Effect of cell membrane tension on the lifetime and size of mature clathrin-coated pits and their spatial distribution

Xinyue Liu[1,4], Yang Yang[2], Wang Xi[3], Xiaobo Gong[4,5*]

[1]Shanghai Institute of Applied Mathematics and Mechanics, School of Mechanics and Engineering Science, Shanghai Key Laboratory of Mechanics in Energy Engineering, Shanghai University, Shanghai 200072, China

[2]Mechanobiology Institute, National University of Singapore, 5A Engineering Drive 1, Singapore, 117411, Singapore

[3]Institut Jacques Monod, Université Paris Cité, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, Paris F-75013, France

[4]Key Laboratory of Hydrodynamics (Ministry of Education), Department of Engineering Mechanics, School of Naval Architecture, Ocean and Civil Engineering, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 200240, China

[5]Institute of Mechanobiology and Biomedical Engineering, School of Life Science and Technology, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 200240, China

*Correspondence:

Xiaobo Gong

Key Laboratory of Hydrodynamics (Ministry of Education), Department of Engineering Mechanics, School of Naval Architecture, Ocean and Civil Engineering, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 200240, China

Email: x.gong@sjtu.edu.cn

Tel: 86- 13816548020

Abstract

Clathrin-mediated endocytosis is the most characterized pathway for cells to internalize

diverse receptor-bound cargo such as proteins, nanoparticles, and viruses. However, the effect

of membrane tension on clathrin-coated pit (CCP) maturation remains inadequately

characterized. This study aimed to figure out the effect of membrane tension on CCP

maturation spatially and temporarily, which is still a controversial and elusive issue. We

obtained the sizes and spatial distributions of CCPs by the structured illumination microscopy

of fixed cells and observed CCP lifetimes in live cells by total internal reflection fluorescence

microscopy. The processes of CCP maturation and abortion were reproduced numerically

through Monte Carlo simulation. The results showed that the growth time of CCP was more

reasonably proportional to its volume rather than its surface area. We further investigated the

spatial distribution of the membrane tension and size of CCPs and found a significant

positive correlation between the membrane tension and the size of mature CCPs spatially,

indicating that the CCPs tended to enrich in the highest-tension region, especially the mature

ones. This finding agreed with our numerical prediction that the CCP structure grew larger to

overcome a higher energy barrier caused by higher background cell membrane tension. Based

on the aforementioned results, we speculated that when the external environment was

complex, the cells might choose to reinforce the endocytic vesicles by CCPs in high-tension

regions to ensure adequate nutrient uptake.

Keywords Clathrin-coated pit, CCP lifetime, CCP size distribution, mature CCP size,

membrane tension

1 Introduction

Clathrin-mediated endocytosis is a widely studied process in vesicular trafficking that transports cargo molecules from the cell surface to the interior [1]. Increased membrane tension inhibits endocytosis [2] on the subcellular scale by counteracting clathrin polymerization [3] and membrane fission [4]. However, understanding the lifetime and size of clathrin-coated pits (CCPs) affected by the membrane tension remains elusive.

The CCP size and lifetime are two factors highly correlated with the productivity of CCPs. Two typical CCP catalogs were characterized through global analysis of total internal reflection fluorescence (TIRF) microscopy time series [5]: one was classified as productive that takes 30–120 s to mature, and the other was abortive with typical lifetimes (time from formation to extinction) of less than 20 s. It is believed that endocytic cargo plays a crucial role in deciding the fate of a CCP [6], and cargo binding stabilizes the CCPs and facilitates their growth toward vesicle formation. Banerjee et al. [7] developed a coarse-grained model of CCP by mapping the CCP assembly dynamics onto a one-dimensional random walk to explain the relationship between the CCP size and lifetime. Using Monte Carlo simulations, they analytically examined the statistical properties of the lifetimes and predicted the maximum size of abortive CCPs to be around 90 nm [8]. CCP structures are of various curvatures and sizes, ranging from 60 to 120 nm [9, 10], which is below the 200-nm diffraction limit of fluorescent microscopes such as TIRF or confocal microscopes. In practical terms, only cellular structures and objects at least 200 - 350 nm apart can be resolved [11]. Li et al. [12] extended the resolution of live-cell structured illumination microscopy (SIM) to 45 - 62 nm and revealed a positive correlation of 0.20 nm/s between CCP diameter and growth time by linear regression. Willy et al. [13] observed *de novo* CCPs using SIM and found that they developed a curvature in the early stages of their formation.

However, to date, the effect of cell membrane tension on the CCP size and lifetime still

cannot be investigated directly.

More importantly, seminal studies conducted at the beginning of the last decade have

demonstrated that cell mechanics, in particular the changes in plasma membrane tension, give

rise to dynamically distinct populations of clathrin-coated structures within cells [14]. It has

been suggested that tension-dependent endocytosis and exocytosis are involved in surface

area regulation and buffering of membrane tension. High membrane tension leads to excess

exocytosis, an increase in cell surface area, and a decrease in tension, and vice versa [15].

Overall, the endocytic vesicle formation is slowed down by increased membrane tension, as

high tension resists the generation of curved clathrin coats [3, 16, 17]. In a majority of these

studies, the dynamics of CCSs were monitored at the plasma membrane–coverglass interface;

it was found that the cell spreading area could regulate clathrin dynamics by qualitatively

controlling the tension of the membrane *in vitro* [18, 19]. In practice, the local cell membrane

tension on the adherent surface is difficult to be measured directly or predicted accurately

with membrane–substrate interactions, confined by focal adhesions. Therefore, the

observation of the bottom surface of the cell alone does not give a global picture of this

problem.

In this study, the sizes and locations of CCPs were measured by the 3D scan of SIM, and the

lifetimes of CCPs were acquired using TIRF. TIRF is widely used in many studies of CCPs

[5, 20, 21] due to its advantages such as high temporal resolution and less laser exposure of

cells that reduces the quenching of fluorescence. Thus, cells with fluorescence can be

observed for a long time. The disadvantage is that TIRF can only observe the cell bottom,

which is based on the total reflection principle of light. The spatial resolution of TIRF is not

enough; therefore, it is insufficient to observe the size changes of CCPs during their

formation. Although the spatial resolution of SIM is considerably improved compared with

that of TIRF, it is successful for individual CCP measurements [13] but difficult for high-

throughput measurements of CCPs for a whole cell. SIM takes about 30 min to scan a whole

cell, during which it cannot obtain a clear image due to the migration of living cells. In this

situation, the cells have to be fixed during scanning by SIM; as a result, the CCP growth time

cannot be obtained directly using SIM. Nevertheless, we aimed to find a way to complement

the observations of SIM and TIRF. First, the growth process of CCPs observed using TIRF

was reproduced by a Monte Carlo simulation, and two possible relationships between the

CCP size and lifetime were examined by comparing the CCP size distribution of the Monte

Carlo simulation and the experimental results. Then, the size ranges of *de novo*, developing,

and mature CCPs were determined by the relationship between the CCP size and lifetime. We

found the CCP size distribution was heavy-tailed compared with the standard normal

distribution. In this study, we aimed to explain whether it was caused by membrane tension

distribution. Further investigation of the spatial distribution of membrane tension and CCP

size revealed a significant positive correlation between the membrane tension and the CCP

size spatially, indicating that the CCPs tended to enrich in the highest-tension region,

especially the mature ones.

It has been reported that endocytosis is randomly initiated and then stabilized by CCPs [21].

Our previous study [22] supported the idea that the CCPs behave as a mechanical

reinforcement moiety in the wrapping region, which helps fend off external forces during

endocytosis. Based on the simulation, we found that a larger CCP structure is needed to

overcome a higher background membrane tension to assist the cargo uptake. Besides, the

membrane tension distribution of a free-spread cell in arbitrary shape can be predicted

through an algorithm proposed in our another previous study [23]. In the temporal aspect, the

energy barrier caused by the increase in tension leads to a decrease in the number of *de novo*

CCPs [19] and an increase in the lifetime of larger-sized CCPs [12]. Interestingly, in the

spatial aspect, the large-sized and long-lived CCPs are concentrated in the high-tension

region in this study. To verify our point of view, we focused on how membrane tension

affects the size of mature CCPs on the cell surface before pinch-off. Since the CCPs are

mechanical reinforcing structures, we hypothesized that when the external environment is

complex, the cells may choose to reinforce the endocytic vesicles in high-tension regions to

ensure adequate nutrient uptake.

130 2 Results

131 2.1 CCP lifetime observation on cell bottom surface

The cells were starved before imaging using TIRF to ensure enough cargo-free transferrin

receptors (TfRs) on the cell membrane. During imaging, transferrins (Tfs) labeled with red

fluorescence were added to the cell cultures. Tfs randomly bound to TfRs and were caught by

developing CCPs labeled with green fluorescence. This process is shown in Figure 1A. The

frames were taken at 2-s intervals. The video is shown in Supporting Information. Limited by

TIRF spatial resolution (>200 nm) [24], only red and green fluorescent clusters can be

observed but not the fine size increment of CCPs. The trajectories of CCPs were identified

with Imaris software using the same strategies as in the study of Jaqaman et al. [25]. A time

window of two frames is the optimal gap closing with the frame rate of 0.5 Hz in our cases.

Since both individual receptors and receptor aggregates generate diffraction-limited image

features, the particle positions were detected by local maxima and then fitting Gaussian

kernels in areas around these local maxima to achieve subpixel localization [26]. When Tf

internalized through CCP, the red and green fluorescent trajectories overlapped timely and

spatially. Thus, CCPs could be identified during endocytosis according to the temporal and

spatial coincidence of the two fluorescent signals. If at least one red trajectory is encountered

during the lifetime of the green track, the CCPs are considered mature; if no red trajectory is

encountered, the CCPs are considered abortive, as shown in the schematic diagram in Figure

1B. Figure 1C is taken from a frame in the fluorescence sequence scanned by TIRF (see

Video in Supporting Information). Figure 1C(1) and (2) shows the green fluorescence of

CCPs and the red fluorescence of Tfs, respectively; Figure 1C(3) is the overlapped image of

CCPs and Tfs, and Figure 1C(4) shows the identified trajectories. The study of cargo and

dynamin regulating CCP maturation by Loerke et al. [5] revealed two short-lived

subpopulations corresponding to aborted intermediates and one longer-lived productive

subpopulation. They also figured out that the longer-lived subpopulation was designated the

productive population because 1) its kinetics matched those of surface-bound transferrin

internalization and 2) manual tracking of 450 CCPs could be performed, yielding a similar

average lifetime. Our study focused more on productive CCPs; therefore, we did not

subdivide the abortive groups. In our study, 800 trajectories of the productive CCPs and 1012

trajectories of the abortive ones were identified. The CCPs already existing in the first frame

or still existing in the last frame were excluded from the collective trajectories due to

incomplete lifetime observation. Figure 1D and 1E shows the examples of typical trajectories

of the productive and abortive groups, respectively. The CCPs and the Tfs with which they

interact were coincident in time and space [Fig. 1D(1)], and they both exhibited Brownian-

like motion, which was also mentioned in the study of Ehrlich et al. [21]. Figure 1D(23)

shows the mean square distance (MSD) and diffusion coefficient of CCPs and Tfs. MSD

refers to the average value of the square of the distance that particles or molecules move

during a certain period. It can be expressed mathematically as MSD $= <(r(t) - r(0))^2>$. Here,

$r(t)$ is the position of the particle at time t, $r(0)$ is the position of the particle at time 0, and $<>$

represents the average value over all time-steps of particles. The relationship between the

diffusion coefficient D_f and the mean square displacement MSD can be described by the

Einstein relation, which, in two dimensions, can be expressed as MSD = $4D_f t$. Figure 1F (1)

shows the spatial distribution of CCP number and lifetime. The lifetime has an exponential

distribution, and hence the spot color is concentrated in the low lifetime region if using a

linear colormap. Thus, the colormap is represented by six quantiles of CCP lifetime to better

illustrate the relationship between life and number of CCPs. Figure 1F (2)(3) reveals that the

counts of CCPs and their lifetimes were negatively correlated (linear coefficient = –0.152, P

= 0.009), which was consistent with the findings of Willy et al. [27] revealing the shortening

of lifetimes with increased initiation and dissolution rates when the cells spread.

Figure 2A shows the new CCPs in each frame. The histogram of the CCP generation

intensity is presented in Figure 2B. The Kolmogorov–Smirnov (K–S) test was conducted to

test whether the CCP generation intensity satisfied the Poisson, Rayleigh, or normal

distributions at the 1% significance level. The results showed that the Poisson and Rayleigh

distributions failed to pass the K–S test, and the fitting were not fine either; the normal

distributions could pass the K–S test. The returned value of $H_0 = 1$ indicated that the K–S test

rejected the null hypothesis at the $P < 1\%$ significance level (Table 1). The probability

density function (PDF) of CCP generation expressed by the normal distribution is

$f\left(x \mid \mu_c, \sigma_c^2\right) = \dfrac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_c^2}} e^{-\frac{(x-\mu_c)^2}{2\sigma_c^2}}$, and the parameter values obtained by the maximum likelihood

estimates are $\mu_c = 13.16 \pm 0.80$ and $\sigma_c = 4.78 \pm 0.66$ (number of all new CCPs).

Figure 2C shows the discrete and fitted cumulative distribution function (CDF) for a visual

comparison of the Poisson, Rayleigh, and normal distributions, which also confirms that the

normal distribution is the best. As a result, the normal distribution was used to conduct a

Monte Carlo simulation for CCP generation. Figure 2D shows the PDF of the new CCPs in

each new frame. The exponential distribution $f(x|\lambda) = \lambda e^{-\lambda x}$ was used to estimate the

expectation of CCPs' lifetimes as shown in Figure 3A(2-3). The lifetime expectation of the

productive and abortive CCPs was $\lambda_p^{-1} = 41.37 \pm 3.03$ s and $\lambda_a^{-1} = 18.22 \pm 1.18$ s by

maximum likelihood estimates, respectively. The longest lifetime of the productive and

abortive CCPs was 250 s and 100 s in our observation, respectively, reflecting wide

individual differences in CCP lifetime. It was difficult to identify whether a single CCP

belonged to the productive or abortive group directly, Loerke et al. [5] solved this problem by

piecewise fitting the joint PDF of the CCP lifetimes to assort the CCPs into three groups:

productive, early abortive, and late abortive CCPs. The abortive group was divided into early

abort (5.2 ± 0.1 s) and late abort (15.9 ± 1 s). The lifetime of the productive CCPs was 86.9 ±

5.8 s, which was best approximated by an exponentially decaying distribution. The cell line

used in their study was monkey kidney epithelial cells (BSC1), whereas RBL-2H3 cells were

used in our study. This might be the reason why the average lifetime of each CCP in our

study was slightly different from the aforementioned results. Besides, Yang et al. [28]

classified CCPs into CCPs exhibiting clathrin waves and those without clathrin waves. They

reported that the lifetime of CCPs that exhibited clathrin waves (median: 37.4 ± 11.1 s;

mean:32 ± 42 s) was consistently shorter than that of CCPs without clathrin waves (median:

72.5 ± 18.3 s; mean: 48 ± 38 s) in RBL-2H3 cells.

2.2 CCP size and distribution on the cell membrane

A total of 12 cells were scanned using SIM. Figure 3A shows the reconstructed fluorescence

image of Cell ID. 09. The horizontal (*XY*-axis) and the vertical (*Z*-axis) scanning accuracies

were 24 nm and 120 nm, respectively. The structured light reconstruction images were

obtained using Nikon imaging software with a resolution of about 50 nm [29, 30]. The sizes

and positions of CCPs were identified using Imaris software, and the CCPs on the cell

surface were extracted using the self-written MATLAB code. The 3D numerical

reconstruction of the cell structure was performed using the built-in library function of

MATLAB for convex or concave hull boundary searching based on the positions of CCPs.

The geometric parameters, including cell volume, cell area, and spread area, are listed in

Table S1 (Supporting Information). Heuser et al. [9] observed using the cryo-electron

microscope that the size range of CCPs was 60–120 nm. It must be pointed out that the CCP

size observed using the cryo-electron microscope is close to its real size, while the size

observed using a fluorescence microscope is much larger, because the principle of a

fluorescence microscope is to illuminate fluorescent protein molecules with a laser. For

example, in the SIM observation of Li et al. [12], the CCPs were large and stable enough to

be resolved as a ring, which finally grew to a median maximum diameter of ~ 152 nm.

Therefore, the CCP size mentioned in this study was regarded as SIM observation size. In

addition, the resolutions of the Z direction and the XY plane were different for a Gaussian

laser beam. Therefore, the obtained CCP diameters were the equivalent diameters. Although

stochastic optical reconstruction microscopy [31] has a better spatial resolution compared

with SIM, it is not high-throughput enough in temporal resolution for whole-cell scanning.

SIM is a trade-off between temporal and spatial resolutions. Nevertheless, the results of SIM

are still countable and reasonable for CCP size variation analysis.

Figure 3B shows the side view of the positions and sizes of CCPs. The CCP sizes were

divided into seven ranges, represented by seven different colors. The CCP sizes represented

by each color in all figures in the following text are consistent with those in this figure. The

CCP positions and sizes on the bottom surface are randomly distributed as observed by the

naked eye (Figure 3C); interestingly, the CCPs are rarely observed at the center of the cell top

(Figure 3BD). Consistently, Grossier et al. [32] found that the endocytosis of Tf occurred

mostly near the bottom of the cell, with almost no endocytosis on the top surface of the cell.

Meanwhile, some epidermal growth factor endocytosis occurred on the bottom surface of the

cell, and it was evenly distributed on the surface of the middle part of the cell, but rarely

occurred at the top of the cell. These findings could partially explain the hole of CCPs on the

top of cells observed in our experiment. We also scanned over the cell top (box height 15 μm

is higher than 11 μm of cell height) to ensure that this was not caused by incomplete 3D

scanning; in some cases, we scanned from top to bottom to exclude the effect of fluorescence

quenching. The mechanical and biological mechanisms for this phenomenon need to be

further examined, which are also one of the research focuses of this study. Figure 3EF shows

the scatters of the CCP number and density (number per area) within different size ranges of

the 12 cells at the bottom and on the dorsal surface, respectively. Li et al. [12] found that the

average CCP density was $2.83/\mu m^2$. In our research, we determined an average density of

$1.54/\mu m^2$ and $1.02/\mu m^2$ at the bottom and on the dorsal surface of the total 12 cells,

respectively. Although CCPs on the dorsal surface are more than those on the bottom surface,

the CCPs on the bottom surface are denser than those on the dorsal surface, reflecting that

endocytosis is more active on the bottom surface than on the dorsal surface. Interestingly, the

CCP size and density distribution are heavy-tailed compared with the standard normal

distribution. A reasonable hypothesis is that the heavy-tailed effect is probably caused by cell

membrane tension distribution, which we will discuss in section 3. The method of Gaussian

kernel fitting to identify particles in the TIRF image [26] is also used here to compensate for

the spatial resolution.

2.3 Relationship between the CCP size and lifetime

We reproduced the growth process of CCPs by Monte Carlo simulation with their PDFs

summarized via experimental data (Algorithm 1). The proportion of the abortive CCPs was

$\gamma = 0.56$ according to 1012 abortive CCPs/1812 all CCPs identified. The results of 10,000

times Monte Carlo simulations are expressed as mean and standard deviation, as the error

bars shown in Figure 3A. The Monte Carlo simulation results are very close to the

experimental results, verifying the rationality that the generation intensity of CCPs satisfies

the normal distribution and the lifetime distribution of CCPs satisfies the exponential

distribution. The proportions of all CCPs in each size range are shown as bar charts in Figure

4B. The average CCP size proportions are similar at the bottom and on the dorsal surface

(Figure 3E and 3F). Of course, the CCP size proportions of every single cell are slightly

different (see Supporting Information). The CCPs of size within 100 - 250 nm (cyan, green,

and yellow) account for 62.4%, which is the majority subpopulation of the total CCPs. The

CCPs of size < 100 nm account for 12.0%, and the CCPs of size > 250 nm account for 25.6%.

In the Monte Carlo simulation, we investigated two possible relationships between the CCP

size and growth time (time from formation to current growing size), which were $t \propto r^2$ and

$t \propto r^3$ intuitively, since CCPs are usually considered as shell structures mechanically [33]

and they are fruit-like in shape. The simulated CCP proportions are represented by red and

green error bars. The red error bars show that the CCP proportions decrease with the increase

in CCP size, reflecting that the relationship $t \propto r^2$ is inconsistent with the experimental

observation. The relationship $t \propto r^3$ represented by green error bars is well compared with

the experimental observation, with a peak between 150 and 200 nm. As a result, it was

reasonable to estimate that the CCP growth time was proportional to its volume. As we know,

the resolution of SIM is about 40–60 nm, and a fluorescent spot composed of at least four

pixels can be considered a CCP. Thus, the CCPs with a size < 100 nm are difficult to

distinguish from noise spots. This systematic error can explain a large gap between the

experimental data and the Monte Carlo simulation when the size is < 100 nm.

We terminated the Monte Carlo simulation at $t = 600$ s, which was the total observation time

of the TIRF image sequence and a sufficiently long time to observe, to mimic the CCP size

distribution observed by SIM when the cells were fixed. Figure 5 shows the results of

numerical analysis. Figure 5A shows the histogram of the growth time of the CCPs still

developing when the Monte Carlo simulation terminated. Different to Figure 1 and Figure 4

that we excluded the CCPs still existing in the last frame due to incomplete lifetime

observation, here we counted as their growth time since they were still developing. It was

also well compared with the proportion of CCP growth time observed by TIRF, further

confirming that the scheme of Monte Carlo simulation was reliable and the relationship

$t \propto r^3$ was reasonable. Figure 5B shows the fitting curve between the CCP size and growth

time, where the growth times corresponding to the selected sizes are labeled on the axis and

highlighted in colored dash lines. Among these, the CCP growth time with a size < 100 nm

was only 4 s, indicating that the CCPs were captured soon after they were initiated, which

was in line with the actual situation. Figure 5C shows the CDF of the CCP growth time by

Monte Carlo simulation, revealing that the CCPs with a size < 200 nm had a corresponding

growth time of > 24 s, which accounted for 60%. The proportion of CCPs with a size > 250

nm and a corresponding growth time > 76 s was less than 23%, which was also consistent

with the experimental observation of 25.6% for the CCPs size > 250 nm. Figure 5D shows

the CDF of the growth time of the productive and abortive CCPs; the corresponding PDF is

already shown in Figure 4A(2–3). In Figure 5D, the lower abscissa is labeled with the growth

time, and the upper abscissa is labeled with the corresponding CCP sizes by simulation using

the relationship $t \propto r^3$. It shows that 95% of the abortive CCPs were of a growth time within

32 s, and only 0.2% of the abortive CCPs were of size > 250 nm. Loerke et al. [5]also

presented a subset of long-lived, isolated CCPs, which were highly likely (99%) to represent

productive events. The predicted the maximum size of abortive CCPs in our study is within

200 - 250 nm, compared to 90 nm predicted by Banerjee et al. [8] and the reason is also due to the observation size effect of fluorescent microscope as mentioned before. Therefore, when we investigated the relationship between the cell membrane tension distribution and CCP size, it was considered that this 0.2% of the abortive CCPs could be neglected and the CCPs with a size > 250 nm all belonged to the productive group. Above all, we divided the CCPs into three categories according to the relationship between the CCP size and growth time: 1) the size < 100 nm belonged to *de novo* CCPs mixed with a certain number of image noises, 2) the size within 100–250 nm belonged to the developing CCPs including partial abortive ones, and 3) the size >250 nm belonged to the mature CCPs in the productive group.

3 Discussion

3.1 Membrane tension distribution

Directly measuring the spatial distribution of membrane tension on spread cells via existing experimental methods remains a challenge. In our previous study [23], the cell spreading was assumed to be a quasi-static process, and the dynamic process of cell spreading from the suspension state was solved inversely through an algorithm that alternately executed a particle-based method and searched for the minimum deformation energy. A standard spread cell model was established by 3D reconstruction of the cell shapes, as shown in Figure 6A, whose geometry is the average of 12 scanned cells, as shown in Figure 3. The cell-spread shapes were obtained until the minimum searching of the cell deformation energy function converged, and the membrane tension distribution was predicted using Hooke's law in which the membrane was discretized into triangular grids [23] The first principle tension describes the maximum tensile force of the triangular element in mechanics. Tan et al. [19] confined the cells growing on micropatterns through fibronectin, presenting a quantitative analysis of how physical cues, specifically cell spreading area, altered the dynamics of CCPs. Their

results showed a positive correlation between the average cell membrane tension and the spread area. The cells with a larger spreading area have more short-lived CCPs but a higher CCP initiation rate. Inspired by their study, we calculated a square cell with the same bottom as the standard spread cell model and a circular cell with a double-bottomed area, as shown in Figure 6B and 6C. Qualitative and quantitative comparisons with previous experimental results in references [19, 34] validated the reliability of our numerical method in reference [23]. Our simulation results showed that the membrane tension gradient demonstrated a decreasing trend from the bottom to the top of the cell. Cells with larger bottom areas developed greater tension compared with cells with smaller bottom areas, and the cells with the same bottom area but a larger bottom perimeter also experience higher membrane tension (Figure 6B and 6C). Furthermore, this numerical method can be applied to estimate the membrane tension distribution of cells in any free-spreading shape (see Figure 6 D–6F and Supporting Information). The tension is higher where the filamentous pseudopodia grow. Several reports indicated that the plasma membrane tension mechanically resisted shape changes involving an increase in the total surface area of the cell. For example, the expansion of cellular protrusions was resisted by membrane tension during cell spreading [17, 35] and cell migration [36, 37]. Therefore, our estimates of tension distribution were consistent with these findings.

We examined the relevance of the CCP number, geometric parameters, and tension of the 12 cells, as shown in Figure 7; the corresponding values of these parameters are listed in Table S1 in Supporting Information. The parameters characterizing the quantity of CCPs included the CCP number, percentage of different size ranges, and density. The parameters characterizing the geometric properties of the cells included the area, volume, and height. The parameters characterizing cell membrane tension included the average and variance of tension, area expansion, volume increase, and number of pseudopodia. Interestingly, the

pseudopodia number was positively correlated with the average tension because the cells

needed to consume more energy for each new pseudopodium they extend. The groups of

parameters representing similar properties and showing the same positive or negative trends

in correlation despite the differences in relevance values were "the number and the density of

CCPs," "the cell area, the cell volume, and the cell height," "the area expansion and the

volume increase of cells," and "the average and the variance of tension." More importantly,

the CCP number was positively correlated with the geometric properties such as the cell area

and volume, but negatively correlated with the membrane tension. In other words, the larger-

sized cells had a higher CCP number, and the cells in a higher-tension state possessed a lower

CCP number. The membrane tension was significantly negatively correlated with the total

CCP number and slightly negatively correlated with the proportion of *de novo* CCPs, but

slightly positively correlated with the proportion of the mature CCPs. The total CCP number

decreased with the increase in membrane tension, which was consistent with the findings of

Dai et al. [15], while the increase in the proportion of mature CCPs was a new finding in our

study.

3.2 Relationship between the spatial distribution of CCPs and membrane tension

Cell ID.11, whose bottom surface was close to a circular shape, was selected to compare the

CCP locations and the membrane tension distribution, considering its membrane tension

distribution was close to the standard spreading cell model, as shown in Figure 8A(1–3).

Other cells are shown in Supporting Information. The CCP size histogram appeared with a

heavy tail compared with the normal distribution [Figure 8A(4)]. The local membrane

tensions were sorted in six quantiles (Figure 8B). The histogram of the membrane tension

sorted by six equal divisions of the tension maximum was nonuniform and concentrated

between 1/6 and 3/6 of the maximum tension [Figure 8B(4)]. The background membrane

tension where CCPs were located concentrated within a range of 0.15–0.35 mN/m [Figure

8C(1-2)]. Therefore, we sorted the CCPs by six quantiles of the membrane tension [Figure

8C(3–4)]. On the bottom surface, fewer CCPs were found in 0–1/2 quantiles of tension than

in 1/2–1 quantiles of tension. On the dorsal surface, the CCPs with a size < 250 nm exhibited

a relatively uniform distribution, while more CCPs with a size > 250 nm appeared at higher-

tension quantiles.

We further compared the CCP number bar in six quantiles of membrane tension, as shown in

Figure 8D(1–3). The CCP numbers were normalized by their maximum values at the bottom,

on the dorsal surface, and on the total surface. The normalized average membrane tension in

each quantile and within the triangular mesh area where CCPs are located is represented by

"spots ♦" and "spots ◊," respectively. The CCPs number bars show similar increasing trend

with both spots ♦ and ◊, and they are better fitted to the histogram of CCPs on the total

surface [Figure 8D(3)] than that at the bottom [Figure 8D(1)] or on the dorsal surface [Figure

8D(2)]. Moreover, spots ♦ and ◊ are almost overlapped, indicating no obvious tension

selectivity for the CCP locations. The other cells show similar trends as the Cell.ID 11 is

presented in Supporting Information (Figure S1-11), indicating that the appearance of larger

quantities of CCPs in the higher-tension region is probably a general pattern, especially a

sharp increase in the CCP numbers in 5/6 to 1 quantile of tension, a proper reason for which

is discussed later.

As analyzed earlier, the CCPs can be divided into *de novo*, developing, and mature categories

according to the relationship between their size and growth time. Thus, we further analyzed

the correlation between the CCP number and the area expansion rate, as shown in Figure

8D(4). The blue, green, and red dots represent the *de novo*, developing, and mature CCPs,

respectively. The Rel and *P* value are the correlation coefficient and significance,

respectively. The normalized CCP number on the total surface was positively correlated with

the average membrane tension where the CCPs were located, regardless of the different CCP

categories. Although the CCPs with a size <100 nm appeared at a higher average tension on

the Cell.ID 11, this was not a general rule for the other cells. Also, the Rel and *P* value in the

blue CCP group varied significantly in different cells (see Supporting Information). This

could be explained by the CCPs' shorter lifetime if the cell membrane tension ws higher, as

observed by Tan et al. [19]. We found that shorter-life CCPs were of smaller sizes, as shown

in Figure 5. Besides, the low signal-to-noise ratio at the top of the cell due to light scattering,

and therefore the error in the data analysis, was higher in this CCP category. For a smaller

number of CCPs appearing in the lower-tension region, as shown in Figure 8B(1), the CCP

density distributions were checked to confirm whether it was because of a smaller area of this

tension region; if so, the density distributions should be close to the uniform distribution. No

specific pattern of density distributions with tension was observed in six quantiles, as shown

in Figure 8E(1–3), and the correlation analysis supported this [Figure 8E(4)]. The other cells

also had a random distribution of CCP densities and no significant statistical correlation

between densities and tensions (see Supporting Information).

An interesting phenomenon was a sharp increase in CCP numbers in 5/6 to 1 quantile of

tension. To confirm whether it was only for the Cell.ID 11 or a general rule, we examined the

correlation between the CCP number and the average tension for each cell, as shown in

Figure 9. Although within the 0 to 5/6 quantile of tension the CCP number did not always

monotonically increase, a sharp increase was observed in both the CCP number and the

average tension within the 5/6 to 1 quantile for all cells (Figure 9A and 9B). However, a

variation uneasy to detect was found in the percentage of different CCP sizes, with a slight

decrease in the percentage of CCPs <250 nm but a slight increase in the percentage of

CCPs >250 nm (Figure 9C and 9D), implying that more mature CCPs appeared in the higher-

tension region. The CCP number and the percentage of CCPs >100 nm showed significant

correlations with the average tension in six quantiles for all the cells, but the densities of

CCPs did not (Figure 9E and 9F).

3.3 Effect of membrane tension on CCP size

In our previous study [22], the CCP was modeled as a shell structure with bending rigidity.

An optimization algorithm was proposed for minimizing the total energy of the system,

which comprised the deforming nanoparticle, the receptor–ligand bonds, the cell membrane,

and the CCP; hence, the profile of the system was acquired. As shown in Figure 10, the CCPs

enable full wrapping of the nanoparticles at various membrane tensions represented by the

pre-elongation rate I_e, which is a parameter to describe the degree of background tension. D

is the size of nanoparticle, and the optimization algorithm needs a gussed initial CCPs size D_c

[Figure 10 A-D(1)]. The size of mature CCPs D_{c0} is normalized by their initial size D_c. Figure

10E shows that the normalized sizes of mature CCPs increased in a similar trend with the

increase in I_e, no matter what their initial sizes were. When $I_e > 1.2$, most of the receptor–

ligand bonds in the wrapping area broke because the CCP size increased. When the cell

membrane tension increased, the normalized deformation energy also increased in similar

trends (Figure 10F), no matter what their initial sizes were. Therefore, the CCP mature size

was dependent on the tension of the cell membrane rather than on the size of the

nanoparticles.

Since more energy was needed for CCP maturation under higher membrane tension, it was

easy to understand that the total CCP number decreased as the average tension increased

(Figure 7). It has already been suggested that high membrane tension leads to excess

exocytosis, an increase in cell surface area, and a decrease in tension, and vice versa [38].

However, why the CCPs concentrate in the highest-tension range of each cell, especially the mature CCPs (essentially the molecular mechanism of how clathrins aggregate more in a higher-tension region), is still unclear. We speculated that when the external environment was complex and the cells were in a large deformation state, the cells might choose to reinforce the endocytic vesicles by CCPs in high-tension regions to ensure adequate nutrient uptake in macroscopic representations.

4 Conclusions

In this study, fixed RBL-2H3 cells were scanned using SIM and the size and spatial distribution of CCPs were obtained. The CCP lifetimes in living cells were observed using TIRF. The CCP generation intensity was best fitted by the normal distribution using the K-S test. The process of CCP formation, and then maturation or abortion, was reproduced numerically by Monte Carlo simulation. The reliability of our Monte Carlo simulation scheme was verified by comparing it with the experimental results. The CCP lifetimes satisfied the exponential distribution. Based on the simulation results, the CCP growth time was proportional to the volume of CCPs, and the CCPs could be divided into *de novo*, developing, and mature ones according to their sizes. The results also showed a positive correlation of the CCP number with the geometric properties, such as the cell area, but a negative correlation with the average membrane tension. This could be explained by CCP maturation being more difficult under higher cell membrane tension from a mechanical perspective. Further investigation of membrane tension and the spatial distribution of CCPs in six quantiles revealed a sharp increase of CCPs number in the region with the highest tension, and a significant positive correlation between membrane tension and the size of CCPs, particularly the mature ones. Although the underlying molecular mechanism was still unclear, we speculated that when the external environment was complex, the cells might

choose to reinforce the endocytic vesicles by CCPs in high-tension regions to ensure

adequate nutrient uptake in macroscopic representations.

Materials and Methods

Fixed-cell 3D scanning using SIM

RBL-2H3 cells stably expressing clathrin light chain A tagged with green fluorescent

proteins were cultured in minimum essential medium (MEM) (Invitrogen 11095-09) with 20%

fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Sigma f4135-500 mL) and 50 μg/mL gentamicin (Invitrogen

15750-060). The cell culture conditions were 5% carbon dioxide, 37°C, and 95% humidity.

After culturing in a normal medium for 24 h, the cells were washed three times with

phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) (pH = 7.4) and fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde (pH = 7.4).

SIM (n-sim; Nikon) is with objective lens CFI apoTIRF 100× oil (N.A. 1.49), 488-nm laser

transmitter, and CCD camera (DU-897, Andor and Technology). Imaris software was used to

identify green fluorescent spots and obtain their size and XYZ coordinates. It was considered

that the fluorescent spots on the surface of the cell were the CCPs undergoing endocytosis,

whose position was extracted using the self-built MATLAB code.

CCP interaction with Tf observed using TIRF

After culturing in a normal medium for 24 h, the cells were cultured in starvation solution

without FBS for 4 h and then transferred to the image buffer for time series imaging to

eliminate the influence of endocytosis signals from other proteins. During imaging, 20 μg/μL

Tf Alexa Fluor 647 (Thermo Fisher) solution was added. Fluorescent images with two

channels were taken every 2 s. Trajectories of red and green spots were identified using

Imaris software. The temporal and spatial co-location analysis of the trajectories of red and

green fluorescence was programmed using the self-built MATLAB code.

Algorithm. 1 Monte Carlo simulation of CCP generation

1: **For loop j** = [1,2,3…10000]

2:     **For loop t** = [0,2,4…600s]

3:         Generate a random integer N_c satisfying the normal distribution

$$f\left(x\,|\,\mu_c,\sigma_c^2\right)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_c^2}}e^{-\frac{(x-\mu_c)^2}{2\sigma_c^2}}$$ as the number of new CCPs appeared at the step time

t.

4:         Generate a random number K with a uniform distribution of 0–1 for each new

CCPs. If $K > \gamma$, assign this CCP into the productive, otherwise into the abortive

group.

5:         Generate a random number M with a uniform distribution of 0–1. For each

productive CCP, if $M > \sum_{y=\tau+2}^{250} P_p(y)\bigg/\sum_{y=\tau}^{250} P_p(y)$, then mark this CCP at the end of its

lifetime.

For each abortive CCP, if $M > \sum_{y=\tau+2}^{150} P_a(y)\bigg/\sum_{y=\tau}^{150} P_a(y)$, then mark this CCP as the

end of the lifetime.

Calculate the size of each CCP based on two possible relationships: one is

$t \propto r^2$, and the other is $t \propto r^3$.

6:     **End For loop t**

7:     Count the number, lifetime, and CCP size in each group.

8: **End For loop j**

The longest lifetime of the productive and abortive groups to truncate was set at 250 s and

150 s, respectively. The discrete form of PDF for productive CCPs was

$P_p(\tau) = \lambda_p e^{-\lambda_p \tau}, \tau \in [0,250]$ and that for abortive CCPs was $P_a(\tau) = \lambda_a e^{-\lambda_a \tau}, \tau \in [0,150]$. The

growth time proportional to the surface area $\dfrac{t_{max}-t}{t_{max}-t_{min}} = \dfrac{r_{max}^2 - r^2}{r_{max}^2 - r_{min}^2}$ and the volume of CCPs

$\dfrac{t_{max}-t}{t_{max}-t_{min}} = \dfrac{r_{max}^3 - r^3}{r_{max}^3 - r_{min}^3}$ were investigated. Among these, appropriate truncation was taken

according to the actual situation; thus, $t_{max} = 250$ s, $r_{max} = 410$ nm, $t_{min} = 0$ s, and $r_{min} = 0$ nm.

The method to predict the size of mature CCPs under membrane tension is presented in

reference [22]. The method to simulate the membrane tension distribution of the spread cells

is presented in reference [23].

Acknowledgments

This study was supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China

(12072198) and the China Scholarship Council for Joint PhD program (201206230004). W X

received funding from the Mechanobiology Institute seed grant, Ministry of Education's

Academic Research Fund Tier 1 Grant (R-397-000-247-112). RBL-2H3 cells stably

expressing clathrin light chain A tagged with green fluorescent proteins were provided by

Professor Min Wu's research group at the National University of Singapore. The

experimental work was conducted at the Nanolab of Professor Chwee Teck Lim's research

group at the National University of Singapore.

Abbreviations

CCP Clathrin-coated pit

CDF Cumulative distribution function

K-S test Kolmogorov–Smirnov test

MSD Mean square distance

PDF Probability density function

SIM Structured illumination microscopy

Tf Transferrin

TfR Transferrin receptor

TIRF Total internal reflection fluorescence microscopy

References

1.   Kaksonen M, Roux A. Mechanisms of clathrin-mediated endocytosis. Nat Rev Mol
Cell Biol 2018;19(5):313-26.

2.   Boulant S, Kural C, Zeeh JC, Ubelmann F, Kirchhausen T. Actin dynamics counteract
membrane tension during clathrin-mediated endocytosis. Nat Cell Biol 2011;13(9):1124-31.

3.   Saleem M, Morlot S, Hohendahl A, Manzi J, Lenz M, Roux A. A balance between
membrane elasticity and polymerization energy sets the shape of spherical clathrin coats. Nat
Commun 2015;6(6249.

4.   Morlot S, Galli V, Klein M, Chiaruttini N, Manzi J, Humbert F, Dinis L, Lenz M,
Cappello G, Roux A. Membrane shape at the edge of the dynamin helix sets location and
duration of the fission reaction. Cell 2012;151(3):619-29.

5.   Loerke D, Mettlen M, Yarar D, Jaqaman K, Jaqaman H, Danuser G, Schmid SL.
Cargo and dynamin regulate clathrin-coated pit maturation. PLoS Biol 2009;7(3):e57.

6.   Kirchhausen T. Imaging endocytic clathrin structures in living cells. Trends Cell Biol
2009;19(11):596-605.

7.   Banerjee A, Berezhkovskii A, Nossal R. Stochastic model of clathrin-coated pit
assembly. Biophys J 2012;102(12):2725-30.

8.   Banerjee A, Berezhkovskii A, Nossal R. Distributions of lifetime and maximum size
of abortive clathrin-coated pits. Phys Rev E Stat Nonlin Soft Matter Phys 2012;86(3 Pt
1):031907.

9.   Heuser J. Three-dimensional visualization of coated vesicle formation in fibroblasts. J
Cell Biol 1980;84(3):560-83.

10.   Pearse BM, Crowther RA. Structure and assembly of coated vesicles. Annu Rev
Biophys Biophys Chem 1987;16(49-68.

11.   Schermelleh L, Heintzmann R, Leonhardt H. A guide to super-resolution fluorescence
microscopy. J Cell Biol 2010;190(2):165-75.

12.   Li D, Shao L, Chen BC, Zhang X, Zhang M, Moses B, Milkie DE, Beach JR,
Hammer JA, 3rd, Pasham M, Kirchhausen T, Baird MA, Davidson MW, Xu P, Betzig E.
ADVANCED IMAGING. Extended-resolution structured illumination imaging of endocytic
and cytoskeletal dynamics. Science 2015;349(6251):aab3500.

13.   Willy NM, Ferguson JP, Akatay A, Huber S, Djakbarova U, Silahli S, Cakez C,
Hasan F, Chang HC, Travesset A, Li S, Zandi R, Li D, Betzig E, Cocucci E, Kural C. De
novo endocytic clathrin coats develop curvature at early stages of their formation. Dev Cell
2021;56(22):3146-59.e5.

14.   Djakbarova U, Madraki Y, Chan ET, Kural C. Dynamic interplay between cell
membrane tension and clathrin-mediated endocytosis. Biol Cell 2021;113(8):344-73.

15.   Dai J, Ting-Beall HP, Sheetz MP. The secretion-coupled endocytosis correlates with
membrane tension changes in RBL 2H3 cells. J Gen Physiol 1997;110(1):1-10.

16.   Ferguson JP, Willy NM, Heidotting SP, Huber SD, Webber MJ, Kural C. Deciphering
dynamics of clathrin-mediated endocytosis in a living organism. J Cell Biol 2016;214(3):347-
58.

17.   Gauthier NC, Masters TA, Sheetz MP. Mechanical feedback between membrane
tension and dynamics. Trends Cell Biol 2012;22(10):527-35.

18.   Yonashiro R, Sugiura A, Miyachi M, Fukuda T, Matsushita N, Inatome R, Ogata Y,
Suzuki T, Dohmae N, Yanagi S. Mitochondrial ubiquitin ligase MITOL ubiquitinates mutant
SOD1 and attenuates mutant SOD1-induced reactive oxygen species generation. Mol Biol
Cell 2009;20(21):4524-30.

19.   Tan X, Heureaux J, Liu AP. Cell spreading area regulates clathrin-coated pit
dynamics on micropatterned substrate. Integr Biol (Camb) 2015;7(9):1033-43.

20.   Cureton DK, Harbison CE, Cocucci E, Parrish CR, Kirchhausen T. Limited
transferrin receptor clustering allows rapid diffusion of canine parvovirus into clathrin
endocytic structures. J Virol 2012;86(9):5330-40.

21.   Ehrlich M, Boll W, Van Oijen A, Hariharan R, Chandran K, Nibert ML, Kirchhausen
T. Endocytosis by random initiation and stabilization of clathrin-coated pits. Cell
2004;118(5):591-605.

22.   Liu X, Yang H, Liu Y, al e. Numerical study of clathrin-mediated endocytosis of
nanoparticles by cells under tension. Acta Mech Sin 2019;35(691-701.

23.   Liu X, Tsubota K, Yu Y, al e. A numerical method to predict the tension distribution
on the membrane of spreading cells based on the reconstruction of focal adhesions. Sci
CHINA Physics, Mech Astron 2022.

24.   Betzig E, Patterson GH, Sougrat R, Lindwasser OW, Olenych S, Bonifacino JS,
Davidson MW, Lippincott-Schwartz J, Hess HF. Imaging intracellular fluorescent proteins at
nanometer resolution. Science 2006;313(5793):1642-5.

25.   Jaqaman K, Loerke D, Mettlen M, Kuwata H, Grinstein S, Schmid SL, Danuser G.
Robust single-particle tracking in live-cell time-lapse sequences. Nat Methods
2008;5(8):695-702.

26.   Thomann D, Rines DR, Sorger PK, Danuser G. Automatic fluorescent tag detection in
3D with super-resolution: application to the analysis of chromosome movement. J Microsc
2002;208(Pt 1):49-64.

27.   Willy NM, Ferguson JP, Huber SD, Heidotting SP, Aygün E, Wurm SA, Johnston-
Halperin E, Poirier MG, Kural C. Membrane mechanics govern spatiotemporal heterogeneity
of endocytic clathrin coat dynamics. Mol Biol Cell 2017;28(24):3480-8.

28.   Yang Y, Xiong D, Pipathsouk A, Weiner OD, Wu M. Clathrin Assembly Defines the
Onset and Geometry of Cortical Patterning. Dev Cell 2017;43(4):507-21.e4.

29.   Gustafsson MG. Nonlinear structured-illumination microscopy: wide-field
fluorescence imaging with theoretically unlimited resolution. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A
2005;102(37):13081-6.

30.   Lin PY, Ge J, Kuang C, al e. Fluorescence detection and lifetime imaging with
stimulated emission, in: Opt. Nanoscopy Nov Microsc Tech 2014:161-78.

31.   Huang B, Wang W, Bates M, Zhuang X. Three-dimensional super-resolution imaging
by stochastic optical reconstruction microscopy. Science 2008;319(5864):810-3.

32.   Grossier JP, Xouri G, Goud B, Schauer K. Cell adhesion defines the topology of
endocytosis and signaling. Embo j 2014;33(1):35-45.

33.   Jin AJ, Prasad K, Smith PD, Lafer EM, Nossal R. Measuring the elasticity of clathrin-
coated vesicles via atomic force microscopy. Biophys J 2006;90(9):3333-44.

34.   Pietuch A, Janshoff A. Mechanics of spreading cells probed by atomic force
microscopy. Open Biol 2013;3(7):130084.

35.   Raucher D, Sheetz MP. Cell spreading and lamellipodial extension rate is regulated
by membrane tension. J Cell Biol 2000;148(1):127-36.

36.   Houk AR, Jilkine A, Mejean CO, Boltyanskiy R, Dufresne ER, Angenent SB,
Altschuler SJ, Wu LF, Weiner OD. Membrane tension maintains cell polarity by confining
signals to the leading edge during neutrophil migration. Cell 2012;148(1-2):175-88.

37.   Lieber AD, Yehudai-Resheff S, Barnhart EL, Theriot JA, Keren K. Membrane
tension in rapidly moving cells is determined by cytoskeletal forces. Curr Biol
2013;23(15):1409-17.

38.   Dai J, Sheetz MP. Regulation of endocytosis, exocytosis, and shape by membrane
tension. Cold Spring Harb Symp Quant Biol 1995;60(567-71.

Table 1. K–S test results of the CCP generation intensity

	Productive CCPs	Abortive CCPs	Total CCPs
Poisson distribution	$H_0 = 1, P = 6.88\text{e-}04$	$H_0 = 1, P = 0.0026$	$H_0 = 1, P = 2.24\text{e-}06$
Rayleigh distribution	$H_0 = 1, P = 3.60\text{e-}07$	$H_0 = 1, P = 0.0013$	$H_0 = 1, P = 2.16\text{e-}04$
Normal distribution	$H_0 = 0, P = 0.1016$	$H_0 = 0, P = 0.4667$	$H_0 = 0, P = 0.2423$

Figure Legends

Figure 1. (A) Schematic diagram of CCP wrapping Tfs. (B) CCPs were classified into (1)

productive and (2) abortive catalogs. (C) One frame in fluorescent image sequence obtained

by TIRF. (1) CCPs. (2)Tfs. (3) Overlapping of CCPs and Tfs. (4) Spots identified by Imaris.

Typical trajectories of (E) productive and (F) abortive CCPs. (1) Locations of the trajectories.

(2) Mean square distance of the trajectories. (3) Histogram of diffusion coefficient. (F) (1)

CCPs locations colored by their lifetime, and heatmap of CCP (2) counts and (3) mean

lifetime in each meshgrid.

Figure 2. (A) Numbers of new CCPs in each new frame. (B) Histogram of new CCP

numbers in each new frame; they were fitted by the Rayleigh, Poisson, and normal

distributions. (C) Cumulative distribution function of the new CCPs in each new frame. (D)

Probability density function distribution of the new CCPs in each new frame. (1) Productive

CCPs. (2) Abortive CCPs. (3) All CCPs.

Figure 3. (A) Fluorescent image of CCPs on Cell ID.09 was chosen as a demonstration

observed by SIM. The CCP locations identified (B) on the total surface (side view), (C) on

the bottom surface (bottom view), and (D) on the dorsal surface (top view) in the boxes of 32

$\times$ 32 $\times$ 15 μm^3. The distributions of CCP number (E) and density (F) were sorted by size on a

total of 12 scanned cells.

Figure 4. (A) Proportions of the productive and abortive CCPs and their lifetime histogram,

comparing the Monte Carlo simulation with the experimental data. (B) Comparison of the

CCP proportions in different size ranges between the experimental observation and the

Monte Carlo simulation, considering two possible relationships between the CCP size and

growth time.

Figure 5. Growth time and sizes of the developing CCPs when the Monte Carlo simulation

terminated. (A) Histogram of the CCP growthtime compared with the experimental values.

(B) Relationship between the CCP size and growth time fitted by $t \propto r^3$. (C) CDF of the

growth time of the total simulated CCPs fitted by $t \propto r^3$. (D) CDF of the CCP growth time

of experimental data with double abscissas fitted by $t \propto r^3$.

Figure 6. Numerical results of the first principal membrane tension of (A) the standard

spread cell model, (B) a square-bottomed cell with the same bottom area, and (C) a circular

cell with a double-bottomed area compared with the standard spread cell model. (D–F) Cell

ID.01-03. Cell ID.04-12 can be seen in Supporting Information.

Figure 7. Relevance of the CCP number, cell geometry, and membrane tension.

Figure 8. Comparison of the CCP locations and the membrane tension on Cell ID.11.

(A1–3) CCP locations on the surface scanned by SIM. (A4) Stacked histogram of the CCP

sizes on the dorsal and bottom surfaces. (B1–3) Simulated membrane tension distribution in

six quantiles. (B4) Membrane tension histogram. (C1–2) Background membrane tension

distribution where CCPs are located and catalogued by their size ranges. (C3–4) CCP

numbers in six quantiles of the membrane tension catalogued by their size ranges. (D1–3)

Stacked histogram of the CCP sizes was sorted by six tension quantiles. Spots ♦ and ◊

represent the average of the area expansion rate in each quantile and within the local areas of

the CCPs, respectively. (D4) Relevance of the CCP numbers in each quantile and the average

tension where CCPs were located. (E1–3) CCP density bar in each tension quantile. (E4)

Relevance of the CCP density in each quantile and the average tension where CCPs were

located.

Figure 9. CCP numbers (A) and average tension (B) in six quantiles of tension. The

component of the CCP size (C) and the comparison of their proportion changes (D) in six

quantiles of tension. The relevance of the CCP number (E) and density (F) to the average of

tension in six quantiles.

Figure 10. Size of mature CCPs increase with cell membrane tension when wrapping

nanoparticles of different diameters. (A) $D = 25$ nm, (B) $D = 50$ nm, (C) $D = 75$ nm, and

(D) D = 100 nm. (E) CCP size increased with the membrane tension. (E) Deformation energy

increased with the membrane tension.

Supporting Information

Figure S1. Comparison of the CCP locations and the membrane tension on Cell ID.01.

Figure S2. Comparison of the CCP locations and the membrane tension on Cell ID.02.

Figure S3. Comparison of the CCP locations and the membrane tension on Cell ID.03.

Figure S4. Comparison of the CCP locations and the membrane tension on Cell ID.04.

Figure S5. Comparison of the CCP locations and the membrane tension on Cell ID.05.

Figure S6. Comparison of the CCP locations and the membrane tension on Cell ID.06.

Figure S7. Comparison of the CCP locations and the membrane tension on Cell ID.07.

Figure S8. Comparison of the CCP locations and the membrane tension on Cell ID.08.

Figure S9. Comparison of the CCP locations and the membrane tension on Cell ID.09.

Figure S10. Comparison of the CCP locations and the membrane tension on Cell ID.10.

Figure S11. Comparison of the CCP locations and the membrane tension on Cell ID.12.

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3

A

10 um

B CCPs on cell surface (side view)

Cell ID.01 Cell ID.02 Cell ID.03 Cell ID.04

Cell ID.05 Cell ID.06 Cell ID.07 Cell ID.08

Cell ID.09 Cell ID.10 Cell ID.11 Cell ID.12

C CCPs at cell bottom

Cell ID.01 Cell ID.02 Cell ID.03 Cell ID.04

Cell ID.05 Cell ID.06 Cell ID.07 Cell ID.08

Cell ID.09 Cell ID.10 Cell ID.11 Cell ID.12

D CCPs on dorsal surface

Cell ID.01 Cell ID.02 Cell ID.03 Cell ID.04

Cell ID.05 Cell ID.06 Cell ID.07 Cell ID.08

Cell ID.09 Cell ID.10 Cell ID.11 Cell ID.12

E Number of CCPs on cell surface

At cell bottom
On dorsal surface

Number

CCPs diameter (nm)

F Density of CCPs on cell surface

At cell bottom
On dorsal surface

Number per area (1/μm^2)

CCPs diameter (nm)

Figure 4

Figure 5

Figure 6

Figure 7

Relevances of CCPs number, geometric parameters and tension (Total 12 cells)

Figure 8

Figure 9

Figure 10