1

TEAD1 is crucial for myelination, Remak bundles and functional

regeneration of peripheral nerves

Matthew Grove[1], Hyukmin Kim[1], Shuhuan Pang[1], José Paz Amaya[2], Guoqing Hu[3], Jiliang

Zhou[3], Michel Lemay[2], and Young-Jin Son[1]*

[1]Department of Neural Sciences, Shriners Hospitals Pediatric Research Center, Lewis Katz

School of Medicine, Temple University, Philadelphia, PA 19140

[2]Department of Bioengineering, Temple University, Philadelphia, PA 19122, USA

[3]Department of Pharmacology & Toxicology, Medical College of Georgia, Augusta University,

Augusta, GA

22 * Corresponding author: yson@temple.edu

ABSTRACT

The hippo pathway transcriptional effectors, YAP/TAZ, are crucial for Schwann cells (SCs) to myelinate axons but the partner transcription factor remains undetermined. Here, using conditional and inducible knockout mice, we report that TEAD1 is essential for SCs to develop, grow, and regenerate myelin sheaths. TEAD1/2/3/4 are all present in SCs, but YAP/TAZ strongly favors TEAD1. It promotes myelination by both positively and negatively regulating SC proliferation, enabling Krox20/Egr2 to upregulate myelin proteins, and upregulating the cholesterol biosynthetic enzymes FDPS and IDI1. We also show stage-dependent redundancy of TEAD1 and that non-myelinating SCs have a unique requirement for TEAD1 to enwrap nociceptive axons in Remak bundles. Our findings establish TEAD1 as a crucial partner of YAP/TAZ in developmental myelination and functional nerve regeneration and as a novel transcription factor regulating Remak bundle integrity.

KEYWORDS

YAP, TAZ, Hippo signaling, TEAD, myelin, Krox20, Egr2, radial sorting, peripheral neuropathy, Nerve injury, Remak Schwann cells, c fibers

INTRODUCTION

In the mammalian peripheral nervous system, all motor and sensory axons are associated with Schwann cells (SCs). Axons larger than 1μm diameter are enveloped by myelinating SCs that form multilamellar myelin sheaths with a thickness proportional to axon caliber (Jessen and Mirsky, 2005; Salzer, 2015). Axons smaller than 1μm diameter, the unmyelinated nociceptors (i.e., C-fibers), are organized in clusters called Remak bundles, in which each C-fiber is ensheathed by a cytoplasmic pocket formed by non-myelinating SCs, also known as Remak SCs (Beirowski et al., 2014; Harty and Monk, 2017). Both myelinating and non-myelinating SCs provide metabolic and functional support essential for structural and functional integrity of peripheral nerves. Failure to initiate or maintain proper axon-SC association may lead to life-threatening paralysis and peripheral neuropathy (Scherer and Wrabetz, 2008). Myelinating SCs form and maintain myelin via a set of key transcription factors (TFs) and regulators (Bremer et

al., 2011; Decker et al., 2006; Finzsch et al., 2010; He et al., 2010; Jaegle et al., 2003; Kao et al.,

2009; Le et al., 2005b). However, the transcriptional regulation of myelinating SCs remains

incompletely understood, and that of non-myelinating SCs is largely unknown (Harty and Monk,

2017).

Recent mouse genetic studies surprisingly revealed that YAP (Yes-associated protein)

and its paralogue, TAZ (Transcriptional coactivator with PDZ-binding motif), which are potent

oncoproteins and transcriptional regulators in the Hippo signaling pathway, play crucial roles in

developing, maintaining, and regenerating myelination of peripheral nerves. Both YAP and TAZ

(YAP/TAZ) are expressed initially in all immature SCs and then selectively in myelinating SCs

(Grove et al., 2017). The processes for which they are required include: timely sorting of large

axons and associated SC proliferation (Grove et al., 2017; Poitelon et al., 2016); initiation of SC

differentiation or myelination (Deng et al., 2017; Grove et al., 2017); myelin maintenance in

adults (Grove et al., 2017); and remyelination following nerve injury (Grove et al., 2020;

Jeanette et al., 2021). YAP/TAZ initiate and maintain myelination in part by upregulating

Krox20 (a.k.a., Egr2) (Grove et al., 2017; Lopez-Anido et al., 2016), which is widely recognized

as the master TF promoting peripheral myelination. Krox20 is required to initiate myelination,

maintain adult myelin (Decker et al., 2006; Topilko et al., 1994), and likely to promote myelin

sheath growth (Le et al., 2005a). It acts through direct transcriptional upregulation of myelin

proteins and membrane lipids (Jang et al., 2010; Jang and Svaren, 2009; LeBlanc et al., 2006).

YAP/TAZ are transcriptional co-activators that contain a transcriptional activation

domain, but no DNA binding domain. They regulate gene expression by binding to partner TFs,

typically members of the TEA domain family (TEAD1-4) (Zheng and Pan, 2019). Conversely,

TEADs on their own are unable to activate or repress transcription (Xiao et al., 1991) and require

the help of co-factors such as YAP/TAZ to act (Currey et al., 2021). Partner TFs such as TEADs

are required to maintain YAP/TAZ in the nucleus, which keeps them transcriptionally active

(Diepenbruck et al., 2014; Kim et al., 2020; Kofler et al., 2018; Yao et al., 2018). Transcript data

indicates that SCs express all four TEADs and that their expression peaks at different times

during development (Gerber et al., 2021). Moreover, TEAD1-4 show unique, redundant, and/or

opposing roles in regulating proliferation and differentiation of various cell types; these roles can

also change as a function of developmental stage (Currey et al., 2021; He et al., 2018; Holden

and Cunningham, 2018; Mukhtar et al., 2020). It remains to be elucidated how and which

TEAD(s) in SCs mediate transcriptional regulation of YAP/TAZ for the development,

homeostasis, and/or regeneration of myelination.

In some cells, TEAD1 serves as the main partner TEAD and plays non-redundant roles

(Liu et al., 2019; Wen et al., 2019). In SCs, ChiP, co-IP, and transcription assays have

consistently indicated that TEAD1 associates with YAP/TAZ for upregulating myelin proteins

(Fernando et al., 2016; Grove et al., 2017; Lopez-Anido et al., 2016; Lopez-Anido et al., 2015).

Notably, unlike YAP/TAZ, TEAD1 is expressed in all SC nuclei (Grove et al., 2017), suggesting

that, independently of YAP/TAZ, TEAD1 plays an unknown role in non-myelinating SCs. In this

study, we generated conditional and inducible knockout mice lacking TEAD1 in SCs to

investigate the roles of TEAD1 in myelinating and non-myelinating SCs. We found that TEAD1

is uniquely required for myelinating SCs to initiate, grow and regenerate myelination, and for

non-myelinating SCs to enwrap C-fibers in Remak bundles during development and

homeostasis. We also uncovered the mechanistic basis of the non-redundancy of TEAD1 and

found that YAP/TAZ-TEAD1 promotes myelination by dually regulating SC proliferation, by

enabling induced Krox20 to upregulate myelin proteins and by upregulating cholesterol

biosynthetic enzymes. Additional results suggest that other TEADs may play redundant and

opposing roles in a stage-dependent manner.

RESULTS

Multiple TEADs are expressed in Schwann cells of WT, *Tead1* cKO and iKO mice

To investigate the roles of SC TEAD1 in developing nerves, we conditionally ablated

Tead1 by crossing *Tead1*$^{fl/fl}$ mice (Wen et al., 2017) with mice carrying *Mpz*-Cre, which is active

from E13.5 in SCs (Feltri et al., 1999). To investigate the roles of TEAD1 in maintaining and

regenerating nerves in adults, we inducibly inactivated SC *Tead1* by crossing *Tead1*$^{fl/fl}$ mice with

mice carrying a tamoxifen-inducible *Plp*-CreER (Leone et al., 2003). Immunostaining of P22

WT and *Mpz-Cre;Tead1*$^{fl/fl}$ (hereafter *Tead1* cKO) sciatic nerves (SNs) showed that TEAD1 was

expressed in the nuclei of 100% of WT SCs and was undetectable in >97% *Tead1* cKO SCs

(Figure 1A). Immunostaining of WT and *Plp* -CreER*; Tead1*$^{fl/fl}$ (hereafter *Tead1* iKO) SNs after

tamoxifen treatment showed that TEAD1 was also present in 100% of SC nuclei in both intact

and regenerating nerves of adult WT mice and was deleted in almost all *Tead1* iKO SCs (Figure

1B, 1C). The specificity of the TEAD1 immunostaining and highly efficient deletion were

further confirmed by Western blotting (Figure 1D). These results suggest potential roles for

TEAD1 in both myelinating and non-myelinating SCs during development, maintenance and

after nerve injury.

To investigate the expression of other TEADs in WT, *Tead1* cKO and *Tead1* iKO SCs,

we used antibodies to TEAD2, 3 and 4, and a pan-TEAD antibody marketed to recognize all four

TEADs (TEAD1/2/3/4). Western blot analysis of SN lysates showed that anti-TEAD3 and anti-

pan TEAD both revealed a main ~ 50kD band that was decreased in *Tead1* cKO (Figure 1D).

The pan-TEAD antibody may therefore bind preferentially to TEAD3. Anti-TEAD4 recognized

three bands in WT and showed that the two faster-migrating bands were decreased in *Tead1* cKO

(Figure 1D). Anti-TEAD2 recognized a band of ~65 kD in *Tead1* cKO that was barely detectable

in WT lysates. This band corresponded to the size of TEAD2 reported in other cell types

(Beverdam et al., 2013). We also found that the ~65 kD band was present early postnatally, but

rapidly diminished with age (data not shown), consistent with previous transcript data from

mouse SCs (Gerber et al., 2021). Therefore, the expression of TEAD2-4 was altered in *Tead1*

cKO, confirming the specificity of the antibodies and their expression in SCs. Of these anti-

TEAD antibodies, only anti-pan TEAD functioned effectively for immunofluorescence staining,

verifying the presence of other TEADs in SC nuclei in both intact (Figure 1E) and injured WT

and *Tead1* iKO SNs (Figure 1F). These results suggest that WT SCs express all four TEADs and

that *Tead1* cKO and iKO SCs express TEAD2-4 in their nuclei.

YAP/TAZ strongly favor TEAD1 but partner with other TEADs in the absence of TEAD1

In the absence of a partner TF, nuclear YAP/TAZ are decreased and phosphorylation of

YAP/TAZ increased, due to targeting by Large tumor suppressor kinase 1/2 (LATS1/2),

negative regulators of YAP/TAZ (Meng et al., 2016). To investigate if YAP/TAZ are in the

nuclei of SCs and therefore transcriptionally functional in the absence of TEAD1, we compared

western blotting of P50 WT vs *Tead1* cKO SN lysates and observed an overall decrease in YAP

levels in *Tead1* cKO but a > 2-fold increase in TAZ levels compared to WT (Figure 2A). This

ratio of YAP to TAZ in *Tead1* cKO resembled that observed during developmental myelination

in WT SNs (Deng et al., 2017; Grove et al., 2017). Furthermore, phosphorylation of YAP

decreased in cKO, which would typically indicate increased YAP activity. These results suggest

that YAP/TAZ are not targeted for destruction in the absence of TEAD1. Indeed, YAP/TAZ

were retained in the SC nucleus of *Tead1* cKO (Figure 2B) and both intact and injured *Tead1*

iKO SNs (Figure 2C, 2D), as strongly supported by quantitative analysis (Figure 2E).

To investigate whether other TEADs could be complexed with YAP/TAZ, thereby

retaining YAP/TAZ in SC nuclei in the absence of TEAD1, we carried out YAP/TAZ co-

immunoprecipitation (IP) experiments comparing WT vs *Tead1* iKO, and blotted for YAP/TAZ,

TEAD1 and pan-TEAD (Figure 2F). After verifying equal amounts of lysate samples and the

efficiency of IPs with post-IP lysates, we observed that TEAD1 strongly co-IPed with YAP/TAZ

from WT lysates and that levels were greatly reduced in *Tead1* iKO lysates. Blotting with anti-

pan-TEAD showed that multiple TEADs were co-IPed with YAP/TAZ from both WT and *Tead1*

iKO lysates. Notably, the pan-TEAD co-IPed from WT lysates, like TEAD1, migrated more

slowly than that from *Tead1* iKO lysates, whereas the *Tead1* iKO pan-TEAD migrated similarly

to the major pan-TEAD band in post-IP lysates. Longer exposure of the pan-TEAD film revealed

the presence of a fainter band migrating above the main pan-TEAD band in WT lysates (Figure

2F; arrow). Because this band co-migrated with TEAD1 and was not present in *Tead1* iKO

lysates, it was identified as TEAD1. These results suggest that TEAD1 makes up a very minor

portion of that revealed by pan-TEAD in WT lysates, but the overwhelming majority of that

revealed by pan-TEAD in YAP/TAZ IPs from these lysates. Therefore, although multiple

TEADs are present, TEAD1 is greatly favored as the binding partner for YAP/TAZ. However, in

the absence of TEAD1, other TEADs are associated with YAP/TAZ presumably to substitute for

some or all the functions of the YAP/TAZ-TEAD1 transcriptional complex.

TEAD1 is required for myelin sheath formation and growth

Tead1 cKO mice were smaller than WT mice at all ages, with labored breathing and

severely splayed fore- and hindlimbs, indicative of severe peripheral neuropathy (Figure 3A,

Supplementary Video 1). The hindlimbs never became completely paralyzed, however, in

contrast to *Yap/Taz* cDKO mice (Grove et al., 2017). *Tead1* cKO mice were humanely

euthanized before P60. *Tead1* cKO SNs were noticeably translucent, indicative of reduced

myelin content (Figure 3B). Electrical stimulation of cKO SNs elicited low and dispersed

compound muscle action potentials (CMAPs) (Figure 3C). Nerve conduction velocity (NCV) in

cKO was ~8 m/s, which was markedly reduced from ~40 m/s in WT mice (Figure 3D).

Next, we used semi-thin and transmission electron microscopy (TEM) to examine the

processes of developmental myelination and Remak bundle formation. We first examined SNs at

P3, when, in WT, many large axons had already been radially sorted, establishing 1:1

relationship with promyelinating SCs, and myelination had begun. Compared to WT, *Tead1* cKO

SNs were thinner, unsorted bundles of axons were larger and more numerous, and myelination

was minimal (Figure 3E). Nevertheless, we frequently observed large axons that had been sorted

and established a 1:1 relationship with promyelinating SCs, as in WT. Therefore, radial sorting

was delayed in *Tead1* cKO, though less severely than in *Yap/Taz* cDKO mice, in which no axons

were sorted at P4 (Grove et al., 2017).

At P22, when WT SNs were fully myelinated, the phenotype of *Tead1* cKO SNs was

complex and included areas of unsorted axon bundles, sorted but unmyelinated axons, and thinly

myelinated axons (Figure 3F, Supplementary figure 1A). Notably, at P50, this phenotype was

unchanged from that at P22: areas of unsorted bundles, promyelination, and thin myelination

persisted (Figure 3G, Supplementary figure 1A). TEM analysis confirmed that myelin was

indeed thinner in *Tead1* cKO than in WT at both P22 and P50 (Figure 3F, 3G, Supplementary

figure 1B). The g-ratio did not significantly change in either WT or cKO between P22 and P50

(Figure 3H), suggesting that growth of the myelin sheath relative to axon diameter did not

increase over this period. These data suggest that myelination in *Tead1* cKO was arrested rather

than delayed. Plots of g-ratio versus axon diameter showed that the mutant defect was present in

axons of all diameters but was particularly notable in those of larger diameter (Figure 3I, 3J).

The mean axon diameter was also reduced in cKO at P22, though it increased with age (Figure

3K). These data show that TEAD1 is required, like YAP/TAZ (Grove et al., 2017), for timely

radial sorting and initiation of myelination during development. Although some axons become

myelinated in the absence of TEAD1, presumably due to other TEADs, they require TEAD1 for

myelin sheath to properly grow in proportion to axon diameter.

TEAD1 is required for proper formation of Remak bundles

Remak bundle formation was also abnormal in *Tead1* cKO mice. In WT SNs at P22 and

P50, small diameter axons were present in Remak bundles, with each C-fiber ensheathed by SC

cytoplasm (Figure 3F, 3L). In *Tead1* cKO, C-fibers were also present in bundles in which axons

were rarely larger than 1 μm in diameter, suggesting that they were almost fully sorted.

However, at both P22 and P50, the observed bundles were frequently small, often the size of a
large myelinated axon. Notably, a SC surrounded each bundle but individual C-fibers of most
Remak bundles were not ensheathed by SC cytoplasm (Figure 3L). Furthermore, these bundles
were frequently and abnormally thinly myelinated. Quantification showed that ~80% of C-fibers
were not ensheathed by SC cytoplasm in P50 *Tead1* cKO SNs (Figure 3M). Therefore, TEAD1
is required to develop proper association of C-fibers with non-myelinating SCs in Remak
bundles.
216
TEAD1 regulates SC proliferation both positively and negatively to promote myelination
For myelination and Remak bundle formation to occur properly, timely generation of
sufficient numbers of SCs is essential. YAP/TAZ are necessary for optimal SC proliferation in
the late embryonic and early postnatal periods (Deng et al., 2017; Feltri et al., 2016; Grove et al.,
2017). To determine if the defects of myelination and Remak bundles in *Tead1* cKO are due to
defective SC proliferation, we counted SCs. At P3, SC number in cKO SNs was about half that
of WT (Figure 4A), slightly more than in *Yap/Taz* cDKO at P4 (Grove et al., 2017). The number
of SCs in the cell cycle (Ki67-positive; Figure 4B) and in S-phase (EdU-positive; Figure 4C) was
greatly reduced in cKO at P3. Therefore, TEAD1 is required for optimal SC proliferation at P3,
suggesting that the YAP/TAZ-TEAD1 complex promotes SC proliferation at early postnatal
periods.
At P22 and P50, however, SC numbers in *Tead1* cKO SNs were greatly increased and
became more than twice those of WT (Figure 4A); a similar increase was not observed in
Yap/Taz cDKO (Grove et al., 2017). As *Tead1* cKO SC numbers were similar at P22 and P50,
we reasoned that between P3 and P22, SC proliferation must occur at an abnormally high rate in
this mutant. Indeed, the number of SCs that were Ki67-positive or EdU-positive was
significantly increased in cKO at P22 (Figure 4B, 4C). The increased SC numbers were not due
to proliferation of residual non-deleted SCs: whereas in WT, EdU-positive SCs contained
TEAD1, TEAD1 was absent in most EdU-positive SCs in *Tead1* cKO (Figure 4D). During
development, therefore, SCs switch from requiring TEAD1 for optimal proliferation, to requiring
TEAD1 for timely exit from the cell-cycle, which is a prerequisite for SC differentiation and
myelination (Hindley and Philpott, 2012).
239

TEAD1 is required for Krox20 to upregulate myelin proteins, but not for Krox20 upregulation

Our findings thus far show that, in the absence of TEAD1 in SCs, other TEADs can complex with YAP/TAZ. Nevertheless, only some axons are myelinated abnormally thinly in *Tead1* cKO which grossly phenocopied *Yap/Taz* iDKO. These defects are not because *Tead1* cKO mice lack SCs. *Yap/Taz* cDKO exhibited a complete failure of myelination due to arrest of their SCs as promyelinating SCs, in part because they fail to upregulate Krox20/Egr2 (Early Growth Response 2), which drives transcription of myelin proteins (Grove et al., 2017). To determine whether TEAD1 is required for Krox20 upregulation, we first investigated Oct6 (Pou3f1, Scip), whose upregulation precedes and is required for Krox20 upregulation; Oct6 is subsequently downregulated, possibly by Krox20 in a feedback mechanism (Ryu et al., 2007; Zorick et al., 1999). Western blotting of P50 SN lysates showed minimal expression of Oct6 in WT, but greatly upregulated expression in *Tead1* cKO (Figure 5A). Immunohistochemistry of P50 cKO SNs showed intense Oct6 expression in ~80% of SC nuclei; Oct6 expression was minimal in WT (Figure 5B). Notably, at both P11 and P50, a similar percentage of SCs expressed Krox20 in WT and *Tead1* cKO SNs (Figure 5C). In Western blots, Krox20 was upregulated >4-fold in cKO compared to WT (Figure 5A). As adult *Tead1* cKO mice contain ~2-fold more SCs than WT (Figure 4A), these data suggest that each SC in *Tead1* cKO contains ~2-fold more Krox20 than WT. Thus, in contrast to SCs lacking YAP/TAZ (Grove et al., 2017), SCs lacking TEAD1 upregulate Krox20, suggesting that TEAD1 is dispensable for YAP/TAZ to upregulate Krox20.

Consistent with the idea that Krox20 is pivotal for myelin gene transcription, expression of myelin basic protein, MBP, and myelin protein zero, MPZ, was abundant in WT P50 SNs (Figure 5A). In contrast, despite ample expression of Krox20, both MBP and MPZ were markedly decreased in *Tead1* cKO, suggesting that Krox20 fails to properly upregulate myelin proteins without TEAD1. To exclude the possibility that Krox20 expressed in cKO SCs is defective, we took advantage of the earlier finding that loss of Krox20 or its co-factors, Nab1/2, causes SCs to remain aberrantly in the cell-cycle (Le et al., 2005b; Zorick et al., 1999). Therefore, we studied whether EdU-positive SCs in the cell-cycle of P11 cKO were also positive for Krox20, which would indicate defective Krox20. We found that, although ~65% of SC nuclei contained Krox20, only a few EdU-positive SCs exhibited even minimal Krox20

immunoreactivity in either WT or *Tead1* cKO (Figure 5D). Thus, despite high levels of

functional Krox20, myelin protein expression was greatly reduced in cKO SCs, suggesting that

TEAD1 is dispensable for Krox20 upregulation but required for Krox20 to upregulate myelin

proteins.

TEAD1 and YAP/TAZ upregulate cholesterol biosynthetic enzymes, FDPS and IDI1

Myelination of peripheral nerves by SCs requires a large amount of lipid and cholesterol

biosynthesis. De novo biosynthesis of fatty acids (integral building blocks of lipids) and

cholesterol is crucially important for SCs to initiate myelination and to grow a myelin sheath

(Montani et al., 2018; Norrmen et al., 2014; Saher et al., 2009; Verheijen et al., 2009). YAP/TAZ

may regulate these pathways, as suggested by reduced mRNA expression in *Yap/Taz* cDKO of

SREBPs TFs (Sterol regulatory element binding proteins) and their target enzymes involved in

lipid/cholesterol biosynthesis (Deng et al., 2017; Poitelon et al., 2016). We therefore

investigated, at the protein level, whether TEAD1 and YAP/TAZ are required for fatty acid

and/or cholesterol synthesis. To test this premise, we examined expression of SREBP1 and its

target SCD1 (Stearoyl-CoA desaturase-1), which upregulates fatty acid synthesis, and of

SREBP2 and its targets HMGCR (HMG-CoA reductase), FDPS (Farnesyl Diphosphate

Synthase) and IDI1 (Isopentenyl-diphosphate delta isomerase 1), which upregulate cholesterol

synthesis (Leblanc et al., 2005; Verheijen et al., 2009). Because SREBP pathways are

upregulated early postnatally for myelination in WT SNs, their upregulation may simply be

delayed in developmentally delayed *Tead1* cKO. We therefore investigated SREBP pathways

both at P8 and P40 in WT and *Tead1* cKO mice. Western blot analysis showed that levels of

nuclear SREBP1 was not affected by loss of TEAD1 at P8, and only slightly reduced at P40

(Figure 6A). SCD1 was reduced at P8, but slightly increased at P40. In contrast to SREBP1,

SREBP2 was ~50% reduced in *Tead1* cKO at both P8 and P40. Despite marked reduction in

SREBP2, HMGCR was not reduced at P8 or P40. However, both FDPS and IDI1 levels were

greatly reduced at both P8 and P40 (Figure 6A).

It is possible that HMGCR was not reduced in cKO due to TEAD1 redundancy and

compensation by other TEADs. If so, then HMGCR levels should be reduced in *Yap/Taz* cDKO,

because YAP/TAZ are the primary partners for all TEADs (Holden and Cunningham, 2018;

Zheng and Pan, 2019). To test this idea, we examined SREBP pathways in *Yap/Taz* cDKO SNs

at P60 because developmental delay is greater in *Yap/Taz* cDKO than in *Tead1* cKO SNs (Grove

et al., 2017). We found that, as in *Tead1* cKO, SREBP1 levels were unaffected and SCD1 levels

were markedly increased by the loss of YAP/TAZ in cDKO at P60 (Figure 6B). Unlike in *Tead1*

cKO, levels of SREBP2 were not markedly reduced in Yap/Taz cDKO. As in *Tead1* cKO,

however, HMGCR levels were not reduced in Yap/Taz cDKO, whereas levels of FDPS and IDI1

were greatly reduced (Figure 6B). These results therefore suggest that YAP/TAZ-TEAD1

transcriptional complex selectively upregulates IDI1 and FDPS, contributing to cholesterol

biosynthesis. Together with the lack of synthesis of myelin proteins, this reduction in cholesterol

biosynthesis may prevent myelin formation and growth in *Tead1* cKO.

Loss of TEAD1 in adult Schwann cells modestly affects myelin maintenance

Because TEAD1 regulates myelination and Remak bundle formation during

development, we next investigated its role in myelin and Remak bundle maintenance in adults.

We first verified efficient deletion of TEAD1 in almost all SCs of *Tead1* iKO (Figure 1B).

Unlike *Yap/Taz* iDKO mice (Grove et al., 2017), *Tead1* iKO mice appeared normal 2 weeks after

tamoxifen administration and continued to survive without obvious signs of peripheral

neuropathy up to 5 months post-tamoxifen, the longest time of observation (Figure 7A). Analysis

of iKO SNs between 3 to 5 months post-tamoxifen revealed that they were grossly normal.

However, we occasionally observed large axons undergoing demyelination or completely

demyelinated (Figure 7B). Unlike *Yap/Taz* iDKO mice, which frequently exhibited segmental

demyelination and markedly reduced NCV (Grove et al., 2017), NCV of these iKO mice did not

significantly differ from that of WT (Figure 7C). Therefore, loss of TEAD1 alone in adult SCs

evoked only modest demyelination that does not cause significant functional impairment.

TEAD1 is required for maintaining structural integrity of Remak bundles

Next, we examined Remak bundles in these mice. As in WT SNs, C-fibers in WT mice

were individually ensheathed by SC cytoplasm. In contrast, in *Tead1* iKO, many c-fibers in most

Remak bundles were not separated by SC cytoplasm (Figure 7D). Furthermore, some Remak

bundles were abnormally myelinated, as in *Tead1* cKO SNs (Figure 7E). Thus, TEAD1 is

required for formation as well as maintenance of Remak bundles.

TEAD1 is dispensable for YAP/TAZ to maintain Krox20, FDP and IDI1 expression

To investigate why the demyelinating phenotype of *Tead1* iKO is much milder than that of *Yap/Taz* iDKO, we first investigated expression of Krox20 which is required for myelin maintenance (Decker et al., 2006). We previously observed that Krox20 is markedly downregulated in *Yap/Taz* iDKO SCs, which correlated with frequent segmental demyelination and markedly reduced NCV (Grove et al., 2017). As a control, we injected *PLP-CreER; Yap^{fl/fl}; Taz^{fl/fl}* mice with tamoxifen and reproduced our previous result: Krox20 was dramatically downregulated in most *Yap/Taz* iDKO SCs only 2 weeks post-tamoxifen (Figure 7F). In contrast, in *Tead1* iKO, Krox20 expression was indistinguishable from WT even at 6 weeks post-tamoxifen (Figure 7G). We then investigated Oct6 expression, because aberrant overexpression of Oct6 in the presence of Krox20 inhibits myelination (Ryu et al., 2007). Unlike in *Yap/Taz* iDKO SNs (Grove et al., 2017), however, Oct6 was not elevated in *Tead1* iKO SNs (Figure 7H). Therefore, TEAD1 is dispensable for maintaining Krox20 expression in myelinating SCs, consistent with the only modest demyelinating phenotype of *Tead1* iKO.

Next, we investigated SREBP pathways, which are required for developmental myelination (Saher et al., 2009; Verheijen et al., 2009). Whether these pathways are also involved in myelin maintenance has not been studied. We first assessed the effects of acute loss of YAP/TAZ on these pathways in adult SCs. Western blotting of WT and *Yap/Taz* iDKO SN lysates showed that the SREBP1 pathway, or expression of SREBP2 and HMGCR in the SREBP2 pathway, was unaffected in *Yap/Taz* iDKO (Figure 7I). However, FDPS and IDI1 levels were significantly downregulated in *Yap/Taz* iDKO (Figure 7I), recapitulating the effect of developmental loss of YAP/TAZ on these proteins (Figure 6B). Therefore, the acute downregulation of these key enzymes for cholesterol biosynthesis correlates with the acute demyelination previously observed in *Yap/Taz* iDKO mice. This result suggests that YAP/TAZ maintain myelination through both myelin gene expression and the cholesterol biosynthesis pathway.

Western blotting of *Tead1* iKO SN lysates, 6 weeks post-tamoxifen, revealed that the SREBP pathways were not significantly affected by loss of TEAD1 (Figure 7J), unlike *Tead1* cKO in which FDPS and IDI1 were markedly downregulated. This result correlated with the modest effect of loss of TEAD1 on myelin maintenance, suggesting that TEAD1 is largely dispensable for cholesterol synthesis in adult SCs.

TEAD1 is required for myelination of regenerating axons after nerve injury.

Next, we investigated whether TEAD1 is required for axon regeneration and
remyelination after nerve injury. We previously showed that YAP/TAZ are not required for axon
regeneration but are necessary for remyelination (Grove et al., 2017). Because *Yap/Taz* iDKO
mice die ~2 weeks post-injury, it remains possible that remyelination is delayed rather than
completely blocked. In contrast, *Tead1* iKO mice are not susceptible to early death. We,
therefore, examined them 4 weeks after crushing SN, when hindlimb muscles are fully
reinnervated in WT (Figure 8A). We first verified efficient deletion of TEAD1 in almost all SCs
of *Tead1* iKO mice after nerve crush (Figure 1C). Longitudinal sections of iKO SNs showed
numerous TuJ1-labeled axons that regenerated along the distal nerve stump, as in WT SNs
(Figure 8B). However, MBP immunoreactivity was markedly reduced in the distal nerve stump
of *Tead1* iKO, compared to WT, suggesting that axons regenerated but were not remyelinated.
We also found that regenerated axons reached hindlimb muscles and reinnervated neuromuscular
junctions (NMJs) in iKO, as in WT (Figure 8C). Moreover, *Tead1* iKO frequently exhibited
features of paralyzed muscles, such as axon terminals that grew past the synaptic area,
fragmented clusters of acetylcholine receptors (AChRs), and satellite extra-synaptic AChRs
(Wright et al., 2007). Semithin and EM analysis confirmed virtual absence of myelination in iKO
SNs; although large axons were associated with SCs, they were not myelinated (Figure 8D).
Accordingly, electrical stimulation of iKO SNs did not elicit CMAPs or NCV (Figure 8E). Thus,
like YAP/TAZ, TEAD1 in SCs is not required for axon regeneration but is required for
remyelination after nerve injury.

After injury, SCs transdifferentiate into growth-promoting repair SCs, which then
proliferate and reach a peak around 3 dpi (Clemence et al., 1989; Jessen and Mirsky, 2016;
Tricaud and Park, 2017). Quantitative analysis of SC proliferation at 3 dpi by counting
EdU$^+$/Sox10$^+$ SCs showed that a similar percentage of SCs were in S-phase in both WT and
Tead1 iKO SNs (Figure 8F), suggesting that, like YAP/TAZ (Grove et al., 2017), TEAD1 is not
required for proliferation of repair SCs. Lastly, we counted Krox20$^+$ and Oct6$^+$ SCs at 4 wpi.
Notably, in contrast to *Yap/Taz* iDKO SCs, which fail to upregulate Krox20 after injury (Grove
et al., 2017), Krox20 was upregulated in *Tead1* iKO SCs, as in WT SCs (Figure 8G).
Furthermore, Oct6 was aberrantly elevated in *Tead1* iKO SCs, like in *Tead1* cKO SCs during

development (Figure 8H). These results suggest that, similar to developmental myelination,

remyelination requires TEAD1 for Oct6 downregulation and for Krox20 to upregulate myelin

proteins. Additionally, almost complete absence of remyelination at 4 wpi highlights that

TEAD1 is crucial for functional regeneration of peripheral nerves.

DISCUSSION

The present study conclusively establishes TEAD1 as a crucial TF that partners with YAP/TAZ

to mediate myelin formation, growth, and regeneration. TEAD1 promotes myelination by dually

regulating SC proliferation, by enabling induced Krox20 to upregulate myelin proteins, and by

upregulating cholesterol biosynthetic enzymes. Our findings also suggest that other TEADs play

redundant and opposing roles in SCs, and that TEAD1 is critical in the proper formation and

maintenance of Remak bundles.

Unique, redundant, and opposing roles of TEAD1

Although SCs express all four TEADs, *Tead1* cKO mice grossly phenocopies *Yap/Taz* cDKO

mice. They exhibit abnormal SC proliferation, delayed radial sorting, blocked myelin formation

and remyelination, and normal axon regeneration. Furthermore, *Tead1* cKO mice, like *Yap/Taz*

cDKO, are defective in regulating Oct6, Krox20, myelin proteins, and enzymes that synthesize

cholesterol. These similarities show that YAP/TAZ regulate both SC proliferation and

differentiation, the two opposing processes crucial for myelination, by partnering mainly with

TEAD1 at least for developmental myelination and remyelination after injury.

We also observed multiple differences between *Tead1* cKO and *Yap/Taz* cDKO mutants. Unlike

Yap/Taz cDKO, *Tead1* cKO initiates myelin formation in some developing axons and maintain

myelination in most axons but fails to remyelinate almost all regenerating axons. Additionally, in

Tead1 cKO, Krox20 are upregulated and a large excess of SCs is generated. These differences

are likely due to stage-dependent redundancy and opposing roles of TEADs. Consistent with this

idea, YAP/TAZ functionally associate with TEAD2, 3 or 4, or an unrelated TF (Varelas, 2014),

in the absence of TEAD1. Loss of TEAD1 does not affect nuclear localization of YAP/TAZ,

which depends mainly on interactions with TEADs (Diepenbruck et al., 2014; Kim et al., 2020;

Kofler et al., 2018; Yao et al., 2018). Our data and the results of others suggest that TEAD1-4

are all co-expressed in SCs through multiple stages of nerve development, homeostasis and after injury (Fernando et al., 2016; Grove et al., 2017; He et al., 2018). Notably, nuclear retention of YAP/TAZ occurs in *Tead1* cKO when TEAD1 has a unique role in SCs (i.e., when *Tead1* cKO SCs fail to form and re-form myelination). Therefore, other TEADs can retain nuclear YAP/TAZ, thereby supporting transcriptional activity of YAP/TAZ, but cannot substitute for some or most of its functions with TEAD1 in a stage-dependent manner.

It is also notable that, like YAP/TAZ, the unique roles of TEAD1 change dynamically in successive steps of myelination, even though other TEADs are present. Thus, TEAD1 is uniquely required for timely proliferation of SCs during radial sorting; uniquely opposes SC proliferation to promote cell-cycle exit after radial sorting; redundantly promotes upregulation of Krox20; and then uniquely promotes upregulation of myelin proteins and cholesterol biosynthetic enzymes. Such unique and redundant roles for TEAD1 in the presence of other TEADs are supported by cell-based transcription assays, in which YAP-TEAD4 can substitute for YAP-TEAD1 in upregulating transcription from the Krox20 promoter, but not from the MPZ intronic enhancer (Fernando et al., 2016). Our data also show that even when TEAD1 is largely redundant because other TEADs are present and can functionally substitute for TEAD1, such as in myelin maintenance, YAP/TAZ preferentially bind to TEAD1. This situation resembles the dynamic function of TEADs in cortical development, another multi-step differentiation program. As in nerve development, multiple TEADs (TEAD1-3) are co-expressed throughout cortical development. Depending on the differentiation stage, TEAD1 uniquely promotes proliferation, redundantly promotes proliferation with TEAD2 and 3, or redundantly promotes differentiation with TEAD3, when it is opposed by TEAD2 (Mukhtar et al., 2020).

As *Yap/Taz* cDKO SCs arrest at the promyelination stage, we were unable to investigate the role of YAP/TAZ in myelin sheath growth (Grove et al., 2017). In contrast, some *Tead1* cKO SCs can myelinate but fail to grow myelin sheaths proportional in size to axon diameter. Importantly, such myelinating SCs apparently lack TEAD1 because TEAD1 deletion is extremely efficient in *Tead1* cKO mice. Support for a role of TEADs in the regulation of myelin sheath thickness comes from a study of TEAD4 cKO mice, in which SCs lacking TEAD4 gradually upregulate myelin protein expression, resulting in adult mice with abnormally thick myelin (He et al., 2018).

Therefore, TEADs 1 and 4 oppose each other in the regulation of myelin sheath growth.

Likewise, they may oppose each other in the regulation of SC proliferation, resulting in a large

excess of SCs we observed in P22 and P50 *Tead1* cKO.

TEAD1 regulation of Krox20 and myelin gene expression

Previous work suggests that YAP/TAZ-TEAD1 promote myelination in part through direct

upregulation of Krox20 (Deng et al., 2017; Grove et al., 2017). Unlike *Yap/Taz* cKO mice,

Tead1 cKO mice upregulate Egr2/Krox20, but they fail to upregulate myelin proteins and

cholesterol biosynthetic enzymes. Our findings extend previous work to suggest that TEAD1

functions redundantly in direct upregulation of Krox20, but that its function is required for

upregulated Krox20 to promote myelination. Krox20 activity may be repressed in *Tead1* cKO by

Oct6, which remains abnormally elevated. In support of this possibility, persistently elevated

Oct6 overrides Krox20 to inhibit myelin gene expression (Monuki et al., 1993; Ryu et al., 2007).

Other mice with defective lipid biosynthesis and a phenotype like *Tead1* cKO also have

abnormally elevated Oct6 after Krox20 upregulation (Norrmen et al., 2014; Sherman et al.,

2012). An alternative or additional possibility is that a transcriptional co-activator that synergizes

with Krox20 is absent in *Tead1* cKO SCs. We suggest that TEAD1 itself may function as such a

factor. Supporting this idea are reports that gene regulatory elements function by co-binding

several TFs, which synergistically activate transcription (Heinz et al., 2015; Ren and Yue, 2015),

as at the Krox20 MSE (Myelinating Schwann cell element) which is the enhancer responsible for

Krox20 expression (Ghislain and Charnay, 2006; Grove et al., 2017; He et al., 2010; Kao et al.,

2009). Krox20 synergizes with Sox10 to activate myelin genes (Jones et al., 2007) and with

SREBP to activate lipid biosynthesis genes (Leblanc et al., 2005). Like Krox20, TEAD1 can

directly promote transcription from PMP22 and MPZ myelin gene enhancers (Fernando et al.,

2016; Lopez-Anido et al., 2016). A consistent finding of the present work is that functional

Krox20 is insufficient to drive myelin/cholesterol gene expression in the absence of TEAD1.

Alternatively, or additionally, TEAD1 may also function indirectly by upregulating co-factors,

such as SREBP2, which is reduced in *Tead1* cKO. Notably, lipid biosynthesis is necessary to

downregulate Oct6 and upregulate myelin gene transcription during developmental myelination

(Saher et al., 2009; Verheijen et al., 2009). An interesting possibility, therefore, is that

YAP/TAZ-TEAD1 and Krox20 may cooperatively downregulate Oct6 and upregulate myelin

gene expression at least in part by promoting lipid biosynthesis.

YAP/TAZ-TEAD1 regulation of cholesterol biosynthesis

SREBP1 levels are not altered in *Tead1* cKO or *Yap/Taz* cDKO, suggesting that fatty acid

synthesis is not directly regulated by YAP/TAZ or TEAD1. It is likely that the reduced levels of

SCD1 at P8 and slight increase at P40 in *Tead1* cKO, and the large increase in SCD1 in *Yap/Taz*

cDKO at P60 are due to developmental delay in these mutants. We did not observe

downregulation of HMGCR in *Tead1* cKO or *Yap/Taz* cDKO mice at P8 or P40, which

contradicts the report of reduced mRNA expression of HMGCR in *Yap/Taz* mutants at P3 and P5

(Deng et al., 2017; Poitelon et al., 2016); we suggest this difference is due to developmental

delay in *Tead1* cKO and *Yap/Taz* cDKO. However, marked downregulation of IDI1 and FDPS in

Tead1 cKO, *Yap/Taz* cDKO, and *Yap/Taz* iDKO suggests that cholesterol biosynthesis is

defective in these mutants. In support of this notion, SC-selective deletion of SCAP (SREBP

cleavage activation protein), which is required to produce active SREBP1 and SREBP2

(Verheijen et al., 2009), or of Squalene synthase, an essential enzyme in cholesterol biosynthesis

(Saher et al., 2009), evokes phenotypes which are remarkably similar to those of *Tead1* cKO

mice: both mutants have an increased number of SCs, a severe delay at the promyelination stage,

and persistently thin myelin. In addition, in SCAP cKO, Oct6 is upregulated continuously and

Squalene synthase cKO fails to upregulate myelin gene transcription, resulting in severely

reduced MBP, MPZ, and PMP22 protein levels.

How might YAP/TAZ-TEAD1 regulate IDI1 and FDPS? Multiple studies show that YAP/TAZ

can activate the mTORC1 pathway (Hu et al., 2017; Kim et al., 2015), and IDI1 and FDPS levels

are reduced in Raptor cKO SNs (Norrmen et al., 2014). Furthermore, the Raptor cKO phenotype

is like that of *Tead1* cKO, exhibiting an increased number of SCs, normal upregulation of

Krox20, persistent upregulation of Oct6, delay at the promyelination stage, and thin myelin.

However, HMGCR protein levels are reduced, and aberrant upregulation of Oct6 is transient

(Norrmen et al., 2014). Furthermore, after nerve injury, formation of repair SCs is delayed in

Raptor mutants (Norrmén et al., 2018), unlike TEAD1 and YAP/TAZ mutants. These differences

suggest that YAP/TAZ-TEAD1 are not directly upstream of mTORC1. Another possibility is

that YAP/TAZ-TEAD1 may directly regulate transcription of IDI1 and FDPS genes. Such direct

regulation of cholesterol biosynthetic enzymes has been observed for other TFs in SCs (Kim et

al., 2018; Leblanc et al., 2005).

TEAD1 regulation of Remak bundles

In both *Tead1* cKO and iKO mice, there is defective ensheathment of C-fibers by SCs in Remak

bundles, which are occasionally thinly myelinated. This phenotype is distinct from those mutants

in which SCs surround and sometimes myelinate bundles filled with mixed-caliber axons, which

are considered radial sorting defects (Feltri et al., 2016). Remak bundles can myelinate

abnormally in WT SNs during early postnatal development, but this defect is corrected and does

not persist in adults (Rasi et al., 2010). Therefore, the defective Remak bundles that we observed

in *Tead1* cKO are not due to developmental delay, and the defects found in adult *Tead1* iKO

appear unusual.

Remak bundles form normally at P60 in *Yap/Taz* cDKO, although many large bundles containing

mixed caliber axons are present during development (Grove et al., 2017). The difference between

Tead1 and *Yap/Taz* mutants is supported by the presence of TEAD1 and absence of YAP/TAZ in

non-myelinating SC nuclei (Grove et al., 2017). Notably, defective SC ensheathment of C-fibers

and myelinated Remak bundles is observed in various SC developmental mutants, including

those with defective SC basal lamina (Rasi et al., 2010) and overexpression of NRG1-IIIb in

axons (Gomez-Sanchez et al., 2009). Therefore, it is possible that TEAD1 mediates extracellular

signaling from the SC basal lamina and/or axons that is responsible for forming and maintaining

proper association of SCs with C-fibers. Notably, SC ensheathment of C-fibers is required for

normal NCV (Taveggia et al., 2005), and NCV with tg-NRG1-IIIb Remak bundles is reduced

compared to WT (Gomez-Sanchez et al., 2009). Future studies will address NRG1 signaling and

NCV in cKO and iKO mice lacking TEAD1 and/or other TEADs.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals

All surgical procedures and animal maintenance complied with the National Institute of Health

guidelines regarding the care and use of experimental animals and were approved by the

Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Temple University, Philadelphia, PA, USA.

Generation and genotyping of mice carrying targeted loxP sites in the *Tead1* gene have been

described previously (Wen et al., 2017). Targeted ablation of *Tead1* in Schwann cells of

embryonic mice was achieved by crossing *Tead1*$^{fl/fl}$ mice with mice transgenic for *Cre* driven by

the *Mpz* promoter (*Tead1* cKO mice). Targeted ablation of *Tead1* in Schwann cells of adult mice

was by crossing *Tead1*$^{fl/fl}$ mice with mice transgenic for the inducible Cre recombinase *Cre-*

ERT2 under control of the *Plp1* promoter (*Tead1* iKO mice). Control mice contained floxed

Tead1 alleles but were not transgenic for *Cre* or *Cre-ERT2*. *Mpz-cre*;*Yap*$^{fl/fl}$; *Taz*$^{fl/fl}$ (*Yap/Taz*

cDKO) and *Plp1-creERT2*; *Yap*$^{fl/fl}$; *Taz*$^{fl/fl}$ (*Yap/Taz* iDKO) mice used in this study were

generated and genotyped as described previously (Grove et al., 2017). Both male and female

mice were used in all experiments and were maintained on the C57BL/6 background.

Tamoxifen administration

Tamoxifen was prepared and injected into 6–8-week-old *Tead1* or *Yap/Taz* mutant mice as

previously described (Grove et al., 2017). A 10 mg/ml solution of tamoxifen was made in 10:1

sunflower oil: 100% ethanol. This solution was injected intraperoneally at a concentration of 0.2

mg/g body weight. Injection was once daily for 5 days, followed by a 2-day break, then once

daily for 5 consecutive days.

Nerve crush

Sciatic nerves of right hindlimbs were crushed 24 h after the final tamoxifen injection, as

described previously (Grove et al., 2020; Son and Thompson, 1995). A small skin incision was

made in the posterior thigh and calf of the animals anesthetized by isoflurane. The sciatic nerve

was crushed with a fine forceps (#5) for 10 seconds (3X) adjacent to the sciatic notch. The crush

site was marked using charcoal-coated forceps, and the wound was closed. To identify

proliferating Schwann cells, we intraperitoneally injected EdU (80 μg/g) eighty minutes before

euthanasia, as previously described (Grove et al., 2017).

Western blotting and immunoprecipitation

After removal of epineurium and perineurium, sciatic nerves were snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen

and stored at -80°C. For Western blotting, sciatic nerves were lysed in RIPA buffer (25 mM Tris

pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 1% Triton X-100, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 1 mM EDTA, 0.1% SDS);

for immunoprecipitation, lysis was in co-IP buffer (50 mM Tris pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl, 0.5%

Triton X-100, 10% glycerol, 1 mM EDTA), both containing protease and phosphatase inhibitors.

Lysis was for 40 minutes on ice, followed by microcentrifugation at 14,000 rpm for 20 minutes.

Protein concentration was determined using the BCA assay (ThermoFisher).

Immunoprecipitation was overnight at 4°C, followed by 1 hour with protein A sepharose

(pre-blocked with 1% BSA), both with constant rotation. Lysates and immunoprecipitated

complexes in 1x Laemmli buffer were resolved on 8 or 10% SDS-PAGE gels, transferred to

nitrocellulose, and blotted with the appropriate antibodies. Primary antibodies were used at the

following concentrations for Western blots or immunoprecipitations: rabbit anti-Krox20

(Covance; 1:400), rabbit anti-Oct6 (Abcam ab126746; 1:1000), mouse anti-Myelin Basic Protein

(Covance SMI94; 1:1000), mouse anti-beta actin (SIGMA-Aldrich A5441; 1:5000), rabbit anti-

TEAD1 (Cell Signaling D9X2L, #12292; 1:1000), rabbit anti-TEAD2 (Novus Biologicals,

#NBP3-05267; 1:1000), rabbit anti-TEAD3 (Cell Signaling #13224; 1:1000), rabbit anti-TEAD4

(Santa Cruz, #sc-101184; 1:1000), rabbit anti-pan-TEAD (Cell Signaling, #13295; 1:1000),

rabbit anti-YAP/TAZ (Cell Signaling D24E4, #8418; 1:1000, 1:100 for immunoprecipitations),

rabbit anti-phospho-YAP(Ser127) (Cell Signaling D9W2I #13008; 1:1000), rabbit anti-phospho-

YAP(Ser397) (Cell Signaling D1E7Y #13619; 1:1000), rabbit-anti-MPZ (Cell Signaling #57518;

1:1000), mouse anti-SREBP1 (Novus Biologicals, #NB600-582; 1:1000), rabbit anti-SCD1 (Cell

Signaling, #2794; 1:1000), rabbit anti-SREBP2 (Bethyl laboratories, #A303-125A), rabbit anti-

HMGCR (Novus Biologicals, #NBP2-66888; 1:1000), rabbit anti-FDPS (Proteintech, #16129-1-

AP; 1:1000), rabbit anti-IDI1 (Proteintech, #11166-2-AP; 1:1000). Control whole rabbit IgG for

immunoprecipitations was from Jackson Laboratories (011-000-003) and was used at 1μg/ml,

which was several-fold greater than all other antibodies used for immunoprecipitations.

Secondary antibodies for Western blotting were HRP-linked sheep anti-mouse IgG and donkey

anti-rabbit (both GE Healthcare; 1:5000) and HRP-linked mouse anti-rabbit, light-chain specific

(Jackson ImmunoResearch 211-032-171; 1:20,000). Blots were developed using ECL, ECL Plus

(GE Healthcare) or Odyssey (LiCor).

Immunohistochemistry, confocal and widefield fluorescence microscopy

For immunostaining, sciatic nerves were removed and immediately fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS for 1 hr. on ice. Nerves were washed 3 times in PBS, then stored in 15% sucrose in PBS overnight at 4°C for cryoprotection. Nerves were frozen-embedded in cryoprotectant medium (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) in isomethylbutane at -80°C. 7-10 μm sections from the nerves were cut using a cryostat (Leica Microsystems, Germany) and collected directly onto glass slides. For immunolabeling, nerve sections were rehydrated in PBS, permeabilized in 0.5% Triton/PBS for 20 min, washed with PBS, then blocked in 2% bovine serum albumin (BSA) in PBS for 1 hr. Sections were incubated with primary antibodies in blocking buffer overnight at 4°C in a hydrated chamber, washed with PBS, and incubated with secondary antibodies in blocking buffer for 2 hrs. at room temperature. Sections were washed with PBS, stained with DAPI for 10 min, and mounted with Vectashield mounting medium (Vector Labs, Burlingame, CA).

Primary antibodies were used at the following concentrations for immunostaining of cryosections: guinea pig anti-Sox10 (kind gift from Michael Wegner, University of Erlangen, Bavaria, Germany; 1:1000), rabbit anti-Krox20 (Covance; 1:400), rabbit anti-Oct6 (Abcam ab31766; 1:800), rabbit anti-Ki67 (Novocastra NCL-Ki67p; 1:200), rabbit anti-Neurofilament 200 (SIGMA-Aldrich N4142; 1:500), mouse anti-Neurofilament (Covance SMI 312; 1:1000), mouse anti-Tubulin β3 (clone Tuj1, Covance #MMS-435P; 1:1000), mouse anti-SV2 (Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank, Iowa; 1:10), rabbit anti-S100 (DAKO; 1:600), mouse anti-Myelin Basic Protein (Covance SMI 94; 1:2000), rabbit anti-TEAD1 (Cell Signaling D9X2L, #12292; 1:200), rabbit anti-pan-TEAD (Cell Signaling D3F7L, #13295; 1:200), rabbit anti-YAP/TAZ (Cell Signaling D24E4, #8418; 1:200).

For immunostaining of extensor digitorum longus (EDL) and soleus muscles, mice were perfused with 4% paraformaldehyde, and the muscles removed and post-fixed for 20 mins at room temperature. Muscles were then incubated for 15 minutes with rhodamine-conjugated α-bungarotoxin (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA), permeabilized in −20°C methanol for 5 min, and blocked for 1 hr. in PBS containing 0.2% Triton and 2% BSA. The muscles were subsequently incubated overnight at 4°C in a cocktail of primary antibodies diluted in the blocking solution. Axons and nerve terminals were labeled with mouse monoclonal antibodies to neurofilaments (SMI 312; Sternberger Monoclonals, Baltimore, MD) and to a synaptic vesicle protein, SV2

(Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank, Iowa City, IA). Schwann cells were labeled with

rabbit anti-cow S-100 polyclonal antibody (Dako, Carpentaria, CA). After incubation with the

primary antibodies, muscles were rinsed in PBS and incubated with secondary antibodies in the

blocking solution, for 1 hr. at room temperature. The muscles were then rinsed in PBS and

prepped for whole-mount by peeling the top layer of muscle fibers using microscissors and

forceps, as previously described (Son and Thompson, 1995). The resulting "muscle fillets" were

mounted on a slide and coverslipped.

Conventional fluorescence microscopy was performed using Olympus BX53 and Zeiss

Axio Imager 2 microscopes. Images were captured using Hamamatsu ORCA R2 C10600 or

Zeiss Axiocam HRm Rev 3 digital cameras, respectively, and Metamorph software. For confocal

microscopy, we used a Leica SP8 confocal microscope, with either a 40x 1.3 NA or 63x 1.4 NA

objective and Leica proprietary software. Acquired stacks were assembled using the maximum

projection tool, and figures were prepared using Adobe Photoshop.

Electron microscopy, histology, and morphometry

Mice were perfused intravascularly with 2.5% glutaraldehyde and 4% paraformaldehyde in 0.1M

cacodylate buffer pH 7.4. Sciatic nerves were removed and incubated in the same buffer for 90

minutes at room temperature, then overnight at 4°C. Specimens were post-fixed for 1 hr. with

OsO_4, dehydrated, then embedded in araldite. Semi-thin (0.5 μm) and ultrathin (70 nm) sections

were cut using an ultramicrotome and stained with toluidine blue or uranyl acetate and lead

citrate, respectively. Semi-thin sections were examined using bright field on an Olympus BX53

microscope, and images were captured using Hamamatsu ORCA R2 C10600 digital camera and

Metamorph software. For analysis of the number of myelin profiles in control vs mutant sciatic

nerves, semi-thin sections from proximal-, mid- and distal regions of the sciatic nerve were

taken, and 2 non- overlapping images of each section were obtained using the 60x objective. For

electron microscopy, stained ultrathin sections were examined using a JEOL 1010 electron

microscope fitted with a Hamamatsu digital camera and AMT Advantage image capture

software.

Proliferation assays

The percentage of Schwann cells in S-phase was measured by EdU incorporation. Mice were

injected intraperitoneally and subcutaneously with 80 μg/ g body weight of EdU dissolved in

PBS. Eighty minutes later, mice were sacrificed, and sciatic nerves removed and fixed for 1 hr.

in 4% paraformaldehyde; longitudinal 7μm cryosections were used for analysis. EdU

incorporation was identified using the Click-iT EdU Alexa Fluor 555 labeling kit (Molecular

Probes); the recommended protocol was followed, and labeling was for 1 hr. After EdU labeling,

sections were immunostained with anti-Sox10 to identify Schwann cells. The percentage of

Schwann cells (Sox10+ nuclei) that were EdU+ was determined by counting confocal images

using ImageJ software.

Electrophysiology

The procedure for measuring nerve conduction velocity was an adaption of a previously used

protocol (Schulz et al., 2014). Mice were deeply anesthetized, and hair was completely removed

from the hindquarters. A 27g percutaneous needle electrode was inserted subcutaneously over

the femur to stimulate the sciatic nerve at two locations: one proximal to the spinal cord, at the

hip joint, and the other 1cm distal to this site. The stimulation reference electrode (26G

percutaneous needle) was inserted over the back. Bipolar EMG electrodes were inserted

subcutaneously in the digital interosseous muscles, to record compound action potentials in

response to activation of the sciatic nerve. Stimulation and recording were conducted on both

hindlimbs. The muscle response was first tested with single pulses (100 μs duration) to

determine the threshold and level of activation producing a stable compound action potential in

the muscle. The level of stimulation which produced stable responses was then used for two

series of stimulations with 2-20s long trains of biphasic square wave pulses (100 μs duration)

delivered at 1-5 Hz. The nerve was rested for 2 minutes between runs. Stimulation was delivered

via an isolated pulse stimulator (A-M Systems Model 2100, A-M Systems Inc, Carlsborg, WA).

EMG compound muscle action potential (CMAP) responses were recorded with a differential

AC amplifier (A-M Systems Model 1700; gain 1k-10k; pass band 10-50 KHz). Data was

sampled at 50 KHz using LabVIEW (National Instruments Corporation, Austin, TX) running on

a personal computer. Multiple recordings were taken at each location to ensure a minimum of 10

representative responses for each location and animal. Electrophysiological data were analyzed

using Igor Pro (Wavemetrics Inc, Lake Oswego, OR).

Data Analysis

In each experiment, data collection and analysis were performed identically, regardless of mouse

genotype. Data are presented as mean +/- SD. Statistical analysis was done using the student's

unpaired t-test for two-group comparisons and analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Tukey's test

for multiple comparisons, according to the number of samples and the analysis of mice at

multiple ages. Sample sizes were similar to those conventional in the field and are indicated in

the main text, methods, or figure legends. A p-value of 0.05 or less was considered statistically

significant.

SUPPLMENTARY MATERIALS

Supplementary figure 1. Additional semi-thin and TEM images of WT and *Tead1* cKO

(A) Representative images of semi-thin sections of various areas of WT and Tead1 cKO SNs at

P22 and P50. Scale bar: 20μm

(B) Representative TEM images of transverse sections of WT and Tead1 cKO SNs at P22 and

P50. Scale bar: 1μm (P22 panels), 2μm (P50 panels)

Supplementary video 1. A movie showing a P55 WT and two littermates *Tead1* cKO mice

REFERENCES AND NOTES

Beirowski, B., Babetto, E., Golden, J.P., Chen, Y.J., Yang, K., Gross, R.W., Patti, G.J., and Milbrandt, J. (2014). Metabolic regulator LKB1 is crucial for Schwann cell-mediated axon maintenance. Nat Neurosci *17*, 1351-1361.
Beverdam, A., Claxton, C., Zhang, X., James, G., Harvey, K.F., and Key, B. (2013). Yap controls stem/progenitor cell proliferation in the mouse postnatal epidermis. J Invest Dermatol *133*, 1497-1505.
Bremer, M., Frob, F., Kichko, T., Reeh, P., Tamm, E.R., Suter, U., and Wegner, M. (2011). Sox10 is required for Schwann-cell homeostasis and myelin maintenance in the adult peripheral nerve. Glia *59*, 1022-1032.
Clemence, A., Mirsky, R., and Jessen, K.R. (1989). Non-myelin-forming Schwann cells proliferate rapidly during Wallerian degeneration in the rat sciatic nerve. J Neurocytol *18*, 185-192.
Currey, L., Thor, S., and Piper, M. (2021). TEAD family transcription factors in development and disease. Development *148*.

Decker, L., Desmarquet-Trin-Dinh, C., Taillebourg, E., Ghislain, J., Vallat, J.M., and Charnay, P.
(2006). Peripheral myelin maintenance is a dynamic process requiring constant Krox20 expression.
The Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience *26*, 9771-9779.
Deng, Y., Wu, L.M.N., Bai, S., Zhao, C., Wang, H., Wang, J., Xu, L., Sakabe, M., Zhou, W., Xin,
M.*, et al.* (2017). A reciprocal regulatory loop between TAZ/YAP and G-protein Galphas regulates
Schwann cell proliferation and myelination. Nat Commun *8*, 15161.
Diepenbruck, M., Waldmeier, L., Ivanek, R., Berninger, P., Arnold, P., van Nimwegen, E., and
Christofori, G. (2014). Tead2 expression levels control the subcellular distribution of Yap and Taz,
zyxin expression and epithelial-mesenchymal transition. J Cell Sci *127*, 1523-1536.
Feltri, M.L., D'Antonio, M., Previtali, S., Fasolini, M., Messing, A., and Wrabetz, L. (1999). P0-
Cre transgenic mice for inactivation of adhesion molecules in Schwann cells. Ann N Y Acad Sci
883, 116-123.
Feltri, M.L., Poitelon, Y., and Previtali, S.C. (2016). How Schwann Cells Sort Axons: New
Concepts. Neuroscientist *22*, 252-265.
Fernando, R.N., Cotter, L., Perrin-Tricaud, C., Berthelot, J., Bartolami, S., Pereira, J.A., Gonzalez,
S., Suter, U., and Tricaud, N. (2016). Optimal myelin elongation relies on YAP activation by
axonal growth and inhibition by Crb3/Hippo pathway. Nat Commun *7*, 12186.
Finzsch, M., Schreiner, S., Kichko, T., Reeh, P., Tamm, E.R., Bosl, M.R., Meijer, D., and Wegner,
M. (2010). Sox10 is required for Schwann cell identity and progression beyond the immature
Schwann cell stage. J Cell Biol *189*, 701-712.
Gerber, D., Pereira, J.A., Gerber, J., Tan, G., Dimitrieva, S., Yángüez, E., and Suter, U. (2021).
Transcriptional profiling of mouse peripheral nerves to the single-cell level to build a sciatic nerve
ATlas (SNAT). Elife *10*.
Ghislain, J., and Charnay, P. (2006). Control of myelination in Schwann cells: a Krox20 cis-
regulatory element integrates Oct6, Brn2 and Sox10 activities. EMBO Rep *7*, 52-58.
Gomez-Sanchez, J.A., Lopez de Armentia, M., Lujan, R., Kessaris, N., Richardson, W.D., and
Cabedo, H. (2009). Sustained axon-glial signaling induces Schwann cell hyperproliferation,
Remak bundle myelination, and tumorigenesis. The Journal of neuroscience : the official journal
of the Society for Neuroscience *29*, 11304-11315.
Grove, M., Kim, H., Santerre, M., Krupka, A.J., Han, S.B., Zhai, J., Cho, J.Y., Park, R., Harris,
M., Kim, S.*, et al.* (2017). YAP/TAZ initiate and maintain Schwann cell myelination. Elife *6*.
Grove, M., Lee, H., Zhao, H., and Son, Y.J. (2020). Axon-dependent expression of YAP/TAZ
mediates Schwann cell remyelination but not proliferation after nerve injury. Elife *9*.
Harty, B.L., and Monk, K.R. (2017). Unwrapping the unappreciated: recent progress in Remak
Schwann cell biology. Current opinion in neurobiology *47*, 131-137.
He, X., Zhang, L., Queme, L.F., Liu, X., Lu, A., Waclaw, R.R., Dong, X., Zhou, W., Kidd, G.,
Yoon, S.O.*, et al.* (2018). A histone deacetylase 3-dependent pathway delimits peripheral myelin
growth and functional regeneration. Nature medicine *24*, 338-351.
He, Y., Kim, J.Y., Dupree, J., Tewari, A., Melendez-Vasquez, C., Svaren, J., and Casaccia, P.
(2010). Yy1 as a molecular link between neuregulin and transcriptional modulation of peripheral
myelination. Nat Neurosci *13*, 1472-1480.
Heinz, S., Romanoski, C.E., Benner, C., and Glass, C.K. (2015). The selection and function of cell
type-specific enhancers. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol *16*, 144-154.
Hindley, C., and Philpott, A. (2012). Co-ordination of cell cycle and differentiation in the
developing nervous system. Biochem J *444*, 375-382.

Holden, J.K., and Cunningham, C.N. (2018). Targeting the Hippo Pathway and Cancer through the TEAD Family of Transcription Factors. Cancers (Basel) *10*.

Hu, J.K., Du, W., Shelton, S.J., Oldham, M.C., DiPersio, C.M., and Klein, O.D. (2017). An FAK-YAP-mTOR Signaling Axis Regulates Stem Cell-Based Tissue Renewal in Mice. Cell Stem Cell *21*, 91-106 e106.

Jaegle, M., Ghazvini, M., Mandemakers, W., Piirsoo, M., Driegen, S., Levavasseur, F., Raghoenath, S., Grosveld, F., and Meijer, D. (2003). The POU proteins Brn-2 and Oct-6 share important functions in Schwann cell development. Genes Dev *17*, 1380-1391.

Jang, S.W., Srinivasan, R., Jones, E.A., Sun, G., Keles, S., Krueger, C., Chang, L.W., Nagarajan, R., and Svaren, J. (2010). Locus-wide identification of Egr2/Krox20 regulatory targets in myelin genes. J Neurochem *115*, 1409-1420.

Jang, S.W., and Svaren, J. (2009). Induction of myelin protein zero by early growth response 2 through upstream and intragenic elements. J Biol Chem *284*, 20111-20120.

Jeanette, H., Marziali, L.N., Bhatia, U., Hellman, A., Herron, J., Kopec, A.M., Feltri, M.L., Poitelon, Y., and Belin, S. (2021). YAP and TAZ regulate Schwann cell proliferation and differentiation during peripheral nerve regeneration. Glia *69*, 1061-1074.

Jessen, K.R., and Mirsky, R. (2005). The origin and development of glial cells in peripheral nerves. Nat Rev Neurosci *6*, 671-682.

Jessen, K.R., and Mirsky, R. (2016). The repair Schwann cell and its function in regenerating nerves. J Physiol *594*, 3521-3531.

Jones, E.A., Jang, S.W., Mager, G.M., Chang, L.W., Srinivasan, R., Gokey, N.G., Ward, R.M., Nagarajan, R., and Svaren, J. (2007). Interactions of Sox10 and Egr2 in myelin gene regulation. Neuron Glia Biol *3*, 377-387.

Kao, S.C., Wu, H., Xie, J., Chang, C.P., Ranish, J.A., Graef, I.A., and Crabtree, G.R. (2009). Calcineurin/NFAT signaling is required for neuregulin-regulated Schwann cell differentiation. Science *323*, 651-654.

Kim, J., Kwon, H., Shin, Y.K., Song, G., Lee, T., Kim, Y., Jeong, W., Lee, U., Zhang, X., Nam, G.*, et al.* (2020). MAML1/2 promote YAP/TAZ nuclear localization and tumorigenesis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *117*, 13529-13540.

Kim, M., Kim, T., Johnson, R.L., and Lim, D.S. (2015). Transcriptional co-repressor function of the hippo pathway transducers YAP and TAZ. Cell Rep *11*, 270-282.

Kim, M., Wende, H., Walcher, J., Kuhnemund, J., Cheret, C., Kempa, S., McShane, E., Selbach, M., Lewin, G.R., and Birchmeier, C. (2018). Maf links Neuregulin1 signaling to cholesterol synthesis in myelinating Schwann cells. Genes Dev *32*, 645-657.

Kofler, M., Speight, P., Little, D., Di Ciano-Oliveira, C., Szaszi, K., and Kapus, A. (2018). Mediated nuclear import and export of TAZ and the underlying molecular requirements. Nature communications *9*, 4966.

Le, N., Nagarajan, R., Wang, J.Y., Araki, T., Schmidt, R.E., and Milbrandt, J. (2005a). Analysis of congenital hypomyelinating Egr2Lo/Lo nerves identifies Sox2 as an inhibitor of Schwann cell differentiation and myelination. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *102*, 2596-2601.

Le, N., Nagarajan, R., Wang, J.Y., Svaren, J., LaPash, C., Araki, T., Schmidt, R.E., and Milbrandt, J. (2005b). Nab proteins are essential for peripheral nervous system myelination. Nat Neurosci *8*, 932-940.

LeBlanc, S.E., Jang, S.W., Ward, R.M., Wrabetz, L., and Svaren, J. (2006). Direct regulation of myelin protein zero expression by the Egr2 transactivator. J Biol Chem *281*, 5453-5460.

Leblanc, S.E., Srinivasan, R., Ferri, C., Mager, G.M., Gillian-Daniel, A.L., Wrabetz, L., and
Svaren, J. (2005). Regulation of cholesterol/lipid biosynthetic genes by Egr2/Krox20 during
peripheral nerve myelination. J Neurochem *93*, 737-748.

Leone, D.P., Genoud, S., Atanasoski, S., Grausenburger, R., Berger, P., Metzger, D., Macklin,
W.B., Chambon, P., and Suter, U. (2003). Tamoxifen-inducible glia-specific Cre mice for somatic
mutagenesis in oligodendrocytes and Schwann cells. Mol Cell Neurosci *22*, 430-440.

Liu, R., Jagannathan, R., Li, F., Lee, J., Balasubramanyam, N., Kim, B.S., Yang, P., Yechoor,
V.K., and Moulik, M. (2019). Tead1 is required for perinatal cardiomyocyte proliferation. PloS
one *14*, e0212017.

Lopez-Anido, C., Poitelon, Y., Gopinath, C., Moran, J.J., Ma, K.H., Law, W.D., Antonellis, A.,
Feltri, M.L., and Svaren, J. (2016). Tead1 regulates the expression of Peripheral Myelin Protein
22 during Schwann cell development. Hum Mol Genet.

Lopez-Anido, C., Sun, G., Koenning, M., Srinivasan, R., Hung, H.A., Emery, B., Keles, S., and
Svaren, J. (2015). Differential Sox10 genomic occupancy in myelinating glia. Glia.

Meng, Z., Moroishi, T., and Guan, K.L. (2016). Mechanisms of Hippo pathway regulation. Genes
Dev *30*, 1-17.

Montani, L., Pereira, J.A., Norrmen, C., Pohl, H.B.F., Tinelli, E., Trotzmuller, M., Figlia, G.,
Dimas, P., von Niederhausern, B., Schwager, R.*, et al.* (2018). De novo fatty acid synthesis by
Schwann cells is essential for peripheral nervous system myelination. J Cell Biol *217*, 1353-1368.

Monuki, E.S., Kuhn, R., and Lemke, G. (1993). Repression of the myelin P0 gene by the POU
transcription factor SCIP. Mech Dev *42*, 15-32.

Mukhtar, T., Breda, J., Grison, A., Karimaddini, Z., Grobecker, P., Iber, D., Beisel, C., van
Nimwegen, E., and Taylor, V. (2020). Tead transcription factors differentially regulate cortical
development. Scientific reports *10*, 4625.

Norrmen, C., Figlia, G., Lebrun-Julien, F., Pereira, J.A., Trotzmuller, M., Kofeler, H.C., Rantanen,
V., Wessig, C., van Deijk, A.L., Smit, A.B.*, et al.* (2014). mTORC1 controls PNS myelination
along the mTORC1-RXRgamma-SREBP-lipid biosynthesis axis in Schwann cells. Cell Rep *9*,
646-660.

Norrmén, C., Figlia, G., Pfistner, P., Pereira, J.A., Bachofner, S., and Suter, U. (2018). mTORC1
Is Transiently Reactivated in Injured Nerves to Promote c-Jun Elevation and Schwann Cell
Dedifferentiation. The Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for
Neuroscience *38*, 4811-4828.

Poitelon, Y., Lopez-Anido, C., Catignas, K., Berti, C., Palmisano, M., Williamson, C., Ameroso,
D., Abiko, K., Hwang, Y., Gregorieff, A.*, et al.* (2016). YAP and TAZ control peripheral
myelination and the expression of laminin receptors in Schwann cells. Nat Neurosci *19*, 879-887.

Rasi, K., Hurskainen, M., Kallio, M., Staven, S., Sormunen, R., Heape, A.M., Avila, R.L.,
Kirschner, D., Muona, A., Tolonen, U.*, et al.* (2010). Lack of collagen XV impairs peripheral
nerve maturation and, when combined with laminin-411 deficiency, leads to basement membrane
abnormalities and sensorimotor dysfunction. J Neurosci *30*, 14490-14501.

Ren, B., and Yue, F. (2015). Transcriptional Enhancers: Bridging the Genome and Phenome. Cold
Spring Harb Symp Quant Biol *80*, 17-26.

Ryu, E.J., Wang, J.Y., Le, N., Baloh, R.H., Gustin, J.A., Schmidt, R.E., and Milbrandt, J. (2007).
Misexpression of Pou3f1 results in peripheral nerve hypomyelination and axonal loss. J Neurosci
27, 11552-11559.

Saher, G., Quintes, S., Mobius, W., Wehr, M.C., Kramer-Albers, E.M., Brugger, B., and Nave,
K.A. (2009). Cholesterol regulates the endoplasmic reticulum exit of the major membrane protein
P0 required for peripheral myelin compaction. J Neurosci *29*, 6094-6104.
Salzer, J.L. (2015). Schwann cell myelination. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol *7*, a020529.
Scherer, S.S., and Wrabetz, L. (2008). Molecular mechanisms of inherited demyelinating
neuropathies. Glia *56*, 1578-1589.
Schulz, A., Walther, C., Morrison, H., and Bauer, R. (2014). In vivo electrophysiological
measurements on mouse sciatic nerves. J Vis Exp.
Sherman, D.L., Krols, M., Wu, L.M., Grove, M., Nave, K.A., Gangloff, Y.G., and Brophy, P.J.
(2012). Arrest of myelination and reduced axon growth when Schwann cells lack mTOR. The
Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience *32*, 1817-1825.
Son, Y.J., and Thompson, W.J. (1995). Schwann cell processes guide regeneration of peripheral
axons. Neuron *14*, 125-132.
Taveggia, C., Zanazzi, G., Petrylak, A., Yano, H., Rosenbluth, J., Einheber, S., Xu, X., Esper,
R.M., Loeb, J.A., Shrager, P.*, et al.* (2005). Neuregulin-1 type III determines the ensheathment
fate of axons. Neuron *47*, 681-694.
Topilko, P., Schneider-Maunoury, S., Levi, G., Baron-Van Evercooren, A., Chennoufi, A.B.,
Seitanidou, T., Babinet, C., and Charnay, P. (1994). Krox-20 controls myelination in the peripheral
nervous system. Nature *371*, 796-799.
Tricaud, N., and Park, H.T. (2017). Wallerian demyelination: chronicle of a cellular cataclysm.
Cell Mol Life Sci *74*, 4049-4057.
Varelas, X. (2014). The Hippo pathway effectors TAZ and YAP in development, homeostasis and
disease. Development *141*, 1614-1626.
Verheijen, M.H., Camargo, N., Verdier, V., Nadra, K., de Preux Charles, A.S., Medard, J.J.,
Luoma, A., Crowther, M., Inouye, H., Shimano, H.*, et al.* (2009). SCAP is required for timely and
proper myelin membrane synthesis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *106*, 21383-21388.
Wen, T., Liu, J., He, X., Dong, K., Hu, G., Yu, L., Yin, Q., Osman, I., Peng, J., Zheng, Z.*, et al.*
(2019). Transcription factor TEAD1 is essential for vascular development by promoting vascular
smooth muscle differentiation. Cell death and differentiation *26*, 2790-2806.
Wen, T., Yin, Q., Yu, L., Hu, G., Liu, J., Zhang, W., Huang, L., Su, H., Wang, M., and Zhou, J.
(2017). Characterization of mice carrying a conditional TEAD1 allele. Genesis (New York, NY :
2000) *55*.
Wright, M.C., Cho, W.J., and Son, Y.J. (2007). Distinct patterns of motor nerve terminal sprouting
induced by ciliary neurotrophic factor vs. botulinum toxin. J Comp Neurol *504*, 1-16.
Xiao, J.H., Davidson, I., Matthes, H., Garnier, J.M., and Chambon, P. (1991). Cloning, expression,
and transcriptional properties of the human enhancer factor TEF-1. Cell *65*, 551-568.
Yao, F., Zhou, Z., Kim, J., Hang, Q., Xiao, Z., Ton, B.N., Chang, L., Liu, N., Zeng, L., Wang, W.*,*
et al. (2018). SKP2- and OTUD1-regulated non-proteolytic ubiquitination of YAP promotes YAP
nuclear localization and activity. Nature communications *9*, 2269.
Zheng, Y., and Pan, D. (2019). The Hippo Signaling Pathway in Development and Disease.
Developmental cell *50*, 264-282.
Zorick, T.S., Syroid, D.E., Brown, A., Gridley, T., and Lemke, G. (1999). Krox-20 controls SCIP
expression, cell cycle exit and susceptibility to apoptosis in developing myelinating Schwann cells.
Development *126*, 1397-1406.
915

Figure 1. Expression of TEADs in Schwann cells (SCs) of WT, *Tead1* cKO, and iKO mice

(A) Immunostaining and quantification of TEAD1+ SCs on longitudinal sections of P22 WT and

Tead1 cKO SNs. SC nuclei are identified by Sox10. All cell nuclei are marked by DAPI. n = 3

mice per genotype, ****P < 0.0001, Student's unpaired t-test. Scale bar: 20μm

(B) Immunostaining and quantification of TEAD1+ SCs on longitudinal sections of WT and

Tead1 iKO SNs 3 months after tamoxifen administration. SC nuclei are identified by Sox10. All

cell nuclei are marked by DAPI. n = 3 mice per genotype, ****$P < 0.0001$, Student's unpaired t-

test. Scale bar: 20μm

(C) Immunostaining and quantification of TEAD1+ SCs on longitudinal sections of WT and

Tead1 iKO SNs 28 days after nerve crush. SC nuclei are identified by Sox10. All cell nuclei are

marked by DAPI. n = 3 mice per genotype, ****$P < 0.0001$, Student's unpaired t-test. Scale bar:

20μm

(D) Western blotting of P50 WT and *Tead1* cKO SN lysates with anti-TEAD1, -TEAD2, -

TEAD3, -TEAD4, and -pan TEAD. TEAD expression is normalized to that of *β-actin* as an

internal control, and WT expression is arbitrarily given the value 1. n = 3 mice per genotype. ns,

$p > 0.05$; *$p \leq 0.05$, **$p \leq 0.005$, ***$p \leq 0.001$, unpaired Student's t-test.

(E) Immunostaining and quantification of pan-TEAD+ SCs on longitudinal sections of P100 WT

and *Tead1* iKO SNs. SC nuclei are identified by Sox10. All cell nuclei are marked by DAPI. n =

3 mice per genotype. ns, $p > 0.05$, Student's unpaired t-test. Scale bar: 20μm

(F) Immunostaining and quantification of pan-TEAD+ SCs on longitudinal sections of P100 WT

and *Tead1* iKO SNs 28 days after nerve crush. SC nuclei are identified by Sox10. All cell nuclei

are marked by DAPI. n = 3 mice per genotype. ns, $p > 0.05$, Student's unpaired t-test. Scale bar:

20μm

Figure 2. YAP/TAZ strongly favor TEAD1 but partner with other TEADs

(A) Western blotting of P50 WT and *Tead1* cKO SN lysates with anti-YAP, -phospho-YAPs

(Ser127 and Ser397), and -TAZ. YAP/TAZ expression is normalized to that of *β-actin* as an

internal control, and WT expression is arbitrarily given the value 1. n = 3 mice per genotype. *p

≤ 0.05, ***p ≤ 0.001, ****P < 0.0001, Student's unpaired t-test.

(B) Immunostaining of YAP/TAZ+ SCs on longitudinal sections of P50 WT and *Tead1* cKO

SNs. SC nuclei are identified by Sox10. All cell nuclei are marked by DAPI. Scale bar: 20μm

(C) Immunostaining of YAP/TAZ+ SCs on longitudinal sections of P100 WT and *Tead1* iKO

SNs. SC nuclei are identified by Sox10. All cell nuclei are marked by DAPI. Scale bar: 20μm

(D) Immunostaining of YAP/TAZ+ SCs on longitudinal sections of P100 WT and *Tead1* iKO

SNs 28 days after nerve crush. SC nuclei are identified by Sox10. All cell nuclei are marked by

DAPI. Scale bar: 20μm

(E) Quantification of YAP/TAZ+ SCs in WT, Tead1 cKO, intact, and injured *Tead1* iKO SNs. n

= 3 mice per group. ns, p > 0.05, Student's unpaired t-test.

(F) Immunoprecipitation of P100 WT and *Tead1* iKO SN lysates with anti-YAP/TAZ and

control Rb IgG. Lysate samples, labeled post-IP lysate, were taken from tubes after

immunoprecipitated complexes attached to beads had been spun down to assess the efficiency.

Immunoprecipitates were blotted for YAP/TAZ, TEAD1, and pan-TEAD. Arrow denotes a faint

TEAD1 band revealed by pan-TEAD in WT lysate.

Figure 3. TEAD1 is required for myelin sheath formation and growth

(A) A living control (WT) and two *Tead1* cKO mice from one litter at P55. Arrows point to

splayed paralyzed hindlimbs.

(B) Exposed SNs of WT and *Tead1* cKO mice during dissection. Scale bar: 1mm

(C) Representative images of CMAPs generated by stimulation of WT and *Tead1* cKO SNs.

(D) Quantification of NCV in WT and *Tead1* cKO. n = 6 mice per genotype. ***$P < 0.001$,

Student's unpaired t-test.

(E) Representative images of semithin (left panels) and TEM (right panels) of transverse sections

of WT and *Tead1* cKO SNs at P3. Scale bar: 20μm (left panels), 1μm (right panels)

(F) Representative images of semithin (left panels) and TEM (right panels) of transverse sections

of WT and *Tead1* cKO SNs at P22. Scale bar: 20μm (left panels), 1μm (right panels)

(G) Representative images of semithin (left panels) and TEM (right panels) of transverse

sections of WT and *Tead1* cKO SNs at P50. Scale bar: 20μm (left panels), 1μm (right panels)

(H) Quantification of G-ratio for axons in WT and *Tead1* cKO SNs at P22 and at P50. n = 3

mice per group. ns, $p > 0.05$ (P22 WT versus P50 WT, P22 cKO versus P50 cKO), ****$P <$

0.0001 (P22 WT versus P22 cKO, P50 WT versus P50 cKO).

(I) Scatter plot graph displaying G-ratio in relation to axon diameter in WT and *Tead1* cKO SNs

at P22. n = 1063 axons from 3 mice per each genotype.

(J) Scatter plot graph displaying G-ratio in relation to axon diameter in WT and *Tead1* cKO SNs

at P50. n = 880 axons from 3 mice per each genotype.

(K) Quantitative comparison of mean axon diameter in WT and *Tead1* cKO SNs at P22 and at

P50. n = 3 mice per group. ns, $p > 0.05$, *$p \le 0.05$, 2-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple

comparisons test.

(L) Representative TEM images of transverse sections of P50 WT and *Tead1* cKO SNs. Right

panels are enlarged images of the boxed area in left panels. Scale bar: 1μm

(M) Quantification of normal and abnormal C-fibers in P50 WT and *Tead1* cKO SNs. C-fibers

normally ensheathed by SC cytoplasm are marked as 'ensheathed', whereas those not ensheathed

are denoted as 'naked'. Naked C-fibers located in a myelinated bundle are denoted as 'naked

myelinated'. Relative percentage of each type of C-fiber is plotted. N > 500 C-fibers from 3 mice

per each genotype. *$p \le 0.05$, ***$p \le 0.001$, ****$P < 0.0001$, 2-way ANOVA Tukey's multiple

comparisons test.

Figure 4. TEAD1 regulates SC proliferation both positively and negatively

(A) Immunostaining and quantification of SCs on transverse sections of WT and *Tead1* iKO SNs at P3, P22, and P52. SC nuclei and all cell nuclei are marked by Sox10 and DAPI. Axons are marked by Neurofilaments (NF). n = 3 mice per genotype, ns, $p > 0.05$, $*p \leq 0.05$, $**p \leq 0.01$, $****P < 0.0001$, 2-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparisons test. Scale bar: 30μm

(B) Immunostaining and quantification of Ki67+ SCs on longitudinal sections of WT and *Tead1* iKO SNs at P3, P11, and P22. SC nuclei and all cell nuclei are marked by Sox10 and DAPI. n = 3 mice per genotype, ns, $p > 0.05$, $**p \leq 0.01$, $****P < 0.0001$, 2-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparisons test. Scale bar: 30μm (P3 panels), 20μm (P11 and P22 panels)

(C) Immunostaining and quantification of EdU+ SCs on longitudinal sections of WT and *Tead1* iKO SNs at P3, P11, and P22. SC nuclei and all cell nuclei are marked by Sox10 and DAPI. n = 3 mice per genotype, ns, $p > 0.05$, $*p \leq 0.05$, $****P < 0.0001$, 2-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparisons test. Scale bar: 30μm (P3 panels), 20μm (P11 and P22 panels)

(D) Immunostaining and quantification of EdU+/TEAD1+ SCs on longitudinal sections of WT and *Tead1* iKO SNs at P11. SC nuclei are marked by Sox10. Asterisks denote *Tead1* cKO SCs in which TEAD1 is not deleted and which are not EdU+. Arrowheads denote EdU+ SCs which are TEAD1+ in WT but TEAD1- in *Tead1* cKO. n = 3 mice per genotype, $***P < 0.001$, Student's unpaired t-test. Scale bar: 20μm

1017

Figure 5. TEAD1 is required for Krox20 to upregulate myelin proteins during

development

(A) Western blotting of P50 WT and *Tead1* cKO SN lysates with anti-Oct6, -Krox20, -MPZ, and

-MBP. Protein expression is normalized to that of *β-actin* as an internal control, and WT

expression is arbitrarily given the value 1. n = 3 mice per genotype. $*p \leq 0.05$, $***p \leq 0.001$,

$***p \leq 0.0001$, Student's unpaired t-test.

(B) Immunostaining and quantification of Oct6+ SCs on longitudinal sections of P50 WT and

Tead1 cKO SNs. SC nuclei are identified by Sox10. All cell nuclei are marked by DAPI. n = 3

mice per genotype, $****P < 0.0001$, Student's unpaired t-test. Scale bar: 20μm

(C) Immunostaining and quantification of Krox20+ SCs on longitudinal sections of WT and

Tead1 cKO SNs at P11 and P50. SC nuclei are identified by Sox10. All cell nuclei are marked by

DAPI. n = 3 mice per each group, ns, $p > 0.05$, Student's unpaired t-test. Scale bar: 20μm

(D) Immunostaining and quantification of EdU+/Krox20+ SCs on longitudinal sections of WT

and *Tead1* cKO SNs at P11. SC nuclei are identified by Sox10. Arrowheads denote examples of

EdU+ SCs which are Krox20-. Asterisks denote rarely observed EdU+ SCs which are Krox20+.

n = 3 mice per genotype, ns, $p > 0.05$, Student's unpaired t-test. Scale bar: 20μm

1034

Figure 6. TEAD1 and YAP/TAZ upregulate cholesterol synthesis enzymes: FDPS and IDI1

(A) Western blotting of P8 and P40 WT and *Tead1* cKO SN lysates with anti-SREBP1, -SCD1, -SREBP2, -HMGCR, -FDPS, and -IDI1. Protein expression is normalized to that of *β-actin* as an internal control, and WT expression is arbitrarily given the value 1. n = 3 or 4 mice per genotype. ns, p > 0.05, *p ≤ 0.05, **p ≤ 0.01, ****P < 0.0001, Student's unpaired t-test. **(B)** Western

1045

Figure 7. TEAD1 is largely dispensable for myelin maintenance, but required for

preservation of Remak bundle integrity

(A) Cartoon showing timeline of tamoxifen injection and time of sacrifice of *Tead1* iKO mice.

(B) Representative TEM images of P150 WT and *Tead1* iKO SNs showing occasional large

axons undergoing demyelination (arrowheads) or completely demyelinated (arrows). Scale bar:

6μm (left panels), 2μm (right panel)

(C) Quantification of NCV in P90-P150 WT and *Tead1* iKO mice. n = 5 or 6 mice per genotype.

ns, $p > 0.05$, Student's unpaired t-test.

(D) Representative TEM images of P97 WT and *Tead1* iKO SNs showing Remak bundles.

Asterisk denotes a bundle of thinly myelinated C-fibers. Arrow denotes demyelinated axon.

Scale bar: 1μm

(E) Quantification of normal and abnormal C-fibers in P150 WT and *Tead1* iKO SNs. Relative

percentage of each type of C-fiber is plotted. N > 500 C-fibers from 3 mice per each genotype.

ns, $p > 0.05$, ****$P < 0.0001$, 2-way ANOVA Tukey's multiple comparisons test.

(F) Immunostaining and quantification of Krox20+ SCs on longitudinal sections of WT and

Yap/Taz iKO SNs 2 weeks after 1st tamoxifen injection. SC nuclei are marked by Sox10. All cell

nuclei are marked by DAPI. n = 3 mice per genotype, ***$P < 0.001$, Student's unpaired t-test.

Scale bar: 20μm

(G) Immunostaining and quantification of Krox20+ SCs on longitudinal sections of WT and

Tead1 iKO SNs 6 weeks after 1st tamoxifen injection. SC nuclei are marked by Sox10. All cell

nuclei are marked by DAPI. n = 3 mice per genotype, ns, $p > 0.05$, Student's unpaired t-test.

Scale bar: 20μm

(H) Immunostaining and quantification of Oct6+ SCs on longitudinal sections of WT and *Tead1*

iKO SNs 6 weeks after 1st tamoxifen injection. SC nuclei are marked by Sox10. All cell nuclei

are marked by DAPI. n = 3 mice per genotype, ns, $p > 0.05$, Student's unpaired t-test. Scale bar:

20μm

(I) Western blotting of WT and *Yap/Taz* iDKO SN lysates with anti-YAP, -TAZ, -SREBP1, -

SCD1, -SREBP2, -HMGCR, -FDPS, and -IDI1. Protein expression is normalized to that of *β-*

actin as an internal control, and WT expression is arbitrarily given the value 1. n = 3 or 4 mice

per genotype. ns, $p > 0.05$, **$p \le 0.01$, ***$P < 0.001$, ****$P < 0.0001$, Student's unpaired t-test.

1080

1081

1082　**Figure 8. TEAD1 is required for functional regeneration of peripheral nerve**

(A) Cartoon showing timeline of tamoxifen injection and time of sacrifice of *Tead1* iKO mice after nerve crush.

(B) Low magnification images of longitudinal sections of ~4mm long SN segments of WT and *Tead1* iKO mice 28 days after nerve crush. Arrowheads denote crush site. Axons and myelin are marked by Tuj1 and MBP, respectively. All cell nuclei are marked by DAPI. Yellow asterisks denote the distal nerve segment of *Tead1* iKO, which almost completely lacks myelin. White asterisks denote distal segments of both WT and *Tead1* iKO, which exhibit more cells than proximal segments due to SC proliferation after injury. Scale bar: 500μm

(C) Representative low and high magnification NMJ images of WT and Tead1 iKO 28 days after nerve crush. Axon and axon terminals are marked by neurofilament (NF) and SV2 staining. SCs are marked by S100. Muscle acetylcholine receptors are marked by α-Bungarotoxin. Arrows denote examples of axon terminals that grew past NMJs in iKO. Arrowheads denote examples of extrasynaptic AChR clusters in iKO. Scale bar: 50μm (low magnification panels), 20μm (high magnification panels)

(D) Representative semi-thin and TEM images of transverse sections of WT and *Tead1* iKO 28 days after nerve crush. Scale bar: 20μm (left semi-thin panels), 2μm (right TEM panels)

(E) Representative CMAP recordings and NCV measurements of WT and *Tead1* iKO at 28 days after nerve crush. n = 3 mice per genotype, **$p \leq 0.01$, Student's unpaired t-test.

(F) Immunostaining and quantification of EdU+ SCs on longitudinal sections of WT and *Tead1* iKO SNs at 28 days after nerve crush. SC nuclei are marked by Sox10. All cell nuclei are marked by DAPI. n = 4 mice per genotype, ns, $p > 0.05$, Student's unpaired t-test. Scale bar: 20μm

(G) Immunostaining and quantification of Krox20+ SCs on longitudinal sections of WT and *Tead1* iKO SNs at 28 days after nerve crush. SC nuclei are marked by Sox10. All cell nuclei are marked by DAPI. n = 3 mice per genotype, ns, $p > 0.05$, Student's unpaired t-test. Scale bar: 20μm

(H) Immunostaining and quantification of Oct6+ SCs on longitudinal sections of WT and *Tead1* iKO SNs at 28 days after nerve crush. SC nuclei are marked by Sox10. All cell nuclei are marked by DAPI. n = 3 mice per genotype, ns, ***$P < 0.001$, Student's unpaired t-test. Scale bar: 20μm

1115   **Supplementary figure 1. Additional semi-thin and TEM images of WT and *Tead1* cKO**

1116   **(A)** Representative images of semi-thin sections of various areas of WT and Tead1 cKO SNs at

1117   P22 and P50. Scale bar: 20μm

1118   **(B)** Representative TEM images of transverse sections of WT and Tead1 cKO SNs at P22 and

1119   P50. Scale bar: 1μm (P22 panels), 2μm (P50 panels)

1120

1121   **Supplementary video 1. A movie showing a P55 WT and two littermates *Tead1* cKO mice**

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank members of the Son laboratory for critical reading of the manuscript. We thank Dr.

Eric Olson for *Yap* and *Taz* floxed mice, Drs. Ueli Suter and Kelly Monk for Plp-creERT2 mice.

Plp-creERT2 mice were generated by Dr. Ueli Suter using a patented Cre-ERT2 construct

developed by Dr. Pierre Chambon at GIE-CERBM.

FUNDINGS

This work was supported by grants from Shriners Children's (#84050 to Y-J.S.) and NIH NINDS

(NS105796 to Y-J.S.).

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Conceptualization: MG, YS

Methodology: GH, JZ

Investigation: MG, HK, SP, JA, ML, YS

Funding acquisition: YS

Supervision: ML, YS

Writing – original draft: MG

Writing – review & editing: YS

COMPETING INTERESTS

The authors declare no competing interests.

DATA AND MATERIALS AVAILABILITY

All data are available in the main text or the supplementary materials.