A dynamic bactofilin cytoskeleton recruits an M23 endopeptidase to control bacterial morphogenesis

Manuel Osorio-Valeriano[1,2,#,*], Emöke Cserti[1,*], Sebastian Pöhl[1], Rogelio Hernández-Tamayo[3,4], Jacob Biboy[5], Patrick Sobetzko[4,§], Waldemar Vollmer[5], Peter L. Graumann[3,4], Martin Thanbichler[1,2,4]

[1] Department of Biology, University of Marburg, Marburg, Germany
[2] Max Planck Institute for Terrestrial Microbiology, Marburg, Germany
[3] Department of Chemistry, University of Marburg, Marburg, Germany
[4] Center for Synthetic Microbiology (SYNMIKRO), Marburg, Germany
[5] Centre for Bacterial Cell Biology, Institute for Cell and Molecular Biosciences, Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne, United Kingdom

[#] Present address: Department of Cell Biology, Blavatnik Institute, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA.
[§] Present address: Faculty of Sciences and Technologies, Université de Lorraine, Nancy, France.

* These authors contributed equally to this work.

Address correspondence to
Martin Thanbichler (thanbichler@uni-marburg.de)

Keywords
Cell wall, peptidoglycan, cell shape, autolysin, LytM domain, bacterial cell division, divisome, elongasome

Abstract

Bactofilins have emerged as a widespread family of cytoskeletal proteins with important roles in bacterial morphogenesis, but their precise mode of action is still incompletely understood. Here, we identify the bactofilin cytoskeleton as a key regulator of cell growth in the stalked budding alphaproteobacterium *Hyphomonas neptunium*. We show that in this species, the lack of bactofilins causes severe morphological defects, resulting from unconstrained growth of the stalk and bud compartments. In line with this finding, bactofilin polymers localize dynamically to the stalk base and then to the incipient bud neck prior to the onset of bud formation, suggesting that they act as a barrier that retains the cell wall biosynthetic machinery in the respective growth zones. Notably, in a broad range of species, bactofilin genes lie adjacent to genes encoding cell wall hydrolases of the M23 peptidase family. We show that the corresponding *H. neptunium* endopeptidase, LmdC, is a bitopic membrane protein with peptidoglycan hydrolase activity that colocalizes with the bactofilin cytoskeleton, dependent on a direct interaction of its cytoplasmic tail with the bactofilin cytoskeleton. A functional association of bactofilins with M23 peptidases is further verified by studies of the spiral-shaped alphaproteobacterium *Rhodospirillum rubrum*, whose bactofilin and LmdC homologs colocalize at the inner cell curvature, forming a complex that modulates the degree of cell helicity. These findings indicate that bactofilins and M23 peptidases form a conserved functional module that is critical for cell shape determination in morphologically complex bacteria.

Introduction

Bacteria come in a variety of different cell shapes, which can be further modified by the formation of
cellular extensions such as branches or stalks (Kysela et al., 2016; Yang et al., 2016). Their morphology can
change as a function of the cell cycle or in response to environmental cues to ensure optimal fitness in
the given ecological niche or growth conditions (van Teeseling et al., 2017; Yang et al., 2016). In the vast
majority of species, cell shape is determined by the peptidoglycan cell wall, a complex macromolecule
composed of glycan chains that are crosslinked by short peptides (Typas et al., 2012; Vollmer et al., 2008).
The synthesis of this mesh-like structure is achieved by an array of synthetic and lytic enzymes that are
typically combined into multi-protein complexes and associated with regulatory factors and cytoskeletal
elements to facilitate the coordination and spatiotemporal regulation of their activities (Egan et al., 2020;
Rohs and Bernhardt, 2021).

Basic spherical, rod-like and hyphal shapes are generated by the combined action of the cell division and
cell elongation machinery (Margolin, 2009; Rohs and Bernhardt, 2021). In most bacteria, cell division is
executed by the divisome, which is organized by the tubulin homolog FtsZ and mediates cell constriction
and the synthesis of the new cell poles prior to cytokinesis (McQuillen and Xiao, 2020). Cell elongation, by
contrast, can be achieved by various types of cell wall-biosynthetic complexes, including the so-called
elongasome, which is organized by the actin homolog MreB and mediates the dispersed incorporation of
new cell wall material along the lateral cell walls (Shi et al., 2018), or different cell pole-associated com-
plexes that promote polar growth of the cell body (Brown et al., 2011). More complex cell shapes are
generated with the help of accessory systems that either modulate the activity of the generic cell elon-
gation machinery or have peptidoglycan biosynthetic activity on their own, thereby locally modifying the
structure of the peptidoglycan layer (Taylor et al., 2019).

A particularly widespread family of cytoskeletal proteins implicated in cell shape modification are the
bactofilins. They are characterized by a conserved central Bactofilin A/B domain (InterPro ID: IPR007607;
Paysan-Lafosse et al., 2022) with a barrel-like β-helical fold that is typically flanked by short disordered
terminal regions (Kühn et al., 2010; Shi et al., 2015; Vasa et al., 2015). Bactofilins polymerize spontane-
ously without the need for nucleotide cofactors (Koch et al., 2011; Kühn et al., 2010), driven by head-to-
head and tail-to-tail interactions between the core domains of neighboring molecules (Deng et al., 2019).
Lateral interactions between individual protofilaments can then give rise to higher-order assemblies, such
as bundles or two-dimensional sheets (Kühn et al., 2010; Vasa et al., 2015; Zuckerman et al., 2015).
Previous work has suggested that bactofilin polymers typically associate with the inner face of the cyto-

plasmic membrane and localize to regions of high membrane curvature (Caccamo et al., 2020; Hay et al.,

1999; Kühn et al., 2010; Lin et al., 2017; Taylor et al., 2020). These structures have been co-opted as

localization determinants and assembly platforms by several different morphogenetic systems.

For instance, bactofilin homologs were reported to contribute to rod-shape maintenance in *Myxococcus*

xanthus (Koch et al., 2011), the establishment of helical cell shape in the human pathogen *Helicobacter*

pylori (Sycuro et al., 2010; Taylor et al., 2020) as well as the modulation of cell helicity in the spiral-shaped

bacterium *Leptospira biflexa* (Jackson et al., 2018). Apart from modulating general cell shape, they were

found to have an important role in the formation of cellular extensions known as stalks, which are wide-

spread among alphaproteobacterial species (Wagner and Brun, 2007). Stalks are elongated protrusions of

the cell envelope that are filled with a thin thread of cytoplasm and grow through zonal incorporation of

cell wall material at their base (Aaron et al., 2007; Randich and Brun, 2015). In *Caulobacter crescentus* and

Asticcacaulis biprosthecum, bactofilin polymers were shown to localize to the stalk base to direct proper

stalk formation. In *C. crescentus*, they recruit a cell wall synthase that contributes to stalk elongation, with

their absence leading to a reduction in stalk length (Kühn et al., 2010). In *A. biprosthecum*, by contrast,

bactofilin acts as a central topological regulator that is required to efficiently initiate stalk formation and

limit peptidoglycan biosynthesis to the stalk base. Its absence leads to the development of pseudostalks,

which are much shorter and wider than normal stalks and irregularly shaped, likely due to unrestrained

peptidoglycan biosynthesis through the entire stalk envelope (Caccamo et al., 2020).

While stalks are often accessory structures with highly specialized functions (Klein et al., 2013; Persat et

al., 2014; Wagner and Brun, 2007), stalked budding bacteria such as *Hyphomonas neptunium* and other

members of the *Hyphomonadaceae* and *Hyphomicrobiaceae* use them as integral parts of the cell with

key roles in cell growth and division (Moore, 1981). *H. neptunium* has a biphasic life cycle (Wali et al.,

1980), in which a non-replicative, motile swimmer cell sheds its single polar flagellum and differentiates

into a replicative, sessile stalked cell (**Figure 1—figure supplement 1**). Unlike most widely studied model

species, it does not divide by binary fission but instead produces new offspring through the formation of

buds at the tip of the stalk. As the terminal stalk segment gradually dilates, a flagellum is formed at the

pole opposite the stalk. After DNA replication and translocation of one of the sister chromosomes through

the stalk into the bud compartment (Jung et al., 2019), cytokinesis occurs at the bud neck, releasing a new

swimmer cell. While the newborn cell first needs to differentiate into stalked cells to start replication, the

stalked mother cell restores the stalk and then immediately re-enters the next budding cycle (Jung et al.,

2019; Wali et al., 1980). The developmental program of *H. neptunium* involves several switches in the

pattern of peptidoglycan biosynthesis (Cserti et al., 2017). After birth, swimmer cells increase in size by

dispersed incorporation of new cell wall material throughout the cell body. Stalk formation is then

achieved by zonal growth at the stalk base, followed by localized dispersed growth of the stalk-terminal

bud compartment and, finally, zonal peptidoglycan synthesis at the site of cell division. The pattern of

new cell wall synthesis is similar to the localization pattern of elongasome components (Cserti et al., 2017),

suggesting the involvement of this machinery in all growth phases. However, the underlying regulatory

mechanisms are still unknown.

In this study, we identify the bactofilin cytoskeleton as a central player in the regulation of cell growth in

H. neptunium. We show that in this organism bactofilin polymers localize dynamically to the stalk base

and the bud neck, with their absence leading to unconstrained growth of the stalk and bud compartments,

indicating a central role in the spatial regulation of cell wall biosynthesis. Interestingly, database searches

reveal that in a range of different species bactofilin genes are clustered with genes for cell wall hydrolases

of the M23 peptidase family, suggesting a functional connection between these two types of proteins. We

find that the *H. neptunium* M23 peptidase homolog LmdC indeed consistently colocalizes with the bacto-

filin cytoskeleton *in vivo* and interacts directly with bactofilin *in vitro*. Studies in the spiral-shaped alpha-

proteobacterium *Rhodospirillum rubrum* again reveal a close association of its bactofilin and LmdC homo-

logs, which colocalize in regions of positive inner cell curvature and are both required to ensure proper

cell helicity. Collectively, these demonstrate a conserved functional interaction between bactofilins and

M23 peptidases that is important for the control of cell growth in morphologically complex bacteria.

Results

The bactofilin cytoskeleton is required for cell shape determination in _H. neptunium_

The _H. neptunium_ chromosome contains two open reading frames that encode bactofilin homologs, HNE_0444 and HNE_2629 (Badger et al., 2006). Reciprocal BLAST analysis using the two bactofilins described in the close relative _C. crescentus_ identified HNE_2629 as a potential ortholog of _C. crescentus_ BacA (43% identity, 60% similarity). By contrast, HNE_0444 was only distantly related (<30% identity) to either of the two proteins. Based on these results, we propose to designate the two bactofilin homologs of _H. neptunium_ BacA (HNE_2629) and BacD (HNE_0444), respectively. _bacA_ forms a putative bicistronic operon with _lmdC_, an essential gene encoding an M23 peptidase homolog (Cserti et al., 2017) (**Figure 1A**). The two genes overlap by 17 base pairs, suggesting that their expression is closely coupled. _bacD_, by contrast, is not part of an operon. Both BacA and BacD display the typical architecture of bactofilins, with a central polymerization domain flanked by short non-structured N- and C-terminal regions (**Figure 1B**).

To investigate the role of bactofilins in _H. neptunium_, we generated mutant strains in which _bacA_ and _bacD_ were deleted either individually or in combination. Upon light and electron microscopic analysis, Δ_bacA_ and Δ_bacAD_ cells showed severe morphological defects, as reflected by irregularly shaped, elongated and/or oversized cells, buds directly fused with the mother cell body, branched stalks, and multiple wide protrusions that emerged from the cells in an apparently random fashion (**Figures 1C-F**), reminiscent of the pseudostalks reported for a bactofilin-deficient _A. biprosthecum_ mutant (Caccamo et al., 2020). The wild-type phenotype could be largely restored by expressing an ectopic copy of _bacA_ under the control of a copper-inducible promoter, even though BacA accumulated to lower-than-normal levels under this condition (**Figure 1—figure supplement 2A-C**), confirming the absence of polar effects. The deletion of _bacD_, by contrast, did not cause any obvious cell shape defects (**Figures 1C,F** and **Figure 1—figure supplement 2D**). Moreover, neither _bacD_ deletion (**Figure 1C,F**) nor _bacD_ overexpression (**Figure 1—figure supplement 2C,E**) had any influence on the proportion of distorted or amorphous cells in the Δ_bacA_ background. These results demonstrate that BacA has a critical role in the regulation of cell growth in _H. neptunium_, whereas BacD might be an auxiliary factor of so-far unknown function, similar to BacB in _C. crescentus_.

To obtain more insight into the dynamics of cell growth in the Δ_bacA_ mutant and identify the initial phenotypic defects induced upon BacA depletion, we imaged a conditional _bacA_ mutant after its transfer from permissive to restrictive conditions on an agarose pad (**Figure 2A,B**). Following cells at the swimmer-to-stalked cell transition, we observed that stalk formation initially proceeded as in the wild-type strain.

However, as BacA was gradually depleted, stalk elongation ceased and the stalk structure started to widen

and eventually develop multiple bulges that kept on expanding in an apparently uncontrolled manner.

Thus, BacA appears to be required to maintain the polar growth zone at the stalk base, with its absence

leading to unconstrained growth of the stalk cell wall. To follow the fate of bactofilin-deficient cells over

a prolonged period of time, we monitored Δ*bacAD* cells in a flow-cell system, which ensured optimal

nutrient supply throughout the course of the experiment and led to a looser packing of cells, thereby

facilitating their visual analysis (**Figure 2—figure supplement 1** and **Video 1**). In this setup, cells again

started growth by the formation of irregularly shaped stalks that started to branch, with branches deve-

loping either into extensive hyphal-like structures or large, amorphous compartments that may represent

morphologically aberrant buds. At irregular intervals, cells divided at the junctions between hyphal and

bulged cellular segments, releasing smaller amorphous fragments and, occasionally, also cells with close-

to-normal morphology. Wild-type cells, by contrast, showed the usual growth pattern when cultivated

under these conditions (**Video 2**). Together, these results underscore the importance of BacA in the

spatiotemporal control of cell growth in *H. neptunium*.

To obtain more detailed information about the dynamics of cell wall biosynthesis in the absence of bacto-

filins, we performed pulse-labeling studies with the fluorescent D-amino acid HADA, which is rapidly incor-

porated into peptidoglycan when added to the culture medium, thus marking regions of ongoing cell wall

biosynthesis (Kuru et al., 2015). Wild-type cells showed the typical succession of growth modes, with

dispersed growth in swimmer cells, zonal growth at the stalk base in stalked cells and localized dispersed

growth in the nascent bud (**Figure 2C**). In the Δ*bacAD* background, by contrast, this switch in the growth

modes was abolished. Cells with close-to-normal morphology that had just initiated stalk formation still

showed a distinct fluorescent focus at the stalked pole, suggesting that the initial recruitment of the

machinery responsible for stalk formation occurred in a bactofilin-independent manner. However, all

other cell types, including amorphous cells with aberrant stalk- and bud-like extensions, only displayed

diffuse fluorescence, which points to uncontrolled growth through dispersed incorporation of new pepti-

doglycan throughout the entire cell envelope. These findings support the notion that the bactofilin cyto-

skeleton is required to limit cell wall biosynthesis to the different growth zones of *H. neptunium*.

Bactofilins localize dynamically to the boundaries of the *H. neptunium* growth zones

Despite their severe cell shape defects, the Δ*bacA* and Δ*bacAD* mutants showed only a moderate decrease

in their apparent growth rates (**Figure 2—figure supplement 2A**), and the global composition of their

peptidoglycan remained essentially unchanged (**Figure 2—figure supplement 2B** and **Dataset 1**). These

findings suggested that BacA might affect cell wall composition at a local scale or act mainly by modulating

the activity of the generic cell elongation machinery. To further investigate the role of bactofilins in *H. nep-*

tunium, we first aimed to verify the ability of the proteins to assemble into polymeric scaffolds. A model

of the structure of BacA generated with AlphaFold-Multimer (Evans et al., 2022) suggested that the

protein adopted a β-helical fold and polymerized through head-to-head and tail-to-tail interactions among

different subunits (**Figure 3A**), as revealed previously in experimental studies (Deng et al., 2019; Shi et al.,

2015). Consistent with this prediction, purified BacA produced a mixture of long filaments, filament

bundles and two-dimensional polymeric sheets *in vitro*, which could be readily visualized by transmission

electron microscopy (**Figure 3B**). Moreover, upon heterologous co-expression in *Escherichia coli*, fluores-

cently tagged derivatives of BacA and BacD colocalized into extended filamentous structures that were

associated with the cell envelope (**Figure 3C**). These results confirm the polymeric nature of bactofilin

assemblies in *H. neptunium* and suggest that BacA and BacD interact with each other *in vivo*.

Next, we sought to investigate the localization dynamics of the bactofilin cytoskeleton *in vivo*. To this end,

we generated strains producing fluorescently tagged BacA or BacD derivatives in place of the native pro-

teins (**Figure 4—figure supplement 1**). Time-lapse microscopy analysis of cells producing a BacA-YFP

fusion on agarose pads (**Figure 4A**) revealed that the protein was localized to the new cell pole in swimmer

cells and remained associated with the stalk base during the initial phase of stalk formation. At some

point, it appeared to attach to the stalk structure and then move away from the base as new cell wall

material was inserted. Subsequently, stalk elongation ceased and the terminal stalk segment, delimited

by the bactofilin assembly, started to swell and develop into a bud, gradually displacing BacA-YFP in the

direction of the stalk base as its size increased. After cell division, both the mother cell and the newborn

swimmer cells showed a fluorescent focus, indicating that the bactofilin assembly was split during cell

division. To monitor the dynamics of the bactofilin cytoskeleton over multiple division cycles, we analyzed

the same strain in a flow-cell system (**Figure 4—figure supplement 2** and **Videos 3-5**). Under these condi-

tions, the small, newborn swimmer cells were washed away immediately after cytokinesis, preventing the

formation of microcolonies around the mother cell. We observed that cell division occurred at a small

distance from the BacA-YFP focus, leaving a short stalk-terminal segment in between the bactofilin assem-

bly and the stalk tip. After cytokinesis, the stalk elongated again prior to the initiation of the next budding

event. Notably, BacA-YFP was only occasionally detected at the stalked pole during the stalk restoration

phase, suggesting that the bactofilin cytoskeleton no longer plays a major role in stalk growth once the

stalk structure has been established. To verify the behavior observed in the time-lapse studies, we per-

formed a population-wide analysis of the BacA-YFP localization pattern, based on snap-shot images of

exponentially growing cells. A demographic analysis confirmed that the protein localizes to the new cell

pole in swimmer cells, remains at the stalk base in cells with short stalks and then moves to a position

close to the distal end of the stalk before the onset of budding, remaining associated with the bud neck

up to the point of cell division (**Figure 4B**). A very similar behavior was observed for a BacD-Venus fusion

(**Figure 4C**), consistent with the notion that the two bactofilin paralogs interact. In support of this hypo-

thesis, studies of a strain in which both proteins were fluorescently labeled showed that BacA and BacD

indeed colocalized at all stages of the developmental cycle (**Figures 4D** and **Figure 4—figure supplement**

3A). In the absence of BacA, BacD-Venus still formed distinct foci, albeit at apparently random positions

within the cell (**Figure 4—figure supplement 3B**). Notably, a BacA-YFP variant carrying a previously

reported mutation that disrupts the polymerization interface (F130R) (Deng et al., 2019; Vasa et al., 2015;

Zuckerman et al., 2015) was evenly distributed within the cell and unable to functionally replace the wild-

type protein, indicating that the formation of polymeric assemblies is essential for proper BacA locali-

zation and function (**Figure 4—figure supplement 4**). Given the localization of the bactofilin assemblies to

the stalk and bud boundaries and the unconstrained growth of these cellular structures in bactofilin-

deficient strains, we hypothesize that the bactofilin cytoskeleton has a critical role in limiting the cell wall

biosynthetic machinery to the different growth zones of *H. neptunium*.

The assembly state of BacA changes at different stages of *H. neptunium* development

Since bactofilins form highly stable polymers *in vitro* (Kühn et al., 2010; Zuckerman et al., 2015), the

dynamic localization observed for BacA and BacD was unexpected. To further characterize the dynamics

of the bactofilin cytoskeleton in *H. neptunium*, we followed the movement of individual BacA-YFP mole-

cules in swimmer, stalked and budding cells. First, we used single-molecule tracking data to generate high-

resolution images of the bactofilin assemblies in each of the three cell types. The results confirmed the

cell cycle-dependent localization patterns observed by widefield fluorescence microscopy (**Figure 5A**). In

addition, they revealed clusters in medial regions of the stalk, which may represent transient polymers

formed during the reshuffling of bactofilin molecules between the stalk base and the (incipient) bud neck.

When calculating the average mean squared displacement of the tracked BacA-YFP molecules, we found

that their mobility decreased gradually from the swimmer over the stalked cell to the budding cell stage

(**Figure 5B**). For each cell type, the distribution of step sizes in the single-particle tracks suggests the exis-

tence of two distinct diffusion regimes, with a static (D= 0.02 ± 0.0004 μm^2 s^{-1}) and a mobile (D= 0.35 ±

0.004 μm^2 s^{-1}) population, likely representing the polymerized and freely diffusible states, respectively

(**Figures 5C** and **Figure 5—figure supplement 1**). In swimmer cells, the static fraction comprised only ~60%

of the molecules. Its proportion increased to ~70% in stalked cells and finally reached ~80% in budding

cells. The F130R variant, by contrast, showed less than 15% of static molecules, consistent with the notion

that it is impaired in polymerization but still able to assemble under certain conditions after undergoing a

structural change that generates an alternative polymerization interface (Deng et al., 2019). Collectively,

these results show that, in *H. neptunium*, the assembly state of the bactofilin cytoskeleton changes as

cells progress through their developmental cycle. Moreover, despite the inherent stability of bactofilin

polymers, all cell types display a sizeable fraction of mobile BacA-YFP molecules, which could potentially

reflect the dynamic reorganization of bactofilin assemblies during cell growth.

Bactofilin genes are often clustered with genes encoding M23 peptidases

After identifying a critical role of BacA in *H. neptunium* morphogenesis, we set out to gain further insight

into its mechanism of action. Notably, in several species in which bactofilins play a role in cell shape deter-

mination, the corresponding bactofilin genes are located adjacent to genes encoding a putative M23

peptidase homologous to LmdC (**Figure 6A**). To determine whether this gene arrangement was more

widely conserved, we performed a comprehensive bioinformatic analysis in which we searched all bac-

terial genome sequences available for putative bactofilin genes that were located immediately upstream

or downstream of open reading frames encoding proteins with a predicted M23 peptidase domain. This

analysis identified a total of 226 species from a wide variety of bacterial phyla (**Figure 6B** and **Dataset 2**),

suggesting a conserved functional association between bactofilins and M23 peptidases.

The M23 peptidase LmdC of *H. neptunium* has peptidoglycan hydrolase activity

To clarify whether LmdC was also required for proper growth in *H. neptunium*, we aimed to generate a

mutant strain lacking LmdC activity. However, all attempts to delete the *lmdC* gene or the entire putative

lmdC-bacA operon or to generate non-functional truncated *lmdC* alleles were unsuccessful, in line with a

previous report suggesting that *lmdC* is essential for viability (Cserti et al., 2017). It was also not possible

to generate a conditional *lmdC* mutant producing the gene under the control of a copper-inducible pro-

moter, suggesting that its expression level needs to be precisely regulated.

Given that LmdC apparently had a critical role in *H. neptunium* growth, we went on to investigate the

physiological role of this protein. LmdC is a predicted bitopic membrane protein with a short N-terminal

cytoplasmic region, a transmembrane helix, large periplasmic region composed of a predicted coiled-coil

domain, and a C-terminal M23 peptidase domain (**Figure 7A**). Members of the M23 peptidase family

usually have Zn^+-dependent hydrolase activity and cleave bonds within the peptide side chains of in the

peptidoglycan meshwork (Firczuk et al., 2005; Grabowska et al., 2015). However, there are also various

representatives that have lost their enzymatic activity because of mutations in residues required for metal

cofactor binding and have instead adopted regulatory roles in cell wall biosynthesis (Figueroa-Cuilan et
al., 2021; Goley et al., 2010; Gurnani Serrano et al., 2021; Möll et al., 2010; Poggio et al., 2010; Uehara et
al., 2010). An amino acid alignment showed that the M23 peptidase domain of LmdC features all residues
reported to be critical for Zn^{2+} binding, suggesting that it could act as a genuine peptidogylcan hydrolase
(**Figure 7B**). In a structural model of LmdC generated with AlphaFold2 (Jumper et al., 2021), the peri-
plasmic coiled-coil and M23 peptidase domains form an elongated, rigid unit with two flanking non-
structured regions that is flexibly linked to the transmembrane helix (**Figure 7C**). Notably, LmdC homologs
were shown to form a distinct clade among the M23 peptidases that is broadly conserved among species
but particularly enriched in alpha- and deltaproteobacteria (Figueroa-Cuilan et al., 2021).

To clarify whether LmdC was indeed catalytically active, we purified a C-terminal fragment of the protein
including the M23 peptidase domain and assayed its activity *in vitro*. Peptidoglycan from *H. neptunium*
shows only a low degree of cross-linkage and hardly any pentapeptides (Cserti et al., 2017). We therefore
used normally crosslinked, pentapeptide-enriched peptidoglycan from *E. coli* strain D456 (lacking PBPs 4,
5 and 6) (Edwards and Donachie, 1993) as a substrate to enable a comprehensive analysis of the cleavage
specificity of LmdC. Upon treatment with the protein, the proportion of dimeric muropeptide species
strongly decreased, regardless of the length of the crosslinked peptides and without the formation of
major additional monomer peaks (**Figure 7D**). The activity of LmdC was particularly high at a pH value of
5, but the physiological significance of this effect remains to be determined. Collectively, these results
demonstrate that LmdC is a DD-endopeptidase cleaving the bond between the meso-diaminopimelic acid
residue of one peptide and D-alanine at position 4 of the other peptide, thereby reducing the degree of
cross-linkage within the peptidogycan layer (**Figure 7E**).

LmdC associates with the bactofilin cytoskeleton in *H. neptunium*
To further investigate whether the peptidoglycan hydrolase LmdC was functionally linked to bactofilins in
H. neptunium, we set out to conduct *in vivo* co-localization studies. All attempts to generate fluorescently
labeled variants of full-length LmdC failed, because the fluorescent protein tags were cleaved off after
synthesis of the fusion proteins. However, we reasoned that in case LmdC was associated with the cyto-
plasmic bactofilin cytoskeleton, its recruitment might be mediated by its N-terminal cytoplasmic region,
which is predicted to fold into a short α-helix followed by a conspicuous β-hairpin (**Figure 7C**). To colocalize
the two proteins, we therefore generated a truncated reporter construct comprising only the N-terminal
cytoplasmic part and the transmembrane helix of LmdC fused to the red fluorescent protein mCherry
(Shaner et al., 2004) (**Figure 8A**). When produced in a *bacA-yfp* background, the fusion protein (LmdC^N-

mCherry) formed tight foci that perfectly colocalized with BacA-YFP and showed the characteristic cell cycle-dependent localization pattern observed for the bactofilin assemblies (**Figures 8B,C**). This result suggests that the N-terminal region of LmdC indeed associates with the bactofilin cytoskeleton, most likely through interaction with its key component BacA. To further validate this hypothesis, we analyzed the interaction between LmdC and BacA *in vitro* by biolayer interferometry. To this end, a synthetic peptide comprising the cytoplasmic region of LmdC (amino acids 1-38) was immobilized on a biosensor and probed with increasing concentrations of purified BacA protein. The results showed that BacA interacts with the LmdC peptide with an apparent equilibrium dissociation constant (K_D) of ~15 µM. By contrast, an unrelated peptide used as a negative control was not bound with appreciable affinity (**Figures 8D,E**). This result confirms a direct interaction between BacA and LmdC and identifies the N-terminal cytoplasmic region of LmdC as the interaction determinant for BacA association. Notably, however, LmdC^N-mCherry still formed foci in bactofilin-deficient cells, albeit at apparently random positions, suggesting that the protein can assemble into larger complexes independently of its interaction with BacA (**Figure 8—figure supplement 1**).

R. rubrum BacA recruits LmdC to the inner cell curvature to modulate spiral cell shape

Our bioinformatic analysis suggests that bactofilins and M23 peptidases may be functionally associated in a large number of species. To further explore this possibility, we turned our efforts to the spiral-shaped bacterium *Rhodospirillum rubrum*, which contains a putative *lmdC-bacA* operon similar to that in *H. neptunium* (Munk et al., 2011) (**Figure 9A**). The *R. rubrum* BacA homolog Rru_A1867 (BacA$_{Rs}$) is 37% identical (57% similar) to BacA of *H. neptunium* and also consists of a central Bactofilin A/B domain flanked by terminal non-structured regions (**Figure 9B**). The LmdC homolog Rru_A1868 (LmdC$_{Rs}$) is 39% identical (55% similar) to *H. neptunium* LmdC and has a similar predicted molecular structure (Varadi et al., 2022). The deletion of *bacA$_{Rs}$* led to a noticeable increase in cell curvature (**Figures 9C** and **Figure 9—figure supplement 1A**), as also reflected in a significant increase in cell sinuosity (**Figure 9D**). A very similar effect was observed for cells lacking *lmdC$_{Rs}$* or both *bacA$_{Rs}$* and lmdC$_{Rs}$, indicating that the two gene products act in the same pathway and jointly contribute to cell shape maintenance in *R. rubrum* (**Figures 9C,D and Figure 1—figure supplement 1A**). In the two mutant strains, the global composition of peptidoglycan was largely unchanged, supporting the notion that the BacA$_{Rs}$-LmdC$_{Rs}$ pathway modifies the cell wall only locally or acts by modulating the activity of the generic cell elongation machinery (**Figure 9—figure supplement 1B**).

Complementation studies showed that the expression of a plasmid-borne *bacA* copy under the control of a weak constitutive promoter attenuated the curvature defect, albeit only partially, likely due to inadequate expression levels (**Figure 9—figure supplement 2**). The generation of an analogous plasmid to complement the deletion of *lmdC_{Rs}* failed, because it was not possible to introduce the construct into *Escherichia coli* for plasmid propagation, suggesting that the expression of *lmdC_{Rs}* was toxic to the cells. To further test this hypothesis, we constructed a plasmid enabling the inducible expression of the gene in *E. coli*. Upon induction, a large part of the cell population lyzed, whereas control cells carrying an empty plasmid did not show any phenotypic defects (**Figure 9—figure supplement 3**). These results indicate that, similar to its *H. neptunium* homolog, LmdC_{Rs} is an active enzyme with peptidoglycan hydrolase activity.

To determine how BacA_{Rs} and LmdC_{Rs} jointly affect cell curvature, we first generated an *R. rubrum* strain that lacked *lmdC_{Rs}* and carried a fully functional *bacA_{Rs}-mCherry* fusion (**Figure 9—figure supplement 2**) in place of the native *bacA_{Rs}* gene. Subsequently, we introduced a replicating plasmid that harbored a constitutively expressed reporter construct encoding the predicted N-terminal cytoplasmic region and the transmembrane helix (amino acids 1-80) of LmdC_{Rs} fused to the green fluorescent protein mNeonGreen (Shaner et al., 2013) (LmdC$^N_{Rs}$-mNG) (**Figure 9—figure supplement 4A,B**). We found that the two proteins colocalized, forming patchy or filamentous structures that were preferentially, but not exclusively, positioned at the inner cell curvature (**Figure 9E**). A very similar pattern was observed in the presence of the wild-type *lmdC_{Rs}* gene (**Figure 9—figure supplement 4C**). Importantly, the localization pattern of BacA_{Rs} was not affected by the absence of LmdC_{Rs} (**Figure 9F**), whereas the LmdC$^N_{Rs}$-mNG fusion was completely dispersed in cells lacking BacA_{Rs}, indicating that BacA_{Rs} acts as a localization determinant for LmdC_{Rs} (**Figure 9G**). Collectively, these findings confirm a functional association between the bactofilin cytoskeleton and LmdC_{Rs} in *R. rubrum*. Moreover, they lend support to the idea that bactofilins and M23 peptidases form a conserved module involved in bacterial cell shape determination.

Discussion

Although bactofilins are widely conserved among bacteria, their cellular functions are still incompletely

understood. Here, we show that BacA homologs critically contribute to cell shape determination in two

morphologically distinct members of the alphaproteobacteria, the stalked budding bacterium *H. neptu-*

nium and the spiral-shaped bacterium *R. rubrum*. In both cases, they functionally interact with LmdC-type

DD-endopeptidases to promote local changes in the pattern of peptidoglycan biosynthesis. Bactofilins

thus complement the activities of the MreB and FtsZ cytoskeletons in the regulation of cell growth and

act as versatile cell shape modifiers that facilitate the establishment of complex bacterial morphologies.

In *H. neptunium*, the bactofilin cytoskeleton localizes dynamically to the stalk base and the bud neck, pro-

moting stalk growth and subsequent bud formation (**Figure 4**). While flanked by zones of active growth,

the stalk itself is usually devoid of cell wall biosynthetic machinery (Cserti et al., 2017). In bactofilin-

deficient cells, however, newly formed stalks are remodeled into wide and often branched cellular exten-

sions that grow in an apparently uncontrolled manner (**Figure 2** and **Figure 2—figure supplement 1**). This

observation suggests that bactofilin polymers establish barriers that normally prevent the entry of elonga-

some complexes from the mother cell or nascent bud compartments into the stalk. In their absence, the

stalk and bud growth zones are no longer confined and expand into the stalk envelope, leading to stalk

widening and the formation of irregular bulges due to uncontrolled peptidoglycan incorporation and bud

expansion. Bulges or larger amorphous segments at some point separate from the mother cell and then

continue to grow as independent cells, indicating that chromosome replication and segregation as well as

cell division still occur under these conditions.

The precise mechanism underlying the function of bactofilin in cell morphogenesis remains to be clarified.

It is conceivable that bactofilin polymers act by tethering peptidoglycan biosynthetic proteins or estab-

lishing physical barriers that hinder the mobility of elongasome complexes (**Figure 10A**). However, we

observed a close functional association of bactofilins with M23 peptidases that appears to be widely con-

served among species, suggesting that their activity may be intimately tied to cell wall hydrolysis. The

transition zones between the stalk and the adjacent mother cell and bud compartments are characterized

by a high degree of positive cell envelope curvature, which is in stark contrast to the negative curvature

in the remaining parts of the cell. The hydrolytic activity of *H. neptunium* LmdC may be critical to remodel

the cell wall in these zones. Importantly, MreB is thought to move along regions of negative inner curva-

ture (Hussain et al., 2018; Wong et al., 2019). The positively curved transition zones generated by the

bactofilin-LmdC assemblies could therefore represent topological barriers that are difficult to cross by

elongasome complexes, thereby helping to restrict their movement to the mother cell and bud compart-
ments. This effect may also be important during the stalk elongation phases following cell division, when
bactofilin assemblies are no longer present at the stalk base.

Notably, a role in stalk formation has also been reported for the bactofilin homologs of *C. crescentus* and
A. biprosthecum. In *C. crescentus*, the major bactofilin BacA was shown to act as a localization determinant
for the bifunctional penicillin-binding protein PbpC, a cell wall synthase that contributes to stalk elonga-
tion (Kühn et al., 2010) and the proper sorting of a stalk-specific protein (Hughes et al., 2013). However,
the absence of BacA only leads to a moderate reduction in stalk length and leaves overall cell shape
unaffected, indicating that this protein has only a minor role in *C. crescentus* stalk formation. In *A. bipros-*
thecum, by contrast, the deletion of *bacA* completely abolishes stalk formation in rich medium and leads
to the formation of wide cellular protrusions, named pseudostalks, under phosphate-limiting conditions
(Caccamo et al., 2020). Similar to the amorphous extensions observed for an *H. neptunium* Δ*bacAD* mu-
tant, these structures grow through disperse incorporation of new peptidoglycan and develop into viable
offspring. Their formation was also attributed to the entry of cell wall biosynthetic proteins into nascent
stalks (Caccamo et al., 2020), suggesting that the *H. neptunium* and *A. biprosthecum* BacA homologs share
the same barrier function during stalk formation. However, in *H. neptunium*, another layer of regulation
has been added in which bactofilins establish a second barrier close to the stalk tip that enables the
formation of stalk-terminal buds. Bud expansion requires the relocation of elongasome complexes from
the mother cell to the nascent bud at the onset of bud formation, as likely reflected by the fact that
components of the elongasome (MreB, RodZ) and new cell wall biosynthesis can be detected within the
stalk during a short interval at the end of the stalk elongation phase (Cserti et al., 2017). This process may
be facilitated by the (partial) disassembly of the bactofilin complex at the stalk base, but the mechanistic
details remain to be investigated. Another open question concerns the factors that control the dynamic
localization of bactofilin to the stalked pole and the future bud neck in the terminal segment of the stalk.
In *A. biprosthecum*, the recruitment of BacA assemblies to the sites of stalk biosynthesis is dependent on
the cell wall hydrolase SpmX (Caccamo et al., 2020). However, an *H. neptunium* mutant lacking this protein
shows normal morphology (Leicht et al., 2020), suggesting the existence of a different localization deter-
minant. The contribution of LmdC to bactofilin localization could not be investigated, because this protein
is essential for *H. neptunium* growth (Cserti et al., 2017). However, the apparently random positioning of
LmdC complexes in bactofilin-deficient cells suggests that its recruitment depends on the bactofilin cyto-
skeleton, excluding it as a potential candidate.

Intriguingly, bactofilins not only mediate stalk formation and stalk-terminal budding but also the forma-

tion of curved cell shapes. We show that both BacA and LmdC are required to establish the normal degree

of cell helicity in *R. rubrum*, with their absence leading to hyper-curved cells (**Figure 9C,D**). This result sug-

gests that the two proteins form an accessory module that counteracts the activity of a so-far unknown

system responsible for generating spiral cell shape in *R. rubrum* (**Figure 10B**). Cell curvature was shown to

promote cell motility (Martinez et al., 2016). It is tempting to speculate that the expression of *bacA* and

lmdC could be controlled in response to external cues to ensure optimal cell helicity and, thus, fitness in

different environments. Our results show that *R. rubrum* cells contain multiple BacA-LmdC complexes that

are distributed along the entire cell envelope but localize preferentially to the inner cell curvature (**Figure**

9E). In this case, it is immediately evident that BacA acts as a localization determinant for LmdC, since

LmdC is evenly dispersed in its absence (**Figure 9G**). To reduce cell helicity, the hydrolytic activity of LmdC

must ultimately stimulate the insertion of new peptidoglycan at the inner curvature, thereby increasing

the rate of cell elongation in this region and straightening the cell. A similar mechanism may also be at

work in the spirochete *L. biflexa*, where removal of the bactofilin paralog LbbD, whose gene lies adjacent

to a gene for putative M23 peptidase (LEPBI_I1430) (**Figure 3A**), was found to induce a strong increase in

cell helicity (Jackson et al., 2018). A different variation of this theme is found in *H. pylori*. There, the

bactofilin homolog CcmA also forms multiple assemblies along the cell envelope, which interact with a

membrane-spanning protein complex including an M23 peptidase (Csd1) homologous to LmdC (Sichel et

al., 2022; Taylor et al., 2020). However, these assemblies are enriched at the outer curvature, where they

stimulate peptidoglycan synthesis to locally increase the rate of cell elongation over that at the inner

curvature, leading to twisting of the cell body.

Notably, in all systems characterized so far in molecular detail, the function of bactofilin-M23 peptidase

complexes involves a stimulation of cell wall biosynthesis in a confined region of the cell envelope that

entails a local change in cell envelope curvature. In *H. neptunium*, these complexes are only localized to

narrow bands at the stalk base and bud neck, generating sharp bends at the transition zones between the

stalk and the adjacent mother cell and bud compartments. In spiral-shaped bacteria, by contrast, they are

scattered along the entire length of the cell, thereby establishing an elongated zone of increased longi-

tudinal growth that changes overall cell curvature. The same mechanistic principle may also explain the

morphogenetic role of bactofilins in so-far uninvestigated systems.

Collectively, our results underscore the role of bactofilins as versatile modulators of bacterial cell shape.

In association with M23 peptidases, they form cell wall biosynthetic complexes that introduce local

changes in cell envelope curvature. In doing so, they complement the activities of the tubulin and actin

cytoskeletons in cell shape determination and thus expand the morphogenetic potential of bacteria,

enabling the generation of complex cell shapes that go beyond the generic rod-like or spherical morpho-

logies. It will be interesting to investigate the molecular function of bactofilins in a range of morphologic-

ally diverse species to obtain a comprehensive picture of the functionalities provided by these cytoskeletal

proteins and the conservation of their mode of action.

Materials and methods

Media and growth conditions

H. neptunium LE670 (ATCC 15444) (Leifson, 1964) and its derivatives were grown in Artificial Sea Salt (ASS) medium at 28 °C under aerobic conditions, shaking at 210 rpm in baffled flasks. ASS medium was composed of 0.5% Bacto Peptone (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA), 0.1% yeast extract, 1 mM $MgSO_4$, 0.5 mM $CaCl_2$ and 1.5% Instant Ocean Sea Salt (Spectrum Brands, USA), dissolved in deionized water. For solid media, 1.5% agar was added prior to autoclaving. When appropriate, antibiotics were used at the following concentrations (μg/mL in liquid/solid medium): rifampicin (1/2), kanamycin (100/200). The expression of genes under the control of the copper-inducible P_{Cu} promoter or the zinc-inducible P_{Zn} promoter (Jung et al., 2015) was induced by the addition of $CuSO_4$ or $ZnSO_4$ to the concentrations indicated in the text. To assess the growth of *H. neptunium*, cells were grown to exponential phase, diluted in fresh medium to an optical density at 580 nm (OD_{580}) of 0.02, and transferred into 24-well polystyrene microtiter plates (Becton Dickinson Labware, USA). Growth was then followed at 32 °C under double-orbital shaking in an EPOCH 2 microplate reader (BioTek, USA) by measuring the OD_{580} at 20-min intervals.

R. rubrum S1 (ATCC 11170) (Molisch, 1907; Pfennig and Trüper, 1971; van Niel, 1944) and its derivatives were grown in Bacto Tryptic Soy Broth (BD Diagnostic Systems, USA) at 28 °C under aerobic conditions, shaking at 210 rpm in Erlenmeyer flasks. When appropriate, media were supplemented with antibiotics at the following concentrations (μg/mL in liquid/solid medium): kanamycin (30/30), cefalexin (15/-).

E. coli strains were cultivated aerobically (shaking at 210 rpm) at 37 °C in LB medium. For plasmid-bearing strains, antibiotics were added at the following concentrations (μg/mL in liquid/solid medium): kanamycin (30/50), rifampicin (25/50), ampicillin (50/200). To grow *E. coli* WM3064, media were supplemented with 2,6-diaminopimelic acid (DAP) to a final concentration of 300 μM.

Plasmid and strain construction

The bacterial strains, plasmids, and oligonucleotides used in this study are listed in **Tables S3-S6**. *E. coli* TOP10 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA) was used as host for cloning purposes. All plasmids were verified by DNA sequencing. *H. neptunium* and *R. rubrum* were transformed by conjugation using the DAP-auxotrophic strain *E. coli* WM3064 as a donor (Jung et al., 2015). The integration of non-replicating plasmids at the chromosomal P_{Cu} or P_{Zn} locus of *H. neptunium* was achieved by single homologous recombination (Jung et al., 2015). Gene replacement in *H. neptunium* and *R. rubrum* was achieved by double-

homologous recombination using the counter-selectable *sacB* marker (Cserti et al., 2017). Proper chromo-

somal integration or gene replacement was verified by colony PCR.

Live-cell imaging

Cells of *H. neptunium* and *R. rubrum* were grown to exponential phase in the appropriate medium and, if

suitable, induced with $CuSO_4$ prior to imaging. For depletion experiments, cells were grown in the pres-

ence of inducer, washed three times with inducer-free medium and then further cultivated in the absence

of inducer for the indicated period of time prior to analysis. To acquire still images, cells were transferred

onto 1% agarose pads (in water). For time-lapse analysis, cells were immobilized on pads made of 1%

agarose in ASS medium, and the cover slides were then sealed with VLAP (1:1:1 mixture of vaseline,

lanolin, and paraffin) to prevent dehydration. Imaging was performed using a Zeiss Axio Imager.M1

microscope equipped with a Zeiss alpha Plan-Apochromat 100x/1.40 Oil DIC objective and a pco.edge 3.1

sCMOS camera (PCO, Germany) or a Zeiss Axio Imager.Z1 microscope equipped with a Zeiss alpha Plan-

Apochromat 100x/1.46 Oil DIC M27 or a Plan-Apochromat 100x/1.40 Oil Ph3 M27 objective and a

pco.edge 4.2 sCMOS camera (PCO, Germany). An X-Cite 120PC metal halide light source (EXFO, Canada)

and ET-DAPI, ET-YFP or ET-TexasRed filter cubes (Chroma, USA) were used for fluorescence detection.

Microfluidic experiments were performed using a CellASIC ONIX EV262 Microfluidic System, equipped

with an F84 manifold and B04A microfluidic plates (Merck Millipore, Germany), which were flushed with

PBS buffer for 30 min before usage. Exponentially growing cells were flushed into the flow cells, cultivated

under continuous medium flow (0.4 ml/h), and imaged at regular intervals using the Axio Image.Z1 micros-

cope described above. To visualize sites of ongoing peptidoglycan synthesis, cells were grown to the

exponential phase, incubated for 1 min with 1 mM HADA and washed four times in ASS medium prior to

imaging.

Images were recorded with VisiView 3.3.0.6 (Visitron Systems, Germany) and processed with ImageJ

(Schneider et al., 2012) and Adobe Illustrator CS6 (Adobe Systems, USA). The subcellular distribution of

fluorescence signals was analyzed with BacStalk (Hartmann et al., 2020). Pearson's correlation coefficients

were determined using the JACoP plug-in (Bolte and Cordelieres, 2006) for ImageJ. Cell sinuosity was

determined using the ImageJ plug-in MicrobeJ (Ducret et al., 2016), with each analysis performed in

triplicate and 100 cells analyzed per strain and experiment. Results were displayed as SuperPlots (Lord et

al., 2020) generated using the SuperPlotsOfData web application (Goedhart, 2021). Pearson's correlation

coefficients were determined with ImageJ, using the "Align Fluorescence Channels" plugin (Norbert

Vischer, unpublished) to align the fluorescence images to be compared and then the JACoP plugin (Fabrice

P. Cordelières, unpublished) to determine the degree of colocalization.

Single-particle tracking and diffusion analysis

Cells were cultivated overnight in ASS medium, transferred into fresh medium and grown to exponential

phase prior to imaging by slimfield microscopy (Plank et al., 2009). In this approach, the back aperture of

the objective is underfilled by illumination with a collimated laser beam of reduced width, generating an

area of ~10 µm in diameter with a light intensity high enough to enable the visualization of single

fluorescent protein molecules at very high acquisition rates. The single-molecule level was reached by

bleaching of most molecules in the cell for 100 to 1,000 frames, followed by tracking of the remaining and

newly synthesized molecules for ~3,000 frames. Images were taken at 30 ms intervals using an Olympus

IX-71 microscope equipped with a UAPON 100x/ NA 1.49 TIRF objective, a back-illuminated electron-

multiplying charge-coupled device (EMCCD) iXon Ultra camera (Andor Solis, USA) in stream acquisition

mode, and a LuxX 457-100 (457 nm, 100 mW) light-emitting diode laser (Omicron-Laserage Laserprodukte

GmbH, Germany) as an excitation light source. The laser beam was focused onto the back focal plane and

operated during image acquisition with up to 2 mW (60 W/cm^2 at the image plane). Andor Solis 4.21

software was used for camera control and stream acquisition. Prior to analysis, frames recorded before

reaching the single-molecule level were removed from the streams, using photobleaching curves as a

reference. Subsequently, the streams were cropped to an equal length of 2,000 frames and the proper

pixel size (100 nm) and time increment were set in the imaging metadata using Fiji (Schindelin et al., 2012).

Single particles were tracked with u-track 2.2 (Jaqaman et al., 2008). Trajectories were only considered

for further statistical analysis if they had a length of at least five steps. Data analysis was performed using

SMTracker 2.0 (Oviedo-Bocanegra et al., 2021). An estimate of the diffusion coefficient and insight into

the kind of diffusive motion exhibited were obtained from mean-squared-displacement (MSD)-versus-

time-lag curves. In addition, the frame-to-frame displacements of all molecules in x and the y direction

were fitted to a two-population Gaussian mixture model to determine the proportions of mobile and static

molecules in each condition (Oviedo-Bocanegra et al., 2021).

Transmission electron microscopy

Images of *H. neptunium* cells, 10 µl of early exponential cell cultures were applied onto glow-discharged

electron microscopy grids (Formvar/Carbon Film on 300 Mesh Copper; Plano GmbH, Germany) and

incubated for 1 min at room temperature. The grid was manually blotted with Whatman filter paper to

remove excess liquid. Subsequently, the cells were negatively stained for 5 sec with 5 µl of 1% uranyl

acetate. After three washes with H_2O, the grids were dried and imaged in a 100 kV JEM-1400 Plus trans-

mission electron microscope (JEOL, USA). To image BacA polymers, purified BacA-His$_6$ was dialyzed against

low-salt buffer (50 mM HEPES pH 7.2, 10 mM NaCl, 5 mM MgCl$_2$, 0.1 mM EDTA, 1 mM ß-mercaptoethanol)

for 16 h. The protein was spotted onto carbon-coated grids and allowed to settle for 2 min. The grids were

blotted dry, stained with 1:2 diluted supernatant of saturated 2 % uranyl acetate (in H_2O) for 1 min, dried

and imaged using a Zeiss CEM902 electron microscope, operated at 80 kV and equipped with a 2048x2048

pixel CCD camera. Image processing was carried out using Photoshop CS2 and Illustrator CS5 (Adobe

Systems, USA).

Immunoblot analysis

Antibodies against BacA were raised by immunization of rabbits with purified BacA-His$_6$ protein

(Eurogentec, Belgium). Cells were harvested in the exponential growth phase. Immunoblot analysis was

conducted as described previously (Thanbichler and Shapiro, 2006), using anti-BacA antiserum (1:10.000),

a monoclonal anti-mNeonGreen antibody (Chromotek, Germany; Cat. #: 32f6; RRID: AB_2827566), a

polyclonal anti-GFP antibody (Sigma, Germany; Cat. #: G1544; RRID: AB_439690) or a polyclonal anti-

mCherry antibody (BioVision, USA; Cat. #: 5993; RRID: AB_1975001) at dilutions of 1:10,000, 1:1,000,

1:10,000 or 1:10,000, respectively. Goat anti-rabbit immunoglobulin G conjugated with horse-radish per-

oxidase (Perkin Elmer, USA) was used as secondary antibody. Immunocomplexes were detected with the

Western Lightning Plus-ECL chemiluminescence reagent (Perkin Elmer, USA). The signals were recorded

with a ChemiDoc MP imaging system (BioRad, Germany) and analyzed using Image Lab software (BioRad,

Germany).

Peptidoglycan analysis

Cultures of exponentially growing cells of the *H. neptunium* wild type and its mutant derivatives EC28

(Δ*bacA*), EC23 (Δ*bacD*) and EC33 (Δ*bacAD*) were rapidly cooled to 4°C and harvested by centrifugation at

16,000 ×g for 30 min. The cells were resuspended in 6 ml of ice-cold H_2O and added dropwise to 6 ml of a

boiling solution of 8% sodium dodecylsulfate (SDS) that was stirred vigorously. After 30 min of boiling, the

suspension was cooled to room temperature. Peptidoglycan was isolated from the cell lysates as

described previously (Glauner, 1988) and digested with the muramidase cellosyl (kindly provided by

Hoechst, Frankfurt, Germany). The resulting muropeptides were reduced with sodium borohydride and

separated by HPLC following an established protocol (Bui et al., 2009; Glauner, 1988). The identity of

eluted fragments was assigned based on the known retention times of muropeptides, as reported

previously (Cserti et al., 2017; Glauner, 1988).

LmdC enzymatic activity assay

To test the enzymatic activity of LmdC, peptidoglycan from *E. coli* D456 (Edwards and Donachie, 1993) was mixed with 10 µM LmdC in buffer A (20 mM Hepes/NaOH pH 7.5, 50 mM NaCl, 1 mM $ZnCl_2$) or buffer B (20 mM sodium acetate pH 5.0, 50 mM NaCl, 1 mM $ZnCl_2$) in a final volume of 50 µL and incubated at 37°C for 16 h in a thermal shaker set at 900 rpm. A mixture of peptidoglycan in buffer B without LmdC was used as a control. The reactions were stopped by heating at 100°C for 10 min. The peptidoglycan was then further digested overnight with cellosyl, and the reactions were stopped by heating at 100°C for 10 min. After centrifugation of the samples at 14,000 rpm for 10 min, the supernatants were recovered, reduced with sodium borohydride, acidified to pH 4.0 – pH 4.5 with dilute 20% phosphoric acid and subjected to HPLC analysis as previously described (Glauner, 1988).

Bio-layer interferometry

Bio-layer interferometry analyses were conducted using a BLItz system equipped with High Precision Streptavidin (SAX) Biosensors (Sartorius, Germany). As a ligand, a custom-synthetized N-terminally biotinylated peptide comprising residues Met1 to Gln38 of LmdC (GenScript, USA) was immobilized on the biosensors. After the establishment of a stable baseline, association reactions were monitored at various analyte concentrations. At the end of each binding step, the sensor was transferred into an analyte-free buffer to follow the dissociation kinetics. The extent of non-specific binding was assessed by monitoring the interaction of analyte with unmodified sensors. All analyses were performed in BLItz binding buffer (25 mM HEPES/KOH pH 7.6, 100 mM KCl, 10 mM $MgSO_4$, 1 mM DTT, 10 mM BSA, 0.01% Tween).

Protein purification

To purify BacA-His_6, *E. coli* Rosetta(DE3)pLysS (Invitrogen) was transformed with pEC86 and grown in LB medium at 37 °C to an OD_{600} of 0.8. Isopropyl-β-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) was added to a final concentration of 0.5 mM and the incubation was continued for another 3 h. The cells were harvested by centrifugation for 15 min at 7,500 ×g and 4 °C and washed with buffer B2 (50 mM NaH_2PO_4, 300 mM NaCl, 10 mM imidazole, adjusted to pH 8.0 with NaOH). Subsequently, they were resuspended in buffer B2 containing 100 µg/mL phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, 10 µg/mL DNase I and 1 mM β-mercaptoethanol and lysed by three passages through a French press at 16,000 psi. After the removal of cell debris by centrifugation at 30,000 ×g for 30 min, the supernatant was applied onto a 5 mL HisTrap HP column (GE Healthcare) equilibrated with buffer B3 (50 mM NaH_2PO_4, 300 mM NaCl, 20 mM imidazole, adjusted to pH 8.0 with NaOH). The column was washed with 10 column volumes (CV) of the same buffer, and protein was eluted at a flow rate of 1 mL/min with a linear imidazole gradient obtained by mixing buffers B3 and

B4 (50 mM NaH_2PO_4, 300 mM NaCl, 250 mM imidazole, adjusted to pH 8.0 with NaOH). Fractions

containing high concentrations of BacA-His_6 were pooled and dialyzed against 3 L of buffer B5 (20 mM

Tris/HCl pH 8.0, 10 mM NaCl, 1 mM β-mercaptoethanol) at 4 °C. After the removal of precipitates by

centrifugation at 30,000 ×g for 30 min, the protein solution was aliquotted and snap-frozen in liquid

nitrogen. Aliquots were stored at -80 °C until further use.

To purify LmdC, the protein was first produced as a His_6-SUMO-LmdC fusion (Marblestone et al., 2006).

To this end, *E. coli* Rosetta(DE3)pLysS cells carrying plasmid pLY015 were grown at 37 °C in 3 L of LB

medium supplemented with ampicillin and chloramphenicol. At an OD_{600} of 0.6, the culture was chilled to

18°C, and protein synthesis was induced by the addition of 1 mM IPTG prior to overnight incubation at 18

°C. The cultures were harvested by centrifugation at 10,000 ×g for 20 min at 4 °C and washed with lysis

buffer (50 mM Tris/HCl pH 8.0, 300 mM NaCl). Cells were resuspended in lysis buffer supplemented with

5 mM imidazole, 10 mg/mL DNase I and 100 mg/mL PMSF. After three passages through a French press

(16,000 psi), the cell lysate was clarified by centrifugation (30,000 ×g, 30 min, 4 °C). Protein was then

purified using zinc-affinity chromatography using a 1 mL Zn-NTA column (Cube Biotech) equilibrated with

lysis buffer containing 5 mM imidazole. Protein was eluted with a linear gradient of 5 to 250 mM imidazole

in lysis buffer at a flow rate of 1 mL/min. Fractions containing high concentrations of His_6-SUMO-LmdC

were pooled and dialyzed against 3 L of low-salt lysis buffer (20 mM Tris/HCl pH 7.6, 50 mM NaCl, 10%

(v/v) glycerol). After the addition of Ulp1 protease (Marblestone et al., 2006) and dithiothreitol (1 mM),

the protein was incubated for 4 h at 4 °C to cleave off the His_6-SUMO tag. The solution was centrifuged

for 30 min at 38,000 ×g and 4 °C to remove precipitates and then subjected to ion exchange chromato-

graphy using a 1 mL HiTrap Q HP column (Cytiva, USA) equilibrated with low-salt lysis buffer. His_6-SUMO

passed the column in the flow-through, and LmdC was eluted with a linear gradient of 150-1000 mM NaCl

in low-salt buffer. Fractions containing LmdC were concentrated in an Amicon Ultra-4 10K spin

concentrator (MWCO 10,000; Merck, Germany). After the removal of precipitates by centrifugation at

30,000 ×g for 30 min, LmdC was further purified by size exclusion chromatography (SEC) on a HighLoad

16/60 Superdex 200 pg column (GE Healthcare, USA) equilibrated with SEC buffer (20 mM Tris/HCl pH 7.4,

150 mM NaCl). Fractions containing pure protein were pooled and concentrated. After the removal of

precipitates by centrifugation at 30,000 ×g, the protein solution was snap-frozen in liquid N_2 and stored

at -80 °C until further use.

Bioinformatic analysis

Protein similarity searches were performed with BLAST (Altschul et al., 1990), using the BLAST server of the National Institutes of Health (https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi). Transmembrane helices were predicted with DeepTMHMM (Hallgren et al., 2022), coiled-coil regions with PCOILS (Lupas, 1996). The positions of conserved functional domains were determined using the PFAM server (Mistry et al., 2021). AlphaFold2 (Jumper et al., 2021) and AlphaFold-Multimer (Evans et al., 2022), as implemented in the AlphaFold.ipynb notebook on Google Colab, were used to predict the tertiary or quaternary structure of proteins, respectively. SuperPlots (Lord et al., 2020) were used to visualize cell length distributions and to evaluate the statistical significance of differences between multiple distributions, employing the PlotsOfData web app (Postma and Goedhart, 2019).

Acknowledgements

We thank Julia Rosum for excellent technical assistance, Ying Liu for help with the construction of plasmids, Daniela Vollmer for the purification of peptidoglycan, and Maria Perez-Burgos and Lotte Søgaard-Andersen for help with the transmission electron microscopy analyses. This work was supported by the University of Marburg (core funding to P.G. and M.T.), the Max Planck Society (Max Planck Fellowship to M.T.), the German Research Foundation (DFG; project 450420164 to M.T. and project 26942323 – TRR 174 to P.L.G.), and and the United Kingdom Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council (grant BB/W013630/1 to W.V.). M.O.V. was a fellow of the International Max Planck Research School for Environmental, Cellular and Molecular Microbiology (IMPRS-Mic).

Data availability

625 All relevant data generated in this study are included in the manuscript and the supplemental information.
626

Author contributions

M.O.V., E.C. and M.T. conceived the study. M.O.V., E.C. and S.P. constructed plasmids and strains and
performed the growth analyses and subcellular localization studies. M.O.V. purified proteins and con-
ducted the biochemical studies. P.S. analyzed the co-conservation of BacA and LmdC homologs. J.B.
conducted the peptidoglycan analysis. R.H.-T. performed the single-particle tracking analysis. M.O.V., E.C.,
S.P. R.H.-T., P.S., J.B., W.V. and M.T. analyzed the data. W.V., P.L.G. and M.T. supervised the study. W.V.,
P.L.G. and M.T. secured funding. M.O.V. and M.T. wrote the paper, with input from all other authors.

Competing interests

633 The authors declare no competing interests.

References

Aaron M, Charbon G, Lam H, Schwarz H, Vollmer W, Jacobs-Wagner C. 2007. The tubulin homologue FtsZ contributes to cell elongation by guiding cell wall precursor synthesis in *Caulobacter crescentus*. *Molecular Microbiology* **64**:938-952.

Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, Myers EW, Lipman DJ. 1990. Basic local alignment search tool. *Journal of Molecular Biology* **215**:403-410.

Badger JH, Hoover TR, Brun YV, Weiner RM, Laub MT, Alexandre G, Mrazek J, Ren Q, Paulsen IT, Nelson KE *et al.* 2006. Comparative genomic evidence for a close relationship between the dimorphic prosthecate bacteria *Hyphomonas neptunium* and *Caulobacter crescentus*. *Journal of Bacteriology* **188**:6841-6850.

Bolte S, Cordelieres FP. 2006. A guided tour into subcellular colocalization analysis in light microscopy. *Journal of Microscopy* **224**:213-232.

Brown PJ, Kysela DT, Brun YV. 2011. Polarity and the diversity of growth mechanisms in bacteria. *Seminars in Cell & Developmental Biology* **22**:790-798.

Bui NK, Gray J, Schwarz H, Schumann P, Blanot D, Vollmer W. 2009. The peptidoglycan sacculus of *Myxococcus xanthus* has unusual structural features and is degraded during glycerol-induced myxospore development. *Journal of Bacteriology* **191**:494-505.

Caccamo PD, Jacq M, VanNieuwenhze MS, Brun YV. 2020. A division of labor in the recruitment and topological organization of a bacterial morphogenic complex. *Current Biology* **30**:3908-3922.

Cserti E, Rosskopf S, Chang YW, Eisheuer S, Selter L, Shi J, Regh C, Koert U, Jensen GJ, Thanbichler M. 2017. Dynamics of the peptidoglycan biosynthetic machinery in the stalked budding bacterium *Hyphomonas neptunium*. *Molecular Microbiology* **103**:875-895.

Deng X, Gonzalez Llamazares A, Wagstaff JM, Hale VL, Cannone G, McLaughlin SH, Kureisaite-Ciziene D, Löwe J. 2019. The structure of bactofilin filaments reveals their mode of membrane binding and lack of polarity. *Nature Microbiology* **4**:2357-2368.

Ducret A, Quardokus EM, Brun YV. 2016. MicrobeJ, a tool for high throughput bacterial cell detection and quantitative analysis. *Nature Microbiology* **1**:16077.

Edwards D, Donachie WD. 1993. Construction of a triple deletion of penicillin-binding proteins 4, 5, and 6 in *Escherichia coli*. In: Bacterial growth and lysis, eds: de Pedro M, Höltje J-V, Löffelhardt W (New York and London: Plenum Press), pp. 369–374.

Egan AJF, Errington J, Vollmer W. 2020. Regulation of peptidoglycan synthesis and remodelling. *Nature Reviews Microbiology* **18**:446-460.

Evans R, O'Neill M, Pritzel A, Antropova N, Senior A, Green T, Žídek A, Bates R, Blackwell S, Yim J *et al.* 2022. Protein complex prediction with AlphaFold-Multimer. bioRxiv, DOI: 10.1101/2021.1110.1104.463034

Figueroa-Cuilan WM, Randich AM, Dunn CM, Santiago-Collazo G, Yowell A, Brown PJB. 2021. Diversification of LytM protein functions in polar elongation and cell division of *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*. *Frontiers in Microbiology* **12**:729307.

Firczuk M, Mucha A, Bochtler M. 2005. Crystal structures of active LytM. *Journal of Molecular Biology* **354**:578-590.

Glauner B. 1988. Separation and quantification of muropeptides with high-performance liquid chromatography. *Analytical Biochemistry* **172**:451-464.

Goedhart J. 2021. SuperPlotsOfData – a web app for the transparent display and quantitative comparison of continuous data from different conditions. *Molecular Biology of the Cell* **32**:470-474.

Goley ED, Comolli LR, Fero KE, Downing KH Shapiro, L. 2010. DipM links peptidoglycan remodelling to
outer membrane organization in *Caulobacter*. *Molecular Microbiology* **77**:56-73.

Grabowska M, Jagielska E, Czapinska H, Bochtler M, Sabala I. 2015. High resolution structure of an M23
peptidase with a substrate analogue. *Scientific Reports* **5**:14833.

Gurnani Serrano CK, Winkle M, Martorana AM, Biboy J, More N, Moynihan P, Banzhaf M, Vollmer W,
Polissi A. 2021. ActS activates peptidoglycan amidases during outer membrane stress in *Escherichia*
coli. *Molecular Microbiology* **116**:329-342.

Hallgren J, Tsirigos KD, Pedersen MD, Almagro Armenteros JJ, Marcatili P, Nielsen H, Krogh A, Winther O.
2022. DeepTMHMM predicts alpha and beta transmembrane proteins using deep neural networks.
bioRxiv, DOI: 10.1101/2022.04.08.487609

Hartmann R, van Teeseling MCF, Thanbichler M, Drescher K. 2020. BacStalk: A comprehensive and
interactive image analysis software tool for bacterial cell biology. *Molecular Microbiology* **114**:140-150.

Hay NA, Tipper DJ, Gygi D, Hughes C. 1999. A novel membrane protein influencing cell shape and multi-
cellular swarming of *Proteus mirabilis*. *Journal of Bacteriology* **181**:2008-2016.

Hughes HV, Lisher JP, Hardy GG, Kysela DT, Arnold RJ, Giedroc DP, Brun YV. 2013. Co-ordinate synthesis
and protein localization in a bacterial organelle by the action of a penicillin-binding-protein. *Molecular*
Microbiology **90**:1162-1177.

Hussain S, Wivagg CN, Szwedziak P, Wong F, Schaefer K, Izore T, Renner LD, Holmes MJ, Sun Y, Bisson-
Filho AW*, et al.* 2018. MreB filaments align along greatest principal membrane curvature to orient cell
wall synthesis. *Elife* **7**:e32471.

Jackson KM, Schwartz C, Wachter J, Rosa PA, Stewart PE. 2018. A widely conserved bacterial cytoskeletal
component influences unique helical shape and motility of the spirochete *Leptospira biflexa*. *Molecular*
Microbiology **108**:77-89.

Jaqaman K, Loerke D, Mettlen M, Kuwata H, Grinstein S, Schmid SL, Danuser G. 2008. Robust single-
particle tracking in live-cell time-lapse sequences. *Nature Methods* **5**:695-702.

Jumper J, Evans R, Pritzel A, Green T, Figurnov M, Ronneberger O, Tunyasuvunakool K, Bates R, Zidek A,
Potapenko A*, et al.* 2021. Highly accurate protein structure prediction with AlphaFold. *Nature* **596**:583-
589.

Jung A, Eisheuer S, Cserti E, Leicht O, Strobel W, Möll A, Schlimpert S, Kühn J, Thanbichler M. 2015.
Molecular toolbox for genetic manipulation of the stalked budding bacterium *Hyphomonas neptunium*.
Applied and Environmental Microbiology **81**:736-744.

Jung A, Rassbach A, Pulpetta RL, van Teeseling MCF, Heinrich K, Sobetzko P, Serrania J, Becker A,
Thanbichler M. 2019. Two-step chromosome segregation in the stalked budding bacterium
Hyphomonas neptunium. *Nature Communications* **10**:3290.

Klein EA, Schlimpert S, Hughes V, Brun YV, Thanbichler M, Gitai Z. 2013. Physiological role of stalk
lengthening in *Caulobacter crescentus*. *Communicative & Integrative Biology* **6**:e24561.

Koch MK, McHugh CA, Hoiczyk E. 2011. BacM, an N-terminally processed bactofilin of *Myxococcus*
xanthus, is crucial for proper cell shape. *Molecular Microbiology* **80**:1031-1051.

Kühn J, Briegel A, Mörschel E, Kahnt J, Leser K, Wick S, Jensen GJ, Thanbichler M. 2010. Bactofilins, a
ubiquitous class of cytoskeletal proteins mediating polar localization of a cell wall synthase in
Caulobacter crescentus. *EMBO Journal* **29**:327-339.

Kuru E, Tekkam S, Hall E, Brun YV, Van Nieuwenhze MS. 2015. Synthesis of fluorescent D-amino acids and
their use for probing peptidoglycan synthesis and bacterial growth *in situ*. *Nature Protocols* **10**:33-52.

Kysela DT, Randich AM, Caccamo PD, Brun YV. 2016. Diversity takes shape: understanding the mechanistic
and adaptive basis of bacterial morphology. *PLoS Biology* **14**:e1002565.

Leicht O, van Teeseling MCF, Panis G, Reif C, Wendt H, Viollier PH, Thanbichler M. 2020. Integrative and
quantitative view of the CtrA regulatory network in a stalked budding bacterium. *PLoS Genetics*
16:e1008724.

Leifson E. 1964. *Hyphomicrobium neptunium sp. n*. *Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek* **30**:249-256.

Letunic I, Bork P. 2021. Interactive Tree Of Life (iTOL) v5: an online tool for phylogenetic tree display and
annotation. *Nucleic Acids Research* **49**:W293-W296.

Lin L, Osorio Valeriano M, Harms A, Søgaard-Andersen L, Thanbichler M. 2017. Bactofilin-mediated
organization of the ParAB*S* chromosome segregation system in *Myxococcus xanthus*. *Nature*
Communications **8**:1817.

Lord SJ, Velle KB, Mullins RD, Fritz-Laylin LK. 2020. SuperPlots: Communicating reproducibility and
variability in cell biology. *Journal of Cell Biology* **219**:e202001064.

Lupas A. 1996. Prediction and analysis of coiled-coil structures. *Methods in Enzymology* **266**:513-525.

Marblestone JG, Edavettal SC, Lim Y, Lim P, Zuo X, Butt TR. 2006. Comparison of SUMO fusion technology
with traditional gene fusion systems: enhanced expression and solubility with SUMO. *Protein Science*
15:182-189.

Margolin W. 2009. Sculpting the bacterial cell. *Current Biology* **19**:R812-R822.

Martinez LE, Hardcastle JM, Wang J, Pincus Z, Tsang J, Hoover TR, Bansil R, Salama NR. 2016. *Helicobacter*
pylori strains vary cell shape and flagellum number to maintain robust motility in viscous environments.
Molecular Microbiology **99**:88-110.

McQuillen R, Xiao J. 2020. Insights into the structure, function, and dynamics of the bacterial cytokinetic
FtsZ-ring. *Annual Review of Biophysics* **49**:309-341.

Mistry J, Chuguransky S, Williams L, Qureshi M, Salazar GA, Sonnhammer ELL, Tosatto SCE, Paladin L, Raj
S, Richardson LJ*, et al.* 2021. Pfam: The protein families database in 2021. *Nucleic Acids Research*
49:D412-D419.

Molisch H. 1907. Die Purpurbakterien nach neuen Untersuchungen (Jena: Gustav Fischer).

Möll A, Schlimpert S, Briegel A, Jensen GJ, Thanbichler M. 2010. DipM, a new factor required for
peptidoglycan remodelling during cell division in *Caulobacter crescentus*. *Molecular Microbiology*
77:90-107.

Moore RL. 1981. The biology of *Hyphomicrobium* and other prosthecate, budding bacteria. *Annual Review*
of Microbiology **35**:567-594.

Munk AC, Copeland A, Lucas S, Lapidus A, Del Rio TG, Barry K, Detter JC, Hammon N, Israni S, Pitluck S *et*
al. 2011. Complete genome sequence of *Rhodospirillum rubrum* type strain (S1). *Standards in Genomic*
Sciences **4**:293-302.

Oviedo-Bocanegra LM, Hinrichs R, Rotter DAO, Dersch S, Graumann PL. 2021. Single molecule/particle
tracking analysis program SMTracker 2.0 reveals different dynamics of proteins within the RNA
degradosome complex in *Bacillus subtilis*. *Nucleic Acids Research* **49**:e112.

Paysan-Lafosse T, Blum M, Chuguransky S, Grego T, Pinto BL, Salazar GA, Bileschi ML, Bork P, Bridge A,
Colwell L *et al.* 2022. InterPro in 2022. *Nucleic Acids Research* **51**:D418-D427.

Persat A, Stone HA, Gitai Z. 2014. The curved shape of *Caulobacter crescentus* enhances surface
colonization in flow. *Nature Communications* **5**:3824.

Pfennig N, Trüper HG. 1971. Type and neotype strains of the species of phototrophic bacteria maintained
in pure culture. *International Journal of Systematic Bacteriology* **21**:19-24.

Plank M, Wadhams GH, Leake MC. 2009. Millisecond timescale slimfield imaging and automated
quantification of single fluorescent protein molecules for use in probing complex biological processes.
Integrative Biology (Camb) **1**:602-612.

Poggio S, Takacs CN, Vollmer W, Jacobs-Wagner C. 2010. A protein critical for cell constriction in the Gram-
negative bacterium *Caulobacter crescentus* localizes at the division site through its peptidoglycan-
binding LysM domains. *Molecular Microbiology* **77**:74-89.

Postma M, Goedhart J. 2019. PlotsOfData—A web app for visualizing data together with their summaries.
PLOS Biology **17**:e3000202.

Randich AM, Brun YV. 2015. Molecular mechanisms for the evolution of bacterial morphologies and
growth modes. *Frontiers in Microbiology* **6**:580.

Rohs PDA, Bernhardt TG. 2021. Growth and division of the peptidoglycan matrix. *Annual Review of*
Microbiology **75**:315-336.

Schindelin J, Arganda-Carreras I, Frise E, Kaynig V, Longair M, Pietzsch T, Preibisch S, Rueden C, Saalfeld S,
Schmid B *et al.* 2012. Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image analysis. *Nature Methods*
9:676-682.

Schneider CA, Rasband WS, Eliceiri KW. 2012. NIH Image to ImageJ: 25 years of image analysis. *Nature*
Methods **9**:671-675.

Shaner NC, Campbell RE, Steinbach PA, Giepmans BN, Palmer AE, Tsien RY. 2004. Improved monomeric
red, orange and yellow fluorescent proteins derived from Discosoma sp. red fluorescent protein.
Nature Biotechnology **22**:1567-1572.

Shaner NC, Lambert GG, Chammas A, Ni Y, Cranfill PJ, Baird MA, Sell BR, Allen JR, Day RN, Israelsson M *et*
al. 2013. A bright monomeric green fluorescent protein derived from *Branchiostoma lanceolatum*.
Nature Methods **10**:407-409.

Shi C, Fricke P, Lin L, Chevelkov V, Wegstroth M, Giller K, Becker S, Thanbichler M, Lange A. 2015. Atomic-
resolution structure of cytoskeletal bactofilin by solid-state NMR. *Science Advances* **1**:e1501087.

Shi H, Bratton BP, Gitai Z, Huang KC. 2018. How to Build a bacterial cell: MreB as the foreman of *E. coli*
construction. *Cell* **172**:1294-1305.

Sichel SR, Bratton BP, Salama NR. 2022. Distinct regions of *H. pylori*'s bactofilin CcmA regulate protein-
protein interactions to control helical cell shape. *Elife* **11**:e80111.

Sycuro LK, Pincus Z, Gutierrez KD, Biboy J, Stern CA, Vollmer W, Salama NR. 2010. Peptidoglycan
crosslinking relaxation promotes *Helicobacter pylori*'s helical shape and stomach colonization. *Cell*
141:822-833.

Taylor JA, Bratton BP, Sichel SR, Blair KM, Jacobs HM, DeMeester KE, Kuru E, Gray J, Biboy J,
VanNieuwenhze MS *et al.* 2020. Distinct cytoskeletal proteins define zones of enhanced cell wall
synthesis in *Helicobacter pylori*. *Elife* **9**:e52482.

Taylor JA, Sichel SR, Salama NR. 2019. Bent bacteria: A comparison of cell shape mechanisms in
proteobacteria. *Annual Review of Microbiology* **73**:457-480.

Thanbichler M, Shapiro L. 2006. MipZ, a spatial regulator coordinating chromosome segregation with cell
division in *Caulobacter*. *Cell* **126**:147-162.

Typas A, Banzhaf M, Gross CA, Vollmer W. 2012. From the regulation of peptidoglycan synthesis to
bacterial growth and morphology. *Nature Reviews Microbiology* **10**:123-136.

Uehara T, Parzych KR, Dinh T, Bernhardt TG. 2010. Daughter cell separation is controlled by cytokinetic
ring-activated cell wall hydrolysis. *EMBO Journal* **29**:1412-1422.

van Niel CB. 1944. The culture, general physiology, morphology, and classification of the non-sulfur purple
and brown bacteria. *Bacteriological Reviews* **8**:1-118.

van Teeseling MCF, de Pedro MA, Cava F. 2017. Determinants of bacterial morphology: from fundamen-
tals to possibilities for antimicrobial targeting. *Frontiers in Microbiology* **8**:1264.

Varadi M, Anyango S, Deshpande M, Nair S, Natassia C, Yordanova G, Yuan D, Stroe O, Wood G, Laydon A
et al. 2022. AlphaFold Protein Structure Database: massively expanding the structural coverage of
protein-sequence space with high-accuracy models. *Nucleic Acids Research* **50**:D439-D444.

Vasa S, Lin L, Shi C, Habenstein B, Riedel D, Kühn J, Thanbichler M, Lange A. 2015. β-Helical architecture
of cytoskeletal bactofilin filaments revealed by solid-state NMR. *Proceedings of the National Academy*
of Sciences U S A **112**:E127-136.

Vollmer W, Blanot D, de Pedro MA. 2008. Peptidoglycan structure and architecture. *FEMS Microbiology*
Reviews **32**:149-167.

Wagner JK, Brun YV. 2007. Out on a limb: how the *Caulobacter* stalk can boost the study of bacterial cell
shape. *Molecular Microbiology* **64**:28-33.

Wali TM, Hudson GR, Danald DA, Weiner RM. 1980. Timing of swarmer cell cycle morphogenesis and
macromolecular synthesis by *Hyphomicrobium neptunium* in synchronous culture. *Journal of*
Bacteriology **144**:406-412.

Wong F, Garner EC, Amir A. 2019. Mechanics and dynamics of translocating MreB filaments on curved
membranes. *Elife* **8**:e40472.

Yang DC, Blair KM, Salama NR. 2016. Staying in shape: the impact of cell shape on bacterial survival in
diverse environments. *Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews* **80**:187-203.

Zuckerman DM, Boucher LE, Xie K, Engelhardt H, Bosch J, Hoiczyk E. 2015. The bactofilin cytoskeleton
protein BacM of *Myxococcus xanthus* forms an extended beta-sheet structure likely mediated by
hydrophobic interactions. *PLoS One* **10**:e0121074.

 Figure legends

 Figure 1. Bactofilin BacA is required for proper cell morphology in _H. neptunium_. (A) Schematic repre-

 sentation of the two bactofilin genes present in the _H. neptunium_ genome. _bacA_ lies adjacent to the M23

 peptidase gene _lmdC_. Arrows indicate the direction of transcription. **(B)** Domain organization of BacA and

 BacD from _H. neptunium_. The bactofilin polymerization domain (colored boxes) is flanked by non-struc-

 tured N- and C-terminal regions. **(C)** Morphology of _H. neptunium_ bactofilin mutants. Shown are represen-

 tative cells of strains LE670 (wild type), EC28 (Δ_bacA_), EC23 (Δ_bacD_) and EC33 (Δ_bacAD_), imaged by

 differential interference contrast (DIC) microscopy. Bar: 4 μm. **(D)** Immunoblot analysis of the strains

 shown in panel C, performed using anti-BacA antibodies. **(E)** Transmission electron micrographs of Δ_bacA_

 cells at the early stalked-cell stage. Bar: 1 μm. **(F)** Quantification of the proportion of phenotypically ab-

 normal stalked and budding cells in cultures of the strains analyzed in panel C (n = 100 cells per strain).

 Figure 1—figure supplement 1. The dimorphic lifecycle of _H. neptunium_. A motile, flagellated swimmer

 sheds its flagellum and forms a stalk at the opposite cell pole. A a defined point in the cell cycle, the

 terminal segment of the stalk dilates and develops into a new swimmer cell. After cell division, the new-

 born swimmer cell first needs to differentiate into a stalked cell to initiate a new round of cell division,

 whereas the stalked mother cell immediately enters the next replication cycle. The predominant growth

 zones (Cserti et al., 2017) are indicated in red.

 Figure 1—figure supplement 2. Phenotypic analysis of _H. neptunium_ bactofilin mutants. (A) Immunoblot

 analysis of strains LE670 (wild type), EC28 (Δ_bacA_) and EC41 (Δ_bacA_ P$_{Cu}$::P$_{Cu}$-_bacA_) grown in the absence

 (-_bacA_) and presence (+_bacA_) of 0.5 mM CuSO$_4$, performed with an anti-BacA antibody. **(B)** Rescue of the

 phenotype of a Δ_bacA_ mutant by ectopic expression of _bacA_. Cells of strain EC41 (Δ_bacA_ P$_{Cu}$::P$_{Cu}$-_bacA_)

 were grown in copper-free medium, induced by the addition of copper, and imaged after the indicated

 time incubation times. Bar: 3 μm. **(C)** Quantification of the proportion of phenotypically abnormal stalked

 and budding cells in the cultures of strains EC41 (Δ_bacA_ P$_{Cu}$::P$_{Cu}$-_bacA_) and EC43 (Δ_bacA_ P$_{Zn}$::P$_{Zn}$-_bacD_)

 analyzed in panels B and E before (t=0 h) and 24 h after induction (n = 100 cells per time point). **(D)** Trans-

 mission electron micrographs of Δ_bacD_ cells at the swimmer and stalk cell stage. Bar: 1 μm. **(E)** DIC images

 of a Δ_bacA_ mutant overproducing BacD from a zinc-inducible promoter. Cells of strain EC43 (Δ_bacA_

 P$_{Zn}$::P$_{Zn}$-_bacD_) were grown in inducer-free medium, induced by the addition of 0.5 mM ZnSO$_4$, and imaged

 after the indicated incubation times. Bar: 3 μm.

 Figure 2. Lack of BacA leads to uncontrolled growth of the stalk and bud compartments. (A) Immunoblot

 showing the levels of BacA in strain EC41 (Δ_bacA_ P$_{Cu}$-_bacA_) over the course of BacA depletion. Cells were

grown in copper-containing medium, washed and transferred to inducer-free medium. At the indicated
time points, samples were withdrawn and subjected to immunoblot analysis. Strains LE670 (wild type)
and EC28 (Δ*bacA*) were included as controls. The position of BacA is indicated. **(B)** Morphological defects
induced by BacA depletion. Cells of strains LE670 (wild type) and EC41 (Δ*bacA* P_{Cu}-*bacA*) were grown in
medium containing 0.5 mM $CuSO_4$, washed, and incubated for 6 h in inducer-free medium before they
were transferred onto ASS-agarose pads lacking inducer and imaged at the indicated time points. Bar: 2
µm. **(C)** Changes in the growth pattern of *H. neptunium* in the absence of bactofilins. Cells of strains LE670
(wild type) and EC33 (Δ*bacAD*) were stained with the fluorescent D-amino acid HADA prior to analysis by
fluorescence microscopy. Shown are representative images of cells at different developmental stages.
Bars: 2 µm.

Figure 2—figure supplement 1. Growth of Δ*bacAD* cells in a microfluidic flow cell. Cells of strain EC33
(Δ*bacAD*) were flushed into a microfluidic flow cell and imaged at the indicated time points. Bar: 3 µm.

Figure 2—figure supplement 2. Characterization of *H. neptunium* bactofilin mutants. (A) Growth curves
H. neptunium strains LE670 (wild type), EC28 (Δ*bacA*), EC23 (Δ*bacD*) and EC33 (Δ*bacAD*). Exponentially
growing cultures were diluted into fresh media and monitored for 32 h using a microplate reader. Shown
are representative data from one out of three independent experiments. **(B)** Muropeptide profiles of
different *H. neptunium* strains. Cell walls of strains LE670 (wild type), EC28 (Δ*bacA*), EC23 (Δ*bacD*) and
EC33 (Δ*bacAD*) were digested with cellosyl to release muropeptides, which were reduced and separated
by HPLC. The identities of major muropeptide species, assigned based on the previously reported reten-
tion times (Cserti et al., 2017; Glauner, 1988), are given above the corresponding peaks. Tri, Tetra and
Penta stand for N-acetylglucosamine-N-acetylmuramitol tripeptide, tetrapeptide and pentapeptide,
respectively. "Anh" indicates muropeptides containing 1,6-anhydromuramic acid.

Figure 3. BacA and BacD co-polymerize into filamentous structures. (A) Model of a BacA trimer gener-
ated with AlphaFold-Multimer (Evans et al., 2022). Only residues P34-D138 are shown for each subunit.
(B) Visualization of BacA polymers. Purified BacA-His$_6$ was stained with uranyl acetate and imaged by
transmission electron microscopy (TEM). Arrowheads point to BacA filaments. Asterisks indicate filament
bundles and sheets. Bars: 200 nm. **(C)** Copolymerization of BacA and BacD after heterologous co-
expression in *E. coli*. Cells of *E. coli* BL21(DE3) transformed with plasmid pEC121 (P_{T7}-*bacA-eyfp* P_{T7}-*bacD-*
ecfp) were grown in LB medium containing 5 % glucose and induced with 0.5 mM IPTG prior to imaging.
Bar: 3 µm.

Figure 4. BacA and BacD show a dynamic, cell cycle-dependent localization pattern. (A) Localization
pattern of BacA-YFP. Cells of strain EC61 (*bacA*::*bacA-eyfp*) were transferred to agarose pads and imaged
at 20-min intervals. Shown are overlays of DIC and fluorescence images of a representative cell, with YFP
fluorescence shown in red. Scale bar: 3 μm. **(B)** Demographic analysis of BacA-YFP localization in swimmer
(left), stalked (middle), and budding (right) cells of strain EC61 (*bacA*::*bacA-eyfp*). The fluorescence inten-
sity profiles measured for cells of each type were sorted according to cell length and stacked on each
other, with the shortest cell shown at the top and the longest cell shown at the bottom (n=250 swimmer
cells, 215 stalked cells and 49 budding cells). Dotted red lines indicate the positions to which cells were
aligned. **(C)** Demographic analysis of BacD-Venus localization. Cells of strain EC67 (*bacD*::*bacD-venus*)
were analyzed as described in panel B (n=416 swimmer cells, 248 stalked cells and 45 budding cells). **(D)**
Co-localization of BacA and BacD in cells of strain EC68 (*bacA*::*bacA-eyfp bacD*::*bacD-mCherry*). Shown are
DIC images and overlays of the YFP and mCherry signals of representative cells, arranged according to
their developmental state. The individual signals are shown in **Figure S6A**. The Pearson's Correlation Coef-
ficient (PCC) of the two fluorescence signals in a random population of cells (n=454) is 0.506. Bar: 1 μm.

Figure 4—figure supplement 1. Stability of the fluorescent protein fusions used in this study. Cells of
strains LE670 (wild type), EC61 (*bacA*::*bacA-eyfp*), MO78 (*bacA*::*bacA$_{F130R}$-eyfp*) and EC67 (*bacD*::*bacD-*
venus) were subjected to immunoblot analysis using anti-GFP antibodies.

Figure 4—figure supplement 2. Localization dynamics of BacA-YFP. Cells of strain EC61 (*bacA*::*bacA-eyfp*)
were flushed into a microfluidic flow cell and imaged at 15-min intervals over multiple cell cycles. Shown
are overlays of DIC and fluorescence images. Bar: 2 μm.

Figure 4—figure supplement 3. Dependence of BacD localization on BacA. (A) Co-localization of BacA
and BacD in cells of strain EC68 (*bacA*::*bacA-eyfp bacD*::*bacD-mCherry*). Shown are the individual fluor-
escence images used to generate the overlays in **Figure 4D**. **(B)** Random localization of BacD complexes in
the absence of BacA. Cells of strain EC60 (Δ*bacA* P$_{Zn}$::P$_{Zn}$-*bacD-venus*) were grown in inducer-free medium
and induced for 4 h with 0.5 mM ZnSO$_4$ prior to imaging. Bar: 3 μm.

Figure 4—figure supplement 4. Localization and functionality of BacA$_{F130R}$-YFP. Cells of strain MO78
(*bacA*::*bacA$_{F130R}$-eyfp*), producing the polymerization-deficient BacA$_{F130R}$-YFP variant instead of the native
protein, were grown to exponential phase and imaged. Bar: 3 μm.

Figure 5. The single-particle dynamics of BacA change over the course of the cell cycle. (A) Representa-
tive heat maps showing the sum of single-particle positions observed in live-cell single-particle localization
microscopy studies of a swimmer, stalked and budding cell producing BacA-YFP (EC61) or a mutant cell

producing the polymerization-deficient BacA$_{F130R}$-YFP variant (MO78). Insets show the corresponding

bright-field images. Bars: 1 μm. **(B)** Mean-squared displacement (MSD) analysis of the mobility of BacA-

YFP in wild-type swimmer (n=100), stalked (n=105) and budding (n=101) cells (EC61). Cells (n=110) produc-

ing the BacA$_{F130R}$-YFP were analyzed as a control (MO78). Error bars indicate the standard deviation. **(C)**

Bubble plots showing the proportions of mobile and immobile particles (size of the bubbles) and the cor-

responding average diffusion constants (y-axis) in the cells analyzed in panel B.

Figure 5—figure supplement 1. Single-particle tracking analysis of BacA-YFP mobility. Shown is a

Gaussian mixture model (GMM) analysis of the mobility of the BacA-YFP variants analyzed in **Figure 3B**.

The distributions of the frame-to-frame displacements in both x and y direction from single-particle

tracking experiments were fitted to a two-component Gaussian function (sum in black), assuming a fast-

diffusing mobile (dotted blue lines) and a slow-diffusing (dotted red lines) population.

Figure 6. Putative operons comprising adjacent BacA and M23 peptidase genes are widely conserved

among bacteria. (A) Arrangement of *bacA* and *lmdC* genes in species whose BacA homolog has a proven

role in cell morphogenesis. **(B)** Co-conservation of BacA and M23 peptidase genes across different bacte-

rial phyla. Bioinformatic analysis was used to identified genomes that contained a bactofilin gene located

next to an M23 peptidase gene. After retrieval of the taxonomy IDs of the corresponding species from the

National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) website, a phylogenetic tree of the species was

created using the iTOL server (Letunic and Bork, 2021). Abbreviations: DT (Deinococcus-Thermus group),

FCB (Fibrobacteres-Chlorobi-Bacteroidetes group), β (β-proteobacteria), δ (δ-proteobacteria). The full list

of species used and details on the genes identified are provided in Dataset 2.

Figure 7. LmdC is a peptidoglycan hydrolase with DD-endopeptidase activity. (A) Predicted domain archi-

tecture of *H. neptunium* LmdC. The predicted positions of the transmembrane helix (TM), the three coiled-

coil regions (CC) and the M23 peptidase domain are indicated. **(B)** Alignment of the amino acid sequences

of multiple M23 peptidases showing the conservation of the catalytic residues in LmdC. Residues required

to coordinate the catalytic Zn^{2+} ion of LytM from *S. aureus* (Firczuk et al., 2005) are indicated by arrow-

heads. The proteins shown are EnvC from *E. coli* (UniProt: P37690), NlpD from *E. coli* (P0ADA3), LytM from

S. aureus (O33599), MepM from *E. coli* (P0AFS9) and LmdC from *H. neptunium* (Q0BYX6). **(C)** Predicted

molecular structure of *H. neptunium* LmdC, generated with Alphafold2 (Jumper et al., 2021). The different

domains of the protein and the position of proline 66, which terminates the N-terminal fragment of LmdC

used for the *in vivo* analyses in this study (LmdC$_{1-66}$) are indicated. **(D)** HPLC traces showing the muro-

peptide profile of peptidoglycan treated with LmdC. Cell walls were incubated with the isolated M23 pep-

tidase domain of LmdC at pH 5.0 and pH 7.5. Subsequently, muropeptides were released with cellosyl,

reduced and separated by HPLC. A control sample lacked LmdC (No protein). The nature of the major

products is indicated above the peaks. Tri, Tetra and Penta stand for N-acetylglucosamine-N-acetylmura-

mitol tripeptide, tetrapeptide and pentapeptide, respectively. **(E)** Structure of a TetraTetra muropeptide.

Abbreviations: G (N-acetylglucosamine), M (N-acetylmuramic acid). The cleavage site of LmdC is indicated

by a red arrowhead.

Figure 8. LmdC colocalizes and directly interacts with BacA. (A) Domain architectures of the native LmdC

protein and the LmdC$_{1-66}$-mCherry fusion used for co-localization studies. The transmembrane helix is

shown in purple. Abbreviations: CC (coiled-coil domain), M23 (M23 peptidase domain), CHY (mCherry).

(B) Co-localization of BacA-YFP and LmdC^{N}-mCherry in *H. neptunium*. Shown are representative cells of

strain MO79 (*bacA*::*bacA-eyfp* P$_{Cu}$::P$_{Cu}$-*lmdC^{N}-mCherry* that were induced for 2 h with 0.5 mM CuSO$_4$ prior

to analysis by DIC and fluorescence microscopy. **(C)** Demographic analysis of BacA-YFP and LmdC^{N}-

mCherry co-localization in swimmer (left; n=99), stalked (middle; n=103) and budding (right; n=22) cells

from the culture imaged in panel B. Data were analyzed as described for **Figure 4B**. Corresponding fluor-

escence profiles are shown at the same relative position in the two graphs. **(D)** Bio-layer interferometric

analysis of the interaction between cytoplasmic domain of LmdC and BacA. Sensors derivatized with a

biotinylated synthetic peptide comprising the N-terminal cytoplasmic region of LmdC (amino acids 1-38)

were probed with the indicated concentrations of BacA. After the association of BacA, the sensors were

transferred to protein-free buffer to induce BacA dissociation. The interaction kinetics were followed by

monitoring the wavelength shifts resulting from changes in the optical thickness of the sensor surface

during association or dissociation of the analyte. The extent of non-specific binding of BacA to the sensor

surface was negligible (control). **(E)** Affinity of the BacA-LmdC interaction. The maximal wavelength shifts

measured in the experiment shown in panel D were plotted against the corresponding BacA concentra-

tion. The data were fitted to a one-site binding model, yielding an apparent K_d value of ~15 µM.

Figure 8—figure supplement 1. Random localization of LmdC$_{1-66}$-mCherry in the Δ*bacAD* background.

Cells of strain MO80 (Δ*bacAD* P$_{Cu}$::P$_{Cu}$-*lmdC$_{1-66}$-mCherry*) were induced for 2 h with 0.5 mM CuSO$_4$ prior to

imaging. mCherry fluorescence is shown in yellow. Bar: 3 µm.

Figure 9. BacA and LmdC contribute to cell morphogenesis in *R. rubrum*. (A) Schematic representation

of the *bacA-lmdC* operon in *R. rubrum*. **(B)** Domain organization of BacA from *R. rubrum*. The bactofilin

polymerization domain (green box) is flanked by non-structured N- and C-terminal regions. **(C)** Phenotypes

of *R. rubrum* wild-type (S1), Δ*bacA$_{Rs}$* (SP70), Δ*lmdC$_{Rs}$* (SP68) and Δ*bacA$_{Rs}$* Δ*lmdC$_{Rs}$* (SP116) cells, imaged

using phase-contrast microscopy. Bar: 5 μm. **(D)** Superplots showing the distribution of cell sinuosities in

populations of the indicated *R. rubrum* strains. Small dots represent the data points, large dots represent

the median values of the three independent experiments shown in the graphs (dark blue, light blue, grey).

The mean of the three median values is indicated by a horizontal red line. Whiskers represent the standard

deviation. *** p<0.005; ns, not significant; t-test). **(E)** Co-localization of BacA$_{Rs}$-mCherry and LmdC$^{N}_{Rs}$-

mNeonGreen in the Δ*lmdC$_{Rs}$* background (SP119). The Pearson's Correlation Coefficient (PCC) of the two

fluorescence signals in a random subpopulation of cells (n=87) is 0.515. Scale bar: 5 μm. **(F)** Localization

of BacA$_{Rs}$-mNeonGreen in the Δ*lmdC$_{Rs}$* background (SP98). Bar: 5 μm. **(G)** Localization of LmdC$_{Rs}$-mNeon-

Green in the Δ*bacA$_{Rs}$* background (SP118). Bar: 5 μm.

Figure 9—figure supplement 1. Analysis of BacA and LmdC from *R. rubrum*. (A) Clearer visualization of

the abnormal curvature of BacA- and LmdC-deficient *R. rubrum* cells by inhibition of cell division. Cells of

strains SP68 (Δ*lmdC*), SP70 (Δ*bacA*) and SP116 (Δ*lmdC* Δ*bacA*) were treated with 5 μg/ml cefalexin (Cfx)

for 6 h. Bar: 5 μm. **(B)** Muropeptide profiles of different *R. rubrum* strains. Cell walls of strains S1 (wild

type), SP70 (Δ*bacA$_{Rs}$*) and SP68 (Δ*lmdC*) were digested with cellosyl to release muropeptides, which were

reduced and separated by HPLC chromatography. The identities of major muropeptide species, assigned

based on the previously reported retention times (Glauner, 1988), are given above the corresponding

peaks. Tetra stands for N-acetylglucosamine-N-acetylmuramitol tetrapeptide. "Anh" indicates muropep-

tides containing 1,6-anhydromuramic acid.

Figure 9—figure supplement 2. Cell lengths of *R. rubrum* strains. Superplots showing the distribution of

cell sinuosities in populations of strains S1 (wild type), SP105 (Δ*bacA$_{Rs}$* P$_{bacA}$-*bacA$_{Rs}$*) and SP109

(*bacA$_{Rs}$*::*bacA$_{Rs}$*-*mCherry*). Small dots represent the data points, large dots represent the median values of

the three independent experiments shown in the graphs (dark blue, light blue, grey). The mean of the

three median values is indicated by a horizontal line. Whiskers represent the standard deviation. ***

p<0.005; ns, not significant; t-test).

Figure 9—figure supplement 3. Lysis of *E. coli* upon heterologous expression of full-length *R. rubrum*

***lmdC*.** Shown are cells of *E. coli* Rosetta(DE3)pLysS harboring pSP120 (P$_{T7}$-*lmdC$_{Rs}$*) or the corresponding

empty vector imaged 4h after the induction of gene expression with 0.5 mM IPTG. Arrowheads indicate

ghost cells, cell debris, lysing cells or strongly elongated cells. Scale bar: 5 μm.

Figure 9—figure supplement 4. Analysis of *R. rubrum* strains producing fluorescent protein fusions. (A)

Levels of the LmdC$^{N}_{Rs}$-mNeonGreen fusion in different *R. rubrum* strains. Strains SP98 (Δ*lmdC$_{Rs}$*

bacA$_{Rs}$::*bacA$_{Rs}$*-*mNeongreen*), SP114 (*bacA$_{Rs}$*::*bacA$_{Rs}$*-*mCherry* P$_{lmdC}$–*lmdC$^{N}_{Rs}$*-*mNeongreen*), SP118 (Δ*bacA*

Δ*lmdC* P*~lmdC~–lmdC~1-80~-mNeongreen*) and SP119 (*bacA*::*bacA-mCherry* Δ*lmdC* P*~lmdC~–lmdC~1-80~-mNeongreen*)

were subjected to immunoblot analysis with an anti-mNeonGreen antibody. Predicted molecular weights:

BacA*~Rs~*-mNeonGreen (44.8 kDa), LmdC^N*~Rs~*-mNeonGreen fusion (35.9 kDa) **(B)** Levels of the BacA*~Rs~*-mCherry

fusion in different *R. rubrum* strains. Strains SP109 (*bacA~Rs~*::*bacA~Rs~-mCherry*), SP114 (*bacA~Rs~*::*bacA~Rs~-*

mCherry P*~lmdC~–lmdC^N~Rs~-mNeongreen*), SP117 (*bacA~Rs~*::*bacA~Rs~-mCherry* Δ*lmdC*) and SP119 (*bacA~Rs~*::*bacA~Rs~-*

mCherry Δ*lmdC* P*~lmdC~–lmdC^N~Rs~-mNeongreen*) were subjected to immunoblot analysis with an anti-mCherry

antibody. The predicted molecular weight of BacA*~Rs~*-mCherry is 44.9 kD. **(C)** Co-localization of BacA*~Rs~*-

mCherry and LmdC*~Rs~*-mNeongreen in *R. rubrum* cells carrying the native *lmdC~Rs~* gene. The Pearson's

Correlation Coefficient (PCC) of the two fluorescence signals in a random subpopulation of cells (n=177)

is 0.54. Scale bar: 5 µm.

Figure 10. Model of the roles of BacA and LmdC in *H. neptunium* and *R. rubrum* cell morphogenesis. (A)

Cell morphogenesis in *H. neptunium* wild-type (top) and Δ*bacAD* cells (bottom). In wild-type cells, BacA

polymers form a complex with the DD-endopeptidase LmdC at the future stalked pole. The hydrolytic

activity of LmdC helps to curve the cell wall at the incipient stalk base and thus determine stalk mor-

phology. The zone of high positive inner cell curvature, and potentially the physical barrier constituted by

the bactofilin polymer, prevent the movement of elongasome complexes from the mother cell body into

the stalk, thereby limiting peptidoglycan biosynthesis to the stalked cell pole. At a later stage, the bacto-

filin-LmdC complex localizes close to the tip of the stalk and again generates a ring-shaped zone of positive

cell curvature, thereby promoting the remodeling of the stalk tip into a spherical bud. At the onset of the

budding process, elongasome complexes accumulate in the nascent bud by a so-far unknown mechanism.

The positively curved bud neck and the bactofilin polymers prevent the movement of elongasome com-

plexes from the bud into the stalk, thereby limiting cell growth to the bud compartment. In the Δ*bacAD*

mutant, cells fail to concentrate LmdC at the future stalk base and bud neck. As a consequence, peptido-

glycan biosynthesis is no longer limited to the different growth zones, leading to pseudo-stalk formation

and unregulated bud expansion. **(B)** Bactofilin-mediated modulation of cell helicity in *R. rubrum*. BacA*~Rs~*

(yellow) recruits LmdC*~Rs~* (red) to the inner cell curvature. The hydrolytic activity of LmdC ultimately stimu-

lates peptidoglycan biosynthesis at this position, leading to a reduction in cell helicity.

Video legends

Video 1. Unregulated growth of a bactofilin-deficient *H. neptunium* mutant. Cells of strain EC33

(Δ*badAD*) were grown in a microfluidic flow cell and imaged by DIC microsopy at 15 min intervals. Bar:

2 µm.

Video 2. Normal growth of the *H. neptunium* wild-type strain. Cells of strain LE670 (wild type) were

grown in a microfluidic flow cell and imaged by DIC microscopy at 5 min intervals. Bar: 2 µm.

Videos 3. Localization dynamics of BacA-YFP (example 1). Cells of strain EC61 (*bacA::bacA-eyfp*) were

grown in a microfluidic flow cell and imaged at 15 min intervals. Shown are overlays of DIC and

fluorescence images. YFP fluorescence is shown in red for better visibility. Bar: 2 µm.

Videos 4. Localization dynamics of BacA-YFP (example 2). Images were taken as described for Video 3.

Bar: 2 µm.

Videos 5. Localization dynamics of BacA-YFP (example 3). Images were taken as described for Video 3.

Bar: 2 µm.

Figures

Figure 1. **Bactofilin BacA is required for proper cell morphology in *H. neptunium*. (A)** Schematic representation of the two bactofilin genes present in the *H. neptunium* genome. *bacA* lies adjacent to the M23 peptidase gene *lmdC*. Arrows indicate the direction of transcription. **(B)** Domain organization of BacA and BacD from *H. neptunium*. The bactofilin polymerization domain (colored boxes) is flanked by non-structured N- and C-terminal regions. **(C)** Morphology of *H. neptunium* bactofilin mutants. Shown are representative cells of strains LE670 (wild type), EC28 (Δ*bacA*), EC23 (Δ*bacD*) and EC33 (Δ*bacAD*), imaged by differential interference contrast (DIC) microscopy. Bar: 4 μm. **(D)** Immunoblot analysis of the strains shown in panel C, performed using anti-BacA antibodies. **(E)** Transmission electron micrographs of Δ*bacA* cells at the early stalked-cell stage. Bar: 1 μm. **(F)** Quantification of the proportion of phenotypically abnormal stalked and budding cells in cultures of the strains analyzed in panel C (n = 100 cells per strain).

Figure 2. Lack of BacA leads to uncontrolled growth of the stalk and bud compartments. (A) Immunoblot showing the levels of
BacA in strain EC41 (Δ*bacA* P_Cu-*bacA*) over the course of BacA depletion. Cells were grown in copper-containing medium, washed
and transferred to inducer-free medium. At the indicated time points, samples were withdrawn and subjected to immunoblot
analysis. Strains LE670 (wild type) and EC28 (Δ*bacA*) were included as controls. The position of BacA is indicated. **(B)**
Morphological defects induced by BacA depletion. Cells of strains LE670 (wild type) and EC41 (Δ*bacA* P_Cu-*bacA*) were grown in
medium containing 0.5 mM CuSO₄, washed, and incubated for 6 h in inducer-free medium before they were transferred onto
ASS-agarose pads lacking inducer and imaged at the indicated time points. Bar: 2 μm. **(C)** Changes in the growth pattern of
H. neptunium in the absence of bactofilins. Cells of strains LE670 (wild type) and EC33 (Δ*bacAD*) were stained with the fluorescent
D-amino acid HADA prior to analysis by fluorescence microscopy. Shown are representative images of cells at different
developmental stages. Bars: 2 μm.

Figure 3. BacA and BacD co-polymerize into filamentous structures. (A) Model of a BacA trimer generated with AlphaFold-
Multimer (Evans et al., 2022). Only residues P34-D138 are shown for each subunit. **(B)** Visualization of BacA polymers. Purified
BacA-His$_6$ was stained with uranyl acetate and imaged by transmission electron microscopy (TEM). Arrowheads point to BacA
filaments. Asterisks indicate filament bundles and sheets. Bars: 200 nm. **(C)** Copolymerization of BacA and BacD after
heterologous co-expression in *E. coli*. Cells of *E. coli* BL21(DE3) transformed with plasmid pEC121 (P$_{T7}$-*bacA-eyfp* P$_{T7}$-*bacD-ecfp*)
were grown in LB medium containing 5 % glucose and induced with 0.5 mM IPTG prior to imaging. Bar: 3 µm.

Figure 4. BacA and BacD show a dynamic, cell cycle-dependent localization pattern. (A) Localization pattern of BacA-YFP. Cells of strain EC61 (*bacA*::*bacA-eyfp*) were transferred to agarose pads and imaged at 20-min intervals. Shown are overlays of DIC and fluorescence images of a representative cell, with YFP fluorescence shown in red. Scale bar: 3 µm. **(B)** Demographic analysis of BacA-YFP localization in swimmer (left), stalked (middle), and budding (right) cells of strain EC61 (*bacA*::*bacA-eyfp*). The fluorescence intensity profiles measured for cells of each type were sorted according to cell length and stacked on each other, with the shortest cell shown at the top and the longest cell shown at the bottom (n=250 swimmer cells, 215 stalked cells and 49 budding cells). Dotted red lines indicate the positions to which cells were aligned. **(C)** Demographic analysis of BacD-Venus localization. Cells of strain EC67 (*bacD*::*bacD-venus*) were analyzed as described in panel B (n=416 swimmer cells, 248 stalked cells and 45 budding cells). **(D)** Co-localization of BacA and BacD in cells of strain EC68 (*bac*A::*bacA-eyfp bacD*::*bacD-mCherry*). Shown are DIC images and overlays of the YFP and mCherry signals of representative cells, arranged according to their developmental state. The individual signals are shown in **Figure S6A**. The Pearson's Correlation Coefficient (PCC) of the two fluorescence signals in a random population of cells (n=454) is 0.506. Bar: 1 µm.

anti-GFP

anti-GFP

Figure 4—figure supplement 3. Dependence of BacD localization on BacA. (A) Co-localization of BacA and BacD in cells of strain
EC68 (*bacA::bacA-eyfp bacD::bacD-mCherry*). Shown are the individual fluorescence images used to generate the overlays in
Figure 4D. **(B)** Random localization of BacD complexes in the absence of BacA. Cells of strain EC60 ($\Delta bacA$ P_{Zn}::P_{Zn}-*bacD-venus*)
were grown in inducer-free medium and induced for 4 h with 0.5 mM $ZnSO_4$ prior to imaging. Bar: 3 μm.

Figure 4—figure supplement 4. Localization and functionality of BacA$_{F130R}$-YFP. Cells of strain MO78 (*bacA::bacA$_{F130R}$-eyfp*),
producing the polymerization-deficient BacA$_{F130R}$-YFP variant instead of the native protein, were grown to exponential phase and
imaged. Bar: 3 μm.

Figure 5. **The single-particle dynamics of BacA change over the course of the cell cycle.** **(A)** Representative heat maps showing the sum of single-particle positions observed in live-cell single-particle localization microscopy studies of a swimmer, stalked and budding cell producing BacA-YFP (EC61) or a mutant cell producing the polymerization-deficient BacA$_{F130R}$-YFP variant (MO78). Insets show the corresponding bright-field images. Bars: 1 µm. **(B)** Mean-squared displacement (MSD) analysis of the mobility of BacA-YFP in wild-type swimmer (n=100), stalked (n=105) and budding (n=101) cells (EC61). Cells (n=110) producing the BacA$_{F130R}$-YFP were analyzed as a control (MO78). Error bars indicate the standard deviation. **(C)** Bubble plots showing the proportions of mobile and immobile particles (size of the bubbles) and the corresponding average diffusion constants (y-axis) in the cells analyzed in panel B.

Figure 5—figure supplement 1. **Single-particle tracking analysis of BacA-YFP mobility.** Shown is a Gaussian mixture model (GMM) analysis of the mobility of the BacA-YFP variants analyzed in **Figure 3B**. The distributions of the frame-to-frame displacements in both x and y direction from single-particle tracking experiments were fitted to a two-component Gaussian function (sum in black), assuming a fast-diffusing mobile (dotted blue lines) and a slow-diffusing (dotted red lines) population.

Figure 6. Putative operons comprising adjacent BacA and M23 peptidase genes are widely conserved among bacteria. (A) Arrangement of *bacA* and *lmdC* genes in species whose BacA homolog has a proven role in cell morphogenesis. **(B)** Co-conservation of BacA and M23 peptidase genes across different bacterial phyla. Bioinformatic analysis was used to identified genomes that contained a bactofilin gene located next to an M23 peptidase gene. After retrieval of the taxonomy IDs of the corresponding species from the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) website, a phylogenetic tree of the species was created using the iTOL server (Letunic and Bork, 2021). Abbreviations: DT (Deinococcus-Thermus group), FCB (Fibrobacteres-Chlorobi-Bacteroidetes group), β (β-proteobacteria), δ (δ-proteobacteria). The full list of species used and details on the genes identified are provided in Dataset 2.

Figure 7. LmdC is a peptidoglycan hydrolase with DD-endopeptidase activity. (A) Predicted domain architecture of *H. neptunium* LmdC. The predicted positions of the transmembrane helix (TM), the three coiled-coil regions (CC) and the M23 peptidase domain are indicated. **(B)** Alignment of the amino acid sequences of multiple M23 peptidases showing the conservation of the catalytic residues in LmdC. Residues required to coordinate the catalytic Zn^{2+} ion of LytM from *S. aureus* (Firczuk et al., 2005) are indicated by arrowheads. The proteins shown are EnvC from *E. coli* (UniProt: P37690), NlpD from *E. coli* (P0ADA3), LytM from *S. aureus* (O33599), MepM from *E. coli* (P0AFS9) and LmdC from *H. neptunium* (Q0BYX6). **(C)** Predicted molecular structure of *H. neptunium* LmdC, generated with Alphafold2 (Jumper et al., 2021). The different domains of the protein and the position of proline 66, which terminates the N-terminal fragment of LmdC used for the *in vivo* analyses in this study (LmdC$_{1-66}$) are indicated. **(D)** HPLC traces showing the muropeptide profile of peptidoglycan treated with LmdC. Cell walls were incubated with the isolated M23 peptidase domain of LmdC at pH 5.0 and pH 7.5. Subsequently, muropeptides were released with cellosyl, reduced and separated by HPLC. A control sample lacked LmdC (No protein). The nature of the major products is indicated above the peaks. Tri, Tetra and Penta stand for N-acetylglucosamine-N-acetylmuramitol tripeptide, tetrapeptide and pentapeptide, respectively. **(E)** Structure of a TetraTetra muropeptide. Abbreviations: G (N-acetylglucosamine), M (N-acetylmuramic acid). The cleavage site of LmdC is indicated by a red arrowhead.

Figure 8. LmdC colocalizes and directly interacts with BacA. (A) Domain architectures of the native LmdC protein and the LmdC$_{1-}$
$_{66}$-mCherry fusion used for co-localization studies. The transmembrane helix is shown in purple. Abbreviations: CC (coiled-coil
domain), M23 (M23 peptidase domain), CHY (mCherry). **(B)** Co-localization of BacA-YFP and LmdC^N-mCherry in *H. neptunium*.
Shown are representative cells of strain MO79 (*bacA::bacA-eyfp* P$_{Cu}$::P$_{Cu}$-*lmdC^N-mCherry*) that were induced for 2 h with 0.5 mM
CuSO$_4$ prior to analysis by DIC and fluorescence microscopy. **(C)** Demographic analysis of BacA-YFP and LmdC^N-mCherry co-
localization in swimmer (left; n=99), stalked (middle; n=103) and budding (right; n=22) cells from the culture imaged in panel B.
Data were analyzed as described for **Figure 4B**. Corresponding fluorescence profiles are shown at the same relative position in
the two graphs. **(D)** Bio-layer interferometric analysis of the interaction between cytoplasmic domain of LmdC and BacA. Sensors
derivatized with a biotinylated synthetic peptide comprising the N-terminal cytoplasmic region of LmdC (amino acids 1-38) were
probed with the indicated concentrations of BacA. After the association of BacA, the sensors were transferred to protein-free
buffer to induce BacA dissociation. The interaction kinetics were followed by monitoring the wavelength shifts resulting from
changes in the optical thickness of the sensor surface during association or dissociation of the analyte. The extent of non-specific
binding of BacA to the sensor surface was negligible (control). **(E)** Affinity of the BacA-LmdC interaction. The maximal wavelength
shifts measured in the experiment shown in panel D were plotted against the corresponding BacA concentration. The data were
fitted to a one-site binding model, yielding an apparent K_d value of ~15 μM.

Figure 8—figure supplement 1. Random localization of LmdC$_{1-66}$-mCherry in the Δ*bacAD* background. Cells of strain MO80
(Δ*bacAD* P$_{Cu}$::P$_{Cu}$-*lmdC$_{1-66}$-mCherry*) were induced for 2 h with 0.5 mM CuSO$_4$ prior to imaging. mCherry fluorescence is shown in
yellow. Bar: 3 μm.

Figure 9. BacA and LmdC contribute to cell morphogenesis in *R. rubrum*. (A) Schematic representation of the *bacA-lmdC* operon
in *R. rubrum*. **(B)** Domain organization of BacA from *R. rubrum*. The bactofilin polymerization domain (green box) is flanked by
non-structured N- and C-terminal regions. **(C)** Phenotypes of *R. rubrum* wild-type (S1), Δ*bacA*$_{Rs}$ (SP70), Δ*lmdC*$_{Rs}$ (SP68) and Δ*bacA*$_{Rs}$
Δ*lmdC*$_{Rs}$ (SP116) cells, imaged using phase-contrast microscopy. Bar: 5 μm. **(D)** Superplots showing the distribution of cell
sinuosities in populations of the indicated *R. rubrum* strains. Small dots represent the data points, large dots represent the median
values of the three independent experiments shown in the graphs (dark blue, light blue, grey). The mean of the three median
values is indicated by a horizontal red line. Whiskers represent the standard deviation. *** p<0.005; ns, not significant; t-test).
(E) Co-localization of BacA$_{Rs}$-mCherry and LmdC^N$_{Rs}$-mNeonGreen in the Δ*lmdC*$_{Rs}$ background (SP119). The Pearson's Correlation
Coefficient (PCC) of the two fluorescence signals in a random subpopulation of cells (n=87) is 0.515. Scale bar: 5 μm. **(F)**
Localization of BacA$_{Rs}$-mNeonGreen in the Δ*lmdC*$_{Rs}$ background (SP98). Bar: 5 μm. **(G)** Localization of LmdC$_{Rs}$-mNeonGreen in the
Δ*bacA*$_{Rs}$ background (SP118). Bar: 5 μm.

Figure 9—figure supplement 1. Analysis of BacA and LmdC from *R. rubrum*. (A) Clearer visualization of the abnormal curvature
of BacA- and LmdC-deficient *R. rubrum* cells by inhibition of cell division. Cells of strains SP68 (Δ*lmdC*), SP70 (Δ*bacA*) and SP116
(Δ*lmdC* Δ*bacA*) were treated with 5 µg/ml cefalexin (Cfx) for 6 h. Bar: 5 µm. **(B)** Muropeptide profiles of different *R. rubrum*
strains. Cell walls of strains S1 (wild type), SP70 (Δ*bacA$_{Rs}$*) and SP68 (Δ*lmdC*) were digested with cellosyl to release muropeptides,
which were reduced and separated by HPLC chromatography. The identities of major muropeptide species, assigned based on
the previously reported retention times (Glauner, 1988), are given above the corresponding peaks. Tetra stands for N-acetyl-
glucosamine-N-acetylmuramitol tetrapeptide. "Anh" indicates muropeptides containing 1,6-anhydromuramic acid.

Figure 9—figure supplement 2. Cell lengths of *R. rubrum* strains. Superplots showing the distribution of cell sinuosities in popu-
lations of strains S1 (wild type), SP105 (Δ*bacA$_{Rs}$* P$_{bacA}$-*bacA$_{Rs}$*) and SP109 (*bacA$_{Rs}$*::*bacA$_{Rs}$*-*mCherry*). Small dots represent the data
points, large dots represent the median values of the three independent experiments shown in the graphs (dark blue, light blue,
grey). The mean of the three median values is indicated by a horizontal line. Whiskers represent the standard deviation. ***
p<0.005; ns, not significant; t-test.

Figure 9—figure supplement 3. Lysis of *E. coli* upon heterologous expression of full-length *R. rubrum lmdC*. Shown are cells of
E. coli Rosetta(DE3)pLysS harboring pSP120 (P$_{T7}$-*lmdC$_{Rs}$*) or the corresponding empty vector imaged 4h after the induction of gene
expression with 0.5 mM IPTG. Arrowheads indicate ghost cells, cell debris, lysing cells or strongly elongated cells. Scale bar: 5 µm.

Figure 9—figure supplement 4. Analysis of *R. rubrum* strains producing fluorescent protein fusions. (A) Levels of the LmdC$^N_{Rs}$-
mNeonGreen fusion in different *R. rubrum* strains. Strains SP98 (Δ*lmdC$_{Rs}$ bacA$_{Rs}$*::*bacA$_{Rs}$-mNeongreen*), SP114 (*bacA$_{Rs}$*::*bacA$_{Rs}$-*
mCherry P$_{lmdC}$–*lmdC$^N_{Rs}$-mNeongreen*), SP118 (Δ*bacA* Δ*lmdC* P$_{lmdC}$–*lmdC$_{1-80}$-mNeongreen*) and SP119 (*bacA*::*bacA-mCherry* Δ*lmdC*
P$_{lmdC}$–*lmdC$_{1-80}$-mNeongreen*) were subjected to immunoblot analysis with an anti-mNeonGreen antibody. Predicted molecular
weights: BacA$_{Rs}$-mNeonGreen (44.8 kDa), LmdC$^N_{Rs}$-mNeonGreen fusion (35.9 kDa) **(B)** Levels of the BacA$_{Rs}$-mCherry fusion in
different *R. rubrum* strains. Strains SP109 (*bacA$_{Rs}$*::*bacA$_{Rs}$-mCherry*), SP114 (*bacA$_{Rs}$*::*bacA$_{Rs}$-mCherry* P$_{lmdC}$–*lmdC$^N_{Rs}$-mNeongreen*),
SP117 (*bacA$_{Rs}$*::*bacA$_{Rs}$-mCherry* Δ*lmdC*) and SP119 (*bacA$_{Rs}$*::*bacA$_{Rs}$-mCherry* Δ*lmdC* P$_{lmdC}$–*lmdC$^N_{Rs}$-mNeongreen*) were subjected to
immunoblot analysis with an anti-mCherry antibody. The predicted molecular weight of BacA$_{Rs}$-mCherry is 44.9 kD. **(C)** Co-
localization of BacA$_{Rs}$-mCherry and LmdC$_{Rs}$-mNeongreen in *R. rubrum* cells carrying the native *lmdC$_{Rs}$* gene. The Pearson's
Correlation Coefficient (PCC) of the two fluorescence signals in a random subpopulation of cells (n=177) is 0.54. Scale bar: 5 µm.