Reproducible chemostat cultures to eliminate eukaryotic viruses from fecal transplant material

Signe Adamberg[1], Torben Sølbeck Rasmussen[2], Sabina Brigitte Larsen[2], Dennis Sandris Nielsen[2], Kaarel Adamberg[1,3]

[1]Department of Chemistry and Biotechnology, Tallinn University of Technology, Akadeemia tee 15, Tallinn, Estonia

[2]Section of Microbiology and Fermentation, Department of Food Science, University of Copenhagen

[3]Center of Food and Fermentation Technologies, Mäealuse 2/4, 12618, Tallinn, Estonia

ABSTRACT

The effect of fecal microbiota transplantation (FMT) on various gut-related diseases is intensively investigated in clinical trials. In addition to bacteria, the gut microbiome also contains eukaryotic, archaeal, and bacterial viruses (bacteriophages, in short phages), which collectively is referred to, as the gut virome. Application of FMT in clinical studies is associated with a potential risk for the recipient of transferring infectious eukaryotic viruses or bacteria, despite strict screening procedure of the donor material. A safer and more targeted method to modulate the gut microbiota is therefore needed to extend the application width of FMT. Emerging evidence suggests that gut phages play a key role in maintaining a balanced gut microbiome as well as in FMT efficacy. Thus, a phageome from a cultured fecal donor microbiome may be a more efficient alternative to modulate the gut bacteriome than FMT. Here, we analyzed the dynamic changes of the viromes of mice cecal and human fecal cultures in chemostat cultures. Sequencing results showed that the relative abundance of eukaryotic viruses remarkably decreased during continuous cultivation, likely due to the lack of eukaryotic hosts. The corresponding phageome profiles showed dilution rate dependency, a reproducibility between biological replicates, and to maintain a high and stable diversity of phages although being different from the inoculum phageome. This proof-of-concept study may constitute the first step of developing therapeutic tools to target a broad spectrum of gut-related diseases and thereby replacing FMT with a safer phage-mediated therapy.

INTRODUCTION

Transplantation of fecal microbiota (FMT) have been successfully applied to treat especially recurrent *Clostridioides difficile* infections (rCDI) possibly through bacteriophage-mediated (bacterial viruses, in short phages) modulation of the GM landscape [1–4]. The fecal donor material used for FMT is screened for amongst other pathogenic bacteria and viruses prior FMT to ensure the safety. However,

this process is laborious and may only end up with 3% of the donor participants passing all safety steps

[5]. Fecal virome transplantation (FVT, sterile filtrated donor feces) have also shown promising

treatment efficiency against rCDI [2, 6] and in a recent preclinical CDI study [7]. An important

advantage of the FVT over FMT is the elimination of bacterial transfer as a potential threat. However,

there is still the risk of transferring disease-causing eukaryotic viruses even after the screening of

donor material for known pathogenic viruses as long-term effects of the most viruses are not yet

studied.

The interactions between gut bacteria and phages are complex and gut microbiome diversity is linked

to gut virome diversity, hence making the gut virome an important component in health and disease

[8, 9]. Microbiome abundances and diversity are predictive of virome richness and diversity [10] and

the number of virus-like particles (VLP) in feces has been estimated to be between 10^9 and 10^{10} VLP/g

[11–14]. The human gut virome is dominated by phages (over 97%) and only about one tenth of those

have been annotated until now [15]. There are several indications that viromes of the dysbiotic gut

microbiota differ from those of a healthy gut microbiota [16–18], however the causal links remain still

poorly understood. The healthy adult gut virome is highly personal and stable over time within each

individual [11, 19, 20]. Phages belonging to the order *Caudovirales* are the most dominant in the

human gut [8, 9, 21, 22]. The impact of phageome on the composition and function of the gut

microbiota has been suggested to have important consequences for health and outcome of FMT or

FVT treatments [2, 23–26].

While phages only infect bacterial and not eukaryotic cells, we aimed to develop methodologies to

produce active enteric phage communities with minimized amounts of eukaryotic viruses using two

different inocula; murine cecal and human fecal microbiota. Of which the chemostat propagated

murine gut virome ability to improve gut microbiota balance was evaluated in preclinical studies

representing animal models with distinct disease etiologies; CDI [7] and diet-induced obesity [27]. Our

recent studies highlighted the importance of dilution rate on the composition of fecal microbiota [28,

29]. The cultivation conditions and substrates were chosen to sustain the highest microbial diversity

based on previous knowledge. The dynamics and stability of the chemostat cultures were followed by

determination of bacterial and viral composition, growth characteristics and metabolic products. We

hypothesized that eukaryotic viruses would be washed out from the intestinal microbiota in

continuous *in vitro* culture due to the dilution effect. The dynamic changes of enteric phages in

chemostat cultures have not been reported earlier.

METHODS

Chemostat inocula

Chemostat cultivations were carried out with two different intestinal inocula of human and mouse origin, respectively. The human study was approved by Tallinn Medical Research Ethics Committee, Estonia (protocol no. 554). Fecal samples from seven healthy donors (age 19-37 years, Caucasian, three male and four female) were diluted five times, pooled in equal volumes and stored frozen at -80°C until use as described previously in Adamberg et al. [30]. Cecal content of mice from different vendors were pooled, as previously we have shown that mice from different vendors represents completely different gut microbiota profiles (both the bacterial and viral community) [31, 32]. In total 18 C57BL/6N male mice were purchased to harvest intestinal content for downstream applications. The mice were five weeks old at arrival and purchased from three vendors, represented by 6 C57BL/6NTac mice (Taconic, Lille Skensved, Denmark), 6 C57BL/6NRj mice (Janvier, Le Genest-Saint Isle, France), and 6 C57BL/6NCrl mice (Charles River, Sulzfeld, Germany) and ear marked at arrival. Animal housing was carried out at Section of Experimental Animal Models, University of Copenhagen, Denmark (Danish Animal Experimentation Act with the license ID: 2012-15-2934-00256), under the conditions as described previously [31]. For 13 weeks the mice were fed *ad libitum* low-fat diet (LF, Research Diets D12450J, USA) until termination at 18 weeks old and their body weight were measured every second week. To preserve the viability of the strict anaerobic bacteria, 6 mice from each vendor (in total 18 mice) were sacrificed by cervical dislocation and immediately transferred to a jar containing an anaerobic sachet (cat. no. AN0035A AnaeroGen, Thermo Fisher Scientific) and subsequently to an anaerobic chamber (containing ~93 % N_2, ~2 % H_2, ~5 % CO_2) at room temperature (Model AALC, Coy Laboratory Products, Grass Lake, Michigan, USA) where cecum content of the mice was sampled. Inside the anaerobic chamber, the samples were processed according to vendor (Janvier, Charles River and Taconic); weighted, suspended in an anoxic 1:1 mixture of PBS (NaCl 137 mM, KCl 2.7 mM, Na2HPO4 10 mM, KH2PO4 1.8 mM) and 50% glycerol and homogenized in BagPage® 100 mL filter bags (Interscience, Saint-Nom-la-Bretèche, France) with a laboratory stomacher (Stomacher 80, Seward, UK) at medium speed for 120 seconds. The cecum content from mice from all vendors were mixed, and the pooled cecum content was divided into 6 cryotubes ~ 0.5 g cecum content in each, one for each chemostat run. The samples were frozen at -80°C and shipped on dry ice with express delivery to Tallinn University of Technology, Estonia where the chemostat experiment were performed. The abovementioned processes are illustrated with a flow-diagram (Supplementary Fig. S1). All procedures regarding the handling of these animals used for donor materiel were carried out in accordance with the Directive 2010/63/EU and the Danish Animal Experimentation Act with the license ID: 2012-15-2934-00256.

Growth medium

The base medium was prepared in a 0.05 M potassium phosphate buffer containing amino acids, mineral salts and vitamins as described previously [29]. Hemin (5 mg/L), menadione (0.5 mg/L), bile salts (0.5 g/L), $NaHCO_3$ (2.0 g/L), Tween-80 (0.5 g/L), Na-thioglycolate (0.5 g/L) and Cys-HCl (0.5 g/L, freshly made in oxygen reduced water) were added to the base medium. Carbohydrate sources for the human fecal (human) cultures were apple pectin (2.5 g/L, Sigma-Aldrich, USA) and porcine mucin (2.5 g/L, Type II, Sigma Aldrich, USA). The carbohydrate sources were sterilized separately and added to the medium before experiments. Carbohydrate sources and other components added to the medium for murine (mouse) cultures were apple pectin (2 g/L, Sigma-Aldrich, USA), chickory inulin HP (1 g/L, Orafti, Belgium), corn core xylan (2 g/L, TCI, Japan), corn starch (5 g/L, Sigma-Aldrich, USA), larch wood arabinogalactan (2 g/L, TCI, Japan) and porcine mucin (4 g/L, Type II, Sigma Aldrich, USA), acetic acid (0.3 g/L, Sigma-Aldrich, USA), tryptone (3 g/L, LABM, UK) and yeast extract (3 g/L, LABM, UK) as described by Macfarlane et al. [33].

Cultivation system and culture conditions

The cultivation system described earlier [29] was used for human fecal and mice cecal cultures. Briefly, the Biobundle cultivation system consisting of the ADI 1030 bio-controller and cultivation control program "BioXpert" (Applikon, The Netherlands). The fermenter was equipped with sensors for pH, pO_2, and temperature. Variable speed pumps for feeding and outflow were controlled by a chemostat algorithm: D = F/V, where D is the dilution rate (1/h), F is the feeding rate (L/h), and V is the fermenter working volume (L). pH was controlled by a 1M NaOH addition according to the pH setpoint. The medium in the feeding bottle and the fermenter was flushed with sterile-filtered nitrogen gas (99.9%, AS Linde Gas, Estonia) overnight before inoculation and throughout the cultivation to maintain anaerobiosis. The culture volume was kept constant (600 ml for mouse and 300 ml for human cultures). The temperature was kept at 36.6 °C. pH was kept constant at pH =6.4 for mouse and 7.0 for human cultures depending on the physiological pH of the host. The experimental scheme of mouse cecal culture experiments is depicted in the Fig. 1. Three ml of the pooled cecal cultures were inoculated into 600 ml medium to start the mouse experiments. The chemostat algorithm was started 15-20 hours after inoculation, which corresponds to the middle of the exponential growth phase of the fecal culture. Three replicates were carried out with human and mouse inocula at two dilution rates, 0.05 1/h (D_{low}) and 0.2 1/h (D_{high}), except for mouse cultures at D_{high} where two experiments were performed. The stabilization time was five residence times in all experiments. On-line and at-line parameters used for experiment control are depicted on the Supplementary Fig. S2.

Analytical methods

Samples from the outflow were collected on ice, centrifuged (14,000 g, 5 min, 4 °C) and stored separately as pellets (at -80°C) and supernatants (at -20°C) until further analyses. For chromatographic analyses, culture supernatants were filtered using AmiconR Ultra-10K Centrifugal Filter Devices, cut-off 3 kDa according to the manufacturer's instructions (Millipore, USA). The concentrations of organic acids (succinate, lactate, formate, acetate, propionate, isobutyrate, butyrate, isovalerate and valerate) and ethanol were determined by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC, Alliance 2795 system, Waters, Milford, MA, USA), using BioRad HPX-87H column (Hercules, CA, USA) with isocratic elution of 0.005 M H2SO4 at a flow rate of 0.5 mL/min and at 35 °C. Refractive index (RI) (model 2414; Waters, USA) and UV (210 nm; model 2487; Waters, USA) detectors and analytical grade standards were used for quantification of the substances. The detection limit for the method was 0.1 mM.

The composition of the gas outflow (H_2, CO_2, H_2S, CH_4, and N_2) was analyzed using an Agilent 490 Micro GC Biogas Analyzer (Agilent 269 Technologies Ltd., USA) connected to a thermal conductivity detector. The volume of the gas flow was regularly recorded using MilliGascounter (RITTER Apparatebau GMBH & Co, Germany).

For gas metabolism, pathways involved in CO_2 or formate production were considered. Pyruvate can be converted into acetyl-CoA via the ferredoxin pathway with CO_2 and potential H_2 formation or pyruvate-formate-lyase pathway resulting in formate production. Hence, the gas data was calculated per product involved in CO_2 formation assuming that two moles of CO_2 is formed in butyrate production, one mole of CO_2 is formed in acetate, ethanol, and propionate formation, and one mole of CO_2 is fixed in succinate formation.

The Redox potential of the growth medium and culture supernatant was measured by a pH/Redox meter using an InLab®Redox electrode (Mettler Toledo, USA). The biomass dry weight was measured gravimetrically from 10 ml culture by centrifugation (6,000 rpm, 20 min), washing the biomass with distilled water and drying in an oven at 105°C for 20 h.

Pre-processing of samples for separation of viruses and bacteria

Culture and inoculum samples were included to investigate microbiome changes over time. Separation of the viruses and bacteria from the culture/inoculum samples generated a pellet and supernatant by centrifugation and 0.45 µm filtering as described previously [31], however, the volume of culture/inoculum homogenate was adjusted to 5 mL SM buffer.

Bacterial DNA extraction, sequencing and pre-processing of raw data

Human bacteriome data have been previously published [29] but to minimize errors due to using different data processing methods, human bacteriome sequences were reanalyzed using the same pipeline as described above for analysis of mice samples. Bead-Beat Micro AX Gravity (mod.1) kit from A&A Biotechnology (Gdynia, Poland) was used to extract bacterial DNA from the culture/fecal pellet by following the instructions of the manufacturer. The final purified DNA was stored at -80ºC and the DNA concentration was determined using Qubit HS Assay Kit (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, California, USA) on the Qubit 4 Fluorometric Quantification device (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, California, USA). The bacterial community composition was determined by NextSeq-based (Illumina) high-throughput sequencing (HTS) of the 16S rRNA gene V3-region, as previously described [24]. Quality-control of reads, de-replicating, purging from chimeric reads and constructing zOTU was conducted with the UNOISE pipeline [34] and taxonomically assigned with Sintax [35] (not yet peer reviewed). Taxonomical assignments were obtained using the EZtaxon for 16S rRNA gene database [36]. Code describing this pipeline can be accessed in github.com/jcame/Fastq_2_zOTUtable. The average sequencing depth after quality control (Accession: PRJEB58777, available at ENA) for the fecal 16S rRNA gene amplicons was 60,719 reads (min. 11,961 reads and max. 198,197 reads).

Viral RNA/DNA extraction, sequencing and pre-processing of raw data

The sterile filtered culture/fecal supernatant was concentrated using centrifugal filters Centrisart with a filter cut-off at 100 kDA (Sartorius) by centrifugation centrifuged at 1,500 x g at 4ºC (dx.doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.b2qaqdse). The viral DNA/RNA was extracted from the culture/fecal supernatants with Viral RNA mini kit (Qiagen) as previously described [31]. Reverse transcription was executed using the SuperScript VILO Master mix by following the instructions of the manufacturer and subsequently cleaned with DNeasy blood and tissue kit (Qiagen) by only following step 3-8 of the manufacturers standard protocol. In brief, the DNA/cDNA samples were mixed with ethanol, bound to the silica filter, washed two times, and eluted with 40 µL elution buffer. Multiple displacement amplification (MDA, to include ssDNA viruses) using GenomiPhi V3 DNA amplification kit (Cytiva) and sequencing library preparation using Nextera XT kit was performed at previously described [31], and send for sequencing using the NovaSeq platform (NovoGene). The average sequencing depth of raw reads (Accession: PRJEB58787, available at ENA) for the fecal viral metagenome was 22,701,135 reads (min. 342,022 reads and max. 203,403,294 reads. The raw reads were trimmed from adaptors and the high-quality sequences (>95% quality) using Trimmomatic v0.35 [37] with a minimum size of 50 nt were retained for further analysis. High quality reads were de-replicated and checked for the presence of PhiX control using BBMap (bbduk.sh)

(https://www.osti.gov/servlets/purl/1241166). Virus-like particle-derived DNA sequences were

subjected to within-sample de-novo assembly-only using Spades v3.13.1 [38] and the contigs with a

minimum length of 2,200 nt, were retained. Contigs generated from all samples were pooled and de-

replicated at 90% identity using BBMap (dedupe.sh). Prediction of viral contigs/genomes was carried

out using VirSorter2 [39] ("full" categories | dsDNAphage, ssDNA, RNA, Lavidaviridae, NCLDV |

viralquality ≥ 0.66), vibrant [40] (High-quality | Complete), and checkv [41] (High-quality | Complete).

Taxonomy was inferred by blasting viral ORF against viral orthologous groups (https://vogdb.org) and

the Lowest Common Ancestor (LCA) for every contig was estimated based on a minimum e-value of

$10e^{-5}$. Phage-host prediction was determined by blasting (85% identity) CRISPR spacers and tRNAs

predicted from >150,000 gut species-level genome bins (SGBs) [42, 43]. Following assembly, quality

control, and annotations, reads from all samples were mapped against the viral (high-quality) contigs

(vOTUs) using the bowtie2 [44] and a contingency-table of reads per Kbp of contig sequence per

million reads sample (RPKM) was generated, here defined as vOTU-table (viral contigs). Code

describing this pipeline can be accessed in github.com/jcame/virome_analysis-FOOD. Viral mock

communities (phage C2, T7, P35, MS2, Phi6, PhiX174, T4, and PMBT5) were used as positive controls

to evaluate if the library preparation and sequencing could detect ss/dsDNA and ss/dsRNA viruses

with a titer of ~10^6 PFU/mL from both pure phage culture as well as spiked fecal matrices.

Bioinformatic analysis of bacterial and viral sequences

Initially the dataset was purged for zOTU's/viral contigs, which were detected in less than 5% of the

samples, but the resulting dataset still maintained 99.5% of the total reads. Cumulative sum scaling

(CSS) [45] was applied for the analysis of beta-diversity to counteract that a few zOTU/viral contigs

represented a large fraction of count values, since CSS have been benchmarked with a high accuracy

for the applied metrics [46]. CSS normalization was performed using the R software using the

metagenomeSeq package [47]. R version 4.2.2 [48] was used for subsequent analysis and presentation

of data. The main packages used were phyloseq [49], vegan [50], deseseq 2 [51], ampvis2 [52], ggpubr,

and ggplot2 [53]. A-diversity analysis was based on raw read counts and statistics were based on

ANOVA. B-diversity was represented by Bray Curtis dissimilarity metrics and statistics were based on

PERMANOVA.

RESULTS

With the aim of generating viromes depleted of eukaryotic viruses mouse cecal and human fecal

matter where propagated initially as batch cultures and subsequently in chemostat mode at two

different dilution rates (D_{low}, 0.05 1/h and D_{high}, 0.2 1/h) . The overall concept for both cultures (i.e

dilution rates, temperatures, and pH) was the same except for some differences in the media
composition. Most importantly, the total carbohydrate concentration was three times higher in the
medium for mouse-mimicking compared to that for the human-mimicking cultures (15.2 g/L vs 5 g/L,
respectively), to resemble the high content of complex carbohydrate in mice chow feed.
239

Wash-out of eukaryotic viruses from chemostat cultures
The relative abundance of eukaryotic viruses decreased already after batch phase and were almost
depleted after five residence times in chemostat of both mouse cecal and human fecal cultures (Fig.
2). At the same time, the bacterial virome maintained high diversity in the chemostat phase (Fig. 3
and Supplementary Fig. S3).
Both eukaryotic DNA viruses and phages were identified in both inocula (Fig. 3). RNA viruses
(*Leviviridae* and *Cystoviridae*) were found only in human cultures and their count in mouse cultures
remained below detection limit of virus particles (10^6 VLP/mL). The most abundant taxon of eukaryotic
viruses in mouse cultures was *Mimiviridae* and the abundances of other identified viral taxa
(*Herpesviridae* and *Parvoviridae*) were two magnitudes lower than *Mimiviridae*. Similarly, *Mimiviridae*
but also *Circoviridae* were the most abundant eukaryotic viruses in human cultures, however, their
relative abundance from all viruses was below 1%. Nearly half of the viruses remained unidentified in
both mouse and human cultures, which may comprise unknown eukaryotic viruses.
253

Propagating reproducible viromes in chemostat cultures
Chemostat experiments showed that bacterial and archaeal viruses were persistent to continuous
culturing of gut microbiota and showed the dilution rate specific patterns. Diversity of bacterial virome
was significantly related to the dilution rate (Fig. 4). Shannon index of virome of mouse cultures
remained high even at the end of low dilution rate chemostat while remarkable reduction of diversity
was observed at high dilution rate. In human cultures, no clear associations were seen between the
virome diversity and cultivation conditions although Shannon index of batch cultures tended to be
higher than that in chemostat cultures. However, Shannon indices of bacteriomes of human fecal
cultures were higher in chemostats than these in batch cultures (Supplementary Fig. S4). The main
archaeal viral taxon was *Bicaudavirus* and its abundance was relatively stable in batch and chemostat
modes in both mouse and human cultures, which indicated that archaeal hosts may have been
propagating.
When examining overall virome and bacteriome compositional patterns, Fig. 4 shows that inocula and
samples of batch, slow and fast chemostat clustered into distinct groups. Viromes of batch samples
were closer to these of inoculum samples as there were no outflow but also show persistence of

viruses in these conditions. More importantly, our results indicate good reproducibility of chemostat
experiments as clearly separated clusters of chemostat samples were observed. Similar distinct
clustering was observed also for the bacteriome (see below and Supplementary Fig. S4).

Dilution rate influences the composition of viromes

In mouse batch cultures more than 90% of viral taxa could be identified while in chemostats the
number of unidentified viruses increased up to 50% at both dilution rates. In the end of the batch
phase, the mouse culture was dominated by *Bacteroides*, lactobacilli and *Enterobacteriaceae*.
Correspondingly, the main taxa of viruses were *Microviridae* and *Siphoviridae* (Fig. 3), which include
many viruses related to *Escherichia* and lactobacilli [54, 55]. *Microviridae*, the most abundant taxa in
the inoculum decreased significantly already in the batch phase and were mostly washed out for the
end of fast chemostat. However, in slow chemostat (D_{low}), *Microviridae* were still present in noticeable
amounts by the end of experiment. Other prevalent taxa in the virome at low dilution rate were
Myoviridae and *Podoviridae*.

The most abundant vira in human inoculum were also *Microviridae* (more than 70%) followed by
Siphoviridae and *Podoviridae* while the amounts of unidentified viruses remained below 10%. During
the batch phase the relative abundance of *Microviridae* and other annotated phages decreased while
that of unidentified viruses increased several times compared to their proportions in the inocula. At
both dilution rates of chemostat cultures *Microviridae* were mostly washed out and largely replaced
by viruses from the family *Myoviridae*, *Podoviridae,* and *Siphoviridae*. *Myoviridae* and *Podoviridae*
were especially abundant at fast dilution rate.

The assembled viral contigs were used to predict bacterial hosts using databases of CRISPR-arrays and
tRNA profiles. According to 16S rRNA gene sequence data, the dominant taxa in the inoculum were
Ruminococcaceae, *Lachnospiraceae* and lactobacilli but in the end of batch phase *Bacteroides*,
Lactobacillus, *Escherichia* and *Enterobacter* (Fig. 5). In the chemostat cultures with high dilution rates
(D = 0.2 1/h), the abundance of these four genera remained high. The abundance of lactobacilli
decreased from 23% to 12% while *Bacteroides* and enterobacteria remained the most dominant taxa
(both more than 20 %) in the end of chemostat propagation. In chemostats with low diution rate
inoculated with mouse cecal matter, *Bifidobacterium*, *Bacteroides*, *Blautia* and an unidentified
Ruminococcaceae became dominant. At the same time *Lactobacillus* and *Escherichia* were washed out
while *Akkermansia*, *Intestinimonas* and an unidentified *Lachnospiraceae* took over their place. Based
on the virome host prediction analyses, the prevalence of *Bacteroides* related viruses decreased more
than five times at low dilution rate being negligible at the end of chemostat propagation
(Supplementary Fig. S4). Higher bacteriome diversity was reflected also in higher virome diversity.

There were viruses related to genera *Akkermansia*, *Blautia*, *Enterococcus* and *Lachnoclostridium*, but

viruses related to bifidobacteria and *Ruminococcaceae* were not detected (Supplementary Fig. S4).

Completely different patterns could be seen in chemostats inoculated with fecal matter of human

origin. These were dominated by *Escherichia* and *Enterococcus* in the end of batch phase. It can be

explained by the remarkable differences of the composition of human and mouse inocula although

the dominant bacterial taxa were similar. In steady state (end of chemostat), the composition of

cultured mouse and human microbiota were more similar. *Bacteroides*, enterobacteria, bifidobacteria

and *Clostridium* were abundant in both cultures at high dilution rate while dominance of several

Lachnospiraceae, *Faecalibacterium* and *Collinsella* was characteristic to human cultures only.

Akkermansia and *Ruminococcaceae* members were on the other hand characteristic of chemostats

inoculated with mouse cecal or human fecel matter run with low dilution rate. Virome host analysis

showed that human fecal matter inoculated cultures contained mainly viruses related to *Bacteroides*,

Faecalibacterium and *Prevotella* in the end of batch phase (Supplementary Fig. S4). The fast dilution

rate promoted propagation of viruses related to *Bacteroides* but also to *Parabacteroides* and

Methanobrevibacter. The latter was not detected in bacteriome analyses of 16S rRNA gene amplicon

sequences.

Bacterial metabolites are in accordance with the composition of microbiota

In mouse cecal content inoculated batch cultures, the major metabolic products were acetate and

lactate, comprising about 30 and 24 mol%, respectively, followed by formate, ethanol, succinate and

propionate (Fig. 6). This in accordance to abundance of lactobacilli, *Bacteroides, Enterobacteriaceae*

members, and *Bifidobacterium* in microbiota (Fig. 5). Butyrate was almost missing, and propionate

represented less than 5 % of total acids (Fig. 6).

Remarkable differences were seen in the end-product profiles of mouse cecal content inoculated

cultures at the tested two different dilution rates, $D_{low} = 0.05$ 1/h and $D_{high} = 0.2$ 1/h (Fig. 6). The highest

acetate production (61 ± 2 mol-% of total products) was observed at D_{low}, while lactic acid was not

detected indicating its complete consumption by butyric and/or propionic acid producers. Ethanol was

produced in comparable amount with butyrate and propionate (all around 10 mol-%). Production of

succinate was below 10 mol-% at D_{low} and the amount of formate was marginal (0.1 mol-%).

In mouse cecal content inoculated cultures, similar amounts of formate and CO_2 were produced at

D_{high} (0.49 ± 0.04 and 0.46 ± 0.06 mol-CO_2/mol-products, respectively) while at D_{low} formate was not

produced and CO_2 formation was 0.33 ± 0.03 mol-CO_2/mol-products indicating other pathways for CO_2

cycling. Hydrogen production was enhanced at D_{high} (0.19 ± 0.01 and 0.015 ± 0.002 mol-CO_2/mol-

products, at D_{high} and at D_{low}, respectively).

When comparing mouse and human cecal/fecal content inoculated cultures, overall gas production

patterns were similar at D_{high} but significantly different at D_{low}. Formation of carbon dioxide and

hydrogen at D_{high} were 0.57 ± 0.12 and 0.15 ± 0.08 mol-CO_2(or H_2)/mol-products, respectively. At D_{low},

about 12 % higher CO_2 production was observed. Production of hydrogen sulfide indicating

degradation of sulfur containing amino acids and sulphated mucins, differed remarkably between the

two tested types of inoculum. H_2S production was high in both cultures, especially in human fecal

matter inoculated cultures (1.3 ± 0.1 and 5.5 ± 0.5 mmol/gDW in mouse cecal content vs. human fecal

matter inoculated cultures) at D_{low} but almost negligible in mouse cecal content inoculated cultures at

D_{high} (0.33 ± 0.03 and 4.6 ± 0.4 mmol/gDW in mouse cecal and human fecal matter inoculated cultures,

respectively).

348   DISCUSSION

Reproducibility of the virome propagation

Here we aimed to produce chemostat-propagated viromes depleted of eukaryotic viruses. Two

different inocula (mouse cecal and human fecal matter) were tested at two different dilution rates.

The median relative abundance of contigs of eukaryotic origin decreased from 0.8 % (mouse cecal

culture) or 0.6 % (human fecal culture) to less than detectable amounts after five residence times in

chemostat cultivation. Interestingly, the virome appeared to be reproducible in parallel runs and both

the bacteriome and the virome approached equilibrium after five residence times. Thus, indicating

that extended time of the chemostat process to remove all eukaryotic viral particles would be

expected to maintain a similar virome profile as a shorter time for fermentation, and thereby providing

a safe and potential effective phage-mediated gut microbiota modulation tool to investigate or treat

gut-associated diseases.

There are very few data available concerning virus profiles of continuous cultures. However, the

composition of the virome would be expected to be reflected by the bacteriome profile due to their

inherent host-phage relationship. Interestingly, the relative abundances of phages did not correspond

to their host bacteria in the bacteriome as seen from Figs. 3 and 5. In general, *Podoviruses* and

Myoviruses have virulent lifestyle while *Siphoviruses* are lysogenic. In our study *Siphoviridae* was the

dominant viral family in both mouse cecal and human fecal inoculated cultures, whilst *Podoviridae*

and *Myoviridae* were abundantly present in the human fecal chemostat culture with high dilution rate.

This confirms that both lysogenic and virulent phages can be propagated in continuous cultures as

shown also by Santiago-Rodriquez et al. [56].

Previously, we have shown that chemostat can be used to produce reproducible bacterial consortia

from human fecal cultures [29]. In this study we confirmed this phenomenon on mice cecal cultures

and showed also that chemostat can be used to produce reproducible viromes.

Dilution rate effects

Dilution rate is a critical factor that determines the composition and metabolism of the chemostat

propagated bacteria [33]. This would be in line with the human gut transit time (a sort of "dilution

rate") being highly correlated to the gut microbiota diversity and composition [57, 58]. Dilution rates

0.05 and 0.2 1/h denote the fast growth in cecum and slow grow in the colon [28]. Microbial

metabolism directs the cross feeding between different community members and its changes affects

the overall metabolic patterns and composition of the consortium. As an example, *Akkermansia*, a

bacterium related to lower inflammatory response in the colon [59]. was a dominant species in both

mouse and human cultures at low dilution rate (0.05 1/h). On the other side the relative abundance

of butyric acid producers (species of *Lachnospiraceae*) were enhanced by high dilution rate (0.2 1/h)

[29]. In slow growing mouse cecal matter inoculated cultures (D_{low} = 0.05 1/h) higher diversity of the

bacteriome as well as phageome was obtained similar to what has also been reported for human fecal

matter inoculated chemostats by Asnicar et al. [58]. Thus, D_{low} in other words appear appropriate to

produce diverse microbiomes and phageomes from mouse cecal matter inoculated cultures. The

growth of *Enterobacteriaceae* and viruses predicted to have *Enterobacteriaceae* as host was

remarkably supported by fast dilution (D_{high} = 0.2 1/h) in mouse cecal matter inoculated chemostat-

fermentations.

Chemostat propagation of active virome for in vivo trials

The chemostat approach showed promising results for propagation of virome with minimal content

of eukaryotic viruses [7, 27]. In medical practice fecal microbiota transplantation (FMT) allows

modification of the intestinal microbiota. Although FMT has been shown safe and effective in a

immunocompromised CDI cohort [60], for these patients FMT is associated with several threats due

to transfer of virulent microbes and viruses [61]. To overcome these potential risks, continuous

cultivation of the fecal inoculum can be used to diminish the load of eukaryotic viruses by dilution,

since the environment in the chemostat is adjusted to only allow the propagation of bacteria and

phage communities, while eukaryotic hosts are absent. During continuous growth over five volumes

the bacterial and viral community reaches a stabilized composition that depend on the cultivation

conditions (e.g dilution rate, pH, substrates).

In conclusion, we showed that chemostat cultivation has potential as a method to generate mouse
cecal and human fecal phageome with minimal content of eukaryotic viruses. The phage populations
can be used in transplantation experiments after removing all bacteria. Using the conditions tested
here, the number of eukaryotic viruses can be decreased by more than hundred times of the initial
load.

References

1. Fujimoto K, Kimura Y, Allegretti JR, Yamamoto M, Zhang Y, Katayama K, et al. Functional
Restoration of Bacteriomes and Viromes by Fecal Microbiota Transplantation.
Gastroenterology 2021; **160**: 2089–2102.

2. Ott SJ, Waetzig GH, Rehman A, Moltzau-Anderson J, Bharti R, Grasis JA, et al. Efficacy of
Sterile Fecal Filtrate Transfer for Treating Patients With Clostridium difficile Infection.
Gastroenterology 2017; **152**: 799-811.e7.

3. Petrof EO, Gloor GB, Vanner SJ, Weese SJ, Carter D, Daigneault MC, et al. Stool substitute
transplant therapy for the eradication of Clostridium difficile infection: 'RePOOPulating' the
gut. *Microbiome* 2013; **1**: 1–12.

4. Zuo T, Wong SH, Lam K, Lui R, Cheung K, Tang W, et al. Bacteriophage transfer during faecal
microbiota transplantation in Clostridium difficile infection is associated with treatment
outcome. *Gut* 2018; **67**: 634–643.

5. Kassam Z, Dubois N, Ramakrishna B, Ling K, Qazi T, Smith M, et al. Donor Screening for Fecal
Microbiota Transplantation. *N Engl J Med* 2019; **381**: 2070–2072.

6. Kao D, Roach B, Walter J, Lobenberg R, Wong K. EFFECT OF LYOPHILIZED STERILE FECAL
FILTRATE VS LYOPHILIZED DONOR STOOL ON RECURRENT CLOSTRIDIUM DIFFICILE INFECTION
(RCDI): PRELIMINARY RESULTS FROM A RANDOMIZED, DOUBLE-BLIND PILOT STUDY. *J Can*
Assoc Gastroenterol 2019.

7. Rasmussen TS, Forster S, Larsen SB, Von Münchow ASGL, Tranæs KD, Brunse A, et al. Fecal
viromes depleted of enveloped viruses efficiently treats Clostridioides difficile-associated
diarrhea in a murine model. *bioRxiv* 2023.

8. Cao Z, Sugimura N, Burgermeister E, Ebert MP, Zuo T, Lan P. The gut virome: A new
microbiome component in health and disease. *eBioMedicine* 2022; **81**: 104113.

9. Tiamani K, Luo S, Schulz S, Xue J, Costa R, Khan Mirzaei M, et al. The role of virome in the
gastrointestinal tract and beyond. *FEMS Microbiol Rev* 2022; **46**: 1–12.

10. Corzo-martinez M, García-campos G, Montilla A, Moreno FJ. Tofu whey permeate is an
efficient source to enzymatically produce prebiotic fructooligosaccharides and novel

fructosylated # -galactosides. *J Agric Food Chem* 2016.

11.   Shkoporov AN, Clooney AG, Sutton TDS, Ryan FJ, Daly KM, Nolan JA, et al. The Human Gut
Virome Is Highly Diverse, Stable, and Individual Specific. *Cell Host Microbe* 2019; **26**: 527-
541.e5.

12.   Shkoporov AN, Hill C. Bacteriophages of the Human Gut: The "Known Unknown" of the
Microbiome. *Cell Host Microbe* 2019; **25**: 195–209.

13.   Lepage P, Colombet J, Marteau P, Sime-Ngando T, Doré J, Leclerc M. Dysbiosis in
inflammatory bowel disease: A role for bacteriophages? *Gut* 2008; **57**: 424–425.

14.   Hoyles L, McCartney AL, Neve H, Gibson GR, Sanderson JD, Heller KJ, et al. Characterization of
virus-like particles associated with the human faecal and caecal microbiota. *Res Microbiol*
2014; **165**: 803–812.

15.   Gregory AC, Zablocki O, Zayed AA, Howell A, Bolduc B, Sullivan MB. The Gut Virome Database
Reveals Age-Dependent Patterns of Virome Diversity in the Human Gut. *Cell Host Microbe*
2020; **28**: 724-740.e8.

16.   Norman JM, Handley SA, Baldridge MT, Droit L, Liu CY, Keller BC, et al. Disease-specific
alterations in the enteric virome in inflammatory bowel disease. *Cell* 2015; **160**: 447–460.

17.   Tao Z. Unveiling the gut virome in human health and diseases. *Int J Clin Virol* 2018; **2**: 001–
003.

18.   Clooney AG, Sutton TDS, Shkoporov AN, Holohan RK, Daly KM, O'Regan O, et al. Whole-
Virome Analysis Sheds Light on Viral Dark Matter in Inflammatory Bowel Disease. *Cell Host*
Microbe 2019; **26**: 764-778.e5.

19.   Manrique P, Bolduc B, Walk ST, Van Oost J Der, De Vos WM, Young MJ. Healthy human gut
phageome. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2016; **113**: 10400–10405.

20.   Minot S, Sinha R, Chen J, Li H, Keilbaugh SA, Wu GD, et al. The human gut virome: Inter-
individual variation and dynamic response to diet. *Genome Res* 2011; **21**: 1616–1625.

21.   Spencer L, Olawuni B, Singh P. Gut Virome: Role and Distribution in Health and
Gastrointestinal Diseases. *Front Cell Infect Microbiol* 2022; **12**: 1–11.

22.   Broecker F, Russo G, Klumpp J, Moelling K. Stable core virome despite variable microbiome
after fecal transfer. *Gut Microbes* 2017; **8**: 214–220.

23.   Brunse A, Deng L, Pan X, Hui Y, Castro-Mejía JL, Kot W, et al. Fecal filtrate transplantation
protects against necrotizing enterocolitis. *ISME J* 2022; **16**: 686–694.

24.   Beller L, Deboutte W, Vieira-Silva S, Falony G, Tito RY, Rymenans L, et al. The virota and its
transkingdom interactions in the healthy infant gut. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2022; **119**.

25.   Rasmussen TS, Mentzel CMJ, Kot W, Castro-Mejía JL, Zuffa S, Swann JR, et al. Faecal virome

transplantation decreases symptoms of type 2 diabetes and obesity in a murine model. *Gut*

2020; **69**: 2122–2130.

26.   Rasmussen TS, Koefoed AK, Jakobsen RR, Deng L, Castro-Mejia JL, Brunse A, et al.

Bacteriophage-mediated manipulation of the gut microbiome-promises and presents

limitations. *FEMS Microbiol Rev* 2020; **44**: 507–521.

27.   Mao X, Larsen SB, Zachariassen LSF, Brunse A, Adamberg S, Castro Mejia JL, et al. A

reproducible enteric phage community improves blood glucose regulation in an obesity

mouse model. *bioRxiv* 2023.

28.   Adamberg K, Adamberg S. Selection of fast and slow growing bacteria from fecal microbiota

using continuous culture with changing dilution rate. *Microb Ecol Health Dis* 2018; **29**:

1549922.

29.   Adamberg K, Raba G, Adamberg S. Use of Changestat for Growth Rate Studies of Gut

Microbiota. *Front Bioeng Biotechnol* 2020; **8**: 1–12.

30.   Adamberg K, Tomson K, Talve T, Pudova K, Puurand M, Visnapuu T, et al. Levan enhances

associated growth of Bacteroides, Escherichia, Streptococcus and Faecalibacterium in fecal

microbiota. *PLoS One* 2015.

31.   Rasmussen TS, de Vries L, Kot W, Hansen LH, Castro-Mejía JL, Vogensen FK, et al. Mouse

vendor influence on the bacterial and viral gut composition exceeds the effect of diet. *Viruses*

2019; **11**.

32.   Rasmussen TS, Jakobsen RR, Castro-Mejía JL, Kot W, Thomsen AR, Vogensen FK, et al. Inter-

vendor variance of enteric eukaryotic DNA viruses in specific pathogen free C57BL/6N mice.

Res Vet Sci 2021; **136**: 1–5.

33.   Macfarlane GT, Macfarlane S, Gibson GR. Validation of a Three-Stage Compound Continuous

Culture System for Investigating the Effect of Retention Time on the Ecology and Metabolism

of Bacteria in the Human Colon. *Microb Ecol* 1998; **44**: 180–187.

34.   Edgar RC. Updating the 97% identity threshold for 16S ribosomal RNA OTUs. *Bioinformatics*

2018; **34**: 2371–2375.

35.   Edgar R. SINTAX: a simple non-Bayesian taxonomy classifier for 16S and ITS sequences.

bioRxiv 2016; 074161.

36.   Kim OS, Cho YJ, Lee K, Yoon SH, Kim M, Na H, et al. Introducing EzTaxon-e: A prokaryotic 16s

rRNA gene sequence database with phylotypes that represent uncultured species. *Int J Syst*

Evol Microbiol 2012; **62**: 716–721.

37.   Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B. Trimmomatic: A flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data.

Bioinformatics 2014; **30**: 2114–2120.

38.   Bankevich A, Nurk S, Antipov D, Gurevich AA, Dvorkin M, Kulikov AS, et al. SPAdes: A new
genome assembly algorithm and its applications to single-cell sequencing. *J Comput Biol*
2012; **19**: 455–477.

39.   Guo J, Bolduc B, Zayed AA, Varsani A, Dominguez-Huerta G, Delmont TO, et al. VirSorter2: a
multi-classifier, expert-guided approach to detect diverse DNA and RNA viruses. *Microbiome*
2021; **9**: 1–13.

40.   Kieft K, Zhou Z, Anantharaman K. VIBRANT: Automated recovery, annotation and curation of
microbial viruses, and evaluation of viral community function from genomic sequences.
Microbiome 2020; **8**: 1–23.

41.   Nayfach S, Camargo AP, Schulz F, Eloe-Fadrosh E, Roux S, Kyrpides NC. CheckV assesses the
quality and completeness of metagenome-assembled viral genomes. *Nat Biotechnol* 2021;
39: 578–585.

42.   Pasolli E, Asnicar F, Manara S, Zolfo M, Karcher N, Armanini F, et al. Extensive Unexplored
Human Microbiome Diversity Revealed by Over 150,000 Genomes from Metagenomes
Spanning Age, Geography, and Lifestyle. *Cell* 2019; **176**: 649-662.e20.

43.   Castro-Mejía JL, Khakimov B, Aru V, Lind M V., Garne E, Paulová P, et al. Gut Microbiome and
Its Cofactors Are Linked to Lipoprotein Distribution Profiles. *Microorganisms* 2022; **10**: 1–15.

44.   Langmead B, Salzberg SL. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. *Nat Methods* 2012; **9**:
357–359.

45.   Paulson JN, Colin Stine O, Bravo HC, Pop M. Differential abundance analysis for microbial
marker-gene surveys. *Nat Methods* 2013; **10**: 1200–1202.

46.   Weiss S, Xu ZZ, Peddada S, Amir A, Bittinger K, Gonzalez A, et al. Normalization and microbial
differential abundance strategies depend upon data characteristics. *Microbiome* 2017; **5**: 1–
18.

47.   Paulson J. metagenomeSeq: Statistical analysis for sparse high-throughput sequencing.
BioconductorJp 2014; 1–20.

48.   Team RC. R Core TeamR: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation
for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. 2018.

49.   McMurdie PJ, Holmes S. Phyloseq: An R Package for Reproducible Interactive Analysis and
Graphics of Microbiome Census Data. *PLoS One* 2013; **8**.

50.   Philip D. Computer program review VEGAN , a package of R functions for community ecology.
J Veg Sci 2003; **14**: 927–930.

51.   Love MI, Huber W, Anders S. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-
seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biol* 2014; **15**: 1–21.

52.   Andersen KS, Kirkegaard RH, Karst SM, Albertsen M. ampvis2: An R package to analyse and
visualise 16S rRNA amplicon data. *bioRxiv* 2018; 10–11.

53.   Wickham H. Ggplot2. *Wiley Interdiscip Rev Comput Stat* 2011; **3**: 180–185.

54.   Niu YD, McAllister TA, Nash JHE, Kropinski AM, Stanford K. Four Escherichia coli O157:H7
phages: A new bacteriophage genus and taxonomic classification of T1-like phages. *PLoS One*
2014; **9**.

55.   Marcó MB, Garneau JE, Tremblay D, Quiberoni A, Moineau S. Characterization of two virulent
phages of Lactobacillus plantarum. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2012; **78**: 8719–8734.

56.   Santiago-Rodriguez TM, Ly M, Daigneault MC, Brown IHL, McDonald JAK, Bonilla N, et al.
Chemostat culture systems support diverse bacteriophage communities from human feces.
Microbiome 2015; **3**: 58.

57.   Müller M, Hermes GDA, Canfora EE, Smidt H, Masclee AAM, Zoetendal EXG, et al. Distal
colonic transit is linked to gut microbiota diversity and microbial fermentation in humans
with slow colonic transit. *Am J Physiol - Gastrointest Liver Physiol* 2020; **318**: G361–G369.

58.   Asnicar F, Leeming ER, Dimidi E, Mazidi M, Franks PW, Al Khatib H, et al. Blue poo: Impact of
gut transit time on the gut microbiome using a novel marker. *Gut* 2021; **70**: 1665–1674.

59.   de Vos WM. Microbe profile: Akkermansia muciniphila: A conserved intestinal symbiont that
acts as the gatekeeper of our mucosa. *Microbiol (United Kingdom)* 2017; **163**: 646–648.

60.   Suchman K, Luo Y, Grinspan A. Fecal Microbiota Transplant for Clostridioides Difficile
Infection Is Safe and Efficacious in an Immunocompromised Cohort. *Dig Dis Sci* 2022; **67**:
4866–4873.

61.   Shogbesan O, Poudel DR, Victor S, Jehangir A, Fadahunsi O, Shogbesan G, et al. A Systematic
Review of the Efficacy and Safety of Fecal Microbiota Transplant for Clostridium difficile
Infection in Immunocompromised Patients. *Can J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2018; **2018**.

Figures

Fig. 1. The experimental setup of chemostat cultivations of mice cecal culture. At start one percent of
five times diluted cecal content was inoculated into each bioreactor and batch growth was carried out
23 h followed by chemostat mode up to 5 residential times. Colored dots indicate the sampling points
for metabolite and microbiota (16S rRNA gene amplicon and virome sequencing) analyses. Similar
setup was also used for human fecal cultures [29].

Fig. 2. Chemostat propagation of cecal/ fecal inocula leads to depletion of eukaryotic viruses. Relative
abundance indicates the number of eukaryotic viral contigs from the total viral contigs in the inocula,
batch and chemostat samples of human fecal and mouse cecal culture.

Fig. 3. Diversity of prokaryotic viruses during chemostat propagation of mouse cecal/ human fecal

inocula. Depletion of eukaryotic viral families and enrichment of bacterial viral families from batch to

stabilized chemostat cultures (A and C – mouse cecal samples, B and D – human fecal samples)

presented as heatmaps. Color intensity indicates the relative abundance of eukaryotic (A, B) or

prokaryotic (C, D) viral contigs from total eukaryotic or prokaryotic viral contigs, respectively. 'Slow'

and 'Fast' indicate the dilution rates used in the chemostat (D_{low} 0.05 and D_{high} 0.2 1/h, respectively).

Fig. 4. Chemostat propagation of fecal inocula at different dilution rates leads to viromes with

reproducible compositon shown by beta diversity (A, B) and diverse viral community shown by

Shannon index (C, D). Samples from inoculum, batch and chemostat cultures of mouse cecal (A, C) and

human fecal (B, D) cultures are shown. 'Slow' and 'fast' in the column names indicate the dilution rate

used in the chemostat (D_{low} 0.05 and D_{high} 0.2 1/h, respectively). Beta diversity and Shannon indices of

bacteriomes are shown on the Supplementary Fig. S4.

Fig. 5. Bacteriome composition of mice cecal (A) and human fecal cultures (B) after batch and

chemostat propagation. 'Slow' and 'fast' in the column names indicate the dilution rate used in the

chemostat (D_{low} 0.05 and D_{high} 0.2 1/h, respectively).

Fig. 6. Reproducibility of metabolite dynamics of chemostat propagated mouse cecal cultures at two

dilution rates. Metabolite concentrations are shown in millimoles in relation to residence time

('Volumes' on x-scale). Low dilution rate (D = 0.05 1/h) is indicated with blue lines and high dilution

rate (D = 0.2 1/h) with brown-orange lines. R indicates the number of replicate.