Title: Maternal age effects on offspring lifespan and reproduction vary within a species

Authors: Alyssa Liguori[1], Sovannarith Korm[1], Alex Profetto[1,2], Emily Richters[1,3], Kristin E.

Gribble[1]

[1] Josephine Bay Paul Center for Comparative Molecular Biology and Evolution, Marine Biological

Laboratory, Woods Hole, MA 02543

[2] Translational Genomics Laboratory, McLean Hospital, Belmont, MA 02478

[3] Taub Institute for Research on Alzheimer's Disease and the Aging Brain, Columbia University,

New York, NY 10032

Corresponding authors: Alyssa Liguori and Kristin Gribble

Email: aliguori@mbl.edu, kgribble@mbl.edu

ABSTRACT

Across diverse taxa, offspring from older mothers have decreased lifespan and fitness. Little is known about whether such maternal age effects vary among genotypes for a given species, however. We compared maternal age effects among four strains of rotifers in the *Brachionus plicatilis* species complex. For each strain, we measured lifespan, reproductive schedule, and lifetime reproductive output of offspring produced by young, middle-aged, and old mothers. We found unexpected variability among strains in the magnitude and direction of maternal age effects on offspring life history traits. In one strain, offspring of young mothers lived 20% longer than offspring of old mothers, whereas there were no significant effects of maternal age on lifespan for the other strains. Across strains, advanced maternal age had positive effects, negative effects, or no effect on lifetime reproductive output. For all but one strain, older mothers produced offspring that had higher maximum daily reproduction early in life. Maternal age effects appear to be genetically determined traits, not features of life history strategy or due to accumulation of age-related damage in the germline. Investigating intraspecific variability is critical for understanding the ubiquity of maternal age effects and their role in the evolution of life history and aging.

34 **1. Introduction**

35   Maternal age effects, in which a mother's age at the time of reproduction influences the

36 phenotype of her offspring absent of any changes in genotype, are a common form of

37 intergenerational plasticity. In many taxa, offspring from older mothers have decreased

38 lifespan, fecundity, and health [1–6]. As one of the earliest experimental studies of maternal

39 age effects was conducted by Albert Lansing using the rotifer *Philodina citrina* [3,7], a decrease

40 in lifespan and fecundity in old-mother offspring is often referred to as a "Lansing Effect." In

41 other species, however, advanced maternal age has positive effects [8–10] or no effects [11] on

42 offspring fitness. While many studies have described maternal age effects, it is unclear how

43 they are distributed across species and whether the tendency to have positive, neutral, or

44 negative maternal age effects is clade-specific. A recent meta-analysis of 97 animal species has

45 shown that Lepidopterans, other invertebrates (including *C. elegans,* rotifers, copepods,

46 annelids, snails, and fruit flies), wild (non-agricultural) mammals, and humans exhibited

47 significant negative effects of advanced maternal age, whereas wild birds had positive maternal

48 age effects on the early development of offspring [12].

49   The causes of maternal age effects are not clear, but are frequently thought to involve

50 age-related shifts in trade-offs between lifespan and reproduction. If more resources are

51 allocated to higher reproduction, then fewer resources can be allocated to somatic

52 maintenance, repair, and growth, which could result in decreased lifespan, or vice versa [13–

53 16]. For example, if offspring from older mothers receive fewer maternally-provisioned lipids,

54 gene transcripts, organelles, metabolites, or other resources, they may be shorter-lived or have

55 altered developmental and reproductive schedules. Changes in early life reproductive schedule

are thought to impact late-life reproduction or schedule and lifespan [14,17]. Negative

maternal age effects are hypothesized to be caused by age-related declines in the reproductive

system or gamete quality, or by other physiological constraints as mothers age [3,18]. Positive

maternal age effects could occur in organisms with life histories, morphologies, or physiologies

that enable parents to allocate more resources to offspring later in life (e.g., if older parents are

larger or more experienced) [10,12]. Both positive and negative maternal age effects could be

the result of life history adaptations, such as shifting of reproductive schedules, that optimize

population fitness across generations in response to environmental changes [18]. However,

there is limited evidence to support these hypotheses. With the currently available studies, it is

difficult to disentangle the effects of phylogeny, life history, and environment on maternal age

effects among broad taxonomic groups [12].

To address questions about the evolution of life history and the impact of maternal age

effects on population dynamics, it will be critical to understand the evolutionary mechanisms

underlying intergenerational plasticity. A promising avenue of investigation is to characterize

intraspecific variability in maternal age effects. Analyses among species are limited by multiple

confounding variables, but within species we can compare populations or strains with relatively

similar genetics, morphology, life history strategies, and environments, but distinct vital rates.

Studies of *Daphnia* [19,20]*, Drosophila* [4,11,21,22]*,* and other insects [23] provide examples of

high variability in the magnitude and direction of parental age effects on lifespan, development,

fecundity, or embryonic diapause among strains and populations. To understand whether

intraspecific variability in maternal age effects is typical, additional experimental studies of

other clades are needed.

Monogonont rotifers are an ideal study system for investigating phenotypic plasticity

across generations. They are microscopic invertebrate animals that are abundant worldwide

and play critical roles in many aquatic food webs as primary consumers and prey. Rotifers in the

Brachionus plicatilis cryptic species complex have lifespans from 1 – 4 weeks and are easily

reared across multiple, age-synchronized generations in the laboratory [24,25]. *Brachionus* spp.

are cyclical parthenogens: they reproduce asexually, but in response to environmental cues

(dense populations) can switch to a sexual reproduction phase that results in the formation of

resting eggs [26]. Therefore, genetic diversity of populations can be manipulated, resulting in

experimental cohorts that are isogenic, inbred, or genetically diverse. Phenotypic plasticity and

maternal effects in response to inter- and intra-specific interactions, including inducible

defenses and the induction of sexual reproduction, have been studied in these rotifers for

decades [27,28]. Unlike many short-lived invertebrates, *Brachionus* females do not produce

large numbers of small offspring in broods, but instead make a large reproductive investment in

each offspring, producing 1-6 large neonates each day throughout the reproductive period,

with a lifetime reproductive output of 20-30 offspring. This makes it an interesting species in

which to examine the conservation of maternal age effects among strains. *Brachionus* has

externally brooded embryos, direct development with no larval stages, and no parental care

after neonates hatch, so we can distinguish the effects of metamorphoses and maternal care

from other maternal age-related differences in maternal provisioning [24,25].

Maternal age effects are just beginning to be explored in *Brachionus* rotifers. Recent

studies on the Russian strain of *B. manjavacas* (BmanRUS), have found that advanced maternal

age leads to decreased lifespan and lifetime fecundity in offspring [1,29]. While large

differences in lifespan and reproductive plasticity between closely-related *Brachionus* strains in

response to environmental stressors have been found [30,31], the variability in maternal age

effects among *Brachionus* strains is unknown.

Here, we tested for differences in maternal age effects on offspring lifespan and

reproduction among four strains from the *Brachionus plicatilis* species complex. We compared

one strain of *B. plicatilis* (BpL1) and three strains of *B. manjavacas*: BmanL5 and BmanRUS (both

maintained in constant culture for > 20 years) and BmanRUS-RE, which was newly hatched from

five-year-old resting (diapausing) eggs of BmanRUS. We compared BmanRUS and BmanRUS-RE

to test whether the life history phenotypes that have been observed in past studies were

preserved in the diapausing strain (BmanRUS-RE), which had not been subjected to laboratory

selective pressures for the past five years [30,31].

Despite their genetic similarity, these strains differ in their origins, time in culture, vital

rates under control laboratory conditions, and responses to environmental stressors [32,33].

Under control conditions (21°C, *ad libitum* food), median lifespan ranged from 10 d for BpL1 to

23.5 d for BmanL5. At lower temperatures (16°C), lifespans of BmanRUS and BmanL5 were

extended, but the mean lifespan of BpL1 was unaffected [30]. BmanRUS has the highest

tendency for mixis (sexual reproduction), BmanL5 has less, and the BpL1 strain is completely

amictic (asexual). Under chronic caloric restriction and intermittent fasting diets, BmanRUS

lifespan was significantly extended, but BmanL5 and BpL1 had no change in lifespan [31]. Given

the substantial differences in lifespan, reproduction, and responses to environment between

these strains of the same and closely related species, we wanted to know if they also differ in

the effect of maternal age on offspring phenotype.

In this study, we conducted multi-generation life table experiments to quantify

survivorship, lifetime reproductive output, and reproductive schedules for multiple maternal

age cohorts for each strain. Since BpL1 is the most distantly related strain with the most

disparate vital rates and responses to environmental conditions, we expected that it would

have different maternal age effects from the other strains. While BmanRUS and BmanRUS-RE

are the most closely related, we hypothesized that the BmanRUS line has undergone laboratory

evolution, and therefore could have distinct phenotypes from the BmanRUS-RE strain. With

these life table data, we tested for trade-offs between lifespan and reproduction and whether

relationships between these factors differed among strains and maternal age cohorts. Although

previous work on BmanRUS did not find evidence for maternal age-related lifespan-

reproduction trade-offs [1,29], we wanted to test whether trade-offs were lacking across the

genetically distinct strains studied here. This work will inform future research on the evolution

of variability in maternal age effects within and across clades.

2. Materials & Methods

(a) Rotifer and phytoplankton culture

Each rotifer strain was kept in serial culture and fed the chlorophyte algae *Tetraselmis*

suecica. Algae cultures were maintained in 2 L flasks of bubbled f/2 medium [34], minus silica,

made with 15 ppt Instant Ocean Sea Salt (Instant Ocean, Blacksburg, VA) in distilled water. Both

rotifer and algae cultures were grown at 21°C on a 12:12 h light:dark cycle. Cultures of *T.*

suecica were maintained in semi-continuous log phase growth by the removal of 40% of the

culture and replacement with f/2 medium every other day throughout the experiments.

(b) Life table experiments

The BmanRUS experiment took place in March 2021. The other three strains were

studied simultaneously in July 2021. The same methods were used for all experiments. To

control for maternal and grandmaternal ages of the experimental animals, two generations of

maternal age synchronization were conducted before the initiation of life table experiments.

Amictic eggs were removed from mature females by vortexing, isolated by micropipette, and

allowed to hatch and mature for 5 days in *ad libitum* food conditions, at which time eggs were

again collected from mature females. After repeating this for two generations, eggs were

collected and allowed to hatch for 6 h to initiate the experimental cohort. Thus, the mothers

and grandmothers of our experimental F0 cohorts were all 3 – 5 days old.

To initiate and track each cohort, individual neonates were allocated to 1 mL of *T.*

suecica at a concentration of 6×10^5 cells mL^{-1} in 15 ppt Instant Ocean in 24-well plates (n = 55

to 75 per strain). Every 24 h, each individual was observed on a Zeiss Stemi 508 microscope,

scored as alive or dead, carrying or not carrying eggs (reproductive status), and the number of

offspring produced within the previous 24 h was quantified. The original female was then

transferred to a new well with new seawater and *T. suecica*. Daily scoring and transfers were

conducted until all individuals had died. This method produced individual-level lifespan and

reproduction data.

To initiate the F1 generation at young (Y), middle (M), and old (O) maternal ages for

each strain, one neonate per mother (hatched within the past 24 h) was pipetted into a well of

a new plate with 1 mL of *T. suecica* in Instant Ocean. In some cases, multiple neonates were

taken from a single mother, particularly if other mothers had no neonates on the day of

collection. These F1 offspring were then tracked for their entire lifetimes in the same manner as

their mothers. For BmanL5 (n = 71 - 72) and BmanRUS-RE (n = 60 - 71), experimental F1

generations were initiated at maternal ages of 3 (Y), 6 (M), and 10 d (O). For BpL1, maternal

ages were 3 (n = 68), 6 (n =69), and 9 days (n =39) because of its shorter lifespan. The F1

generation of BmanRUS had only two maternal ages: young (3 d; n = 62) and old (11 d; n = 90).

(c) Statistical analyses

All data were plotted and analyzed using R v. 4.0.2 [35]. The 'survival' and 'survminer'

packages [36,37] were used to create Kaplan-Meier survivorship curves. Differences in

survivorship curves among maternal age cohorts were tested within each strain using log-

rank/Mantel-Haenszel tests implemented within the 'survival' package. For each strain, two

separate tests were used to compare Y versus M and Y versus O cohorts (except for BmanRUS,

for which there was only Y and O). The Bonferroni method was used to adjust the critical alpha

value to account for multiple comparisons. Individuals were right-censored if their death was

not observed due to accidental loss during daily transfers.

For lifetime reproductive output (LRO), maximum daily reproduction (MDR), age of 50%

LRO, and reproductive period, differences among cohorts were tested within each strain using

Kruskal-Wallis rank sum tests. Pairwise comparisons were made using Wilcoxon rank sum tests.

To test for life history trade-offs, regression models were fit to describe the relationships

between lifespan and LRO, reproductive period (in days and as a percentage of lifespan), and

the age of 50% LRO. For each strain, hypothesis tests were conducted to determine whether

differences among maternal age cohorts in regression constants and coefficients were

statistically significant. These tests were also conducted to compare strains, after pooling

maternal age cohorts within each strain.

3. Results

(a) Survivorship:

Maternal age effects on offspring lifespan differed among strains (figure 1). For BmanL5,

offspring of younger mothers (Y) lived significantly longer than offspring of older mothers (O)

(median lifespans of 17 and 13.5 d, respectively; $p < 0.0001$). Median lifespan of offspring of

middle-aged mothers (15 d) was shorter but not significantly different than that of young-

mother offspring ($p = 0.128$). Offspring of younger mothers also lived longer than offspring of

older mothers for BmanRUS-RE, but this difference was not significant after a Bonferroni

correction for multiple comparisons (median lifespans of 12.5 and 11d, respectively; $p = 0.047$,

Bonferroni corrected alpha = 0.025). For BmanRUS, there was no effect of maternal age on

offspring lifespan ($p = 0.259$); median lifespan for all cohorts was 16 days. There was also no

effect of maternal age on offspring lifespan for BpL1 (Y vs. M: $p = 0.913$; Y vs. O: $p = 0.239$).

Median lifespan for BpL1 cohorts ranged from 8-9 days, much shorter than that for the other

strains. Maximum lifespan (age of 5% survivorship) was similar among cohorts for BmanRUS (Y

= 21 d, O = 20 d). For BmanL5 and BmanRUS-RE, maximum lifespan was shorter in O cohorts

(BmanL5: Y and M = 22 d, O = 20 d; BmanRUS-RE: Y and M = 20 d, O = 17 d). Maximum lifespan

was shorter for BpL1 than for other strains across cohorts (Y = 15 d, M = 16 d, O = 14 d; figure 1,

table S1).

(b) Reproduction:

Maternal age affected offspring lifetime reproductive output (LRO) in two of four

strains, but these effects were in opposing directions (figure 2). For BmanL5, offspring of

younger mothers had higher LRO (mean ± SE: 24.8 ± 0.33 neonates ind^{-1}) than did offspring of

both middle-aged and older mothers (mean ± SE: 22.1 ± 0.46 and 22.2 ± 0.49 neonates ind^{-1},

respectively; H(3) = 57.04, p < 0.0001; figure 2G). For BpL1, LRO for all maternal age cohorts

was lower than that of the other strains. Offspring of young BpL1 mothers had the lowest LRO

(mean ± SE: 8.5 ± 0.61 neonates ind^{-1}), compared to older maternal age cohorts that produced

just over 10 neonates individual^{-1} on average (H(3) = 9.33, p = 0.025; figure 2A). For both

BmanRUS and BmanRUS-RE, there was no significant effect of maternal age on offspring LRO,

although offspring from older mothers tended to have higher reproductive output than

offspring from younger mothers on average (BmanRUS: H(2) = 2.52, p = 0.28; BmanRUS-RE:

H(3) = 8.3, p = 0.04, no significant pairwise comparisons, Wilcoxon rank sum test; figure 2C and

2E, table S1).

Variability in LRO among individuals also differed among strains and maternal age

cohorts. In BpL1, ~6% of individuals were sterile, which did not occur in the other strains

(variance: Y = 24.9, M = 28.9, O = 40.6). In BmanL5, variability in LRO was twice as high in M and

O cohorts than in the Y cohort (variance: Y = 7.7, M = 14.6, O = 17.2), with some M and O

individuals producing very few offspring (minimum LROs of Y, M, and O cohorts, respectively:

16, 4, and 7 neonates ind^{-1}). For BmanRUS-RE, the O cohort had a smaller range in LRO among

individuals (17 – 34 neonates ind^{-1}, omitting one non-reproductive individual) versus the Y and

M cohorts (9 – 36 and 6 – 35 neonates ind^{-1}; variance: Y = 58.3, M = 37.8, O = 19.2). Variability

in LRO was similar between the Y and O cohorts of BmanRUS (variance: Y = 33.1, O = 27.8; table

S1).

Reproductive schedule differed among maternal age cohorts and strains. For the O

cohort of BmanL5, daily reproduction was high in early life (mean maximum daily reproduction

(MDR): 4.9 neonates ind^{-1}; H(3) = 105.4, p < 0.0001), but then declined rapidly after 6 d, until

most individuals stopped producing neonates at the age of 9 d. The Y cohort had lower daily

reproduction in early life (mean MDR: 4.1 neonates ind^{-1}), but also showed a slower decline in

reproduction over time. Most Y individuals produced neonates until 12 d old, which led to

higher LRO than for M and O cohorts. Rotifers in the M and O cohorts reached 50% LRO one

day earlier than rotifers in the Y cohort (age 5 d versus 6 d; H(3) = 156.17, p < 0.0001; figure

2H). A similar pattern was observed for BmanRUS-RE, but the difference in maximum daily

reproduction between O and Y cohorts was larger (mean MDR: 4.7 vs. 3.7 neonates ind^{-1}; H(3) =

116.15, p < 0.0001). This led to higher LRO in offspring of older mothers on average, despite a

steep decline in reproduction that began at day eight. Offspring of young mothers produced at

least one neonate per day on average through day 13. Like BmanL5, BmanRUS-RE rotifers in the

O cohort reached 50% LRO earlier (age 5 d versus 6 d; H(3) = 38.2, p < 0.0001; figure 2F). For

BmanRUS, early peaks in neonate production were not as high as in the other *B. manjavacas*

strains. The BmanRUS O cohort peak was higher than the Y peak (mean MDR of O cohort: 3.2

neonates ind^{-1}, Y cohort: 3 neonates ind^{-1}; H(2) = 16.97, p = 0.0002). After day 13, daily

reproduction declined more rapidly in the O cohort than in the Y cohort. Rotifers in the O

cohort reached 50% LRO one day earlier than Y cohort rotifers (age 7 d versus 8 d; H(2) = 33.4, p

< 0.0001; figure 2D). For BpL1, the highest mean MDR (3 neonates ind^{-1}) occurred in the M

cohort (H(3) = 22.3, p < 0.0001). There were no significant differences among cohorts in age of

50% LRO (median across cohorts: 4.5 d; H(2) = 1.2, p = 0.55; figure 2B, table S1).

(c) Reproductive period

Reproductive period, measured as the percent of lifetime in which mothers carried eggs,

was affected by maternal age in different ways among strains. For BmanL5 and BmanRUS, Y

cohorts had longer reproductive periods than M (BmanL5 only) and O cohorts (BmanL5: H(3) =

32.88, p < 0.0001; BmanRUS: H(2) = 6.04, p = 0.049). Across maternal age cohorts, BmanRUS

had longer mean reproductive periods (71.9 to 75.5%) than did BmanL5 (48.8 to 58.6%). There

were no significant differences in mean reproductive periods among maternal age cohorts for

BmanRUS-RE, although they were longer on average for the Y cohort (73.2 to 78.6%; H(3) =

6.13, p = 0.11). For BpL1, the longest reproductive periods were observed in the M cohort

(mean of 65.6% versus 54.6 and 56.8% for the Y and O cohorts; H(3) = 7.77, p = 0.05; figure 3,

table S1).

(d) Life history trade-offs:

Correlations between lifespan and four metrics of reproduction differed among strains,

but there were few differences among maternal age cohorts within strains. LRO increased with

lifespan across all strains, but the slope of this relationship was lowest for BmanL5 (slope of

0.39 versus 0.90 - 1.01 for the other strains; figure S1A). For BmanRUS, the relationship

between LRO and lifespan was similar between maternal age cohorts (constants: p = 0.13,

slopes: p = 0.21; figure 4E). For the remaining strains, only the regression constants (not slopes)

differed among maternal age cohorts (BmanL5: p = 0.0009, BmanRUS-RE: p < 0.0001, BpL1: p =

0.0019; figure 4A, 4I, and 4M). The length of the reproductive period (d) also increased with

lifespan across strains; BmanL5 had the lowest slope (0.24 versus 0.44 – 0.61 for the other

strains; figure S1C). For BmanL5 and BpL1, regression constants differed among maternal age

cohorts (BmanL5: p < 0.0001, BpL1: p = 0.03; figure 4O and 4C). For BmanRUS and BmanRUS-

RE, the slope of the relationship between reproductive period and lifespan was steeper in the Y

cohort versus the older cohorts (BmanRUS slopes: p = 0.009, BmanRUS-RE slopes: p = 0.02;

figure 4G and 4K).

Reproductive period as a percentage of lifespan decreased with increasing lifespan

across strains, except for BpL1, for which there was no significant relationship (figure S1B). For

BmanRUS and BmanRUS-RE, the negative slope of the relationship was steepest in the O

cohorts versus younger cohorts (BmanRUS slopes: p = 0.004, BmanRUS-RE slopes: p = 0.026;

figure 4F and 4J). The age of 50% LRO increased with lifespan across strains, but again BmanL5

had the lowest slope (0.076 versus 0.169 – 0.201 for the other strains; figure S1D). For

BmanRUS-RE, the slope of this relationship was steepest in the Y cohort (slopes: p = 0.039;

figure 4L). Slopes did not differ among maternal age cohorts in the other strains (figure 4D, 4H,

and 4P).

4. Discussion

Maternal age effects are known to vary among species, in a manner thought to be

dependent on large differences in life history strategies among evolutionarily distant taxa. Here

we show unexpected intraspecific differences in the magnitude and direction of maternal age

effects on offspring lifespan and reproduction in *Brachionus* rotifers. Our findings suggest that

maternal age effects are genetically determined traits which may differ even among closely

related species with identical life history strategies. This work implies that maternal age effects

are not simply caused by the age-related accumulation of cellular or DNA damage that is passed

on to offspring. Alternatively, if such damage accumulation does occur, some genotypes may

have the capacity to prevent or repair resulting dysfunction in the germline.

Changes in lifespan and reproduction due to maternal age effects were not due to

lifespan-reproduction trade-offs. BmanL5, which had the longest post-reproductive period (as a

percent of the lifespan), displayed the strongest negative effects of advanced maternal age.

Median lifespan of offspring of old mothers was 20% shorter than that of offspring of young

mothers. Offspring of young mothers had higher lifetime reproductive output (LRO), with lower

variability among individuals, and longer reproductive periods relative to offspring of old

mothers.

BmanRUS was least affected by maternal age: both lifespan and LRO were similar

between young and old mother cohorts, though advanced maternal age in BmanRUS did lead

to shorter reproductive periods and the production of more neonates early in life. For

BmanRUS-RE, a strain that was initiated with BmanRUS resting eggs from 2016, offspring of

younger mothers had a 10% higher median lifespan (though this difference was not significant),

and there was no effect of maternal age on LRO. BmanRUS-RE had the largest difference in

early-life reproductive peaks between old and young mother cohorts across strains. For all *B.*

manjavacas strains, old mother cohorts produced the majority of their offspring earlier in life

than young mother cohorts. For BpL1, the most distantly-related strain with the shortest

lifespan and lowest reproduction overall, advanced maternal age led to higher LRO, but had no

effect on lifespan.

Intraspecific variability in maternal age effects has been described in only a few other

species, the most well-studied of which is *Drosophila melanogaster.* A comparison of six lab

strains (4 inbred and 2 outbred) showed that older mothers generally produced offspring with

shorter lifespans, but in a single strain, Canton-S, advanced maternal age led to longer lifespan

in offspring [22]. We did not observe increased lifespan in old-mother offspring in any rotifer

strain in the current study. Lee and colleagues [21] replicated the positive effect of advanced

maternal age on offspring lifespan in Canton-S, but saw negative maternal age effects in two

additional strains. Bloch Qazi and colleagues [4] also studied Canton-S as well as Oregon-R and

found that embryo viability (hatching success) and embryo to adult viability were lower in

offspring of old mothers, even in the strain with positive effects of advanced maternal age on

offspring lifespan, though the magnitude of effects differed between the strains. Three *D.*

melanogaster populations collected from distinct environments in Turkey also showed both

positive and negative effects of advanced maternal age on offspring longevity [11]. In *Daphnia*

pulex, advanced maternal age decreased offspring lifespan in two of three clones studied, but

advanced maternal age led to higher growth rates in offspring in all three clones [19]. In

Daphnia magna, five clones showed positive, negative, and neutral maternal age effects on

lifespan [20]. Such high intraspecific variability in maternal age effects within these model

arthropods and rotifers demonstrates the importance of including multiple strains or

populations in future studies of maternal age effects in other clades.

Variability in the direction and magnitude of maternal age effects between species has

been attributed to differences in life history strategy that result from varied selective pressures

and evolutionary constraints. Here, we have shown that strains of the same species can also

exhibit divergent maternal age effects. Intraspecific differences in maternal effects could also

be due to differential selective pressures in diverse environments. For example, in the striped

ground cricket, *Allonemobius fasciatus*, populations that span a wide latitudinal cline face

different seasonality and have evolved distinct life histories. Northern populations experiencing

short growing seasons only reproduce once in a year (univoltine), while southern populations

with longer growing seasons and milder winters can reproduce multiple times per year (bi- and

multivoltine). In univoltine populations, maternal age has no effect on the tendency for

diapause in offspring. At the end of the short growing season, mating adults produce eggs that

diapause, overwinter, and hatch in the spring with sufficient time for maturation. In

multivoltine populations, the tendency to produce diapausing eggs increases with maternal

age, which is advantageous since the probability of producing offspring that will mature before

winter decreases as the growing season progresses [23].

The strains studied here were originally isolated from different geographic sites [31], but

all have been continuously cultured in the lab under similar serial culture methods for decades.

These strains have been subjected to the same conditions of no predation, a consistent daily

light:dark cycle, constant temperature, cycles of high and low food, cycles of high and low

population density, and frequent population bottlenecks. Therefore, all strains have been

subjected to the same selective pressures for thousands of generations. Differences in initial

genetic composition at the time of culture origin, spontaneous mutation, and genetic drift

caused by frequent population bottlenecks all may have contributed to the laboratory

evolution of these strains, which could underlie the variation in vital rates and maternal age

effects observed here.

BmanRUS-RE, which was initiated from resting eggs of BmanRUS collected in 2016, was

included in this study to test whether maternal age effect experiment results in BmanRUS from

2014 – 2018 could be replicated [1,29]. We expected that BmanRUS-RE would be genetically

and phenotypically more similar to BmanRUS used in previous studies than to the current

continuously cultured BmanRUS strain, potentially due to recent laboratory evolution of the

BmanRUS strain. In previous BmanRUS studies, offspring of old mothers had shorter lifespans

than offspring of young mothers by 10 – 25% and lower LRO by ~50% [1,29]. Here, maternal age

had no effect on lifespan or LRO in BmanRUS. In BmanRUS-RE, there were also no statistically

significant effects of maternal age on lifespan or LRO, but on average, offspring from younger

mothers lived 1.5 days longer than offspring from old mothers. Thus, the previously observed

strong negative maternal age effects in BmanRUS were not fully recovered in BmanRUS-RE.

When sexually-produced resting eggs of BmanRUS were collected, stored, and later hatched, it

is likely that only a small proportion of the population was randomly sampled. Therefore

BmanRUS-RE may be genetically distinct from BmanRUS in both 2016 and 2021, as a result of

genetic drift. Such variation within a species suggests that maternal age effects are under

genetic control rather than due to a passive, age-related accumulation of damage in the

germline or to universal resource trade-offs between reproduction and lifespan.

Life history theory predicts a trade-off between lifespan and reproduction, at least

under limiting resources [38]. In the biology of aging literature, this is often interpreted to mean

that increased reproduction causes shorter lifespan, or that limiting or delaying reproduction

can increase longevity, and that maternal age effects are driven by such trade-offs [13–16]. For

example, Plaistow and colleagues [19] attributed the negative lifespan effects of advanced

maternal age to earlier reproduction by old mother offspring. In strains of *Daphnia pulex,* they

found that advanced maternal age led to shorter lifespan in two of three strains studied. Across

all three strains, offspring of older mothers had increased clutch sizes earlier in life and faster

growth than offspring of young mothers. Only in the two strains in which lifespan was reduced

in offspring of old mothers did reproductive maturation occur at larger body sizes. Thus, the

authors concluded that increased early life reproduction traded-off with lifespan and that

maternal age effects were a result of better offspring provisioning by older mothers. Similarly,

Anderson and colleagues [20] studied multiple strains of *Daphnia magna* and found an "inverse

Lansing Effect" in some strains, in which offspring of older mothers lived longer than offspring

of younger mothers. They hypothesized that this inverse effect could be a result of *decreased*

lipid offspring provisioning by older mothers, which could result in embryonic caloric

restriction. The notion of a trade-off between lifespan and reproduction also underlies this

hypothesis: decreased lipid stores during development ultimately limits reproduction and

subsequently increases lifespan. Although caloric restriction is a well-documented mechanism

of lifespan extension in adult animals, however, embryonic and fetal resource limitation has

been shown to cause negative outcomes for offspring [39].

In contrast to these other studies, among the *B. manjavacas* strains examined here,

earlier or higher reproduction were not uniformly correlated with shorter lifespan. Old-mother

cohorts of all three strains produced 50% of their LRO one day earlier, and early life maximum

daily reproduction was higher than in young-mother cohorts. BmanRUS-RE had the largest

difference between maternal age cohorts in early life reproductive peaks, and BmanRUS had

the smallest, but still significant, difference. However, significantly shorter lifespans in the old

mother cohort were only observed for BmanL5. Thus, this study does not provide evidence that

a trade-off between increased early life reproduction and lifespan drives maternal age effects.

Additionally, the effects of maternal age, either positive or negative, could not be explained by

trade-offs between reproduction and longevity among the strains. This suggests that trade-offs

between somatic maintenance and development time or reproductive output are not primary

drivers of lifespan, at least under replete food conditions. This additionally emphasizes the

importance of comparing multiple strains before drawing conclusions about the ubiquity of

mechanisms controlling life history strategy. Indeed, if we had tested maternal age effects in

only BmanL5, in which offspring of older mothers had both shorter lifespans and earlier peaks

in reproduction, we might conclude that maternal age effects are driven by life history trade-

offs, in a similar manner as the *Daphnia* studies. Conversely, if BmanRUS had been the sole

experimental strain, we might eliminate trade-offs between reproduction and lifespan as a

potential mechanism, as reproductive timing was affected by maternal age, but lifespan was

not.

Maternal age effects on offspring lifespan and reproduction are genotype-specific in

Brachionus rotifers. Resource trade-offs between lifespan and reproduction likely do not

underlie the variable effects observed here. More studies examining intraspecific variability in

maternal age effects are needed to determine whether such high variation is widespread

among clades or specific to arthropods and rotifers (the most well-studied taxa to date). Future

work on maternal age effects in rotifers and other species should include more genotypes,

ideally spanning diverse life history strategies. For example, comparing multiple strains with a

range of tendencies for mixis and varied vital rates could allow for direct tests of the influence

of life history traits on maternal age effects. Future quantitative trait locus (QTL) analyses or

comparative genomics studies of strains with varied maternal age effects may provide insight

into the genetic controls of intergenerational inheritance.

Acknowledgements and funding: This work was funded by NSF CAREER Award IOS-1942606

and by NIA R01AG076592 to K. Gribble.

Data availability: Scripts and raw data are available online:

https://github.com/aliguori19/Brachionus_intraspecific_variation

References

1.   Bock MJ, Jarvis GC, Corey EL, Stone EE, Gribble KE. 2019 Maternal age alters offspring
lifespan, fitness, and lifespan extension under caloric restriction. *Sci. Rep.* **9**, 3138.
(doi:10.1038/s41598-019-40011-z)

2.   Barclay K, Myrskylä M. 2016 Maternal age and offspring health and health behaviours in
late adolescence in Sweden. *SSM - Popul. Health* **2**, 68–76.
(doi:10.1016/j.ssmph.2016.02.012)

3.   Lansing AI. 1947 A transmissible, cumulative, and reversible factor in aging. *J. Gerontol.* **2**,
228–239. (doi:10.1093/geronj/2.3.228)

4.   Bloch Qazi MC, Miller PB, Poeschel PM, Phan MH, Thayer JL, Medrano CL. 2017
Transgenerational effects of maternal and grandmaternal age on offspring viability and
performance in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *J. Insect Physiol.* **100**, 43–52.
(doi:10.1016/j.jinsphys.2017.05.007)

5.   Beamonte-Barrientos R, Velando A, Drummond H, Torres R. 2010 Senescence of maternal
effects: aging influences egg quality and rearing capacities of a long-lived bird. *Am. Nat.*
175, 469–480. (doi:10.1086/650726)

6.   Fox CW, Bush ML, Wallin WG. 2003 Maternal age affects offspring lifespan of the seed
beetle, *Callosobruchus maculatus*. *Funct. Ecol.* **17**, 811–820. (doi:10.1111/j.1365-
2435.2003.00799.x)

7.   Lansing AI. 1954 A nongenic factor in the longevity of rotifers. *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.* **57**, 455–
464. (doi:10.1111/j.1749-6632.1954.tb36418.x)

8.   Krishna MS, Santhosh HT, Hegde SN. 2012 Offspring of older males are superior in
Drosophila bipectinata. *Zool. Stud.* **51**, 72–84.

9.   Perez MF, Francesconi M, Hidalgo-Carcedo C, Lehner B. 2017 Maternal age generates
phenotypic variation in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Nature* **552**, 106–109.
(doi:10.1038/nature25012)

10. Travers LM, Carlsson H, Lind MI, Maklakov AA. 2021 Beneficial cumulative effects of old
parental age on offspring fitness. *Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* **288**, 20211843.
(doi:10.1098/rspb.2021.1843)

11. Yılmaz M, Özsoy ED, Bozcuk AN. 2008 Maternal age effects on longevity in *Drosophila
melanogaster* populations of different origin. *Biogerontology* **9**, 163–168.
(doi:10.1007/s10522-008-9125-y)

12. Ivimey-Cook E, Moorad J. 2020 The diversity of maternal-age effects upon pre-adult survival
across animal species. *Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* **287**, 20200972. (doi:10.1098/rspb.2020.0972)

13. Stearns SC. 1989 Trade-offs in life-history evolution. *Funct. Ecol.* **3**, 259–268.
(doi:10.2307/2389364)

14. Stearns SC. 1976 Life-history tactics: a review of the ideas. *Q. Rev. Biol.* **51**, 3–47.
(doi:10.1086/409052)

15. Kirkwood TBL. 1977 Evolution of ageing. *Nature* **270**, 301–304. (doi:10.1038/270301a0)

16. Kirkwood TBL, Holliday R, Maynard Smith J, Holliday R. 1979 The evolution of ageing and
longevity. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci.* **205**, 531–546. (doi:10.1098/rspb.1979.0083)

17. Williams GC. 1957 Pleiotropy, natural selection, and the evolution of senescence. *Evolution*
11, 398–411. (doi:10.2307/2406060)

18. Monaghan P, Maklakov AA, Metcalfe NB. 2020 Intergenerational transfer of ageing:
parental age and offspring lifespan. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* **35**, 927–937.
(doi:10.1016/j.tree.2020.07.005)

19. Plaistow SJ, Shirley C, Collin H, Cornell SJ, Harney ED. 2015 Offspring provisioning explains
clone-specific maternal age effects on life history and life span in the water flea, *Daphnia*
pulex. *Am. Nat.* **186**, 376–389. (doi:10.1086/682277)

20. Anderson CE, Malek MC, Jonas-Closs RA, Cho Y, Peshkin L, Kirschner MW, Yampolsky LY.
2022 Inverse Lansing effect: maternal age and provisioning affecting daughters' longevity
and male offspring production. *Am. Nat.* (doi:10.1086/721148)

21. Lee J-H, Seo W, Lee S-H, Lee H-Y, Min K-J. 2019 Strain-specific effects of parental age on
offspring in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Entomol. Res.* **49**, 187–202. (doi:10.1111/1748-
5967.12344)

22. Priest NK, Mackowiak B, Promislow DEL. 2002 The role of parental age effects on the
evolution of aging. *Evolution* **56**, 927–935. (doi:10.1111/j.0014-3820.2002.tb01405.x)

23. Mousseau TA. 1991 Geographic variation in maternal-age effects on diapause in a cricket.
Evolution **45**, 1053–1059. (doi:10.2307/2409710)

24. Gribble KE, Snell TW. 2018 Chapter 36 - Rotifers as a Model for the Biology of Aging. In
Conn's Handbook of Models for Human Aging (Second Edition) (eds JL Ram, PM Conn), pp.
483–495. Academic Press. (doi:10.1016/B978-0-12-811353-0.00036-1)

25. Gribble KE. 2021 *Brachionus* rotifers as a model for investigating dietary and metabolic
regulators of aging. *Nutr. Healthy Aging* **6**, 1–15. (doi:10.3233/NHA-200104)

26. Gilbert JJ. 2007 Induction of mictic females in the rotifer *Brachionus*: oocytes of amictic
females respond individually to population-density signal only during oogenesis shortly
before oviposition. *Freshw. Biol.* **52**, 1417–1426. (doi:10.1111/j.1365-2427.2007.01782.x)

27. Gilbert JJ. 1966 Rotifer ecology and embryological induction. *Science* **151**, 1234–1237.
(doi:10.1126/science.151.3715.1234)

28. Gilbert JJ, McPeek MA. 2013 Maternal age and spine development in a rotifer: ecological
implications and evolution. *Ecology* **94**, 2166–2172. (doi:10.1890/13-0768.1)

29. Gribble KE, Jarvis G, Bock M, Mark Welch DB. 2014 Maternal caloric restriction partially
rescues the deleterious effects of advanced maternal age on offspring. *Aging Cell* **13**, 623–
630. (doi:10.1111/acel.12217)

30. Gribble KE, Moran BM, Jones S, Corey EL, Welch DBM. 2018 Congeneric variability in
lifespan extension and onset of senescence suggest active regulation of aging in response to
low temperature. *Exp. Gerontol.* **114**, 99–106. (doi:10.1016/j.exger.2018.10.023)

31. Gribble KE, Kaido O, Jarvis G, Mark Welch DB. 2014 Patterns of intraspecific variability in the
response to caloric restriction. *Exp. Gerontol.* **51**, 28–37. (doi:10.1016/j.exger.2013.12.005)

32. Mills S, Lunt DH, Gómez A. 2007 Global isolation by distance despite strong regional
phylogeography in a small metazoan. *BMC Evol. Biol.* **7**, 225. (doi:10.1186/1471-2148-7-
225)

33. Gómez A, Serra M, Carvalho GR, Lunt DH. 2002 Speciation in ancient cryptic species
complexes: evidence from the molecular phylogeny of *Brachionus plicatilis* (Rotifera).
Evolution **56**, 1431–1444. (doi:10.1111/j.0014-3820.2002.tb01455.x)

34. Guillard RRL. 1975 Culture of Phytoplankton for Feeding Marine Invertebrates. In *Culture of
Marine Invertebrate Animals: Proceedings — 1st Conference on Culture of Marine
Invertebrate Animals Greenport* (eds WL Smith, MH Chanley), pp. 29–60. Boston, MA:
Springer US. (doi:10.1007/978-1-4615-8714-9_3)

35. R Core Team. 2020 *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing*. Vienna,
Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. See https://www.R-project.org/.

36. Therneau T. 2023 *A Package for Survival Analysis in R*. See https://CRAN.R-
project.org/package=survival.

37. Kassambara A, Kosinski M, Biecek P. 2017 *Drawing Survival Curves using 'ggplot2'*. See
https://rpkgs.datanovia.com/survminer/index.html.

38. Cohen AA, Coste CFD, Li X-Y, Bourg S, Pavard S. 2020 Are trade-offs really the key drivers of
ageing and life span? *Funct. Ecol.* **34**, 153–166. (doi:10.1111/1365-2435.13444)

39. Barker DJ. 1992 The fetal origins of diseases of old age. *Eur. J. Clin. Nutr.* **46 Suppl 3**, S3-9.

Figures

Figure 1. Survivorship curves of the F0 generation (dashed lines) and of the F1 generation from
young (Y; black), middle-aged (M; gray), and old (O; blue) mothers, for four *Brachionus* strains.

Figure 2. Lifetime reproductive output (LRO; A, C, E, G) and offspring produced per mother per day throughout the lifespan (B, D, F, H), for the BpL1 (A, B), BmanRUS (C, D), BmanRUS-RE (E, F), and BmanL5 (G, H) strains. Mean LRO for the F0 generation and young (Y), middle-aged (M), and old (O) mother cohorts of the F1 generation is shown by bold, black points and individual data points are shown in gray. Significant differences among cohorts are indicated by letters to the right of the mean points (Wilcoxon rank sum tests, alpha = 0.05). Mean offspring production per mother per day is shown by bold points ($\pm$ SE), and cohorts are indicated by line color (F0 - dashed, Y- black, M - gray, O - blue).

Figure 3. Reproductive period (percent of the lifespan spent carrying eggs) of the F0 generation and young (Y), middle-aged (M), and old (O) mother cohorts of the F1 generation, for four *Brachionus* strains. Mean reproductive periods are shown by bold, black points (± SE) and individual data points are shown in gray. Significant differences among cohorts are indicated by letters to the right of the mean points (Wilcoxon rank sum tests, alpha = 0.05).

Figure 4. Relationships between lifespan and lifetime reproductive output (LRO; A, E, I, M), reproductive period (as a percent of the lifespan; B, F, J, N), reproductive period in days (C, G, K, O), and age of 50% LRO (D, H, L, P) for the BpL1 (A-D), BmanRUS (E-H), BmanRUS-RE (I-L), and BmanL5 (M-P) strains. Young (Y), middle-aged (M), and old (O) mother cohorts are indicated within each strain by black, gray, and blue, respectively. Note differences between strains in scales of y-axes.

Supplementary Materials

Table S1. Summary statistics for lifespan and reproduction response metrics. Different color cells (white, gray and blue) indicates statistically significant differences among maternal age cohorts within strains. An intermediate shade (light grey) indicates that the cohort is equal to both the white and gray cohorts, which are distinct from each other. Statistical analyses were not conducted for all white columns. (separate Excel file)

Figure S1. Relationships between lifespan and lifetime reproductive output (LRO; A),
reproductive period (as a percent of the lifespan; B), reproductive period in days (C), and age of
50% LRO (D) for the BpL1 (black), BmanL5 (gray), BmanRUS (blue), BmanRUS-RE (goldenrod)
strains. Different maternal age cohorts are pooled within each strain here.