Asynchronous phylogeographic and demographic dynamics of rodent community in the low latitude Asia

Gaoming Liu[1], Cheng-Min Shi[1,2][†],Huajing Teng[1], Jian-Xu Zhang[1]，and Quansheng Liu[3][†]

[1] State Key Laboratory of Integrated Management of Pest Insects and Rodents, Institute of Zoology, Chinese Academy of Science, Beijing 100101, China

[2] State Key Laboratory of North China Crop Improvement and Regulation, College of Plant Protection, Hebei Agricultural University, Baoding 071000, China

[3] Guangdong Key Laboratory of Animal Conservation and Resource Utilization, Guangdong Public Laboratory of Wild Animal Conservation and Utilization, Institute of Zoology, Guangdong Academy of Sciences, Guangzhou, Guangdong 510260, China

Contributed equally

[†]Correspondence to Cheng-Min Shi, shichengmin@hebau.edu.cn or Quansheng Liu，liuqs@giz.gd.cn

Abstract

It is increasing evident that demographic history and phylogeographic consequences of past climate

changes were unfolded locally and varied from region to region. Despite the high Murinae rodent

species richness and endemism in the low latitude Asia, how the past climatic fluctuations shaped the

phylogeographic and demographic history in this area remains unknown. Here we trapped 253 field

Murine individuals and successfully amplified COI gene sequence for DNA barcoding. The phyloge-

netic tree showed the Murinae diversification included ten species belong to Rattini and Murini

tribes. The divergence dating suggested that the most common ancestor (TMRCA) of each rodent

species was estimated in Early or Middle Pleistocene. Bayesian skyline plot (BSP) exhibited the on-

set of population growth of seven Murinae rodents occurred at penultimate or last glaciation, and

while *R. losea* and *R. norvegicus* keep effective population size constant through their elapsed time.

Additionally, the six rodent species range of refugia area in the LGM projected by ecological niche

models (ENMs) exhibited expander than the suitable area on present, meanwhile the remaining four

rodent species showed contracted refugia regions. Hence, our results suggested that the rodent com-

munity displayed the asynchronous demographic and phylogeographic dynamics in the low latitude

Asia.

Key words: Murinae, DNA barcoding, phylogenetic, divergence dating, BSP, ENMs

INTRODUCTION

It is increasing evident that climate has exerted pervasive influences on all hierarchy of biological systems, ranging from individuals, populations, communities to entire ecosystems. Biological impacts of climate changes have been particularly well-exemplified by a wealth of studies probing through the phylogeographic lens on the genetic variations of animals and plants during the Quaternary ice ages (Avise 2000, Parvizi *et al.* 2019, Rey-Iglesia *et al.* 2021). It appeared that the phylogeographic consequences of past climate changes were unfolded locally and varied from region to region, although Quaternary climate fluctuations were a global phenomenon. For example, Lessa et al. (2003) demonstrated that populations of four small mammals in the high latitude North America synchronously expanded during the late Quaternary, while populations of 11 small mammals in the low altitude Amazon were stable during the same period (Lessa *et al.* 2003). Carnaval *et al.* (2009) also showed similar findings on a more restricted geographic scale within the tropics. However, there were also evidences suggested that regional communities might have respond to past climate change idiosyncratically (Burbrink *et al.* 2016), and different taxonomic groups responded differently to past climatic changes (Antonelli *et al.* 2018).

The low latitude regions, especially tropics, are the geographical zone farthest from polar ice sheets, and have been little affected by glaciers formation processes during the glacial cycles of the Quaternary (Zong 2007). In comparison with temperate regions, low latitude regions have maintained greater climatic stability during the glacial ages. Additionally, low latitude regions harbor particularly high biodiversity and species endemic to the tropical regions (Tonkin *et al.* 2016). The low latitude Asia is one of centers of diversity and endemism of Murinae rodents, a sub-family of the Muridae family (Latinne et al. 2013). Murine is subdivided ten tribes based on the molecular identification, two of which were Rattini and Murini tribes (Lecompte *et al.* 2008). The former encompasses 35 genera and the latter harbors 2 genera (Musser & Carleton 2005). However, Murinae field identification remains difficult, presumably owing to multiple ambiguous effectors, including the limited interspecfic external morphological divergence and/or large scale interspecific morphological variations (e. g., *Rattus* amd *Niviventer* genus). Also, comparative phylogeographic approaches are relatively unexplored in the tropics and how the past climatic fluctuations in shaped phylogeographic

history of tropical species remains uncertain.

In this study, we used DNA barcoding and phylogenetic analysis to delimit the Murine species in the

low latitude Asia. We estimated the divergence time and Bayesian skyline plot (BSP) to show the de-

mographic history of those rodents. We also constructed ecological niche models to project the suita-

ble distributional areas of rodents in the present day and LGM.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Sample collection and DNA sequencing

A total of 253 field individuals of Murine rats were trapped in Guangdong Province, China. All indi-

viduals were diagnosed to putative taxa based on morphology. Total genomic DNA was extracted

from tissues of tail tips using a TailGen DNA extraction Kit (CWBIO, Beijing, China) or following a

modified phenol-chloroform extraction procedure (Zhang, Hewitt 1998). We amplified and se-

quenced partial fragment of the mitochondrial cytochrome oxidase I subunit gene (mtCOI) for all of

the 253 samples using primers BatL5310 (5′-CCTACTCRGCCATTTTACCTATG-3') and R6036R

(5′-ACTTCTGGGTGTCCAAAGAATCA-3') (Robins *et al.* 2007). Polymerase chain reactions

(PCRs) were carried out in volumes of 30 uL consisting of 1X reaction buffer, 1.5 mM of MgCl2,

0.2 mM of each dNTPs, 0.3 uM of each primer, 0.3 unit of Taq DNA polymerase (Promega, Shang-

hai, China) and ~40 ng of genomic DNA, with following thermal profile: an initial denaturation step

at 94℃ for 4min was followed by 35 cycles of at 94℃ for 30 s, at 51℃ for 30 s and at 72℃ for 40 s,

and a final extension step at 72℃ for 2 min. The purified PCR products were sequenced using the

ABI PRISM BigDye Terminator V3.1 Cycle Sequencing Kit and sequences were resolved on an ABI

3730XL automated sequencer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). All sequences are de-

posited in GenBank under accession numbers of xxxxxxxx-xxxxxxxx.

Phylogenetic analysis

The new sequences were edited to remove unreliably resolved bases at both ends and aligned using

the CLUSTAL X 2.0 program (Larkin *et al.* 2007). After checked the possible interference of mito-

chondrial pseudogenes following the recommendation of Zhang and Hewitt (Zhang & Hewitt 1996)

and (Bensasson *et al.* 2001), the alignment was collapsed into haplotypes using DnaSP 5.10

(Librado, Rozas 2009). Using the unique haplotypes as queries, the standard nucleotide BLAST

searches were performed in the NCBI database (https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov) to retrieve the homol-

ogous sequences. We downloaded the hit homologous sequences with query coverage of 100% and

identity scores > 97%, a threshold slightly lower than the within species similarity found in Murinae

species. As all BLAST hit species were belong to Murinae, three sequences for *Gerbillus* species

(Gerbillnae) were included as outgroups based on study of Rowe et al. (2016). These sequences were

aligned with the haplotypes generated in this study and subjected to phylogenetic analyses and diver-

gence time estimation (Table 1; Table S1, Supporting Information).

Phylogenetic analyses were performed using both maximum likelihood (ML) and Bayesian ap-

proaches with the best-fit model of nucleotide substitution identified by jModelTest 2 (Darriba *et al.*

2012). ML analysis was carried out with the software PhyML 3.0 (Guindon *et al.* 2010). Nodal sup-

port was assessed through 1000 bootstrap replicates. Bayesian analysis was carried out with

MrBayes 3.2.6 (Ronquist *et al.* 2012). Analysis was initiated with random starting trees, and run for

5×10^6 generations with four Markov chains. Trees were sampled every 500 generations and the first

25% of trees were discarded as burn-in.

Population genetic analyses

107   Summary statistics of population genetics, the number of haplotypes (H), haplotype diversity (Hd),

108   and nucleotide diversity (π), were calculated for each of the monophyletic lineages recognized in the

109   phylogenetic analysis using DnaSP 5.10 (Librado & Rozas 2009). The pairwise distances between

110   lineages/species were calculated based the Kimura's two-parameter model (Kimura 1980) as imple-

111   mented in MEGA version X (Kumar *et al.* 2018).

Divergence time estimated

Divergence time and evolutionary rates for each branch were estimated with a relaxed molecular

clock approach implemented in BEAST 1.8.4 (Drummond *et al.* 2012), as the strict molecular clock

model was rejected by likelihood-ratio tests ($2\Delta\ell = 492.66$, d.f. = 162, P < 0.001). The time to the

most recent common ancestor (T_{MRCA}) and evolutionary rate for each lineage were estimated through

a fossil-calibrated approach. Two fossil calibrations were used：(1) the split of *Mus/Rattus* (10.5-

14.0 Ma) (dos Reis *et al.* 2012, Kimura *et al.* 2013, Kimura *et al.* 2015), for which we set a normal

prior distribution with mean 12.2 Ma and standard deviation (SD) 0.9 Ma for the calibrating node;

(2) the most recent common ancestor of the *Mus* (7.3-8.3Ma) (Kimura *et al.* 2015, Rowe *et al.*

2016), for which we used mean 7.8 Ma and SD 0.24 Ma for the normal prior distribution. The evolu-

tionary rate change was explicitly modelled using uncorrelated lognormal distribution across trees.

A Yule process prior was used for modelling speciation. One hundred million Markov chain Monte

Carlo (MCMC) searches were performed and sampled every 10000 generations. Convergence of the

MCMC chains was checked with Tracer 1.7 (Rambaut *et al.* 2018). Maximum clade credibility

(MCC) tree, posterior means and 95% highest posterior densities (HPDs) of ages, and evolutionary

rates were identified and annotated using TreeAnnotator 1.8.4 (Rambaut & Drummond 2015).

Demographic history inferences

Historical changes in the effective population size were assessed using three approaches which use

different information contents of DNA sequences. First, the Tajima`s *D* (Tajima 1989), *Fs* (Fu 1997)

and R_2 (Ramos-Onsins, Rozas 2002) statistics were calculated. Tajima's *D* test is based on the num-

ber of segregating sites; Fu's *Fs* test use information from the haplotype distribution, while R_2 test

use information of the mutation frequency. These statistics have high power to detect population ex-

pansion for nonrecombining regions of the genome under a variety of different circumstances, either

when population sample sizes are large (*Fs*) or when sample sizes are small and the number of seg-

regating sites is low (R_2) (Ramos-Onsins, Rozas 2002). The significance of each test was assessed by

generating null distributions from 10,000 coalescent simulations in DnaSP version 5.10 (Librado,

Rozas 2009). Significantly large negative *D* ($P < 0.05$) and *Fs* ($P < 0.02$) values and significantly

positive R_2 ($P < 0.05$) values were taken as evidence of a population expansion. Second, mismatch

distribution using information from the distribution of the pairwise sequence differences was em-

ployed. The observed distribution of pairwise differences was compared to data simulated under the

sudden and spatial expansion models. Multimodal distributions suggest a historically stable popula-

tion size, whereas unimodal distributions imply population expansion (Rogers & Harpending 1992).

Sum of square deviations (SSD) was used to assess the fit of the data to the model. The Harpend-

ing's raggedness index quantifies the smoothness of the observed pairwise difference distribution

with a non-significant ($P > 0.05$) result indicates an expanding population (Harpending 1994). Mis-

match distribution were analyzed using Arlequin ver 3.5 (Excoffier & Lischer 2010). Third, changes

in effective population size (N_e) over time were explored by coalescent-based Bayesian skyline plot

(BSP) (Drummond *et al.* 2005) using BEAST 1.8.4 (Drummond *et al.* 2012). Unlike above tests

which are based on summary statistics, BSP make use of all the historical information contained

within a sample of DNA sequences from a given population. The estimate of evolutionary rate for

each of the lineage/species was used to calibrate BSP analysis using a strict molecular clock model.

We ran the analysis for 5×10^8 iterations, treating the first 10% iterations as burn-in, then subse-

quently sampling genealogies and model parameters every 5000 generations post burn-in.

Ecological niche modeling

We constructed ecological niche models (ENMs) to check for spatial changes of suitable distribu-

tional areas of rats. Geographic coordinates for the samples used in the demographic analysis were

combined to the occurrence localities of the respective species obtained from the Global Biodiversity

Information Facility (GBIF, www.gbif.org). We masked the focus region to an area (85~150 ºE, -

10~40 ºN) reasonably larger than the Southeast Asia (85~150 ºE, -10~40 ºN) to examine historical

range shifts. The location points downloaded from the GIBF were cleaned up by excluding the dupli-

cations and possible mislabeled coordinates, and were further filtered by keeping only one occur-

rence point in each of 1×1 degree cells to reduce the effects of sampling bias (Table S2, Supporting

Information). To estimate habitat suitability for each species, we used the bioclimatic variables at

2.5-arcminute resolution from the WorldClim (www.worldclim.org/) database. Climatic layers were

cropped to the above masked region to avoid sampling unrealistic background data inflating the

strength of predictions (Elith *et al.* 2011). We excluded highly correlated climatic variables with var-

iance inflation factor (VIF) > 10 (Naimi *et al.* 2014), to avoid over-fitting of the model and hamper-

ing interpretability of results. The maximum entropy algorithm implemented in MaxEnt version

3.4.1 was used to model the species distribution (Phillips *et al.* 2006, Phillips *et al.* 2017). The pre-

sent-day ENMs were projected to the past conditions during LGM (21,000 years ago) simulated us-

ing the Community Climate System Model (CCSM) available at the WorldClim website. MaxEnt

was run with a convergence threshold of 10^{-5} (default) and maximum number of iterations of 10, 000

for 10 replicates with cross-validate. Model performance was assessed via the area under the ROC

(receiver operating characteristic) curve (AUC) statistic. We employed the 10 percent training pres-

ence threshold (10%TP) to create binary map of predictions. The 10%TP is conservative, which un-

der-predicts known range by excluding the 10% most extreme observations. Predictions based on

this threshold reflect the core of the present species range (Morueta-Holme *et al.* 2010).

RESULTS

Phylogeny and diversity of rodent community

A total of 253 field Murine individuals were trapped and COI gene was successfully amplified and

sequenced, collapsed into 47 unique haplotypes. Blasted best hit results for the NCBI database

showed those haplotypes represented ten putative species in five genera. Sample size for each classi-

fied species inferred from the combined blast best hit results and phylogenetic relationship varied

from 1 to 73 field Murinae individuals (Table 1). Combined best hit COI sequences from the Gen-

Bank database, we finally obtained 393 sequence alignments and collapsed into 168 haplotypes used

for the phylogenetic inference.

The phylogenetic relationship of all unique haplotypes reconstructed by PhyML and MrBays were

congruent with each other and the topologies were highly supported with ten rodent species (Fig-

ure1). The results were consisted with blasted best hit putative species. Obviously, phylogenetic in-

group first divergent two tribes: Rattini and Murini, the former harbored *Rattus tenezumi, R. tioman-*

icus, R. losea, R. andamanensis, R. norvegicus, Bandicota indica, Niviventer fulvescens, N. confu-

cianus and Berylyms bowersi meanwhile the latter tribe included *Mus caroli* and *M. parahi* (Figure

1). Additionally, *Niviventer* genus is endemic to Southeast Asia (Musser & Carleton, 2005).

The nucleate and haplotype diversity of each delimitated species varied range from 0.530% to

1.396% and from 0.726 to 0.965, respectively (Table 1). To evaluate the divergent levels between

pairwise species, we calculated the genetic distances, the maximum genetic distance occurred be-

tween *N. fulvescens* and *Ba. Indica*, was 0.194. Conversely, the minimum was 0.067 between conge-

neric *R. timanicus* and *R. tanezumi*, which were reciprocal monophyletic group (Figure 1; Table 2).

Divergence time of rodent community

We estimated the divergence time under the uncorrected lognormal relaxed clock. Figure 1 showed that the first divergence in Rattini occurred in Middle/Late Miocene, corresponding to 9.87Ma (95% highest posterior density, 95% HPD: 8.13-11.57Ma), generating the two clades, both divergent in Late Miocene, specifically at 8.33Ma (95% HPD: 6.65-10.08Ma) and 8.08Ma (95% HPD: 6.42-9.94Ma). The estimated time of the most recent common ancestor (TMRCA) of each rodent species was occurred in Early or Middle Pleistocene, thereinto TMRCA of *M. caroli* was the most ancient at 1.25Ma (95% HPD: 0.75-1.82Ma) and meanwhile *R. losea* was most recent at 0.57Ma (95% HPD: 0.36-0.86Ma) (Table 1).

Asynchronous demographic histories of rodent community

Significant signs of population expansion were detected in six of the ten studied species by at least one of the three tests (Table 1). Values of Tajima's *D* and Fu's *Fs* for all species are negative, except for *N. norvigicus*. Values for R_2 are all small, four of which are significant (*R. tanezumi, R. tanezumi, N. fulvescens* and *N. confucianus*; Table 1). Only *R. tanezumi* and *N. confucianus* in all three tests were showed significant (Table 1).

In neutrality tests, Tajima`s *D* value were significantly negative, which showed population may experience expansion. In an extensive variety of cases, the behavior of Fu`s *Fs* and R_2 test held more powerful than Tajima`s *D* for detecting population growth (Ramos-Onsins, Rozas 2002). Tajima`s *D* and Fu`s *Fs* tests with significantly negative and $R_2$ test with significant for *R. tanezumi* and *N. confucianus* suggested recent population expansion (Table 1). For *N. fulvescnes* population, Tajima`s *D* and R_2 values were both significant, indicating population underwent population expansion. Fu`s *Fs* test with significantly negative and $R_2$ test with significantly positive for *R. tiomanicus* showed a wave of population expansion (Table 1). For *M. caroli* population, only significantly negative Tajima`s *D* value, indicating a conceivable scenario of population growth (Table 1). Fu`s *Fs* value was negative and significant for *R. andamensis* suggested the population increase scenario (Table 1).

BSP showed *R. tanezumi* experienced population growth through approximately 0.15-0.03Ma, and *R. tuimanicus* and *N. fulvescens* population had a sudden increase the effective size from about

0.20Ma until now (Figure 2). Although *R. andamensis* likewise underwent a sudden population ex-

pansion, they occurred at rough 0.075Ma until now (Figure 2). For *Ba. indica* and *N. confulvescnes*

population, both had a gradual population increase through their demographic history, yet began at

different point-in-time, aroud 0.28Ma and 0.18Ma, respectively (Figure 2). *Be. bowersi* and *M. car-*

oli population went through similar historical demography, initial population stable size then a small

amplitude increases at approximately 0.15Ma (Figure 2). Nevertheless, *R. losea* and *R. norvegicus*

population held steady size through their elasped time (Figure 2). Hence, BSPs displayed that seven

species experienced population expansion and meanwhile two species kept the invariable effective

size, which suggested the asynchronous demographic histories.

Asynchronous phylogeographic dynamics of rat community over the Last Glacial Maxi-

mum

We obtained 8 bioclimatic variables excluding highly correlated climatic variables, including mean

diurnal range (BIO2), isothermality (BIO3), mean temperature of wettest quarter (BIO8), precipita-

tion of wettest month (BIO13), precipitation of driest month (BIO14), precipitation seasonality

(BIO15), precipitation of warmest quarter (BIO18) and precipitation of coldest quareter (BIO19).

Visualizations of ENMs are shown in Figure 3. Projections onto the climatic conditions of present

day revealed the suitable area was major in low latitude regions (Figure 3). There are six species

showed larger refugia area and more south regional boundaries over the LGM, including *Be. bow-*

ersi, N. confucianus, N. fulvescens, R. andamensis, R. tanezumi and R. tiomanicus, compared with

the present-day suitable area. And meanwhile the remaining four rodent refugia areas were shrunk

(Figure 3). Hence, the rodent species showed the asynchronous phylogeographic dynamics over the

LGM. The overlap regions of the projected suitable areas under two climate scenarios for each ro-

dent species was almost through the Tropic of Cancer (Table 3; Figure 3), then extended southward

and northward, exclude *R. timonanicus* only located southern regions of the Tropic of Cancer (Figure

3).

DISCUSSION

The phylogenetic tree demonstrated the trapped 253 field Murine individuals classified ten species in

five genera, belonging to two tribes: Rattini and Murini (Figure 1). Murinae rats were difficult to de-

marcate due to conspicuous biodiversity and special evolutionary characteristics, such as rapid adap-

tive radiation and short divergence time (Conroy, Cook 1999, Steppan *et al.* 2004, Steppan *et al.*

2005, Robins *et al.* 2007, Rowe *et al.* 2011).

Sample size for each classified species inferred from the combined blast best hit results and phyloge-

netic relationship varied from 1 to 73 field Murinae individuals (Table 1). Singletons, such as *R. tio-*

manicus and *Be. Bowersi*, were not discarded, as in most cases, a single typical specimen could still

provide a reliable benchmark as an *ad hoc* method for species delimitation in DNA barcoding (Lim

et al. 2012, Li *et al.* 2015). We don`t deny that singleton was merely obtained from one sample ro-

dent, the dependability needed more field individuals to intensify.

In the phylogenetic tree, the reciprocal monophyletic group between *R. tanezumi* and *R. tiomanicus*

was not well-supported. Although both not difficult confused the external morphology, *R. tiomanicus*

always had whitish underparts and sleeker upperparts with less promient guard hairs, compared with

the *R. tanezumi*, (Francis, Barrett 2008). In this study, *R. tiomanicus* samples from Robins *et al.*

(2007) (Table S1, Supporting Information) were recognized as *R. tanezumi* by taxomonic character-

istics, and samples from Latinne *et al.* (2013) were identified clusters *Rattus* R3 lineage, which was

phylogenetic species delimitated by Pages *et al.* (2010) according to General Mixed Yule Coalescnet

(GMYC) model delimited species boundaries. Balakirev & Rozhnov (2012) combined morphologi-

cal data and biogeography and proposed a new system of nomination claimed that phylogenetic R3

was *R. tiomanicus*. Apart from *Rattus* genus, *Niviventer* genus was endemic to Southeast Asia

(Musser & Carleton, 2005) and one of taxonomically ambiguous members of the Murinae (Musser,

Carleton 2005, Balakirev *et al.* 2011, He, Jiang 2015). The samples of *N. ling* (corresponding to *N.*

sp. in Table S1, Supporting Information) is morphologically distinct from *N. fulvescnes* in head,

body and tail length, but it still clustered *N. fulvesnces* group as an operational taxonomic unit

(OTU) in phylogenetic tree (Lu *et al.* 2015). Similarly, *N. confucianus* group was highly phyloge-

netic diversity (Zhang *et al.* 2016) and included 27 OTUs, one of which was *N. lotipes* (correspond-

ing to *N. sp.* in Table S1, Supporting Information) (Lu *et al.* 2015). The phylogenetic analyses of

previous studies have found the *Be. bowersi* can divided into two highly divergent lineages, "Ber2"

lineage (Latinne *et al.* 2013) or "Be2" lineage (Pages *et al.* 2010). In this study, we no evidenced

these two lineages. We cannot deny that there was merely one sample of *Be. bowersi*, which maybe

not enough to powerful support the species delimitation for this sample. We need more evidence,

such as samples field capture and/or taxonomic characteristics.

Divergence time showed that TMRCA of all Murinae species located in low latitude Asia was esti-

mated in Middle/Late Pleistocene (Figure 1). To test the accuracy of estimated time for the species,

we compare the estimated date with the known fossil record. The ancestor of *N. confucianus*, consid-

ered as *N. preconfucianus*, was found at Zhoukoudian, Beijing in the early Pleistocene (approximate

1.20 Ma), which is older than our estimated split time of *N. confucianus* (95% HPD: 0.38-1.01Ma)

(Table 1). The most recent fossil record of *N. confucianus* was from Panxian Dadong, Guizhou Prov-

ince, China and occurred in middle-late Pleistocene (0.13-0.30 Ma) (Schepartz *et al.* 2003, Bekken

et al. 2004). Hence, the split of *N. confucianus* samples in south China was occurred at 0.35-0.96

Ma, which was covered by fossil records (0.13-1.20 Ma). Another species *R. norvegicus*, originated

in northern China and Mongolia, has enjoyed a worldwide distribution (Lin *et al.* 2012, Puckett *et al.*

2016). The most representative ancient fossils of this species were in Southwestern in China, about

1.20-1.60Ma (Jin *et al.* 2008, Yuan *et al.* 2009), then found recent fossils in Guangdong Province in

late Middle Pleistocene (Wu, Wang 2012). Hence the fossil time beyond the estimated time of

TMRCA for *R. norvegicus* range from 0.29Ma to 0.88Ma (Table 1). Additionally, our estimated

TMRCA of *M. carilo* was more ancient than previous study estimated (Shimada *et al.* 2007), which

maybe result from divergence time estimated methods were different.

BSP displayed that the episode of population expansion for six Murinae specie (*R. tanezumi*, *R. tio-*

manicus, *Ba. indica*, *N. fulvescens*, *N. confulvescens*, *Be. bowersi* and *M. caroli*) began from approx-

imate 0.28-0.15Ma (Figure 2), accordance to the penultimate glaciation equivalent to marine isotope

stage (MIS) 6-8 (Yi *et al.* 2005, Zhao *et al.* 2011). The onset of the population growth for *R. anda-*

manensis was nearly consist with the first stage of last glaciation (Yi *et al.* 2005, Zhao *et al.* 2011).

However, *R. losea* and *R. norvegicus* population held steady size through their elapsed time. Overall,

Pleistocene climate, especially glaciation, modeled the population dynamics of Murinae species in

low latitude Asia, but the population demographic history of rodent community respond to glaciation

was asynchronous.

The six rodent species range of refugia area in the LGM projected by NEMs exhibited expander than

the suitable area on present, meanwhile the remaining four rodent species showed contracted refugia

regions (Table 3; Figure 3). Hence, the phylogeographic dynamics of rodent community in the low

latitude Asia was asynchronous over the LGM. This refugia area expansion scenario was incon-

sistent with the median and high latitude hypothesis, which refugia area in the LGM showed con-

tacted regions compared with suitable area in the present (Avise 2000, Thomé *et al.* 2010, Zhao *et al.*

2019).

Eustatic sea levels fell by up to 120m below the present levels due to the sequestering of ice during

major glacial periods, resulted in the repeated formation of land bridges between formerly isolated

land masses (Lambeck *et al.* 2002), such as between Asian mainland and Hainain, Sumatra, Java and

Borneo (Voris 2000, Woodruff 2010). The present continental shelf was exposed to become land in

the glaciation, which providing the new suitable area for the rodent community. All island samples in

Niviventer and *Rattus* species can immigrate through the land bridges during the episode of popula-

tion expansion in the penultimate and last glaciation. All rodent community recolonized the exposed

land to refugia even though refugia area was shrunk for some rodent species (Figure 3). The phe-

nomenon of the taxa expanded the exposed new land over the LGM was also found in the low lati-

tude Neotropical forest (Leite *et al.* 2016). Therefore, the refugia area dynamics of the low latitude

Asian rodent community over the LGM is asynchronous notwithstanding on the synchronous benefit

of the new suitable exposed land and greater climatic stability.

Mitochondrial data in the study displayed no distinguishingly increase effective size for *R. losea* and

R. norvegicus to support the dispersal scenario (Figure 2), but the matrilineal inheritance cannot re-

veal the history of the species as a whole. Indeed, sex-biased dispersals have been reported in some

mice (Van Hooft *et al.* 2008, Solmsen *et al.* 2011). For example, the nuclear single nucleotide poly-

morphisms (SNPs) of *R. norvegicus* illuminated the range across all over the world (Puckett *et al.*

2016) not the confined range showed in figure 3. Therefore, the mitochondrial sequences only sup-

ply the maternal inheritance history for effective population size and suitable areas respond to the

glaciation climate and environment, not show the paternal genetic history.

AUTHOR`S CONTRIBUTIONS

Quansheng Liu trapped the rat samples and extracted the DNA from the samples. Gaoming Liu am-

plified the PCR and the bioinformatic analyses as well as submitted the sequences to GenBank,

along with wrote the manuscript with input from the other authors. Cheng-Min Shi provided some

guides for the evolutionary analyses. Huajing Teng and Jian-Xu Zhang designed the framework of

this study. Quansheng Liu and Cheng-Min Shi revised the manuscript. All authors read and approved

the final version of the manuscript.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

348 This project was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant No.

349 32170455), the State Key Laboratory of Integrated Management of Pest Insects and Rodents

350 (IPM2005) and by the State Key Laboratory of North China Crop Improvement and Regulation

351 (YJ2020028).

COMPENTING INTERESTS

353 The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Supporting Information

355 Table S1 Information regarding the sequences included in this study.

356 Table S2 The location information used for the ENMs

References

Antonelli A, Zizka A, Carvalho FA *et al.* (2018). Amazonia is the primary source of Neotropical biodiversity. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **115**, 6034-9.

Avise JC (2000). *Phylogeography: the history and formation of species*. Harvard university press.

Balakirev AE, Abramov AV, Rozhnov VV (2011). Taxonomic revision of *Niviventer* (Rodentia, Muridae) from Vietnam: a morphological and molecular approach. *Russian Journal of Theriology* **10**, 1-26.

Balakirev AE, Rozhnov VV (2012). Contribution to the species composition and taxonomic status of some Rattus inhabiting southern Vietnam and Sundaland. *Russian Journal of Theriology* **11**, 33-45.

Bekken D, Schepartz LA, Miller-Antonio S, Yamei H, Weiwen H (2004). Taxonomic abundance at Panxian Dadong, a Middle Pleistocene cave in south China. *Asian Perspectives*, 333-59.

Bensasson D, Zhang D-X, Hartl DL, Hewitt GM (2001). Mitochondrial pseudogenes: evolution's misplaced witnesses. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* **16**, 314-21.

Burbrink FT, Chan YL, Myers EA, Ruane S, Smith BT, Hickerson MJ (2016). Asynchronous demographic responses to Pleistocene climate change in Eastern Nearctic vertebrates. *Ecology Letters* **19**, 1457-67.

Carnaval AC, Hickerson MJ, Haddad CF, Rodrigues MT, Moritz C (2009). Stability predicts genetic diversity in the Brazilian Atlantic forest hotspot. *Science* **323**, 785-9.

Conroy CJ, Cook JA (1999). MtDNA evidence for repeated pulses of speciation within arvicoline and murid rodents. *Journal of Mammalian Evolution* **6**, 221-45.

Darriba D, Taboada GL, Doallo R, Posada D (2012). jModelTest 2: more models, new heuristics and parallel computing. *Nature Methods* **9**, 772-.

dos Reis M, Inoue J, Hasegawa M, Asher RJ, Donoghue PC, Yang Z (2012). Phylogenomic datasets provide both precision and accuracy in estimating the timescale of placental mammal phylogeny. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* **279**, 3491-500.

Drummond AJ, Rambaut A, Shapiro B, Pybus OG (2005). Bayesian coalescent inference of past population dynamics from molecular sequences. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **22**, 1185-92.

Drummond AJ, Suchard MA, Xie D, Rambaut A (2012). Bayesian phylogenetics with BEAUti and the BEAST 1.7. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **29**, 1969-73.

Elith J, Phillips SJ, Hastie T, Dudík M, Chee YE, Yates CJ (2011). A statistical explanation of MaxEnt for ecologists. *Diversity and Distributions* **17**, 43-57.

Excoffier L, Lischer HE (2010). Arlequin suite ver 3.5: a new series of programs to perform population genetics analyses under Linux and Windows. *Molecular Ecology Resources* **10**, 564-7.

Francis CM, Barrett P (2008). *A field guide to the mammals of South-East Asia*. New Holland Publishers.

Fu Y-X (1997). Statistical tests of neutrality of mutations against population growth, hitchhiking and background selection. *Genetics* **147**, 915-25.

Guindon S, Dufayard J-F, Lefort V, Anisimova M, Hordijk W, Gascuel O (2010). New algorithms and methods to estimate maximum-likelihood phylogenies: assessing the performance of PhyML 3.0. *Systematic Biology* **59**, 307-21.

Harpending H (1994). Signature of ancient population growth in a low-resolution mitochondrial DNA mismatch distribution. *Human Biology*, 591-600.

He K, Jiang XL (2015). Mitochondrial phylogeny reveals cryptic genetic diversity in the genus *Niviventer* (Rodentia, Muroidea). *Mitochondrial DNA* **26**, 48-55.

Jin C, Qin D, Pan W *et al.* (2008). Micromammals of the *Gigantopithecus* fauna from Sanhe cave,
Chongzuo, Guangxi. *Quaternary Sciences* **28**, 1129-37.

Kimura M (1980). A simple method for estimating evolutionary rates of base substitutions through
comparative studies of nucleotide sequences. *Journal of Molecular Evolution* **16**, 111-20.

Kimura Y, Hawkins MT, McDonough MM, Jacobs LL, Flynn LJ (2015). Corrected placement of Mus-
Rattus fossil calibration forces precision in the molecular tree of rodents. *Scientific Reports* **5**, 1-9.

Kimura Y, Jacobs LL, Flynn LJ (2013). Lineage-specific responses of tooth shape in murine rodents
(Murinae, Rodentia) to late Miocene dietary change in the Siwaliks of Pakistan. *PLoS One* **8**, e76070.

Kumar S, Stecher G, Li M, Knyaz C, Tamura K (2018). MEGA X: molecular evolutionary genetics
analysis across computing platforms. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **35**, 1547.

Lambeck K, Esat TM, Potter EK (2002). Links between climate and sea levels for the past three million
years. *Nature* **419**, 199-206.

Larkin MA, Blackshields G, Brown NP *et al.* (2007). Clustal W and Clustal X version 2.0. *Bioinformatics*
23, 2947-8.

Latinne A, Waengsothorn S, Rojanadilok P, Eiamampai K, Sribuarod K, Michaux JR (2013). Diversity
and endemism of Murinae rodents in Thai limestone karsts. *Systematics and Biodiversity* **11**, 323-44.

Lecompte E, Aplin K, Denys C, Catzeflis F, Chades M, Chevret P (2008). Phylogeny and biogeography
of African Murinae based on mitochondrial and nuclear gene sequences, with a new tribal classification
of the subfamily. *BMC Evolutionary Biology* **8**, 1-21.

Leite YL, Costa LP, Loss AC *et al.* (2016). Neotropical forest expansion during the last glacial period
challenges refuge hypothesis. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **113**, 1008-13.

Lessa EP, Cook JA, Patton JL (2003). Genetic footprints of demographic expansion in North America, but
not Amazonia, during the Late Quaternary. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **100**,
10331-4.

Li J, Zheng X, Cai Y *et al.* (2015). DNA barcoding of murinae (Rodentia: Muridae) and arvicolinae
(Rodentia: Cricetidae) distributed in China. *Molecular Ecology Resources* **15**, 153-67.

Librado P, Rozas J (2009). DnaSP v5: a software for comprehensive analysis of DNA polymorphism data.
Bioinformatics **25**, 1451-2.

Lim GS, Balke M, Meier R (2012). Determining species boundaries in a world full of rarity: singletons,
species delimitation methods. *Systematic Biology* **61**, 165-9.

Lin X-D, Guo W-P, Wang W *et al.* (2012). Migration of Norway rats resulted in the worldwide
distribution of *Seoul hantavirus* today. *Journal of Virology* **86**, 972-81.

Lu L, Ge D, Chesters D *et al.* (2015). Molecular phylogeny and the underestimated species diversity of
the endemic white-bellied rat (Rodentia: Muridae: *Niviventer*) in Southeast Asia and China. *Zoologica*
Scripta **44**, 475-94.

Morueta-Holme N, Fløjgaard C, Svenning J-C (2010). Climate change risks and conservation
implications for a threatened small-range mammal species. *PLoS One* **5**, e10360.

Musser G, Carleton M (2005). Superfamily Muroidea. Mammals species of the world: a taxonomic and
geographic reference, 3rd edn.

Naimi B, Hamm NA, Groen TA, Skidmore AK, Toxopeus AG (2014). Where is positional uncertainty a
problem for species distribution modelling? *Ecography* **37**, 191-203.

Pages M, Chaval Y, Herbreteau V *et al.* (2010). Revisiting the taxonomy of the Rattini tribe: a phylogeny-
based delimitation of species boundaries. *BMC Evolutionary Biology* **10**, 27.

Parvizi E, Keikhosravi A, Naderloo R, Solhjouy-Fard S, Sheibak F, Schubart CD (2019). Phylogeography
of *Potamon ibericum* (Brachyura: Potamidae) identifies Quaternary glacial refugia within the Caucasus
biodiversity hot spot. *Ecology and Evolution* **9**, 4749-59.
Phillips SJ, Anderson RP, Dudík M, Schapire RE, Blair ME (2017). Opening the black box: An open-
source release of Maxent. *Ecography* **40**, 887-93.
Phillips SJ, Anderson RP, Schapire RE (2006). Maximum entropy modeling of species geographic
distributions. *Ecological Modelling* **190**, 231-59.
Puckett EE, Park J, Combs M *et al.* (2016). Global population divergence and admixture of the brown rat
(*Rattus norvegicus*). *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* **283**, 20161762.
Rambaut A, Drummond A (2015). TreeAnnotator v1. 8.2. *MCMC Output Analysis*.
Rambaut A, Drummond AJ, Xie D, Baele G, Suchard MA (2018). Posterior summarization in Bayesian
phylogenetics using Tracer 1.7. *Systematic Biology* **67**, 901.
Ramos-Onsins SE, Rozas J (2002). Statistical properties of new neutrality tests against population
growth. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **19**, 2092-100.
Rey-Iglesia A, Lister AM, Campos PF *et al.* (2021). Exploring the phylogeography and population
dynamics of the giant deer (*Megaloceros giganteus*) using Late Quaternary mitogenomes. *Proceedings*
of the Royal Society B **288**, 20201864.
Robins JH, Hingston M, Matisoo-Smith E, Ross HA (2007). Identifying *Rattus* species using
mitochondrial DNA. *Molecular Ecology Notes* **7**, 717-29.
Rogers AR, Harpending H (1992). Population growth makes waves in the distribution of pairwise genetic
differences. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **9**, 552-69.
Ronquist F, Teslenko M, Van Der Mark P *et al.* (2012). MrBayes 3.2: efficient Bayesian phylogenetic
inference and model choice across a large model space. *Systematic Biology* **61**, 539-42.
Rowe KC, Achmadi AS, Esselstyn JA (2016). Repeated evolution of carnivory among Indo-Australian
rodents. *Evolution* **70**, 653-65.
Rowe KC, Aplin KP, Baverstock PR, Moritz C (2011). Recent and rapid speciation with limited
morphological disparity in the genus Rattus. *Systematic Biology* **60**, 188-203.
Schepartz LA, Bakken DA, Miller-Antonio S *et al.* (2003). Faunal approaches to site formation processes
at Panxian Dadong. *Current research in Chinese Pleistocene Archaeology* **1179**, 99-110.
Shimada T, Aplin KP, Jogahara T *et al.* (2007). Complex phylogeographic structuring in a continental
small mammal from East Asia, the rice field mouse, *Mus caroli* (Rodentia, Muridae). *Mammal Study*
32, 49-62.
Solmsen N, Johannesen J, Schradin C (2011). Highly asymmetric fine-scale genetic structure between
sexes of African striped mice and indication for condition dependent alternative male dispersal tactics.
Molecular Ecology **20**, 1624-34.
Steppan SJ, Adkins RM, Anderson J (2004). Phylogeny and divergence-date estimates of rapid radiations
in muroid rodents based on multiple nuclear genes. *Systematic Biology* **53**, 533-53.
Steppan SJ, Adkins RM, Spinks PQ, Hale C (2005). Multigene phylogeny of the Old World mice,
Murinae, reveals distinct geographic lineages and the declining utility of mitochondrial genes
compared to nuclear genes. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* **37**, 370-88.
Tajima F (1989). Statistical method for testing the neutral mutation hypothesis by DNA polymorphism.
Genetics **123**, 585-95.
Thomé MTC, Zamudio KR, Giovanelli JG, Haddad CF, Baldissera Jr FA, Alexandrino J (2010).

Phylogeography of endemic toads and post-Pliocene persistence of the Brazilian Atlantic Forest.

Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution **55**, 1018-31.

Tonkin JD, Arimoro FO, Haase P (2016). Exploring stream communities in a tropical biodiversity

hotspot: biodiversity, regional occupancy, niche characteristics and environmental correlates.

Biodiversity and Conservation **25**, 975-93.

Van Hooft P, Cosson JF, Vibe-Petersen S, Leirs H (2008). Dispersal in *Mastomys natalensis* mice: use of

fine-scale genetic analyses for pest management. *Hereditas* **145**, 262-73.

Voris HK (2000). Maps of Pleistocene sea levels in Southeast Asia: shorelines, river systems and time

durations. *Journal of Biogeography* **27**, 1153-67.

Woodruff DS (2010). Biogeography and conservation in Southeast Asia: how 2.7 million years of

repeated environmental fluctuations affect today's patterns and the future of the remaining refugial-

phase biodiversity. *Biodiversity and Conservation* **19**, 919-41.

Wu X, Wang Y (2012). Fossil materials and migrations of *Mus musculus* and *Rattus norvegicus*. *Research*

of China's Frontier Archaeology **1**, 1-9.

Yi Z, Cui Z, Xiong H (2005). Numerical periods of Quaternary glaciations in China. *Quaternary Sciences*

25, 609-19.

Yuan W, Da-gong Q, Chang-zhu J, Wen-shi P, Ying-qi Z, Jia-jian Z (2009). Murid rodents of the newly

discovered *Gigantopithecus* fauna from the Sanhe Cave, Chongzuo, Guangxi, South China. *Acta*

Anthropologica Sinica **28**, 73.

Zhang B, He K, Wan T *et al.* (2016). Multi-locus phylogeny using topotype specimens sheds light on the

systematics of *Niviventer* (Rodentia, Muridae) in China. *BMC Evolutionary Biology* **16**, 12.

Zhang D-X, Hewitt GM (1996). Nuclear integrations: challenges for mitochondrial DNA markers. *Trends*

in Ecology & Evolution **11**, 247-51.

Zhang D-X, Hewitt GM (1998). Isolation of animal cellular total DNA. *Molecular Tools for Screening*

Biodiversity. Springer, 5-9.

Zhao J, Shi Y, Wang J (2011). Comparison between Quaternary glaciations in China and the marine

oxygen isotope Stage (MIS): an improved schema. *Acta Geographica Sinica* **66**, 867-84.

Zhao K-K, Landrein S, Barrett RL *et al.* (2019). Phylogeographic analysis and genetic structure of an

endemic Sino-Japanese disjunctive genus *Diabelia* (Caprifoliaceae). *Frontiers in Plant Science* **10**,

913.

Zong Y (2007). Sea-levels, Late Quaterary| Tropics.

https://eurekamag.com/research/019/959/019959730.php

Table 1. Sample statistics information and neutral test as well as the estimated time of ten rodent species

Clade	SN	SNh	TN	TNh	Hd	π (%)	Tajima`s D	P-value	Fu`s Fs	P-value	R^2	P-value	Mean	95%HPD
R. tanezumi	10	2	44	30	0.914	0.581	-1.930	0.006**	-27.650	0.000***	0.040	0.000***	0.59	0.37-0.87
R. tiomanicus	1	1	22	17	0.965	0.878	-1.445	0.051	-8.069	0.003**	0.075	0.020*	1.11	0.68-1.62
R. losea	72	6	82	16	0.726	0.530	-0.857	0.198	-2.649	0.216	0.070	0.217	0.57	0.36-0.86
R. andamanensis	73	16	94	32	0.868	0.857	-0.875	0.202	-11.749	0.013*	0.071	0.234	0.96	0.62-1.37
R. norvegicus	18	5	26	9	0.831	0.620	0.470	0.727	-0.142	0.495	0.145	0.739	0.54	0.28-0.87
Ba. indica	8	2	17	10	0.875	0.814	-1.009	0.152	-1.459	0.237	0.102	0.120	0.79	0.46-1.19
N. fulvescens	38	3	60	24	0.728	1.203	-1.481	0.044*	-3.852	0.150	0.059	0.037*	0.93	0.58-1.35
N. confucianus	16	3	29	14	0.813	0.445	-1.785	0.022*	-6.141	0.025*	0.055	0.001***	0.65	0.38-1.01
Be. bowersi	1	1	9	7	0.917	1.396	-1.052	0.151	-0.003	0.414	0.195	0.803	0.60	0.30-0.98
M. caroli	16	8	18	10	0.915	0.795	-1.559	0.045*	-1.296	0.259	0.100	0.131	1.25	0.75-1.82

521   Trapped sample number of individuals (SN), Trapped sample number of haplotypes (SNh), Toal number of individuals (N), Total number of haplotypes (Nh), haplo-

522   type diversity (Hd), nucleotide diversity (π), 95% highest posterior density (95% HPD), * indicates $p < 0.05$, ** indicates $p < 0.01$, *** indicates $p < 0.001$.

524   Table 2. The pairwise genetic distance of the rodent community

	M. caroli	Ba. indica	Be. bowersi	N. confcianus	N. fulvescens	R. norvegicus	R. andamanesis	R. losea	R. tiomanicus	R. tanezumi
M. caroli										
Ba. indica	0.178									
Be. bowersi	0.169	0.174								
N. confucianus	0.813	0.181	0.148							
N. fulvescens	0.170	0.200	0.156	0.126						
R. norvegicus	0.189	0.159	0.145	0.161	0.181					
R. andamanensis	0.185	0.161	0.153	0.181	0.168	0.132				
R. losea	0.180	0.166	0.163	0.173	0.177	0.114	0.108			
R. tiomanicus	0.172	0.178	0.144	0.164	0.165	0.120	0.099	0.087		
R. tanezumi	0.177	0.167	0.152	0.164	0.159	0.119	0.104	0.079	0.067	

525
526

527 Table 3. The projection area in the present and LGM bioclimate variables for the NEMs

Species	Present	LGM	Overlap	Overlap/Present (%)	Overlap/LGM (%)
Ba. indica	95560	84818	36855	38.57	43.45
Be. bowersi	331686	387299	302206	91.11	78.03
M. caroli	135211	126576	75979	56.19	60.03
N. cofucianus	176736	182996	144110	81.54	78.75
N. fulvescens	176272	228188	149018	84.54	65.30
R. andamensis	127894	228629	111789	87.41	48.90
R. losea	54958	28943	11086	20.17	38.30
R. norvegicus	83632	42043	24280	29.03	57.75
R. tanezumi	113203	232044	64968	57.39	27.99
R. tiomanicus	34231	44599	12471	36.43	27.96

2 Figure 1. Phylogenetic relationship and divergence dating of Muridae based on mitochondrial COI

3 gene. The estimated mean divergence time are showed above branches. Gray bar represents 95%

4 highest posterior density. Numbers below the branches indicate Bayesian posterior probabilities

5 and maximum likelihood bootstrap support values. The black quadrangle indicates the fossil cali-

6 bration point. The tip with black dot indicates the trapped sample haplotypes.

Figure 2. Bayesian skyline plot of historical demography for rat community in the low latitude

Asia. The solid line represents the median numbers of the effective population sizes. The gray

shade areas correspond to 95% highest posterior densities (95% HPDs).

12   Figure 3. The projection of the suitable area (green) in the present day and refugia areas (yellow)

13   in the LGM as well as overlap of both areas (pink) for the rate community using the ENMs.

14