Switching of RNA splicing regulators in immature neuroblasts:

a key step in adult neurogenesis

Corentin Bernou[1,2], Marc-André Mouthon[1,2], Mathieu Daynac[1,2], Benjamin Demaille[1,2], Vilma

Barroca[1,2], Sébastien Couillard-Despres[5,6,7], Nathalie Dechamps[1,2], Véronique Ménard[1,2], Léa

Bellenger[3], Christophe Antoniewski[4], Alexandra Chicheportiche[1,2a,*] and François D. Boussin[1,2a,*]

1 Université Paris Cité, Inserm, CEA, Stabilité Génétique Cellules Souches et Radiations,

LRP/iRCM/IBFJ, F-92265, Fontenay-aux-Roses, France.

2 Université Paris-Saclay, Inserm, CEA, Stabilité Génétique Cellules Souches et Radiations,

LRP/iRCM/IBFJ, F-92265, Fontenay-aux-Roses, France.

3 Inserm, ARTbio Bioinformatics Analysis Facility, Sorbonne Université, CNRS, Institut de

Biologie Paris Seine, Paris, France.

4 ARTbio Bioinformatics Analysis Facility, Sorbonne Université, CNRS, Institut de Biologie

Paris Seine, Paris, France.

5 Spinal Cord Injury and Tissue Regeneration Center Salzburg (SCI-TReCS), Paracelsus

Medical University, 5020 Salzburg, Austria

6 Institute of Experimental Neuroregeneration, Paracelsus Medical University, 5020 Salzburg,

Austria

7 Austrian Cluster for Tissue Regeneration, 1200 Vienna, Austria

[a] Senior co-authors.

* Correspondence to Alexandra Chicheportiche, email: alexandra.chicheportiche@cea.fr and

François Boussin, email: francois.boussin@cea.fr, Tel: 33 1 46 54 97 91

Summary

The lateral wall of the subventricular zone harbors neural stem cells (NSC, B cells) which

generate proliferating transient-amplifying progenitors (TAP, C cells) that ultimately give rise

to neuroblasts (NB, A cells). Molecular profiling at the single cell level struggles to distinguish

these different cell types. Here, we combined transcriptome analyses of FACS-sorted cells and

single-cell RNAseq to demonstrate the existence of an abundant, clonogenic and multipotent

population of immature neuroblasts (iNB or D cells) at the transition between TAP and

migrating NB (mNB). iNB are reversibly engaged in neuronal differentiation. Indeed, they keep

molecular features of both undifferentiated progenitors, plasticity and unexpected regenerative

properties. Strikingly, they undergo important progressive molecular switches, including

changes in the expression of splicing regulators leading to their differentiation in mNB

subdividing them into 2 subtypes, D1 and D2. Due to their plastic properties, iNB could

represent a new target for regenerative therapy of brain damage.

Key words: Subventricular zone, adult neurogenesis, transcriptomic analyses, immature

neuroblasts

Introduction

New neurons are constantly produced from neural stem cells (NSC) throughout life in

two restricted neurogenic areas of the adult mammalian brain: the sub-granular zone (SGZ) of

the hippocampus and the subventricular zone (SVZ) [1]. The lateral wall (LW) of the SVZ,

adjacent to the striatum, is the largest germinal zone of the adult mouse brain, in which NSC

(type B1 cells) are produced during the embryonic development and are mostly in a quiescent

state thereafter[2,3]. Upon activation, NSC divide and give rise to rapidly dividing transit-

amplifying cells (TAP, type C cells), which ultimately produce neuroblasts (NB, type A cells).

These neuroblasts migrate out of the SVZ anteriorly along blood vessels and the rostral

migratory stream (RMS) to reach the olfactory bulb (OB) where they integrate and differentiate

into various subtypes of interneurons required to fine-tune odor discrimination [1]. The diversity

of the OB interneurons depends on the intrinsic regional identity of NSC in the SVZ along the

anterior-posterior and medial-lateral axes[1,4].

Besides their neurogenic fate, NSC and neural progenitors present in the SVZ are also

proficient to produce astrocytes and oligodendrocytes that migrate toward the corpus callosum,

the RMS and the striatum in the normal[5,6,7] and injured adult brain[8,9].

Transcriptomic analyses using different combinations of cell surface markers and

transgenic mice models to isolate SVZ cells have provided important initial insights into stem

cell quiescence and have highlighted consecutive transitions during neuronal lineage

progression [2,10–13]. Since then, the single cell RNA-sequencing has revolutionized the field and

has made it possible to precisely elucidate the transcriptome of SVZ cells in the LW[14–17] and in

the septal wall which also harbours NSC niches[18].

We have previously developed a FACS-based method, using a combination of three
surface markers (LeX, EGF receptor, CD24), allowing to sort five distinct SVZ cell
populations: quiescent NSC (LeX-EGFR-CD24-, hereafter referred to as sorted-qNSC, s-
qNSC), activated NSC (LeX+EGFR+CD24-, referred to as sorted, s-aNSC), transit amplifying
cells (LeX$^-$EGFR$^+$CD24$^-$, referred to as sorted, s-TAP), immature (LeX$^-$EGFR$^+$CD24^{low},
referred to as sorted, s-iNB) and migrating neuroblasts (LeX$^-$EGFR$^-$CD24^{low}, referred to as
sorted, s-mNB) from the adult mouse brain[19,20]. Importantly, whereas s-iNB presented
neuroblast markers, e.g. CD24 and doublecortin, s-iNB gathered the most abundant population
among SVZ cycling progenitors (representing approximately 4.3% of total SVZ cells *vs* 1% s-
aNSC and 2.5% s-TAP)[20].

We have previously shown that following a genotoxic stress (exposition to ionizing
radiation) inducing the depletion of cycling progenitors, s-qNSC exit quiescence restoring
successively s-aNSC, s-TAP, s-iNB and finally s-mNB[20].

Transcriptomic studies revealed that age-related SVZ neurogenesis decline is associated
with TGFß signaling and specific cell cycle regulation changes in s-aNSC. A progressive
lengthening of aNSC G1 phase with age leads to a decrease in the production of s-TAP, s-iNB
and s-mNB [20–22]. However, despite the decrease of neurogenesis with aging the s-iNB remained
more abundant than s-aNSC and s-TAP in the aged brain [21].

Studies of SVZ neural progenitors have been essentially focused on the characterization
of the molecular mechanisms regulating quiescence, activation and the regenerative potential
of aNSC. Here, we combined transcriptome analyses using DNA microarrays on FAC-sorted
cells and single-cell RNAseq to demonstrate that iNB form a molecularly distinct class of SVZ
cells, exhibiting molecular features of both neural progenitors and neuroblasts while keeping
unexpected regenerative capacity and plasticity. We identified important and sequential
molecular switches occurring in these cells before their final differentiation in migrating
neuroblasts. Altogether, these data led us to propose a revision of the current model of adult
neurogenesis.

Results

Regenerative potential and multipotency of sorted iNB *in vitro and in vivo*
We have previously shown that s-iNB had a clonogenic potential and were able to
proliferate *in vitro* for weeks in normoxic conditions (20%O2) unlike s-mNB [20]. However, s-

iNB exhibited reduced clonogenic potential compared to that of s-aNSC and s-TAP (Fig.1A).

It has been previously reported that hypoxic conditions promoted SVZ NSC proliferation and

neurogenesis[23,24]. Hypoxic conditions (4%O2) had no effects on s-mNB, but increased the

proliferation capacities of s-aNSC, s-TAP and s-iNB, which reached similar growth rates,

making them undistinguishable based on this endpoint (Fig.1A). Hypoxic conditions also

increased the clonogenic potentials of both s-TAP and s-iNB but not that of s-aNSC (Fig. 1B).

In these conditions, the clonogenic potential of s-TAP reached similar values as s-aNSC, but

that of s-iNB was still lower (Fig.1B).

Quite surprisingly, freshly isolated s-iNB had the capacity to generate the three neural

lineages, neurons, astrocytes and oligodendrocytes, when plated for 5–7 days in the appropriate

differentiation media, similarly as s-aNSC and s-TAP (Fig.1C).

Thus, to assess the regenerative potential of these immature neuroblasts *in vivo*, eGFP$^+$

iNB were sorted from β–actin:eGFP mice, transplanted into the left striatum of recipient

C57Bl/6 mice and compared to eGFP$^+$s-mNB and eGFP$^+$s-NSC/TAP. Five weeks after

transplantation, eGFP$^+$ cells were detected in different regions of interest (Table 1) in the brains

of mice transplanted with eGFP$^+$s-NSC/TAP or eGFP$^+$s-iNB, whereas no eGFP+ were observed

after transplantation of eGFP$^+$s-mNB even at the injection site (IS) (Table 1). Excepted in one

out of 3, animals transplanted with eGFP$^+$s-iNB presented high levels of eGFP$^+$ cells in the OB

with levels equivalent or superior to animals transplanted with eGFP$^+$s-NSC/TAP or eGFP$^+$s-

iNB (Fig. 1D). EGFP$^+$ cells were detected in the rostral migratory stream (Fig. S1A) as well as

in the granular cell layer (GCL) and the external plexiform layer (EPL) of the OB where they

differentiated into NeuN$^+$ neurons in 2/3 animals transplanted with eGFP$^+$s-iNB (Fig.1Da) and

in 3/3 animals transplanted with EGFP$^+$s-NSC/TAP (Table 1).

Importantly, eGFP$^+$ cells were present in the SVZ of all the animals transplanted with

eGFP$^+$s-iNB and eGFP$^+$s-NSC/TAP (Fig. 1Db, Fig. 1Dc), some of them expressing GFAP

suggesting a possible conversion of eGFP$^+$s- iNB into NSC (Fig. 1Db3, Fig. 1Dc2). Plasticity

of s-iNB was also shown at the IS by the detection of eGFP$^+$ cells expressing markers of

astrocytes (GFAP) (Fig. S1Ba1) and oligodendrocytes (CNPase) (Fig. S1Bb2).

Overall, these results demonstrate the plasticity of s-iNB *in vitro*, making them very

similar to s-NSC/TAP, questioning the exact nature of this abundant SVZ cell populations that

express neuroblast markers.

s-iNB are a molecularly distinct class of proliferating SVZ progenitors

We characterized the transcriptomic profiles of each SVZ populations sorted from 2
month-old C57Bl/6 mice using Clariom[TM] D mouse microarrays that provides intricate
transcriptome-wide gene- and exon-level expression profiles (Fig. 2A).

Pseudotime analysis (TSCAN) [25] based on 3679 highly dispersed genes between the
five sorted cell populations confirmed the lineage progression from s-qNSC (cluster1), then s-
aNSC and s-TAP within a single cluster (cluster2), followed by s-iNB (cluster3) and finally s-
mNB (cluster4) (Fig. 2B). Another pseudotime analysis excluding s-qNSC, based on 1481
highly dispersed genes, delineated two distinct clusters for s-aNSC and s-TAP (Fig. 2C),
highlighting their molecular differences.

Venn Diagram comparison of data sets revealed 2950, 315, 129, 395 and 1693 genes
unique to s-qNSC, s-aNSC, s-TAP, s-iNB and s-mNB respectively (Supp_data_1). G:Profiler
functional profiling of these transcriptome signatures with KEGG and reactome databases
uncovered significant stage-dependent changes (Fig.S2, Supp_data_1). S-aNSC overexpressed
genes involved in metabolism of glyoxylate and dicarboxylate metabolism. S-qNSC rather
overexpressed genes related to metabolism of lipids and Rho GTPase cycle. S-TAP
significantly displayed genes involved in Mitotic, G1 phase and G1/S transition, Activation of
the pre-replicative complex. S-mNB specifically overexpressed genes involved in axon
guidance and nervous system development. Conversely, s-iNB signatures contained many
genes linked to cell cycle, prometaphase, M phase, and cell cycle checkpoints, clearly
distinguishing them from the other cell populations including s-mNB.

Alternative splicing (AS) plays various functions in brain development including neural
cell differentiation and neuronal migration [26–30]. Using the splicing index (SI) corresponding to
a value assigned to each exon by estimating its abundance with respect to adjacent exons using
TAC software (ThermoFisher Scientific), we identified differentially spliced genes (DSG,
adjusted p-value ≤ 0.05) between the successive cell transitions along neurogenesis. Results
show a very large number of DSG between s-qNSC *vs* s-aNSC (9484) then no DSG at the s-
aNSC and s-TAP transition, only 169 between the s-TAP and s-iNB transition, and finally 3759
between s-iNB and s-mNB (Supp_data_2). Functional profiling analysis with g:Profiler linked
the DSG between s-TAP and s-iNB to the nervous system development (GO:0007399) and
those between s-iNB *vs* s-mNB to cellular component organization or biogenesis
(GO:0071840).

We then focused on the differentially expressed genes (adjusted p-value ≤ 0.05)
belonging to the nine families of RNA splicing regulators (RSR) that play essential roles in the
neurogenic pathway (*Ptbp, Nova, Rbfox, Elavl, Celf, Dbhs, Msi, Pcbp, and Mbnl)* [26]. Strikingly,

a clear down-regulation of most RSR gene expression distinguishes s-qNSCs from the other

populations (Supp_data_3). Interestingly another shift discriminates s-aNSC and s-TAP from

s-mNB (Supp_data_3). This led us to identify several groups of RSR genes in function of their

variation of expression along with neurogenesis: the groups 1 and 6 were overexpressed in s-

aNSC and s-TAP and downregulated in s-mNB; the group 2, 3 and 5 were up-regulated in mNB

as compared to s-aNSC and s-TAP. All these groups were up-regulated in s-iNB, suggesting

that the switch in RSR expression between cycling progenitors and s-mNB occurred in these

cells (Fig. 2D). Interestingly, *Ptbp1*, a major splicing repressor [31], was downregulated in s-mNB

as compared to s-iNB, consistently with the large increase in DSG at the transition between s-

iNB and s-mNB.

Altogether, these data confirm at the molecular level that s-iNB comprises cycling

progenitors at the transition between s-TAP and s-mNB that undergo important molecular

switches, which include major changes in the expression of some RSR genes leading to a major

increase in the number of DSG occurring at the final maturation of s-mNB.

s-iNB correspond to specific SVZ cell clusters identified by single cell RNA sequencing

(scRNAseq)

We compared our microarray datasets to published scRNAseq datasets from adult

mouse SVZ [14,17,32]. Violin plots show that s-qNSC and s-mNB perfectly matched with the

corresponding populations identified in these studies, which was less obvious for s-aNSC and

s-TAP (Fig. S3). s-iNB matched with the clusters termed "Mitosis" (Class VI) in the study of

Llorens et al. [32] and "Mitotic TAP" in that of Zywitza et al.[17], and with clusters 8, 10, 17, 16

linked to "Mitosis", but also with clusters 6 and 15 described as DCX$^+$Ki67$^+$ neuroblasts in the

study by Cebrian_Silla et al.[14]. These comparisons thus confirm that s-iNB comprise cycling

SVZ cells exhibiting both TAP and NB features, which illustrates the difficulties to determine

the exact nature of cell clusters identified by scRNAseq.

We have previously reported[20] that after brain exposure to ionizing radiation,

proliferating progenitors are highly radiation-sensitive and rapidly eliminated after irradiation.

On the contrary qNSC that are radiation resistant repopulate the different SVZ neurogenic cell

populations successively the following days after irradiation. We therefore used this model and

our transcriptomic signatures of SVZ stem and progenitor cells to gain new insights on the

nature and the hierarchical ordering of neural progenitor clusters identified by sc-RNAseq.

TenX Genomics droplet-based single-cell transcriptomic analyses were performed on SVZ

cells from 2 mice, 5 days after irradiation (4 Gy, SVZ only) and from 2 non-irradiated control

mice after removal of myelin and red blood cells (Fig. 3A). Based on a filtering criterion of

1,500 genes/cell to exclude low-quality sequenced cells, 17,343 cells were retained for analysis,

divided into 11,529 non-irradiated cells and 5,814 irradiated cells (Fig. S4).

Non-irradiated and irradiated samples were combined and integrated using the

SCTransform workflow. After integration, PCA was performed and 50 PCs were used for

Uniform-manifold approximation (UMAP). Leiden graph-based clustering, with a resolution of

1.2, identified 33 clusters (Fig. S5A). These clusters were first annotated based on the

expression of known cell markers (Fig. 3B and C, Fig. S5B). Nineteen clusters gathering more

than half SVZ-residing cells corresponded to non-neurogenic cells (ordered by decreasing

abundance): microglia (expressing *P2ry12*[33]), ependymal cells (expressing both *S100β* and

Slc1a3[34]), endothelial cells (expressing *Flt1*[35]), oligodendrocyte progenitors (OPC, expressing

Pdgfrα[36]), Myelin forming oligodendrocytes (MFOL, expressing *Mog*[17]) and pericytes

(expressing both *Vtn* and *Pcam1* [7,37]), and dopamine neurons (expressing *Vglut2*[38]). The 14

other clusters corresponded to astrocytes and neurogenic cells (Fig. 3B, C, D). The clusters 4

and 13 that expressed *S100β* were further identified as qNSC (cluster 4) and astrocytes (cluster

13) based on the transcriptomic signatures described by Cebrian-Silla et al., 2021[14] (Fig S5C,

Supp_data_4). Cluster 17, was identified as aNSC based on *Gfap, Thbs4, S100α6, Egfr*[low] and

Ascl1 expressions[14], and cluster 20 as TAP based on *Egfr* and *Ascl1* expressions [39]. Clusters 10,

5, 12, and 8 were defined as cycling progenitors based on the expression of proliferative markers

such as *Top2a*, *Mki67*, *Ascl1*. Clusters 1, 3, 7 and 9 were identified as mNB due to the loss of

Mki67 and *Ascl1* expressions and the expression of *Robo2* and *Dcx*.

We then applied the UCell workflow to further characterize the neurogenic clusters with

the transcriptomic signatures of each of our five sorted SVZ cell populations (Supp_data_1),

calculating individual cell scores for each signature based on the Mann–Whitney U statistical

analysis, and attributing cells to populations based on the highest score amongst signatures[40].

This score allowed us to unequivocally attribute some clusters to the sorted cell populations

(Fig. 3E,F and G): cluster 4 and 13 matched with s-qNSC, cluster 17 with s-aNSC, cluster 20

with s-TAP, clusters 5, 15 and 12 with s-iNB and finally clusters 7, 9, 1 and 3 to s-mNB.

Reflecting the continuous nature of the SVZ neurogenic process, the cluster 10 matched both

with s-TAP and s-iNB and clusters 8 and 19 both to s-iNB and to s-mNB. Cell-Cycle Scoring[36]

indicated that the clusters related to s-iNB were enriched in cells in S or G/2M phase (Fig. 3H).

As the consequence of radiation-induced cell death, the relative abundances of each

cluster were different in controls (Fig. 3I) and irradiated (Fig. 3J) brains and dependent on the

progressive repopulation of the different types of progenitors. Normalized to the numbers of s-

qNSC (cluster 4), which are supposed not to be affected by irradiation[20], the ratios of the number

of cells per clusters in irradiated brains over that in unirradiated controls gave an index of the

progressive reconstitution of each cluster (Fig. 3K). As expected, clusters 17 and 20,

corresponding to s-aNSC and s-TAP respectively, were almost entirely regenerated. The

decreasing values of the ratios evidence a temporal ordering of the regeneration of each cluster

corresponding to s-iNB along with neurogenesis as follows: 10, 5, 15, 12, and 8, the latter being

the one at the iNB vs mNB transition as anticipated above.

We next addressed the expression of RSR genes in each cluster (Fig. 4). Not all genes

could have been investigated since some of them were below the detection threshold in all the

cell populations studied. The expression of RSR genes *(Kdrbs3, Kdrbs1 Elavl3 and Elavl2,*

Elavl4, and Celf1) that were shown to increase along with neurogenesis in microarrays, also

increased in cluster 10, remained stable in the other clusters related to s-iNB and reached a

maximum value in the s-mNB clusters (Fig. 4A). Conversely, the expressions of *Mbnl1* and

Msi2 that were shown to decrease along with neurogenesis in microarrays (Fig. 4B) peaked in

the clusters related to s-aNSC (17) and s-TAP (20), decreased in the cluster 10, remained low

in the clusters related to s-iNB (5, 15, 12, 8) and were not detected in the clusters related to s-

mNB (19, 1, 3, 7, 9). Altogether, these data corroborate our microarray data and show that a

major switch in the expression of RSR genes occurs in the clusters related to s-iNB.

Interestingly, the splicing repressor *Ptbp1* was similarly expressed in s-aNSC, s-TAP and s-

iNB-related clusters but was downregulated progressively in the successive s-mNB clusters,

suggesting that changes in *Ptbp1* expression constitute an important molecular step for the final

maturation of mNB, which involves a major increase of DSG.

The *Dcx* status identifies two molecularly distinct subpopulations in s- iNB

We have previously shown by immunofluorescence that not all the s-iNB were positive

for doublecortin (*Dcx*) expression, a common marker of neuroblasts[20]. Violin plot (Fig. 3D)

and feature plot (Fig. 5A) show that *Dcx* expression was restrained to s-mNB and to clusters

related to s-iNB in which it increased consistently with their hierarchical ordering we defined

above. Variations in *Dcx* expression among iNB related clusters led us to analyse separately

Dcx^{low} and *Dcx^{high}* cells in these clusters (Fig. S6). The ratios of numbers of cells per cluster

indicated a greater recovery of *Dcx^{low}* cells as compared to *Dcx^{high}* cells in s-iNB related clusters

from irradiated brains (Fig. 5B), reflecting their temporal ordering.

Characterization of differentially expressed genes according to the *Dcx* status in these
clusters showed 588 unique genes expressed in the *Dcx^low* cells and 98 genes specifically
expressed in the *Dcx^high* cells. Functional enrichment profiling using the g:Profiler software
illustrates biological differences between both populations : genes related to Metabolism of
RNA were overrepresented in the *Dcx^low* cells whereas genes linked to GABAergic synapse,
Neuronal System were rather overexpressed in the *Dcx^high* cells (Supp_data_5). Notably, 55%
of *Dcx^high* cell specific genes were s-mNB genes (*e.g. Robo2, Gap43, Nav3*). Further analysis
of RSR genes expression in function of the *Dcx* status, clearly confirms that progressive
transcriptomic changes occurred between *Dcx^low* and *Dcx^high* cells (Fig. 5C and D). However,
RSR gene profiles of *Dcx^low* cells in clusters related to s-iNB were obviously different from that
related to both s-TAP and s-NSC, and that of *Dcx^high* cells from that of s-mNB. Similar results
were obtained with cells from irradiated brains (Fig. S7).

These data clearly show that the clusters related to s-iNB contained cells engaged in
neuronal differentiation that are molecularly distinct from the other types of SVZ neurogenic
cells already characterized This suggest that s-iNB correspond to a new type of cycling
neurogenic progenitors progressively engaged in neuronal differentiation.

We next took advantage of the DCX-CreERT2::CAG-floxed-eGFP mice model,
allowing the expression of eGFP in cells expressing *Dcx* after the administration of Tamoxifen
to sort eGFP$^+$CD24$^+$EGFR$^+$ cells corresponding to *Dcx^high* iNB and eGFP$^-$CD24$^+$EGFR$^+$ cells
containing the *Dcx^low* iNB to distinguish further these two populations at the functional level.
As shown in Fig. 6A and B, recombinant eGFP$^+$ iNB exhibited a clonogenic potential and a rate
of population doublings quite similar to eGFP$^-$ iNB and total iNB, contrary to eGFP$^+$ mNB,
which did not show clonogenic potential and did not proliferate at long-term *in vitro*. Moreover,
we showed that both eGFP$^+$ iNB and eGFP$^-$ iNB have the capacity to generate the three neural
lineages, neurons, astrocytes and oligodendrocytes, when plated for 5–7 day in the appropriate
differentiation media (Fig. 6C), similarly as s-iNB (Fig. 1C).

By contrast, when eGFP$^+$iNB were transplanted into the brain of C57Bl/6J mice, no
eGFP$^+$ cells persisted at long-term (5 weeks) (Fig. S8), suggesting that the *in vivo* plasticity and
regenerative properties of s-iNB was rather associated to *Dcx^low* iNB.

<u>Discussion</u>

This study bridges the cellular and molecular characterizations of SVZ neural progenitor

populations. We combined the transcriptional profiling using DNA microarrays of SVZ cells

that were sorted by an efficient FACS strategy[19] and large-scale scRNAseq to decipher the

progressive molecular and cellular changes involved in SVZ neurogenesis. Our model of

regeneration of the neurogenic niches after brain irradiation[20] allowed a unique way to

determinate the sequential regeneration of the different types of neurogenic cell clusters

identified by scRNAseq that were precisely characterized using the respective molecular

signatures of sorted-SVZ cells, providing new insights onto the progression of SVZ

neurogenesis. We show that iNB is an abundant population of cycling progenitors, which is

more advanced towards neuronal differentiation than TAP, while retaining unexpected stem

cell properties unlike mNB. We suggest that major splicing regulations in iNB might be critical

for the final commitment to the neuronal fate.

Our results constitute a valuable resource for delineating the molecular transitions

occurring during neurogenesis that are orchestrated by numerous differentially expressed genes

and alternative splicing variants. The transition from qNSC to aNSC has been abundantly

investigated in the literature[15,32], but the transcriptional shift leading to the differentiation of

neural progenitors into neuroblasts has not been thoroughly analysed to date. We showed that

it occurs in immature neuroblasts, which form a relatively abundant subpopulation of SVZ cells,

which exhibit specific transcriptional features distinguishing them from TAP and mNB.

Consistently with previous works showing that a subpopulation of newly generated

neurons continues to divide in the SVZ[41,42], we formerly described the isolation of s-iNB as a

subset of cycling SVZ cells that expressed both CD24, a neuroblast marker, and EGFR, a

marker of cycling progenitors[19–21]. This was consistent with prior findings describing a subset

of neuroblasts co-expressing Ascl1 and Dcx or CD24^{low} expressing low levels of EGFR in the

adult SVZ[13,39,43]. Here, we evidenced that s-iNB comprise a molecularly distinct population of

cycling cells at the transition between TAP and mNB, and exhibiting molecular characteristics

of both these cell types.

The capacity of neuroblasts to reorient towards the glial lineage in pathological contexts

have been reported previously[44–46]. Consistent with the molecular findings showing that s-iNB

kept transcriptional features of NSC and TAP, we showed that s-iNB also kept *in vitro* and *in*

vivo a regenerative potential similar to that of s-aNSC and s-TAP. Importantly, this may also

include the capacity to dedifferentiate *in vivo* and generate NSC as suggested by the detection

of GFAP-positive engrafted cells persisting in the SVZ.

Interestingly, s-iNB corresponded to several scRNAseq clusters, which were not clearly
characterized in the literature. Our model of SVZ regeneration established the hierarchical
ordering of these clusters, in which we showed progressive molecular changes leading to the
differentiation into migrating neuroblasts. This includes the increase in *Dcx* expression. *Dcx*^{high}
cells in these clusters have transcriptional features confirming they are more engaged in the
differentiation than their *Dcx*^{low} counterparts. Importantly, we showed that *Dcx*^{high} and *Dcx*^{low}
cells in these clusters remained molecularly distinct from both TAP and mNB. We thus propose
a revision of the current model of SVZ neurogenesis by introducing iNB or D cells, as highly
cycling progenitors that undergo progressive neuronal differentiation but kept multipotency
(Fig. 7). D cells could be further divided in two subclasses of cells depending on their
progression into the neuronal differentiation process: D1 cells, corresponding to *Dcx*^{low} iNB,
immediately succeeding the stage C (TAP) and D2 cells, corresponding to *Dcx*^{high} iNB and
preceding the stage A (mNB). Importantly, we showed that both D1 and D2 cells kept
transcriptomic features that differentiated them from both TAP and mNB, whereas D1 cells
kept a regenerative potential both *in vitro* and *in vivo*.
One of the most important findings of this study is the first characterization of AS events
and RSR expression variations occurring along with neurogenesis. AS events are known to play
important roles in neural cell differentiation, neuronal migration, synapse formation, and brain
development[27,30,47–49]. Neurons are characterized by a pervasive use of alternative exons[50,51].
Consistently, we showed a dramatic increase in DSG at the transition between s-iNB and s-
mNB. These alternative splicing events are clearly related to major changes in RNA splicing
regulators (RSR) expressions occurring in s-iNB. Indeed, we identified RSR genes whose
expression increased with neurogenesis and others whose expression decreased with
neurogenesis. Consistently, some genes upregulated in s-aNSC, s-TAP, such as *Msi1* and *Msi2,*
have a known role in maintenance and renewal of stem cells[52–54], while some others upregulated
in s-mNB, such as *Elavl3 and 4,* have known roles in many neuronal processes[54,55].
Interestingly, genes of both groups of genes were overexpressed in scRNAseq clusters related
to s-iNBs, which thus undoubtedly distinguished these cells from both s-TAP and s-mNB and
confirmed that important molecular switches for neuronal differentiation occurred in D cells.
Further analysis of scRNAseq clusters showed that these transcriptomic switches
occurred sequentially from D1 cells to D2 cells. Indeed, the expression of *Elavl4* progressively
increased from the *Dcx*^{low} iNB/D1 cells to the *Dcx*^{high} iNB/D2 cells fractions, confirming their
progressive commitment into neuroblasts, and overall, the interest of discriminating these two
cell types in the model of SVZ neurogenesis we propose (Fig.7). Strikingly, the splicing

repressor, *Ptbp1*, was expressed in D1 cells at levels similar to those in s-aNSC and s-TAP, but
decreased in D2 cells, and was undetectable in migrating neuroblasts. This suggests that
expression of *Ptbp1* may play an important role in maintaining the regenerative properties in
D1 cells, which should be further investigated.

Our study has been performed on cells collected from the SVZ the lateral walls of the
ventricles of young adult mice. The transcriptional signatures we provide here may thus be
useful for further characterization of neurogenic cells present in the septal wall of the SVZ[18].

To conclude, we have characterized the molecular features of iNB/D cells, a relatively
abundant population in the adult SVZ that kept unexpected plasticity and multipotency that
persist in the aged brain[21]. They may thus represent a new target in regenerative medicine. We
have shown that these cells undergo major transcriptomic changes involving AS and associated
to switches in RSR genes expression. Dysregulations of many of these RSR genes have been
involved in cancer and neurodegenerative diseases[56]. Further characterization of iNB/D cells
using the tools we developed may be useful to determine the potential therapeutic targeting of
these cells in various brain pathologies.

Acknowledgments
We thank C. Devanand and C. Duwat for their contribution for animal experiments and G. Piton
for 10x single cell library preparations. We are grateful to Marie-Justine Guerquin and Pierre
Fouchet, and the ARTBIO platform (Université Paris 6) for helpful discussions and assistance
in bioinformatics analyses.

B.C has a fellowship from ARSEP (fondation pour l'Aide à la Recherche sur la Sclérose En
Plaques). This work was supported by grants of IRBIO (Commissariat à l'Energie Atomique et
aux Energies Alternatives, CEA) and Electricité de France (EDF). The funders have no role in
the study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the
manuscript.

Author contributions

Corentin Bernou: Collection and assembly of data, data analysis and interpretation, manuscript
writing.
Marc-André Mouthon: Collection and/or assembly of data.
Mathieu Daynac: Collection and/or assembly of data.

400 Benjamin Demaille: Analysis of Clariom datasets.

401 Vilma Barroca: Intracerebral neural progenitor grafting in mouse models.

402 Sébastien Couillard-Despres: for DCX-CreERT2:CAG-CAT-eGFP mice.

403 Nathalie Déchamps: Cell sorting by flow cytometry.

404 Véronique Ménard: Irradiation of animals.

405 Léa Bellenger: Analysis of scRNAseq datasets.

406 Christophe Antoniewski: Analysis of scRNAseq datasets.

407 Alexandra Chicheportiche: Conception and design, collection and assembly of data, data

408 analysis and interpretation, manuscript writing.

409 François D. Boussin: Supervision of the study, conception and design, financial support, data

410 analysis and interpretation, manuscript writing.

413 **<u>Figure legends</u>**

414 **Figure 1: Plasticity of iNB *in vitro* and *in vivo***

415 s-aNSC, s-TAP, s-iNB, and s-mNB were sorted from the SVZ of 2-month old C57Bl/6 mice.

416 **(A)** Population doublings (PD). Data were obtained from 3 independent experiments (n=8). **(B)**

417 Clonogenicity assay. Results were obtained from 2 independent experiments. The number

418 inside the bars indicated the number of microplate wells analysed (mean ± SEM). **(C)**

419 Differentiation assay. Representative images of immunofluorescence of freshly sorted iNB cells

420 cultured in oligodendrocyte, astrocyte, or neuronal differentiation medium, and stained for

421 NG2 and CNPase, GFAP and CD133 or βIII-Tubulin and doublecortin (DCX) expressions,

422 respectively. *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001. Scale bar: 20µm.

423 **(D)** EGFP-positive s-iNB were isolated from β–actin:eGFP mice and transplanted unilaterally

424 at 3 injection points at proximity of the dSVZ/RMS of recipient C57Bl/6J mice. Transplanted

425 brains were analysed five weeks later by immunostaining. **(a)** Detection of eGFP+ cells in the

426 granule cell layer and the external cell layer of the olfactory bulb of a mouse transplanted. **(a1-**

427 **a2)** a2 high magnification of the inset (dotted line in a) showing eGFP+ NeuN+ cells (white

428 arrows). **(b-c)** Detection (white arrows) of eGFP+ GFAP+ cells in the dorsal (b2) and lateral

429 (c2) SVZ. GCL:granule cell layer, EPL : external plexiform layer, GL: glomerular layer,

430 lSVZ: lateral SVZ, dSVZ: dorsal SVZ, Scale bars= 40µm or 100µm.

432 **Figure 2: Transcriptomic analysis of SVZ neural progenitor cells**

A) Schematic illustration of our strategy of flow cytometry cell sorting of the five major neurogenic populations (s-qNSC, s-aNSC, s-TAP, s-iNB, s-mNB) of SVZ microdissected from adult mouse brains. **(B)** Pseudotime analysis showing the lineage progression of sorted cell populations based on the highly dispersed genes between s-qNSC, s-aNSC, s-TAP, s-iNB and s-mNB. **(C)** Pseudotime analysis after exclusion of s-qNSC based to further discriminate s-aNSC and s-TAP. **(E)** Heatmap representation of RSR genes differentially expressed among s-aNSC, s-TAP, s-iNB, s-mNB (FDR p-value<0.05).

Figure 3: scRNA-seq analysis of the lateral SVZ

(A) Experimental design. **(B)** Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection (UMAP) of the 17343 high-quality sequenced cells annotated with corresponding cell type, combining scRNA-seq datasets of non-irradiated mice and 4Gy irradiated mice. Clustering analysis at resolution 1.2 segregated cells into 33 clusters that were matched with corresponding cell types determined with marker expression in **(C)**. Among them, 14 clusters (dotted lines rectangle) corresponded to the clusters of astrocytes and neurogenic cells (ANC). **(C)** Violin diagrams illustrating the expression level of known cell markers in the 33 clusters according to the literature. **(D)** Violin diagrams representing the expression level of selected markers specific to neurogenic cells and astrocyte from ANC clusters at the resolution 1.2. **(E)** UMAP focusing on the ANC subset. **(F)** UMAP of the top UCell score for the top 100 highly expressed genes of each neurogenic cell sorted population in the ANC clusters (Supp_data_1). **(G)** Chord diagram illustrating the correspondence of cell clusters with cell top score. Cluster 10 matched with both s-TAP and s-iNB and clusters 8 and 19 both to s-iNB and to s-mNB. **(H)** Feature plot of cell cycle scoring **(I, J)** UMAP representation of ANC clusters in the unirradiated (I) and irradiated (J) samples. **(K)** Barplot showing the recovery ratios of each ANC cluster in irradiated brains calculated as the number of cells per clusters from irradiated brains /control brains normalized to the respective numbers of s-qNSC (cluster 4). Taking into account UCell score results, s-iNB clusters overlapped the cluster 10 and 8 containing s-TAP and s-mNB, respectively.

Figure 4: Expression of RSR genes in scRNA-seq neurogenic clusters
Violin diagrams illustrating the expression of the RSR genes increasing **(A)** or decreasing **(B)** with neurogenesis.

Figure 5: *Dcx* expression in the neurogenic cell clusters

(A) Feature plot. **(B)** Barplot representations of the recovery ratios of Dcx^{low} (left) and Dcx^{High}

(right) in the iNB clusters of the irradiated brains, calculated as in Figure 3K.

Violin diagrams illustrating the expression level of RSR genes decreasing **(C)** and increasing

(D) with neurogenesis in Dcx^{low} and Dcx^{High} in neurogenic cell clusters.

Figure 6: Plasticity *of Dcx^{High}* iNB *in vitro*

(A) Clonogenic potential of FACS-isolated eGFP^{+}iNB and eGFP^{-}iNB, and recombined

eGFP^{+}mNB isolated from DCX-CreERT2::CAG-floxed-eGFP mice, 7 days after the first

injection of tamoxifen. **(B)** Population doublings (PD) of FACS-isolated eGFP^{+}iNB and eGFP^{-}

iNB, eGFP^{+}mNB cells and total iNB cells (regardless of eGFP status). No statistical difference

was found in the growth rate of the different isolated populations. (n = 8) **(C)** Representative

images of immunofluorescence of freshly sorted eGFP^{+}iNB cells cultured in

oligodendrocyte,astrocyte, or neuronal differentiation medium, and stained for NG2 and

CNPase, GFAP and CD133, βIII-Tubulin and DCX, respectively. Scale: 10μm.

Figure 7: Proposed model of adult mouse SVZ neurogenesis involving major changes in

RSR genes expression

Table 1: Transplantations of eGFP^{+}s-iNB, eGFP^{-}s-iNB and eGFP^{+}s-mNB freshly isolated

from β−actin:eGFP mice model

Table recapitulating the immunohistological analyses of the numbers of eGFP^{+} cells in different

regions in recipient C57Bl6/J mice brains, 5 weeks after transplantation.

490
491   **METHOD**

492
493   **EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS**

494
Mice

All experimental procedures complied with European Directive 2010/63/EU and the French

regulations. The protocols were approved by our institutional ethical committee (CEtEA 44)

and authorized by the « Research, Innovation and Education Ministry » under registration

number APAFIS#25978-2020061110358856 v1. Adult male C57BL/6J were obtained from

Janvier Laboratories. Adult male β−actin:eGFP (Okabe et al., 1997) and DCX-

CreERT2::CAG-CAT-eGFP mice kindly given by Pr Couillard-Despres Sebastien [57].

Experiments were performed with male animals aged 8 and 10 weeks. Tamoxifen (TAM, T-

5648, Sigma-Aldrich) was dissolved in corn oil (C-8267, Sigma-Aldrich) at 40 mg/ml. To

analyse the expression of the *Dcx* reporter gene, 20 mg TAM/g body weight was administered

twice daily by gavage over a period of five consecutive days.

Cell sorting

Lateral ventricle walls of the SVZ were microdissected and dissociated as previously described

[19–21] with the modification that cells were centrifuged at 250g for 20 min at 4ºC without brake

on a 22% Percoll gradient (GE Healthcare) to remove myelin prior to single cell suspension

labelling. The antibodies to distinct cell populations were anti-CD24 phycoerythrin [PE]-

conjugated (Rat IGg2b; BD Biosciences, 1:50, RRID:AB_2034001), CD15/LeX flurorescein

isothiocyanate-[FITC] conjugated (clone MMA, mouse IgM; BD Biosciences, 1:50,

RRID:AB_400103), CD15/LeX- Brilliant violet-421-[BV421] (Mouse IgG1, κ ; BioLegend,

1:50, RRID:AB_2566519) and Alexa647-conjugated epidermal growth factor (EGF) ligand

(Clone 30H45L48, Thermo Fisher Scientific, 1:200, RRID:AB_2662334). Cells were gated

following the fluorescence minus one (FMO) control. Immediately prior to FACs sorting,

propidium iodide (PI) or Hoechst 33258 was added to a final concentration of 1µg/ml to label

the dead cells. Cells were sorted on an INFLUX cell sorter equipped with an 86 μm nozzle at

40 psi (BD Biosciences). All the data were analysed using FlowJo software (Tree Star, Ashland,

OR).

Cell culture expansion and differentiation

Clonogenicity assay and population doublings: FACs-purified populations were collected in

NeuroCult medium complemented with the proliferation supplement (STEMCELL

Technologies), 2 µg/mL heparin, 20 ng/mL EGF and 10 ng/mL FGF-2, at a density of

$1x10^3$ cells/well in 96-well tissue culture plates coated with poly-D-lysine (Merck Millipore).

Five days after plating, the neurospheres were counted to determine the clonogenicity of each

cell population.

Neurospheres were then mechanically dissociated and sub-cultured in 24-well plates over 3

weeks to measure the population doublings at each passage (1 passage/week)..

Differentiation assays: just after sorting, cells were placed on Poly-L-ornithine (P4957, Merck

Millipore) coated 24-well culture plates at 40,000 cells/ml in DMEM:F12 with several

supplementation according to the lineage: astroglial : 2% B27 MAO (minus antioxidant,

Thermo Fisher Scientific) and 2% fetal bovine serum (10082139, Thermo Fisher Scientific),

oligodendroglial : 10 ng/mL FGF-2, a defined hormone mix including Glucose (G-7021,

Sigma-Aldrich 50gm/l) /NaHCO$_3$ (S-5761, Sigma-Aldrich, 10mg/l) / HEPES (H-3784, Sigma-

Aldrich, 1.3mM), insulin (I-1882, Sigma-Aldrich, 22.5mg/l), Apo transferrin (T-2252, Sigma-

Aldrich, 0.09g/l), progesterone (P-6149, Sigma-Aldrich, 18µM), putrescine (P-7505, Sigma-

Aldrich, 8.7mg/l), and sodium selenite (S-9133, Sigma-Aldrich, 4.7µM), and neuronal : 2%

B27 MAO. Cultures in hypoxic conditions (4%O2) at 37°C for 5 (oligodendroglial or astrocytic

differentiations) or 7 days (neuronal differentiation)

544 Transplants

Three injections of 1µl of freshly eGFP$^+$ cells from β–actin:eGFP or DCX-CreERT2:CAG-

CAT-eGFP mice were performed in the striatum at proximity of SVZ of wild-type C57Bl6/J

recipient mice using the following coordinates: (1) AP, 0.0; L, 1.4; V, -2.1; (2) AP, 0.5; L,1.1;

V, -2.2; (3) AP, 1.0; L, 1.0; V, -2.5mm relative to bregma, as described before by Codega et al.

2014[2]. For CD1 mouse transplants with freshly eGFP$^+$ cells from DCX-CreERT2:CAG-CAT-

eGFP mice the injection coordinates were redefined from the ratio of the distance bregma to

lambda for the C57Bl6/J model over the distance bregma to lambda for the CD1 model.

The transplantations were performed using a small animal stereotaxic apparatus (Kopf model

900) with a 2.5µl Hamilton syringe (Hamilton, Bonaduz, Switzerland). Recipient mice were

sacrificed 5 weeks after transplantation.

556 Tissue processing, immunolabeling and microscopy

Deeply anaesthetized animals received a transcardial perfusion of 4% paraformaldehyde.

Brains were post-fixed overnight in 4% PFA and cryoprotected in 30% sucrose/PBS. Serial

coronal cryostat sections were made at 14µm of thickness (Leica CM3050S). For each brain,

all the sections from the hippocampus to olfactive bulb were deposited on a set of 14 slides with

a 150µm step. Sections underwent permeabilisation in phosphate buffered saline (PBS)

containing 0.3% Triton X100 and 1% of Bovine Serum Albumin 1h at room temperature.

Sections were incubated with primary antibodies PBS containing 0.1% Triton X100 overnight

at 4°C. Secondary antibodies used were Alexa 594, 488 and 647 and were applied at 1:400

(Thermo Fisher Scientific) for 2h at room temperature. Each staining was replicated in at least

3 slides from different mice.

Cells were fixed with 4% PFA for 15 minutes at room temperature and rinsed three times with

PBS. Staining was performed as above except that antibodies were applied for 2h at room

temperature.

The following antibodies used were: rabbit anti-eGFP (Abcam, ab290, 1:300), goat anti-GFP

(Abcam, ab6673, 1:300), mouse anti-O4 (Millipore, MAB345, 1:100), rabbit anti-NG2

(Millipore, AB5320, 1:100), goat anti-Olig2 (RαD, AF2418, 1:200), mouse anti-NeuN

(Millipore, MAB377, 1:100), rabbit anti-Dcx (Cell Signaling, 4604, 1:400), goat anti-Dcx

(Santa Cruz, sc-8066, 1:100), mouse anti-GFAP (Millipore, MAB3402, 1:400), rabbit anti-

Gfap (Sigma-Aldrich, G9269, 1:400), mouse anti-CNPase (Millipore, MAB326, 1:100), rabbit

anti-β-III tubulin (Covance, PRB-435P, 1:200), rat anti-CD133 (Millipore, MAB4310, 1:100).

Brightfield and fluorescent images were captured through Plan Apo X20/ Numerical Aperture

(NA):1.3 oil objective and Plan Apo X40/ NA:0.75 dry objective using hybrid detection

technology on a laser scanning confocal (Leica Microsystems SP8), Nikon A1R confocal laser

scanning microscope system attached to an inverted ECLIPSE Ti (Nikon Corp., Tokyo, Japan)

SVZ irradiation

Animals were anesthetized with isoflurane (3% induction for 5 minutes) and 1.5-2% when the

mice were placed in Small Animal Radiation Research Platform (SARRP, XSTRAHL, LTD

Company). First, a Cone Beam Computer Scanning (CBCT) was performed to target the SVZ

and to set up the treatment planning system. Mice were placed on their stomach and two fields

(-45° and + 45°) were used to be homogeneous on the irradiation target. The size of the

irradiation field was adapted with a multivariable collimator 10*6 mm. The SVZ received a

4Gy dose distributed 50% by each beam and the dose output was around 3.64 Gy/min

depending of the size of the field. The X-ray configuration was 220 kV, 13 mA and 0.15 mm

of Copper in these conditions.

Single cell library preparation for single cell RNA sequencing

SVZ lateral ventricle walls were dissected from two non-irradiated mice brains (2 month old)

and two 5 days post-irradiation 4Gy-irradiated mice brains (2-month old).

Protocol of SVZ digestion with Papain (Worthington, LK003150) to obtain single cell

suspensions were strictly identical to those performed in the whole genome microarray

experiment described above, including the Percoll gradient step to remove myelin. Cells were

resuspended in 0.04% BSA in PBS passed through a 70µm cell strainer (ThermoFisher

Scientific).

Blood cells were lysed using the Red blood Cell lysis solution (Milteny Biotec, 130-094-183)

and dead cell Removal using the Dead Cell Removal Kit (Miteny Biotec, 130-090-101) were

performed following the manufacter's instructions.

Single cell suspensions of two replicates of each sample (unirradiated, 4Gy) were adjusted to

2000 cells/µl and 10,000 non-irradiated cells and 6,000 irradiated cells were loaded per channel

onto Chromium Next GEM Chip Single Cell kit (ref: 1000127). Library preparation was

performed using the Dual Index Kit TT (ref: 1000215) according to manufacturer's

recommendations (10x Genomics, Pleasanton, CA). Quality and quantification of libraries were

done using the High Sensitivity DNA LabChip kit (ref: 5067-4626). Sequencing NGS Standard

Illumina was carried out with the NovaSeq6000-S1 flow cell (ICM platform, Paris).

METHOD DETAILS

Whole-genome Microarrays

mRNA were isolated with the RNeasy Micro Kit with DNase treatment (Qiagen) and sample

quality was controlled using the Agilent Bioanalyser. MTA-1.0 arrays (Clariom D Affymetrix

technology) were performed according to the manufacturer's protocol (Thermoisher). PCA and

pseudotime ordering was performed using TSCAN online user interface (Ji and Ji, 2016).The

data were analysed with the freeware software Transcriptome Analysis Console (TAC)

applying the RMA algorithm normalization. Functional profiling were generated using

REACTOME   and   KEGG   datasets   obtained   from   the   g:Profiler   interface

(https://biit.cs.ut.ee/gprofiler/gost).

Signatures of cell sorted populations were delineated using Venn diagrams comparing the five

populations of neural progenitors (s-qNSC, s-aNSC, s-TAP, s-iNB and s-mNB)

(https://bioinformatics.psb.ugent.be/cgi-bin/liste/Venn/calculate_venn.htpl).

sc-RNAseq and microarray dataset comparisons:

Published genelists were matched with microarray data raw data using aggregated Z-scores.

For each gene in a genelist, gene expression amongst all samples was normalized between 0

and 1, then mean expression among samples was calculated for each population. Plots represent

the distribution of mean normalized gene expression for all genes in the genelist.

Single-cell RNA control quality and analysis

ScRNA-seq data were aligned to the GRCm38 - mm10 reference genome (cellranger-6.1.2).

Data were analysed in R (version 4.1.0) using Seurat (v4.1.1). Quality control removed outlier

cells with fewer than 1200 to 1500 genes per cell (nFeatures_RNA), 2350 to 2700 UMI

(nCount_RNA) and cells less than 10% mitochondrial content. Gene expression was

normalized using the regularized negative binomial regression to normalize UMI count data

(SCTransform) using 4000 variable features and the different samples (controls and irradiated).

The features to use in the downstream integration procedure were determined using

SelectIntegrationFeatures. After a pre-computed AnchorSet (FindIntergrationAnchors), the

Seurat object were integrated using IntegrateData with the normalization method SCT.

CellCycleScoring was applied to calculate the S.Score, G2M.Score and Phase. RunUMAP runs

the Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection (UMAP) dimensional reduction

technique using 50 PCs. FindNeighbors computes the k.param nearest neighbors using dims =

1:50. Clusters of cells were identified by a shared nearest neighbor (SNN) modularity

optimization bases clustering algorithm using FindClusters with resolutions from 0.2 to 2. The

resolution 1.2 that segregates cells into 33 clusters was chosen based on Clustree graph showing

the relashionship between clusterings at the different resolutions. UMAP plots were generated

with UMAPPlot with default parameters.

The PrepSCTFindMarkers function was used to normalize gene expression for differential gene

expression analysis among clusters, which was performed using FindAllMarkers on the SCT

assay, with significance determined using a Wilcoxon rank sum test (p_val_adj < 0.05) and

log(Fold-Change) threshold of 0.1. A histogram representation of *Dcx*

(ENSMUSG00000031285) expression in the clusters corresponding to astrocytes and neural

progenitors allowed us to place a threshold expression at 1. Cells with an expression lower than

or equal to 1 correspond to Dcx^{Low} cells and cells with an expression strictly higher than 1 to

Dcx^{High} cells. Then, we reapplied PrepSCTFindMarkers and FindAllMarkers to find

differentially expressed genes between Dcx^{Low} and Dcx^{High} iNB.

Gene expression score:

We used AddModuleScore_Ucell (Package *UCell* version 1.3.1) to score cells based on the

expression of predefined specific gene lists of sorted s-qNSC, s-aNSC, s-TAP, s-iNB and s-

mNB determined by microarrays using the Mann-Whitney U statistic [40]. Each cell was then

assigned an identity corresponding to the highest score amongst the signatures tested.

Repartition of classes among clusters was visualized using the Circlize package [58].

For astrocyte and B-cell classification, we used the lists of Astrocyte and B-cell markers

provided by Cebrian-Silla et al. (2021) [14] to score cells from the clusters 4 and 13 between 0

(min) and 1 (max). Cells were assigned to the highest score amongst both signatures.

Gene enrichment analysis:

Comparisons of the specific gene lists of Dcx^{High} s-iNB and Dcx^{Low} s-iNB were performed with

Biological pathways using the g:Profiler interface (https://biit.cs.ut.ee/gprofiler/gost).

QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS
672
673 Non-parametric Mann-Whitney test was conducted using Prism 8.1.2 (GraphPad Software Inc.,

674 La Jolla, CA, RRID:SCR_002798). The statistical significance was set at $p<0.05$. The data are

675 expressed as the mean ± SEM.

677 **DATA AVAILABILITY**
678
The datasets generated for this article were deposited online on the ANNOTARE database (E-

MTAB-12265, E-MTAB-12495). Scripts used for analysis are available on

https://github.com/AChicheportiche/Bernou2023.

Supplemental informations

Figure S1: eGFP⁺ s-iNB cells in the rostral migratory stream

Immunofluorescence of mouse brains transplanted unilaterally in 3 injection points near the

dSVZ/RMS with eGFP⁺iNB cells isolated from β-actin:eGFP mice. Transplanted brains were

analysed five weeks later. **(A)** EGFP⁺iNB cells reached the rostral migratory stream and

expressed DCX (insets A2 and A3, white arrow). Insets are high magnification panels. **(B)**

eGFP⁺ cells persisting at the injection site expressing astrocyte or oligodendrocyte markers.

High magnifications of the inset1 (dotted line in A) showing eGFP⁺ GFAP⁺ cells (white arrow)

(a2) and eGFP⁺DCX⁺ cells (white arrow) **(a3)**. High magnifications of the inset2 (dotted line in

B) showing eGFP⁺ CNPase⁺ (white arrow) **(b2)**. Scale bars= 100 µm.

Figure S2: Functional enrichment analyses of the individual transcriptome signature of

neurogenic populations

Comparisons of individual transcriptome signatures with various Gene Ontology gene sets and

Biological pathways using the g:Profiler software highlight significant stage-dependant

changes. S-qNSCs expressed genes related to Metabolism of lipids, Transport of small

molecules. S-aNSC expressed genes involved in Glyoxylate and decarboxylate metabolism. S-

TAP significantly displayed genes involved in Mitotic G1 phase and G1/S transition and S

Phase. S-iNB specifically expressed Cell Cycle and Mitotic genes in contrast to s-mNB which

are characterised by the expression of Axon guidance and Nervous system development genes.

Figure S3: Correspondence between the molecular signatures of sorted neural progenitor

populations in our study and available sc-RNA-seq datasets.

Violin diagrams representing the expression of selected clusters from published sc-RNAseq

analysis in our Clariom microarray[14,17,32]. S-qNSC perfectly matched the expression of Glial

markers (Class III)[32], qNSC[17] and Quiescent B cells (clusters 5 and 14)[14]. In contrast, s-aNSC

poorly matched with Lipid biosynthesis (Class III) and Cell cycle (Class IV)[32], aNSC[17] and

Activated B cells (Cluster 13)[14]. Similarly, s-TAP poorly matched with TAP[17] and C cells

(Cluster 12)[14]. S-iNB perfectly fit with Mitosis (Class VI), mitotic TAP (mTAP) (Zywitza et

al., 2018) and Mitosis (Cluster 8, 10,16 and 17)[14]. s-mNB overlapped genes related to Neuron

differentiation (Class VII), Late NBs [17] and A cells (clusters 0, 1 and 4).

Figure S4: Quality controls of scRNA-seq on whole SVZ tissues

(A) Violin diagrams of the nCount_RNA, the nFeature_RNA and the mitochondrial percentage

(Percent.mito) before applying cut-offs adapted to each non-irradiated and irradiated samples.

(B) Proportion of cells from the 4 samples in the 33 clusters.

Figure S5: Annotation of sc-RNAseq clusters

(A) UMAP at the resolution 1.2 combining scRNA-seq datasets of unirradiated and 4Gy

irradiated cells segregated into 33 clusters. **(B)** Violin diagrams illustrating the expression level

of known cell markers in the 33 clusters according to the literature. **(C)** UMAP of top

normalized UCellScore Astrocyte- and the B-cell specific genes described by Cebrian-Silla et

al [14] for cells from clusters 4 and 13.

Figure S6: Expression of *Dcx* in scRNA-seq neurogenic clusters

Violin diagram of the expression of *Dcx* in cells from neurogenic clusters illustrating two

populations of iNB: iNB *Dc^{Hiigh}* and iNB *Dcx^{Low}* cells.

Figure S7: Expression of RSR genes in scRNA-seq neurogenic clusters in irradiated

brains

Violin diagrams illustrating the expression of the RSR genes increasing **(A)** or decreasing **(B)**

with neurogenesis.

Figure S8: Transplantations of eGFP$^+$s-iNB, eGFP$^-$s-iNB and eGFP^{+s-}mNB freshly

isolated from DCX-CreERT2::CAG-floxed-eGFP mice model

Table recapitulating the immunohistological analyses of the numbers of eGFP$^+$ cells in different

regions in recipient C57Bl6/J mice brains, 5 weeks after transplantation.

Supp_data_1: Transcriptomic signatures of s-qNSC, s-aNSC, s-TAP, s-iNB and s-mNB

(Clariom assay) and corresponding g:Profiler Gene Ontology analyses.

Supp_data_2: Differentially spliced genes (DSG) in each transition along SVZ neurogenesis.

Supp_data_3: Differentially expressed genes (DEG) among RNA splicing regulators (RSR)

genes in each transition along SVZ neurogenesis.

Supp_data_4: Identification of astrocytes and qNSC among clusters 4 and 13 from our

scRNAseq dataset using the transcriptomic signatures of Astrocytes and B_cells described by

Cebrian et al. 2021.

Supp_data_5: Differentially expressed genes of s-INB Dcx^{High} and s-iNB Dcx^{Low} cells and

functional profiling using g:Profiler interface.

References

1.   Obernier, K., and Alvarez-Buylla, A. (2019). Neural stem cells: Origin, heterogeneity
and regulation in the adult mammalian brain. Dev. Camb. *146*. 10.1242/dev.156059.
2.   Codega, P., Silva-Vargas, V., Paul, A., Maldonado-Soto, A.R., Deleo, A.M., Pastrana,
E., and Doetsch, F. (2014). Prospective identification and purification of quiescent
adult neural stem cells from their in vivo niche. Neuron *82*, 545–559.
10.1016/j.neuron.2014.02.039.
3.   Fuentealba, L.C., Shah, J.K., Lindquist, R.A., Ihrie, R.A., Guinto, C.D., Rodas-
Rodriguez, J.L., and Alvarez-Buylla, A. (2015). A Dorsal SHH-Dependent Domain in
the V-SVZ Produces Large Numbers of Oligodendroglial Lineage Cells in the
Postnatal Brain. Stem Cell Rep. *5*, 461–470. 10.1016/j.stemcr.2015.08.013.
4.   Merkle, F.T., Fuentealba, L.C., Sanders, T.A., Magno, L., Kessaris, N., and Alvarez-
Buylla, A. (2014). Adult neural stem cells in distinct microdomains generate
previously unknown interneuron types. Nat. Neurosci. *17*, 207–214. 10.1038/nn.3610.
5.   Gonzalez-Perez, O., and Alvarez-Buylla, A. (2011). Oligodendrogenesis in the
subventricular zone and the role of epidermal growth factor. Brain Res. Rev. *67*, 147–
156. 10.1016/j.brainresrev.2011.01.001.

6.   Michael A. Hack, Saghatelyan, A., Chevigny, A. de, Pfeifer, A., Ashery-Padan, R.,
Lledo, P.-M., and Götz, M. (2005). Neuronal fate determinants of adult olfactory bulb
neurogenesis. Nat. Neurosci. *8*, 865–872. 10.1038/nn1479.
7.   Sohn, J., Orosco, L., Guo, F., Chung, S.-H., Bannerman, P., Mills Ko, E., Zarbalis, K.,
Deng, W., and Pleasure, D. (2015). The subventricular zone continues to generate
corpus callosum and rostral migratory stream astroglia in normal adult mice. J.
Neurosci. Off. J. Soc. Neurosci. *35*, 3756–3763. 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3454-14.2015.
8.   Holmin, S., Almqvist, P., Lendahl, U., and Mathiesen, T. (1997). Adult nestin-
expressing subependymal cells differentiate to astrocytes in response to brain injury.
Eur. J. Neurosci. *9*, 65–75. 10.1111/j.1460-9568.1997.tb01354.x.
9.   Nait-Oumesmar, B., Decker, L., Lachapelle, F., Avellana-Adalid, V., Bachelin, C.,
and Baron-Van Evercooren, A. (1999). Progenitor cells of the adult mouse
subventricular zone proliferate, migrate and differentiate into oligodendrocytes after
demyelination. Eur. J. Neurosci. *11*, 4357–4366.
10. Belenguer, G., Duart-Abadia, P., Jordán-Pla, A., Domingo-Muelas, A., Blasco-
Chamarro, L., Ferrón, S.R., Morante-Redolat, J.M., and Fariñas, I. (2021). Adult
Neural Stem Cells Are Alerted by Systemic Inflammation through TNF-α Receptor
Signaling. Cell Stem Cell *28*, 285-299.e9. 10.1016/j.stem.2020.10.016.
11. Fischer, J., Beckervordersandforth, R., Tripathi, P., Steiner-Mezzadri, A., Ninkovic, J.,
and Götz, M. (2011). Prospective isolation of adult neural stem cells from the mouse
subependymal zone. Nat. Protoc. *6*, 1981–1989. 10.1038/nprot.2011.412.
12. Mich, J.K., Signer, R.A., Nakada, D., Pineda, A., Burgess, R.J., Vue, T.Y., Johnson,
J.E., and Morrison, S.J. (2014). Prospective identification of functionally distinct stem
cells and neurosphere-initiating cells in adult mouse forebrain. eLife *3*, e02669.
10.7554/eLife.02669.
13. Pastrana, E., Cheng, L.-C., and Doetsch, F. (2009). Simultaneous prospective
purification of adult subventricular zone neural stem cells and their progeny. Proc.
Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. *106*, 6387–6392. 10.1073/pnas.0810407106.
14. Cebrian-Silla, A., Nascimento, M.A., Redmond, S.A., Mansky, B., Wu, D., Obernier,
K., Romero Rodriguez, R., Gonzalez-Granero, S., García-Verdugo, J.M., Lim, D.A.,
et al. (2021). Single-cell analysis of the ventricular-subventricular zone reveals
signatures of dorsal and ventral adult neurogenesis. eLife *10*, e67436.
10.7554/eLife.67436.
15. Dulken, B.W., Leeman, D.S., Boutet, S.C., Hebestreit, K., and Brunet, A. (2017).
Single-Cell Transcriptomic Analysis Defines Heterogeneity and Transcriptional
Dynamics in the Adult Neural Stem Cell Lineage. Cell Rep. *18*, 777–790.
10.1016/j.celrep.2016.12.060.
16. Xie, X.P., Laks, D.R., Sun, D., Poran, A., Laughney, A.M., Wang, Z., Sam, J.,
Belenguer, G., Fariñas, I., Elemento, O., et al. (2020). High-resolution mouse
subventricular zone stem-cell niche transcriptome reveals features of lineage,
anatomy, and aging. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. *117*, 31448–31458.
10.1073/pnas.2014389117.
17. Zywitza, V., Misios, A., Bunatyan, L., Willnow, T.E., and Rajewsky, N. (2018).
Single-Cell Transcriptomics Characterizes Cell Types in the Subventricular Zone and
Uncovers Molecular Defects Impairing Adult Neurogenesis. Cell Rep. *25*, 2457-
2469.e8. 10.1016/j.celrep.2018.11.003.
18. Mizrak, D., Levitin, H.M., Delgado, A.C., Crotet, V., Yuan, J., Chaker, Z., Silva-
Vargas, V., Sims, P.A., and Doetsch, F. (2019). Single-Cell Analysis of Regional
Differences in Adult V-SVZ Neural Stem Cell Lineages. Cell Rep. *26*, 394-406.e5.
10.1016/j.celrep.2018.12.044.

19.   Daynac, M., Morizur, L., Kortulewski, T., Gauthier, L.R., Ruat, M., Mouthon, M.-A.,
and Boussin, F.D. (2015). Cell Sorting of Neural Stem and Progenitor Cells from the
Adult Mouse Subventricular Zone and Live-imaging of their Cell Cycle Dynamics. J.
Vis. Exp. JoVE. 10.3791/53247.

20.   Daynac, M., Chicheportiche, A., Pineda, J.R., Gauthier, L.R., Boussin, F.D., and
Mouthon, M.-A. (2013). Quiescent neural stem cells exit dormancy upon alteration of
GABAAR signaling following radiation damage. Stem Cell Res. *11*, 516–528.
10.1016/j.scr.2013.02.008.

21.   Daynac, M., Morizur, L., Chicheportiche, A., Mouthon, M.-A., and Boussin, F.D.
(2016). Age-related neurogenesis decline in the subventricular zone is associated with
specific cell cycle regulation changes in activated neural stem cells. Sci. Rep. *6*,
21505. 10.1038/srep21505.

22.   Pineda, J.R., Boussin, F.D., and Mouthon, M.-A. (2013). Le TGFβ, un trouble-fête
dans la niche des cellules souches neurales adultes. médecine/sciences *29*, 572–574.
10.1051/medsci/2013296006.

23.   Li, G., Liu, J., Guan, Y., and Ji, X. (2021). The role of hypoxia in stem cell regulation
of the central nervous system: From embryonic development to adult proliferation.
CNS Neurosci. Ther. *27*, 1446–1457. 10.1111/cns.13754.

24.   Ross, H.H., Sandhu, M.S., Cheung, T.F., Fitzpatrick, G.M., Sher, W.J., Tiemeier, A.J.,
Laywell, E.D., and Fuller, D.D. (2012). In vivo intermittent hypoxia elicits enhanced
expansion and neuronal differentiation in cultured neural progenitors. Exp. Neurol.
235, 238–245. 10.1016/j.expneurol.2012.01.027.

25.   Ji, Z., and Ji, H. (2016). TSCAN: Pseudo-time reconstruction and evaluation in single-
cell RNA-seq analysis. Nucleic Acids Res. *44*, e117. 10.1093/nar/gkw430.

26.   Fisher, E., and Feng, J. RNA splicing regulators play critical roles in neurogenesis.
WIREs RNA *n/a*, e1728. 10.1002/wrna.1728.

27.   Grabowski, P. (2011). Alternative splicing takes shape during neuronal development.
Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev. *21*, 388–394. 10.1016/j.gde.2011.03.005.

28.   Jacko, M., Weyn-Vanhentenryck, S.M., Smerdon, J.W., Yan, R., Feng, H., Williams,
D.J., Pai, J., Xu, K., Wichterle, H., and Zhang, C. (2018). Rbfox Splicing Factors
Promote Neuronal Maturation and Axon Initial Segment Assembly. Neuron *97*, 853-
868.e6. 10.1016/j.neuron.2018.01.020.

29.   Weyn-Vanhentenryck, S.M., Feng, H., Ustianenko, D., Duffié, R., Yan, Q., Jacko, M.,
Martinez, J.C., Goodwin, M., Zhang, X., Hengst, U., et al. (2018). Precise temporal
regulation of alternative splicing during neural development. Nat. Commun. *9*, 2189.
10.1038/s41467-018-04559-0.

30.   Yano, M., Hayakawa-Yano, Y., Mele, A., and Darnell, R.B. (2010). Nova2 regulates
neuronal migration through an RNA switch in disabled-1 signaling. Neuron *66*, 848–
858. 10.1016/j.neuron.2010.05.007.

31.   Zhu, W., Zhou, B., Rong, L., Ye, L., Xu, H., Zhou, Y., Yan, X., Liu, W., Zhu, B.,
Wang, L., et al. (2020). Roles of PTBP1 in alternative splicing, glycolysis, and
oncogensis. J. Zhejiang Univ.-Sci. B *21*, 122–136. 10.1631/jzus.B1900422.

32.   Llorens-Bobadilla, E., Zhao, S., Baser, A., Saiz-Castro, G., Zwadlo, K., and Martin-
Villalba, A. (2015). Single-Cell Transcriptomics Reveals a Population of Dormant
Neural Stem Cells that Become Activated upon Brain Injury. Cell Stem Cell *17*, 329–
340. 10.1016/j.stem.2015.07.002.

33.   Gómez Morillas, A., Besson, V.C., and Lerouet, D. (2021). Microglia and
Neuroinflammation: What Place for P2RY12? Int. J. Mol. Sci. *22*, 1636.
10.3390/ijms22041636.

34.   Uv, B., and Ma, H. (1998). Comparative analysis of glutamate transporter expression
875   in rat brain using differential double in situ hybridization. Anat. Embryol. (Berl.) *198*.
876   10.1007/s004290050161.

877   35.   Sawano, A., Iwai, S., Sakurai, Y., Ito, M., Shitara, K., Nakahata, T., and Shibuya, M.
878   (2001). Flt-1, vascular endothelial growth factor receptor 1, is a novel cell surface
879   marker for the lineage of monocyte-macrophages in humans. Blood *97*, 785–791.
880   10.1182/blood.v97.3.785.

881   36.   Zhu, Q., Zhao, X., Zheng, K., Li, H., Huang, H., Zhang, Z., Mastracci, T., Wegner,
882   M., Chen, Y., Sussel, L., et al. (2014). Genetic evidence that Nkx2.2 and Pdgfra are
883   major determinants of the timing of oligodendrocyte differentiation in the developing
884   CNS. Dev. Camb. Engl. *141*, 548–555. 10.1242/dev.095323.

885   37.   Lertkiatmongkol, P., Paddock, C., Newman, D.K., Zhu, J., Thomas, M.J., and
886   Newman, P.J. (2016). The Role of Sialylated Glycans in Human Platelet Endothelial
887   Cell Adhesion Molecule 1 (PECAM-1)-mediated Trans Homophilic Interactions and
888   Endothelial Cell Barrier Function. J. Biol. Chem. *291*, 26216–26225.
889   10.1074/jbc.M116.756502.

890   38.   Kouwenhoven, W. Vglut2 expression in dopamine neurons contributes to post-lesional
891   striatal reinnervation. 42.

892   39.   Kim, E.J., Ables, J.L., Dickel, L.K., Eisch, A.J., and Johnson, J.E. (2011). Ascl1
893   (Mash1) Defines Cells with Long-Term Neurogenic Potential in Subgranular and
894   Subventricular Zones in Adult Mouse Brain. PLoS ONE *6*.
895   10.1371/journal.pone.0018472.

896   40.   Andreatta, M., and Carmona, S.J. (2021). UCell: Robust and scalable single-cell gene
897   signature scoring. Comput. Struct. Biotechnol. J. *19*, 3796–3798.
898   10.1016/j.csbj.2021.06.043.

899   41.   Lois, C., and Alvarez-Buylla, A. (1993). Proliferating subventricular zone cells in the
900   adult mammalian forebrain can differentiate into neurons and glia. Proc. Natl. Acad.
901   Sci. U. S. A. *90*, 2074–2077. 10.1073/pnas.90.5.2074.

902   42.   Menezes, J.R., Smith, C.M., Nelson, K.C., and Luskin, M.B. (1995). The division of
903   neuronal progenitor cells during migration in the neonatal mammalian forebrain. Mol.
904   Cell. Neurosci. *6*, 496–508. 10.1006/mcne.1995.0002.

905   43.   Ponti, G., Obernier, K., Guinto, C., Jose, L., Bonfanti, L., and Alvarez-Buylla, A.
906   (2013). Cell cycle and lineage progression of neural progenitors in the ventricular-
907   subventricular zones of adult mice. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. *110*, E1045-1054.
908   10.1073/pnas.1219563110.

909   44.   El Waly, B., Cayre, M., and Durbec, P. (2018). Promoting Myelin Repair through
910   In Vivo Neuroblast Reprogramming. Stem Cell Rep. *10*, 1492–1504.
911   10.1016/j.stemcr.2018.02.015.

912   45.   Jablonska, B., Aguirre, A., Raymond, M., Szabo, G., Kitabatake, Y., Sailor, K.A.,
913   Ming, G.-L., Song, H., and Gallo, V. (2010). Chordin-induced lineage plasticity of
914   adult SVZ neuroblasts after demyelination. Nat. Neurosci. *13*, 541–550.
915   10.1038/nn.2536.

916   46.   Klein, B., Mrowetz, H., Kreutzer, C., Rotheneichner, P., Zaunmair, P., Lange, S.,
917   Coras, R., Couillard-Despres, S., Rivera, F.J., and Aigner, L. (2020). DCX+ neuronal
918   progenitors contribute to new oligodendrocytes during remyelination in the
919   hippocampus. Sci. Rep. *10*, 20095. 10.1038/s41598-020-77115-w.

920   47.   Mauger, O., Klinck, R., Chabot, B., Muchardt, C., Allemand, E., and Batsché, E.
921   (2015). Alternative splicing regulates the expression of G9A and SUV39H2
922   methyltransferases, and dramatically changes SUV39H2 functions. Nucleic Acids Res.
923   *43*, 1869–1882. 10.1093/nar/gkv013.

48. Shin, J., and Kim, J. (2013). Novel alternative splice variants of chicken NPAS3 are
expressed in the developing central nervous system. Gene *530*, 222–228.
10.1016/j.gene.2013.08.024.
49. Zhou, J., Zheng, X., and Shen, H. (2012). Targeting RNA-Splicing for SMA
Treatment. Mol. Cells *33*, 223–228. 10.1007/s10059-012-0005-6.
50. Irimia, M., Weatheritt, R.J., Ellis, J.D., Parikshak, N.N., Gonatopoulos-Pournatzis, T.,
Babor, M., Quesnel-Vallières, M., Tapial, J., Raj, B., O'Hanlon, D., et al. (2014). A
highly conserved program of neuronal microexons is misregulated in autistic brains.
Cell *159*, 1511–1523. 10.1016/j.cell.2014.11.035.
51. Li, Y.I., Sanchez-Pulido, L., Haerty, W., and Ponting, C.P. (2015). RBFOX and
PTBP1 proteins regulate the alternative splicing of micro-exons in human brain
transcripts. Genome Res. *25*, 1–13. 10.1101/gr.181990.114.
52. Fox, R.G., Park, F.D., Koechlein, C.S., Kritzik, M., and Reya, T. (2015). Musashi
signaling in stem cells and cancer. Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol. *31*, 249–267.
10.1146/annurev-cellbio-100814-125446.
53. Sakakibara, S., and Okano, H. (1997). Expression of neural RNA-binding proteins in
the postnatal CNS: implications of their roles in neuronal and glial cell development.
J. Neurosci. Off. J. Soc. Neurosci. *17*, 8300–8312.
54. Yano, M., Hayakawa-Yano, Y., and Okano, H. (2016). RNA regulation went wrong in
neurodevelopmental disorders: The example of Msi/Elavl RNA binding proteins. Int.
J. Dev. Neurosci. Off. J. Int. Soc. Dev. Neurosci. *55*, 124–130.
10.1016/j.ijdevneu.2016.01.002.
55. Bronicki, L.M., and Jasmin, B.J. (2013). Emerging complexity of the HuD/ELAVl4
gene; implications for neuronal development, function, and dysfunction. RNA *19*,
1019–1037. 10.1261/rna.039164.113.
56. Kang, M.-J., Abdelmohsen, K., Hutchison, E.R., Mitchell, S.J., Grammatikakis, I.,
Guo, R., Noh, J.H., Martindale, J.L., Yang, X., Lee, E.K., et al. (2014). HuD
Regulates Coding and Noncoding RNA to Induce APP→Aβ Processing. Cell Rep. *7*,
1401–1409. 10.1016/j.celrep.2014.04.050.
57. Zhang, J., Giesert, F., Kloos, K., Vogt Weisenhorn, D.M., Aigner, L., Wurst, W., and
Couillard-Despres, S. (2010). A powerful transgenic tool for fate mapping and
functional analysis of newly generated neurons. BMC Neurosci. *11*, 158.
10.1186/1471-2202-11-158.
58. Gu, Z., Gu, L., Eils, R., Schlesner, M., and Brors, B. (2014). circlize implements and
enhances circular visualization in R. Bioinformatics *30*, 2811–2812.
10.1093/bioinformatics/btu393.
960

961
962

Figure 1: Plasticity of iNB *in vitro* and *in vivo*

A

s-aNSC

Population Doublings vs Days
○ 20 ● 4
* *

s-TAP

Population Doublings vs Days
○ 20 ● 4
*** * *

s-iNB

Population Doublings vs Days
○ 20 ● 4
** **

s-mNB

Population Doublings vs Days
□ 20 ● 4

B

Nb NSP / 10^3 cells

**
**

| 20%O2 | 4%O2 | 20%O2 | 4%O2 | 20%O2 | 4%O2 |
| 4 | 4 | 7 | 7 | 11 | 17 |

s-aNSC   s-TAP   s-iNB

C

O4 DAPI   GFAP DAPI   β-IIItub DAPI

D

a — EGFP DAPI; OB, GCL, EPL, GL; 100µm
a1 — EGFP NeuN
a2 — NeuN
b1 — EGFP DAPI; V, d-SVZ; 40µm
b2 — DCX DAPI
b3 — GFAP DAPI
c1 — EGFP DCX DAPI; V, l-SVZ
c2 — GFAP; 40µm

Figure 2: Transcriptomic analysis of SVZ neurogenic cells

Figure 3: scRNA-seq analysis : whole SVZ

Figure 4: RSR gene expression in scRNA-seq neurogenic clusters

A   *RSR genes increasing with neurogenesis*

B   *RSR genes decreasing with neurogenesis*

Figure 5: *Dcx* expression in the neurogenic cell clusters

Figure 6: Plasticity of iNB *Dcx+ in vitro*

A

B

C

Figure 7: Proposed model of adult mouse SVZ neurogenesis involving major changes in RSR genes expression

Figure S1:

A   **EGFP+ s-iNB cells in the rostral migratory stream**

B   **Regenerative potential of eGFP+ s-iNB *in vivo***

Figure S2: Functional enrichment analyses of individual transcriptome signature of neurogenic populations

Figure S4: Quality controls of scRNA-seq on whole SVZ tissues

Figure S5: Annotation of sc-RNAseq clusters

A

C

Figure S6: Expression of *Dcx* in scRNA-seq neurogenic clusters

Figure S7: Expression of RSR genes in scRNA-seq neurogenic clusters in irradiated brains

A

B

Figure S8: Table recapitulating the immunohistological analyses of eGFP$^+$ s-iNB, eGFP$^-$s-iNB and eGFP$^+$mNB transplantations into recipient mouse brains

		eGFP+ engrafted cells	IS Nb eGFP+ cells	IS %	SVZ Nb eGFP+ cells	SVZ %	CC Nb eGFP+ cells	CC %	OB Nb eGFP+ cells	OB %	Total eGFP+ cells
s-iNB eGFP+	Animal 1	$1x10^4$	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
s-iNB eGFP+	Animal 2	$1x10^4$	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
s-iNB eGFP+ /eGFP-	Animal 1	$2x10^4$ / $8.1x10^4$	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
s-iNB eGFP+ /eGFP-	Animal 2	$2x10^4$ / $8.1x10^4$	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
s-iNB eGFP+ /eGFP-	Animal 3	$2x10^4$ / $8.1x10^4$	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
s-mNB eGFP+	Animal 1	$2x10^4$	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
s-mNB eGFP+	Animal 2	$2x10^4$	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
s- mNB eGFP+	Animal 3	$2x10^4$	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0

IS: Injection Site
SVZ: Subventricular Zone
CC: Corpus Callosum
OB: Olfactory Bulb

Table 1:

		IS		SVZ		CC		OB		Total eGFP+
		Nb eGFP+ cells	%	Nb eGFP+ cells	%	Nb eGFP+ cells	%	Nb eGFP+ cells	%	cells
s-aNSC/s-TAP	Animal 1	271	62.6%	17	3.9%	55	12.7%	90	20.8%	433
1.3-1.5x10^4	Animal 2	300	31.9%	79	8.4%	47	5.0%	515	54.7%	941
eGFP+ engrafted cells	Animal 3	*95*	37.1%	*73*	28.5%	*22*	8.6%	*66*	25.8%	256
s-iNB	Animal 1	1025	65.2%	16	1.0%	49	3.1%	483	30.7%	1573
2x10^4	Animal 2	196	15.5%	41	3.2%	41	3.2%	989	78.1%	1267
eGFP+ engrafted cells	Animal 3	240	84.8%	43	15.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	283
s-mNB	Animal 1	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
2x10^4	Animal 2	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
eGFP+ engrafted cells	Animal 3	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0

IS = Injection Site

CC = Corpus Callosum

SVZ = Subventricular zone

OB = Olfactive Bulb