1

Structural and mechanistic insight into ribosomal ITS2 RNA processing by nuclease-kinase machinery

Jiyun Chen,[1,†] Hong Chen,[1,†] Shanshan Li,[2,†] Xiaofeng Lin,[1] Rong Hu,[1] Kaiming Zhang,[2,*] and Liang Liu[1,*]

7
8
9

[1] State Key Laboratory of Cellular Stress Biology, School of Life Sciences, Faculty of Medicine and Life Sciences, Xiamen University, Xiamen 361102, China and [2] MOE Key Laboratory for Cellular Dynamics and Division of Life Sciences and Medicine, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei 230027, China

*For correspondence: kmzhang@ustc.edu.cn (K.Z.); liangliu2019@xmu.edu.cn (L.L.)

[†]These authors contributed equally to this work.

Abstract

Precursor ribosomal RNA (pre-rRNA) processing is a key step in ribosome biosynthesis and involves numerous RNases. A HEPN nuclease Las1 and a polynucleotide kinase Grc3 assemble into a tetramerase responsible for rRNA maturation. Here, we report the structures of full-length *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and *Cyberlindnera jadinii* Las1-Grc3 complexes, and *Cyberlindnera jadinii* Las1. The Las1-Grc3 structures show that the central coiled coil domain of Las1 facilitates pre-rRNA binding and cleavage, while the Grc3 C-terminal loop motif directly binds to the HEPN active center of Las1 and regulates pre-rRNA cleavage. Structural comparison between Las1 and Las1-Grc3 complex exhibits that Grc3 binding induces conformational rearrangements of catalytic residues associated with HEPN nuclease activation. Biochemical assays identify that Las1 processes pre-rRNA at the two specific sites (C2 and C2′), which greatly facilitates rRNA maturation. Our structures and specific pre-rRNA cleavage findings provide crucial insights into the mechanism and pathway of pre-rRNA processing in ribosome biosynthesis.

Introduction

Ribosomes are large molecular machines assembled from numerous proteins and RNAs that are responsible for protein synthesis in cells (Anger et al., 2013; Gasse, Flemming, & Hurt, 2015; Khatter, Myasnikov, Natchiar, & Klaholz, 2015). In eukaryotes, ribosome biosynthesis is tightly coupled to cell growth and cell cycle progression and is critical for regulating normal cell size and maintaining cell cycle progression (Castle et al., 2013). Ribosome biosynthesis is an extremely complicated process involving about 200 assembly and processing factors, which are involved in a series of continuous assembly and processing reactions such as ribosome protein folding, modification, assembly, and precursor rRNA (pre-rRNA) processing (Gasse et al., 2015; Lafontaine, 2015; Pillon, Sobhany, Borgnia, Williams, & Stanley, 2017; Wu et al., 2016). Mature ribosomes in yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* contain 79 proteins and four RNAs (25S, 18S, 5.8S, and 5S rRNA) (Doudna & Rath, 2002; Wilson & Doudna Cate, 2012; Woolford & Baserga, 2013). 5S rRNA is transcribed by RNA polymerase III, whereas 25S, 18S and 5.8S rRNA are cotranscribed by RNA polymerase I as a single long precursor (35S pre-rRNA) (Tomecki, Sikorski, & Zakrzewska-Placzek, 2017). Except 25S, 18S and 5.8S rRNA sequences, the 35S pre-rRNA also includes 5′-external transcribed spacer sequence (ETS), 3′-external transcribed spacer sequence, and two internal transcribed spacer sequences (ITS1 and ITS2) (Fromm et al., 2017). ITS1 located between 5.8S and 18S rRNA and ITS2 located between 5.8S and 25S rRNA (Coleman, 2003; Cote, Greer, & Peculis, 2002). The mature rRNAs are generated by a large number of endonucleases and exonucleases that remove these transcribed spacers step by step through multiple efficient and correct processing reactions (Granneman, Petfalski, & Tollervey, 2011). The pre-rRNA processing factors synergistically produce mature rRNAs and lead to an accurate and efficient assembly of mature ribosome in the nucleolus, which

are key to cell survival (Pillon & Stanley, 2018). Mutations in the genes that

encode these pre-rRNA processing factors are often lethal (Tomecki et al.,

2017). Although numerous evolutionarily conserved protein factors have been

found to be involved in the processing and modification of ribosomal RNA, the

detailed pathways of these factors and their specific processing mechanisms

are not well understood.

Las1 and Grc3 are highly conserved proteins and have recently been

identified as core enzymes involved in processing and removing ITS2 spacer,

which is a key step in the synthesis of 60S ribosomal subunit (Gasse et al.,

2015; Schillewaert, Wacheul, Lhomme, & Lafontaine, 2012). Las1 is

characterized as a nucleolar protein essential for ribosome biogenesis, as well

as cell proliferation and cell viability in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (Castle,

Cassimere, & Denicourt, 2012; Castle, Cassimere, Lee, & Denicourt, 2010;

Doseff & Arndt, 1995). It is important to determine the role of Las1 in rRNA

metabolic pathways and regulatory networks associated with ribosome

biogenesis and cell proliferation. Recent studies have demonstrated that Las1

is an endoribonuclease that contains a HEPN (higher eukaryote and

prokaryote nucleotide binding) domain responsible for rRNA processing (Pillon

et al., 2020; Pillon et al., 2017). The HEPN domain must be dimerized to form

an active nuclease, such as the Cas13 effectors in CRISPR immune defense

systems, whose catalytic site is formed by two HEPN domains involved in

non-specific cleavage of single-stranded RNA (Knott et al., 2017; Liu, Li, Ma, et

al., 2017; Liu, Li, Wang, et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2018). Interestingly, Las1

specifically cleaves at the C2 site within ITS2 and generates the 7S pre-rRNA

and 26S pre-rRNA (Fernandez-Pevida, Kressler, & de la Cruz, 2015). It is not

clear why Las1 HEPN nuclease specifically targets and cleaves ITS2 only at

C2 site, and whether this cleavage depends on a specific sequence or

secondary structure. In addition, the cleavage activity of Las1 is primarily

dependent on another enzyme Grc3. Grc3 is a polynucleotide kinase

responsible not only for Las1 nuclease activation, but also for nonspecific

phosphorylation of the 5′-OH of the 26S pre-rRNA produced by Las1 cleavage,

providing a signal for further processing by Rat1-Rai1 exonuclease (Gordon,

Pillon, & Stanley, 2019; Xiang et al., 2009). Although Cryo-EM reveals

cross-linked *Chaetomium thermophilum* Las1 and Grc3 assemble into a

super-dimer, due to flexibility, critical structural information is missing for the

coiled coil (CC) domain of Las1 and the N-terminal and C-terminal regions of

Grc3 (Pillon et al., 2019). It remains unknown how Las1 and Grc3 coordinate

with each other in terms of substrate binding and nuclease activation.

In this study, we identified that *S. cerevisiae* (Sc) Las1 endoribonuclease

initially cleaves ITS2 in a step-by-step fashion at two specific sites, which

greatly promotes the maturation of 25S rRNA. Additionally, we solved the

crystal structures of full-length ScLas1-Grc3 complex and *Cyberlindnera jadinii*

(Cj) Las1-Grc3 complex, as well as the high resolution structure of CjLas1

HEPN domain. Our structural and biochemical findings uncovered a detailed

mechanism of polynucleotide kinase-mediated activation of HEPN nuclease,

providing a molecular basis for clearly understanding the process of pre-rRNA

processing and maturation in ribosome biosynthesis.

Results

Las1 cleaves ITS2 at two specific sites

HEPN endoribonucleases such as Cas13, Ire1, and RNase L are

metal-independent RNA specific enzymes that efficiently cleave substrate RNA

at multiple sites (Abudayyeh et al., 2016; Huang et al., 2014; Korennykh et al.,

2009; Lee et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2014). Las1 is also identified as a

HEPN-containing RNase, but it has only been found to initially cut ITS2 RNA at

a single specific position (C2). To investigate whether there are other potential

sites for Las1 cleavage in ITS2, we performed *in vitro* RNA cleavage assays

using a 5′-Cy5- and 3′-Cy3-labeled 33-nt ITS2 RNA substrate (Figure 1A).

Las1 shows weak or no detectable activity to ITS2 in the absence of Grc3, but

exhibits robust activity in the presence of Grc3 (Figure 1B, Figure 1–figure

supplement 1), revealing Grc3-dependent Las1 nuclease activation.

Interesting, we observed a single 5′-Cy5-labeled cleavage product, and two

prominent 3′-Cy3-labeled cleavage products including a final product and an

intermediate product (Figure 1B), suggesting that cleavage of ITS2 substrate

occurs at two specific sites. The 33-nt ITS2 substrate RNA harbors the C2 site,

which is located between nucleotides A140 and G141 (Figure 1A). In addition

to the C2 site, there is another specific position in ITS2 that is able to be

processed by Las1. ScLas1 cleaves the 33-nt ITS2 at C2 site to theoretically

generate a 10-nt 5′-terminal product and a 23-nt 3′-terminal product (Figure

1A). Our merger data shows that the final 5′-terminal and 3′-terminal product

bands are at nearly the same horizontal position on the gel (Figure 1B),

indicating that they are similar in size. Therefore, we hypothesize that the 23-nt

3′-terminal product is an intermediate that can be further processed by Las1 at

a specific site to produce two small products. We then mapped the cleavage

products using the 33-nt ITS2 RNA without 5′-Cy5- and 3′-Cy3-label. We

observed four cleavage bands of approximately 23-nt (P2), 14-nt (P3), 10-nt

(P1), and 9-nt (P4) in length (Figure 1C). The 23-nt product (P2) is obviously

an intermediate cleavage product, which is further cleaved to generate 14-nt

and 9-nt products. Based on these observations, we identify that another

cleavage site, which we designated as C2′, is located between nucleotides

G154 and C155. Las1 is able to process ITS2 at C2 and C2′ sites in a

step-by-step manner, resulting in a 9-nt 3′-end product and a 10-nt 5′-end

product.

We obtained similar cleavage results with a longer 81-nt ITS2 RNA substrate

(Figure 1D, E). To further confirm the cleavage site of C2′, we then mapped the

cleavage sites of the 81-nt ITS2 using reverse transcription coupling

sequencing methods (Figure 1F). We used an adaptor RNA to separately link

the product RNA fragments to form template RNAs. After reverse transcription

and sequencing of these template RNAs, we obtained the accurate sequence

information for products P2 and P4, revealing that the C2 site is located

between nucleotides A140 and G141, and the C2′ site is located between

nucleotides G154 and C155.

The cleavage products P2, P3, and P4 are all phosphorylated by Grc3, as

they all show a slight shift when in the presence of ATP in the reaction (Figure

1G). This indicates that Las1 generates 5′-OH terminus following both C2 and

C2′ cleavage. The phosphorylated products are further degraded by Rat1-Rai1

exonuclease, especially P1 and P2, which are completely degraded under

given experimental conditions (Figure 1H).

In addition, Grc3 shows no cleavage activity to ITS2 (Figure 1–figure

supplement 2), and mutations in the HEPN catalytic residues of Las1 abolish

C2 and C2′ cleavage (Figure 1–figure supplement 3), further confirming that

both C2 and C2′ cleavages are attributed to metal-independent Las1 RNase

(Figure 1–figure supplement 4).

Overall structures of ScLas1-Grc3 complex and CjLas1-Grc3 complex

To elucidate how Las1 and Grc3 cooperate to direct ITS2 cleavage and

phosphorylation, we determined the cryo-electron microscopy (cryo-EM) and

crystal structures of full-length ScLas1-Grc3 complex at 3.07 Å and 3.69 Å

resolution (Figure 2, Figure 2–figure supplement 1, Table 1 and Table 2),

respectively. Cleavage assay indicates that ScGrc3 can activate ScLas1

nuclease well for ITS2 cleavage, while CjGrc3 exhibits relatively weak

activation ability for CjLas1 nuclease (Figure 1B, Figure 1–figure supplement

1). To better understand the differences in the mechanisms of Grc3 activation

of Las1 between different species, we also solved the cryo-EM and crystal

structures of full-length CjLas1-Grc3 complex at 3.39 Å and 3.39 Å resolution

(Figure 3, Figure 3–figure supplement 1, Table 1 and Table 2), respectively. To

be noted, the crystal structures were solved by molecular replacement method

with the cryo-EM maps of Las1-Grc3 complexes. Since the crystal structures

have similar quality and resolve more structural information than the cryo-EM

structures (Figure 3–figure supplement 2), the subsequent presentations and

descriptions are based on the crystal structures.

Both structures reveal that Las1 and Grc3 assemble into a tetramer with two

copies of each (Figure 2B, C and Figure 3B, C). The architecture of Las1-Grc3

tetramer complex resembles a butterfly with outstretched wings. Las1 consists

of a relatively conserved N-terminal HEPN domain, a poorly conserved central

coiled coil (CC) domain, and a short C-terminal tail motif (LCT) (Figure 2A,

Figure 3A, Figure 3–figure supplement 3). Grc3 is composed of an N-terminal

domain (NTD), a central polynucleotide kinase (PNK) domain, a C-terminal

domain (CTD), and a short C-terminal loop motif (GCT). Two HEPN domains

from two Las1 copies are tightly symmetrically stacked together to form a

HEPN dimer that constructs the body of the butterfly (Figure 2B and Figure 3B).

Two CC domains of Las1 and two Grc3 copies assemble into the left and right

wings. The NTD and PNK domains of Grc3 constitute the forewing, while the

CTD domain of Grc3 and the CC domain of Las1 form the hindwing. All

domains are perfectly stacked together to build a compact and stable tetramer

architecture (Figure 2C and Figure 3C). Two Grc3 molecules are assembled

on both sides of Las1 HEPN domains to stabilize the conformation of HEPN

dimer. The CC domain is located on one side of Grc3 and forms a

sandwich-shaped structure with the Grc3 and HEPN domains (Figure 2B and

Figure 3B), resulting in Grc3 being anchored by the CC and HEPN domains. In

addition, the CTD of Grc3 and the HEPN domain of Las1 tightly grasp Las1

LCT and Grc3 GCT, respectively, making Las1-Grc3 tetramer assembly more

stable.

207   Las1 CC domain contributes to ITS2 binding and enhances cutting

In order to explore whether there are structural differences between

ScLas1-Grc3 and CjLas1-Grc3 complexes, we conducted structural

comparison by superposition the structures of the two complexes. Structural

superposition shows that the conformations of all Grc3 domains and Las1

HEPN domains are almost identical in the two complexes, while the

conformations of Las1 CC domains are significantly different (Figure 4A).

Dali server reveals that CC domain shares little structural similarity with any

known proteins (Holm & Rosenstrom, 2010). CC domain is mainly composed

of α helices and is the largest domain of Las1 (Figure 4B), with low sequence

similarity among different species (Figure 3–figure supplement 3), yet its

function remains unknown. To determine whether it plays a role in Las1

catalyzing ITS2 cleavage, we performed EMSA and *in vitro* RNA cleavage

assays using Las1 proteins with or without CC domain truncations. Our data

shows that the CC domain contributes to the binding of ITS2 RNA and then

facilitates ITS2 cleavage (Figure 4C, D), suggesting that the CC domain may

play a role of ITS2 stabilization in the Las1 cutting reaction.

224   Grc3 GCT binds to the HEPN active center and mediates Las1 activation

The ScLas1-Grc3 tetramer structure shows that two Grc3 GCT are stabilized

by two HEPN domains of Las1 (Figure 2). Each Grc3 GCT binds to a groove in

each Las1 HEPN domain and extends to the ribonuclease active center

(Figure 5A), which is formed by the two HEPN domains via dimerization. The

conserved catalytic residues Arg129, His130, His134 with two copies from two

HEPN domains form a symmetric catalytic active pocket (Figure 5B). The two

C-terminals of Grc3 GCTs tightly bind to the catalytic active pocket through

packing and hydrogen bond interactions. Specifically, the side chain of Trp617

within Grc3 GCT inserts into the active pocket and forms packing and

hydrogen bond with catalytic residue Arg129 (Figure 5B). Moreover, Trp617

and His615 in Grc3 form extensive hydrogen bond with residues Arg136,

Leu99, Gly98 and His54 within Las1. The Trp617 residue is highly conserved

in Grc3 (Figure 5C), and its mutation completely abolishes the ITS2 cleavage

(Figure 5D), but has little effect on Las1 binding (Figure 5E), revealing that it

plays a crucial role in the activation of HEPN endonuclease. We also mutated

each of the residues at the C-terminal of Grc3 and examined the nuclease

activity of Las1 complexes with these mutants. Our data shows that alanine

substitution of multiply conserved residues dramatically reduces the ITS2

cleavage (Figure 5F, Figure 5—figure supplement 1), indicating that these

residues are also essential for coordinating Las1 HEPN endonuclease

activation.

Las1 LCT drives Las1-Grc3 complex assembly

Our structure exhibits that the Las1 LCT is located in a groove in the CTD

domain of Grc3 (Figure 6A). Structure analysis reveals that the Las1 LCT is

stabilized by the Grc3 CTD through extensive hydrophobic interactions and

hydrogen bonding. The side chains of Trp488, Trp494 and Phe499 of Las1

LCT are inserted into the three hydrophobic core regions of Grc3 CTD and

form stable hydrophobic interactions with multitudinous hydrophobic residues

of Grc3 (Figure 6B, C). Additionally, the side chain of Asn487 and the main

chains of Lys497 and Ser489 of Las1 LCT form multiple hydrogen bonds with

the sides of Trp573, His543 and the main side of Gln468 of Grc3 (Figure 6B,

C). Mutations and deletions of Las1 LCT reduce the enzyme activity of Las1

(Figure 6—figure supplement 1). LCT deletions also significantly affect the

association between Las1 and Grc3 (Figure 6—figure supplement 2). These

results highlight the functional significance of the sequence-dependent

recognition of Las1 LCT by Grc3 CTD.

Special crystal structure of Las1 HEPN domain

The biochemical data shows that Las1 exhibits very weak ability to cut ITS2

RNA at both C2 and C2′ sites in the absence of Grc3 (Figure 1B, Figure

1—figure supplement 1), suggesting that Las1 may exist in a low-activity

conformation state prior to assembly with Grc3 (Pillon et al., 2017). To

determine the structure of Las1 in the low-activity conformation, we screened a

lot of crystallization conditions with full-length Las1 proteins. Unfortunately, we

did not obtain any crystals. We conjectured that the CC domain of Las1 might

have a flexible conformation in the absence of Grc3, and then attempted to

crystallize Las1 truncated by the CC domain and LCT. After screening a large

number of crystallization conditions, we successfully obtained well-ordered

crystals of the CjLas1 HEPN domain and determined its structure at 1.80 Å

resolution using molecular replacement method (Figure 7A and Table 1).

The crystallographic asymmetric unit contained three Las1 HEPN molecules

(HEPN1, HEPN2 and HEPN3), each of which assumes an all α-helical fold

(Figure 7A). Analysis of crystal packing and interactions across HEPN-HEPN

interfaces suggests that the biological unit of Las1 HEPN domain may contain

a homodimer and a monomer. HEPN1 and HEPN2 molecules form a face to

face dimer related through the preudo-2-fold axis, similar to the HEPN dimer in

the Las1-Grc3 tetramer structure. Most notably, the molecule HEPN3 is likely

not to form a dimer similar to HEPN1-HEPN2 with its symmetry-related

molecule. Firstly, the structural superposition of HEPN3 and its

symmetry-related molecule with the HEPN1-HEPN2 dimer shows that HEPN1

and HEPN3 molecules superimpose well with core root mean-square deviation (RMSD) of 0.7 Å for 133 Cα atoms, while HEPN2 and symmetry-related HEPN3 molecule exhibit significantly different conformations (Figure 7—figure supplement 1). Secondly, the catalytic residues in HEPN1 and HEPN2 domains are close to each other to form a compact active center, whereas the catalytic residues in HEPN3 and its symmetry-related molecule remain far apart, presenting two separate catalytic sites (Figure 7B, C). These observations suggest that the HEPN3 molecule in the asymmetric unit is probably to be a monomer, which forms a special packing with the dimer of HEPN1-HEPN2 in the crystal.

Together, these results indicate a monomer-dimer equilibrium in the Las1 HEPN domain, which is consistent with the previously reported SEC-MALS data (Pillon et al., 2017).

Conformational changes in Las1 HEPN domain upon Grc3 binding

Since Grc3 binding significantly activates the endonuclease activity of Las1, the HEPN nuclease domains are probably to undergo conformational changes before and after Grc3 binding. We compared the HEPN domain dimer structures in CjLas1 and CjLas1-Grc3 complex by superposition and observed that significant conformational changes occur in HEPN domains upon Grc3 binding (Figure 7D). One HEPN domain is well aligned between the two structures, while the other HEPN domain has a large displacement. In order to investigate whether conformational changes occurred in the catalytic center, we further compared the catalytic pocket within the HEPN domains of the two structures. Remarkably, Large conformational changes are observed in the catalytic pocket (Figure 7D). In the Grc3-free Las1 structure, the catalytic residues Arg129, His130 and His134 within one HEPN domain are far from the catalytic residues in the other HEPN domain, while in the Grc3-bound structure,

the catalytic residues within two HEPN domains are close to each other

(Figure 7D, Figure 7—figure supplement 2). These observations indicate that

the Grc3 binding not only stabilizes the Las1 HEPN dimer, but also promotes

the formation of a more compact catalytic pocket, showing better catalytic

activity for specific cleavage of ITS2. Notably, compared with CjGrc3-bound

CjLas1, ScGrc3-bound ScLas1 has a more compact catalytic pocket (Figure

7D, Figure 7—figure supplement 2), which may explain why ScLas1 shows

better ITS2 cleavage activity than CjLas1 in the presence of Grc3 (Figure 1B,

Figure 1—figure supplement 1).

Discussion

Removal of the ITS2 is the requirement for the maturation of 5.8S rRNA and

25S rRNA, which is an important step during the eukaryotic 60S subunit

synthesis. In this study, the structural, biochemical, and functional analysis of

ITS2 RNA processing machinery provides a critical step toward understanding

the molecular mechanism of Grc3-activated ITS2 processing by Las1

endoribonuclease.

Mechanism of ITS2 processing by Las1-Grc3 dual enzyme complex

Based on our findings, we propose a model of ITS2 processing by Las1

endoribonuclease and its activator Grc3 kinase (Figure 8). In the absence of

Grc3, Las1 has very weak ITS2 cleavage activity due to its unstable HEPN

dimer. However, in the presence of Grc3, two copies of Las1 and Grc3

assemble into a stable tetramer that shows high activity to cleavage ITS2.

Las1 initially processes ITS2 at the C2 site, generating a 5.8S rRNA precursor

with 2′,3′-cyclic phosphate and a 25S rRNA precursor with 5′-hydroxyl end

(Figure 8 and Figure 1I). The second step of ITS2 specific cleavage occurs at

the C2′ site to remove the 5′-region of the 25S rRNA precursor. The C2′

cleavage also produces a 5′-hydroxyl product, which is rapidly phosphorylated

by Grc3 only in the presence of ATP. After phosphorylation, the 25S precursor

is further processed by a Rat1-Rai1 complex with 5′−3′ exonuclease activity,

which degrades the 5′-region of the 25S rRNA precursor and subsequently

generate mature 25S rRNA (Figure 1I) (Gasse et al., 2015). Furthermore, the

nuclear exosome complex drives the maturation of the 5.8S RNA by removing

the 3′-end of the precursor through its 3′−5′ exonuclease activity (Fromm et al.,

2017).

Distinct activation mechanism for Las1 HEPN nuclease

Structural and biochemical results highlight the mechanism of Grc3

GCT-mediated Las1 HEPN nuclease activation, which is quite distinct from

other HEPN nucleases such as CRISPR-Cas and toxin-antitoxin associated

HEPN RNases, Cas13a, Csm6, RnlA. Though proper dimerization of the

HEPN domain is critical for HEPN nuclease activity, other factors are also

common requirements for HEPN activation. For examples, activation of the

Cas13a HEPN enzyme requires target RNA binding and guide-target RNA

duplex formation, while allosterically activation of the Csm6 HEPN nuclease is

dependent on a cyclic oligoadenylate (Liu, Li, Ma, et al., 2017; Niewoehner et

al., 2017). In addition, stimulating the toxicity of RnlA HEPN RNase requires an

association between RnlA and RNase HI, and trigging activation of the HEPN

domains of Ire1 and RNase L needs binding of ATP to their kinase domains

(Lee et al., 2008; Naka, Koga, Yonesaki, & Otsuka, 2014; Wang et al., 2014). It

is noteworthy that these activators do not interact directly with the HEPN

domain, but bind to other domains to induce a conformational transition of the

HEPN domain from inactive to active state. In contrast, Grc3 GCTs bind

directly to the active center of HEPN domains and form hydrogen bonds with

catalytic residues, which appears to provoke rearrangements of the active site

required for activation of HEPN. Regulation of HEPN RNases by interacting

with catalytic residues may be a direct and effective measure.

Comparison of Las1-Grc3 complex and other nuclease-kinase machines

Ire1 and RNase L are also nuclease-kinase machines that contain a HEPN
domain and a protein kinase domain or a pseudo-protein kinase domain,
playing a fundamental role in RNA degradation related to a variety of cellular
processes. Although Ire1 and RNase L also require higher-order assembly
during RNA degradation, the Las1-Grc3 complex shows distinct structure
assembly with them (Figure 8—figure supplement 1). Both the kinase domain
and the HEPN domain in Ire1 and RNase L adopt a parallel back to back dimer
configuration (Lee et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2014). In Las1, only the HEPN
domain forms a dimer architecture, and Grc3 does not contact each other, but
binds on both sides to stabilize the HEPN dimer. An ankyrin repeat domain in
RNase L and an endoplasmic reticulum (ER) luminal domain in Ire1 has been
proposed to promote dimerization of the kinase and HEPN domains (Credle,
Finer-Moore, Papa, Stroud, & Walter, 2005; Huang et al., 2014). Whereas the
additional CC domain in Las1 is likely to contribute to RNA binding and
facilitate cleavage (Figure 4C, D). In addition, Las1, Ire1, and RNase L
recognize similar RNA cleavage motifs despite being involved in different RNA
processing, splicing, and degradation pathways. Cleavage studies show a
preference of Las1 for UAG and UGC motifs, which are also the universal
cleavage motifs observed in mRNA decay by Ire1 and RNase L (Pillon &
Stanley, 2018).

Materials and methods

Protein expression and purification

For Las1-Grc3 complex expression and purification, *Saccharomyces*

cerevisiae Las1 (*ScLas1*) *gene* was cloned into pET23a vector with an N-terminal His$_6$-tag and *Cyberlindnera jadinii* (*CjLas1*) gene was cloned into pET28a vector with an N-terminal His$_6$SUMO-tag, while *ScGrc3* and *CjGrc3* genes were cloned into modified pET28a vector containing an N-terminal SUMO-tag, followed by an ubiquitin-like protein1 (Ulp1) protease cleavage site. All recombinant plasmids were transformed into *E.coli* Rosetta (DE3) (Novagen) cells and grown in LB broth at 37°C for 3 h. After culturing to an OD$_{600}$ of 0.6-0.8, protein expression was induced with 0.2 mM isopropyl-1-thio-β-D-galactopyranoside (IPTG) at 16°C for 14 h. For the purification of ScLas1-Grc3 complex proteins, cells expressing ScLas1 proteins and ScGrc3 proteins were collected and co-lysed by sonication in buffer containing 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 500 mM NaCl. After centrifugation, supernatant was incubated with Ni Sepharose (GE Healthcare), and the bound protein was eluted with buffer containing 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 300 mM NaCl, 300 mM imidazole. Eluted protein was digested with Ulp1 protease at 4°C for 2 h and then further purified on a Heparin HP column (GE Healthcare), eluting with a linear gradient of increasing NaCl concentration from 300 mM to 1 M in 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH7.5 buffer. The fractions containing the protein of interest were concentrated and further purified by size-exclusion chromatography (Superdex 200 Increase 10/300, GE Healthcare) in a buffer containing 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 300 mM NaCl, and 1 mM Tris(2-carboxyethyl)phosphine hydrochloride (TCEP). Proteins were collected and concentrated to a final concentration of 15 mg/ml. For the purification of CjLas1-Grc3 complex proteins, cells expressing CjLas1 proteins and CjGrc3 proteins were collected and co-lysed by sonication in buffer containing 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 500 mM NaCl. After centrifugation, supernatant was incubated with Ni Sepharose (GE Healthcare), and the bound protein was eluted with buffer containing 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 350 mM NaCl, 300 mM imidazole. Eluted protein was digested with Ulp1 protease at 4°C for 2 h and

then further purified on a Heparin HP column (GE Healthcare), eluting with

buffer containing 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH7.5, 1M NaCl. The protein was further

purified by size-exclusion chromatography (Superdex 200 Increase 10/300,

GE Healthcare) in a buffer containing 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 350 mM NaCl,

and 1 mM Tris(2-carboxyethyl)phosphine hydrochloride (TCEP). Proteins were

collected and concentrated to a final concentration of 15 mg/ml. Mutants were

expressed and purified as wild-type protein. The CjLas1 HEPN construct was

purified with an identical protocol.

For the experiment of GST pull-down, the wild-type *ScLas1* gene and its

mutants were cloned into a modified pET23a vector (Novagen) with an

N-terminal His$_6$GST-tag, while the wild-type *ScGrc3* gene and its mutants were

cloned into a modified pET28a vector containing an N-terminal His$_6$SUMO-tag.

Proteins were overexpressed in *E.coli* Rosetta (DE3) (Novagen) cells and

cultured in LB broth to an OD$_{600}$ of 0.6-0.8. Then the target proteins were

induced with 0.2 mM isopropyl-1-thio-β-D-galactopyranoside (IPTG) and

grown for additional 14 h at 16°C. Cells were collected and lysed by sonication

in buffer containing 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 500 mM NaCl. After centrifugation,

supernatant was incubated with Ni Sepharose (GE Healthcare), and the bound

protein was eluted with buffer containing 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 300 mM

NaCl, 300 mM imidazole. The GST-ScLas1 mutants were further purified on a

Heparin HP column (GE Healthcare) and ScGrc3 mutants were purified on a

HitTrap Q HP column (GE Healthcare). All proteins were further purified by

size-exclusion chromatography (Superdex 200 Increase 10/300, GE

Healthcare) in a buffer containing 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 300 mM NaCl.

Crystallization, data collection and structure determination

Crystals of ScLas1-Grc3 complex, CjLas1-Grc3 complex and CjLas1 truncated

protein (HEPN domain) were first obtained using the sitting drop vapor

diffusion method using high-throughput crystallization screening kits (Hampton

Research, Molecular Dimensions and QIAGEN). Crystals were then grown in a

mixed solution containing 1 μl complex solution and 1 μl of reservoir solution

using the hanging drop vapor diffusion method at 16°C. For growing large

crystals, crystals were further optimized by using seeding technique.

Well-diffracting crystals of ScLas1-Grc3 complex were grown in a reservoir

solution containing 2% (v/v) tacsimate, pH 7.0, 0.1 M imidazole, pH 7.0, 2%

(v/v) 2-propanol, and 9% (w/v) PEG 3350. Well-diffracting crystals of

CjLas1-Grc3 complex were grown in a reservoir solution containing 0.1 M

sodium phosphate, pH 7.5, 0.05 M NaCl, and 9% (w/v) PEG 4000. The best

crystals of CjLas1 HEPN domain protein were grown from 0.1 M Tris-HCl, pH

8.0, 0.2 M $MgCl_2$, and 25% (w/v) PEG 3350. All crystals soaked in

cryoprotectants made from the mother liquors supplemented with 20% (v/v)

glycerol and flash frozen in liquid nitrogen.

All diffraction data sets were collected at beamline BL-17U1, BL-18U1, and

BL-19U1 at Shanghai Synchrotron Radiation Facility (SSRF) and National

Center for Protein Sciences Shanghai (NCPSS), and processed with HKL3000

(Otwinowski & Minor, 1997). The CjLas1 HEPN structure were determined by

molecular replacement using the HEPN domain structure within CtLas1-Grc3

(PDB: 6OF4) as the search model using the program PHENIX Phaser (Adams

et al., 2002; Pillon et al., 2019). The phases of ScLas1-Grc3 complex and

CjLas1-Grc3 complex were solved by molecular replacement method with the

cryo-EM maps of Las1-Grc3 complexes using PHENIX Phaser. The model

was manually built and adjusted using the program COOT (Emsley, Lohkamp,

Scott, & Cowtan, 2010). Iterative cycles of crystallographic refinement were

performed using PHENIX. All data processing and structure refinement

statistics are summarized in Supplementary Table 1. Structure figures were

prepared using PyMOL (http://www.pymol.org/).

Cryo-EM data acquisition

The samples were diluted at a final concentration of around 1.0 mg/mL. Three

microliters of the samples were applied onto glow-discharged 200-mesh R2/1

Quantifoil copper grids. The grids were blotted for 4 s and rapidly cryocooled in

liquid ethane using a Vitrobot Mark IV (Thermo Fisher Scientific) at 4°C and

100% humidity. The samples were imaged in a Titan Krios cryo-electron

microscope (Thermo Fisher Scientific) at a magnification of 105,000×

(corresponding to a calibrated sampling of 0.82 Å per pixel). Micrographs were

recorded by EPU software (Thermo Fisher Scientific) with a K3 detector, where

each image was composed of 30 individual frames an exposure time of 3 s

and an exposure rate of 16.7 electrons per second per $Å^2$. A total of 2,520

movie stacks for ScLas1-Grc3 complex and 8,616 movie stacks for

CjLas1-Grc3 complex were collected.

Single-particle image processing and 3D reconstruction

All micrographs were first imported into Relion (Scheres, 2012) for image

processing. The motion-correction was performed using MotionCor2 (Zheng et

al., 2017) and the contrast transfer function (CTF) was determined using

CTFFIND4 (Rohou & Grigorieff, 2015). All particles were autopicked using the

NeuralNet option in EMAN2 (Tang et al., 2007). Then, particle coordinates

were imported to Relion, where the poor 2D class averages were removed by

several rounds of 2D classification. Initial maps were built and classified using

ab-initio 3D reconstruction in cryoSPARC (Punjani, Rubinstein, Fleet, &

Brubaker, 2017) without any symmetry applied. Heterogeneous refinement

was further performed to remove bad particles using one good and one bad

starting maps. The good class having 264,341 particles for ScLas1-Grc3

complex or 523,843 particles for CjLas1-Grc3 complex was selected and

subjected to 3D homogeneous refinement, local & global CTF refinement, and

non-uniform refinement with C2 symmetry imposed, achieving a 3.07 Å

resolution map for the ScLas1-Grc3 complex and a 3.39 Å resolution map for

the CjLas1-Grc3 complex, respectively. Resolution for the final maps were

estimated with the 0.143 criterion of the Fourier shell correlation curve.

Resolution maps were calculated in cryoSPARC using the "Local Resolution

Estimation" option. (More information in Supplementary Figure S2,

Supplementary Figure S3, and Supplementary Table 2).

In vitro transcription of RNA

Unlabeled ITS2 RNAs used for cleavage assays were synthesized by *in vitro*

transcription with T7 RNA polymerase and linearized plasmid DNAs as

templates. Transcription reactions were performed at 37°C for 4 h in buffer

containing 100 mM HEPES-KOH, pH 7.9, 20 mM $MgCl_2$, 30 mM DTT, 2 mM

each NTP, 2 mM spermidine, 0.1 mg/ml T7 RNA polymerase, and 40 ng/μl

linearized plasmid DNA template. The transcribed RNA was then purified by

gel electrophoresis on a 12% denaturing (8 M urea) polyacrylamide gel, RNA

band was excised from the gel and recovered with Elutrap System. The

purified RNA was resuspended in DEPC (diethy pyrocarbonate)-treated water.

In vitro ITS2 RNA cleavage assays

For 5′-Cy5 and 3′-Cy3 labeled ITS2 RNA cleavage assays, 0.5 μM of ITS2

RNA was incubated at 37°C for 2 h with increasing amount of Las1, Grc3 or

Las1-Grc3 complex proteins (0.05 μM-0.5 μM) in cleavage buffer containing 20

mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 300 mM NaCl, 1 mM Tris(2-carboxyethyl)phosphine

hydrochloride (TCEP). 5′-Cy5 and 3′-Cy3 labeled ITS2 RNA was synthesized

from Takara Biomedical Technology. Reactions were stopped by adding 2 ×

loading buffer. Samples were analyzed on a 20% urea denaturing

polyacrylamide gel with TBE buffer. Cleavage products were visualized by

fluorescent imaging and analysis system (SINSAGE TECHNOLOGY).

For unlabeled ITS2 RNA cleavage assays, 10 μM of ITS2 RNA was

incubated at 37°C for 2 h with increasing amount of Las1, Grc3 or Las1-Grc3

complex proteins (1.5 μM-15 μM) in cleavage buffer containing 20 mM

Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 300 mM NaCl, 1 mM Tris(2-carboxyethyl)phosphine

hydrochloride (TCEP). Reactions were stopped by adding 2 × loading buffer and were then quenched at 75°C for 5 min. Samples were analyzed on a 20% urea denaturing polyacrylamide gel with TBE buffer. Cleavage products were visualized by toluidine blue staining.

RNA cleavage products phosphorylation assays

10 µM of unlabeled ITS2 RNA was incubated at 37°C for 1.5 h with 10 µM of Las1-Grc3 complex proteins in cleavage buffer containing 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 300 mM NaCl, 1 mM Tris(2-carboxyethyl)phosphine hydrochloride (TCEP). 1 mM ATP was added into each reaction system at 37°C for 30 min. Reactions were stopped by adding 2 × loading buffer and were then quenched at 75°C for 5 min. Samples were analyzed on a 20% urea denaturing polyacrylamide gel with TBE buffer. Cleavage products were visualized by toluidine blue staining.

GST pull-down assays

Pull-down experiments were carried out using GST fusion proteins to analyze the association between Las1 and Grc3. 0.2 mg purified GST-ScLas1 protein were incubated with 0.4 mg purified ScGrc3 protein in binding buffer containing 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 500 mM NaCl, 2 mM DTT. 80 µl GST affinity resin was added into each reaction system at 4°C for 60 min. The resin was washed with 1 ml of binding buffer. After washing five times, the binding samples were eluted with elution buffer containing 20 mM Tris, pH 7.5, 20 mM GSH, 500 mM NaCl, and 2 mM DTT. The elution samples were then monitored using SDS-PAGE (polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis) and visualized by Coomassie blue staining. The assays were quantified by band densitometry. The experiment was repeated three times.

Electrophoretic mobility shift assays

Electrophoretic mobility shift assays (EMSA) were performed with a series of Las1-Grc3 complex dilutions from 20 µM to 2 µM and a 81-nt ITS2 RNA. the

ITS2 RNA was synthesized by in vitro transcription. Proteins were incubated

with ITS2 RNA in a binding buffer containing 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 300 mM

NaCl for 30 min at 4°C. After reaction, the binding samples were then resolved

on 5% native acrylamide gels in Tris-Glycine (0.5X TBE) buffer pH 8.5 under

an electric field of 100 volts for 40 min at 4°C. Gels were imaged by using a

ChemiDoc XRS+ (Bio-rad).

RNA sequencing

Enzyme-digested products from the ITS2 cleavage assay were isolated by

dialysis from denaturing polyacrylamide gel. T4 PNK was used to

phosphorylate the 5′ terminus of RNA products. After the above treatment,

RNA samples were linked with the adaptor RNA by T4 RNA ligase-1. RNA

samples were then treated for reverse transcription and PCR amplification.

The PCR amplification products were cloned into pET28a vector, then

sequenced.

Data availability

The atomic coordinates included in this study have been deposited in the

Protein Data Bank (PDB) with the following accession codes: 7Y16, 7Y17, and

7Y18. Cryo-EM maps of the ScLas1-Grc3 complex and CjLas1-Grc3 complex

in this study have been deposited in the wwPDB OneDep System under EMD

accession code EMD-33733 and EMD-33735.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to the staff of the BL-17U1, BL-18U1 and BL-19U1 beamlines

at the National Center for Protein Sciences Shanghai (NCPSS) at Shanghai

Synchrotron Radiation Facility (SSRF).

Author contributions

J.C., H.C., X.L., and R.H. expressed and purified the proteins and grew

crystals. J.C., H.C., and L.L. collected X-ray diffraction data. S.L., K.Z., and L.L.

solved the structures. X.L., H.C., R.H., and J.C. carried out all of the cloning

and performed the biochemical assays. L.L. prepared the figures; L.L. wrote

the manuscript and supervised all of the research.

Funding

National Natural Science Foundation of China [32171286].

• Liang Liu

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and interpretation, or

the decision to submit the work for publication.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

References

Abudayyeh, O. O., Gootenberg, J. S., Konermann, S., Joung, J., Slaymaker, I. M., Cox, D.

B., . . . Zhang, F. (2016). C2c2 is a single-component programmable RNA-guided

RNA-targeting CRISPR effector. *Science, 353*(6299), aaf5573.

doi:10.1126/science.aaf5573

Adams, P. D., Grosse-Kunstleve, R. W., Hung, L. W., Ioerger, T. R., McCoy, A. J., Moriarty, N.

W., . . . Terwilliger, T. C. (2002). PHENIX: building new software for automated

crystallographic structure determination. *Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr, 58*(Pt 11),

1948-1954. doi:10.1107/s0907444902016657

Anger, A. M., Armache, J. P., Berninghausen, O., Habeck, M., Subklewe, M., Wilson, D. N., &

609   Beckmann, R. (2013). Structures of the human and Drosophila 80S ribosome. *Nature,*

610    *497*(7447), 80-85. doi:10.1038/nature12104

611 Castle, C. D., Cassimere, E. K., & Denicourt, C. (2012). LAS1L interacts with the mammalian

612    Rix1 complex to regulate ribosome biogenesis. *Mol Biol Cell, 23*(4), 716-728.

613    doi:10.1091/mbc.E11-06-0530

614 Castle, C. D., Cassimere, E. K., Lee, J., & Denicourt, C. (2010). Las1L is a nucleolar protein

615    required for cell proliferation and ribosome biogenesis. *Mol Cell Biol, 30*(18),

616    4404-4414. doi:10.1128/MCB.00358-10

617 Castle, C. D., Sardana, R., Dandekar, V., Borgianini, V., Johnson, A. W., & Denicourt, C.

618    (2013). Las1 interacts with Grc3 polynucleotide kinase and is required for ribosome

619    synthesis in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *Nucleic Acids Res, 41*(2), 1135-1150.

620    doi:10.1093/nar/gks1086

621 Coleman, A. W. (2003). ITS2 is a double-edged tool for eukaryote evolutionary comparisons.

622    *Trends Genet, 19*(7), 370-375. doi:10.1016/S0168-9525(03)00118-5

623 Cote, C. A., Greer, C. L., & Peculis, B. A. (2002). Dynamic conformational model for the role of

624    ITS2 in pre-rRNA processing in yeast. *RNA, 8*(6), 786-797.

625    doi:10.1017/s1355838202023063

626 Credle, J. J., Finer-Moore, J. S., Papa, F. R., Stroud, R. M., & Walter, P. (2005). On the

627    mechanism of sensing unfolded protein in the endoplasmic reticulum. *Proc Natl Acad*

628    *Sci U S A, 102*(52), 18773-18784. doi:10.1073/pnas.0509487102

629 Doseff, A. I., & Arndt, K. T. (1995). LAS1 is an essential nuclear protein involved in cell

630    morphogenesis and cell surface growth. *Genetics, 141*(3), 857-871.

doi:10.1093/genetics/141.3.857

Doudna, J. A., & Rath, V. L. (2002). Structure and function of the eukaryotic ribosome: the next

frontier. *Cell, 109*(2), 153-156. doi:10.1016/s0092-8674(02)00725-0

Emsley, P., Lohkamp, B., Scott, W. G., & Cowtan, K. (2010). Features and development of

Coot. *Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr, 66*(Pt 4), 486-501.

doi:10.1107/S0907444910007493

Fernandez-Pevida, A., Kressler, D., & de la Cruz, J. (2015). Processing of preribosomal RNA

in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *Wiley Interdiscip Rev RNA, 6*(2), 191-209.

doi:10.1002/wrna.1267

Fromm, L., Falk, S., Flemming, D., Schuller, J. M., Thoms, M., Conti, E., & Hurt, E. (2017).

Reconstitution of the complete pathway of ITS2 processing at the pre-ribosome. *Nat*

Commun, 8(1), 1787. doi:10.1038/s41467-017-01786-9

Gasse, L., Flemming, D., & Hurt, E. (2015). Coordinated Ribosomal ITS2 RNA Processing by

the Las1 Complex Integrating Endonuclease, Polynucleotide Kinase, and

Exonuclease Activities. *Mol Cell, 60*(5), 808-815. doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2015.10.021

Gordon, J., Pillon, M. C., & Stanley, R. E. (2019). Nol9 Is a Spatial Regulator for the Human

ITS2 Pre-rRNA Endonuclease-Kinase Complex. *J Mol Biol, 431*(19), 3771-3786.

doi:10.1016/j.jmb.2019.07.007

Granneman, S., Petfalski, E., & Tollervey, D. (2011). A cluster of ribosome synthesis factors

regulate pre-rRNA folding and 5.8S rRNA maturation by the Rat1 exonuclease. *EMBO*

J, 30(19), 4006-4019. doi:10.1038/emboj.2011.256

Holm, L., & Rosenstrom, P. (2010). Dali server: conservation mapping in 3D. *Nucleic Acids*

Res, 38(Web Server issue), W545-549. doi:10.1093/nar/gkq366

Huang, H., Zeqiraj, E., Dong, B., Jha, B. K., Duffy, N. M., Orlicky, S., . . . Sicheri, F. (2014).

Dimeric structure of pseudokinase RNase L bound to 2-5A reveals a basis for

interferon-induced   antiviral   activity.   *Mol   Cell,   53*(2),   221-234.

doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2013.12.025

Khatter, H., Myasnikov, A. G., Natchiar, S. K., & Klaholz, B. P. (2015). Structure of the human

80S ribosome. *Nature, 520*(7549), 640-645. doi:10.1038/nature14427

Knott, G. J., East-Seletsky, A., Cofsky, J. C., Holton, J. M., Charles, E., O'Connell, M. R., &

Doudna, J. A. (2017). Guide-bound structures of an RNA-targeting A-cleaving

CRISPR-Cas13a   enzyme.   *Nat   Struct   Mol   Biol,   24*(10),   825-833.

doi:10.1038/nsmb.3466

Korennykh, A. V., Egea, P. F., Korostelev, A. A., Finer-Moore, J., Zhang, C., Shokat, K. M., . . .

Walter, P. (2009). The unfolded protein response signals through high-order assembly

of Ire1. *Nature, 457*(7230), 687-693. doi:10.1038/nature07661

Lafontaine, D. L. (2015). Noncoding RNAs in eukaryotic ribosome biogenesis and function.

Nat Struct Mol Biol, 22(1), 11-19. doi:10.1038/nsmb.2939

Lee, K. P., Dey, M., Neculai, D., Cao, C., Dever, T. E., & Sicheri, F. (2008). Structure of the

dual enzyme Ire1 reveals the basis for catalysis and regulation in nonconventional

RNA splicing. *Cell, 132*(1), 89-100. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2007.10.057

Liu, L., Li, X., Ma, J., Li, Z., You, L., Wang, J., . . . Wang, Y. (2017). The Molecular Architecture

for RNA-Guided RNA Cleavage by Cas13a. *Cell, 170*(4), 714-726 e710.

doi:10.1016/j.cell.2017.06.050

Liu, L., Li, X., Wang, J., Wang, M., Chen, P., Yin, M., . . . Wang, Y. (2017). Two Distant

Catalytic Sites Are Responsible for C2c2 RNase Activities. *Cell, 168*(1-2), 121-134

e112. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2016.12.031

Naka, K., Koga, M., Yonesaki, T., & Otsuka, Y. (2014). RNase HI stimulates the activity of

RnlA toxin in Escherichia coli. *Mol Microbiol, 91*(3), 596-605. doi:10.1111/mmi.12479

Niewoehner, O., Garcia-Doval, C., Rostol, J. T., Berk, C., Schwede, F., Bigler, L., . . . Jinek, M.

(2017). Type III CRISPR-Cas systems produce cyclic oligoadenylate second

messengers. *Nature, 548*(7669), 543-548. doi:10.1038/nature23467

Otwinowski, Z., & Minor, W. (1997). Processing of X-ray diffraction data collected in oscillation

mode. *Methods Enzymol, 276*, 307-326.

Pillon, M. C., Goslen, K. H., Gordon, J., Wells, M. L., Williams, J. G., & Stanley, R. E. (2020). It

takes two (Las1 HEPN endoribonuclease domains) to cut RNA correctly. *J Biol Chem,*

295(18), 5857-5870. doi:10.1074/jbc.RA119.011193

Pillon, M. C., Hsu, A. L., Krahn, J. M., Williams, J. G., Goslen, K. H., Sobhany, M., . . . Stanley,

R. E. (2019). Cryo-EM reveals active site coordination within a multienzyme pre-rRNA

processing complex. *Nat Struct Mol Biol, 26*(9), 830-839.

doi:10.1038/s41594-019-0289-8

Pillon, M. C., Sobhany, M., Borgnia, M. J., Williams, J. G., & Stanley, R. E. (2017). Grc3

programs the essential endoribonuclease Las1 for specific RNA cleavage. *Proc Natl*

Acad Sci U S A, 114(28), E5530-E5538. doi:10.1073/pnas.1703133114

Pillon, M. C., & Stanley, R. E. (2018). Nuclease integrated kinase super assemblies (NiKs) and

their role in RNA processing. *Curr Genet, 64*(1), 183-190.

doi:10.1007/s00294-017-0749-9

Punjani, A., Rubinstein, J. L., Fleet, D. J., & Brubaker, M. A. J. N. m. (2017). cryoSPARC:

algorithms for rapid unsupervised cryo-EM structure determination. *14*(3), 290-296.

Rohou, A., & Grigorieff, N. J. J. o. s. b. (2015). CTFFIND4: Fast and accurate defocus

estimation from electron micrographs. *192*(2), 216-221.

Scheres, S. H. J. J. o. s. b. (2012). RELION: implementation of a Bayesian approach to

cryo-EM structure determination. *180*(3), 519-530.

Schillewaert, S., Wacheul, L., Lhomme, F., & Lafontaine, D. L. (2012). The evolutionarily

conserved protein Las1 is required for pre-rRNA processing at both ends of ITS2. *Mol*

Cell Biol, 32(2), 430-444. doi:10.1128/MCB.06019-11

Tang, G., Peng, L., Baldwin, P. R., Mann, D. S., Jiang, W., Rees, I., & Ludtke, S. J. J. J. o. s. b.

(2007). EMAN2: an extensible image processing suite for electron microscopy. *157*(1),

38-46.

Tomecki, R., Sikorski, P. J., & Zakrzewska-Placzek, M. (2017). Comparison of preribosomal

RNA processing pathways in yeast, plant and human cells - focus on coordinated

action of endo- and exoribonucleases. *FEBS Lett, 591*(13), 1801-1850.

doi:10.1002/1873-3468.12682

Wang, Y., Li, Y., Toth, J. I., Petroski, M. D., Zhang, Z., & Zhao, J. C. (2014).

N6-methyladenosine modification destabilizes developmental regulators in embryonic

stem cells. *Nat Cell Biol, 16*(2), 191-198. doi:10.1038/ncb2902

Wilson, D. N., & Doudna Cate, J. H. (2012). The structure and function of the eukaryotic

ribosome. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol, 4*(5). doi:10.1101/cshperspect.a011536

Woolford, J. L., Jr., & Baserga, S. J. (2013). Ribosome biogenesis in the yeast

Saccharomyces   cerevisiae.   *Genetics,*   *195*(3),   643-681.

doi:10.1534/genetics.113.153197

Wu, S., Tutuncuoglu, B., Yan, K., Brown, H., Zhang, Y., Tan, D., . . . Gao, N. (2016). Diverse

roles of assembly factors revealed by structures of late nuclear pre-60S ribosomes.

Nature, 534(7605), 133-137. doi:10.1038/nature17942

Xiang, S., Cooper-Morgan, A., Jiao, X., Kiledjian, M., Manley, J. L., & Tong, L. (2009).

Structure and function of the 5'-->3' exoribonuclease Rat1 and its activating partner

Rai1. *Nature, 458*(7239), 784-788. doi:10.1038/nature07731

Zhang, C., Konermann, S., Brideau, N. J., Lotfy, P., Wu, X., Novick, S. J., . . . Lyumkis, D.

(2018). Structural   Basis   for   the   RNA-Guided   Ribonuclease   Activity   of

CRISPR-Cas13d. *Cell, 175*(1), 212-223 e217. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2018.09.001

Zheng, S. Q., Palovcak, E., Armache, J.-P., Verba, K. A., Cheng, Y., & Agard, D. A. J. N. m.

(2017). MotionCor2: anisotropic correction of beam-induced motion for improved

cryo-electron microscopy. *14*(4), 331-332.

Figure Legends

Figure 1. ScLas1 specifically cleaves ITS2 at C2 and C2′ sites. (A) 33-nt

ITS2 RNA with 5′-Cy5 and 3′-Cy3 labels. **(B)** *In vitro* RNA cleavage assay

using 5′-Cy5 and 3′-Cy3 labeled 33-nt RNA. **(C)** *In vitro* RNA cleavage assay of

unlabeled 33-nt RNA. **(D)** 81-nt ITS2 RNA. **(E)** *In vitro* RNA cleavage assay of

unlabeled 81-nt RNA. **(F)** RNA-sequencing traces from ScLas1-cleaved ITS2

products P2 and P4. **(G)** RNA phosphorylation assay with ScLas1-Grc3

complex. **(H)** RNA degradation assay with ScRat1-Rai1 complex. **(I)** The ITS2

pre-rRNA processing pathway.

The following figure supplements are available for Figure 1:

Figure 1 – Figure Supplement 1. CjGrc3-activated CjLas1-catalytic ITS2

pre-rRNA cleavage.

Figure 1 – Figure Supplement 2. ScGrc3 has no ITS2 pre-rRNA cleavage

activity.

Figure 1 – Figure Supplement 3. Catalytic residues of Las1 HEPN domain

are necessary for ITS2 pre-rRNA cleavage.

Figure 1 – Figure Supplement 4. Characterization of the metal independence

of ITS2 pre-rRNA cleavage.

Figure 2. Overall structure of ScLas1-Grc3 complex. (A) Domain

organization of ScLas1 and ScGrc3. **(B)** Ribbon representations of

ScLas1-Grc3 complex. Color-coding used for Las1 and Grc3 is identical to that

used in Fig. 2a. **(C)** Surface representations of ScLas1-Grc3 complex.

Color-coding used for Las1 and Grc3 is identical to that used in Figure 2A.

The following figure supplement is available for Figure 2:

Figure 2 – Figure Supplement 1. Single-particle cryo-EM analysis of the

ScLas1-Grc3 complex. (A) Representative motion-corrected cryo-EM

micrograph. **(B)** Reference-free 2D class averages. **(C)** Workflow of the data

processing. **(D)** Euler angle distribution of the particle images. **(E)** Gold

standard FSC plot for the 3D reconstruction with full particle set, calculated in

cryoSPARC. **(F)** Resolution map for the final 3D reconstruction.

Figure 3. Overall structure of CjLas1-Grc3 complex. (A) Domain

organization of CjLas1 and CjGrc3. **(B)** Ribbon representations of CjLas1-Grc3

complex. Color-coding used for Las1 and Grc3 is identical to that used in Fig.

3a. **(C)** Surface representations of CjLas1-Grc3 complex. Color-coding used

for Las1 and Grc3 is identical to that used in Figure 3A.

The following figure supplements are available for Figure 3:

Figure 3 – Figure Supplement 1. Single-particle cryo-EM analysis of the

CjLas1-Grc3 complex. (A) Representative motion-corrected cryo-EM

micrograph. **(B)** Reference-free 2D class averages. **(C)** Workflow of the data

processing. **(D)** Euler angle distribution of the particle images. **(E)** Gold

standard FSC plot for the 3D reconstruction with full particle set, calculated in

cryoSPARC. **(F)** Resolution map for the final 3D reconstruction.

Figure 3 – Figure Supplement 2. Comparison of cryo-EM and crystal

structures of Las1-Grc3 complexes. (A) Superposition of the ScLas1-Grc3

complex crystal structure with the cryo-EM structure. Color-coding used for

ScLas1 and ScGrc3 in crystal structure is identical to that used in Fig. 2a.

ScLas1 and ScGrc3 in cryo-EM structure are colored in gray. **(B)**

Superposition of the CjLas1-Grc3 complex crystal structure with the cryo-EM

structure. Color-coding used for CjLas1 and CjGrc3 in crystal structure is

identical to that used in Fig. 3a. CjLas1 and CjGrc3 in cryo-EM structure are

colored in gray.

Figure 3 – Figure Supplement 3. Sequence alignment of Las1 proteins.

The ScLas1 protein was aligned with its respective homologs. Alignment was

performed using the MUSCLE program. The secondary structures of ScLas1

and CjLas1 are shown above and below the sequences, respectively.

Conserved residues are highlighted in yellow, and invariant residues are

highlighted in red. Catalytic residues in Las1 HEPN domain are marked in

violet triangles. The figure was prepared using ESPript3.

Figure 4. The CC domain contributes to ITS2 RNA binding and cleavage.

(A) Structural comparison between ScLas1-Grc3 complex and CjLas1-Grc3

complex. Color-coding used for ScLas1 and ScGrc3 is identical to that used in

Fig. 2a. The CC domain of CjLas1 is colored in salmon, other domains of

CjLas1 and all domains of CjGrc3 are colored in gray. **(B)** Structures of CjLas1

CC domain (in salmon) and ScLas1 CC domain (in lightmagenta). **(C)** *In vitro*

RNA cleavage assay using indicated truncations of ScLas1. **(D)**

Electrophoretic mobility shift assay using indicated truncations of ScLas1.

Figure 5. ScGrc3 GCT mediates the ITS2 cleavage activity of ScLas1. (A)

ScGrc3 GCT binds at an active channel of ScLas1 HEPN dimer. Two HEPN

domains of Las1 are colored in pink and violet, respectively. GCTs of Grc3 are

colored in teal. The catalytic site is highlighted in yellow. HEPN domains are

shown as surfaces, while GCTs are shown as sticks. **(B)** Detailed interactions

between ScGrc3 GCT and ScLas1 HEPN domain. **(C)** Sequence alignments

of Grc3 GCTs. Identical residues are highlighted in red. Basically constant

residuals are shaded in blue. Conserved residues are shaded in orange. **(D)** *In*

vitro enzymatic assay of mutations of ScGrc3 residues Glu614, His615 and

Trp617. **(E)** GST pull-down experiment assaying the ability of ScGrc3 mutants

to interact with ScLas1. **(F)** *In vitro* enzymatic assay of alanine mutations of

ScGrc3 C-terminal residues.

The following figure supplement is available for Figure 5:

Figure 5 – Figure Supplement 1. *In vitro* enzymatic assay of alanine

mutations of conserved CjGrc3 residues Trp618, Arg601, Arg606 and Arg607.

Figure 6. Las1 LCT drives Las1-Grc3 cross talk. (A) ScLas1 LCT binds to

the CTD domain of ScGrc3. ScGrc3 is shown as surface, ScLas1 LCT is

shown as stick. **(B)** Detailed interactions between C-terminal residues of

ScLas1 LCT and ScGrc3 CTD domain. **(C)** Detailed interactions between

N-terminal residues of ScLas1 LCT and ScGrc3 CTD domain.

The following figure supplements are available for Figure 6:

Figure 6 – Figure Supplement 1. Denaturing gel showing the ITS2 pre-RNA

cleavage by mutation or deletion of the interacting residues of ScLas1 LCT.

Figure 6 – Figure Supplement 2. GST pull-down experiment assaying the

Grc3 binding ability by mutation or deletion of the interacting residues of

ScLas1 LCT.

Figure 7. Activation mechanism of Las1 by Grc3. (A) Crystal structure of

CjLas1 HEPN domain. **(B)** The HEPN3 molecule (in slate) and its

symmetry-related molecule (in bluewhite) in Las1 HEPN domain structure. **(C)**

The HEPN1 (in pink) and HEPN2 (in violet) molecules in Las1 HEPN domain

structure. **(D)** Structural comparison of HEPN dimers between CjLas1-Grc3

complex (Las1A HEPN in pink, Las1B HEPN in violet) and CjLas1 HEPN

domain (in gray). Inset: a magnified view of the comparison of the catalytic site

in the two structures.

The following figure supplements are available for Figure 7:

Figure 7 – Figure Supplement 1. Structural superposition of HEPN3 (in slate)

and its symmetry-related molecule (in bluewhite) with the HEPN1(in

pink)-HEPN2 (in violet) dimer.

Figure 7 – Figure Supplement 2. Structural comparison of HEPN dimers

between ScLas1-Grc3 complex (Las1A HEPN in pink, Las1B HEPN in violet)

and CjLas1 HEPN domain (in gray). Inset: a magnified view of the comparison

of the catalytic site in the two structures.

Figure 8. Model for Grc3-mediated Las1-catalyzed ITS2 pre-rRNA

processing. Prior to assembly with Grc3, Las1 shows weak processing

activity for ITS2 precursor RNA. When combined with Grc3 to form a tetramer

complex, Las1 shows high processing activity for ITS2 precursor RNA. Las1

specifically cleaves ITS2 at C2 and C2′ sites to generate 5′-OH terminus

products. The 5′-OH terminus products are further phosphorylated by Grc3

when in the presence of ATP.

The following figure supplement is available for Figure 8:

Figure 8 – Figure Supplement 1. Comparison of Las1-Grc3, Ire1 and

RNase L. Comparison of structural assembly, activation and substrate

recognition mechanisms of Las1-Grc3, Ire1 and RNase L. Two HEPN domains

in Las1, Ire1 and RNase L are colored in pink and violet, respectively. Two

PNK domains in Grc3, two Kinase domains in Ire1, and two Preudo-Kinase

domains in RNase L, are colored in cyan and greencyan, respectively. The

catalytic site is highlighted with a yellow box.

Table 1. Crystallographic data collection and refinement statistics.

	ScLas1-Grc3	CjLas1-Grc3	CjLas1
Data collection*			
Space group	$C2$	$C222_1$	$P2_12_12_1$
Cell dimensions			
a, b, c (Å)	233.6, 116.1, 159.3	152.6, 240.0, 237.0	51.5, 59.0, 158.7
α, β, γ (°)	90.0, 96.4, 90.0	90.0, 90.0, 90.0	90.0, 90.0, 90.0
Resolution (Å)	50.00-3.50 (3.56-3.50)	50.00-3.23 (3.29-3.23)	50.00-1.80 (1.83-1.80)
R_{merge}	0.298 (0.980)	0.344 (0.958)	0.103 (0.929)
$I/\sigma I$	4.8 (1.1)	4.3 (1.6)	22.0 (2.5)
Completeness (%)	98.8 (96.5)	99.9 (99.9)	97.7 (95.8)
Redundancy	4.5 (3.5)	7.5 (6.4)	10.1 (9.5)
Refinement			
Resolution (Å)	3.69	3.39	1.80
No. reflections	36,773	41,321	44,296
R_{work} / R_{free}	0.2798/0.3151	0.3041/0.3281	0.2120/0.2334
No. atoms			
protein	22,873	18,763	3,657
Water	180	386	212
B-factors (Å^2)			
Protein	95.5	125.6	23.3
Water	33.2	55.9	28.5
R.m.s. deviations			
Bond length (Å)	0.008	0.011	0.015
Bond angles (°)	1.516	1.785	1.500
Ramachandran plot			
Favored region	94.96	95.72	97.98
Allowed region	4.86	4.28	2.02
Outlier region	0.18	0.00	0.00

867 * Highest resolution shell is shown in parentheses.

869   **Table 2. Cryo-EM data collection and processing.**

	ScLas1-Grc3	CjLas1-Grc3
Data collection and processing		
Microscope	Titan Krios	Titan Krios
Voltage (kV)	300	300
Camera	Gatan K3	Gatan K3
Magnification	105,000x	105,000x
Pixel size (Å)	0.82	0.82
Total exposure (e-/Å^2)	50	50
Exposure time (s)	3	3
Number of frames per exposure	30	30
Energy filter slit width (keV)	20	20
Data collection software	EPU	EPU
Defocus range (μm)	-1.3 - -2.7	-1.2 - -3
Number of micrographs	2,520	8,616
Number of initial particles	525,213	2,215,555
Symmetry	C2	C2
Number of final particles	264,341	523,843
Resolution (0.143 gold standard FSC, Å)	3.07	3.39
Local resolution range (Å)	2.8-4.8	2.8-4.8

Figure 1

Figure 1—figure supplement 1

Figure 1—figure supplement 2

Figure 1—figure supplement 3

Figure 1—figure supplement 4

Figure 2

Figure 2-figure supplement 1

Figure 3

Figure 3—figure supplement 1

Figure 3—figure supplement 2

Figure 3—figure supplement 3

Figure 4

Figure 5

Figure 5—figure supplement 1

Figure 6

Figure 6—figure supplement 1

Figure 6—figure supplement 2

Figure 7

Figure 7—figure supplement 1

Figure 7—figure supplement 2

Figure 8

Figure 8—figure supplement 1