Divergent selection in Mediterranean pine stands on local spatial scales

Katharina B. Budde[1,2], Christian Rellstab[3], Myriam Heuertz[2], Felix Gugerli[3], Miguel Verdú[4],

Juli G. Pausas[4], Santiago C. González-Martínez[2]

[1]University of Goettingen, Department of Forest Genetics, Buesgenweg 2, 37077 Goettingen,

Germany.

[2]INRAE, Univ. Bordeaux, BIOGECO, 33610 Cestas, France.

[3]Swiss Federal Research Institute WSL, Zürcherstrasse 111, 8903 Birmensdorf, Switzerland.

[4]Centro de Investigaciones sobre Desertificación (CIDE-CSIC/UV/GV), 46113 Moncada (Valencia),

Spain.

Running title:

Divergent selection in a Mediterranean pine

Corresponding author:

Katharina B. Budde

Faculty of Forest Sciences and Forest Ecology, Forest Genetics and Forest Tree Breeding,

University of Goettingen, Buesgenweg 2, 37077, Goettingen, Germany

Ph: +49 5513928179, E-mail: k.budde@uni-goettingen.de

Keywords: *Pinus pinaster*, paired sampling design, F_{ST} outlier, local adaptation, candidate

genes

Abstract

The effects of selection on an organism's genome are hard to detect on small spatial scales, as gene flow can erase signatures of local adaptation. Most genome scans to detect signatures of environmental selection are performed on large spatial scales, however divergent selection on the local scale (e.g. between contrasting soil conditions) has also been demonstrated, in particular for herbaceous plants. Here we hypothesize that in topographically complex landscapes, microenvironment variability is strong enough to leave a selective footprint in genomes of long-lived organisms. To test this, we investigated paired south- versus north-facing *Pinus pinaster* stands in a Mediterranean mountain area. While north-facing (mesic) stands experience less radiation, south facing (xeric) stands represent especially harsh conditions, particularly during the dry summer season. Outlier detection revealed five putatively adaptive loci out of 4,034, two of which encoded non-synonymous substitutions. Additionally, one locus showed consistent allele frequency differences in all three stand pairs indicating divergent selection despite high gene flow on the local scale. Functional annotation of these candidate genes revealed biological functions related to abiotic stress response in other species. Our study highlights how divergent selection shapes the functional genetic variation within populations of long-lived forest trees on local spatial scales.

Introduction

Spatially heterogeneous environments exert divergent selection pressures and can contribute to maintaining high levels of adaptive genetic variation within populations. However, understanding under which circumstances selection is acting and especially on which spatial scale divergent it can be detected remains poorly understood. Studying local adaptation in forest tree species is an important endeavor especially under current climate change [1,2]. Numerous studies have already revealed loci potentially involved in environmental adaptation. However, most of these studies have been conducted on regional to continental scales [e.g., 3–6], as gene flow on small spatial scales can blur the migration–selection equilibrium maintaining local adaptation. Divergent selection on the local scale, e.g. to toxic soil conditions, has often been observed in herbaceous plant species [7,8]. There is increasing evidence that plants exhibit adaptive divergence on very small spatial scales, i.e. on scales of tens of meters of distance in herbaceous species and of hundreds of meters of distance in some woody species (reviewed in [9,10]). Recent studies have started to address the factors shaping local adaptation on the microenvironmental scale in long-lived tree species [11–14]. Several tropical tree species show adaptation to microenvironmental conditions [13,14]. *Eperua falcata* (Fabaceae), for example, showed divergent selection between groups of individuals growing in seasonally flooded bottomlands and adjacent groups growing on dry *terra firme* soils [17,18]. Also, Gauzere *et al.* [19] found evidence for divergent selection acting on growth and phenology traits along an altitudinal gradient within natural stands of European beech (*Fagus sylvatica*) despite high gene flow.

   Identifying the genes and gene variants that confer local adaptation, i.e. higher fitness to certain environmental conditions, is of great interest in ecology and evolution. The detection and validation of candidate loci potentially under selection, however, remains challenging. Experimental functional validation is not attainable in non-model species, especially in trees with their long generation times. Previous studies showed that many approaches to detect loci under selection can be prone to false positives (e.g., [20,21]) and that the identified genomic signatures of selection might not always be observed in other locations with similar environmental conditions [22–24]. Therefore, combining several analytical approaches is recommended to reduce false positive detection [25]. Additionally, an appropriate sampling design can increase the power to detect loci involved in local adaptation. Especially, a paired design comprising several pairs of sampling sites with contrasting environmental conditions seems promising for the detection also of loci

displaying weak signatures of selection [26]. A simulation study by Lotterhos & Whitlock

[21] showed that sampling pairs of nearby populations (i.e. at gene flow distance) with

contrasting environmental conditions increases the probability of detecting true positive

outlier loci compared to gradient or random sampling designs.

In Mediterranean ecosystems, water availability is one of the most important factors

driving selection and plant species are typically well adapted to summer dry conditions

[27,28]. Still, considerable microenvironmental variation can be observed especially in

topographically complex landscapes, such as Mediterranean mountain systems. Equator-

facing slopes receive lower solar radiation flux density, leading to lower evapotranspiration

rates and lower daily maximum temperatures during summer drought periods, and therefore

show a significantly different composition, structure and density of plant communities as

compared to slopes facing pole-wards [29–31]. We hypothesize that, in topographically

complex Mediterranean forests, microclimate variability is strong enough to leave a selective

footprint on long-lived trees. In this study, we used a robust paired sampling design within a

natural population of Maritime pine (*Pinus pinaster* Aiton, Pinaceae) to specifically test for

genetic signatures of divergent selection between xeric (south-facing slope) and mesic (north-

facing slope) conditions.

Material and methods

Study species and sample collection

Maritime pine is a monoecious conifer species growing in the western part of the

Mediterranean basin and along the Atlantic coast in south-western Europe. It is pollinated and

dispersed by wind. Pollen flow is therefore wide-ranging, following highly leptokurtic

dispersal kernels with average dispersal distances of 78-174 m and frequent long-distance

dispersal events [32]. Gene flow via seeds is more restricted (average of 26.53 m [33]), but

post-dispersal processes, such as the Janzen-Connell effect and microenvironmental

variation affecting survival at early life stages can substantially increase effective dispersal

distances [34].

For this study, we sampled three pairs of *P. pinaster* stands with contrasting

microenvironmental conditions in a natural forest near Eslida in Sierra de Espadán, Eastern

Spain (Fig. 1). All *P. pinaster* trees belong to a single gene pool [35,36] and the region is

characterized by a warm and dry climate. Stand-replacing crown fire events are common and

may take place every few years. Under these conditions regeneration is mostly driven by fire

events leading to even aged cohorts [37]. We selected one stand pair consisting of one south-

facing slope and trees from a nearby shady valley along a (mostly) north-exposed stream

(S1/N1) and two pairs of stands (S2/N2 and S3/N3) with south- (dry and warm) and north-

facing slopes (more humid and less warm). For simplicity, we will refer to this sampling

design as three pairs of south- and north-facing slopes. In each of the six stands, we

haphazardly sampled 25 trees with DBH (diameter at breast height) > 16, making a total of

150 trees (Fig. 1, Table 1). All trees were georeferenced using a Garmin Oregon 550t

(Garmin, Wichita, USA), height was assessed using a Digital hypsometer Forestor Vertex

(Haglöf, Långsele, Sweden) and the DBH was measured. The maximum straight-line distance

between sampled trees was ca. 10 km between stand pairs and 820 m between trees within

pairs.

DNA extraction and genotyping

Needles were collected from the 150 trees and desiccated using silica gel. Genomic DNA was

isolated using the Invisorb® DNA Plant HTS 96 Kit/C kit (Invitek GmbH, Berlin, Germany)

following the manufacturer's instructions.

An Illumina Infinium SNP (Single Nucleotide Polymorphism) array (Illumina, Inc.,

San Diego, USA) developed by Plomion *et al.* [38] was used for genotyping. This array is

enriched in SNPs from genes that showed signatures of natural selection in previous studies

[27, 28, 29] or differential expression under biotic and abiotic stress [38] in maritime pine, but

most of the SNPs represent potentially neutral polymorphisms. After removing SNPs with

uncertain scoring based on visual inspection using *GenomeStudio* Genotyping Module v1.0

(Illumina, Inc.) and monomorphic SNPs, we kept 5,024 high-quality SNPs, of which 4,034

had a minor allele frequency (MAF) > 0.1. The amount of missing genotype data per stand

was very low (maximum of 1%). This data set has recently been used to characterize the

effective population size in Sierra de Espadán, as part of a meta-study [41].

Data analyses

First, we characterized the study stands based on the sampled trees' height and DBH and

tested if these phenotypic traits differed significantly between south- and north-facing slopes

using a two sample Student's *t* test on each stand pair run in R v. 4.1.2 [42]. Then, based on

the SNP data, we estimated genetic diversity parameters such as observed and expected

heterozygosity and the fixation index using the R package *hierfstat* [43]. After this, we tested

whether we could detect significant neutral genetic differentiation between the sampled stands

by estimating pairwise F_{ST} [44] using the complete SNP data set and comparing with neutral

expectations from 1,000 permutations. To visualize the neutral population genetic structure

inherent to our data, we also performed a Principal Component Analysis (PCA) using the

function *dudi.pca* implemented in the R package *ade4* [45] and a supervised (i.e. defining

each stand as a group) Discriminant Analysis of Principal Components (DAPC) using the

dapc function in the R package *adegenet* [46] based on all SNP markers. Additionally, we

assessed the fine-scale spatial genetic structure (SGS) within each of the three pairs. First, we

estimated the pairwise Loiselle kinship coefficient [47] in SPAGeDi v. 1.5d [48] between

individuals. The average kinship coefficient per distance class was regressed against the

logarithm of spatial distances and significance was assessed based on 10,000 permutations of

individual locations. The strength of SGS was estimated as $Sp = -b/(1 - F_1)$, where b is the

regression slope and F_1 is the average kinship coefficient in the first distance class [49].

To detect loci potentially under selection in slopes with contrasting aspects

(south/north) in a hierarchically structured population [50], we used two hierarchical F_{ST}

outlier detection approaches that take into account the paired sampling design, one

implemented in Arlequin v 3.5.2 [51] and the other in BayeScanH, which is especially

suitable for small sample sizes [52]. For this, we first defined the pairs and then the aspect of

the slopes (south/north) within pairs. In Arlequin F_{ST} values can be slightly negative

especially on small spatial scale but including loci with negative F_{ST} values impedes outlier

analyses. Therefore, only SNPs with positive values of estimated F_{ST} (1,810 SNPs) were

considered in Arlequin analysis (200,000 simulations). We report F_{SC} outlier loci for

divergence between sites within pairs. To identify outlier loci with BayeScanH, we used the

full dataset of 4,034 SNPs with MAF > 0.1, and default parameters with an odds prior of 10.

We tested two models, one with the same selection pressure acting between contrasting slopes

in the three stand pairs and another one with three independent selection pressures on

contrasting slopes within the three pairs. Finally, using the R-script 'paired_GEA.R' from

https://gitlabext.wsl.ch/rellstab/genotype-environment-associations, we tested if any of the

candidate SNPs identified with Arlequin or BayeScanH showed consistent patterns in

population allele frequencies between the paired stands in all replicates. For this, we checked

whether the differences in population allele frequency had the same sign in all pairs, i.e.

whether the population allele frequency in all north-facing slopes was consistently lower or

higher than the allele frequency in all south-facing slopes (i.e. the strict sign test). Then, we

ran a linear mixed model using the function *lme* implemented in the package *nlme* [53], with

population allele frequency as response variable, slope aspect (south/north) as fixed effect and

pair as random factor.

The sequences flanking SNPs identified as loci potentially under selection, and

associated annotation, were retrieved from Plomion *et al.* [38]. These sequences were newly

blasted against the NCBI nucleotide database to check for new functional annotations.

Results

Tree height was consistently lower in south- than in north-facing slopes and the difference

was significant in two out of the three stand pairs (Supp. Mat. Fig. S1.1, Table S1.1), while no

significant difference was detected for DBH in any stand pair.

Expected and observed heterozygosity (not shown) were very similar in all six study

stands with values around 0.33, resulting in fixation indices close to zero (Table 1). Pairwise

genetic differentiation between stands based on all 5,024 SNP markers was weak, ranging

from 0.004 to 0.033, but highly significant above zero, with all P-values < 0.001

(Supplementary Material, Table S2.1). The DAPC clearly depicted the hierarchical population

structure due to the paired sampling design, with stronger genetic differentiation among than

within stand pairs (i.e. between south- and north-facing stands; Fig. 2). The hierarchical

population structure was also visible but less evident in the PCA plot (Supplementary

Material, Fig. S2.1). SGS was significant, showing isolation by distance, in all stand pairs and

strongest in pair N3/S3 (Supplementary Material, Fig. S2.2).

In total, 18 SNPs were located above the 99% confidence intervals using the

hierarchical island model in Arlequin and, thus, were considered as significant outliers for

genetic differentiation between south- and north-facing slopes (Fig. 3, Supplementary

Material Table S3.1). Additionally, ten loci were identified as significant F_{ST} outliers by

BayeScanH when assuming independent selection pressures for each of the three pairs of

stands (Supplementary Material, Table S3.1). None of these outlier loci was significant in all

three sampling pairs in BayeScanH. Moreover, no significant outlier locus was detected when

assuming the same selection pressure in all three pairs of stands.

When comparing the two methods, five loci were identified as outliers by both

Arlequin and BayeScanH, and only one additional outlier locus, AL751008_691 detected by

Arlequin, showed consistent allele frequency differences between south- and north-facing

slopes (Figure 4) and a significant effect of the site aspect as indicated by the linear mixed

model ($P_{\text{site type}} = 0.0021$). Two out of these six outliers SNPs showed non-synonymous

changes and coded for a putative RNA-binding protein and a V-type proton ATPase catalytic

subunit, respectively (Table 2).

Discussion

The paired sampling design in Sierra de Espadán, contrasting south- and north-facing slopes

within a large and continuous *P. pinaster* population, was specifically used to test for

microenvironmental adaptation driven by water availability. Paired sampling in stands with

contrasting environments, such as dry vs. humid patches, represents a powerful approach to

reveal loci under selection [21,25], because it maximizes potential for divergent selection

while minimizing the effect of confounding population structure. Several studies successfully

employed the paired sampling design to detect loci under selection (e.g. [14,18,54–58]). In

conifers, four previous studies revealed loci significantly associated to altitudinal or other

microenvironmental gradients in *Abies alba* [57,58], *Pinus halepensis* [56], and *P. pinaster*

and *Cedrus atlantica* [14], but only few loci showed consistent patterns of allele frequency

shifts along the replicated stand pairs. Here, we specifically tested for consistent patterns of

divergent selection on the local scale, with trees growing in direct vicinity, between mesic and

xeric stands.

We first showed a hierarchical population genetic structure despite high gene flow in

P. pinaster within one large population in Sierra de Espadán (eastern Spain). From previous

work, it is known that this forest constitutes a single gene pool [35,36]. Moreover, fine-scale

spatial genetic structure within continuous populations typically is weak in this wind-

pollinated and wind-dispersed species [59]. Therefore, it is remarkable to find significant

differentiation among all sampling sites, even between the neighbouring south- and north-

facing slopes, clearly depicting the hierarchical structure. This pattern could be caused by

phenological differences in flowering time restricting effective gene flow between contrasting

slopes due to temporal separation [60]. However, it could also reflect isolation by distance to

some degree as indicated by significant SGS (Supplementary Material Fig.2.2). Indeed,

differentiation between neighbouring slopes and SGS were strongest for pair N3/S3, which

was also the pair with the biggest geographic distance between stands. However,

differentiation between directly neighbouring south- and north-facing slopes could

additionally be driven by isolation by environment (IBE), which would imply selection

against maladapted immigrants resulting in genome-wide patterns of genetic differentiation

[61,62]. Furthermore, clonal common gardens of range-wide *P. pinaster* populations show

strong local adaptation to different environmental conditions [35,63–65]. In particular, tree

height was shown to correlate negatively with maximum summer temperatures (i.e. trees from

populations originating in hotter environments tend to be smaller when grown in the same

environment), indicating an adaptive response to hot summer temperatures [64]. In forest

trees, steep equator-facing slopes usually limit growth, while taller trees are typically found

on less steep and pole-facing slopes [66]. In agreement with this, *P. pinaster* trees on south-

facing slopes in the Sierra de Espadán tended to be smaller, which could indicate that the

populations responded to the harsher environmental conditions either through plasticity or

local adaptation.

Second, the complementary approaches to detect outlier loci in hierarchical sampling

designs identified five out of 4,034 SNPs (with MAF>0.1) as putatively under divergent

selection on local spatial scales. One additional locus (AL751008-691) showed a consistent

allele frequency pattern in accordance with microenvironmental adaptation in all three stand

pairs. Environmental conditions on south- and north-facing slopes are known to differ

strongly, e.g. in light and water availability [67]. Slopes with different aspect are often

characterized by differences in composition, structure and density of plant communities [29–

31]. Tree species have developed diverse adaptations in response to strong selection pressures

in dry environmental conditions [68]. *Pinus pinaster* stands as a suitable study species to test

for divergent selection on the local scale. Multisite clonal common gardens comprising range-

wide populations already revealed that the species is susceptible to drought. Survival was

lowest in the common garden sites with the harshest (dry and hot) conditions [63], and certain

alleles at candidate loci associated with climate were connected to a higher probability of

survival [35]. Here, we showed that contrasting environmental conditions on different slopes,

in direct vicinity and in the presence of gene flow, can also shape the distribution of genetic

variation in long-lived forest trees such as *P. pinaster*.

Outlier loci related to differences in drought intensity and temperature have been

found in different pine species on range-wide spatial scales. For example, Eckert *et al.* [69],

found five outlier loci associated with aridity in *Pinus taeda*. In natural *Pinus albicaulis*

populations, Lind *et al.* [70] also identified water availability as a strong driver of genomic

adaptation signatures. They detected allele frequency changes at candidate genes along a

precipitation gradient on the regional scale in the Lake Tahoe Basin, an ecosystem similar to

that studied here (i.e. Mediterranean-type mountains). Candidate gene approaches in maritime

pine also found various outlier loci related to drought response and precipitation on large

spatial scales [35,39,71] and between shady and sunny stands at the microenvironmental scale

[14]. Our study detected a small number of outlier loci potentially related to water availability

in maritime pine on the local scale, i.e. within gene flow distance. One of these outlier loci

(CT384-490, coding for a non-synonymous change) has been previously associated to winter

precipitation on the range-wide scale [35]. Four of the six candidate SNP loci showing strong

evidence of local adaptation on small spatial scales were functionally annotated and two of

them coded for non-synonymous changes. Locus BX250086 coded for an oligouridylate

binding protein-like protein and BX251523 for a V-type proton ATPase catalytic subunit.

Locus i09683s215pg, which is coding for a non-synonymous change, is located in a gene

encoding for a raffinose_syn domain containing protein. Genes annotated with similar

functions have been described to be involved in abiotic stress response, such as drought stress,

in other plant species [72–74].

In the last years, reference genomes, even for conifer species with extremely large

genomes (> 18 Gbp), have been published [75–77], however, the functional annotation of

conifer genomes is still limited and a reference genome for *P. pinaster* is lacking. In this

study, we were able to retrieve putative annotations for only four out of six candidate genes,

highlighting the need to complete and improve our knowledge of conifer genomes and their

functional annotation. In addition, although we were able to identify some candidate loci

under divergent selection on the local scale, only one locus showed consistent differences in

allele frequencies in all three stand pairs. This is in agreement with a recent study by Scotti et

al. [14] where only a small proportion of outlier loci (0.1-1% of all loci depending on the

species) showed consistent allele frequency differences between pairs of sites with contrasting

conditions indicating that common signatures of selection are scarce. In BayeScanH,

significant results were only obtained when assuming three independent selection pressures,

which suggests the probable existence of differences in strength and direction of selection

pressures even on very small spatial scales. This is consistent with other studies employing

replicated paired sample designs [14,56–58], highlighting the complexity of selection drivers

and the difficulties to identify them in natural experimental settings.

Conclusion

Our findings are in line with recent studies that identified loci under divergent selection

between stands growing in contrasting environmental conditions on the local scale in long-

lived forest trees [17–19,78]. The increasing number of available genetic markers, also in

non-model species, will improve the statistical power to detect such patterns on local scales.

Understanding how microenvironmental heterogeneity shapes and maintains the functional

genetic variation is especially relevant as this local scale variation is at the base of the

population response to future climate. The importance of genetic variation within populations

and the strength of selection on small spatial scales have probably been underestimated so far.

Especially with respect to climate change, the knowledge about genetic variation and

processes that shape the genetic structure on different geographic scales are of utmost

importance to develop suitable forest tree conservation and management strategies. Forest

management, for instance, could be used to foster natural standing genetic variation and hence

in situ evolution [79] potentially making unnecessary the use of assisted gene flow or

migration.

Data accessibility

323 GPS coordinates and SNP genotypes of all individuals included in this study are available on

324 Zenodo public database: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.6345964. The R-script

325 'paired_GEA.R' for analyzing population allele frequencies in paired stands with a known

326 environmental contrast can be found at https://gitlabext.wsl.ch/rellstab/genotype-

327 environment-associations.

Acknowledgements

We thank Ana Hernández-Serrano, Fernando del Caño, Delphine Grivet, Mario Zabal-

Aguirre, Natalia Vizcaíno-Palomar, Enrique Sáez-Laguna, Diana Turrión and Miguel Navarro

for help in the field and Carmen García-Barriga (INIA-CIFOR) for assistance in the

laboratory. The present study was funded by projects from the Spanish Ministry of Science

and Innovation (MICINN): VaMPiro (CGL2008-05289-C02-01/02), LinkTree (EUI2008-

03713, under the ERAnet-BiodivERsA call), and TrEvol (CGL2012-39938-C02-00/01), and

the IdEx Bordeaux (EcoGenPin, Chaires d'installation 2015). KBB acknowledges an

exchange grant of the European Science Foundation (ESF) within the framework of

'Conservation Genomics: Amalgamation of Conservation Genetics and Ecological and

Evolutionary Genomics' (ConGenOmics).

References

1.   Aitken SN, Yeaman S, Holliday JA, Wang T, Curtis-McLane S. 2008 Adaptation,
migration or extirpation: climate change outcomes for tree populations. *Evol. Appl.* **1**,
95–111. (doi:10.1111/j.1752-4571.2007.00013.x)
2.   Kremer A *et al.* 2012 Long-distance gene flow and adaptation of forest trees to rapid
climate change. *Ecol. Lett.* **15**, 378–392. (doi:10.1111/j.1461-0248.2012.01746.x)
3.   Holliday JA, Suren H, Aitken SN. 2012 Divergent selection and heterogeneous
migration rates across the range of Sitka spruce (*Picea sitchensis*). *Proc. R. Soc. B Biol.*
Sci. **279**, 1675–1683. (doi:10.1098/rspb.2011.1805)
4.   Martins K, Gugger PF, Llanderal-Mendoza J, González-Rodríguez A, Fitz-Gibbon ST,
Zhao JL, Rodríguez-Correa H, Oyama K, Sork VL. 2018 Landscape genomics
provides evidence of climate-associated genetic variation in Mexican populations of
Quercus rugosa. *Evol. Appl.* **11**, 1842–1858. (doi:10.1111/eva.12684)

5. Postolache D *et al.* 2021 Genetic signatures of divergent selection in European beech
(*Fagus sylvatica* L.) are associated with the variation in temperature and precipitation
across its distribution range. *Mol. Ecol.* **30**, 5029–5047. (doi:10.1111/mec.16115)

6. Stölting KN, Paris M, Meier C, Heinze B, Castiglione S, Bartha D, Lexer C. 2015
Genome-wide patterns of differentiation and spatially varying selection between
postglacial recolonization lineages of *Populus alba* (Salicaceae), a widespread forest
tree. *New Phytol.* **207**, 723–734. (doi:10.1111/nph.13392)

7. Jain SK, Bradshaw AD. 1966 Evolutionary divergence among adjacent plant
populations I. The evidence and its theoretical analysis. *Heredity.* **21**.
(doi:10.1038/hdy.1966.42)

8. Antonovics G. 1970 Evolution in closely adjacent plant populations VIII. Clinal
patterns at a mine boundary. *Heredity.* **25**. (doi:10.1038/hdy.1970.36)

9. Linhart YB, Grant MC. 1996 Evolutionary significance of local genetic differentiation
in plants. *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst.* **27**, 237–277. (doi:10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.27.1.237)

10. Scotti I, González-Martínez SC, Budde KB, Lalagüe H. 2016 Fifty years of genetic
studies: what to make of the large amounts of variation found within populations? *Ann.*
For. Sci. **73**, 69–75. (doi:10.1007/s13595-015-0471-z)

11. Budde KB *et al.* 2017 Increased fire frequency promotes stronger spatial genetic
structure and natural selection at regional and local scales in *Pinus halepensis* Mill.
Ann. Bot. **119**, 1061–1072. (doi:10.1093/aob/mcw286)

12. von Takach B, Ahrens CW, Lindenmayer DB, Banks SC. 2021 Scale-dependent
signatures of local adaptation in a foundation tree species. *Mol. Ecol.* **30**, 2248–2261.
(doi:10.1111/mec.15894)

13. Mosca E, Gugerli F, Eckert AJ, Neale DB. 2016 Signatures of natural selection on
Pinus cembra and *P. mugo* along elevational gradients in the Alps. *Tree Genet.*
Genomes **12**, 1–15. (doi:10.1007/s11295-015-0964-9)

14. Scotti I *et al.* 2022 Common microgeographical selection patterns revealed in four
European conifers. *Mol. Ecol.* (doi:10.1111/mec.16750)

15. Schmitt S, Tysklind N, Heuertz M, Hérault B. 2022 Selection in space and time:
Individual tree growth is adapted to tropical forest gap dynamics. *Mol. Ecol.*
(doi:10.1111/mec.16392)

16. Schmitt S, Tysklind N, Hérault B, Heuertz M. 2021 Topography drives
microgeographic adaptations of closely related species in two tropical tree species
complexes. *Mol. Ecol.* **30**, 5080–5093. (doi:10.1111/mec.16116)

17. Audigeos D, Brousseau L, Traissac S, Scotti-Saintagne C, Scotti I. 2013 Molecular
divergence in tropical tree populations occupying environmental mosaics. *J. Evol. Biol.*
26, 529–544. (doi:10.1111/jeb.12069)

18. Brousseau L, Fine PVA, Dreyer E, Vendramin GG, Scotti I. 2021 Genomic and
phenotypic divergence unveil microgeographic adaptation in the Amazonian
hyperdominant tree *Eperua falcata* Aubl. (Fabaceae). *Mol. Ecol.* **30**, 1136–1154.
(doi:10.1111/mec.15595)

19. Gauzere J, Klein EK, Brendel O, Davi H, Oddou-Muratorio S. 2020 Microgeographic
adaptation and the effect of pollen flow on the adaptive potential of a temperate tree
species. *New Phytol.* **227**, 641–653. (doi:10.1111/nph.16537)

20. De Villemereuil P, Frichot É, Bazin É, François O, Gaggiotti OE. 2014 Genome scan
methods against more complex models: When and how much should we trust them?
Mol. Ecol. **23**, 2006–2019. (doi:10.1111/mec.12705)

21. Lotterhos KE, Whitlock MC. 2015 The relative power of genome scans to detect local
adaptation depends on sampling design and statistical method. *Mol. Ecol.* **24**, 1031–
1046. (doi:10.1111/mec.13100)

22. Rellstab C, Fischer MC, Zoller S, Graf R, Tedder A, Shimizu KK, Widmer A,

Holderegger R, Gugerli F. 2017 Local adaptation (mostly) remains local: Reassessing environmental associations of climate-related candidate SNPs in *Arabidopsis halleri*. *Heredity.* **118**, 193–201. (doi:10.1038/hdy.2016.82)

23. Buehler D, Holderegger R, Brodbeck S, Schnyder E, Gugerli F. 2014 Validation of outlier loci through replication in independent data sets: A test on *Arabis alpina*. *Ecol. Evol.* **4**, 4296–4306. (doi:10.1002/ece3.1300)

24. Korves TM, Schmid KJ, Caicedo AL, Mays C, Stinchcombe JR, Purugganan MD, Schmitt J. 2007 Fitness effects associated with the major flowering time gene FRIGIDA in *Arabidopsis thaliana* in the field. *Am. Nat.* **169**, E141–E157. (doi:10.1086/513111)

25. Rellstab C, Gugerli F, Eckert AJ, Hancock AM, Holderegger R. 2015 A practical guide to environmental association analysis in landscape genomics. *Mol. Ecol.* **24**, 4348–4370. (doi:10.1111/mec.13322)

26. Lotterhos KE, Whitlock MC. 2014 Evaluation of demographic history and neutral parameterization on the performance of F_{ST} outlier tests. *Mol. Ecol.* **23**, 2178–2192. (doi:10.1111/mec.12725)

27. Nardini A, Lo Gullo MA, Trifilò P, Salleo S. 2014 The challenge of the Mediterranean climate to plant hydraulics: Responses and adaptations. *Environ. Exp. Bot.* **103**, 68–79. (doi:10.1016/j.envexpbot.2013.09.018)

28. Ramírez-Valiente JA, Santos del Blanco L, Alía R, Robledo-Arnuncio JJ, Climent J. 2022 Adaptation of Mediterranean forest species to climate: Lessons from common garden experiments. *J. Ecol.* **110**, 1022–1042. (doi:10.1111/1365-2745.13730)

29. Sternberg M, Shoshany M. 2001 Influence of slope aspect on Mediterranean woody formations: Comparison of a semiarid and an arid site in Israel. *Ecol. Res.* **16**, 335–345. (doi:10.1046/j.1440-1703.2001.00393.x)

30. Cantlon JE. 1953 Vegetation and microclimates on north and south slopes of Cushetunk Mountain, New Jersey. *Ecol. Monogr.* **23**, 241–270. (doi:10.2307/1943593)

31. Pigott CD, Pigott S. 1993 Water as a determinant of the distribution of trees at the boundary of the Mediterranean zone. *J. Ecol.* **81**, 557. (doi:10.2307/2261534)

32. de-Lucas AI, Robledo-Arnuncio JJ, Hidalgo E, González-Martínez SC. 2008 Mating system and pollen gene flow in Mediterranean maritime pine. *Heredity.* **100**, 390–399. (doi:10.1038/sj.hdy.6801090)

33. González-Martínez SC, Gerber S, Cervera MT, Martínez-Zapater JM, Gil L, Alía R. 2002 Seed gene flow and fine-scale structure in a Mediterranean pine (*Pinus pinaster* Ait.) using nuclear microsatellite markers. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* **104**, 1290–1297. (doi:10.1007/s00122-002-0894-4)

34. González-Martínez SC, Burczyk J, Nathan R, Nanos N, Gil L, Alía R. 2006 Effective gene dispersal and female reproductive success in Mediterranean maritime pine (*Pinus pinaster* Aiton). *Mol. Ecol.* **15**, 4577–4588. (doi:10.1111/j.1365-294X.2006.03118.x)

35. Jaramillo-Correa JP *et al.* 2015 Molecular proxies for climate maladaptation in a long-lived tree (*Pinus pinaster* Aiton, Pinaceae). *Genetics* **199**, 793–807.

36. Budde KB, Heuertz M, Hernández-Serrano A, Pausas JG, Vendramin GG, Verdú M, González-Martínez SC. 2014 *In situ* genetic association for serotiny, a fire-related trait, in Mediterranean maritime pine (Pinus pinaster). *New Phytol.* **201**, 230–241. (doi:10.1111/nph.12483)

37. Hernandez-Serrano A, Verdu M, Gonzalez-Martinez SC, Pausas JG. 2013 Fire structures pine serotiny at different scales. *Am. J. Bot.* **100**, 2349–2356. (doi:10.3732/ajb.1300182)

38. Plomion C *et al.* 2016 High-density SNP assay development for genetic analysis in maritime pine (*Pinus pinaster*). *Mol. Ecol. Resour.* **16**, 574–587. (doi:10.1111/1755-0998.12464)

39. Eveno E *et al.* 2008 Contrasting patterns of selection at *Pinus pinaster* Ait. drought
stress candidate genes as revealed by genetic differentiation analyses. *Mol. Biol. Evol.*
25, 417–437. (doi:10.1093/molbev/msm272)

40. Grivet D, Sebastiani F, Alia R, Bataillon T, Torre S, Zabal-Aguirre M, Vendramin GG,
Gonzalez-Martinez SC. 2011 Molecular footprints of local adaptation in two
Mediterranean conifers. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **28**, 101–116. (doi:10.1093/molbev/msq190)

41. Santos del Blanco L, Olsson S, Budde KB, Grivet D, González-Martínez SC, Alía R,
Robledo-Arnuncio JJ. 2022 On the feasibility of estimating contemporary effective
population size (*Ne*) for genetic conservation and monitoring of forest trees. *Biol.*
Conserv. **273**, 109704.

42. R Core Team. 2021 R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R
Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL https://www.R-
project.org/.

43. Goudet J. 2005 HIERFSTAT, a package for R to compute and test hierarchical F-
statistics. *Mol. Ecol. Notes* **5**, 184–186. (doi:10.1111/j.1471-8286.2004.00828.x)

44. Weir BS, Cockerham CC. 1984 Estimating F-Statistics for the Analysis of Population
Structure. *Evolution.* **38**, 1358–1370. (doi:10.2307/2408641)

45. Dray S, Dufour AB. 2007 The ade4 package: Implementing the duality diagram for
ecologists. *J. Stat. Softw.* **22**, 1–20. (doi:10.18637/jss.v022.i04)

46. Jombart T. 2008 adegenet: a R package for the multivariate analysis of genetic
markers. *Bioinformatics* **24**, 1403–1405. (doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btn129)

47. Loiselle BA, Sork VL, Nason J, Graham C. 1995 Spatial genetic structure of a tropical
understory shrub, *Psychotria officinalis* (Rubiaceae). *Am. J. Bot.* **82**, 1420–1425.

48. Hardy OJ, Vekemans X. 2002 SPAGeDi: a versatile computer program to analyse
spatial genetic structure at the individual or population levels. *Mol. Ecol. Notes* **2**, 618–
620.

49. Vekemans X, Hardy OJ. 2004 New insights from fine-scale spatial genetic structure
analyses in plant populations. *Mol. Ecol.* **13**, 921–935. (doi:10.1111/j.1365-
294X.2004.02250.x)

50. Excoffier L, Hofer T, Foll M. 2009 Detecting loci under selection in a hierarchically
structured population. *Heredity.* **103**, 285–298. (doi:10.1038/hdy.2009.74)

51. Excoffier L, Lischer HEL. 2010 Arlequin suite ver 3.5: A new series of programs to
perform population genetics analyses under Linux and Windows. *Mol. Ecol. Resour.*
10, 564–567. (doi:10.1111/j.1755-0998.2010.02847.x)

52. Foll M, Gaggiotti OE, Daub JT, Vatsiou A, Excoffier L. 2014 Widespread signals of
convergent adaptation to high altitude in Asia and America. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* **95**,
394–407. (doi:10.1016/j.ajhg.2014.09.002)

53. Pinheiro J, Bates D, DebRoy S, Sarkar D. 2014 R Core Team (2014). nlme: linear and
nonlinear mixed effects models. R package version 3.1–117. *URL http//cran. r-project.*
org/web/packages/nlme/index. html

54. Bekkevold D, Höjesjö J, Nielsen EE, Aldvén D, Als TD, Sodeland M, Kent MP, Lien
S, Hansen MM. 2020 Northern European Salmo trutta (L.) populations are genetically
divergent across geographical regions and environmental gradients. *Evol. Appl.* **13**,
400–416. (doi:10.1111/eva.12877)

55. Rellstab C, Zoller S, Sailer C, Tedder A, Gugerli F, Shimizu KK, Holderegger R,
Widmer A, Fischer MC. 2020 Genomic signatures of convergent adaptation to Alpine
environments in three Brassicaceae species. *Mol. Ecol.* **29**, 4350–4365.
(doi:10.1111/mec.15648)

56. Daniels RR *et al.* 2019 Looking for local adaptation: Convergent microevolution in
Aleppo pine (*Pinus halepensis*). *Genes (Basel).* **10**, 673. (doi:10.3390/genes10090673)

57. Roschanski AM *et al.* 2016 Evidence of divergent selection for drought and cold

tolerance at landscape and local scales in *Abies alba* Mill. in the French Mediterranean
Alps. *Mol. Ecol.* **25**, 776–794. (doi:10.1111/mec.13516)

58. Brousseau L *et al.* 2016 Local adaptation in European firs assessed through extensive
sampling across altitudinal gradients in southern Europe. *PLoS One* **11**.
(doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0158216)

59. De-Lucas AI, González-Martínez SC, Vendramin GG, Hidalgo E, Heuertz M. 2009
Spatial genetic structure in continuous and fragmented populations of *Pinus pinaster*
Aiton. *Mol. Ecol.* **18**, 4564–4576. (doi:10.1111/j.1365-294X.2009.04372.x)

60. Fournier N, Rigling A, Dobbertin M, Gugerli F. 2006 Faible différenciation génétique,
à partir d'amplification aléatoire d'ADN polymorphe (RAPD), entre les types de pin
sylvestre (*Pinus sylvestris* L.) d'altitude et de plaine dans les Alpes à climat
continental. *Ann. For. Sci.* **63**, 431–439. (doi:10.1051/forest)

61. Nosil P, Egan SP, Funk DJ. 2008 Heterogeneous genomic differentiation between
walking-stick ecotypes: 'isolation by adaptation' and multiple roles for divergent
selection. *Evolution.* **62**, 316–336. (doi:10.1111/j.1558-5646.2007.00299.x)

62. Orsini L, Vanoverbeke J, Swillen I, Mergeay J, De Meester L. 2013 Drivers of
population genetic differentiation in the wild: isolation by dispersal limitation, isolation
by adaptation and isolation by colonization. *Mol. Ecol.* **22**, 5983–5999.
(doi:10.1111/mec.12561)

63. Rodríguez-Quilón I, Santos-Del-Blanco L, Serra-Varela M, Koskela J, González-
Martínez SC, Alía R. 2016 Capturing neutral and adaptive genetic diversity for
conservation in a highly structured tree species. *Ecol. Appl.* **26**, 2254–2266.
(doi:10.1002/eap.1361)

64. Hurel A *et al.* 2021 Genetic basis of growth, spring phenology, and susceptibility to
biotic stressors in maritime pine. *Evol. Appl.* **14**, 2750–2772. (doi:10.1111/eva.13309)

65. Archambeau J, Benito Garzón M, Barraquand F, de Miguel M, Plomion C, González-
Martínez SC. 2022 Combining climatic and genomic data improves range-wide tree
height growth prediction in a forest tree. *Am. Nat.* **200**, E141–E159.
(doi:doi.org/10.1086/720619)

66. Saremi H, Kumar L, Turner R, Stone C. 2014 Airborne LiDAR derived canopy height
model reveals a significant difference in radiata pine (*Pinus radiata* D. Don) heights
based on slope and aspect of sites. *Trees - Struct. Funct.* **28**, 733–744.
(doi:10.1007/s00468-014-0985-2)

67. Bennie J, Huntley B, Wiltshire A, Hill MO, Baxter R. 2008 Slope, aspect and climate:
Spatially explicit and implicit models of topographic microclimate in chalk grassland.
Ecol. Modell. **216**, 47–59. (doi:10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2008.04.010)

68. Aranda I *et al.* 2013 Drought response in forest trees: From the species to the gene. In
Plant Responses to Drought Stress: From Morphological to Molecular Features, pp.
293–333. (doi:10.1007/978-3-642-32653-0_12)

69. Eckert AJ, van Heerwaarden J, Wegrzyn JL, Nelson CD, Ross-Ibarra J, Gonzalez-
Martinez SC, Neale DB. 2010 Patterns of population structure and environmental
associations to aridity across the range of loblolly pine (*Pinus taeda* L., Pinaceae).
Genetics **185**, 969–982. (doi:10.1534/genetics.110.115543)

70. Lind BM, Friedline CJ, Wegrzyn JL, Maloney PE, Vogler DR, Neale DB, Eckert AJ.
2017 Water availability drives signatures of local adaptation in whitebark pine (*Pinus
albicaulis* Engelm.) across fine spatial scales of the Lake Tahoe Basin, USA. *Mol.
Ecol.* **26**, 3168–3185. (doi:10.1111/mec.14106)

71. Grivet D, Sebastiani F, Alía R, Bataillon T, Torre S, Zabal-Aguirre M, Vendramin GG,
González-Martínez SC. 2011 Molecular footprints of local adaptation in two
mediterranean conifers. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **28**, 101–116. (doi:10.1093/molbev/msq190)

72. Vinson CC *et al.* 2020 Characterization of raffinose metabolism genes uncovers a wild

Arachis galactinol synthase conferring tolerance to abiotic stresses. *Sci. Rep.* **10**,
15258. (doi:10.1038/s41598-020-72191-4)

73. Ambrosone A, Costa A, Leone A, Grillo S. 2012 Beyond transcription: RNA-binding
proteins as emerging regulators of plant response to environmental constraints. *Plant*
Sci. **182**, 12–18. (doi:10.1016/j.plantsci.2011.02.004)

74. Dietz KJ, Tavakoli N, Kluge C, Mimura T, Sharma SS, Harris GC, Chardonnens AN,
Golldack D. 2001 Significance of the V-type ATPase for the adaptation to stressful
growth conditions and its regulation on the molecular and biochemical level. In
Journal of Experimental Botany, pp. 1969–1980. (doi:10.1093/jexbot/52.363.1969)

75. Nystedt B *et al.* 2013 The Norway spruce genome sequence and conifer genome
evolution. *Nature* **497**, 579–584. (doi:10.1038/nature12211)

76. Wegrzyn JL *et al.* 2014 Unique features of the loblolly pine (*Pinus taeda* L.)
megagenome revealed through sequence annotation. *Genetics* **196**, 891–909.
(doi:10.1534/genetics.113.159996)

77. Mosca E *et al.* 2019 A reference genome sequence for the european silver fir (*Abies*
alba Mill.): A community-generated genomic resource. *G3 Genes, Genomes, Genet.* **9**,
2039–2049. (doi:10.1534/g3.119.400083)

78. Csilléry K, Lalagüe H, Vendramin GG, González-Martínez SC, Oddou-Muratorio S,
Fady B. 2014 Detecting short spatial scale local adaptation and epistatic selection in
climate-related candidate genes in European beech (*Fagus sylvatica*) populations. *Mol.*
Ecol. **23**, 4696–4708.

79. Lefèvre F *et al.* 2014 Considering evolutionary processes in adaptive forestry. *Ann.*
For. Sci. **71**. (doi:10.1007/s13595-013-0272-1)

Figure captions

Fig. 1 Sample collection of *Pinus pinaster* in three pairs of south- (S) and north-facing (N) slopes in Sierra de Espadán (eastern Spain) and a detailed view of stands N2/S2 (bottom right).

Fig. 2 Discriminant Analysis of Principal Components (DAPC) of *Pinus pinaster* samples from Sierra de Espadán (eastern Spain) including three pairs of south- (S) and north-facing (N) slopes based on 5,024 single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs). Each stand is depicted with a different colour and the stand centroid is labelled with the site identifier (see Fig. 1).

Fig. 3 Detection of outlier single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) using the hierarchical island model (south- vs. north-facing slopes) implemented in Arlequin. (a) F_{SC}: estimates of locus-specific genetic divergence between stands within pairs; H_E: heterozygosity per locus. Dashed lines indicate upper 99% confidence intervals for variation in neutral F_{SC} as a function of H_E, indicative of divergent selection. Only AL751008-691 (in blue) showed a consistent shift in allele frequencies in all pairs of stands as indicated by the sign test. Another five loci (in yellow) were also detected as outliers by BayeScanH. (b) Venn diagram showing the overlap of significant outlier loci detected by Arlequin and BayeScanH, respectively.

Fig. 4 Differences in allele frequencies and genetic differentiation between stand pairs with contrasting aspects. (a) Plot of locus AL751008_691 showing consistent differences in allele frequency between south- and north-facing slopes for all three stand pairs. (b) Pairwise F_{ST} between stands, with values between south- and north facing slopes in each sampling location plotted in grey.

Author contributions

MH, JGP, MV and SGCM designed the study; KBB performed the study, did most data analyses and wrote the first draft of the manuscript; FG and CR provided support for data analyses; SGCM contributed to manuscript writing. All authors critically read and revised prior versions of the manuscript.

Tables

Table 1 Paired stand sampling of south- (S) and north-facing (N) slopes for *Pinus pinaster* in

the Sierra de Espadán (eastern Spain), and genetic diversity estimates based on 5,024 single-

nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs). ID, identifier for each stand (see Fig. 1); Latitude, latitude

in decimal degrees; Longitude, longitude in decimal degrees; Aspect, average aspect in

degrees; Altitude, altitude in meters above sea level; Height, tree height in meters with

standard deviation, N_S, number of samples; H_E, expected heterozygosity; SE, standard error;

F_{IS}, fixation index.

ID	Lat.	Long.	Aspect [°]	Altitude [m a.s.l.]	N_S	Height [m] (SD)	H_E (SE)	F_{IS}
Pair 1								
S1	39.865	-0.298	185	632-666	25	7.300 (1.524)	0.336 (0.003)	-0.018
N1	39.866	-0.298	297	645-737	25	8.732 (1.334)	0.330 (0.003)	0.008
Pair 2								
S2	39.895	-0.353	159	719-763	25	8.716 (1.763)	0.328 (0.003)	0.013
N2	39.895	-0.351	35	655-730	25	12.496 (1.900)	0.337 (0.003)	-0.004
Pair 3								
S3	39.917	-0.397	181	655-728	25	8.716 (1.763)	0.332 (0.003)	-0.005
N3	39.913	-0.389	340	696-731	25	11.104 (1.981)	0.326 (0.003)	0.005

Table 2 Functional annotation of five single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) detected as

significant F_{ST} outliers by both Arlequin and BayeScanH and one SNP (AL751008_691)

detected only by Arlequin that showed consistent allele frequency patterns in the three stand

pairs. The information was retrieved from Plomion *et al*. [38] and confirmed with a new Blast

search; non-syn., non-synonymous; leu, leucine; pro, proline; glx, glutamine. NA, not

available.

SNP ID	Polym.	Site type	Protein change	Putative function	Linkage group
AL751008-691	[T/C]	NA		unknown	LG2
BX250086-1490	[T/C]	non-syn.	leu → pro	oligouridylate binding protein-like	NA
BX251523-1352	[A/C]	non-syn.	glx → pro	V-type proton ATPase catalytic subunit A	LG10
BX252256_232	[C/G]	NA		Yos1 domain containing protein	NA
CT574789-692	[A/C]	NA		unknown	LG12
i09683s215pg	[A/G]	non-coding		raffinose_syn domain-containing protein	LG12

632
633

Supplementary Material

S1 Phenotypes

Fig. S1.1 Boxplots of height (a) and diameter at breast height (DBH, b) for 150 *Pinus pinaster* trees in three stand pairs contrasting north- (N1, N2, N3) and south-facing slopes (S1,S2, S3).

Table S1.1 Two sample *t*-tests assessing differences in height and diameter at breast height (DBH) of *Pinus pinaster* trees in each pair of north- and south-facing slopes.

S2 Genetic structure

Table S2.1 Pairwise differentiation between all six *Pinus pinaster* study stands (comprising north- [N1, N2, N3] and south-facing slopes [S1,S2, S3]) based on 5,024 SNPs. All F_{ST} values are significant with $P < 0.001$.

Fig. S2.1 Principal component analysis (PCA) based on 5,024 single nucleotide polymorphism markers of all *Pinus pinaster* samples from eastern Spain representing three south-facing (S) and three north-facing (N) slopes in a paired sampling design. Each stand is depicted with a different colour and the stand centroid is labelled with the site identifier.

Fig. S2.2 Fine-scale spatial genetic structure (SGS), plotted as average pairwise Loiselle kinship coefficient against the geographic distance between *Pinus pinaster* trees within pairs of north and south-facing slopes. SGS was strongest for pair N3/S3. *Sp*, intensity of the SGS; ***, significance level of regression slope $P < 0.001$.

S3 F_{ST} outlier detection in south- vs. north-facing slopes

Table S3.1 (provided as additional spreadsheet file) Results summary and annotation details of significant single nucleotide polymorphisms detected by the hierarchical models in Arlequin and BayeScanH between *Pinus pinaster* stand pairs of north- and south-facing slopes.

Fig. S3.1 Plots showing differences in allele frequency between south- and north-facing slopes in all three *Pinus pinaster* stand pairs (*left side*: a, c, e, f, g, i) for the five candidate loci jointly identified by Arlequin and BayeScanH. Pairwise F_{ST} between stands is also shown (*right side*: b, d, f, h, j) for each of the five loci.

Fig. 1

Fig. 2

670 **Fig. 3**

673 **Fig. 4**

675