Characterization of the growth behavior of *Listeria monocytogenes* in *Listeria*

synthetic media

Lisa Maria Schulz[1], Alicia Konrath[2], Jeanine Rismondo[3]*

Department of General Microbiology, Institute of Microbiology and Genetics, GZMB, Georg-

August University Göttingen, Grisebachstr. 8, 37077 Göttingen, Germany

[1] lisamaria.schulz@uni-goettingen.de

[2] alicia.konrath@t-online.de

[3] jrismon@gwdg.de

* Corresponding author

Running title: Growth of *L. monocytogenes* in *Listeria* synthetic medium

Abstract

The foodborne pathogen *Listeria monocytogenes* can grow in a wide range of environmental

conditions. For the study of the physiology of this organism, several chemically defined media

have been developed over the past decades. Here, we examined the ability of *L.*

monocytogenes wildtype strains EGD-e and 10403S to grow under salt and pH stress in

Listeria synthetic medium (LSM). Furthermore, we determined that a wide range of carbon

sources could support growth of both wildtype strains in LSM. However, for hexose phosphate

sugars such as glucose-1-phosphate, both *L. monocytogenes* strains need to be pre-grown

under conditions, where the major virulence regulator PrfA is active. In addition, growth of both

L. monocytogenes strains was observed when LSM was supplemented with the amino acid

sugar *N*-acetylmannosamine (Man*N*Ac). We were able to show that some of the proteins

encoded in the operon *lmo2795-nanE*, such as the Man*N*Ac-6-phosphate epimerase NanE,

are required for growth in presence of Man*N*Ac. The first gene of the operon, *lmo2795,*

encodes a transcriptional regulator of the RpiR family. Using electrophoretic mobility shift

assays and quantitative real time PCR analysis, we were able to show that Lmo2795 binds to

the promoter region of the operon *lmo2795-nanE* and activates its expression.

Originality-Significance Statement

Current knowledge of growth and survival of the human pathogen *Listeria monocytogenes*

under diverse stress conditions is mostly generated in complex medium, a condition that is

rarely found in the environment or host of the pathogen. Our work contributes to the

characterization of the physiology of *L. monocytogenes* grown under nutrient limiting

conditions and its growth requirements with regards to metabolizable carbon sources.

Introduction

The foodborne pathogen *Listeria monocytogenes* is a Gram-positive, facultative anaerobic,

rod-shaped bacterium, which is ubiquitously found in nature. It can survive as a saprophyte on

decaying plant material, in soil or in wastewater. *L. monocytogenes* and other *Listeria* species

can also enter food processing facilities and food chains, for instance due to contaminated

water or raw materials (Lourenco *et al.*, 2022). Several recent outbreaks of *L. monocytogenes*

were associated with contaminated fruits and vegetables, such as melons, apples or

mushrooms, or contaminated meat products (Matle *et al.*, 2020; Lachmann *et al.*, 2021;

Townsend *et al.*, 2021). The intracellular pathogen *L. monocytogenes* is the causative agent

of the disease listeriosis. In healthy individuals, the intake of *L. monocytogenes* via the

consumption of contaminated food products mostly leads to mild symptoms, such as fever and

a self-limiting gastroenteritis. However, for immunocompromised individuals, the elderly,

newborns and pregnant women, the infection with *L. monocytogenes* can cause more severe

symptoms, such as muscle aches, vomiting, diarrhea, encephalitis or meningitis. For pregnant

women, it can also lead to premature or still birth (Radoshevich and Cossart, 2018). This is

achieved by its ability to cross all human barriers, namely the intestinal, placental and blood-

brain barriers (Lecuit, 2005).

The survival of *L. monocytogenes* in food processing facilities and within the host is

enabled due to its ability to grow under diverse stress conditions (reviewed in (Gaballa *et al.*,

2019; Wiktorczyk-Kapischke *et al.*, 2021; Osek *et al.*, 2022)). Previous studies reported that *L.*

monocytogenes can grow at temperatures between 1 and 45°C, in a pH range from 4.0 to 9.5

or in the presence of up to 10% salt (Patchett *et al.*, 1992; Arizcun *et al.*, 1998; Vasseur *et al.*,

2001). *L. monocytogenes* is also able to use a variety of carbon sources ranging from simple

monosaccharides (glucose, fructose) to more complex sugars (maltodextrin) and polyols

(glycerol, D-arabitol, D-xylitol) (Gopal *et al.*, 2010; Deutscher *et al.*, 2014; Kentache *et al.*,

2016). The ability to take up such a wide range of carbon sources is facilitated by a diverse set

of transporters, which are encoded in the genome of *L. monocytogenes*. Most of the carbon

sources are imported into the cell via the phosphoenolpyruvate:carbohydrate

phosphotransferase system (PTS). The genome of *L. monocytogenes* wildtype strain EGD-e

contains 86 PTS genes, which encode for 29 complete and 12 incomplete PTS complexes

(Glaser *et al.*, 2001; Stoll and Goebel, 2010). These PTS transporters are involved in the

transport of diverse carbon sources such as glucose, fructose, D-arabitol and D-xylitol

(Deutscher *et al.*, 2014; Kentache *et al.*, 2016). Some of these PTS transporters have also

overlapping substrate specificities. For instance, the two PTS systems ManLMN (MptACD)

and MpoABCD, encoded by *lmo0096-0098* and *lmo0784-0781*, respectively, are both involved

in the transport of glucose and mannose (Stoll and Goebel, 2010; Aké *et al.*, 2011). Inactivation

of all PTS systems, e.g. by deleting the phosphotransferase system enzyme I encoding gene

ptsI, did not abolish fermentation of some sugars such as glucose, fructose or mannose,

suggesting that *L. monocytogenes* possesses also other sugar transporters (Deutscher *et al.*,

2014). Indeed, *L. monocytogenes* encodes three GlcU-like non-PTS permeases (Lmo0169,

Lmo0176, Lmo0424), which could be involved in the import of these sugars (Aké *et al.*, 2011;

Deutscher *et al.*, 2014). The import of L-rhamnose is accomplished by the major facilitator

superfamily protein Lmo2850, which uses a proton symport mechanism (Fieseler *et al.*, 2012;

Deutscher *et al.*, 2014; Zeng *et al.*, 2021). Within the host, *L. monocytogenes* uses mainly

glucose-1-phosphate, glucose-6-phosphate and glycerol as carbon sources (Ripio *et al.*, 1997;

Grubmüller *et al.*, 2014). The transporter Hpt, also named UhpT, takes up hexose phosphates

from the host cell cytosol (Chico-Calero *et al.*, 2002), while the glycerol uptake facilitator GlpF

facilitates the import of glycerol (Joseph *et al.*, 2008). The expression of *hpt* thereby depends

on PrfA, the main virulence regulator of *L. monocytogenes* (Ripio *et al.*, 1997; Chico-Calero *et*

al., 2002). *L. monocytogenes* possesses eight predicted carbohydrate ATP-binding cassette

(ABC) transporters. One of these transporters, which is encoded by *lmo2123-2125*, is required

for the import of maltose and maltodextrin (Gopal *et al.*, 2010). The remaining seven ABC

transporters have not yet been studied.

For many studies on the physiology and stress tolerance of *L. monocytogenes*, bacteria

were cultured in complex medium such as brain heart infusion (BHI) or tryptic soy broth (TSB).

In their natural habitat or within their host, *L. monocytogenes* likely encounters more limiting

growth conditions. Within the last decades, several minimal media have been developed which

can be used to grow *L. monocytogenes* (Welshimer, 1963; Premaratne *et al.*, 1991; Phan-

Thanh and Gormon, 1997; Tsai and Hodgson, 2003; Jarvis *et al.*, 2016; Whiteley *et al.*, 2017).

In this study, we aimed to characterize the growth of the two widely used *L. monocytogenes*

wildtype strains EGD-e and 10403S, which belong to serotype 1/2a, in the recently developed

Listeria synthetic medium (LSM) (Whiteley *et al.*, 2017). *L. monocytogenes* strains belonging

to serotype 1/2a are often recovered from food, environmental and clinical samples (Ward *et*

al., 2008; Orsi *et al.*, 2011) and thus encounter diverse environmental conditions. We thus

determined the growth behavior of EGD-e and 10403S in LSM under stress conditions, which

they encounter in food or the environment or within the host during infection, namely high salt

and low pH stress. To our knowledge, growth of *L. monocytogenes* in LSM was so far only

assessed with glucose as a sole carbon source. We therefore determined, which other carbon

sources can facilitate growth of *L. monocytogenes* EGD-e and 10403S in LSM. While 95% of

the open reading frames are conserved between EGD-e and 10403S, the genomes differ by

over 30,000 single nucleotide polymorphisms (Glaser *et al.*, 2001; Bécavin *et al.*, 2014). It is

thus not surprising that we could observe differences in the growth behavior between these

two strains.

Experimental procedures

Bacterial strains and growth conditions. All strains and plasmids used in this study are

listed in Table 1. *Escherichia coli* strains were grown in Luria-Bertani (LB) medium and *Listeria*

monocytogenes strains in brain heart infusion (BHI) medium or *Listeria* synthetic medium

(LSM) at 37°C unless otherwise stated. Where required, antibiotics and supplements were

added to the medium at the following concentrations: for *E. coli* cultures, ampicillin (Amp) at

100 µg ml^{-1}, kanamycin (Kan) at 50 µg ml^{-1}, and for *L. monocytogenes* cultures,

chloramphenicol (Cam) at 7.5 µg ml^{-1} and Kan at 50 µg ml^{-1}.

LSM was prepared in accordance with the recipe from Whiteley et al. with minor

modifications (Whiteley *et al.*, 2017). L-leucine, L-methionine and L-valine were used instead

of the corresponding DL-enantiomers. L-arginine·HCl, riboflavin, Na$_2$HPO$_4$·7 H$_2$O, CoCl$_2$·7 H$_2$O

and L-cysteine·2·HCl were substituted for L-arginine, riboflavin 5' monophosphate sodium salt

hydrate, Na$_2$HPO$_4$·12 H$_2$O, CoCl$_2$·6 H$_2$O and L-cysteine·HCl·H$_2$O, respectively (see Table 2 for

detailed LSM composition and preparation). The pH of LSM was adjusted with HCl, where

indicated. Sodium chloride was added to LSM for characterization of the growth of *L.*

monocytogenes strains under salt stress at the following concentrations: 1% (0.17 M), 2%

(0.34 M), 3% (0.51 M), 4% (0.68 M), 5% (0.86 M) and 6% (1.03 M). To test the ability of *L.*

monocytogenes to metabolize different carbon sources, 1% glucose, which is usually

contained in LSM, was replaced by 1% of the following carbon sources: glycerol, glucosamine

(Glc*N*), *N*-acetylglucosamine (Glc*N*Ac), *N*-acetylmannosamine (Man*N*Ac), glucose-1-

phosphate (Glc-1-P), glucose-6-phosphate (Glc-6-P), mannose, maltose, mannitol, sucrose,

rhamnose, trehalose, succinate, cellobiose, galactose and *N*-acetylneuraminic acid (Neu5Ac).

136   A previous study showed that the expression of *prfA* is induced in the presence of low

levels of branched chain amino acids (Lobel *et al.*, 2015). For growth of *L. monocytogenes*

under PrfA-activating conditions, the concentration of branched chain amino acids was 10-fold

reduced in freshly prepared LSM yielding 80 µM L-isoleucine, 80 µM L-leucine and 90 µM L-

valine.

Growth assays. Overnight cultures of the indicated *L. monocytogenes* strains were diluted in

fresh BHI medium to an OD_{600} of 0.1 and incubated at 37°C until an OD_{600} of 0.3 was reached.

Cells of 2 ml culture were collected per condition, washed twice with 1 ml of the corresponding

LSM and re-suspended in 1 ml LSM. The cultures were adjusted to an OD_{600} of 0.2 and 100 µl

of the cell suspension were transferred into wells of a 96-well plate containing 100 µl of the

corresponding LSM. The plate was incubated at 37°C with orbital shaking in the BioTek Epoch

2 microplate reader and the OD_{600} was measured every 15 min for at least 36 h. Averages and

standard deviations of at least three independent growth assays were plotted.

150   To test whether growth of *L. monocytogenes* was possible in LSM Glc-1-P and Glc-6-

151   P after pre-growth of the indicated strains under PrfA-activating conditions, overnight cultures

152   of the indicated *L. monocytogenes* strains were diluted in LSM glucose with low concentrations

153   of BCAA to an OD_{600} of 0.1 and incubated at 37°C until an OD_{600} of 0.3 was reached. Cells

154   were collected, washed and used to inoculate a 96-well plate containing the corresponding

155   LSM with low concentrations of BCAA as described above.

Strain and plasmid construction. All primers used in this study are listed in Table 3. For markerless deletion of *lmo2795*, 1-kb fragments up- and downstream of *lmo2795* were amplified by PCR using primer pairs LMS346/LMS347 and LMS348/LMS349, respectively. The resulting PCR fragments were fused by PCR using primers LMS346/LMS349. The deletion fragment was subsequently digested with *Xba*I and *Sac*I and ligated into plasmid pKSV7 that had been cut with the same enzymes. Plasmid pKSV7-Δ*lmo2795* was recovered in *E. coli* XL1-Blue yielding strain EJR88. For markerless deletion of *lmo2796*, *lmo2799*, *lmo2800* and *nanE*, 1-kb fragments up- and downstream of the corresponding gene were amplified by PCR using primer pairs LMS395/LMS396 and LMS397/LMS398 (*lmo2796*), LMS411/LMS412 and LMS413/LMS414 (*lmo2799*), JR211/LMS408 and LMS409/LMS410 (*lmo2800*), LMS401/LMS402 and LMS403/LMS404 (*nanE*). The resulting PCR fragments were fused by PCR using primers LMS395/LMS398 (*lmo2796*), LMS411/414 (*lmo2799*), JR211/LMS410 (*lmo2800*) and LMS401/LMS404 (*nanE*). The Δ*lmo2799*, Δ*lmo2800* and Δ*nanE* deletion fragments were digested with *BamH*I and *Pst*I and ligated into *BamH*I/*Pst*I cut pKSV7. Plasmids pKSV7-Δ*lmo2796*, pKSV7-Δ*lmo2799* and pKSV7-Δ*nanE* were recovered in *E. coli* XL10-Gold yielding strains EJR238, EJR122 and EJR210, respectively. pKSV7-Δ*lmo2800* was recovered in *E. coli* XL1-Blue yielding strain EJR121. For the construction of pKSV7-Δ*lmo2798* and pKSV7-Δ*lmo2797*, 1-kb fragments up- and downstream of the corresponding gene were amplified by PCR using primer pairs LMS417/LMS418 and LMS419/LMS420 (*lmo2798*) and JR142/JR143 and JR144/JR145 (*lmo2797*). The resulting PCR fragments were fused by PCR using primers LMS417/LMS420 (*lmo2798*) and JR142/JR145 (*lmo2797*), cut with *BamH*I and *EcoR*I and ligated into *BamH*I/*EcoR*I cut pKSV7. pKSV7-Δ*lmo2798* was transformed into *E. coli* XL10-Gold yielding strain EJR123. Plasmid pKSV7-Δ*lmo2797* was recovered in *E. coli* XL1-Blue yielding strain EJR164. Plasmids pKSV7-Δ*lmo2795*, pKSV7-Δ*lmo2796*, pKSV7-Δ*lmo2797*, pKSV7-Δ*lmo2798*, pKSV7-Δ*lmo2799*, pKSV7-Δ*lmo2800* and pKSV7-Δ*nanE* were transformed into *L. monocytogenes* strain EGD-e

and genes *lmo2795*, *lmo2797*, *lmo2798*, *lmo2799*, *lmo2800* and *nanE* deleted by allelic

exchange as previously described (Camilli *et al.*, 1993) yielding strains EGD-e Δ*lmo2795*

(LJR123), Δ*lmo2796* (LJR264), EGD-e Δ*lmo2797* (LJR204), EGD-e Δ*lmo2798* (LJR132),

EGD-e Δ*lmo2799* (LJR135), EGD-e Δ*lmo2800* (LJR206) and EGD-e Δ*nanE* (LJR207). To

construct strain EGD-e Δ*lmo2799* Δ*lmo2797* (LJR205), pKSV7-Δ*lmo2797* was transformed

into *L. monocytogenes* strain LJR204 and *lmo2797* deleted by allelic exchange.

For the purification of Lmo2795 with an N-terminal His-tag, *lmo2795* was amplified

using primer pair AK004/AK005. The resulting PCR product was digested with *BamH*I and *Sal*I

and ligated into pWH844. Plasmid pWH844-*lmo2795* was recovered in *E. coli* DH5α yielding

strain EJR131.

RNA extraction. RNA of the indicated *L. monocytogenes* strains was isolated following a

previously published method with minor modifications (Hauf *et al.*, 2019). Briefly, a single

colony was used to inoculate 10 ml LSM medium with 1% glucose as sole carbon source and

cultures were grown overnight at 37°C. Next day, cultures were used to inoculate 30 ml of

fresh LSM medium with 1% glucose to an OD_{600} of 0.1. When the cultures reached an OD_{600}

of 0.5 ± 0.05, 25 ml of the cell suspensions were harvested by centrifugation for 15 min at

4,000 rpm and 4°C and the pellet was snap frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C. To

isolate the RNA, pellets were re-suspended in 1 ml killing buffer (20 mM Tris, pH 7.5, 5 mM

$MgCl_2$, 20 mM NaN_3), transferred to 1.5 ml microtubes and centrifuged at 13,000 rpm for 60

sec. Cells were then re-suspended in 1 ml lysis buffer I (25 % sucrose; 20 mM Tris-HCl pH 8,

0.25 mM EDTA) and 2 µl lysozyme (100 mg ml^{-1}) and incubated for 5 min on ice, followed by

centrifugation at 5,000 rpm and 4°C for 5 min. Pellets were then re-suspended in 300 µl lysis

buffer II (3 mM EDTA; 200 mM NaCl) and added to pre-heated (95°C) lysis Buffer III (3 mM

EDTA; 200 mM NaCl; 1 % SDS). Samples were incubated for exactly 5 min at 95°C and 600

µl phenol/chloroform/isoamylalcohol (25:24:1) (PCI) was added. After shaking the samples at

700 rpm for 5 min, the two phases were separated by centrifugation at 13,000 rpm for 5 min

and the upper aqueous phase was transferred to a new 1.5 ml microtube containing 600 µl PCI. The extraction was repeated with 600 µl chloroform/isoamylalcohol (25:1). Finally, the upper phase was transferred into an RNAse free tube and RNA was precipitated by addition of 0.1 x volume 3 M sodium acetate (pH 5.2) and 1.5 volumes 96 % ethanol and incubated at 20°C overnight. To precipitate the RNA, samples were centrifuged at 13,000 rpm for 15 min, washed in 70 % ethanol and dried under the fume hood. Finally, samples were re-suspended in 25 µl RNAse free H_2O.

To avoid DNA contamination, 5 µg isolated RNA were digested with 5 µl DNase I (1 U µl^{-1}, Thermo Scientific) for 40 min at 37°C. The reaction was stopped by addition of 2.5 µl 25 mM EDTA and incubation at 65°C for 10 min. To verify that the isolated RNA is free of DNA, a check PCR was performed using primers LMS169 and LMS170. Genomic DNA from *L. monocytogenes* EGD-e was used as a control.

Quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR). qRT-PCR was carried out using the One-Step reverse transcription PCR kit, the Bio-Rad iCycler and the Bio-Rad iQ5 software (Bio-Rad, Munich, Germany). Three biological replicates were performed. Primer pairs LMS375/LMS376 and LMS169/170 were used to determine the transcript amounts of *rplD* and *gyrB*, respectively, which were used as internal controls. Transcript amounts for *lmo2796* and *nanE* were monitored using primer pairs LMS384/LMS385 and LMS369/LMS377, respectively. The average of the cycle threshold (C_T) values of *rplD* and *gyrB* were used to normalize the C_T-values obtained for *lmo2796* and *nanE*. For each strain, the fold changes of *lmo2796* and *nanE* expression were calculated using the $\Delta\Delta C_T$-method.

Purification of His-Lmo2795. For the overexpression of His-Lmo2795, plasmid pWH844 was used, which contains the *lacI* gene, enabling the strictly IPTG-dependent overproduction of His-tagged proteins (Schirmer *et al.*, 1997). pWH844-*lmo2795* was transformed into *E. coli* BL21 and grown in 2x LB. When cultures reached an OD_{600} of 0.6-0.8, expression was induced by the addition of IPTG at a final concentration of 1 mM and the cells were grown for 2 h at

37°C. Cells were collected by centrifugation, washed once with 1x ZAP buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 200 mM NaCl) and the cell pellet stored at -20°C. The next day, cells were re-suspended in 1x ZAP (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 200 mM NaCl) and lysed by three passages (18,000 lb/in2) through an HTU DIGI-F press (G. Heinemann, Germany). The resulting crude extract was centrifuged at 46,400x g for 60 min followed by protein purification using a Ni^{2+} nitrilotriacetic acid column (IBA, Göttingen, Germany). His-Lmo2795 was eluted using imidazole and elution fractions analyzed using SDS-PAGE. Selected elution fractions were subsequently subjected to dialysis against 1x ZAP buffer at 4°C overnight. The protein concentration was determined according to the method of Bradford (Bradford, 1976) using the Bio-Rad protein assay dye reagent concentrate. Bovine serum albumin was used as standard. The protein samples were stored at -80°C until further use.

Electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA). EMSA was performed as previously described with some modifications (Dhiman *et al.*, 2014). Briefly, the upstream region of the *lmo2795-nanE* operon containing a putative promoter site was amplified using primer pair LMS430/LMS431. A 204 bp region within the operon, which served as an unspecific control, was amplified using primers LMS428/LMS429. Binding reactions were performed at 25°C for 20 min in 20 µl binding buffer containing 10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 50 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol, 5 mM EDTA, 20 mM DTT and varying amounts of His-Lmo2795 (3.5 – 14 µg), as well as 250 pmol of DNA. To ease loading of the samples into the wells, 1 µl DNA loading dye was added to each sample. A 60-min pre-run was carried out at 70 V prior to sample loading. The samples were separated on an 8% polyacrylamide native gel in 0.5x TBE buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 10, 50 mM boric acid, 1 mM Na_2EDTA) at 4°C for 2.5 h at 50 V. The gel was stained in 50 ml 0.5x TBE buffer containing 5 µl HDGreen™ Plus DNA dye (INTAS, Göttingen, Germany) for 3 min, followed by a 5 min washing step in 0.5x TBE buffer, three 20 sec washing steps in H_2O and an additional 30 min washing step in H_2O. DNA bands were visualized using a GelDoc™ XR+ (BioRad, Munich, Germany).

Results and Discussion

Growth of *L. monocytogenes* wildtype strains under salt and pH stress

For most physiological studies, which aimed at the characterization of salt and pH resistance of *L. monocytogenes*, bacteria were grown in complex media such as BHI or TSB. In nature as well as within the host, *L. monocytogenes* likely encounters limited access to nutrients, such as carbon and nitrogen sources or vitamins, which likely affects their ability to adapt to environmental stress conditions. We therefore assessed the ability of the two widely used *L. monocytogenes* wildtype strains EGD-e and 10403S to grow under high salt and low pH stress in the chemically defined medium LSM. Growth of EGD-e was barely affected in the presence of 1% NaCl. In the presence of 2, 3 and 4 % NaCl, growth of EGD-e was delayed as compared to LSM. At a concentration of 5% salt, EGD-e was still able to grow, but only reached an optical density of around 0.3 after 36 h. The *L. monocytogenes* wildtype strain 10403S showed a lower salt resistance as compared to EGD-e. Growth of 10403S was already impaired in LSM containing 3% salt. In the presence of 4% and 5% salt, 10403S could only reach an optical density of around 0.2 after 36 h (Fig. 1A). In contrast, *L. monocytogenes* is able to grow in the presence of up to 10% salt, when a complex medium is used (Patchett *et al.*, 1992; Vasseur *et al.*, 2001). To assess the pH resistance, we compared the growth of *L. monocytogenes* strains grown in standard LSM, which has a pH of 8.7, and LSM adjusted to a pH of 8, 7, 6 and 5.5. Growth of the *L. monocytogenes* wildtype strain EGD-e was similar in LSM with a pH of 7 as compared to standard LSM, while growth of 10403S was slightly reduced. Both strains had a severe growth defect in LSM with a pH of 6 and were unable to grow in LSM with a pH of 5.5 (Fig. 1B). Overall, we observed that the *L. monocytogenes* wildtype strain 10403S was less tolerant to salt and pH stress than EGD-e (Fig. 1). Interestingly, 10403S grew better in BHI medium, which was adjusted to a low pH, than EGD-e (Cheng *et al.*, 2015). Resistance of *L. monocytogenes* to pH stress is mainly conferred by the activity of the glutamate decarboxylase activity (GAD) system, a process, which depends on the availability of extracellular glutamate (Cotter *et al.*, 2001; Feehily *et al.*, 2014). The two *L. monocytogenes*

wildtype strains EGD-e and 10403S seem to use two distinct GAD systems, which confer a

different degree of acid resistance. The GAD system used by 10403S was shown to give a

higher acid tolerance (Feehily *et al.*, 2014; Cheng *et al.*, 2015). However, complex medium

such as BHI likely contains higher glutamate levels than LSM, which can be used by the GAD

system. Thus, the GAD system of 10403S might be less efficient when the cells are grown in

LSM and are therefore less resistant to acid stress.

Growth of *L. monocytogenes* in LSM with different carbon sources

Over the last decades, several chemically defined media have been developed that support

growth of *L. monocytogenes* (Pine *et al.*, 1989; Premaratne *et al.*, 1991; Phan-Thanh and

Gormon, 1997; Tsai and Hodgson, 2003; Jarvis *et al.*, 2016; Whiteley *et al.*, 2017). Most of

these media contain glucose as the sole carbon source. Other carbon sources such as fructose

and mannose were shown to support growth of *L. monocytogenes* in Hsiang-Ning Tsai medium

(HTM) or modified Welshimer's Broth (MWB) (Premaratne *et al.*, 1991; Tsai and Hodgson,

2003). Glucose could also be replaced by the amino sugars GlcNAc and *N*-acetylmuramic acid

in MWB (Premaratne *et al.*, 1991). Interestingly, lactose and rhamnose could support growth

of *L. monocytogenes* in ACES-buffered chemically defined (ABCD) medium, but not in HTM

and/or MWB (Table 4) (Pine *et al.*, 1989; Premaratne *et al.*, 1991; Tsai and Hodgson, 2003).

Similarly, glycerol was shown to support growth of *L. monocytogenes* in HTM medium, while

only weak growth could be observed for MWB with glycerol as sole carbon source (Table 4)

(Premaratne *et al.*, 1991; Tsai and Hodgson, 2003). To our knowledge, no information is

available on the carbon sources that support growth of *L. monocytogenes* in LSM. We

therefore performed growth experiments with *L. monocytogenes* wildtype strains EGD-e and

10403S in LSM containing 1% of selected carbon sources. This analysis revealed that growth

of both strains was similar in LSM containing GlcNAc, GlcN, mannose, cellobiose or trehalose

as sole carbon source compared to glucose (Fig. 2, Table 4). Both strains were also able to

use glycerol as carbon sources, while only weak growth was obtained for maltose, rhamnose

and succinate (Fig. 2, Table 4). No growth was observed for both strains, when glucose was

replaced with galactose, sucrose, glucose-1-phosphate (Glc-1-P), glucose-6-phosphate (Glc-

6-P) or mannitol (Fig. 3A, Table 4). The hexose phosphate transporter Hpt, which is required

for the import of Glc-1-P and Glc-6-P, is expressed in a PrfA-dependent manner. The

expression and activity of PrfA is controlled on transcriptional, translational and post-

translational level (reviewed in (Xayarath and Freitag, 2012; Gaballa *et al.*, 2019)). One factor

involved in the control of *prfA* expression is the global regulator CodY, which senses the

presence of branched chain amino acids (BCAAs). In the presence of high levels of BCAAs,

CodY acts as a *prfA* repressor, while expression of *prfA* is induced when BCAA levels drop,

which occurs upon invasion of host cells (Lobel *et al.*, 2015). We therefore tested whether

reduction of the BCAA concentration in LSM could lead to growth of *L. monocytogenes*

wildtype strains EGD-e and 10403S on Glc-1-P and Glc-6-P as sole carbon source. While both

strains grew fine in LSM with low concentrations of BCAA and glucose as sole carbon source,

no growth was observed in LSM with Glc-1-P or Glc-6-P (Fig. 3A). For growth analysis, *L.*

monocytogenes strains were pre-grown in BHI complex medium, in which PrfA is inactive

(Renzoni *et al.*, 1997). We thus wondered whether the strains have simply not sufficient time

to activate PrfA and by this also do not induce the expression of *hpt*, encoding the Glc-1-P and

Glc-6-P importer, when they are transferred into LSM containing the hexose phosphate sugars.

To test this, we pre-grew *L. monocytogenes* strains EGD-e and 10403S in LSM glucose with

low levels of BCAA and subsequently transferred them either into the same medium as a

control, or into LSM with low levels of BCAA and containing Glc-1-P or Glc-6-P as sole carbon

source. A strain lacking the virulence regulator PrfA was used as a control. Indeed, EGD-e and

10403S were now able to metabolize Glc-1-P and Glc-6-P, while the *prfA* mutant was unable

to grow (Fig. 3B). In contrast, absence of PrfA results in a growth advantage in LSM with

glucose as sole carbon source, suggesting that the expression and/or activity of PrfA is a

burden for *L. monocytogenes*, which has previously been shown (Vasanthakrishnan *et al.*,

2015; Friedman *et al.*, 2017). Therefore, characterization of growth and the general physiology

of *L. monocytogenes* in the presence of Glc-1-P and Glc-6-P as sole carbon source, requires

a pre-growth under PrfA-activating conditions, for instance in a chemically defined medium or

in BHI medium containing activated charcoal, Chelex or Amberlite XAD (Chico-Calero *et al.*, 2002; Portman *et al.*, 2017; Gaballa *et al.*, 2021).

The amino sugar Man*N*Ac, which has not been tested for any of the other chemically defined media, was able to support growth of *L. monocytogenes* strains EGD-e and 10403S (Fig. 2A, Table 4). Man*N*Ac is the precursor of the sialic acid *N*-acetylneuraminic acid (Neu5Ac), which can serve as a carbon source for *Staphylococcus aureus* inside the host (Angata and Varki, 2002; Vimr *et al.*, 2004; Olson *et al.*, 2013). However, Neu5Ac could not support growth of *L. monocytogenes*, suggesting that this organism does not contain the necessary catabolic pathway (Table 4).

The *lmo2795-nanE* operon is required for Man*N*Ac catabolism

In *E. coli*, Man*N*Ac is imported into the cell by the PTS transporter ManXYZ yielding Man*N*Ac-6-phosphate. Man*N*Ac-6-phosphate is subsequently converted into Glc*N*Ac-6-phosphate by the Man*N*Ac-6-phosphate epimerase NanE. The genome of *L. monocytogenes* strain EGD-e contains one homolog of NanE encoded by *lmo2801* (37% identity, 58% similarity). Based on the presence of the NanE homolog, it was already previously proposed that the *lmo2795-nanE* operon might be involved in the transport of Man*N*Ac (Deutscher *et al.*, 2014). In addition to NanE, the *lmo2795-nanE* operon encodes an RpiR-type regulator, a member of the repressor, ORF, kinase (ROK) family, the EIIA and EIIBC components of a PTS system, a putative HAD hydrolase and a putative oxidoreductase (Fig. 4A). To test whether these proteins are required for the catabolism of Man*N*Ac, single deletion mutants and a mutant lacking both PTS components, Lmo2797 and Lmo2799, were constructed in the EGD-e wildtype background and their growth was analyzed. All deletion mutants were able to grow in LSM containing glucose, however, growth was enhanced for *L. monocytogenes* strains Δ*lmo2799* and Δ*lmo2797* Δ*lmo2799*, lacking one or two of the PTS components, and the *nanE* deletion mutant (Fig. 4B-C). In contrast, growth on Man*N*Ac as sole carbon source was reduced for the *lmo2795* and *lmo2798* deletion strains lacking the RpiR transcriptional regulator and the putative HAD hydrolase, respectively, and was nearly abolished for the strain lacking NanE

(Fig. 4D-E). Surprisingly, deletion strains Δ*lmo2799* and Δ*lmo2797* Δ*lmo2799* showed a better

growth in LSM with Man*N*Ac, similar to what was observed for the glucose containing LSM

(Fig. 4C-E), indicating that this PTS system is either not involved in the import of Man*N*Ac or

that Man*N*Ac can also be imported by other PTS systems of *L. monocytogenes*. Absence of

individual PTS systems can also lead to the overexpression of another PTS system (Stoll and

Goebel, 2010), which could explain why strains lacking the EIIB/C component Lmo2799 grew

better than the wildtype in LSM with glucose or Man*N*Ac.

Expression of the *lmo2795-nanE* operon is controlled by Lmo2795

RpiR-type transcriptional regulators are often involved in the control of sugar metabolic

pathways in Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria (Sørensen and Hove-Jensen, 1996;

Yamamoto *et al.*, 2001; Jaeger and Mayer, 2008; Li *et al.*, 2017; Aleksandrzak-Piekarczyk *et*

al., 2019). We thus wondered whether Lmo2795 regulates the expression of the *lmo2795-*

nanE operon. First, we conducted EMSA experiments to assess whether Lmo2795 binds to

the promoter region of the operon. For this purpose, increasing concentrations of purified His-

Lmo2795 (Fig. 5A) were incubated with the DNA fragment containing the putative promoter of

the *lmo2795-nanE* operon. The migration of the DNA fragment was retarded in the presence

of His-Lmo2795 as compared to the DNA sample that did not contain the protein, suggesting

that a protein-DNA complex was formed. In addition, a so-called supershift could also be

observed, whose intensity increases with increasing protein concentrations. In contrast, no

retardation of the unspecific DNA control was observed in the presence of His-Lmo2795 (Fig.

5B). To test whether Lmo2795 activates or represses the expression of the *lmo2795-nanE*, we

quantified the expression of *lmo2796* and *nanE* via quantitative real-time PCR. To allow for a

better comparison of the gene expression, we decided to isolate RNA of the *L. monocytogenes*

wildtype strain EGD-e and the *lmo2795* mutant that were grown in LSM with glucose as sole

carbon source due to the observed growth deficit of the *lmo2795* mutant in Man*N*Ac-containing

LSM. This analysis revealed that the expression of both genes, *lmo2796* and *nanE*, was

reduced in the *lmo2795* mutant as compared to the wildtype strain (Fig. 5C), suggesting that

Lmo2795 acts as a transcriptional activator under the tested condition. Based on the

observation that a strain lacking NanE had a growth deficit in LSM with Man*N*Ac as sole carbon

source, we assume that Lmo2795 also activates the expression of the *lmo2795-nanE* under

this condition as we would expect at least wildtype-like growth of the *nanE* mutant, if Lmo2795

acts as a repressor. Further analysis is required to support this hypothesis.

Conclusion

The human pathogen *L. monocytogenes* is able to withstand and adapt to a variety of

environmental stress conditions. Most studies on physiology and stress tolerance of this

organism were performed in complex media without any nutrient limitation; however, this

condition is rarely found in their natural habitat or within the host during infection. Our study

focused on the characterization of the growth of two widely used *L. monocytogenes* wildtype

strains in the newly developed *Listeria* synthetic medium. We were able to show that both

strains can utilize a variety of carbon sources, when supplied as sole carbon source. To our

knowledge, this is the first time that the catabolism of Man*N*Ac has been investigated for *L.*

monocytogenes. We were able to show that the growth of this pathogen depends on the

presence of NanE, which is encoded in the *lmo2795-nanE* operon. The expression of the

lmo2795-nanE operon is regulated by the RpiR-type regulator Lmo2795, which acts as a

transcriptional activator under the tested conditions.

Our detailed characterization of the growth of *L. monocytogenes* in LSM in the presence

of diverse stress conditions or carbon sources can serve as a starting point for future studies

focusing on the adaptation of this important human pathogen on changing environmental

conditions.

Acknowledgements

We thank Julia Busse for technical assistance, Fabienne Dreier and Tayfun Acar for their help

with constructing strains and plasmids and Pascale Cossart for sharing the *prfA* mutant. We

are grateful to Prof. Jörg Stülke for providing JR and LMS with laboratory space, equipment

and consumables and to the Göttingen Center for Molecular Biosciences (GZMB) for financial

support.

Funding

This work was funded by the German research foundation (DFG) grant RI 2920/3-1 to JR.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest. All co-authors have seen and agree with the

contents of the manuscript and there is no financial interest to report.

Author Contributions

LMS: Investigation, formal analysis, conceptualization, methodology, supervision, validation,

writing – review & editing. AK: Investigation, formal analysis, writing – review & editing. JR:

Investigation, conceptualization, supervision, funding acquisition, writing – original draft.

References

Aké, F.M.D., Joyet, P., Deutscher, J., and Milohanic, E. (2011) Mutational analysis of glucose

transport regulation and glucose-mediated virulence gene repression in *Listeria*

monocytogenes. *Mol Microbiol* **81**: 274–293.

Aleksandrzak-Piekarczyk, T., Szatraj, K., and Kosiorek, K. (2019) GlaR (YugA)-a novel RpiR-

family transcription activator of the Leloir pathway of galactose utilization in *Lactococcus*

lactis IL1403. *Microbiologyopen* **8**: e00714.

Angata, T. and Varki, A. (2002) Chemical diversity in the sialic acids and related alpha-keto

acids: an evolutionary perspective. *Chem Rev* **102**: 439–469.

Arizcun, C., Vasseur, C., and Labadie, J.C. (1998) Effect of several decontamination

procedures on *Listeria monocytogenes* growing in biofilms. *J Food Prot* **61**: 731–734.

Bécavin, C., Bouchier, C., Lechat, P., Archambaud, C., Creno, S., Gouin, E., et al. (2014)

Comparison of widely used *Listeria monocytogenes* strains EGD, 10403S, and EGD-e

highlights genomic variations underlying differences in pathogenicity. *MBio* **5**: e00969-

14.

Bishop, D.K. and Hinrichs, D.J. (1987) Adoptive transfer of immunity to *Listeria*

monocytogenes. The influence of in vitro stimulation on lymphocyte subset

requirements. *J Immunol* **139**: 2005–2009.

Bradford, M. (1976) A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram quantities

of protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding. *Anal Biochem* **72**: 248–254.

Camilli, A., Tilney, L.G., and Portnoy, D.A. (1993) Dual roles of *plcA* in *Listeria*

monocytogenes pathogenesis. *Mol Microbiol* **8**: 143–157.

Cheng, C., Yang, Y., Dong, Z., Wang, X., Fang, C., Yang, M., et al. (2015) *Listeria*

monocytogenes varies among strains to maintain intracellular pH homeostasis under

stresses by different acids as analyzed by a high-throughput microplate-based

fluorometry. *Front Microbiol* **6**: 15.

Chico-Calero, I., Suárez, M., González-Zorn, B., Scortti, M., Slaghuis, J., Goebel, W., and

Vázquez-Boland, J.A. (2002) Hpt, a bacterial homolog of the microsomal glucose- 6-

phosphate translocase, mediates rapid intracellular proliferation in *Listeria*. *Proc Natl*

Acad Sci U S A **99**: 431–436.

Cotter, P.D., Gahan, C.G., and Hill, C. (2001) A glutamate decarboxylase system protects

Listeria monocytogenes in gastric fluid. *Mol Microbiol* **40**: 465–475.

Deutscher, J., Aké, F., Zebre, A., Cao, T., Kentache, T., Pham, Q., et al. (2014)

481 Carbohydrate utilization by *Listeria monocytogenes* and its influence on virulence gene

482 expression. In Listeria monocytogenes*: Food Sources, Prevalence and Management*

483 *Strategies*. pp. 49–76.

484 Dhiman, A., Bhatnagar, S., Kulshreshtha, P., and Bhatnagar, R. (2014) Functional

485 characterization of WalRK: A two-component signal transduction system from *Bacillus*

486 *anthracis*. *FEBS Open Bio* **4**: 65–76.

487 Feehily, C., Finnerty, A., Casey, P.G., Hill, C., Gahan, C.G.M., O'Byrne, C.P., and Karatzas,

488 K.-A.G. (2014) Divergent evolution of the activity and regulation of the glutamate

489 decarboxylase systems in *Listeria monocytogenes* EGD-e and 10403S: roles in

490 virulence and acid tolerance. *PLoS One* **9**: e112649.

491 Fieseler, L., Schmitter, S., Teiserskas, J., and Loessner, M.J. (2012) Rhamnose-inducible

492 gene expression in *Listeria monocytogenes*. *PLoS One* **7**: e43444.

493 Friedman, S., Linsky, M., Lobel, L., Rabinovich, L., Sigal, N., and Herskovits, A.A. (2017)

494 Metabolic genetic screens reveal multidimensional regulation of virulence gene

495 expression in *Listeria monocytogenes* and an aminopeptidase that is critical for PrfA

496 protein activation. *Infect Immun* **85**: e00027-17.

497 Gaballa, A., Guariglia-Oropeza, V., Wiedmann, M., and Boor, K.J. (2019) Cross talk between

498 SigB and PrfA in *Listeria monocytogenes* facilitates transitions between extra- and

499 intracellular environments. *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev* **83**: e00034-19.

500 Gaballa, A., Sunil, S., Doll, E., Murphy, S.I., Bechtel, T., Guariglia-Oropeza, V., and

501 Wiedmann, M. (2021) Characterization of the roles of activated charcoal and Chelex in

502 the induction of PrfA regulon expression in complex medium. *PLoS One* **16**: e0250989.

503 Glaser, P., Frangeul, L., Buchrieser, C., Rusniok, C., Amend, A., Baquero, F., et al. (2001)

504 Comparative genomics of *Listeria* species. *Science* **294**: 849–852.

505 Gopal, S., Berg, D., Hagen, N., Schriefer, E.-M., Stoll, R., Goebel, W., and Kreft, J. (2010)

Maltose and maltodextrin utilization by *Listeria monocytogenes* depend on an inducible

ABC transporter which is repressed by glucose. *PLoS One* **5**: e10349.

Grubmüller, S., Schauer, K., Goebel, W., Fuchs, T.M., and Eisenreich, W. (2014) Analysis of

carbon substrates used by *Listeria monocytogenes* during growth in J774A.1

macrophages suggests a bipartite intracellular metabolism. *Front Cell Infect Microbiol* **4**:

156.

Hauf, S., Herrmann, J., Miethke, M., Gibhardt, J., Commichau, F.M., Müller, R., et al. (2019)

Aurantimycin resistance genes contribute to survival of *Listeria monocytogenes* during

life in the environment. *Mol Microbiol* **111**: 1009–1024.

Jaeger, T. and Mayer, C. (2008) The transcriptional factors MurR and catabolite activator

protein regulate *N*-acetylmuramic acid catabolism in *Escherichia coli*. *J Bacteriol* **190**:

6598–6608.

Jarvis, N.A., O'Bryan, C.A., Ricke, S.C., Johnson, M.G., and Crandall, P.G. (2016) A review

of minimal and defined media for growth of *Listeria monocytogenes*. *Food Control* **66**:

256–269.

Joseph, B., Mertins, S., Stoll, R., Schär, J., Umesha, K.R., Luo, Q., et al. (2008) Glycerol

metabolism and PrfA activity in *Listeria monocytogenes*. *J Bacteriol* **190**: 5412–5430.

Kentache, T., Milohanic, E., Cao, T.N., Mokhtari, A., Aké, F.M., Ma Pham, Q.M., et al. (2016)

Transport and catabolism of pentitols by *Listeria monocytogenes*. *J Mol Microbiol*

Biotechnol **26**: 369–380.

Lachmann, R., Halbedel, S., Adler, M., Becker, N., Allerberger, F., Holzer, A., et al. (2021)

Nationwide outbreak of invasive listeriosis associated with consumption of meat

products in health care facilities, Germany, 2014-2019. *Clin Microbiol Infect Off Publ Eur*

Soc Clin Microbiol Infect Dis **27**: 1035.e1-1035.e5.

Lecuit, M. (2005) Understanding how *Listeria monocytogenes* targets and crosses host

barriers. *Clin Microbiol Infect* **11**: 430–436.

Li, J., Evans, D.R., Freedman, J.C., and McClane, B.A. (2017) NanR regulates *nanI*

Sialidase expression by *Clostridium perfringens* F4969, a human enteropathogenic

strain. *Infect Immun* **85**: e00241-17.

Lobel, L., Sigal, N., Borovok, I., Belitsky, B.R., Sonenshein, A.L., and Herskovits, A.A. (2015)

The metabolic regulator CodY links *Listeria monocytogenes* metabolism to virulence by

directly activating the virulence regulatory gene prfA. *Mol Microbiol* **95**: 624–644.

Lourenco, A., Linke, K., Wagner, M., and Stessl, B. (2022) The saprophytic lifestyle of

Listeria monocytogenes and entry into the food-processing environment. *Front Microbiol*

13: 789801.

Mandin, P., Repoila, F., Vergassola, M., Geissmann, T., and Cossart, P. (2007) Identification

of new noncoding RNAs in *Listeria monocytogenes* and prediction of mRNA targets.

Nucleic Acids Res **35**: 962–974.

Matle, I., Mbatha, K.R., and Madoroba, E. (2020) A review of *Listeria monocytogenes* from

meat and meat products: Epidemiology, virulence factors, antimicrobial resistance and

diagnosis. *Onderstepoort J Vet Res* **87**: e1–e20.

Olson, M.E., King, J.M., Yahr, T.L., and Horswill, A.R. (2013) Sialic acid catabolism in

Staphylococcus aureus. *J Bacteriol* **195**: 1779–1788.

Orsi, R.H., den Bakker, H.C., and Wiedmann, M. (2011) *Listeria monocytogenes* lineages:

Genomics, evolution, ecology, and phenotypic characteristics. *Int J Med Microbiol* **301**:

79–96.

Osek, J., Lachtara, B., and Wieczorek, K. (2022) *Listeria monocytogenes* – How this

pathogen survives in food-production environments? *Front Microbiol* **13**: 866462.

Patchett, R.A., Kelly, A.F., and Kroll, R.G. (1992) Effect of sodium chloride on the

intracellular solute pools of *Listeria monocytogenes*. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **58**: 3959–

3963.

Phan-Thanh, L. and Gormon, T. (1997) A chemically defined minimal medium for the optimal

culture of *Listeria*. *Int J Food Microbiol* **35**: 91–95.

Pine, L., Malcolm, G.B., Brooks, J.B., and Daneshvar, M.I. (1989) Physiological studies on

the growth and utilization of sugars by *Listeria* species. *Can J Microbiol* **35**: 245–254.

Portman, J.L., Dubensky, S.B., Peterson, B.N., Whiteley, A.T., and Portnoy, D.A. (2017)

Activation of the *Listeria monocytogenes* virulence program by a reducing environment.

MBio **8**: e01595-17.

Premaratne, R.J., Lin, W.J., and Johnson, E.A. (1991) Development of an improved

chemically defined minimal medium for *Listeria monocytogenes*. *Appl Environ Microbiol*

57: 3046–3048.

Radoshevich, L. and Cossart, P. (2018) *Listeria monocytogenes*: towards a complete picture

of its physiology and pathogenesis. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **16**: 32–46.

Renzoni, A., Klarsfeld, A., Dramsi, S., and Cossart, P. (1997) Evidence that PrfA, the

pleiotropic activator of virulence genes in *Listeria monocytogenes*, can be present but

inactive. *Infect Immun* **65**: 1515–1518.

Ripio, M.T., Brehm, K., Lara, M., Suárez, M., and Vázquez-Boland, J.A. (1997) Glucose-1-

phosphate utilization by *Listeria monocytogenes* is PrfA dependent and coordinately

expressed with virulence factors. *J Bacteriol* **179**: 7174–7180.

Schirmer, F., Ehrt, S., and Hillen, W. (1997) Expression, inducer spectrum, domain structure,

and function of MopR, the regulator of phenol degradation in *Acinetobacter*

calcoaceticus NCIB8250. *J Bacteriol* **179**: 1329–1336.

Smith, K. and Youngman, P. (1992) Use of a new integrational vector to investigate

compartment-specific expression of the *Bacillus subtilis spoIIM* gene. *Biochimie* **74**:

705–711.

581 Sørensen, K.I. and Hove-Jensen, B. (1996) Ribose catabolism of *Escherichia coli*:

582  characterization of the *rpiB* gene encoding ribose phosphate isomerase B and of the

583  *rpiR* gene, which is involved in regulation of *rpiB* expression. *J Bacteriol* **178**: 1003–

584  1011.

585 Stoll, R. and Goebel, W. (2010) The major PEP-phosphotransferase systems (PTSs) for

586  glucose, mannose and cellobiose of *Listeria monocytogenes*, and their significance for

587  extra- and intracellular growth. *Microbiology* **156**: 1069–1083.

588 Townsend, A., Strawn, L.K., Chapman, B.J., and Dunn, L.L. (2021) A systematic review of

589  *Listeria* species and *Listeria monocytogenes* prevalence, persistence, and diversity

590  throughout the fresh produce supply chain. *Foods* **10**: 1427.

591 Tsai, H.-N. and Hodgson, D.A. (2003) Development of a synthetic minimal medium for

592  *Listeria monocytogenes*. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **69**: 6943–6945.

593 Vasanthakrishnan, R.B., de Las Heras, A., Scortti, M., Deshayes, C., Colegrave, N., and

594  Vázquez-Boland, J.A. (2015) PrfA regulation offsets the cost of *Listeria* virulence

595  outside the host. *Environ Microbiol* **17**: 4566–4579.

596 Vasseur, C., Rigaud, N., Hébraud, M., and Labadie, J. (2001) Combined effects of NaCl,

597  NaOH, and biocides (monolaurin or lauric acid) on inactivation of *Listeria*

598  *monocytogenes* and *Pseudomonas spp*. *J Food Prot* **64**: 1442–1445.

599 Vimr, E.R., Kalivoda, K.A., Deszo, E.L., and Steenbergen, S.M. (2004) Diversity of microbial

600  sialic acid metabolism. *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev* **68**: 132–153.

601 Ward, T.J., Ducey, T.F., Usgaard, T., Dunn, K.A., and Bielawski, J.P. (2008) Multilocus

602  genotyping assays for single nucleotide polymorphism-based subtyping of *Listeria*

603  *monocytogenes* isolates. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **74**: 7629–7642.

604 Welshimer, H.J. (1963) Vitamin requirements of *Listeria monocytogenes*. *J Bacteriol* **85**:

605  1156–1159.

Whiteley, A.T., Garelis, N.E., Peterson, B.N., Choi, P.H., Tong, L., Woodward, J.J., and

Portnoy, D.A. (2017) c-di-AMP modulates *Listeria monocytogenes* central metabolism to

regulate growth, antibiotic resistance and osmoregulation. *Mol Microbiol* **104**: 212–233.

Wiktorczyk-Kapischke, N., Skowron, K., Grudlewska-Buda, K., Wałecka-Zacharska, E.,

Korkus, J., and Gospodarek-Komkowska, E. (2021) Adaptive response of *Listeria*

monocytogenes to the stress factors in the food processing environment. *Front*

Microbiol **12**: 710085.

Xayarath, B. and Freitag, N.E. (2012) Optimizing the balance between host and

environmental survival skills: lessons learned from *Listeria monocytogenes*. *Future*

Microbiol **7**: 839–852.

Yamamoto, H., Serizawa, M., Thompson, J., and Sekiguchi, J. (2001) Regulation of the glv

operon in *Bacillus subtilis*: YfiA (GlvR) is a positive regulator of the operon that is

repressed through CcpA and *cre*. *J Bacteriol* **183**: 5110–5121.

Zeng, Z., Li, S., Boeren, S., Smid, E.J., Notebaart, R.A., and Abee, T. (2021) Anaerobic

growth of *Listeria monocytogenes* on rhamnose is stimulated by vitamin B(12) and

bacterial microcompartment-dependent 1,2-propanediol utilization. *mSphere* **6**:

e0043421.

Tables

Table 1: Bacterial strains used in this study

Unique ID	Strain name and resistance	Source
Escherichia coli **strains**		
ANG1264	DH5α pKSV7; AmpR	(Smith and Youngman, 1992)
EJR149	XL10 Gold pWH844; AmpR	(Schirmer *et al.*, 1997)
EJR88	XL1-Blue pKSV7-Δ*lmo2795*; AmpR	This study
EJR121	XL1-Blue pKSV7-Δ*lmo2800*; AmpR	This study
EJR122	XL10-Gold pKSV7-Δ*lmo2799*; AmpR	This study
EJR123	XL10-Gold pKSV7-Δ*lmo2798*; AmpR	This study
EJR131	DH5α pWH844-*lmo2795*; AmpR	This study
EJR164	XL1-Blue pKSV7-Δ*lmo2797*; AmpR	This study
EJR210	XL10-Gold pKSV7-Δ*nanE*; AmpR	This study
EJR236	XL10-Gold pKSV7-Δ*lmo2796*; AmpR	This study
Listeria monocytogenes **strains**		
ANG873	EGD-e	(Glaser *et al.*, 2001)
ANG1263	10403S; StrepR	(Bishop and Hinrichs, 1987)
BUG2214	EGD-e Δ*prfA*	(Mandin *et al.*, 2007)
LJR123	EGD-e Δ*lmo2795*	This study
LJR132	EGD-e Δ*lmo2798*	This study
LJR135	EGD-e Δ*lmo2799*	This study
LJR204	EGD-e Δ*lmo2797*	This study
LJR205	EGD-e Δ*lmo2799* Δ*lmo2797*	This study
LJR206	EGD-e Δ*lmo2800*	This study
LJR207	EGD-e Δ*nanE*	This study

Table 2: Composition of *Listeria* synthetic medium

Stock name	Stock dilution factor	Stock volume (ml)	Ingredient	Final concentration	MW (g/mol)	Final concentration (g/L)	Total in stock (g)
MOPS pH 7.5[a]	10	1000	MOPS	0.1 M	209.3[a]	20.93	209.3
Glucose	50	1000	Glucose	55.5 mM	180.2	10	500
Phosphate	100	250	KH_2PO_4	4.8 mM	136.1	0.656	16.3
			$Na_2HPO_4 \cdot 12\,H_2O$	11.5 mM	358.14	4.12	103
Magnesium	100	250	$MgSO_4 \cdot 7\,H_2O$	1.7 mM	246.5	0.409	10.48
Micro-Nutrients[b]	100	250	Biotin	2.05 µM	244.3	0.0005	0.0125
			Riboflavin 5' monophosphate sodium salt hydrate	1.33 µM	478.33	0.0006	0.0158
			Para-Aminobenzoic acid	7.29 µM	137.1	0.001	0.025
			Lipoic acid	0.02 µM	206.3	0.000005	0.0001
			Nicotinamide	8.19 µM	122.12	0.001	0.025

			D-Pantothenic acid hemicalcium salt	4.2 µM	238.27	0.001	0.025
			Pyridoxal · HCl	4.91 µM	203.62	0.001	0.025
			Thiamine · HCl	2.96 µM	337.27	0.001	0.025
Complete amino acids[c]	50	500	L-Arginine	500 µM	174.2	0.1	2.18
			L-Histidine · HCl · H$_2$O	500 µM	209.6	0.1	2.62
			L-Isoleucine	800 µM	131.17	0.1	2.62
			L-Leucine	800 µM	131.17	0.1	2.62
			L-Methionine	700 µM	149.21	0.1	2.61
			L-Phenylalanine	600 µM	165.19	0.1	2.48
			L-Tryptophan	500 µM	204.23	0.1	2.55
			L-Valine	900 µM	117.15	0.1	2.64
Adenine[d]	100	250	Adenine	18.5 µM	135.13	0.0025	0.0625
Trace Metals	100	250	FeCl$_2$ · 4 H$_2$O	5 µM	198.8	0.00099	0.025
			MnSO$_4$ · H$_2$O	50 µM	169	0.00845	0.212
			ZnSO$_4$ · 7 H$_2$O	1 µM	287.6	0.00029	0.007

			$CaCl_2 \cdot 2\ H_2O$	10 µM	147	0.00147	0.037
			$CuSO_4 \cdot 5\ H_2O$	0.1 µM	249.7	0.00002	0.0005
			$CoCl_2 \cdot 6\ H_2O$	0.1 µM	237.93	0.00003	0.0005
			H_3BO_3	0.1 µM	61.8	0.00001	0.00015
			$Na_2MoO_4 \cdot 2\ H_2O$	0.1 µM	242	0.00002	0.0005
			NaCl	8.555784 mM	58.44	0.5	12.5
			Sodium citrate tri-sodium salt	100 µM	294.1	0.2941	0.74
Added fresh[e]	10	100	L-Cysteine · HCl ·H_2O	634.44 µM	175.6	0.1	0.1
			L-Glutamine	4.106 mM	146.14	0.6	0.6

The recipe of *Listeria* synthetic medium (LSM) was adapted from Whiteley et al. (Whiteley *et al.*, 2017). All stock solutions were sterile filtrated prior use and stored

at 4°C, except for Phosphate, which is stored at room temperature. LSM is prepared by combining the stock solutions in accordance with their dilution factor and

in the order they are listed in the table. The final LSM medium is again sterile filtrated.

[a] Adjust pH of MOPS stock solution to pH 7.5 with 10 N NaOH.

[b] Dissolve ingredients in boiling water followed by filter sterilization.

[c] Dissolve amino acids in hot 1 N NaOH followed by filter sterilization.

[d] Dissolve adenine in 20 ml 0.2 M HCl and fill up with H_2O to 250 ml.

[e] These ingredients are usually freshly added to LSM according to Whiteley et al. (Whiteley *et al.*, 2017); however, a stock solution could be prepared and is stable

for at least 4 weeks.

 Table 3: Primers used in this study

Number	Name	Sequence
AK004	pWG844-*lmo2795* fw	AAAGGATCCATGACGATTTTAAATGAAATCCAACA AAATTA
AK005	pWG844-*lmo2795* rev	TTTGTCGACTTAATGAAATTCATCGGTAACAGTATT GA
JR142	*lmo2797* up fw	CGCGGATCCGTTGCAGACCGAGAACAAAG
JR143	*lmo2797* up rev	GTGAAATTATTATAACATGCCCGTACCCCC
JR144	*lmo2797* down fw	ACGGGCATGTTATAATAATTTCACTAAAGAGGTGA AG
JR145	*lmo2797* down rev	CCGGAATTCCTGCTGTATCGAACATCGGC
JR211	*lmo2800* up fw	CGCGGATCCGTTAGTGGTTTCCTGCCAAG
LMS169	qRT-PCR *gyrB* fw	GGTGGCTCATGGATAACGTCT
LMS170	qRT-PCR *gyrB* rev	GCGAACTTGCTTTCTTGAATCGT
LMS346	*lmo2795* down rev	AAAGAGCTCCGGTGAAATCTAGGTCCATTCC
LMS347	*lmo2795* down fw	CAACAAAATTACATCAATACTGTTACCGATGAATTT CATTAA
LMS348	*lmo2795* up rev	AACAGTATTGATGTAATTTTGTTGGATTTCATTTAA AATCGTCAT
LMS349	*lmo2795* up fw	AAATCTAGACGAAGCAAATTCAAGCCAAGCA
LMS369	qRT-PCR *nanE* fw	GTGGGGGTGATAAATACGTCCG
LMS375	qRT-PCR *rplD* fw	ATGCCTTGTAAGTTGCGTGC
LMS376	qRT-PCR *rplD* rev	GGTTTGACTTTCGATGCACCT
LMS377	qRT-PCR *nanE* rev	TTCAAGGTGGTGCTGTTGGT
LMS384	qRT-PCR *lmo2796* fw	AGCCCACTTCACATGCTGTA
LMS385	qRT-PCR *lmo2796* rev	CTTGGCGAGTTTTGGTTGGG

LMS395	*lmo2796* up fw	AAAGGATCCCCGTGAATTAAATGAGAAGAATCAAATGG
LMS396	*lmo2796* up rev	ATCTTTTTCGGCGTCGACACAAAGATAATTTTCCATTTCTTC
LMS397	*lmo2796* down fw	CTTTGTGTCGACGCCGAAAAAGATGACGATTTTAAATGA
LMS398	*lmo2796* down rev	TTTCTGCAGGTGAAAAAGGCATCTGGGTCC
LMS401	*nanE* up fw	AAAGGATCCAATAATGAGCTAAAATATACGGTATAAGCC
LMS402	*nanE* up rev	TTGAATTTTTTGGATTTTTTCCATAACAGAATTGCCCAC
LMS403	*nanE* down fw	ATGGAAAAAATCCAAAAAATTCAAGAAGAAAGAGGTAAGTGA
LMS404	*nanE* down rev	TTTCTGCAGCCAATCATATGTGTGGCGCTATTTT
LMS408	*lmo2800* up rev	TCCTTCAGCAATAATTACGCCAACTTTGATTTGCTTTG
LMS409	*lmo2800* down fw	GTTGGCGTAATTATTGCTGAAGGAAAAGAAGTATCACTATAA
LMS410	*lmo2800* down rev	TTTCTGCAGATGTGGTCGCCGGGTG
LMS411	*lmo2799* up fw	AAAGGATCCCAAAGCAAATCAAAGTTGGCGTAATT
LMS412	*lmo2799* up rev	GTCTGCAACTATCTTTTGTACATGTACCCGAATAGACAT
LMS413	*lmo2799* down fw	CATGTACAAAAGATAGTTGCAGACCGAGAACAAAAG
LMS414	*lmo2799* down rev	TTTCTGCAGCATCAGCCAATGTTGCAAAGGT
LMS417	*lmo2798* up fw	AAAAAGCTTCCCACTAACGGCTTTAATCATTGAG
LMS418	*lmo2798* up rev	CATTATTTCTGTGTCAAAGTCCATCACAATCGCTTT

LMS419	*lmo2798* down fw	ATGGACTTTGACACAGAAATAATGGCTGAATATAA TAAATAAGGG
LMS420	*lmo2798* down rev	TTTCTGCAGAGCCCACTTCACATGCTGTAA
LMS428	EMSA unspecific fw	CTTTAATATCCTCTAAGCCAGCATTTTTG
LMS429	EMSA unspecific rev	CAAGATGCAGCGAAGAAAATGGAA
LMS430	EMSA *lmo2795* rev	CTAACCCACCTTTGATTTTTTCCATAAC
LMS431	EMSA *lmo2795* fw	CGGAACACCCAACAAATTACCAAT

Table 4: Carbon sources supporting growth of *L. monocytogenes* in chemically defined media.

Carbon source[1]	(Pine et al., 1989) ABCD medium[3]		(Tsai and Hodgson, 2003) HTM[3]		(Premaratne et al., 1991) MWB[3]		This study LSM[3]	
	Conc.[2]	Growth	Conc.[2]	Growth	Conc.[2]	Growth	Conc.[2]	Growth
Glucose	0.25%	yes	1%	yes	1%	yes	1%	yes
Fructose			1%	yes	1%	yes		
Galactose	0.25%	no	1%	no	1%	no	1%	no
Mannose			1%	yes	1%	yes	1%	yes
Mannitol			1%	no	1%	no	1%	no
Arabinose			1%	no	1%	no		
Ribose			1%	no	1%	no		
Xylose	0.25%	no	1%	no	1%	no		
Lactose	0.25%	yes	1%	no	1%	no		
Rhamnose	0.25%	yes	1%	no			1%	weak
Maltose			1%	no	1%	weak	1%	weak
Sucrose			1%	no	1%	no	1%	no
Cellobiose					1%	yes	1%	yes
Trehalose					1%	yes	1%	yes
Glc-1-P					1%	no	1%	yes[4]
Glc-6-P					1%	no	1%	yes[4]
Glc*N*					1%	yes	1%	yes
Glc*N*Ac					1%	yes	1%	yes
Mur*N*Ac					1%	yes		

							1%	yes
ManNAc							1%	yes
Neu5Ac							1%	no
Glycerol			1%	yes	1%	weak	1%	yes
Succinate					1%	no	1%	weak

[1] The following carbon sources do not support growth: Melibiose, Raffinose, Sorbose, Sorbitol, Galactose-1-phosphate, Galactose-6-phosphate, Lactate, Citrate, Isocitrate, α-Ketoglutarate, Fumarate, Malate, Pyruvate, Acetate, Chitin (Premaratne *et al.*, 1991). Abbreviations: Glc-1-P = Glucose-1-phosphate, Glc-6-P = Glucose-6-phosphate, Glc*N* = glucosamine, GlcNAc = *N*-acetylglucosamine, Mur*N*Ac = *N*-acetylmuramic acid, Man*N*Ac = *N*-acetylmannosamine, Neu5Ac = *N*-acetylneuraminic acid.

[2] Conc. = concentration of carbon source

[3] ABCD medium = *N*-(2-acetamido)-2-aminoethanesulfonic acid (ACES)-buffered, chemically defined medium; HTM = Hsiang-Ning Tsai medium, MWB = Modified Welshimer's broth, LSM = *Listeria* synthetic medium

[4] Pre-growth in LSM glucose with low concentrations of BCAA, a PrfA-activating condition, is required.

Figures and Figure legends

Figure 1: Salt and pH resistance of *L. monocytogenes* wildtype strains. (A) *L. monocytogenes* strains EGD-e and 10403S were grown in LSM containing 1% glucose and increasing concentrations of NaCl as described in the methods section. (B) *L. monocytogenes* strains EGD-e and 10403S were grown in LSM containing 1% glucose (pH 8.7). The pH of LSM was adjusted to the indicated pH-values using HCl. The average values and standard deviations of three independent experiments were plotted.

665 **Figure 2: Growth in LSM media with different carbon sources.** (A-B) *L. monocytogenes*

666 strains EGD-e and 10403S were grown in *Listeria* synthetic medium (LSM) containing 1% of

667 the indicated carbon sources as described in the methods section. The average values and

668 standard deviations of three independent experiments were plotted. Glc*N*Ac = *N*-

669 acetylglucosamine, Man*N*Ac = *N*-acetylmannosamine, Glc*N* = glucosamine.

Figure 3: Pre-growth of *L. monocytogenes* in LSM glucose enables growth on glucose-1-phosphate and glucose-6-phosphate. (A) *L. monocytogenes* strains EGD-e and 10403S were grown in *Listeria* synthetic medium (LSM) containing 1% of the indicated carbon sources as described in the methods section. LSM was either prepared with the standard complete amino acid mix (high BCAA) or the complete amino acid mix with 10-fold less branched chain amino acids (low BCAA). The average values and standard deviations of three independent experiments were plotted. (B) *L. monocytogenes* strains EGD-e, 10403S and Δ*prfA* were pre-grown in *Listeria* synthetic medium (LSM) containing 1% glucose and subsequently transferred to LSM containing the indicated carbon sources as described in the methods section. The average values and standard deviations of four independent experiments were plotted. Glc-1-P = glucose-1-phosphate, Glc-6-P = glucose-6-phosphate.

Figure 4: The *lmo2795-nanE* operon is required for Man*N*Ac utilization. (A) Gene arrangement of the *lmo2795-nanE* operon of *L. monocytogenes*. Predicted protein functions are indicated above the genes. ROK – member of the repressor, ORF, kinase family; PTS-

EIIA and PTS-EIIB/C – components of a phosphotransferase system; HAD – member of the

haloacid dehydrogenase-like hydrolase superfamily. (B-G) Growth curves. The indicated *L.*

monocytogenes strains were grown in LSM containing (B, C) 1% glucose, (D, E) 1% Man*N*Ac

as sole carbon source. The average values and standard deviations of three independent

experiments were plotted.

Figure 5: Lmo2795 regulates the expression of the *lmo2795-nanE* operon. (A) SDS-PAGE

of elution fraction 3 containing purified His-Lmo2795. The expected molecular weight of His-

Lmo2795 is 31.5 kDa. (B) EMSA assay. Increasing concentrations of His-Lmo2795 were

incubated with the DNA fragment containing the predicted promoter region of the *lmo2795-*

nanE operon. The DNA-protein complexes were separated on an 8% polyacrylamide gel,

which was subsequently stained using HDGreen™. A DNA fragment containing a short region

from within the operon was used as the unspecific control. Reactions without protein were used

as negative controls (-). (C) Analysis of *lmo2796* and *nanE* expression by qRT-PCR. RNA was

isolated from *L. monocytogenes* strains EGD-e (wt) and Δ*lmo2795* grown in LSM containing

1% glucose as sole carbon source as described in the methods section. Expression of *lmo2796*

and *nanE* was normalized to the expression of *gyrB* and *rplD* and fold changes were calculated

using the $\Delta\Delta C_T$ method. Averages and standard deviations of three independent RNA

extractions were plotted. For statistical analysis, a one-way ANOVA coupled with a Dunnett's

multiple comparison test was performed (** $p \leq 0.01$; *** $p \leq 0.001$).