# N-Glycosylation is a Potent Regulator of Prion Protein Neurotoxicity

Kevin M. Schilling[a], Pooja Jorwal[b], Natalia C. Ubilla-Rodriguez[a], Tufa E. Assafa[a], Jean Gatdula[b], David A. Harris*[b], and Glenn L. Millhauser*[a]

[a] 1156 High Street. Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of California, Santa Cruz, CA, 95064, United States
[b] 72 E. Concord St. Department of Biochemistry, Boston University, Chobanian & Avedisian School of Medicine, Boston, MA, 02118, United States

Correspondence: DA Harris: daharris@bu.edu, or GL Millhauser: glennm@ucsc.edu
+1 617-358-4280 (phone)          +1 831 459 2176 (phone)
+1 617-358-4353 (fax)            +1 831 459 2935 (fax)

**Abstract**

The C-terminal domain of cellular prion protein (PrP$^C$) contains two N-linked glycosylation sites, the occupancy of which impacts disease pathology. In this study, we demonstrate that glycans at these sites are required to maintain an intramolecular interaction with the N-terminal domain, mediated through a previously identified copper-histidine tether, which suppresses the neurotoxic activity of PrP$^C$. NMR and EPR spectroscopy demonstrate that the glycans refine the structure of the protein's interdomain interaction. Using whole-cell patch-clamp electrophysiology, we further show that cultured cells expressing PrP molecules with mutated glycosylation sites display large, spontaneous inward currents, a correlate of PrP-induced neurotoxicity. Our findings establish a structural basis for the role of N-linked glycans in maintaining a non-toxic, physiological fold of PrP$^C$.

**Introduction**

Prion diseases, or Transmissible Spongiform Encephalopathies (TSEs), are a class of fatal, infectious neurodegenerative illnesses caused by the refolding of the endogenous cellular prion protein ($PrP^C$) into a toxic isoform ($PrP^{Sc}$) (1, 2). These diseases, which are closely related to other protein aggregation disorders, including Alzheimer's and Parkinson's diseases, are a threat to cattle, cervids and humans. Biosynthetically mature murine $PrP^C$ is composed of 208 amino acids (residues 23-230), and is post-translationally modified with a C-terminal glycophosphatidylinositol (GPI) anchor and two Asn-linked glycans at residues 180 and 196. The N-terminal segment (residues 23-125, after removal of the signal peptide) exhibits a high degree of flexibility (3). Within this segment is an octapeptide repeat (OR) domain, residues 59-90, composed of the sequence $(PHGGXWGQ)_4$ in mouse PrP (where X is Gly in repeats one and four, Ser in repeats two and three), which binds the divalent ions $Cu^{2+}$ and $Zn^{2+}$ (4-7). The C-terminal domain (residues 126-208), which contains the glycan attachment sites, folds to a characteristic structure composed of three α-helices, numbered one through three, and two anti-parallel β-strands flanking helix 1 (8, 9).

The N-terminal domain of PrP can interact with the lipid bilayer or with other membrane proteins to cause several toxic activities, including membrane leakage, spontaneous ionic currents, and neuronal death (10-14). It has been hypothesized that these activites may be responsible for the neurotoxic effects of prions during the disease process. The activity of the N-terminal, "toxic effector domain" is normally

suppressed by an intramolecular interaction with the globular C-terminal domain (11, 15). Our research has demonstrated that copper binding to the OR segment promotes a stable contact between the two PrP domains that is essential for this regulatory interaction (11, 15, 16).

Extensive mutagenesis, combined with biophysical and electrophysiological experiments provide a detailed structural picture of how the two domains of PrP interact (15). Specifically, copper drives the *cis* interdomain interaction through joint histidine coordination, in which His residues are contributed by each of the two domains (15). The C-terminal histidines (H139 and H176) are presented on a surface largely composed of α-helices two and three (Figure 1). The N-terminal histidines are primarily those in the ORs. Conserved, C-terminal acidic residues (D177, E195, E199, E210), which lie on the same protein surface as the coordinating His residues, further facilitate this interaction. Familial mutations that reduce the negative charge of this patch have been linked to inherited prion diseases (17). These include the highly penetrant E200K mutation (E199K murine sequence), which causes Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease (CJD), and D178N (D177N murine), which causes Fatal Familial Insomnia (FFI) or CJD, depending on polymorphic residue 129 (M or V, respectively).

PrP$^C$'s N-linked glycosylation sites are at asparagines 180 and 196, proximal to the location of many of its C-terminal pathological mutations (18). The protein exists *in vivo* as a mixture of unglycosylated, monoglycosylated, and diglycosylated forms. The glycans vary in their molecular composition and size. Glycosylation

patterns vary between prion strains, which are characterized by differences in incubation time, neuropathology, and clinical symptoms (19-21). For example, the PrP$^{Sc}$ found in two human prion diseases, familial CJD caused by V180I, and variably protease-sensitive prionopathy, is devoid of glycosylation at N181(22).

Glycosylation also affects other properties of PrP$^{C}$ and PrP$^{Sc}$. Glycosylation partially inhibits the aggregation of PrP$^{C}$ into infectious PrP$^{Sc}$, decreasing its toxicity (23, 24). Glycosylation status also modulates cross species transmission – the presence of a glycan at N196 prevents transmission of sporadic human CJD to mice (23). The presence of glycans also modulates the interaction between PrP$^{C}$ and cofactors such as glycosaminoglycans (GAGs). GAGs accelerate prion disease by enhancing the conversion of PrP$^{C}$ into PrP$^{Sc}$ and promoting incorporation of PrP$^{Sc}$ into plaques; glycans reduce PrP binding to GAGs (23, 25). The terminal saccharide in the prion protein's glycans is often sialic acid, which carries a negative charge at physiological pH. This may lead to electrostatic repulsion between copies of PrP, which could explain why sialylation slows the rate of PrP$^{Sc}$ amplification (26-29). Prion strains show selective, strain-specific recruitment of PrP$^{C}$ sialoglycoforms (30, 31). Lastly, the ratios of PrP$^{C}$ glycoforms differ across brain regions, which may partially explain why prion strains have preferences for the regions that they infect (17, 32-34). In summary, the glycosylation status of the prion protein is intricately linked to many aspects of disease status, but the relationship is complicated and poorly understood.

The glycan linked to Asn180 is at a surface site near the middle of helix two, while the glycan at Asn196 extends from a loop connecting helices two and three (Figure 1). These sites are proximal to the C-terminal PrP$^C$ surface containing the His residues that coordinate copper and are key to the *cis* regulatory interaction. Consequently, we deemed it essential to test whether glycosylation modulates C-terminal regulation of the N-terminal toxic effector domain. This is furter motivated by the recognition that certain prion diseases produce PrP$^{Sc}$ with no glycosylation at Asn180, a glycosylation site that is spatially adjacent by one helix turn from His176 (Figure 1).

**Figure 1. A)** Linear diagram of the full-length PrP$^C$. **B)** Ribbon and surface diagrams of the C-terminal domain of the prion protein. Note that the glycans are on the same C-terminal surface as the two histidines implicated copper coordination. Graphics use PDB: 1XYX.

In this paper, we apply both Electron Paramagnetic Resonance (EPR) and Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR) to artificially glycosylated, $^{15}$N labeled, PrP$^C$ to investigate the effects of the glycans on neuroprotective self-regulatory, *cis* interaction. Using EPR, we show that glycans on PrP$^C$ do not significantly inhibit or alter its copper coordination environment. NMR experiments demonstrate that the glycans refine the *cis* interaction, localizing the copper interaction surface to a more focused area on the C-terminus of the protein. Cell trafficking assays show that unglycosylated PrP$^C$ is exported quantitatively to the cell surface. We showed previously that mutation of the C-terminal domain His residues impairs the observed *cis* interaction in unglycosylated PrP$^C$; new data presented here shows that this effect is reversed by glycosylation. Lastly, we find that unglycosylated PrP$^C$ causes cultured cells to produce spontaneous inward cationic currents, a proxy for prion protein induced toxicity. Surprisingly, the magnitude of these currents is similar to that observed for the highly toxic deletion mutant, $\Delta$CR-PrP$^C$. Taken together, these results suggest that the glycans on the surface of the C-terminal domain of the prion protein participate in maintaining a neuroprotective inter-domain interaction, working in tandem with a previously discovered copper-histidine tether.

## Results

## Glycans on the C-terminus of PrP$^C$ do not significantly inhibit its copper binding.

Bacterially expressed proteins lack glycans, while PrP from mammalian sources is heterogeneously glycosylated and cannot be easily isotopically labeled for structural studies. In order to create $^{15}$N labeled, homogenously glycosylated PrP in bacteria, we combined the genetic incorporation of the unnatural amino acid *p*-acetyl-phenylalanine with aminooxy functionalized monosaccharides, coupling them together to form an oxime linkage, as described previously (35, 36). The monosaccharides were then enzymatically extended into trisaccharides using glycosyltransferases to yield the Sial-Gal-GlcNAc trisaccharide moiety (Figure 2).

**Figure 2.** Synthesis scheme for glycosylated PrP. Plotted on PDB: 1XYX. (Adapted from Schilling et al. *J. Org. Chem.* 85:1687-90, 2020)

Continuous wave EPR was used to probe the coordination environment of the copper bound to unglycosylated and artificially glycosylated $PrP^C$ at pH 6.0. Experiments were performed with uniformly $^{15}N$ (I = ½) labeled $PrP^C$, which gives better resolution of nitrogen superhyperfine coupling than protein containing the more abundant $^{14}N$ (I = 1) isotope. Samples contained 1:1 $Cu^{2+}$:protein, which favors so-called component 3 copper coordination, previously characterized as arising from interaction with three or four His imidazole side chains (37). Unglycosylated and glycosylated samples give nearly equivalent $Cu^{2+}$ EPR spectra with no evidence of unbound, aquo copper (Figure 3). The parallel regions of the spectra, between 2600G and 3100G, are an exact match with $g_{\parallel}$ = 2.255 and $A_{\parallel}$ = 570 MHz, as determined from simulations (Figure 3). The values are consistent with component 3, multi-His coordination (37). The perpendicular regions match in multiplicity and field, with a slight difference in line broadening. Simulations show that these spectra are consistent with four equatorial nitrogen ligands (Figure 3). We previously demonstrated that this coordination environment arises from three OR His residues and a His on the C-terminal regulatory surface (15). Overall, these data show that glycans on the C-terminus of $PrP^C$ do not inhibit or significantly alter its copper coordination modes.

**Figure 3.** Continuous wave EPR spectra of $Cu^{2+}$ complexed with WT unglycosylated and artificially glycosylated $PrP^C$, along with a spectral simulation (parameters in the inset).

**Glycans on the C-terminus of the prion protein refine its *cis* interaction.**

To evaluate the respective C-terminal interaction surfaces, we employed $^1$H-$^{15}$N HSQC NMR analysis on glycosylated and unglycosylated $PrP^C$ at pH 6. Divalent copper ($d^9$ electron configuration) possesses an unpaired electron that reduces the NMR signal intensity of nearby nuclei, in a distance-dependent manner, through paramagnetic relaxation enhancement (PRE). Intensities are measured from the 2D $^1$H-$^{15}$N HSQC spectra and used to annotate residues on the $PrP^C$ C-terminal domain. For clarity, only residues from 120 and beyond are displayed. Multiple representations of the data are shown in Figure 4. Figures 4A and 4B are surface

representations noting glycosylation sites (green) and with intensity reduction ranked as zero (gray), weak (light blue) and strong (navy blue). Figure 4C and 4D show the same data plotted on a ribbon diagram. This representation shows clearly that all affected residues are located to helices 2 and 3, consistent with our previous findings. Figures 4E and 4F provide position-dependent intensity ratios ($i/i_o$), allowing a quantitative comparison between the unglycosylated and glycosylated proteins.

We observed that the glycosylated prion protein displayed broadened signals on the C-terminal surface, like the unglycosylated protein, but that this broadening was concentrated to fewer residues and a smaller surface area (Figure 4). Specifically, while the unglycosylated protein had significant line broadening around the areas of both His139 and His176, the broadening in the glycosylated protein was focused mainly around histidine 176. This histidine is in close proximity to the glycan four residues (one helical turn) away, attached at position 180. This suggests that the glycans are not sterically hindering the *cis* interaction but, instead, are localizing the $Cu^{2+}$-OR segment to a more well-defined surface patch, primarily on helix 2.

**Figure 4.** Surface representations, ribbon plots, and bar plots showing areas of wild-type PrP$^C$ engaged in the *cis* interaction, with and without glycans, as measured by the intensity reduction of NMR cross peaks. Plotted on PDB: 1XYX.

**Glycans partially restore *cis* interaction lost by mutation of C-terminal histidines.**

Histidines at positions 139 and 176 on the C-terminal domain of PrP$^C$ coordinate copper together with N-terminal OR histidines, driving a neuroprotective, *cis* interaction through a metal ion molecular tether (15). We found previously that, when these C-terminal His residues are mutated to Tyr, the interaction is compromised, resulting in a much weaker interaction through a patch of negatively charged residues on the C-terminal surface (15). In addition, electrophysiological experiments performed on N2a cells expressing this mutant PrP$^C$ lacking the C-terminal His residues, showed weak spontaneous transmembrane currents, consistent with increased toxicity promoted by a poorly regulated N-terminal domain. By performing $^1$H-$^{15}$N HSQC NMR analysis on both glycosylated and unglycosylated PrP$^C$(H139Y, H176Y) at pH 6, we found that the glycans largely restore the Cu$^{2+}$-promoted line broadening consistent with the interdomain *cis* interaction that is otherwise lost by elimination of the two His residues. Specifically, whereas the unglycosylated wild-type protein has strong copper coordination around both histidines 139 and 176, the glycosylated and histidine-mutated protein has strong copper coordination around the former location of histidine 176 only (Figure 5). This suggests that the *cis* interaction in the cellular version of the protein may be exclusively between the N-terminal histidines and histidine 176, and is assisted by the nearby glycans.

**Figure 5.** Surface representations, ribbon plots, and bar plots showing areas of H139Y, H176Y PrP$^C$ engaged in the *cis* interaction, with and without glycans, as measured by the intensity reduction of NMR cross peaks. Plotted on PDB: 1XYX.

**Glycan elimination causes strong, spontaneous currents in cultured cells**

Deletions in the central region of PrP, such as Δ105-125 (referred to as ΔCR), cause spontaneous, inward cationic currents in cultured cells and primary neurons (38, 39). Coexpression of wild type PrP in cultured cells suppresses these currents, mirroring the ability of coexpressed wild type PrP to suppress the neurotoxicity that accompanies these same mutations in transgenic mice (10). Antibodies that are neurotoxic when injected into the mouse brain also cause spontaneous currents in cultured cells (11). Therefore, the presence of spontaneous currents in cultured cells provides a surrogate readout of neurotoxicity caused by the prion protein. These currents are thought to be due to a weakening or abolishment of the neuroprotective *cis* interaction between the prion protein's N and C-terminal domains, in turn allowing the polybasic N-terminal residues to form transmembrane pores (11, 40). Given our observation that glycans in the C-terminal domain enhanced the structural specificity of the *cis* interaction, we sought to test whether the absence of glycans perturbed the *cis* interaction, thereby causing spontaneous currents in cultured cells.

We created a PrP mutant, N180Q/N196Q, in which Asn residues at the two glycan attachment sites were changed to Gln residues, thereby preventing glycosylation at these sites but preserving the polar carboxamide side chain common to both Asn and Gln. In contrast to mutation of threonine residues in the N-X-T consensus sites, the N/Q mutations eliminate glycosylation but do not cause misfolding of PrP and do not alter its cellular trafficking or localization (41-44). To confirm the latter point, we expressed N180Q/N196Q PrP in N2a cells and used

immunofluorescence staining to assess its cellular distribution. As shown in Figure 6, N180Q/N196Q PrP is localized to the cell surface, similar to WT PrP.

Using whole-cell patch-clamp recording with holding potentials of either -70 mV or -90 mV, we observed spontaneous inward currents for N180Q/N196Q PrP but not for the wild-type control (Figure 7). We compared these currents to ΔCR PrP as a positive control, and H139Y/H176Y PrP as a control that we previously showed produced currents at -90 mV but not at -70 mV (15). These data show that elimination of N-glycosylation causes strong spontaneous currents at -70 mV, quantitatively comparable to those observed for the highly toxic ΔCR PrP. Interestingly, we found little difference between N180Q/N196Q PrP, lacking the glycans, and the quadruple mutant N180Q/N196Q/H139Y/H176Y PrP, which lacks both glycans as well as the C-terminal His residues implicated in copper binding. Collectively, these data indicate that the C-terminal glycans play a significant role in stabilizing the interdomain *cis* interaction, thereby contributing to regulation of the toxic effector function of the N-terminal domain.

**Figure 6.** Cell surface expression of the PrP$^C$ glycosylation mutant in N2a cells. N2a cells in which endogenous PrP expression was eliminated by gene editing were transfected with plasmids encoding WT PrP (**A**) or N180Q/N196Q PrP (**B**), along with an EGFP plasmid as a transfection marker. Cells in (**C**) were transfected with the EGFP plasmid alone. Cells were then fixed without permeabilization and immunostained for PrP using D18 antibody. Images show fluorescence for PrP, EGFP, DAPI, and a merge of all three channels. The results show that N180Q/N196Q PrP is present on the cell surface, similar to WT PrP. Bar, 10 μm.

**Figure 7.** Whole-cell patch-clamp recordings from N2a cells in which endogenous PrP expression was eliminated by gene editing. Cells were untransfected (KO), or were transfected to express the following forms of PrP: Δ105-125 (ΔCR), wild type (WT), H139Y/H176Y, N180Q/N196Q and

H139Y/H176Y/N180Q/N196Q. The holding potential was either -70 mV or -90 mV, as indicated. Bars show mean ± S.E.M. Differences are not significant (ns), or are significant with p<0.01 (double asterisk). Mutation of both N-linked glycosylation sites (N180Q/N196Q) significantly enhanced spontaneous inward currents at a holding poteintial of -70 mV, even in the absence of mutation of the histidine residues (H139Y/H176Y).

## Discussion

In this study, we show that glycans on the C-terminus of $PrP^C$ refine the protein's neuroprotective, copper-driven *cis* interaction, localizing domain-domain contact to a well-defined region on the C-terminal domain. We further show that the presence of the glycans partially reverses loss of this interaction caused by the mutation of C-terminal histidines to tyrosines. Lastly, we find that unglycosylated $PrP^C$ causes cultured cells to produce spontaneous inward currents, a readout that serves as a measure of PrP-induced neurotoxicity. Together, these results suggest that the glycans contribute structurally to auto-regulation in the wild-type protein.

In a previous study, we found that two histidines on the C-terminus of the prion protein drive a neuroprotective *cis* interdomain interaction by tethering the C-terminus to an N-terminally bound copper ion. Here, we find that the PrP glycans also promote an N-C interaction, synergizing with the effect of His Cu coordination. A patch of negatively charged amino acids, located on the same protein surface as the histidines and glycans, is a third contributor (16, 45). More specifically, the cumulative data suggest that His176, acting through copper coordination, glycans at Asn180, and acidic residues D177, E195, E199, E210 act in concert to anchor the toxic effector N-terminal domain to its regulatory site on the C-terminal domain, as shown schematically in Figure 8.

Figure 8 summarizes a qualitative ranking among the various factors that control regulation of the N-terminal, toxic effector domain, as measured by the strength of the spontaneous currents induced by different mutants. Several familial forms of prion disease arise from mutations of acidic, C-terminal residues, including D177N and E199K (D178N and E200K in the human sequence). Previous work with the $Zn^{2+}$-$PrP^C$ complex show that these mutations weaken the metal ion-promoted cis interaction. However, these mutations do not cause spontaneous currents in cultured cells. Next, we found that elimination of the C-terminal histidines, by His→Tyr mutagenesis, results in weak spontaneous currents that are observable only at hyperpolarizing transmembrane voltages of -90 mV. This effect is categorized as being "mildly toxic" (Figure 8). Previous to this present study, extensive investigations with the deletion mutant $PrP^C$(Δ105-125) (ΔCR $PrP^C$), which eliminates a portion of the linker between the toxic effector domain and the regulatory domain, revealed very strong spontaneous currents (38, 39). Moreover, ΔCR $PrP^C$ produces a neonatal lethal phenotype in Tg mice (10). Thus, we categorize this mutant as "toxic." In our previous studies, no other PrP mutant produced spontaneous currents as large as those observed for ΔCR $PrP^C$. Our present finding that the glycosylation mutant N180Q/N196Q produces such large, spontaneous currents is therefore unexpected, and reveals a major role of N-linked glycans in regulating the toxic activity of the N-termimnal domain.

**Figure 8.** Unified model showing three contributors to the *cis* interaction in PrP^C: the negatively charged patch produced by acidic residues in the C-terminal domain (red lines), $Cu^{2+}$ coordinating to His residues in the OR and the regulatory C-terminal domain and glycans in the C-terminal domain (teal ovals). ΔCR PrP^C is the deletion mutant lacking residues 105-125.

How do the C-terminal glycans stabilize the interaction between the N- and C-terminal domains of PrP, and how does this effect synergize with that of copper coordination and binding to the acidic patch? In the absence of glycosylation, both C-terminal histidines (H139 and H176) contribute to copper coordination, as seen by enhanced PRE at the two sites. However, with glycosylation, this interaction shifts preferentially to His176, which is one helical turn away from the glycosylation site at position 180. Thus, the additional glycan moiety at position 180 may provide an enhanced surface area for interaction with the hydrophobic OR domain. Alternatively, it is well-established that protein glycosylation stabilizes protein-protein interactions through charge complementarity. For example, the tetrameric legume soybean

agglutinin exhibits enhanced stability compared to other members of the same protein family by virtue of ionic contacts between its glycans and adjacent amino acid side chains (46). PrP$^C$ possesses two polybasic charge clusters: one at the N-terminus (residues 23-28) and the other between the OR and globular C-terminal domains (residues 100-109). The glycans terminate with negatively charged sialic acid residues, which may interact with these positively charged clusters, thereby stabilizing the folded state. In this case, loss of the glycan chains would destabilize the folded state, freeing the N-terminal domain to assume additional conformations.

Given the strong ionic currents induced by the double glycosylation mutant (N180Q/N196Q), which are similar to those induced by the highly pathogenic ΔCR mutant (Figure 8), it might be predicted that expression of unglycosylated PrP in mice might produce a spontaneous neurodegenerative illness. To our knowledge, however, there are only two published studies featuring transgenic mice expressing PrP glycosylation mutants (47, 48), but both of these involved substitution of Ala for Thr in the N-X-T consensus site, mutations that are known to cause misfolding of PrP (41-43). These mice were analyzed for their susceptibility to prion infection, but no information was provided on the health of uninoculated mice. In light of our findings, it would be interesting to make Tg(N180Q/N196Q) mice, and determine whether they develop spontaneous neurological illness in the absence of prion infection.

**Conclusions**

This work identifies a fundamentally new protective role for glycosylation of PrP$^C$. Structurally, the C-terminal glycans help anchor and regulate interaction of the

toxic, N-terminal effector domain with a regulatory surface on the C-terminal domain. Electrophysiological experiments demonstrated that lack of this glycan-mediated interaction leads to large transmembrane ionic currents, which could compromise cellular function. Thus, PrP$^C$ glycosylation plays a crucial role in the structural and functional properties of PrP.

## Materials and Methods

### Protein Preparation

The protein used for the experiments in this study was produced using a previously published protocol (36). Briefly, plasmids containing the genes for PrP and the necessary machinery to incorporate *p*-acetyl-phenylalanine were transformed into *E.coli*. The bacteria was grown in minimal media with $^{15}$N ammonium chloride and *p*-acetyl-phenylalanine added, producing PrP with two *p*-acetyl-phenylalanine residues at the locations of the glycan attachment points. The protein was purified by nickel affinity chromatography and reverse phase high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC). The protein was allowed to react with aminooxy N-Acetylglucosamine, which attached to the two *p*-acetyl-phenylalanines through oxime linkages. Glycosyltransferases were then used to extend these sugar into trisaccharides, and the protein was once again purified by HPLC. The resulting protein was glycosylated at both residues 180 and 196 with the trisaccharide N-acetylglucosamine, galactose, sialic acid.

### Electron Paramagnetic Resonance Spectroscopy

All samples were made to pH 6.0 in 50 mM 2-(*N*-morpholino)ethanesulfonic acid (MES) buffer (Sigma), using potassium as a counterion. The protein was added to a concentration of 100 μM, and $CuCl_2$ was used at 100 μM. The samples contained 30% glycerol as a cryoprotectant. X-band (9.38 GHz) continuous-wave (CW) EPR spectra were recorded on a Bruker (Billerica, MA) EleXsys E580 spectrometer equipped with a super-high Q resonator (ER4122SHQE). Cryogenic temperatures were achieved with a liquid nitrogen finger dewar and gas flow controller. The spectrometer settings were as follows: temperature = 121 K, conversion time = 41 ms, modulation amplitude = 0.5 mT, modulation frequency = 100 kHz, bridge power = 5 mW, attenuation = 23 dB.

**Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy**

All samples were made to pH 6.0 in 10 mM 2-(*N*-morpholino)ethanesulfonic acid (MES) buffer (Sigma), using potassium as a counterion and containing 10% $D_2O$. For all samples, the protein was added to a concentration of 100 μM. For samples with copper, $CuCl_2$ was used at 100 μM. $^1H$-$^{15}N$ HSQC spectra were recorded at 37 °C on a Bruker 800 MHz spectrometer at the UCSC NMR facility. NMR spectra were analyzed with NMR Pipe and Sparky using assignments transferred from previous experiments by visual inspection, and figures were made using Chimera, R, and python. To determine a cutoff $i/i_0$ value to separate the residues involved in the *cis* interaction from the rest of the protein, we performed a kernel density estimation on the data using a Gaussian smoothing kernel. To eliminate the effects of differential unspecific peak intensity reduction across

mutants, the data were scaled so that the center values of each mutant's group of unaffected peaks were aligned. We divided the residues into three categories based on their $i/i_0$ values: strongly affected (dark blue), weakly affected (light blue) and unaffected (grey). These divisions were created by using the local minimum separating the affected from unaffected residues in the wild-type protein ($i/i_0 = 0.35$), and dividing the affected peaks into two groups ($i/i_0 = 0$ to 0.175, and $i/i_0 = 0.175$ to 0.35).

**Cell Culture**

Mouse N2a cells (CCL-131, from the ATCC) were maintained in Opti-MEM Reduced Serum Medium (Thermo Fisher #31985088) supplemented with 10% (v/v) fetal bovine serum, and 1% (v/v) penicillin/streptomycin (Thermo Fisher #15140122). Cells were maintained at 37 °C in a humidified incubator containing 5% $CO_2$ and were typically passaged every 4 days at a dilution of 1:5.

**Generation of PrP$^{-/-}$ N2a Cells**

Ablation of endogenous PrP expression in N2a cells was done through CRISPR-Cas9 gene editing. N2a.PrP$^{-/-}$ clones were generated using a multi-guide sgRNA system, followed by single cell cloning by limiting dilution. Briefly, three 1.5 nmol dry sgRNAs (Synthego), UCAGUCAUCAUGGCGAACCU, GGGCCAGCAGCCAGUAGCCA, and UCAUGGCGAACCUUGGCUAC were dissolved in 15 μL of nuclease-free 1x TE buffer to make a stock solution of 100 pmol/μL of sgRNA. This was pulse vortexed for 30 seconds and incubated at room temperature for 5 minutes to fully dissolve the sgRNA. A final concentration of 30

pmol/µL of the sgRNAs and 20 pmol/µL of a Cas9 2NLS nuclease (Synthego) were introduced to $1.0 \times 10^6$ cells through electroporation using Lonza's Amaxa Cell Line Nucleofector Kit V protocol (Program T-024). Cells were plated in 6-well dishes for recovery. After 24 hrs. or until 80-90% confluence, the cells were passaged into a T-75 flask (Fisher Scientific, # FB012937) for expansion. After 3-4 days or until confluence, N2a.PrP$^{-/-}$ cells were trypsinized, counted, and diluted to 2.0 cells/mL of medium, and 500 µL were plated in 48-well plates. The plates were monitored for 2 weeks for the presence of single-cell N2a.PrP$^{-/-}$ clones. Selected colonies were expanded sequentially in 12-well and then 6-well plates. N2a.PrP$^{-/-}$ clone candidates were analyzed and validated by Western blot analysis, and using Synthego's Inference of CRISPR Edits (ICE) software. Clones that attained a knockout score of $\geq$ 90% in ICE and showed no trace of endogenous PrP$^C$ expression on Western blots were selected and expanded further. A relatively fast-growing N2a.PrP$^{-/-}$ clone (Clone B5) was used for all experiments.

**Whole-Cell Patch Clamp Experiments**

N2a cells were maintained in DMEM supplemented with nonessential amino acids, 10% fetal bovine serum, and penicillin/streptomycin. Whole-cell patch clamp recordings were done from N2a cells 24-48 hr after transient transfection, using Lipofectamine 2000, with pEGFP-N1 (Clontech) along with pcDNA3.1 vector encoding WT or mutant PrP. The N2a cells used here had been gene-edited using CRISPR-Cas9 to disrupt the endogenous PrP gene. Recordings were done for 5 min durations using standard whole-cell patch-clamp technique (Supporting Information).

Transfected cells were recognized by green florescence. Pipettes were pulled from thick-walled borosilicate glass filament with resistance 3-5 MΩ. Experiments were conducted at room temperature with an external recording solution containing 150 mM NaCl, 4 mM KCl, 2 mM $CaCl_2$, 2 mM $MgCl_2$, 10 mM glucose, and 10 mM HEPES (pH 7.4 with NaOH); and an internal recording solution containing140 mM Cs-glucuronate, 5 mM CsCl, 4 mM MgATP, 1 mM $Na_2GTP$, 10 mM EGTA, and 10 mM HEPES (pH 7.4 with CsOH). Current signals were acquired using a Multiclamp 700B amplifier (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA), digitized with a Digidata 1440 interface (Molecular Devices), and saved to disc for analysis with PClamp 10.7 software.

**Immunofluorescence**

24-48 hrs after transfection with EGFP, WT PrP and mutant PrP plasmids, N2a cells were fixed with 4 % paraformaldehyde in PBS and treated with 0.5% BSA. PrP was detected using D18 antibody and Alexa Fluor 633-conjugated goat anti-human as secondary antibody, and nuclei were stained with DAPI. All images were acquired with a Zeiss LSM 700 confocal microscope under 63x magnification and analyzed using ImageJ.

**Acknowledgements**

We gratefully acknowledge NIH grants R35 GM131781 (to G.L.M.) and R01 NS065244 (to D.A.H.) for financial support, and NIH instrumentation grants S10OD018455 and S10OD024980 for acquisition of the 800 MHz NMR

spectrometer and pulsed EPR spectrometer, respectively. We also thank Drs. K. Sakamoto and S. Yokoyama at the RIKEN Yokohama branch, for providing the B-95.AAAfabR *E.coli* strain, and Amy Freiberg for help with the graphics.

## References

1. Prusiner, S. B. (1982) Novel proteinaceous infectious particles cause scrapie Science **216**, 136-144 papers3://publication/uuid/C38980F7-BE9F-4A81-AFF9-62FB3D52EA10

2. Prusiner, S. B. (1998) Prions Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences **95**, 13363-13383 papers3://publication/doi/10.1073/pnas.95.23.13363

3. Zahn, R. (2003) The octapeptide repeats in mammalian prion protein constitute a pH-dependent folding and aggregation site J Mol Biol **334**, 477-488, http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/query.fcgi?cmd=Retrieve&db=PubMed&dopt=Citation&list_uids=14623188

4. Aronoff-Spencer, E., Burns, C. S., Avdievich, N. I., Gerfen, G. J., Peisach, J., Antholine, W. E. *et al.* (2000) Identification of the $Cu^{2+}$ binding sites in the N-terminal domain of the prion protein by EPR and CD spectroscopy Biochemistry **39**, 13760-13771, http://pubs.acs.org/doi/pdf/10.1021/bi001472t

5. Burns, C. S., Aronoff-Spencer, E., Legname, G., Prusiner, S. B., Antholine, W. E., Gerfen, G. J. *et al.* (2003) Copper coordination in the full-length, recombinant prion protein Biochemistry **42**, 6794-6803, http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/query.fcgi?cmd=Retrieve&db=PubMed&dopt=Citation&list_uids=12779334
http://pubs.acs.org/doi/pdfplus/10.1021/bi027138%2B

6. Millhauser, G. L. (2004) Copper binding in the prion protein Acc Chem Res **37**, 79-85, http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/query.fcgi?cmd=Retrieve&db=PubMed&dopt=Citation&list_uids=14967054

7. Millhauser, G. L. (2007) Copper and the prion protein: methods, structures, function, and disease Annu Rev Phys Chem **58**, 299-320, http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/query.fcgi?cmd=Retrieve&db=PubMed&dopt=Citation&list_uids=17076634

8. James, T. L., Liu, H., Nikolai, B. U., Farr-Jones, S., Zhang, H., Donne, D. G. *et al.* (1997) Solution structure of a 142-residue recombinant prion protein corresponding to the infectious fragment of the scrapie isoform Proc Natl Acad Sci USA **94**, 10086-10091,

9.      Riek, R., Hornemann, S., Wider, G., Billeter, M., Glockshuber, R., andWuthrich, K. (1996) NMR structure of the mouse prion protein domain PrP(121-321) Nature **382**, 180-182,

10.     Li, A., Christensen, H. M., Stewart, L. R., Roth, K. A., Chiesa, R., andHarris, D. A. (2007) Neonatal lethality in transgenic mice expressing prion protein with a deletion of residues 105–125 The EMBO Journal **26**, 548-558 papers3://publication/doi/10.1038/sj.emboj.7601507

11.     Wu, B., McDonald, A. J., Markham, K., Rich, C. B., andMcHugh, K. P. (2017) The N-terminus of the prion protein is a toxic effector regulated by the C-terminus eLife papers3://publication/doi/10.7554/eLife.23473.001

12.     Sonati, T., Reimann, R. R., Falsig, J., Baral, P. K., O'Connor, T., Hornemann, S. *et al.* (2013) The toxicity of antiprion antibodies is mediated by the flexible tail of the prion protein Nature 10.1038/nature12402

13.     Chu, N. K., Shabbir, W., Bove-Fenderson, E., Araman, C., Lemmens-Gruber, R., Harris, D. A. *et al.* (2014) A C-terminal membrane anchor affects the interactions of prion proteins with lipid membranes J Biol Chem **289**, 30144-30160 10.1074/jbc.M114.587345

14.     Shmerling, D., Hegyi, I., Fischer, M., Blattler, T., Brandner, S., Gotz, J. *et al.* (1998) Expression of amino-terminally truncated PrP in the mouse leading to ataxia and specific cerebellar lesions Cell **93**, 203-214 10.1016/s0092-8674(00)81572-x

15.     Schilling, K. M., Tao, L., Wu, B., Kiblen, J. T. M., Ubilla-Rodriguez, N. C., Pushie, M. J. *et al.* (2020) Both N-Terminal and C-Terminal Histidine Residues of the Prion Protein are Essential for Copper Coordination and Neuroprotective Self-Regulation Journal of Molecular Biology 1-38 papers3://publication/doi/10.1016/j.jmb.2020.05.020

16.     Evans, E. G. B., Pushie, M. J., Markham, K. A., Lee, H.-W., andMillhauser, G. L. (2016) Interaction between Prion Protein's Copper-Bound Octarepeat Domain and a Charged C-Terminal Pocket Suggests a Mechanism for N-Terminal Regulation Structure/Folding and Design **24**, 1057-1067 papers3://publication/doi/10.1016/j.str.2016.04.017

17.     Minikel, E. (2013) Prion protein N-linked glycosylation: review and assessment of therapeutic potential CureFFIorg papers3://publication/uuid/A25CAEF2-8EE9-4EAE-980C-2B1C65B349E7

18.     Rudd, P. M., Merry, A. H., Wormald, M. R., andDwek, R. A. (2002) Glycosylation and prion protein Curr Opin Struct Biol **12**, 578-586 papers3://publication/uuid/995EEFD7-6C19-4C98-B0B0-A53F73E1F0D2

19.     Bessen, R. A., Kocisko, D. A., Raymond, G. J., Nandan, S., Lansbury, P. T., andCaughey, B. (1995) Non-genetic propagation of strain-specific properties of scrapie prion protein Nature **375**, 698-700 papers3://publication/doi/10.1038/375698a0

20.     Collinge, J., Sidle, K. C., Meads, J., Ironside, J., andHill, A. F. (1996) Molecular analysis of prion strain variation and the aetiology of 'new variant' CJD Nature **383**, 685-690 papers3://publication/doi/10.1038/383685a0

21. Piro, J. R., Harris, B. T., Nishina, K., Soto, C., Morales, R., Rees, J. R. *et al.* (2009) Prion protein glycosylation is not required for strain-specific neurotropism J Virol **83**, 5321-5328 papers3://publication/doi/10.1128/JVI.02502-08

22. Xiao, X., Yuan, J., Haïk, S., Cali, I., Zhan, Y., Moudjou, M. *et al.* (2013) Glycoform-selective prion formation in sporadic and familial forms of prion disease PLoS ONE **8**, e58786 papers3://publication/doi/10.1371/journal.pone.0058786

23. Aguilar-Calvo, P., Callender, J. A., andSigurdson, C. J. (2021) Short and sweet: How glycans impact prion conversion, cofactor interactions, and cross-species transmission PLoS Pathog **17**, e1009123 papers3://publication/doi/10.1371/journal.ppat.1009123

24. Yi, C.-W., Wang, L.-Q., Huang, J.-J., Pan, K., Chen, J., andLiang, Y. (2018) Glycosylation Significantly Inhibits the Aggregation of Human Prion Protein and Decreases Its Cytotoxicity Nature Publishing Group **8**, 12603 papers3://publication/doi/10.1038/s41598-018-30770-6

25. Aguilar-Calvo, P., Sevillano, A. M., Bapat, J., Soldau, K., Sandoval, D. R., Altmeppen, H. C. *et al.* (2020) Shortening heparan sulfate chains prolongs survival and reduces parenchymal plaques in prion disease caused by mobile, ADAM10-cleaved prions Acta Neuropathol **139**, 527-546 10.1007/s00401-019-02085-x

26. Baskakov, I. V., Katorcha, E., andMakarava, N. (2018) Prion Strain-Specific Structure and Pathology: A View from the Perspective of Glycobiology Viruses **10**, papers3://publication/doi/10.3390/v10120723

27. Katorcha, E., Makarava, N., Savtchenko, R., d Azzo, A., andBaskakov, I. V. (2014) Sialylation of Prion Protein Controls the Rate of Prion Amplification, the Cross-Species Barrier, the Ratio of PrPSc Glycoform and Prion Infectivity PLoS Pathog **10**, e1004366-1004312 papers3://publication/doi/10.1371/journal.ppat.1004366

28. Srivastava, S., Katorcha, E., Daus, M. L., Lasch, P., Beekes, M., andBaskakov, I. V. (2017) Sialylation Controls Prion Fate in Vivo Journal of Biological Chemistry **292**, 2359-2368 papers3://publication/doi/10.1074/jbc.M116.768010

29. Katorcha, E., Daus, M. L., Gonzalez-Montalban, N., Makarava, N., Lasch, P., Beekes, M. *et al.* (2016) Reversible off and on switching of prion infectivity via removing and reinstalling prion sialylation Nature Publishing Group 1-11 papers3://publication/doi/10.1038/srep33119

30. Baskakov, I. V., andKatorcha, E. (2016) Multifaceted Role of Sialylation in Prion Diseases Front Neurosci **10**, 32-15 papers3://publication/doi/10.3389/fnins.2016.00358

31. Makarava, N., andBaskakov, I. V. (2022) Role of sialylation of N-linked glycans in prion pathogenesis Cell Tissue Res papers3://publication/doi/10.1007/s00441-022-03584-2

32.  DeArmond, S. J., Qiu, Y., Sànchez, H., Spilman, P. R., Ninchak-Casey, A., Alonso, D. *et al.* (1999) PrPc glycoform heterogeneity as a function of brain region: implications for selective targeting of neurons by prion strains J Neuropathol Exp Neurol **58**, 1000-1009 papers3://publication/doi/10.1097/00005072-199909000-00010

33.  Kuczius, T., Koch, R., Keyvani, K., Karch, H., Grassi, J., andGroschup, M. H. (2007) Regional and phenotype heterogeneity of cellular prion proteins in the human brain Eur J Neurosci **25**, 2649-2655 papers3://publication/doi/10.1111/j.1460-9568.2007.05518.x

34.  Somerville, R. A. (1999) Host and transmissible spongiform encephalopathy agent strain control glycosylation of PrP J Gen Virol **80 ( Pt 7)**, 1865-1872 papers3://publication/doi/10.1099/0022-1317-80-7-1865

35.  Liu, H., Wang, L., Brock, A., Wong, C.-H., andSchultz, P. G. (2003) A Method for the Generation of Glycoprotein Mimetics J Am Chem Soc **125**, 1702-1703 papers3://publication/doi/10.1021/ja029433n

36.  Schilling, K. M., Ubilla-Rodriguez, N. C., Wells, C. W., andMillhauser, G. L. (2020) Production of Artificially Doubly Glycosylated, 15N Labeled Prion Protein for NMR Studies Using a pH-Scanning Volatile Buffer System J Org Chem **85**, 1687-1690 papers3://publication/doi/10.1021/acs.joc.9b02430

37.  Chattopadhyay, M., Walter, E. D., Newell, D. J., Jackson, P. J., Aronoff-Spencer, E., Peisach, J. *et al.* (2005) The Octarepeat Domain of the Prion Protein Binds Cu(II) with Three Distinct Coordination Modes at pH 7.4 J Am Chem Soc **127**, 12647-12656, http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/query.fcgi?cmd=Retrieve&db=PubMed&dopt=Citation&list_uids=16144413
http://pubs.acs.org/doi/pdfplus/10.1021/ja053254z

38.  Solomon, I. H., Huettner, J. E., andHarris, D. A. (2010) Neurotoxic mutants of the prion protein induce spontaneous ionic currents in cultured cells J Biol Chem **285**, 26719-26726 papers3://publication/doi/10.1074/jbc.M110.134619

39.  Solomon, I. H., Khatri, N., Biasini, E., Massignan, T., Huettner, J. E., andHarris, D. A. (2011) An N-terminal polybasic domain and cell surface localization are required for mutant prion protein toxicity J Biol Chem **286**, 14724-14736 10.1074/jbc.M110.214973

40.  McDonald, A. J., Leon, D. R., Markham, K. A., Wu, B., Heckendorf, C. F., Schilling, K. *et al.* (2019) Altered Domain Structure of the Prion Protein Caused by Cu2+ Binding and Functionally Relevant Mutations: Analysis by Cross-Linking, MS/MS, and NMR Structure/Folding and Design **27**, 907-922.e905 papers3://publication/doi/10.1016/j.str.2019.03.008

41.  Capellari, S., Zaidi, S. I., Long, A. C., Kwon, E. E., andPetersen, R. B. (2000) The Thr183Ala Mutation, Not the Loss of the First Glycosylation Site, Alters the Physical Properties of the Prion Protein J Alzheimers Dis **2**, 27-35 10.3233/jad-2000-2104

42.  Korth, C., Kaneko, K., andPrusiner, S. B. (2000) Expression of unglycosylated mutated prion protein facilitates PrP(Sc) formation in

neuroblastoma cells infected with different prion strains J Gen Virol **81**, 2555-2563 10.1099/0022-1317-81-10-2555

43. Lehmann, S., andHarris, D. A. (1997) Blockade of glycosylation promotes acquisition of scrapie-like properties by the prion protein in cultured cells J Biol Chem **272**, 21479-21487 10.1074/jbc.272.34.21479

44. Linsenmeier, L., Mohammadi, B., Wetzel, S., Puig, B., Jackson, W. S., Hartmann, A. *et al.* (2018) Structural and mechanistic aspects influencing the ADAM10-mediated shedding of the prion protein Mol Neurodegener **13**, 18 10.1186/s13024-018-0248-6

45. Spevacek, A. R., Evans, E. G. B., Miller, J. L., Meyer, H. C., Pelton, J. G., andMillhauser, G. L. (2013) Zinc drives a tertiary fold in the prion protein with familial disease mutation sites at the interface Structure **21**, 236-246 papers3://publication/doi/10.1016/j.str.2012.12.002

46. Sinha, S., Mitra, N., Kumar, G., Bajaj, K., andSurolia, A. (2005) Unfolding studies on soybean agglutinin and concanavalin a tetramers: a comparative account Biophys J **88**, 1300-1310 10.1529/biophysj.104.051052

47. Neuendorf, E., Weber, A., Saalmueller, A., Schatzl, H., Reifenberg, K., Pfaff, E. *et al.* (2004) Glycosylation deficiency at either one of the two glycan attachment sites of cellular prion protein preserves susceptibility to bovine spongiform encephalopathy and scrapie infections J Biol Chem **279**, 53306-53316 10.1074/jbc.M410796200

48. DeArmond, S. J., Sanchez, H., Yehiely, F., Qiu, Y., Ninchak-Casey, A., Daggett, V. *et al.* (1997) Selective neuronal targeting in prion disease Neuron **19**, 1337-1348 10.1016/s0896-6273(00)80424-9