Presynaptic reorganization in the sensory hair cell after noise exposure

Yan Lu[a,b,c,d], Shengxiong Wang[d], Haoyu Wang[d], Fangfang Wang[d], Hua Han[e,f,g], Jing Liu[e,1],

Yunfeng Hua[a,b,c,d,1]

[a]Department of Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery, Shanghai Ninth People's Hospital,

Shanghai, China

[b]Ear Institute, Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine, Shanghai, China

[c]Shanghai Key Laboratory of Translational Medicine on Ear and Nose Diseases, Shanghai,

China

[d]Shanghai Institute of Precision Medicine, Ninth People's Hospital, Shanghai Jiao Tong

University School of Medicine, Shanghai, China

[e]National Laboratory of Pattern Recognition, Institute of Automation, Chinese Academy of

Sciences, Beijing, China

[f]School of Artificial Intelligence, School of Future Technology, University of Chinese Academy

of Sciences, Huairou District, Beijing, China

[g]CAS Center for Excellence in Brain Science and Intelligence Technology, Shanghai, China

[1]To whom correspondence may be addressed. Email: liujing2016@ia.ac.cn (J.L.) or

yunfeng.hua@shsmu.edu.cn (Y.H.)

Running title

Presynaptic reorganization in the IHC

Keywords

cochlear synaptopathy/ inner hair cell/ ribbon morphology/ synaptic mitochondria

Abstract

In mammals, neural encoding of sounds is mediated by ribbon-type synapses between inner ear hair cells (IHCs) and postsynaptic spiral ganglion neurons. These specialized connections are arranged along the IHC basolateral surface with gradient changes in morphology and electrophysiological properties, which are essential for the level coding of sound intensity. Large synaptic ribbons, which predominantly reside on the modiolar IHC face, are responsive to loud sounds and more susceptible to acoustic insults. Selective loss of them is the major cause for reduced cochlear audible range. However, other than fewer ribbons after noise exposure, the consequential presynaptic reorganization in the IHCs remains less characterized. Here, by means of volume electron microscopy and artificial intelligence-assisted image analysis, we investigated noise exposure-related changes in the mouse IHCs. Apart from morphological changes of the ribbon-type active zones, our quantifications reveal differential synaptic reorganization and mitochondrial remodeling in an IHC subtype-specific manner. Together, these results argue for putative presynaptic mechanisms underlying activity-dependent structural changes of synaptic ribbons and the associated mitochondrial network (which powers the neurotransmission) in the sensory epithelial cells.

Introduction

In the mammalian cochlea, sounds are decomposed into different frequency components by the basilar membrane (BM), establishing a place-frequency map for resident sensory epithelial cells – the inner hair cells (IHCs) – as well as postsynaptic spiral ganglion neurons (SGNs) (for reviews, see (Fettiplace, 2017; Huet et al, 2019; Moser et al, 2020)). In rodents for instance, each IHC is contacted by about 20 predominantly unbranched peripheral dendrites of the type I SGNs, forming up to 25 active zones (AZs) with characteristic electron-dense and vesicle-tethering synaptic ribbons. These specialized connections are proven of an essential role for ultrafast and temporally-precise sound encoding (for reviews, see (Moser et al., 2020; Safieddine et al, 2012)). Early studies using light (Frank et al, 2010; Liberman et al, 2011; Liberman et al, 1990; Meyer et al, 2009; Wong et al, 2014) and electron microscopy (Bullen et al, 2015; Hua et al, 2021; Liberman et al., 1990; Michanski et al, 2019; Payne et al, 2021) have revealed great diversity in both pre- and postsynaptic morphology of the ribbon synapses. They are found arranging in a spatial gradient with large ribbons on the modiolar (neural) IHC face whereas small ones on the pillar (abneural) face. Such morphological heterogeneity has been proposed of crucial functional implications, as the ribbon-bound synaptic vesicle (SV) pool increases with expanding ribbon volume (Michanski et al., 2019; Payne et al., 2021; Stamataki et al, 2006) and thus more fusion-competent SVs are made available to the synaptic release site (Joselevitch & Zenisek, 2020; Vaithianathan et al, 2016). Moreover, ribbons of different size are presumably aligned to three distinct functional and molecular SGN subtypes (Petitpre et al, 2018; Shrestha et al, 2018; Sun et al, 2018). A prevailing view on such divergent connectivity pattern of afferent connections is to enable collectively neural encoding of the entire audible range by the

functionally fractionated SGNs ([Heil & Peterson, 2015](); [Moser et al., 2020](); [Pangrsic et al,]()

[2018]()).

In the mature cochlea however, these fine-structured afferent connections are

found most susceptive to acoustic insults and non-regenerative once lost. Even moderate

overexposure can lead to a permanent ribbon loss of up to ~50 % and subsequent SGN

death in the rodent models ([Kujawa & Liberman, 2009](); [Liberman et al, 2015]()), providing a

plausible explanation for significant reduction in the synaptic counts observed in elder

mammals ([Fernandez et al, 2015](); [Sergeyenko et al, 2013]()) including human ([Wu et al, 2019]()).

In addition to ribbon loss (also known as cochlear synaptopathy), the IHCs appear to have

difficulty in maintaining a prominent ribbon gradient following noise exposure. In

accordance with this observation, selective damage occurs on those large modiolar ribbons

([Furman et al, 2013](); [Liberman et al., 2015]()), to which the postsynaptic terminals are believed

more vulnerable to glutamate excitotoxicity (see review ([Liberman, 2017]())). Therefore,

coding deficits, as a causal consequence of the cochlear synaptopathy, are likely implicated

in the weakened auditory discrimination under background noise, which is one of the

hallmarks of hidden hearing loss and presbycusis ([Kujawa & Liberman, 2015](); [Shi et al, 2016]()).

Although post-exposure dynamics of the cochlear synaptopathy has been

extensively studied using light microscopy (LM) in different species ([Fernandez et al., 2015]();

[Hickman et al, 2020](); [Liberman et al., 2015](); [Shi et al., 2016]()), quantitative structural

investigations at higher spatial resolution and with comprehensive cellular context are

needed for understanding the presynaptic concepts for adaptive ribbon plasticity and

reorganization. Most recent advances in three-dimensional (3D) electron microscopy (EM)

and artificial intelligence-based segmentation techniques have made it possible to volume

reconstruct the IHCs at unprecedent large scale and superior resolution ([Bullen et al., 2015]();

Hua et al., 2021; Liu et al, 2022; Michanski et al., 2019; Payne et al., 2021). Here, we

employed serial block-face scanning electron microscopy (SBEM) to capture presynaptic

structural changes in six large datasets of the cochlea, which were acquired from middle and

basal turns of juvenile mice with or without acoustic overexposure history. Specifically, we

quantified morphology and distribution of the ribbon-type AZs in pooled and grouped IHCs

following synaptopathic and non-synaptopathic exposure, as well as attempted to

characterize the putative ribbon-associated mitochondrial network and its synaptic activity-

dependent remodeling.

Results

SBEM Imaging of Noise Exposed Cochlear Organ of Corti. In this study, we acquired six

SBEM datasets of cochlea from four male CBA mice at 5-6 weeks of age (Fig 1A). The imaged

volumes are centered on the organs of Corti and each contains 18.7 ± 4.0 IHCs (see Table 1

for more dataset information). Among these are two control datasets from individual

animals without noise-exposure history (M1/2, taken from basal cochlear turn), whereas

four from the other two animals (M3/4, taken from both middle and basal turns) on the

post-exposure day-7 to a two-hour (2 h) pure tone of 8-16 kHz at 91-dB sound pressure level

(SPL). The use of this narrowband noise frequency has been previously well established and

yields temporary hearing loss with distinct frequency characters in an exposure dose-

dependent manner (Fernandez et al., 2015; Kujawa & Liberman, 2009; Liberman et al.,

2015). Auditory brainstem responses (ABRs) of the exposed animals confirmed transient

hearing threshold elevations upon the so-called synaptopathic (91-dB, 2 h) as well as non-

synaptopathic (85-dB, 2 h) sounds (Fig 1B and C). Specifically, the peak threshold elevation

of the 85-dB exposed group was at 22.6 kHz (30.00 ± 1.05 dB, n = 10) in terms of ABR test

frequencies on the post-exposure day one (D1), whereas the 91-dB exposed group

demonstrated a monotonically increased threshold elevation with the test frequency

starting from 8 kHz and reaching a maximum at 45.2 kHz (32.86 ± 1.38 dB, n = 7). On the

post-exposure day seven (D7), a full recovery in the ABR thresholds was observed in both

exposed groups (Fig 1B and C), suggesting the absence of a permanent hearing loss. Note

that there is an age-related difference in the noise susceptibility between the mature (> 8

weeks) and our juvenile (5-6 weeks) CBA mice (see Discussion).

To implement targeted trimming of the cochlea samples prior to SBEM acquisition,

we first acquired X-ray tomographic data from the stained and resin-embedded sample

blocks (Fig 1D). This allowed corresponding the cochlear anatomical features observed from

tissue block-faces and virtual cross-sections on the tomographic reconstructions (Fig 1E).

Based on the standard place-frequency relationship of the mouse cochlea (Muller et al,

2005), we were able to narrow down the best-frequency range of the middle- and basal-

turn SBEM volumes (Fig 1F and Appendix Fig S1, 16.2 ± 0.8 kHz and 28.1 ± 1.0 kHz) according

to determined anatomical positions. Within these two fixed tonotopic places (16 kHz and 28

kHz), we set out to focus on IHC structural comparisons after the synaptopathic exposure

(91-dB, 2 h).

Enlarged and Multi-ribbons after Acoustic Overexposures. Using the established image

analysis pipeline powered by artificial intelligence (Liu et al., 2022), we volume

reconstructed 112 intact IHCs and all 1710 basolateral pole-resided ribbon synapses in the

SBEM datasets (Fig 2A and Table 1). As spatial resolution of the SBEM enabled capturing of

different ribbon morphologies including multi-ribbons (Fig 2B), we set out to characterize

the exposure-related changes of the IHC ribbons. In good agreement with prior LM studies

(Fernandez et al., 2015; Grierson et al, 2022; Kujawa & Liberman, 2009; Liberman et al.,

2015), a substantial ribbon loss (29.4 %) was observed in the 28 kHz cochlear region

following the 91-dB exposure (Fig 2C, 91-dB: 12.0 ± 2.9, n = 46 IHCs) in comparison with the

unexposed control group (ctl: 17.0 ± 1.9, n = 33 IHCs, p < 0.0001). In the middle (16 kHz)

cochlear region, where the 91-dB exposure caused a comparable ABR threshold shift to that

of the 28 kHz region, slightly more ribbons per IHC were observed (Fig 2C, 91-dB: 18.1 ± 1.8,

n = 33 IHCs, p = 0.0171) presumably due to cochlear region-specific difference. These results

are consistent to numerous early observations, arguing for a noise-induced ribbon change

specifically at high frequency-responsive basal segment of the cochlear BM.

Similarly, following the exposures the IHCs tended to have enlarged ribbons in the 28

kHz-region (Fig 2D, ctl: 0.0129 ± 0.0004 μm^3, n = 33 IHCs; 91-dB: 0.0184 ± 0.0008 μm^3, n = 46

IHCs, p < 0.0001), but not in the 16 kHz-region from the same samples (91-dB: 0.0130 ±

0.0002 μm^3, n = 33 IHCs, p = 0.7320). The observed volume change was unlikely caused by

acute hollowing of synaptic ribbon based on its low occurrence in our datasets (Appendix

Fig S2A). Alternatively, additional multi-ribbon AZs might also account for this post-exposure

ribbon enlargement, as cumulative ribbon volume was measured on individual AZs,

irrespective of single or multiple ribbons (Fig 2B). In fact, we did notice a slight but

statistically significant increase in the occurrence of multi-ribbon AZs after the 91-dB

exposure (Fig 2E, ctl: 1.70 ± 1.19, n = 33 IHCs; 91-dB: 2.46 ± 1.24, n = 46 IHCs, p = 0.0078),

but those IHCs with severe synaptopathy do not necessarily have more multi-ribbon AZs (Fig

2E), arguing against the notion that the multi-ribbon AZ is a byproduct of the synaptopathy

or a major contributor to the ribbon enlargement. Nevertheless, the cumulative ribbon

volume per IHC seemed to be relatively stable in spite of the noise-induced synapse loss

(Appendix Fig S2B, ctl: 0.217 ± 0.033 μm^3, n = 33 IHCs; 91-dB: 0.208 ± 0.044 μm^3, n = 46 IHCs,

p = 0.3157). Furthermore, an increase in ribbon size heterogeneity was noted in pooled AZs

following the synaptopathic exposure (Fig 2F), probably owing to high percentage of the

enlarged and multi-ribbons. Besides, the IHC pillar-modiolar gradient of ribbon size was

compromised by the exposure (Appendix Fig S2C). Together, these results provide new

ultrastructural insights in adaptive plasticity changes of the IHC ribbons.

Differential Synaptopathy in the IHCs upon Noise Damage. It has been recently showed

that the staggered mid-cochlea IHCs can be subdivided into two distinct morphological

subtypes (Liu et al., 2022; Yin et al, 2014). In both 16 kHz- and 28 kHz-region, we confirmed

the copresence of the pillar cell-oriented (type-A) as well as the modiolus-oriented (type-B)

subpopulations with opposing ribbon density gradients along the IHC pillar-modiolus axis

(Fig 3A). Given that the postsynaptic SGNs with molecular diversity are arranged with

distinct spatial preferences (Petitpre et al., 2018; Shrestha et al., 2018; Sun et al., 2018),

such alternating IHC basolateral pole positions can be of functional relevance via

introducing disproportionated ribbon synapse compositions and thereby differentiate the

IHC receptive field of sound intensity (Liu et al., 2022). We then asked whether these two

IHC subtypes responded to acoustic insults differently in terms of post-exposure ribbon loss

and reorganization.

In the 28 kHz-region, the type-A IHCs appeared more alike those located at the

cochlear apex, featuring a prominent modiolar-pillar gradient in ribbon size and abundance

(Liberman et al., 2011). The post-exposure changes in the type-A IHC ribbons were found

exclusively on the modiolar face (Fig 3B, ctl: 10.9 ± 2.2, n = 21 IHCs; 91-dB: 6.9 ± 2.2, n = 30

IHCs, p < 0.0001), where commonly the ribbon synapses were densely packed. By contrast,

their pillar ribbons were more robust to the exposure (Fig 3B, ctl: 6.1 ± 1.6, n = 21 IHCs; 91-

dB: 5.6 ± 1.5, n = 30 IHCs, p = 0.2165). However, the post-exposure enlargement of the type-

A IHC ribbons occurred not only on the modiolar face (Fig 3C, ctl: 0.0142 ± 0.0005 μm^3, n

=21 IHCs; 91-dB: 0.0171 ± 0.0008 μm^3, n = 30 IHCs, p = 0.0088), but also on the pillar face

(ctl: 0.0091 ± 0.0004 μm^3, n = 21 IHCs; 91-dB: 0.0150 ± 0.0008 μm^3, n = 30 IHCs, p < 0.0001),

which effectively compromised the ribbon size gradient. Besides, the ribbon loss in the 91-

dB group resulted in an increased inter-distance of the modiolar ribbons (Fig 3D, ctl: 2.16 ±

0.08 μm, n = 21 IHCs; 91-dB: 2.98 ± 0.16 μm, n = 30 IHCs, p = 0.0002), diminishing the

normal ribbon density gradient along IHC modiolar-pillar axis (ctl: 2.16 ± 0.08 μm versus

3.36 ± 0.13 μm, n = 21 IHCs, p < 0.0001; 91-dB: 2.98 ± 0.16 μm versus 3.44 ± 0.15 μm, n = 30

IHCs, p = 0.0682). These results from the type-A IHCs conform the long-lasting dogma with

respect to the modiolar ribbons being more vulnerable to acoustic insults (Liberman et al.,

2015).

As counterparts, the type-B IHCs appeared to have few but robust modiolar ribbons

(Fig 3B, ctl: 3.8 ± 1.2, n = 12 IHCs; 91-dB: 2.3 ± 1.6, n = 16 IHCs, p = 0.0145), while the noise-

related changes took place predominantly on the pillar face in terms of reduced ribbon

number (Fig 3B, ctl : 13.2 ± 2.1, n = 12 IHCs; 91-dB: 8.7 ± 3.2, n = 16 IHCs, p = 0.0003) and

enlarged mean size (Fig 3C, ctl: 0.0134 ± 0.0007 μm^3, n = 12 IHCs; 91-dB: 0.0210 ± 0.0015

μm^3, n = 13 IHCs, p = 0.0002). Note that the modiolar preference of ribbons seemed to be

maintained in the type-B IHCs following the exposure (Fig 3D, ctl: 3.72 ± 0.38 μm versus 2.41

± 0.08 μm, n = 12 IHCs, p = 0.0048; 91-dB: 3.89 ± 0.37 μm versus 2.80 ± 0.18 μm, n = 11 IHCs,

p = 0.0269) in spite of significant ribbon loss and volume change. Together, these results

enrich our current view on noise damage in the mid-basal cochlear regions by revealing a

set of differences in the post-exposure ribbon organization between two IHC subtypes,

which are thought to be equivalently activated upon sensory stimuli.

Activity-dependent Remodeling of Synaptic Mitochondrial Network. In the IHC basolateral

compartment, a distance-dependent correlation between the spatial gradient of ribbons

and nearby mitochondria was recently reported (Liu et al., 2022). It, however, remains

elusive whether the IHC mitochondrial network is adaptively remodeled to the plasticity

changes of ribbons. To address this question, the modiolar volume fractions of ribbons and

mitochondria were used to compute the correlation coefficients within a domain of growing

size from the IHC basolateral pole (Fig 4A and B1). Compared to the unexposed control

group, the 91-dB exposure caused an overall reduction in the ribbon-mitochondrion

correlation but with similar distance-dependence in the synaptopathic 28 kHz-region

(Fig 4B2). Note that the maximum correlations were constantly observed between ribbons

and mitochondria within 5 µm from the IHC basal pole (Fig 4B2), implying a strong interplay

between ribbons and the adjacent mitochondria. We thereby, nicknamed this anatomical

subpopulation as "ribbon-associated mitochondria" or "RAM". Further, we show that the

RAM constitute about 17 % of total mitochondria in the basal IHC compartment (Fig 4B3, ctl:

17.12 ± 5.70 %, n = 33 IHCs; 91-dB: 15.69 ± 5.72 %, n = 46 IHCs, p = 0.2760). We controlled

that such decrease in spatial correlation was caused by redistribution of both ribbons and

the RAM following the exposure (Fig 4C and 4D). In addition, we observed a declined

fraction of large mitochondria in the pooled RAM following the 91-dB exposure (Fig 4E, ctl:

0.0882 ± 0.0008 μm^3, n = 4368; 91-dB: 0.0735 ± 0.0005 μm^3, n = 6065, p < 0.0001),

presumably owing to activity-dependent mitochondrial fission.

Discussion

Mechanosensory hair cells are multi-functionally compartmentalized with specialized organelles, making them attractive model systems for studying the interplay between/among subcellular components including ribbon synapses, synaptic vesicles, mitochondria and membrane cisterns (Chakrabarti et al, 2018; Esterberg et al, 2014; Hashimoto et al, 1990; Kantardzhieva et al, 2013; Lioudyno et al, 2004; Lysakowski & Goldberg, 1997; Spicer et al, 2007; Spicer et al, 1999). By the aid of advanced techniques in serial sectioning, electron microscopy (EM) reconstruction at whole-cell level has become much less labor intensive, contributing to the discoveries of key morphological hallmarks in developing (Michanski et al., 2019; Payne et al., 2021), mature (Bullen et al., 2015; Dow et al, 2015; Hua et al., 2021), aging (Lauer et al, 2012), as well as noise-injured (Bullen et al, 2019) sensory organs.

For this study the SBEM is our method of the choice because it is advantageous over other 3D EM as well as LM methods in visualizing large-scale IHC ultrastructure. Sufficient tissue size is critical for determining global orientation of the IHCs by referencing to other cochlear structures, whereas nanometer resolution of the SBEM allows identifying outlines of individual IHC bodies in densely packed regions. These enable comprehensive mapping morphology and cellular distribution of ribbons, especially in the case of noise-damaged IHCs containing orphan and "hollow" ribbons (Liberman et al., 2015; Song et al, 2016). Besides, it is known that cochlear ultrastructure varies along the tonotopic position (Michanski et al., 2019; Payne et al., 2021), but determining precise cochlear locations of the acquired EM volumes in 3D has not yet been established, hampering a reliable inter-sample structural comparison. Recent work has demonstrated x-ray tomography as a powerful tool for morphological study of whole cochleae and its potential to combine with

other optical methods (Keppeler et al, 2021; Lu et al, 2021). In the present work, we show

the practice of targeting given cochlear tonotopic ranges by using x-ray-tomographic

reconstruction to guide sample trimming and sequential SBEM imaging (Appendix Fig S1).

Moreover, with ever-growing tissue sizes allowed by improved sample preparation

(Genoud et al, 2018; Hua et al., 2021; Hua et al, 2015; Mikula & Denk, 2015) and faster EM

acquisition (Hua et al, 2022; Svara et al, 2022; Zheng et al, 2018), image processing has

become nowadays a major limitation for high-throughput connectomic and morphomic

investigations (Helmstaedter, 2013; Kubota et al, 2018; Varsano & Wolf, 2022). Our recent

work has proposed a robust analysis pipeline with full-automated segmentation for IHC

outer membranes, ribbon synapses and mitochondria (Liu et al., 2022). Here, we

demonstrate that the algorithm is readily applicable for SBEM datasets which were acquired

from different animals and cochlear locations (Fig 1A and Table 1). This yields a more than

10-fold increase in total number of the reconstructed IHCs as before, boosting

ultrastructural profiling of the IHCs under different experimental conditions.

In summary, our SBEM quantifications of the IHCs provide an extensive set of

observations after acoustic overexposures, including: (1) increased occurrence of large- and

multi-ribbon AZs, (2) IHC subtype-specific ribbon changes as well as (3) altered synaptic

mitochondrial network. Based on these ultrastructural insights, we gain deeper

understanding of the presynaptic concepts underlying activity-dependent ribbon plasticity

in the mature IHCs.

Synaptopathic and Non-synaptopathic Acoustic Exposures. Due to the non-linearity of

cochlear mechanics, the noise damage including consequent ribbon loss does not directly

relate to the magnitude of ABR threshold elevations (see review (Liberman, 2017)). Hence,

we calibrated the boundary conditions for synaptopathic (91 dB, 2 h) and non-synaptopathic

(85 dB, 2 h) exposures on the juvenile (5-6 weeks) CBA mice (Fig 1B and C) according to the

characteristic frequency-profiles of exposure-related ABR threshold shifts on animals at 16

weeks of age (Fernandez et al., 2015). Note that our juvenile mice have acquired ribbon loss

already after a two-hour exposure at 91 dB, which is considered non-synaptopathic for the

mature animal (Fernandez et al., 2015). This result is in line with early study (Kujawa &

Liberman, 2006) showing accelerated presbycusis in the young-exposed (4-8 weeks) but not

in the old-exposed (≥ 16 weeks) animals to a neuropathic noise (100-dB, 2 h). Taken

together, these findings corroborate that the juveniles are more vulnerable to noise-

induced hearing loss, both temporary and permanent ones. As permissible noise levels for

occupational exposure have been established in adults (Daniell et al, 2006; Davis & Clavier,

2017), preventive actions may be taken with a stricter guideline in school.

Ribbon Plasticity and Maintenance in the IHCs. It has been shown that ribbon size is of

functional significance by determining the pool of synaptic vesicles available for fusion and

tightly regulated by the presynaptic calcium channels (Frank et al, 2009; Sheets et al, 2012).

Although emerging 3D EM techniques have been employed to dissect the morphological

maturation of synaptic ribbons, ranging from late embryonic to adult stages (Michanski et

al., 2019; Payne et al., 2021), evidences for ribbon maintenance and activity-dependent

plasticity are scarce in the mature IHCs. Consistent to prior observations (Liberman et al.,

2015), post-exposure ribbons enlargement was observed in the 28 kHz-region (Fig 2D).

More importantly, the enlarged ribbons are not associated with "hollowing" cores or

obviously fewer tethered vesicles, arguing against a perturbed ribbon function beyond one

week after the overexposure. Besides, our quantifications provide emerging evidence for

morphological adaptation of the IHC ribbons to sensory experiences via conformational

changes. Higher occurrence of multi-ribbon AZs in the synaptopathic group (Fig 2E) is

counterintuitive, as enhanced synaptic transmission is supposed to make the ribbon

synapse more vulnerable due to glutamate-mediated excitotoxicity. Considering that the

multi-ribbon AZ is not only retained into adulthood (Hua et al., 2021; Michanski et al., 2019)

but also a hallmark of cochlear aging (Stamataki et al., 2006), the functional property of this

structural variant remains to be explored. It has been recently proposed (Michanski et al.,

2019) that, under the strict constrain of maximum ribbon size in mature IHC, such molecular

bypass may allow strengthening of individual synapse to maintain overall neurotransmission

level with reduced afferent contacts. In line with this notion, our observations of negatively

correlated ribbon number and mean size in an IHC (Hua et al., 2021) may reflect active

regulation on the total ribbon volume (Appendix Fig S2B), presumably by cellular

homeostasis of the "building block" abundance. Last but not least, enlarged and multi-

ribbons lead to an increased synapse heterogeneity following the noise-related

synaptopathy (Fig. 2F), suggesting that noise-induced IHC coding deficit is unlikely a simple

consequence of the selective loss of large ribbons and may involves maladaptive changes in

both pre- and postsynaptic structures.

IHC Subtype-specific Ribbon Changes after Noise Exposure. Our ultrastructural analysis

focuses on the 16 kHz- and 28 kHz-regions, where staggered IHCs with alternating

basolateral pole positions are prevalent (Yin et al., 2014). They constitute two distinct

morphological subpopulations: the abneural-side type-A IHCs having larger and more

crowded modiolar ribbons versus the neural-side type-B IHCs with exclusively large ribbons

gathering more on the pillar face (Liu et al., 2022). This significant feature implies that two

subtypes may differ in their compositions of ribbon synapses, which are tuned to different

physiological properties and prefer particular postsynaptic SGN subtypes (Petitpre et al.,

2018; Shrestha et al., 2018; Sun et al., 2018). However, it remains largely unexplored

whether there is a unifying concept of activity-dependent ribbon plasticity (including the

synaptopathy) for both IHC subtypes.

It is commonly believed that noise-induced ribbon loss occurs preferentially on the

modiolar IHC face (Liberman et al., 2015), but this rule may be limited to the basal-most

cochlear end, where usually a well-aligned single IHC-row is present. Our results suggest

that the worst-hit areas of noise insults are the IHC subregions with high ribbon density,

namely modiolar face of the type-A as well as pillar face of the type-B IHC (Fig 3B). In

addition, two IHC subtypes undergo distinct post-exposure ribbon reorganization: in the

type-A IHCs we observed declined modiolar ribbons, collapsed modiolar-pillar gradients in

ribbon size and density; the type-B IHCs simply gain enlarged pillar ribbons including multi-

ribbons at the cost of fewer in number, leaving the modular ribbons and the pillar-modiolar

gradients largely unaffected (Fig 3C and D). In our view, these IHC subtype-specific

differences can neither be readily explained by selective glutamate excitotoxicity on the

modiolar (or type *Ic* SGN) nerve terminals (Liberman et al., 2015; Shrestha et al., 2018) nor

the protective role of gradient efferent innervations (Boero et al, 2018; Liberman et al, 2014;

Maison et al, 2013). Thus, these observations argue for a more complex and IHC subtype-

specific ribbon plasticity following the classic glutamate-mediated damage on postsynaptic

terminals, presumably involving local metabolic stress induced by presynaptic- or

mitochondrial-Ca^{2+} influx as well as synaptic vesicle cycle, as recently showed in zebrafish

lateral-line hair cells (Lukasz et al, 2022). More importantly, these results challenge the use

of a global modiolar-pillar gradient for the IHCs in a staggered arrangement, and therefore

several prevailing concepts based on this simple assumption might not hold in the
functionally more relevant middle cochlea regions.

Ribbon-associated Mitochondria in the IHCs. Sustained neurotransmission at high rate is
metabolic demanding for nerve cells. Recent work suggests that the ribbon function is a
significant contributor to ATP consumption and reactive oxygen species (ROS) buildup in
zebrafish lateral-line hair cells (Lukasz et al., 2022), implying a crucial role of presynaptic
mitochondrial network in the maintenance of neurotransmission. In line with this notion, an
asymmetric mitochondrial distribution along the radial plane has been previously described
in the mammalian IHCs (Bullen et al., 2015). Further, our SBEM quantifications of the mid-
cochlea (Liu et al., 2022) and the 28-kHz region (this study) corroborate highly correlated
spatial gradients of mitochondria and ribbons inside a subcellular domain confined to 4.5 - 5
µm from the basolateral IHC pole (Fig 4B). This finding is significant since it provides a
structural cue for defining the putative ribbon-associated mitochondria (RAM). After the
synaptopathic exposure, the overall reduction in spatial correlation between mitochondrial
and ribbon gradients (Fig 4B) is presumably owing to dramatic ribbon reorganization or late-
onset RAM adaptive remodeling. Given that the ribbon size is tightly regulated by the
coupled presynaptic influx and mitochondrial uptake of Ca^{2+} (Wong et al, 2019), we attempt
to speculate that the altered mitochondrial morphology and basolateral distribution (Fig 4C-
E) may in turn shape the ribbon gradient in an activity-dependent manner. Together, these
results argue for a fascinating presynaptic mechanism in hosting the proper ribbon gradient
under normal condition, and which may also be involved in influencing, if not promoting,
the post-insult restoration of neurotransmission in the sensory hair cells.

Materials and Methods

Animals. In this study, CBA/Ca mice (male, ranging from p28 to p35) were purchased from Shanghai Jihui Experimental Animal Feeding Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China). Before experiment, animals were raised in the same acoustic environment for maximum seven days. Experiments were conduct at Ear Institute of Shanghai Jiao Tong university School of Medicine and Shanghai Institute of Precision Medicine. All procedures were approved by the Institutional Authority for Laboratory Animal Care of Shanghai Ninth People's Hospital (SH9H-2020-A65-1).

Acoustic overexposures. The acoustic overexposure was carried out in a sound-attenuating chamber (Shanghai Shino Acoustic Equipment Co. Ltd, China). Two acoustic overstimulation protocols were used in this study: an octave-band of noise (8-16 kHz) at 91 or 85 dB for 2 hours (Fig 1B). During the exposure, awake animals were kept without restraint in 18 x 18 x 10 cm^3 sized cells (1 animal/cell) in a subdivided cage by barbed wires. The cage was placed about directly below the horn of the loudspeaker (X4, HiVi Acoustic, Inc.) connected to a sound card (US-366, TASCAM, Inc.). The octave-band waveform was custom-made (gift from Prof. Bo Zhong, National Institute of Metrology, China) and real-time monitored by Adobe Audition Software. Before each exposure session, the noise was calibrated to the target sound pressure level using an acoustimeter (AWA6228+, Hangzhou Aihua Instruments Co., Ltd., China) placed in the cage.

Auditory Brainstem Responses (ABRs). ABR measurement were conducted as previously described (Wang et al, 2021; Zhang et al, 2022). In brief, animals were anesthetized, and the body temperature was maintained near 37°C using a regulated heating pad with a thermal

probe placed under the abdomen (Homeothermic Monitoring System, Harvard Apparatus,

US). Sound stimuli, which was generated by SigGen RP (Tucker-Davis Tech. Inc., US), were

delivered via a speaker (MF1, Tucker-Davis Tech. Inc., US) placed 10 cm away in front of the

animal's vertex. ABRs were recorded via three subdermal needle electrodes placed at the

animal's vertex (active electrode), left infra-auricular mastoid (reference electrode) and

right shoulder region (ground electrode). Signal digitalization and acquisition were done

with the software BioSigRZ (Tucker-Davis Tech. Inc., US). The raw signals were amplified

(5000x) and bandpass filtered (0.03-5 kHz). The sound level of stimulus started from 90 dB

SPL and was decremented in 5 dB steps to ~10 dB below threshold, that was defined as the

lowest stimulus needed for evoking visible responses.

Sample Preparation. The *en bloc* EM staining of cochlea was performed following the

established protocol (Lu et al., 2021). In brief, after being anesthetized with 2% isoflurane

inhalation, animals were decapitated, and temporal bones were dissected from both sides.

Under microscope, the cochleae were immediately perfused through the round and oval

windows with ice-cold fixative mixture containing 0.08 M cacodylate (pH 7.4, Sigma-Aldrich,

US), 2% paraformaldehyde (Sigma-Aldrich, US) and 2.5% glutaraldehyde (Sigma-Aldrich, US).

Post-fixation and sequential decalcification were carried out at 4°C by immersing in the

same fixative and with addition of 5% EDTA (Sigma-Aldrich, US) for 5 hours each. The

decalcified cochleae were then washed twice with 0.15M cacodylate buffer (pH 7.4) for 30

min each, and then immersed in 2% OsO_4 (Ted Pella, US), 2.5% ferrocyanide (Sigma-Aldrich,

US), and 2% OsO_4 at room temperature for 2, 2, and 1.5 hours, respectively. All staining

solutions were buffered with 0.15M cacodylate buffer (pH 7.4). After being washed with

0.15M cacodylate buffer and nanopore filtered water for 30 min each, the cochleae were

sequentially incubated in 1% freshly made and filtered thiocarbonhydrazide solution

(saturated aqueous solution, Sigma-Aldrich, US), 2% OsO_4 aqueous solution and lead

aspartate solution (0.03M, pH 5.0, adjusted by KOH) at 50°C for 1, 2 and 2 hours, with

immediate washing steps. For embedding, the cochleae were dehydrated through a graded

acetone-water mixture (50%, 75%, 90% acetone at 4°C for 30 min each) into pure acetone (3

times at room temperature for 30 min each). Then the dehydrated cochleae were infiltrated

with 1:1 mixture of acetone and Spurr resin monomer (4.1g ERL 4221, 0.95g DER 736, 5.9g

NSA and 1% DMAE; Sigma-Aldrich, US) at room temperature for 6 hours on a rotator,

followed by 1:2 mixture of acetone and resin monomer at room temperature for overnight

on a rotator. Infiltrated cochleae were then incubated in pure resin for 8-12 hours before

being placed in embedding molds (Polyscience, Germany) and incubated in a pre-warmed

oven at 70°C for at least 72 hours.

Correlative X-ray Microscopy (XRM) and Serial Block-face Electron Microscopy (SBEM). For

high-resolution XRM imaging of the cochlea, excess resin around cochlea was carefully

removed using a trimmer (TRIM2, Leica, Germany) equipped with a diamond knife head

(#227172, Anton Meyer & Co. Ltd). Cochlea samples were mounted upright along the

conical center axis on an aluminum metal rivet (3VMRS12, Gatan, UK) and scanned with an

XRM (Xradia 520 Versa, Carl Zeiss, Germany) at a pixel size of < 4.7 µm, source voltage 60 kV,

power 5 W and exposure time per radiograph 1 sec. Cochlear 3D reconstructions based on

acquired XRM datasets were visualized and further analyzed in 3D image processing

software (AMIRA, Thermo Scientific, US). Whole extent of the basilar membrane was

annotated with marked segments of interest using published mouse cochlear place-

frequency map as reference (Muller et al., 2005). After having trimmed the cochleae

coronally to the targeted location, we imaged (30 × 30 nm^2, 60 × 60 μm^2 per image) the

block-face of each sample using a SEM (Gemini300, Carl Zeiss, Germany) for *post hoc*

confirmation of the frequency range of the SBEM volumes.

The samples were remounted with the previously SEM-scanned block-face on top

and further trimmed down to a block size of about 800 × 800 x 500 μm^3 (xyz). Serial sections

were imaged using a field-emission SEM (Gemini300, Carl Zeiss) equipped with an in-

chamber ultramicrotome (3ViewXP, Gatan) and back-scattered electron detector (Onpoint,

Gatan). In total, six SBEM datasets were analyzed in this study (Fig 1A), two (M1 and M2) of

unexposed cochleae, as well as four (M3-4, two from each animal) of noise exposed

cochleae. Imaging parameters were as follows: incident electron beam energy, 2 keV; pixel

dwell time, 1.5-2.0 μs; pixel size, 12 nm; cutting thickness 50 nm; focal charge compensation,

100%; vacuum chamber pressure, ~2.8×10^{-3} mbar. Consecutively acquired slices were

aligned offline using cross-correlation function in MATLB (MathWorks, US) and cubed for

volume tracing in webKNOSSOS (Boergens et al, 2017; Hua et al., 2021).

Reconstruction of IHC, Mitochondrion and Ribbon Synapse. Using the previously trained

3D u-net models (Liu et al., 2022), the in-plane probability maps of IHCs and mitochondria

were generated by running the networks with a blocking-scheme. Distance-transform-

watershed algorithm was applied to each thresholded probability map to produce over-

segmented super-pixels for IHCs and mitochondria. To achieve volume reconstruction, we

used 3D connection algorithm to connect the 2D super-pixels and output the reconstructed

3D objects. From all the datasets, 112 IHCs were volume reconstructed. Automatic

detection and segmentation were carried out for all 115,115 associated mitochondria

residing in those IHCs (n=79) of the 28-kHz cochlear region (M1-4). Manual proof-reading

and correction were done in webKNOSSOS (Boergens et al., 2017) on the auto-

segmentation results of total 1710 ribbon synapses. The coronal plane of each IHC was

determined by two lines and its center point. The first line was parallel to one IHC row

which was computed by fitting a line with IHC centers on it. Another line was the principal

axis of each IHC computed by principal component analysis (PCA). Each IHC cell body was

then divided into pillar and modiolar hemispheres based on the coronal plane and with

individual ribbon synapses assigned objectively to either hemisphere.

Statistical Analysis. All data analysis and statistical tests were carried out using self-written

scripts and built-in functions in MATLAB (release 2021a) and the Statistics Toolbox

(MathWorks, Inc., US). The comparisons between groups were done using two-sample t-test

(*ttest2*) for Fig 1C, 2C-E, S2A-B, 3B-D, 4B3 and paired t-test (*ttest1*) for Fig S2C. The

distribution comparisons between groups were done using two-sample Kolmogorov-

Smirnov tests (*kstest2*) for Figure 2F, 4B3, 4E. The significance level of statistical tests was

denoted as n.s. for $p > 0.05$, * for $p < 0.05$, ** for $p < 0.01$, *** for $p < 0.001$ and **** for $p <$

0.0001.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Xu Ding and Bei Li for the help in the early stage of this work, thank Shanghai Jiao

Tong University Instrumental Analysis Center for the assistant of XRM imaging. This work

was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (82171133 to Y.H.,

32171461 to H.H.), Industrial Support Fund of Huangpu District in Shanghai (XK2019011 to

Y.H.), Innovative Research Team of High-Level Local Universities in Shanghai (SHSMU-

ZLCX20211700), the Shanghai Key Laboratory of Translational Medicine on Ear and Nose

Diseases (14DZ2260300), the Strategic Priority Research Program of Chinese Academy of

Science (No. XDB32030208 to H.H.).

Author Contributions

Y.H. designed and supervised the study; Y.L. carried out the animal experiments, Y.L., J.L.,

S.W. and H.W. analyzed the data; J.L. and H.H. contributed the segmentation and 3D

reconstruction; F.W. assisted with the EM acquisition; Y.H., Y.L., S.W. and J.L. drafted the

manuscript. All authors commented on the manuscript.

Disclosure and competing Interests Statement

The authors declare no competing interests.

REFERENCES
509

Boergens KM, Berning M, Bocklisch T, Braunlein D, Drawitsch F, Frohnhofen J, Herold T, Otto
P, Rzepka N, Werkmeister T *et al* (2017) webKnossos: efficient online 3D data annotation for
connectomics. *Nat Methods* 14: 691-694
Boero LE, Castagna VC, Di Guilmi MN, Goutman JD, Elgoyhen AB, Gomez-Casati ME (2018)
Enhancement of the Medial Olivocochlear System Prevents Hidden Hearing Loss. *J Neurosci*
38: 7440-7451
Bullen A, Anderson L, Bakay W, Forge A (2019) Localized disorganization of the cochlear
inner hair cell synaptic region after noise exposure. *Biol Open* 8
Bullen A, West T, Moores C, Ashmore J, Fleck RA, MacLellan-Gibson K, Forge A (2015)
Association of intracellular and synaptic organization in cochlear inner hair cells revealed by
3D electron microscopy. *J Cell Sci* 128: 2529-2540
Chakrabarti R, Michanski S, Wichmann C (2018) Vesicle sub-pool organization at inner hair
cell ribbon synapses. *EMBO Rep* 19
Daniell WE, Swan SS, McDaniel MM, Camp JE, Cohen MA, Stebbins JG (2006) Noise exposure
and hearing loss prevention programmes after 20 years of regulations in the United States.
Occup Environ Med 63: 343-351
Davis RR, Clavier O (2017) Impulsive noise: A brief review. *Hear Res* 349: 34-36
Dow E, Siletti K, Hudspeth AJ (2015) Cellular projections from sensory hair cells form
polarity-specific scaffolds during synaptogenesis. *Genes Dev* 29: 1087-1094
Esterberg R, Hailey DW, Rubel EW, Raible DW (2014) ER-mitochondrial calcium flow
underlies vulnerability of mechanosensory hair cells to damage. *J Neurosci* 34: 9703-9719
Fernandez KA, Jeffers PW, Lall K, Liberman MC, Kujawa SG (2015) Aging after noise exposure:
acceleration of cochlear synaptopathy in "recovered" ears. *J Neurosci* 35: 7509-7520
Fettiplace R (2017) Hair Cell Transduction, Tuning, and Synaptic Transmission in the
Mammalian Cochlea. *Compr Physiol* 7: 1197-1227
Frank T, Khimich D, Neef A, Moser T (2009) Mechanisms contributing to synaptic Ca2+
signals and their heterogeneity in hair cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 106: 4483-4488
Frank T, Rutherford MA, Strenzke N, Neef A, Pangrsic T, Khimich D, Fejtova A, Gundelfinger
ED, Liberman MC, Harke B *et al* (2010) Bassoon and the synaptic ribbon organize Ca(2)+
channels and vesicles to add release sites and promote refilling. *Neuron* 68: 724-738
Furman AC, Kujawa SG, Liberman MC (2013) Noise-induced cochlear neuropathy is selective
for fibers with low spontaneous rates. *J Neurophysiol* 110: 577-586
Genoud C, Titze B, Graff-Meyer A, Friedrich RW (2018) Fast Homogeneous En Bloc Staining
of Large Tissue Samples for Volume Electron Microscopy. *Front Neuroanat* 12: 76
Grierson KE, Hickman TT, Liberman MC (2022) Dopaminergic and cholinergic innervation in
the mouse cochlea after noise-induced or age-related synaptopathy. *Hear Res* 422: 108533
Hashimoto S, Kimura RS, Takasaka T (1990) Computer-aided three-dimensional
reconstruction of the inner hair cells and their nerve endings in the guinea pig cochlea. *Acta*
Otolaryngol 109: 228-234
Heil P, Peterson AJ (2015) Basic response properties of auditory nerve fibers: a review. *Cell*
Tissue Res 361: 129-158
Helmstaedter M (2013) Cellular-resolution connectomics: challenges of dense neural circuit
reconstruction. *Nat Methods* 10: 501-507

Hickman TT, Hashimoto K, Liberman LD, Liberman MC (2020) Synaptic migration and reorganization after noise exposure suggests regeneration in a mature mammalian cochlea. *Sci Rep* 10: 19945

Hua Y, Ding X, Wang H, Wang F, Lu Y, Neef J, Gao Y, Moser T, Wu H (2021) Electron Microscopic Reconstruction of Neural Circuitry in the Cochlea. *Cell Rep* 34: 108551

Hua Y, Laserstein P, Helmstaedter M (2015) Large-volume en-bloc staining for electron microscopy-based connectomics. *Nat Commun* 6: 7923

Hua Y, Loomba S, Pawlak V, Voit KM, Laserstein P, Boergens KM, Wallace DJ, Kerr JND, Helmstaedter M (2022) Connectomic analysis of thalamus-driven disinhibition in cortical layer 4. *Cell Rep* 41: 111476

Huet A, Batrel C, Wang J, Desmadryl G, Nouvian R, Puel JL, Bourien J (2019) Sound Coding in the Auditory Nerve: From Single Fiber Activity to Cochlear Mass Potentials in Gerbils. *Neuroscience* 407: 83-92

Joselevitch C, Zenisek D (2020) Direct Observation of Vesicle Transport on the Synaptic Ribbon Provides Evidence That Vesicles Are Mobilized and Prepared Rapidly for Release. *J Neurosci* 40: 7390-7404

Kantardzhieva A, Liberman MC, Sewell WF (2013) Quantitative analysis of ribbons, vesicles, and cisterns at the cat inner hair cell synapse: correlations with spontaneous rate. *J Comp Neurol* 521: 3260-3271

Keppeler D, Kampshoff CA, Thirumalai A, Duque-Afonso CJ, Schaeper JJ, Quilitz T, Topperwien M, Vogl C, Hessler R, Meyer A *et al* (2021) Multiscale photonic imaging of the native and implanted cochlea. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 118

Kubota Y, Sohn J, Kawaguchi Y (2018) Large Volume Electron Microscopy and Neural Microcircuit Analysis. *Front Neural Circuits* 12: 98

Kujawa SG, Liberman MC (2006) Acceleration of age-related hearing loss by early noise exposure: evidence of a misspent youth. *J Neurosci* 26: 2115-2123

Kujawa SG, Liberman MC (2009) Adding insult to injury: cochlear nerve degeneration after "temporary" noise-induced hearing loss. *J Neurosci* 29: 14077-14085

Kujawa SG, Liberman MC (2015) Synaptopathy in the noise-exposed and aging cochlea: Primary neural degeneration in acquired sensorineural hearing loss. *Hear Res* 330: 191-199

Lauer AM, Fuchs PA, Ryugo DK, Francis HW (2012) Efferent synapses return to inner hair cells in the aging cochlea. *Neurobiol Aging* 33: 2892-2902

Liberman LD, Suzuki J, Liberman MC (2015) Dynamics of cochlear synaptopathy after acoustic overexposure. *J Assoc Res Otolaryngol* 16: 205-219

Liberman LD, Wang H, Liberman MC (2011) Opposing gradients of ribbon size and AMPA receptor expression underlie sensitivity differences among cochlear-nerve/hair-cell synapses. *J Neurosci* 31: 801-808

Liberman MC (2017) Noise-induced and age-related hearing loss: new perspectives and potential therapies. *F1000Res* 6: 927

Liberman MC, Dodds LW, Pierce S (1990) Afferent and efferent innervation of the cat cochlea: quantitative analysis with light and electron microscopy. *J Comp Neurol* 301: 443-460

Liberman MC, Liberman LD, Maison SF (2014) Efferent feedback slows cochlear aging. *J Neurosci* 34: 4599-4607

Lioudyno M, Hiel H, Kong JH, Katz E, Waldman E, Parameshwaran-Iyer S, Glowatzki E, Fuchs PA (2004) A "synaptoplasmic cistern" mediates rapid inhibition of cochlear hair cells. *J Neurosci* 24: 11160-11164

Liu J, Wang S, Lu Y, Wang H, Wang F, Qiu M, Xie Q, Han H, Hua Y (2022) Aligned Organization
of Synapses and Mitochondria in Auditory Hair Cells. *Neurosci Bull* 38: 235-248
Lu Y, Wang F, Wang H, Bastians P, Hua Y (2021) Large-scale 3D imaging of mouse cochlea
using serial block-face scanning electron microscopy. *STAR Protoc* 2: 100515
Lukasz D, Beirl A, Kindt K (2022) Chronic neurotransmission increases the susceptibility of
lateral-line hair cells to ototoxic insults. *Elife* 11
Lysakowski A, Goldberg JM (1997) A regional ultrastructural analysis of the cellular and
synaptic architecture in the chinchilla cristae ampullares. *J Comp Neurol* 389: 419-443
Maison SF, Usubuchi H, Liberman MC (2013) Efferent feedback minimizes cochlear
neuropathy from moderate noise exposure. *J Neurosci* 33: 5542-5552
Meyer AC, Frank T, Khimich D, Hoch G, Riedel D, Chapochnikov NM, Yarin YM, Harke B, Hell
SW, Egner A *et al* (2009) Tuning of synapse number, structure and function in the cochlea.
Nat Neurosci 12: 444-453
Michanski S, Smaluch K, Steyer AM, Chakrabarti R, Setz C, Oestreicher D, Fischer C, Mobius
W, Moser T, Vogl C *et al* (2019) Mapping developmental maturation of inner hair cell ribbon
synapses in the apical mouse cochlea. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 116: 6415-6424
Mikula S, Denk W (2015) High-resolution whole-brain staining for electron microscopic
circuit reconstruction. *Nat Methods* 12: 541-546
Moser T, Grabner CP, Schmitz F (2020) Sensory Processing at Ribbon Synapses in the Retina
and the Cochlea. *Physiol Rev* 100: 103-144
Muller M, von Hunerbein K, Hoidis S, Smolders JW (2005) A physiological place-frequency
map of the cochlea in the CBA/J mouse. *Hear Res* 202: 63-73
Pangrsic T, Singer JH, Koschak A (2018) Voltage-Gated Calcium Channels: Key Players in
Sensory Coding in the Retina and the Inner Ear. *Physiol Rev* 98: 2063-2096
Payne SA, Joens MS, Chung H, Skigen N, Frank A, Gattani S, Vaughn K, Schwed A, Nester M,
Bhattacharyya A *et al* (2021) Maturation of Heterogeneity in Afferent Synapse
Ultrastructure in the Mouse Cochlea. *Front Synaptic Neurosci* 13: 678575
Petitpre C, Wu H, Sharma A, Tokarska A, Fontanet P, Wang Y, Helmbacher F, Yackle K,
Silberberg G, Hadjab S *et al* (2018) Neuronal heterogeneity and stereotyped connectivity in
the auditory afferent system. *Nat Commun* 9: 3691
Safieddine S, El-Amraoui A, Petit C (2012) The auditory hair cell ribbon synapse: from
assembly to function. *Annu Rev Neurosci* 35: 509-528
Sergeyenko Y, Lall K, Liberman MC, Kujawa SG (2013) Age-related cochlear synaptopathy: an
early-onset contributor to auditory functional decline. *J Neurosci* 33: 13686-13694
Sheets L, Kindt KS, Nicolson T (2012) Presynaptic CaV1.3 channels regulate synaptic ribbon
size and are required for synaptic maintenance in sensory hair cells. *J Neurosci* 32: 17273-
17286
Shi L, Chang Y, Li X, Aiken SJ, Liu L, Wang J (2016) Coding Deficits in Noise-Induced Hidden
Hearing Loss May Stem from Incomplete Repair of Ribbon Synapses in the Cochlea. *Front
Neurosci* 10: 231
Shrestha BR, Chia C, Wu L, Kujawa SG, Liberman MC, Goodrich LV (2018) Sensory Neuron
Diversity in the Inner Ear Is Shaped by Activity. *Cell* 174: 1229-1246 e1217
Song Q, Shen P, Li X, Shi L, Liu L, Wang J, Yu Z, Stephen K, Aiken S, Yin S *et al* (2016) Coding
deficits in hidden hearing loss induced by noise: the nature and impacts. *Sci Rep* 6: 25200
Spicer SS, Qu C, Smythe N, Schulte BA (2007) Mitochondria-activated cisternae generate the
cell specific vesicles in auditory hair cells. *Hear Res* 233: 40-45

Spicer SS, Thomopoulos GN, Schulte BA (1999) Novel membranous structures in apical and
basal compartments of inner hair cells. *J Comp Neurol* 409: 424-437
Stamataki S, Francis HW, Lehar M, May BJ, Ryugo DK (2006) Synaptic alterations at inner
hair cells precede spiral ganglion cell loss in aging C57BL/6J mice. *Hear Res* 221: 104-118
Sun S, Babola T, Pregernig G, So KS, Nguyen M, Su SM, Palermo AT, Bergles DE, Burns JC,
Muller U (2018) Hair Cell Mechanotransduction Regulates Spontaneous Activity and Spiral
Ganglion Subtype Specification in the Auditory System. *Cell* 174: 1247-1263 e1215
Svara F, Forster D, Kubo F, Januszewski M, Dal Maschio M, Schubert PJ, Kornfeld J, Wanner
AA, Laurell E, Denk W *et al* (2022) Automated synapse-level reconstruction of neural circuits
in the larval zebrafish brain. *Nat Methods*
Vaithianathan T, Henry D, Akmentin W, Matthews G (2016) Nanoscale dynamics of synaptic
vesicle trafficking and fusion at the presynaptic active zone. *Elife* 5
Varsano N, Wolf SG (2022) Electron microscopy of cellular ultrastructure in three
dimensions. *Curr Opin Struct Biol* 76: 102444
Wang H, Li B, Lu Y, Han K, Sheng H, Zhou J, Qi Y, Wang X, Huang Z, Song L *et al* (2021) Real-
time threshold determination of auditory brainstem responses by cross-correlation analysis.
iScience 24: 103285
Wong AB, Rutherford MA, Gabrielaitis M, Pangrsic T, Gottfert F, Frank T, Michanski S, Hell S,
Wolf F, Wichmann C *et al* (2014) Developmental refinement of hair cell synapses tightens
the coupling of Ca2+ influx to exocytosis. *EMBO J* 33: 247-264
Wong HC, Zhang Q, Beirl AJ, Petralia RS, Wang YX, Kindt K (2019) Synaptic mitochondria
regulate hair-cell synapse size and function. *Elife* 8
Wu PZ, Liberman LD, Bennett K, de Gruttola V, O'Malley JT, Liberman MC (2019) Primary
Neural Degeneration in the Human Cochlea: Evidence for Hidden Hearing Loss in the Aging
Ear. *Neuroscience* 407: 8-20
Yin Y, Liberman LD, Maison SF, Liberman MC (2014) Olivocochlear innervation maintains the
normal modiolar-pillar and habenular-cuticular gradients in cochlear synaptic morphology. *J
Assoc Res Otolaryngol* 15: 571-583
Zhang Y, Lin G, Wang Y, Xue N, Lin X, Du T, Xiong W, Song L (2022) Prestin derived OHC
surface area reduction underlies age-related rescaling of frequency place coding. *Hear Res*
423: 108406
Zheng Z, Lauritzen JS, Perlman E, Robinson CG, Nichols M, Milkie D, Torrens O, Price J, Fisher
CB, Sharifi N *et al* (2018) A Complete Electron Microscopy Volume of the Brain of Adult
Drosophila melanogaster. *Cell* 174: 730-743 e722
680
681

682 **Tables**
683
684 **Table 1. SBEM datasets from four CBA mice**

	M1	M2	M3	M3	M4	M4
Sex & Age	Male, from p42 to p35					
Noise exposure	no	no	8-16 kHz, 91dB		8-16 kHz, 91dB	
Cochlear frequency (kHz)	27.8*	28.3*	15.6	26.9	16.7	29.4
Resolution (nm³)	12 × 12 × 50	12 × 12 × 50	12 × 12 × 50	12 × 12 × 50	12 × 12 × 50	12 × 12 × 50
Volume (µm³)	148 × 295× 154	124 × 302 × 128	177 × 268 × 109	125 × 251× 146	200 × 234 × 122	128× 360 × 139
# IHCs	15	18	16	20	17	26
# ribbons / IHC	17.9 ± 2.0	16.3 ± 1.6	18.6 ± 2.0	12.5 ± 2.0	17.7 ± 1.5	11.6 ± 3.4

685 *Represents the estimated value.
686 Data are represented as mean ± SD.

Figure 1. SBEM imaging of the noise exposed cochleae.

(A) Experimental design (top): hearing thresholds were determined by auditory brainstem

responses (ABRs) before (Pre), on the day-1 (D1) and the day-7 (D7) after the noise

exposure. Cochlea samples were collected either from the unexposed animals or on the D7

from the exposed ones. SBEM datasets (bottom): six EM volumes were acquired from four

male CBA mice (M1 to M4, p35-42) at the middle (thick line) or/and basal (thin line)

cochlear turns. Two were taken from the unexposed animals (M1/2, black) and the others

were from the animals receiving a 2-hour pure-tone (8-16 kHz) exposure at 91 dB (red,

M3/4).

(B) Both 85 dB (blue, n = 10 animals) and 91 dB (red, n = 7 animals) exposures produced a

transient elevation in hearing thresholds on the D1 (triangles) which was fully recovered on

the D7 (filled circles) in comparison with the baseline values before exposure (Pre, empty

circles).

(C) Relative ABR threshold shifts computed from (B). Different threshold shifts on the D1

after the 85- (blue) and the 91-dB (red) exposures at the best-frequencies of 22.6 kHz (30.00

± 1.05 dB vs. 20.00 ± 0.99 dB, two-sample t-test, $p < 0.0001$), 32 kHz (18.50 ± 1.50 dB vs.

29.29 ± 1.09 dB, $p = 0.0003$) and 45.2 kHz (9.50 ± 1.38 dB vs. 32.86 ± 1.38 dB, $p < 0.0001$).

Data are presented as group means ± SEM.

(D) Volume rendering of reconstructed X-ray micrographs (XRM) taken from the cochlea

sample. Two SBEM datasets are located in the middle-turn (R1) as well as the basal-turn (R2)

on the cochlear basilar membrane (BM, pseudo-blue). Round window (below) and oval

window (right) are indicated by dashed circles. Scale bar represents 500 µm.

(E) Matched anatomical features between the XRM tomographic virtual sections (left) and

the EM images of sample block-faces (right) for targeted sample trimming and *post-hoc*

determination of the SBEM imaged locations (small boxes) in the middle (thick grey box)

and basal (thin grey box) cochlear turn. Scale bar represents 500 µm.

(F) Relative BM locations determined for individual SBEM volumes (the control group: black

circles; the 91-dB group: red dots), which were used to infer the best-frequencies of the

imaged IHCs based on a normalized tonotopic range from 5 to 80 kHz and yielded 16.2 ± 0.8

kHz and 28.1 ± 1.0 kHz for the middle (thick arrows) and basal (thin arrows) turn datasets,

respectively.

722 Figure 2. 3D morphological quantifications of IHC ribbons.

(A) For illustration, volume rendering of 18 reconstructed IHCs (grey) and 20 reconstructed

IHCs (grey) from the control (ctl) and the exposed group (91dB) respectively. Red dots at the

IHC basolateral surface represent the synaptic ribbons. Scale bar represents 20 µm.

(B) Example electron micrographs of single-ribbon (left) and multi-ribbon (right) AZs from

the control (ctl) and the exposed group (91dB). For illustration, reconstructed ribbon

synapses including AZ membrane (green), ribbon (grey or red) and SVs (yellow) are showed

in 3D. Scale bars represent 0.2 μm.

(C) Comparisons of IHC ribbon numbers among the unexposed group (ctl, grey dots) and the

91-dB group (91dB, red dots). In the 28-kHz region: 17.0 ± 1.9, n = 33 IHCs (ctl); 12.0 ± 2.9, n

= 46 IHCs (91dB). Two-sample t-test, $p < 0.0001$ (ctl vs. 91dB). In the 16-kHz region: 18.1 ±

1.8, n = 33 IHCs (91dB). Two-sample t-test, $p = 0.0171$ (ctl vs. 91dB) and $p < 0.0001$ (91dB:

28 kHz vs. 16 kHz).

(D) Comparisons of mean ribbon volume per IHC among the exposed and unexposed groups.

In the 28-kHz region: 0.0129 ± 0.0004 μm^3, n = 33 IHCs (ctl); 0.0184 ± 0.0008 μm^3, n = 46

IHCs (91dB). Two-sample t-test, $p < 0.0001$ (ctl vs. 91dB). In the 16-kHz region: 0.0130 ±

0.0002 μm^3, n = 33 IHCs (91dB). Two-sample t-test, $p = 0.7320$ (ctl vs. 91dB) and $p < 0.0001$

(91dB: 28 kHz vs. 16 kHz).

(E) Scatterplot of number of ribbon synapses and multi-ribbons per IHC. In the 28-kHz region:

1.70 ± 1.19, n = 33 IHCs (ctl); 2.46 ± 1.24, n = 46 IHCs (91dB). Two-sample t-test, $p = 0.0078$

(ctl vs. 91dB).

(F) Cumulative probability distribution of ribbon size from pooled AZs in the 16-kHz and 28-

kHz region, respectively. The median and mean volumes of ribbons are 0.0108 μm^3 and

0.0128 ± 0.0064 μm^3 (ctl, n = 561 AZs), 0.0115 μm^3 and 0.0130 ± 0.0058 μm^3 (91dB: 16 kHz,

n = 598 AZs), 0.0151 μm^3 and 0.0174 ± 0.0092 μm^3 (91dB: 28 kHz, n = 551 AZs), respectively.

Two-sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, $p = 0.0043$ (ctl vs. 91dB: 16 kHz) and $p < 0.0001$ (ctl

vs. 91dB: 28 kHz). Data in 2C-D are presented as mean ± SEM. Data in 2F is presented as

mean ± SD.

750
Figure 3. IHC subtype-specific, post-exposure ribbon reorganization.

(A) Example pairs of type-A (left – dark grey, right – light grey) and type-B IHCs (left – light

grey, right – dark grey) are showed in sagittal view. They differ to each other by

characteristic tilting of the coronal plane, which divides the cell body into pillar (solid and

dashed thin lines) and modiolar hemispheres (solid and dashed thick lines).

(B) Left: comparisons of ribbon numbers in the 28-kHz region among the type-A IHCs (solid

lines) of different groups (grey: the control group; red: the 91-dB group). On the modiolar

IHC face, the ribbon numbers are 10.9 ± 2.2 (ctl, n = 21 IHCs) and 6.9 ± 2.2 (91dB, n = 30

IHCs). Two-sample t-test, p < 0.0001 (ctl vs. 91dB). Whereas there is no significant difference

among the pillar ribbons (ctl: 6.1 ± 1.6; 91dB: 5.6 ± 1.5). Two-sample t-test, p = 0.2165 (ctl vs.

91dB). Right: same comparison for the type-B IHCs (dashed lines). The modiolar ribbons are

few in number but comparable with different groups (ctl: 3.8 ± 1.2, n = 12 IHCs; 91dB: 2.3 ±

1.6, n = 16 IHCs, p = 0.0145), whereas the exposures impact on the abundance of pillar

ribbons significantly (ctl: 13.2 ± 2.1; 91dB: 8.7 ± 3.2). Two-sample t-test, p = 0.0003 (ctl vs.

91dB). Black and red lines represent the mean and the median, respectively.

(C) Comparisons of average ribbon volume in the IHCs. As for the type-A IHCs (solid lines),

significant ribbon enlargements occur in both modiolar and pillar IHC faces of the 91-dB

group (red). Modiolar ribbons: 0.0142 ± 0.0005 μm^3 (ctl, n = 21 IHCs) and 0.0171 ± 0.0008

μm^3 (91dB, n = 30 IHCs). Two-sample t-test, p = 0.0088 (ctl vs. 91dB). Pillar ribbons: 0.0091 ±

0.0004 μm^3 (ctl, n = 21 IHCs) and 0.0150 ± 0.0008 μm^3 (91dB, n = 30 IHCs). Two-sample t-

test, p < 0.0001 (ctl vs. 91dB). As for the type-B IHCs (dashed lines), ribbon size changes are

evident only on the pillar IHC face. Modiolar ribbons: 0.0153 ± 0.0011 μm^3 (ctl, n = 12 IHCs)

and 0.0158 ± 0.0017 μm^3 (91dB, n = 13 IHCs). Two-sample t-test, p = 0.7963 (ctl vs. 91dB).

Pillar ribbons: 0.0134 ± 0.0007 μm^3 (ctl, n = 12 IHCs) and 0.0210 ± 0.0015 μm^3 (91dB, n = 13

IHCs). Two-sample t-test, p = 0.0002 (ctl vs. 91dB).

(D) Comparisons of inter-ribbon distances as a measure of local ribbon density. The

modiolar face of type-A IHCs (thick solid line): 2.16 ± 0.08 μm (ctl, n = 21 IHCs) and 2.98 ±

0.16 µm (91dB, n = 30 IHCs). Two-sample t-test, p = 0.0002 (ctl vs. 91dB). The pillar face of

type-A IHCs (thin solid line): 3.36 ± 0.13 µm (ctl, n = 21 IHCs) and 3.44 ± 0.15 µm (91dB, n =

30 IHCs). Two-sample t-test, p = 0.7322 (ctl vs. 91dB). The modiolar face of type-B IHCs

(thick dashed line): 3.72 ± 0.38 µm (ctl, n = 12 IHCs) and 3.89 ± 0.37 µm (91dB, n = 11 IHCs).

Two-sample t-test, p = 0.7416 (ctl vs. 91dB). The pillar face of type-B IHCs (thin dashed line):

2.41 ± 0.08 µm (ctl, n = 12 IHCs) and 2.80 ± 0.18 µm (91dB, n = 11 IHCs). Two-sample t-test,

p = 0.0553 (ctl vs. 91dB). All data are presented as mean ± SEM.

Figure 4. Activity-dependent remodeling of ribbon-associated mitochondria.

(A) Left: electron micrograph of a representative IHC (basal compartment), in which synaptic

ribbons (red arrow) and mitochondria (grey arrow) are visible. Scale bar represents 5µm.

Right: 3D rendering of volume reconstructed ribbons (red) and mitochondria (grey).

(B1) Schematic depicting of ribbon and mitochondrial distribution at the IHC basal

compartment. With increase in the distance from the basolateral IHC pole (X), more

mitochondria are included in the correlation analysis with the ribbon gradient. Note that the

type-A and type B IHCs have opposing ribbon gradients.

(B2) Correlation coefficients computed between modiolar fraction of the ribbons ($\%V_{m\text{-rib.}}$)

and that of the mitochondria ($\%V_{m\text{-mito.}}$) within a varying distance from the IHC pole. The

peak correlations between ribbons and mitochondria are reached at X = 5 μm (dashed line)

in the control (black) and 91-dB groups (red).

(B3) Cumulative fraction of the mitochondrion number ($\#_{mito.}$) along the IHC middle axis

reveals similar radial profiles of mitochondrial distribution in the control (black) and the 91-

dB group (red). Number fractions of the ribbon-associated mitochondria (RAM, within 5 μm

from the IHC pole) are 0.1712 ± 0.0570 (ctl, n = 33 IHCs) and 0.1569 ± 0.0572 (91dB, n = 46

IHCs). Two-sample t-test, p = 0.2760 (ctl vs. 91dB). Two-sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, p

= 0.2400 (ctl vs. 91dB).

(C and D) Scatterplot of volume fraction of the modiolar ribbons with that of the RAM in the

unexposed (C, ctl, grey dots) and the exposed group (D, 91dB, red dots). The size of each dot

represents the number of ribbons per IHC.

(E) Cumulative fractions of pooled RAM volumes. The median and mean RAM volumes are

0.0808 μm^3 and 0.0882 ± 0.0542 μm^3 (ctl, n = 4368), 0.0686 μm^3 and 0.0735 ± 0.0425 μm^3

(91dB, n = 6065), respectively. Two-sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, p < 0.0001. (ctl vs.

91dB). Data in 4B are presented as mean ± SD. Black and red lines represent the mean and

the median, respectively.