Folding of Prestin's Anion-Binding Site and the Mechanism of Outer Hair Cell Electromotility

Xiaoxuan Lin[1], Patrick Haller[1,2], Navid Bavi[1,2], Nabil Faruk[1], Eduardo Perozo[1,2,3,4,*], Tobin R. Sosnick[1,4,5,*]

[1]Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, [2]Center for Mechanical Excitability, [3]Institute for Neuroscience, [4]Institute for Biophysical Dynamics, [5]Prizker School for Molecular Engineering, The University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois, USA

*Corresponding authors: trsosnic@uchicago.edu; eperozo@uchicago.edu.

Author Contributions: X.L., E.P., and T.R.S. designed research; X.L., P.H., N.B., and N.F. performed research; X.L., E.P., and T.R.S. analyzed data; and X.L., E.P., and T.R.S. wrote the paper.

Competing Interest Statement: The authors declare no competing interest.

Keywords: Mass spectrometry, hydrogen exchange, cochlear amplification, electromotility

Total number of manuscript pages, figures, supplementary pages, and tables:

	47
Manuscript pages, including main figures	17
Figures	5
Supplementary pages	24
Tables (in supplementary pages)	1

Description of supplementary material and file names:

1. Supporting Information Text 1: Heterogeneity and HDX kinetics.
2. Supporting Information Text 2: Combining HDX-MS and cryo-EM in structural biology.
3. Figure S1: Volcano plot analysis of HDX for prestin and SLC26A9 in response to Cl⁻ binding.
4. Figure S2: Site-resolved protection factors for prestin and SLC26A9 obtained using PyHDX.
5. Figure S3: PyHDX fitting supports that prestin exhibits helix fraying at the N-terminus of TM3 and mild cooperativity at the intracellular portion of TM6.
6. Figure S4: Mammalian prestin has a conserved and helix-destabilizing proline 136 on TM3.
7. Figure S5: HDX-MS sequence coverage and measurements for prestin and SLC26A9 in Cl⁻.
8. Figure S6: Regions unresolved in cryo-EM structures are unfolded in all conditions examined.
9. Figure S7: HDX for prestin occurs via EX2 mechanism.
10. Figure S8: Heterogeneity and HDX kinetics in TM1 and TM9.
11. Figure S9: Deuterium uptake curves for all peptides covering prestin's transmembrane domain.
12. Figure S10: Deuterium uptake curves for all peptides covering SLC26A9's transmembrane domain.
13. Table S1: Biochemical and statistical details for HDX

Abstract

Prestin responds to transmembrane voltage fluctuations by changing its cross-sectional area, a process underlying the electromotility of outer hair cells and cochlear amplification. Prestin belongs to the SLC26 family of anion transporters yet is the only member capable of displaying electromotility. Prestin's voltage-dependent conformational changes are driven by the putative displacement of residue R399 and a set of sparse charged residues within the transmembrane domain, following the binding of a Cl⁻ anion at a conserved binding site formed by amino termini of the TM3 and TM10 helices. However, a major conundrum arises as to how an anion that binds in proximity to a positive charge (R399), can promote the voltage sensitivity of prestin. Using hydrogen-deuterium exchange mass spectrometry, we find that prestin displays an unstable anion-binding site, where folding of the amino termini of TM3 and TM10 is coupled to Cl⁻ binding. This event shortens the TM3-TM10 electrostatic gap, thereby connecting the two helices such that TM3-anion-TM10 is pushed upwards by forces from the electric field, resulting in reduced cross-sectional area. These folding events upon anion-binding are absent in SLC26A9, a non-electromotile transporter closely related to prestin. We also observe helix fraying at prestin's anion-binding site but cooperative unfolding of multiple lipid-facing helices, features that may promote prestin's fast electromechanical rearrangements. These results highlight a novel role of the folding equilibrium of the anion-binding site, and helps define prestin's unique voltage-sensing mechanism and electromotility.

Introduction

Hearing sensitivity in mammals is sharply tuned by a cochlear amplifier associated with electromotile length changes in outer hair cells[1]. These changes are driven by prestin (SLC26A5), a member of the SLC26 anion transporter family, which converts voltage-dependent conformational transitions into cross-sectional area changes, affecting its footprint in the lipid bilayer[2]. This process plays a major role in mammalian cochlear amplification and frequency selectivity, with prestin knockout producing a 40-60 dB signal loss in live cochleae[3]. Unlike most molecular motors, where force is exerted from chemical energy transduction, prestin behaves as a putative piezoelectric device, where mechanical and electrical transduction are coupled[4]. As a result, prestin functions as a direct voltage-to-force transducer. Prestin's piezoelectric properties are unique among members of the SLC26 family, where most of the SLC26 homologs function as anion transporters.

Recent structures determined by cryo-electron microscopy (cryo-EM) have sampled prestin's conformational space under various anionic environments and located the anion-binding site at the electrostatic gap between the amino termini of TM3 and TM10 helices[5–7]. This anion-binding pocket is highly conserved, and is influenced by surrounding hydrophobic residues in TM1 and by a fixed positive charge from residue R399 on TM10. Movements of this binding site are coupled to the complex reorientation of the core domain relative to the gate domain[5,6], reminiscent of the conformational transitions in transporters displaying an elevator-like mechanism[8]. Prestin exhibits minimal transporter ability yet is structurally similar to the non-electromotive anion transporter SLC26A9 (sequence identity = 34%; Cα RMSD = 3.4 Å for the transmembrane domain (TMD), PDB: 7S8X and 6RTC)[7,9,10]. Questions remain as to the molecular

basis underlying the distinct functions of the two proteins. Importantly, the role of bound anions,
which is required for prestin electromotility[11], is still elusive.

Prestin's voltage dependence is tightly regulated by intracellular anions of varying valence
and structure[12,13], whereas anion affinity is also regulated by voltage and tension[14]. These
phenomena suggest that anions, rather than behaving as explicit gating charges, may serve as
allosteric modulators[14]. Incorporating a fixed charge alternative to a bound anion through an
S398E mutation preserves prestin's nonlinear capacitance (NLC) but results in insensitivity to
salicylate, a strong competing anionic binder[7]. Except for residue R399 near the anion-binding
site, charged residues located in the TMD distribute towards the membrane-water interface[5–7]
and display minimal contributions to the total gating charge estimated from NLCs[15]. Electrostatic
calculations show that R399 has a strong contribution to the local electrostatics at the anion-
binding site, by providing ~40% of the positive charge at the bilayer mid-plane[5]. However, the
existing structural and functional data cannot explain why prestin's voltage dependence requires
close proximity of both a negative charge (the bound anion or S398E)[11] and a positive charge
(R399[5,16]). The resolution of this conundrum will define an essential step towards our
understanding of prestin's unique voltage-sensing mechanism.

Here we studied the influence of anion-binding on the dynamics and structural changes
of prestin as a function of anions (Cl^-, SO_4^{2-}, salicylate, and Hepes) via hydrogen-deuterium
exchange mass spectrometry (HDX-MS). The Hepes condition was achieved by Cl^- removal
(dialysis), which inhibits prestin's NLC[11], and hence induced an apo state. We assumed a low
affinity of Hepes anions due to their large size. Furthermore, prestin's NLC shifts to depolarized
potentials in a Hepes-based buffer, suggesting that at 0 mV, prestin adopts a more expanded
state that is coupled to low anion affinity[12,13]. By comparing the dynamics of prestin with its close
non-piezoelectric relative, the anion transporter SLC26A9, we identified distinct features unique
to prestin, including a relatively unstable anion-binding site that folds upon binding, thereby
allosterically modulating the dynamics of the TMD. In contrast, the stability and hydrogen-bond
pattern of SLC26A9's anion-binding site were minimally affected by anion binding, albeit
displaying high similarities to prestin in both structure and sequence. We observed fraying of the
helices involved in the binding site whereas cooperative unfolding of multiple lipid-facing helices,
which may explain prestin's fast and large-scale motions. These results highlight the significance
of the anion-binding site's folding equilibrium in defining the unique properties of prestin's
voltage dependence and electromotility.

Results

We carried out HDX measurements on dolphin prestin and mouse SLC26A9 solubilized in glyco-
diosgenin (GDN) at either pD_{read} 7.1, 25 °C or pD_{read} 6.1, 0 °C (**Table S1**). These conditions provided
an effective labeling time window spanning seven log units, allowing us to determine HDX rates
and protection factors (PFs) throughout the protein[17]. Under our experimental conditions, the
observed HDX rates report on the stability, as exchange occurs mostly via EX2 kinetics
(**Supporting Information Text 1**). The HDX data are presented in terms of the relevant region
with the specific sequence and peptide noted in parentheses (**Materials and Methods**), e.g., the
N-terminus of prestin's TM10 (Region$_{394–397}$: Peptide$_{392–397}$). Although we mostly focused on the

anion-binding site, we also obtained comparative thermodynamic information throughout the
two proteins (**Supporting Information Text 2**).

<u>Prestin's anion-binding site is less stable than SLC26A9's.</u>
To examine the effect of anion binding to the dynamics of prestin and SLC26A9, we dialyzed the
proteins purified in Cl⁻ into a Hepes buffer lacking other anions. Cl⁻ removal resulted in distinct
stability changes for prestin and SLC26A9, manifested by significant HDX acceleration for prestin

Figure 1: Distinct HDX response of prestin and SLC26A9 to Cl⁻ binding. (A) HDX data analysis to obtain **(B)** and **(C)**. One example peptide is shown in cases where HDX becomes faster or slower in Hepes (the apo state) compared to in Cl⁻. Deuteration levels are obtained from the mass spectra. Here spectra for the undeuterated peptide (grey) and after 5 min HDX labeling in Cl⁻ (black) and Hepes (green) are shown as an example. The resulting deuterium uptake plots are used to generate the differential deuteration heatmaps in **(B)**. Changes in free energy of unfolding (ΔΔG) in **(C)** are calculated after fitting the data with a stretched exponential (**Materials and Methods**)[17]. **(B)** Heatmaps showing the difference in deuteration levels at each labeling time for all TMD peptides of prestin and SLC26A9 measured in Hepes compared to Cl⁻. Peptide sequences corresponding to peptide indexes can be found in Fig. S9 & Fig. S10. **(C)** The ΔΔGs in Hepes compared to Cl⁻ for full-length prestin and SLC26A9 mapped onto the structure (PDB 7S8X and 6RTC). Red and blue indicate increased and decreased stability upon Cl⁻ binding, respectively. Following regions of the left subunits are shown as low transparency to highlight the binding site – prestin: TM5 and TM12-14; SLC26A9: TM5 and TM13-14. Regions with no fitting results are in grey.

while mild HDX slowing for SLC26A9 (**Fig. 1 & Fig. S1**). These HDX effects indicate that anion
binding induced global stabilization for prestin while slight destabilization for SLC26A9 (**Fig. 1**).
Among the observed HDX responses for prestin, the HDX acceleration at the anion-
binding pocket appeared to be the most pronounced and indicates local stabilization induced by
anion binding (**Fig. 2A**). In detail, HDX accelerated by 20-fold for the N-termini of both TM3
(Region$_{136\text{-}142}$: Peptide$_{134\text{-}142}$ + 9 other peptides) and TM10 (Region$_{394\text{–}397}$: Peptide$_{392\text{–}397}$) (**Fig. 2A**
& Fig. S9.22-9.31). This HDX change translates to a difference in free energy of unfolding (ΔΔG)
by at least 1.8 kcal/mol; $\Delta\Delta G_{\text{Cl-apo}}^{\text{binding site}}$ = 1.8 kcal/mol. At least four residues in the middle of TM1
exhibited faster HDX (Region$_{90\text{-}101}$: Peptide$_{88\text{-}101}$ + 10 other peptides), collectively by 350-fold;

Figure 2: The anion-binding pockets for prestin and SLC26A9 exhibit distinct stability changes upon Cl⁻ binding, albeit highly conserved. (A-B) Cl⁻ binding stabilizes prestin's anion-binding pocket **(A)** but mildly affects SLC26A9's **(B)**. The structure shows the anion-binding pocket (TM1, TM3, and TM10) with the putative position of the bound Cl⁻. Colored regions correspond to peptides whose deuterium uptake plots are shown (prolines are colored in grey) when the protein is in Cl⁻ (black) and in Hepes (red). Grey dashed lines indicate deuteration levels in the full-D control. Data from two and three biological replicates are shown for prestin in Cl⁻ and Hepes, respectively. Data from three technical replicates are shown for SLC26A9. Replicates are shown as circles, triangles, and squares. Some replicates are superimposable and hence not observable. The symbols (* and #) in **(A.b)** denote data points used in **Fig. 3B**. **(C)** Sequence alignment using Clustal Omega of prestin and close SLC26 transporters across species for the anion-binding pocket. Shades of blue indicate degree of conservation.

$\Delta\Delta G_{Cl\text{-}apo}^{TM1}$ = 3.5 kcal/mol (**Fig. 1A & Fig. S9.6-9.16**). The TM1 region with accelerated HDX included

L93, Q97, and F101, residues that are known to participate in the binding pocket[5,6,18].

SLC26A9 exhibited similar stability as prestin in Cl^- for the majority of the TMD, except for

the N-terminal TM3 (Region$_{131\text{-}134}$: Peptide$_{129\text{-}134}$) which exchanged at least 100-fold slower than

that of prestin's (Region$_{136\text{-}142}$: Peptide$_{134\text{-}142}$) (**Fig. 2**). This difference in HDX points to a relatively

unstable anion-binding site of prestin as compared to SLC26A9; $\Delta\Delta G_{SLC26A9\text{-}prestin}^{N\text{-}terminal\ TM3}$ > 2.8 kcal/mol,

and was also seen in the site-resolved PFs that were obtained by deconvoluting the HDX-MS data

using PyHDX[19] (**Fig. S2**).

Compared to the 20~350-fold HDX acceleration observed at prestin's binding site upon

Cl^- removal, HDX of SLC26A9's binding pocket was only affected mildly (**Fig. 2B**). These included

a slight slowing in HDX for the N-termini of TM3 (Region$_{131\text{-}134}$: Peptide$_{129\text{-}134}$) and TM10

(Region$_{392\text{-}395}$: Peptide$_{390\text{-}395}$) (**Fig. 2B**). The TM1 (Region$_{72\text{-}92}$: Peptide$_{83\text{-}92}$ + 10 other peptides)

continued to remain undeuterated even after 27 h (**Fig. 2B & Fig. S10.4-10.14**), emphasizing the

intrinsic high stability of SLC26A9's anion-binding pocket.

Although the anion-binding pocket is highly conserved and structurally similar across

members of the SLC26 family and SLC26A5 families (**Fig. 2C**), mammalian prestin is the only

member capable of displaying eletromotility[20]. Hence, the distinct stability responses we observe

for dolphin prestin and mouse SLC26A9 point to a prestin's unique adaptation as a motor protein.

In addition to the binding pocket, we observed stability changes in various regions of the

TMDs for prestin and SLC26A9 that may explain their distinct functions. For prestin, anion binding

resulted in stabilization for the intracellular cavity but destabilization for regions facing the

extracellular milieau (**Fig. 1C & Fig. S1A**). The stabilizing effects for the cytosol-facing regions

were manifested by HDX acceleration upon Cl^- removal at the linker between TM2 and TM3, and

the intracellular portions of TM7, TM8, & TM9 (Region$_{128\text{-}135}$, Region$_{284\text{-}294}$, & Region$_{354\text{-}375}$) (**Fig.**

S9.22-9.27, S9.58-9.64, S9.82-9.87). In contrast, HDX slowed for the regions facing the

extracellular environment, namely the extracellular ends of TM5b, TM6, and TM7 (Region$_{250\text{-}262}$

& Region$_{309\text{-}316}$) (**Fig. S9.45-9.51, S9.66-9.68, S9.71**). However, for SLC26A9, anion binding

destabilized the cytosol-facing regions, as HDX slowed by ~5-fold upon Cl^- removal for the

intracellular ends of TM8, TM9, and TM12 (Region$_{351\text{-}369}$ & Region$_{440\text{-}455}$) (**Fig. 1C, Fig. S1B, & Fig.**

S10.62-10.63, S10.77-10.82). The distinct thermodynamic consequences of anion binding for

prestin and SLC26A9 point to a distinct molecular basis underlying their different functions as a

motor and a transporter, respectively.

<u>Anion binding drives the folding of prestin's binding site.</u>

In the apo state of prestin, the 20-fold HDX acceleration for the binding site (**Fig. 2A**) is consistent

with a process of local destabilization, even unfolding, or increased solvent accessibility as the

region becomes exposed to the intracellular water cavity[5]. To investigate these possibilities, we

measured prestin's HDX in response to a chaotrope, urea, which destabilizes proteins by

interacting with backbone amides[21]. In a background of 360 mM Cl^-, the addition of 4 M urea

Figure 3: Anion binding folds and stabilizes prestin's binding site. (A) Deuterium uptake plots for the N-terminus of TM3 (Peptide$_{134-140}$) measured in the absence and presence of 4 M urea, in a background of (**Left**) Cl$^-$ and (**Right**) Hepes. Replicates (circles, triangles, and squares): 2 in Cl$^-$, 3 in Hepes, 2 in Hepes with urea, biological. Grey dashed curves represent deuterium uptake with k$_{chem}$[34,35], normalized with the back-exchange level. **(B)** Deuteration levels for (**Left**) the N-terminus of TM3 (Peptide$_{134-142}$) in three biological replicates and for (**Right**) TM1 (Peptide$_{84-101}$) after 5 min labeling upon titrating Cl$^-$ to apo state of prestin. Dashed lines indicate deuteration levels at t = 5 min (* and # for apo and Cl$^-$-bound states, respectively) taken from **Fig. 2A.b** and **Fig. S9.11**. Residues in grey denoted in the peptide sequence do not contribute to the deuterium uptake curve.

accelerated HDX for the N-terminus of TM3 (Region$_{137-140}$: Peptide$_{134-140}$) by 20-fold (**Fig. 3A**),
suggesting that this region was destabilized and accessible to urea in its exchange-competent
state. In apo prestin, however, the PF at the N-terminus of TM3 was unaffected by urea (after
accounting for the known ~50% slowing of the intrinsic exchange rate[21], k_{chem}) (**Fig. 3A**), arguing
that this region was already unfolded prior to the addition of urea[22].
We note that in apparent contradiction to our inference that the N-terminus of TM3 was
unfolded in the apo state, its HDX was ~100-fold slower than k_{chem}. Such apparent PF for an
unfolded region has been reported when it is located inside an outer membrane β-barrel,
rationalized by the region having a lower effective local concentration of the HDX catalyst, [OD$^-$],
than in bulk solvent[23,24]. For prestin, we propose that detergent molecules in the micelle can
restrict the access of OD$^-$ to amide protons and thus produce the apparent PF for the unfolded
N-terminus of TM3.

Figure 4: Prestin's dynamics are regulated by anions of varying identities. (A) Heatmaps showing the difference in deuteration levels at each labeling time for all TMD peptides measured in SO_4^{2-} or salicylate compared to Cl^-. Peptide sequences corresponding to peptide indexes can be found in Fig. S9. **(B)** The structure shows the anion-binding pocket with the putative position of the bound Cl^-. The pink mesh highlights the region with the greatest HDX response to binding to various anions. Colored regions correspond to peptides whose deuterium uptake plots are shown (prolines are colored in grey) when the protein is in Cl^- (black, two biological replicates shown in circles and triangles), SO_4^{2-} (green), and salicylate (blue). Grey dashed lines indicate deuteration levels in the full-D control.

The folding reversibility of the anion-binding site was evaluated by tracking the HDX for 5
min after titrating in Cl^- to apo prestin. Deuteration levels for the N-terminus of TM3 (Region$_{137-}$
$_{140}$) decreased with increasing Cl^- concentration (**Fig. 3B**), suggesting reversible folding upon Cl^-
binding. Similar behavior was seen in the middle of TM1 (Region$_{86-101}$: Peptide$_{84-101}$) as Cl^- binding
stabilized the binding pocket (**Fig. 3B**).
We also examined prestin's stability in its intermediate states, obtained by replacing Cl^-
anions with SO_4^{2-} and salicylate[5,6]. When SO_4^{2-} is the major anion, prestin's HDX was nearly
identical as in Cl^-, except for a slightly faster HDX at the anion-binding site (Region$_{128-142}$ and
Region$_{394–397}$) at labeling times longer than 10^3 s (**Fig. 4**). This mild HDX response suggested a
slightly destabilized binding site while the remaining regions retained normal dynamics as in Cl^-.
In the presence of salicylate, HDX slowed across the TMD, with the greatest effect seen at the
anion-binding site (10-fold; $\Delta\Delta G_{salicylate-Cl^-}^{binding\ site}$ = 1.4 kcal/mol) (**Fig. 4**), indicating that salicylate
binding to prestin globally stabilized the TMD, primarily at the anion-binding site. These stability
changes provide a thermodynamic context to the cryo-EM structures[5].
166
<u>Incremental unfolding of prestin's binding site versus cooperative unfolding of the lipid-facing</u>
<u>helices</u>.

Our broad HDX time range and dense sampling allowed us to observe effects at the residue level.
In particular, the binding site of prestin (Region$_{128-140}$ and Region$_{394-397}$) exhibited a broad
deuterium uptake curve in Cl$^-$, indicative of helix fraying where exchange of deuterium occurs
from multiple states that differ by one hydrogen bond (**Fig. 5A**). Such HDX pattern is consistent
with the associated residues undergoing sequential unfolding with distinct PFs (i.e., stability).
Site-resolved PFs obtained using PyHDX[19] support that the stability increased residue-by-residue
for TM3 for amide protons located further away from the substrate (**Fig. S3A**). This gradual
increase in residue stability along the helices is indicative of helix fraying starting from prestin's
binding site.

Figure 5: Helix folding cooperativity and the proposed mechanism for prestin's electromotility. (A)
Left: Deuterium buildup curves for **(i)** the N-terminal TM3 (Peptide$_{134-140}$) and **(ii)** the intracellular portion of TM6 (Peptide$_{273-282}$) in Cl$^-$ depicting helix fraying and mild cooperativity, respectively. Circles: experimental deuteration levels, normalized with in- and back-exchange levels. Grey dashed curves: hypothetical intrinsic uptake curves (PF = 1). On the top shows individual exponentials whose sum is fitted to the experimental values and plotted on the main buildup curves. Residues in grey denoted in the peptide sequence do not contribute to the deuterium uptake curve. **Upper right:** χ^2 and the relative error as the number of fit exponentials increases, used to assess the quality of fit. **Lower right:** Models and free energy surface of unfolding illustrating the difference between **(i)** fraying and **(ii)** mild cooperativity. **(B)** Mechanism for prestin's conformational transition from the expanded to the contracted state regulated by the anion concentration. Green rectangles and curved lines: folded and unfolded fractions, respectively, of TM3 and TM10. Blue filled circle: R399. Blue dashed circle: partial positive charges from TM3 and TM10 helical dipoles. Red filled circle: anions, with the size of the circle depicting anion concentrations. Black arrows: prestin's conformational change.

In contrast, we observed much more cooperative unfolding in prestin's lipid-facing helices, with exchange occurring from one or a few high energy states where a set of hydrogen bonds are broken concertedly. Cooperatively exchanging residues have similar PFs and a characteristic sigmoidal deuterium uptake curve for the associated peptide, as seen in prestin's intracellular portion of TM6 (Region$_{275-282}$: Peptide$_{273-282}$ + 4 peptides) (**Fig. 5A & Fig. S9.53-9.57**).

To characterize the degree of cooperativity for the HDX at the N-terminus of TM3 (Peptide$_{134-140}$) and the intracellular portion of TM6 (Peptide$_{273-282}$), we fit the deuterium uptake curves as a sum of exponentials[17], $D(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{n}(1 - e^{-k_i t})$, where k_i is the exchange rate and n is the number of exponentials, ranging from one to the number of exchange-competent residues. The value of n was determined by the quality of the fit, evaluated by χ^2 and having a relative error smaller than one (i.e., standard deviation for k_i less than k_i itself). HDX data for the N-terminus of prestin's TM3 (Peptide$_{134-140}$) was fit with four well-separated exponentials with rates spanning five log units for the four residues (**Fig. 5A**). The need to individually fit each site indicates a lack of cooperativity and helix fraying. In contrast, the peptide representing the intracellular portion of TM6 (Peptide$_{273-282}$) has eight residues yet it could be fitted with only three rates spanning less than two log units (**Fig. 5A**). This rather concerted deuterium uptake was independent of the anion substrate identity and also observed for TM1, TM5b, the intracellular portion of TM7, and the N-terminus of TM8 (**Fig. S9.6-9.16, S9.42-9.43, S9.45-9.51, S9.58-9.63, S9.78-9.79**).

We define a parameter $\sigma_{\Delta G}$ to quantify the degree of folding cooperativity. The value of $\sigma_{\Delta G}$ is calculated as the standard deviation for the free energies of unfolding (ΔGs) for exchange-competent residues comprising the peptide. When a region folds 100% cooperatively, $\sigma_{\Delta G}$ is zero as all residues have the same ΔG. As the diversity increases (lower cooperativity), the $\sigma_{\Delta G}$ value becomes larger. The accuracy of the ΔG determination at residue level can be increased by comparing uptake curves for overlapping peptides and/or deconvoluting isotope envelopes[17]. Here we assigned exchange rates (k_i), obtained from the fitting method mentioned above, to residues based on the directionality of helix fraying, with residues closer to the end of a helix

having faster rates. When there is ambiguity on which rate to assign to a given residue, the
geometric mean of the rates was used (**Materials and Methods**). We found that prestin's
intracellular portion of TM6 (Peptide$_{273-282}$) has $\sigma_{\Delta G}$ = 1.1, indicating mild cooperativity, whereas
the non-cooperative N-terminal TM3 (Peptide$_{134-140}$) has a $\sigma_{\Delta G}$ = 2.9. This significant decrease in
folding cooperativity for helices directly involved in the Cl$^-$ binding site likely has functional
consequences related to prestin's electromotility, as discussed below.

Discussion

Using HDX-MS, we provide novel information on the structural dynamics of prestin in its apo
state, for which there isn't an associated cryo-EM structure. We have explored the energetic and
conformational differences between prestin, a voltage-dependent motor, and its mammlian
relative SLC26A9, a representative member of the SLC26 family of anion transporters for which
a cryo-EM structure is available. Our data point to major differences in the energetics at the
anion-binding site of prestin and SLC26A9 despite their structural similarities. This comparison
addresses underlying mechanistic questions related to the unique properties of prestin, the
origin of its voltage dependence, and the potential mechanisms that couple charge movements
to electromotility.

We showed that prestin displays an unstable binding site that, upon Cl$^-$ unbinding, unfolds
by one helical turn at the electrostatic gap formed by the abutting (antiparallel) short helices TM3
and TM10 (**Fig. 2A & Fig. 3**). We measured an increase in local $\Delta\Delta G$ = 1.8~3.5 kcal/mol upon anion
binding. This energy difference is within the range of the $\Delta\Delta G$ = 2.4 kcal/mol estimated from
having a 60-fold excess of Cl$^-$ above the EC$_{50}$ (6 mM)[11]. Similar folding events upon anion binding
are absent in SLC26A9 (**Fig. 2B**), pointing to a key role of the bound anion as a structural element
in prestin, stabilizing the natural repulsion between TM3-TM10 positive helical macrodipoles.
This phenomenon rationalizes the conundrum that prestin's voltage dependence requires the
proximity of a bound anion to R399.

We find that anion binding to prestin mainly stabilizes the interface between the scaffold
and the elevator domains (**Fig. 1C & Fig. S1A**). This phenomenon is consistent with an elevator-
like mechanism during prestin's conformational transition from the expanded to the contracted
state[5]. Anion binding stabilizes prestin's intracellular cavity and slightly destabilizes regions facing
the extracellular matrix. This effect can result from changes in solvent exposure, as the
intracellular water cavity may shrink as prestin contracts. For SLC26A9, the destabilization upon
anion binding at the intracellular cavity likely results from a shift from the outward-facing state
to the inward-facing state (**Fig. 1C & Fig. S1B**), supporting the alternate-access mechanism for
this fast transporter[9,10]. Similar HDX changes, i.e., increased HDX on the intracellular side while
slowed HDX on the extracellular side, have been observed in other transporters during their
transition from outward-facing to inward-facing states[25,26]. Prestin's distinct HDX response
compared to canonical transporters is consistent with it being an incomplete anion
transporter[11,27].

The HDX data for prestin in Cl$^-$, SO$_4^{2-}$, and salicylate support an allosteric role for the anion
binding at the TM3-TM10 electrostatic gap[12–14] (**Fig. 4**). SO$_4^{2-}$ binding leads to shifts in the NLC

towards positive potentials, thus stabilizing multiple conformations that are on average more expanded than prestin in Cl$^-$ [5,13,28]. Since the binding of SO_4^{2-} to prestin is weaker than that of Cl$^-$ [6], the slight increase in HDX at the binding site likely reflects more prestin molecules adopting the apo state. Salicylate binding inhibits prestin's NLC and yet the molecular basis of such inhibition remains obscure[5,16]. Bavi *et al*[5] showed that binding of salicylate occludes prestin's binding pocket from solvent and inhibits the movement of TM3 and TM10. This is fully consistent with the 10-fold HDX slowing found for the N-termini of TM3 and TM10 upon salicylate binding as compared to the rest of the protein. Our HDX data, together with results from Bavi *et al.*[5], suggest that salicylate likely inhibits prestin's NLC by restricting the dynamics of the anion-binding site.

We identified helix fraying at the anion-binding site of prestin based on its broad deuterium uptake curve in the presence of Cl$^-$, consistent with a multi-state landscape (**Fig. 5A**). This fraying suggests that an increase in the anion concentration would promote helical propensity at TM3 and TM10, and is inconsistent with a cooperative (two-state) model involving an equilibrium between an apo state and a single bound state (**Fig. 5A**). Therefore, we suggest that a two-state model of prestin's conformational changes with a high energy barrier would be insufficient to explain its fast kinetics, whereas charge movement is facilitated by crossing multiple shallow barriers[13,29].

We propose that having a Cl$^-$ binding site that frays can promote prestin's fast motor response which is thought to have evolved independently of its voltage sensing ability[30,31]. While prestin's TM3 and TM10 have similar stability, SLC26A9 exhibits a non-fraying TM3 that is more stable than TM10 (**Fig. 2**). Furthermore, the normally highly conserved Pro136 in mammalian prestin is replaced with a Threonine in other vertebrates that express non-electromotile prestin (**Fig. S4A**). This Pro136Thr substitution, based on our Upside MD simulations, results in a hyper-stabilized TM3 that would otherwise have similar folding stability as TM10 (**Fig. S4B**). A Pro136Thr mutation in rat prestin also leads to a shift of NLC towards depolarized potentials[18]. These thermodynamic and functional consequences of having a destabilized TM3 with Pro136, which now has similar stability as TM10, lead us to hypothesize that prestin's fast somatic motility may be promoted by having simultaneous fraying of the TM3 and TM10 helices.

Helices that exhibit cooperative unfolding all appear to be lipid-facing helices, including TM6-TM7, TM1, TM5b, and TM8. The region with the most pronounced cooperativity, the intracellular portion of TM6, has a series of glycines including the consecutive G274-G275 pair that underlies the "electromotility elbow", a helical bending contributing to the largest cross-sectional area (expanded conformation) and the thin notch in the micelle[5]. The structural consequences of cooperativity speak to the significance of these helices in prestin's area expansion. We propose that cooperativity allows for long-range allostery[32] so that the lipid-facing helices can adopt large-scale structural rearrangements as induced by voltage sensor movements, thereby achieving rapid electromechanical conversions of prestin. The exact mechanism through which cooperativity contributes to prestin's electromotility remains a key question.

Based on the structural and allosteric role of Cl$^-$ binding at the TM3-TM10 electrostatic gap, we propose a model in which prestin's conformational changes and electromotility are regulated by the folding equilibrium of the anion-binding site (**Fig. 5B**). In our model, anion binding participates in a local electrostatic balance that includes the positively charged R399 and

the positive TM3-TM10 helical macrodipoles. In the apo state, the anion-binding site unfolds due
to the electrostatic repulsion between these positively charged groups. Being a buried charge,
R399 may exit from the electric field concentrated in the lower dielectric environment of the
protein, and move into the solvent region as it lacks a neutralizing anion. This event is coupled to
the allosteric expansion of prestin's membrane footprint[5]. Anion binding partially neutralizes the
positive electric field at the binding site, an event that is coupled to the residue-by-residue folding
for the N-termini of TM3 and TM10 as well as the shortening of the electrostatic gap. This folding
event results in a more focused electric field and consequent contraction of prestin's
intermembrane cross-sectional area. At physiologically-relevant low Cl^- concentration (1.5-4
mM)[33], prestin's binding site is likely to be only partially folded. Complete folding may be
achieved by membrane potential acting on the TM3-TM10 helical dipoles, which leads to the
movement of the voltage sensor across the electric field and the rapid areal expansion for the
TMDs (i.e., electromotility).

Conclusions

We applied HDX-MS to the study of prestin's electromotility and identified folding events that
are likely critical for function but had escaped detection by cryo-EM. The folding equilibrium of
the Cl^- binding site and its dependence on Cl^- concentration appears to rationalize the conundrum
of how an anion that binds in proximity to a positive charge (R399), can enable the NLC of prestin.
We observed fraying of the helices forming the anion-binding site, which contrasts with
cooperative unfolding of the lipid-facing helices. We believe that the non-cooperative fraying of
the helices involved in voltage sensing may allow for fast charge movements within the electric
field. This heightened sensitivity of the voltage sensor then induces large-scale motions of the
lipid-facing helices, enabled by their cooperativity (or allostery), thereby altering the cross-
sectional area of prestin. These principles warrant further investigation.

Meterials and Methods

<u>Sample preparation for prestin and SLC26A9.</u> Generation of the dolphin prestin and mouse
SLC26A9 constructs, protein overexpression, and purification were conducted using the same
protocol as previously described[5]. Following the cleavage of the GFP tag, the protein was purified
by size-exclusion chromatography on a Superose 6, 10/300 GE column (GE Healthcare), with the
running buffer being either the "Cl^-/H_2O Buffer" or the "SO_4^{2-}/H_2O Buffer", including 1 µg/ml
aprotinin and 1 µg/ml pepstatin[5]. The "Cl^-/H_2O Buffer" contained 360 mM NaCl, 20 mM Tris, 3
mM dithiothreitol, 1 mM EDTA, and 0.02% GDN at pH 7.5. The "SO_4^{2-}/H_2O Buffer" contained 140
mM Na_2SO_4, 5 mM $MgSO_4$, 20 mM Tris, 0.02% GDN, and 10-15 mM methanesulfonic acid to
adjust the pH to 7.5. Peak fractions containing the sample were concentrated on a 100K MWCO
centrifugal filter (Millipore) to 2-3 mg/mL, flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen, and kept at –80 °C until
use.

Hydrogen-deuterium exchange. **Table S1** provides biochemical and statistical details for HDX in
this study. HDX reactions, quench, and injection were all performed manually. Prior to HDX,
proteins purified in Cl$^-$ and SO$_4^{2-}$ were buffer exchanged to the same H$_2$O buffer without protease
inhibitor using 7K MWCO Zeba spin desalting columns (Thermo 89882). For HDX conducted in
Hepes, proteins purified in Cl$^-$ were dialyzed against the "Hepes/H$_2$O Buffer" (150 mM Hepes,
0.02% GDN, pH adjusted to 7.5 by Hepes acid or base) using a 10K MWCO dialysis device (Thermo
Slide-A-Lyzer MINI 69570) with three times of buffer exchange for near-complete Cl$^-$ removal.
HDX in a solution of 93% deuterium (D) content was initiated by diluting 2 µL of 25 µM prestin or
SLC26A9 stock in an H$_2$O buffer into 28 µL of the corresponding buffer made with D$_2$O (99.9% D,
Sigma-Aldrich 151882). HDX was conducted in one of the two conditions: 1) pD$_{read}$ 7.1, 25 °C; 2)
pD$_{read}$ 6.1, 0 °C. The D$_2$O buffers contained the same compositions as the corresponding H$_2$O
buffers, except that Tris was replaced with Phosphate for the "SO$_4^{2-}$/D$_2$O Buffer" for both HDX
conditions, and the "Cl$^-$/D$_2$O Buffer" for HDX performed at pD$_{read}$ 6.1, 0 °C. The pD$_{read}$ was
adjusted to 7.1 or 6.1 by DCl for the "Cl$^-$/D$_2$O Buffers" and by NaOD for other D$_2$O buffers. For
HDX in the presence of salicylate, 50 mM salicylate acid was added to the "SO$_4^{2-}$/D$_2$O Buffer" and
the pD$_{read}$ was adjusted by NaOD. For HDX in the presence of urea, 4 M urea was added to the
"Cl$^-$/D$_2$O Buffer" or the "Hepes/D$_2$O Buffer", with accurate urea concentration determined to be
4.16 M and 4.54 M, respectively, by refractive index using a refractometer (WAY Abbe)[34]. HDX
was quenched at various times, ranging from 1 s to 27 h, by the addition of 30 µL of ice-chilled
quench buffer containing 600 mM Glycine, 8 M urea, pH 2.5. For HDX in the presence of urea,
urea concentration in the quench buffer was adjusted to reach a 4 M final concentration.
Quenched reactions were immediately injected into a valve system maintained at 5 °C (Trajan
LEAP). Non-deuterated controls and MS/MS runs for peptide assignment were performed with
the same protocol as above except D$_2$O buffers were replaced by H$_2$O buffers, followed by the
immediate addition of the quench buffer and injection. HDX reactions were performed in random
order. No peptide carryover was observed as accessed by following sample runs with injections
of quench buffer containing 4 M urea and 0.01% GDN. In-exchange controls accounting for
forward deuteration towards 41.5% D in the quenched reaction were performed by mixing D$_2$O
buffer and ice-chilled quench buffer prior to the addition of the protein. Maximally labeled
controls ("All D") accounting for back-exchange were performed by a 48-h incubation with the
"Cl$^-$/D$_2$O Buffer" at 37 °C, followed by a 30-min incubation with 8 M of deuterated urea at 25 °C.

Protease digestion and LC-MS. Upon injection, the protein was digested online by a pepsin/FPXIII
(Sigma-Aldrich P6887/P2143) mixed protease column maintained at 20 °C. Protease columns
were prepared in-house by coupling the protease to a resin (Thermo Scientific POROS 20 Al
aldehyde activated resin 1602906) and hand-packing into a column (2 mm ID × 2 cm, IDEX C-
130B). After digestion, peptides were desalted by flowing across a hand-packed trap column
(Thermo Scientific POROS R2 reversed-phase resin 1112906, 1 mm ID × 2 cm, IDEX C-128) at 5 °C.
The total time for digestion and desalting was 2.5 min at 100 µL/min of 0.1% formic acid at pH
2.5. Peptides were then separated on a C18 analytical column (TARGA, Higgins Analytical, TS-
05M5-C183, 50 x 0.5 mm, 3 µm particle size) via a 14-min, 10–60% (vol/vol) acetonitrile (0.1%
formic acid) gradient applied by a Dionex UltiMate-3000 pump. Eluted peptides were analyzed
by a Thermo Q Exactive mass spectrometer. MS data collection, peptide assignments by

SearchGUI version 4.0.25, and HDX data processing by HDExaminer 3.1 (Sierra Analytics) were
performed as previously described[23,24].

<u>HDX data presentation, quantification, and statistics.</u> In our labeling convention, we name
capitalized regions according to the third residue of each peptide since the first two residues have
much faster k_{chem}[35,36] and hence, exhibit complete back-exchange. Labeling times for HDX
performed in pD$_{read}$ 6.1, 0 °C were corrected to those in pD$_{read}$ 7.1, 25 °C by a factor of 140,
determined by the ratio of the k_{chem} for full-length proteins in the two conditions – prestin:
$\tau_{chem}^{\text{pDread 6.1,0 °C}}$ = 10 sec, $\tau_{chem}^{\text{pDread 7.1,25 °C}}$ = 74 msec; SLC26A9: $\tau_{chem}^{\text{pDread 6.1,0 °C}}$ = 9 sec,
$\tau_{chem}^{\text{pDread 7.1,25 °C}}$ = 65 msec. Deuteration levels were adjusted with the 93% D content but not with
back-exchange levels except for **Fig. 5A**. For HDX in the presence of urea (**Fig. 3A**), D contents of
76% and 74% were used to account for the volumes of 4.16 M and 4.54 M urea in the "Cl$^-$/D$_2$O
Buffer" and the "Hepes/D$_2$O Buffer", respectively.

HDX rates, protection factors (PFs), and changes of free energy of unfolding (ΔΔG's) in the
Results section were estimated by fitting uptake curves of each peptide, after correcting for back-
exchange levels, with a stretched exponential as described by Hamuro 2021[17], except for
discussion relevant to **Fig. 5A**. Peptides with less than 10% D at the longest labeling time (~10^5 s)
were not used for fitting. The residue-level ΔΔG values presented in the full-length proteins in
Fig. 1C were estimated by averaging ΔΔG values for peptides containing the residue. We note
that this stretched exponential method is only a rough approximation to extract ΔΔG's and our
major conclusions are not dependent on this fitting method.

For fitting HDX data and extracting rates relevant to **Fig. 5A**, HDX data were first
normalized to in- and back-exchange levels. Given the helices are likely to exchange by fraying,
the exchange rate for each residue was assigned based on their distance from the end of the
helix. When a residue could not be assigned to a single rate, the geometric mean of the possible
rates was used, e.g., the HDX data for the 8-residue Peptide$_{273-282}$ were well fit with 3
exponentials, and the three associated rates, k_1, k_2, & k_3, were assigned to the 8 residues
according to k_1, k_1, $(k_1 k_1 k_2)^{1/3}$, k_2, k_2, $(k_2 k_2 k_3)^{1/3}$, k_3, & k_3. These rates were used to calculate folding
stability according to $\Delta G = -RT\ln(k_{chem}/k_i - 1)$.

A hybrid statistical analysis used to generate **Fig. S1** was performed as described by
Hageman & Weis, 2019[37], with significance limits defined at α = 0.05.

<u>MD simulations.</u> Simulations were conducted in our Upside molecular dynamics package[38,39]
using a membrane thickness of 38 Å. Missing residues for prestin (PDB 7S8X) were built using
MODELLER[40] and the placement within the bilayers was accomplished using Positioning of
Proteins in Membranes webserver[41]. Local restraints in the form of small springs between nearby
residues were used to maintain the native structure of cytosolic domains, as well as to the TM13-
TM14 helices. Also, the distance between the two TMDs was held fixed. We ran 28 temperature
replicas between 318 and 360K.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by grants to T.R.S. from the NSF (MCB 2023077) and the NIH (GM55694, 1R35GM148233), and to E.P. from R01 DC019833.

References

1. Dallos, P., Zheng, J. & Cheatham, M. A. Prestin and the cochlear amplifier. *J. Physiol.* **576**, 37–42 (2006).
2. Sfondouris, J., Rajagopalan, L., Pereira, F. A. & Brownell, W. E. Membrane Composition Modulates Prestin-associated Charge Movement. *J. Biol. Chem.* **283**, 22473–22481 (2008).
3. Liberman, M. C. *et al.* Prestin is required for electromotility of the outer hair cell and for the cochlear amplifier. *Nature* **419**, 5 (2002).
4. Dong, X., Ospeck, M. & Iwasa, K. H. Piezoelectric Reciprocal Relationship of the Membrane Motor in the Cochlear Outer Hair Cell. *Biophys. J.* **82**, 1254–1259 (2002).
5. Bavi, N. *et al.* The conformational cycle of prestin underlies outer-hair cell electromotility. *Nature* **600**, 553–558 (2021).
6. Ge, J. *et al.* Molecular mechanism of prestin electromotive signal amplification. *Cell* **184**, 4669-4679.e13 (2021).
7. Butan, C. *et al.* Single particle cryo-EM structure of the outer hair cell motor protein prestin. *Nat. Commun.* 40 (2022).
8. Garaeva, A. A. & Slotboom, D. J. Elevator-type mechanisms of membrane transport. *Biochem. Soc. Trans.* **48**, 1227–1241 (2020).
9. Walter, J. D., Sawicka, M. & Dutzler, R. Cryo-EM structures and functional characterization of murine Slc26a9 reveal mechanism of uncoupled chloride transport. *eLife* **8**, e46986 (2019).
10. Chi, X. *et al.* Structural insights into the gating mechanism of human SLC26A9 mediated by its C-terminal sequence. *Cell Discov.* **6**, 55 (2020).
11. Oliver, D. *et al.* Intracellular Anions as the Voltage Sensor of Prestin, the Outer Hair Cell Motor Protein. *Science* **292**, 2340–2343 (2001).
12. Rybalchenko, V. & Santos-Sacchi, J. Cl– flux through a non-selective, stretch-sensitive conductance influences the outer hair cell motor of the guinea-pig. *J. Physiol.* **547**, 873–891 (2003).
13. Rybalchenko, V. & Santos-Sacchi, J. Anion Control of Voltage Sensing by the Motor Protein Prestin in Outer Hair Cells. *Biophys. J.* **95**, 4439–4447 (2008).
14. Song, L. & Santos-Sacchi, J. Conformational State-Dependent Anion Binding in Prestin: Evidence for Allosteric Modulation. *Biophys. J.* **98**, 371–376 (2010).
15. Bai, J.-P. *et al.* Prestin's Anion Transport and Voltage-Sensing Capabilities Are Independent. *Biophys. J.* **96**, 3179–3186 (2009).
16. Gorbunov, D. *et al.* Molecular architecture and the structural basis for anion interaction in prestin and SLC26 transporters. *Nat. Commun.* **5**, 3622 (2014).
17. Hamuro, Y. Quantitative Hydrogen/Deuterium Exchange Mass Spectrometry. *J. Am. Soc. Mass Spectrom.* **32**, 2711–2727 (2021).

18. Schaechinger, T. J. *et al.* A synthetic prestin reveals protein domains and molecular operation of outer hair cell piezoelectricity. *EMBO J.* **30**, 2793–2804 (2011).

19. Smit, J. H. *et al.* Probing Universal Protein Dynamics Using Hydrogen–Deuterium Exchange Mass Spectrometry-Derived Residue-Level Gibbs Free Energy. *Anal. Chem.* **93**, 12840–12847 (2021).

20. Santos-Sacchi, J. & Navaratnam, D. Physiology and biophysics of outer hair cells: The cells of Dallos. *Hear. Res.* 108525 (2022) doi:10.1016/j.heares.2022.108525.

21. Lim, W. K., Rösgen, J. & Englander, S. W. Urea, but not guanidinium, destabilizes proteins by forming hydrogen bonds to the peptide group. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **106**, 2595–2600 (2009).

22. Ramesh, R. *et al.* Uncovering metastability and disassembly hotspots in whole viral particles. *Prog. Biophys. Mol. Biol.* **143**, 5–12 (2019).

23. Zmyslowski, A. M., Baxa, M. C., Gagnon, I. A. & Sosnick, T. R. HDX-MS performed on BtuB in E. coli outer membranes delineates the luminal domain's allostery and unfolding upon B12 and TonB binding. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **119**, e2119436119 (2022).

24. Lin, X., Zmyslowski, A. M., Gagnon, I. A., Nakamoto, R. K. & Sosnick, T. R. Development of in vivo HDX-MS with applications to a TonB-dependent transporter and other proteins. *Protein Sci.* **31**, e4402 (2022).

25. Merkle, P. S. *et al.* Substrate-modulated unwinding of transmembrane helices in the NSS transporter LeuT. *Sci. Adv.* **4**, eaar6179 (2018).

26. Martens, C. *et al.* Direct protein-lipid interactions shape the conformational landscape of secondary transporters. *Nat. Commun.* **9**, 4151 (2018).

27. Schaechinger, T. J. & Oliver, D. Nonmammalian orthologs of prestin (SLC26A5) are electrogenic divalent/chloride anion exchangers. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **104**, 7693–7698 (2007).

28. Muallem, D. & Ashmore, J. An Anion Antiporter Model of Prestin, the Outer Hair Cell Motor Protein. *Biophys. J.* **90**, 4035–4045 (2006).

29. Santos-Sacchi, J., Navarrete, E. & Song, L. Fast Electromechanical Amplification in the Lateral Membrane of the Outer Hair Cell. *Biophys. J.* **96**, 739–747 (2009).

30. Tan, X. *et al.* A motif of eleven amino acids is a structural adaptation that facilitates motor capability of eutherian prestin. *J. Cell Sci.* **125**, 1039–1047 (2012).

31. Tang, J., Pecka, J. L., Fritzsch, B., Beisel, K. W. & He, D. Z. Z. Lizard and Frog Prestin: Evolutionary Insight into Functional Changes. *PLoS ONE* **8**, e54388 (2013).

32. Hilser, V. J. & Thompson, E. B. Intrinsic disorder as a mechanism to optimize allosteric coupling in proteins. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **104**, 8311–8315 (2007).

33. McPherson, D. R. Sensory Hair Cells: An Introduction to Structure and Physiology. *Integr. Comp. Biol.* **58**, 282–300 (2018).

34. Warren, J. R. & Gordon, J. A. On the Refractive Indices of Aqueous Solutions of Urea. *J. Phys. Chem.* **70**, 297–300 (1966).

35. Bai, Y., Milne, J. S., Mayne, L. & Englander, S. W. Primary Structure Effects on Peptide Group Hydrogen Exchange. *Proteins* **17**, 75–86 (1993).

36. Nguyen, D., Mayne, L., Phillips, M. C. & Englander, S. W. Reference parameters for protein hydrogen exchange rates. *J. Am. Soc. Mass Spectrom.* **29**, 1936–1939 (2018).

37. Hageman, T. S. & Weis, D. D. Reliable Identification of Significant Differences in Differential Hydrogen Exchange-Mass Spectrometry Measurements Using a Hybrid Significance Testing Approach. *Anal. Chem.* **91**, 8008–8016 (2019).

38. Jumper, J. M., Faruk, N. F., Freed, K. F. & Sosnick, T. R. Accurate calculation of side chain packing and free energy with applications to protein molecular dynamics. *PLOS Comput. Biol.* **14**, e1006342 (2018).

39. Jumper, J. M., Faruk, N. F., Freed, K. F. & Sosnick, T. R. Trajectory-based training enables protein simulations with accurate folding and Boltzmann ensembles in cpu-hours. *PLOS Comput. Biol.* **14**, e1006578 (2018).

40. Sali, A. & Blundell, T. L. Comparative protein modelling by satisfaction of spatial restraints. *J. Mol. Biol.* **234**, 779–815 (1993).

41. Lomize, A. L., Todd, S. C. & Pogozheva, I. D. Spatial arrangement of proteins in planar and curved membranes by PPM 3.0. *Protein Sci. Publ. Protein Soc.* **31**, 209–220 (2022).