Human outperform mouse Purkinje cells in dendritic complexity and computational capacity

Running title: Human Purkinje cells

StefanoMasoli[1], Diana Sanchez-Ponce[3], Nora Vrieler[5], Karin Abu-Haya[5], Vitaly Lerner[5], Tal Shahar[8], Hermina Nedelescu[6], Martina Francesca Rizza[1], Ruth Benavides-Piccione[3,7], Javier DeFelipe[3,7], Yosef Yarom[5], Alberto Munoz[3,4], Egidio D'Angelo[1,2]

[1] Department of Brain and Behavioral Sciences, University of Pavia, Italy

[2] Brain Connectivity Center, IRCCS Mondino Foundation, Pavia, Italy

[3] Centro de Tecnología Biomédica (CTB), Universidad Politécnica de Madrid, Spain

[4] Departamento de Biología Celular, Universidad Complutense de Madrid, Spain

[5] Department of Neurobiology and ELSC, Edmond J. Safra Campus, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Israel

[6] Scripps Research Institute, La Jolla, USA

[7] Instituto Cajal (CSIC), Spain

[8] Department of Neurosurgery, Shaare Zedek Medical Center

E-mail: dangelo@unipv.it

Correspondence:
Prof. Egidio D'Angelo
University of Pavia
Department of Brain and Behavioral Science
Via Forlanini 6, I-27100, Pavia, Italy

ABSTRACT

Purkinje cells (PC) of the cerebellum are amongst the largest neurons of the brain and have been extensively investigated in rodents. However, their morphological and physiological properties in humans are still poorly understood. Here, we have taken advantage of high-resolution morphological reconstructions and of unique electrophysiological recordings of human PCs *ex vivo* to generate computational models and estimate computational capacity. An inter-species comparison showed that human PCs had similar fractal structure but were bigger than mouse PCs. Consequently, given a similar spine density ($2/\mu m$), human PCs hosted about 5 times more dendritic spines. Moreover, human had higher dendritic complexity than mouse PCs and usually emitted 2-3 main dendritic trunks

instead than 1. Intrinsic electroresponsiveness was similar in the two species but model simulations revealed that the dendrites generated ~6.5 times (n=51 vs. n=8) more combinations of independent input patterns in human than mouse PCs leading to an exponential 2^n increase in Shannon information. Thus, while during evolution human PCs maintained similar patterns of spike discharge as in rodents, they developed more complex dendrites enhancing computational capacity up to the limit of 10 billion times.

INTRODUCTION

Current knowledge on neuronal functions still almost entirely relies on rodents [1–3] in which refined electrophysiological and imaging recordings *ex vivo* are routinely used to determine membrane potential changes, dendritic processing, synaptic transmission, and long-term synaptic plasticity. Among the few cases addressing the functional properties of human neurons, studies on pyramidal cells have shown higher dendritic compartmentalization, faster dendritic integration and stronger dendritic amplification in humans compared to rodents [4–7]. However, no studies have been reported so far about neurons of the human cerebellar cortex.

PCs were first described by Johan Evangelista Purkinje in 1837 [8] and then in more detail by Camillo Golgi in 1882 and Santiago Ramón y Cajal, who in 1888 discovered that PC dendrites have dendritic spines [9]. The PC is among the largest and most complex neurons of the brain and shows typical morphological and electrophysiological properties [10–22]. In rodents, PCs express a rich complement of ionic channels, synaptic receptors, and intracellular transduction mechanisms that are differentially distributed over the neuron subdivisions. The dendritic tree is almost planar and exhibits a series of ramifications, collecting synaptic inputs and conveying currents to the action potential (AP) initiating site in the axonal initial segment (AIS). Rodents PC dendrites are reported to receive in the order of 10^4 excitatory synapses from parallel fibers (pf) and 10^3 inhibitory synapses from stellate cells (SC) [23,24], and are thought to operate as a perceptron [25] through a process of linear encoding [26]. For rodents, advanced computational models have been constructed and used to simulate neuronal responses both in isolation [25,27–36] and inside microcircuit reconstructions (Rizza et al., 2021; De Schepper et al., 2022). Little is known about human PCs, which look bigger than rodent PCs [37] but do not have any electrophysiological recordings available. So, the first questions to answer are how human PCs discharge and how their structure impact on computational capacity [38–40].

As human datasets are far from complete, computational models can be used to fill the gaps caused by missing knowledge and propose specific functional hypotheses [41]. Detailed neuron models can be reconstructed from digital morphologies to generate morpho-electrical equivalents [42,43], which can be subsequently endowed with cell-specific ionic conductances and simulated [44]. Simulations of responses to current injection can then be optimized against electrophysiological recording templates to extract the missing information about model free parameters, i.e., maximum ionic conductances [45]. In this work, we have taken advantage of high-resolution morphological reconstructions of human PCs and of unique electrophysiological recordings obtained in acute cerebellar slices from post-surgical cerebellar specimens to generate detailed biophysical models. These allowed us to simulate the electrophysiological response of human PCs in conditions that would otherwise be impractical to assess experimentally and to evaluate their dendritic complexity and computational capacity.

METHODS

83   Morphological reconstructions and electrophysiological recordings were performed in both human
84   and mouse PCs and simulations were performed using multicompartmental models (see Figs 1-4).
85
86   Human experimental data
87
88   *Patch clamp recordings*
89   Ex-vivo human cerebellar cortical tissues were obtained from surgeries aimed at deeper brain
structures, following the guidelines of the institutional ethics committees and the Helsinki committee
of Shaare Zedek hospital, which also granted approval. Tissue samples were considered
neurologically normal, as confirmed by a pathologist present during the surgery. Following excision
from the brain, cerebellar cortical tissue was placed in ice-cold oxygenated ACSF composed of (in
mM) NaCl 126, KCl 2.5, MgSO4 1.5, KH2PO4 1, NaHCO3 24, glucose 10 and CaCl2 1, and bubbled
with carbogen (95%O2/5%CO2) to maintain oxygenation and pH. Following transportation to the
laboratory, the pia was removed from the cortical surface as much as possible (but without tearing
the tissue apart) and tissue chunks were trimmed and/or cut where necessary to expose as much as
possible of the translobular plane, while the tissue was maintained submerged in ice-cold oxygenated
ACSF. The tissue was then dried briefly and fixed to the stage of a Leica VT1200S vibratome using
superglue alongside a piece of agar for structural support. The tissue was then quickly submerged
again in ice-cold ACSF continuously bubbled with carbogen, and slices were cut at 300 - 400 μm
thickness at a speed of 0.01 - 0.04mm/s and amplitude of 1.25mm. Slices were incubated for at least
1 hour at room temperature in the same solution, and then placed in a warmed (~32degrees C)
recording chamber superfused with oxygenated recording ACSF (same solution as for slicing except
with 2.4mM CaCl2). Slices were visualized under infrared light in an upright microscope with 40x
water-immersion objective, and whole-cell patch-clamp recordings of PNs were established using
electrodes with 2 - 6 MΩ resistance pulled from borosilicate glass and filled with an intracellular
solution composed of (in mM) K-gluconate 140, HEPES 10, EGTA 0.01, CaCl2 0.001, MgATP 4
and 1% biocytin (w/v), pH adjusted to 7.2 - 7.3 using KOH and osmolarity 290 - 310 mOsm. Electrical
activity was recorded in current-clamp mode at a sampling rate of 20-50kHz and low-pass filtered at
10kHz using a MultiClamp 700B amplifier and Digidata 1550B digitizer connected to pClamp
software, and stored for offline analysis. Images of the recorded neuron's morphologies were obtained
by staining for biocytin using streptavidin conjugated to Alexa-647 and imaging the mounted samples
under 10x magnification (see Fig. 1).
115
116   *Morphological reconstructions*
117   Human brain tissue from two autopsy cases (AB6, a 92 years-old female dead from a heart
failure; and AB7, a 66 male dead from a bladder carcinoma) was collected from the Unidad
Asociada Neuromax—Laboratorio de Neuroanatomía Humana, Facultad de Medicina, Universidad
de Castilla-La Mancha, Albacete (Spain). Brain samples were obtained following the guidelines of
the Institutional Ethical Committees, which also granted approval. Cerebellar tissue was considered
normal since AB6 and AB7 individuals were free of neurological and psychiatric diseases, although
abundant AT8 positive cells were found in the cerebral cortex of the AB6 case [46]. The postmortem
delay between death and tissue processing was below 4 h (AB6) and 2,7 h (AB7). Upon removal,
the brains were immediately fixed in cold 4% paraformaldehyde in phosphate buffer (PB 0.1 M,
pH7.4) for 24 h. Small blocks from the cerebellar vermis were cut from which parasagittal sections
(300 μm) were obtained with the aid of a Vibratome (Leica).

For intracellular injections, sections were prelabeled with 4,6-diamidino- 2-phenylindole
(DAPI; Sigma, St Louis, MO), and a continuous current was used to inject with Lucifer yellow (8 %
in 0.1; Tris buffer, pH 7.4; LY) individual PCs from the vermis of the anterior and posterior lobes.
LY was applied to each injected neuron by continuous current until the distal tips of their branches
fluoresced brightly, indicating that the dendrites were completely filled and ensuring that the
fluorescence did not diminish at a distance from the soma. Following the intracellular injections,
sections were incubated for 72h at 4ºC in stock solution (2% bovine serum albumin, 1% Triton X-
100, and 5% sucrose in PB) containing rabbit anti-LY antibody (1:400 000; generated at the Cajal
Institute, CSIC, Madrid, Spain). The sections were then rinsed in PB and incubated in biotinylated
donkey anti-rabbit IgG (1:100; Amersham, Buckinghamshire, United Kingdom). Then, sections were
rinsed again and incubated Alexa fluor 488 streptavidin-conjugated (1:1000; Molecular Probes,
Eugene, OR, United States of America). Finally, the sections were washed and mounted with
ProLong Gold Antifade Reagent (Invitrogen Corporation, Carlsbad, CA, USA). See [47,48] for further
details of cell injection methodology.

For cell reconstruction and quantitative analysis, sections were imaged with a confocal
scanning laser attached to a fluorescence microscope Zeiss (LSM710). Fluorescent labeled profiles
with different wavelengths were recorded though separate channels. Stacks of images at high
magnification (×63 glycerol; voxel size, $0.057 \times 0.057 \times 0.14 \ \mu m^3$) were acquired to capture dendritic
arbors on the basis of LY immunostaining. Since intracellular injections of PCs were made in 300
μm-thick parasagittal sections, the part of the dendritic arbor nearest the surface of the slice from
which the cell soma was injected (typically at a depth of ∼30-50 μm from the surface) could be
partially lost. In our case, most of the dendritic arbor is estimated to be included within the section
since we only reconstructed PCs with dendritic trees running parallel with respect to the surface of
the parasagittal slice, and the geometry of dendritic trees of PCs is largely flat and restricted to a
parasagittal plane (Palay and Chan-Palay, 74) (see Fig. 1 Supplementary).

Data points of neuron morphology of each PC included in the analysis (n=6) were extracted
in 3D using Neurolucida 360 (MicroBrightfield). Briefly, dendrites, axon and soma, in the skeleton
definition were described through 3D points, delimiting the different segments that form the cell
arbor. These points have an associated diameter that provides the information of the varying thickness
of the dendritic or axonal processes at that particular point, and varies along the length of the
processes. The soma was defined through a set of connected points tracing the contour of the soma
in 2D.

To calculate the number and density of spines, spines from all sizes and morphologies were
counted in dendritic segments with diameters decreasing in intervals of 0.1 μm (ranging from 1,2 to
0,2 μm) at different points along the length of dendrites from 5 reconstructed PCs. Spine density
values in dendrites with different diameters were obtained by dividing spine counts per the length of
each segment. These measured density values were used to estimate the total number of spines and
the mean density value in PCs, taking in consideration the length of dendritic branches with different
diameters over the entire dendritic tree. Dendritic spine structure was analyzed using Imaris 6.4.0
(Bitplane AG, Zurich, Switzerland) in a selection of 150 dendritic spines. Since confocal stacks of
images intrinsically result in a z-dimension distension, a correction factor of 0.84 was applied to that
dimension. This factor was calculated using a 4.2μm Tetraspeck Fluorescent microsphere (Molecular
Probes) under the same parameters used for the acquisition of dendritic stacks. No optical
deconvolution was used for spine reconstruction. Spine head area was 3D reconstructed in a selection
of spines showing a clear head, whose morphology could be captured using a single surface of a

particular intensity threshold. The spine neck length and spine neck diameter were manually marked
in each selected dendritic spine, from the point of insertion in the dendritic shaft to the spine head,
while rotating the image in 3D. (see [48] and [49] for further details) (see Fig. 2).
176
177 Mouse experimental data
178
Patch-clamp recordings
Mouse recordings were carried out on 26-30 day-old (P0=day of birth) C57BL/6N wild-type
mice cerebellar slices. The mice were anaesthetized with halothane (Sigma-Aldrich) and killed by
decapitation to remove the cerebellum for acute slice preparation according to a well-established
technique. The cerebellar vermis was isolated and fixed on the vibroslicer's stage (Leica VT1200S;
Leica Biosystems) with cyano-acrylic glue. Acute 220 μm-thick slices were cut in the sagittal plane
and, during the slicing procedure, the cerebellar vermis was immersed in a cold (2-3 °C) oxygenated
bicarbonate-buffered saline solution (Kreb's solution) containing (mM): NaCl 120, KCl 2, $MgSO_4$
1.2, $NaHCO_3$ 26, KH_2PO_4 1.2, $CaCl_2$ 2, glucose 11 (pH 7.4 when equilibrated with 95%O_2-
5%CO_2). Slices were incubated at room temperature in oxygenated standard extracellular solution
for at least 1 h until use. For whole cell patch clamp recordings, slices were placed in a chamber
continuously perfused at a rate of 1.5 ml/min with oxygenated Kreb's solution and maintained at
32°C with a Peltier feedback device (TC-324B, Warner Instrument Corp.). Slices were visualized
using an upright epifluorescence microscope (Axioskop 2 FS; Carl Zeiss) equipped with a 63, 0.9 NA
water-immersion objective (Olympus, Hamburg, Germany). Whole-cell patch-clamp recordings from
the soma of PCs were performed with Multiclamp 700B [-3dB; cutoff frequency (fc), 10 kHz],
sampled with Digidata 1550 interface, and analyzed off-line with pClamp10 software (Molecular
Devices). Patch pipettes were pulled from borosilicate glass capillaries (Sutter Instruments) and filled
with internal solution containing (in mM): potassium gluconate 126, NaCl 4, HEPES 5, glucose 15,
$MgSO_4$ 7, H_2O 1, BAPTA-free 0.1, BAPTA-Ca^{2+} 0.05, Mg^{2+} 140 -ATP 3, Na^+ -GTP 0.1, pH 7.2
adjusted with KOH. Pipettes had a resistance of 2–3 MΩ when immersed in the bath. Signals were
low-pass filtered at 10 kHz and acquired at 50 kHz.
All procedures were conducted by European guidelines for the care and use of laboratory
animals (Council Directive 2010/63/EU) and approved by the ethical committee of the Italian
Ministry of Health (628/2017-PR).
204
Morphological reconstructions
The 3D morphologies of 19 PCs were reconstructed from the anterior zone of lobule V of
post-natal (P)27 L7-tau-GFP mice using Neurolucida (MBF Bioscience) [22,50]. To control for inter-
animal variation in anatomy such as folia size, age-matched male mice were used. Briefly, following
transcardial perfusion (4% paraformaldehyde in 0.1 M phosphate buffer), brains were removed from
the skulls, postfixed overnight in the same fixative and transferred to a 0.01 M phosphate buffer saline
(0.9% sodium chloride) solution (PBS). A Leica vibratome was used to collect 80 μm thick
parasagittal sections in cold 0.01 M PBS. Subsequently, tissue sections containing lobule V near the
midline in the medial-lateral plane were mounted onto glass slides, air dried and cover-slipped with
antifade Prolong mounting medium (Invitrogen). An LSM 710 Zeiss confocal microscope equipped
with a 63X oil objective lens (NA 1.46) was used to collect confocal stacks using ZEN imaging
software. In order to unambiguously resolve dendrites of neighboring PCs in the parasagittal plane,
the following image acquisition parameters were used: *x*, *y*-size of 0.22 μm/pixel; dimensions *x*:
224.7 μm, *y*: 224.7 μm; image size 1024 × 1024) and a *z*-step size of 0.25 μm.

Confocal image stacks were imported into the Neurolucida software connected to a Wacom
digital tablet and pen. Dendritic tree reconstructions were generated using the interactive and manual
tracing functions, which inserted points along each dendritic branch. The location of these points and
their coordinates in 3D space (x, y and z) thus described the geometric shape of each dendritic arbor.
Somata were drawn with Neurolucida's continuous tracing function, which inserted points along the
contours of somata as visualized in each plane of section (Fig. 2 Supplementary).
225

226 Modelling
227

228 The modelling workflow was developed in Python3 and NEURON8 [44] and the subsequent
229 optimization was performed using the "Blue Brain Python Optimization Library" (BluePyOpt) [45].
230 Here we report an advanced workflow for modelling and simulation of human and mouse PCs. All
231 models were optimized at 32° to match the experimental data (see Fig. 3A).
232

Electrotonic structure and multi-compartmental neuron organization
Morpho-electric equivalents (Fig. 2) were generated from the mouse and human PC
morphologies obtained from Neurolucida. The mouse morphologies required curation to reduce
unevenness in the main dendritic trunks, while the human morphologies were used without any
modifications (for all the morphologies see Fig. 3 Supplementary, Table 1 Supplementary). The soma
dimensions were calculated using the largest contour of each cell and substituted with an equivalent
spherical shape. During model optimization, both mouse and human PC morphologies were attached
with the same axonal compartments, composed of an AIS and a myelinated axon, containing 3
Ranvier's nodes [28,36]. The dimensions of the human PCs AIS was kept the same as in mice because,
in two out of six reconstructions, the average length and diameters were in line with mouse data [51].
For consistency with previous models [36], the axon collateral was also added.
The dendrites of previous PC models [36] were subdivided into spiny and aspiny based on the
dendritic properties of Guinea Pigs [30]. Here we adopted the same criteria based on the following
parameters: dendritic length, dendritic diameter, total number of dendrites, total length of the
dendritic trees. Based on the conserved shapes and morphological properties, as shown in different
animal types [52], the number of segments belonging to each zone was carefully rescaled. The following
distribution allowed to maintain the same proportion of spiny and aspiny dendrites throughout all PC
morphologies in mice and human as well as in Guinea pig PCs: the segments having a diameter below
1.6μm were labelled as spiny dendrites (distal dendrites), the segments between 1.6μm and 3.3μm
were labelled as part of the trunk (proximal dendrites), and the segments above 3.3μm were labelled
as part of the main trunks containing sodium channels.
254

Passive properties
The passive properties of mouse and human PC models were derived from those of the Guinea
Pig model [28,36]. The axial resistance was kept at R_a=122 Ωcm. The membrane capacitance was set to
C_m= 1μF/cm^2 for the soma and C_m= 2μF/cm^2 for dendrites larger than 1.6μm. In agreement with
previous models [27,29,36], the compartments below 1.6 μm received a variable C_m value to compensate
for the spines when these were omitted from the models according to the following equation:
261

262   eq.1 $Cm_{section} = (11.510294^{\wedge}(-1.376463 * section.diam) + 2.120503)$
263

264   In a first iteration of this workflow, C_m was set as a free parameter [53] but, to reduce the
265 parameter space, it was later fixed to the value given by eq.1 [54]. The leak values were set to 0.003
266 S/cm^2 for the soma and to 0.0003 S/cm^2 for the remaining compartments, except for the myelinated
267 compartments, which received the NEURON passive channel (pas). The leak reversal potential was
268 set, for all compartments and morphologies, to -61mV.
269

Active membrane properties
The distribution of the ionic channels, their reversal potential, the calcium buffer and pump
densities were taken from previous PC models [28,36]. This choice proved effective but minor
adjustments were required to comply with recent experimental data on mouse and human PCs. The
Kv1.1/2 potassium channels were previously distributed on the somato-dendritic compartments,
based on rat experimental data [55], while the latest immunohistochemical data indicated that channel
distribution was limited to the main dendritic trunk [56,57]. The latest experimental data about Kv1.5
showed a somato, proximal dendritic distribution [56,57] compared to previous report with the channel
on the entire dendritic tree. The Kca2.2 potassium calcium-dependent channel was previously
confined to a part of the dendritic tree, whereas in mice can be found on the entire tree [58]. The calcium
buffer was improved with the calcium protein Calmodulin [59] which was previously validated in two
other cerebellar models [54,60]. The distribution of ionic channels among compartments, including
spines, is shown in supplemental Fig. 4 Supplementary.
283

Synaptic mechanisms
Based on previous PC models [28,33,54], three synaptic zones were defined. 1) Dendrites from 0
to 0.75µm were targeted by ascending axons, 2), dendrites from 0.75µm to 1.6µm were targeted by
pf and 3) dendrites from 1.6µm were targeted by climbing fibers (cf). The GABAergic synapses were
distributed on compartments from 0.3 to 1.6µm [61]. The membrane mechanisms for both AMPA and
GABA receptors were the same as in [28] with a peak synaptic conductance of 2600pS each. The
synapses contain a presynaptic vesicle cycle and neurotransmitter release mechanism, a 2D diffusion
process and Markov chain molecular models to simulated the postsynaptic receptor [62].
292

Feature extraction from experimental data
The data were extracted from mouse and human PC experimental traces having spontaneous
activity and responses to positive step current injections (mouse PCs: 0.1, 0.5 and 1nA; Human PCs:
0.1, 0.5 and 1nA). The Blue Brain Project eFel library [63] was used to extract the following feature:
AP amplitude, ISI_CV, AHP depth slow, AHP depth absolute, AP width, voltage base, mean
frequency and Spike count.
299

Optimization of maximum ionic conductances
Maximum conductance parameters optimization was performed with the open source "Blue
Brain Python Optimization Library" (BluePyOpt) [45] (Fig. 5 Supplementary, Table 2 Supplementary,
Table 3 Supplementary, Table 4 Supplementary). The initial population was set to 288 individuals,
which yielded a final population of 576 individuals. The optimizations were initially performed for a
dozen of generations and repeated to find the best solutions. The final optimizations, which generate
the data used for the validation process, lasted for only 7 generations and reached a near zero fitness
value with just 3-4 generations. Optimizations were run on the Piz Daint cluster (CSCS - Lugano),
using 8 nodes with 36 cores each, for a total of 288 cores. Simulations were performed using variable
time-step, model optimizations with fixed time step (0.025 ms). The smallest morphology (a mouse

PC morphology with 103 compartments), was optimized in 2 hrs, whereas the biggest one (a human
PC morphology with 1880 compartments) was optimized, on average, in 19hrs.
312
313 *Model simulations of optimized models*
314    The final populations obtained from optimization were simulated using the BluePyOpt
315 template enriched with a custom-built MPI code (Mpi4py), to exploit all available CPU cores. This
316 allowed the distribution of the simulations across 8 nodes (288 cores) with a total simulation time
317 ranging from 22 min to 3 hrs to simulate the last generation of each optimization.
318
319 *Validation workflow*
320    The validation was performed on Piz Daint (CSCS - Lugano) using a variable number of
321 nodes, depending on the number of validated individuals. The workflow was subdivided as follows:
322    1) The simulated voltage traces were analyzed to assess the presence of spontaneous firing
323 activity (0nA) and the I/O relationship (0.5 and 1nA). Based on the experimental data, a valid model
324 should have spontaneous firing with a frequency between 5Hz and 50Hz, in compliance with Zebrin
325 plus PCs [64,65]. To complete the I/O relationship, the maximal frequency for the 0.5nA and 1nA
326 currents was set to 150Hz and 200Hz, respectively.
327    2) Sodium channels in the AIS are critical for AP generation [36,66]. The models were simulated
328 for 1s after removing sodium channels in the AIS. The models that, in this configuration, lost their
329 ability to generate APs were validated and passed to the next phase.
330    3) The Input resistance (Rin) was assessed using the NEURON Voltage Clamp MOD file.
331 Since it was not included in BluePyOpt, it was added in the template and configured to record the
332 current for each simulation. The models were simulated for 300ms, with a square current (-70mV, -
333 80mV, -70mV) with each step lasting for 100ms. Rin was calculated automatically, and models were
334 validated when Rin fell in the experimental range.
335    4) The last validation step required a series of modifications to the template to accommodate
AMPA receptors, and new compartment lists to use synaptic inputs instead of injected currents. Each
model was provided with 50 excitatory synapses, one for each compartment belonging to the pf
compartment list. We examined four bursts frequency: 50, 100, 200 Hz, each one composed of 10
spikes. The bursts were delivered 5 times, each time with a delay of 1s, for a total simulation time of
5.5s. Features were extracted from the last burst, using a mobile time window to include only the
spikes generated by the synaptic bursts. The resulting voltage and current traces were stored in HDF5
files. A model was validated with 9-10 spikes at 50Hz, 4-6 spikes at 100Hz, 3-4 at 200Hz (Table 2
Supplementary).
344    Validated models were randomly chosen and imported into a custom-made
345 Python3/NEURON 8 script. Python allowed a higher degree of freedom in checking synaptic patterns,
346 automatic currents recording, graph creations, etc. The simulations were carried out with Python3,
347 NEURON 8, at 32°, with a fixed time step (0.025), on an AMD 1950x 16core/32threads.
348
349 *Spine morphology and simulations*
350    To analyze the impact of localized synaptic patterns, spines were added to the PC models.
Each spine was constructed with two cylindrical compartments to represent the head and the neck.
The dimensions of the head were 0.35µm in length and 1µm wide, whereas the neck was 0.7µm long
and 0.2µm wide [67,68]. The measured human values were similar, with a head length of 0.26 and 1µm
wide, whereas the neck was 0.72µm long and 0.18µm wide. The neck Cm was set to $3\mu F/cm^2$ instead,
the head CM was set to $1\mu F/cm^2$. The ionic channels were placed only on the spine heads: Cav2.1 (P-

type), Kca1.1 (BK) Kca2.2 (SK2) [58,69], Kv4.3 (A-type), Cav3.1 (T-type) [70,71]. The calcium channel
Cav2.3 was experimentally proven not to be critical for intrinsic and synaptic responses, so it was
omitted [70]. Given the importance of calcium dynamics, the Ca-buffer was inserted in the spines too.
The average experimental distribution indicated 2 spines/μm [72–74] and the same was used for humans
(internal experimental data). In this model configuration, C_m for the entire dendritic tree was set to
$2\mu F/cm^2$ regardless of compartment diameter [27–29,36].

Spines synaptic stimulation

To activate a specific synaptic cluster, we developed a custom Python script automatically
dividing each morphology into a square grid of 30*30μm (900μm^2), containing spines belonging to
aa, pf and SC. The simulations were performed with the same synaptic patterns previously described
and applied to a randomly chosen 30% of all the available spines [75]. The computational impact of the
extended model required the usage of a single blade cluster, composed of two AMD 7501 processors
containing 32 cores each. The memory impact, even during the longest simulation, was about 10-
15GB. The mice simulations were better suited to run on 16 cores, whereas the human required 32
cores. The temperature and time step remained the same as previously defined.

Data analysis
Part of the results were analyzed with custom Python3 scripts and, for specific cases, with
MATLAB 2018b [76] provided by the University of Pavia. The dendrograms were generated with
NeuroM (BlueBrain Project (BBP) and the morphological properties were analyzed with Matlab-
based module, "the TREES toolbox" [77].
The fractal index was calculated with the Sierpinski triangle methods [78] with a range from
0.01 to 1 (40 logarithmic scales). To provide the best possible resolution for the triangle methods, the
morphologies were plotted with Vaa3D, rescaled accordingly to their somatic dimension, and saved
into images of 1024*1024 pixels.
The 3D plots of the morphologies were generated with Vaa3D [79]. The dendritic complexity
index (DCI) [80,81] was determined from the following equation:

eq.2
$$DCI = (\sum branch\ tip\ orders\ +\ N°\ branch\ tips) * (total\ dendritic\ length/$$
$$total\ number\ of\ primary\ dendrites)$$

All these measurements are readily available from our automated analysis of dendrograms.
Branch tip order is an integer value assigned to each terminal tip of the neuron's dendrite, equaling
the number of sister branches emanating from the dendritic segment between a particular terminal tip
and cell body.
Impedance was calculated with NEURON using the built-in "impedance" class. The soma
was set as the target and one dendrite every 20 was set as the source of the transfer function.
Membrane voltage changes were elicited by a sinusoidal current at 10Hz injected into a dendritic
compartment. This allowed to calculate the *input conductance* at the injection site and the *transfer*
conductance at other dendritic sites [82].

Model dissemination
The mouse morphologies will be uploaded to neuromorpho.org and the computational models
will be made available on eBRAINS and ModelDB. The human morphologies and computational

models will be made open source.

RESULTS

Human and mouse PC morphological properties

Since early on, rodent PCs have been intensely investigated [10,11,15,17,19,20,25,27–32,34,36] whereas

the properties of human PCs have remained undisclosed until now. Fig.1 shows the first recordings

from human PCs in cerebellar slices obtained from fresh postsurgical specimens. The human PCs

showed morphologies (Fig. 1A) and electroresponsive patterns (Fig. 1B) similar to those observed in

rodents, although some striking differences were the greater dendritic tree and the higher current

needed to elicit action potentials in somatic current-clamp recordings.

**** Fig. 1 ****

To get insight into these properties, we carried out a detailed comparison of human and mouse

PCs aided by computational models. We considered 19 mouse and 6 human PC 3D morphologies

reconstructed using fluorescence confocal microscopy (Fig. 2A) and evaluated several metrics on

dendrograms to unveil the architecture, hierarchies and dependencies of dendritic branching (Fig. 2B;

Table 1 Supplementary). The dendrograms recapitulate the dendritic structure in a graph made of

segments stemming from branching points. In both species, above 90% of all segments belonged to

spiny dendrites. The area covered by PCs was 5.5 times larger in human than mouse PCs (Fig. 2A),

with a maximum size of 175500 μm^2 in the former and of 29700 μm^2 in the latter. Moreover, in mice,

17/19 PCs had a single dendritic trunk, while the remaining 2/19 PCs had two dendritic trunks

stemming from the soma [21,22]. In humans, 1/5 PCs had a single dendritic trunk, 2/5 had 2 dendritic

trunks and 2/5 PCs had 3 dendritic trunks stemming from the soma. Thus, human had a much higher

probability than mouse PCs (80% vs. 10.5%) to have multiple dendrites stemming from the soma (see

also Fig. 2 Supplementary).

The average length of the dendritic tree was 2782 ± 7 µm (n=19) in mice and 20166 ± 2 µm

(n=6) in human PCs, so that human were 7.2 times longer than mouse PC dendrites. In comparison,

PCs of the guinea pig [30], one of the biggest rodents, showed dendrites (9122.6 ± 1224.9 µm; n = 3)

3.27 times longer than mouse PCs [83,84]. The total number of segments, the number of segments

belonging to spiny dendrites, and the number of segments belonging to aspiny dendrites were 2.9,

2.9, and 3.3 times larger in human than mouse PCs, respectively (Fig. 2C). The average spine density

was similar in human and mouse PCs (2/µm) but, since the total length of spiny dendrites was higher,

the calculated total number of spines was 5 times higher in human than mouse PCs (Fig. 2C) rising

from a median of ~5000 to ~25000 spines (ranging up to 100000 in a case). The dendritic branching

orders had a broader range in humans (18-41) compared to mice (12-25) (Fig. 2D) reflecting the

higher number of intermediate branches needed to demultiplex and scaffold the huge number of

terminal branches.

To quantify the impact of branching on PC architecture, we calculated the *dendritic*

complexity index (DCI) [80,81]. This approach, based on purely morphological properties (eq.2 in

Methods) demonstrated that human PCs had 6.5 times higher DCI compared to mice PCs (median

$26*10^6$ vs. $4*10^6$) (Fig. 2C). Note that DCI in human outperformed that in mouse PCs because of the

higher number of sister and terminal branches as well as for the total dendritic length but not for the

higher number of primary dendrites (which appears at the denominator in eq.2).

To evaluate the scale invariance of the PC dendrites, we used fractal analysis. The fractal
dimension estimated with the Sierpinski triangle method [78] was 1.72 ± 0.09 (n=6) in human and 1.73
± 0.03 (n=19) in mice PCs. The fractal dimension was not statistically different (p=1, unpaired *t*-test)
between the two species, suggesting that mouse and humans PC geometries followed a similar scaling
rule (Fig. 2C).

453   **** Fig. 2 ****

Electrophysiological and biophysical properties of human and mouse PCs

To compare human to mouse PC electrophysiological properties, we used PC current clamp
recordings from acute cerebellar slices (Fig. 1 and 3A). A limited number of human PCs were
obtained from fresh postsurgical cerebellar tissue preparations. The human PCs (n=3) showed
rhythmic activity at rest before breaking into the whole-cell configuration. In current clamp, the PCs
were immediately stabilized subthreshold and then injected with depolarizing and hyperpolarizing
current steps. In the depolarizing direction, the PCs showed a regular firing pattern that increased in
frequency with the injected current, while in the hyperpolarizing direction, the PCs showed sagging
inward rectification. Similar properties were observed in mice PCs too. Similar voltage deflections
and spike frequency changes were obtained in human and mouse PCs, although the latter required
larger current injections. These observations suggested the engagement of similar electroresponsive
mechanism both in human and mouse PCs.

Computational models of human and mouse PCs

Since the information coming from human PC recordings was incomplete, we used
computational models to further analyze PC intrinsic electroresponsiveness. Computational models
were used to fill the gap in knowledge through principled rules based on neuronal biophysics [3] under
minimal assumptions.

First, since the human appeared as a rescaled version of the mouse and guinea pig PC (see
Figs 1-2), we assumed that the fundamental biophysical properties were also conserved. Thus, we
generated both human and mouse PC models starting from the PC model developed for the guinea
pig, which represents the gold-standard at present [27–30,36].

Secondly, since the spike discharge was similar (see Figs 1 and 3A), the same set of ionic
channels was used in human and mouse as well as in the guinea pig PC models (Table 3
Supplementary). This assumption was supported by the expression of equivalent channel genes in
these species [85]. Ionic channels were placed into neuronal compartments of human and mouse PC
models as it was done in the guinea pig PC model. The only exceptions were that Kv1.5 was absent
from the soma and that Kca2.2 was distributed over the entire dendritic tree in human and mice but
not in guinea-pig PC models [57].

Importantly, since the electrophysiological traces contain information about the contribution
of all ionic channels engaged, the maximum ionic conductances could be parametrized through an
automatic optimization process [45] based on the comparison between simulated traces and
electrophysiological traces used as templates (see Methods). This methodology allowed us to
optimally exploit the information contained in human PC recordings. After parameter optimization,
the human and mouse PC models did not show relevant differences in spike discharge and ionic
conductance balance (a fact that was true also for the guinea pig PC model; [36]) (table 4

Supplementary) (Fig. 3A-B), reflecting the similar dendritic architecture and ionic channel
complement. The spikes generated by these models during spontaneous firing showed near identical
shape in human as in mouse PCs (Fig. 3B, right). Likewise, the I-F relationship was also similar but
that of mice was steeper (Fig. 3C).
495
496 **** Fig. 3 ****
497

Distinctive properties of human PC models

I-F relationships were generated for all human and mouse PC models using somatic step-
current injections (Fig. 3C; see also Fig. 6 Supplementary). The average I-F was similar, but the
human PCs required 2.05 ± 0.14 times more positive current than mouse PC models to reach the same
discharge frequencies (e.g., 100 Hz) (Fig. 3D). At 0-current injection, spontaneous firing frequency
in mouse PCs (24.2 ± 3.4 Hz; n=19) and human PC models (28.4 ± 8.0 Hz; n=6) was statistically
indistinguishable (unpaired t-test, p=0.09).
Input resistance was 36.2 ± 4.3 MΩ (n= 19) in mouse PC models and 14.3 ± 5.6 MΩ (n= 6)
in human PC models (Fig. 3D, right). The input resistance value decreased with the total length of
the dendritic tree along a continuous exponential distribution independent from species, with the
guinea PC model laying in an intermediate position between mouse (the shortest) and human (the
longest) PCs (Fig. 3D). The input resistance value of mouse PC models corresponded closely to that
reported experimentally [14].
511

Spontaneous firing and spike backpropagation in PC models

The backpropagation of spontaneously generated APs through the dendritic tree showed
remarkable similarities in human and mouse PC models (Fig. 4A). The APs were generated in the
AIS, where the AP reached its highest amplitude (40mV). Then, the AP amplitude decreased when
the spikes back-propagated to soma and dendrites, reaching just -50mV in the apical dendrites,
reproducing a typical PC property [11] (Fig. 4B). The AP back-propagation also featured a delay that
depended linearly on the distance from soma. Therefore, in the model, the dendritic tree in human
and mouse PCs behaved similarly to guinea pig PCs, i.e., it acted as a filter limiting AP back-
propagation.
521
522 **** Fig. 4 ****
523

Responses of PC models to random synaptic inputs: burst/pause responses and the effect of
synaptic inhibition

While there is abundant literature about synaptic activation in rodent cerebellar neurons, no
experimental data are currently available for human neurons including PCs. In order to evaluate PC
synaptic responsiveness, we made a third assumption, i.e., that the fundamental mechanisms and
properties of synaptic transmission to PCs are maintained across species as suggested by comparative
recordings from human pyramidal neurons [7]. Along with this, the bursts/pause response that is
observed from mice to monkeys [19] should also be observable in human PCs.
A burst/pause response was indeed elicited using combinations of excitatory and inhibitory
synapses. An effective synaptic set able to elicit a robust response in all morphologies [34] was
composed by 50 pf and 25 SC synapses activated by 5 impulses at 100Hz, as exemplified for a mouse
and a human PC model in Fig. 5A. With this combination of excitatory and inhibitory synapses, the

spike frequency increased from basal level by ~100% (n=19) in mouse and by ~60% (n=6) in human
PC models. The burst frequency in human PC models increased near to the level of mice PC models
by increasing pf synapses from 50 to 200 (n=6) (Fig. 5B). The intensity of burst and the length of the
pause increased when inhibitory synapses were switched off. Therefore, the human PC models
generated burst/pause responses like those of the mouse PC model but required 4-times more active
pf to compensate for lower input resistance.
542
543 **** Fig. 5****
544
Responses of PC models to localized synaptic inputs: dendritic independence
To assess the impact of localized synaptic inputs, the dendritic tree of PC models was
stimulated on specific branches with the same synaptic activity pattern defined above (50 pf and
25SC, 5 impulses at 100Hz) (Fig. 6A). Activation of these branches effectively regulated spike/burst
patterns in the soma. The independence of dendrites was explored by stimulating specific dendritic
branches. Both human and mouse PC models demonstrated a remarkable degree of segregation. The
membrane voltage recorded in the dendrites increased within the stimulated area but showed just
marginal modifications in the other branches (Fig. 6B; cf. ref. [28]). Considering that human have 5.5
more dendritic branches that mouse PCs (see Fig. 2C), human PCs should have an equivalent higher
capacity than mouse PCs of elaborating independent input patterns in dendrites and of integrating
them in the soma.
556
557 **** Fig. 6 ****
558
Reponses of PC models with dendritic spines
Spines may affect the local electrical properties of dendrites [86]. Therefore, an advanced version
of the PC models was developed with dendritic spines that were placed according to the density and
distribution revealed by morphological analysis (see Fig. 2C) and endowed with active mechanisms
according to literature (Fig. 7A). Despite the much higher computational weight (the spines increase
neuronal compartments by ~100 times), models with spines were more realistic in terms of local
postsynaptic mechanisms and were used to further investigate the ability of dendrites in segregating
multiple synaptic input channels (Fig. 7B).
A 10 Hz sinusoidal current was injected in single dendrites and the membrane potential change
in the same and neighboring dendrites was used to calculate input and transfer impedance (see
Methods and Fig. 7B). It should be noted that input impedance was higher in human than mouse PC
models, reflecting the longer pathway that currents have to travel beside the injection site. Both in
human and mouse PC models, transfer impedance decreased sharply beside the current injection site.
According to [82], when transfer impedance was below a 10 MΩ threshold, compartments were
considered independent. The operation was repeated at different dendritic locations allowing to
calculate an average value for the number of co-stimulated dendrites, which were 31.2±22.2 (n= 37)
in mouse and 14.7±8.5 (n= 179) in human PC models. Given the total number of spiny dendrites
(~250 in mice and ~750 in human PCs; Fig. 2C), the number of independent compartments turned
out to be 8.0 in mouse and 51.1 in human PC models. This yields a 6.5 times higher number of
independent computational elements in human than mouse PC models.
579
**** Fig. 7 ****

The synaptic responsiveness of PC models was assessed by delivering an input burst (5
impulses at 100 Hz) to an increasing number of pf synapses confined within the same dendrite (Fig.
8A). Local EPSPs were slower in human compared to mice PC models (Fig. 8B) probably due to the
higher resistive and capacitive load that increases membrane time constant. The EPSP amplitude
increased non-linearly, with comparatively smaller activation using just a few synapses followed by
a rapid grow toward a plateau with more than ~50 synapses. The crosstalk between the site of origin
of the synaptic response and the AIS was evaluated by measuring the changes in spike frequency at
the soma (Fig. 8C). Activation of about 5 spines was enough to determine a sizeable change in
instantaneous spike frequency that progressively increased with the number of active spines.
With >=50 spines, the burst/pause complex appeared. Given the average number of 67
synapses/branch in human PCs and of 50 synapses/branch in mouse PCs, in both species the
burst/pause response could be generated by the full activation of a single terminal branch.
594

595   **** Fig. 8 ****

DISCUSSION

This paper addresses for the first time the functional and computational properties of human
cerebellar PCs. PC structure and electroresponsiveness are remarkably similar to those of mouse PCs.
However, human PCs have larger dendrites and a higher dendritic complexity enabling them to
process more parallel fiber (pf) inputs than mouse PCs. Thus, human PCs have gained the ability of
correlating a larger variety of inputs than rodent PCs extending their computational capacity into a
higher dimensional space.

Morphological and functional comparison between human and mouse PCs

The morphological growth of PCs in humans does not affect the length of terminal branches
or spine density but rather it changes the number of dendritic trunks and ramification needed to
scaffold and demultiplex the terminal branches. This makes neuron geometry scale-invariant (or
fractal) but at the same time increases dendritic complexity (see eq. 2) and synaptic inputs.
Interestingly, the presence of multiple dendritic trunks bears implications for climbing fiber
connectivity and long-term synaptic plasticity [87]. Thus, computationally, human PCs are more than
just a rescaled version of the mouse or guinea pig PC [30], as also suggested to happen for CA1
pyramidal neurons (Benavides-Piccione et al., 2020).

The intrinsic electroresponsiveness in human and mouse PCs was similar, with regular spike
firing in the depolarizing direction, sagging inward rectification in the hyperpolarizing direction, and
input-output functions (the I-F relationship) increasing sub-linearly in the 100 Hz range [11,15,17,19].
Computational simulations show that the I-F slope scales with input resistance by a factor of 2,
reflecting the change in PC size. Then, both in human and mouse PCs, the spikes are generated in the
AIS and backpropagate through the dendritic tree, where they are filtered down to spikelets of a few
millivolts, as observed experimentally in rodents [12,13,15].

Simulations of dendritic activation suggest that fundamental dendritic computational
properties are similar in human and mouse PCs. Low release probability causes short-term facilitation
[88], so that sizeable dendritic responses occur when 2-3 parallel fiber spikes arrive in short sequence.
Moreover, the local response grows sigmoidally with the number of spines, due to voltage-dependent
calcium channel activation (cf. [28]). In this way, coactivation of 3-5 neighboring spines is needed to

generate EPSPs sufficiently large to cause a detectable acceleration of AIS spike discharge. The combination of local non-linear amplification with short-term facilitation can effectively limit transmission of sparse pf impulses, suggesting that PC dendrites operate as high-pass filters increasing signal-to-noise ratio. When a sufficient number of synapses is activated by repetitive parallel fiber inputs, a typical burst-pause response emerges as documented from rodents to monkeys [19]. As shown in a guinea pig PC model, also in the human and mouse PC models the burst-pause responses is generated by intrinsic membrane properties [28,36] and is reinforced by inhibitory synaptic inputs.

Higher computational capacity in human than mouse PCs

Two functional estimates indicate a higher dendritic complexity in human than mouse PCs: the response to synaptic input currents yields the ratio human/mouse = 5; transfer impedance measured by injecting sinusoidal 10 Hz currents yields the ratio human/mouse = 6.5. Interestingly, these two functional estimates converge toward the spine head ratio (human/mouse=5), the dendritic surface ratio (human/mouse=5.5), and the dendritic complexity ratio (human/mouse=6.5). This suggests that the increased number of contacts is almost entirely transformed into effective combinations of independent input patterns that can regulate spike generation in the soma, akin with linear encoding [26] in a perceptron [25].

The maximum computational capacity can be calculated considering the number of alternative states set up by the dendrites. The binary combinations are $K=2^n$, where $n=51$ and $n=8$ are the numbers of independent computational elements identified in human and mouse Purkinje cells, respectively. This leads to the Shannon information $I=Log_2K$ of 256 bit for the mouse and $2.2*10^{15}$ bit for the human PCs. Due to redundancy of dendritic combinations, some output spike patterns may be mutually indistinguishable on the temporal resolution scale of the neuron and I estimated from Shannon's information entropy should be considered as an upper limit. Ad-hoc simulations may allow to calculate the mutual information transfer in human and mouse PCs (e.g. see [38–40].

Considerations on human PC simulations

Rodent PC models have a long tradition [25,27–29,31–36]. In line with this, the present mouse PC models have been heavily constrained against a rich electrophysiological dataset and a wealth of literature data that were used for construction and validation. Conversely, the human PC models was uniquely based on 3D morphologies and somatic electrophysiological current-clamp recordings, which contain information about the ionic conductances determining intrinsic electroresponsiveness [45]. The expression of equivalent channel genes in PCs across species [85] and the conservation of morphological features explain why the same set of ionic channels (with minor differences) could generate similar intrinsic electroresponsiveness to somatic current injection. However, some specific dendritic properties might differ. For example, pyramidal neurons have been reported to express shorter membrane time constant [4] and enhanced EPSP amplification in humans than mice to minimize the impact of their huge dendritic tree [7,49]. Moreover, EPSPs generated by dendritic NMDA channels [49] and HVA channels [89] are larger in human pyramidal neurons, where they are supposed to counteract dendritic filtering. And synaptic currents in human pyramidal neurons are reported to have higher conductance for optimal synaptic transmission and integration [49]. In another report, enhanced electrical compartmentalization of human pyramidal neuron dendrites reduced their capacity of exciting the soma [5]. It would be interesting to see whether some sort adaptation also occur in PC dendrites, in which the high resistive and capacitive load slow down the EPSPs (e.g. see Fig. 8B) at odd with the requirements for fast processing on the millisecond scale of the cerebellar network [90].

Conclusions

In conclusion, the main structural and electroresponsive properties of PCs are conserved over almost 100 million years of evolution, from rodents to humans [52]. However, human PCs show increased dendritic complexity, which brings about a larger number of dendritic units that can regulate the neuronal output. This, in turn, causes a combinatorial explosion increasing the neuron information entropy up to 10 billion times. The higher number of independent computations that can be carried out by human than mouse PCs can be seen as the correlate of the extended cerebellar connectivity. This, in humans, involves not just sensorimotor areas but also associative areas, which engage more than 80% of all the cerebello-cortical and cortico-cerebellar fiber tracts determined by MRI tractography [91]. The human PC would thus operate as a multimodal perceptron combining a broad information set pertaining to the sensorimotor, cognitive and emotional domains [92] harmonizing the cerebellar response and integrating different aspect of behavior in a high-dimensional space. It remains to be assessed whether specific adaptations of voltage-gated ionic channels, synaptic transmission, and passive membrane properties, which have been shown to improve the performance of human pyramidal neurons, also occur in human PCs.

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. **Human PC experimental recordings.** (A) Biocytin/streptavidin fluorescence confocal image of a human PC dye-filled with Alexa-647 during a whole-cell patch-clamp recording in an acute cerebellar slice *ex vivo*. Note the characteristic palisade formed by the dendritic tree that stems with multiple trunks from the soma. The dendritic tree extends for almost 1 millimeter on the parasagittal plane. (B) Example of voltage responses to the injection of positive and negative current steps in the same cell shown in A. Note regular firing in the depolarizing direction and sagging inward rectification in the hyperpolarizing direction.

Figure 2. **Human and mouse PC morphological properties.** (A) Morphological reconstructions of a mouse PCs and a human PCs derived from fluorescent confocal images of cells injected from postmortem fixated brains and injected with Lucifer yellow (see Fig 3 Supplementary for more reconstructions). Note the similar shape but greater size of human than mouse PCs and the characteristic shape of the dendrite. (B) Dendrograms of a mouse and human PC. The PCs dendrograms showed similarities in the architecture of dendritic ramification. The insets magnify two terminal regions of dendrograms highlighting the organization of spiny dendrites (<3.5μm in diameters), which account for approximately 90% of segments. All the comparisons between human and mouse PC parameters reported in the figure reveal a statistically significant difference at p<0.01 (unpaired *t*-test), except for the fractality index. (C) The boxplots show the metrics of reconstructed dendritic morphologies (19 mice and 6 human PCs). These include the number of spiny and aspiny dendrites, total dendrites, spike heads, and dendritic order. Note the larger numbers for all parameters in human than mouse PCs (all differences are statistically significant, see text). The dendritic complexity index (DCI) shows about one order higher complexity in human than mouse PCs. The fractality index shows similar values in human and mouse PCs (colored dots represent individual values for all reconstructed PCs).

Figure 3. **Electrophysiological recordings and model simulations.** (A) Left: experimental current-clamp recordings from a mouse and a human PC injected with positive current. The two cells generated similar responses, but the human PC required a higher current injection. Right: simulations in a mouse and a human PC model injected with the same positive and negative current steps used in the experiments. The mouse and human PC models generated similar responses, which closely corresponded to those recorded experimentally. The higher current injection required by human than mouse PC is due to the correspondingly higher input conductance. Trace colors correspond to the cells reported in panel B. (B) The bar plot shows the balance of maximum ionic conductances in 6 randomly chosen models, 3 from mouse and 3 from human PCs. For each conductance, the bar colors show the % ratio among cells. Note that the balance is quite similar for mouse and human PCs for most ionic channels (one noticeable deviation is visible for HCN1 in one of the cells). The traces on the right show action potentials in the mouse and human PC models. Except for minor deviations in the AHP trajectory, the action potentials were almost identical. (C) Average I-F relationships for mouse and human PC models. The I-F slope was 2.05 ± 0.14 times higher in mouse than human models reflecting higher input resistance (see panel E). The shadowed areas represent s.d.. (D) Dependence of input resistance on dendritic length (input resistance was estimated in all the PC models using voltage-clamp step protocols). Input resistance is inversely correlated with the dendritic tree length along an exponential decaying function, i.e., PCs with longer dendrites have smaller input resistance. Human PCs lay in the right-hand branch of the curve, mouse PCs in the left-hand branch, the guinea-pig PC (Masoli et al., 2015; Masoli and D'Angelo, 2017) is in the middle. The box plot on the right shows statistics of input resistance for all the mouse and human PC models.

Figure 4. **Spike propagation in PC models.** (A) In all PC models, spikes are generated in the AIS and then propagate actively in the axon and passively in the dendrite, where they undergo a marked decrement and slowdown. Traces show the spontaneous spike discharge in different model compartments. The corresponding PC model morphologies are shown on the side. (B) Spikes taken from the same simulations as in A are overlayed for AIS, soma, axon, aspiny and spiny dendrites. Note that the AIS spike precedes those in any other compartment (same colors as in A).

Figure 5. **Burst/pause responses of PC models to random synaptic inputs: the effect of synaptic inhibition.** (A) In a mouse and a human PC model (same as in Fig. 4), 50 pf (red dots) and 25 SC synapses (green dots) are distributed randomly on the dendritic tree [28]. The synapses are stimulated with bursts of 5 impulses at 100Hz. Both models generate a burst/pause response that is evident in the raster plots and PSTHs. Note that the pause is more marked when inhibition is active. (B) Box plots of burst frequency using the same activation patterns as in A in all the mouse and human PC models. Note that increasing the number of pf synapses by 4 times brought the human PC model to a level of synaptic responsiveness similar to that of the mouse PC model. Note also that synaptic inhibition reduces spike frequency during the burst.

Figure 6. **Responses of PC models to localized synaptic inputs: testing dendritic independence.** (A) A mouse and a human PC model (same as in Figs 6-7) are activated by 50 pf (red dots) and 25 SC synapses (green dots) placed on different aeras of the dendritic tree (a, b, c and a1, b', c', respectively) [28]. The synapses are stimulated with bursts of 5 impulses at 100Hz in each region in turn. For each stimulated region, the traces show burst/pause responses at the soma and local

membrane potential changes in a compartment of the dendrites. The bars under the traces indicate the stimulation burst. (B) The histograms show membrane potential in a compartment inside each dendritic region averaged over burst duration. Note that membrane potential remarkably increases in the stimulated region (arrow) but remains almost unchanged with respect to basal level (dotted line) in the others.

Figure 7. **PC models with dendritic spines: re-testing dendritic independence**. (A) The left panel shows a portion of the dendritic tree in a human PC intracellularly injected with Lucifer yellow. The inset shows spines at high magnification. The right panel shows the spines in the model drawn with NEURON graphics. The spine density is the same as in the experimental measurement but spines are distributed in 2D rather than 3D. (B) Examples of impedance traces calculated in a mouse and a human PC model. A 10 Hz sinusoidal current was injected into some dendrites and the recorded voltage was used to calculate the input and transfer impedance (see inset and methods). Two compartments were considered independent when the transfer impedance was below the threshold level of 10 MΩ [82]. Note that the threshold is crossed within 10-20 compartments (e.g., see inset) and that transfer impedance in neighboring dendrites remains above threshold for longer distances in the mouse than human PC model. Note also that input impedance is higher in the human than mouse PC model reflecting the greater length of the dendrites.

Figure 8. **Synaptic excitation in spiny dendrites.** A) A mouse and a human PC model (the same as in Figs 6-8) endowed with spines are activated by pf synapses (black dots) using bursts of 10 spikes at 100 Hz (arrows) on 50 and 89 spines, respectively The traces show responses at the stimulation site (black) and at each other site (color coded). Note short-term facilitation in pf-PC EPSPs, a much larger response at the stimulated site than in any other sites, and synchronous back-propagated spikelets visible at all sites. (B) Dendritic EPSPs generated by activating an increasing number of pf synapses (second response in a train using the same impulse pattern used in A). The traces on the left show EPSPs generated by an increasing number of spines and the plots on the right show the corresponding EPSP amplitude (the arrow indicates the stimulus). Note the sigmoidal shape of the activation curve (no response with 1 spine, rapid growth with intermediate number of spines, tendency to plateau at more than 100 spines) both in mouse and human PC models. The inset highlights the larger size and slower time course of human than mouse EPSPs. (C) Somatic spikes generated by activating an increasing number of pf synapses (same simulations as in B). The traces on the left are spike trains (the arrows indicate the stimuli) elicited by an increasing number of activated spines. Note that the number of spikes elicited by the stimuli increases with the number of active synapses, as shown in the instantaneous frequency plots on the right. Note that in A-C the profile of EPSPs and spike responses is similar in mouse and human PC models but the latter requires a larger number of active synapses.

Funding

This research has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 Framework Program for Research and Innovation under the Specific Grant Agreement No. 945539 (Human Brain Project SGA3) and Specific Grant Agreement No. 785907 (Human Brain Project SGA2). We acknowledge the use of Fenix Infrastructure resources, which are partially funded from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program through the ICEI project under the grant agreement

806 No. 800858.

REFERENCES

1. Llinás, R. R. The Intrinsic Electrophysiological Properties of Mammalian Neurons: Insights into Central Nervous System Function. *Science (80-.).* **242**, 1654–1664 (1988).

2. Scanziani, M. & Häusser, M. Electrophysiology in the age of light. *Nature* **461**, 930–939 (2009).

3. Koch, C. *Biophysics of Computation: Information Processing in Single Neurons.* (Oxford University Press, 1998).

4. Eyal, G. *et al.* Unique membrane properties and enhanced signal processing in human neocortical neurons. *Elife* **5**, (2016).

5. Beaulieu-Laroche, L. *et al.* Enhanced Dendritic Compartmentalization in Human Cortical Neurons. *Cell* (2018). doi:10.1016/j.cell.2018.08.045

6. Gidon, A. *et al.* Dendritic action potentials and computation in human layer 2/3 cortical neurons. *Science (80-.).* **367**, 83–87 (2020).

7. Hunt, S. *et al.* Strong and reliable synaptic communication between pyramidal neurons in adult human cerebral cortex. *Cereb. Cortex* 1–22 (2022). doi:10.1093/cercor/bhac246

8. Zárský, V. Jan Evangelista Purkyně/Purkinje (1787-1869) and the establishment of cellular physiology--Wrocław/Breslau as a central European cradle for a new science. *Protoplasma* **249**, 1173–9 (2012).

9. DeFelipe, J. The dendritic spine story: an intriguing process of discovery. *Front. Neuroanat.* **9**, 14 (2015).

10. Eccles, J. C., Llinás, R. & Sasaki, K. Intracellularly recorded responses of the cerebellar Purkinje cells. *Exp. brain Res.* **1**, 161–83 (1966).

11. Llinás, R. & Sugimori, M. Electrophysiological properties of in vitro Purkinje cell somata in mammalian cerebellar slices. *J. Physiol.* **305**, 197–213 (1980).

12. McKay, B. E. & Turner, R. W. Physiological and morphological development of the rat cerebellar Purkinje cell. *J. Physiol.* **567**, 829–50 (2005).

13. Stuart, G. & Häusser, M. Initiation and spread of sodium action potentials in cerebellar Purkinje cells. *Neuron* **13**, 703–12 (1994).

14. Witter, L. & De Zeeuw, C. I. In Vivo Differences in Inputs and Spiking Between Neurons in Lobules VI/VII of Neocerebellum and Lobule X of Archaeocerebellum. *Cerebellum* **14**, 506–515 (2015).

15. Llinás, R. & Sugimori, M. Electrophysiological properties of in vitro Purkinje cell dendrites in mammalian cerebellar slices. *J. Physiol.* **305**, 197–213 (1980).

16. Llinás, R. R., Sugimori, M. & Cherksey, B. Voltage-dependent calcium conductances in mammalian neurons. The P channel. *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.* (1989).

17. Cao, Y., Maran, S. K., Dhamala, M., Jaeger, D. & Heck, D. H. Behavior-Related Pauses in Simple-Spike Activity of Mouse Purkinje Cells Are Linked to Spike Rate Modulation. *J. Neurosci.* **32**, 8678–8685 (2012).

18. Bower, J. M. The 40-year history of modeling active dendrites in cerebellar Purkinje cells: emergence of the first single cell 'community model'. *Front. Comput. Neurosci.* **9**, 129 (2015).

19. Herzfeld, D. J., Kojima, Y., Soetedjo, R. & Shadmehr, R. Encoding of action by the Purkinje cells of the cerebellum. *Nature* **526**, 439–441 (2015).

20. Yuste, R. The discovery of dendritic spines by Cajal. *Front. Neuroanat.* **9**, 1–6 (2015).

21. Nedelescu, H. & Abdelhack, M. Comparative morphology of dendritic arbors in populations of purkinje cells in mouse sulcus and apex. *Neural Plast.* **2013**, 948587 (2013).

22. Nedelescu, H., Abdelhack, M. & Pritchard, A. T. Regional differences in Purkinje cell morphology in the cerebellar vermis of male mice. *J. Neurosci. Res.* **96**, 1–14 (2018).

23. Korbo, L., Andersen, B. B., Ladefoged, O. & Møller, a. Total numbers of various cell types in rat cerebellar cortex estimated using an unbiased stereological method. *Brain Res.* **609**, 262–268 (1993).

24. De Schepper, R. *et al.* Model simulations unveil the structure-function-dynamics relationship of the cerebellar cortical microcircuit. *Commun. Biol.* **5**, 1240 (2022).

25. Brunel, N., Hakim, V., Isope, P., Nadal, J. P. & Barbour, B. Optimal information storage and the distribution of synaptic weights: Perceptron versus Purkinje cell. *Neuron* **43**, 745–757 (2004).

26. Walter, J. T., Dizon, M.-J. & Khodakhah, K. The functional equivalence of ascending and parallel fiber inputs
in cerebellar computation. *J. Neurosci.* **29**, 8462–73 (2009).

27. De Schutter, E. & Bower, J. M. Simulated responses of cerebellar Purkinje cells are independent of the dendritic
location of granule cell synaptic inputs. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **91**, 4736–40 (1994).

28. Masoli, S. & D'Angelo, E. Synaptic Activation of a Detailed Purkinje Cell Model Predicts Voltage-Dependent
Control of Burst-Pause Responses in Active Dendrites. *Front. Cell. Neurosci.* **11**, 1–18 (2017).

29. De Schutter, E. & Bower, J. M. An active membrane model of the cerebellar Purkinje cell. I. Simulation of
current clamps in slice. *J. Neurophysiol.* **71**, 375–400 (1994).

30. Rapp, M., Segev, I. & Yarom, Y. Physiology, morphology and detailed passive models of guinea-pig cerebellar
Purkinje cells. *J. Physiol.* **474**, 101–18 (1994).

31. Roth, A. & Hausser, M. Compartmental models of rat cerebellar Purkinje cells based on simultaneous somatic
and dendritic patch-clamp recordings. *J Physiol* **535**, 445–472 (2001).

32. Santamaria, F. & Bower, J. M. Background synaptic activity modulates the response of a modeled purkinje cell
to paired afferent input. *J. Neurophysiol.* **93**, 237–50 (2005).

33. Santamaria, F., Tripp, P. G. & Bower, J. M. Feedforward inhibition controls the spread of granule cell-induced
Purkinje cell activity in the cerebellar cortex. *J. Neurophysiol.* **97**, 248–63 (2007).

34. Steuber, V. *et al.* Cerebellar LTD and pattern recognition by Purkinje cells. *Neuron* **54**, 121–36 (2007).

35. Anwar, H. *et al.* Dendritic diameters affect the spatial variability of intracellular calcium dynamics in computer
models. *Front. Cell. Neurosci.* **8**, 168 (2014).

36. Masoli, S., Solinas, S. & D'Angelo, E. Action potential processing in a detailed Purkinje cell model reveals a
critical role for axonal compartmentalization. *Front. Cell. Neurosci.* **9**, 1–22 (2015).

37. Takeda, T., Ishikawa, A., Ohtomo, K., Kobayashi, Y. & Matsuoka, T. Fractal dimension of dendritic tree of
cerebellar Purkinje cell during onto- and phylogenetic development. *Neurosci. Res.* **13**, 19–31 (1992).

38. Arleo, A. *et al.* How Synaptic Release Probability Shapes Neuronal Transmission: Information-Theoretic
Analysis in a Cerebellar Granule Cell. *Neural Comput.* **22**, 2031–2058 (2010).

39. Rieke, F. *Spikes : exploring the neural code. Spikes : exploring the neural code* (MIT, 1999).

40. London, M., Schreibman, A., Haä;usser, M., Larkum, M. E. & Segev, I. The information efficacy of a synapse.
Nat. Neurosci. **5**, 332–340 (2002).

41. Koch, C. & Segev, I. *Methods in neuronal modeling: From ions to networks. Computers & Mathematics with
Applications* (1998). doi:10.1016/s0898-1221(98)91179-8

42. Jolivet, R. *et al.* The quantitative single-neuron modeling competition. *Biol. Cybern.* (2008).
doi:10.1007/s00422-008-0261-x

43. Herz, A. V. M., Gollisch, T., Machens, C. K. & Jaeger, D. Modeling single-neuron dynamics and computations:
A balance of detail and abstraction. *Science* (2006). doi:10.1126/science.1127240

44. Hines, M. L., Davison, A. P. & Muller, E. NEURON and Python. *Front. Neuroinform.* **3**, 1 (2009).

45. Van Geit, W. *et al.* BluePyOpt: Leveraging Open Source Software and Cloud Infrastructure to Optimise Model
Parameters in Neuroscience. *Front. Neuroinform.* **10**, 1–30 (2016).

46. Antón-Fernández, A., León-Espinosa, G., DeFelipe, J. & Muñoz, A. Pyramidal cell axon initial segment in
Alzheimer´s disease. *Sci. Rep.* **12**, 1–11 (2022).

47. Elston, G. N., Benavides-Piccione, R. & DeFelipe, J. The pyramidal cell in cognition: a comparative study in
human and monkey. *J. Neurosci.* **21**, RC163 (2001).

48. Benavides-Piccione, R., Fernaud-Espinosa, I., Robles, V., Yuste, R. & DeFelipe, J. Age-based comparison of
human dendritic spine structure using complete three-dimensional reconstructions. *Cereb. Cortex* **23**, 1798–810
(2013).

49. Eyal, G. *et al.* Human cortical pyramidal neurons: From spines to spikes via models. *Front. Cell. Neurosci.*
(2018). doi:10.3389/fncel.2018.00181

50. Sekirnjak, C., Vissel, B., Bollinger, J., Faulstich, M. & du Lac, S. Purkinje cell synapses target physiologically
unique brainstem neurons. *J. Neurosci.* **23**, 6392–8 (2003).

51. Somogyi, P. A QUANTITATIVE ELECTRON MICROSCOPIC STUDY OF THE PURKINJE CELL AXON
INITIAL SEGMENT. **l**, 361–365 (1976).

52. Jacobs, B. *et al.* Comparative neuronal morphology of the cerebellar cortex in afrotherians, carnivores,
cetartiodactyls, and primates. *Front. Neuroanat.* **8**, (2014).

53. Masoli, S. *et al.* Single Neuron Optimization as a Basis for Accurate Biophysical Modeling: The Case of
Cerebellar Granule Cells. *Front. Cell. Neurosci.* **11**, 1–14 (2017).

54. Masoli, S., Tognolina, M., Laforenza, U., Moccia, F. & D'Angelo, E. Parameter tuning differentiates granule
cell subtypes enriching transmission properties at the cerebellum input stage. *Commun. Biol.* **3**, 222 (2020).

55. Khavandgar, S., Walter, J. T., Sageser, K. & Khodakhah, K. Kv1 channels selectively prevent dendritic
hyperexcitability in rat Purkinje cells. *J. Physiol.* **569**, 545–557 (2005).

56. Zeeh, C., Mayadali, Ü. S. & Horn, A. K. E. Histochemical Characterization of the Vestibular Y-Group in
Monkey. *Cerebellum* (2020). doi:10.1007/s12311-020-01200-z

57. Curran, O. E., Hubball, A. W., Minor, P. D., Knowles, C. H. & Martin, J. E. Species differences in the
cerebellar distribution of six members of the Kv1 channel subfamily. *bioRxiv* 2020.10.06.328237 (2020).
doi:10.1101/2020.10.06.328237

58. Luján, R. *et al.* SK2 Channels Associate With mGlu1α Receptors and CaV2.1 Channels in Purkinje Cells.
Front. Cell. Neurosci. **12**, 1–16 (2018).

59. Pepke, S., Kinzer-Ursem, T., Mihalas, S. & Kennedy, M. B. A dynamic model of interactions of Ca2+,
calmodulin, and catalytic subunits of Ca2+/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase II. *PLoS Comput. Biol.* **6**,
(2010).

60. Masoli, S., Ottaviani, A., Casali, S. & D'Angelo, E. Cerebellar Golgi cell models predict dendritic processing
and mechanisms of synaptic plasticity. *PLoS Comput. Biol.* **16**, 1–27 (2020).

61. Lu, H., Esquivel, A. V. & Bower, J. M. 3D electron microscopic reconstruction of segments of rat cerebellar
Purkinje cell dendrites receiving ascending and parallel fiber granule cell synaptic inputs. *J. Comp. Neurol.* **514**,
583–594 (2009).

62. Masoli, S., Rizza, M. F., Tognolina, M. & Prestori, F. Computational models of neurotransmission at cerebellar
synapses unveil the impact on network computation. *Front. Comput. Neurosci.* 1–17 (2022).
doi:10.3389/fncom.2022.1006989

63. Van Geit, W. Blue Brain Project (2015). eFEL.Available online at: https://github.com/BlueBrain/ eFEL
(Accessed February 16, 2016). (2015). doi:10.5281/zenodo.30074

64. Zhou, H., Voges, K., Lin, Z., Ju, C. & Schonewille, M. Differential Purkinje cell simple spike activity and
pausing behavior related to cerebellar modules. *J. Neurophysiol.* **113**, 2524–36 (2015).

65. Cerminara, N. L., Lang, E. J., Sillitoe, R. V. & Apps, R. Redefining the cerebellar cortex as an assembly of non-
uniform Purkinje cell microcircuits. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* **16**, 79–93 (2015).

66. Zonta, B. *et al.* A critical role for Neurofascin in regulating action potential initiation through maintenance of
the axon initial segment. *Neuron* **69**, 945–56 (2011).

67. Vecellio, M., Schwaller, B., Meyer, M., Hunziker, W. & Celio, M. R. Alterations in Purkinje cell spines of
calbindin D-28 k and parvalbumin knock-out mice. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* **12**, 945–954 (2000).

68. Parajuli, L. K. *et al.* Geometry and the organizational principle of spine synapses along a dendrite. *eNeuro* **7**,
ENEURO.0248-20.2020 (2020).

69. Indriati, D. W. *et al.* Quantitative localization of Cav2.1 (P/Q-type) voltage-dependent calcium channels in
Purkinje cells: somatodendritic gradient and distinct somatic coclustering with calcium-activated potassium
channels. *J. Neurosci.* **33**, 3668–78 (2013).

70. Otsu, Y. *et al.* Activity-Dependent Gating of Calcium Spikes by A-type K+ Channels Controls Climbing Fiber
Signaling in Purkinje Cell Dendrites. *Neuron* **84**, 137–151 (2014).

71. Alfaro-Ruíz, R., Aguado, C., Martín-Belmonte, A., Moreno-Martínez, A. E. & Luján, R. Cellular and
subcellular localisation of kv4-associated kchip proteins in the rat cerebellum. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **21**, 1–19 (2020).

72. van der Heijden, M. E. *et al.* Maturation of Purkinje cell firing properties relies on neurogenesis of excitatory
neurons. *Elife* **10**, 1–37 (2021).

73. Hoxha, E. *et al.* Motor deficits and cerebellar atrophy in Elovl5 knock out mice. *Front. Cell. Neurosci.* **11**, 1–11
(2017).

74. Toledo, A. *et al.* Merlin modulates process outgrowth and synaptogenesis in the cerebellum. *Brain Struct.*
Funct. **224**, 2121–2142 (2019).

75. Ho, S. *et al.* A stable proportion of Purkinje cell inputs from parallel fibers are silent during cerebellar
maturation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **118**, e2024890118 (2021).

76. Higham, D. J. & Higham, N. J. *MATLAB guide*. **150**, (Siam, 2016).

77. Cuntz, H., Forstner, F., Borst, A. & Häusser, M. One Rule to Grow Them All: A General Theory of Neuronal
Branching and Its Practical Application. *PLoS Comput. Biol.* **6**, e1000877 (2010).

78. Taentzer, G. *et al.* Generation of Sierpinski triangles: A case study for graph transformation tools. *Lect. Notes*
Comput. Sci. (including Subser. Lect. Notes Artif. Intell. Lect. Notes Bioinformatics) **5088 LNCS**, 514–539
(2008).

79. Peng, H., Ruan, Z., Long, F., Simpson, J. H. & Myers, E. W. V3D enables real-time 3D visualization and
quantitative analysis of large-scale biological image data sets. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **28**, 348–353 (2010).

80. Lom, B. & Cohen-Cory, S. Brain-derived neurotrophic factor differentially regulates retinal ganglion cell
dendritic and axonal arborization in vivo. *J. Neurosci.* **19**, 9928–9938 (1999).

81. Pillai, A. G. *et al.* Dendritic morphology of Hippocampal and Amygdalar neurons in adolescent mice is resilient
to genetic differences in stress reactivity. *PLoS One* **7**, (2012).

82. Wybo, W. A. M., Torben-Nielsen, B., Nevian, T. & Gewaltig, M. O. Electrical Compartmentalization in
Neurons. *Cell Rep.* **26**, 1759-1773.e7 (2019).

83. Mavroudis, I. *et al.* Morphological and morphometric changes in the Purkinje cells of patients with essential
tremor. *Exp. Ther. Med.* **23**, 1–8 (2021).

84. Louis, E. D. *et al.* Reduced Purkinje cell dendritic arborization and loss of dendritic spines in essential tremor.
Brain **137**, 3142–3148 (2014).

85. Lai, J. *et al.* Single-nucleus transcriptomic analyses reveal microglial activation underlying cerebellar
degeneration in Ataxia Telangiectasia. *Bioarxiv* (2021). doi:2021.09.09.459619

86. Harvey, R. J., Morando, L., Rasetti, R. & Strata, P. Spontaneous electrical activity and dendritic spine size in
mature cerebellar Purkinje cells. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* **21**, 1777–1784 (2005).

87. Najafi, F. & Medina, J. F. Beyond 'all-or-nothing' climbing fibers: graded representation of teaching signals in
Purkinje cells. *Front. Neural Circuits* **7**, 115 (2013).

88. Foster, K. A., Crowley, J. J. & Regehr, W. G. The influence of multivesicular release and postsynaptic receptor
saturation on transmission at granule cell to Purkinje cell synapses. *J. Neurosci.* **25**, 11655–11665 (2005).

89. Gidon, A. *et al.* Dendritic action potentials and computation in human layer 2/3 cortical neurons. *Science*
(80-.). **367**, 83–87 (2020).

90. D'ANGELO, E. NEURAL CIRCUITS OF THE CEREBELLUM: HYPOTHESIS FOR FUNCTION. *J. Integr.*
Neurosci. **10**, 317–352 (2011).

91. Palesi, F. *et al.* Motor and higher-order functions topography of the human dentate nuclei identified with
tractography and clustering methods. *Hum. Brain Mapp.* **42**, 4348–4361 (2021).

92. D'Angelo, E. & Casali, S. Seeking a unified framework for cerebellar function and dysfunction: from circuit
operations to cognition. *Front. Neural Circuits* **6**, 116 (2012).

A

100 µm

B

-60 mV

20 mV

200ms

current
(nA)

2
-2
-6

A

Mouse

Human

B

C

Spiny dendrites

Mouse   Human

Aspiny dendrites

Mouse   Human

Total dendrites

2000

1500

1000

Mouse   Human

Spine heads

10E4
8E4
6E4
4E4
2E4
0

Mouse   Human

Dendritic order

Mouse   Human

Dendritic complexity index

1E8

1E7

1E6

Mouse   Human

Fractality Index

1.9
1.8
1.7
1.6
1.5
1.4

Mouse   Human

A

Dendrite Apical

Trunk

Soma

AIS

3th node

20mV
40ms

Mouse

Human

B

-60 mV

20mV
1ms

Mouse

Human

A

Experimental

15 µm

5 µm

Model

5 µm

B

Mouse

Human

Impedacne (MΩ)

input imp.

10 MΩ

transfer imp.

compartment (0-100)

of dendritic compartment

# of dendritic compartment