Temporal Notch signaling regulates mucociliary cell fates through Hes-mediated competitive de-repression

Magdalena Maria Brislinger-Engelhardt[1,2,3], Fabian Lorenz[2,5], Maximilian Haas[1,3], Sarah Bowden[1,2,4], Alexia Tasca[1], Clemens Kreutz[2,5], Peter Walentek[1,2,3,4,#]

[1] Department of Medicine IV, University Freiburg Medical Center, Faculty of Medicine, University of Freiburg, Hugstetter Strasse 55, 79106 Freiburg, Germany.
[2] CIBSS Centre for Integrative Biological Signalling Studies, University of Freiburg, Schänzlestrasse 18, 79104 Freiburg, Germany.
[3] SGBM Spemann Graduate School for Biology and Medicine, University of Freiburg, Albertstrasse 19A, 79104 Freiburg, Germany.
[4] IMPRS-IEM International Max Planck Research School of Immunobiology, Epigenetics and Metabolism, Max Planck Institute of Immunobiology and Epigenetics, Stübeweg 51, 79108 Freiburg, Germany.
[5] IMBI Institute of Medical Biometry and Statistics, Institute of Medicine and Medical Center Freiburg, Stefan-Meier Strasse 26, 79104 Freiburg, Germany.
[#] Corresponding author: peter.walentek@medizin.uni-freiburg.de

Abstract:

Tissue functions are determined by the types and ratios of cells present, but little is
known about self-organizing principles establishing correct cell type compositions.
Mucociliary airway clearance relies on the correct balance between secretory and
ciliated cells, which is regulated by Notch signaling across mucociliary systems. Using
the airway-like *Xenopus* epidermis, we investigate how cell fates depend on signaling,
how signaling levels are controlled, and how Hes transcription factors regulate cell
fates. We show that four mucociliary cell types each require different Notch levels and
that their specification is initiated sequentially by a temporal Notch gradient. We
describe a novel role for Foxi1 in the generation of Delta-expressing multipotent
progenitors through Hes7.1. Hes7.1 is a weak repressor of mucociliary genes and
overcomes maternal repression by the strong repressor Hes2 to initiate mucociliary
development. Increasing Notch signaling then inhibits Hes7.1 and activates first Hes4,
then Hes5.10, which selectively repress cell fates. We have uncovered a self-
organizing mechanism of mucociliary cell type composition by competitive de-
repression of cell fates by a set of differentially acting repressors. Furthermore, we
present an *in silico* model of this process with predictive abilities.

57 *Key words:*

58 **Xenopus, airway epithelium, development, multi-ciliated cells, mucus, basal**
59 **cells, mathematical modeling**

Introduction:

Tissue functions are determined by morphology and by cell type composition, the types, numbers and ratios of cells present, but little is known about the self-organizing principles that govern the establishment of correct cell type compositions. Mucociliary epithelia line various organs in animals(Walentek, 2021b; Walentek and Quigley, 2017), including the mammalian airways and the epidermis of *Xenopus laevis* embryos, where they provide an innate immune barrier through mucociliary clearance(Dubaissi et al., 2014; Whitsett, 2018). This relies on the correct balance between secretory and ciliated cells, which is varied across organs and adapted to location within an organ(Volckaert and De Langhe, 2014). Alterations in cell type composition are characteristic of airway diseases, such as asthma, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and cancer(Hogan et al., 2014). Lung diseases are a global health burden, but the development of treatments is impeded by our incomplete understanding of the mechanisms regulating correct mucociliary cell type compositions(Walentek, 2021a). Notch regulation of ciliated and secretory cells was shown to be evolutionarily conserved in mucociliary epithelia across species, and frequently disturbed in human airway diseases(Deblandre et al., 1999; Hogan et al., 2014; Kiyokawa and Morimoto, 2020; Rock et al., 2011; Walentek, 2021a). Despite efforts to unravel how Notch signaling regulates mucociliary patterning, precisely how cell fates depend on signaling levels, how signaling levels are generated, and how signaling is interpreted by cells during fate decisions have remained enigmatic. Here, we show that four mucociliary cell types require different Notch signaling levels for specification. Cell fates are initiated sequentially by a temporal Notch gradient generated by ligand-expressing multipotent progenitors. We describe a novel role of the forkhead-box transcription factor Foxi1 in the generation of multipotent progenitors through the activation of Hes7.1, which is required to overcome a maternally deposited repression system. Further cell fate choices are governed by the integration of Notch signaling levels through additional Hes factors and Hes-independent mechanisms. Finally, we present a mathematical model that recapitulates the establishment of mucociliary cell type composition *in silico*, which can be used to predict critical gaps in our understanding of mucociliary patterning mechanisms in development and disease.

Results:

All mucociliary cell types are specified at distinct Notch levels

In the *Xenopus* embryonic mucociliary epidermis, ionocytes (ISCs) and multi-ciliated cells (MCCs) are specified prior to small secretory cells (SSCs)(Dubaissi et al., 2014; Walentek et al., 2014). Elevated *ΔN-tp63* expression induces mature basal stem cells (BCs) and blocks all other cell fates, terminating cell fate specification(Haas et al., 2019). ISCs and MCCs are generated in low Notch conditions, while secretory cells are induced by Notch activation(Deblandre et al., 1999; Quigley and Kintner, 2017; Quigley et al., 2011; Walentek, 2018). It was proposed that early and late progenitors with different potential generate ISCs/MCCs and SSCs, respectively(Briggs et al., 2018).

Figure 1: Cell fate specification in response to a temporal Notch gradient
A: Quantification of epidermal cell types after Notch manipulations and immunofluorescent staining at
st. 32. **B:** Schematic representation of mucociliary epidermal cell types. **C:** Changes in cell type marker

To elucidate how different Notch signaling levels affect cell type composition, we strongly reduced signaling-induced transcription using *dominant-negative RBPJ* (*suh-dbm*) or overactivated it using *Notch intracellular domain* (*nicd*). At stage (st.) 32, we immuno-stained for cell type markers to analyze control and targeted (=GFP+) specimens by confocal microscopy (**Fig.S1A**)(Walentek, 2018). Notch inhibition increased ISC and decreased SSC numbers, but did not increase MCC numbers (**Fig.1A,B; S1A**). Notch activation lead to a loss of ISCs and MCCs, but intermediate (med.) levels expanded SSC numbers, while high levels suppressed SSCs (**Fig.1A; S1A**).

Using different concentrations of *suh-dbm* or *nicd* mRNA overexpression, we confirmed the graded effects of Notch on cell type composition by whole mount *in situ* hybridization (WMISH) at the end of specification (st. 16/17) (**Fig.S1B,C**). These data indicated that ISCs (*foxi1*) were generated at low levels, MCCs (*foxj1*) at low to medium levels, SSCs (*foxa1*) at medium to high levels, and that BCs (*ΔN-tp63*) were promoted by NICD. Goblet cells were not analyzed, as they emerge earlier through asymmetric cell division(Huang and Niehrs, 2014).

Next, we analyzed transcriptional changes over time in control and manipulated *Xenopus* mucociliary organoids by mRNA-sequencing (RNA-seq). Based on both published(Haas et al., 2019; Quigley and Kintner, 2017) and our own data, we defined marker sets for each cell type including factors necessary and sufficient to induce cell fates (**Fig.S2A,B**). Expression dynamics of these markers confirmed that cell fate specification starts at st. 9/10 (shortly after mid-blastula transition, MBT(Jukam et al., 2017)) and is largely accomplished by st. 16 as early markers increase only between st. 9-16 (**Fig.S2A,B**).

RNA-seq at st. 10, 16, 25 and 32 after manipulations confirmed that Notch inhibition strongly induced ISCs, decreased MCC marker expression at st. 16, and suppressed SSCs as well as BCs (**Fig.1C; S2B**). Interestingly, MCC marker expression recovered during later stages, which might explain why MCC numbers are not reduced by Notch inhibition. Notch overactivation suppressed ISCs and MCCs, but promoted expression of SSC and BC markers (**Fig.1C; S2B**).

Contrary to the current assumption that ISCs and MCCs are specified both by the lack of Notch signaling, these data indicate that MCCs are specified at higher Notch levels than ISCs, but at lower levels than SSCs and BCs.

Cell types are specified sequentially by a temporal Notch signaling gradient

We plotted RNA-seq expression levels (normalized to TPM) of cell type master regulators over developmental time. This showed that *foxi1* (ISCs) was expressed first and peaked by st. 12, while *gmnc* and *mcidas* (MCCs) were expressed from st. 10/11 and *mcidas* peaked at st. 16 (**Fig.1D**). *foxa1* and *spdef* (SSCs) expression started at st. 11/12 and either peaked at st. 16 (*spdef*) or further increased until st. 32 (*foxa1*), while *ΔN-tp63* (BCs) expression started at st. 16 and peaked at st. 25 (**Fig.1D**). These results were confirmed by WMISH (**Fig.S2C,D**) and revealed the sequential initiation of cell type specification in the *Xenopus* epidermis.

These results predicted a systemic increase in Notch signaling during specification. Using quantitative RT-PCR (qPCR) on mucociliary organoids injected with a Notch reporter (*4xcsl::H2B-mvenus*)(Nowotschin et al., 2013), we detected an increase in signaling at st. 9-12, sustained activity until st. 16, and decrease in activity until st. 25 (**Fig.1E**).

Delta-like 1 (Dll1) was previously implicated in mucociliary patterning(Deblandre et al., 1999), but other Notch components were not systematically analyzed so far. We found that *dll1* and *notch1* were the increasingly and dominantly expressed ligand and receptor, respectively (**Fig.1F and S3A**). RNA-seq and WMISH revealed that *notch1* increased until st. 11 and was homogenously expressed in the basal layer of the epidermis, while *dll1* expression increased for a longer time and was expressed by differentiating cells (starting to undergo intercalation;(Deblandre et al., 1999)) (**Fig.1F; S3B,C**).

To validate that graded Dll1 levels could tune cell type composition, we knocked down *dll1* by different (low to high) morpholino-oligonucleotide (MO) concentrations. Analysis of cell type markers at st. 16/17 confirmed that modifying Dll1 levels sufficed to coordinately shift cell type ratios (**Fig.1G,H**). Thus, the initiation of and temporal increase in ligand expression were critical parameters for patterning the mucociliary system.

Loss-of-function experiments targeting all ligands and receptors (except for *dll4*) followed by WMISH at st. 16/17 revealed that the depletion of any ligand or receptor affected mucociliary patterning but to variable degrees (**Fig.S4**). Especially SSCs that are specified last, were robustly reduced after knockdown of ligands and receptors (**Fig.S4**). Thus, the sum of all ligands and receptors generates Notch levels required for a correct cell type composition, similar to findings in the mammalian airway epithelium(Morimoto et al., 2012).

In conclusion, we found that mucociliary cell types specification is initiated sequentially, from low Notch-dependent ISCs to high Notch-dependent BCs. The increase in signaling is predominantly achieved by ligand expression from a growing pool of differentiating cells.

Foxi1 induces ligand-expressing multipotent progenitors

Similar expression dynamics (**Fig.1D,F**) and previous reports suggested a role for Foxi1 in *dll1* regulation(Quigley and Kintner, 2017). Analysis of ligand and receptor

expression after Notch manipulations as well as after depletion of MCCs, SSCs or BCs
confirmed that blocking specification by NICD suppressed *dll1* and *dlc.L* ligands, and
revealed the induction of *notch* receptors (**Fig.S5A**)(Dubaissi et al., 2014; Haas et al.,
2019; Quigley and Kintner, 2017). In all other conditions, where *foxi1* expression was
stimulated, *dll1* and *dlc.L* were increased (**Fig.S5A**).

We overexpressed *foxi1*, *mcidas*, *foxj1*, *foxa1* or *ΔN-tp63*, and analyzed *dll1* and *dlc*
induction before the onset of their endogenous expression. Only *foxi1* robustly induced
dll1/dlc (**Fig.2A; S5B,C**). To test whether *foxi1* was acting directly, we analyzed
induction at MBT (st. 8) and additionally, at the onset of any transcription (st.6/7), which
showed *dll1/dlc* induction at both stages (**Fig.2B; S5D,E**). Conversely, depletion of
Foxi1 prevented *dll1* expression and caused embryonic lethality (**Fig.S5F-H**), in line
with previous reports(Mir et al., 2007).

Foxi1 could have an additional role during mucociliary development besides ISC
specification(Mir et al., 2007; Yan et al., 2006). We overexpressed *foxi1* and analyzed
mucociliary markers at st. 6/7. We found strong induction of *mcidas* (MCCs) as well
as weaker induction of *foxa1* (SSCs) and *ΔN-tp63* (BCs) by Foxi1 (**Fig.2C; S5I**). This
supported a novel role for Foxi1 in the induction of multipotent mucociliary progenitors.

In summary, the onset of *foxi1* expression induces mucociliary development and
multipotent mucociliary progenitors, which predominantly express *dll1/dlc* ligands to
increase Notch signaling during cell fate specification.

224
Foxi1 and Notch regulate sequential Hes transcription factor expression

Notch signaling confers cell fate decisions through Hairy enhancer of split (Hes/Hey)
transcription factors. Multiple Hes proteins have been implicated in mucociliary
patterning across models, but how they are employed for cell fate decisions remains
unresolved(Gomi et al., 2015; Morimoto et al., 2010; Ou-Yang et al., 2013; Tsao et al.,
2008; Tsao et al., 2009).

We analyzed how all known *Xenopus hes/hey* genes react to Notch manipulations,
and investigated their temporal expression dynamics in mucociliary organoids by
RNA-seq (**Fig.S6A,B**). We found that *hes7* paralogs were expressed first, followed by
hes4 paralogs, and *hes1/hes5* paralogs (**Fig.S6B**). Within the four paralog groups,
hes7.1.L (hes7.1), *hes4.L/.S (hes4)*, *hes5.10.L/.S (hes5.10)*, and *hes1.L/.S (hes1)*
were the dominantly expressed members.

hes7.1 and *hes1* were inhibited by NICD, due to auto-(Kageyama et al., 2007) or Notch
repression(Takada et al., 2005), while *hes4* and *hes5.10* were induced by NICD, with
hes5.10 showing a stronger response (**Fig.2D; S6A**). We confirmed the expression of
these *hes* genes by WMISH and found that *hes7.1* was expressed only in the deep
cell layer; *hes4* and *hes5.10* were expressed across both cell layers with variable
expression levels between individual cells; and *hes1* was expressed weakly and only
in a few cells (**Fig.2E; S6C,D**).

These data indicate that *hes7.1* is expressed in early progenitors and turned off by
increased Notch, suggesting a potential role in the initiation of mucociliary patterning.

Figure 2: Foxi1 induces multipotent ligand-expressing progenitors via *hes7.1*

A-C, F,G: Animal view, WMISH. **A:** *dll1* at st. 9 in controls and after overexpression of master cell type inducers. Only *foxi1* induces *dll1* expression (yellow arrowhead). **B:** *dll1* at st. 6/7 and 8 in controls and

We overexpressed *hes7.1* and analyzed cell type marker induction. *hes7.1* was able
to induce all markers as well as *dll1* at st. 6/7 (**Fig.2F,G; 3A; S7A**), similar to Foxi1.
Overexpression of *foxi1* induced *hes7.1* expression at st. 6/7, while *foxi1*MO inhibited
hes7.1 expression at st. 9 (**Fig.2C; S7B-D**).

Taken together, we identified a set of sequentially expressed and Notch-regulated Hes
transcription factors, and found that Foxi1 establishes multipotent mucociliary
progenitors, at least in part, by initializing *hes7.1.L* expression (**Fig.2H**).

Hes factors act in a cell type-specific and concentration-dependent manner

hes4 seems to be induced by intermediate and *hes5.10* by high Notch levels, which
suggests that they could integrate signaling levels during patterning. Interestingly,
hes1 expression and its reaction to Notch manipulations argued against a prominent
role in cell fate specification in *Xenopus*, similar to findings from the mouse
airways(Tsao et al., 2009).

In induction assays, *hes4* only induced MCC fate while *hes5.10* induced MCC, SSC
and BC fates (**Fig.3A-C; S7E**). Graded responses were observed for the induction of
MCCs by Hes4, which was more efficient at higher levels, and for BC induction by
Hes5.10, which was more efficient at lower levels (**Fig.3A-C; S7E**). These results
argued for cell type-specificity of *hes* genes during mucociliary patterning.

To reveal the endogenous effects of these Hes factors, we conducted loss-of-function
experiments using low and high MO concentrations, and analyzed marker expression
at st. 16/17. Mild (+) and strong (++) knockdown of all Hes factors suppressed SSCs
and promoted ISC specification, with *hes4*MO having the strongest effect (**Fig.3D-G;
S7F-H**). MCC specification was promoted (*hes7.1*MO and *hes5.10*MO) or remained
unaffected (*hes4*MO) after mild knockdown, but was reduced by strong knockdowns
of the tested Hes factors (**Fig.3D-G; S7F-H**). BCs were relatively unaffected by Hes
knockdown (**Fig.3D-G; S7F-H**), suggesting the presence of an additional Notch-
dependent factor regulating *ΔN-tp63*.

Thus, we have identified a set of differentially Notch-regulated *hes* paralogs with
sequential expression, which regulate sequential cell fate specification. *hes7.1* is first
expressed, restricted to progenitors and negatively Notch-regulated. *hes4* is activated
next at intermediate Notch levels, suppressing ISCs while predominantly promoting
MCCs. *hes5.10* is activated last by high Notch signaling and required for SSC
specification. The overall weak effects of Hes depletions on BCs indicate additional
Hes-independent routes of Notch-dependent *ΔN-tp63* regulation.

Figure 3: Hes factors regulate mucociliary cell fates

Hes factors act through competitive de-repression of cell fate choices

The *Xenopus* embryo is largely transcriptionally silent before MBT, in part because of the presence of repressors(Schulz and Harrison, 2019; Skirkanich et al., 2011). Hes factors are repressors, supporting the hypothesis that *hes7.1* could induced mucociliary genes by competitive de-repression of a maternally deposited stronger inhibitor(Jukam et al., 2017; Kageyama et al., 2007). Another Hes family member could potentially restrict mucociliary genes pre-MBT(Kageyama et al., 2007).

Using xenbase.org(Karpinka et al., 2014), we identified *hes2.L* as the most abundant maternal deposited *hes* (**Fig.4A**). We knocked down *hes2* by MO injections, which robustly induced premature *foxi1* at st. 9, while *mcidas* induction was hard to assess, and *foxa1/ΔN-tp63* were not induced (**Fig.4B; S8A**). Analysis of mucociliary transcripts by qPCR at st. 7/8 confirmed de-repression of *foxi1* and *mcidas* by *hes2*MO, and less robust elevation of *foxa1* and *ΔN-tp63* (**Fig.4C**). Conversly, overexpression of *hes2* disrupted expression of *foxi1* and *mcidas* at st. 12 (**Fig.4C; S8B,C**) as revealed by WMISH.

These results suggest that Hes2 acts as strong maternal repressor of mucociliary genes, and that the weak repressor Hes7.1 de-represses mucociliary gene activity at MBT. Subsequently, Hes4 and Hes5.10 are expressed in a Notch-dependent manner to promote MCC and SSC/BC fates by selective repression of alternative cell fates (**Fig.4E**).

A mathematical model emulates mucociliary patterning *in silico*

To test our understanding of the newly defined self-organizing mechanism (**Fig.S8D,E**), we used our experimentally-based hypotheses for building a minimal-component mathematical model of the generation of mucociliary cell type ratios (see methods for modeling details), and Principal Coordinate Analysis (PCoA) to fine-stage RNA-seq data (**Fig.S9A-C; Table S1**). Maximum likelihood estimates of the parameter values were derived by fitting data from time-resolved RNA-seq and cell type quantifications (**Fig.4F; S10A-D**). Using this approach, we found a solution (model 1) that recapitulated the endogenous generation of cell type composition, but which failed to fit dynamic ligand levels as well as *hes5* expression (**Fig.S10A,G**), and which failed to predict cell type production phases for Notch gain- and loss-of-function experiments (**Fig.S10E,F**). Furthermore, prediction of the effect of altering the production rate of ligands from multipotent progenitors contradicted experimental results (**Fig.S10H**; cf. **Fig.1A,G,H**). This indicated, that missing knowledge on how *ΔN-tp63* and BCs are maintained even when Notch levels decrease constituted a major gap in the model.

Figure 4: Competitive de-repression and modeling of mucociliary cell fate decisions
A: Expression levels of *hes2.L* and *hes7.1.L* in whole *Xenopus* eggs and embryos during early development. (RNA-seq.) **B:** Animal view, WMISH. Marker gene expression at st. 9 in controls and after knockdown of *hes2*. (induction=yellow arrowhead). **C:** Induction of mucociliary marker genes after knockdown of hes2 at st. 7/8 in whole embryos. (qPCR). **D:** Ventral view, WMISH. Marker gene expression at st. 12 in controls and after overexpression of *hes2*. (loss of expression=yellow arrowhead). **E:** Schematic summary of Hes-mediated competitive de-repression of cell fates in *Xenopus*. **F:** Schematic representation of minimal-component model building. **G-I:** *In silico* modeling of the cell fate specification process. **G:** Cell type production rates over time (control), and the effect of deceleration (LOF) or acceleration (GOF) on the production rates of ISCs, MCCs, and SSCs. **H:** The *in-silico* model could explain Notch loss- (LOF) and gain-of-function (GOF) experiments by deceleration (LOF) or acceleration (GOF) of the whole cell specification process via the depicted nonlinear

The Ets-transcription factor Spdef is Notch-regulated and required for secretory cells in the mammalian airways(Guseh et al., 2009; Rock et al., 2011), but it is also expressed in human airway basal cells (https://www.proteinatlas.org/ENSG00000124664-SPDEF/summary/rna)(Karlsson et al., 2021). In *Xenopus* mucociliary organoids, *spdef* expression precedes SSC specification and the initiation of *ΔN-tp63* (**Fig.1D**). We therefore speculated that Spdef could promote SSC-specification at lower levels, while blocking SSCs and promoting BC at higher levels. Thereby, it could provide a switch for the transition from specification to long-term maintenance of mucociliary patterns, and allowing for the drop in Notch ligand expression after st. 16 (**Fig.1E,F**). We introduced these regulatory connections into our mathematical model, re-fitted parameters, and modeled cell type production (model 2) (**Fig.4G; S9C**). Model 2 improved fitting quality (**Table S2**), and could explain Notch gain- and loss-of-function effects by a temporal transformation representing de- (for LOF) and acceleration (for GOF) of cell type production rates (**Fig.4G-I; S10B,D**). Furthermore, model 2 also generated results in line with experimental observations on altering ligand expression from multipotent progenitors (**Fig.S10I**; cf. **Fig.1A,G,H**).

Taken together, we were able to generate a minimal component mathematical model that recapitulates normal mucociliary patterning *in silico*, and that can be used to predict critical gaps in our understanding of mucociliary patterning - in our case, modeling predicts an additional role for Spdef in Notch-mediated regulation of BCs.

Discussion:

We elucidated how a morphogen-like temporal Notch gradient regulates mucociliary cell type composition in *Xenopus*. We found a novel role for Foxi1 in the induction of *hes7.1* to generate Notch ligand-expressing multipotent progenitors, which partially explains why it acts as ectodermal determinant(Mir et al., 2007). Expansion of the progenitor population increases systemic Notch levels, inhibiting *hes7.1* and inducing first *hes4*, then *hes5.10* to specify other cell types. This self-organized patterning system leads to sequential initiation of cell type specification, and the generation of correct cell numbers and ratios. Our data indicate that a set of repressors is used to regulate onset of mucociliary development as well as cell fate decisions. This suggests that cell fates are not actively induced, but facilitated by restricting alternative fate choices in multipotent progenitors. Thus, we refer to this mode of regulation as "competitive de-repression".

foxi1 is induced by maternally deposited Foxi2 at MBT(Cha et al., 2012) and is negatively regulated by Hes4/Hes5.10. Sustained Foxi1 specifies ISC fate, and consequently, ISCs are specified first and only at very low Notch levels. *hes4* is induced at intermediate Notch levels and favors MCC specification, while *hes5.10* is induced at higher Notch levels and required for SSCs, which are specified last. BC are

promoted by Notch and partially by Hes5.10, but our results suggest additional Hes-independent routes of *ΔN-tp63* regulation, which need further investigation. Our minimal-component *in silico* model recapitulates some of the most important features of the patterning process, such as the order of cell fate specification, temporal dependency, and changes in cell type compositions upon reduced Notch ligand expression. It the latter case, it produces results in line with our own and published data, e.g. the over-production of MCCs after Notch loss-of-function, that cannot be fully appreciated in our IF datasets, because not all MCCs can intercalate into the outer epithelium(Stubbs et al., 2006). Furthermore, the model predicts a new function of Spdef, which can be tested in future experiments.

In the mammalian lung, developmental time and location are coupled, with new cells emerging at the growing distal tips(Morimoto et al., 2010; Tsao et al., 2008). ISCs are rare and specified by higher Notch levels indicating that Foxi1 is not required for lung mucociliary development(Montoro et al., 2018). MCCs are specified first, emerge more distally than club cells, and produce Jag1/Jag2 ligands required for club cell specification(Morimoto et al., 2010; Rawlins et al., 2007), indicating a conservation of the general patterning mechanism. Airway BCs are not positively regulated by Notch during patterning, but sustained Notch inhibition prevents differentiation of MCCs and secretory cells in human ALI cultures, and leads to the thinning of the epithelium(Gomi et al., 2015; Rock et al., 2011), strongly suggesting a positive role for Notch in mammalian BCs maintenance as well. Similar to *Xenopus*, Hes5 is required for secretory cells, while Hes1 does not play a dominant role in mucociliary patterning in mammals(Tsao et al., 2009; Xing et al., 2012). Whether a similar competitive de-repression mechanism exists in airway mucociliary development remains unclear, but recent reports suggest a potentially similar function to Hes7.1 for Inhibitor of differentiation 2 (Id2) in the regulation of basal cells and differentiation(Kiyokawa et al., 2021).

Hence, we have uncovered a novel and potentially highly conserved self-organization mechanism regulating mucociliary cell type composition in vertebrates.

References:

Briggs, J. A., Weinreb, C., Wagner, D. E., Megason, S., Peshkin, L., Kirschner, M. W. and Klein, A. M. (2018). The dynamics of gene expression in vertebrate embryogenesis at single-cell resolution. *Science (80-.).* **360**, eaar5780.

Cha, S. W., McAdams, M., Kormish, J., Wylie, C. and Kofron, M. (2012). Foxi2 is an animally localized maternal mRNA in xenopus, and an activator of the zygotic ectoderm activator foxi1e. *PLoS One* **7**, 1–10.

Community, T. G. (2022). The Galaxy platform for accessible , reproducible and collaborative biomedical analyses : 2022 update. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **50**, 345–351.

Deblandre, G. A., Wettstein, D. A., Koyano-Nakagawa, N. and Kintner, C. (1999). A two-step mechanism generates the spacing pattern of the ciliated cells in the skin of Xenopus embryos. *Development* **126**, 4715–4728.

Dobin, A., Davis, C. A., Schlesinger, F., Drenkow, J., Zaleski, C., Jha, S., Batut, P., Chaisson, M. and Gingeras, T. R. (2013). STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. *Bioinformatics* **29**, 15–21.

Dubaissi, E., Rousseau, K., Lea, R., Soto, X., Nardeosingh, S., Schweickert, A., Amaya, E.,
Thornton, D. J. and Papalopulu, N. (2014). A secretory cell type develops alongside
multiciliated cells, ionocytes and goblet cells, and provides a protective, anti-infective function in
the frog embryonic mucociliary epidermis. *Development* **141**, 1514–25.

Fortriede, J. D., Pells, T. J., Chu, S., Chaturvedi, P., Wang, D., Fisher, M. E., James-zorn, C.,
Wang, Y., Nenni, M. J., Burns, K. A., et al. (2020). Xenbase : deep integration of GEO & SRA
RNA-seq and ChIP-seq data in a model organism database. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **48**, 776–782.

Gomi, K., Arbelaez, V., Crystal, R. G. and Walters, M. S. (2015). Activation of NOTCH1 or NOTCH3
Signaling Skews Human Airway Basal Cell Differentiation toward a Secretory Pathway. *PLoS*
One **10**, e0116507.

Guseh, J. S., Bores, S. A., Stanger, B. Z., Zhou, Q., Anderson, W. J., Melton, D. A. and
Rajagopal, J. (2009). Notch signaling promotes airway mucous metaplasia and inhibits alveolar
development. *Development* **136**, 1751–1759.

Haas, M., Gómez Vázquez, J. L., Sun, D. I., Tran, H. T., Brislinger, M., Tasca, A., Shomroni, O.,
Vleminckx, K. and Walentek, P. (2019). ΔN-Tp63 Mediates Wnt/β-Catenin-Induced Inhibition
of Differentiation in Basal Stem Cells of Mucociliary Epithelia. *Cell Rep.* **28**, 3338-3352.e6.

Harland, R. M. and Biology, C. (1991). In situ hybridization: an improved whole-mount method for
Xenopus embryos. *Methods Cell Biol.* **36**, 685–95.

Hayes, J. M., Kim, K. S., Abitua, P. B., Park, T. J., Herrington, E. R., Kitayama, A., Grow, M. W.,
Ueno, N. and Wallingford, J. B. (2007). Identification of novel ciliogenesis factors using a new
in vivo model for mucociliary epithelial development. *Dev. Biol.* **312**, 115–30.

Hogan, B. L. M., Barkauskas, C. E., Chapman, H. A., Epstein, J. A., Jain, R., Hsia, C. C. W.,
Niklason, L., Calle, E., Le, A., Randell, S. H., et al. (2014). Repair and Regeneration of the
Respiratory System: Complexity, Plasticity, and Mechanisms of Lung Stem Cell Function. *Cell*
Stem Cell **15**, 123–138.

Huang, Y. and Niehrs, C. (2014). Polarized Wnt signaling regulates ectodermal cell fate in Xenopus.
Dev. Cell **29**, 250–7.

Jukam, D., Shariati, S. A. M. and Skotheim, J. M. (2017). Zygotic Genome Activation in
Vertebrates. *Dev. Cell* **42**, 316–332.

Kageyama, R., Ohtsuka, T. and Kobayashi, T. (2007). The Hes gene family: Repressors and
oscillators that orchestrate embryogenesis. *Development* **134**, 1243–1251.

Karlsson, M., Zhang, C., Méar, L., Zhong, W., Digre, A., Katona, B., Sjöstedt, E., Butler, L.,
Odeberg, J., Dusart, P., et al. (2021). A single–cell type transcriptomics map of human tissues.
Sci. Adv. **7**, 1–10.

Karpinka, J. B., Fortriede, J. D., Burns, K. a, James-Zorn, C., Ponferrada, V. G., Lee, J., Karimi,
K., Zorn, A. M. and Vize, P. D. (2014). Xenbase, the Xenopus model organism database; new
virtualized system, data types and genomes. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **43**, 756–763.

Kiyokawa, H. and Morimoto, M. (2020). Notch signaling in the mammalian respiratory system,
specifically the trachea and lungs, in development, homeostasis, regeneration, and disease.
Dev. Growth Differ. **62**, 67–79.

Kiyokawa, H., Yamaoka, A., Matsuoka, C., Tokuhara, T., Abe, T. and Morimoto, M. (2021). Airway
basal stem cells reutilize the embryonic proliferation regulator, Tgfβ-Id2 axis, for tissue
regeneration. *Dev. Cell* **56**, 1917-1929.e9.

Liao, Y., Smyth, G. K. and Shi, W. (2014). featureCounts: an efficient general purpose program for
assigning sequence reads to genomic features. *Bioinformatics* **30**, 923–930.

Love, M. I., Huber, W. and Anders, S. (2014). Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion
for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biol.* **15**, 1–21.

Mir, A., Kofron, M., Zorn, A. M., Bajzer, M., Haque, M., Heasman, J. and Wylie, C. C. (2007).

Foxl1e activates ectoderm formation and controls cell position in the Xenopus blastula.
Development **134**, 779–88.

Montoro, D. T., Haber, A. L., Biton, M., Vinarsky, V., Lin, B., Birket, S. E., Yuan, F., Chen, S.,
Leung, H. M., Villoria, J., et al. (2018). A revised airway epithelial hierarchy includes CFTR-
expressing ionocytes. *Nature* **560**, 319–324.

Morimoto, M., Liu, Z., Cheng, H.-T., Winters, N., Bader, D. and Kopan, R. (2010). Canonical Notch
signaling in the developing lung is required for determination of arterial smooth muscle cells and
selection of Clara versus ciliated cell fate. *J. Cell Sci.* **123**, 213–24.

Morimoto, M., Nishinakamura, R., Saga, Y. and Kopan, R. (2012). Different assemblies of Notch
receptors coordinate the distribution of the major bronchial Clara, ciliated and neuroendocrine
cells. *Development* **139**, 4365–4373.

Nenni, M. J., Fisher, M. E., James-Zorn, C., Pells, T. J., Ponferrada, V., Chu, S., Fortriede, J. D.,
Burns, K. A., Wang, Y., Lotay, V. S., et al. (2019). XenBase: Facilitating the use of Xenopus to
model human disease. *Front. Physiol.* **10**, 1–13.

Nowotschin, S., Xenopoulos, P., Schrode, N. and Hadjantonakis, A. K. (2013). A bright single-cell
resolution live imaging reporter of Notch signaling in the mouse. *BMC Dev. Biol.* **13**, 1.

Ou-Yang, H. F., Wu, C. G., Qu, S. Y. and Li, Z. K. (2013). Notch signaling downregulates MUC5AC
expression in airway epithelial cells through hes1-dependent mechanisms. *Respiration* **86**, 341–
346.

Quigley, I. K. and Kintner, C. (2017). Rfx2 Stabilizes Foxj1 Binding at Chromatin Loops to Enable
Multiciliated Cell Gene Expression. *PLoS Genet.* 1–29.

Quigley, I. K., Stubbs, J. L. and Kintner, C. (2011). Specification of ion transport cells in the
Xenopus larval skin. *Development* **138**, 705–714.

Raue, A., Steiert, B., Schelker, M., Kreutz, C., Maiwald, T., Hass, H., Vanlier, J., Tönsing, C.,
Adlung, L., Engesser, R., et al. (2015). Data2Dynamics: A modeling environment tailored to
parameter estimation in dynamical systems. *Bioinformatics* **31**, 3558–3560.

Rawlins, E. L., Ostrowski, L. E., Randell, S. H. and Hogan, B. L. M. (2007). Lung development and
repair: Contribution of the ciliated lineage. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **104**, 410–417.

Rock, J. R., Gao, X., Xue, Y., Randell, S. H., Kong, Y. Y. and Hogan, B. L. M. M. (2011). Notch-
dependent differentiation of adult airway basal stem cells. *Cell Stem Cell* **8**, 639–648.

Schindelin, J., Arganda-Carreras, I., Frise, E., Kaynig, V., Longair, M., Pietzsch, T., Preibisch,
S., Rueden, C., Saalfeld, S., Schmid, B., et al. (2012). Fiji: an open-source platform for
biological-image analysis. *Nat. Methods* **9**, 676–82.

Schulz, K. N. and Harrison, M. M. (2019). Mechanisms regulating zygotic genome activation. *Nat.*
Rev. Genet. **20**, 221–234.

Session, A. M., Uno, Y., Kwon, T., Chapman, J. A., Toyoda, A., Takahashi, S., Fukui, A.,
Hikosaka, A., Suzuki, A., Kondo, M., et al. (2016). Genome evolution in the allotetraploid frog
Xenopus laevis. *Nature* **538**, 336–343.

Sive, H. L., Grainger, R. M. and Harland, R. M. (2000). *Early Development of Xenopus laevis*. Cold
Spring Harbor, NY, USA: Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press.

Sive, H. L., Grainger, R. M. and Harland, R. M. (2010). Microinjection of xenopus oocytes. *Cold*
Spring Harb. Protoc. **5**,.

Skirkanich, J., Luxardi, G., Yang, J., Kodjabachian, L. and Klein, P. S. (2011). An essential role
for transcription before the MBT in Xenopus laevis. *Dev. Biol.*

Stubbs, J. L., Davidson, L., Keller, R. and Kintner, C. (2006). Radial intercalation of ciliated cells
during Xenopus skin development. *Development* **133**, 2507–15.

Stubbs, J. L., Oishi, I., Izpisúa Belmonte, J. C. and Kintner, C. (2008). The forkhead protein Foxj1

specifies node-like cilia in Xenopus and zebrafish embryos. *Nat. Genet.* **40**, 1454–60.

Stubbs, J. L., Vladar, E. K., Axelrod, J. D. and Kintner, C. (2012). Multicilin promotes centriole
assembly and ciliogenesis during multiciliate cell differentiation. *Nat. Cell Biol.* **14**, 1–10.

Takada, H., Hattori, D., Kitayama, A., Ueno, N. and Taira, M. (2005). Identification of target genes
for the Xenopus Hes-related protein XHR1, a prepattern factor specifying the midbrain-hindbrain
boundary. *Dev. Biol.* **283**, 253–267.

Tasca, A., Helmstädter, M., Brislinger, M. M., Haas, M., Mitchell, B. and Walentek, P. (2021).
Notch signaling induces either apoptosis or cell fate change in multiciliated cells during
mucociliary tissue remodeling. *Dev. Cell* **56**, 525-539.e6.

Tsao, P. N., Chen, F., Izvolsky, K. I., Walker, J., Kukuruzinska, M. A., Lu, J. and Cardoso, W. V.
(2008). γ-Secretase activation of notch signaling regulates the balance of proximal and distal
fates in progenitor cells of the developing lung. *J. Biol. Chem.* **283**, 29532–29544.

Tsao, P.-N. N., Vasconcelos, M., Izvolsky, K. I., Qian, J., Lu, J. and Cardoso, W. V. (2009). Notch
signaling controls the balance of ciliated and secretory cell fates in developing airways.
Development **136**, 2297–307.

Volckaert, T. and De Langhe, S. (2014). Lung epithelial stem cells and their niches: Fgf10 takes
center stage. *Fibrogenes. Tissue Repair* **7**, 1–15.

Walentek, P. (2018). Manipulating and Analyzing Cell Type Composition of the Xenopus Mucociliary
Epidermis. In *Methods in Molecular Biology*, pp. 251–263.

Walentek, P. (2021a). Signaling Control of Mucociliary Epithelia: Stem Cells, Cell Fates, and the
Plasticity of Cell Identity in Development and Disease. *Cells Tissues Organs*.

Walentek, P. (2021b). Xenopus epidermal and endodermal epithelia as models for mucociliary
epithelial evolution, disease, and metaplasia. *Genesis* 1–17.

Walentek, P. and Quigley, I. K. I. K. (2017). What we can learn from a tadpole about ciliopathies and
airway diseases: Using systems biology in Xenopus to study cilia and mucociliary epithelia.
Genesis **55**, 1–12.

Walentek, P., Beyer, T., Thumberger, T., Schweickert, A. and Blum, M. (2012). ATP4a is required
for Wnt-dependent Foxj1 expression and leftward flow in Xenopus left-right development. *Cell
Rep.* **1**, 516–27.

**Walentek, P., Bogusch, S., Thumberger, T., Vick, P., Dubaissi, E., Beyer, T., Blum, M. and
Schweickert, A.** (2014). A novel serotonin-secreting cell type regulates ciliary motility in the
mucociliary epidermis of Xenopus tadpoles. *Dev.* **141**, 1526–1533.

Whitsett, J. A. (2018). Airway epithelial differentiation and mucociliary clearance. *Ann. Am. Thorac.
Soc.* **15**, S143–S148.

**Xing, Y., Li, A., Borok, Z., Li, C., Minoo, P., Medicine, C., Angeles, L., Xing, Y., Li, A., Borok, Z.,
et al.** (2012). NOTCH1 Is Required for Regeneration of Clara Cells During Repair of Airway
Injury. *Stem Cells* **30**, 946–955.

Yan, J., Xu, L., Crawford, G., Wang, Z. and Burgess, S. M. (2006). The Forkhead Transcription
Factor Foxl1 Remains Bound to Condensed Mitotic Chromosomes and Stably Remodels
Chromatin Structure. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **26**, 155–168.

Acknowledgments:

We thank: S. Schefold, M. Hansen, C. Softley for expert technical help; W. Driever, G.
Pyrowolakis, C. Kintner for discussions and/or critical reading; Xenbase, EXRC for
Xenopus resources; Light Imaging Center Freiburg and Aqua Core Facility for
microscope/animal resources; B. Grüning and the Freiburg Galaxy Team for the

bioinformatics platform and continuous support. This study was supported by the
Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) under the Emmy Noether Programme
(grant WA3365/2-1) and by the NHLBI through a Pathway to Independence Award
(K99HL127275) to PW; and under Germany's Excellence Strategy (CIBSS – EXC-
2189 – Project ID 390939984) to PW and CK.

Author contribution:

584 MMBE, SB, MH, AT, PW: Xenopus experiments; MMBE, PW: experimental design,
585 planning, analysis and interpretation of data; FL, CK: Mathematical modeling; PW:
586 bioinformatics, study design and supervision, coordinating collaborative work,
587 manuscript preparation with input from all authors.

Methods:
Animal models and data availability

Xenopus laevis

Wild-type Xenopus laevis were obtained from the European Xenopus Resource
Centre (EXRC) at University of Portsmouth, School of Biological Sciences, UK, or
Xenopus 1, USA. Frog maintenance and care was conducted according to standard
procedures in the AquaCore facility, University Freiburg, Medical Center (RI_00544)
and based on recommendations provided by the international Xenopus community
resource centers NXR (RRID:SCR_013731) and EXRC as well as by Xenbase
(http://www.xenbase.org/, RRID:SCR_003280)(Nenni et al., 2019).

Ethics statements on animal experiments

602 This work was done in compliance with German animal protection laws and was
603 approved under Registrier-Nr. G-18/76 by the state of Baden-Württemberg.

Material, data and code availability

606 NGS datasets are available via NCBI GEO (cf. RNA-sequencing on *Xenopus*
607 mucociliary organoids and bioinformatics analysis). Imaging and quantification data
608 are available to the scientific community upon request to peter.walentek@medizin.uni-
609 freiburg.de. Mathematical modeling details and code are available to the scientific
610 community upon request to ckreutz@imbi.uni-freiburg.de.

Xenopus experiments

Manipulation of Xenopus Embryos, Constructs and In Situ Hybridization

X. laevis eggs were collected and in vitro-fertilized, then cultured and
microinjected by standard procedures (Sive et al., 2010). Embryos were injected with
Morpholino oligonucleotides (MOs, Gene Tools), mRNAs or plasmid DNA at two-cell
or four-cell stage using a PicoSpritzer setup in 1/3x Modified Frog Ringer's solution
(MR) with 2.5% Ficoll PM 400 (GE Healthcare, #17-0300-50), and were transferred
after injection into 1/3x MR containing Gentamycin. Drop size was calibrated to about
7–8nL per injection.

Embryos injected with hormone-inducible constructs of (GFP-ΔN-tp63-GR(Haas et al.,
2019) and MCI-GR(Stubbs et al., 2012)) were treated with 10µM Dexamethasone
(Sigma-Aldrich/Merck #D4902) in ethanol from eight-cell stage until fixation. Ultrapure
Ethanol (NeoFroxx #LC-8657.3) was used as vehicle control.
Morpholino oligonucleotides (MOs) were obtained from Gene Tools targeting *dlc, dll1,*
foxa1, foxi1, hes2, hes4, hes5.10, hes7.1, jag1, jag2, notch1, notch2, notch3, and ΔN-
tp63, and used at doses as indicated below.
629
630 Morpholino Sequences

Name	Sequence	Concentratio n Range
foxi1MO	5'-GTGCTTGTGGATCAAATGCACTCAT-3'	3 pmol
hes4.LMO	5'-CAGGCATGTTCAGATGTTGTATCCG-3'	1-4 pmol
hes5.10.L/SMO	5'-TGCAGATTGGGAGCCATTCTTTATG-3'	1-4 pmol
hes7.1.LMO	5'-CTTCACTTGTTCCCTTCATGGTAAG-3'	1-4 pmol
notch1MO	5'-GCACAGCCAGCCCTATCCGATCCAT-3'	1-4 pmol
notch2.L/sMO	5'-CAAACACAGCCTGCACCCCCATC-3'	3 pmol
notch3.L/sMO	5'-CCCATGATGCTCTCCTCCCCC-3'	3 pmol
dll1.LMO	5'-CCCATGTTGTCTGATATGCGATTG-3'	1-4 pmol
dll1.sMO	5'-AGGCACTGCTGTCCCATGTTG-3'	1-4 pmol
dlc.LMO	5'-CAGTCTCCTGGCACTGACAAGGTG-3'	3 pmol
jag1.LMO	5'-CTCTCCTTGGGAAACGCATTGCTTC-3'	4 pmol
jag2.L/sMO	5'-TACTTATATCCAACATTGCTGGGAA-3'	4 pmol
foxa1MO	5'-ATGGCAAGTGCCCGAGAGAATCATT-3'	3 pmol
tp63MO	5'-GATACAACATCTTTGCAGTGAGGTT-3'	4 pmol
hes2.LMO	5'-GCTCCCAATGTAGCGCTCGCAGACT-3'	5-8 pmol

Full-length *hes1, hes4, hes5.10, hes7.1* and *hes2* constructs were cloned from
total reverse-transcribed cDNA into pCS107 for overexpression and/or pGEM-T Easy
(Promega #A137A) for anti-sense probes using primers listed below. All sequences
were verified by Sanger sequencing and linearized with Apa1 (New England BioLabs
#R0114S) to generate mRNAs (used at 25-150ng/µl). Anti-sense probe templates
were either linearized with Sac2 (New England BioLabs #R0157S) and synthesized
with SP6 RNA polymerase (Promega #P108G) or Sac1 (New England BioLabs
#r3156S) and synthesized with T7 (Promega #P207E)

mRNA encoding membrane-GFP was used in some experiments as lineage
tracers at 50ng/µL. All mRNAs were prepared using the Ambion mMessage Machine
kit using Sp6 (#AM1340) supplemented with RNAse Inhibitor (Promega #N251B).

Notch reporter 4xcsl::H2B-mvenus plasmid(Nowotschin et al., 2013) was injected
at 30ng/µl after purification using the PureYield Midiprep kit (Promega, #A2492).
For antisense *in situ* hybridization probes, *notch1, notch2, notch3, dlc*, and *jag2* fragments
were cloned from whole-embryo cDNAs derived from stages between 3 and 30 using primers
listed below (ISH-primers). All sequences were verified by Sanger sequencing. In addition, the
following, previously published probes were used: *foxi1*(Quigley et al., 2011) , *foxj1*(Stubbs et
al., 2008), *mcidas*(Stubbs et al., 2012), *foxa1*(Hayes et al., 2007) , *tp63*(Haas et al., 2019),
dll1(Deblandre et al., 1999) and *jag1*(Tasca et al., 2021).

Cloning Primer Sequences

Name	Sequence
ISH-notch1-F	CATTATCTCCCATCTGCTCC
ISH-notch1-R	CGTCTGGTTTATGTCGCTG
ISH-notch2-F	CAAATTCTCTTTCACCAGCAG
ISH-notch2-R	GTTTGAGATAACTGTCCATCGT
ISH-notch3-F	GCTTCTGGAGGAACACAACAC
ISH-notch3-R	GTAACATCATTGGGCACGCT
ISH-dlc-F	GAAGATTGATCGCTGTACC
ISH-dlc-R	AATTGGGACTTTCTGATTGG
BamH1-hes1-F	AAAGGATCCATGCCGGCTGATGTGATGGAG
hes1-Sal1-R	AAAGTCGACTTACCAGGGCCTCCAAACAG
BamH1-hes4-F	AAAGGATCCATGCCTGCAGATAGTATGGAG
hes4-Sal1-R	AAAGTCGACTCACCATGGTCGCCACACGG
BamH1-hes5.10-F	AAAGGATCCATGGCTCCAAATCTGCAGATG
hes5.10-Sal1-R	AAAGTCGACTTAAGGAGGAGAAAGAACCTG
BamH1-hes7.1-F	AAAGGATCCATGAAGGGAACAAGTGAAGTC
hes7.1-Sal1-R	AAAGTCGACTCATACCCAGGGTCTCCAGG
BamH1-hes2-F	AAAAGGATCCATGGCTCCCAATGTAGC
hes2-Sal1-R	AAAAGTCGACTCACCACGGCCTCCAG

Whole mount in situ hybridization

Embryos were fixed in MEMFA (100mM MOPS pH7.4, 2mM EGTA, 1mM MgSO4,
3.7% (v/v) Formaldehyde) overnight at 4°C and stored in 100% Ethanol at -20°C until
used. DNAs were purified using the PureYield Midiprep kit and were linearized before
in vitro synthesis of anti-sense RNA probes using T7 or Sp6 polymerase (Promega,
#P2077 and #P108G), RNAse inhibitor and dig-labeled rNTPs (Roche, #3359247910
and 11277057001). Embryos were in situ hybridized according to (Harland and
Biology, 1991), bleached (Sive et al., 2000) after staining with BM Purple (Roche
#11442074001) and imaged. Sections were made after embedding in gelatin-albumin
with Glutaraldehyde at 70μm as described in (Walentek et al., 2012).

Stage series and morphological evaluations

Embryos were staged according to Nieuwkoop and Faber (1994) Normal Table
of *Xenopus laevis* (Daudin). Garland Publishing Inc, New York ISBN 0-8153-1896-0.
Onset of marker gene expression was quantified after *in situ* hybridization according
to the number of stained dots visible in (prospective) epidermal cells. Marker
expression was categorized into three groups (no expression; <20 dots; >20 dots).
For embryos injected with *foxi1*MO, cell morphology and cell size were evaluated to
determine that the embryos were dying. These embryos showed the features of
aberrant cell morphology, increased cell size and cells/whole embryos that had
completely turned white.

Preparation of mucociliary organoids (animal caps)

679   Injected and wildtype embryos were cultured until st. 8. Animal caps were dissected
680   in 1x Modified Barth's solution (MBS) and transferred to 0.5x MBS + Gentamycin(Sive
681   et al., 2000). 10-15 organoids were collected in TRIzol (Thermo Fisher #15596026)
682   per stage and used for qPCR or RNAsequencing.

Quantitative RT-PCR

Total RNA was extracted using a standard Trizol (Invitrogen #15596026) protocol and used for cDNA synthesis with either iScript cDNA Synthesis Kit (Bio-Rad #1708891) or for the Notch reporter analysis with iScript gDNA Clear cDNA Synthesis Kit (Bio-Rad #1725035). qPCR-reactions were conducted using Sso Advanced Universal SYBR Green Supermix (Bio-Rad #172-5275) on a CFX Connect Real-Time System (Bio-Rad) in 96-well PCR plates (Brand #781366). Experiments for Notch reporter analysis were conducted in biological triplicates and technical duplicates and normalized by *ef1a* and *odc* expression levels. Induction assays were performed in 7 biological replicates from 5 different clutches and each with two technical replicates. Expression levels were normalized by *ef1α* and *odc* expression and analyzed in Excel. Graphs were generated using R.

qPCR Primer Sequences

Name	Sequence
qEf1a-F	CCCTGCTGGAAGCTCTTGAC
qEf1a-R	GGACACCAGTCTCCACACGA
qOdc-F	GGGCTGGATCGTATCGTAGA
qoOdc-R	TGCCAGTGTGGTCTTGACAT
qH2BVenus-F	CACCATCTTCTTCAAGGACGA
qH2BVenus-R	GGCTGTTGTAGTTGTACTCC
qFoxi1-F	TACCAAGAGATGAAGATGATC
qFoxi1-R	CTTGTCAGAAGATAACTGTCCA
qMci-F	AATCAAAAGCATCTGTC
qMci-R	TTTCTTAGAGATTTCCCGCA
qFoxa1-F	GGAGCAACTATTACCAGGAC
qFoxa1-R	ATGGGATTCATAGTCATGTAGG
qTP63DN-F2	AAGCTCTACCTTTGATGCTC
qTP63DN-R2	GATCTGTGGAATACGTCCAG
qFoxj1-F	CCAGTGATAGCAAAAGAGGT
qFoxj1-R	GCCATGTTCTCCTAATGGAT
qHes1-F	TGCGCTCAAGAAAGATAGCTC
qHes1-R	TTACTTCATTCATGCACTCGCT
qHes4-F	GATAAACCCAAGAGTGCCAG
qHes4-R	GTGCTTGACTGTCATTTCCA
qHes5.10-F	CTACAGCAACAGAAGAAACATCAG
qHes5.10-R	CCCACTGTCTCTTTCAAACAC
qHes7.1-F	GAAAGCTATTAAAGCCGTTGGTG
qHes7.1-R	GTGGGAGAAGTTTCCTGGAC
qDll1.L-F	TCACGAACTGAAGAATGAGGA
qDll1.L-R	TTGACGTTGAGTAGGCAGAG
qDlc.s-F	GGCACCTGTATCGAGAAGAG
qDlc.s-R	GACCCATATCTAGACACTGACC
qDll4.L-F	CATCTTTGGCTTGACGTTTCTC
qDll4.L-R	GACTTTCCATTCACCAACATCC
qJag1.L-F	CCAAACCCTGTGTAAATGCC
qJag1.L-R	GCGAAACCCATTAACCAAGTC
qJag2.L+s-F	GATATTTCTGTCACTGCCTTCC
qJag2.L-F	TCTTGCCAATGAAACCACGA
qJag2.s-R	GCTCTATCTCACAATGTTTCCCA

Immunofluorescence Staining and Sample Preparation

Whole Xenopus embryos, were fixed at indicated stages in 4% paraformaldehyde at 4°C overnight or 2h at room temperature, then washed 3x 15min with PBS, 2x 30min in PBST (0.1% Triton X-100 in PBS), and were blocked in PBST-CAS (90% PBS containing 0.1% Triton X-100, 10% CAS Blocking; ThermoFischer #00-8120) for 30min-1h at RT.

Mouse anti-Acetylated-α-tubulin (Sigma/Merck #T6793) was used as a primary antibody (1:1000) to mark cilia / MCCs applied at 4°C overnight, AlexaFlour 405-labeled goat anti-mouse antibody (Invitrogen # A30104) was used as a secondary antibody for 2 h at RT (1:250). Both antibodies were applied in 100% CAS Blocking (ThermoFischer #00-8120). Actin was stained by incubation (30-120 min at room temperature) with AlexaFluor 405-labeled Phalloidin (1:800 in PBSt; Invitrogen #A30104), mucus-like compounds were stained by incubation (overnight at 4°C) with AlexaFluor 647-labeled PNA (1:1000 in PBSt; Molecular Probes #L32460).

Confocal imaging, image processing and analysis

Confocal imaging was conducted using a Zeiss LSM880 and Zeiss Zen software. Confocal images were adjusted for channel brightness/contrast, Z-stack projections were generated and cell types were quantified based on their morphology using ImageJ(Schindelin et al., 2012). A detailed protocol for quantification of *Xenopus* epidermal cell types was published(Walentek, 2018) . Images of embryos after *in situ* hybridization and corresponding sections were imaged using an AxioZoom setup or AxioImager.Z1, and images were adjusted for color balance, brightness and contrast using Adobe Photoshop.

RNA-sequencing on *Xenopus* mucociliary organoids and bioinformatics analysis

X. laevis embryos were either injected 4x into the animal hemisphere at four-cell stage with mRNAs or MOs or remained uninjected, animal caps were prepared and used for RNA-sequencing at indicated stages. For RNA-seq dataset 1 (cf. **Fig. S2A**), uninjected organoids were collected at st. 9, st. 10.5 (labeled st. 10), st. 11.5 (labeled st. 11) and st. 12.5 (labeled st. 12) and organoids were derived from two independent experiments. For RNA-seq dataset 2 (cf. **Fig. S2A**), organoids were collected at st. 10.5 (labeled st. 10), st. 16 (st. 16) st. 25 (st. 25) and st. 32 (st. 32). Organoids were derived from 2 independent experiments. A previously published dataset (*ΔN-tp63*MO RNA-seq, GSE130448; cf. **Fig. S2B**) was used additionally(Haas et al., 2019).

500-1000 ng total RNA per sample was used, poly-A selection and RNA-sequencing library preparation was done using non strand massively-parallel cDNA sequencing (mRNA-Seq) protocol from Illumina, the TruSeq RNA Library Preparation Kit v2, Set A (Illumina #RS-122-2301) according to manufacturer's recommendation. Quality and integrity of RNA was assessed with the Fragment Analyzer from Advanced Analytical by using the standard sensitivity RNA Analysis Kit (Advanced Analytical #DNF-471). For accurate quantitation of cDNA libraries, the QuantiFluor™dsDNA System from Promega was used. The size of final cDNA libraries was determined using the dsDNA 905 Reagent Kit (Advanced Bioanalytical #DNF-905) exhibiting a sizing of 300 bp on average. Libraries were pooled and paired-end 100bp sequencing on a HiSeq4000 was conducted at the Transcriptome and Genome Analysis Laboratory, University of Göttingen (RNA-seq dataset 1) or at the Functional Genomics Laboratory, University of California at Berkeley (RNA-seq dataset 2). Sequence images were transformed with Illumina software BaseCaller to BCL files, which was demultiplexed to fastq files with bcl2fastq v2.17.1.14. Quality control was

done using FastQC v0.11.5 (Andrews, Simon (2010). "FastQC a quality-control tool for high-throughput sequence data" available at http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc).

After adapter-trimming, paired-end reads were mapped to *Xenopus laevis* genome assembly v9.2 using RNA STAR v2.6.0b-1(Dobin et al., 2013). featureCounts v1.6.3(Liao et al., 2014) was used to count uniquely mapped reads per gene and statistical analysis of differential gene expression was conducted in DEseq2 v1.22.1(Love et al., 2014). Heatmaps were generated in R v3.5.1 using ggplot2/heatmap2 v2.2.1. All bioinformatic analysis was performed on the Galaxy / Europe platform (usegalaxy.eu)(Community, 2022).

NGS data generated for this study was deposited at NCBI GEO under the accession numbers GSE215419 (RNA-seq dataset 1) and GSE215373 (RNA-seq dataset 2). Additionally, published data from Haas *et al.* (GSE130448) were used(Haas et al., 2019).

Gene expression plots over time of whole embryos for *hes2.L* and *hes7.1L* (cf. **Fig. 4A**) were generated using Xenbase (http://www.xenbase.org/, RRID:SCR_003280)(Fortriede et al., 2020; Session et al., 2016).

Quantification and statistical evaluation

Stacked bar graphs were generated in Microsoft Excel, box plots (the line represents the median; 50% of values are represented by the box; 95% of values are represented within whiskers; values beyond 95% are depicted as outliers) were generated in R. Heatmaps and Venn diagrams were generated using the Galaxy Europe platform (usegalaxy.eu)(Community, 2022). Sample sizes for all experiments were chosen based on previous experience and used embryos derived from at least two different females. No randomization or blinding was applied.

Use of shared controls

For some of the *in situ* experiments shared controls were used in multiple graphs.

Mathematical modeling

A Notch signaling ODE model for cell fate specification in mucociliary tissue

Our final ordinary differential equation (ODE) model (model 2) consists of 27 dynamical states, 38 reactions, 12 observables, 209 data points and 98 fitted parameters. In the following, it is explained what these quantities represent and how they are linked to each other in the model.

Dynamical states: Molecules and cells

The ODE model elucidates the influence of different molecular compounds on the cell type composition. This implies the model to act on two different scales: the molecular scale on the one hand, the cellular scale on the other. Therefore, unlike most ODE models in systems biology, the 27 dynamical states only partly represent the abundance of molecular compounds. Instead, 7 of them represent cell type abundances (**Table S3** and **S4**).

 <u>Time evolution of the states</u>

The rate equations, which govern the time evolution of system, can be divided
into 3 categories:

1. Rate equations that represent cell state transitions (for simplicity, we still call them
"reactions"): Initially, (nearly) all cells start as early progenitors (EPs). In a first step,
these early progenitors are assumed to become multipotent progenitors (MPPs) at a
constant rate (Reaction 1 in report), i.e. independent from any molecular abundances.
This is different in the second step: MPPs themselves turn into ionocytes (ISCs),
ciliated cells (MCCs), small secretory cells (SSCs) or basal cells (BCs) based on ΔN-
tp63 repression and the effective levels of Hes4, Hes5, Hes7.1 and Spdef. The
production rates of ISCs, MCCs, SSCs and BCs are given by hill equations, where
each hill equation represents an activating or inhibiting effect from one of the
mentioned transcription factors. The precise activations/inhibitions assumed in the
model are depicted in the model flow chart (**Fig.S9C**). Most transcription factors act on
a cell type either only activating or only inhibiting. The only exception is the effective
Spdef level: It activates SSCs at lower levels but inhibits SSCs at higher levels. It was
presumed that MPPs have to decide on a cell fate. This is ensured by a prior, that
penalizes high MPP abundances compared to BC abundances at a late time point. For
MCCs, two different dynamical states are introduced, because the related cell marker
mcidas is only transiently expressed. The transition from the MCC marker expressing
state to the later MCC state is assumed at a constant rate, i.e. independently of
transcription factors represented in the model.

2. Rate equations that represent production and degradation of molecules: All the
dynamical states representing molecular abundances (cf. **Table S4**) have a production
rate and a degradation rate. The degradation rates are assumed to be proportional to
the abundances of all molecular states with individual rate constants. In contrast, the
production rate depends on either the molecular abundances or on the cell type
composition:

a.) For all the dynamical states representing RNA (cf. **Table S4**) except the acute
827   Notch level, the production rates depend on other molecular abundances. The
828   precise activation/inhibition connections between the transcription factors are
829   depicted in the model flow chart (**Fig.S9C**). Hes4 is activated for low and
830   inhibited for high effective Notch levels. This is reflected by a product of two hill
831   equations at the according production rate. All others are given by a single hill
832   equation. For all the dynamical states representing protein abundances (cf.
833   **Table S4**), the production rates depend linearly or via a delay chain of length
834            $n=4$ on the related RNA state.

836   b.) The production rate for the acute Notch level depends on the cell composition.
837   It is defined as the weighted mean over the production rates of the individual
838   cell types. The weights are given by the cell type proportions, i.e. the cell type
839   composition. Initially, all the states from **Table S4** are in steady state condition.
840   These steady state conditions are calculated analytically. The starting point of
841   modeling the whole specification process is the transition from EPs to MPPs
842   and the resulting change in cell composition and acute Notch level production.

All other transcription factors are direct or intermediate targets of the acute Notch level.

Embryonic stage representation in the model

The mapping between development stages and physical time in minutes was implemented according to Xenbase.org (http://www.xenbase.org/anatomy/static/xenopustimetemp.jsp). In particular, the values for *Xenopus laevis*, 22°C, average were used. Time point zero (physical time) was chosen to be at stage 9. Stages, where only one sample is present in the weblink table (i.e., where the columns "Average", "Minimum" and "Maximum" coincide), were omitted. The value for the last considered stage, i.e. stage 25, is not present/taken in/from the table, but represents an interpolated value for stage 16 + 8 hours. Values for the physical time are obtained by linear interpolating the values from the columns A and B (**Table S5**).

Link to data

The parameters of the ODE model were fitted by two different types of data: time-resolved RNA-seq TPM data and cell counts from immunofluorescence (IF) images at a single later time of development (st. 32). The RNA-seq data observables (cf. **Table S6**) are linked to the dynamical ODE states via scaling parameters / proportionality factors (cf. **Table S7**), and in some cases offsets (*mcidas, foxa1*). The magnitude of the measurement errors for each observable were fitted by assuming constant error models on the logarithmic scale. The cell count observables for the three specified cell types (ISCs, MCCs, SSCs) coincide with the related dynamical ODE state, i.e. there are no additional parameters in the observation function. Here, measurement errors were taken from the estimated standard deviations over repeated measurements.

Software used

All modelling analyses were conducted using the Data2Dynamics modelling toolbox (https://github.com/Data2Dynamics/d2d)(Raue et al., 2015) which runs under Matlab, The MathWorks, Natick, MA.