Murine Alveolar Macrophages Rapidly Accumulate Intranasally

Administered SARS-CoV-2 Spike Protein leading to Neutrophil Recruitment

and Damage

Authors: Chung Park[1], Il-Young Hwang[1], Serena Li-Sue Yan[1], Sinmanus Vimonpatranon [2,3], Danlan

Wei[2], Don Van Ryk[2], Alexandre Girard[2], Claudia Cicala[2], James Arthos[2] and John H. Kehrl [1,4]

Affiliations:

[1]B-cell Molecular Immunology Section, Laboratory of Immunoregulation, National Institutes of Allergy

and Infectious Diseases, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland 20892.

[2]Immunopathogenesis Section, Laboratory of Immunoregulation, National Institute of Allergy and

Infectious Diseases, Bethesda, Maryland, United States of America.

[3]Department of Retrovirology, Armed Forces Research Institute of Medical Sciences – United States

Component, Bangkok, Thailand [4]Corresponding author.

Running title: Imaging SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein in mice.

To whom correspondence should be addressed: John H. Kehrl, Laboratory of Immunoregulation,

National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, National Institutes of Health, Bldg. 10, Room 6A01,

10 Center Dr. MSC 1876, Bethesda, Maryland 20892, United States of America; Fax: 301-402-0070. Email:

jkehrl@niaid.nih.gov

Keywords: alveolar macrophage, SARS-CoV-2, neutrophil, NETosis, Spike protein, Siglec

Abstract: The trimeric SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein mediates viral attachment facilitating cell entry. Most COVID-19 vaccines direct mammalian cells to express the Spike protein or deliver it directly via inoculation to engender a protective immune response. The trafficking and cellular tropism of the Spike protein *in vivo* and its impact on immune cells remains incompletely elucidated. In this study we inoculated mice intranasally, intravenously, and subcutaneously with fluorescently labeled recombinant SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein. Using flow cytometry and imaging techniques we analyzed its localization, immune cell tropism, and acute functional impact. Intranasal administration led to rapid lung alveolar macrophage uptake, pulmonary vascular leakage, and neutrophil recruitment and damage. When injected near the inguinal lymph node medullary, but not subcapsular macrophages, captured the protein, while scrotal injection recruited and fragmented neutrophils. Wide-spread endothelial and liver Kupffer cell uptake followed intravenous administration. Human peripheral blood cells B cells, neutrophils, monocytes, and myeloid dendritic cells all efficiently bound Spike protein. Exposure to the Spike protein enhanced neutrophil NETosis and augmented human macrophage TNF-α and IL-6 production. Human and murine immune cells employed C-type lectin receptors and Siglecs to help capture the Spike protein. This study highlights the potential toxicity of the SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein for mammalian cells and illustrates the central role for alveolar macrophage in pathogenic protein uptake.

Introduction

In 2019 a new coronavirus (SARS-CoV-2) was identified as the cause of an epidemic outbreak of an acute respiratory syndrome in Wuhan, China. SARS-CoV-2 used the same cell entry receptor—angiotensin converting enzyme II (ACE2)—as did SARS-CoV-1 (1). The SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein mediates cell entry and is a single-pass transmembrane proteins that forms homotrimers. It has a large N-terminal ectodomain exposed to the exterior, a transmembrane helix, and a short C-terminal tail located within the virus. Each Spike monomer contains two regions termed S1 and S2. In the assembled trimer the S1 regions contain the receptor binding domain while the S2 regions forms a flexible stalk, which mediates membrane fusion between the viral envelope and the host cell membrane (2-4). The S1 region is divided into a N-terminal domain and C-terminal domain, the latter interacts with the target cell ACE2 (5-11). Like other Coronavirus spike proteins SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein is heavily N-linked glycosylated (12, 13) and blocking N- and O-glycans dramatically reduced viral entry (14). The SARS-CoV-2 Spike proteins is activated by host cell proteases that cleave the protein at the S1-S2 boundary and subsequently at the S2' site (15-17). Because Spike proteins are located on the surface of the virus, they are a major antigen targeted by the host immune system (18).

During natural infection host immune cells encounter Spike proteins via several different avenues. First, by direct contact with Spike protein bearing viral particles released from infected cells. Second, although the SARS-CoV-2 virions assemble in intracellular compartments of infected cells, unincorporated Spike proteins can reach the plasma membrane. Infected cells expressing Spike proteins may bind to cellular receptors present on resident or recruited immune cells. Third, extracellular vesicles (EVs) released by virally infected cells can contain Spike proteins. Mass spectrometry and nanoscale flow cytometry demonstrated SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein incorporation into EVs (19). Fourth, Spike proteins are preprocessed during viral assembly utilizing the furin protease cleavage site between the S1 and S2 subunits (17). When the Spike protein adopts a fusion conformation the ACE2 receptor-binding domain separates from the membrane-bound S2 subunit. Soluble S1 subunits shed from infected cells or from the virions *in vivo* may bind to other cells via ACE2 or other binding partners. Intriguingly 60% of the plasma samples from patients with post-acute sequelae of coronavirus disease 2019 (PASC) had detectable levels of Spike protein using an ultrasensitive antigen capture assay (20). Prolonged exposure to Spike protein has been suggested to be responsible for Long-COVID syndrome (21). Humans also encounter SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein via vaccination (22). Serious adverse events following vaccination are rare but include vaccine-induced immune thrombocytopenia and thrombosis; myocarditis and pericarditis; and a variety of autoimmune illnesses (23). Due to waning effectiveness humans require repeated immunizations to maintain immunity and protection against potentially severe disease raising some concerns about the impact of repeated exposure to the SARS-CoV-2 Spike proteins.

To better understand the localization and trafficking of the SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein following

administration and perhaps during natural infection we prepared a recombinant SARS-CoV-2 Spike

ectodomain stabilized in a prefusion conformation (24). This variant (S-2P) contained two consecutive

proline substitutions in the S2 subunit. This double-proline substitution (SARS-CoV-2 S-2P) has allowed

the rapid determination of high-resolution cryo-EM structures. Fluorescently labeled SARS-CoV-2 Spike

ectodomain, a D614G variant (25-27), a high mannose (14), and de-glycosylated version were injected into

mice to characterize uptake and identify human mononuclear cells that bound these proteins, and to perform

functional studies. In some instances, we used viral like particles (VLPs) expressing the full-length Spike

protein. Our results identified the *in vivo* cellular tropism of the SARS-CoV-2 Spike proteins, delineated

their human mononuclear cell targets, provided insights into their functional effects, thereby, helping afford

a basis for understanding their impact on humans.

Results

Preparation of SARS-CoV-2 Spike proteins and VLPs expressing them

We purified a stabilized exodomain of the original SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein (24) using media conditioned

by transfected CHO-S or HEK293F cells. Because of higher yields most experiments used CHO-S derived

protein. The strategy for producing the recombinant protein is shown (**Figure 1-figure supplement 1**).

Based on its mobility on size exclusion chromatography the Spike proteins spontaneously formed trimers.

Kifunensine treated cultures were used to generate a high mannose version, and PNGase F treated protein

generated an N-glycan deficient version, and they will be referred to as such. The PNGase F treatment

resulted in two distinct chromatography peaks that exhibited slightly different mobilities on SDS-PAGE.

As discussed below we predominately used the preparation from fractions 9-11. The D614G mutant of the

original Wuhan SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein was also purified. Each of the recombinant proteins was

subjected to two rounds of Triton X-114 extraction to remove any residual lipopolysaccharide (28). The N-

termini of the recombinant proteins were labeled with Alexa Fluor 488. In some experiments we used viral

like particles (VLPs) that expressed the original Wuhan Spike protein. We produced the VLPs using

HEK293T cells along with a plasmid encoding the human immunodeficiency GAG protein fused to green

fluorescent protein (GFP) and a full-length SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein expression vector. Mice received

the Spike protein preparations or the SARS-CoV-2 VLPs by intranasal instillation, while only the Spike

proteins were injected intravenously or subcutaneously.

Instillation of SARS-CoV-2 Spike proteins and Spike protein expressing VLPs affects the lung

cellular composition and architecture

At various time points after Spike protein or VLP nasal instillation lungs from mice were processed for
confocal microscopy. A Siglec-F antibody identified alveolar macrophages (AMs) while CD169 (Siglec-1)
immunostaining delineated a subset of large airway associated macrophages (29). Interstitial and
inflammatory macrophages do not express Siglec-F. At 3 hours post instillation low magnification images
of mouse lung sections revealed Spike protein (Trimer) uptake by Siglec-F positive cells. Due to the uneven
distribution of the instilled Spike protein the Siglec-F positive cells in the lower portion of the image lack
signal (**Figure 1A, Figure 1-figure supplement 2A**). The Siglec-F positive macrophages located near the
bronchial epithelium and in the nearby alveoli initially accumulated the labeled protein **(Figure 1B)**. Even
at the 30-minute time point AMs had already accumulated it **(Figure 1C)**. Most of the macrophages that
acquired the Spike proteins were Siglec-F positive, lacked CD169 **(Figure 1D)**, but were wheat germ
agglutinin positive, a mucin marker **(Figure 1E)**. Repeating the experiment but substituting the Spike
protein with VLPs expressing the SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein revealed a similar pattern of uptake by AMs,
but with greater neutrophil recruitment and granulation compared to delta Env VLPs. **(Figure 1F, Figure**
1-figure supplement 2B, C). Immunostaining with LYVE-1 and CD31 to identify the lymphatic and blood
vessel endothelium, respectively, **(Figure 1G)** or with Podoplanin another lymphatic endothelial cell
marker **(Figure 1H, Figure 1-figure supplement 2D)** showed the rapid accumulation of the Spike protein
bearing VLPs in small and large lymphatic vessels. Within 3 hours of administration of either the VLPs
(Figure 1I) or the Spike protein (data not shown) areas of alveolar collapse and lung damage were evident.
To assess the cellular response to Spike protein instillation and to delineate the cells that had
acquired Spike protein *in vivo* we collected mouse lungs 18 hours post exposure to different SARS-CoV-2
Spike protein preparations. Saline instillation served as a control. Lung fragments were digested, and then
pushed through a 40-micron cell strainer. Analysis of the collected cells by flow cytometry revealed that
the SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein increased the number of neutrophils, monocytes, and dendritic cells in the
lung, but did not affect lymphocyte or eosinophil numbers **(Figure 2A)**. Due to the uneven distribution of
the instilled protein and because of their rapid turnover the neutrophil numbers likely underestimate the
local neutrophil recruitment. As the imaging experiments indicated AMs most avidly acquired the Spike
protein, some interstitial macrophages also retained it, while only a low percentage of the lung neutrophils
and dendritic cells were positive following isolation **(Figure 2B)**. Instillation of the glycan deficient Spike
protein reduced the monocyte cellular infiltrate and decreased the % of alveolar macrophages that retained
the protein. The high mannose Spike protein slightly increased the numbers of neutrophil and macrophages
in the lung despite a lower uptake by alveolar and interstitial macrophages. Finally, the D614G mutation
reduced the cellular infiltrate compared to the unmutated protein and had a slightly different cell binding
profile as a greater percentage of monocytes, eosinophils, and dendritic cells retained it **(Figure 2A, B)**. We
also tested whether the addition of human ACE2 affected the cellular uptake of the Spike protein following

intranasal administration by comparing wild type and the human ACE2 transgenic mice. While we saw

little difference by imaging, we did note some minor changes in the cellular uptake pattern, most notably

an increase uptake by AM and decrease by interstitial macrophages **(Figure 2-figure supplement 1)**

Altered lung vascular permeability, neutrophil recruitment, and lung damage 3 hours post

instillation of the Spike protein

The increase lung leukocytes following the Spike protein nasal instillation suggested that it may have

altered the vascular permeability of the lung. To assess whether vasculature permeability changes had

occurred, we intravenously injected Evans blue dye, which in the absence of a permeability defect remains

confined to the vasculature (30). Prior to injecting the Evans blue, we instilled in the nasal cavity the SARS-

CoV-2 Spike protein, the S1 subunit of the HCoV-HKU1 Spike protein, or a saline control. Inspection of

the lungs from the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein treated mice revealed a strong increase in Evan blue staining

while the lungs from the S1 subunit HCoV-HKU1 treated mice had a minimal increase. Quantifying the

Evans blue dye in collected lungs and liver confirmed the increase in vasculature permeability in the lungs

of the SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein treated mice **(Figure 3A).** Next, we compared the S1 subunit of SARS-

CoV-2 Spike protein to the HCoV-HKU1 S1 subunit. The S1 subunit preparations were purified from

transfected HEK 293 cells. The results of administrating the S1 subunit of HCoV-HKU1 Spike protein did

not differ from the saline control while the S1 subunit from SARS-CoV-2 like the SARS-CoV-2 trimer

increased the lung vascular permeability to Evans blue (**Figure 3-figure supplement 1A**). We also tested

whether the presence of hACE2 affected the response by using K18-hACE2 transgenic mice as the

recipients. The presence of the K18-hACE2 transgene slightly increased the lung vasculature permeability

upon SARS-CoV-2 trimer instillation **(Figure 3- figure supplement 1B).** Consistent with the increase in

lung vascular permeability 3 hours post SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein instillation, Siglec-F positive lung

macrophages bearing the Spike protein were surrounded by neutrophils and neutrophil fragments **(Figure**

3B, C).

Next, we investigated the potential impact of modifying the glycans displayed by the spike proteins

on lung vasculature homeostasis and neutrophil recruitment. Imaging fixed lung tissue from mice instilled

with saline, SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein, glycan-deficient protein, high mannose protein, and the HCoV-

HKU1 S1 subunit recombinant protein revealed enhanced neutrophil recruitment with each SARS-CoV-2

Spike protein preparation. We analyzed the neutrophil count in a 200×200 μm^2 area at six different

locations. The surveyed areas of the SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein instilled mice had approximately a 6.5-

fold higher neutrophil density compared to the saline-treated control mice. The high mannose version of

the Spike protein recruited fewer neutrophils at the three-hour time point while the PNGase F treated version

did not differ from the untreated trimer **(Figure 3D, Figure 3- figure supplement 1C)**.

174 **Neutrophil recruitment and damage in the cremaster muscle following local protein injection, and**

175 **in the liver following intravenous injection**

176 An exteriorized cremaster muscle is commonly used to intravitally image mouse neutrophil transmigration

177 and interstitial motility (31). Although this model lacks Siglec F-positive macrophages, it is worth

178 monitoring the effect of the SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein on neutrophils recruited into an inflammatory site.

179 Within 3 hours local IL-1β injection recruits bone marrow neutrophils and leads to their transmigration into

180 the nearby tissue. Co-injecting fluorescently labeled Ly6G and Gp1bβ antibodies identified neutrophils and

181 platelets, respectively. Local PBS injection and intermittent imaging over a 6-hour time frame revealed

182 occasional blood neutrophil and numerous flowing platelets **(Figure 4A, Video 1)**. Local intrascrotal

183 injection of unlabeled SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein caused a modest recruitment of neutrophils at 4-6 hours

184 post injection **(Figure 4A, Figure 4-figure supplement 1, Video 1)**. To better assess the long-term effect

185 of the SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein, we co-injected Il-1β and waited until the following day to image.

186 Typically, at 24 hours post Il-1β the inflammatory response is resolving, and the interstitial neutrophil

187 numbers are declining from their peak **(Video 2)**. In contrast, each of the Spike protein preparations

188 adversely affected neutrophil motility and morphology. Numerous neutrophils and neutrophil fragments

189 localized along the blood vessel walls and were scattered within the interstitium **(Figure 4B, Video 2)**. We

190 did not note any significant difference between the different SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein preparations as

191 each caused neutrophil fragmentation and a decline in mobile neutrophils **(Figure 4C)**.

192 We also assessed the impact of injecting the labeled SARS-CoV-2 protein into the blood on the

193 liver sinusoids using intravital microscopy. Snapshots at three hours post infusion revealed Spike protein

194 outlined liver sinusoid endothelial cells co-localized with the CD31 delineated sinusoid endothelial

195 membranes. Kupffer cells identified by F4-80 immunostaining rapidly acquired large amounts of the

196 infused Spike protein **(Figure 4-figure supplement 2A, Video 3)**. The sinusoids, normally devoid of

197 neutrophils, contained many Ly6G$^+$ neutrophils. However, by 18 hours post infusion the amount of Spike

198 protein outlining the sinusoids had declined as had the Kupffer cell associated material **(Figure 4-figure**

199 **supplement 2B)**. The neutrophil infiltration had largely resolved suggesting that the inflammatory signals

200 had declined. The origin of the white dots interspersed between the sinusoids is unknown, but they were

201 not present at 3 hours post infusion despite identical imaging conditions. One possibility is that altered

202 vascular permeability had allowed some labeled antibodies to leak into the liver parenchyma. To determine

203 whether other endothelial beds also acquired intravenous administered Spike protein we examined the

204 spleen, heart ventricle, and Peyer's patches. At 3 hours post infusion endothelial cells at these three sites

205 had acquired the labelled Spike proteins, most prominently in Peyer's patches **(Figure 4-figure supplement**

206 **3A, B, C)**. Finally, we intravitally imaged the inguinal lymph node at 3 and 18-hour after local injection.

Typically, locally injected material rapidly enters nearby afferent lymphatics for delivery to the lymph node

where subcapsular sinus macrophages first encounter it (32). If it bypasses these macrophages lymph borne

material flows into the lymph node medullary region, where medullary macrophages reside **(Figure 4-**

figure supplement 3D). At both the 3-hour time point (data not shown) and at 18 hours subcapsular

macrophages showed little interest in the Spike protein, but medullary macrophages avidly acquired it. In

contrast to the liver, we did not detect neutrophil recruitment into the lymph node at either time point after

tail-base injection.

Murine and human neutrophils NETosis following exposure to the SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein

Live cell imaging of lung sections following intranasal instillation of the Spike protein revealed ongoing

neutrophil damage and likely NETosis (**Figure 5A**). Time-lapse images show several disrupted neutrophils

near a Siglec-F positive AM along with Spike protein bound to a dying neutrophil. This observation along

with the cremaster muscle imaging suggested direct neutrophil toxicity. Furthermore, a previous study had

shown Spike protein induced neutrophil NETosis (33). To confirm that the Spike protein can trigger

neutrophil NETosis and to compare different Spike protein preparations, we briefly exposed murine bone

marrow neutrophils and assessed the % of cells undergoing cell death using a flow-based assay. Exposure

to fMLP served as a positive control. The lowest concentration of Spike protein (0.1 µg) tested increased

the number of dying neutrophils **(Figure 5B)**. Switching to human neutrophils purified from human

peripheral blood, exposure to TNFα, LPS or PMA for 4 hours or overnight reduced their viability as

expected. Addition of SARS-CoV-2 or the D614G mutant protein (1 µg/ml) decreased their viability at 4

hours and more dramatically upon overnight exposure. The high mannose versions of the Spike protein and

D614G Spike had a slightly greater toxicity at 4 hours but had a similar impact in the overnight assay

(Figure 5C). Finally, we imaged human neutrophils overlaid on SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein treated A549

cells, a human lung epithelial cell line. Numerous dying neutrophils could be observed likely undergoing

NETosis **(Figure 5D, Video 4)**. These results confirm that the SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein can cause

neutrophil damage potentially exacerbating the inflammatory response.

Human peripheral blood monocytes, B cells, neutrophils, and dendritic cells bind the SARS-CoV-2

Spike protein

Next, we assessed human peripheral blood leukocyte binding using the fluorescently labeled Spike protein.

Binding assays were performed on ice to avoid endocytosis using Hanks balanced salt solution with added

Ca^{2+} and Mn^{2+}, or with EDTA to assess cation dependence. Representative CD4 T cell, B cell, Monocyte,

or neutrophil flow patterns using either the Spike protein or the high mannose version are shown **(Figure**

6A). The flow cytometry results demonstrated that cations enhanced leukocyte binding particularly so with

the high mannose protein. The SARS-CoV-2 S1 protein bound better than did the HKU1 S1 protein, most

evident with NK cells, neutrophils, monocytes, and dendritic cells **(Figure 6B)**. The SARS-CoV-2 Spike

protein bound better than did the S1 protein with B cells, neutrophils, and monocytes exhibiting the best

binding. The high mannose protein bound less well to the different leukocyte subsets. Surprisingly CD4 T

cells poorly bound each of the proteins, irrespective of the presence or absence of cations. The D614G

Spike protein bound better to neutrophils and monocytes than did the wild-type Spike protein (**Figure 6B**).

Murine and human cells use Siglecs to help capture the SARS-CoV-2 Spike Protein

Since murine and human leukocytes lack significant ACE2 levels, the major entry receptor for SARS-CoV-

1 and -2, they likely use other receptors to capture the Spike proteins. The strong co-localization with

Siglec-F expressing murine AMs prompted an examination of the role of Siglec-F and other Siglecs in

capturing the Spike proteins. Siglecs are transmembrane proteins that exhibit specificity for sialic acids

attached to the terminal portions of cell-surface glycoproteins. Several viruses take advantage of sialic acid-

Siglec interactions for cell targeting, spreading, and trans-infection (34-36). Initially, we established a bead

assay to assess the binding to SARS-CoV-2 Spike proteins. We coupled the S1 domain protein, the SARS-

CoV-2 stabilized Spike protein, or the PNGase F treated Spike protein and reacted the beads with

fluorescently labeled antibody or different recombinant proteins. Flow cytometry analysis revealed strong

binding of the Spike protein antibody, human ACE2, but not murine ACE2 as expected **(Figure 7A)**. Siglec-

F also bound well, while the human Siglec-5 and Siglec-8 bound poorly despite being the structural and

functional equivalents of Siglec-F, respectively (37). Of note the PNGase F treated fraction we used likely

retained some N-linked glycans as it remained able to bind ACE2 although it lost Siglec-F binding (Figure

7A).

Despite the poor binding to the recombinant human Siglecs in the bead assay, we elected to test

them in context of a human cell by expressing them in HEK293 cells. We chose HEK293 cells as they

exhibited little Spike protein binding. We established ACE2, Siglec5, Siglec-8, Siglec-5/8, ACE2/Siglec-5,

and ACE2/Siglec-8 expressing cell lines **(Figure 7B)**. The ACE2 transfected cells behaved as anticipated

binding the original Spike protein and even better the D614G version; and binding the S1 protein. The high

mannose and glycan deficient Spike proteins bound poorly. In contrast to the bead assay both the Siglec-5

and the Siglec-8 expressing HEK293 cells bound the Spike protein, although less efficiently than did the

ACE2 expressing cells. They bound the D614G version less well, and very weakly bound the S1 domain

protein. The high mannose and glycan deficient Spike proteins exhibited little binding to the Siglec

expressing cells. Co-expression of Siglec-5 and -8 did not improve binding, although the ACE2/Siglec co-

expressing cells bound the SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein slightly better than did ACE2 only cells. We also

imaged the Siglec-5 expressing HEK293 with labeled protein **(Figure 7C)**. The imaging revealed efficient

uptake and Spike protein endocytosis. Co-cultured ACE2 and Siglec-5 expressing cells both captured the

Spike protein while HEK293 cells not expressing either protein failed to bind or uptake it **(Figure 7D,**

Video 5). To determine whether Siglec-5 or Siglec-8 could contribute to viral transduction, we produced

lentiviral particles expressing the Spike protein from SARS-CoV-2, HKU1, or 229E and attempted to

transduce the HEK293 ACE2 expressing cells. Successful transduction resulted in GFP expression, which

we quantitated by flow cytometry and only occurred with the lentiviral particles with SARS-CoV-2 Spike

protein incorporation **(Figure 7E)**. Both a receptor binding domain antibody and recombinant ACE2

blocked transduction. Recombinant human Siglec-5 partially inhibited although it required relatively high

concentrations, while human Siglec-1, human Siglec-2, and murine Siglec-F had no impact on the

transduction frequency.

Increased TNF-α and IL-6 production by human macrophages exposed to the SARS-CoV-2 Spike

proteins.

Dysregulated cytokine production contributes to the pathogenesis of severe COVID-19 infections (38, 39).

To assess whether the Spike proteins can affect macrophage cytokine profiles we cultured human

monocytes using conditions that generate M0, M1, or M2 macrophages and treated them with different

Spike protein preparations. We collected cell supernatants two days later and measured a panel of known

macrophage derived cytokines by ELISA **(Figure 8)**. We found little induction of IL-1β in the cell

supernatant indicating that the Spike proteins alone did not trigger the inflammasome activation in these

macrophages. In contrast, we did observe significant increases in TNF-α and IL-6 secretion by the original

SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein treated macrophages irrespective of whether it was produced in CHO or

HEK293F cells. The spike protein produced in HEK293F is predicted to contain more complex

carbohydrates than that expressed in CHO cells. While both preparations increased IL-6 and TNF-α

production, the spike protein produced by HEK293F cell elicited more TNF-α and less IL-6 compared with

the CHO produced spike protein. The spike protein produced in CHO cells treated with Kifunesine elicited

a similar cytokine profile as did the spike protein produced in CHO cells in the absence of Kifunesine. The

addition of the HKU1 S1 protein did not modify cytokine production by any of the macrophage subsets.

Discussion

This study analyzed the cell tropism of the SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein and some of its variants using

fluorescently labeled proteins, flow cytometry, and mice to assess *in vivo* binding. The intranasal

administration of SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein to mice led to its rapid uptake by Siglec-F positive AMs and

an increased the number of neutrophils, monocytes, and dendritic cells in the lung 18 hours after instillation.

Modifying the carbohydrate content of the Spike protein or using a Spike protein with a D614G mutation

slightly altered the cell recruitment pattern. The high mannose Spike protein purified from the Kifunensine

treated CHO cells increased the number of lung macrophages at 18 hours despite a lower % of macrophages

having retained it. As alveolar macrophages express the mannose receptor (MR, CD206), a member of the

C-type lectin (CLEC) family, perhaps the high mannose Spike protein is more rapidly endocytosed and

degraded accounting for a lower percentage of cells retaining it. This receptor binds high-mannose

structures present on the surface of pathogens helping to neutralize them by phagocytic engulfment. Other

cell types including immature dendritic cells (DCs), and endothelial cells in hepatic, splenic, lymphatic,

and dermal microvasculature express the mannose receptor and would be expected to be targeted by the

high mannose Spike protein. We confirmed Siglec-F as a capturing receptor, as it efficiently bound to the

S1 domain, and the SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein. Yet alveolar macrophages employ additional receptors to

capture the Spike protein as they still accumulated the PNGase F treated Spike protein, which had lost

binding to Siglec-F.

Arguing against a role for mSiglec-1, CD169^{+} lung macrophages and CD169^{+} subcapsular sinus

macrophages failed to accumulate the Spike protein *in vivo*. The closest human paralog of mouse Siglec-F

is hSiglec-8 (40). While expressed on human eosinophils and mast cells, human AMs apparently lack it. In

contrast, human AMs do express Siglec-5 (37). Along with its paired receptor, hSiglec-14, Siglec-5 can

modulate innate immune responses (41). When tested in a bead binding assay, in contrast to Siglec-F, neither

hSiglec-5 or -8 bound the recombinant Spike protein, yet their expression in a cellular context allowed

binding. Additionally, recombinant hSiglec-5 partially inhibited the transduction of Spike protein bearing

viral like particles into ACE2 expressing HEK293 cells. Evidently the recombinant protein binding assay

we employed did not fully capture the properties of hSiglec-5 or hSiglec-8 in a cellular context. A recent

study of human lungs revealed scant alveolar ACE2 expression, highlighting the importance of alternative

Spike protein receptors (42). *Ex vivo* infected human lungs and COVID-19 autopsy samples showed AMs

positive for SARS-CoV-2 and single-cell transcriptomics revealed nonproductive virus uptake, and

activation of inflammatory and anti-viral pathways. Another study which analyzed pulmonary cells from

COVID-19 patients found that myeloid cell C-type lectin engagement induced a robust proinflammatory

responses that correlated with COVID-19 severity (43). The robust Spike binding to AMs and their potential

roles in COVID-19 lung infection warrants a further exploration of their Spike protein binding partners.

The intranasal administration of the Spike protein resulted in an increase in lung vascular

permeability and local tissue damage. While the mechanisms are unknown, infectious agents that target

AMs can trigger the secretion of factors that disrupt the integrity of the pulmonary microvascular cell

barrier. For example, Porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus infected AMs trigger

transcriptional changes in genes in co-cultured microvascular endothelial cells that reduce vascular integrity

(44). Lung endothelial cells may also be directly targeted (45). Spike protein/ACE2 interactions reduce

endothelial cell ACE2 expression, which can alter vascular permeability. Yet, the low affinity of mouse

ACE2 for the SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein presumably precludes this mechanism in this study. Also arguing

against a role for ACE2 in our model, the responses to intranasal Spike protein in human ACE2 transgenic

mice resembled those of wild type mice. The Spike protein RGD (Arginine-Glycine-Aspartic Acid) motif

can also bind $\alpha_V\beta_3$ integrins present on endothelial cells (46). This affects VE-cadherin function and

vascular integrity. However, we did not detect any Spike protein on the pulmonary blood vessels following

intranasal administration although a low level of binding may have escaped visualization. In sum, the rapid

and intense uptake of Spike protein by AMs supports a role for these cells in the localized neutrophil

recruitment, nearby tissue damage, and increased vascular permeability that follows its intranasal

administration.

The intravenous administration of the Spike protein, led to its accumulation by Kupffer cells in the

mouse liver. This uptake was accompanied by a transitory increase in liver sinusoid neutrophils. Human

Kupffer cells express LSECtin (liver Siglec and lymph node sinusoidal endothelial cell C-type lectin,

CLEC4G) a C-type lectin receptor encoded within the L-SIGN/DC-SIGN/CD23 gene cluster. LSECtin acts

as a pathogen attachment factor for Ebola virus and the SARS coronaviruses suggesting that it contributed

to the Kupffer cell uptake we noted (47). The liver sinusoid endothelial cells also avidly accumulated the

Spike protein. These cells express another C-type lectin receptor L-SIGN (CD209L/CLEC4M), which has

been shown to interact in a Ca^{2+}-dependent manner with high-mannose–type N-glycans on the SARS-CoV-

2 Spike protein (48). Based on our mouse studies, blood borne SARS-CoV-2 Spike proteins, viral particles,

or exosomes bearing the Spike protein are likely to accumulate in the liver during severe or prolonged

COVID-19 infection.

Local injection of the Spike protein in the region of the cremaster muscle recruited low numbers of

neutrophils, but co-administration with IL-1β caused severe neutrophil damage. The Spike protein also

proved toxic to purified mouse and human neutrophils cultured *ex vivo* in its presence. Most human

neutrophils bound the recombinant Spike protein. The presence of cations in the binding buffer substantially

enhanced the binding suggesting that a cation dependent receptor accounted for a significant portion of the

binding. While the high mannose version bound neutrophils less well, in the NETosis assay it produced a

similar level of neutrophil cell death. Neutrophils likely employ several different receptors to capture the

Spike protein. Human neutrophils express several C-type lectin receptors including CLEC5A, which has

been implicated in SARS-CoV-2 triggered neutrophil NETosis (49). They also express Siglec-5 (37), which

bound the Spike protein when expressed in HEK293 cells.

We also assessed the binding of various Spike protein preparations to human peripheral blood
mononuclear cells and their impact on cytokine production by M0, M1, and M2 human monocyte derived
macrophages. Monocytes, neutrophils, and B cells bound the full-length trimer best, while T and NK cells
exhibited little binding. All the cellar subsets bound more of the SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein in the presence
of cations arguing for a major role for cation dependent receptors. The high mannose Spike protein bound
less well to all tested cell types and its binding exhibited the highest cation dependence. Among the eight
cytokines measured in the supernatants conditioned by human monocyte derived macrophages treated with
Spike proteins only TNF-α and IL-6 were elevated compared to untreated or HKU1 S1 treated cells. We
found little or no change in IL-1β levels suggesting that the tested Spike protein preparations did not activate
inflammasomes. Nor did we find any material difference between recombinant Spike protein prepared from
HEK293F and CHO cells. Further studies are needed to identify the monocyte, neutrophil, and B cell
receptors that account for the Spike protein binding and additional functional studies to assess the
consequences of that binding.

Several conclusions can be drawn from this study. First, instilled SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein and
VLPs bearing the Spike protein rapidly accumulated on mouse AMs suggesting a similar uptake by human
alveolar macrophages during an active SARS-CoV-2 infection. In mice, the nasal instillation of the Spike
protein is accompanied by lung leukocyte infiltration. Second, murine alveolar macrophages likely use
Siglec-F as a capturing receptor although other receptors contribute. Third, following nasal instillation of
the Spike protein, the integrity of the pulmonary vasculature weakens. Fourth, resident and recruited
neutrophils suffer, either directly targeted by the Spike protein, or secondarily due to the inflammatory
response. A similar scenario would be expected during SARS-CoV-2 infection, following direct instillation
of the Spike protein in the upper airway of humans, or following an intranasal vaccine that directs the
synthesis of the SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein or fragments. Fifth, blood monocytes, B cells, neutrophils, and
dendritic cells efficiently bind the Spike protein, while only a low percentage of CD8 T cells and even fewer
CD4 T cells do. Spike protein binding to human monocyte derived macrophages increases the TNF-α and
IL-6 secretion. Sixth, altering the glycan composition of the Spike and the D614G mutation had surprisingly
little impact on the initial inflammatory response. Seventh, viral particles and soluble Spike protein that
spills into the blood during SARS-CoV-2 infection or following immunization will likely be cleared by
liver Kupffer cells but will also target blood vessel endothelial cells in multiple organs. Finally, injection of
the Spike protein as occurs following vaccination rapidly delivers it to the draining lymph node via afferent
lymphatics. Surprisingly, lymph node medullary macrophages, which largely serve a degradative function,
preferentially uptake the Spike protein. Designing a less toxic Spike protein immunogen that targets
subcapsular sinus macrophages might better deliver the immunogen to B cells, thereby eliciting a more
efficacious antibody response.

Material and Methods

Mice

C57BL/6 and K18-ACE2 transgenic mice were obtained from Jackson Laboratory. All mice were used in this study were 8-12 weeks of age. Mice were housed under specific-pathogen-free conditions. All the animal experiments and protocols used in the study were approved by the NIAID Animal Care and Use Committee (ACUC) at the National Institutes of Health.

Cells

To isolate mouse lung cells, lungs were carefully collected and gently teased apart using forceps into RPMI 1640 media containing 2 mM L-glutamine, antibiotics (100 IU/ml penicillin, 100 μg/ml streptomycin), 1 mM sodium pyruvate, and 50 μM 2-mercaptoethanol, pH 7.2. The tissue was then digested with Liberase Blendzyme 2 (0.2 mg/ml, Roche Applied Science) and DNase I (20 μg/ml) for 1hr at 37°C. The proteases were inactivated by adding 10% fetal bovine serum and 2 mM EDTA and the cell disaggregated by passing them through a 40 μm nylon sieve (BD Bioscience). Single cells were then washed with 1% Bovine serum albumin (BSA) in PBS and blocked with anti-Fcγ receptor (BD Biosciences). Human peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC) were purified from whole blood by density gradient centrifugation (FicollPaque™, Miltenyi Biotec). Whole blood was collected from healthy donors through an NIH Department of Transfusion Medicine (DTM)-approved protocol (Institutional Review Board of the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases [NIAID]). Neutrophil and monocyte cell population were each obtained by negative selection (>97% purity, Stem Cell Technologies). To generate human monocyte-derived macrophages, purified monocytes were treated for 7 days with 50 ng/ml human recombinant M-CSF (PeproTech) in RPMI medium supplemented with 10% heat inactivated fetal bovine serum, and 1mM Sodium Pyruvate, 100U Penicillin-Streptomycin (Gibco, ThermoFisher Scientific) in ultra-low attachment culture 100mm dishes. On day 7 the mature macrophages were collected and verified to be more than 90% CD68$^+$ by flow cytometry.

Reagents

See reagent table.

Flow Cytometry

Single cells were re-suspended in 0.1% fatty acid free BSA-Hanks' Balanced Salt Solution (HBSS) with 100 μM CaCl2 and 1 mM MnCl2 unless otherwise specified. Buffer without divalent cations included 10 mM EDTA. The cells were stained with fluorochrome-conjugated antibodies against various cell surface markers or with different fluorochrome-conjugated proteins, which are listed in the resource and reagent tables in the supplement. LIVE/DEAD™ Fixable Aqua Dead Cell Stain Kit, LIVE/DEAD™ Fixable NearIR Dead Cell Stain Kit or LIVE/DEAD™ Fixable Yellow Dead Cell Stain Kit (Thermo Fisher) were used in all experiments to exclude dead cells. Compensation was performed using AbC™ Total Antibody Compensation Bead Kit (Thermo Fisher) and ArC™ Amine Reactive Compensation Bead (Thermo Fisher) individually stained with each fluorochrome. Compensation matrices were calculated with FACSdiva software. Data acquisition included cell number count was done on a FACSCelesta SORP (BD) flow cytometer and analyzed with FlowJo 10.8.x software (Treestar).

Human macrophage polarization and cytokine profiling

To polarize human macrophages, monocyte derived macrophages were plated in 48 well plates at 5×10^4 cells per well in 500μl RPMI medium and allowed to rest 2hours at 37°C before treat of cytokines or LPS. The human M0 macrophages were either left untreated or treated for 48h to induce macrophage polarization: (i) for M1 polarization with 10 ng/mL LPS (E055:B55; Sigma-Aldrich) and 20 ng/mL IFNγ (PeproTech), (ii) for M2 polarization with 50 ng/ml human recombinant M-CSF, 20 ng/ml human recombinant IL-4, and 20 ng/ml human recombinant IL-13 (PeproTech). Human macrophages were then cultured alone or with 0.1ug, or 1ug SARS-CoV-2 Spike proteins for 48 hr. The cultured supernatants were collected, and cytokine levels determined using the bead-based immunoassays LEGENDplex™ Human Macrophage/ Microglia Panel (Biolegend). The assays were performed in 96-well plates following the manufacturer's instructions. For measurements a FACSCelesta SORP flow cytometer (BD Biosciences) was employed, and data were evaluated with the LEGENDplex™ Data Analysis software.

Production and purification of recombinant SARS-CoV-2 Spike proteins (see Figure 1-figure supplement 1)

SARS-CoV-2 Spike expression vectors were purchased from SinoBiological (see reagent table). Endotoxin-free plasmids were prepared by Alta Biotech and provided at a concentration is 5mg/mL. Transfections were carried out using CHO Freestyle cells (Invitrogen). 1.24mg of plasmid DNA was transfected into 90 million cells were using a MaxCyte Electroporation Transfection System. A detailed protocol is available

at https://maxcyte.com/atx. Culture supernatants were harvested on day 6 and clarified by centrifugation,

followed by filtration through a 0.45μm filter, followed by the addition of EDTA-free protease inhibitor

cocktail tablets (Roche). Culture supernatants were then dialyzed overnight at 4℃ in HBS (150mM NaCl,

10mM HEPES, pH 8.0) using 10kDa MWCO Slide-A-Lyzer dialysis cassettes (Thermo Scientific).

Supernatants were passed over a 10 ml StrepTrap HP column (Cytiva) at 1 ml/min using an ÄKTA pure

150 purifier (Cytiva), maintained at 4°C. Bound protein was eluted with elution buffer (2.5mM

desthiobiotin, 100mM Tris-Cl, 150mM NaCl, 1mM EDTA, pH 8.0). Peak fractions were pooled and

concentrated using 30kDa MW CO Amicon centrifugal concentrators (Millipore). Trace endotoxins were

removed by two sequential Triton X114 extractions, followed by passage through an HiPPR detergent

removal column (Thermo Fisher). Following the removal of endotoxin, the remaining contamination was

assessed using the Pierce™ Chromogenic Endotoxin Quant Kit (Thermo Fisher), based on the amebocyte

lysate assay. The endotoxin level in the purified recombinant protein preparation is below 1.0 EU/ml, which

closely aligns with the levels specified by the company for recombinant proteins. Protein concentrations

were determined by a BCA Protein assay (Thermo Fisher).

Viral like particles and lentivirus preparation

SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein incorporated NL4.3-GFP VLPs were produced by transfecting HEK293T cells

with full length SARS-CoV-2 Spike-S and HIV-1 NL4-3 Gag-iGFP ΔEnv (12455, NIH AIDS Reagent

Program) at a ratio of 1:2.5 using a previously reported method (32). EGFP control lentiviruses (no Spike

protein) were produced by transfecting HEK293T cells with pCMV-dR8.2 dvpr (packaging) and

pLentipuro3 TO V5-GW EGFP-Firefly Luciferase (reporter). Coronavirus Spike proteins were

incorporated by co-transfection with SARS-CoV-2 Spike-S, HCoV-229E Spike, or HCoV-HKU1 Spike

expressing plasmids. Eighty percent confluent HEK293T in six well plates (2.5 ml/well) were transfected

with 5 μg of plasmid diluted in Opti-MEM with a 1:4 (DNA/reagent) dilution of TransIT-293 Transfection

Reagent. The media was harvested 64 hr later and centrifuged at 500 ×g for 10 min at 4 °C. The supernatants

were collected and mixed with Lenti-X Concentrator (Takara Bio USA, Inc) at a 1:3 ratio. The mixture was

placed at 4 °C overnight and centrifuged the following day at 1500 ×g for 45 min. Fluorescent VLPs were

directly counted and measured by BD FACSCelesta™ flow cytometer. The forward scatter (FSC) detector

(Photodiode with 488/10 BP filter) and side scatter (SSC) detector (photomultiplier tube (PMT) with 488/10

BP filter) were tuned to detect voltages up to 530 for FSC and 220 for SSC. The NL4.3-GFP VLPs were

distinguished from noise and non-VLP particles by GFP signals. NL4.3-GFP VLP Spike protein

incorporation was tested using human ACE2 expressing HEK293 cells (ACE2/HEK293). Ten thousand

VLPs were incubated with 1×10^4 ACE2/HEK293 cells in $1 \times$ HBSS (contains; 1 mM Ca^{2+}, 2 mM Mg^{2+}, 1

mM Mn^{2+} and 0.5% fatty acid free BSA) buffer at 4 °C for 30 min. Binding of the VLPs to ACE2/HEK293

cells was measured with BD FACSCelesta™. The 50% lentivirus transduction dose was determined using

percentile (50%) of GFP positive ACE2/HEK293 cells 3 days after transduction. The pelleted VLPs or

lentiviruses were suspended in PBS and frozen in single use aliquots.

Lentivirus transduction assay

509 96-well plates were seeded with 200 µl of ACE2/HEK293 cells (1.5×10^4 cells/ml). After a 24 h incubation,

510 recombinant proteins or neutralizing antibody was added. Lentiviral particles capable of transducing 50%

511 of the cells (CoV2-lenti, HKU1-lenti, and 229E-lenti) were added (~25 µl) 30 min later. Following a three-

512 day culture, the ACE2/HEK293 cells were harvested and GFP expression levels determined by BD

513 FACSCelesta™ flow cytometry. Each group contained 2-5 replicates.

Measurement of vascular permeability

Pulmonary vascular permeability was measured by i.v. administration of Evans blue dye (0.2 ml 0.5% in

PBS) (50). One and half hours after Spike protein nasal administration, Evans blue dye was injected

intravenously. After another 1.5 hours the mice were perfused with PBS, and lungs and livers were

harvested, and dye extracted in formamide overnight at 55˚C. Dye concentrations were quantified by

measuring absorbance at 610 nm with subtraction of reference absorbance at 450 nm. The content of Evans

blue dye was determined by generating a standard curve from dye dilutions.

NETosis assays

Mouse bone marrow derived- or human neutrophils (> 97% purity) were resuspended in RPMI 1640 media

(1×10^6/ml) and incubated at 37°C in 5% CO_2 for 30 min. To induce NETosis, the cells were exposed to N-

formylmethionyl-leucyl-phenylalanine (fMLP, 1 µM); lipopolysaccharide (LPS, 10 ng/ml); tumor necrosis

factor-α (TNFα, 20 ng/ml); phorbol myristate acetate (PMA, 30 nM), or four different SARS-CoV Spike

protein preparations (0.1µg/ml or 1µg/ml) for 4 hours to overnight at 37°C. The cultures were terminated

by adding 4% PFA for 15 min. Helix NP™ NIR (0.1 µM; Biolegend) and DAPI (0.3 nM; Biolegend) were

added to detect NETs. Data acquisition (Helix NP™ NIR$^+$ DAPI$^+$ Cells) was done on FACSCelesta SORP

(BD) flow cytometer and analyzed with FlowJo software (Tree star). *In vitro* imaging of NETosis was

performed using a modified protocol from a previous report (51). The A549 cells were plated 48 hr before

imaging at 60% cell confluent. Fluorescently labeled SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein (Alexa Fluor 488; 0.5

µg/ml) was added to the A549 cells 24 hr before human neutrophil seeding. Human neutrophils were

purified from whole blood by EasySep™ Direct Human Neutrophil Isolation Kit (Stem cell). Purified

human neutrophils were stained with Hoechst prior to seeding on SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein pre-treated

A549 cells in Propidium Iodide (PI, 1 μg/ml) containing culture media. Time-lapse images were acquired

with a Leica SP8 inverted 5 channels confocal microscope (Leica Microsystems) equipped with 40× oil

objective, 0.95 NA (immersion medium used distilled water). The temperature of air (5% CO_2) was

maintained at 37.0± 0.5°C. Time interval between frames were set as 10 min for 5 hr acquisition. The loss

of nucleus of human neutrophils was detected by the loss of Hoechst signal and extracellular DNA released

by NETosis was labeled by PI signals.

Fluorescent nanobead binding assay of SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein

FluoSpheres™ NeutrAvidin™-Labeled Microspheres, 0.2 μm, yellow-green fluorescent (505/515), 1%

solids (Cat# F8774, Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc.) was used as a nanobead platform for SARS-CoV-2 Spike

protein conjugation. Fluorescent nanobead were directly counted and measured by BD FACSCelesta™

flow cytometer. A flow cytometer equipped with forward scatter (FSC) detector (Photodiode with 488/10

BP filter) and side scatter (SSC) detector (photomultiplier tube (PMT) with 488/10 BP filter) was tuned to

detect voltages up to 550 for FSC and 230 for SSC. Million counts of nanobeads were conjugated with 0.5

μg of SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein by interaction of Neutravidin on beads and Strep Tag II on recombinant

proteins. Nanobeads and SARS-CoV-2 Spike proteins in PBS were coupled at room temperature for one

hour and washed with 1 ml of PBS. Coupled nanobeads spun were down with benchtop centrifuge speed at

20,000 ×g, 4°C for 20 min. The nanobeads pellet was suspended with PBS concentration at 2×10^4/μl.

SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein couplings to nanobeads were tested with Alexa Fluor 647 conjugated

SARSCoV-2 Spike neutralizing antibody (Cat# 40591-MM45, SinoBiological). To test binding ability of

various recombinant proteins (human ACE2, human Siglec-5, human Siglec-8, mouse ACE2, and mouse

Siglec-F) were directly conjugated with Alexa Fluor 647. SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein conjugated

nanobeads (2×10^4 counts) in 20 μl of 1× HBSS (contains; 1 mM Ca^{2+}, 2 mM Mg^{2+}, 1 mM Mn^{2+} and 0.5%

fatty acid free BSA) buffer were incubated with 0.2 μg of fluorescent recombinant proteins at room

temperature for 30 min. Fluorescent antibody or recombinant protein biding on fluorescent nanobeads were

directly counted and measured by BD FACSCelesta™ flow cytometer.

Thick section immunohistochemistry and confocal microscopy

Immunohistochemistry was performed using a modified method of a previously published protocol (32,

52). Briefly, freshly isolated lungs were fixed in newly prepared 4% paraformaldehyde (Electron

Microscopy Science) overnight at 4 °C on an agitation stage. Fixed lungs were embedded in 4% low melting

agarose (Thermo Fisher Scientific) in PBS and sectioned with a vibratome (Leica VT-1000 S) at a 50 μm

thickness. Thick sections were blocked in PBS containing 10% fetal calf serum, 1 mg/ml anti-Fcγ receptor

(BD Biosciences), and 0.1% Triton X-100 (Sigma) for 30 min at room temperature. Sections were stained

overnight at 4°C on an agitation stage with Ly6G, Siglec-F, CD169, CD31, and F4/80, and labelled WGA.

Stained thick sections were microscopically analyzed using a Leica SP8 confocal microscope equipped

with an HC PL APO CS2 40× (NA, 1.30) oil objective (Leica Microsystem, Inc.) and images were

processed with Leica LAS AF software (Leica Microsystem, Inc.) and Imaris software v.9.9.1 64× (Oxford

Instruments plc). The intensities of fluorescent signals in regions of interests (ROI) were measured by LSA

AF Lite software (Leica Microsystem).

In vitro imaging of HEK293 cells

HEK293 cells were transfected using TransIT-293 transfection reagent (Mirus Bio LLC). For transient

expression of human Siglec-5-GFP 80% confluent HEK293 in 8 well chamber slide (0.25 ml/well) were

transfected by adding dropwise to each well 25 μl containing 0.25 μg of plasmid diluted in Opti-MEM with

a 1:4 (DNA/reagent) dilution of TransIT-293 Transfection Reagent. To generate a stable cell line of human

Siglec-5-GFP and human ACE2-OFP transfected HEK293 cells were sorted with FACS Aria II by GFP and

OFP signals. Sorted human Siglec-5-GFP transfected HEK293 cells were further selected with G418 (0.8

mg/ml) containing growth media and maintained with G418 (0.5 mg/ml) media. Sorted human ACE2OFP

transfected HEK293 cells were further selected with hygromycin B (0.2 mg/ml) containing growth media

and maintained with hygromycin B (0.1 mg/ml) media. Transiently transfected HEK293 cells in 8 well

chamber slide were directly imaged with a Leica SP8 inverted five channels confocal microscope (Leica

Microsystems). HEK293 cells, HEK293 Siglec-5-GFP, and HEK293 ACE2-OFP cell lines were plated at a

ratio of 1:1:1 (cell numbers) in 8 well chamber with normal media 18 hr prior to imaging. Imaging was

performed with a confocal microscope equipped with 40 × oil objective, 0.95 NA (immersion medium used

distilled water). The temperature of air (5% CO_2) was maintained at 37.0 ± 0.5°C. Fluorescent SARS-CoV-

2 Spike protein (Alexa Fluor 647) (1 μg/ml) was added into culture media. Images were acquired with Leica

LAS AF software (Leica Microsystem, Inc) and processed with Imaris software v.9.9.1 64× (Oxford

Instruments plc).

Time-lapse imaging of lung sections with confocal microscopy

Lung slices were obtained from mouse lungs using a slightly modified published protocol (53). Briefly,

C57BL/6 mice were euthanized with overdose of Avertin (1 ml of 2.5% Avertin). The peritoneum was

opened, and the descending aorta cut allowing blood to pool in the abdomen. The trachea was cannulated,
and the lungs inflated with 37 °C 1.5% low-melting-point agarose (Cat# 50111, Lonza) prepared with RPMI
1640 media. Subsequently, the lungs were excised and rinsed with RPMI 1640 media. Isolated left lungs
were sectioned into six to eight 1-mm-thick transverse slices using a #10 scalpel blade. Lung slices were
placed in a pre-warmed cover glass chamber slide (Nalgene, Nunc) under a metal flat washer (M8- 5/16th
inches diameter). The chamber slide was then placed into the temperature control chamber on the
microscope. The temperature of air was monitored and maintained at 37.0± 0.5°C for 5% CO_2. Mounted
lung sections on the chamber slide were microscopically analyzed using a Leica SP8 confocal microscope
equipped with an HC PL APO CS2 40× (NA, 1.30) oil objective (Leica Microsystem, Inc.) and images
were processed with Leica LAS AF software (Leica Microsystem, Inc.). Lung slices were imaged a range
of depths (10-50 μm). Time-lapse images were processed with Imaris software v.9.9.1 64× (Oxford
Instruments plc).

Intravital imaging

The microanatomy of liver, spleen, heart, Peyer's patch, and inguinal LN were delineated by tail vein
injection of labelled antibodies before imaging. Antibodies used included CD31, blood vessels; F4/80,
Kupffer cells and macrophages; CD169, subcapsular macrophages; and Ly6G; neutrophils). The antibody
mixtures were injected 10 min before starting animal surgery. Fluorescently labelled Spike proteins were
injected intravenously or at the mouse tail base as indicated. To image the liver or spleen a slightly modified
published protocol was used (54). Briefly, after initial anesthesia (Avertin 300 mg/kg, i.p.) the skin and
peritoneum were cut to expose the left lobe of the liver, or the left flank was cut below the costal margin to
expose the spleen. The visible organs were glued with n-butyl cyanoacrylate to a custom-made metal holder.
After attachment, the mouse was placed over a pre-warmed cover glass (Brain Research Laboratories)
window on universal mounting frame AK-Set (PECON®). The exposed organs were kept moist with saline
wetted gauze. The mounting frame was placed into the temperature control chamber on the microscope and
maintained at 37.0± 0.5°C. Once stabilized onto imaging stage/insert the mice received isoflurane (Baxter;
2% for induction of anesthesia, and 1 – 1.5% for maintenance, vaporized in an 80:20 mixture of oxygen
and air). For liver and spleen four-dimensional analysis of cell behavior, stacks of various numbers of
section (z step = 3, 5) were acquired every 5-30 sec to provide an imaging volume of 20 ~ 50 μm in depth.
Intact organ imaging of the heart, inguinal lymph node, or Peyer's patches was performed after mouse
sacrifice using same imaging procedure as used for liver/spleen imaging.
To image neutrophils in the cremaster muscle (31), mice received an intrascrotal injection of PBS,
BSA, IL-1β (50 ng in 300 μl saline, R&D Systems), Spike proteins, or IL-1b and Spike proteins. 90 min.

prior to imaging, the mice received injections of Avertin (300 mg/kg, i.p.) and fluorescently labeled antibodies intravenously. Antibodies used directed against Gr-1, neutrophils; GPIbβ, platelets; and CD31, blood vessel endothelium. The isolated cremaster tissue was exteriorized and stabilized onto the imaging stage/insert with the tissue directly contacting the cover glass. The exposed tissue was kept moist with prewarmed saline (37°C). Once stabilized onto an imaging stage/insert the mouse received isoflurane (Baxter; 2% for induction of anesthesia, and 1 – 1.5% for maintenance, vaporized in an 80:20 mixture of oxygen and air), and placed into a temperature-controlled chamber. Image stacks of optical sections (z step=1) were routinely acquired at 20 – 30 sec intervals to provide an imaging volume of 15-25 μm. All imaging was performed with a Leica SP8 inverted 5 channels confocal microscope (Leica Microsystems) equipped with HC PL APO CS2 40× (NA, 1.30) oil objective. Sequences of image stacks were transformed into volume-rendered four-dimensional videos using Imaris software v.9.9.1 64x (Oxford Instruments plc). Video editing was performed using Adobe Premiere Pro 2022 (Adobe Systems Incorporated).

Quantifications and Statistical Analyses

All experiments were performed at least three times. Representative images were placed in figures. Primary image data which was analyzed and calculated by Leica LAS AF software (Leica Microsystem, Inc.) or Imaris software v.9.9.1 64× (Oxford Instruments plc). was acquired and processed with Microsoft Excel software. Error bars with ±SEM, and p values were calculated with unpaired t-test in GraphPad Prism 9.3.1 (GraphPad software). $p < 0.05$ was considered significantly different.

REFERENCES

1.	Zhou P, Yang XL, Wang XG, Hu B, Zhang L, Zhang W, et al. A pneumonia outbreak associated with a new coronavirus of probable bat origin. Nature. 2020;579(7798):270-3.

2.	Wrapp D, Wang N, Corbett KS, Goldsmith JA, Hsieh CL, Abiona O, et al. Cryo-EM structure of the 2019-nCoV spike in the prefusion conformation. Science. 2020;367(6483):1260-3.

3.	Fan X, Cao D, Kong L, Zhang X. Cryo-EM analysis of the post-fusion structure of the SARS-CoV spike glycoprotein. Nat Commun. 2020;11(1):3618.

4.	Ke Z, Oton J, Qu K, Cortese M, Zila V, McKeane L, et al. Structures and distributions of SARSCoV-2 spike proteins on intact virions. Nature. 2020;588(7838):498-502.

5.	Lan J, Ge J, Yu J, Shan S, Zhou H, Fan S, et al. Structure of the SARS-CoV-2 spike receptorbinding domain bound to the ACE2 receptor. Nature. 2020;581(7807):215-20.

6.   Benton DJ, Wrobel AG, Xu P, Roustan C, Martin SR, Rosenthal PB, et al. Receptor binding and
priming of the spike protein of SARS-CoV-2 for membrane fusion. Nature. 2020;588(7837):327-30.
7.   Li F, Li W, Farzan M, Harrison SC. Structure of SARS coronavirus spike receptor-binding domain
complexed with receptor. Science. 2005;309(5742):1864-8.
8.   Ou X, Liu Y, Lei X, Li P, Mi D, Ren L, et al. Characterization of spike glycoprotein of SARSCoV-
2 on virus entry and its immune cross-reactivity with SARS-CoV. Nat Commun. 2020;11(1):1620.
9.   Shang J, Wan Y, Luo C, Ye G, Geng Q, Auerbach A, et al. Cell entry mechanisms of SARS-CoV-
2. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2020;117(21):11727-34.
10.   Shang J, Ye G, Shi K, Wan Y, Luo C, Aihara H, et al. Structural basis of receptor recognition by
SARS-CoV-2. Nature. 2020;581(7807):221-4.
11.   Yan R, Zhang Y, Li Y, Xia L, Guo Y, Zhou Q. Structural basis for the recognition of SARS-CoV2
by full-length human ACE2. Science. 2020;367(6485):1444-8.
12.   Lenza MP, Oyenarte I, Diercks T, Quintana JI, Gimeno A, Coelho H, et al. Structural
Characterization of N-Linked Glycans in the Receptor Binding Domain of the SARS-CoV-2 Spike Protein
and their Interactions with Human Lectins. Angew Chem Int Ed Engl. 2020;59(52):23763-71.
13.   Walls AC, Tortorici MA, Frenz B, Snijder J, Li W, Rey FA, et al. Glycan shield and epitope masking
of a coronavirus spike protein observed by cryo-electron microscopy. Nat Struct Mol Biol.
2016;23(10):899-905.
14.   Yang Q, Hughes TA, Kelkar A, Yu X, Cheng K, Park S, et al. Inhibition of SARS-CoV-2 viral entry
upon blocking N- and O-glycan elaboration. Elife. 2020;9.
15.   Hoffmann M, Kleine-Weber H, Schroeder S, Kruger N, Herrler T, Erichsen S, et al. SARS-CoV-2
Cell Entry Depends on ACE2 and TMPRSS2 and Is Blocked by a Clinically Proven Protease Inhibitor.
Cell. 2020;181(2):271-80 e8.
16.   Hoffmann M, Kleine-Weber H, Pohlmann S. A Multibasic Cleavage Site in the Spike Protein of
SARS-CoV-2 Is Essential for Infection of Human Lung Cells. Mol Cell. 2020;78(4):779-84 e5.
17.   Takeda M. Proteolytic activation of SARS-CoV-2 spike protein. Microbiol Immunol.
2022;66(1):15-23.
18.   Errico JM, Adams LJ, Fremont DH. Antibody-mediated immunity to SARS-CoV-2 spike. Adv
Immunol. 2022;154:1-69.
19.   Troyer Z, Alhusaini N, Tabler CO, Sweet T, de Carvalho KIL, Schlatzer DM, et al. Extracellular
vesicles carry SARS-CoV-2 spike protein and serve as decoys for neutralizing antibodies. J Extracell
Vesicles. 2021;10(8):e12112.
20.   Swank Z, Senussi Y, Manickas-Hill Z, Yu XG, Li JZ, Alter G, et al. Persistent circulating
SARSCoV-2 spike is associated with post-acute COVID-19 sequelae. Clin Infect Dis. 2022.

21.   Theoharides TC. Could SARS-CoV-2 Spike Protein Be Responsible for Long-COVID Syndrome? Mol Neurobiol. 2022;59(3):1850-61.

22.   Thanh Le T, Andreadakis Z, Kumar A, Gomez Roman R, Tollefsen S, Saville M, et al. The COVID19 vaccine development landscape. Nat Rev Drug Discov. 2020;19(5):305-6.

23.   Lamprinou M, Sachinidis A, Stamoula E, Vavilis T, Papazisis G. COVID-19 vaccines adverse events: potential molecular mechanisms. Immunol Res. 2023:1-17.

24.   Hsieh CL, Goldsmith JA, Schaub JM, DiVenere AM, Kuo HC, Javanmardi K, et al. Structurebased design of prefusion-stabilized SARS-CoV-2 spikes. Science. 2020;369(6510):1501-5.

25.   Yurkovetskiy L, Wang X, Pascal KE, Tomkins-Tinch C, Nyalile TP, Wang Y, et al. Structural and Functional Analysis of the D614G SARS-CoV-2 Spike Protein Variant. Cell. 2020;183(3):739-51 e8.

26.   Zhang L, Jackson CB, Mou H, Ojha A, Peng H, Quinlan BD, et al. SARS-CoV-2 spike-protein D614G mutation increases virion spike density and infectivity. Nat Commun. 2020;11(1):6013.

27.   Volz E, Hill V, McCrone JT, Price A, Jorgensen D, O'Toole A, et al. Evaluating the Effects of SARS-CoV-2 Spike Mutation D614G on Transmissibility and Pathogenicity. Cell. 2021;184(1):64-75 e11. 28. Aida Y, Pabst MJ. Removal of endotoxin from protein solutions by phase separation using Triton X-114. J Immunol Methods. 1990;132(2):191-5.

29.   Hetzel M, Ackermann M, Lachmann N. Beyond "Big Eaters": The Versatile Role of Alveolar Macrophages in Health and Disease. Int J Mol Sci. 2021;22(7).

30.   Matthew CB, Sils IV, Bastille AM. Tissue-specific extravasation of albumin-bound Evans blue in hypothermic and rewarmed rats. Can J Physiol Pharmacol. 2002;80(3):233-43.

31.   Yan SL, Hwang IY, Kamenyeva O, Kabat J, Kim JS, Park C, et al. Unrestrained Galpha(i2) Signaling Disrupts Neutrophil Trafficking, Aging, and Clearance. Front Immunol. 2021;12:679856.

32.   Park C, Arthos J, Cicala C, Kehrl JH. The HIV-1 envelope protein gp120 is captured and displayed for B cell recognition by SIGN-R1(+) lymph node macrophages. Elife. 2015;4.

33.   Youn YJ, Lee YB, Kim SH, Jin HK, Bae JS, Hong CW. Nucleocapsid and Spike Proteins of SARSCoV-2 Drive Neutrophil Extracellular Trap Formation. Immune Netw. 2021;21(2):e16.

34.   Perez-Zsolt D, Erkizia I, Pino M, Garcia-Gallo M, Martin MT, Benet S, et al. Anti-Siglec-1 antibodies block Ebola viral uptake and decrease cytoplasmic viral entry. Nat Microbiol. 2019;4(9):155870.

35.   Perez-Zsolt D, Munoz-Basagoiti J, Rodon J, Elosua-Bayes M, Raich-Regue D, Risco C, et al. SARS-CoV-2 interaction with Siglec-1 mediates trans-infection by dendritic cells. Cell Mol Immunol. 2021;18(12):2676-8.

36.   Hammonds JE, Beeman N, Ding L, Takushi S, Francis AC, Wang JJ, et al. Siglec-1 initiates formation of the virus-containing compartment and enhances macrophage-to-T cell transmission of HIV1. PLoS Pathog. 2017;13(1):e1006181.

37.   Connolly NP, Jones M, Watt SM. Human Siglec-5: tissue distribution, novel isoforms and domain
specificities for sialic acid-dependent ligand interactions. Br J Haematol. 2002;119(1):221-38.

38.   Sefik E, Qu R, Junqueira C, Kaffe E, Mirza H, Zhao J, et al. Inflammasome activation in infected
macrophages drives COVID-19 pathology. Nature. 2022;606(7914):585-93.

39.   Vora SM, Lieberman J, Wu H. Inflammasome activation at the crux of severe COVID-19. Nat Rev
Immunol. 2021;21(11):694-703.

40.   Aizawa H, Zimmermann N, Carrigan PE, Lee JJ, Rothenberg ME, Bochner BS. Molecular analysis
of human Siglec-8 orthologs relevant to mouse eosinophils: identification of mouse orthologs of Siglec-5
(mSiglec-F) and Siglec-10 (mSiglec-G). Genomics. 2003;82(5):521-30.

41.   Tsai CM, Riestra AM, Ali SR, Fong JJ, Liu JZ, Hughes G, et al. Siglec-14 Enhances
NLRP3Inflammasome Activation in Macrophages. J Innate Immun. 2020;12(4):333-43.

42.   Honzke K, Obermayer B, Mache C, Fathykova D, Kessler M, Dokel S, et al. Human lungs show
limited permissiveness for SARS-CoV-2 due to scarce ACE2 levels but virus-induced expansion of
inflammatory macrophages. Eur Respir J. 2022;60(6).

43.   Lu Q, Liu J, Zhao S, Gomez Castro MF, Laurent-Rolle M, Dong J, et al. SARS-CoV-2 exacerbates
proinflammatory responses in myeloid cells through C-type lectin receptors and Tweety family member 2.
Immunity. 2021;54(6):1304-19 e9.

44.   Sun W, Wu W, Jiang N, Ge X, Zhang Y, Han J, et al. Highly Pathogenic PRRSV-Infected Alveolar
Macrophages Impair the Function of Pulmonary Microvascular Endothelial Cells. Viruses. 2022;14(3).

45.   Bernard I, Limonta D, Mahal LK, Hobman TC. Endothelium Infection and Dysregulation by
SARS-CoV-2: Evidence and Caveats in COVID-19. Viruses. 2020;13(1).

46.   Nader D, Kerrigan SW. Molecular Cross-Talk between Integrins and Cadherins Leads to a Loss of
Vascular Barrier Integrity during SARS-CoV-2 Infection. Viruses. 2022;14(5).

47.   Dominguez-Soto A, Aragoneses-Fenoll L, Gomez-Aguado F, Corcuera MT, Claria J,
GarciaMonzon C, et al. The pathogen receptor liver and lymph node sinusoidal endotelial cell C-type lectin
is expressed in human Kupffer cells and regulated by PU.1. Hepatology. 2009;49(1):287-96.

48.   Kondo Y, Larabee JL, Gao L, Shi H, Shao B, Hoover CM, et al. L-SIGN is a receptor on liver
sinusoidal endothelial cells for SARS-CoV-2 virus. JCI Insight. 2021;6(14).

49.   Sung PS, Yang SP, Peng YC, Sun CP, Tao MH, Hsieh SL. CLEC5A and TLR2 are critical in SARS-
CoV-2-induced NET formation and lung inflammation. J Biomed Sci. 2022;29(1):52.

50.   Zhou Y, Schneider DJ, Morschl E, Song L, Pedroza M, Karmouty-Quintana H, et al. Distinct roles
for the A2B adenosine receptor in acute and chronic stages of bleomycin-induced lung injury. J Immunol.
2011;186(2):1097-106.

51.   Hoppenbrouwers T, Autar ASA, Sultan AR, Abraham TE, van Cappellen WA, Houtsmuller AB, et

al. In vitro induction of NETosis: Comprehensive live imaging comparison and systematic review. PLoS

One. 2017;12(5):e0176472.

52.   Park C, Hwang IY, Kehrl JH. The Use of Intravital Two-Photon and Thick Section Confocal

Imaging to Analyze B Lymphocyte Trafficking in Lymph Nodes and Spleen. Methods Mol Biol.

2018;1707:193-205.

53.   Pieretti AC, Ahmed AM, Roberts JD, Jr., Kelleher CM. A novel in vitro model to study

alveologenesis. Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol. 2014;50(2):459-69.

54.   Matsumoto S, Kikuta J, Ishii M. Intravital Imaging of Liver Cell Dynamics. Methods Mol Biol.

2018;1763:137-43.

Acknowledgements: The authors thank Dr. Anthony Fauci for long standing encouragement**.**

Funding: This work was supported by Intramural Research Program of National Institute of Allergy and

Infectious Diseases.

Author Contribution: CP performed most of the mouse work; IYH performed the flow cytometry and

macrophage cytokine production; SLY performed the cremaster imaging, SV, DW, and DVR purified the

recombinant proteins, CC and JA supervised the protein purification and provided helpful advice, JHK

wrote the manuscript and oversaw the project.

Competing interests: The authors declare they have no competing interests. The content is solely the

responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes

of Health

Data and Material Availability: The primary imaging files are available from the corresponding author.

Figure 1. Lung images following intranasal administered SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein. **(A)** Confocal micrograph of a lung section 18 hr post SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein (Trimer) instillation. Alveolar macrophages (AMs) visualized with Siglec-F antibody. Alexa Fluor 488 conjugated recombinant SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein (3 μg in 50 μl of saline) was inoculated by nasal instillation. Spike-reached lung region (Instilled Trimer) and non-reached area (No visible Trimer) noted on the micrograph. Scale bar, 500 μm. **(B)** Confocal micrographs of lung collected at 3 hr post Spike protein instillation. AMs in the large airway and alveoli (upper left) area is shown. ROI-1 (box1) (upper right) is enlarged, and arrows indicate large

airways. ROI-2 (Box 2) (lower left) shows AMs bearing SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein on airway epithelial cells. ROI-3 (Box 3) (lower left) shows Spike protein bearing AMs in alveoli. Scale bars, 500, 200, 20, and 50 μm. (**C**) Confocal micrographs of lung collected at 0.5 hr post SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein instillation. AMs were visualized with Siglec-F antibody (upper left & right). AMs connected to each other (lower left) via tunneling nanotubes (arrows) (lower right). Scale bars, 20, 20, 30, and 10 μm. (**D & E**) Confocal micrographs of lung collected at 0.5 hr post Spike instillation. SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein (green) on airway epithelium and CD169^{+} macrophages (D). Arrows indicate CD169^{+} macrophages (right). Scale bars, 100 and 30 μm. AMs with SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein and Mucin (Alexa Fluor 647 conjugated wheat germ agglutin, WGA) on airway epithelium (E). Scale bars, 30 and 20 μm. (**F**) Confocal micrographs of lung collected at 2 hr post SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein incorporated VLP instillation (green). AMs (red, SiglecF) on epithelium (left) and in alveoli (right). Scale bars, 20 μm. (**G**) Confocal micrographs of lung collected at 0.5 hr post SARS-CoV-2 Spike VLP (green) instillation. AMs (red, Siglec-F antibody) and lung vasculatures visualized LYVE-1 (cyan) (top, left). LYVE-1^{+} vasculature associated AMs bearing VLPs is highlighted (box) and enlarged (top, right). Scale bars, 100 and 25 μm. (**H**) A confocal micrograph shows a lung lymphatic vasculature visualized with Podoplanin antibody. Fifty microliters of a mixture of Evans blue (cyan) (5 μg) and Spike bearing VLPs (green) (0.5 million counts) were applied to the mouse nose. VLPs in lymphatics associated with a small airway highlighted (right). Scale bars, 20 μm. (**I**) Confocal micrographs of lung collected 20 min post Spike incorporated VLP (green) instillation. Lung vasculatures visualized CD31 (red) and LYVE-1 (cyan). Damaged lung tissue is indicated (dotted line) (upper left). Border of damaged tissue and intact alveoli (box) is enlarged (upper right). A lymphatic structure was stained by LYVE-1 in intact alveolus is highlighted (lower left). An image of VLPs in LYVE-1^{+} lymphatic portal in alveoli (box) is enlarged (lower right). "A" indicates alveolus. Scale bars, 100, 20, 25, and 10 μm.

Figure 2. Lung leukocyte profile following intranasal administration of SARS-CoV-2 Spike proteins. **(A)** Leukocyte numbers in isolated lung tissue. Eighteen hr post intranasal administration of indicated Spike proteins lung tissue collected, processed, and leukocyte cell numbers determined by flow cytometry. Numbers of mice analyzed are indicated. Data mean +/- SEM, *p < 0.05; **p < 0.005. **(B)** SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein uptake by lung leukocytes 18 hr following intranasal inoculation. Flow cytometry results from indicated mice. Values significantly different from the WT SARS-CoV-2 protein (Trimer) are indicated, *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.005. ****p<0.001.

Figure 3. Increased lung vascular permeability and neutrophil localization following intranasal SARS-
CoV-2 Spike protein. **(A)** Tissue photographs of lungs collected 2.5 hr post Spike protein (-CoV-2 Trimer),
the S1 subunit of the human coronavirus (HKU1 S1), or saline instillation. Evans blue (200 ul of 5 mg/ml,
PBS) was injected i.v. 1.5 hr post intranasal Spike administration. Lungs collected 1 hr after Evans blue
injection. Evans blue amounts in lung and liver tissue are shown. **(B)** Confocal micrographs of lung
collected at 18 hr post Spike protein. AMs and neutrophils detected with Siglec-F and Ly6G antibodies,
respectively. Scale bar, 500 μm. **(C)** Confocal micrographs of lung collected at 3 hr post SARS-CoV-2
Spike protein instillation show SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein (green), neutrophils (red), and AMs (cyan).
ROI-1 and -2 (boxes), show contact between AMs and neutrophils and are enlarged in the right panels.
Scale bars, 50 and 10 μm. **(D)** Confocal micrographs of lung collected at 3 hr post each SARS-CoV-2 Spike
proteins instillation show SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein (green) and neutrophils (red). From left to right of
panels show saline (No Trimer), SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein (HCoV-2-Trimer), PNGase F treated SARS-
CoV-2 Spike protein (PNGase F Tx.), SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein purified from Kifunensine treated cells

(Kifunensine Tx.), and the S1 subunit of the human coronavirus HKU1 (HCoV-HKU1) Spike Protein. ROIs

in each upper panel (boxes) are enlarged (3.2× magnification) in lower panels. Scale bars, 200 μm. *p <

0.05.

Figure 4. Mouse neutrophil damage following intrascrotal injections of Spike proteins. **(A & B)** Confocal snapshots of time-lapse movie of blood vessels in the cremaster muscle following PBS, BSA, Spike protein preparations (Trimer), IL-1β, or IL-1β plus Spike protein (5 µg) at indicated time points. Fluorescently labelled CD31, Ly6G, and Gp1bβ outlined the blood vessel endothelium, neutrophils, and platelets, respectively. Scale bars, 30 µm, except far right top and middle panels, 40 µm **(C)** Analysis of imaging data. The number of transendothelial migration (TEM) events recorded, neutrophil (PMN) fragments, and % mobile neutrophils in a defined imaging space over 20 min. Results of neutrophil tracking in the same defined volume including speed, displacement, and distance. Imaris software used for the tracking. *p < 0.05; **p < 0.005.

 Figure 5. Neutrophil injury following exposure to SARS-CoV-2 Spike proteins. **(A)** Time-lapse images of

a freshly sliced lung section 3 hr after SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein instillation. Neutrophils (Ly6G) and AMs

(Siglec-F) were visualized by injected fluorescently tagged antibodies. Serial images show neutrophil

behavior on Spike protein (Trimer) bearing cells. Arrowheads indicate Spike protein deposition. The time

banner is set to 0:00 as neutrophil contacts Spike protein. Scale bars, 100, 25, and 20 μm. **(B)** Purified

murine bone marrow neutrophils were exposed to increasing concentrations of Spike protein or fMLP (N-

formyl-methionyl-leucyl-phenylalanine) for 4 hours or not. The percentage of neutrophils undergoing

NETosis was measured by flow cytometry. **(C)** Purified human peripheral blood neutrophils were exposed

to various Spike protein preparations, lipopolysaccharide (LPS), tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF) or phorbol

myristate acetate (PMA). Graphs show the percentage of DAPI$^+$Helix NP NIR$^+$ cells after either 4 hr or

overnight culture. Each point represents a different donor. **(D)** A still image of *in vitro* time-lapse movie

shows exposed neutrophil DNA (red, propidium iodide), neutrophil nucleus (cyan, Hoechst), and SARS-

CoV-2 Spike protein (trimer, green) bearing A549 cells. Plated A549 cells were treated with Spike protein

(0.5 μg/ml) 24 hr prior to Hoechst-stained human neutrophil seeding. Propidium iodide (1 μg/ml) was added

to the culture media and time-lapse images acquired every 10 min for 5 hr. Sequential DIC images overlay

with fluorescent images show neutrophil NETosis. White arrowhead indicates a neutrophil's intact nucleus

(cyan, Hoechst). Black arrowheads delineate a neutrophil undergoing NETosis as detected by exposed DNA

(red). Scale bars, 30 and 10 μm. *$p < 0.05$; **$p < 0.01$; ***$p < 0.005$.

Figure 6. Binding of recombinant SARS-CoV Spike proteins to human peripheral blood leukocytes **(A)** Representative flow cytometry histograms of various cell populations prepared from whole blood incubated with labeled recombinant SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein (Trimer), or Spike protein from Kifunensine treated cell (Kif. Tx. Trimer), binding done in the presence of Ca^{2+}/Mn^{2+} or EDTA. **(B)** Percentage of various cell populations prepared from whole blood that bound labelled HKU1 S1 protein (HKU-1 S1), SARS-CoV-2 S1 protein (HCoV-2 S1), SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein (HCoV-2 Trimer), Spike protein purified from Kifunesine treated cells (Kif. Tx. Trimer) or D614G Spike protein. Binding done in the presence of Ca^{2+}/Mn^{2+} or EDTA and assessed by flow cytometry. Background fluorescent (unstained) subtracted from fluorescent signal. Data are from two-eight independent experiments. The recombinant protein that bound the highest % of cells of the different cell types is designated with black outline.

Figure 7

Figure 7. Role of Siglecs in SARS-CoV-2 Spike capture. **(A)** Histograms show mean fluorescence of conjugated recombinant proteins and antibody on nanobeads that are coated with indicated SARS-CoV-2 Spike proteins. Background signal (weak gray) measured with uncoated beads. No protein (gray) means that uncoated beads were incubated with indicated labelled recombinant protein or antibody. **(B)** Flow cytometry assessment of indicated Spike proteins binding to HEK293 cell permanently transfected with

ACE2, various Siglecs, or a combination. Dual transfected HEK293 cells noted as Siglec-5/8+,

ACE2/Siglec-5+, and ACE2/Siglec-8+. Labelled recombinant proteins were incubated with 5×10^3

HEK293 cells on ice for 30 min. Data shown as mean fluorescent intensity. **(C)** DIC and confocal

micrographs showing SARS-CoV-2 Spike (Trimer, red) protein acquisition by human Siglec-5-GFP

transfected HEK293. ROI-1 in the middle panel is enlarged at the right panel. A 3D-reconstituted volume

image of Siglec-5 transfected cell (far right), shows Siglec-5 mediated Spike protein acquisition. Scale bars,

30 and 10 μm. **(D)** DIC and confocal images show SARSCoV-2 Spike protein acquisition by human Siglec-

5-GFP or ACE2-OFP transfected HEK293. Equal numbers of stable-transfectants expressing Siglec-5-GFP

or ACE2-OFP, and non-transfectant were seeded together. Spike protein (Trimer, blue) was added 1 hr prior

to imaging. In a region of interest (ROI) line ab fluorescence intensity of Siglec-5-GFP, ACE2-OFP and

SARS-CoV-2 Spike trimer were analyzed. Fluorescent intensity graphs show fluorescence intensity of each

signal in ROI. Amount of 3Dreconstructed volume of SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein in each stable cell and

HEK293 cell were analyzed and plotted in graph. Graphs shows quantity of mean fluorescence intensity of

SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein in unit volume (μm^3) of indicated cell types. Scale bars, 20 μm. **(E)**

Transduction of Spike protein expressing lentiviruses into ACE2/HEK293 transfectants. Lentiviruses

envelop proteins: control (none), human coronavirus HKU1 Spike protein (HKU1), human coronavirus

229E Spike protein (229E), and SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein (CoV-2) are indicated. The volume of virus

concentrates used for transduction is denoted as 1×, 2×, and 4× on the graph (bright green bars).

Transduction scores were normalized to 2× transduction efficiency. Various recombinant proteins or

antibody used for inhibition assay are indicated. Recombinant proteins or RBD neutralizing antibody were

added 0.5 hr before lentivirus transduction. 3 days later GFP expression was quantitated by flow cytometry.

Results are from 3 separate experiments. Statistics, 2x vs 2x + hSiglec-5 Fc (10 μg), $p < 0.0005$.

1. Basal   2, CHO Trimer (0.1 µg)   3. CHO Trimer (1 µg)   4. 293 Trimer (0.1 µg)   5. 293 Trimer (1 µg)
6. Kifunensine Tx. Trimer (CHO, 0.1 µg)   7. Kifunensine Tx. Trimer (CHO, 1 µg)   8. HKU1 S1 protein (1 µg)

Figure 8. Macrophage cytokine profiles following exposure to different Spike proteins. Quantification of macrophage-associated cytokines in response to indicated Spike proteins. The graph shows the TNF-α, IL1β, IL-6, IL-12p70, IL-12p40, and TARC quantification of M0, M1, or M2 Macrophage cultures following stimulation, or not, with SARS-CoV-2 Spike proteins derived from CHO cells (CHO Trimer; 0.1µg/ml or 1 µg/ml), or 293F cells (293 Trimer; 0.1 µg/ml or 1 µg/ml), or purified Spike protein from Kifunensine treated CHO cells (Kifunensine Tx. Trimer; 0.1 µg/ml or 1 µg/ml), or Human coronavirus HKU1 S1 protein (HKU1 S1 protein; 1 µg/ml) for 48 hr. The error bars denote the mean ± SEM. Two-way ANOVA was used to compare treated samples to basal M0, M1, or M2 population (n = 6, *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001).

Supplementary Material

Figure 1-figure supplement 1. Preparation of SARS-CoV-2 proteins.

Figure 1-figure supplement 2. Confocal micrographs were taken for staining control and to analyze

neutrophil fragmentation caused by SARS-CoV-1 Spike VLPs, comparing them to delta Env VLPs.

Figure 2-figure supplement 1. Intranasal SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein administration to K18-hACE2

mice.

Figure 3-figure supplement 1. Quantification of lung permeability and neutrophil recruitment.

Figure 4-figure supplement 1. Quantification of neutrophil count in cremaster muscle.

Figure 4-figure supplement 2. Localization of Spike protein in the liver following intravenous

administration.

Figure 4-figure supplement 3. Localization of SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein at other sites following

intravenous injection and subcutaneous injection near the inguinal lymph node.

Video 1. Local Spike protein injection results in neutrophil recruitment in the cremaster muscle.

Video 2. Local injection of Spike proteins causes neutrophil fragmentation.

Video 3. Intravenously injected Spike protein outlines liver sinusoids and accumulates on Kupffer cells.

Video 4. Neutrophils undergo NETosis when plated on A549 cells in the presence of Spike protein.

Video 5. hSiglec-5 expressing HEK293 cells bind and endocytosis Spike protein.

Figure 1-figure supplement 1. Preparation of SARS-CoV-2 proteins. Outline of recombinant proteins production and purification from cultured media of pSARS-CoV-2-S-2P transfected CHO or HEK293F cells. See methods for PNGase F and Kifunensine treatment. Calculated molecular weights: wild type trimer -210 kDa, PNGase F-Tx. (Fr. 6-8)- 146 kDa, PNGase F-Tx (Fx. 9-11)-178 kDa, and Kifunensine Tx.- 197.5 kDa.

Figure 1-figure supplement 2. Confocal micrographs were taken for staining control and to analyze neutrophil fragmentation caused by SARS-CoV-1 Spike VLPs, comparing them to delta Env VLPs. **(A)** Confocal micrographs compare the negative control (saline) with the positive sample (SARS-CoV-2 Spike, Alexa Fluor 488). Arrowheads in the upper panels indicate autofluorescence background. The nonspecific

Siglec-F antibody stain background is denoted as 'NS' in the lower panels. Scale bars, 500 μm. **(B)** Confocal micrographs of lungs collected at 3 hours post instillation of SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein (left) or delta envelope (right) VLP (green, GFP) are shown. Infiltrated neutrophils (red, Ly6G) in lung tissue were visualized. Scale bars, 50 μm. (**C**) Neutrophil fragmentation was measured by counting the Ly6G+ granules in five different areas of 100×100 μm² each. ** $p < 0.01$, paired t-test. **(D)** A confocal micrograph shows a lung lymphatic vasculature visualized with Podoplanin antibody. Fifty microliters of a mixture of Evans blue (cyan) (5 μg) and Spike bearing VLPs (green) (0.5 million counts) were applied to the mouse nose. The first panel presents the merged image of all signals, while the subsequent panels display specific color combinations: green and red in the second, and green and cyan in the third. The fourth panel exclusively exhibits the green signal, with autofluorescence of the lung airway structure indicated. Scale bars, 20 μm.

A K18-hACE2 Tg   3 hr post Trimer instillation

Trimer/Ly6G

B

	JAX 664	JAX 034860 K18-hACE2
	Spike Trimer (CHO) (n=6)	Spike Trimer (CHO) (n=3)
Neutrophils	3.395 ± 0.916	3.507 ± 0.360
rMono	2.888 ± 0.759	6.830 ± 1.264 ***
iMono	3.565 ± 0.805	5.257 ± 0.828
AMac	77.467 ± 5.924	87.867 ± 2.609 **
iMac1	9.288 ± 3.234	6.577 ± 0.897
iMac2	13.583 ± 3.234	10.157 ± 0.645
iMac3	31.800 ± 7.223	11.477 ± 1.119 ***
Eosinophils	2.008 ± 0.539	3.803 ± 0.354
CD11b+DC	5.962 ± 1.136	10.497 ± 1.206 ***
CD11b-DC	3.702 ± 0.633	6.667 ± 0.750 **
NK&NKT	1.110 ± 0.176	3.623 ± 0.333 *
B	1.055 ± 0.196	2.403 ± 0.193
T	1.015 ± 0.360	2.710 ± 0.308

Figure 2-figure supplement 1. Intranasal SARS-CoV-2 administration to K18-hACE2 mice. (**A**)
Representative confocal images of lung sections 3h post intranasal SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein (3 µg,
green). Neutrophils immunostained with Ly6G. (**B**) SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein uptake by lung leukocytes
18 hr following intranasal inoculation. Flow cytometry results from analysis of leukocytes purified from
the lungs of WT or K18-hACE2 mice. Data for JAX 664 mice same as shown in figure 2. K18-hACE2
leukocyte values significantly different from those from the JAX 664 mice are indicated, *p < 0.05; **p <
0.01; ***p < 0.001.

Figure 3-figure supplement 1. Quantification of lung permeability and neutrophil recruitment. **(A)** Evans

blue (200 µl of 5 mg/ml in PBS) was intravenously injected 1.5 hours after intranasal Spike administration.

The indicated recombinant proteins' S1 domains (3 µg per mouse) were administered. Lungs were collected

1 hour after Evans blue injection. The score was calculated based on the amount of Evans blue dye (in µg/g)

in the lungs administered with the Spike protein, divided by the average amount of Evans blue dye in lungs

administered with saline. ** $p < 0.01$, One-way ANOVA. **(B)** The permeability of lung vasculature was

measured by comparing wild-type mice with K18-hACE2 transgenic mice. The SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein

was administered at two different concentrations: 0.5 µg or 5 µg per mouse. * $p < 0.05$, Two-way ANOVA.

(C) The number of neutrophils was counted in six different areas, each measuring 200×200 µm². ****$p <$

0.0001, One-way ANOVA.

1004

Figure 4-figure supplement 1. Quantification of neutrophil count in cremaster muscle. The number of

neutrophils was counted in four $100 \times 100 \ \mu m^2$ areas. ***$p < 0.001$, Two-way ANOVA.

Figure 4-figure supplement 2. Localization of Spike protein in the liver following intravenous

administration. **(A)** Confocal micrographs of the liver imaged at 3 hr post intravenous injection of SARS-

CoV-2 Spike (Trimer) protein. Spike protein (green), Kupffer cells (magenta, F4/80), and neutrophils (red,

Ly6G) shown in liver sinusoid vasculature (cyan, CD31). Antibodies injected 0.3 hr before imaging. ROI-

1 (box) (upper left) is enlarged (3.5× magnification) in lower panels. Scale bars, 100 and 20 μm. **(B)**

Confocal micrographs of liver imaged at 18 hr post intravenous injection of SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein.

Spike protein (green), Kupffer cells (blue, F4/80), and neutrophils (red, Ly6G) are shown in liver sinusoid

vasculature (white, CD31). Antibodies injected 0.3 hr before imaging. ROI-1 (box) (left) is enlarged in the

middle panel (4× magnification). Enlarged image shows neutrophil contacting Spike protein bearing cells

on liver sinusoid endothelium (10× magnification). Scale bars, 200, 50, and 20 μm.

Figure 4-figure supplement 3. Localization of SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein at other sites following intravenous injection and subcutaneous injection near the inguinal lymph node. **(A)** Confocal micrographs of mouse spleen imaged 3 hr post intravenous injection of SARS-CoV-2 Spike (Trimer) protein. Spike

protein (green), red pulp macrophages (blue, F4/80), and neutrophils (red, Ly6G) visualized in spleen red

pulp vasculature (cyan, CD31). Antibodies injected 1 hr before imaging. Scale bars, 100 μm. (**B**) Confocal

micrographs of heart ventricle imaged 3 hr post intravenous injection of Spike protein. Spike protein (green)

and neutrophils (red, Ly6G) localized in heart blood vessels (white, CD31). Antibodies injected 1 hr before

imaging. Scale bars, 100 μm. (**C**) Confocal micrographs of Peyer's patch high endothelial venules (HEV)

imaged at 3 hr post iv injection of Spike protein. Spike protein (green) and neutrophils (red, Ly6G) shown

in HEV (white, CD31). Antibodies injected 0.5 hr before imaging. Scale bars, 20 μm. (**D**) Confocal

micrographs of inguinal lymph node imaged at 18 hr post tail base injection of Spike protein. Spike protein

(green) and subscapular sinus macrophages (red, CD169) shown. Subcapsular sinus defined via Evans blue

(purple) signal. Antibodies and Evans blue injected 1 hr before imaging. Scale bars, 200 μm.

Video Legends.

Video 1. Local Spike protein injection results in neutrophil recruitment in the cremaster muscle. Confocal intravital microscopy movie shows neutrophils (green), platelets (red), endothelium (blue) immunostained with Alexa Fluor 488-Gr1, DyLight 649-GP1bβ, and Alexa Fluor 555-CD31 monoclonal antibodies, respectively. Intrascrotal injection of PBS or Spike protein (5 μg) 3-4 hours prior to imaging. The first sequence spans a ~60 min (PBS) while the second sequence spans a ~45 min (Spike) imaging period. Images were captured at ~1 frame per 30s with ~100× magnification. Time counter is hour:minute:second.

Video 2. Local injection of Spike proteins causes neutrophil fragmentation. Confocal intravital microscopy movie shows neutrophils (green), platelets (red), endothelium (blue) immunostained with Alexa Fluor 488Gr1, Dylight 649-GP1bβ, and Alexa Fluor 555-CD31 monoclonal antibodies, respectively. Intrascrotal injection of Il-1β or Il-1β plus 5 μg Spike protein ~20 hours prior to imaging. Both sequences span a 20 min period. Images were captured at ~1 frame per 30s with ~100× magnification. Time counter is hour:minute:second.

Video 3. Intravenously injected Spike protein outlines liver sinusoids and accumulates on Kupffer cells. The liver imaging was taken at three different time points; from 20 min to 1hr, from 1hr 10 min to 1hr 15 min, and from 1hr 25 min to 46 min after SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein (green, Alexa Fluor 488) injection. An image sequence of a 12 μm z-projection was acquired with 40× lens as scanning speed of 11.05 sec between frames. Kupffer cells (magenta, F4/80), and neutrophils (red, Ly6G) in liver sinusoid vasculature (cyan, CD31) were visualized with antibody injection into tail vein at 30 min prior to imaging. The scale bar represents 20 μm. Time counter is hour:minute:second.

Video 4. Neutrophils undergo NETosis when plated on A549 cells in the presence of Spike protein. A549 cells were plated on chamber slide 48 hr before trimer treatment. SARS-CoV-2 Spike trimer (green) was added to A549 cell culture 24 hr before neutrophil seeding. Purified human neutrophils stained with Hoechst (cyan) and were seeded on A549 cells in propidium iodide (PI, 1 μg/ml) containing culture media. NETosis of neutrophils were detected by exposed DNA (red, PI). An image sequence of a 20 μm zprojection was acquired with 40× lens at a scanning speed 1 frame /10 min over 5 hours. Regions of interest (Box A and B) demonstrate a typical NETosis of neutrophil contacting on trimer bearing A549 cell were enlarged in second part of video. Scale bars, 30 and 10 μm. Time banner, hour:minute:second.

Video 5. hSiglec-5 expressing HEK293 cells bind and endocytosis Spike protein. Individually established

stable-transfected cells expressing Siglec-5-GFP or ACE2-OFP were plated in the same chamber slide with

non-transfection cells. After overnight culture SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein (1 μg/ml) was overlaid for 1 hr

before imaging. An image sequence of a 3 μm z-projection was acquired with 40× lens at a scanning speed

of 23 sec between frames. Signals visualized as SARS-CoV-2 Spike trimer (blue), Siglec-5-GFP (green),

ACE2-OFP (red), and non-transfected HEK293 cells (gray). The scale bar represents 20 μm. Time counter

is hour:minute:second.

Reagent Table

Designation	Catalog Number	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER
Cell line			
FreeStyle™ CHO-S Cells	R80007	ThermoFisher Scientific	
FreeStyle™ 293-F Cells	R79007	ThermoFisher Scientific	
293T	CRL-3216™	ATCC	
293 [HEK-293]	CRL-1573™	ATCC	
A549	CRM-CCL-185™	ATCC	
Mice			
C57BL/6J	Jax stock 000664	Jackson Lab.	IMSR_JAX:000664
K18-hACE2 (B6.Cg- Tg(K18- ACE2) 2Prlmn/J)	Jax stock 034860	Jackson Lab.	IMSR_JAX:034860
Antibodies			
PerCP-Cy™5.5 Rat Anti-Mouse Ly6G	560602	BD Biosciences	RRID : AB_1727563
PE-Cy™7 Rat Anti-Mouse Ly6G	560601	BD Biosciences	RRID: AB_1727562
PE Rat Anti-Mouse I-A/I-E	557000	BD Biosciences	RRID: AB_396546
PE/Cyanine7 anti-mouse CD24 Antibody	101822	BioLegend	RRID: AB_756048
PerCP/Cyanine5.5 anti-mouse CD24 Antibody	101824	BioLegend	RRID: AB_1595491
Alexa Fluor® 647 anti-mouse CD64 (FcγRI) Antibody	139322	BioLegend	RRID: AB_2566561
Alexa Fluor® 488 anti-mouse CD31 Antibody	102414	BioLegend	RRID: AB_493408

Alexa Fluor® 594 anti-mouse CD31 Antibody	102432	BioLegend	RRID: AB_2617017
APC-Cy™7 Rat Anti-Mouse Siglec-F	565527	BD Biosciences	RRID: AB_2732831
PE anti-mouse CD170 (Siglec-F) Antibody	552126	BD Biosciences	RRID: AB_394341
Alexa Fluor® 647 Rat Anti-Mouse Siglec-F	562680	BD Biosciences	RRID: AB_2687570
BV421 Rat Anti-Mouse Ly6C	562727	BD Biosciences	RRID: AB_2737748
Brilliant Violet 650™ anti-mouse/human CD11b Antibody	101259	BioLegend	RRID: AB_2566568
Brilliant Violet 711™ anti-mouse CD45 Antibody	103147	BioLegend	RRID: AB_2564383
Brilliant Violet 785™ anti-mouse CD11c	117336	BioLegend	RRID: AB_2565268
PE/Dazzle™ 594 anti-mouse CD19 Antibody	115554	BioLegend	RRID: AB_2564001
Brilliant Violet 421™ anti-mouse F4/80 Antibody	123137	BioLegend	RRID: AB_2563102
PE anti-mouse CD169 (Siglec-1) Antibody	142404	BioLegend	RRID:AB_10915697
PE anti-human CD16 Antibody	360704	BioLegend	RRID: AB_2562749
PE/Cyanine7 anti-human CD56 (NCAM) Antibody	362510	BioLegend	RRID: AB_2563927
APC Mouse Anti-Human CD4	551980	BD Bioscience	RRID: AB_398521
APC/Cyanine7 anti-human CD8a Antibody	301015	BioLegend	RRID: AB_314134
Brilliant Violet 421™ anti-human HLA-DR Antibody	307635	BioLegend	RRID: AB_2561831

Brilliant Violet 650™ anti-human CD14 Antibody	301836	BioLegend	RRID: AB_2563799
PerCP-Cy™5.5 Mouse Anti-Human CD14	550787	BD Bioscience	RRID: AB_393884
V500 Mouse Anti-Human CD14	561391	BD Bioscience	RRID: AB_10611856
Brilliant Violet 711™ anti-human CD20 Antibody	302341	BioLegend	RRID: AB_2562602
PE/Cyanine7 anti-human CD20 Antibody	302312	BioLegend	RRID: AB_314260
Alexa Fluor® 700 anti-human CD20 Antibody	302322	BioLegend	RRID: AB_493753
PE anti-human CD123 Antibody	306006	BioLegend	RRID: AB_314580
APC/Cyanine7 anti-human CD15 (SSEA-1) Antibody	323048	BioLegend	RRID: AB_2750190
APC anti-human CD66b Antibody	305118	BioLegend	RRID: AB_2566607
Brilliant Violet 421™ anti-human CD11c Antibody	337226	BioLegend	RRID: AB_2564485
BD Pharmingen™ PerCP-Cy™5.5 Mouse Anti-Human CD3	552852	BD Biosciences	RRID: AB_394493
PE Mouse Anti-Human CD22	562859	BD Bioscience	RRID: AB_2737845
APC anti-human CD170 (Siglec-5) Antibody	352005	BioLegend	RRID: AB_2564262
APC anti-human Siglec-8 Antibody	347106	BioLegend	RRID: AB_2561402

Alexa Fluor® 647 anti-mouse CD169 (Siglec-1) Antibody	142408	BioLegend	RRID: AB_2563621
Human Siglec-8 Antibody	MAB7975	R & D Systems	
Human Siglec-8 PE-conjugated Antibody	FAB7975P	R & D Systems	RRID: AB_2905537
Human Siglec-1/CD169 Alexa Fluor® 647-conjugated Antibody	FAB5197R100UG	R & D Systems	RRID: AB_2905550
Human ACE-2 Alexa Fluor® 647-conjugated Antibody	FAB9332R100UG	R & D Systems	
Human Siglec-8 Alexa Fluor® 750conjugated antibody	FAB7975S-100UG	R & D Systems	
Human ACE-2 Alexa Fluor® 405-conjugated Antibody	FAB9332V-100UG	R & D Systems	
Human/Mouse/Rat/Hamster ACE-2 Antibody	AF933	R & D Systems	RRID: AB_355722
Mouse ACE-2 Antibody	AF3437	R & D Systems	RRID: AB_2223140
SARS-CoV-2 (2019-nCoV) Spike Neutralizing Antibody, Rabbit Mab	40592-R001	SinoBiological	RRID: AB_2857936
Rat IgG derivative against the GPIbβ subunit of the murine platelet/megakaryocyte-specific GPIb-V-IX complex antibody	X647	Emfret	RRID: AB_2861336
Mouse LYVE-1 Antibody	MAB2125	R & D Systems	RRID: AB_2138528
PE anti-mouse Podoplanin Antibody	127408	BioLegend	RRID: AB_2161928
Buffer and chemicals			
LIVE/DEAD™ Fixable Aqua Dead Cell Stain Kit	L34966	Thermo Fisher Scientific	

Evans Blue	E2129-10G	Sigma-Aldrich	
Propidium Iodide (PI)	P4864- 10ML	Sigma-Aldrich	
FluoSpheres™ NeutrAvidin™-Labeled Microspheres, 0.2 μm, yellow-green fluorescent (505/515), 1% solids	F8774	Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc.	
2M MgCl2	340-034-721	Quality Biological Inc.	
2M CaCl2	351-130-721	Quality Biological Inc.	
HBSS wo Ca2+&Mg2+	14175-095	Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc.	
Albumin, Bovine Serum, Fraction V, Fatty Acid-Poor, Endotoxin-Free	126579-100GM	Sigma-Aldrich	
5M NaCl	351-036-101	Quality Biological Inc.	
2M Tris-HCl, pH8.0	351-092-101	Quality Biological Inc.	
1X PBS wo Ca2+ & Mg2+	114-058-101	Quality Biological Inc.	
0.5M EDTA, pH8.0	351-027-101	Quality Biological Inc.	
Manganese (II) chloride tetrahydrate	63535-50G-F	Sigma-Aldrich	
Liberase™ TL(Thermolysin Low) Research Grade	5401020001	Roche Applied Science	
DNase I	10104159001	Roche Applied Science	
Cover glass	Cover glass – #4860-1D	Brain Research Laboratories	

Universal Mounting Frame AK-Set		PECON	
Opti-MEM™	31985070	Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc.	
RPMI 1640 Media	11875093	Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc.	
TransIT-293 Transfection Reagent	MIR 2704	Mirus Bio LLC	
Lenti-X™ Concentrator	631232	Takara Bio USA Inc.	
FreeStyle™ 293 Expression Medium	12338018	Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc.	
FreeStyle™ CHO Expression Medium	12651014	Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc.	
Plasmids			
SIGLEC5 (NM_003830) Human Tagged ORF Clone	RC206610	Origene	
SIGLEC5 (NM_003830) Human Tagged ORF Clone	RG206610	Origene	
Human Siglec-8 (NP_055257) VersaClone cDNA	RDC1496	Origene	
SARS-CoV-2 Spike-S			
HIV-1 NL4-3 Gag-iGFP ΔEnv	12455	NIH AIDS Reagent Program	
Human Coronavirus Spike glycoprotein Gene ORF cDNA clone expression plasmid(Codon Optimized) HCoV-HKU1	VG40021- UT	SinoBiological	
Human coronavirus(HCoV-229E) Spike Gene ORF cDNA clone expression plasmid(Codon Optimized) HCoV-229E	VG40605- UT	SinoBiological	
ACE2 cDNA ORF Clone, Human, C-OFPSpark® tag	HG10108-ACR	SinoBiological	

pCMV-dR8.2 dvpr	8455	Addgene	
pLentipuro3 TO V5-GW EGFP-Firefly Luciferase	119816	Addgene	
Recombinant Protein			
ACE2 Protein, Human, Recombinant (mFc Tag)	10108-H05H	SinoBiological	
Human coronavirus HKU1 (isolate N5) (HCoV-HKU1) Spike/S1 Protein (S1 Subunit, His Tag)	40602-V08H	SinoBiological	
Human coronavirus (HCoV-229E) Spike Protein (S1+S2 ECD, His Tag)	40605-V08B	SinoBiological	
SIGLEC5 Protein, Human, Recombinant (hFc Tag)	11798-H02H	SinoBiological	
Recombinant Mouse Siglec-F Fc Chimera Protein, CF	1706-SF-050	R & D Systems	
Recombinant Human Siglec-8 Fc Chimera Protein, CF	9045-SL-050	R & D Systems	

1071