Title: The Adjuvant Effects of Amphipathic Helical Peptides

Jyun-Hong Lyu[1], Gunn-Guang Liou[2,3], May Wang[1], Ming-Chung Kan[1,4]

1. Vaxsia Biomedical Inc. 11503 Taipei, Taiwan

2. Institute of Biological Chemistry, Academia Sinica, 11529 Taipei, Taiwan

3. Office of Research and Development, College of Medicine, National

Taiwan University, 10051 Taipei, Taiwan

4. Corresponding author

Abstract

Subunit vaccines are the focus of research in developing new vaccines against

infectious diseases. Due to the low immunogenicity of recombinant proteins,

adjuvants are required for the activation of humoral and cellular immunity against a

protein antigen. In this study, we reported the identification of a novel pathway that

can activate humoral immunity against a recombinant protein without inducing

inflammatory responses. By fusing an amphipathic helical peptide to GFP, one can

increases the immunogenicity of GFP by up to 1000 fold. This enhancement was

correlated with the ability of amphipathic helical peptides to bind to cell membranes

and cause lysosomal membrane permeabilization. We showed evidence that the

amphipathic helical peptide may induce the delivery of antigen across the lysosomal

membrane into cytosol. Amphipathic helical peptide fusion provided a new pathway

for stimulating immune responses against recombinant proteins.

Introduction

The challenge of developing a subunit vaccine focuses on two aspects: first,

finding the right antigen that may serve as a countertarget for the host immune

system; and second, enhancing the specific host immune responses through various

mechanisms to a level that can counter the pathogen but will not cause harm to the

host. The antigens for subunit vaccines come from various components of the

pathogen: polysaccharides, viral proteins that mediate infection, and toxins that

cause host morbidity or mortality. These antigen candidates are poor at activating

the host immune system and often require the addition of an adjuvant. The adjuvant,

according to the FDA, is a compound that is added to or used in conjunction with the

vaccine antigen to augment, potentiate, or target a specific immune response to the

antigen [1]. The adjuvant may be presented to the immune system in different forms

and activate immune responses through various pathways.

The amphipathic peptide is characterized by the propensity of a peptide to

form both hydrophilic and hydrophobic surfaces and mediates the interactions

between protein and membrane [2]. The M2 amphipathic helical (M2AH) peptide is

the membrane anchor of the type A influenza virus proton pump [3]. M2 proteins

form a cross-membrane tetramer, transporting protons needed for endosome

acidification and viral particle escape [3]. The M2AH resides on the cytoplasmic

surface of the host membrane and induces membrane curvature and scission in a

cholesterol concentration-dependent manner [4-6]. In a phospholipid bilayer

containing cholesterol, the binding of M2AH induces membrane pit formation and a

weakening of the lipid bilayer [7]. An M2AH variant is able to induce cholesterol-

dependent membrane leakage or membrane lysis [4]. In this study, we have

identified several amphipathic helical peptides that stimulated strong humoral

immunity when they were fused with a model antigen, green fluorescent protein

(GFP) and used in immunization.

Result

To test the effects of an amphipathic helical peptide on the immunogenicity of a

recombinant protein, we fused a peptide (AH2) from the M2 protein of a type A

influenza virus strain, A/TW/3355/97(H1N1) to green fluorescent protein (GFP). The

fusion proteins were expressed and purified from *E. coli* strain BL21(DE3). The

purified fusion proteins were used for the immunization of BALB/c mice using a

prime and boost protocol. A variant of the AH2 peptide (AH2M3) that contains three

substitutions that disrupted the membrane binding activity of AH2 was used as a

negative control. After immunization, the anti-GFP IgG titer was followed for up to 6

months. Compared to His-GFP alone, the fusion protein contains a functional

amphipathic helical peptide is able to stimulate a higher level of anti-GFP IgG titer

(Figure 1B), whereas the membrane binding defective mutant, AH2M3 (Figure 1C),

was unable to activate humoral immunity against GFP. The IgG subtype of the sera

collected was then determined using subtype-specific secondary antibodies. The

result showed the titer of IgG1 is significantly higher than either IgG2a or IgG2b, an

indication that the immune response is tilted toward the Th2 type (Figure 1D).

One potential explanation of amphipathic helical peptide-mediated immunity

boosting is by activating Toll-Like Receptor (TLR) pathways, the major mechanism

for boosting recombinant protein antigenicity by adjuvants. To test this hypothesis,

we collected the mouse plasma 2 hours after intramuscular injection of either PBS,

GFP, AH2-GFP, or TLR5 ligand, flagellin, and examined the expression of

inflammatory cytokines, IL-6 and MCP-1. IL-6 and MCP-1 are cytokines that are

secreted by macrophages after activation of TLR pathways by invading pathogens or

adjuvants. The flagellin is the structural component of bacterial flagellum that

controls microbe movement and is the ligand for TLR5. The results showed a dose-

dependent induction of IL-6 and MCP-1 expression by injected recombinant flagellin

(Figure 2A, 2B). But not when the mice were injected with His-GFP or AH2-GFP

recombinant proteins. These results suggest that the activation of humoral

immunity by AH2-GFP is independent from the TLR signaling pathways; instead, the

activity of AH2-GFP is correlated with its ability to bind plasma membrane.

To trace the transportation of AH2-GFP fusion protein after binding to the plasma

membrane, we incubated purified AH2-GFP fusion protein with cultured MDCK cells

for four hours and evaluated whether AH2-GFP will be endocytosed into the cell.

The confocal images showed the endocytosed AH2-GFP in a vesicle-like structure

(Figure 3A). To trace the transportation of endocytosed AH2-GFP, we conjugated the

AH2 peptide onto the surface of a gold nanoparticle (G-NP) and used a transmission

electronic microscope to trace the tansportation of peptide conjugated AH2-G-NP.

The cultured cell was either incubated with AH2-G-NP for 4 hours (Figure 3B-C) or

4+20 hours (Figure 3D-E) before being washed and processed for TEM imaging. The

results showed that four hours after AH2-G-NP binding to the plasma membrane,

AH2-G-NP containing membrane (marked by arrows) was transported to the

multivesicular body (MVB), an organelle for membrane protein sorting, or the

lysosome (membrane rich and electron dense vesicle, labled L). In 4+20 hours post

incubation, many AH2-G-NPs were observed in enlarged lysosomes (L) and many

within permeabilized membranes (PL). Some of the enlarged lysosomes were

shown with broken membranes, possibly due to the AH2-G-NP and some aggregated

AH2-G-NP can be observed being released into the cytoplasm (marked by arrow

heads). These results suggest the fusion of GFP with an amphipathic helical peptide

not only mediates the binding of fusion protein onto the plasma membrane but also

the transport and delivery of fusion protein into the cytoplasm through a

permeabilized lysosome.

Amphipathic helical peptides play multiple roles in the protein-membrane

interactions, including membrane anchorage, inducing membrane curvature, and

membrane lysis [2,8]. To evaluate whether the AH2 peptide possessed membrane

lysis activity as implicated in Figure 3C, the synthetic AH2, AH2M3, and Udorn

peptides in increasing concentrations were applied to cultured Madin-Darby canine

kidney (MDCK) cells. The positive control Udorn peptide, a M2 AH peptide that was

derived from the influenza strain A/Udorn/72, has been shown to induce membrane

leakage in an artificial liposome that contains low percentage of cholesterol in an in

vitro assay [4]. The effect of synthetic peptides on the viability of cultured MDCK

cells was determined by flow cytometry for cells undergoing apoptosis and the MTT

assay for cells with metabolic activity. The results suggested 20% of the MDCK cells

incubated with Udorn peptide became apoptotic at a peptide concentration of 10 μM

and the percentage of apoptotic cells increased with elevated peptide concentration

(figure 4A). This result is in agreement with a previous study from Lamb's group [4].

In contrast, the percentage of MDCK cells undergoing apoptosis when treated with

AH2 peptide concentrations up to 100 µM was not significantly different from those

treated with PBS. In the MTT assay, the mitochondrial dehydrogenase enzyme

activity of cells treated with Udorn peptide dropped significantly compared to cells

treated with AH2 peptide in all three peptide concentrations. Whereas the viabililty

of MDCK cells treated with AH2 peptide only became significantly different from the

PBS control when the peptide concentration reached 100 µM. These results suggest

the AH2-fusion protein has to reach 100 µM (3.5 mg/ml) to induce a minor

reduction in cell viability.

To test whether AH2-mediated activation of humoral immunity is a particular

phenomenum or a common feature of amphipathic helical peptides, we replaced the

AH2 peptide with published AH peptides from either NSP1 of the Semliki Forest

virus (NSP1), G-protein coupled protein kinase 5 (GRK5), or the fusion peptide of

hemagglutinin (HAfp23). These fusion proteins were expressed and purified under

the same conditions as AH2-GFP and were used for mouse immunization using a

two-dose protocol with a 14-day gap. The results showed all the fusion peptides

tested were able to boost the anti-GFP IgG titer by a magnitude about 1000 folds

after fusing to GFP. This increase in antibody titer against a recombinant protein is

significant when compared to the adjuvants that have been used to boost the

antigenicity of subunit vaccines. The use of alum salt increased the anti-GFP IgG

titer by 10 fold and the TLR4 ligand MPLA also had similar activities but not as

significant as the GRK5 peptide fusion (Figure 5B).

Discussion

The study results indicate that the AH peptide fusion enhanced the antibody

production against the model antigen, GFP. This increase is significant since the

comparison with known adjuvants suggests AH peptide fusion is more effective in

stimulating antibody production. Adjuvant that activates Th1 type immune

responses activates CD4+ T cells and IgG2a expression, whereas adjuvant that

activates the Th2 pathway induces IgG1 expression through CD8+ T cells. We have

not tested whether the AH peptide fusion also activates cellular immunity against

GFP-expressing cells, but the IgG subclass indicates a balanced activation of both T

helper type 1 (Th1) and Th2 pathways.

In our results, the binding of AH2-G-NP caused permeabilization of the lysosome

membrane but not the plasma membrane. In an in vitro membrane binding study,

the M2AH peptide (the same Udorn peptide as in this study) induced membrane

leakage in a synthetic liposome when the liposome membrane contained a low

percentage cholesterol but not when the membrane contained a high percentage

cholesterol [4]. Since the distribution of cholesterol in mammalian cells is organelle

dependent, it is rich in the multivesicular body (MVB) and the plasma membrane

but low in the lysosome membrane [9], so it is likely that the AH2 peptide only

induced membrane leakage when sorted into the lysosome. Another study

suggested M2AH binds cholesterol through the aromatic side chains of F47 [5] and

the binding of M2AH weakens the lipid bilayer by introducing membrane pits [7]. So

the binding of the AH2 peptide likely introduces cholesterol depleted membrane

pits and causes lysosomal membrane leakage. This mechanism is similar to that of

QS-21, the main component of several clinically approved adjuvants, which induces

endocytosis and lysosome pore formation through binding to cholesterol [10]. Other

adjuvants that activate immunity through lysosomal leakage include alum salt

[11,12].

The AH2-GFP fusion protein immunization did not induce acute expression of IL-6

or MCP-1, two inflammatory cytokines. There are two potential explanations for this

result. First, as the QS-21 study suggested, the QS-21 effect on the NF-kB pathway

and its downstream effects, including IL-6 expression, is depend on lysosomal

maturation, which takes longer than TLR signaling. So the time points (2 hrs post

injection) used to determine cytokine level in this study may be too early to catch

the IL-6 expression induced by the lysosomal leakage and its downstream NF-κB

activation. One study worth mentioning was the delayed induction of IL-6 and other

inflammatory cytokines after immunization with alum-salt adjuvanted antigen [13].

Another potential explanation is the distinct pathways that leading to NF-kB

signaling and IgG antibody titer induction activated by QS-21 [10]. In this aspect, the

AH2 peptide plays a similar role as QS-21, or they share the same ability to

destabilize the lysosomal membrane. Applying QS-21 alone causes cell apoptosis, so

it is often formulated with cholesterol and phospholipids to reduce cytotoxicity [14-

16]. But the formulation of a protein-based vaccine in an oil-in-water nanoemulsion

adjuvant may cause instability of the antigen when it is stored at ambient

temperature, and it required either lyophilization [17] or spray drying [18] after

adding excipients to achieve long-term thermostability. In comparison, protein

nanoparticles assembled by an amphipathic helical peptide are self-adjuvanted and

can be stored as ready-to-use doses at ambient temperature [19,20].

In this study, several known amphipathic helical peptides were used to evaluate

their effects on GFP antigenicity. The GRK5-AH is derived from the C-terminal AH

peptide of G protein-coupled protein kinase 5 (GRK5) [21]. Together with the N-

terminal helical basic peptide it anchors the GRK5 protein onto the plasma

membrane [22]. The NSP1-AH is adopted from the replicase of the Semliki forest

virus, nsP1 [23,24]. This peptide is conserved in replicase from all alphaviruses,

including Chikungunya virus [25]. The HAfp23-AH is the fusion peptide of

hemagglutinin from influenza virus that induces membrane curvature and fusion

through two tightly packed hairpin helices buried in the lipid bilayer [26]. There is

no similarity in peptide sequences among the four peptides presented in this study

or shared mechanism that can fully explain their activity in boosting antigenicity.

The common feature is the tendency to interact with phospholipid membranes

through their hydrophobic faces. One potential explanation comes from our recent

work [19]. When the Cys8 from a modified M2AH peptide, LYRRLE, was replaced by

serine, the substitution caused the destabilization and hydrolysis of protein

nanoparticles, and the particle size increased from 23 nm to 600 nm, suggesting the

particle was a loosely packed aggregation [19]. So the activity of AH peptides in

enhancing anti-GFP IgG may reside in their ability to form a random aggregation

after fusing with GFP. Other example of GFP fusion proteins forming aggregated

megastructures and gaining high antigenicity have been reported when GFP was

fused with the N-terminal domain of polyhedrin protein [27]. But the replacement of

GFP with known vaccine antigen in this megastructure is difficult because of the

interference with protein folding when proteins are packed closely.

Two synthetic peptides were reported to serve the role of an adjuvant. The Q-11

peptide self-assembled into an extended nanofibril structure that stimulated long-

lasting humoral and cellular immunity to the covalently fused peptide if proper

epitopes were provided [28-30]. The Q-11 peptide's activities depend on T cells and

MyD88, a typical signaling molecule that mediates innate immunity, but no

inflammatory responses were observed when immunizing with a Q-11-based

vaccine [29]. The other self-assembling peptide is coil-29, which forms an extended

spiral tube structure [31]. The coil-29 also shares similar activity with the Q-11, but

it has higher potency in inducing both types of immunity [32]. Interestingly, the coil-

29 peptide is predicted to be an amphipathic helical peptide according to prediction

by HeliQuest [33]. There are several similarities between these two self-assembling

peptides and the peptides identified in this study: they are both self-adjuvanting,

both show low reactogenicity, and they are all amphipathic peptides, suggesting

they might also share similar mechanisms that demand further studies.

In conclusion, the fusion with an amphipathic helical peptide provides a novel

mechanism for stimulating immunity and may be applied to other antigens other

than GFP if the following goals can be achieved: extended protein stability, efficient

incorporation of heterologous proteins, and high specificity to the target cell.

Materials and methods

Expression and purification of endotoxin free protein

The pET28a vector encoding the target protein was transformed into BL21 (DE3)

using heat shock. The procedure for heat shock transformation is as follows: Day 1

at 3 p.m., plasmid of 1 ng was mixed with 50 μl of thawed competent cell,

BL21(DE3), and incubated on ice for 10 minutes before 42 °C heat shock for 40

seconds. After heat shock, the mixture was kept on ice for 1 minute before adding 1

ml LB and then shaken at 220 rpm at 37 °C for 1 hour. Then the bacteria were spun

down at 13000 rpm for 1 minute. The bacteria pellet was re-suspended in 100 μl LB

and plated on LB plates with 100 μg/ml ampicillin and incubated at 37 °C. Day 2, at

10 a.m., there should be fewer than 100 colonies on the plate. Pick one colony and

inoculate the bacteris in 3 ml LB/ampicillin culture and incubated by shaking at

37℃/225 rpm. By 12 a.m., the 3 ml culture was transferred to 500 ml LB/ampicillin

media, and the growth of culture was monitored by spectrophotometer. When

OD600 reached 0.5-0.7, 1 mM IPTG was added into the culture to induce protein

expression. The culture was harvested 3 hours after protein induction. Bacteria

were spun down by centrifuge at 5000 rpm for 10 minutes. Supernatant were

removed and the pellet was kept for further processing.

Protein purification

The buffer for protein purification and gel filtration: 20 mM $NaPO_4$, pH 7.4, and 300

mM NaCl (GF buffer). For Ni-NTA resin purification: 5 mM Imidazole in GF for

bacteria lysis (Lysis buffer), 10 mM Imidazole in GF for wash (Wash buffer), 200 mM

Imidazole in GF for protein elution (Elution buffer). Bacteria pellets from 500 ml of

LB culture was re-suspended in 40 ml of Lysis buffer by vortex and then was lysed

using an ultrasonic sonicator (Misonix 3000) at 10 S on/5 S off cycles for 5 minutes.

The re-suspended bacteria were kept in icy water during sonication. Insoluble

debris was removed by centrifugation at 10000 rpm for 10 minutes using a Sorval

SS34 rotor at 4 °C. The supernatant containing target proteins was passed through a

polypropylene column containing 2 ml Ni-NTA resin from Qiagen. After the target

protein bound to the Ni-NTA resin, the column was washed with 10 bed volume of

wash buffer (20 ml). After washing, the target protein was then eluted using 5 ml

elution buffer. Target protein purified using Ni-NTA resin was further purified using

a gel filtration column from GE (HiLoad 16/600 Superdex 200 pg) on AKTA prime.

Size exclusion chromatography

Three programs were setup for the purification of fusion proteins in study. Program

30: For gel filtration purification of the fusion protein. Program 33: for the onsite

cleaning of HiLoad 16/600 Superdex 200 pg using 120 ml of 0.5 M NaOH. The flow

rate is 0.8 ml/minute. Program 34: for equilibrate the column after onsite cleaning

using 150 ml GF buffer. The column was washed after two protein purifications. A 5

ml sample loop was connected to the machine, the AKTA Prime, for holding the

protein sample. The sample loop was first flushed with 25 ml of GF buffer to remove

the residual protein from previous purification. Before loading the fusion protein

into the sample loop, additional 0.5 ml of GF buffer was added into the Ni-NTA

eluted fusion protein. The protein solution was loaded into a 5-ml syringe and

injected into the sample loop. The glass tubes in the corresponding fractions of

fusion protein eluted from the column were replaced with new tubes every time

before the purification. All the buffers to be used in the gel filtration experiment

were filtered through a 0.2-μm filter unit for sterilization and impurity. These

buffers were further degassed by vacuum for 10 minutes. The FPLC fractions

contained fusion proteins as monitored by UV280 and were collected and pooled.

The pooled protein solution was concentrated by a second Ni-NTA column with the

same bed volume as the first one. After the protein was eluted from 2nd Ni-NTA

column, the protein concentration was determined by BCA analysis. Two fractions

with highest protein concentration were pooled and dialyzed against 1 L PBS

overnight. After dialysis, the endotoxin contaminant was removed using

EndotoxinOUT™ resin from G-Bioscience. The polypropylene column was filled with

4 ml EndotoxinOUT™ resin and washed with 5 bed volumes of 1 % sodium

deoxycholate in LAL Reagent Water (LRW), 5 bed volumes of LRW and 5 bed

volumes of PBS/LRW before loading 1.5 ml fusion protein. After complete loading of

the protein, the column was placed in cold room for 1 hour for complete absorption

of endotoxin by resin. Protein was eluted using stepwise additions of first fraction of

0.5 ml and later fractions of 1 ml of PBS.

LAL assay

The endotoxin level was determined using PYROTELL-T LAL provided by Associate

of Cape Cod. Each LAL reagent supplied in lyophilized powder was first dissolved in

5 ml LRW and then aliquoted into small volumes and kept at -80 °C. In a typical

turbidity assay using PYROTELL-T, 100 μl of sample, including endotoxin standard,

were applied to a microplate. The reaction kinetics were measured using a victor3

fluorescence reader by OD405 at 37 °C. The time-lapse between each reading was

70 seconds and the reading was repeated 60 times. The time needed for a reaction

to reach a difference of 0.1 in OD405 between sample and blank was recorded and

compared to the endotoxin standard to determine the endotoxin unit in a sample.

The log of endotoxin activity was plotted against time (seconds) to reach a

difference of 0.1 in OD405; a logarithmic trend line is used as the standard curve.

The identified endotoxin activity in a protein sample was further combined with the

protein concentration, as determined by BCA assay, to determine specific endotoxin

activity, EU/mg. The upper limit of specific endotoxin activity in all the protein

samples was 5 EU/mg.

Animal Immunization

The C57BL/6 SPF mice used in immunization procedures were between aged 6 and

8 weeks and provided by BioLasco Taiwan. Without anesthetization, mouse was

bled from tail by clipping away 2-3 mm tail. Blood was collected using a pipette with

occasional massaging on the tail. About 100 μl blood was collected from each bleed.

Blood was left at RT for 2 hours before centrifugation at 2000 rpm for 10 minutes in

a microcentrifuge, and serum was collected in a microtube. Remaining lymphocytes

were removed by centrifugation at 2000 rpm for 10 minutes in a microcentrifuge.

Serum was stored at -80 ^{o}C before use in an ELISA assay. Mouse tail bleeding is

stopped by heated soldering iron while pressing the tail tip between fingers. For

primary immunization, 20 μg purified protein was injected intramuscularly in the

thigh of the hind limb. Blood was bled 14 days post immunization from tail and a

booster dose with the same amount of purified protein was injected by the same

procedure.

ELISA for determining antibody titer

The anti-GFP IgG titer was determined by coating GFP protein at a concentration of

10 μg/ml. The procedures for the ELISA assay followed the standard protocol.

Secondary Ab (HRP conjugated goat anti-mouse Ab) was diluted by 5000 folds in

blocking buffer. The coating buffer contains 0.2 M Carbonate/Bicarbonate buffer at

pH 9.4. The washing buffer contains 0.05% Tween 20 in 1X PBS. The blocking buffer

contains 1% BSA in the washing buffer, and the stop buffer contains 2M sulfuric

acid.

GFP fusion protein binding assay

The GFP fusion protein binding activity was tested by first plating $4x10^4$ MDCK cells

on one well of a 96 well plate, each sample was tested in quadruplicate. On second

day, 200 μg/ml of GFP fusion protein was added into the culture media and

incubated for 4 hours. After incubation, media was removed and washed with 200 μl

of PBS for three times. The amount of GFP fusion protein bound/internalized was

determined by a fluorometer.

Plasma cytokine determination

To detect the blood cytokine level, mice were first intramuscularly injected with

experimental proteins. Two hours after injection, the mice were anesthetized before

collecting blood through cardiac puncture with a syringe preloaded with 10 μl of

0.5M Na_2EDTA. The blood samples were centrifuged at 2000 rpm for 20 minutes in

a tabletop microcentrifuge. The plasma collected was aliquoted into 20 μl fractions

and snap-frozen on dry ice. The plasma cytokine level was determined by sandwich

ELISA with a standard from eBioscience, USA. The IL-6 level was detected using the

Mouse IL-6 ELISA Ready-SET-Go! (Cat#88-7064, eBioscience, USA). The MCP-1 level

was detected using the Mouse CCL2 (MCP-1) ELISA Ready-SET-Go! Kit [34-7391,

eBioscience, USA]. The detection procedures followed the manufacturer's standard

protocol.

Cell apoptosis assay by flow cytometer and MTT assay

MDCK cells were first plated on 6 well plates at 3x10E5 cells/well. Twenty-four

hours after plating, peptides were applied to 10 μM, 30 μM, or 100 μM

concentrations and cells were incubated for 24 hours before harvested by trypsin

digestion. The suspended cells due to apoptosis were collected by centrifuging the

culture medium at 1000 rpm for 5 minutes. The cells collected from trypsin

digestion and cultured media were combined, and cells were fixed in 3 ml 70 %

ethanol overnight in a -20 °C freezer. After fixation, cells were washed and

resuspended in 1 ml PBS and stained by the SYTOX AADvanced Dead Cell Stain Kit

(Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc.) in the presence of 100 μg RNaseA for 30 minutes at 4

degree cold room before analysis by flow cytometry (Calibur BD). The sub-G1

fraction was calculated and designated as apoptotic cells. For MTT assay, MDCK cells

were plated at 1x10E4 cell/well. Twenty-four hours after plating, peptide was

added to reach a concentration of 10 μM, 30 μM, or 100 μM and cells were incubated

for 24 hours and media removed and replaced with 50 μl serum free media and 50

μl MTT solution. After incubation at 37 °C for 3 hours, 150 MTT solvent was added

and the plate was shaked for 15 minutes and then read the absorbance at OD570.

Transmission electronic microscope

Madin-Darby canine kidney (MDCK) cells were seeded on ACLAR EMBEDDING film

in a 6-well plate at a density of $3x10^5$ cells per well. After overnight culturing, part of

the media were removed to leave 0.5 ml of culture media before adding 50 μl of 10

nm gold nanoparticles conjugated with AH2 peptide. The peptide density of AH2

conjugated 10 nm gold nanoparticle used in this assay was $4.78x10^{12}$/ml. After 4

hours of incubation, cells were washed in serum-free culture media at room

temperature and fixed directly or cultured for an additional 20 hrs before fixation.

The fixation procedure involved covering the film in 2.5% glutaraldehyde, 0.1%

tannic acid, 0.1M cacodylate buffer, pH 7.2 at room temperature for 30 minutes.

Then cells were washed in 0.1M cacodylate buffer pH 7.2, 0.2M sucrose, 0.1% $CaCl_2$

for 5 minutes at room temperature. Afterward, cells were post-fixed in 1%

OsO_4/0.1M cacodylate buffer, pH 7.2 at room temperature for 30 minutes. Then cells

were washed with ddH_2O three times for 5 minutes each at room temperature. Cells

were further stained with 1% uranyl acetate at room temperature for 30 minutes

before being washed in ddH_2O for 3 times. Cell were then dehydrated and

embedded in EPON by polymerizing at 60 ^{o}C for 24 hours. Embedded cells were

then sectioned for observation under a transmission electronic microscope.

Figures

Figure 1

Figure 1. The effect of AH peptide mediated recombinant protein antigenicity

enhancement. (A) The design of the AH fusion protein was shown. The AH peptide

from the M2 protein of influenza virus strain A/TW/3355/97 H1N1 (AH2) was

inserted between His-Tag and GFP. The amino-acid sequence of the AH2 peptide

and its membrane binding mutant, AH2M3, were shown. (B) The change of anti-GFP

IgG titers was determined by ELISA and followed for 4 months. Mice were

immunized twice with 20 μg each of His-GFP, AH2-GFP, or AH2M3-GFP at days 0

and 14 by intramuscular injection. Sera for ELISA assay were collected every 7 days

at first month, every 2 weeks up to second month and monthly up to fourth month.

The anti-GFP IgG geometric mean titer was shown as GMT (Log) (N=5). (C) The

membrane binding activity of fusion proteins was measured by incubating the

fusion proteins with cultured MDCK cells for 4 hours and then washing with PBS for

quantification under a fluorometer. (D) The anti-GFP IgG subtypes of sera collected

at day 28 after the primary dose of His-GFP or AH2-GFP were determined. HRP-

conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG1, goat anti-mouse IgG2a, goat anti-mouse IgG2b,

and goat anti-mouse IgG3 secondary antibodies were used in the ELISA assay.

Figure 2

Figure 2. Detection of inflammatory cytokine levels after antigen immunization.

Mice were injected with either PBS, His-GFP, AH2-GFP, 10 μg flagellin or 1 μg

flagellin and plasma was collected 2 hours post immunization for detection of A) IL-

6 and B) MCP-1 by sandwich ELISA. (N=5).

Figure 3

Figure 3. The binding and transportation of AH2-GFP and AH2-G-NP into cytoplasm

were   examined   under   a   confocal   fluorescence   microscope   or   transmission

electronic microscope (TEM). (A) Purified AH2-GFP fusion protein was incubated

with cultured MDCK cells and non-binding protein was washed away after 4 hours

of incubation. The location of internalized AH2-GFP was traced by confocal

fluorescence microscopy. The transportation of AH2 peptide conjugated gold

nanoparticles (AH2-G-NP) was traced by TEM. The MDCK cell was incubated with

AH2-G-NP for either (B-C) 4 hours or (D-E) 4+20 hours before it was fixed and

embedded for TEM imaging. The arrow points to the internalized AH2-G-NP in the

lysosome (L) or permeabilized lysosome (PL) or the multivesicular body (MVB). The

arrowheads pointed to the positions of released AH2-G-NP in cytoplasm. The length

of the scale bar is 500 nm.

Figure 4

Figure 4. Evaluating the cytotoxic effects of amphipathic helical peptides by flow

cytometry and MTT assays. (A) The percentage of cells in apoptosis after being

exposed to the AH peptide was measured by flow cytometry. MDCK cells were

incubated with 10 microM, 30 microM or 100 microM of synthetic peptides for 24

hrs, and then the cells were harvested for detecting apoptotic cells using nuclei

staining. (B) The viability of MDCK cells after being incubated with synthetic

peptides for 24 hours was measured by the MTT assay. The AH2 peptide or Udorn

peptide was added into the culture media in increasing concentrations of 10

microM, 30 microM or 100 microM. (*** P≤0.001, * P≤0.05)

Figure 5

Figure 5. The evaluation of different amphipathic helical peptides in enhancing GFP

antigenicity and the comparison with other adjuvants. (A) The AH peptides from M2

protein of H1N1 influenza virus (AH2-GFP), Semliki Forest virus replicase nsP1

(NSP1-GFP), G-protein coupled kinase 5 (GRK5-GFP), and the fusion hairpin peptide

of hemaglutinin (HAfp23-GFP) were fused with GFP, and the fusion proteins were

purified and used for mouse immunization in a two doses protocol. The anti-GFP IgG

titer was determined from sera collected 14 days after immunization. (B) The

comparison of GFP antigenicity boosting by either GRK5 peptide (GRK5-GFP), alum

salt (GFP-ALUM), or MPLA (GFP-MPLA) in a two-dose immunization protocol. The

anti-GFP IgG titer was determined using ELISA. (N=5)

References

1.   FDA. Regulatory Considerations in the Safety Assessment of Adjuvants and
Adjuvanted Preventive Vaccines
2.   Almeida, P.F. Membrane-active peptides: binding, translocation, and flux in
lipid vesicles. *Biochim Biophys Acta* **2014**, *1838*, 2216-2227,
doi:10.1016/j.bbamem.2014.04.014 [doi].
3.   Pinto, L.H.; Lamb, R.A. The M2 proton channels of influenza A and B viruses. *J
Biol Chem* **2006**, *281*, 8997-9000, doi:10.1074/jbc.R500020200 [doi].
4.   Rossman, J.S.; Jing, X.; Leser, G.P.; Lamb, R.A. Influenza virus M2 protein
mediates ESCRT-independent membrane scission. *Cell* **2010**, *142*, 902-913,
doi:10.1016/j.cell.2010.08.029 [doi].
5.   Martyna, A.; Bahsoun, B.; Madsen, J.J.; Jackson, F.S.J.S.; Badham, M.D.; Voth,
G.A.; Rossman, J.S. Cholesterol Alters the Orientation and Activity of the
Influenza Virus M2 Amphipathic Helix in the Membrane. *J Phys Chem B* **2020**,
124, 6738-6747, doi:10.1021/acs.jpcb.0c03331 [doi].
6.   Elkins, M.R.; Williams, J.K.; Gelenter, M.D.; Dai, P.; Kwon, B.; Sergeyev, I.V.;
Pentelute, B.L.; Hong, M. Cholesterol-binding site of the influenza M2 protein
in lipid bilayers from solid-state NMR. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **2017**, *114*,
12946-12951, doi:10.1073/pnas.1715127114 [doi].
7.   Pan, J.; Dalzini, A.; Song, L. Cholesterol and phosphatidylethanolamine lipids
exert opposite effects on membrane modulations caused by the M2
amphipathic helix. *Biochim Biophys Acta Biomembr* **2018**, *1861*, 201-209,
doi:10.1016/j.bbamem.2018.07.013 [doi].
8.   Tossi, A.; Sandri, L.; Giangaspero, A. Amphipathic, alpha-helical antimicrobial
peptides. *Peptide Science* **2000**, *55*, 4-30, doi:10.1002/1097-
0282(2000)55:1<4::aid-bip30>3.0.co;2-m.
9.   Möbius, W.; van Donselaar, E.; Ohno-Iwashita, Y.; Shimada, Y.; Heijnen, H.F.;
Slot, J.W.; Geuze, H.J. Recycling compartments and the internal vesicles of
multivesicular bodies harbor most of the cholesterol found in the endocytic
pathway. *Traffic* **2003**, *4*, 222-231, doi:10.1034/j.1600-0854.2003.00072.x
[doi].
10.   Welsby, I.; Detienne, S.; N'Kuli, F.; Thomas, S.; Wouters, S.; Bechtold, V.; De
Wit, D.; Gineste, R.; Reinheckel, T.; Elouahabi, A.; et al. Lysosome-Dependent
Activation of Human Dendritic Cells by the Vaccine Adjuvant QS-21. *Front
Immunol* **2017**, *7*, 663, doi:10.3389/fimmu.2016.00663 [doi].
11.   Brojatsch, J.; Lima, H.; Kar, A.K.; Jacobson, L.S.; Muehlbauer, S.M.; Chandran,
K.; Diaz-Griffero, F. A proteolytic cascade controls lysosome rupture and
necrotic cell death mediated by lysosome-destabilizing adjuvants. *PLoS One*
2014, *9*, e95032, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0095032 [doi].
12.   Jacobson, L.S.; Lima, H., Jr.; Goldberg, M.F.; Gocheva, V.; Tsiperson, V.;
Sutterwala, F.S.; Joyce, J.A.; Gapp, B.V.; Blomen, V.A.; Chandran, K.; et al.
Cathepsin-mediated necrosis controls the adaptive immune response by Th2
(T helper type 2)-associated adjuvants. *J Biol Chem* **2013**, *288*, 7481-7491,
doi:10.1074/jbc.M112.400655 [doi].

13. Ito, T.; Inouye, K.; Fujimaki, H.; Tohyama, C.; Nohara, K. Mechanism of TCDD-
induced suppression of antibody production: effect on T cell-derived
cytokine production in the primary immune reaction of mice. *Toxicol Sci*
2002, *70*, 46-54, doi:10.1093/toxsci/70.1.46 [doi].
14. Duewell, P.; Kisser, U.; Heckelsmiller, K.; Hoves, S.; Stoitzner, P.; Koernig, S.;
Morelli, A.B.; Clausen, B.E.; Dauer, M.; Eigler, A.; et al. ISCOMATRIX adjuvant
combines immune activation with antigen delivery to dendritic cells in vivo
leading to effective cross-priming of CD8+ T cells. *J Immunol* **2011**, *187*, 55-
63, doi:10.4049/jimmunol.1004114 [doi].
15. Baz Morelli, A.; Becher, D.; Koernig, S.; Silva, A.; Drane, D.; Maraskovsky, E.
ISCOMATRIX: a novel adjuvant for use in prophylactic and therapeutic
vaccines against infectious diseases. *J Med Microbiol* **2012**, *61*, 935-943,
doi:10.1099/jmm.0.040857-0 [doi].
16. Wilson, N.S.; Yang, B.; Morelli, A.B.; Koernig, S.; Yang, A.; Loeser, S.; Airey, D.;
Provan, L.; Hass, P.; Braley, H.; et al. ISCOMATRIX vaccines mediate CD8+ T-
cell cross-priming by a MyD88-dependent signaling pathway. *Immunol Cell*
Biol **2012**, *90*, 540-552, doi:10.1038/icb.2011.71 [doi].
17. Kramer, R.M.; Archer, M.C.; Orr, M.T.; Dubois Cauwelaert, N.; Beebe, E.A.;
Huang, P.D.; Dowling, Q.M.; Schwartz, A.M.; Fedor, D.M.; Vedvick, T.S.; et al.
Development of a thermostable nanoemulsion adjuvanted vaccine against
tuberculosis using a design-of-experiments approach. *Int J Nanomedicine*
2018, *13*, 3689-3711, doi:10.2147/IJN.S159839 [doi].
18. Gomez, M.; Archer, M.; Barona, D.; Wang, H.; Ordoubadi, M.; Bin Karim, S.;
Carrigy, N.B.; Wang, Z.; McCollum, J.; Press, C.; et al. Microparticle
encapsulation of a tuberculosis subunit vaccine candidate containing a
nanoemulsion adjuvant via spray drying. *Eur J Pharm Biopharm* **2021**, *163*,
23-37, doi:10.1016/j.ejpb.2021.03.007 [doi].
19. Kan, M.-C. The thermostability of a VADEX-Pro based protein nanoparticle.
bioRxiv **2023**, doi:10.1101/2023.02.15.528623.
20. Wong, T.-T.; Liou, G.-G.; Kan, M.-C. A Thermal-Stable Protein Nanoparticle
That Stimulates Long Lasting Humoral Immune Response. *Vaccines* **2023**, *11*,
426.
21. Thiyagarajan, M.M.; Stracquatanio, R.P.; Pronin, A.N.; Evanko, D.S.; Benovic,
J.L.; Wedegaertner, P.B. A predicted amphipathic helix mediates plasma
membrane localization of GRK5. *J Biol Chem* **2004**, *279*, 17989-17995,
doi:10.1074/jbc.M310738200 [doi.
22. Ding, B.; Glukhova, A.; Sobczyk-Kojiro, K.; Mosberg, H.I.; Tesmer, J.J.; Chen, Z.
Unveiling the membrane-binding properties of N-terminal and C-terminal
regions of G protein-coupled receptor kinase 5 by combined optical
spectroscopies. *Langmuir* **2014**, *30*, 823-831, doi:10.1021/la404055a [doi].
23. Lampio, A.; Kilpeläinen, I.; Pesonen, S.; Karhi, K.; Auvinen, P.; Somerharju, P.;
Kääriäinen, L. Membrane binding mechanism of an RNA virus-capping
enzyme. *J Biol Chem* **2000**, *275*, 37853-37859, doi:10.1074/jbc.M004865200
[doi].
24. Spuul, P.; Salonen, A.; Merits, A.; Jokitalo, E.; Kääriäinen, L.; Ahola, T. Role of
the amphipathic peptide of Semliki forest virus replicase protein nsP1 in

membrane association and virus replication. *J Virol* **2007**, *81*, 872-883, 555 doi:10.1128/JVI.01785-06 [doi].

25. Zhang, K.; Law, Y.S.; Law, M.C.Y.; Tan, Y.B.; Wirawan, M.; Luo, D. Structural 557 insights into viral RNA capping and plasma membrane targeting by 558 Chikungunya virus nonstructural protein 1. *Cell Host Microbe* **2021**, *29*, 757-559 764 e753, doi:10.1016/j.chom.2021.02.018 [doi].

26. Smrt, S.T.; Draney, A.W.; Lorieau, J.L. The influenza hemagglutinin fusion 561 domain is an amphipathic helical hairpin that functions by inducing 562 membrane curvature. *J Biol Chem* **2015**, *290*, 228-238, 563 doi:10.1074/jbc.M114.611657 [doi].

27. Cruz-Reséndiz, A.; Zepeda-Cervantes, J.; Sampieri, A.; Bastián-Eugenio, C.; 565 Acero, G.; Sánchez-Betancourt, J.I.; Gevorkian, G.; Vaca, L. A self-aggregating 566 peptide: implications for the development of thermostable vaccine 567 candidates. *BMC Biotechnol* **2020**, *20*, 1, doi:10.1186/s12896-019-0592-9 568 [doi].

28. Rudra, J.S.; Tian, Y.F.; Jung, J.P.; Collier, J.H. A self-assembling peptide acting as 570 an immune adjuvant. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **2010**, *107*, 622-627, 571 doi:10.1073/pnas.0912124107 [doi].

29. Rudra, J.S.; Mishra, S.; Chong, A.S.; Mitchell, R.A.; Nardin, E.H.; Nussenzweig, 573 V.; Collier, J.H. Self-assembled peptide nanofibers raising durable antibody 574 responses against a malaria epitope. *Biomaterials* **2012**, *33*, 6476-6484, 575 doi:10.1016/j.biomaterials.2012.05.041 [doi].

30. Votaw, N.L.; Collier, L.; Curvino, E.J.; Wu, Y.; Fries, C.N.; Ojeda, M.T.; Collier, 577 J.H. Randomized peptide assemblies for enhancing immune responses to 578 nanomaterials. *Biomaterials* **2012**, *273*, 120825, 579 doi:10.1016/j.biomaterials.2021.120825 [doi].

31. Wu, Y.; Norberg, P.K.; Reap, E.A.; Congdon, K.L.; Fries, C.N.; Kelly, S.H.; 581 Sampson, J.H.; Conticello, V.P.; Collier, J.H. A Supramolecular Vaccine Platform 582 Based on Œ±-Helical Peptide Nanofibers. *ACS Biomater Sci Eng* **2017**, *3*, 583 3128-3132, doi:10.1021/acsbiomaterials.7b00561 [doi].

32. Wu, Y.; Kelly, S.H.; Sanchez-Perez, L.; Sampson, J.H.; Collier, J.H. Comparative 585 study of α-helical and β-sheet self-assembled peptide nanofiber vaccine 586 platforms: influence of integrated T-cell epitopes. *Biomater Sci* **2020**, *8*, 587 3522-3535, doi:10.1039/d0bm00521e [doi].

33. Gautier, R.; Douguet, D.; Antonny, B.; Drin, G. HELIQUEST: a web server to 589 screen sequences with specific alpha-helical properties. *Bioinformatics* **2008**, 590 *24*, 2101-2102, doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btn392 [doi].

591
592
593