Structural and functional properties of the transporter SLC26A6 reveal

mechanism of coupled anion exchange

David N. Tippett[1], Colum Breen[2], Stephen J. Butler[2], Marta Sawicka[1] and Raimund Dutzler[1*]

[1]Department of Biochemistry, University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland

[2]Department of Chemistry, Loughborough University, Loughborough, UK

*For correspondence: dutzler@bioc.uzh.ch (RD)

Abstract

Members of the SLC26 family constitute a conserved family of anion transport proteins, which encompasses uncoupled transporters with channel-like properties, coupled exchangers and motor proteins. Among the eleven paralogs in humans, several participate in the secretion of bicarbonate in exchange with chloride and thus play an important role in pH homeostasis. Previously, we have elucidated the structure of murine SLC26A9 and defined its function as an uncoupled chloride transporter (Walter, Sawicka, & Dutzler, 2019). Here we have determined the structure of the closely related human transporter SLC26A6 and characterized it as a strictly coupled exchanger of chloride with either bicarbonate or oxalate. The structure defines an inward-facing conformation of the protein that generally resembles known structures of SLC26A9. The altered anion selectivity between both paralogs is a consequence of a remodeled ion binding site located in the center of a mobile unit of the membrane-inserted domain, which also accounts for differences in the coupling mechanism.

Introduction

Bicarbonate (HCO_3^-), the conjugate base of carbonic acid, is a waste product of the citric acid cycle and an important buffer in the intra- and extracellular environment. Its transport across cellular membranes thus constitutes an important mechanism for the control of intracellular pH and the increased buffer capacity of bodily fluids and secretions (Alka & Casey, 2014). This process is mediated by distinct channels and secondary transporters, the latter comprising paralogs of the SLC4 and SLC26 families. The SLC26 transporters constitute an abundant class of anion transport proteins that are expressed in all kingdoms of life with a considerable degree of sequence conservation (Alper & Sharma, 2013; Dorwart, Shcheynikov, Yang, & Muallem, 2008). Whereas homologs in prokaryotes and plants were classified as symporters, where the uptake of mono- and divalent anions is coupled to the import of either sodium ions or protons (Geertsma et al., 2015; C. Wang et al., 2019; L. Wang, Chen, & Zhou, 2021), the transporters in animals show mechanistic differences. Among the ten functional SLC26 paralogs in humans, we find an astonishing mechanistic breadth. Family members function as either coupled anion exchangers, anion transporters with channel-like properties and in the case of SLC26A5 (Prestin), as a motor protein in cochlear outer hair cells (Alper & Sharma, 2013). Among the paralogs which have retained their transport function, the distinction between coupled and uncoupled transporters is most pronounced. Whereas most family members operate as exchangers of different anions including the monovalent ions chloride (Cl^-), bicarbonate (HCO_3^-), iodide and formate and the divalent ions sulfate and oxalate (Alper & Sharma, 2013), the protein SLC26A9 functions as fast passive transporter of Cl^- (Dorwart, Shcheynikov, Wang, Stippec, & Muallem, 2007; Walter et al., 2019). Our previous study has characterized the structural and functional properties of the murine paralog of SLC26A9 (Walter et al., 2019). The protein adopts a homodimeric architecture that is

representative for the entire SLC26 family. Each subunit consists of a membrane inserted transport

domain (TM) followed by a cytoplasmic STAS domain, which together with the extended N-

terminus mediates the bulk of the subunit interactions with few contacts in the membrane-inserted

region (Walter et al., 2019). The TMs share an architecture that was initially identified in the

prokaryotic transporter UraA (Lu et al., 2011), and that was later defined in the prokaryotic

homologue SLC26Dg as common framework for the entire family (Geertsma et al., 2015). In this

architecture, the TM segregates into two separate motifs that adopt distinct roles during transport,

respectively termed 'core' and 'gate' domains. The gate domain forms a contiguous rigid scaffold

with the cytosolic STAS domain that remains static during transport. Conversely the mobile core

domain harbors a selective anion binding site and acts as mobile unit during the transport cycle.

The core domain alternately exposes the substrate site to different sides of the membrane as

expected for a protein working by an elevator-type transport mechanism (Drew & Boudker, 2016;

Walter et al., 2019). Despite its classification as membrane transporter, SLC26A9 mediates large

channel-like chloride currents that saturate at high mM concentration and it efficiently

discriminates against HCO_3^- (Dorwart et al., 2007; Walter et al., 2019). Its function as a fast

electrogenic chloride transporter and selection against HCO_3^- is astonishing since several other

family members have been classified as Cl^-/ HCO_3^- exchangers (Alper & Sharma, 2013). One of

the family members sharing this property is the protein SLC26A6 (Knauf et al., 2001; Lohi et al.,

2000). SLC26A6 is widely expressed in different tissues including the heart, kidney, pancreas and

intestine (J. Wang, Wang, Wang, & Tuo, 2020). Besides its function as HCO_3^- transporter, it also

plays an important role in the extrusion of oxalate to prevent the formation of kidney stones (Clark

et al., 2008; Jiang et al., 2006; Ohana, Shcheynikov, Moe, & Muallem, 2013). Similar to

SLC26A9, the protein acts in synergy with the chloride channel CFTR and was proposed to engage

in direct interaction and mutual regulation (Bertrand et al., 2017; Dorwart et al., 2008;

Shcheynikov, Ko, et al., 2006; Y. Wang et al., 2006). The stoichiometry of HCO_3^- and oxalate

transport by SLC26 is still controversial, as transport of both ions has been described in different

studies as either electrogenic or electroneutral (Chernova et al., 2005; Clark et al., 2008; Kim,

Myers, Sihn, Rafizadeh, & Zhang, 2013; Ohana, Yang, Shcheynikov, & Muallem, 2009;

Shcheynikov, Wang, et al., 2006; Xie, Welch, Mercado, Romero, & Mount, 2002). This question

is particularly pertinent in light of the divergent net charge of both substrates, with HCO_3^- being

monovalent and oxalate divalent under physiological conditions. Consequently, the structural

basis for the mechanistic distinction between the uncoupled SLC26A9 and the coupled SLC26A6

and the basis for their pronounced selectivity, with Cl^- acting as common substrate of both proteins,

has remained elusive.

To address these open questions, we here present a thorough structural and functional

characterization of the human transporter SLC26A6 combining cryo-electron microscopy with

electrophysiology and liposomal transport assays. With respect to its overall structure, SLC26A6

closely resembles its paralog SLC26A9 although it shows a distinct transport mechanism. The

protein mediates electroneutral Cl^-/ HCO_3^- and electrogenic Cl^-/oxalate exchange, which is in stark

contrast to the uncoupled Cl^- transport of SLC26A9 and which is accomplished by an altered ion

binding site located in the mobile core domain of the transmembrane transport unit.

Results

Functional characterization of SLC26A6

We have previously characterized the transport properties of murine SLC26A9 by patch clamp

electrophysiology and found comparably large and selective Cl⁻ currents. SLC26A9 currents were

shown to reverse at the Nernst potential of the conducting anion, which distinguishes the protein

as an uncoupled chloride transporter (Walter et al., 2019) (Figure 1A, Figure 1-Figure Supplement

1A). The high magnitude of these ohmic currents, which lack any time-dependence in response to

voltage changes, originate from an unusually active protein that transports anions with channel-

like properties (Figure 1-Figure Supplement 1A). SLC26A9 shows a lyotropic permeability

sequence that facilitates the transport of Cl⁻, isothiocyanate, I⁻ and nitrate but not sulfate or HCO_3^-

(Walter et al., 2019) (Figure 1A, Figure 1-Figure Supplement 1B). The inability to conduct HCO_3^-

is an exception in a family where most members work as transporters of this abundant anion (Alper

& Sharma, 2013; Ohana et al., 2009). To investigate mechanistic differences between this passive

Cl⁻ transporter and its paralogs functioning as coupled antiporters, we have turned our attention

towards the protein SLC26A6, which was proposed to mediate electrogenic Cl⁻/HCO_3^- exchange

(Ohana et al., 2009; Shcheynikov, Wang, et al., 2006). Hence, we initially attempted to use patch

clamp electrophysiology in the whole-cell configuration to characterize its transport properties.

However, unlike for SLC26A9, we did not detect specific currents that could be attributed to

SLC26A6 in a wide voltage range. This was irrespective of whether we used symmetric chloride

solutions or asymmetric conditions, where the major anion in the extracellular solution was

changed to bicarbonate (Figure 1B, Figure 1-Figure Supplement 1B). To confirm the expression

of SLC26A6 at the plasma membrane, we employed surface biotinylation and found a similar level

as observed for its electrogenic paralog SLC26A9 (Figure 1C). We thus concluded that the

transporter would either be inactive, exceedingly slow or that transport would be electroneutral as

a consequence of the strict 1:1 exchange of two monovalent anions.

Consequently, we turned to *in vitro* assays where we have reconstituted SLC26A6 into

proteoliposomes and monitored its activity with ion selective fluorophores. Initially we

investigated whether we would be able to detect any sign of uncoupled chloride flow by using a

fluorometric assay based on the fluorophore ACMA. This assay monitors pH changes following

the ionophore-mediated H^+ influx to compensate for the buildup of a membrane potential during

Cl^- uptake, which would enable us to observe transport even if the slow kinetics prevents a

characterization by electrophysiology. In this way, we have previously monitored SLC26A9-

mediated anion transport into proteoliposomes (Walter et al., 2019). However, in contrast to

SLC26A9, no acidification was observed for SLC26A6, emphasizing that the transporter is either

inactive or, alternatively, transport would be strictly coupled and electroneutral (Figure 1D).

Next, we were interested in whether Cl^- would be exchanged by HCO_3^- by a mechanism where the

charges of transported anions are balanced. To this end, we directly monitored Cl^- influx into

HCO_3^--loaded proteoliposomes using the fluorophore lucigenin, whose fluorescence is selectively

quenched by the former anion. In this assay, we found a robust time-dependent fluorescence

decrease at high Cl^- concentration, demonstrating its transport by SLC26A6 (Figure 1E, Figure 1-

figure Supplement 1C, D). The process did not require the addition of valinomycin to dissipate the

membrane potential, thus underlining that transport is electroneutral. In the investigation of the Cl^-

concentration-dependence of transport, we found a saturation with an apparent K_M of about 16-37

mM (Figure 1F, Figure 1-figure Supplement 1E). This indicated low affinity for the transported

anion is in the same range as observed for Cl^- transport in SLC26A9 (Walter et al., 2019).

Finally, we probed whether HCO_3^- itself would be a transported substrate. To this end, we

employed a novel HCO_3^--selective europium probe and incorporated this probe within

proteoliposomes to assay HCO_3^- influx (Figure 1G) (Martínez-Crespo et al., 2021). The addition

of 10 mM HCO_3^- to the outside in presence of a symmetric 200 mM concentration of Cl^- on both

sides of the membrane caused a pronounced increase in luminescence that is well above the small

non-specific signal observed in liposomes not containing any protein (Figure 1G). This increase is

substantially enhanced when using a reduced outside Cl^- concentration of 50 mM to generate an

outwardly directed driving force (Figure 1G). Together these experiments demonstrate that HCO_3^-

is a transported anion and that its import into proteoliposomes is coupled to the counterflow of Cl^-

. Taken together, our experiments underline the function of SLC26A6 as electroneutral coupled

Cl^-/HCO_3^- exchanger.

Besides HCO_3^-, SLC26A6 was also proposed to be involved in the transport of oxalate in exchange

for Cl^- and thus to participate in the excretion of this small divalent anion in both the intestine and

kidneys (Clark et al., 2008; Ohana et al., 2013). However, if assuming a coupled 1:1 stoichiometry

as observed in case of Cl^-/HCO_3^- exchange, the transported charge would in this case no longer be

balanced, thus converting an electroneutral into an electrogenic transport process. As there are no

suitable fluorophores for the selective detection of oxalate and since the small dicarboxylic acid

also interferes with the detection of Cl^- by lucigenin, we decided to investigate whether a

potentially electrogenic Cl^-/oxalate exchange could be assayed with the fluorophore ACMA

(Figure 1H, Figure 1-Figure Supplement 1F). In these experiments, we found a robust decrease of

the ACMA fluorescence upon the addition of extracellular oxalate to Cl^--loaded proteoliposomes

containing SLC26A6, with the concentration dependence and saturation of the fluorescence decay

further emphasizing a specific transport process (Figure 1H). We also attempted to follow

electrogenic Cl^-/oxalate exchange by patch clamp electrophysiology in the whole cell

configuration but did not measure pronounced currents that would be above background, likely

due to the slow kinetics of the process (Figure 1-Figure Supplement 1G, H). Together our

functional experiments characterize SLC26A6 as a strictly coupled transporter that exchanges Cl^-

with HCO_3^- and presumably also oxalate at a 1:1 stoichiometric ratio.

SLC26A6 structure

To reveal the molecular basis of the described transport properties of SLC26A6, we have

determined its structure by cryo-electron microscopy (cryo-EM). A dataset of the protein purified

in the detergent glycol-diosgenin (GDN) at 3.3 Å was of high quality and allowed the unambiguous

interpretation of the cryo-EM density by an atomic model (Figure 2A, Figure 2-figure supplements

1 and 2). In its organization, the SLC26A6 structure shows familiar features that have previously

been observed in various eukaryotic family members of known structure including human and

murine SLC26A9 (Chi et al., 2020; Walter et al., 2019), SLC26A5 (Bavi et al., 2021; Butan et al.,

2022; Futamata et al., 2022; Ge et al., 2021), SLC26A4 (Liu et al., 2022) and the plant transporter

AtSULTR4 (L. Wang et al., 2021) (Figure 2B, Figure 2-Figure Supplement 3). The SLC26A6

subunit consists of a membrane-inserted unit (TM) followed by a cytoplasmic STAS domain. In

the homodimeric protein, subunit interactions are predominantly formed by the domain-swapped

cytoplasmic parts including an extended N-terminal region and the C-terminal STAS domain,

whereas the few contacts between the transmembrane transport units are confined to the end of the

terminal membrane-spanning helix α14 (Figure 2B). A region connecting secondary structure

elements of the STAS domain (located between Cα1 and β4) termed the intervening sequence

(IVS) is present in the characterized protein. Previously, removal of this region increased both the

stability and surface expression of a construct of the murine SLC26A9 while retaining its transport

properties (Walter et al., 2019). In the density, the N-terminal part of the IVS folds into an α-helix

encompassing amino acids 569-593 (Cα^{IVS}) whereas the following 71 residues are unstructured

and thus not resolved in the density (Figure 2A). On the C-terminus of the IVS, the density re-

appears at Ser 655 at the region preceding β4, which interacts with Cα^{IVS} (Figure 2B, C). A

generally similar arrangement was also observed in a full-length construct of human SLC26A9

(Chi et al., 2020) (Figure 2D). In both structures, Cα^{IVS} runs about parallel to the membrane plane

and contacts the loop connecting α8 and α9 of the mobile core domain. This presumably influences

its conformational preferences thereby potentially impacting transport (Figure 2B-D).

The transmembrane domain

In the dimeric transporter, the two TMs share minimal contacts and thus supposedly function as

independent units (Figure 2B). Consequently, we expect structural differences underlying the

distinct transport properties of SLC26 paralogs to be manifested in this part of the protein. Like in

other pro- and eukaryotic family members of known structure, the TMs of SLC26A6 consist of

two topologically related repeats of seven transmembrane segments, which span the membrane

with opposite orientation (Figure 2-Figure Supplement 3B). Elements of both repeats are

intertwined to form two segregated modules, a gate domain and a core domain (Figure 3A). The

equivalence between the SLC26A9 and SLC26A6 conformations is illustrated in the overlay of

the respective TMs whose Cα positions superimpose with an RMSD of 1.3 Å (Figure 3B). Both

conformations represent inward-facing states of transporters presumably functioning by an

alternate access mechanism. The substrate binding site located in the center of the core domain is

accessible from the cytoplasm via a spacious aqueous cavity, whereas the extracellular exit is

sealed by extended contacts between core and gate domains (Figure 3C, D, Figure 3-figure

supplement 1A, B). The resemblance between individual sub-domains even exceeds the overall

similarity of the TM (with core- and gate domains superimposing with RMSDs of 1.13 Å and 1.2

Å, respectively) distinguishing them as largely independent units of a modular protein (Figure 3B).

In the comparison of both paralogs, the gate domains do not show pronounced differences (Figure

3B). Together with the cytoplasmic STAS domains, which constitute the bulk of the dimer

interface, these sub-domains form a contiguous rigid scaffold of the dimeric protein that

presumably remains static during transport (Figure 2B, Figure 3-figure supplement 1C). In

contrast, both core domains represent the mobile elements of the protein (Figure 3-figure

supplement 1D). Each core domain carries a substrate binding site located in a pocket at the center

of the unit facing the gate domain (Figures 3C, D and 4A). The presumable location of a bound

chloride ion is resolved in the structure of SLC26A6 at a low contour of the map (Figure 4B, Figure

2-figure supplement 2B). This position concurs with positions inferred from known structures of

paralogs, which show binding of Cl^- and HCO_3^- to an equivalent location (Chi et al., 2020;

Futamata et al., 2022; Ge et al., 2021; Liu et al., 2022) (Figure 4B, Figure 4-figure supplement 1).

The anion binding site is placed between the opposed short helices α3 and α10, which partly span

the membrane and whose N-termini are aligned to face each other in the center of the core domain

(Figure 4A). Together with the sidechains of surrounding residues, both α-helix termini form a

selective anion binding site (Figure 4B-G, Figure 4-figure supplement 1). In complex with its

bound cargo, the core domain is believed to shuttle as rigid unit between two extreme

conformations where the binding sites are either exposed to the cytoplasm or the extracellular

environment (Figure 3C, D, Figure 3-figure supplement 1D).

As carrier of the substrate binding site, the core domains presumably display the characteristic

features accounting for the distinct functional properties of SLC26A6 and SLC26A9. Their

conservation within the SLC26 family is illustrated in the comparison of the respective domains

of SLC26A6 and SLC26A5 (Prestin) (Figure 4C, Figure 4-figure supplement 1A, C, D, E, G).

Latter belongs to a protein that, instead of transporting ions, confers electromotility and which in

the best defined structure (PDBID 7LGU) resides in a distinct conformation where the substrate

binding site has become buried between core and gate domain (leading to an RMSD of 2.9 Å for

the entire TMD) (Ge et al., 2021). Despite the functional and conformational differences, the core

domains of both proteins superimpose with an RMSD of only 1.13 Å, illustrating their close

structural resemblance (Figure 4-figure supplement 1A, C). Although not working as transporter,

both proteins share similar ion binding properties that extends to Cl^- and HCO_3^-, latter of which is

not a substrate of SLC26A9 (Walter et al., 2019). In contrast to the general similarity with

SLC26A5, the comparison of the same units of SLC26A6 and SLC26A9 shows distinct features

that likely underlie the differences in substrate selectivity and transport mechanism (Figure 4-

figure supplement 1B, C). These are confined to the conformation of α10, which at its N-terminus

forms direct interactions with transported ions, whereas the remainder of the domain is very similar

(Figure 4-figure supplement 1B, C). The difference in the conformations of this short membrane-

inserted helix can be approximated by a 12-14º rotation of α10 of SLC26A9 around an axis located

at its C-terminal end towards α-helix 1 (Figure 4F, Figure 4-figure supplement 1B, C). The

replacement of residues within the contact region of SLC26A9 in comparison to other family

members acts to lower their side chain volume at the contact region between both helices (Figure

4C-F). These include Ser 96 and Val 100 on SLC26A6, which are both replaced by glycines at

equivalent positions in SLC26A9 (i.e., Gly 77 and Gly 81) and a substitution of Val 407, Gln 408

and Thr 411 by Ala 396, Val 397 and Ala 400 (Figure 4C-F). In addition to the described

substitutions, there are also differences in the side-chains surrounding the ion binding site, which

together determine the size and polarity of the substrate binding pocket (Figure 4C, G-I). These

differences extend to Ser 401 of SLC26A6, which is replaced by an alanine in SLC26A9 (Ala

390), and most prominent, the large Arg 404 of SLC26A6, which is replaced by Val 393 in

SLC26A9 (Figure 4C, D-I, Figure 4-figure supplement 1D-G). As a result, the pocket is

considerably deeper in SLC26A9 compared SLC26A6, where it is delimited by the side chain of

Arg 404 that interacts with the residues preceding α helix 3 to form the back side of the shallow

binding site (Figure 4D-I, Figure 4-figure supplement 1D-H). In addition to its structural role, Arg

404 likely also contributes to the stabilization of bound anions by coulombic interactions, with its

guanidium group potentially engaging in direct interactions with bicarbonate or oxalate. The

altered geometry of the site leads to the binding of Cl$^-$ deeper in the pocket in case of SLC26A9

(Figure 4G-I, Figure 4-figure supplement 1H) and presumably explains why bicarbonate interacts

with SLC26A6 but not SLC26A9.

Structure-function relationships

Whereas our functional data has defined SLC26A6 as a coupled antiporter that exchanges Cl$^-$ with

either HCO$_3^-$ or oxalate at equimolar stoichiometry, its structure has revealed the architecture of a

transport protein in an inward-facing conformation where the transport properties are presumably

determined by the detailed organization of the mobile core domain. This protein module shows

pronounced structural differences compared to the equivalent unit of the uncoupled transporter

SLC26A9, resulting in a remodeled anion binding site, which in SLC26A6 is lined by a conserved

basic residue (i.e., Arg 404) that is ubiquitous in other mammalian paralogs (Figure 4C and 5A).

We thus decided to mutate this position to the amino acid found in SLC26A9 and to characterize

the transport properties of the SLC26A6 mutant R404V. This mutation is well-tolerated and does

not interfere with protein integrity as judged by its expression level and biochemical properties.

We initially investigated whether SLC26A6 R404V would conduct ions as a consequence of

compromised coupling properties and thus studied the protein by patch-clamp electrophysiology.

In whole-cell patch clamp experiments, we detected small currents in symmetric Cl^- solutions,

which are low compared to SLC26A9, despite the comparable expression of the mutant at the cell-

surface (Figure 5B-D). Irrespective of their magnitude, these currents are indicative for the

capability of the protein to mediate electrogenic Cl^- transport. We thus turned to our

proteoliposome-based ACMA assay to confirm that sidechain replacement has indeed conferred

the ability to SLC26A6 to pass downhill Cl^- transport (Figure 5E). After demonstrating uncoupled

chloride transport, we tested whether the mutant has retained its ability of mediating coupled Cl^-

/HCO_3^- exchange using the fluorophore lucigenin but did not find pronounced Cl^- flux in this case

(Figure 5F, G). Together our data suggest that the mutation of a conserved basic position in the

ion binding site has compromised the ability of SLC26A6 to mediate coupled Cl^-/HCO_3^- exchange

and instead facilitated uncoupled Cl^- transport with slow kinetics. While these findings underline

the importance of Arg 404 for anion interactions and coupling, they also emphasize that the

mutation of a single residue is insufficient to confer the complete functional phenotype of

SLC26A9 as a fast uncoupled Cl^- conductor. Nevertheless, our results further underline the

importance of the anion binding site in SLC26 transporters for the transport mechanism of its

members.

Discussion

By combining structural data obtained by cryo-EM with electrophysiology and transport assays,

our study has elucidated the previously unknown architecture of SLC26A6, defined its transport

properties and revealed the features that underlie the mechanistic distinction to the paralog

SLC26A9. By using a novel HCO_3^- selective europium probe (Martínez-Crespo et al., 2021), we

have directly demonstrated the transport of this important physiological anion (Figure 1G). We

have further clarified the controversy concerning the transport mechanism of SLC26A6 (Ohana et

al., 2009) by detecting a strict equimolar exchange of anions binding to a conserved site in the

mobile core domain of the transmembrane transport unit (Figure 4B, H). Consequently, this

exchange is electroneutral in case of the monovalent HCO_3^- and electrogenic in case of the divalent

oxalate (Figure 1E-H).

Regarding their function, SLC26A6 and SLC26A9 show distinct features with respect to substrate

selectivity and the general transport mechanism (Ohana et al., 2009). Remarkably, both proteins

are capable of interacting with Cl^- with similar mM affinity (Figure 1F) (Walter et al., 2019).

However, they do this via distinct interactions in equivalent binding regions. In SLC26A9, Cl^-

binds in a deep pocket with low field strength, whereas the same pocket is shallower in SLC26A6,

where a conserved arginine (Arg 404) increases the positive electrostatic potential and thus likely

stabilizes the bound anion (Figures 4H, I and 6). Together with the presence of additional residues

at the binding site (i.e., Ser 401 at the N-terminal end of α11), the captured Cl^- in SLC26A6 thus

can rely on a larger density of polar interactions (Figure 4-extended data fig. 1E, F). The same site

likely also provides a suitable environment for HCO_3^- and oxalate, neither of which are substrates

of SLC26A9 (Dorwart et al., 2007; Walter et al., 2019). A similar anion interaction observed in

SLC26A6 is also found in the paralogs SLC26A4 (Pendrin) (Liu et al., 2022) and SLC26A5

(Prestin) (Futamata et al., 2022; Ge et al., 2021), which both share a comparable substrate

preference. The similarity between the core domains of SLC26A6 and Prestin is remarkable in

light of the altered function of the latter, which is no longer capable of transport and instead

operates as motor protein in cochlear outer hair cells of mammals (Zheng et al., 2000). While to

some degree Prestin undergoes comparable conformational changes as other family members, as

illustrated in the similarity of the structures of intermediate conformations of SLC26A9 and

SLC26A5, the full transition into an outward-facing conformation to release the bound anion to

the extracellular environment appears in this case to be prohibited (Bavi et al., 2021; Ge et al.,

2021).

Besides the absence of a residue carrying a positive charge, the altered binding site in SLC26A9

is also a consequence of concerted replacements of interacting amino acids on α1 and α10, which

change the immediate environment of the bound ion and allow for a reorientation of the partly

inserted helix α10 whose N-terminus contributes part of the interactions (Figure 4C, D).

Collectively, these changes presumably lead to the altered ion selectivity. The importance of the

described anion binding site for the transport mechanism is illustrated in the point mutation R404V

in SLC26A6. The replacement of this basic residue, which was considered a hallmark in the

distinction between SLC26A9 and other family members (Walter et al., 2019) has compromised

coupled Cl^-/ HCO_3^- exchange and instead mediates uncoupled Cl^- transport, although with slower

kinetics than SLC26A9 (Figures 5B-G, 6).

From a mechanistic viewpoint SLC26A9 and SLC26A6 are distinguished by the sequence of

conformations that together define the transport cycle (Figure 6). In the uncoupled SLC26A9, the

transporter is able to transition between inward- and outward facing states at a high rate, which

underlies the pronounced currents observed in patch clamp experiments (Figure 6B, Figure 1-

figure supplement 1A). The same change of the access in an unloaded conformation is kinetically

unfavorable in the coupled exchanger SLC26A6, as illustrated in the lack of current in

electrophysiological recordings and the absence of a Cl^- leak in a vesicle-based assay (Figures 1B-

D and 6A, Figure 1-figure supplement 1B). The basis for the distinctive kinetic features of both

paralogs is currently still unclear, and we do at this stage not exclude the existence of a leaky

channel-like conformation in SLC26A9. Such a conformation could potentially promote Cl^- flow

across a continuous aqueous pore without obligatory protein movement, although there is currently

no structural evidence for its existence. The nature of the energy barrier, which prevents the

shuttling of the unloaded state of SLC26A6 and other paralogs with similar functional phenotype

(*i.e.*, the transporters SLC26A1-4) has thus far remained elusive. It is likely that conformational

changes are dictated by interactions between the mobile core domain with the immobile gate

domain, which are both believed to operate as semi-independent entities (Geertsma et al., 2015;

Walter et al., 2019). Remarkably, both units bury a similar contact area in the inward-facing

conformations of SLC26A6 and SLC26A9 and do not exhibit pronounced differences in their

interactions (Figure 3-figure supplement 1A, B). Additional contacts in SLC26A6 are established

with the structured N-terminal part of the IVS region of the STAS domain of the interacting

subunit, which appear to further stabilize the observed inward-facing conformation (Figure 2C).

Similar interactions have also been found in the full-length transporter SLC26A9 (Chi et al., 2020),

which, next to the increased surface expression, could account for the strongly increased activity

observed in the construct SLC26A9[T] where the IVS sequence of the protein was removed (Walter

et al., 2019). Besides the effect of the IVS, also the truncation of the C-terminus of SLC26A9

might have contributed to this high activity. These unstructured C-termini are weakly conserved

and contain a PDZ binding motif at its end, which is believed to tether either transporter to potential

partners such as the anion channel CFTR or the Na^+ dependent citrate carrier SLC13A2 via

interacting scaffolding proteins (Ohana et al., 2013; Shcheynikov, Ko, et al., 2006). In the isoform

b of SLC26A9, a C-terminal extension was located in the aqueous cavity leading to the substrate

binding site in the inward-facing conformation of SLC26A9, thereby locking the transporter in the

observed conformation (Chi et al., 2020) (Figure 2-Figure Supplement 3A). There is no similar

extension found in any SLC26A6 isoforms, and the role of this interaction in a cellular

environment is currently unclear, although it hints at a possible regulation in SLC26A9.

Collectively our study has provided key insight into the structural basis for substrate selectivity

and the mechanistic distinction between uncoupled and coupled transporters of the SLC26 family

and thus provides a foundation for future investigations.

Material and Methods

Key resources table

Reagent type	Designation	Source or reference	Identifiers	Additional information
Chemical compound, drug	HyClone HyCell TransFx-H medium	Cytiva	SH30939.02	
Chemical compound, drug	1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphoethanolamine (16:0-18:1 PE POPG)	Avanti Polar Lipids	850757C	
Chemical compound, drug	1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-glycero-3-phosphocholine (16:0-18:1 PC (POPC)	Avanti Polar Lipids	850457C	
Chemical compound, drug	Cholesterol	Sigma	C8667	
Chemical compound, drug	Triton X-100	Sigma	T9284	

Chemical compound, drug	Pepstatin A	Axon lab	A2205.0100	
Chemical compound, drug	Phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF)	Sigma	PMSF-RO	
Chemical compound, drug	Leupeptin	AppliChem	A2183,0100	
Chemical compound, drug	Benzamidine	Sigma	B6506	
Chemical compound, drug	Chloroform	Fluka	25690	
Chemical compound, drug	GDN	Anatrace	GDN101	
Chemical compound, drug	Diethyl ether	Sigma	296082	
Chemical compound, drug	DNase I	AppliChem	A3778	
Chemical compound, drug	Glycerin, Glycerol 86%	Roth	4043.3	
Chemical compound, drug	HCl	Merck Millipore	1.00319.1000	
Chemical compound, drug	HEPES	Sigma	H3375	
Chemical compound, drug	[Eu.L1]$^{+}$	Loughborough University (Dr SJ Butler)	N/A	
Chemical compound, drug	ACMA	Thermofischer Scientific	A1324	

Chemical compound, drug	CCCP	Sigma	C2759	
Chemical compound, drug	*N,N′*-Dimethyl-9,9′-biacridinium-dinitrat (Lucigenin)	Sigma	M8010	
Chemical compound, drug	Phosphate buffered saline	Sigma	D8537	
Chemical compound, drug	Strep-Tactin® Superflow® high capacity resin	IBA	2-1208-010	
Chemical compound, drug	D-desthiobiotin	Sigma	D1411	
Chemical compound, drug	Kolliphor P188	Sigma	K4894	
Chemical compound, drug	L-glutamine	Sigma	G7513	
Chemical compound, drug	Penicillin-streptomycin	Sigma	P0781	
Chemical compound, drug	Fetal bovine serum	Sigma	F7524	
Chemical compound, drug	Polyethylenimine 25 K MW, linear	Polysciences	23966–1	
Chemical compound, drug	40kDa linear PEI MAX	Polysciences	24765-1	
Chemical compound, drug	Valproic acid	Sigma	P4543	
Chemical compound, drug	Calcium Chloride	Sigma	223506	

Chemical compound, drug	Magnesium Chloride	Fluka	63,065	
Chemical compound, drug	Potassium chloride	Sigma	746346	
Chemical compound, drug	Sodium chloride	Sigma	71380	
Chemical compound, drug	Terrific broth	Sigma	T9179	
Chemical compound, drug	Mouse-anti-myc primary antibody	Sigma	M4439	
Chemical compound, drug	Peroxidase AffiniPure Goat Anti-Mouse IgG (H+L)	Jackson ImmunoResearch	115-035-003	RRID: AB 10015289
Commercial assay or kit	4–20% Mini-PROTEAN® TGX™ Precast Protein Gels, 15-well, 15 µl	BioRad Laboratories	4561096DC	
Commercial assay or kit	Amicon Ultra-4 Centrifugal Filters Ultracel 100K, 4 ml	Merck Millipore	UFC810024	
Commercial assay or kit	Borosilicate glass capillary with filament Sutter	Instrument	BF150-86-10HP	
Commercial assay or kit	0.22 µm Ultrafree-MC Centrifugal Filtfer	EMD Millipore	UFC30GV	
Commercial assay or kit	Biobeads SM-2 adsorbents	BioRad Laboratories	152-3920	
Commercial assay or kit	Avestin Extruder kit	Sigma	Z373400	

Commercial assay or kit	Pierce™ Cell Surface Biotinylation and Isolation Kit	Thermofischer Scientific	A44390	
Commercial assay or kit	Amersham ECL Prime Western Blotting Detection Reagent	Cytiva	RPN2232	
Commercial assay or kit	Polycarbonate Membranes 400nm	Sigma	610007	
Commercial assay or kit	Polycarbonate Membranes 50nm	Sigma	610003	
Commercial assay or kit	96-well black walled microplates	Thermofischer Scientific	M33089	
Commercial assay or kit	384-well black microplate flat-bottom	Grenier	781076	
Commercial assay or kit	Quantifoil R1.2/1.3 Au 200 Mesh	Electron Microscopy Sciences	Q2100AR1.3	
Commercial assay or kit	Superose 6 10/300 GL	Cytiva	17517501	
Other	BioQuantum Energy Filter	Gatan	N/A	
Other	HPL6	Maximator	N/A	
Other	K3 Direct Detector	Gatan	N/A	
Other	Titan Krios G3i	ThermoFisher Scientific	N/A	

Other	Viber Fusion FX7 imaging system	Witec	N/A	
Other	TECAN M1000 Infinite	TECAN	N/A	
Other	TECAN SPARK	TECAN	N/A	
Other	Vitrobot Mark IV	ThermoFisher Scientific	N/A	
Cell line	HEK293 GnTi- & HEK293T	ATCC	CRL-3022 & CRL-1573	
recombinant DNA	Mammalian expression vector with C-terminal 3C cleavage site, venus tag, myc tag, SBP tag.	Dutzler laboratory	N/A	
recombinant DNA	Mus musculus SLC26A9 ORF shuttle clone	BioScience	GenBank BC160193	
recombinant DNA	Homo sapiens SLC26A6 cDNA clone	BioScience	GenBank BC017697	
recombinant protein	HRV 3C protease	Expressed (pET_3C) and purified in Dutzler laboratory	N/A	
Software, algorithm	ASTRA7.2	Wyatt Technology	https://www.wyatt.com/products/software/astra.html	RRID: SCR_016255
Software, algorithm	ChimeraX 1.4	Pettersen et al., 2021	https://www.rbvi.ucsf.edu/chimerax/	RRID: SCR_015872
Softwate, algorithm	Biorender		https://app.biorender.com/biorender-templates	

Software, algorithm	Coot v.0.9.4	Emsley et al., 2010	https://www2.mrc-lmb.cam.ac.uk/personal/pemsley/coot/	RRID: SCR_014222
Software, algorithm	cryoSPARC v3.2.0-4.0	Structura Biotechnology Inc.	https://cryosparc.com/	RRID: SCR_016501
Software, algorithm	DINO		http://www.dino3d.org	RRID: SCR_013497
Software, algorithm	EPU2.9	ThermoFisher Scientific	N/A	
Software, algorithm	Phenix	Liebschner et al., 2019	https://www.phenix-online.org/	RRID: SCR_014224
Software, algorithm	DeepEMhancer	Sanchez-Garcia et al., 2021	https://github.com/rsanchezgarc/deepEMhancer	
Software, algorithm	JALVIEW	Waterhouse et al., 2009		
Software, algorithm	Muscle	Edgar, 2004		
Software, algorithm	Axon Clampex 10.6	Molecular Devices	N/A	
Software, algorithm	Axon Clampfit 10.6	Molecular Devices	N/A	
Software, algorithm	Prism 9	GraphPad	N/A	
Strain	E. coli MC1061	ThermoFisher Scientific	C66303	

Construct Generation

The open reading frame of human SLC26A6 (isoform 3) (GenBank accession number: BC017697) and mouse SLC26A9 (GenBank accession number: BC160193) was amplified from a cDNA clone (Source BioScience) and cloned into into a pcDNA 3.1 vector (Invitrogen) modified to be compatible with the FX-cloning (Geertsma & Dutzler, 2011). The truncated murine SLC26A9 construct (SLC26A9T) was generated as previously detailed (Walter et al., 2019). All FX-modified expression constructs contained a C-terminal C3 protease cleavage site, venus YFP, myc-tag and streptavidin-binding protein (SBP). To introduce the R404V mutation to hSLC26A6, the QuickChange (Aligent) mutagenesis method was used with the following primers: Forward: 5'-GCT CTA TGT CTG TGA GCC TGG TAC-3' and Reverse: 5'-GTA CCA GGC TCA CAG ACA TAG AGC-3'.

Protein Expression

Suspension HEK HEK293S GnTI$^-$ cells (ATCC CRL-3022) were grown and maintained in HyClone TransFx-H (GE Healthcare) media supplemented with 1% FBS, 1% Kolliphor P188, 100 U ml^{-1} penicillin/streptomycin & 2mM Glutamine. Cells were incubated at 37 ^{o}C & 5% CO_2 in TubeSpin Bioreactor 600 vessels (TPP) and shaken at 185 rpm.

For transient transfections, cells were split for transfection 24 h prior from a cell density of 1-1.5 10^6 ml^{-1} to 0.4-0.6 10^6 ml^{-1}. A ratio of 1.2µg Plasmid DNA per 10^6 cells and 40kDa linear PEI MAX (Polysciences) transfection reagent were utilised for protein expression. On the day of transfection, a 10µg.ml^{-1} DNA dilution was incubated in non-supplemented DMEM media (Sigma). Linear PEI MAX was incubated with DNA to a ratio of 1:3 (w/w) from a 1 mg ml^{-1} stock.

After a 15-minute incubation, the DNA-PEI mix was added to suspension cells and co-incubated 3mM valproic acid (VPA). Cells were harvested after 48 h expression and flash-frozen in liquid N_2 prior to storage at -80 $^{\circ}$C.

Protein Purification

For protein purification, all protocols were performed at 4°C. Cell pellets were thawed and mixed with extraction buffer (25 mM HEPES, pH 7.4, 200 mM NaCl, 5% glycerol, 2 mM $CaCl_2$, 2 mM $MgCl_2$, 2% GDN, benzamidine 1 mM, leupeptin 10 μM, pepstatin A 1 μM, PMSF 100 μM). Cell lysis was incubated for 2 h at 4 $^{\circ}$C prior to ultracentrifugation (85,0000 g, 30 min, 4 $^{\circ}$C) to remove insoluble material. Lysate was filtered (5μm filter, Sartorius) prior to binding to Streptactin Superflow high-capacity resin (IBA Lifesciences) equilibrated in wash buffer (25 mM HEPES, pH 7.4, 200 mM NaCl, 5% glycerol, 0.02% GDN) for 2 h.

After discarding the flow-through of clarified lysate through gravity flow, Streptactin resin was washed with 40 column volumes (CV) wash buffer and SBP-tagged protein was eluted using wash buffer supplemented with 10 mM desthiobiotin (Sigma). Eluate was concentrated to 500 μl using a 100 KDa MWCO concentrator (amicon), pre-equilibrated in wash buffer. C-terminal purification and detection tags were cleaved by 3C protease at a 2:1 protease:protein mass ratio. Prior to size-exclusion chromatography, samples were passed through a 0.22 μm filter (Millipore). Samples were injected on the Äkta prime plus chromatography system (Cytivia) and separated on a Superose 6 10/300 column (GE Healthcare) in SEC buffer (10 mM HEPES, pH 7.4, 200 mM NaCl, 0.02% GDN). Protein containing peak samples were collected and used for cryo-EM sample preparation at a 2 mg ml^{-1} concentration or used immediately for liposome reconstitution.

Surface biotinylation

Adherent HEK293T cells were transfected at 80% confluency were transfected with 10 µg of plasmid encoding SLC26A6 WT and R404V constructs fused with a c-terminal SBP-Myc-Venus tag per 10 cm culture dish. Transfection was performed using PEI MAX at a DNA:PEI ratio of 1:4. The Pierce™ Cell Surface Biotinylation and Isolation Kit (Themo Fisher) was used according to manufacturer's guidelines. Cells were washed with PBS from 10 ml culture per construct and biotinylated following 24 h of expression using EZ-Link Sulfo-NHS-SS-Biotin. Quenching was then performed using 750 µl quenching buffer and cells were harvested. Following a PBS wash-step and centrifugation at 1000 g, cells were re-suspended and lysed in 200 µl extraction buffer (25 mM HEPES, pH 7.4, 200 mM NaCl, 5% glycerol, 2 mM $CaCl_2$, 2 mM $MgCl_2$, 2% GDN, benzamidine 1 mM, leupeptin 10 µM, pepstatin A 1 µM, PMSF 100 µM) by gentle agitation for 1 h at 4 °C. Insoluble fractions were removed by centrifugation at 50,000 g for 30 min and supernatant was incubated with 200 µl Neutravidin agarose slurry pre-equilibrated in wash buffer (25 mM HEPES, pH 7.4, 200 mM NaCl, 5% glycerol, 0.02% GDN) for 1 h at 4°C. The resin was washed 3 times with 200 µl of wash buffer and surface-biotinylated proteins were eluted by incubation with 200 µl wash buffer containing 50 mM fresh DTT for 1 h at 4 °C with gentle mixing of the sample. 20 µl of input, flow-through and surface-eluted samples were separated by SDS-PAGE. Samples were then transferred to a PVDF membrane and analyzed by Western blot using a mouse-anti-myc primary antibody (Sigma) and HRP-coupled goat-anti-mouse secondary antibody (Jackson ImmunoResearch). Antibodies were diluted 1:10,000 in TBS-T with 5% skimmed milk. Chemoluminescence signal was developed using ECL prime reagent (Cytiva) and imaged using a Fusion FX7 imaging system (Vilber).

Liposome Reconstitution

For liposome reconstitutions using the [Eu.L1$^+$] bicarbonate-selective probe, lipid preparation was performed on the same day as protein purification. POPE:Cholesterol lipids (7:3 w/w ratio, Avanti) were pooled in a round-bottom flask and initially dried under N$_2$ flow to form a lipid film. Lipids were further dried under vacuum for 1 h prior to re-hydration with [Eu.L1$^+$] buffer (50 µM [Eu.L1$^+$], 200 mM NaCl, 5 mM HEPES, pH 7.4). The resulting suspension was gently sonicated and stirred for 2 h to generate a homogeneous mixture. Ten freeze-thaw cycles were performed to generate multilamellar liposomes before extrusion 29 times through x2 polycarbonate 400 nm filters to give unilamellar liposomes.

For protein incorporation, incremental 10 µl additions of 10% Triton X-100 (w/v) were performed and monitoring of absorbance at 540 nm was used to detect liposome destabilization. After the formation of stabilized liposomes, purified SLC26A6 was added at an lipid-to-protein ratio (LPR) of 50:1. To counteract [Eu.L1$^+$] probe leakage, an additional equimolar 50 µM [Eu.L1$^+$] was added. The subsequent mixture was gently rotated at RT for 20 min prior to an addition of 250 mg SM-2 Bio-Beads (Bio-Rad) per 5 ml of sample. The sample was then incubated for 30 min at RT prior to incubation at 4 °C. Bio-Bead additions were performed over a three-day period every 24 h. Biobeads were removed by gravity filtration and the sample was used immediately for assay measurements.

For liposome reconstitutions for the Lucigenin and ACMA assays, a similar procedure was used with the following adjustments. POPE:POPG lipids (3:1 w/w) were first pooled in a round-bottom flask and washed with diethyl ether prior to drying under N$_2$ for 1.5 h. Lipids were then dissolved

to 20 mg/ml in 10 mM HEPES, 150 mM KCl prior to sonication to form a homogeneous mixture.

After three freeze-thaw cycles the sample was frozen in liquid N_2 and stored at -80 °C until further

use. Purified SLC6A9T was incorporated utilizing the triton-destabilisation method previously

detailed at a LPR of 80:1 (w/w). Both SLC26A6 wildtype and the R404V mutant were

incorporated at a 50:1 (w/w) ratio. Subsequently, successful incorporation was confirmed by SDS-

PAGE gel quantification. For all reconstitution procedures, mock empty liposomes lacking any

purified protein were generated using the same protocols.

[Eu.L1$^+$] Bicarbonate Transport Assay

For all measurements of HCO_3^- transport, buffers used were degassed to remove atmospheric CO_2.

After Bio-Bead removal via gravity filtration, proteoliposomes were pelleted and the excess

[Eu.L1$^+$] probe (Martínez-Crespo et al., 2021) washed by sequential ultracentrifugation and

resuspension steps in outside buffer (200 mM NaCl, 5 mM HEPES, pH 7.4). Where 50 mM

internal NaCl was required, liposomes were pelleted by ultracentrifugation and resuspended in

asymmetric internal buffer (50 µM [Eu.L1$^+$], 50 mM NaCl, 5 mM HEPES, pH7.4). Liposomes

were further subjected to five freeze-thaw cycles and extruded 29 times using 400 nm

polycarbonate filters. Sequential ultracentrifugation wash steps were performed before

resuspension in outside buffer.

For measurements of electroneutral Cl^-/ HCO_3^- exchange, 100 µl of SLC26A6 proteoliposomes

were added to a 96-well flat bottom black plate (Grenier). Prior to measurement, liposome samples

were gently bubbled under N_2 for 2 min to remove atmospheric CO_2 and residual HCO_3^- from

samples. Following the recording of a baseline emission for 20 cycles (lasting 0.5 s each), 10 mM

HCO$_3^-$ was added and normalized [Eu.L1+] emission (excitation = 332, emission= 617) was determined following lysis of liposomes by 0.4 % Triton after cycle 300. Time gating of the recorded emission was performed using a 150μs lag-time between excitation and signal integration with an overall 850μs integration time. HCO$_3^-$ transport by was measured in the presence of both four-fold NaCl gradient (200 mM inside/50 mM outside) and symmetrical conditions (200 mM inside/200 mM outside).

ACMA Assay

To compare uncoupled chloride properties of SLC26A6 to SLC26A9, proteoliposomes were prepared to obtain an internal buffer concentration of 50 mM Na$_2$SO$_4$, 10 mM HEPES, pH 7. Proteoiposomes were sonicated in 20 µl aliquots to form unilamellar vesicles prior to dilution into 9-amino-6-chloro-2-methoxyacridine (ACMA) assay buffer (2 µM ACMA, 75 mM NaCl, 10 mM HEPES, pH 7.4). 100 µl aliquots were added to a 96-well plate and after a baseline measurement period for 13 cycles (0.5 s per cycle), the protonophore carbonyl cyanide 3-chlorophenylhydrazone (CCCP) was added to dissipate the membrane potential and permit transporter-mediated anion-movement. ACMA fluorescence (excitation = 412 nm and emission = 482 nm), as a determinant of Cl$^-$ transport, was measured using the Tecan Infinite M1000 Pro Plate Reader over 495 seconds or using the Tecan Spark Plate reader over 1,800 s. Data are normalized to the point of CCCP addition.

To measure electrogenic oxalate transport, the same protocol was applied with the following exception: SLC26A6 liposomes consisted of an internal concentration of 150 mM KCl, 10 mM

507   HEPES, pH 7.4. 40 µl aliquots were added to a to a black 384-well plate (Grenier) and ACMA

508   fluorescence was measured on a TECAN Spark Plate Reader over 1,200 s.

Lucigenin Assay

For measurement of anion transport mediated by Cl^-/ HCO_3^- exchange, the halide-sensitive

lucigenin dye (400 µM) was incorporated into proteoliposomes containing either SLC26A6 or

SLC26A6 R404V mutant and empty mock liposomes (all with a POPE:POPG composition of 3:1

w/w) in 200 mM HCO_3^-, 10 mM HEPES, pH 7.4. To permit fluorophore incorporation, liposomes

were diluted in the above buffer and three freeze-thaw cycles were performed prior to extrusion

(17 times) using 50 nm polycarbonate filters. Sequential ultracentrifugation and resuspension in

assay buffer containing no lucigenin dye were performed to remove excess exterior lucigenin. 40

µl aliquots were transferred to a black 384-well plate and after 20 cycles (0.2 second per cycle), 0

to 240 mM NaCl diluted in assay buffer was added. Quenching of lucigenin fluorescence

(excitation = 430 nm, emission = 505 nm) was measured as a determinant of chloride influx for

300 cycles prior to lysis by 0.4% Triton. Data are normalized to the point of NaCl addition and

corrected for signal observed under mock liposome conditions.

Electrophysiology

For electrophysiology recordings, adherent HEK293T cells (ATCC) were maintained in DMEM

(Gibco) media and incubated at 37 °C and 5% CO_2. Media was supplemented with 10% FBS, 1

mM L-glutamine, 4.5 g l^{-1} Glucose, 1 mM sodium pyruvate and 100 U ml^{-1} penicillin/streptomycin

(Sigma). Cells were transfected at between 40-60% confluency and split into 60 x 15 mm dishes

(Corning) the day prior to transfection. Plasmid DNA was transfected at a concentration of 5 µg

DNA together with linear PEI (25 kDa) at a ratio of 1:2.5 (w/w) DNA to PEI. DNA and PEI were

mixed in non-supplemented media, incubated at RT for 10 min and added dropwise to cells with

3 mM VPA. Venus-fused constructs of human SLC26A6 and mouse SLC26A9 were used for

recordings to allow for detection of expression through fluorescence microscopy. Cells were

recorded from between 16-30 h post-transfection.

Patch pipettes were formed from pulled and polished borosilicate glass capillaries (OD = 1.5 mm,

ID = 0.86 mm, Sutter). When backfilled with 150 mM CsCl, patch pipettes yielded a resistance of

between 2-4 MΩ. For voltage-clamp experiments, currents were recorded with an Axopatch 200B

amplifier and digitized using a Digidata 1440A A/D converter. Signals were filtered at 5 kHz and

sampled at 20 kHz prior to acquisition with Clampex 10.6 (Molecular Devices). Recorded cell

capacitance upon break-in varied between 10-30 pF with series resistance <10 MΩ and calculated

liquid junction potentials (JPCalcW, Molecular Devices) never surpassed 5 mV.

For whole-cell patch-clamp experiments, the intracellular pipette solution consisted of 146 mM

CsCl, 2 mM MgCl2, 5 mM EGTA, 10 mM HEPES and pH 7.4. Extracellular bath solutions

consisted of either 146 mM CsCl, 2 mM MgCl2, 5 mM EGTA, 10 mM HEPES or 150 mM

CsHCO$_3$/150 mM Cs$_2$Oxalate, 2mM Mg(OAc)$_2$, 5 mM EGTA, 10 mM HEPES with pH adjusted

using either CsOH or methanesulfonate. The pH of HCO$_3^-$ was monitored to ensure the production

of CO$_2$ and decline of HCO$_3^-$ never exceeded 20%. All acquired electrophysiology data were

analyzed using Clampfit 11.0.3 (Molecular Devices), Excel (Microsoft), and GraphPad Prism 9

(GraphPad).

Cryo-EM Data Acquisition

To proceed with cryo-EM structural determination, 2.5 µl samples of GDN-purified SLC26A6 was applied to glow-discharged holey carbon grids (Quantifoil R1.2/1.3 Au 200 mesh) at a concentration of 2 mg ml^{-1}. Blotting of the sample (3-6 s) was performed at 4 $^{\circ}$C at a relative humidity of 75% and grids were flash-frozen in liquid propane-ethane mix with a Vitrobot Mark IV (Thermo Fisher Scientific). A total of 11,962 images from two combined datasets were collected on a 300 kV Titan Krios G3i using a 100 µm objective aperture. Data were collected in super-resolution mode using a post-column quantum energy filter (Gatan) with a 20 eV slit and K3 Gatan direct detector. Data were acquired using EPU2.7 with aberration-free image shift (AFIS) and a defocus range of -0.8 to -2.4. The dataset was recorded using a pixel size of 0.651 Å/pixel (0.3225 Å/pixel in super-resolution mode) with a total exposure time of 1s (38 individual frames) and a dose of 1.696 e^{-}/Å^{2}/frame. The total electron dose for the specimen was 61 e^{-}/Å^{2} for dataset 1 and 67 e^{-}/Å^{2} for dataset 2.

Cryo-EM data processing

Cryo-EM data were processed using CryoSPARC v3.2.0-4.0 (Punjani, Rubinstein, Fleet, & Brubaker, 2017) (Figure 2—figure supplement 1). All movies were subjected to motion correction using patch motion correction . Following patch CTF estimation, high quality micrographs were identified based on relative ice thickness, CTF resolution estimation and total full frame motion. 1,749,907 particles were picked following the generation of 2D templates for automated template picking. Subsequently, picked particles were binned x2.4 using a box-size of 360 pixels for 2D classification (pixel size 1.56 Å/pixel). Following three rounds of 2D classifications, particles were

selected based upon visual inspection. Classes were selected that displayed general structural

features characteristic for the SLC26 family in various orientations. From this, 256,581 particles

were used to generate a 'good' ab initio model and a portion of rejected particles (16,030 particles)

were used to generate a 'junk' class. 967,713 selected particles from 2D classification were

subjected to heterogenous refinement using the selected good models as a 'template' and the junk

model as a 'decoy' model. After several rounds of heterogenous refinement, the selected 507,174

particles and associated heterogeneously refined map were subjected to multiple rounds of non-

uniform refinement with imposed C2 symmetry. Following iterative rounds of non-uniform and

local CTF refinement a reconstruction of a nominal resolution at 3.55 Å was generated. These

particles were then subjected to a 4-class ab initio for further sorting resulting in one good map

comprised of 173,834 particles. Particles were re-extracted with a bin-1 pixel size of 0.651 Å/pixel

using 432 pixel-sized box and subjected to non-uniform refinement prior to a final 3-class ab initio

resulting in a single good map comprised of 93,169 particles. Multiple rounds of non-uniform

refinement and local CTF refinement were performed. Finally, the resultant reconstruction maps

were sharpened with the DeepEMhancer tool using the HighRes deep-learning model (Sanchez-

Garcia et al., 2021). The quality of the map was evaluated and evaluated using 3DFSC (Tan et al.,

2017) for FSC validation and local resolution estimation.

Cryo-EM model building and refinement

Map interpretation was performed in Coot (Emsley & Cowtan, 2004) using a model of SLC26A6

obtained from the AlphaFold Protein Structure Database (Jumper et al., 2021; Varadi et al., 2022)

as template. The quality of the map allowed for the unambiguous assignment of residues 28-49,

62-594 and 655-747. The model was iteratively improved by real space refinement in PHENIX

(Afonine et al., 2018) maintaining NCS and secondary structure constrains throughout. Figures

were generated using ChimeraX (Pettersen et al., 2004) and Dino (http://www.dino3d.org).

Surfaces were generated with MSMS (Sanner, Olson, & Spehner, 1996).

Data availability

601 The cryo-EM density map of hSLC26A6 has been deposited in the Electron Microscopy Data

602 Bank under ID code XXX. The coordinates for the atomic model of hSLC26A6 has been deposited

603 in the Protein Data Bank under ID code YYY. Transport experiments are provided as source data.

Author Contributions

606 D.N.T has cloned, expressed and purified proteins, carried out electrophysiology and transport

607 experiments, prepared samples for cryo-EM, processed cryo-EM data and built models. M.S.

608 assisted in cryo-EM data collection and processing. C.B. and S.J.B. synthesized probe used for

609 HCO_3^- transport experiments. D.N.T and R.D. jointly planned experiments and wrote the

610 manuscript with input from all authors.

Author Information

The authors declare no competing financial interests. Correspondence and requests for materials

should be addressed to R.D. (dutzler@bioc.uzh.ch).

Acknowledgments We thank the Center for Microscopy and Image Analysis (ZMB) of the

University of Zurich for the support and access to the electron microscopes. All members of the

Dutzler lab are acknowledged for their help at various stages of the project. We thank Anastasiia

Sukalskia, Elena Lehmann, Katarzyna Drozdzyk and Melanie Arndt for their help in cryo-EM data

acquisition. Justin Walter is acknowledged for the cloning of initial constructs and advice in the

SLC26 project. This research was supported by a grant from the Swiss National Science

Foundation (No. 31003A_163421) to R.D. SJB acknowledges the Engineering and Physical

Sciences Research Council (EPSRC) for funding [EP/S032339/1].

References

Afonine, P. V., Poon, B. K., Read, R. J., Sobolev, O. V., Terwilliger, T. C., Urzhumtsev, A., &

Adams, P. D. (2018). Real-space refinement in PHENIX for cryo-EM and crystallography.

Acta Crystallogr D Struct Biol, 74(Pt 6), 531-544. doi:10.1107/S2059798318006551

Alka, K., & Casey, J. R. (2014). Bicarbonate transport in health and disease. *IUBMB Life, 66*(9),

596-615. doi:10.1002/iub.1315

Alper, S. L., & Sharma, A. K. (2013). The SLC26 gene family of anion transporters and channels.

Mol Aspects Med, 34(2-3), 494-515. doi:10.1016/j.mam.2012.07.009

Bavi, N., Clark, M. D., Contreras, G. F., Shen, R., Reddy, B. G., Milewski, W., & Perozo, E.

(2021). The conformational cycle of prestin underlies outer-hair cell electromotility.

Nature, 600(7889), 553-558. doi:10.1038/s41586-021-04152-4

Bertrand, C. A., Mitra, S., Mishra, S. K., Wang, X., Zhao, Y., Pilewski, J. M., . . . Frizzell, R. A.

(2017). The CFTR trafficking mutation F508del inhibits the constitutive activity of

SLC26A9. *Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol, 312*(6), L912-L925.

doi:10.1152/ajplung.00178.2016

640 Butan, C., Song, Q., Bai, J. P., Tan, W. J. T., Navaratnam, D., & Santos-Sacchi, J. (2022). Single

641   particle cryo-EM structure of the outer hair cell motor protein prestin. *Nat Commun, 13*(1),

642   290. doi:10.1038/s41467-021-27915-z

643 Chernova, M. N., Jiang, L., Friedman, D. J., Darman, R. B., Lohi, H., Kere, J., . . . Alper, S. L.

644   (2005). Functional comparison of mouse slc26a6 anion exchanger with human SLC26A6

645   polypeptide variants: differences in anion selectivity, regulation, and electrogenicity. *J Biol*

646   *Chem, 280*(9), 8564-8580. doi:10.1074/jbc.M411703200

647 Chi, X., Jin, X., Chen, Y., Lu, X., Tu, X., Li, X., . . . Pan, X. (2020). Structural insights into the

648   gating mechanism of human SLC26A9 mediated by its C-terminal sequence. *Cell Discov,*

649   *6*, 55. doi:10.1038/s41421-020-00193-7

650 Clark, J. S., Vandorpe, D. H., Chernova, M. N., Heneghan, J. F., Stewart, A. K., & Alper, S. L.

651   (2008). Species differences in Cl- affinity and in electrogenicity of SLC26A6-mediated

652   oxalate/Cl- exchange correlate with the distinct human and mouse susceptibilities to

653   nephrolithiasis. *J Physiol, 586*(5), 1291-1306. doi:10.1113/jphysiol.2007.143222

654 Dorwart, M. R., Shcheynikov, N., Wang, Y., Stippec, S., & Muallem, S. (2007). SLC26A9 is a

655   Cl(-) channel regulated by the WNK kinases. *J Physiol, 584*(Pt 1), 333-345.

656   doi:10.1113/jphysiol.2007.135855

657 Dorwart, M. R., Shcheynikov, N., Yang, D., & Muallem, S. (2008). The solute carrier 26 family

658   of proteins in epithelial ion transport. *Physiology (Bethesda), 23*, 104-114.

659   doi:10.1152/physiol.00037.2007

660 Drew, D., & Boudker, O. (2016). Shared Molecular Mechanisms of Membrane Transporters. *Annu*

661   *Rev Biochem, 85*, 543-572. doi:10.1146/annurev-biochem-060815-014520

Emsley, P., & Cowtan, K. (2004). Coot: model-building tools for molecular graphics. *Acta*

Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr, 60(Pt 12 Pt 1), 2126-2132. doi:S0907444904019158 [pii]

10.1107/S0907444904019158

Futamata, H., Fukuda, M., Umeda, R., Yamashita, K., Tomita, A., Takahashi, S., . . . Nureki, O.

(2022). Cryo-EM structures of thermostabilized prestin provide mechanistic insights

underlying outer hair cell electromotility. *Nat Commun, 13*(1), 6208. doi:10.1038/s41467-

022-34017-x

Ge, J., Elferich, J., Dehghani-Ghahnaviyeh, S., Zhao, Z., Meadows, M., von Gersdorff, H., . . .

Gouaux, E. (2021). Molecular mechanism of prestin electromotive signal amplification.

Cell, 184(18), 4669-4679 e4613. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2021.07.034

Geertsma, E. R., Chang, Y. N., Shaik, F. R., Neldner, Y., Pardon, E., Steyaert, J., & Dutzler, R.

(2015). Structure of a prokaryotic fumarate transporter reveals the architecture of the

SLC26 family. *Nat Struct Mol Biol, 22*(10), 803-808. doi:10.1038/nsmb.3091

Geertsma, E. R., & Dutzler, R. (2011). A versatile and efficient high-throughput cloning tool for

structural biology. *Biochemistry, 50*(15), 3272-3278. doi:10.1021/bi200178z

Jiang, Z., Asplin, J. R., Evan, A. P., Rajendran, V. M., Velazquez, H., Nottoli, T. P., . . . Aronson,

P. S. (2006). Calcium oxalate urolithiasis in mice lacking anion transporter Slc26a6. *Nat*

Genet, 38(4), 474-478. doi:10.1038/ng1762

Jumper, J., Evans, R., Pritzel, A., Green, T., Figurnov, M., Ronneberger, O., . . . Hassabis, D.

(2021). Highly accurate protein structure prediction with AlphaFold. *Nature, 596*(7873),

583-589. doi:10.1038/s41586-021-03819-2

Kim, H. J., Myers, R., Sihn, C. R., Rafizadeh, S., & Zhang, X. D. (2013). Slc26a6 functions as an

electrogenic Cl-/HCO3- exchanger in cardiac myocytes. *Cardiovasc Res, 100*(3), 383-391.

doi:10.1093/cvr/cvt195

Knauf, F., Yang, C. L., Thomson, R. B., Mentone, S. A., Giebisch, G., & Aronson, P. S. (2001).

Identification of a chloride-formate exchanger expressed on the brush border membrane of

renal proximal tubule cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 98*(16), 9425-9430.

doi:10.1073/pnas.141241098

Liu, Q., Zhang, X., Huang, H., Chen, Y., Wang, F., Hao, A., . . . Chen, Z. (2022). Asymmetric

Pendrin Homodimer Reveals its Molecular Mechanism as Anion Exchanger. *bioRxiv*,

2022.2001.2014.476289. doi:10.1101/2022.01.14.476289

Lohi, H., Kujala, M., Kerkela, E., Saarialho-Kere, U., Kestila, M., & Kere, J. (2000). Mapping of

five new putative anion transporter genes in human and characterization of SLC26A6, a

candidate gene for pancreatic anion exchanger. *Genomics, 70*(1), 102-112.

doi:10.1006/geno.2000.6355

Lu, F., Li, S., Jiang, Y., Jiang, J., Fan, H., Lu, G., . . . Yan, N. (2011). Structure and mechanism of

the uracil transporter UraA. *Nature, 472*(7342), 243-246. doi:10.1038/nature09885

Martínez-Crespo, L., Hewitt, S. H., De Simone, N. A., Šindelář, V., Davis, A. P., Butler, S., &

Valkenier, H. (2021). Transmembrane Transport of Bicarbonate Unravelled**. *Chemistry*

– A European Journal, 27(26), 7367-7375. doi:https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.202100491

Ohana, E., Shcheynikov, N., Moe, O. W., & Muallem, S. (2013). SLC26A6 and NaDC-1

transporters interact to regulate oxalate and citrate homeostasis. *J Am Soc Nephrol, 24*(10),

1617-1626. doi:10.1681/ASN.2013010080

Ohana, E., Yang, D., Shcheynikov, N., & Muallem, S. (2009). Diverse transport modes by the solute carrier 26 family of anion transporters. *J Physiol, 587*(Pt 10), 2179-2185. doi:10.1113/jphysiol.2008.164863

Pettersen, E. F., Goddard, T. D., Huang, C. C., Couch, G. S., Greenblatt, D. M., Meng, E. C., & Ferrin, T. E. (2004). UCSF Chimera--a visualization system for exploratory research and analysis. *J Comput Chem, 25*(13), 1605-1612. doi:10.1002/jcc.20084

Sanner, M. F., Olson, A. J., & Spehner, J. C. (1996). Reduced surface: an efficient way to compute molecular surfaces. *Biopolymers, 38*(3), 305-320. doi:10.1002/(SICI)1097-0282(199603)38:3<305::AID-BIP4>3.0.CO;2-Y

Shcheynikov, N., Ko, S. B., Zeng, W., Choi, J. Y., Dorwart, M. R., Thomas, P. J., & Muallem, S. (2006). Regulatory interaction between CFTR and the SLC26 transporters. *Novartis Found Symp, 273*, 177-186; discussion 186-192, 261-174. Retrieved from https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17120768

Shcheynikov, N., Wang, Y., Park, M., Ko, S. B., Dorwart, M., Naruse, S., . . . Muallem, S. (2006). Coupling modes and stoichiometry of Cl-/HCO3- exchange by slc26a3 and slc26a6. *J Gen Physiol, 127*(5), 511-524. doi:10.1085/jgp.200509392

Smart, O. S., Neduvelil, J. G., Wang, X., Wallace, B. A., & Sansom, M. S. (1996). HOLE: a program for the analysis of the pore dimensions of ion channel structural models. *J Mol Graph, 14*(6), 354-360, 376. Retrieved from http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9195488

Varadi, M., Anyango, S., Deshpande, M., Nair, S., Natassia, C., Yordanova, G., . . . Velankar, S. (2022). AlphaFold Protein Structure Database: massively expanding the structural

coverage of protein-sequence space with high-accuracy models. *Nucleic Acids Res, 50*(D1), D439-D444. doi:10.1093/nar/gkab1061

Walter, J. D., Sawicka, M., & Dutzler, R. (2019). Cryo-EM structures and functional characterization of murine Slc26a9 reveal mechanism of uncoupled chloride transport. *Elife, 8*. doi:10.7554/eLife.46986

Wang, C., Sun, B., Zhang, X., Huang, X., Zhang, M., Guo, H., . . . Zhang, P. (2019). Structural mechanism of the active bicarbonate transporter from cyanobacteria. *Nat Plants, 5*(11), 1184-1193. doi:10.1038/s41477-019-0538-1

Wang, J., Wang, W., Wang, H., & Tuo, B. (2020). Physiological and Pathological Functions of SLC26A6. *Front Med (Lausanne), 7*, 618256. doi:10.3389/fmed.2020.618256

Wang, L., Chen, K., & Zhou, M. (2021). Structure and function of an Arabidopsis thaliana sulfate transporter. *Nat Commun, 12*(1), 4455. doi:10.1038/s41467-021-24778-2

Wang, Y., Soyombo, A. A., Shcheynikov, N., Zeng, W., Dorwart, M., Marino, C. R., . . . Muallem, S. (2006). Slc26a6 regulates CFTR activity in vivo to determine pancreatic duct HCO3- secretion: relevance to cystic fibrosis. *EMBO J, 25*(21), 5049-5057. doi:10.1038/sj.emboj.7601387

Xie, Q., Welch, R., Mercado, A., Romero, M. F., & Mount, D. B. (2002). Molecular characterization of the murine Slc26a6 anion exchanger: functional comparison with Slc26a1. *Am J Physiol Renal Physiol, 283*(4), F826-838. doi:10.1152/ajprenal.00079.2002

Zheng, J., Shen, W. X., He, D. Z. Z., Kevin, B. L., Madison, L. D., & Dallos, P. (2000). Prestin is the motor protein of cochlear outer hair cells. *Nature, 405*(6783), 149-155. doi:Doi 10.1038/35012009

Figures

Figure 1. Transport properties of SLC26A6. (**A**) Current-Voltage relationships of HEK 293 cells

expressing the protein SLC26A9 and (**B**) SLC26A6. Data were recorded in the whole-cell

configuration in symmetric (150 mM) Cl⁻ concentrations and asymmetric conditions with

equimolar (150 mM) concentrations of intracellular Cl⁻ and extracellular HCO_3^-. Values show

mean of independent experiments (SLC26A9 Cl⁻/Cl⁻ n=6, Cl⁻/ HCO_3^-, n=6; SLC26A6 Cl⁻/Cl⁻ n=6,

Cl⁻/ HCO_3^-, n=6). Dashed lines in (**B**) correspond to SLC26A9 data displayed in (**A**). (**C**) Protein

expression at the surface of HEK cells determined by surface biotinylation. Ratio of biotinylated

over total protein (left) as quantified from a Western blot against myc-tag fused to the C-terminus

of the respective constructs. (**D**) Uncoupled Cl$^-$ transport mediated by either SLC26A9 or

SLC26A6 reconstituted into proteoliposomes, as monitored by the fluorescence change of the pH

gradient-sensitive fluorophore ACMA. Traces show mean of 7 and 3 replicates from 2 independent

reconstitutions for SLC26A9 and SLC26A6. (**E**) Coupled Cl$^-$/HCO$_3^-$ exchange monitored by the

time and Cl$^-$ concentration dependent quenching of the fluorophore lucigenin trapped inside

proteoliposomes. Traces show mean of 6 independent experiments form 3 reconstitutions. (**F**) Cl$^-$

concentration dependence of transport. Initial velocities were obtained from individual

measurements displayed in (**E**), the solid line shows a fit to the Michaelis Menten equation with

an apparent K$_m$ of 16 mM. (**G**) Coupled Cl$^-$/HCO$_3^-$ exchange monitored by the time and

Cl$^-$ concentration dependent fluorescence increase of the fluorophore [Eu.L1$^+$] trapped inside

proteoliposomes. Traces show mean of 5 independent experiments from 3 reconstitutions. 'neg.'

refers to liposomes not containing SLC26A6. (**E, G**), Hashtag indicates addition of the assayed

anion. (**H**) Electrogenic Cl$^-$/oxalate exchange followed by the fluorescence change of the pH

gradient sensitive fluorophore ACMA. Traces show mean quenching of ACMA fluorescence in a

concentration-dependent manner for 75mM Mock (n = 5), 9.4mM SLC26A6 (n = 3), 37.5mM

SLC26A6 (n = 5), 75mM SLC26A6 (n = 6), 150mM SLC26A6 (n = 8) liposomes (from 2

reconstitutions. Mock refers to liposomes not containing SLC26A6. (**D, H**), Asterisk indicates

addition of the H$^+$ ionophore CCCP, which allows counterion movement and electrogenic Cl$^-$

transport to proceed. (**A, B, D-H**), errors are s.e.m..

The following figure supplements are available for figure 1:

Figure supplement 1. Transport data.

Figure 1—figure supplement 1. Transport data. (**A**, **B**) Representative whole-cell recordings of HEK293 cells expressing SLC26A9 (**A**) or SLC26A6 (**B**) recorded by a step protocol. (**C**) Proteoliposome-based ion transport assays used in this study. Left, electrogenic uncoupled Cl^- flux or coupled Cl^-/oxalate exchange assayed by the decrease of the fluorescence of the pH-sensitive fluorophore ACMA that is caused by the associated influx of H^+ mediated by the protonophore CCCP to dissipate the membrane potential. Center, electroneutral Cl^-/HCO_3^- exchange assayed by the quenching of the Cl^- selective fluorophore Lucigenin as a consequence of the influx of Cl^-. Right, Influx of HCO_3^- into proteoliposomes as a consequence of electroneutral Cl^-/HCO_3^- exchange, assayed by the luminescence increase of the HCO_3^- selective probe [Eu.L1$^+$]. Shown are chemical formulas of the respective probes, schemes of the direction of transport and example traces of the measured signals. (**D**) Time and Cl^- concentration dependent quenching of the fluorophore lucigenin trapped inside liposomes not containing any protein determining the non-specific background for experiments displayed in Figure 1E. Data show average of 6 experiments. (**E**) Background-subtracted traces of the quenching of lucigenin by Cl^- as a consequence of coupled Cl^-/HCO_3^- exchange displayed in Figure 1E. Data show mean of 6 independent experiments from 2 reconstitutions. (**D**, **E**), Hashtag indicates addition of the assayed anion. (**F**) Cl^- concentration dependence of transport. Initial velocities were obtained from background-corrected individual measurements displayed in (**E**), the solid line shows a fit to the Michaelis Menten equation with an apparent K_m of 37 mM. (**G**) Time and concentration-dependent change of the fluorescence of the pH gradient sensitive fluorophore ACMA upon addition of indicated concentrations of oxalate to the outside of liposomes not containing any protein. The traces serve as negative controls for the experiments displayed in Figure 1H. Traces show mean of 4-5 independent experiments. Asterisk indicates addition of CCCP. (**H**) Current-Voltage relationships of HEK 293 cells

expressing the protein SLC26a9 and (**I**) SLC26A6. Data were recorded in the whole-cell

configuration in symmetric (150 mM) Cl$^-$ concentrations and asymmetric conditions with

equimolar (150 mM) concentrations of intracellular Cl$^-$ and extracellular oxalate. Values show

mean of independent experiments (SLC26A9 Cl$^-$/Cl$^-$ n=4, Cl$^-$/oxalate, n=4; SLC26A6 Cl$^-$/Cl$^-$ n=3,

Cl$^-$/oxalate, n=4. Representative current traces recorded in asymmetric Cl-/oxalate conditions are

shown below. Dashed lines in (**I**) correspond to SLC26A9 data displayed in (**H**). (**A**, **B**, **H**, **I**) Red

dashed line in recordings indicates 0 pA. (**D-I**), Errors are s.e.m..

Figure 2. SLC26A6 structure. (**A**) Cryo-EM density of the SLC26A6 dimer at 3.28 Å and (**B**) ribbon representation of the model in the same orientation. Subunits are shown in unique colors and selected structural elements are labeled. The membrane boundary is indicated. (**C**) Interaction region between the loop proceeding α8 of the core domain and the helix Cα^{IVS} of the adjacent subunit. (A-C) Start (*) and end (#) of the disordered region of the IVS are indicated. (D) Ribbon representation of the superimposed SLC26A6 (green, gray) and SLC26A9 (red, blue, PDBID: 7CH1) dimers.

The following figure supplements are available for figure 2:

Figure supplement 1. Cryo-EM reconstruction of SLC26A6.

821   **Figure supplement 2.** Section of the cryo-EM density of SLC26A6.

822   **Figure supplement 3.** Sequence and Topology.

Figure 2—figure supplement 1. Cryo-EM reconstruction of SLC26A6. (**A**) Representative micrograph (of a total of 11,637) of the SLC26A6 dataset. (**B**) 2D class averages of SLC26A6.

(**C**) Data-processing workflow. Particles were extracted and subjected to 2D classification, and the

obtained 2D class averages were subsequently used as templates for particle picking. After

extraction, the new set of particles was subjected to a second round of 2D classification. Based on

2D class averages, particles were selected for an *ab initio* reconstructions A generated model

displaying protein features (green) and a 'decoy' model lacking such features (grey) were both

used for several rounds of heterogenous refinement, followed by non-uniform refinement.

Additional 2 multi-class *ab initio* reconstructions were performed for further sorting prior to non-

uniform refinement and local CTF refinement yielding a map at 3.28 Å resolution. (**D**) Heatmap

displaying the angular distribution of particle orientations. (**E**) FSC plot of cryo-EM density maps

of the SLC26A6 complex used for the estimation of its resolution. The dotted line indicates the

resolution at which the FSC drops below the 0.143 threshold. (**F**) Final 3D reconstruction colored

according to the local resolution.

A

α1 α2 α3 α10 α4 α5 α7 α6

α8 α9 α12 α11 α13 α14 β1 β2 β3

Cα1 Cα^IVS β4 Cα3 β5 Cα2 Cα4 NT

B

Cl⁻ binding site 4 σ   Cl⁻ binding site 0.6 σ

839   **Figure 2—figure supplement 2.** Section of the cryo-EM density of SLC26A6. (**A**) Cryo-EM

840   density at 3.28 Å (contoured at 4σ) superimposed on indicated parts of the model. (**B**) Cryo-EM

841   density surrounding the ion binding site at high (4 σ, left) and low (0.6 σ, right) contour

842   surrounding displayed residues. Residual density at low contour defines the position of a bound

843   Cl⁻ ion (green sphere).

Figure 2—figure supplement 3. Sequence and Topology. (**A**) Sequence alignment of the human

proteins SLC26A6 (isoform 3, NCBI reference sequence: NP_602298.2) and SLC26A9 (isoform

b, NCBI reference sequence: NP_599152.2). Secondary structure elements of SLC26A6 are

848   indicated above, regions not defined in the structure are shown as dashed lines. An extension at

849   the C-terminus of SLC26A9 of isoform b compared to isoform a, which was shown to bind to the

850   cavity leading to the anion binding site in the inward-facing conformation of the transporter

851   (PDBID: 7CH1) is highlighted in red. (**B**) Topology of the SLC26A6 subunit. The different

852   domains of the transporter are indicated.

Figure 3. SLC26A6 TM domain. (**A**) Ribbon representation of the TM unit of SLC26A6 in indicated orientations (left, view is from the outside, center and right, from within the membrane). Core and gate domains are colored in green and violet, respectively. Selected secondary structure elements are labeled. (**B**) Superposition of elements of the TM between SLC26A6 and SLC26A9 (PDBID: 7CH1). Left, core domains, center, TMs, right, gate domains. Core and gate domains of

SLC26A9 are colored in orange and blue, respectively. The view is from within the membrane,

relative orientations are indicated. (**C**) Slice across a surface of the TM domains of SLC26A6 (left)

and SLC26A9 (right) viewed from within the membrane. The spacious aqueous cavity leading to

the ion binding site from the cytoplasm is evident. Asterisk indicated the position of the transported

ion. Arrows indicate possible movements of the core domain.

The following figure supplements are available for figure 3:

Figure supplement 1. TM domain features.

Figure 3—figure supplement 1. TM domain features. (**A**, **B**) Open book representation of the

molecular surfaces of the TM (left) and its gate (center) and core domain (right) of SLC26A9 (**A**)

and SLC26A6 (**B**). The coloring refers to the area contacted by the other domain. The position of

the bound ion is indicated as green sphere. (**C**) Molecular surface of the SLC26A6 dimer with gate

and STAS domains colored in violet and the core domains in green. (**D**) Schematic depiction of

872 slices across core and gate domains in the observed inward (left) and a hypothetic outward-facing

873 conformation (left). (**C**, **D**) Arrows indicate movements of the mobile core domain. (A-D)

874 Membrane boundaries are indicated.

Figure 4. Features of the substrate binding site. (**A**) Ribbon representation of the core domain of

SLC26A6 viewed from within the membrane from the gate domain. Asterisk indicates the location

of the ion binding site, selected secondary structure elements are labeled. (**B**) Ion binding site with

the density of a bound Cl- ion (green) displayed as mesh. (**C**) Sequence alignment of the ten

functional human SLC26 paralogs in the region constituting the ion binding site. Conserved

residues in the contact region between α1 and α10 are highlighted in green, residues involved in

ion interactions in yellow. Deviating residues in SLC26A6 are highlighted in violet. Secondary

structure elements are shown above. (**D**, **E**) Cα-representation of the contact region between α1

and α10 of (**D**) SLC26A6 and (**E**) SLC26A9 (PDBID: 7CH1). (**F**) α10 of both transporters

obtained from a superposition of the core domains. C-E sidechains of residues of the contact region

and selected residues of the binding site are shown as sticks. (**G**) Size of the substrate cavity of

SLC26A6 and SLC26A9 as calculated with HOLE (Smart, Neduvelil, Wang, Wallace, & Sansom,

1996). The radius of both proteins is mapped in the region between the two asterisks indicated in

the insets for either transporter. (**H**, **I**) Ion binding sites of SLC26A6 (**H**) and SLC26A9 (**I**). The

relative orientation of views is indicated. (**D**, **E**, **H**, **I**) The position of bound ions was inferred as

detailed in Figure supplement 1. The molecular surface surrounding the bound ions is displayed.

Sidechains of interacting residues are shown as sticks.

The following figure supplements are available for figure 4:

Figure supplement 1. Binding site comparisons.

Figure 4—figure supplement 1. Binding site comparisons. (**A**, **B**) Superposition of secondary

structure elements constituting the ion binding site of SLC26 transporters. (**A**) Comparison

between SLC26A6 and SLC26A5 and (**B**) SLC26A9. (**C**) Positional difference between Cα-atoms

in of the superpositions shown in (A, B). Whereas α1 is very similar in all three paralogs, the

position of α10 differs between SLC26A6 and SLC26A9. (**D-F**) Ion interactions in (**D**) SLC26A5

(PDBID: 7LGU) and (**E**) SLC26A6 as defined in the respective datasets. (**F**) The Cl⁻ position in

SLC26A9 was derived from residual density in the dataset of the map associated with PDBID

7CH1 (EMD-30368), which was assigned to a water molecule in the structure. (**D-F**) Shown is

904   the location of the ion in a Ca-trace of the binding site (left) and a close-up of the binding site with

905   presumable interactions to close-by groups indicated by dashed lines. (**G**) Alignment of residues

906   in the interaction region of the three paralogs. (**D-G**) Numbers refer to equivalent positions shown

907   in the alignment. (**H**) Difference in the position of a bound ion between SLC26A6 (6) and

908   SLC26A9 (9) obtained from a superposition of the binding regions.

Figure 5. Functional properties of structure based SLC26A6 constructs. (**A**) SLC26 ion binding

site showing surrounding residues including Arg 404. (**B**) Representative current trace and (**C**)

current-voltage relationships of HEK 293 cells expressing the SLC26A6 mutation R404V. Data

were recorded in the whole-cell configuration in symmetric (150 mM) Cl^- concentrations and

asymmetric conditions with equimolar (150 mM) concentrations of intracellular Cl^- and

extracellular HCO_3^-. Values show mean of 14 independent experiments. Dashed lines in

correspond to SLC26A9 data displayed in Figure 1A. (**D**) Protein expression of SLC26A6 R404V

and SLC26A9 at the surface of HEK cells determined by surface biotinylation. Ratio of

biotinylated over total protein (left) as quantified from a Western blot against myc-tag fused to the

C-terminus of the respective constructs. (**E, F**) Coupled Cl^-/HCO_3^- exchange by the SLC26A6

mutant R404V monitored by the time and Cl^- concentration dependent quenching of the

fluorophore lucigenin trapped inside proteoliposomes. (**E**) Uncorrected traces and (**F**) traces

corrected by the background obtained from empty liposomes displayed in Figure 1-figure

924   supplement 1C, which do not show indication of transport. (**E**, **F**) Traces show mean of a minimum

925   of 5 independent experiments form 2 reconstitutions. (**C**, **D**, **E**, **F**) errors are s.e.m..

Figure 6. Transport mechanism. Features of the anion binding site (left) and kinetic schemes (right) of two SLC26 paralogs with distinct functional properties. (**A**) The coupled antiporter SLC26A6 mediates the strict stoichiometric exchange of Cl$^-$ and either HCO$_3^-$ or oxalate. The protein readily cycles between inward- and outward-facing conformations in substrate-loaded states, whereas the transition in an unloaded state is kinetically disfavored. The binding of different anions is facilitated by a large but shallow binding site with high field-strength. (**B**) The uncoupled Cl$^-$ transporter SLC26A9 has a narrower substrate selectivity where both Cl$^-$ and HCO$_3^-$ are not among the transported ions. Uncoupled Cl$^-$ transport is likely mediated by a mechanism that allows the rapid transition of the unloaded transporter between inward- and outward-facing

937   conformations. The transported ion binds to a site with low field-strength. A similar mechanism,

938   although with slower kinetics, is mediated by a point mutant of SLC26A6 where a conserved Arg

939   of the binding site is replaced by a Val, the corresponding residue found in SLC26A9.

Table 1. Cryo-EM data collection, refinement and validation statistics.

Data collection and processing	hSLC26A6 in GDN (Combined data sets)	
	Data Set 1	Data Set 2
Magnification	130,000	130,000
Voltage (kV)	300	300
Electron exposure (e–/Å^2)	61	67
Defocus range (μm)	−0.8 to −2.4	−1 to −2.4
Pixel size (Å)*	0.3255 (0.651)	0.3255 (0.651)
Symmetry imposed	C2	
Initial particle images (no.)	1,749,907	
Final particle images (no.)	93,169	
Map resolution (Å) FSC threshold 0.143	3.28	
Map resolution range (Å)	2.9-4.1	
Refinement		
Model resolution (Å) 0.5 FSC Threshold	3.3	
Model resolution range (Å)	1.5-3.3	
Map sharpening b-factor (Å^2)	121.9	
Model composition Non-hydrogen atoms Protein residues Ligands	9994 1296 2	
B factors (Å^2) Protein Ligands	120.71 30, 30	
R.m.s. deviations Bond lengths (Å) Bond angles (°)	0.002 0.439	
Validation MolProbity score Clashscore Poor rotamers (%)	1.90 6.29 2.73	
Ramachandran plot Favored (%) Allowed (%) Disallowed (%)	96.57 3.43 0.0	