# Interplay between pollution and avian influenza virus infection risk in shorebirds and waterfowl

**Tobias A. Ross[1], Junjie Zhang[2], Michelle Wille[3,4,5], Alexandros G. Asimakopoulos[2], Veerle L. B. Jaspers[6], Marcel Klaassen[1,7]**

[1] Centre for Integrative Ecology, School of Life and Environmental Sciences, Deakin University, Geelong, VIC, 3216, Australia
[2] Department of Chemistry, Norwegian University of Science and Technology (NTNU), Trondheim-7491, Norway
[3] Sydney School for Infectious Diseases, School of Life and Environmental Sciences and School of Medical Sciences, The University of Sydney, Sydney, New South Wales, Australia.
[4] Department of Microbiology and Immunology, at the Peter Doherty Institute for Infection and Immunity, The University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia.
[5] WHO Collaborating Centre for Reference and Research on Influenza, at the Peter Doherty Institute for Infection and Immunity, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia.
[6] Department of Biology, Norwegian University of Science and Technology (NTNU), Trondheim 7491, Norway
[7] Victorian Wader Study Group, Thornbury, VIC, 3071, VIC, Australia

## Abstract

Anthropogenic pollution may disrupt wildlife physiology and impact their behaviour and survival. Potentially compounding this threat are immunomodulatory properties of pollutants that increase susceptibility to, and ability to withstand, infection. Of particular concern is avian influenza virus (AIV), which in its low-pathogenic form is endemic in many wild bird populations, notably waterfowl and shorebirds, and in its high-pathogenic form poses a threat to wildlife, livestock and people. While many pollutants are known to have immunomodulative properties, little is known about how these pollutants affect AIV infection risk specifically. We examined the concentrations of per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFASs), which are considered immunomodulatory, and assessed their influence on avian influenza infection status in three reservoir species, red-necked stint (*Calidris ruficollis,* n=121), pacific black duck (*Anas superciliosa,* n=57) and grey teal (*Anas gracilis*, n= 62). Using data on viral prevalence (cloacal/oropharyngeal swabs) and seroprevalence (AIV anti-nucleoprotein antibodies), we found no significant effect of PFASs pollution (total PFASs ranging from <0.01 – 1290 ng/g in red-necked stint, <0.01 – 600 ng/g in pacific black duck and 0.3 – 200 ng/g in grey teal) on infection status in any of our three species. This may be due to relatively low pollutant concentrations, but we cannot rule out the possibility of population culling through a synergy of pollution and infection stressors. We therefore recommend further studies on infection incidence in more polluted species.

**Introduction**

Pollution threatens wildlife species all around the globe through a diversity of effects. At high enough concentrations, chemical pollution can be acutely toxic, causing direct mortality (Katagi & Fujisawa, 2021). At sub-lethal levels, pollutants may cause physiological harm both individually (Dietz et al., 2019), and when interacting with one another. These interactions can result in other additive or even synergistic harmful effects (Dennis et al., 2020). Physiological effects of pollutants are diverse and may include disruption of both endocrine systems (Dietz et al., 2019; Sebastiano et al., 2021) and reproductive function (Dietz et al., 2019), an increase in oxidative stress (Valavanidis, Vlahogianni, Dassenakis, & Scoullos, 2006), and immunomodulation (Castaño-Ortiz, Jaspers, & Waugh, 2019; Vallverdú-Coll, Mateo, Mougeot, & Ortiz-Santaliestra, 2019). Immunomodulation can change the way organisms interact with pathogens in their environment, thus impacting individuals' susceptibility to and recovery from disease with knock-on effects on the epidemiology of pathogens.

Interactions between pollution and pathogens can be complex. Direct effects have been studied in a range of pollutants; lead, for example, increases susceptibility to infections by altering T-lymphocyte response in domestic chickens (*Gallus gallus*) (Vallverdú-Coll et al., 2019). The same effects have also been reported from air contaminants from oil sands (including ozone, sulphur dioxide, and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons) in wild tree swallows (*Tachycineta bicolor*) (Cruz-Martinez et al., 2015). Mercury pollution has been shown to cause a reduction in natural antibodies in barnacle goslings (*Branta leucopsis*) (Han, van den Berg, Loonen, Mateo, & van den Brink, 2022). Expression of different immune genes in embryonic chicken fibroblasts can be reduced by both polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs: Badry, Jaspers, & Waugh, 2020) and per-/poly-fluoroalkyl substances (PFASs: Castaño-Ortiz et al., 2019). Indirect interactions with immune function can also emerge with pollution potentially reducing an animal's body condition resulting in limited availability of resources to mount an immune response to combat infection (Teitelbaum et al., 2022). While immunomodulatory effects of many pollutants have been well studied *in vitro* and in captive birds, studies of both pollution and disease simultaneously in wild birds have rarely been conducted (Teitelbaum et al., 2022).

PFASs are a growing immunomodulatory contaminant group that consist of partially or fully fluorinated carbon chains. This structure lends them both hydro- and lipophobic properties and high stability (Buck et al., 2011). These properties have led to the use of PFASs in many products, including firefighting foams, textiles and kitchen utensils. PFASs are rapidly increasing in diversity with some estimates suggesting over 14,000 are currently in circulation (US Environmental Protection Agency, 2022) with little regulation in place (UNEP, 2022). The immunomodulative properties of PFASs can affect both adaptive and innate immunity of wildlife. In birds, Peden-Adams et al. (2008) showed a reduction of the adaptive response in response to the phytohemagglutinin (PHA) test in chickens

(*Gallus gallus domesticus*) exposed to PFOS while in the egg, whereas Smits and Nain (2013) showed

a significant reduction of the T-cell dependent antibody response in Japanese quails (*Coturnix*

*japonica*). Effects on innate immunity in chickens include a downregulation in the expression of

immune genes nuclear factor kappa-light-chain-enhancer of activated B cells (*NF-κB),* interleukins 8

(*IL-8*) and 4 (*IL-4*), all of which are involved in the inflammation response (Castaño-Ortiz et al., 2019).

Migratory birds of the East-Asian Australasian flyway (EAAF) spending the non-breeding period in

Australia may experience exposure to low pathogenic avian influenza virus (AIV), but are potentially

also exposed to highly pathogenic avian influenza while in Asia (Wille et al., 2019). Highly pathogenic

avian influenza causes severe morbidity and mortality in wild birds, and is currently causing a

panzootic with profound impacts on birds, globally (Wille & Barr, 2022). AIV is endemic in Australian

wild birds (Wille et al., 2023), and is often associated with other co-infections (Wille et al., 2018), and

may thus function as an indicator of overall susceptibility to infection.

In this study, we investigated the effect of PFAS pollution on the infection risk of AIV in three of the

pathogen's prime Australian reservoir species. We focussed on three avian species: one species of

shorebird, red necked stint (*Calidris ruficollis*, order Charadriiformes), and two waterfowl species

(order Anseriformes): pacific black duck (*Anas superciliosa*) and grey teal (*Anas gracilis*). Long-term

AIV prevalence and AIV seroprevalence levels in these three species were established at 3% and 10%,

7% and 50%, and 6% and 55%, respectively, which are among the highest AIV prevalence values

measured in birds in Australia (Wille et al., 2023). Furthermore, evidence of highly pathogenic avian

influenza infection has previously been found in red-necked stint (Wille et al., 2019). This species also

migrates via an extremely polluted region (including PFASs), China's Yellow Sea (Muir & Miaz, 2021;

Xiao et al., 2017), highlighting the potential threat posed by the interplay between pollution and

disease. As PFASs (and PFOS in particular), have been shown to downregulate the innate immune

response (Castaño-Ortiz et al., 2019), we hypothesised that with increasing exposure to these

pollutants the incidence of both AIV and AIV antibodies would increase.

**Methods**

*Ethics statement*

All birds were captured and sampled under approval of Deakin University Animal Ethics Committee

(permit numbers A113-2010, B37-2013, B43-2016, B39-2019), Wildlife Ethics Committee of South

Australia (2011/1, 2012/35, 2013/11), and Philip Island Nature Park Animal Ethics Committee

(SPFL20082).

*Sample collection*

Red-necked stint were captured on the coast of Victoria, at the Western Treatment Plant (WTP) and in Western Port Bay, both near Melbourne, Australia. Pacific black ducks were sampled at the WTP, and on farmland near Geelong, also in Victoria. Grey teal were also sampled at the WTP and Innamincka Regional Reserve in South Australia. Catches of birds occurred from 2011-2020. Red-necked stint were captured using cannon-nets in collaboration with the Victorian Wader Study Group (VWSG), as part of ongoing shorebird banding work starting 1978 (Minton, 2006). Ducks were caught using baited walk-in traps and mist nets. All birds were blood sampled and oropharyngeal and cloacal swabs were taken. Of each bird, cloacal and oropharyngeal swab samples were collected using sterile swabs, and immediately stored in virus transport medium (VTM, brain heart infusion [BHI] broth-based medium [Oxoid] with 0.3 mg/ml penicillin, 5 mg/ml streptomycin, 0.1 mg/ml gentamicin, and 2.5 g/ml, amphotericin B), either separately (pre-March 2014) or together (March 2014 onwards). Swab samples in VTM were kept refrigerated for up to a week, before being stored at below -80°C. Blood samples (typically 200 µl) were collected from the brachial vein of each bird using Sarstedt Microvette capillary tubes. Samples were refrigerated and left to clot, next centrifuged within 6-24 hrs after collection to separate red blood cells (RBC) from serum, after which they were stored at below -20°C. Serum was used to test for the presence of AIV antibodies, while RBC was used for PFAS analyses.

Across the entire influenza surveillance study, we collected 1512 sample sets from red necked stints, 446 from pacific black ducks, and 660 from grey teals. We selected the samples of all 41 AIV-positive red-necked stints, 29 AIV-positive pacific black ducks and 29 AIV-positive grey teals. These samples were matched with the samples from 70, 28 and 33 AIV negative birds, respectively. The AIV negative sample sets were stratified-randomly selected, ensuring at least 10 AIV negative individuals were included from each of the sites where AIV positive birds were sampled. Serum samples from the same birds were also used for AIV antibody analyses with the exception of two pacific black ducks and three grey teals for which we failed to collect serum. For red-necked stint we included an additional ten serum samples for serological analysis. Total numbers of individuals involved across all samples were 121 red-necked stints, 57 pacific black ducks and 62 grey teals.

*AIV screening*

Samples were screened for AIV following established methods, described in Wille et al. (2023). Briefly, RNA was extracted from swab samples, which were assayed for AIV using quantitative reverse transcriptase real time PCR targeting a short fragment of the matrix gene (Spackman et al., 2002). A cycle threshold (Ct) cut-off of 40 was used. In cases where oropharyngeal and cloacal swabs were collected separately (pre-March 2014), results were combined and a bird was deemed AIV-positive if either swab returned a positive result. Blood serum samples were assayed for anti-nucleoprotein

135    antibodies of AIV using the Multi Screen Avian Influenza Virus Antibody Test Kit (IDEXX, Hoppendorf,

136    The Netherlands) following manufacturer's instructions.

*Chemical analyses of blood samples*

All RBC samples were analysed for 12 different PFASs (full details provided in Table S1), which were

broadly grouped as carboxylates (PFPA, PFHxA, PFOA, PFNA, PFDA, PFUnA, PFDoA, PFTrA, PFTeA) and

sulfonates (PFBS, PFOS). We also calculated a total PFAS group, consisting of all carboxylates and

sulfonates listed above, as well as PFOSA. Analyses were conducted using methodology adapted from

Trimmel et al. (2021). In brief, ~ 50 mg of red blood cell samples was weighted in a 1.5 mL

polypropylene tube and 10 μL of PFOA-$^{13}C_8$ and PFOS-$^{13}C_8$ (1000 μg/L) was added. After adding 0.3 mL

methanol containing 1% ammonium formate, the sample was vortexed for 30 s and ultrasonicated for

30 min. Then the sample was centrifuged for 5 min at 3500 rpm. Finally, the supernatant was

transferred into a 1.5 mL injection vial with an insert vial after purification by Hybrid-SPE. The sample

extract was stored at -20 ℃ until analysis by UPLC-MS/MS.

*Statistical analysis*

All statistical analyses were conducted using R Version 4.2.0, in RStudio 2022.02.0 Build 443. All PFASs

were summed into carboxylate (ΣPFCAs), sulfonate (ΣPFSA), and total PFASs (ΣPFAS) categories as

outlined above. All non-detected values of individual compounds were replaced with 0.015 ng/g,

equivalent to half the minimum quantification limit of detection for all compounds. When summed

categories were calculated, any totals where no compounds were detected were also set at 0.015

ng/g. For each of the three focal species, we first ran two-sample t-tests on the grouped PFAS data

(ΣPFCAs, ΣPFSAs and ΣPFASs) from each region, to determine if there were pollution differences

between regions.

We used generalised linear mixed effects models in R package lme4 (Bates, Mächler, Bolker, & Walker,

2015) (function glmer, family binomial) to investigate AIV status (positive or negative) in each species,

as a function of each of our 12 pollutants individually, as well as ΣPFCAs, ΣPFSAs, and ΣPFASs (i.e. 3 ×

15 = 45 models). These models included region as a random effect. We also ran this same structure of

models across all samples, including species as a second random effect alongside region (i.e. 15

models). Finally, we ran the same model across all samples combined (again with species and region

as random effects), in which ΣPFCAs and ΣPFSA concentrations were both entered simultaneously as

explanatory variables (i.e. 4 models). Overall, we thus ran a total of 64 models for AIV prevalence. We

ran the same set of models using AIV serostatus (positive or negative) instead of AIV status as

dependent variable, culminating in a grand total of 128 models testing the effect of PFASs on AIV

status and serostatus.

**Results**

Of the 12 individual pollutants that we targeted, only PFOS, PFDoA and PFUnA were detected in more

than 50% of our samples (91%, 73% and 59% respectively, Tables 1 and 2). When summed into overall

categories, ΣPFCAs, ΣPFSAs and ΣPFASs were detected in 87%, 91% and 97% of all samples

respectively, regardless of species. Across all the 241 samples tested, PFCAs were not detected in 31

samples, PFSAs were not detected in 21 samples, and total PFASs were not detected in only 8 samples.

ΣPFCAs concentrations were below 50 ng/g in all but 5 samples (2%), with samples from two red-

necked stints (71 and 98 ng/g) and three pacific black ducks (54, 70 and 74 ng/g) comprising the

exception. ΣPFSAs by contrast were higher in concentration, with 19 samples (8%) exceeding 200 ng/g

(Figure 1), comprising of 14 red-necked stints (205-1275 ng/g) and 5 pacific black ducks (208-503ng/g).

We found that all ΣPFCAs, ΣPFSAs and ΣPFASs were significantly higher at the WTP in all species

($p<0.05$, see Table S2), with one exception where no significant differences between regions was

found for ΣPFCAs in pacific black duck. A complete range of detection rates and overall concentrations

by AIV status and AIV serostatus are shown in Table 1 and Table 2, respectively.

Across all 128 models, no significant effects were found for the influence of PFAS pollution on AIV

prevalence and seroprevalence, except for five models ($p$ values for each species, and overall sample

population provided in Tables 1 and 2). These significant effects were found for PFOSA on AIV

serostatus for all species combined, for PFTeA on AIV serostatus in red-necked stint only, and for PFOS,

ΣPFSAs and ΣPFASs on AIV infection status across all samples for all species combined. PFOSA was

found to be higher in birds that were seropositive (AIV seropositive median: 0.625 ng/g, range: <0.01-

36.5 ng/g; AIV seronegative median: <0.01 ng/g, range: <0.01-21.6 ng/g; slope: 0.058, $p$ = 0.040).

PFTeA was found to be higher in red-necked stint that were seropositive (AIV seropositive median: 1.3

ng/g, range: <0.01-20.4 ng/g; AIV seronegative median: <0.01 ng/g, range: <0.01-14 ng/g; slope =

0.144. $p$ = 0.043). PFOS concentrations were found to be slightly lower in birds that were actively

infected with AIV (AIV positive median: 29.4 ng/g, range: <0.01-270 ng/g; AIV negative median: 27

ng/g, range: <0.01-1280 ng/g; slope = -0.0036, $p$ = 0.039), as were ΣPFSAs when the group was

modelled by itself (AIV positive median: 29.4 ng/g, range: <0.01-270 ng/g; AIV negative median: 27

ng/g, range: <0.01-1280 ng/g; slope = -0.0036, $p$ = 0.040), and ΣPFASs (AIV positive median: 39.4 ng/g,

range: 1.7-292 ng/g, AIV negative median: 33.2 ng/g, range: <0.01-1290 ng/g; slope: -0.0030, $p$=0.047).

**Discussion**

Our results, encompassing concentrations of 12 per-/polyfluorinated compounds, and both AIV

infection and serostatus in three different species, did not suggest that infection of AIV in these birds

is influenced by their PFAS burdens. Indeed, of the 128 models run, only five significant correlations

were detected with $p$ values just below 0.05, which could well be spurious given the number of models

that were run. Our general finding of no (or few) significant correlations is in contrast to a previous $in$

$vitro$ study where PFASs were demonstrated to have immunomodulatory effects in birds (Castaño-

Ortiz et al., 2019). If such effects were present in the current study, we would have expected actively

AIV-infected or previously AIV-infected birds to have higher concentrations of PFASs in their system.

We expect that the absence of a correlation between PFAS pollution and AIV in this study was because

our observed PFAS concentrations were too low to elicit immunomodulatory effects. In the $in vitro$

study by Castaño-Ortiz et al. (2019), exposure to PFOS was at 22mg/L, likely far higher than any

exposure our study birds experienced. Indeed, even though PFAS pollution often varied between

sample sites, the concentrations we observed were low relative to 'predicted no-effects

concentrations' in serum presented by Newsted, Jones, Coady, and Giesy (2005), the lowest of which

was 150 ng/g. PFAS concentrations in all but five individuals' (four red-necked stints and one pacific

black duck) were also low compared to 'lowest observable adverse effect levels' (LOAELs) of 400ng/g

in adult bird liver tissues proposed by Dennis et al. (2021). These LOAELs were calculated based on

decreased adult weight gain. Unfortunately, the disparity between sample matrices (liver vs. red blood

cells) means we cannot draw direct comparisons between our study and Dennis et al. (2021)'s

proposed toxicity thresholds. Nevertheless, concentrations of PFASs in liver tend to be higher than

those in blood (Gebbink & Letcher, 2012) and as such, we can consider Dennis et al. (2021)'s liver

LOAELs to be conservative estimates of toxicity in blood. The true number of our samples that exceed

the adult LOAEL from Dennis et al. (2021) is likely to be higher. Aside from the five individual

exceptions mentioned above, the low concentrations we observed may be considered remarkable for

birds that inhabit putatively polluted wastewater treatment plants (all focal species) or migrate via

highly polluted environments (red-necked stint migrating via e.g. the Yellow Sea) (Muir & Miaz, 2021).

Nevertheless, in 108 individuals, the total PFASs concentrations found were higher than the lowest

LOAELs posited by Dennis et al. (2021) (50 ng/g in liver for young birds, based on decreased successful

hatching), which suggested there may be some risk to juvenile birds in our population. The absence

of specific data on immunomodulation thresholds means we cannot definitively state whether our

concentrations are below levels to cause effect. However, our results did not yet suggest that this is

the case.

It is worth noting that some individuals had particularly elevated PFASs concentrations (e.g. one red-

necked stint was observed with 1275 ng/g of PFOS with the next highest at 674 ng/g, see Figure 1),

while being negative for both AIV and AIV antibodies. However, no conclusions can be based on such

rare extreme cases due to of a) the very small sample size of individuals with elevated PFASs and b)

the level of exposure of these red-necked stints to AIV (10% seroprevalence: Wille et al., 2023)

increasing stochasticity in the occurrence of infection. It is clear though, that individuals can accrue a

high PFAS load without necessarily incurring infections.

An alternative explanation for the low PFASs concentrations and no apparent interplay with AIV

infection, is that the absence of an effect is due to population culling. Population culling is the

phenomenon whereby sick, or in this case, polluted individuals do not survive and are thereby 'culled'

from the population, such that we never or infrequently detect them when sampling. In our sample

population, there was clearly the possibility for individuals to accrue elevated PFAS burdens, as

demonstrated by the 19 birds with PFSAs concentrations greater than 200ng/g (see Figure 1). In the

absence of additional stressors such as disease, birds may be able to withstand elevated

concentrations, as evidenced by the highly polluted, AIV negative and seronegative individual red-

necked stint (ΣPFSAs 1280 ng/g). Congruently, wild birds have limited documented signs of disease

associated with low pathogenic avian influenza beyond a reduction in daily movement in mallards

(*Anas platyrhynchos*) (Van Dijk et al., 2015) and poorer performance in foraging or migrating Bewick's

swans (*Cygnus columbianus*) (Hoye et al., 2016; Van Gils et al., 2007). The limited extent of these signs

are such that infection alone has little to no direct effect on the survival of its host (Maxted et al.,

2012). However, both the negative effect of pollution in isolation as well as the potential combined

effect of pollution and disease might even cause death, such that individuals with downregulated

immune responses due to pollution may be unable to survive the combined effects of PFASs and the

virus (Guruge et al., 2009). Such individuals may therefore have been lost to our study. Indeed, we

found three significant models where birds with lower PFOS, ΣPFSAs and therefore ΣPFASs were more

likely to be AIV positive. PFOS and thus ΣPFSAs were the most frequently detected compounds, also

occurring in the highest concentrations. The significant model results could indicate that infected birds

with higher concentrations are unable to survive the added pressures of both and therefore 'culled'

from the population. Hence, it is possible that we did not sample highly polluted birds that also had

avian influenza infections, because they did not survive. This is particularly pertinent for migrating

shorebirds which also deal with the additional stressors of physiological effects of migration including

immunomodulation (Buehler, Tieleman, & Piersma, 2010). Indeed, there is some evidence of

migratory culling, whereby migration may remove sick individuals (McKay & Hoye, 2016). This effect

could be more pronounced under pollution stress, which could become more toxic due to the thermal

stress from exertion during migration (Gordon, Johnstone, & Aydin, 2014).

While possibly spurious given the large number of models that we tested (128 in total), there were
five models that returned a significant result. The three significant models that found that birds with
lower PFOS, ΣPFSAs and ΣPFASs were more likely to be AIV positive are discussed above, but without
more information on the specific thresholds for immunomodulation we can only speculate on the
culling hypothesis. The fourth significant model found that birds of any species with higher PFOSA
concentrations were more likely to be seropositive. This was the trend we expected in our study and
may suggest an immunomodulatory effect. However, given the lack of other significant results like
this, such a result may be spurious. The only other result showing a positive relationship between a
pollutant and AIV serostatus was our final significant model, which found that red-necked stint with
higher concentrations of PFTeA were more likely to be seropositive. A possible, yet speculative
explanation for this result is that PFTeA, having one of the longest carbon chains of any pollutant in
our study (C14), also has the highest bioaccumulation potential (Lewis et al., 2022). As a result, this
compound would remain in bird tissues (including blood) longer than other pollutants, allowing us
continued detection of these pollutants long after the exposure occurred. The presence of anti-
nucleoprotein antibodies are indicative of previous infection in these birds (Padilla-Quirarte, Lopez-
Guerrero, Gutierrez-Xicotencatl, & Esquivel-Guadarrama, 2019). Being migratory, red-necked stint are
exposed to a larger diversity of viruses and virus hotspots along the EAAF than the two duck species
also in this study, both of which are year-round Australian residents. As such, the significant result of
our model may reflect the occurrence of a prior, elevated exposure to both pollution and disease
elsewhere along the flyway rather than exposure purely in Australia. If this is true, we hypothesize
that if the same study were to be conducted in an AIV hotspot such as the Yellow Sea, we may not
only see a relation between PFTeA and serostatus but also a relationship with active infection status.

Overall, our birds were all sampled in environments with relatively low PFASs concentrations, with the
most polluted being processed wastewater at the WTP. In other regions of the world, PFAS pollution
may be higher (Muir & Miaz, 2021), as is the prevalence of AIV and therefore the likelihood of a birds'
exposure to the virus (Wille & Barr, 2022). In these regions, the effects of pollution-mediated
immunomodulation may be much stronger. The consequences of widespread immunomodulation
could be severe, for populations of wild birds, and for human health and poultry industries. Our
findings of no clear evidence of PFAS-mediated immunomodulation in Australia cannot discount
effects in more heavily polluted regions of the world where exposure to more dangerous high
pathogenicity AIV strains is more prevalent. The current global circumstances of increased highly
pathogenic avian influenza transmission amongst wild birds in the northern hemisphere highlight the
necessity to continue monitoring potential drivers of host susceptibility such as pollution, so that we
may garner a better understanding of the threats the interactions of these stressors pose to wild birds.

**Figure 1:** Concentrations (ng/g) of summed sulfonate (A,C) PFASs (ΣPFSAs) and summed carboxylate (B, D) PFASs (ΣPFCAs) in red blood cells from grey teal (*Anas gracilis, n*=62), pacific black duck (*Anas superciliosa,* n=57) and red-necked stint (*Calidris ruficollis,* n=121). A and B show infection status, while C and D show serostatus. Birds that were positive are represented in red, and negative in blue. 8% of samples exceeded 200ng/g (dashed line in A and C) of ΣPFSAs, while 2% of samples exceeded 50ng/g (dashed line in B and D) of ΣPFSAs (dashed line in B and D).

**Table 1** Median concentrations and range of PFASs (in ng/g) in red blood cells of red-necked stint (*Calidris ruficollis*), pacific black duck (*Anas superciliosa*) and grey teal (*Anas gracilis*), based on the AIV infection status of each individual. Groups of compounds have been indicated. Where <0.01 is noted, this means the concentration was below the detection limit. Emboldened and shaded *p*-values indicate statistical significance between AIV negative and positive individuals. Where a value is recorded as NA, this compound was not detected in the species.

| Compounds | Group | % | $P_{all}$ | $P_{stint}$ | Stint AIV-negative n=70 Median | Range | Stint AIV-positive n=41 Median | Range | $P_{duck}$ | Duck AIV-negative n=28 Median | Range | Duck AIV-positive n=29 Median | Range | $P_{teal}$ | Teal AIV-negative n=33 Median | Range | Teal AIV-positive n=29 Median | Range |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| PFOS | Sulfonate | 91.3 | **0.039** | 0.088 | 22 | (0-1275) | 7.3 | (2.45-235) | 0.34 | 19 | (<0.01-504) | 30.1 | (<0.01-270) | 0.932 | 34.3 | (<0.01-143) | 38.5 | (0.81-159) |
| PFBS | Sulfonate | 2.1 | 0.301 | 0.487 | <0.01 | (<0.01-0.737) | <0.01 | (<0.01-5.39) | 0.947 | <0.01 | (<0.01-<0.01) | <0.01 | (<0.01-1.48) | NA | <0.01 | (<0.01-<0.01) | <0.01 | (<0.01-<0.01) |
| PFPA | Carboxylate | 1.2 | 0.98 | 0.998 | <0.01 | (<0.01-24.0) | <0.01 | (<0.01-<0.01) | NA | <0.01 | (<0.01-<0.01) | <0.01 | (<0.01-<0.01) | NA | <0.01 | (<0.01-<0.01) | <0.01 | (<0.01-<0.01) |
| PFHxA | Carboxylate | 1.2 | 0.56 | 0.551 | <0.01 | (<0.01-7.60) | <0.01 | (<0.01-0.67) | NA | <0.01 | (<0.01-<0.01) | <0.01 | (<0.01-<0.01) | NA | <0.01 | (<0.01-<0.01) | <0.01 | (<0.01-<0.01) |
| PFOA | Carboxylate | 32.4 | 0.891 | 0.547 | <0.01 | (<0.01-12.8) | 0.89 | (<0.01-8.17) | 0.695 | <0.01 | (<0.01-1.49) | <0.01 | (<0.01-1.35) | 0.86 | <0.01 | (<0.01-2.04) | 0.41 | (<0.01-2.36) |
| PFNA | Carboxylate | 41.1 | 0.528 | 0.882 | <0.01 | (<0.01-15.5) | <0.01 | (<0.01-8.49) | 0.228 | 0.52 | (<0.01-3.36) | <0.01 | (<0.01-4.27) | 0.591 | 0.48 | (<0.01-3.05) | 0.54 | (<0.01-1.54) |
| PFDA | Carboxylate | 26.1 | 0.317 | 0.409 | <0.01 | (<0.01-19.8) | <0.01 | (<0.01-5.43) | 0.669 | <0.01 | (<0.01-6.49) | <0.01 | (<0.01-13.7) | 0.664 | <0.01 | (<0.01-1.31) | <0.01 | (<0.01-2.81) |
| PFUnA | Carboxylate | 59.3 | 0.638 | 0.723 | <0.01 | (0-13.2) | 0.34 | (<0.01-4.22) | 0.272 | 1.39 | (<0.01-25.8) | 0.74 | (<0.01-9.79) | 0.475 | 0.91 | (<0.01-6.32) | 1.84 | (<0.01-9.65) |
| PFDoA | Carboxylate | 73.9 | 0.361 | 0.214 | 1.02 | (<0.01-15.8) | 0.77 | (<0.01-4.6) | 0.582 | 1.29 | (<0.01-20.5) | 1.06 | (<0.01-40.7) | 0.978 | 0.93 | (<0.01-6.85) | 1.15 | (<0.01-6.33) |
| PFTrA | Carboxylate | 39.4 | 0.141 | 0.706 | <0.01 | (0-6.5) | <0.01 | (<0.01-5.22) | 0.137 | 0.278 | (<0.01-17.4) | <0.01 | (<0.01-5.51) | 0.119 | 0.52 | (<0.01-3.73) | <0.01 | (<0.01-2.71) |
| PFTeA | Carboxylate | 34 | 0.18 | 0.375 | <0.01 | (0-20.4) | <0.01 | (<0.01-4.69) | 0.802 | <0.01 | (<0.01-10.4) | <0.01 | (<0.01-12.7) | 0.444 | <0.01 | (<0.01-2.93) | <0.01 | (<0.01-2.96) |
| PFOSA | Total PFASs | 47.3 | 0.129 | 0.813 | <0.01 | (<0.01-18) | <0.01 | (<0.01-14.04) | 0.669 | 0.56 | (<0.01-17.9) | 1.39 | (<0.01-36.5) | 0.351 | 4.86 | (<0.01-26.9) | 5.83 | (<0.01-21.6 |
| ΣPFSAs | | 91.3 | **0.04** | 0.089 | 22 | (0-1275) | 7.3 | (2.45-241) | 0.341 | 19 | (<0.01-504) | 30.1 | (<0.01-270) | 0.932 | 34.3 | (<0.01-143) | 38.5 | (0.81-159) |
| ΣPFCAs | | 87.1 | 0.083 | 0.135 | 4.25 | (0-71.2) | 2.35 | (<0.01-32.4) | 0.311 | 5.45 | (<0.01-73.5) | 3.41 | (<0.01-70.4) | 0.963 | 3.68 | (<0.01-23.3) | 4.56 | (<0.01-23) |
| ΣPFASs | | 96.7 | **0.047** | 0.088 | 27.4 | (0-1293) | 12.2 | (4.22-241) | 0.353 | 27.9 | (<0.01-599) | 36.6 | (1.98-292) | 0.847 | 50.3 | (0.3-174) | 54.2 | (1.7-205) |

| Compounds | Group | All Samples % | $P_{all}$ | Red-necked stint $P_{stint}$ | AIV-seronegative n=86 Median | Range | AIV-seropositive n=35 Median | Range | Pacific black duck $P_{duck}$ | AIV-seronegative n=29 Median | Range | AIV-seropositive n=26 Median | Range | Grey teal $P_{teal}$ | AIV-seronegative n=24 Median | Range | AIV-seropositive n=35 Median | Range |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| PFOS | Sulfonate | 91.3 | 0.852 | 0.695 | 11.5 | (0-1275) | 36 | (<0.01-411) | 0.8 | 17.5 | (<0.01-300) | 40.7 | (<0.01-504) | 0.374 | 34.9 | (<0.01-159) | 40.2 | (0.81-143) |
| PFBS | Sulfonate | 2.1 | 0.444 | 0.458 | <0.01 | (<0.01-5.39) | <0.01 | (<0.01-<0.01) | 0.943 | <0.01 | (<0.01-1.48) | <0.01 | (<0.01-<0.01) | NA | <0.01 | (<0.01-<0.01) | <0.01 | (<0.01-<0.01) |
| PFPA | Carboxylate | 1.2 | 0.997 | 0.998 | <0.01 | (<0.01-24) | <0.01 | (<0.01-<0.01) | NA | <0.01 | (<0.01-<0.01) | <0.01 | (<0.01-<0.01) | NA | <0.01 | (<0.01-<0.01) | <0.01 | (<0.01-<0.01) |
| PFHxA | Carboxylate | 1.2 | 0.628 | 0.65 | <0.01 | (<0.01-7.67) | <0.01 | (<0.01-0.67) | NA | <0.01 | (<0.01-<0.01) | <0.01 | (<0.01-<0.01) | NA | <0.01 | (<0.01-<0.01) | <0.01 | (<0.01-<0.01) |
| PFOA | Carboxylate | 32.4 | 0.402 | 0.363 | <0.01 | (<0.01-12) | <0.01 | (<0.01-12.8) | 0.153 | <0.01 | (<0.01-1.49) | <0.01 | (<0.01-0.44) | 0.09 | <0.01 | (<0.01-0.93) | <0.01 | (<0.01-2.36) |
| PFNA | Carboxylate | 41.1 | 0.443 | 0.643 | <0.01 | (<0.01-27.6) | <0.01 | (<0.01-7.9) | 0.176 | 0.54 | (<0.01-4.27) | 0.092 | (<0.01-3.36) | 0.515 | 0.5 | (<0.01-1.54) | 0.62 | (<0.01-3.05) |
| PFDA | Carboxylate | 26.1 | 0.953 | 0.294 | <0.01 | (<0.01-19.8) | <0.01 | (<0.01-16) | 0.199 | 0.46 | (<0.01-13.7) | <0.01 | (<0.01-6.49) | 0.731 | <0.01 | (<0.01-2.81) | <0.01 | (<0.01-1.9) |
| PFUnA | Carboxylate | 59.3 | 0.468 | 0.524 | <0.01 | (0-20.5) | <0.01 | (<0.01-12) | 0.934 | 1.59 | (<0.01-19.5) | 1.37 | (<0.01-25.8) | 0.258 | 0.92 | (<0.01-9.65) | 1.88 | (<0.01-8.49) |
| PFDoA | Carboxylate | 73.9 | 0.733 | 0.227 | 0.645 | (<0.01-15.8) | 1.8 | (<0.01-14) | 0.632 | 1.53 | (<0.01-40.7) | 1.26 | (<0.01-20.5) | 0.382 | 0.815 | (<0.01-6.33) | 1.08 | (<0.01-6.85) |
| PFTrA | Carboxylate | 39.4 | 0.124 | 0.073 | <0.01 | (0-5.9) | <0.01 | (<0.01-6.5) | 0.656 | 0.54 | (<0.01-9.79) | <0.01 | (<0.01-17.4) | 0.283 | 0.505 | (<0.01-2.71) | 0.47 | (<0.01-3.73) |
| PFTeA | Carboxylate | 34 | 0.107 | **0.043** | <0.01 | (0-14) | 1.34 | (<0.01-20.4) | 0.794 | <0.01 | (<0.01-12.7) | <0.01 | (<0.01-10.4) | 0.626 | <0.01 | (<0.01-2.04) | <0.01 | (<0.01-2.96) |
| PFOSA | Total PFASs | 47.3 | **0.04** | 0.132 | <0.01 | (<0.01-14) | <0.01 | (<0.01-18) | 0.191 | 0.47 | (<0.01-15) | 1.31 | (<0.01-36.5) | 0.782 | 5.31 | (<0.01-21.6) | 5.47 | (<0.01-26.9) |
| ΣPFSAs | | 91.3 | 0.849 | 0.693 | 11.5 | (0-1275) | 36 | (0-411) | 0.801 | 17.5 | (<0.01-300) | 40.7 | (<0.01-504) | 0.374 | 34.9 | (<0.01-159) | 40.2 | (0.81-143) |
| ΣPFCAs | | 87.1 | 0.435 | 0.175 | 2.2 | (0-98.2) | 8.2 | (0-48.9) | 0.645 | 5.46 | (<0.01-70.4) | 3.78 | (<0.01-73.5) | 0.27 | 3.66 | (<0.01-23) | 4.56 | (<0.01-23.3) |
| ΣPFASs | | 96.7 | 0.989 | 0.796 | 16.5 | (0-1293) | 45.4 | (0-460) | 0.815 | 25.4 | (<0.01-373) | 45.5 | (<0.01-599) | 0.364 | 50.6 | (0.3-205) | 55.4 | (1.2-174) |

**References**

Badry, A., Jaspers, V. L. B., & Waugh, C. A. (2020). Environmental pollutants modulate RNA and DNA
virus-activated miRNA-155 expression and innate immune system responses: Insights into
new immunomodulative mechanisms. *Journal of Immunotoxicology, 17*(1), 86-93. doi:
10.1080/1547691x.2020.1740838
Bates, D., Mächler, M., Bolker, B., & Walker, S. (2015). Fitting linear mixed-effects models using
lme4. *Journal of Statistical Software, 67*(1), 1-48. doi: 10.18637/jss.v067.i01
Buck, R. C., Franklin, J., Berger, U., Conder, J. M., Cousins, I. T., De Voogt, P., et al. (2011).
Perfluoroalkyl and polyfluoroalkyl substances in the environment: Terminology,
classification, and origins. *Integrated Environmental Assessment and Management, 7*(4),
513-541. doi: 10.1002/ieam.258
Buehler, D. M., Tieleman, B. I., & Piersma, T. (2010). Indices of immune function are lower in Red
Knots (Calidris canutus) recovering protein than in those storing fat during stopover in
Delaware Bay. *The Auk: A Quarterly Journal of Ornithology, 127*(2), 394-401. doi:
10.1525/auk.2010.09017
Castaño-Ortiz, J. M., Jaspers, V. L. B., & Waugh, C. A. (2019). PFOS mediates immunomodulation in
an avian cell line that can be mitigated via a virus infection. *BMC Veterinary Research,*
*15*(214), 1-9. doi: 10.1186/s12917-019-1953-2
Cruz-Martinez, L., Fernie, K. J., Soos, C., Harner, T., Getachew, F., & Smits, J. E. G. (2015).
Detoxification, endocrine, and immune responses of tree swallow nestlings naturally
exposed to air contaminants from the Alberta oil sands. *Science of the Total Environment,*
*502*, 8-15. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2014.09.008
Dennis, N. M., Karnjanapiboonwong, A., Subbiah, S., Rewerts, J. N., Field, J. A., McCarthy, C., et al.
(2020). Chronic reproductive toxicity of perfluorooctane sulfonic acid and a simple mixture
of perfluorooctane sulfonic acid and perfluorohexane sulfonic acid to northern bobwhite
quail (Colinus virginianus). *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry, 39*(5), 1101-1111. doi:
10.1002/etc.4703
Dennis, N. M., Subbiah, S., Karnjanapiboonwong, A., Dennis, M. L., McCarthy, C., Salice, C. J., et al.
(2021). Species- and tissue-specific avian chronic toxicity values for perfluorooctane
sulfonate (PFOS) and a binary mixture of PFOS and perfluorohexane sulfonate.
*Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry, 40*(3), 899-909. doi: 10.1002/etc.4937
Dietz, R., Letcher, R. J., Desforges, J.-P., Eulaers, I., Sonne, C., Wilson, S., et al. (2019). Current state of
knowledge on biological effects from contaminants on arctic wildlife and fish. *Science of the*
*Total Environment, 696*, 133792. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.133792
Gordon, C. J., Johnstone, A. F. M., & Aydin, C. (2014). Thermal stress and toxicity *Comprehensive*
*Physiology* (pp. 995-1016).
Guruge, K. S., Hikono, H., Shimada, N., Murakami, K., Hasegawa, J., Yeung, L. W. Y., et al. (2009).
Effect of perfluorooctane sulfonate (PFOS) on influenza A virus-induced mortality in female
B6C3F1 mice. *The Journal of Toxicological Sciences, 34*(6), 687-691. doi: 10.2131/jts.34.687
Han, B., van den Berg, H., Loonen, M. J. J. E., Mateo, R., & van den Brink, N. W. (2022). *Chapter 2:*
*Mercury modulated immune responses in Arctic barnacle goslings (Branta leucopsis) upon a*
*viral-like immune challenge.* Wageningen University.
Hoye, B. J., Munster, V. J., Huig, N., de Vries, P., Oosterbeek, K., Tijsen, W., et al. (2016). Hampered
performance of migratory swans: intra- and inter-seasonal effects of avian influenza virus.
*Integrative and Comparative Biology, 56*(2), 317-329. doi: 10.1093/icb/icw038
Katagi, T., & Fujisawa, T. (2021). Acute toxicity and metabolism of pesticides in birds. *Journal of*
*Pesticide Science, 46*(4), 305-321. doi: 10.1584/jpestics.d21-028
Lewis, A. J., Yun, X., Spooner, D. E., Kurz, M. J., McKenzie, E. R., & Sales, C. M. (2022). Exposure
pathways and bioaccumulation of per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances in freshwater aquatic

ecosystems: Key considerations. *Science of the Total Environment, 822*, 153561. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.153561

Maxted, A. M., Porter, R. R., Luttrell, M. P., Goekjian, V. H., Dey, A. D., Kalasz, K. S., et al. (2012). Annual survival of Ruddy Turnstones is not affected by natural infection with low pathogenicity avian influenza viruses. *Avian Diseases, 56*(3), 567-573. doi: 10.1637/10073-020512-Reg.1

McKay, A. F., & Hoye, B. (2016). Are migratory animals superspreaders of infection? *Integrative and Comparative Biology, 56*(2), 260-267. doi: 10.1093/icb/icw054

Minton, C. (2006). The history and achievements of the Victorian Wader Study Group. *Stilt, 50*, 285-294.

Muir, D., & Miaz, L. T. (2021). Spatial and temporal trends of perfluoroalkyl substances in global ocean and coastal waters. *Environmental Science & Technology, 55*(14), 9527-9537. doi: 10.1021/acs.est.0c08035

Newsted, J. L., Jones, P. D., Coady, K., & Giesy, J. P. (2005). Avian toxicity reference values for perfluorooctane sulfonate. *Environmental Science & Technology, 39*(23), 9357-9362. doi: 10.1021/es050989v

Padilla-Quirarte, H. O., Lopez-Guerrero, D. V., Gutierrez-Xicotencatl, L., & Esquivel-Guadarrama, F. (2019). Protective antibodies against influenza proteins. *Frontiers in Immunology, 10*. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2019.01677

Peden-Adams, M., Stuckey, J., Gaworecki, K., Berger-Ritchie, J., Bryant, K., Jodice, P., et al. (2008). Developmental toxicity in white leghorn chickens following in ovo exposure to perfluorooctane sulfonate (PFOS). *Reproductive toxicology (Elmsford, N.Y.), 27*, 307-318. doi: 10.1016/j.reprotox.2008.10.009

Sebastiano, M., Jouanneau, W., Blévin, P., Angelier, F., Parenteau, C., Gernigon, J., et al. (2021). High levels of fluoroalkyl substances and potential disruption of thyroid hormones in three gull species from South Western France. *Science of the Total Environment, 765*, 1-11. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.144611

Smits, J. E. G., & Nain, S. (2013). Immunomodulation and hormonal disruption without compromised disease resistance in perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA) exposed Japanese quail. *Environmental Pollution, 179*, 13-18. doi: 10.1016/j.envpol.2013.03.063

Spackman, E., Senne, D. A., Myers, T. J., Bulaga, L. L., Garber, L. P., Perdue, M. L., et al. (2002). Development of a real-time reverse transcriptase PCR assay for type A influenza virus and the avian H5 and H7 hemagglutinin subtypes. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology, 40*(9), 3256-3260. doi: 10.1128/jcm.40.9.3256-3260.2002

Teitelbaum, C. S., Ackerman, J. T., Hill, M. A., Satter, J. M., Casazza, M. L., De La Cruz, S. E. W., et al. (2022). Avian influenza antibody prevalence increases with mercury contamination in wild waterfowl. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B, 289*(1982), 20221312. doi: 10.1098/rspb.2022.1312

Trimmel, S., Vike-Jonas, K., Gonzalez, S. V., Ciesielski, T. M., Lindstrøm, U., Jenssen, B. M., et al. (2021). Rapid determination of per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) in harbour porpoise liver tissue by HybridSPE®–UPLC®–MS/MS. *Toxics, 9*(8), 1-11. doi: 10.3390/toxics9080183

UNEP. (2022). Stockholm Convention on persistent organic pollutants.  Retrieved 18 August 2022, 2022, from http://chm.pops.int

US Environmental Protection Agency. (2022). PFAS structures in DSSTox (update August 2022).

Valavanidis, A., Vlahogianni, T., Dassenakis, M., & Scoullos, M. (2006). Molecular biomarkers of oxidative stress in aquatic organisms in relation to toxic environmental pollutants. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety, 64*(2), 178-189. doi: 10.1016/j.ecoenv.2005.03.013

Vallverdú-Coll, N., Mateo, R., Mougeot, F., & Ortiz-Santaliestra, M. E. (2019). Immunotoxic effects of lead on birds. *Science of the Total Environment, 689*, 505-515. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.06.251

Van Dijk, J. G. B., Kleyheeg, E., Soons, M. B., Nolet, B. A., Fouchier, R. A. M., & Klaassen, M. (2015).
Weak negative associations between avian influenza virus infection and movement
behaviour in a key host species, the mallard Anas platyrhynchos. *Oikos, 124*(10), 1293-1303.
doi: 10.1111/oik.01836
Van Gils, J. A., Munster, V. J., Radersma, R., Liefhebber, D., Fouchier, R. A. M., & Klaassen, M. (2007).
Hampered foraging and migratory performance in swans infected with low-pathogenic avian
influenza A virus. *Plos One, 2*(1), e184. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0000184
Wille, M., & Barr, I. G. (2022). Resurgence of avian influenza virus. *Science, 376*(6592), 459-460. doi:
10.1126/science.abo1232
Wille, M., Eden, J.-S., Shi, M., Klaassen, M., Hurt, A. C., & Holmes, E. C. (2018). Virus–virus
interactions and host ecology are associated with RNA virome structure in wild birds.
*Molecular Ecology, 27*(24), 5263-5278. doi: 10.1111/mec.14918
Wille, M., Lisovski, S., Risely, A., Ferenczi, M., Roshier, D., Wong, F. Y. K., et al. (2019). Serologic
evidence of exposure to highly pathogenic avian influenza H5 viruses in migratory
shorebirds, Australia. *Emerging Infectious Diseases, 25*(10), 1903-1910. doi:
10.3201/eid2510.190699
Wille, M., Lisovski, S., Roshier, D., Ferenczi, M., Hoye, B. J., Leen, T., et al. (2023). Strong host
phylogenetic and ecological effects on host competency for avian influenza in Australian wild
birds. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B, 290*(1991), 20222237. doi:
10.1098/rspb.2022.2237
Xiao, C., Jian, H., Chen, L., Liu, C., Gao, H., Zhang, C., et al. (2017). Toxic metal pollution in the Yellow
Sea and Bohai Sea, China: distribution, controlling factors and potential risk. *Marine*
*Pollution Bulletin, 119*(1), 381-389. doi: 10.1016/j.marpolbul.2017.03.027