Repeatability of adaptation in sunflowers: genomic regions harbouring inversions also

drive adaptation in species lacking an inversion

Shagheyegh Soudi[1+], Mojtaba Jahani[1,2+], Marco Todesco[2,3,4], Gregory L. Owens[5], Natalia

Bercovich[2], Loren H. Rieseberg[2], Sam Yeaman[1,*]

+Joint first-authors

Author affiliations:

1. Department of Biological Sciences, University of Calgary, 2500 University Drive NW,

Calgary, Canada, T2N 1N4

2. Department of Botany, University of British Columbia, 6270 University Blvd,

Vancouver, Canada, V6T 1Z4

3. Michael Smith Laboratories, University of British Columbia, 6270 University Blvd,

Vancouver, Canada, V6T 1Z4

4. Irving K. Barber Faculty of Science, University of British Columbia Okanagan, 3187

University Way, Kelowna, Canada, V1V 1V7

5. Department of Biology, University of Victoria, 3800 Finnerty Rd, Victoria, Canada, V8P

5C2

*corresponding author: Sam Yeaman, samuel.yeaman@ucalgary.ca

Abstract

Local adaptation commonly involves alleles of large effect, which experience fitness advantages

when in positive linkage disequilibrium (LD). Because segregating inversions suppress

recombination and facilitate the maintenance of LD between locally adapted loci, they are also

commonly found to be associated with adaptive divergence. However, it is unclear what fraction

of an adaptive response can be attributed to inversions and alleles of large effect, and whether the

loci within an inversion could still drive adaptation in the absence of its recombination-

suppressing effect. Here, we use genome-wide association studies to explore patterns of local

adaptation in three species of sunflower: *Helianthus annuus*, *H. argophyllus*, and *H. petiolaris*,

which each harbour a large number of species-specific inversions. We find evidence of

significant genome-wide repeatability in signatures of association to phenotypes and

environments, which are particularly enriched within regions of the genome harbouring an

inversion in one species. This shows that while inversions may facilitate local adaptation, at least

some of the loci involved can still make substantial contributions without the benefit of

recombination suppression. While a large number of genomic regions show evidence of repeated

adaptation, most of the strongest signatures of association still tend to be species-specific,

indicating substantial genotypic redundancy for local adaptation in these species.

Introduction

The genetic basis of local adaptation is sometimes highly repeatable, with examples of large

effect genes driving responses in multiple species, such as *FT* affecting flowering time in

numerous plants (Izawa 2007; Auge et al. 2019) or *Mc1r* driving colour polymorphisms in

vertebrates (Manceau et al. 2010; Rosenblum et al. 2014). Local adaptation can also be repeated

for polygenic traits, with significant patterns of similar association found across many loci for

comparisons of conifers (Yeaman et al. 2016), maize and its wild relative teosinte (Tittes et al.

2021; Wang et al. 2021), and *Brassicaceae* (Bohutínská et al. 2021), to name a few. While we

have a growing number of examples of repeatability in the basis of adaptation, it is also

interesting to know if species use different genes to adapt to the same selection pressure.

Genotypic redundancy – the potential for many genotypes to yield a given phenotype -- is one

critical factor affecting the repeatability of adaptation, as high redundancy would be expected to

result in lower repeatability (Yeaman et al. 2018). Another critical factor affecting repeatability

is shared standing variation, whether present due to introgression or incomplete lineage sorting.

In either case, variants shared among lineages are much more likely to contribute to a repeated

response than new mutations (MacPherson and Nuismer 2018; Ralph and Coop 2015).

Consistent with this, Bohutínská and colleagues (2021) found that repeatability was negatively

related to phylogenetic distance. While we are now accumulating more studies about the

repeatability of adaptation, we still have very few examples and much remains unknown about

the relative importance of these factors (Yeaman 2022).

Inversions have been implicated in local adaptation in many species (Wellenreuther and

Bernatchez 2018), likely due to their effect to suppress recombination between any causal loci

within their bounds (Rieseberg 2001; Noor et al. 2001; Kirkpatrick and Barton 2006). Despite

mounting evidence of their importance in adaptation, it is unclear how inversions may covary

with repeatability of adaptation among species. If most alleles have small effects relative to

migration rate and can only contribute to local adaptation via the benefit of the recombination-

suppressing effect of an inversion, then we would expect little repeatability at the site of an

inversion – other species lacking the inversion would not tend to use that same region for

adaptation. On the other hand, if some loci are particularly important for local adaptation and

regularly yield mutations of large effect, with these patterns being conserved among species,

repeatability within regions harbouring inversions may be substantial.

Here, we explore the repeatability of local adaptation in three species of sunflowers, *Helianthus*

annuus, *Helianthus argophyllus* and *Helianthus petiolaris* (Figure 1), which harbour large

regions of suppressed recombination ("haploblocks"), most of which are inversions, and are

often associated with adaptive traits (Todesco et al. 2020). *Helianthus annuus*, the common

sunflower, is the closest wild relative of cultivated sunflower, which was domesticated from it

around 4,000 years ago (Blackman et al. 2011). Populations of *H. annuus* are distributed

throughout the central and western USA and generally found on mesic soils, but can grow in a

variety of disturbed or extreme habitats, such as semi-desertic or frequently flooded areas, as

well as salt marshes. *Helianthus petiolaris*, the prairie sunflower, prefers sandier soils, and

ecotypes of this species are adapted to sand sheets and sand dunes (Ostevik et al. 2016). Here we

include samples from two subspecies: *H. petiolaris ssp. petiolaris*, which is commonly found in

the southern Great Plains, and *H. petiolaris ssp. fallax*, which is limited to more arid regions in

Colorado, Utah, New Mexico and Arizona (Heiser et al. 1969). *Helianthus petiolaris* and *H.*

annuus have broad and overlapping distributions throughout the central and western United

States and appear to have adapted to similar changes in temperature, moisture and photoperiod

regimes. There is also evidence indicating *H. annuus* and *H. petiolaris* have likely been

exchanging genes during much of their history of divergence (Strasburg and Rieseberg 2008),

although partially isolated by strong pre- and post-zygotic barriers (Sambatti et al. 2012). The

third species, *Helianthus argophyllus*, the silverleaf sunflower, is found exclusively in the

southeast coast of Texas and includes both an early flowering ecotype on the coastal barrier

islands and a late flowering ecotype inland (Moyers and Rieseberg 2016; Todesco et al. 2020).

H. argophyllus is thought to have undergone cycles of sympatry and allopatry with *H.*

annuus and *H. petiolaris* over time, but currently only overlaps with *H. annuus,* with thus

overlap likely being a recent event (Heiser, 1951).

Using broad sampling across the ranges of these species (Figure 1) and sequencing data

first published by Todesco et al. (2020), we study the genomic basis of local adaptation by

conducting genome-wide scans for associations with climatic and soil environmental variables

and phenotypes measured in a common garden. An inherent problem in studying the basis of

local adaptation is accounting for the covariance between genome-wide population genetic

structure and environment. When the selection pressure driving local adaptation tends to parallel

a main axis of demographic expansion or isolation by distance, neutral alleles will have spatial

patterns resembling those of causal alleles. Methods that do not correct for population structure

have large numbers of false positives because allele frequencies at neutral loci tend to correlate

with the environment more than expected by chance (Lotterhos and Whitlock 2014). By contrast,

methods that use structure correction tend to suffer from false negatives because the causal loci

have similar patterns of allele frequency variation as the genomic background, and so their

statistical signatures are decreased (DeRaad et al. 2021; Booker et al. 2023b). When a non-

corrected approach is applied to multiple species, the false positive problem can be mitigated

when testing for loci that contribute to repeated adaptation, as the same gene should not tend to

be a false positive in multiple species more often than expected by chance (Yeaman et al. 2016).

Here we use a conventional GWAS approach with structure correction to study the basis of

phenotypes, which vary both within and among populations, and use an uncorrected approach to
test association to environment, which only varies among populations (as all individuals within
the same population have the same value of environmental variable). For the environmental
association portions of the study, we also explore the effect of structure correction in some
analyses, to contrast the false negative vs. false positive problem inherent in each approach. We
apply these approaches individually to *H. annuus*, *H. argophyllus*, and the two *H. petiolaris*
subspecies, and make pairwise and higher-order comparisons among combinations of these four
lineages to study repeatability.
125
Our overall aim is to characterize the extent of repeatability of local adaptation for different traits
and environments, and explore the importance of inversions and other factors that may drive
differences in repeatability. This analysis builds upon the work of Todesco et al. (2020), which
focused on the evolution of haploblocks within each species, but did not systematically study
patterns of repeatability in signatures of association among species. We begin by using the
strength of correlations between environment and phenotypes measured in a common garden to
identify which environmental variables are likely driving local adaptation within each species,
and use an index to quantify the relative similarity in these patterns among pairs of species. We
then conduct Genome-Wide Association tests to identify regions most strongly associated with
phenotypes and environments within each species. To assess the similarity in these association
statistics among species, we use methods similar to Yeaman et al. (2016) to identify regions of
the genome that exhibit greater similarity in signatures of association among pairs of lineages
than expected by chance, and explore how the number and total size of these regions covaries
with the index of similarity in environmental importance. We also explore whether these regions
of repeated association tend to covary with patterns of shared standing variation and test if they
are enriched within previously identified haploblocks, to explore the role of inversions in
adaptation. We then identify candidate genes that show particularly strong signatures of repeated
association across multiple lineages. Finally, based on the number of signatures of association
that are shared vs. non-shared among lineages, we estimate the number of regions genome-wide
that could potentially contribute to local adaptation for each variable and phenotype, which is
related to the genotypic redundancy. Taken together, our results show that local adaptation in
sunflowers tends to involve both strong repeatability at a small number of genes, often associated

with inversions, coupled with high redundancy and non-repeated responses across a much larger

number of loci.

151
152
153

a

b

154
Figure 1. Sampling sites and phylogenetic relationship among surveyed species.
(a) Sampling locations of wild sunflower populations studied in the present study, and (b)
phylogenetic relationship of the four (sub-)species. Numbered brackets represent the six pairwise
comparisons performed in this study.

Results

Local adaptation at the phenotypic level. The four taxa vary considerably in the breadth of

environmental variation spanned by their respective ranges, with *H. annuus* spanning the widest

niche and *H. argophyllus* spanning the narrowest (Figure 2A). We observe strong correlations

for many combinations of phenotypes and environmental variables (Figure S1), suggesting that

local adaptation is quite pronounced for some traits. To quantify the similarity in patterns of local

adaptation among pairs of species, for each environmental variable we calculate an index we

refer to as the Similarity in Phenotype-Environment Correlation (SIPEC), which is maximized

when both species have strong correlations with the environmental variable across many

phenotypes (see Methods). To prevent heavily weighting the contribution of multiple highly

correlated phenotypes, we fit a principal components analysis to the phenotypes and used the

resulting axes to calculate SIPEC. We find the largest values of SIPEC for temperature variables

and the smallest values for soil types, and generally find higher values for comparisons between

the *petiolaris* subspecies (Figure S2).

178
179
180
181   **Figure 2. The range of environmental and phenotypic variation in the studied species.**

Variation in environment (a) and phenotype (b) for the studied species, along the two largest axes of a Principle component analysis (PCA). Violin plots show two examples of variation in environment (Hargreaves reference evapotranspiration index; Eref) and phenotype (Days to Flower; DTF) within and among the taxa (c,d).

Genome-wide analysis of repeated local adaptation. To search for regions of the genome driving repeated adaptation in multiple taxa, we first identified windows of the genome within each species that showed strong signatures of association to either phenotype (GWAS) or environment (GEA), referred to as "top candidate" windows. To identify Windows of Repeated Association (WRAs) between pairs of species, we then assessed whether top candidate windows identified in one focal taxon also tended to be enriched for strong signatures of association to the same environment or phenotype in each of the other taxa (using the null-W test, which tends to be more sensitive than just finding the overlap between the top candidate windows; See Methods). As recombination rate can affect the sensitivity of these kinds of window-based genome scans (Booker et al. 2020), the identification of WRAs was conducted after binning windows by recombination rate, although in many cases we did not observe substantial differences in the null distributions among these bins (*e.g.*, Figure S3). This analysis revealed many windows with signatures of repeated association, with the strongest repeated signature found for Number of Frost-Free Days (NFFD; Figure 3A; S4), but also showed that many of the windows with the strongest association in one species did not have strong signatures in other species (Figure 3B).

Figure 3. Signatures of association for Number of Frost-Free Days (NFFD) in the four taxa on

chromosome 15 (A) and genome-wide (B). Panel A shows Windows of Repeated Association

(WRAs; coloured bars) for comparisons between the focal species, *H. annuus*, and each of the

other 3 taxa, with the haploblocks in *H. annuus* shaded in violet and the regions with significant

PicMin hits as vertical orange lines. Panel B shows the value of the top candidate index for each

of the 1000 windows with the strongest signatures of association in at least one species (~top 2%

of genome-wide windows). Rows are ordered using hierarchical clustering to group windows

with similar patterns across multiple species, illustrating the extent of overlap/non-overlap in the

windows with strongest signatures in each species (*i.e.* position in the figure does not reflect

chromosomal position).

Under the null hypothesis that all regions of the genome evolve neutrally due to drift and

independently in each species, ~5% of the top candidate windows identified in one species

would be expected to fall into the tail of the null distribution of another species (i.e. be classified

as WRAs). While we find significantly more WRAs than the 5% expected by chance, ranging

from 6.3% to 44.1% (mean = 14.5%; Figure S4), multiple factors can violate the assumptions of

this test and increase the proportion of windows classified as WRAs. Most importantly,

similarity in signatures of association can be driven by shared ancestral variation or ongoing

introgression, and this must always be considered as an alternative explanation. It is clear that

most regions of the genome harbour some shared standing variation between all 6 pairwise

comparisons of the taxa, whether due to segregating ancestral variation or introgression, based

on an index quantifying the proportion of shared to non-shared SNPs in each window (Figure

S5). However, we do not find consistent differences in the amount of shared standing variation

within windows when comparing WRAs with the rest of the genome (Figure S5). Thus, while

introgression may make subtle contributions to WRAs, a lack of increased shared standing

variation in the significant windows suggests that it is not a primary driver of these patterns.

232   A second source of inflation in the number of WRAs is due to hitchhiking: if a given

locus is a true positive driving adaptation, LD with other windows in tight physical linkage will

result in spurious correlations causing them to also be classified as WRAs increasing the

genome-wide proportion above 5%, and this effect will be particularly exaggerated in

haploblocks. To control for this, we binned neighbouring WRAs together that exhibited high LD

(>95th percentile) over short spans of the genome (<1 cM) in either species, yielding regions we

refer to as Clusters of Repeated Association (CRAs). While this clustering method should

provide a partial control for the effect of hitchhiking, we note that the number of CRAs cannot be

taken as a reliable estimate of the number of independent targets of natural selection. Instead, we

treat the number and size of these CRAs as proxies for the relative genome-wide similarity in

association between each pair of species, and conduct downstream analyses to test hypotheses

about the factors driving local adaptation.

244   CRAs varied in number and size across the 6 pairwise-species comparisons, with

particularly large CRAs identified at the sites of known haploblocks (Todesco et al. 2020). For

CRAs identified for phenotypic associations, their extent ranged from 168 clusters spanning

48,524,903 bp (covering only 1.6% of the genome) in *H.argophyllus-H.pet.petiolaris* to a

maximum of 1,667 clusters spanning 426,409,647 bp (14.2 % of the genome) in *H. pet.*

petiolaris-H. pet. fallax (Figure S6). CRAs identified for environmental variables tended to be

more numerous and cover a larger region of the genome, varying from 1154 clusters comprising

15% of the total genome for *H. argophyllus-H. pet. fallax* up to 2,260 distinct clusters covering

29% of the genome between *H. petiolaris* subspecies (Figure S7). The large extent of the

genome covered by CRAs is mainly driven by their occurrence within many different

haploblocks, which tend to be unique to each species and cover substantial portions their

genomes (Todesco et al. 2020). Genes within CRAs tend to be enriched for a large number of
GO terms spanning many different categories (Figure S8, S9). When we use an approach that
corrects for population structure in the genotype-environment association tests (Baypass), we
find substantially reduced numbers of CRAs (Figure S10). This reduction is likely due to
reduced power to detect true positive causal loci when population structure covaries with
environment, as true positives do not "stand out" relative to the genomic background (Booker et
al. 2023b). While single-species association tests without correction for population structure
typically yield large numbers of false positives, the null-W test comparing association results
across species accounts for the chance that the same region is a false positive in both species
(Yeaman et al. 2016).
265
266
Repeated association in the genome reflects patterns at the phenotypic level. If natural
selection is driving repeated patterns of local adaptation in the genomes of two species, we
should see greater similarity for associations with environmental variables that are also strongly
associated with phenotypic variation in both species. Consistent with this prediction, we found
that environmental variables with a high SIPEC index (i.e. high correlation with phenotypes;
Figure S2) also tended to have a larger number of CRAs in all comparisons (Figure 4), with
higher repeatability at both the phenotype and genome level for temperature-related variables
and much lower repeatability for soil-related variables. Unfortunately, given the non-
independence of environmental variables it is not possible to conduct a formal significance test
of these patterns. In all cases, the number of CRAs exhibited a weak negative relationship with
the index of standing variation, with environmental variables that had the greatest number of
CRAs having the lowest relative amounts of shared standing variation (Figure S11). This
suggests that the observed similarity in patterns of association among species is not being
strongly driven by incomplete lineage sorting or introgression, as we would expect a positive
relationship between the index of shared standing variation and the number of CRAs. We found
similar but weaker patterns in the association between SIPEC and size of CRAs (Figure S12),
which may reflect comparatively greater contribution of haploblocks driving patterns with size
rather than number (i.e. if a particularly large haploblock is a CRA, then that variable will have a
particularly large value relative to its actual importance for local adaptation).

287
288
Figure 4. Relationship between mean Similarity in Phenotype-Environment Correlation (SIPEC)
290 and number of Clusters of Repeated Association (CRAs). Each panel includes both a linear
291 model fit to the data within the panel (coloured lines), and a linear model fit to all data
292 simultaneously (black lines) for comparison.
293

Overlap of signatures of repeated association with low recombination haploblocks.

Inversions can facilitate local adaptation by suppressing recombination between locally adapted

alleles within the inverted vs. ancestral haplotypes (Kirkpatrick and Barton 2006). If a given

species exhibits a signature of association to environment at a segregating inversion, it is

interesting to test whether similar signatures of association are found in other species that lack a

segregating inversion at the same region of the genome. This would suggest that particularly

strong selection is acting on this region, as signatures of association can still evolve even without

the recombination suppressing effect of the inversion. While not all of the low-recombination

haploblocks identified by Todesco et al. (2020) have been validated as inversions, for simplicity

we treat each haploblock as representative of a segregating inversion. The majority of these

haploblocks are present as segregating variation in only one of the three species, but when they

occur in *H. petiolaris*, they tend to be found as segregating variation within both subspecies.

Thus, if we find significant enrichment of the regions of repeated association (WRAs and CRAs)

within haploblocks, this suggests that both the species with a segregating inversion and the

species lacking an inversion are using this region of the genome to drive local adaptation. As a

first test of this relationship, we assessed two-way contingency tables for whether top candidate

windows within a species were also significant windows of repeated association (WRA/non-

WRA) and whether they fell within haploblocks (yes/no). This approach controls for the

potential enrichment of top candidate windows within haploblocks relative to the rest of the

genome and asks if the rate of WRAs within haploblocks is higher. For most phenotypes and

environments, we found that the proportion of WRAs in haploblocks was much higher than for

non-WRAs (Figure 5). Across all species comparisons and environmental/phenotypic variables,

a total of 310 contrasts showed significant enrichment for WRAs in haploblocks, compared to

only 23 contrasts showing a significantly higher proportion of non-WRAs in haploblocks. In *H.*

argophyllus, while non-WRA top candidates tended to fall within haploblocks less commonly

than in the other taxa, WRAs tended to be very strongly enriched within haploblocks (Figure

5B). As a follow-up, we also tested whether CRAs were enriched within haploblocks (without

controlling for whether top candidate windows also tended to be enriched), also finding strongly

significant enrichment of CRAs within haploblocks for many traits and environments (Figure

S6C & D). Broad patterns revealed by these analyses are similar, as both show that regions with

haploblocks tend to be enriched for signatures of association to environment in species lacking

the haploblock. It is noteworthy that few significant signatures of enrichment in haploblocks

were found for *H. petiolaris petiolaris* vs. *H. petiolaris fallax* (Figure S6C & D), perhaps

because extensive introgression across non-locally adapted regions of the genome obscures true

signal.

Figure 5. Enrichment of signatures of repeated association within genomic regions harbouring a haploblock in one of the two compared lineages. Each panel shows the proportion of top candidate genes that fall within haploblocks for windows with significant signatures of repeated association by the null-W test (WRAs) vs. those with non-significant signatures (non-WRAs). Comparisons of *H. petiolaris petiolaris* vs. *H. petiolaris fallax* are omitted as they share segregating haploblocks. Each point corresponds to the results for a single phenotype or environment, with dark shading used for cases where the deviation from random for the contingency table is significant by a permutation test ($p < 0.05$), and lighter shading indicating a non-significant result.

Multi-species signatures of repeated association. As a complement to the pair-wise analyses

described above, we also conducted an analysis using PicMin, which simultaneously considers

any number of lineages to identify genes with particularly strong and repeated signatures of

association (Booker et al. 2023a). After clustering together windows < 1 Mbp apart, we found a

total of 145 regions that were significant for at least one variable or phenotype (at FDR < 0.1

applied within each variable). The largest number of significant regions for environment was

found with the Number of Frost-Free Days variable (20 with FDR < 0.1; 44 with FDR < 0.2) and

for phenotypes with Total Leaf Number, a measure of developmental timing of flowering (9

with FDR < 0.1; 19 with FDR < 0.2). Considering the 720 individual 5000 bp windows with

FDR < 0.2 for at least one variable, 387 are within 500 bp of a genic region, representing a 2.3x

enrichment relative to the rate for windows that were not significant hits using PicMin (23.3% of

other windows fall within 500 bp of a genic region; X^2 test $p < 10^{-15}$).

Estimating the number of potentially adaptive loci. Even for the environment with the highest

repeatability (Number of Frost-Free Days; NFFD), most of the strongest signals of association

are found in only one lineage (Figure 3B). Unfortunately, while the null-W test provides strong

support and controls false positives when identifying repeated association, regions of the genome

with strong associations in only a single species may include a large (and unknown) number of

false positives. Thus, while it is biologically interesting to know how much adaptation is non-

repeated among species, it is difficult to quantify with certainty (Booker et al. 2023a; Booker et

al. 2023b). Under the assumption that at least some of the non-repeated signatures of association

are true positives, this implies that there is considerable genotypic redundancy, with many

different ways for these species to adaptively respond to variation in the same environment. To

estimate the number of windows that could potentially contribute to local adaptation for each

variable (L_{eff}), we modified the method of Yeaman et al. (2018) to partially account for the effect

of linkage among nearby windows (see methods). This method assumes that the windows with

signatures of association in each species are a random draw from a larger number of potentially

contributing windows (L_{eff}), which can be inferred based on the ratio of shared vs. non-shared

windows with strong association signatures (see Methods). We find that estimates of L_{eff} tend to

be large, always well over 1000 windows regardless of the trait or environment (Figure S13A).

There are numerous sources of error that affect the estimation of L_{eff}, which will tend to be

overestimated due to linkage (Figure S13A) or when many signatures of association are false

positives (see supplementary results), and will be underestimated when some repeatability is due

to shared standing variation (Yeaman et al. 2018). Even after controls for linkage and assuming a

high false positive rate of 80%, estimates of L_{eff} remain in the hundreds even for the variable with

the lowest value of L_{eff} (Eref; Figure S13C, D).

Discussion

Despite its critical importance in shaping the architecture of adaptation, little is known about the

extent of genotypic redundancy underlying different traits (Barghi et al. 2020; Láruson et al.

2020; Yeaman 2022). Here, we have shown evidence of significant repeatability in the basis of

local adaptation (Figure 4, 5), but also an abundance of species-specific, non-repeated signatures

(Figure 3; S13). In particular, we find that regions of the genome that harbour inversions in one

species also tend to be strongly enriched for signatures of association in other species lacking the

inversion (Figure 5). Taken together, this suggests that local adaptation in these species is highly

flexible -- different species apparently use quite different sets of loci to adapt to the same

environment -- yet still involves some component that has minimal redundancy, with inversions

playing a particularly important role. Some of the "usual suspects" show up in the set of

significantly repeated loci identified by PicMin: in addition to the homologs of the *FT*

(*FLOWERING LOCUS T*) gene reported by (Todesco et al. 2020), we also found hits for several

other genes involved in circadian regulation and flowering time, including *PRR3* (Para et al.

2007)), *TOE1* (Aukerman and Sakai 2003), and *PHYC* (Takano et al. 2005; Chen et al. 2014),

which is known to regulate photoperiodic responses in Arabidopsis accessions (Balasubramanian

et al. 2006). Other top hits included genes involved in plant development and auxin transport

(*PIN3*; (Keuskamp et al. 2010), *ARF4* (Pekker et al. 2005), and *MN*; (Bhatia et al. 2016)), and

plant immunity (*CRK13* (Acharya et al. 2007) and *PRR2* (Cheval et al. 2017))

The increased repeatability found in regions of the genome that harbour inversions in only

one species is particularly interesting. Inversions are commonly associated with local adaptation

(Wellenreuther and Bernatchez 2018), likely because they reduce the rate at which

recombination breaks up combinations of co-selected alleles (Kirkpatrick and Barton 2006),

which perhaps facilitates contributions by alleles that would be individually too weakly selected

to overcome swamping by migration (Bürger and Akerman 2011; Yeaman and Whitlock 2011;

Schaal et al. 2022). Evidence here suggests these regions still tend to contribute to adaptation in

the species lacking the recombination-suppressing effect of an inversion, consistent with a strong

effect of selection relative to migration on at least one locus in the region. Given that under this

model, inversions will be most likely to persist if they capture multiple locally adapted alleles,

the observation of enrichment of signatures of repeated adaptation within inversions could also

explain their long-term persistence. If there is variation across the genome in the density of loci

with the potential to be involved in local adaptation, then the evolution and maintenance of

locally adaptive inversions would be biased towards co-occuring with the loci most likely to be

involved in local adaptation. If the potential for local adaptation is conserved amongst species,

then these same regions are more likely to have high repeatability. In this way, repeatability in

inversion-containing regions may reflect the preferential retention of inversions, as well as the

retention of locally adaptive alleles. As an example, chromosome 15 harbours a large (72 Mbp)

haploblock in *H. annuus* that is strongly associated with NFFD (Number of Frost-Free Days),

and also shows some signatures of association in the other taxa, with particularly strong

signatures of association on either side of the haploblock in *H. argophyllus* (Figure 3A).

Interestingly, loci of repeated association identified by PicMin within this region include two

genes whose homologs are known to regulate responses to cold: *COLD-RESPONSIVE*

PROTEIN KINASE 1,*CRPK1* (Liu et al. 2017)) and *LATE ELONGATED HYPOCOTYL*, *LHY*

(Mizoguchi et al. 2002; Dong et al. 2011). While many studies have demonstrated the

importance of inversions for adaptation (Wellenreuther and Bernatchez 2018; Hager et al. 2022),

to our knowledge only one other study has documented the involvement of the same loci making

contributions in the absence of the recombination-suppressing effect of the inversion (Lee et al.

2017). This also highlights how comparative studies of a species lacking an inversion may help

identify which genes are driving adaptation in another species with an inversion, as segregating

inversions tend to have extensive LD that prevents identification of any potential targets of
natural selection within them.

While our results suggest a large number of loci can potentially contribute to adaptation,
implying high redundancy (Figure 3B; S13), there are several factors that complicate inference.
Separating the effects of drift and selection to detect signatures of local adaptation is notoriously
difficult, because population structure often covaries with features of the environment that drive
adaptation (Lotterhos and Whitlock 2014; Hoban et al. 2016; DeRaad et al. 2021). As found by
other analyses (Yeaman et al. 2016; DeRaad et al. 2021), when we use structure correction in our
genotype-environment association tests, we find many fewer signatures of repeated association
(Figure S6 vs. S10), likely due to the reduced power of Baypass to detect true positives when the
environment covaries with population structure (Booker et al. 2023b). Here, we have side-
stepped the issues involved in correction for population structure by instead relying on
comparisons among species to identify loci with associations more extreme than expected by
chance, using the null-W and PicMin tests. This assumes that most loci in the genome are not
involved in local adaptation, so that the relatively small proportion that are driving adaptation
can therefore be picked out due to their tendency to fall into the tail of the distribution of
association statistics. While this approach should be relatively robust for identifying loci with
repeated patterns of adaptation, there is no way to formally estimate significance of associations
found in only a single species, many of which may be false positives due to covariation of
population structure and environment. However, even if we assume that 80% of the observed
non-repeated loci are false positives, we still find that estimates of the effective number of loci
contributing to adaptation (L_{eff}) are in the hundreds (Figure S13C). As we are unable to detect
loci of small effect due to the limited power, our estimates of L_{eff} will also be biased downwards
by excluding these potentially important drivers. Finally, it is also difficult to exclude the
contribution of introgression or incomplete lineage sorting to the observed signatures repeated
association. If a locus tended to be highly introgressed between two species in a restricted region
of their range, it is possible it could also covary with environment and therefore result in a
signature of repeated association that would be mistakenly interpreted as adaptation. While it is
difficult to preclude this from our analysis, regions of the genome with signatures of repeated
association do not tend to have higher levels of shared standing variation than background

regions (Figure S5), suggesting this is not a broad explanation for our observations. If anything,

shared standing variation would be expected to increase repeatability of adaptation (MacPherson

and Nuismer 2017), adding further weight to the inference that there is high genotypic

redundancy in these species.

In general, we find that temperature is the strongest driver of repeated adaptation at both

the phenotypic and genomic levels. We quantified local adaptation at the phenotypic level using

correlations between traits measured in common gardens and the home environment of the

population they were sampled from. Across all phenotypes, these correlations tended to be

strongest and most similar among species for temperature variables, particularly in comparisons

among *H. annuus* and *H. petiolaris* subspecies (Figure S2). We see similar patterns of

repeatability reflected in the genome, where temperature variables also tend to have the greatest

repeatability (Figure 4). The similarity in these phenotypic and genomic signatures is consistent

with an effect of strong selection, as other artefactual or drift-based explanations for repeatability

would not be expected to reflect patterns found at the phenotypic level. It should be noted that

the reduced importance of soil variables in the SIPEC index might be partly driven by the fact

that all traits were measured on above-ground features, due to the difficulty of getting non-

disruptive phenotypic measurements for roots.

Taken together, these results suggest that some fraction of the genome contributes to adaptation

with low redundancy and high repeatability (which tend to be enriched within inversions), while

the remainder of the adaptive response is driven by loci with high redundancy and species-

specific contributions. Models in evolutionary genetics tend to focus on extremes: population

genetic approaches explore cases where strong selection deterministically drives a change in

allele frequency, whereas quantitative genetic approaches make the infinitesimal assumption that

phenotypic change can be realized through small frequency changes at many loci (Barton et al.

2017; Barghi et al. 2020; Yeaman 2022). Our results suggest that adaptation is a complex

process that does not map cleanly onto the assumptions of either approach, but that at least some

component of trait variation experiences sufficiently strong selection to overcome migration and

drift and behave in a way approximately consistent with population genetic models. Given the

difficulty of rigourously quantifying the contribution of alleles of small effect, it remains an open

question what proportion of adaptive response is governed by loci with dynamical behaviour that

follow the infinitesimal assumption. This could perhaps be quantified explicitly by decomposing

trait heritabilities as has been done for standing variation in humans (Visscher et al. 2017), but

this would require a considerable increase in sample size as methods such as GCTA (Yang et al.

2011) do not yield accurate estimates at the sample sizes used here.

Materials and Methods

Data collection, common garden, and phenotyping. Seed samples were collected from 151

wild sunflower populations covering most of their native distributions during the summer of

2015 (*H. annuus*: 61 populations for GWAS and 71 populations for GEA, *H. petiolaris fallax*: 23

populations, *H. petiolaris petiolaris:* 18 populations and *H. argophyllus*: 30 populations, Figure

1 and Supplementary Table 1). Seeds from ten additional populations of *H. annuus* for the GEA

analysis had been previously collected in the summer of 2011. Sample seeds were obtained from

randomly chosen mothers, and were first germinated in a greenhouse for two weeks, later moved

to an open-sided greenhouse for acclimation. Phenotypic data were collected throughout the

growing season, as detailed in Supplementary Table 1. Extensive records of developmental and

morphological traits throughout the growth of the plants including leaves, stem, and seeds which

were collected and digitally imaged to extract relevant phenotypic data.

Similarity in Phenotype-Environment Correlation (SIPEC). Locally adapted traits tend to

exhibit strong correlations between environment and common-garden phenotype, so

environments that are important to driving local adaptation should exhibit strong correlations

with many traits. As an index to represent the similarity among pairs of species in an

environment's average correlation across all measured phenotypes, we calculate SIPEC =

$\sum_i (|r_{i,1}| + |r_{i,2}|) |r_{i,1}||r_{i,2}|/n_p$ where $r_{i,1}$ and $r_{i,2}$ are the Pearson correlations between the

environment and the ith phenotype in the first and second species, respectively, and n_p is the

number of phenotypes. This SIPEC index is maximized when the correlation is large in both

species regardless of the direction, so it does not differentiate phenotypically convergent vs.

divergent patterns of local adaptation, and provides a means of estimating the relative importance

of an environment driving local adaptation across all measured phenotypes. To account for non-

independence among traits, for each pair of species we fit a PCA to all measured phenotypes and

use the Principal Components that collectively explain 95% of the variance, and calculate SIPEC

on the correlations of these variables with environment.

Tests of SNP association with environment (GEA) and phenotype (GWAS). A total of 39

environmental variables (21 climatic variables, 3 geographic variables, 15 soil variables

Supplementary Table 1) were used for the genotype-environment association analysis (GEA).

We refer to the climatic, soil and geographic variables collectively as the "environmental

variables", for simplicity. Soil data were collected by taking three to five soil samples collected

at each population from across the area in which seeds were collected and submitted to Midwest

Laboratories Inc. (Omaha, NE, USA) for analysis. Climate data for each population were

collected over a 30-year period (1961-1990) from geographic coordinates of the locations where

the samples were collected, using the software package Climate NA (Wang et al. 2012). We used

the package BAYPASS version 2.1 (Gautier 2015), which provides a re-implementation of the

Bayesian hierarchical model, and explicitly accounts for the covariance structure among the

population allele frequencies that originate from the shared history of the populations through the

estimation of the population covariance matrix. This renders the identification of SNPs subjected

to selection less sensitive to the confounding effect of population demography (Günther and

Coop 2013). Population structure was estimated by choosing a random and unlinked set of

10,000 SNPs and running BAYPASS under the core model (*i.e.* no covariates). Then the Bayes

factors (BF) were calculated running BAYPASS under the STD covariate model to evaluate

association of SNPs with environmental variables (*i.e.* adjusting for population structure). For

each SNP, the Bayes factor (denoted BF_{is} as in Gautier 2015) was presented in decibian units

(db) via the transformation $10 \log_{10}$ (BF). BF_{is} relies on the importance sampling algorithm

proposed by Coop et al., 2010 and uses MCMC samples obtained under the core model. To

produce a narrower set of outlier loci, we followed the popular Jeffreys' rule (Jeffreys 1961) that

identified outlier loci with BF ≥ 10. As genome scan methods that correct for population

structure can remove some potential signals of local adaption when there is covariation between

the demographic history of the species and the environmental variables or phenotypic traits of

interest, we also calculated Spearman's rank correlation (ρ, uncorrected GEA) between

population allele frequencies for each SNP and each environment variable.

GWAS analysis was performed 86, 30 and 69 developmental and morphologic traits in *H.*

annuus, H. argophyllus and *H. petiolaris,* respectively (Supplementary table 1). 29 variables

were measured in all three focal species, and 39 were measured only in *H. annuus* and in *H.*

petiolaris subspecies (Supplementary table 1). Seed and flower traits could not be collected for

H. argophyllus, since most plants of this species flowered very late in our common garden, and

failed to form fully developed inflorescences and set seeds before temperatures became too low

for their survival. Population structure was controlled for in GWAS by including the first three

principal components as covariates, as well as an identity-by-state (IBS) kinship matrix

calculated by EMMAX (Kang et al. 2010). We ran each trait GWAS using EMMAX

(v07Mar2010), as well as the EMMAX module in EasyGWAS (Grimm et al. 2017). For every

SNP/peak above the Bonferroni significance threshold, candidate genes were selected within a

100 Kb interval centered in the SNP with the lowest p-value, or within the boundaries of the

GWAS peak, whichever was larger. All variants used for association were initially filtered for

VQSR 90% tranche, and then further filtered to only include bi-allelic SNPs genotyped in $\geq$ 90%

of samples and with a minor allele frequency $\geq$ 3%.

Identification of top-candidate windows. We calculated the bottom 0.05 quantiles for the *p-*

values from association tests, Spearman's rank correlation (uncorrected GEA) and GWAS

(corrected and uncorrected), yielding two 5% cutoffs. For each environmental and phenotypic

variable, we identified all outlier SNPs as those that fell below the respective 5% cutoff. For

BayPass, we considered SNPs with Bayes factor $\geq$ 10 as outlier SNPs. For each 5 kb window

that we defined across the whole genome, we counted the number of outlier SNPs (*a*) and the

total number of SNPs (*n*). To identify top-candidate windows for each variable, we compared the

number of outlier SNPs per each 5 kb window to the 0.9999 quantile of the binomial expectation

where the expected frequency of outlier SNPs per window is calculated as: $\rho = \sum a_i / n_i$

(summation over all 5 kp windows), calculating ρ independently for each environmental and

phenotype variable and excluding windows with 0 outliers from the calculation of ρ (as per

Yeaman et al. 2016). We also calculated a top candidate index using the same approach to

categorize outliers, obtaining a *p*-value for a binomial test for the number of SNPs per window

given an expected proportion of outliers (ρ; this *p*-value is not exact due to non-independence of

SNPs so we refer to this as an index).

Identifying outlier SNPs detected by genome scans from genome-wide distribution without

accounting for local recombination rate variation can promote false positive signals in

recombination cold spots (i.e., low recombination regions) and be overly conservative in

recombination hot spots (i.e., high recombination regions) (Booker et al. 2020). Therefore, to

account for local recombination rate variation, all genomic windows were binned by their

estimated recombination rates into 5 equally sized bins (bin1: 0-20% quantile, bin2: 20%-40%

quantile, bin3: 40%-60% quantile, bin4: 60%-80% quantile, bin5: 80%-100% quantile). For each

recombination bin, we estimated expected frequency of SNPs per window (ρ) and calculated cut-

off separately. Windows falling above the threshold were identified as top candidate windows.

Genome-wide survey of repeatability (null-W test). To explore repeatable genomic signatures

of adaptation for each of the six pairwise contrasts among the 4 taxa (Figure 1), we used the

method developed by Yeaman et al. (2016) with some modifications. A common approach is to

identify candidates for adaptation independently in each species and then examine the overlap

between these lists, however this approach is quite stringent and may miss many interesting

signals. The null-W test is more sensitive, as it takes the list of top candidates from one species

and tests whether they tend to show more extreme signatures of association than expected by

chance. The null-W test is especially favorable when LD increased divergence of SNPs in tight

linkage with casual SNPs but did not raise the test values enough for a window to be classified as

an outlier according to the binomial test. For each top candidate window that we identified for

each focal species in a pair, we refer to the same window in the other species as "top candidate

ortholog". The null distribution for each focal species and variable was constructed by randomly

sampling 10,000 background SNPs from non-top candidate ortholog windows. For each non-top-

candidate window, we then estimated the test statistic (W) for the Wilcoxon-signed rank test vs.

the 10,000 background SNPs. This resulted in a null distribution representing the differences

between the 10,000 background SNPs and the non-top-candidate ortholog windows. These were

then standardized to Z-scores using the method in Whitlock and Schluter (2020):

$$Z = \frac{2W - n1n2}{\sqrt{n1n2(n1+n2+1)/3}} \qquad \text{(Equation 2)}$$

where n1 and n2 are the sample sizes being compared. In order to control for heterogeneity in

recombination rate and its possible effects on the null distribution, we estimated null distribution

for each recombination bin separately (5 in total, see above). We then compared the p-values and

bayes factors (BFs) for each focal top-candidate widow to the 10,000 background SNPs,

calculating the W statistics and converting into a Z-score. Empirical P-values were then

calculated by comparing the Z-score for each top-candidate window to the null distribution.

When individual windows had values of W that exceeded the bounds of the null distribution,

their empirical *p*-value was set to the reciprocal of the number of genes in the null distribution.

For each species pair, we refer to the windows identified as significant by this test as "Windows

of Repeated Association" or WRAs.

Linkage disequilibrium and detection of clusters of repeated association. Linkage

disequilibrium among adjacent genomic windows can result in statistical non-independence and

similar GEA/GWAS signatures across many windows. To identify the most significant WRAs

and group neighbouring windows with similar signatures of repeatability into a single "Cluster of

Repeated Association" (CRA), we used the following approach in each pairwise contrast, and for

each environmental variable and phenotype: for each variable, empirical *p*-values for all WRAs

were converted to *q*-values to adjust for False Discovery, then beginning with the first significant

WRA along the chromosome (i.e. with $q < 0.05$), we compared it to the next closest significant

WRA by calculating the squared Pearson correlation coefficient (r^2) on the allele frequencies

across all pairs of SNPs, and compared this estimate to a null distribution. To construct the null

distribution, we generated a distribution of LD measurements between 10,000 randomly chosen

windows with the same physical distance as between two significant WRAs (excluding all

WRAs from the null distribution). If the r^2 between the two neighboring significant WRAs was

greater than the 95th percentile of the null distribution, we clustered these two windows together.

This process was repeated successively with the next closest neighboring significant WRA,

walking out along the chromosome until one of two stopping criteria was reached: 1) the LD

between the last two windows did not exceed the 95 percent of the tail distribution or 2) the

distance between the initial window and the current window next to it was larger than 1 cM,

based on the linkage map from Todesco et al. (2020). When the first round of clustering stopped

due to either of these two criteria, all the clustered windows were removed from the dataset and

the process started with the second smallest empirical p-value. By doing this way, each

significant WRA will only appear in a single CRA.

Estimating an index of shared standing variation. As genotype calling was conducted

separately for each species (due to computational concerns), we estimated the amount of shared

standing variation based on counts of shared vs. non-shared SNPs. If two species are evolving

independently, the number of shared SNPs should follow a hypergeometric distribution, so we

used an approach similar to the C-scores (Yeaman et al. 2018) to calculate the difference

between the observed number of shared SNPs and the expectation, scaled by the standard

deviation of the hypergeometric. Because of noise and a relatively small number of SNPs per

window, we applied this approach on a sliding window basis, including the 5 flanking windows

upstream and downstream of each focal window the calculation of its index of shared standing

variation.

Correspondence between regions of repeated association and chromosomal

rearrangements. To assess the extent of overlap between regions of the genome with repeated

signatures of association and previously identified low-recombination haploblocks, we used two

approaches. Firstly, for each pairwise species contrast and variable, we constructed a

contingency table for the number of top candidates that were significant WRAs vs. not-

significant WRAs (by the null-W test), and that did vs. did not fall within a haploblock. We

calculated the Pearson's χ^2 statistic on this table, and then permuted the location of haploblocks

throughout the genome to construct a null distribution of χ^2 statistics, and calculated the p-value

as the proportion of the null that exceeded the observed χ^2 statistic (to account for non-

independence of nearby WRAs). Secondly, we compared observations of the length and number

of overlapping regions to expectations based on a randomization approach. For each pair of

species, we kept the position of each CRA constant and randomized the position of haploblocks

10,000 times to build a null distribution. By keeping the position of the CRAs constant, we

maintained the architecture of adaptation independent from chromosomal rearrangements. We

assessed significance by testing whether the observed overlaps between CRAs and haploblocks

were more extreme than the 95th percentile of the tail of null distribution. Details about

identifying chromosomal rearrangements can be found in Todesco et al. (2020).

Identifying repeated signatures of association across all taxa. As a complement to the pairwise analysis, we used PicMin (Booker et al. 2022a) to identify windows of the genome with strong signatures of association in multiple (sub-) species. For each environmental variable, association signatures for each window are ranked genome-wide and PicMin identifies windows with extreme ranks in multiple species. We ran the analysis once with each of the two 3-way comparisons involving one *petiolaris* subspecies (i.e. *H. annuus, H. argophyllus,* and either *H. pet. petiolaris* or *H. pet. fallax*) to control for repeatability arising from similar patterns in the two *petiolaris* subspecies (due to high introgression/shared standing variation).

Evaluating genotypic redundancy using C-scores. Genome-wide quantification of the repeatability of association statistics provides insights into the amount of genotypic redundancy underlying a trait or environmental adaptation, and can be assessed using the C-score approach (Yeaman et al. 2018). Briefly, for a given trait or environment, the set of association test scores within each species is classified into "associated" or "non-associated" using the binomial top candidate approach. For a given pair of species ($i = 1, 2$), the observed number of associated windows in each species (a_1, a_2) can be compared to the number of windows that are associated in both species (a_b) and the total number of windows being analysed (a_x). Under a null hypothesis where all windows in the genome have equal potential to be involved in adaptation (i.e. associated with the trait or environment), the expected number of windows associated in both species will be described by a hypergeometric distribution, with the expectation $\overline{a_b} = a_1 a_2 / a_x$. The difference between the observed and expected amount of overlap in association scores can be quantified as a C-score by scaling the difference by the standard deviation of the hypergeometric (i.e. a C-score of 2 means that the observed amount of overlap is two standard deviations above the expectation under randomness).

We assess the C-scores for each phenotype and environment trait by classifying the top 0.5% of all 5 kb windows within each species as "associated" (a_i; based on the binomial top candidate index), and begin by calculating the C-score obtained when a_x is set to the union of a_i across all four focal species/sub-species (i.e. only those windows associated in at least one species are included in a_x, a number much lower than the total number of windows in the genome). This yields a negative C-score, as a random draw from such a small number of windows tends to yield many more overlapping associations by chance than the observation.

When the C-score = 0, it means that the observed overlap between the pair of taxa being
considered is consistent with a random draw of their respective "associated" windows from an
overall pool of a_x windows. Thus, by adding rows to the matrix with "non-associated" scores for
all 4 species/sub-species until finding the matrix that yields C-score = 0, we can estimate the
effective number of loci that contributed to variation for the trait or environment being
considered (L_{eff}), under the assumption that all such $a_x = L_{eff}$ windows had an equal chance of
contributing to the associations.
To run the C-score analysis on LD-clustered windows, we ran the following algorithm for
each trait/environmental variable: for each species, we identified all CRAs that also had a top
candidate index in top 0.5%. In most cases, a large cluster with associated windows in one
species corresponds to either no clusters or a small cluster in another species. To harmonize
cluster boundaries across species, we bin any overlapping clusters together to their maximum
extent, and take the average top candidate index for each cluster in each species as either: (a) the
mean across all windows that were "associated" in that species or (b) the average across all
windows associated in any species, if no windows were associated in that species. This yields a
matrix that can be submitted to the hypergeometric C-score analysis.

Data availability

721   All scripts used for analysis and figures will be deposited in a Dryad archive along data files and
722   results. Raw sequence data are deposited in the Sequence Read Archive (SRA) under BioProject
723   accessions PRJNA532579, PRJNA398560, and PRJNA564337, as described in Todesco et al.
724   (2020). During review, the materials for the Dryad archive are available here:
725   https://www.dropbox.com/sh/cwa34fu2o7tn6dm/AADK56Bnmx8IhQxVK70Evbgra?dl=0

Acknowledgements:

728   The authors would like to thank the Yeaman and Peichel labs for comments. Computational
729   support was provided by the Digital Resources Alliance of Canada, and funding was provided by

Genome Canada and Genome BC (LSARP2014-223SUN) and the International Consortium for Sunflower Genomic Resources. SY was funded by Alberta Innovates and NSERC Discovery. GLO was funded by a Banding Postdoctoral Fellowship.

References:

Acharya BR, Raina S, Maqbool SB, Jagadeeswaran G, Mosher SL, Appel HM, Schultz JC, Klessig DF, Raina R. 2007. Overexpression of CRK13, an Arabidopsis cysteine-rich receptor-like kinase, results in enhanced resistance to Pseudomonas syringae: Overexpression of CRK13 induces pathogen defense. *The Plant Journal* 50:488–499.

Auge GA, Penfield S, Donohue K. 2019. Pleiotropy in developmental regulation by flowering-pathway genes: is it an evolutionary constraint? *New Phytol* 224:55–70.

Aukerman MJ, Sakai H. 2003. Regulation of Flowering Time and Floral Organ Identity by a MicroRNA and Its *APETALA2* -Like Target Genes. *Plant Cell* 15:2730–2741.

Balasubramanian S, Sureshkumar S, Agrawal M, Michael TP, Wessinger C, Maloof JN, Clark R, Warthmann N, Chory J, Weigel D. 2006. The PHYTOCHROME C photoreceptor gene mediates natural variation in flowering and growth responses of Arabidopsis thaliana. *Nat Genet* 38:711–715.

Barghi N, Hermisson J, Schlötterer C. 2020. Polygenic adaptation: a unifying framework to understand positive selection. *Nat Rev Genet* 21:769–781.

Barton NH, Etheridge AM, Véber A. 2017. The infinitesimal model: Definition, derivation, and implications. *Theoretical Population Biology* 118:50–73.

Bhatia N, Bozorg B, Larsson A, Ohno C, Jönsson H, Heisler MG. 2016. Auxin Acts through MONOPTEROS to Regulate Plant Cell Polarity and Pattern Phyllotaxis. *Current Biology* 26:3202–3208.

Blackman BK, Scascitelli M, Kane NC, Luton HH, Rasmussen DA, Bye RA, Lentz DL, Rieseberg LH. 2011. Sunflower domestication alleles support single domestication center in eastern North America. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 108:14360–14365.

Bohutínská M, Vlček J, Yair S, Laenen B, Konečná V, Fracassetti M, Slotte T, Kolář F. 2021. Genomic basis of parallel adaptation varies with divergence in *Arabidopsis* and its relatives. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 118:e2022713118.

Booker TR, Yeaman S, Whitlock MC. 2020. Variation in recombination rate affects detection of outliers in genome scans under neutrality. *Mol Ecol* 29:4274–4279.

Booker TR, Yeaman S, Whitlock MC. 2023a. Using genome scans to identify genes used repeatedly for adaptation. *Evolution* 77:801-811.

Booker TR, Yeaman S, Whitlock MC. 2023b. The WZA: A window-based method for characterizing genotype-environment association. *Mol Ecol Res* (in press).

Bürger R, Akerman A. 2011. The effects of linkage and gene flow on local adaptation: A two-locus continent–island model. *Theoretical Population Biology* 80:272–288.

Chen A, Li C, Hu W, Lau MY, Lin H, Rockwell NC, Martin SS, Jernstedt JA, Lagarias JC, Dubcovsky J. 2014. PHYTOCHROME C plays a major role in the acceleration of wheat flowering under long-day photoperiod. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 111:10037–10044.

Cheval C, Perez M, Leba LJ, Ranty B, Perochon A, Reichelt M, Mithöfer A, Robe E, Mazars C, Galaud JP, et al. 2017. PRR2, a pseudo-response regulator, promotes salicylic acid and camalexin accumulation during plant immunity. *Sci Rep* 7:6979.

DeRaad DA, Cobos ME, Alkishe A, Ashraf U, Ahadji-Dabla KM, Nuñez-Penichet C, Peterson AT. 2021. Genome-environment association methods comparison supports omnigenic adaptation to ecological niche in malaria vector mosquitoes. *Molecular Ecology* 30:6468–6485.

Dong MA, Farré EM, Thomashow MF. 2011. CIRCADIAN CLOCK-ASSOCIATED 1 and LATE ELONGATED HYPOCOTYL regulate expression of the C-REPEAT BINDING FACTOR (CBF) pathway in *Arabidopsis*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 108:7241–7246.

Gautier M. 2015. Genome-Wide Scan for Adaptive Divergence and Association with Population-Specific Covariates. *Genetics* 201:1555–1579.

Grimm DG, Roqueiro D, Salomé PA, Kleeberger S, Greshake B, Zhu W, Liu C, Lippert C, Stegle O, Schölkopf B, et al. 2017. easyGWAS: A Cloud-Based Platform for Comparing the Results of Genome-Wide Association Studies. *Plant Cell* 29:5–19.

Günther T, Coop G. 2013. Robust Identification of Local Adaptation from Allele Frequencies. *Genetics* 195:205–220.

Hager ER, Harringmeyer OS, Wooldridge TB, Theingi S, Gable JT, McFadden S, Neugeboren B, Turner KM, Jensen JD, Hoekstra HE. 2022. A chromosomal inversion contributes to divergence in multiple traits between deer mouse ecotypes. *Science* 377:399–405.

Heiser C, Smith D, Clevenger S, Martin W. 1969. The North American sunflowers (Helianthus). *Memoirs of the Torrey Botanical Club* 22:1–218.

Heiser, CB. 1951. Hybridization in the Annual Sunflowers: Helianthus annuus x H. argophyllus. *The American Naturalist* 85:65–72.

Hoban S, Kelley JL, Lotterhos KE, Antolin MF, Bradburd G, Lowry DB, Poss ML, Reed LK,
Storfer A, Whitlock MC. 2016. Finding the Genomic Basis of Local Adaptation: Pitfalls,
Practical Solutions, and Future Directions. *The American Naturalist* 188:379–397.

Izawa T. 2007. Adaptation of flowering-time by natural and artificial selection in Arabidopsis
and rice. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 58:3091–3097.

Jeffreys H. 1961. Theory of probability. 3rd ed. New York, NY: Oxford University Press

Kang HM, Sul JH, Service SK, Zaitlen NA, Kong S, Freimer NB, Sabatti C, Eskin E. 2010.
Variance component model to account for sample structure in genome-wide association
studies. *Nat Genet* 42:348–354.

Keuskamp DH, Pollmann S, Voesenek LACJ, Peeters AJM, Pierik R. 2010. Auxin transport
through PIN-FORMED 3 (PIN3) controls shade avoidance and fitness during
competition. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 107:22740–22744.

Kirkpatrick M, Barton N. 2006. Chromosome Inversions, Local Adaptation and Speciation.
Genetics 173:419–434.

Láruson ÁJ, Yeaman S, Lotterhos KE. 2020. The Importance of Genetic Redundancy in
Evolution. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* 35:809–822.

Lee C-R, Wang B, Mojica JP, Mandáková T, Prasad KVSK, Goicoechea JL, Perera N, Hellsten
U, Hundley HN, Johnson J, et al. 2017. Young inversion with multiple linked QTLs
under selection in a hybrid zone. *Nat Ecol Evol* 1:0119.

Liu Z, Jia Y, Ding Y, Shi Y, Li Z, Guo Y, Gong Z, Yang S. 2017. Plasma Membrane CRPK1-
Mediated Phosphorylation of 14-3-3 Proteins Induces Their Nuclear Import to Fine-Tune
CBF Signaling during Cold Response. *Molecular Cell* 66:117-128.e5.

Lotterhos KE, Whitlock MC. 2014. Evaluation of demographic history and neutral
parameterization on the performance of F_{ST} outlier tests. *Mol Ecol* 23:2178–2192.

MacPherson A, Nuismer SL. 2017. The probability of parallel genetic evolution from standing
genetic variation. *J. Evol. Biol.* 30:326–337.

Manceau M, Domingues VS, Linnen CR, Rosenblum EB, Hoekstra HE. 2010. Convergence in
pigmentation at multiple levels: mutations, genes and function. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B*
365:2439–2450.

Mizoguchi T, Wheatley K, Hanzawa Y, Wright L, Mizoguchi M, Song HR, Carré IA, Coupland
G. 2002. LHY and CCA1 are partially redundant genes required to maintain circadian
rhythms in Arabidopsis. *Dev Cell* 2:629–641.

Moyers BT, Rieseberg LH. 2016. Remarkable life history polymorphism may be evolving under
divergent selection in the silverleaf sunflower. *Mol Ecol* 25:3817–3830.

Ostevik KL, Andrew RL, Otto SP, Rieseberg LH. 2016. Multiple reproductive barriers separate
recently diverged sunflower ecotypes: reproductive barriers between sunflower ecotypes.
Evolution 70:2322–2335.

Para A, Farré EM, Imaizumi T, Pruneda-Paz JL, Harmon FG, Kay SA. 2007. PRR3 Is a vascular
regulator of TOC1 stability in the Arabidopsis circadian clock. *Plant Cell* 19:3462–3473.

Pekker I, Alvarez JP, Eshed Y. 2005. Auxin response factors mediate Arabidopsis organ
asymmetry via modulation of KANADI activity. *Plant Cell* 17:2899–2910.

Rosenblum EB, Parent CE, Brandt EE. 2014. The Molecular Basis of Phenotypic Convergence.
Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst. 45:203–226.

Sambatti JBM, Strasburg JL, Ortiz-Barrientos D, Baack EJ, Rieseberg LH. 2012. Reconciling
extremely strong barriers with high levels of gene exchange in annual sunflowers: gene
flow across a strong reproductive barrier. *Evolution* 66:1459–1473.

Schaal SM, Haller BC, Lotterhos KE. 2022. Inversion invasions: when the genetic basis of local
adaptation is concentrated within inversions in the face of gene flow. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc.
B* 377:20210200.

Strasburg JL, Rieseberg LH. 2008. Molecular demographic history of the annual sunflowers
helianthus annuus and *h. Petiolaris* -large effective population sizes and rates of long-
term gene flow. *Evolution* 62:1936–1950.

Takano M, Inagaki N, Xie X, Yuzurihara N, Hihara F, Ishizuka T, Yano M, Nishimura M,
Miyao A, Hirochika H, et al. 2005. Distinct and Cooperative Functions of Phytochromes
A, B, and C in the Control of Deetiolation and Flowering in Rice. *The Plant Cell*
17:3311–3325.

Tittes S, Lorant A, McGinty S, Doebley JF, Holland JB, Sánchez-González J de J, Seetharam A,
Tenaillon M, Ross-Ibarra J. 2021. Not so local: the population genetics of convergent
adaptation in maize and teosinte. Evolutionary Biology Available from:
http://biorxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2021.09.09.459637

Todesco M, Owens GL, Bercovich N, Légaré J-S, Soudi S, Burge DO, Huang K, Ostevik KL,
Drummond EBM, Imerovski I, et al. 2020. Massive haplotypes underlie ecotypic
differentiation in sunflowers. *Nature* 584:602–607.

Visscher PM, Wray NR, Zhang Q, Sklar P, McCarthy MI, Brown MA, Yang J. 2017. 10 Years
of GWAS Discovery: Biology, Function, and Translation. *The American Journal of
Human Genetics* 101:5–22.

Wang L, Josephs EB, Lee KM, Roberts LM, Rellán-Álvarez R, Ross-Ibarra J, Hufford MB.
2021. Molecular Parallelism Underlies Convergent Highland Adaptation of Maize
Landraces.Purugganan M, editor. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 38:3567–3580.

Wang T, Hamann A, Spittlehouse DL, Murdock TQ. 2012. ClimateWNA—High-Resolution
Spatial Climate Data for Western North America. *Journal Of Applied Meteorology And*
Climatology 51:14.

Wellenreuther M, Bernatchez L. 2018. Eco-Evolutionary Genomics of Chromosomal Inversions.
Trends in Ecology & Evolution 33:427–440.

Whitlock M, Schluter D. 2020. The analysis of biological data. 3rd ed. Macmillan

Yang J, Lee SH, Goddard ME, Visscher PM. 2011. GCTA: A Tool for Genome-wide Complex
Trait Analysis. *The American Journal of Human Genetics* 88:76–82.

Yeaman S. 2022. Evolution of polygenic traits under global *vs* local adaptation. *Genetics*
220:iyab134.

Yeaman S, Gerstein AC, Hodgins KA, Whitlock MC. 2018. Quantifying how constraints limit
the diversity of viable routes to adaptation.Ralph PL, editor. *PLoS Genet* 14:e1007717.

Yeaman S, Hodgins KA, Lotterhos KE, Suren H, Nadeau S, Degner JC, Nurkowski KA, Smets
P, Wang T, Gray LK, et al. 2016. Convergent local adaptation to climate in distantly
related conifers. *Science* 353:1431–1433.

Yeaman S, Whitlock MC. 2011. The genetic architecture of adaptation under migration-selection
balance: the genetic architecture of local adaptation. *Evolution* 65:1897–1911.