderately hard water - TM; Hard water - TH; Very hard water
246 - TV

Declaration -

Ethics approval – Animal use within this study was approved by the welfare committee of
Bangalore University, India, in accordance with the national legislation.

Consent for publication – Not applicable

Availability of data and material – All relevant data is within this article. Please contact
corresponding author for further queries

Competing interests – The author declares that there are no known competing financial interests
or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Authors' contributions – Author has designed and conceptualised the study.

Funding – This research did not receive any grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial
or not-for-profit sectors.

Acknowledgements – Author thanks Bangalore University for providing necessary infrastructure.

References –

1. Aebi H (1984) Catalase in vitro. Methods in Enzymology 105:121–126. DOI:
https://doi.org/10.1016/s0076-6879(84)05016-3

2. APHA (2005) Standard methods for the examination of water and waste-water (21st ed)
Washington, DC: American Public Health Association.

3. Ayala A, Muñoz MF, Argüelles S. Lipid peroxidation: production, metabolism, and
signalling mechanisms of malondialdehyde and 4-hydroxy-2-nonenal. Oxid Med Cell
Longev. 2014;2014:360438. doi:10.1155/2014/360438

4. Bagnyukova TV, Chahrak OI, Lushchak VI. Coordinated response of goldfish antioxidant
defenses to environmental stress. Aquat Toxicol. 2006 Jul 20;78(4):325-31. doi:
10.1016/j.aquatox.2006.04.005. Epub 2006 May 1. PMID: 16735067.

5. Baldisserotto B (2011) Water pH and hardness affect growth of freshwater teleosts Revista
Brasileira de Zootecnia (40) 138-144

6. Baldisserotto B, Martos-Sitcha JA, Menezes CC, Toni C, Prati RL, Garcia Lde O, Salbego
J, Mancera JM, Martínez-Rodríguez G. (2014) The effects of ammonia and water hardness
on the hormonal, osmoregulatory and metabolic responses of the freshwater silver catfish

Rhamdia quelen. Aquat Toxicol. Jul;152:341-52. doi: 10.1016/j.aquatox.2014.04.023. Epub 2014 Apr 28. PMID: 24813267.

7. Betteridge DJ (2000) What Is Oxidative Stress? Metabolism 49(2):3-8. DOI: 10.1016/s0026-0495(00)80077-3.

8. Buentello, J.A. and Gatlin, D.M., III (2002), Preliminary Observations on the Effects of Water Hardness on Free Taurine and Other Amino Acids in Plasma and Muscle of Channel Catfish. North American Journal of Aquaculture, 64: 95-102. https://doi.org/10.1577/1548-8454(2002)064<0095:POOTEO>2.0.CO;2

9. Copatti CE, Baldisserotto B, Souza CF, Garcia LO (2019a) Protective effect of high hardness in pacu juveniles (*Piaractus mesopotamicus*) under acidic or alkaline pH: Biochemical and haematological variables. Aquaculture.; 502(1):250–57. https://doiorg/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2018.12.028

10. Copatti CE, Baldisserotto B, de Freitas Souza C, Monserrat MJ, Garcia L (2019b) Water pH and hardness alter ATPases and oxidative stress in the gills and kidney of pacu (*Piaractus mesopotamicus*). Neotropical Ichthyology 17(4):e190032. DOI: 10.1590/1982-0224-20190032

11. Carrier JC, Evans DH. The role of environmental calcium in freshwater survival of the marine teleost, *Lagodon rhomboides*. J Exp Biol. 1976;65(3):529-538.

12. Evans DH, Piermarini PM, Choe KP (2005) The multifunctional fish gill: Dominant site of gas exchange, osmoregulation, acid-base regulation, and excretion of nitrogenous waste. Physiol Rev. 85(1):97-177. https://doi.org/10.1152/ physrev.00050.2003

13. Flik, G., Verbost, P.M., 1995. Cellular mechanisms in calcium transport and homeostasis in fishes. Biochemistry and Molecular Biology of Fishes 5, 252–263.

14. Golombieski J, Koakoski G, Becker A, Almeida A, Toni C, Finamor I, Pavanato M,

Almeida T, Baldisserotto B (2013) Nitrogenous and phosphorus excretions in juvenile

silver catfish (*Rhamdia quelen*) exposed to different water hardness, humic acid, and pH

levels. Fish Physiology and Biochemistry 39:837-849. DOI 10.1007/s10695-012-9744-8

15. Gonzalez RJ, Wood CM, Wilson RW, Patrick ML, Bergman HL, Narahara A, Val AL.

Effects of water pH and calcium concentration on ion balance in fish of the Rio Negro,

Amazon. Physiol Zool. 1998 Jan-Feb;71(1):15-22. doi: 10.1086/515893. PMID: 9472808.

16. Gundersen DT and Curtis LR (1995) Acclimation to hard or soft water at weakly alkaline

pH influences gill permeability and gill surface calcium binding in rainbow trout

(*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) Publication: Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences.

https://doi.org/10.1139/f95-848

17. Habig WH, Pabst MJ, Jakoby WB (1974) Glutathione S-transferases the first enzymatic

step in mercapturic acid formation. Journal of Biological Chemistry 249(22):7130–7139.

18. Hamed R, Maharem T, Guinidi R (2004) Glutathione and its related enzymes in the Nile

fish. Fish Physiol Biochem 30:189–199

19. Limbaugh N, Romano N, Egnew N, Shrivastava J, Bishop WM, Sinha AK (2021) Coping

strategies in response to different levels of elevated water hardness in channel catfish

(*Ictalurus punctatus*): Insight into ion-regulatory and histopathological modulations.

Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology - Part A: Molecular & Integrative Physiology

260:111040. doi: 10.1016/j.cbpa.2021.111040.

20. Lowry OH, Rosebrough NJ, Farr AL, Randal RJ (1951) Protein measurement with the

Folin-phenol reagent. The Journal of Biological Chemistry 193:265–275.

21. Lushchak IV (2011) Environmentally induced oxidative stress in aquatic animals. Aquatic

Toxicology 101:13–30. DOI: 10.1016/j.aquatox.2010.10.006

22. Lushchak IV (2016) Contaminant-induced oxidative stress in fish: a mechanistic approach.

Fish Physiol Biochem 42:711–747 DOI 10.1007/s10695-015-0171-5

23. Michelotti BT, Passini G, Carvalho C, Salbego J, Mori NC, Rodrigues RV, Baldisserotto

B, Cerqueira VR (2018) Growth and metabolic parameters of Common Snook juveniles

raised in freshwater with different water hardness. Aquaculture 482:31–35. DOI:

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2017.08.029

24. Moron MS, Depierre JW, Mannervik M (1979) Levels of glutathione, glutathione

reductase and glutathione S-transferase activities in rat lung and liver. Biochimica et

Biophysica Acta (BBA) - General Subjects 582(1):67-78. DOI: 10.1016/0304-

4165(79)90289-7

25. Nelson N (1944) A Photometric Adaptation of the Somogyi Method for Determination of

Glucose. Journal of Biological Chemistry, 153:375-380.

26. Neves GC, Souza CF, Santos AC, Baldisserotto B, Lopes JM (2017) Waterborne calcium

and nitrite interaction: survival, growth, haematological and metabolic parameters in silver

catfish.   Boletim   do   Instituto   de   Pesca,   São   Paulo   43   (3),   408–416.

https://doi.org/10.20950/1678-2305.2017v43n3p408.

27. Neves GC, Presa LS, Maltez LC, Monserrat JM, Garcia L (2022) Calcium carbonate

addition reduces nitrite toxic effects in pacu *Piaractus mesopotamicus* juveniles.

Aquaculture, 547, 737444. doi: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2021.737444

28. Niehaus WG, Samuelsson B (1968) Formation of malonaldehyde from phospholipid
arachidonate during microsomal lipid peroxidation. European Journal of Biochemistry
6(1):126–130. DOI: 10.1111/j.1432-1033.1968.tb00428.x.

29. Portz DE, Woodley CM, Cech JJ (2006) Stress-associated impacts of short-term holding
on fishes. Rev Fish Biol Fisheries 16, 125–170 https://doi.org/10.1007/s11160-006-9012-
z

30. Pursley MG, Wolters WR (1994) Effect of Total Water Hardness and Chloride on Survival,
Growth, and Feed Conversion of Juvenile Red Drum *Sciaenops ocellatus* Journal of the
World Aquaculture Society 25(3) 10.1111/j.1749-73

31. Romano N, Egnew N, Quintero H, Kelly A, Sinha AK, (2020) The effects of water
hardness on the growth, metabolic indicators and stress resistance of largemouth bass
Micropterus salmoides. Aquaculture 527:735469

32. Somogyi M (1952) Notes on sugar determination. Journal of Biological Chemistry
195(1):19-23.

33. Srikanth K, Pereira E, Duarte AC, Ahmad I. (2013) Glutathione and its dependent enzymes'
modulatory responses to toxic metals and metalloids in fish-a review. Environ Sci Pollut
Res Int. 20(4):2133 - 2149 doi:10.1007/s11356-012-1459-y

34. Stumm W, Morgan JJ (1996) Aquatic chemistry: chemical equilibria and rates in natural
waters. (3rd Ed.), pp 1022, John Wiley and Sons, New York.

35. Sulochana, H.R., Bashyam, I., Narayan, S. et al. Physiological correlates of calcium-
accumulating properties of mitochondria: Fish-muscle mitochondria. J Bioenerg
Biomembr 9, 337–348 (1977). https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00743149

363 36. Taghizadeh V, Imanpour M, Jahnbakhashi A (2013) Effect of water hardness on growth,

364   survival, hematocrit and some blood biochemical indices of Kutum (*Rutilus frisii kutum*)

365   fingerlings. Global Veterinaria 10(1):22-25. DOI: 10.5829/idosi.gv.2013.10.1.7179

366 37. Wendelaar Bonga SE, Lowik CJM, Van der Meij JCA (1983) Effects of external Mg^{2+} and

367   Ca^{2+} on branchial osmotic water permeability and prolactin secretion in the teleost fish

368   *Sarotherodon mossambicus*. General and Comparative Endocrinology 52:222-231

Figure Legends -

Figure 1 - Glucose concentration in **(A)** gill and **(B)** white muscle of Koi carps ($n = 6$) exposed to
water hardness of 75 (Soft - TS), 150 (Moderate - TM), 225 (Hard - TH) and 300 (Very Hard -
TV) mg $CaCO_3$/L. Superscripts among exposure groups indicate statistical significance ($p < 0.05$).
(+) inside the box indicates the mean value of the exposure group.

Figure 2 - MDA concentration due to Lipid Peroxidation (LPO) in **(A)** gill and **(B)** white muscle
of Koi carps ($n = 6$) exposed to water hardness of 75 (Soft - TS), 150 (Moderate - TM), 225 (Hard
- TH) and 300 (Very Hard - TV) mg $CaCO_3$/L. Different superscripts among exposure groups
indicate significant differences ($p < 0.05$). (+) inside the box indicates the mean value of the
exposure group.

Figure 3 - Catalase activity in **(A)** gill and **(B)** white muscle of Koi carps ($n = 6$) exposed to water
hardness of 75 (Soft - TS), 150 (Moderate - TM), 225 (Hard - TH) and 300 (Very Hard - TV) mg
$CaCO_3$/L. Different superscripts among exposure groups indicate significant differences ($p <$
0.05). (+) inside the box indicates the mean value of the exposure group.

Figure 4 - Glutathione-S-transferase (GST) activity in **(A)** gill and **(B)** white muscle of Koi carps
($n = 6$) exposed to water hardness of 75 (Soft - TS), 150 (Moderate - TM), 225 (Hard - TH) and
300 (Very Hard - TV) mg $CaCO_3$/L. Different superscripts among exposure groups indicate
significant differences ($p < 0.05$). (+) inside the box indicates the mean value of the exposure
group.

Figure 5 - Glutathione (GSH) in **(A)** gill and **(B)** white muscle of Koi carps ($n = 6$) exposed to
water hardness of 75 (Soft - TS), 150 (Moderate - TM), 225 (Hard - TH) and 300 (Very Hard -
TV) mg $CaCO_3$/L. Different superscripts among exposure groups indicate significant differences
($p < 0.05$). (+) inside the box indicates the mean value of the exposure group.

416 **Figure 1A & B**

417
418

425
426 **Figure 2A & B**

433   **Figure 3A & B**

440   **Figure 4A & B**

446 **Figure 5A & B**

450