Litter Matters: The Importance of Decomposition Products for Soil Bacterial

Diversity and abundance of key groups of the N cycle in Tropical Areas

Priscila Pereira Diniz[1], Beatriz Maria Ferrari Borges[2], Aline Pacobahyba de Oliveira[3],

Maurício Rizzato Coelho[3], Osnar Obede da Silva Aragão[1], Thiago Gonçalves Ribeiro[4],

Fernando Igne Rocha[4], Márcia Reed Rodrigues Coelho[6], Eustáquio Souza Dias[1], James

R. Cole[7], Adina Chuang Howe[5], Siu Mui Tsai[2], Ederson da Conceição Jesus[6]

[1] Federal University of Lavras UFLA, Lavras, Minas Gerais – Brazil;

[2] Center of Nuclear Energy in Agriculture - CENA, University of São Paulo USP,

Piracicaba, São Paulo, Brazil;

[3] Embrapa Solos, Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil;

[4] Federal Rural University of Rio de Janeiro, Seropédica, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil;

[5] Iowa State University, IA, United States of America;

[6] Embrapa Agrobiologia, Seropédica, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil.

[7] Michigan State University, MI, United States of America.

Corresponding author: Ederson da Conceição Jesus

E-mail address: ederson.jesus@embrapa.br

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Abstract

This study investigated the contribution of soil organic layers to bacterial diversity

evaluations. We used a forest in the eastern Amazon and an adjacent pasture as model

systems. Distinct organic and organo-mineral layers were identified in the forest and

pasture floors, including the litter, partially and wholly decomposed organic material,

and the mineral and rhizospheric soils. DNA was extracted, and 16S rRNA gene

sequencing and qPCR were performed to assess bacterial community structure and the

abundance of critical groups of the N cycle. We observed a clear vertical gradient in

bacterial community composition. Species followed a log-normal distribution, with the

highest richness and diversity observed in transitional organic layers of both land uses.

Generally, critical groups of the N cycle were more abundant in these transitional

layers, especially in the pasture's fragmented litter and in the forest's partially

decomposed organic material. Considering the organic layers increased diversity

estimates significantly, with the highest alpha and gamma bacterial diversity observed

on the pasture floor and the highest beta diversity on the forest floor. The results show

that organic layers harbor significant bacterial diversity in natural and anthropized

systems and suggest that they can be crucial for maintaining the N cycle in these

ecosystems, highlighting the need to consider them when studying soil bacterial

diversity.

Keywords: Amazon, 16S rRNA, land use change, N cycle, functional genes

50   1. Introduction

The increasing deforestation for pasture and agriculture in the last decades has

posed a threat to the Amazon rainforest, with an average annual expansion rate of 4.6%

between 1987 and 2017 (Souza et al., 2020). In response to this scenario, several studies

have evaluated the impacts of deforestation and land-use change on soil microbial

communities, observing significant changes in their structure, composition, and

functionality(Jesus et al., 2009; Mendes et al., 2015; Merloti et al., 2019; Mueller et al.,

2014; Navarrete et al., 2011; Paula et al., 2014; Rodrigues et al., 2013).

One of the most notable findings is the strong influence of soil pH and its

associated variables on the structure and diversity of bacterial communities (Carvalho et

al., 2016; Jesus et al., 2009; Rocha et al., 2021; Rodrigues et al., 2013). Another finding

is the lower, unexpected diversity in the forest soil compared to areas deforested for

pasture and agriculture (Jesus et al., 2009). These findings have been consistently

confirmed by subsequent research (Carvalho et al., 2016; Rodrigues et al., 2013) and

were systematized by Petersen et al. (2019). Rodrigues et al. (2013) also observed high

alpha diversity associated with low beta diversity in pastures, suggesting a possible

biotic homogenization and consequent decline in functional diversity, as later studies

have also shown (Kroeger et al., 2018; Paula et al., 2014). However, Carvalho et al.

(2016) found higher alpha, beta, and gamma diversities in pastures and agricultural

soils. These authors attributed the contrasting results to differences in soil and forest

types, which is supported by Rocha et al. (2021), who observed greater differences in

diversity in naturally low-fertility soils than in naturally high-fertility soils. Thus, the

impact of land-use change on bacterial beta diversity in Amazonian soils remains a

question that requires further attention.

The majority of studies mentioned above, with a few exceptions (Moreira et al.,

2021; Ritter et al., 2018; Rocha et al., 2021), focuses on microbial communities in the

mineral portion of superficial soil horizons. The common approach is to remove the

litter and sample immediately underneath, which generally corresponds to the section of

soil dominated by mineral material (for example, see Carvalho et al., 2016; Khan et al.,

2019; Kroeger et al., 2018; Merloti et al., 2019; Navarrete et al., 2015; Rodrigues et al.,

2013). However, this approach, which probably was borrowed from soil fertility

assessment studies, ignores the greater complexity of forest soils. Recently studies have

shown that this focus can lead to misinterpretation and underestimation of microbial

diversity (Rocha et al., 2021).

An essential feature of the forest is the accumulation of a significant amount of

litter, whose decomposition products provide a substantial source of nutrients (Herrera

et al., 1978; Quesada et al., 2011). The roots are often intertwined with organic matter in

various stages of decomposition, forming a root mat on top of the mineral soil. This

feature has been reported at least since the 1970s (Herrera et al., 1978; Stark and Jordan,

1978) and has been suggested to be a vital nutrient conservation mechanism in the

Amazon forest (Jordan; Herrera, 1981). In this environment, there is typically a layer of

recently deposited leaves (L), a layer of fragmented material but still recognizable as

litter (F), and an organic horizon (O or H) (Ponge, 2003). These layers constitute

different environments that can harbor microbial communities with specific

compositions and metabolisms (Baldrian et al., 2012; López-Mondéjar et al., 2015;

Prescott and Grayston, 2013).

A great amount of information about the soil microbiota is lost by not taking

these layers and their differences into account as they may harbor a significant part of

the active forest microbiota. Excluding these layers can lead to an underestimation of

the relevant microbial diversity related to nutrient cycling on the forest floor (Rocha et

al., 2021). Similar to what was found in studies focusing on the mineral portion of the

soil, the structure and diversity of microbial communities in these organic layers change

in response to factors such as plant diversity and litter quality (Chapman and Newman,

2009; Pei et al., 2017; Santonja et al., 2018; Zheng et al., 2018). In this respect, litter

transformation can be detected by ^{13}C isotopic abundance, as it tends to increase as CO_2

is released into the atmosphere. In addition, these layers are directly impacted by fire

(Santos and Nelson, 2013; Kauffman et al., 1995; Uhl et al., 1988), possibly leading to

the loss of an essential component of soil microbial communities. Since the litter and

other organic layers are the most affected by these fires, their alteration may have a

relevant impact on nutrient cycles due to eliminating key microbial groups.

Therefore, it is necessary to understand how rainforest soil bacterial

communities contribute to soil microbial diversity and how they are affected by the land

cover change. This study worked under the hypotheses that (1) the organic layers of the

forest soil contribute to a greater diversity of prokaryotes in this environment and (2)

that these layers favor a greater diversity of microorganisms in the cycle of N.

2. Material and Methods

2.1 Characterization of the study area and sampling

The study was carried out in the District of São Joaquim do Ituquara,

municipality of Baião, in the state of Pará, Brazil (Supplementary Figure 1). Samples

were taken from a primary forest and an adjacent pasture with *Urochola* sp. (*syn.*

Brachiaria sp.) in December 2017. A detailed description of the sites can be found in

the Supplementary Material.

A transect of 250 m was delimited in each land use system, with five sampling

points equally spaced (50 m) (Supplementary Figure 1). The distance between the

closest points of the forest and the pasture was 100 m. Composite samples were

collected at each point with a 25 cm x 25 cm metallic square (Figure 1). Each composite

sample consisted of three subsamples, collected either in exclusively organic sections or

dominated by organic material (hereinafter called organic layers), as well as in the

sections where there is a predominance of mineral material (hereinafter referred to as

mineral soil).

The organic layers, which correspond to the O (organic) horizon, were defined

as L, F, and H layers (Santos et al., 2018) and arbitrarily subdivided into sublayers FH;

H_1, H_2, and H_3 based on their decomposition stage and morphological differentiation.

The sampling procedure was extended for another 10 cm below the organic layers to

characterize the mineral portion of the soil (Figure 1), which corresponds to part of the

A horizon. The way of distinguishing and collecting the material was based on the

classification of humus forms and the visual examination of the sampled material [22,

35]. In total, seven distinct layers were sampled in the forest (L, F, FH, H_1, H_2, H_3, and

the mineral soil), and four in the pasture (L, F, and the mineral soil), including the

rhizosphere soil, corresponding to the soil adhering to the roots of grasses (*Urochloa*

sp.).

After sampling, the samples were sieved in a 2 mm mesh (except L and F) and,

later, stored at -80°C. The transition layer FH was subdivided into FH, containing

sieved material < 2mm, and FHc, containing coarse material retained in the sieve. All

samples were used for chemical characterization as described in the Supplementary

Material.

2.4 DNA Extraction

L, F, and FH samples containing leaf and root fragments were macerated in

liquid nitrogen and 0.25 g of the macerated material was used for DNA extraction with

the DNeasy Power Soil kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) according to the manufacturer's

recommendations. The samples from the other layers were used directly for DNA

extraction with the same kit, and 0.50 g of sample material was used for extractions.

The DNA obtained was quantified in a Nanodrop 2000c spectrophotometer (Thermo

Fisher Scientific Inc., USA), observing its purity by the A260/280 ratio and its integrity

by electrophoresis in a 1% agarose gel (100 V for 30 min), stained with ethidium, with

visualization of the DNA bands in a transilluminator.

2.5 Bioinformatics sequencing and analysis

Large-scale sequencing of the bacterial 16S rRNA gene was performed by the

Institute for Genomics and Systems Biology Next Generation Sequencing (IGSB-NGS)

at the Argonne National Laboratory in Chicago, USA, following the amplification

protocols of the Earth Microbiome Project (Thompson et al., 2017). The primer pair

515F and 806R (Caporaso et al., 2011), which amplifies the V4 region of the 16S

rRNA, was used. Sequencing was performed using the Illumina MiSeq platform ($2 \times$

250 bp paired-end). The raw sequence data is available in the SRA database of Genbank

with the accession number PRJNA927008.

The sequences obtained were analyzed using the DADA2 pipeline (1.8) (Callahan et al.,

2016) incorporated into the R software. The sequences were demultiplexed, filtered for

quality (Q score greater than 20, with a minimum length of 250 bp in the forward

sequences and 225 bp in the reverse sequences), joined, and classified using the SILVA

v.138 database (Quast et al., 2013). Finally, the generated ASV table was converted into

a phyloseq object using the phyloseq package (McMurdie and Holmes, 2013) for further

analysis.

2.6 Real-time PCR of nitrogen cycle genes

The abundances of Bacteria, Archaea, and nitrogen cycle functional genes were

determined by quantitative real-time PCR (qPCR) on gene copy number per gram of

substrate (soil or organic material). The following genes were evaluated: 16S rRNA

from Bacteria and Archaea; nitrogenase (*nifH*) for nitrogen fixation; ammonium

monooxygenase (*amoA*) from Bacteria and Archaea for nitrification (NH_3 to NH_2OH);

nitrite reductase (*nirS*; NO_2^- to NO) and nitrous oxide reductase (*nosZ*; N_2O to N_2) for

denitrification. Analyzes were performed using the StepOnePlus™ Real-Time PCR

system with 96-well plates (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). From serial

dilutions, standard curves of genes of microorganisms known to contain N cycle

enzymes, previously amplified by PCR, were constructed. All genes used in the curves

were purified with the IllustraTM GFXTM PCR DNA and Gel Band Purification Kit

(GE Healthcare, UK) for better efficiency of the standard curves, according to the

manufacturer's recommendations. The microorganisms used as standard, primers, and

amplification conditions are shown in Supplementary Table 1. All samples were

quantified in triplicate in a final volume of 10 μL of reaction, composed of 5.0 μL of

SYBR Green ROX qPCR Master Mix (Thermo Scientific), 0.67 to 1.0 μL of each

primer (see Supplementary Table 1), 0.5 μL of bovine serum albumin (BSA, 6 mg.mL$^-$

^{1}), 1.0 μL of DNA diluted 50 times and enough water for PCR to complete the final

volume. For all assays, amplification efficiency ranged from 96% to 106%, and R^2

values were 0.98–0.99.

198   2.7 Data analysis and statistics

The analyzes were performed using the R software using packages phyloseq,

vegan, easyanova, and agricolae (Maintainer and Arnhold, 2022; McMurdie and

Holmes, 2013; Mendiburu, 2021; Oksanen et al., 2005). A filtering procedure was

applied to remove low-prevalence sequences and sequences from eukaryotes,

chloroplasts, and mitochondria. The length of the species distribution gradient was

verified by detrended correspondence analysis (DCA). Non-metric multidimensional

scaling (NMDS) was used to visualize dissimilarities in bacterial community structure

based on the Bray-Curtis distance over the abundance of ASVs per sample.

Permutational multivariate analysis of variance (PERMANOVA) (Anderson, 2001) was

applied to observe possible significant differences in the structure of bacterial

communities, also based on the Bray-Curtis distance matrix. To assess the community

phylum composition, the relative abundance data were checked for normality (Shapiro-

Wilk) and homogeneity of variance (Bartlett). The relative abundance data followed the

assumption of non-parametric tests, and the Kruskal-Wallis test was performed at 5%

significance with the FDR (False Discovery Rate) adjustment method.

A sample containing 7,007 readings was removed from the analysis, and the data

were rarefied for the smallest number of reads (10,402 reads). Alpha diversity measures

(Chao1 and Shannon) were calculated separately for each of the organic layers and the

mineral soil and for all layers belonging to the same land use system. The significance

was tested with the non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis test at 5% significance with the FDR

(False Discovery Rate) adjustment method.

Diversity partition analysis was performed using Hill numbers through the

Entropart package as explained elsewhere (Chao et al., 2014; Daly et al., 2018; Jost,

2006; Marcon and Hérault, 2015; Rocha et al., 2021). Diversity metrics were calculated

for all layers separately and after associating all layers in a single system. Preemption,

log-Normal, Zipf, and Zipf-Mandelbrot species abundance models were tested using the

radfit command of the vegan package (Oksanen et al., 2005). The comparison of these

models was based on the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC), a measure of the relative

quality of a statistical model.

The abundances of the functional genes of the N cycle, obtained through qPCR,

were analyzed in the program StepOne Software v2.3 (Applied Biosystem, USA) for

their specificity and efficiency. Data were compared using the Kruskal-Wallis test at 5%

significance with the FDR correction method for multiple comparisons.

3. Results

3.1 Chemical properties of soils

Soil C:N ratios decreased with depth in both land uses, more abruptly from L to

H_1 on the forest floor and from L to F on the pasture floor (Supplementary Figure 2).

The $\delta^{13}C$ and $\delta^{15}N$ values on the forest floor became more positive with depth (r =

0.962; p>0.001). The same pattern was observed on the pasture floor, where the bulk

and rhizosphere soils showed the same C:N ratio and $\delta^{15}N$, while a difference existed

for values of $\delta^{13}C$. For the forest floor, the K, Ca, Mg, Cu, Mn, and Zn concentrations

also decreased in the direction of more transformed material, from the L layer to

mineral soil, while the Fe concentration increased. P concentration was the highest in

the litter (L, F, and FH) and the lowest in the organic horizon (H_1 and H_2). In the

pasture, the Ca and Mg concentrations were higher in L when compared to the F layer

(Supplementary Table 2).

The H_3 layer in the forest and the mineral and rhizospheric soils in the pasture

(Supplementary Figure 3) are acidic, but the pH was significantly lower in the forest

soil, with an average value of 4.3 against 5.7 and 5.8 in the pasture. The pasture soil

showed higher Ca, Fe, Mn, and Zn concentrations, as opposed to the forest floor, which

in turn showed higher concentrations of Al and organic C.

252
3.2 Microbial community structure and composition

Forest and pasture bacterial communities were grouped into two distinct groups

in the NMDS analysis (stress = 0.0811; Figure 2). A gradient of distribution and

substitution of species from the uppermost L layer towards the mineral soil was

observed in both land uses. This gradient was long in both systems, with 6.08 and 4.68

standard deviations in forest and pasture, respectively, clearly showing the existence of

an ecocline and a high beta-diversity (Supplementary Figure 3). The species abundance

distribution model with the best fit, both the forest and pasture floors, was the log-

normal, followed by the Mandelbrot and the Zipf (Figure 3).

PERMANOVA (F = 6.43, P < 0.001) allowed the identification of six different

community structures in the forest: (1) L, (2) F, (3) FH/FHc, (4) FH/H1, (5) H_2/H_3, and

(6) H_3/mineral soil. Three distinct groups were identified in the pasture: (1) L, (2) F, and

(3) mineral and rhizospheric soils. It is worth highlighting that the L layers were those

with the smallest dissimilarity between the two land use systems.

Some gradients were observed in the relative abundance of major phyla. The

abundances of *Proteobacteria*, *Bacteroidota*, and *Firmicutes* decreased with depth in

the forest floor. The last two phyla were not observed from the H_2 and FH layers down,

respectively, if considered an abundance greater than 1% (Figure 4A; Supplementary

Figure 4). *Acidobacteriota, Actinobacteriota, Planctomycetota*, and *Verrucomicrobiota*

showed increasing abundances with depth and were especially abundant in the organic

horizon. In the pasture, the gradients were not as clear due to the smaller number of

layers. *Proteobacteria*, *Actinobacteriota*, and *Bacteroidota* were more abundant in the

litter (L and F) (Figure 4B). On the other hand, *Firmicutes, Verrucomicrobiota*, and

Planctomycetota were more abundant in mineral and rhizospheric soils. No difference

in abundance was observed for *Acidobacteriota*.

Forty-five percent of the forest sequences and 82% of those in the pasture

account for genera with an abundance of less than 4%, indicating the great microbial

diversity at this level, especially in the pasture (Figure 4B). Overall, *Burkholderia-*

Caballeronia-Paraburkholderia were the most abundant genera in the forest,

accounting for 20% of the sequences. *Sphingomonas* (9%) was the most abundant genus

in the pasture. In the forest, *Acinetobacter* predominates in the L layer (11%),

Burkholderia-Caballeronia-Paraburkholderia in F, FH, FHc, and H_1 (24%; 29%, 32%;

and 29%, respectively) and *Acidothermus* in H_2, H_3, and the mineral soil (20%, 30%,

and 20%, respectively). In the pasture, *Methylobacterium-Methylorubrum* prevailed in L

(3%), *Sphingomonas* in F and the mineral soil (7% in each), and *Burkholderia-*

Caballeronia-Paraburkholderia in the rhizospheric soil (10%).

3.4 Diversity of microbial community

The bacterial richness in the forest differed significantly between the H_2 and

FHc only (H= 14.66, p= 0.041; Figure 5A). L showed the lowest richness in the pasture,

while the other layers did not differ from each other (H = 8.17, p = 0.042) (Figure 5B).

When considering the communities of all layers together, the overall richness of the

pasture floor was higher than that of the forest floor (H = 29.90, p = 4.5e-08) (Figure

5C).

In the forest, the Shannon index was the highest in H_2, the only layer to differ

from H_3 and the mineral soil (H = 19.91, p = 0.0058) (Figure 5D). The L layer showed

the highest diversity in the pasture but did not differ from mineral and rhizospheric soils

(H = 9.69; p = 0.0214) (Figure 5E). As observed for richness, the Shannon diversity was

higher on the pasture floor than on the forest floor (H = 28.25, p = 1.1e-07) (Figure 5F).

The diversity partition analysis showed that for the alpha, beta, and gamma

diversities of the forest, the ASVs richness (q = 0) and Shannon exponential (q = 1)

were higher for the forest floor in relation to the individual layers (Figure 6A). On the

pasture floor, alpha and gamma diversities were also superior to those in the individual

layers alone (Figure 6B), but the same was not observed for the beta-diversity.

Subsequently, when comparing the two land use systems (Figure 6C), it was observed

that in the alpha and gamma diversity scale, the pasture floor was highest than the forest

floor and forest mineral soil for the parameters of ASV richness (q = 0) and Shannon

exponential (q = 1). However, the beta diversity was higher in the forest floor compared

to the pasture floor in all diversity components (Figure 6C). Simpson dominance (q =

2), representing the effective number of dominant species or communities, was similar

across all study layers at the alpha and gamma diversity scales. However, on the beta

diversity scale, higher values of dominance were recorded for the microbial

communities of the forest and pasture floors, with the forest floor being significantly

higher than the pasture floor.

3.5 Abundance of functional nitrogen cycle genes

In the forest, the abundances of Bacteria were higher in organic layers,

especially in FH and H_2 (H = 36.65, p = 5.4e-06) (Figure 7). In the pasture, layer F held

the highest abundance of Bacteria (H = 16.35, p = 0.001) at a level comparable to that

of layers FH and H_2 of the forest. Archaea, in general, were more abundant in the forest

compared to the pasture (Figure 7). Like Bacteria, this domain was more abundant in

the organic layers, especially FH and H_2 of the forest (H = 31.74, p = 4.5e-05) and in

the F layer of the pasture (H = 16.35, p = 0.001). It is worth noting that the abundances

of both domains decreased in the H_1 layer and increased in the H_2 layer. In addition, the

abundances of organisms from both domains were significantly lower in the mineral

soil of both systems (Figure 7).

In the forest, the abundance of the *nifH* gene was higher in the organic layers,

especially in the H_2 layer (H = 26.33, p = 0.00044) (Figure 7). This gene was

significantly less abundant in the transitional H_3 layer and the mineral soil. The organic

layers of the pasture also showed a higher *nifH* abundance (H = 17.91, p = 0.00046),

mainly on the F layer. Its abundance was lower in the L layer, rhizospheric soil, and the

mineral soil (Figure 7).

Ammonia-oxidizing archaea (AOA) were more abundant in the forest H_1 and H_2

layers and less in the mineral soil and the L and F layers (H = 29.77, p = 0.00010)

(Figure 7). Ammonia-oxidizing bacteria (AOB) were present in considerable abundance

in the L and F layers, in addition to being prominent in FHc and H_2 (H = 29.38, p =

0.00012) (Figure 7). These groups were also abundant in the pasture L and F organic

layers. AOA was also abundant in the L and F layers (H = 14.46, p = 0.0023), while

AOB stood out in the L layer only (H = 12.60, p = 0.0056).

The *nirS* denitrification gene was more abundant in the H_2 layer of the forest,

making its presence quite different from the other layers (H = 25.05, p = 0.0007)

(Figure 7). In the pasture, *nirS* stood out in the F layer, which was significantly superior

to L and mineral and rhizospheric soils (H = 13.67, p = 0.0034). The *nosZ* gene was

more abundant in the layers FH, H_1, and H_2 of the forest, and its lowest values were

associated with mineral soil and organic layers L and F (H = 28.85, p = 0.0001) (Figure

7). In the pasture, *nosZ* stood out in the F layer and was less abundant in the mineral soil

and the L layer (H = 16.26, p = 0.0010).

4. Discussion

Little attention has been paid to the microbiota of organic materials commonly

found on the soil surface under forests and pastures in the tropics. Therefore, changes in

tropical soil microbial diversity due to land use and deforestation have been studied

with a focus on soil mineral horizons, disregarding the litter and its transformation

products, with rare exceptions (Ritter et al., 2018; Rocha et al., 2021). Here, an

alternative and holistic approach to address this topic is proposed. A similar approach

has been used to study microbial diversity in temperate forests (Trap et al., 2011) and

soil fauna focusing on humus forms (Izadi et al., 2017; Salmon, 2018; Salmon et al.,

2005), which represent the set of organic and organo-mineral layers in soil (Embrapa,

1997; Ponge, 2003).

In this work, we observe a clear gradient of microbial community structure along

the forest profile, following the stages of organic matter decomposition. Additionally,

the log-normal distribution was the underlying species distribution, implying the

existence of a hierarchical niche structure with several independent environmental

factors acting on species abundances (May, 1975; Sugihara, 1980). The bacterial species

are substituted along the vertical profile, indicating the decomposition nature of the

organic material as a critical factor defining community composition, with the different

layers constituting different micro-habitats and creating an ecocline, as shown by the

long species distribution gradients. High microbial diversity was observed in the H

layer, especially in what we defined as layer H_2. This layer consists largely of wet

organic material with little or no visible plant structure (except fine roots) because of the

action of the edaphic fauna and fungi, which transform the litter and make nutrients

available to plants and microorganisms. The high presence of fine roots in this layer has

already been reported as a mechanism of nutrient conservation in the Amazon Forest in

low-fertility soils (Herrera et al., 1978). As the litter is decomposed, the quality of the

substrate is modified, changing the parameters that lead to the differentiation of

microbial communities such as C:N and C:P ratios, moisture content, pH, and

proportions of cellulose, hemicellulose, and lignin (Brockett et al., 2012; Papa et al.,

2014; Uhl et al., 1988). Thus, these parameters act as ecological filters, likely resulting

in the functional compartmentalization of microbial communities. The higher $\delta^{13}C$ in

layers with highly decomposed organic matter and in the mineral soil suggests that the

recalcitrant material, such as lignin, seems not to stabilize in soil, as lignin is generally

depleted in $\delta^{13}C$ but will influence microbial diversity (Wedin et al., 1995). Microbial

diversity also seems related to the enriched $\delta^{13}C$ in rhizosphere soil compared to bulk

mineral soil in the pasture area. It is likely related to a more significant input of C_4-C

through decaying roots and exudates. Nonetheless, the resulting 30% more replacement

of forest carbon by pasture carbon can be attributable to shifts in microorganism

composition.

A gradient in community composition has also been observed in the pasture

floor, but with well-defined boundaries between litter and soil communities. Bacterial

diversity was especially high in the F layer and, surprisingly, equal to those of the

rhizospheric soil, a region considered a hotspot of microbial diversity and activity

(Hinsinger et al., 2009; Kuzyakov and Blagodatskaya, 2015; Steinauer et al., 2016).

However, these two compartments differed considerably as shown by their clear

difference in microbial community composition. This layer was noticeably wetter

compared to the underlying L layer, a factor that might have favored microbial diversity

and abundance (Brockett et al., 2012), and its decomposition also makes a large amount

of organic C available (Papa et al., 2014). There was considerable similarity between L

communities from both land uses, reinforcing the interpretation of an influence by the

nature of the substrate. Although the plant species compositions and diversity levels are

distinct, the nature of the material (plant vs. soil material) contributed to selecting

closely related species.

We tested if the pattern of higher alpha diversity of soil bacteria under pasture

and agriculture (Carvalho et al., 2016; Jesus et al., 2009; Navarrete et al., 2015;

Pedrinho et al., 2019; Rodrigues et al., 2013) would remain if the organic layers were

considered. The alpha diversity of the pasture floor was significantly higher than that of

the forest floor, supporting the pattern of higher soil bacterial diversity in pastures.

However, beta diversity was higher in the forest floor if compared to the pasture's

mineral soil as previously observed by Rocha et al. (2021). This highlights the

increment in diversity caused by adding the organic layers in these analyses. In fact,

comparing the diversity values of the mineral soil from both sites with those in the

forest floor and pasture floor, a considerable increment was observed at all diversity

scales for both land uses, except for the pasture's beta diversity. Thus, intrinsic diversity

in the organic layers is underestimated with the current collection methodology. No

significant difference was observed for beta diversity when comparing the mineral soils

from both areas. There is still no consensus on how land use change may affect soil beta

diversity and whether changes may be characteristic of the study site. Increases (

Carvalho et al., 2016; Rocha et al., 2021) and decreases (Rodrigues et al., 2013) in beta

diversity have already been observed in pastures in different regions of the Amazon.

Parameters such as pH and plant diversity have already been suggested as possible

predictors of beta diversity (Carvalho et al., 2016; Prober et al., 2015). When taking the

whole forest and pasture floors into account, no difference in beta diversity was

observed, although a higher effective number of communities was recorded in the

forest, especially when considering the ASV richness (q = 0). The increment in beta

diversity caused by the addition of the organic layers in the forest may indicate plant

diversity's influence on the heterogeneity of soil microbial communities (Mitchell et al.,

2010; Prober et al., 2015; Zinger et al., 2011). The gamma diversity was similar on both

floors and higher than the values found for their respective mineral soils. This similarity

in beta and gamma diversity values between the land use systems, although not

indicating a biotic homogenization as in Rodrigues et al. (2013) does not exclude the

possibility of reduction of essential microbial functions and ecosystem resilience

because, as previously discussed, the microbial community structure of the forest is

different from that observed in the pasture.

435   The enrichment in ^{15}N with the aging of organic material as observed here is a

natural process related to N transformation and losses (Högberg, 1997), indicating that

differences between forest and pasture floors should be relevant for N transformations.

For this reason, we focused on N-cycle microorganisms as a critical group for nutrient

cycling in these environments. Bacteria, Archaea, and key groups in the N cycle were

especially abundant in the organic layers in both land use systems. In the forest, the H_2

layer is associated with the highest absolute abundances of all functional genes, as is the

pasture's F layer (except for AOB, which is more abundant in L). These results indicate

that the significant N transformations may occur more intensively above the mineral

soil. It is recognized that gene quantification does not reflect the total biological

potential for N cycling. Still, as observed for microbial diversity, these layers stand out

as potential key compartments and therefore deserve full attention in microbial ecology

studies.

The litter mineralization, plant-microorganism interactions, and the interaction

among the key groups of the N-cycle may have been important factors for the

enrichment of functional genes in these organic layers. The high abundance of

diazotrophs, AOA, and AOB in the organic layers may also be related to the presence of

these groups in the phyllosphere of the forest canopy and pasture plants, where the

occurrence of free-living nitrogen fixation and nitrification has also been detected

(Fürnkranz et al., 2008; Guerrieri et al., 2020, 2015; Moreira et al., 2021; Papen et al.,

2002; Stanton et al., 2019; Van Langenhove et al., 2020; Watanabe et al., 2016). In this

way, N transformations in the plant canopy also represent a critical unquantified above-

ground compartment and act as a constant microbial inoculum for the litter. In the

Amazon, free-living nitrogen fixation has already been observed as higher in litter than

in mineral soil (Moreira et al., 2021), although these rates are still controversial for

other environments(Reed et al., 2008; Van Langenhove et al., 2021, 2020). Regarding

nitrification, studies that relate this process to litter are rare (Adams, 1986). However, it

is known that some grasses can emit N_2O from their leaves, probably through the

transport of gas from the soil (Bowatte et al., 2014). In turn, AOB have already been

observed emitting N_2O in pasture leaves (Bowatte et al., 2014). Given this, if AOA and

AOB abundances are higher in organic layers, greenhouse gas emission rates may be

underestimated by excluding litter in both agricultural and pasture systems.

Low O_2 concentration, readily available organic compounds as an energy source

and the presence of nitrate are factors that favor denitrification (Galland et al., 2019).

These factors can be found in the rhizosphere (Achouak et al., 2019). However,

interestingly, in the pasture, *nirS* and *nosZ* were more abundant in the litter, which could

also influence greenhouse gas emissions. The highest *nirS/nosZ* ratios (Supplementary

Figure 8) were related to the layers composed of leaves in both environments, indicating

that the litter may be responsible for higher N_2O emissions via denitrification compared

to the other soil layers. N_2O emissions have already been correlated with *nirS*

abundance (Morales et al., 2010; Rasche et al., 2010).

5. Conclusion

Soil organic layers both in the forest and pasture harbor considerable bacterial

diversity, and their exclusion from soil biodiversity studies can underestimate the

diversity of relevant bacterial groups contributing for nutrient cycling in natural and

anthropized environments. These layers also harbor abundant populations of

microorganisms of the N cycle, reinforcing their potential contribution for nutrient

cycling in the soil. We suggest that more attention should be paid to these organic layers

in soil microbial ecology studies since they are undoubtedly fundamental for

maintaining these ecosystems.

Acknowledgments:

We acknowledge the USAID and the National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and

Medicine of the United States (NAS) for funding our research under PEER project 4-299,

USAID agreement AID-OAA-A-11-00012. Any opinions, findings, conclusions, or

recommendations expressed here are those of the authors alone and do not necessarily

reflect the views of USAID or the NAS. We also thank the Coordination of Superior

Level Improvement – Capes (finance code 001) for the research fellowship provided to

Priscila Pereira Diniz, and CNPq for the research fellowships provided to Ederson da

Conceição Jesus (project 312781/2022-9) and Fernando Igne Rocha (165571/2017-9).

Finally, we thank Mr. João Balieiro de Souza Aragão and Mrs. Maria Mirtes Lopes da

Silva, who provided us access to the sampling sites and kindly supported us with our

sampling.

Competing Interests: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Data Availability Statement: The raw sequence data is available in the SRA database

of Genbank with the accession number PRJNA927008. The raw metadata used for

statistical analysis are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

References

Achouak, W., Abrouk, D., Guyonnet, J., Barakat, M., Ortet, P., Simon, L., Lerondelle,

C., Heulin, T., El Zahar Haichar, F., 2019. Plant hosts control microbial

denitrification activity. FEMS Microbiol. Ecol. 95, 21.

https://doi.org/10.1093/FEMSEC/FIZ021

Adams, J.A., 1986. Nitrification and ammonification in acid forest litter and humus as

affected by peptone and ammonium-n amendment. Soil Biol. Biochem. 18, 45–51.

https://doi.org/10.1016/0038-0717(86)90102-1

Anderson, M.J., 2001. A new method for non-parametric multivariate analysis of

variance. Austral Ecol. 26, 32–46. https://doi.org/10.1111/J.1442-

9993.2001.01070.PP.X

Baldrian, P., Kolaiřík, M., Štursová, M., Kopecký, J., Valášková, V., Větrovský, T.,

Žifčáková, L., Šnajdr, J., Rídl, J., Vlček, Č., Voříšková, J., 2012. Active and total

microbial communities in forest soil are largely different and highly stratified

during decomposition. ISME J. 6, 248–258. https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2011.95

Bowatte, S., Newton, P.C.D., Brock, S., Theobald, P., Luo, D., 2014. Bacteria on

leaves: a previously unrecognised source of N2O in grazed pastures. ISME J. 2015

91 9, 265–267. https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2014.118

Brockett, B.F.T., Prescott, C.E., Grayston, S.J., 2012. Soil moisture is the major factor

influencing microbial community structure and enzyme activities across seven

biogeoclimatic zones in western Canada. Soil Biol. Biochem. 44, 9–20.

https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SOILBIO.2011.09.003

Callahan, B.J., McMurdie, P.J., Rosen, M.J., Han, A.W., Johnson, A.J.A., Holmes, S.P.,

2016. DADA2: High-resolution sample inference from Illumina amplicon data.

Nat. Methods 13, 581–583. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.3869

Caporaso, J.G., Lauber, C.L., Walters, W.A., Berg-Lyons, D., Lozupone, C.A.,

Turnbaugh, P.J., Fierer, N., Knight, R., 2011. Global patterns of 16S rRNA

diversity at a depth of millions of sequences per sample. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.

S. A. 108, 4516–4522.

Carvalho, T.S. de, Jesus, E. da C., Barlow, J., Gardner, T.A., Soares, I.C., Tiedje, J.M.,

Moreira, F.M. de S., 2016. Land use intensification in the humid tropics increased

both alpha and beta diversity of soil bacteria. Ecology 97, 2760–2771.

https://doi.org/10.1002/ECY.1513

Chao, A., Chiu, C.H., Jost, L., 2014. Unifying Species Diversity, Phylogenetic

Diversity, Functional Diversity, and Related Similarity and Differentiation

Measures Through Hill Numbers. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-ecolsys-120213-

091540 45, 297–324. https://doi.org/10.1146/ANNUREV-ECOLSYS-120213-

091540

Chapman, S.K., Newman, G.S., 2009. Biodiversity at the plant–soil interface: microbial

abundance and community structure respond to litter mixing. Oecologia 162, 763–

769. https://doi.org/10.1007/S00442-009-1498-3

Daly, A.J., Baetens, J.M., De Baets, B., 2018. Ecological Diversity: Measuring the

Unmeasurable. Math, 6, 119. https://doi.org/10.3390/MATH6070119

Empresa Brasileira de Pesquisa Agropecuária – EMBRAPA. 1997. Manual de métodos

de análise de solo. 2sd ed. Centro Nacional de Pesquisas de Solos, Rio de Janeiro.

Fürnkranz, M., Wanek, W., Richter, A., Abell, G., Rasche, F., Sessitsch, A., 2008.

Nitrogen fixation by phyllosphere bacteria associated with higher plants and their

colonizing epiphytes of a tropical lowland rainforest of Costa Rica. ISME J. 2008

25 2, 561–570. https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2008.14

Galland, W., Piola, F., Burlet, A., Mathieu, C., Nardy, M., Poussineau, S., Blazère, L.,

Gervaix, J., Puijalon, S., Simon, L., Haichar, F. el Z., 2019. Biological

denitrification inhibition (BDI) in the field: A strategy to improve plant nutrition

and growth. Soil Biol. Biochem. 136, 107513.

https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SOILBIO.2019.06.009

Guerrieri, R., Lecha, L., Mattana, S., Cáliz, J., Casamayor, E.O., Barceló, A., Michalski,

G., Peñuelas, J., Avila, A., Mencuccini, M., 2020. Partitioning between

atmospheric deposition and canopy microbial nitrification into throughfall nitrate

fluxes in a Mediterranean forest. J. Ecol. 108, 626–640.

https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2745.13288

Guerrieri, R., Vanguelova, E.I., Michalski, G., Heaton, T.H.E., Mencuccini, M., 2015.

Isotopic evidence for the occurrence of biological nitrification and nitrogen

deposition processing in forest canopies. Glob. Chang. Biol. 21, 4613–4626.

https://doi.org/10.1111/GCB.13018

Herrera, R., Klinge, H., Medina, E., 1978. Amazon ecosystems: their structure and

functioning with particular emphasis on nutrients. Interciencia 3, 223–231.

Hinsinger, P., Bengough, A.G., Vetterlein, D., Young, I.M., 2009. Rhizosphere:

biophysics, biogeochemistry and ecological relevance. Plant Soil 321, 117–152.

https://doi.org/10.1007/S11104-008-9885-9

Högberg, P., 1997. Tansley Review No. 95 15N natural abundance in soil–plant

systems. New Phytol. 137, 179–203. https://doi.org/10.1046/J.1469-

8137.1997.00808.X

Izadi, M., Habashi, H., Waez-Mousavi, S.M., 2017. Variation in soil macro-fauna

diversity in seven humus orders of a Parrotio-Carpinetum forest association on

Chromic Cambisols of Shast-klateh area in Iran. Eurasian Soil Sci. 50, 341–349.

https://doi.org/10.1134/S106422931703005X

Jesus, E.D.C., Marsh, T.L., Tiedje, J.M., Moreira, F.M.D.S., 2009. Changes in land use

alter the structure of bacterial communities in Western Amazon soils. ISME J 3,

1004–1011. https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2009.47

Jordan, C.F., Herrera, R., 1981. Tropical Rain Forests: Are Nutrients Really Critical?

The America n Naturalist. 17:7–13. https://doi.org/10.1086/283696

Jost, L., 2006. Entropy and diversity. Oikos 113, 363–375.

https://doi.org/10.1111/J.2006.0030-1299.14714.X

Kauffman, J.B., Cummings, D.L., Ward, D.E., Babbitt, R., 1995. Fire in the Brazilian

Amazon: 1. Biomass, nutrient pools, and losses in slashed primary forests.

Oecologia 104, 397–408. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00341336

Khan, M.A.W., Bohannan, B.J.M., Nüsslein, K., Tiedje, J.M., Tringe, S.G., Parlade, E.,

Barberán, A., Rodrigues, J.L.M., 2019. Deforestation impacts network co-

occurrence patterns of microbial communities in Amazon soils. FEMS Microbiol.

Ecol. 95. https://doi.org/10.1093/FEMSEC/FIY230

Kroeger, M.E., Delmont, T.O., Eren, A.M., Meyer, K.M., Guo, J., Khan, K., Rodrigues,

J.L.M., Bohannan, B.J.M., Tringe, S.G., Borges, C.D., Tiedje, J.M., Tsai, S.M.,

Nüsslein, K., 2018. New biological insights into how deforestation in amazonia

affects soil microbial communities using metagenomics and metagenome-

assembled genomes. Front. Microbiol. 9, 1635.

https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2018.01635

Kuzyakov, Y., Blagodatskaya, E., 2015. Microbial hotspots and hot moments in soil:

Concept & review. Soil Biol. Biochem. 83, 184–199.

https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SOILBIO.2015.01.025

López-Mondéjar, R., Voříšková, J., Větrovský, T., Baldrian, P., 2015. The bacterial

community inhabiting temperate deciduous forests is vertically stratified and

undergoes seasonal dynamics. Soil Biol. Biochem. 87, 43–50.

https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SOILBIO.2015.04.008

Maintainer, E.A., Arnhold, E., 2022. Package "easyanova" Title Analysis of Variance

and Other Important Complementary Analyses.

Marcon, E., Hérault, B., 2015. entropart: An R Package to Measure and Partition

Diversity. J. Stat. Softw. 67, 1–26. https://doi.org/10.18637/JSS.V067.I08

May, R.M., 1975. Patterns of species abundance and diversity, in: University, H. (Ed.),

Ecology and Evolution of Communities. Cambridge 81–120.

McMurdie, P.J., Holmes, S., 2013. Phyloseq: An R Package for Reproducible

Interactive Analysis and Graphics of Microbiome Census Data. PLoS One 8,

e61217. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0061217

Mendes, L.W., Tsai, S.M., Navarrete, A.A., de Hollander, M., van Veen, J.A.,

Kuramae, E.E., 2015. Soil-Borne Microbiome: Linking Diversity to Function.

Microb. Ecol. 70, 255–265. https://doi.org/10.1007/S00248-014-0559-2

Mendiburu, F. 2021. Package 'agricolae' version 1.3-5.

Merloti, L.F., Mendes, L.W., Pedrinho, A., de Souza, L.F., Ferrari, B.M., Tsai, S.M.,

2019. Forest-to-agriculture conversion in Amazon drives soil microbial

communities and N-cycle. Soil Biol. Biochem. 137, 107567.

https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SOILBIO.2019.107567

Mitchell, R.J., Hester, A.J., Campbell, C.D., Chapman, S.J., Cameron, C.M., Hewison,

R.L., Potts, J.M., 2010. Is vegetation composition or soil chemistry the best

predictor of the soil microbial community? Plant Soil 333, 417–430.

https://doi.org/10.1007/S11104-010-0357-7

Morales, S.E., Cosart, T., Holben, W.E., 2010. Bacterial gene abundances as indicators

of greenhouse gas emission in soils. ISME J. 4, 799–808.

https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2010.8

Moreira, J.C.F., Brum, M., de Almeida, L.C., Barrera-Berdugo, S., de Souza, A.A., de

Camargo, P.B., Oliveira, R.S., Alves, L.F., Rosado, B.H.P., Lambais, M.R., 2021.

Asymbiotic nitrogen fixation in the phyllosphere of the Amazon forest: Changing

nitrogen cycle paradigms. Sci. Total Environ. 773, 145066.

https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SCITOTENV.2021.145066

Mueller, R.C., Paula, F.S., Mirza, B.S., Rodrigues, J.L., Nüsslein, K, Bohannan, B.J.,

2014. Links between plant and fungal communities across a deforestation

chronosequence in the Amazon rainforest. ISME J. 8, 1548–1550.

https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2013.253

Navarrete, A.A., Taketani, R.G., Mendes, L.W., Cannavan, F.S., Moreira, F.M.S., Tsai,

S.M., 2011. Land-use systems affect archaeal community structure and functional

diversity in western amazon soils. Rev. Bras. Cienc. do Solo 35, 1527–1540.

https://doi.org/10.1590/s0100-06832011000500007

Navarrete, A.A., Tsai, S.M., Mendes, L.W., Faust, K., De Hollander, M., Cassman,

N.A., Raes, J., Van Veen, J.A., Kuramae, E.E., 2015. Soil microbiome responses to

the short-term effects of Amazonian deforestation. Mol. Ecol. 24, 2433–2448.

https://doi.org/10.1111/MEC.13172

Oksanen, J., Kindt, R., O', B., Maintainer, H., 2005. The vegan Package Title

Community Ecology Package.

Papa, S., Cembrola, E., Pellegrino, A., Fuggi, A., Fioretto, A., 2014. Microbial enzyme

activities, fungal biomass and quality of the litter and upper soil layer in a beech

forest of south Italy. Eur. J. Soil Sci. 65, 274–285.

https://doi.org/10.1111/EJSS.12112

Papen, H., Geßler, A., Zumbusch, E., Rennenberg, H., 2002. Chemolithoautotrophic

Nitrifiers in the Phyllosphere of a Spruce Ecosystem Receiving High Atmospheric

Nitrogen Input. Curr. Microbiol. 44, 56–60. https://doi.org/10.1007/S00284-001-

0074-9

Paula, F.S., Rodrigues, J.L.M., Zhou, J., Wu, L., Mueller, R.C., Mirza, B.S., Bohannan,

B.J.M., Nüsslein, K., Deng, Y., Tiedje, J.M., Pellizari, V.H., 2014. Land use

change alters functional gene diversity, composition and abundance in Amazon

forest soil microbial communities. Mol. Ecol. 23, 2988–2999.

https://doi.org/10.1111/MEC.12786

Pedrinho, A., Mendes, L.W., Merloti, L.F., Fonseca, M.C., Cannavan, F.S., Tsai, S.M.,

2019. Forest-to-pasture conversion and recovery based on assessment of microbial

communities in Eastern Amazon rainforest. FEMS Microbiol. Ecol. 95, 236.

https://doi.org/10.1093/FEMSEC/FIY236

Pei, Z., Leppert, K.N., Eichenberg, D., Bruelheide, H., Niklaus, P.A., Buscot, F.,

Gutknecht, J.L.M., 2017. Leaf litter diversity alters microbial activity, microbial

671 abundances, and nutrient cycling in a subtropical forest ecosystem. Biogeochem.

672 134, 163–181. https://doi.org/10.1007/S10533-017-0353-6

673 Petersen, I.A.B., Meyer, K.M., Bohannan, B.J.M., 2019. Meta-Analysis Reveals

674 Consistent Bacterial Responses to Land Use Change Across the Tropics. Front.

675 Ecol. Evol. 7, 391. https://doi.org/10.3389/FEVO.2019.00391/BIBTEX

676 Ponge, J.F., 2003. Humus forms in terrestrial ecosystems: a framework to biodiversity.

677 Soil Biol. Biochem. 35, 935–945. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0038-0717(03)00149-4

678 Prescott, C.E., Grayston, S.J., 2013. Tree species influence on microbial communities in

679 litter and soil: Current knowledge and research needs. For. Ecol. Manage. 309, 19–

680 27. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2013.02.034

681 Prober, S.M., Leff, J.W., Bates, S.T., Borer, E.T., Firn, J., Harpole, W.S., Lind, E.M.,

682 Seabloom, E.W., Adler, P.B., Bakker, J.D., Cleland, E.E., Decrappeo, N.M.,

683 Delorenze, E., Hagenah, N., Hautier, Y., Hofmockel, K.S., Kirkman, K.P., Knops,

684 J.M.H., La Pierre, K.J., Macdougall, A.S., Mcculley, R.L., Mitchell, C.E., Risch,

685 A.C., Schuetz, M., Stevens, C.J., Williams, R.J., Fierer, N., 2015. Plant diversity

686 predicts beta but not alpha diversity of soil microbes across grasslands worldwide.

687 Ecol. Lett. 18, 85–95. https://doi.org/10.1111/ELE.12381

688 Quast, C., Pruesse, E., Yilmaz, P., Gerken, J., Schweer, T., Yarza, P., Peplies, J.,

689 Glöckner, F.O., 2013. The SILVA ribosomal RNA gene database project:

690 improved data processing and web-based tools. Nucleic Acids Res. 41, D590–

691 D596. https://doi.org/10.1093/NAR/GKS1219

692 Quesada, C.A., Lloyd, J., Anderson, L.O., Fyllas, N.M., Schwarz, M., Czimczik, C.I.,

693 2011. Soils of Amazonia with particular reference to the RAINFOR sites.

694 Biogeosciences 8, 1415–1440. https://doi.org/10.5194/BG-8-1415-2011

Rasche, F., Knapp, D., Kaiser, C., Koranda, M., Kitzler, B., Zechmeister-Boltenstern,

S., Richter, A., Sessitsch, A., 2010. Seasonality and resource availability control

bacterial and archaeal communities in soils of a temperate beech forest. ISME J.

2011 53 5, 389–402. https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2010.138

Reed, S.C., Cleveland, C.C., Townsend, A.R., 2008. TREE SPECIES CONTROL

RATES OF FREE-LIVING NITROGEN FIXATION IN A TROPICAL RAIN

FOREST. Ecology 89, 2924–2934. https://doi.org/10.1890/07-1430.1

Ritter, C.D., Zizka, A., Roger, F., Tuomisto, H., Barnes, C., Nilsson, R.H., Antonelli,

A., 2018. High-throughput metabarcoding reveals the effect of physicochemical

soil properties on soil and litter biodiversity and community turnover across

Amazonia. PeerJ 2018, e5661. https://doi.org/10.7717/PEERJ.5661/SUPP-10

Rocha, F.I., Ribeiro, T.G., Fontes, M.A., Schwab, S., Coelho, M.R.R., Lumbreras, J.F.,

da Motta, P.E.F., Teixeira, W.G., Cole, J., Borsanelli, A.C., Dutra, I. dos S., Howe,

A., de Oliveira, A.P., Jesus, E. da C., 2021c. Land-Use System and Forest Floor

Explain Prokaryotic Metacommunity Structuring and Spatial Turnover in

Amazonian Forest-to-Pasture Conversion Areas. Front. Microbiol. 12, 909.

https://doi.org/10.3389/FMICB.2021.657508/BIBTEX

Rodrigues, J.L.M., Pellizari, V.H., Mueller, R., Baek, K., Jesus, E.C., Paula, F.S.,

Mirza, B., Hamaou, G.S., Tsai, S.M., Feiglf, B., Tiedje, J.M., Bohannan, B.J.M.,

Nuŝslein, K., 2013a. Conversion of the Amazon rainforest to agriculture results in

biotic homogenization of soil bacterial communities. PNAS 110, 988–993.

https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1220608110

Salmon, S., 2018. Changes in humus forms, soil invertebrate communities and soil

functioning with forest dynamics. Appl. Soil Ecol. 123, 345–354.

https://doi.org/10.1016/J.APSOIL.2017.04.010

Salmon, S., Geoffroy, J.J., Ponge, J.F., 2005. Earthworms and collembola relationships:

effects of predatory centipedes and humus forms. Soil Biol. Biochem. 37, 487–

495. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SOILBIO.2004.08.011

Santonja, M., Foucault, Q., Rancon, A., Gauquelin, T., Fernandez, C., Baldy, V.,

Mirleau, P., 2018. Contrasting responses of bacterial and fungal communities to

plant litter diversity in a Mediterranean oak forest. Soil Biol. Biochem. 125, 27–36.

https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SOILBIO.2018.06.020

Santos, A.R., Nelson, B.W., 2013. Leaf decomposition and fine fuels in floodplain

forests of the Rio Negro in the Brazilian Amazon. J. Trop. Ecol. 29, 455–458.

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0266467413000485

Santos, R.D., Jacomine, P.T.K., Anjos, L.H.C., Oliveira, V.A., Lumbreras, J.F., Coelho,

M.R., Cunha, T.J.F., Oliveira, J.B., 2018. Sistema Brasileiro de Classificação de

Solos, 5th ed. Brasília, DF.

Souza, C.M., Shimbo, J.Z., Rosa, M.R., Parente, L.L., Alencar, A.A., Rudorff, B.F.T.,

Hasenack, H., Matsumoto, M., Ferreira, L.G., Souza-Filho, P.W.M., de Oliveira,

S.W., Rocha, W.F., Fonseca, A. V., Marques, C.B., Diniz, C.G., Costa, D.,

Monteiro, D., Rosa, E.R., Vélez-Martin, E., Weber, E.J., Lenti, F.E.B., Paternost,

F.F., Pareyn, F.G.C., Siqueira, J. V., Viera, J.L., Neto, L.C.F., Saraiva, M.M.,

Sales, M.H., Salgado, M.P.G., Vasconcelos, R., Galano, S., Mesquita, V. V.,

Azevedo, T., 2020. Reconstructing three decades of land use and land cover

changes in brazilian biomes with landsat archive and earth engine. Remote Sens.

12, 2735. https://doi.org/10.3390/RS12172735

Stanton, D.E., Batterman, S.A., Von Fischer, J.C., Hedin, L.O., 2019. Rapid nitrogen

fixation by canopy microbiome in tropical forest determined by both phosphorus

and molybdenum. Ecology 100, e02795. https://doi.org/10.1002/ECY.2795

Stark, N.M., Jordan, C.F., 1978. Nutrient Retention by the Root Mat of an Amazonian

Rain Forest. Ecology 59, 434–437. https://doi.org/10.2307/1936571

Steinauer, K., Chatzinotas, A., Eisenhauer, N., 2016. Root exudate cocktails: the link

between plant diversity and soil microorganisms? Ecol. Evol. 6, 7387–7396.

https://doi.org/10.1002/ECE3.2454

Sugihara, G., 1980. Minimal Community Structure: An Explanation of Species

Abundance Patterns. https://doi.org/10.1086/283669 116, 770–787.

https://doi.org/10.1086/283669

Thompson, L.R., Sanders, J.G., McDonald, D. et al. 2017. A communal catalogue

reveals Earth's multiscale microbial diversity. Nature 551, 457–463.

https://doi.org/10.1038/nature24621

Trap, J., Laval, K., Akpa-Vinceslas, M., Gangneux, C., Bureau, F., Decaëns, T., Aubert,

M., 2011. Humus macro-morphology and soil microbial community changes along

a 130-yr-old Fagus sylvatica chronosequence. Soil Biol. Biochem. 43, 1553–1562.

https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SOILBIO.2011.04.005

Uhl, C., Kauffman, J.B., Cummings, D.L., 1988. Fire in the Venezuelan Amazon 2:

Environmental Conditions Necessary for Forest Fires in the Evergreen Rainforest

of Venezuela. Oikos 53, 176. https://doi.org/10.2307/3566060

Van Langenhove, L., Depaepe, T., Verryckt, L.T., Fuchslueger, L., Donald, J., Leroy,

C., Krishna Moorthy, S.M., Gargallo-Garriga, A., Ellwood, M.D.F., Verbeeck, H.,

Van Der Straeten, D., Peñuelas, J., Janssens, I.A., 2021. Comparable canopy and

soil free-living nitrogen fixation rates in a lowland tropical forest. Sci. Total

Environ. 754, 142202. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SCITOTENV.2020.142202

Van Langenhove, L., Depaepe, T., Vicca, S., van den Berge, J., Stahl, C., Courtois, E.,

Weedon, J., Urbina, I., Grau, O., Asensio, D., Peñuelas, J., Boeckx, P., Richter, A.,

Van Der Straeten, D., Janssens, I.A., 2020. Regulation of nitrogen fixation from

free-living organisms in soil and leaf litter of two tropical forests of the Guiana

shield. Plant Soil 450, 93–110.

Watanabe, K., Kohzu, A., Suda, W., Yamamura, S., Takamatsu, T., Takenaka, A.,

Koshikawa, M.K., Hayashi, S., Watanabe, M., 2016. Microbial nitrification in

throughfall of a Japanese cedar associated with archaea from the tree canopy.

Springerplus 5, 1–15.

Wedin, D.A., Tieszen, L.L., Dewey, B., Pastor, J., 1995. Carbon Isotope Dynamics

During Grass Decomposition and Soil Organic Matter Formation. Ecology 76,

1383–1392. https://doi.org/10.2307/1938142

Zheng, H., Chen, Y., Liu, Y., Zhang, J., Yang, W., Yang, L., Li, H., Wang, L., Wu, F.,

Guo, L., 2018. Litter quality drives the differentiation of microbial communities in

the litter horizon across an alpine treeline ecotone in the eastern Tibetan Plateau.

Sci. Reports 8, 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-28150-1

Zinger, L., Lejon, D.P.H., Baptist, F., Bouasria, A., Aubert, S., Geremia, R.A., Choler,

P., 2011. Contrasting Diversity Patterns of Crenarchaeal, Bacterial and Fungal Soil

Communities in an Alpine Landscape. PLoS One 6, e19950.

https://doi.org/10.1371/JOURNAL.PONE.0019950

Figure 1 – Sampling of organic layers and mineral soil from the forest and pasture with

the aid of a metallic template.

Figure 2 – Non-metric multidimensional scaling analysis (NMDS) of bacterial

communities from the organic and organomineral layers of forest and pasture (A); soil

profile photo under forest (B) and pasture (C).

Figure 3 – Best-fit of abundance species models to bacterial communities in the forest

(top) and pasture (bottom) floors.

Figure 4 – Relative abundance of bacterial phyla (A) and (B) genera in organic layers at

different stages of decomposition and mineral soil of forest and pasture. L entire leaves;

F, fragmented leaves; FH, fine fragments of the mixture of fragmented leaves and

humus; FHc, fragments greater than 2 mm from the mixture of fragmented leaves and

humus; H_1, humus with fine roots; H_2, humus; H_3, humus mixed with soil mineral

material; Rhizo, rhizospheric soil; Soil, initial 10 cm of mineral soil from forest or

pasture.

Figure 5 – Chao1 richness and Shannon index of bacterial communities in organic

layers in different stages of decomposition and mineral soil (A and D, respectively) of

forest, (B, E) pasture and (C, F) total land use systems. Error bars indicate standard

deviation of five independent replicates. Different letters refer to the statistical

difference between organic layers and mineral soil or land use system based on the

Kruskal Wallis test ($P < 0.05$). L, entire leaves; F, fragmented leaves; FH, fine

fragments of the mixture of fragmented leaves and humus; FHc, fragments greater than

2 mm from the mixture of fragmented leaves and humus; H_1, humus with fine roots; H_2,

humus; H_3, humus mixed with soil mineral material; Rhizo, rhizospheric soil; Soil,

initial 10 cm of mineral soil from forest or pasture.

Figure 6 – Diversity partition analysis evaluating alpha, beta, and gamma diversities for

bacterial communities in forest and pasture, in which q = 0 represents the ASV richness;

q = 1, the exponential of Shannon entropy for equally weighted ASVs; q = 2, the

inverse of Simpson's index for dominant rates. The forest floor pasture floors represent

the analysis of all layers of the use system together (organic and organominerals); L,

entire leaves; F, fragmented leaves; FH, fine and coarse fragments of the mixture of

fragmented leaves and humus; H, junction of layers H_1 (humus with fine roots), H_2

(humus) and H_3 (humus mixed with soil mineral material); Rhizo, rhizospheric soil;

Soil, initial 10 cm of mineral soil from forest or pasture.

Figure 7 – Abundance of Bacteria and Archaea (16S rRNA gene), N_2-fixing bacteria

(*nifH*) , ammonia-oxidizing bacteria and archaea (*amoA*), and denitrifying bacteria (*nirS*

and *nosZ*) in organic layers at different stages of decomposition and in the mineral soil

of the forest and pasture. Error bars indicate standard deviation of five independent

replicates. Different letters refer to the statistical difference between organic layers and

mineral soil of the same land use system based on the Kruskal Wallis test (P < 0.05). L

entire leaves; F, fragmented leaves; FH, fine fragments of the mixture of fragmented

leaves and humus; FHc, fragments greater than 2 mm from the mixture of fragmented

leaves and humus; H_1, humus with fine roots; H_2, humus; H_3, humus mixed with soil

mineral material; Rhizo, rhizospheric soil; Soil, initial 10 cm of mineral soil from forest

or pasture.

Forest layers

L | F | FH | H₁
H₂ | H₃ | Soil

Pasture layers

L | F | Soil

A

B Vertical profile in the forest

C Vertical profile in the pasture

Forest Layers L F FH FHc H₁ H₂ H₃ Soil

Pasture Layers L F Rhizo Soil