Movements and habitat selection of a marsupial carnivore in a modified

landscape

Abstract

Landscape modification is a major threat to carnivores worldwide, but modified landscapes

can also provide important habitat for these species, as protected areas alone are insufficient.

Understanding how carnivores use modified landscapes, such as production forests, can

inform management strategies to improve the value of these landscapes to carnivores. Little is

known about habitat selection by marsupial carnivores in production forests, where they

occupy a similar ecological niche to their more well-studied eutherian counterparts. We used

GPS tracking, Hidden Markov Models, and Manly's selection ratios to identify the habitat

selected in 3 behavioral states by the largest marsupial carnivore, the Tasmanian devil

(*Sarcophilus harrisii*), in a timber plantation-dominated landscape. Behavioral states were

approximated as: state 1, resting or feeding at a carcass; state 2, foraging; and state 3, travel.

Devils did not show preferences for any of native forest, native grassland, and plantation in

any behavioral state. Within plantations, devils preferred a plantation age of 4–7 years

(selection ratio [wi] = 1.52). Devils preferred roads (state 1: wi = 2.71, state 2: wi = 2.48,

state 3: wi = 2.97) and plantation edges (state 1: wi = 2.38, state 2: wi = 2.24, state 3: wi =

2.78) in all behavioral states, and moved faster on roads and edges than away from them.

Together, our results indicate devils use road and edges for foraging (scavenging and

hunting) and travel. No measured habitat variables influenced devil home range size. To

support devils in plantation landscapes, we recommend maintaining a heterogeneous

landscape of different plantation ages and native remnants and reducing the risk of vehicle

collisions by minimizing forestry traffic at night. Tasmanian devils share similar adaptable

traits to generalist eutherian carnivore species in their use of modified landscapes. Plantations

can provide valuable habitat for this and other threatened predator species.

Introduction

With the ongoing global loss of untouched forests (Curtis et al., 2018), there is increasing

focus on finding strategies to preserve and enhance biodiversity in human-modified

landscapes (Brockerhoff et al., 2008). Modified habitats such as production forests can make

an important contribution to maintaining forest ecosystems, as protected areas alone are

insufficient (Hayes and Ostrom, 2005). For instance, replacing native forests with timber

plantations (henceforth 'plantations') negatively affects forest biodiversity (Kanowski et al.,

2005; Wang et al., 2022), but plantations often contain higher biodiversity than other land

uses such as agriculture, and can decrease harvesting pressure on native forest (Brockerhoff

et al., 2008). Conservation strategies in production forests should be informed by ecological

research to maximize effectiveness and cost-efficiency (Hartley, 2002).

Carnivores are especially sensitive to modified landscapes (Ripple et al., 2014) and

knowledge of their habitat use within these landscapes can inform practices to sustain their

populations. This is particularly important as carnivores have key ecological effects such as

limiting populations of prey and smaller predators (Ritchie and Johnson, 2009), effects that

are dampened in modified landscapes due to low densities of carnivores (Kuijper et al.,

2016). Improving carnivore conservation in anthropogenic landscapes such as production

forests can therefore benefit entire ecosystems in these landscapes. Carnivore species can

differ markedly in both their habitat selection within plantations (Lantschner et al., 2012;

Moreira-Arce et al., 2016) and their responses to forestry operations (Escudero-Páez et al.,

2018). While the use of production forests by eutherian carnivores has been well studied

(Ferreira et al., 2018), little is known about the use of these landscapes by marsupial

carnivores, which occupy a similar ecological niche and face comparable threats from habitat

loss (Jones & Barmuta, 2000; McGregor et al., 2014).

As well as displaying broad preferences among habitats, carnivores may also show finer scale

differences in the habitats used for different behaviors, such as travel, foraging and denning

(Houle et al., 2010). These behaviors can be investigated by looking at an animal's

movement paths – for instance, tortuous movements may indicate foraging (such as searching

for prey or carrion) (Fuller and Harrison, 2010), while more directional movement may

indicate travel (Andersen et al., 2017a). Understanding carnivore movements within these

landscapes can help elucidate these behaviors and highlight important habitat characteristics

(Fuller and Harrison, 2010). Animals often change their movements in response to altered

forest structure (Moriarty et al., 2016). Habitat features such as windrows (linear piles of

logging debris) (Sullivan and Sullivan, 2014) and corridors (MacDonald, 2003) can facilitate

animal movement across production forest landscapes, and plantations can connect patches of

native forest (Lyra-Jorge et al., 2008). Anthropogenic linear features in production forest

landscapes, such as roads and edges between natural and modified vegetation, can be used by

carnivores for travel and hunting (Bojarska et al., 2017; Gurarie et al., 2011) but also expose

them to threats such as vehicle collisions (Jones, 2000). Investigating how carnivores move

through production forestry landscapes, and the behaviors influencing habitat selection, can

inform management strategies to promote important habitat features in the landscape.

With plantation forest cover in Australia increasing, fauna conservation is an important

consideration in plantation management (Lindenmayer and Hobbs, 2004). This is particularly

relevant in Tasmania where plantations comprise nearly 10% of total forest cover (Forest

Practices Authority, 2017), and thus have significant potential to supplement native forest

habitats and contribute to maintaining ecological function of the broader Tasmanian forest

ecosystems if managed with conservation in mind.

Tasmania is home to the world's largest extant marsupial carnivore, the Tasmanian devil.

Devils are endangered due to a rare transmissible cancer, devil facial tumor disease (DFTD)

(Hawkins et al., 2008), that has caused a steep population decline of over 80% since 1996

(Cunningham et al., 2021), leaving them vulnerable to other threats. Devils play multiple

important roles in Tasmanian ecosystems: as morphologically specialized scavengers, they

influence carrion persistence in the landscape (Cunningham et al., 2018), and as top

predators, they help maintain trophic function and suppress feral cats *Felis catus*

(Cunningham et al., 2020). Finding ways to protect devils in human-modified landscapes is a

priority to maintain ecosystem function. Previous research investigated devil use of

anthropogenic pastoral landscapes, finding that devils foraged along the pasture-forest

interface and used pastures mainly for travel (Andersen et al., 2017a). Another study found

devil abundance increases with plantation extent in production forest landscapes (Jones et al.,

2023). Movements and habitat selection by devils in plantations have not been studied.

Our study provides the first analysis of marsupial carnivore habitat selection and movements

within plantations. We used GPS tracking to explore the use of a plantation landscape by

devils, with the intent to provide recommendations for plantation managers to sustain devil

populations. We focused mainly on adult female devils, a key demographic to protect in

critically diminished populations, particularly when they are raising young (April to January)

(Bryant, 1988; Pemberton, 1990). We aimed to answer 4 questions:

1. At a plantation landscape scale, do devils select among different habitat types for

different behaviors?

2. How do different habitats within plantation landscapes influence devil home range

sizes?

3. At a plantation-specific scale, how do female devils select among plantation ages?

4. What features of plantations do devils use to move through the landscape?

This research complements similar studies on eutherian carnivores, enhancing our

understanding of the use of production forests by carnivores and informing improved

carnivore management in modified landscapes.

110 Methods

Study area

This study was undertaken in Surrey Hills, a eucalypt plantation landscape in northwest

Tasmania (-41°S, 145°E) (Figure 1). The site is embedded in an intensively managed forestry

region containing a mosaic of *Eucalyptus nitens* plantation stands of varying ages and

remnant native forest and grassland patches. *E. nitens* is native to mainland Australia but not

Tasmania. The site has an average annual rainfall of 2,163 mm and mean maximum

temperature of 12.3°C (Bureau of Meteorology, 2022). The plantations have mostly been

established since the mid-1970s on previously native vegetation land, and the remaining

native vegetation primarily comprises highland *Poa* spp. grasslands, *Eucalyptus delegatensis*

grassy forests and cool-temperate rainforest dominated by *Nothofagus cunninghamii* (Onfray,

2021). DFTD has been present in the landscape for about 6 years, causing steep population

declines of devils (Cunningham et al., 2021).

Figure 1: Study site within Tasmania (inset), overlaid with the combined home ranges of all
devils in the study (95% utilization distribution from Biased Random Bridges).

GPS telemetry

We captured adult devils (> 2 years old) in custom-built traps (made from 80 cm by 30 cm

PVC pipe) baited with meat over 10-day trapping periods every month between April-

October 2021. Traps were set within the plantation landscape in a mixture of plantation and

native forest remnants. Monthly trapping allowed collared animals to be re-trapped to

monitor collar fit and possible injuries. Upon capture, animals were weighed, sexed and

checked for DFTD. We fitted adult devils with GPS radio-collars (Q4000ER GPS, Telemetry

Solutions, Concord USA). We only collared animals that had been captured at least once

before, indicating they were residents. Collars weighed 140 g, less than 3% of the body

weight of collared individuals, and were fitted with corrosive bolts that degrade over time and

eventually allow the collar to drop off (Thalmann, 2013). As devils are primarily nocturnal

(Jones et al., 1997), collars were set to take 15 minute fixes every second night from

approximately sunset until sunrise (17:30 to 07:00 April and August, 17:00 to 07:30 May to

July, 18:00 to 06:00 September, 18:30 to 05:30 October) and were turned off during the day

to conserve batteries. We set 'GPS additional time' to 30 seconds, which forces the GPS unit

to keep searching for satellites even after it acquires a fix, improving fix accuracy. Collars

were also equipped with a VHF unit to assist with relocation.

GPS data screening

To account for errors in animal locations acquired by the GPS, fixes with less than 3

satellites, horizontal dilution of precision (HDOP) > 7 (as in Andersen et al., [2017a]), or

unrealistic step lengths (> 4000 m) were removed from the analysis. Lower values of HDOP

indicate higher location precision.

The successful fix rate of GPS radio-collars was low (23%), which can relate to factors such

as species behavior (Mattisson et al., 2010), collar brand and/or habitat conditions (Di Orio et

al., 2003; Hebblewhite et al., 2007), potentially confounding analysis. To account for

potential habitat effects on fix success rate, we tested for bias in the success rate of GPS fixes

due to vegetation type or plantation age. First, we left 10 collars stationary overnight in each

of 3 vegetation types – native forest remnant, 1–5 year old plantation, and 10–15 year old

plantation – and compared percentages of successful fixes among habitats. Second, we used

straight-line interpolation to approximate the locations of failed fixes ('approx' function in R

package 'stats') and compared the percentages of points in native forest, plantation and native

grassland between real and interpolated points. Interpolated points were not used for analysis.

To investigate behavioral influences on GPS fix success rate, we plotted the ambient

temperatures recorded by the collars and compared them between successful and failed fixes

to evaluate how many failed GPS fixes could be attributed to animals resting in a den.

Temperatures $> 25°C$ appeared to indicate animals were in a den. Collar temperatures were

unlikely to reach $25°C$ while animals were active since fixes were programmed only at night,

and devils were collared over winter and spring in a relatively cold climate (annual mean max

temp $12.3°C$ [Bureau of Meteorology, 2022]).

Home ranges

We calculated devil home ranges using biased random bridges (BRBs) (Benhamou, 2011),

which quantify an animal's utilization distribution (UD) based on movement paths rather than

just the density of individual fixes. We identified 95% UDs for each individual devil. Home

ranges were also estimated using 100% minimum convex polygons (MCPs) (Mohr, 1947) for

comparison with the broader literature. This is a commonly reported method of home range

estimation, though it is prone to overestimating the areas actually used by animals (Burgman

and Fox, 2003; Hemson et al., 2005). We did not use kernel density estimates (KDEs)

(Worton, 1989), another widely used method, as the limited data and decentralized spread of

GPS points for many individuals made this method unsuitable for our study. Analyses were

carried out using the 'adehabitatHR' package in the R programming environment (Calenge,

2019).

We constructed maps of the study site using vegetation data from the TasVeg 3.0 layer

(DPIPWE, 2017) and plantation features provided by the landowners, Forico Pty Ltd.

Vegetation classes were grouped into 3 habitat types: plantation, native forest, and native

grassland (including moorland and scrub). Plantation ages were grouped into 5 classes: < 1,

1–3, 4–7, 8–13 and 14+ years, age classes used by the forest industry due to different fuel

loads. To examine if habitat variables influenced devil home range size (95% UDs), linear

models were constructed using home range size as a response variable and proportions of

different habitat types and plantation ages within each devil's UD as predictors. These

variables were included in separate models as proportions of different habitats/plantation ages

would be correlated with one another, and plantation ages would be correlated with the

percentage of plantation. Sex was also used as a predictor variable, both alone and in

combination with other variables. As one male devil had a substantially larger home range

than the other devils, we ran models with and without this individual to account for any

skewed results. We selected final candidate models using Akaike's Information Criterion

values adjusted for small sample size (AICc) (Burnham and Anderson, 2002) according to the

methods of Richards (2008), where models with ΔAICc > 6 were excluded, as were more

complex models where simpler, nested models had smaller AICc values. To identify how

much of the devils' home ranges were affected by recent and active logging, and infer how

this may influence their behavior, we calculated the percentage of each devil's home range

that was logged during the collaring period (April to October 2021) and in the 12 months

preceding it.

Behavioral states

To identify different behavioral states of female devils that may influence their habitat

selection, we classified the GPS fixes for each animal into 3 different movement states using

Hidden Markov Models (HMMs) (Patterson et al., 2008). This method classifies movement

paths according to differences in turning angle and step length between successive locations,

and assumes that these characteristics are driven by underlying behaviors. Movement states

can therefore approximate behavioral states to some extent. Turning angle and step length are

only calculated for successful fixes at consecutive 15 minute intervals, so successful fixes

subsequent to failed fixes were excluded. We used past HMM results from devils to guide our

choice of initial parameters for each state (Comte, 2019) (Table S1): state 1, stationary (e.g.,

resting and/or feeding at carcass); state 2, characterized by short step lengths and variable

turning angles indicating tortuous movement (e.g., foraging); and state 3, characterized by

long step lengths and relatively constant turning angles indicating directional movement (e.g.,

travel). Analyses were carried out using the 'moveHMM' package in R (Michelot et al.,

2019).

Sequential GPS tracking data are often temporally autocorrelated which can violate

assumptions for statistical inference (Boyce et al., 2010). To account for this, once behavioral

states were assigned to all GPS fixes, we used variograms (function 'variogram' in R package

'ctmm' (Calabrese et al., 2016)) for individual devils in each state to find a time threshold at

which variance stabilized and each location could be considered independent. GPS data

variance stabilized and temporal autocorrelation decreased around three hours for state 1 and

all combined states, and four hours for states 2 and 3. We subsampled the GPS data by these

state-specific time thresholds (function 'track_resample' in R package 'amt'; (Signer et al.,

2019)) and used the subsampled data for habitat selection analysis. We did not have sufficient

data to analyze selection of plantation ages by different behavioral states, so for plantation-

specific habitat selection analyses, we repeated this process and subsampled the data by the

time threshold for all combined states.

Habitat selection and animal movements

For landscape-scale habitat selection analyses and for the plantation-specific analyses, we

used the same 'habitat type' categories and 'plantation age' classes, respectively, as above.

To investigate how animals may use plantation edges (edges between plantation and other

habitat types, excluding roads) and roads for movement and foraging, we created three buffer

zones around plantation edges and roads: < 20 m (on roads/edges, as in Andersen et al.,

[2017a]), 20–50 m (near roads/edges) and > 50 m (away from roads/edges). We calculated

the percentage of each habitat type, plantation age class, and road/edge buffer in each devil's

95% MCP (to reduce undue influence of outlying points), buffered by 5%, to represent

available habitat. We used MCPs to define available habitat as we needed to measure all

potentially available habitat rather than just the areas used by the animal. For each successful

GPS point within the buffered MCPs we identified the habitat type, plantation age class (if

applicable), and their distance to plantation edges and roads, split into the above buffers.

These calculations were performed in QGIS 3.14[®].

We used Manly's selection ratios to investigate habitat selection by female devils in each

HMM behavioral state, a method that tests for differences in used vs. available habitat

(Manly et al., 2007). As there were insufficient male devils to examine sex differences in

habitat selection, the three male devils were excluded from this analysis. We used a design III

analysis, which recognizes that the available habitat differs among individuals (Manly et al.,

2007). The selection ratio is the proportional use divided by proportional availability of each

habitat attribute class, with a selection ratio (wi) > 1 indicating that a habitat type was used

proportionally more than expected based on availability and < 1 proportionally less. The

percentages of habitat attributes within buffered MCPs represented available habitat, while

the locations of GPS points within the buffered MCPs represented used habitat. Univariate

models were run for each behavioral state with the predictors of habitat type, plantation age,

and distance to roads and plantation edges. Analyses were undertaken using the

'adehabitatHS' package in R (Calenge, 2011).

Velocity

To support behavioral state analyses and help clarify devil movements in different habitats,

we calculated the velocity of each devil at each GPS point using the difference in time and

distance between two consecutive points using the 'sp' package in R (Pebesma et al., 2022).

GPS points following a failed fix were ignored. The distance from each GPS point to the

nearest road and plantation edge was calculated in QGIS 3.14® and classified into 'on

road/edge' (< 20 m), 'near road/edge' (20–50 m) and 'away from road/edge' (> 50 m). We

tested for correlation between the values for plantation edges and roads using a polychoric

correlation test (function 'polychor' in package 'polycor' in R [R Core Team, 2021]) and

found low correlation (0.36), so these variables could be included in the same model. To

investigate if devils move at different speeds in different habitats, we constructed linear

mixed effects models using 'velocity' as a response variable and distance to road, distance to

edge, habitat type, plantation age, and sex as predictors (function 'lmer' in package 'lme4' in

R [R Core Team, 2021]). Interactions between habitat type/plantation age and distance to

roads and edges were also included in models. Animal ID was included as a random effect.

We ran 2 sets of models: 'whole-of-landscape' models, including all successful GPS fixes

and all predictors except plantation age; and 'plantation-only' models, including only GPS

fixes inside plantations, and all predictors except habitat type (to investigate the influence of

plantation age). Final candidate models were selected using the same process as for the home

range models (Burnham and Anderson, 2002), using AIC for whole-of-landscape models and

AICc for plantation-only models.

Results

We deployed GPS radio-collars on 15 adult devils (12 females, 3 males) between April and October 2021. One collar was unable to be retrieved at the end of the study, though some data were downloaded when the animal was recaptured during the study. A total of 7406 successful GPS fixes remained after removal of GPS errors, a success rate of 23% (successful vs programmed fixes). After accounting for temperatures recorded by the collar that indicated the animal was in a den (> 25 °C), the fix success rate increased to 52%, indicating much of the missing data was due to devils being in underground burrows where the collars could not detect satellites. Data were retrieved from between 24 and 164 days per animal (mean 77 ± 49 SD), with 152 to 1452 successful fixes per individual (494 ± 384) (Table S2). A total of 7066 points were used for analysis, following removal of points outside each animal's buffered 95% MCP.

The stationary collar test had a fix success rate of 99% in plantation age 1–5 years, 97.2% in age 10–15 years, and 93.6% in native forest, similar to the difference in fix success rate among habitats in other studies (Andersen et al., 2017a). Comparison of the proportion of real vs. interpolated fixes that fell in each habitat category showed little difference between habitats (native forest 45.7% and 45.8% respectively, plantation 43.8% and 45.5%, grassland 10.4% and 8.8 %), indicating that any difference in fix success rate among habitats did not introduce a significant bias, though there was considerable variation among individuals (Table S3). The low successful fix rate in this study can therefore be attributed to animal behavior (denning) as well as other unknown factors. However, there is no evidence of significant habitat-induced bias, similar to the results of Mattisson et al. (2010), who investigated factors influencing fix success rate for GPS collars on wolverines (*Gulo gulo*).

The best performing HMM differentiated the 3 behavioral states as: state 1, representing little

or no movement, with a mean step length of 16.37 ± 13.09 m ($\pm$ SD) and a wide distribution

of turning angles centered on 3.13 rad (concentration 0.39); state 2, with intermediate step

lengths of mean 177.84 ± 148.25 m and a wide distribution of turning angles centered on 0.03

rad (concentration 0.52); and state 3, with longer steps of mean 527.03 ± 228.58 and a narrow

distribution of turning angles centered on 0.03 rad (concentration 1.66), suggesting faster

directional movement (Table S1, Figure S4). Subsampling the data by state-specific time

thresholds to reduce spatiotemporal autocorrelation left 338 observations for state 1, 469 for

state 2, 712 for state 3, and 859 for all combined states, which were used for habitat selection

analysis.

Habitat selection

At a landscape scale, behavior-specific habitat selection ratios for female devils showed that

devils did not select among habitat types (plantation, native forest, and native

grassland/scrub) in any behavioral state (state 1: $p = 0.48$, state 2: $p = 0.69$, state 3: $p = 0.56$)

(Figure 2a). Female devils selected habitats non-randomly in all behavioral states regarding

distance to roads (state 1: $p = 0.01$, state 2: $p = 0.01$, state 3: $p < 0.001$) and plantation edges

(state 1: $p < 0.001$, state 2: $p < 0.001$, state 3: $p < 0.001$) (Figure 2c). Female devils used

areas < 20 m from plantation edges (state 1: $wi = 2.38$ [95% CI 1.66–3.10], state 2: $wi = 2.24$

[1.63–2.85], state 3: $wi = 2.78$ [2.09–3.46]) and roads (state 1: $wi = 2.71$ [1.38–4.05], state 2:

$wi = 2.48$ [1.59–3.37], state 3: $wi = 2.97$ [2.10–3.82]) more than twice as often as expected

for all states. To a lesser extent, they selected for areas 20–50 m from plantation edges ($wi =$

1.47 [95% CI 1.11–1.82]) and roads ($wi = 1.69$ [1.19–2.20]) for state 2 only. They avoided

areas > 50 m from edges (state 1: $wi = 0.68$ [95% CI 0.47–0.89], state 2: $wi = 0.64$ [0.49–

0.79], state 3: *wi* = 0.62 [0.49–0.75]) and roads (state 1: *wi* = 0.87 [0.79–0.95], state 2: *wi* =

0.87 [0.81–0.92], state 3: *wi* = 0.89 [0.83–0.96]) in all states, particularly edges.

Within plantations, habitat selection ratios for female devils indicated devils used plantations

of different ages non-randomly (*p* < 0.001). Female devils selected for age 4–7 years (*wi* =

1.52 [95% CI 0.98–2.05]), and neither selected nor avoided young age classes < 4 years (*wi* =

0.96 [0.47–1.46]) and older age classes 8–13 (*wi* = 0.74 [0.28–1.20]) and 14+ years (*wi* =

0.65 [0.16–1.15]) (Figure 2b). All habitat selection results can be found in Table S5.

Figure 2: Habitat selection by adult female devils regarding habitat type (a), plantation age

(b) and distance to roads/edges (c) in 3 behavioral states. Behavioral states were not

considered for plantation ages due to limited data. Error bars show 95% CIs. A selection ratio

of 1 indicates attributes were selected in proportion to availability; 0.5 indicates they were

used half as often as expected; and 2 indicates they were used twice as often as expected,

based on availability within buffered 95% MCPs.

Home ranges

Home range sizes (95% UDs from BRBs) were a mean of 1849 (416 SE) ha for female devils

and 3343 (1388 SE) ha for males. 100% MCPs were a mean of 3481 (1475 SE) ha for

females and 6326 (4401 SE) ha for males. UD and MCP estimations and plots for individual

devils can be found in Table S2 and Figure S6. A single model remained in the final

candidate set for predictors of devil home range size (95% UDs) and included only sex

(Table 1). This remained the top model even when the male with the largest home range was

removed from analysis, though the effect was weaker, with the null model in the final

candidate list (ΔAIC = 2.85) (Table S7). Home ranges of males were on average 1494 (95%

CI 566 to 2421) ha larger than females. The average recently logged area (< 1 year) in each

devil's home range was 3.46% (0–9.15%, Table S2). Male home range results should be

treated with caution due to only 3 male devils in the study.

Velocity

A single model remained in the final whole-of-landscape candidate set predicting devil

velocity and this model included both distance to roads and plantation edges (Table 1). Devils

moved faster on roads and edges (< 20 m) than away from them (Figure 3). In the plantation-

only models, only distance to plantation edges remained in the final candidate list (Table S7).

As plantation-only models used a subset of the whole-of-landscape data and the results were

similar, we consider whole-of-landscape results more relevant for interpretation.

Table 1: Final candidate models with parameter estimates for predicting devil home range
size and velocity in plantations (95% CIs in square brackets []).

Home range size (ha)						
Fixed effects					Model description	
Intercept		Sex			df	AICc
1849 [1433 to 2264] (female)		1494 [566 to 2421] (male)			3	234.6

Velocity (m.sec^{-1}) (whole-of-landscape)						
Fixed effects				Random effects	Model description	
Intercept (m)	Distance to road (m)	Distance to plantation edge (m)		Intercept (animal ID)	Residual	df AIC
0.41 [0.38 to 0.44] (< 20)	-0.09 [-0.12 to -0.06] (20–50) -0.06 [-0.09 to -0.04] (> 50)	-0.07 [-0.09 to 0.05] (20–50) -0.08 [-0.10 to -0.06] (> 50)		0.002 (0.04 SD)	0.09 (0.30 SD)	5 2511.3

378 **Figure 3:** Predicted velocity of devils with distance to roads and plantation edges using

379 whole-of-landscape data. Error bars represent 95% CIs.

Discussion

Our results demonstrate the adaptability of devils to human-modified landscapes, highlighting the need to manage these landscapes as valuable devil habitat. Devils did not show preferences among different habitat types at a plantation landscape scale, though at a finer scale they showed selection for specific plantation ages, and they preferentially used plantation edges and roads to forage and to move through the landscape.

Landscape-scale habitat selection and home ranges

At a landscape scale, female devils did not show selection among plantation, native forest remnants, and native grassland in any behavioral state, and no habitat attributes considered affected devil home range sizes. This demonstrates the adaptability of devils to human-modified landscapes, consistent with Jones et al. (2023)'s finding that devil abundance slightly increased with plantation extent in production forest landscapes. Devils are generalist carnivores (Andersen et al., 2017b), and generalist species usually respond more positively to human-modified landscapes than specialists (Dotta and Verdade, 2007). Other generalist carnivores such as raccoon dogs (Hwang et al., 2014) use plantations to a greater extent than native forest. Plantations likely provide abundant prey for devils. Medium-sized herbivores, wallabies and pademelons (Family Macropodidae; *Macropus rufogriseus* and *Thylogale billardierii*), and brushtail possums (*Trichosurus vulpecula*), preferred devil prey (Andersen et al., 2017b), are common enough in Tasmanian plantations that they are regularly culled to protect seedlings (Forest Practices Authority, 2017). Culling along with roadkill from forestry vehicles would provide abundant carrion for this specialized scavenger (Jones et al., 2003). There appear to be sufficient resources available in these landscapes for an opportunistic predator and facultative scavenger to exploit.

Notably, home ranges of female devils in this study were substantially larger than those in

Andersen et al. (2020a) (100% MCPs: 2072 [277 SD] ha), which was conducted in an area

that was free of DFTD at the time of the study and contains a mix of conservation and

agricultural land. This is surprising as female devils decreased their home range sizes in

another landscape that suffered significant population decline due to DFTD (Comte et al.,

2020), likely due to less competition for resources. In the present study, we would therefore

expect smaller home range sizes than in Andersen et al. (2020a) due to low devil density in

our study landscape caused by high DFTD mortality (Cunningham et al., 2021). As home

range size tends to increase with lower quality habitat (Kittle et al., 2015), this result may

indicate that the plantation-dominated landscape in our study area was less ideal devil habitat

than the more protected landscape in Andersen et al. (2020a). Perhaps protected landscapes

provide more spatially and temporally constant and reliable resources (e.g., prey and den

sites) than a production forest landscape that is always changing due to harvesting. For

instance, black bears (*Ursus americanus*) were found to have larger home ranges in

plantation dominated than in unmanaged forest landscapes (Jones & Pelton, 2003).

Plantation-specific habitat selection

Within plantations, female devils selected for plantation aged 4–7 years. Younger plantations

may contain a higher density of wallabies and brushtail possums which browse in plantations

(Le Mar and Mcarthur, 2005). Some other carnivores, such as American marten (*Martes*

americana) (Fuller and Harrison, 2005), similarly prefer younger post-logging native forest,

likely due to higher prey availability. Additionally, near-surface vegetation cover in

hardwood plantation, e.g., grass and shrubs, increase with plantation age in Tasmania (K.

Parkins, pers. comm.), which may create a dense understory layer that restricts movement for

devils in older plantations. While many carnivores prefer dense understory in plantations

(Caryl et al., 2012; Escudero-Páez et al., 2018), this is often to avoid other predators or stalk

prey. Devils are pounce-pursuit predators, capable of fast but short pursuit but dependent on

some degree of ambush to get sufficiently close (Jones et al., 2003). They prefer forested

rather than open environments and use forest edges to intercept herbivore prey as they move

between daytime refuges and open areas to feed at night (Andersen et al., 2017b; Jones &

Barmuta, 2000). The habitat structure offered by young plantations 4–7 years old may

provide sufficient cover to conceal them from prey but not enough to significantly obstruct

movement and potentially impede hunting and prey capture. Very young plantations may be

too open for devils to be able to effectively ambush prey which could see them coming and

move out of range.

It is interesting that female devils did not appear to avoid recently logged plantation (< 1

year), although this result was based on just 4 individuals. Considering female devils likewise

did not avoid plantations 1–3 years old and actively selected for 4–7 years old, and that

plantation forestry landscapes have high prey abundance, logging is unlikely to have a long-

term negative effect on devil use of the landscape, as long as it is on a schedule that maintains

plantation age heterogeneity in the landscape. Furthermore, logging removes habitat that is

already apparently nonpreferred (coupes are logged at ~12–15 years old in this landscape).

Other factors likely to influence the effect of logging on devils include the quality of

remaining habitat in the surrounding area and home range (e.g., plantation ages and native

forest remnants) (Lindenmayer and Hobbs, 2004); the timing of logging i.e., if logging occurs

during the maternal denning season and could disrupt denning behavior; and the overall area

and proportion of home range harvested (Leonard et al., 2008). We could not directly

investigate how devils responded to active logging, however, the proportion of their

individual home ranges that was logged during the study and in the preceding year was small

(mean 3.5%, range 0–9%), so even short-term displacement due to logging may not have had

a major effect on devils in this study. Extrapolation of these results to other years and

landscapes should be treated with caution due to variations in logging area size and intensity.

The lack of an apparent response to logging by devils is particularly surprising as the logging

method in the landscape is clearfelling, which involves removing all trees from the harvest

footprint and typically has a more negative effect on biodiversity than other logging methods

(Chaudhary et al., 2016). Some carnivores can respond positively to clearfelling in

plantations, perhaps due to increased prey availability (Eom et al., 2019).

Devil movements in plantation landscapes

Devils preferentially used roads and plantation edges to move through the landscape in all

behavioral states. This is consistent with Andersen et al. (2017b)'s discovery that devils use

anthropogenic linear features for movement and foraging, and Andersen et al. (2020b)'s

recording of devils scavenging along roads. Devils moved faster on roads and edges,

indicating they use them for travel and perhaps hunting (Andersen et al., 2020b). Edges of

plantation coupes are cleared of trees to allow vehicle access, potentially providing less

obstructed movement paths for devils. Other carnivores such as wolves similarly use linear

features such as roads and seismic lines for travel, allowing them to move further and faster

(Dickie et al., 2017). Our results indicate devils also forage along roads and edges. As well as

scavenging roadkill along roads (Andersen et al., 2020b, 2017a), devils may use plantation

edges to intercept macropod prey moving between the denser shelter of native forest where

they rest during the day to browse in more open plantations at night (Le Mar and Mcarthur,

2005), as was found for the forest-pasture interface in Andersen et al. (2017a). Other

carnivores likewise select for edges in production forest landscapes (Houle et al., 2010;

Simons Legaard et al., 2013), which can increase hunting success (Bojarska et al., 2017).

Female devils selected for areas close to (20–50 m), but not on, roads and edges for state 2

only. State 2 represents shorter, more tortuous movements which may indicate foraging,

contrasted with longer, straighter step lengths for state 3 which suggests travel, and stationary

behavior in state 1, i.e. resting or feeding at a carcass. Selection of areas 20–50 m from

roads/edges in state 2 may be explained by devils searching for food near roads/edges but in

cover to intercept prey, combined with a higher chance of detecting scents of prey or

carcasses that are close to roads/edges while travelling along them.

Management implications

As plantations appear to be valuable habitat for devils, we recommend implementing

strategies to maintain habitat suitability for devils in plantation landscapes. Preserving a

heterogeneous landscape with a mosaic of different plantation ages – along with patches of

native vegetation remnants (Lindenmayer and Hobbs, 2004) – is likely to benefit devils due

to their broad use of different habitat types and their preferences among plantation ages.

Heterogeneous landscapes also contain a high density of habitat edges, which devils preferred

in this study and in Andersen et al. (2017a). The use of plantation landscapes by devils

exposes them to potential risks from human use of the landscape such as timber harvesting

operations, vehicle collisions, and lead poisoning from consuming culled browser carcasses

(Hivert et al., 2018). Our finding that devils preferentially use roads make them particularly

vulnerable to vehicle collisions, a major cause of devil mortality (Jones, 2000). Logging in

some coupes occurs during 24 hours in this landscape. Since measures targeted at changing

driver behavior such as implementing speed limits and increasing driver awareness have

limited effectiveness (Jones, 2000), the most effective way to mitigate this risk to devils may

be to minimize forestry traffic at night. Our study suggests that the risk to devils from

harvesting operations is likely to be minimal, as the age class devils preferred (4–7 years) is

unlikely to be harvested. One caveat is that we were unable to investigate denning behavior

of devils in this study, so the risk of damage to devil dens during logging operations –

particularly maternal dens, which may harbor young devils unable to escape – remains

unknown.

Conclusions

Our study reinforces the high adaptability of devils to human-modified landscapes (Andersen

et al., 2017a), similar to other generalist carnivores (Ferreira et al., 2018). Not only did devils

show no selection between plantation and native habitat remnants, they took active advantage

of modified habitat features – edges and roads – for foraging opportunities and expediated

travel. Similar behavior has been observed before in devils (Andersen et al., 2020b, 2017a) as

well as other carnivores (Dickie et al., 2017). Notably, this study only looked at habitat use of

devils within a modified landscape, so future research would be necessary to identify the

comparative value of modified and unmodified landscapes to devils.

Habitat selection by carnivores in production forests is highly variable among species, such

as selection among harvested forest ages: some carnivores, like devils, prefer young-mid

stage regrowth (Boisjoly et al., 2010), while others select for mature forest (Buskirk et al.,

1996). Identifying species-specific habitat selection in human-modified landscapes is

therefore important. While it is essential to recognize that carnivores as a group are

particularly vulnerable to human impacts (Ripple et al., 2014), and that many carnivore

species – particularly large and threatened carnivores – require unmodified habitats to thrive

(Ferreira et al., 2018), it is also important to understand that many generalist carnivores are

adaptable to modified landscapes, providing impetus to manage these landscapes as valuable

habitat for these species. Production forests are an excellent example – they are often

incorrectly viewed as of little value to conservation, exposing them to mismanagement and

habitat degradation (Brockerhoff et al., 2008). Recognizing that production forests and other

modified landscapes provide important habitat to devils and other carnivores is key to

maintaining populations of these predators beyond protected areas.

References

Andersen, G.E., Johnson, C.N., Barmuta, L.A., Jones, M.E., 2017a. Use of anthropogenic
linear features by two medium-sized carnivores in reserved and agricultural
landscapes. Sci Rep 7, 11624. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-11454-z
Andersen, G.E., Johnson, C.N., Barmuta, L.A., Jones, M.E., 2017b. Dietary partitioning of
Australia's two marsupial hypercarnivores, the Tasmanian devil and the spotted-tailed
quoll, across their shared distributional range. PLOS ONE 12, e0188529.
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0188529
Andersen, G.E., Johnson, C.N., Jones, M.E., 2020a. Space use and temporal partitioning of
sympatric Tasmanian devils and spotted-tailed quolls. Austral Ecology 45, 355–365.
https://doi.org/10.1111/aec.12865
Andersen, G.E., McGregor, H.W., Johnson, C.N., Jones, M.E., 2020b. Activity and social
interactions in a wide-ranging specialist scavenger, the Tasmanian devil (*Sarcophilus*
harrisii), revealed by animal-borne video collars. PLOS ONE 15, e0230216.
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0230216
Benhamou, S., 2011. Dynamic Approach to Space and Habitat Use Based on Biased Random
Bridges. PLOS ONE 6, e14592. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0014592
Boisjoly, D., Ouellet, J.-P., Courtois, R., 2010. Coyote habitat selection and management
implications for the Gaspésie caribou. The Journal of Wildlife Management 74, 3–11.
https://doi.org/10.2193/2008-149
Bojarska, K., Kwiatkowska, M., Skórka, P., Gula, R., Theuerkauf, J., Okarma, H., 2017.
Anthropogenic environmental traps: Where do wolves kill their prey in a commercial
forest? Forest Ecology and Management 397, 117–125.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2017.04.013
Boyce, M.S., Pitt, J., Northrup, J.M., Morehouse, A.T., Knopff, K.H., Cristescu, B.,
Stenhouse, G.B., 2010. Temporal autocorrelation functions for movement rates from
global positioning system radiotelemetry data. Philosophical Transactions of the
Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 365, 2213–2219.
https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2010.0080
Brockerhoff, E.G., Jactel, H., Parrotta, J.A., Quine, C.P., Sayer, J., 2008. Plantation forests
and biodiversity: oxymoron or opportunity? Biodivers Conserv 17, 925–951.
https://doi.org/10.1007/s10531-008-9380-x
Bryant, S.L., 1988. Seasonal breeding in the eastern quoll *Dasyurus viverrinus* (Marsupialia:
Dasyuridae) (PhD thesis). University of Tasmania, Hobart.
Bureau of Meteorology, 2022. Climate Data Online [WWW Document]. URL
http://www.bom.gov.au/climate/data/ (accessed 10.10.22).
Burgman, M.A., Fox, J.C., 2003. Bias in species range estimates from minimum convex
polygons: implications for conservation and options for improved planning. Animal
Conservation forum 6, 19–28. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1367943003003044

Burnham, K.P., Anderson, D.R., 2002. Model selection and multimodel inference: a practical
information-theoretic approach. Springer New York, USA.
Buskirk, S.W., Yiqing, M., Li, X., Zhaowen, J., 1996. Winter habitat ecology of sables
(*Martes zibellina*) in relation to forest management in China. Ecological Applications
6, 318–325. https://doi.org/10.2307/2269575
Calabrese, J.M., Fleming, C.H., Gurarie, E., 2016. ctmm: an R package for analyzing animal
relocation data as a continuous-time stochastic process. Methods in Ecology and
Evolution 7, 1124–1132. https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.12559
Calenge, C., 2019. Home Range Estimation in R: the adehabitatHR Package 61.
Calenge, C., 2011. Exploratory Analysis of the Habitat Selection by the Wildlife in R: the
adehabitatHS Package 60.
Caryl, F.M., Quine, C.P., Park, K.J., 2012. Martens in the matrix: the importance of
nonforested habitats for forest carnivores in fragmented landscapes. Journal of
Mammalogy 93, 464–474.
Chaudhary, A., Burivalova, Z., Koh, L.P., Hellweg, S., 2016. Impact of forest management
on species richness: Global meta-analysis and economic trade-offs. Sci Rep 6, 23954.
https://doi.org/10.1038/srep23954
Comte, S., 2019. The spatial epidemiology of the Devil Facial Tumour Disease in long-
diseased populations of its unique host, the Tasmanian devil (PhD thesis). University
of Tasmania, Hobart.
Comte, S., Carver, S., Hamede, R., Jones, M., 2020. Changes in spatial organization
following an acute epizootic: Tasmanian devils and their transmissible cancer. Global
Ecology and Conservation 22, e00993. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gecco.2020.e00993
Cunningham, C.X., Comte, S., McCallum, H., Hamilton, D.G., Hamede, R., Storfer, A.,
Hollings, T., Ruiz☐Aravena, M., Kerlin, D.H., Brook, B.W., Hocking, G., Jones,
M.E., 2021. Quantifying 25 years of disease-caused declines in Tasmanian devil
populations: host density drives spatial pathogen spread. Ecology Letters 24, 958–
969. https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.13703
Cunningham, C.X., Johnson, C.N., Barmuta, L.A., Hollings, T., Woehler, E.J., Jones, M.E.,
2018. Top carnivore decline has cascading effects on scavengers and carrion
persistence. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 285, 20181582.
https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2018.1582
Cunningham, C.X., Johnson, C.N., Jones, M.E., 2020. A native apex predator limits an
invasive mesopredator and protects native prey: Tasmanian devils protecting
bandicoots from cats. Ecology Letters 23, 711–721. https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.13473
Curtis, P.G., Slay, C.M., Harris, N.L., Tyukavina, A., Hansen, M.C., 2018. Classifying
drivers of global forest loss. Science 361, 1108–1111.
https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aau3445
Di Orio, A.P., Callas, R., Schaefer, R.J., 2003. Performance of two GPS telemetry collars
under different habitat conditions. Wildlife Society Bulletin (1973-2006) 31, 372–
379.
Dickie, M., Serrouya, R., McNay, R.S., Boutin, S., 2017. Faster and farther: wolf movement
on linear features and implications for hunting behaviour. Journal of Applied Ecology
54, 253–263. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2664.12732
Dotta, G., Verdade, L.M., 2007. Trophic categories in a mammal assemblage: diversity in an
agricultural landscape. Biota Neotropica 7, 287–292. https://doi.org/10.1590/S1676-
06032007000200031
DPIPWE, 2017. TASVEG 3.0 [WWW Document]. LISTdata. URL
https://www.thelist.tas.gov.au/app/content/data/geo-meta-data-

624   record?detailRecordUID=6e3d672d-cdc1-40f3-8ee4-b45c5de68b68 (accessed
625   1.5.22).
626   Eom, T.-K., Hwang, H.-S., Lee, J.-K., Bae, H.-K., Park, C.-R., Lim, J.-H., Rhim, S.-J., 2019.
627   Effects of forestry practices on habitat variables and mammal abundance in a
628   Japanese larch plantation. Wildlife Biology 2020. https://doi.org/10.2981/wlb.00566
629   Escudero-Páez, S.P., Botero-Delgadillo, E., Estades, C.F., 2018. Effect of plantation
630   clearcutting on carnivore presence in industrial forest landscapes in south-central
631   Chile. Mammalia 83, 115–124. https://doi.org/10.1515/mammalia-2017-0061
632   Ferreira, A.S., Peres, C.A., Bogoni, J.A., Cassano, C.R., 2018. Use of agroecosystem matrix
633   habitats by mammalian carnivores (Carnivora): a global‐scale analysis. Mammal
634   Review 48, 312–327. https://doi.org/10.1111/mam.12137
635   Forest Practices Authority, 2017. State of the forests Tasmania 2017. Forest Practices
636   Authority, Hobart, Tasmania.
637   Fuller, A.K., Harrison, D.J., 2010. Movement paths reveal scale-dependent habitat decisions
638   by Canada lynx. Journal of Mammalogy 91, 1269–1279.
639   Fuller, A.K., Harrison, D.J., 2005. Influence of partial timber harvesting on American
640   martens in north-central Maine. The Journal of Wildlife Management 69, 710–722.
641   https://doi.org/10.2193/0022-541X(2005)069[0710:IOPTHO]2.0.CO;2
642   Gurarie, E., Suutarinen, J., Kojola, I., Ovaskainen, O., 2011. Summer movements, predation
643   and habitat use of wolves in human modified boreal forests. Oecologia 165, 891–903.
644   https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-010-1883-y
645   Hartley, M.J., 2002. Rationale and methods for conserving biodiversity in plantation forests.
646   Forest Ecology and Management, Forest Ecology in the next Millennium : Putting
647   the long view into Practice 155, 81–95. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-
648   1127(01)00549-7
649   Hawkins, C.E., McCallum, H., Mooney, N., Jones, M., Holdsworth, M., 2008. *Sarcophilus*
650   *harrisii*. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species [WWW Document].
651   e.T40540A10331066. URL
652   https://dx.doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2008.RLTS.T40540A10331066.en. (accessed
653   3.20.20).
654   Hayes, T., Ostrom, E., 2005. Conserving the world's forests: Are protected areas the only
655   way? Ind. L. Rev. 38, 595–618.
656   Hebblewhite, M., Percy, M., Merrill, E.H., 2007. Are all Global Positioning System collars
657   created equal? Correcting habitat-induced bias using three brands in the central
658   Canadian Rockies. The Journal of Wildlife Management 71, 2026–2033.
659   https://doi.org/10.2193/2006-238
660   Hemson, G., Johnson, P., South, A., Kenward, R., Ripley, R., McDonald, D., 2005. Are
661   kernels the mustard? Data from Global Positioning System (GPS) collars suggests
662   problems for kernel home-range analyses with least-squares cross-validation. Journal
663   of Animal Ecology 74, 455–463.
664   Hivert, L.G., Clarke, J.R., Peck, S.J., Lawrence, C., Brown, W.E., Huxtable, S.J., Schaap, D.,
665   Pemberton, D., Grueber, C.E., 2018. High blood lead concentrations in captive
666   Tasmanian devils (*Sarcophilus harrisii*): a threat to the conservation of the species?
667   Australian Veterinary Journal 96, 442–449. https://doi.org/10.1111/avj.12753
668   Houle, M., Fortin, D., Dussault, C., Courtois, R., Ouellet, J.-P., 2010. Cumulative effects of
669   forestry on habitat use by gray wolf (*Canis lupus*) in the boreal forest. Landscape Ecol
670   25, 419–433. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10980-009-9420-2
671   Hwang, H.-S., Son, S.-H., Kang, H., Rhim, S.-J., 2014. Ecological factors influencing the
672   winter abundance of mammals in temperate forest. Journal of Vertebrate Biology 63,
673   296–300. https://doi.org/10.25225/fozo.v63.i4.a9.2014

Jones, E.M., Koch, A.J., Mann, D.F., Hamede, R.K., Jones, M.E., 2023. What drives the abundance of marsupial carnivores in production forest landscapes? Forest Ecology and Management 529, 120745. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2022.120745

Jones, M., 2003. Convergence in ecomorphology and guild structure among marsupial and placental carnivores, in: Jones, M., Dickman, C., Archer, M. (Eds.), Predators with Pouches: The Biology of Carnivorous Marsupials. CSIRO Publishing, Melbourne Australia, pp. 285–296.

Jones, M.D., Pelton, M.R., 2003. Female American black bear use of managed forest and agricultural lands in coastal North Carolina. Ursus 14, 188–197.

Jones, M.E., 2000. Road upgrade, road mortality and remedial measures: impacts on a population of eastern quolls and Tasmanian devils. Wildl. Res. 27, 289–296. https://doi.org/10.1071/wr98069

Jones, M.E., Barmuta, L.A., 2000. Niche differentiation among sympatric Australian dasyurid carnivores. Journal of Mammalogy 81, 14.

Jones, M.E., Grigg, G.C., Beard, L.A., 1997. Body temperatures and activity patterns of Tasmanian devils (*Sarcophilus harrisii*) and eastern quolls (*Dasyurus viverrinus*) through a subalpine winter. Physiological Zoology 70, 53–60.

Kanowski, J., Catterall, C.P., Wardell-Johnson, G.W., 2005. Consequences of broadscale timber plantations for biodiversity in cleared rainforest landscapes of tropical and subtropical Australia. Forest Ecology and Management 208, 359–372. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2005.01.018

Kittle, A.M., Anderson, M., Avgar, T., Baker, J.A., Brown, G.S., Hagens, J., Iwachewski, E., Moffatt, S., Mosser, A., Patterson, B.R., Reid, D.E.B., Rodgers, A.R., Shuter, J., Street, G.M., Thompson, I.D., Vennen, L.M.V., Fryxell, J.M., 2015. Wolves adapt territory size, not pack size to local habitat quality. Journal of Animal Ecology 84, 1177–1186. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2656.12366

Kuijper, D.P.J., Sahlén, E., Elmhagen, B., Chamaillé-Jammes, S., Sand, H., Lone, K., Cromsigt, J.P.G.M., 2016. Paws without claws? Ecological effects of large carnivores in anthropogenic landscapes. Proc Biol Sci 283, 20161625. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2016.1625

Lantschner, M.V., Rusch, V., Hayes, J.P., 2012. Habitat use by carnivores at different spatial scales in a plantation forest landscape in Patagonia, Argentina. Forest Ecology and Management 269, 271–278. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2011.12.045

Le Mar, K., Mcarthur, C., 2005. Comparison of habitat selection by two sympatric macropods, *Thylogale billardierii* and *Macropus rufogriseus rufogriseus*, in a patchy eucalypt-forestry environment. Austral Ecol 30, 674–683. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1442-9993.2005.01510.x

Leonard, T.D., Taylor, P.D., Warkentin, I.G., 2008. Landscape structure and spatial scale affect space use by songbirds in naturally patchy and harvested boreal forests. The Condor 110, 467–481. https://doi.org/10.1525/cond.2008.8512

Lindenmayer, D.B., Hobbs, R.J., 2004. Fauna conservation in Australian plantation forests – a review. Biological Conservation 119, 151–168. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2003.10.028

Lyra-Jorge, M.C., Ciocheti, G., Pivello, V.R., 2008. Carnivore mammals in a fragmented landscape in northeast of São Paulo State, Brazil. Biodivers Conserv 17, 1573–1580. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10531-008-9366-8

MacDonald, M.A., 2003. The role of corridors in biodiversity conservation in production forest landscapes: a literature review. Tasforests 14, 41–52.

Manly, B.F., McDonald, L., Thomas, D.L., McDonald, T.L., Erickson, W.P., 2007. Resource
    Selection by Animals: Statistical Design and Analysis for Field Studies. Springer
    Science & Business Media, Dordrecht.

Mattisson, J., Andrén, H., Persson, J., Segerström, P., 2010. Effects of species behavior on
    Global Positioning System collar fix rates. The Journal of Wildlife Management 74,
    557–563. https://doi.org/10.2193/2009-157

McGregor, R.A., Stokes, V.L., Craig, M.D., 2014. Does forest restoration in fragmented
    landscapes provide habitat for a wide-ranging carnivore? Animal Conservation 17,
    467–475. https://doi.org/10.1111/acv.12112

Michelot, T., Langrock, R., Patterson, T., 2019. moveHMM: An R package for the analysis
    of animal movement data.

Mohr, C.O., 1947. Table of equivalent populations of North American small mammals. The
    American Midland Naturalist 37, 223–249. https://doi.org/10.2307/2421652

Moreira-Arce, D., Vergara, P.M., Boutin, S., Carrasco, G., Briones, R., Soto, G.E., Jiménez,
    J.E., 2016. Mesocarnivores respond to fine-grain habitat structure in a mosaic
    landscape comprised by commercial forest plantations in southern Chile. Forest
    Ecology and Management 369, 135–143. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2016.03.024

Moriarty, K.M., Epps, C.W., Zielinski, W.J., 2016. Forest thinning changes movement
    patterns and habitat use by Pacific marten. The Journal of Wildlife Management 80,
    621–633. https://doi.org/10.1002/jwmg.1060

Onfray, R., 2021. Fires, Farms and Forests: a human history of Surrey Hills, north-west
    Tasmania. Forty South Publishing Bookshop, Tasmania.

Patterson, T.A., Thomas, L., Wilcox, C., Ovaskainen, O., Matthiopoulos, J., 2008. State–
    space models of individual animal movement. Trends in Ecology & Evolution 23, 87–
    94. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2007.10.009.

Pebesma, E., Bivand, R., Rowlingson, B., Gomez-Rubio, V., 2022. sp: Classes and Methods
    for Spatial data.

Pemberton, D., 1990. Social organisation and behaviour of the Tasmanian devil, *Sarcophilus
    harrisii* (PhD thesis). University of Tasmania, Hobart.

R Core Team, 2021. R: A language and environment for statistical computing.

Richards, S.A., 2008. Dealing with overdispersed count data in applied ecology. Journal of
    Applied Ecology 45, 218–227. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2664.2007.01377.x

Ripple, W.J., Estes, J.A., Beschta, R.L., Wilmers, C.C., Ritchie, E.G., Hebblewhite, M.,
    Berger, J., Elmhagen, B., Letnic, M., Nelson, M.P., Schmitz, O.J., Smith, D.W.,
    Wallach, A.D., Wirsing, A.J., 2014. Status and ecological effects of the world□s
    largest carnivores. Science 343, 1241484. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1241484

Ritchie, E.G., Johnson, C.N., 2009. Predator interactions, mesopredator release and
    biodiversity conservation. Ecology Letters 12, 982–998.
    https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2009.01347.x

Signer, J., Fieberg, J., Avgar, T., 2019. Animal movement tools (amt): R package for
    managing tracking data and conducting habitat selection analyses. Ecology and
    Evolution 9, 880–890. https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.4823

Simons□Legaard, E.M., Harrison, D.J., Krohn, W.B., Vashon, J.H., 2013. Canada lynx
    occurrence and forest management in the Acadian Forest. The Journal of Wildlife
    Management 77, 567–578. https://doi.org/10.1002/jwmg.508

Sullivan, T.P., Sullivan, D.S., 2014. Responses of red-backed voles (*Myodes gapperi*) to
    windrows of woody debris along forest–clearcut edges. Wildl. Res. 41, 212–221.
    https://doi.org/10.1071/WR14050

Thalmann, S., 2013. Evaluation of a degradable time-release mechanism for telemetry
    collars. Aust. Mammalogy 35, 241–244. https://doi.org/10.1071/AM12041

772   Wang, C., Zhang, W., Li, X., Wu, J., 2022. A global meta-analysis of the impacts of tree
773   plantations on biodiversity. Global Ecology and Biogeography 31, 576–587.
774   https://doi.org/10.1111/geb.13440
775   Worton, B.J., 1989. Kernel methods for estimating the utilization distribution in home-range
776   studies. Ecology 70, 164–168. https://doi.org/10.2307/1938423
777

778