1 **TITLE**
2
3 **Ultra-high throughput mapping of genetic design space**
4
5
6 **AUTHORS**
7

8 Kshitij Rai[1,4,*], Ronan W. O'Connell[1,3,*], Trenton C. Piepergerdes[1,3], Yiduo Wang[1,3], Lucas B. C.
9 Brown[1,4], Kian D. Samra[1], Jack A. Wilson[1], Shujian Lin[1], Thomas H. Zhang[1], Eduardo M. Ramos[1],
10 Andrew Sun[1], Bryce Kille[5], Kristen D. Curry[5], Jason W. Rocks[6], Todd J. Treangen[5], Pankaj Me-
11 hta[6,7,8], and Caleb J. Bashor[1,2,§]
12
13
14 **AFFILIATIONS**
15
[1]Department of Bioengineering, Rice University, Houston, TX 77030, USA
[2]Department of Biosciences, Rice University, Houston, TX 77030, USA
[3]Graduate Program in Bioengineering, Rice University, Houston, TX 77030, USA
[4]Graduate Program in Systems, Synthetic and Physical Biology, Rice University, Houston, TX
77030, USA
[5]Department of Computer Science, Rice University, Houston, TX 77005, USA
[6]Department of Physics, Boston University, Boston, MA 02215, USA
[7]Biological Design Center, Boston University, Boston, MA 02215, USA
[8]Faculty of Computing and Data Science, Boston University, Boston, MA 02215, USA
[§]Correspondence. Email: caleb.bashor@rice.edu
*These authors contributed equally to this work

ABSTRACT

Massively parallel genetic screens have been used to map sequence-to-function relationships for a variety of genetic elements. However, because these approaches only interrogate short sequences, it remains challenging to perform high throughput (HT) assays on constructs containing combinations of multiple sequence elements arranged across multi-kb length scales. Overcoming this barrier could accelerate synthetic biology; by screening diverse gene circuit designs and learning "composition-to-function" mappings that reveal genetic part composability rules and enable rapid identification of behavior-optimized variants. Here, we introduce CLASSIC, a genetic screening platform that combines long- and short-read next-generation sequencing (NGS) modalities to quantitatively assess pools of constructs of arbitrary length containing diverse part compositions. We show that CLASSIC can measure expression profiles of >10^5 gene circuit designs (from 5-20 kb) in a single experiment in human cells. The resulting datasets can be used to train ML models that accurately predict circuit behavior across expansive circuit design landscapes, revealing part composability rules that govern circuit performance. Our work shows that by expanding the throughput of each design-build-test-learn (DBTL) cycle, CLASSIC enhances the pace and scale of synthetic biology and establishes an experimental basis for data-driven design of complex genetic systems.

MAIN TEXT

Synthetic gene circuits are constructed by assembling DNA-encoded genetic parts into multi-gene programs that perform computational tasks in living cells[1-3]. Over the past two decades, gene circuits have emerged as important models for understanding native gene regulation[4] and have been used to create powerful biotechnologies by enabling user-defined control over cellular behavior[5-7]. Despite this progress, the design of quantitatively precise circuit behavior remains challenging. Regulatory interactions within a circuit must be carefully tuned, often through multiple iterative DBTL cycles, before part compositions that support a desired circuit behavior are identified[8]. Additionally, since genetic parts must work in close physical proximity to one another, as well as within a crowded intracellular environment[9], incidental molecular coupling can occur between parts and with host cell regulatory machinery[10-12]. These context-dependent interactions can confound the ability to design circuits using biophysical and mechanistic modeling frameworks, further extending the number of DBTL cycles required to achieve a target behavior[13].

One potential strategy for increasing the pace of gene circuit engineering is to expand the number of circuits tested in each cycle by performing HT functional screens on pooled circuit libraries. By measuring large collections of circuits in a single experiment, such an approach could be used for rapidly profiling complex circuit design spaces to identify part compositions with desired quantitative behaviors. In contrast to traditional circuit engineering approaches, collecting large amounts of circuit activity data could also facilitate the training of ML/AI models that are capable of inferring context-specific part function and more accurately predicting the behavior of untested circuit designs. HT screening approaches that utilize short-read NGS as a readout[14-18] have been used to generate detailed sequence-to-function mappings for multiple genetic part classes, including promoters[19-23], terminators and 3' UTRs[24,25], transcription factors (TFs)[26,27], nucleic acid switches[28], and receptors[29]. However, high-depth functional profiling of libraries of DNA constructs long enough (>1 kb) to encode entire circuits can be costly and technically challenging. Methods have emerged that permit multiplexed analysis of long constructs by appending short

barcode index sequences that facilitate amplicon-based readout by short-read NGS[30]. This ap-

proach has been used in array formats in which each construct is assembled and barcoded sep-

arately, or through nested assembly schemes[30,31], limiting library design flexibility and assay

throughput. More recently, random barcoding and indexing via long-read single-molecule real-

time (SMRT) sequencing (PacBio) has been used to characterize libraries of variants ranging

from 10^2 to 10^4 constructs, mostly for individual ORFs of up to 6 kb in length[32-34].

To perform indexed multiplexing for construct libraries containing combinations of genetic

parts at larger length scales, we devised an approach that combines long-read nanopore and

short-read Illumina NGS to analyze construct libraries generated via pooled part assembly (**Fig.**

1A). Our assembly scheme incorporates structured barcodes that can be used to create a con-

struct composition-to-barcode index by nanopore sequencing. The construct libraries can then be

introduced into cells, binned based on functional phenotype, and analyzed by short-read (Illumina)

amplicon sequencing to produce a barcode-to-phenotype index. A map that matches construct

composition to phenotype can be made by comparing the two indices. Using this technique, which

we refer to as CLASSIC (combining long- and short-range sequencing to investigate genetic com-

plexity), it is possible to obtain high-depth phenotypic expression data for large libraries (>10^5) of

genetic part compositions of arbitrary length using standard phenotypic selection or flow sorting

experiments.

To configure CLASSIC to quantitatively profile libraries of constructs containing diverse

genetic part combinations in human cells (**Fig. 1B**), we designed a custom hierarchical golden-

gate[35] cloning scheme in which library diversity is programmed through a series of pooled DNA

assembly steps. Diversified pools of 5', coding, and 3' gene elements are first generated through

the assembly of input part fragments (level 0 to 1), and subsequently combined to create single-

gene expression unit (EU) pools (level 1 to 2). The EU pools are then combined with a BFP EU

plasmid pool that expresses a transcript containing semi-degenerate barcode sequences in the

3' UTR, yielding barcoded multi-EU circuit libraries (level 2 to 3). Following nanopore sequencing

and data analysis (see **Methods**), the libraries are genomically integrated at single copy into a

HEK293T cell line harboring a custom "landing pad" cassette (HEK-LP)[36,37] (see **Methods**). Li-

brary-integrated cells are then flow-sorted based on circuit expression output, followed by Illumina

NGS to quantitate bin distributions of circuit-associated barcodes[14,15] (see **Methods**). We vali-

dated this workflow with a small (n=384) expression unit (EU) library containing shuffled regula-

tory elements (promoter[38,39], Kozak sequence[40], and terminator[24,41,42]), demonstrating that our as-

sembly scheme yields complete, part-balanced library coverage and proportional assortment of

unique barcodes. HEK-LP library integration and flow-seq measurements were compared with

flow cytometry measurements made with a set of randomly isolated, clonally expanded variants.

These "ground truth" measurements showed excellent agreement with corresponding CLASSIC-

derived values (mean absolute error [*MAE*]=0.07), and demonstrated that measurement precision

is enhanced by mapping multiple unique barcodes to each composition. We also collected repli-

cate libraries to demonstrate that CLASSIC is technically (r^2=0.99) and biologically (r^2=0.97) re-

producible. Finally, we showed that the data can be used to quantify relative part contributions to

expression level, as well as identify unexpected interference between parts.

To evaluate whether CLASSIC can be used to experimentally profile a complex design

space for a multi-gene circuit, we constructed a library of small-molecule inducible, single-input

transcriptional switches comprising >10^5 potential unique compositions. Circuits in this library con-

sist of two EUs (**Fig. 2A**): one encoding a constitutively expressed synthetic Cys2-His2 zinc-finger

(ZF)-based transcription factor (synTF)[43-45] with appended transcriptional activation domains

(TAs), and the other encoding a reporter gene harboring cognate synTF binding motifs (BMs)

located upstream of a minimal promoter driving eGFP expression[43]. Transcriptional induction oc-

curs upon addition of the small molecule 4-hydroxytamoxifen (4-OHT), which binds to a mutant

version of the human estrogen receptor (ERT2)[46] appended to the synTF, facilitating its translo-

cation from the cytoplasm into the nucleus to activate reporter transcription. As we and others

have demonstrated[43,47-49], identifying designs that are optimized for high fold-change (HFC) (**Fig.**

2A, right) expression can be challenging in mammalian systems; to ensure robust expression of

a transgene exclusively in the presence of inducer, basal and induced expression levels must be

respectively minimized and maximized through tuning of both the transcriptional regulatory fea-

tures of the locus and the molecular properties of the synTF.

To create a library that diversifies the design of this circuit architecture, we identified 10

genetic part categories that we hypothesized could play a role in circuit tuning to achieve HFC

behavior. This included 4 different transcriptional activation domains (TAs)[50-52], 3 ZF affinities[43],

and a set of 4 intrinsically disordered protein (IDP) domains[53-55] that have been shown to facilitate

liquid-liquid phase condensation of nuclear-localized TFs[53,54] (**Fig. 2B, left**). We also varied tran-

scriptional regulatory features of the circuit, including 4 promoters and 4 terminators in the synTF

coding EU, as well as 3 core promoters[56-58] and different numbers of BMs (2, 4, 8, or 12) in the

reporter EU (**Fig. 2B, left**). Additionally, we varied the spacing between the EUs (0, 250, or 500

bp) along with their 5'-to-3' orientation (**Fig. 2B, left**) to yield an overall circuit design space of

165,888 compositions (**Fig. 2B, right**). This library was constructed in 3 steps by first assembling

protein domain parts to create a level 1 synTF ORF pool, then conducting parallel assemblies to

generate level 2 pools of synTF coding and reporter EUs, and finally combining EU and barcode

pools into the level 3 destination vector (**Fig. 2B right**).

Nanopore sequencing of the pooled library yielded barcode assignments for 95.3% of total

compositions (**Fig. 2C**), with a mean of 8.4 barcodes for each circuit (**Fig. 2C bottom left**). We

integrated the library into HEK-LP cells and sorted un-induced and 4-OHT-induced populations

(8.6 and 15.7 million cells, respectively) separately into 8 bins based on eGFP fluorescence (**Fig.

2D left**). Following analysis by Illumina NGS, we matched a total of 121,292 (73% of design

space) compositions to barcodes that were detected in the sorted populations (mean barcodes

circuit^{-1}=2.25) (**Fig. 2C**). We then used barcode bin distributions to compute basal, induced, and

fold-change expression values for each variant. CLASSIC-derived fold-change values demon-

strated excellent overall agreement (*MAE*=0.15) (**Fig. 2D top middle**) with those of random

isolates (n=40) (**Fig. 2D bottom**), and values for both basal and induced expression showed

comparably high percent similarities (**Fig. 2D top right**). These results confirm that CLASSIC can

generate quantitatively accurate measurements of libraries large enough to profile complex ge-

netic design spaces.

Since an incomplete mapping of circuit design space (27% was unmeasured) could limit

our ability to identify HFC-optimized compositions, we tested whether a complete mapping could

be obtained by training an ML model with our data and then using it to predict the behavior of

unmeasured compositions. We trained several model classes and found that multi-layer percep-

tron (MLP) (90/10 train/validation split, with high-quality test set r^2=0.86 for basal 0.90 for induced

data) and random forest (0.84 and 0.86) models significantly out-performed biophysically-con-

sistent (0.46 and 0.27) and unconstrained linear regression models (0.53 and 0.64), indicating

that the complex, non-linear interactions between genetic parts can be more accurately captured

by advanced model architectures. We selected the MLP to move forward with (**Fig. 3A**) due to its

predictive accuracy and potential for extensibility (**Fig. 3A)** (see **Methods**). We used the MLP to

impute basal and induced eGFP expression values to yield a complete design space mapping of

all 165,888 compositions (**Fig. 3B**). We then analyzed the distribution of values across a 2-dimen-

sional projection of behavior space (basal vs. induced expression), examining the relative densi-

ties of compositions in 3 regions of interest: low basal (<500 AU), high induced (>70,000 AU), and

HFC expression (>25x fold-change) (**Fig. 3B, right**). We observed a greater proportion of library

members in the low basal than in the high induced region (~4.3x), with ~50% of compositions

showing fold-change values of <3x. Only a small fraction of the library (~8%) fell within the HFC

region. While a comparison between MLP-modeled and CLASSIC-measured distributions re-

vealed similar global features, we observed high absolute error (>2) between the model predic-

tions and CLASSIC-measured values at the periphery of behavior space (~3% of variants, includ-

ing many in the HFC region), suggesting either CLASSIC measurement errors or poor model

prediction in these regions.

To experimentally assess if our model can accurately predict behavior across circuit de-

sign space, we constructed cell lines harboring individual CLASSIC-measured (n=81) and un-

measured (n=15) compositions, including many predicted to be among the highest fold-change

compositions in the design space (60-80x) (**Fig. 3C**). Flow cytometry analysis of the cell lines

closely corresponded with model predictions for both measured (*MAE*=0.18) and unmeasured

(*MAE*=0.19) compositions, confirming the behavior of numerous HFC compositions predicted to

be in the 60-80x range, near the upper boundary of behavior space. Cell lines constructed for

circuit configurations that correspond to apparent high-error CLASSIC measurements also

showed strong overall agreement with the model, confirming that it performs accurate error cor-

rection for measurement outliers. These results validate the ability of our CLASSIC-trained ML

model to enable gene circuit design space mapping through accurate imputation of inaccurately

sampled or unsampled compositions.

With a quantitatively accurate mapping of single-input design space in hand, we sought to

uncover part composition rules governing circuit behavior. First, we examined relative part usage

frequencies in design space regions of interest (**Fig. 3D top**). We observed distinct part usage in

categories associated with the synTF protein (TA, IDP, and ZF affinity), as well as the promoter

driving synTF expression, the number of BMs, the core promoter, and EU orientation. For exam-

ple, VPR, a strong TA, is used extensively in the high induced region, but is nearly absent amongst

low basal circuits in favor of a weaker TA, p65, while intermediate-strength VP16 and VP64 are

enriched amongst HFC circuits. By the same token, lower- and medium-activity core promoters

(miniTK and ybTATA) are excluded from high activity circuits, while the low basal region has few

compositions with mCMV (high activity core promoter), and HFC circuits utilize a mix of ybTATA

and mCMV. HFC circuits favored compositions with the reporter EU in the 5' position, an orienta-

tion that appears to minimize basal circuit expression across design space, potentially by elimi-

nating transcriptional crosstalk from the upstream promoter.

To gain further insight into the design rules underlying HFC behavior, we used our trained

MLP to compute Shapley additive explanation (SHAP) values, a widely-used approach that pro-

vides an objective metric of each part category's relative importance in determining target behav-

ior (**Fig. 3E**)[59]. SHAP analysis revealed that HFC behavior is primarily influenced by part selection

within six categories: TA, IDP, ZF affinity, and synTF expression and core promoters. To investi-

gate part usage co-variance, we computed cross-category mutual information (MI) for composi-

tions within the HFC region (**Fig. 3F**). This analysis revealed strong coupling among the six SHAP-

important categories, indicating that their co-optimization is critical for achieving HFC behavior.

To further explore this interdependence, we applied UMAP-assisted K-means clustering[60] on HFC

compositions, identifying three clusters (**Fig. 3G**). Interestingly, all three had distinct synTF de-

signs, but common locus features. This included features that maximize activation like high BM

valency (n=8 or 1), a strong core promoter (mCMV), and terminator preference, as well as those

that minimize basal expression such reporter-to-coding orientation, medium synTF expression,

and extended spacing (500 bp) between EUs (**Fig. 3G**). The largest cluster (A) featured medium-

activity promoters driving synTF expression (hEF1a1 and hPGK) and medium-strength TAs

(VP64 and VP16) paired with HNR IDP, and medium-affinity ZFs. Performing a dose-response

analysis on a representative composition from this cluster revealed a Hill coefficient (n_H) of 2.13,

indicating moderate cooperativity. In contrast to cluster A, compositions in clusters B and C fa-

vored strong expression (CMV promoter), with B containing medium-affinity ZFs and a weak TA

(p65), and C showing enrichment for weak-affinity ZFs and medium-strength TA (VP16). Taken

together, these observations suggest that HFC behavior is achieved via a common locus design

that minimizes basal reporter expression, and synTF designs that carefully balance expression

level, ZF affinity, and transcriptional activation. These results demonstrate that analysis of CLAS-

SIC-enabled design space mappings can provide novel insight into how part composition enables

target circuit behavior.

While our results establish that accurate design space mappings can be obtained for re-

gimes with balanced training-to-prediction ratios, we realized that models trained with CLASSIC

data would offer greater utility if they could generalize to a broader range of out-of-sample test

cases. To evaluate the model's generalization capacity, we progressively downsampled the train-

ing data while performing validation with the held-out test set (**Fig. 3H, left**). Surprisingly, we

found that only ~9% of the data was sufficient to achieve an r^2 that was 95% of the maximum

(>0.81). We then examined whether the design space mapping could be expanded by incorpo-

rating additional genetic part measurement data (**Fig. 3H, right**). To do this, we assembled two

libraries, each containing approximately 3.5k members: one incorporating a previously unmeas-

ured TA (NFZ)[61] and another with a 10-GS linker replacing the IDP. Following measurement of

these libraries, we fine-tuned the existing "base" model with the new data to predict the expanded

design space, which now included the new parts (approximately 259k compositions). While the

base model alone showed good predictions of new part behavior (r^2 of ~0.82 for basal and 0.87

for induced conditions for NFZ) the addition of the new data improved model generalization,

achieving 95% of maximum accuracy (r^2 of ~0.88 for basal and 0.92 for induced conditions) with

just ~1,000 instances. These results show that our modeling framework is highly data-efficient

and can easily and rapidly incorporate new parts and design features through fine-tuning. This

suggests its potential, through multiple cycles of library construction and testing, to generalize to

design spaces that are much larger than the datasets used for training.

We attempted to evaluate these capabilities for design space expansion by mapping a

design space for multi-input circuits with two inducible synTFs, one regulated by 4-OHT and the

other by Grazoprevir (GZV), a small molecule protease inhibitor that blocks autolytic cleavage of

a NS3 protease-synTF fusion[62] (**Fig. 4A**). In this 3-EU architecture, co-regulation of the reporter

locus by the 2 synTFs could potentially support Boolean logic, including AND-gate function if the

synTFs cooperatively activate the reporter, and OR-gate function if they independently maximize

activation. We hypothesized that this architecture could be tuned to achieve these behaviors by

diversifying a set of 68 design features, including many that were chosen based on our results

from the single-input library, as well as synTF BMs arranged in four distinct patterns, yielding in

an overall design space of approximately 3.4 billion compositions (**Fig. 4B**). To create a mapping

for this space, we employed a two-step data collection strategy, first measuring a densely sam-

pled "base library" space comprising a 44-feature subset (1.1M compositions), and then obtaining

data for an "expansion library" that sparsely sampled the remaining features (**Fig. 4B**). During

base library construction, we deliberately constrained diversity to ensure high read depth, obtain-

ing barcode indexes for ~7% (~112k compositions) of the 1.1M potential compositions (**Fig. 4C**),

with a mean of 3.2 barcodes for each circuit (**Fig. 4C bottom left**). The expansion library was

constructed by assembling 24 independent 1,500-member pools, each containing one of the ex-

pansion features held constant against a random sampling of all other base library features. Both

libraries were integrated separately into HEK-LP cells and treated with 4-OHT, GZV, or both in-

ducers. Each treatment was sorted into 8 bins based on eGFP fluorescence and isolates were

obtained from the base (n=14) and expansion libraries (n=13). As before, we performed Illumina

NGS to obtain expression values from both libraries (~83k and ~45k respectively for base and

expansion libraries) (**Fig. 4C, bottom**), once again observing overall agreement between CLAS-

SIC and isolate measurements (*MAE*=0.28, 0.23) (**Fig. 4D**).

To create a multi-input circuit design space mapping, we first trained a 5-layer MLP (**Fig.**

5A) with the base library data, yielding a model with strong predictive accuracy (90:10 train/vali-

dation split with test set r^2 values of 0.80/0.90/0.86/0.88 for basal/4-OHT/GZV/both inducer pre-

dictions). We then extended the model to the full 3.4B-member design space by fine-tuning with

expansion library data, resulting in good predictive performance (r^2 values of 0.79/0.84/0.84/0.82)

(**Fig. 5B, left**). We calculated similarity of circuit composition behavior across the design space

to that of idealized AND- and OR-gates using Kullback-Leibler divergence (see **Methods**). We

found that, while only a small region of base library space demonstrated Boolean-like behavior

(D_{KL} values of <0.12 for AND, <0.05 for OR), these regions expanded in the complete design

space (**Fig. 5B, right**). To validate the model predictions, we constructed 36 individual composi-

tions spanning the behavior space, 35 of which were unmeasured, including many predicted to

exhibit AND- and OR-like function (**Fig. 5C**). As before, we observed good agreement between

measurements of individual compositions and the model (*MAE* = 0.32) (**Fig. 5C**), confirming the

effectiveness of our data sampling and training approaches.

Similar to the single-input design space, we observed strong polarization in part usage

within both AND- and OR-like regions (**Fig. 5D**). While compositions from both regions were en-

riched for a high number of BMs (n=8 or 12) and strong core promoters (mCMV or SCP2), AND-

like compositions predominantly utilized clustered BM patterns, while OR gates used exclusively

interspersed patterns. In both regions, the 4-OHT-inducible synTF (synTF A) was expressed at

intermediate levels (hEF1a1) while the GZV-inducible synTF (synTF B) showed stronger expres-

sion and greater overall part diversity. SHAP analysis revealed that core promoter and inter-EU

spacing were common drivers of both behaviors (**Fig. 5E**), and OR-like behavior was impacted

by more features than AND-like behavior, indicating a greater degree of feature interactivity. This

was consistent with part usage coupling analysis, which showed a lower degree of interdepend-

ence amongst AND-like compositions, with strong coupling between BM pattern, both synTF ex-

pression promoters, and synTF A affinity (**Fig. 5F, left**). The higher inter-category coupling in the

OR-like region was driven by strong interactions between features on different synTFs (promoters

and TAs) (**Fig. 5F, right**), suggesting co-optimization of expression level and activation strength

are important for tuning OR-like circuit behavior.

Clustering analysis of AND-like compositions yielded 3 major clusters. As with HFC cir-

cuits, locus design remained constant across clusters (**Fig. 5G**). The largest subset of AND-like

compositions (clusters A and B) featured a low-affinity synTF A binding to BMs near the promoter,

while synTF B with a medium-affinity ZF and a weak TA bound distally. This suggests a mecha-

nism in which synTF B, separated from the core promoter by ~110 bp, is unable to independently

activate transcription but can facilitate activation by reinforcing weakly-binding synTF A,

potentially through IDR-mediated interactions (HNR on synTF A, and FusN [cluster A] or HNR

[cluster B] on synTF B) (**Fig. 5G, right**). While this "asymmetric" activation mechanism comprises

the majority of AND-like composition, we observed a third, minority solution family (14%) that

utilizes balanced synTF expression levels and affinities to achieve AND-like behavior. Clustering

of OR-like compositions revealed 4 clusters containing designs allowing synTFs A and B to inde-

pendently activate expression. This is likely facilitated by interspersed BM binding arrays, which

provide both synTFs with similar access to the core promoter (**Fig. 5H**). Medium-affinity synTF

binding is a common feature of all 4 clusters, and a lack of IDRs for synTF A suggesting that

synTF interaction plays a limited role in reporter activation. In summary, our mapping of multi-

input circuit design space allowed for identification of non-intuitive compositional rules, and of-

fered insights into the role that different genetic parts play in determining circuit function.

Here, we have established the utility of combining long- and short-read NGS modalities to

perform massively parallel quantitative profiling of multi-kb length-scale genetic part compositions

in human cells. CLASSIC holds potential for exploring the emergence of phenotypic function from

genetic module composition of across a range of organizational scales and phylogenetic contexts,

including for viruses[63], bacterial operons[64], and chromatin domains[64-66]. Additionally, the flexibility

of our assembly workflow makes CLASSIC adaptable to a wide array of optimization tasks and

phenotypic screening strategies. As we show in this piece, by enabling HT profiling of diverse

genetic part compositions, our approach significantly expands the scope of inquiry for synthetic

biology projects, potentially minimizing the number of DBTL cycles and cost required to identify

behavior-optimized part compositions for projects that involve exploration of medium- to large-

scale design spaces. We envision that CLASSIC could be useful for particularly challenging de-

sign tasks in underdetermined systems, wherein iterative rounds of design space expansion can

enable convergence to difficult-to-access target behaviors. Though, for smaller-scale projects

(<100 constructs) that can leverage well-defined design rules, traditional approaches may be

more cost-effective. Furthermore, since CLASSIC leverages extant, broadly-accessible molecular

cloning and experimental analysis pipelines, we anticipate it will increase the pace and scale of

genetic design for diverse synthetic biology applications across a spectrum of organismal hosts,

including the development of bioproduction strains[67] and multigenic cell therapy programs[5], as

well as other applications that require probing complex genetic design spaces to achieve multi-

dimensional phenotypic optima.

Finally, we showed that data acquired using CLASSIC can not only train ML models to

accurately make predictions for out-of-sample and edge-case circuit behaviors but, with properly

crafted training strategies, to generalize to orders-of-magnitude larger genetic design spaces. Our

results demonstrate the utility of using a data-driven approach to solve complex circuit design

challenges, showcasing the ability to identify designs that support target circuit behavior, while

also revealing underlying design principles from across design space that are non-intuitive and

challenging to capture using biophysical modeling alone. While extensive recent work has lever-

aged ML approaches to develop sequence-to-function models for various classes of genetic

parts[28,68-70], our work serves as a starting point for developing AI-based models of gene circuit

function that use part compositions as learned features. In the future, multi-modal integration of

CLASSIC data with sequence-to-function data could be used to train high-capacity deep-learning

architectures (e.g., transformers) to enable the generative design of circuits to user-specific func-

tion. Such approaches could work in a black-box fashion, without the incorporation of regulatory

or biophysical priors, or synergistically with existing mechanistic frameworks to create interpreta-

ble models that provide deeper insights into genetic design[71].

METHODS

Plasmid library construction

Circuit libraries were cloned using a custom hierarchical golden gate[35] assembly scheme,

which enables rapid, modular cloning of complex gene circuits starting from individual genetic part

sequences. Briefly, input DNA fragments are amplified from genomic or commercial DNA sources

and cloned into kanamycin resistant (KanR) sub-part entry vectors using BpiI (Thermofisher)

(*level 0*). Sequence-verified sub-part plasmids are then used as inputs for assembly into carben-

icillin-resistant (AmpR) entry vectors using BsaI-v2 HF (NEB) to yield genetic part plasmids (i.e.,

promoters, ORFs, terminators) (*level 1*). EUs are then constructed by assembling promoter, ORF,

and terminator part plasmids into a kanamycin-resistant entry vector using Esp3I (Thermofisher)

(*level 2*). EUs are subsequently combined into multi-unit arrays by assembling into a carbenicillin

– or spectinomycin-resistant (SpectR) destination vectors using BpiI (Thermofisher) (*level 3*).

In this system, barcode pools are incorporated into library assemblies at level 2 and 3.

Level 2 barcoded EU pools were generated by first constructing a destination vector carrying a

ccdB placeholder expression cassette downstream of the BFP stop codon. A semi-degenerate

18 bp barcode oligo pool (IDT)[72] was polymerase-extended and cloned in place of the ccdB cas-

sette using BsaI-v2 (NEB) in a 20 µL golden gate reaction: 2 min at 37 °C and 5 min at 16 °C for

a number of cycles equal to 10x the number of input fragments, followed by a 30 min digestion

step at 37 °C and subsequent sequential 15 min denaturation steps at 65 °C and 80 °C. Resulting

assemblies were purified using a miniprep column (Epoch Life Sciences), electroporated (BioRad)

into 100 µL NEB 10-Beta electrocompetent *E. coli* (NEB), and plated onto a custom 30 in x 24 in

LB$_{Kan}$ agar plate, yielding ~120M colonies. Plates were grown at 37 °C for 16 h, at which time

colonies were scraped into LB$_{Kan}$ (20 mL), incubated for 30 min at 37 °C, and the plasmid library

extracted via miniprep (Qiagen). For large-scale libraries, level 3 barcode pools were generated

in order to ensure a unique barcode-to-circuit mapping. To create level 3 barcode pools, a semi-

degenerate 18 bp oligo pool (IDT) was polymerase-extended and cloned via golden gate assem-

bly into a SpectR destination vector carrying a placeholder ccdB cassette using PaqCI (NEB)

following the assembly protocol above. Resulting assemblies were transformed into 100 µL in-

house generated chemically competent[73,74] Stbl3 *E. coli* cells and plated onto a 10 cm LB$_{Spect}$ agar

plate. Plates were grown at 37 °C for 20 h to yield approximately 15,000 colonies, followed by

colony scraping and plasmid DNA extraction, as described above.

Part pools (levels 1), EU pools (level 2) and circuit libraries (level 3) were constructed by

combining input plasmids at 50 fmol for each part category (15 μL total volume) using the above-

described cycling protocol. Transformations varied by library size: level 2 and 3 assemblies for

the EU library were respectively transformed into 100 μL or 200μL of chemically competent *E.*

coli (DH5a and Stbl3) and plated on LB_{Kan} or LB_{Carb} agar plates for 12-16 h (37 °C) to yield ~15,000

colonies, respectively. Colonies were scraped into 13 mL LB_{Kan} or LB_{Carb} and miniprepped using

a Qiagen kit. Level 1 and 2 assemblies for the single-input library (**Fig. 2B**) were transformed

using 100 μL and 400 μL of chemically competent *E. coli* (Stbl3) and grown on LB_{Carb} and LB_{Kan}

agar plates at 37 °C for 12-16 h to yield ~5,000 and ~20,000 colonies, respectively. Level 3 single-

input base library assemblies were purified using a miniprep column (Epoch Life Sciences), elec-

troporated (BioRad) into 100 μL 10-beta electrocompetent cells (NEB), and then plated on 10 15

cm LB_{Spect} agar plates for 16-20 h (37 °C) to yield ~3M colonies. Each level 3 single-input fine-

tuning library assembly was transformed into 500 μL of chemically competent *E. coli* (Stbl3) and

grown on LB_{Spect} agar plates at 37 °C for 12-16 h to yield ~40,000 colonies.

Level 1 assemblies for the multi-input base library (**Fig. 4B**) were treated identically to

those from the single-input library. All level 2 assemblies associated with the base design space

were transformed into 100μL of chemically competent *E. coli* (DH5a) and grown on LB_{Kan} agar

plates at 37 °C for 12-16 h to yield ~200 colonies. 20 (reporter EUs) or 32 (synTF A or B EUs)

colonies from each level 2 library were picked separately into 1 mL of TB_{Kan} and shaken overnight

at 37 °C and 900 rpm. 200μL from the 20 or 32 cultures associated with each level 2 library was

combined and miniprepped using a Qiagen kit. Separate level 3 base library assemblies were

carried out for each of the 6 possible circuit orientations, and purified using a miniprep column

(Epoch Life Sciences), electroporated (BioRad) into 15 μL of electrocompetent cells (10-beta,

NEB), and then plated on a 15 cm LB_{Spect} agar plates for 16-20 h (37 °C) to yield ~200k colonies.

Cells were scraped and miniprepped separately using a Qiagen kit.

Level 1 assemblies for the multi-input expansion library were generated separately for

each new part (24 in total) by combining balanced pools of level 0 part plasmids while holding the

new parts constant (**Fig. 4B**). After transforming, scraping, and miniprepping these new part li-

braries as described above, Level 2 assemblies were generated for each new part, transformed

into 20 μL of chemically competent *E. coli* (DH5a), and grown on LB_{Kan} agar plates at 37 °C for

12-16 h to yield ~50-100 colonies. To sample compositional diversity within each new part library,

10 colonies from each plate were picked into 1 mL of TB_{Kan}, shaken overnight at 37 °C and 900

rpm, pooled, and miniprepped using the Star Liquid Handler (Hamilton) to generate 72 level 2

new part libraries. Each of these libraries were then assembled with 5-member level 2 pools from

the base library that corresponded to positions not containing the EUs harboring the new parts,

along with the BFP barcode EU pool. These level 3 assemblies were then pooled based on their

orientation, column purified, electroporated (BioRad) into 7.5 μL of 10-beta electrocompetent cells

(NEB), and then plated on a 10 cm LB_{Spect} agar plates for 16-20 h (37 °C) to yield ~100k colonies.

The libraries were scraped and miniprepped separately by Qiagen kit.

Long-read plasmid sequencing

To generate indices linking assembled constructs to their associated DNA barcodes, we

used Oxford Nanopore Technology (ONT) long-read sequencing[75,76]. Libraries were prepared for

long-read sequencing by digesting 2 μg of a level 3 plasmid library using Esp3I (Thermofisher)

and purifying linearized fragments using a 0.5x volume of magnetic beads (Omega Bio-Tek) by

volume. Nanopore sequencing adapters were added to the linearized DNA pool using the LSK-

112 or LSK-114 ligation sequencing kit (ONT) and sequenced using on a MinION Mk1b device

(ONT) equipped with an R10 flow cell (FLO-MIN112) or R10.4.1 flow cell (FLO-MIN114). Base-

calling was performed using Guppy or Dorado (ONT, super high accuracy mode) running on a

GPU (Nvidia RTX 3090). Composition and barcode assignment was performed using WIMPY

(what's in my pot, y'all), a custom Matlab analysis pipeline composed of six discrete modules that

converts basecalled Fastq files into a part composition array and barcode sequence for each

Nanopore read. Briefly, WIMPY first uses Fastqall (1) to import and consolidate basecalled fastq

files, followed by Bowtiles (2) to index the reads to a constant region in the level 3 plasmid back-

bone. In this study, the puromycin resistance cassette (PuroR) was designated as the constant

region, and after indexing to PuroR, reads were filtered to remove incomplete assemblies and

non-library fragments. WIMPY then calls Tilepin (3), which uses localized containment searching

(aka tiling) to identify important genetic landmarks, such as ORFs, to define the different sections

of the sequence (e.g., reporter array, synthetic transcription factor coding region, or barcoded

BFP expression unit). Chophat (4) then truncates the reads into smaller arrays based on the

previously identified landmarks to aid later identification of individual parts. WIMPY then calls

Viscount (5a) or FASTar (5b). Viscount determines compositions for filtered reads by identifying

and assigning genetic parts through a combination of general Smith-Waterman alignments[77] and

localized containment searches. This is done by splitting the reference sequence into 6-10bp

"tiles" with a 1bp stride, after which nanopore reads are queried for the number of tiles contained

for each part reference sequence. FASTar uses the same localized containment searches but

indexes the location and number of observed reference sequences, and is used to identify re-

peated sequences, such as number of BMs in the single-input library, or BM patterns in the dual-

input library. Reads containing >3% of tiles for a reference sequence are assigned while reads

with more than one reference assignment are discarded. Barcode sequences for each read are

concurrently determined by Barcoat (5c), in which the sequence section downstream of the BFP

EU is aligned to a degenerate reference sequence using a custom alignment matrix.

Cell culture

HEK293T cells (ATCC® CRL-11268™) used in this study were cultured under humidity

control at 37 °C with 5% CO_2 in media containing Dulbecco's modified Eagle medium (DMEM)

with high glucose (Gibco, 12100061) supplemented with 10% Fetal Bovine Serum (FBS;

GeminiBio, 900-108), 50 units/ml penicillin, 50 µg/ml streptomycin (Pen Strep; Gibco, 15070063),

2 mM L-Alanyl-L-Glutamine (Caisson labs, GLL02), referred to hereafter as complete DMEM.

HEK293T-LP cells with and without integrated libraries were maintained in complete DMEM sup-

plemented with 50 µg/mL Hygromycin B (Sigma, H3274) and 1 µg/mL Puromycin (Sigma, P8833),

respectively.

Single-copy library integration

To establish a landing pad (LP) cell line, low passage HEK293T cells were co-transfected

with a dual Cas9 and gRNA expression vector (pX330-T2) targeting the human *AAVS1* locus[78]

and a PmeI-linearized (NEB) repair template comprising a constitutively expressed YFP-HygR-

expression cassette with a BxB1 *attP* recognition site flanked by 800bp homology arms for the

human *AAVS1* locus (pROC079). Genomic integration events were selected using 50 µg/mL Hy-

gromycin B, and YFP+ cells were flow sorted to isolate clones (WOLF, NanoCellect). Clones were

expanded to 24-well plates and tested for integration competency by integration of an *attB*-con-

taining destination vector and subsequent flow cytometry and the presence of the intact LP cas-

sette at the *AAVS1* locus by PCR. gDNA was extracted from each clonal population (Omega Bio-

Tek) and 50 ng of gDNA was used as the template in a 25 µL reaction along with 0.25 µL of Q5

polymerase (NEB), 0.5 µL of 10mM dNTPs, 5 µL of 5X Q5 polymerase buffer, and 1 µL of each

1 mM genotyping. Primers were specific to the native AAVS1 locus, Landing Pad, or destination

vector. The thermocycling protocol was 2 min at 98 °C, then 30 cycles of 98 °C for 20 s, 65 °C for

20 s, 72 °C for 1 min and then a final step of 72 °C for 5 min. We identified a clone (LP79 #36)

that demonstrated BxB1-dependent, single copy integration of vectors harboring an *attB* recogni-

tion site and used this cell line for all following experiments. We verified single-copy genomic

integration of the landing pad cassette by ddPCR using primer sets for the engineered locus (Hy-

gro) and a pair of previously validated native genes (Beta Actin and POTP). Briefly, each 25 µL

reaction was composed of 12.5µL of EvaGreen Supermix (BioRad), 1.25µL of each primer

(diluted to 2µM), 20ng of gDNA, and 0.1 µL of HindIII (NEB). Droplets were generated in the

QX600 Droplet Generator (BioRad) by combining 20 µL of each reaction with 70 µL of Droplet

Generation Oil (BioRad). PCR was performed on 40 µL of the emulsified reaction using the fol-

lowing protocol: 5 min at 95 °C, then 40 cycles of 95 °C for 30 s and 60 °C for 1 min, followed by

5 min at 4 °C and 5 min at 90 °C. The PCR results were then analyzed using the QX600 Droplet

Reader (BioRad). All resulting concentrations were normalized to the HEK293T Beta Actin con-

trol.

To integrate individual constructs, 1.25×10^5 cells were plated into a 24-well plate one

day prior to transfection. The well was co-transfected with 250 ng of a level 3 vector containing a

construct of interest and 125 ng of BxB1 expression plasmid (Addgene #51271) using JetPrime

(VWR). pCAG-NLS-HA-Bxb1 was a gift from Pawel Pelczar (Addgene plasmid #51271)[79]. Two

days after transfection, cells were passaged into complete DMEM containing 1 µg/mL Puromycin

and selected for 10 days to achieve homogenous expression. Library integration was performed

by co-transfecting HEK293T-LP with 250 ng of plasmid library and 125 ng of BxB1 per 200k cells

(384-member library = 1M cells transfected; 166k-member base library = 100M cells transfected;

166k-member sub-library = 10 M cells transfected; 3.4B-member base library = 200 M cells trans-

fected; 3.4B-member expansion library = 200 M cells transfected) using JetPrime. Media ex-

change was performed after 8 h and cells were expanded for an additional 40 h, split into five

culture dishes, and grown for 6-8 days under Puromycin selection. Cells were passaged 1:3 and

cultured for an additional 5 days under puromycin selection, and then combined in complete

DMEM for flow sorting (10M cells/mL).

To determine the clonal variability within LP-integrated cell populations, we integrated a

constitutively expressed mCherry EU following the protocol described above. We then randomly

sorted 23 clones from the population (WOLF, NanoCellect) and measured their mCherry expres-

sion. We calculated the MAE for this set (*MAE = 0.08*) and used this value to define an expected

error range from clonal heterogeneity (ERCH), which represents the expected variability of cells

from an integrated population. For the 384-member library, the expression ERCH was set to 0.08

on either side of the expected value. For all libraries constructed as part of the single-inducer

circuit class, the fold-change ERCH was calculated to be 0.16 on either side of the expected

value. For libraries associated with the multi-input design space, the expression level ERCH was

calculated to be 0.16 on either side of the expected value.

Flow sorting

To prepare libraries for flow sorting, cells were lifted using TrypLE (Gibco), washed twice

with PBS, and resuspended in complete DMEM (10M cells/mL). For the 384-member library, the

mRuby expression distribution was divided into 10 evenly log-spaced bins on a Sony MA900 set

to purity mode. The number of cells collected for each bin was proportional to the percentage of

the mRuby distribution expressed in that bin, with a target of ~125k cells sorted in the most pop-

ulous bin [e.g., 2,252 cells were collected for bin 1 (0.53% of library), 126,562 for bin 4 (29.78%

of library)]. For the single-input base and fine-tuning libraries, cells were split and either grown in

DMEM containing 1µM 4-OHT (Sigma Aldrich) or without 4-OHT for 72 h. The eGFP expression

distributions for both conditions were then divided into 8 evenly log-spaced bins. Cells were sorted

into bins in proportion to the relative abundance of the library in each bin, with the most populous

bin set to collect 3 M cells and ~0.5 M cells in the single-input and fine-tuning libraries (**Fig. 2D**),

respectively. For the multi-input base and expansion libraries, cells were split and either grown in

DMEM containing 1µM 4-OHT (Sigma Aldrich), 20µM GZV (MedChemExpress), 1µM 4-OHT and

20µM GZV or without inducers for 72 h. eGFP expression distributions for each condition were

then divided into 8 evenly log-spaced bins and sorted in proportion to abundance, with the most

populous bin set to collect ~1.5 M cells and ~1 M cells for the base and expansion libraries,

respectively. For all libraries, the sorted cells were plated into 96-, 24- or 6-well plates to achieve

a plating density of 10-30%, grown under puromycin selection for 2 d, passaged and washed with

PBS, and grown for an additional 3 d. Cells were lifted using TrypLE and total RNA was extracted

using the Takara RNA plus kit following manufacturer's instructions (Takara). 5 µL of total mRNA

was converted to total cDNA using random hexamers following manufacturer's instructions

(Verso, Life Technologies).

Short-read (Illumina) sequencing

To prepare the sorted libraries for short-read sequencing, barcode regions were PCR am-

plified from cDNA in a 15 µL reaction with Phantamax polymerase (Vazyme) and custom bin-

specific primer sets (IDT) using the following thermocycling protocol: 2 min at 98 °C, then 12-18

cycles (depending on the library) of 98 °C for 20 s, 60 °C for 10 s, 66 °C for 10 s, 72 °C for 20 s

and then a final step of 72 °C for 2 min. The resulting amplicon pool was extracted from a 2%

agarose gel. A second PCR step, which used 6-10 cycles (library dependent) of the thermocycling

protocol for PCR step 1, added Illumina sequencing adapters (i5 and i7) and sample-specific

sequencing barcodes using Phantamax and custom primer sets (IDT), and the amplified product

was extracted from a 2% agarose gel. Purified amplicons from each bin were pooled at equimolar

concentrations and sequenced using an Illumina MiSeq (kit v2, 300 cycles), NovaSeq6000 (Sp

v1.5 kit, 300 cycles) and the NextSeq 2000 (P2 kit, 200 cycles) for the 384-, 166k-, and 3.4B-

member (both base and expansion) libraries, respectively. Illumina data were analyzed using a

custom analysis pipeline (Matlab). Briefly, fastq files are imported and split according to their

sample-specific sequencing barcode. Barcode sequencing data is converted to average expres-

sion for all compositions/variants as follows: (1) Counts for each barcode in each sample/bin are

normalized by the percentage of the library distribution in that bin to account for differences in

read depth across bins. (2) A weighted average of number of reads in each bin for each barcode

is then calculated, to assign an average expression level (using a bin → expression conversion

table) to each barcode. (3) Barcode expression levels are then cross-referenced with the Na-

nopore indices to assign average expression values for all barcodes associated with a given var-

iant in a n-by-y cell array, where n is the number of library variants and y is the (variable) number

of barcodes for each variant. (4) For each variant, a gaussian kernel density estimation is per-

formed over the log-normalized expression values from all barcodes associated with each variant,

using the Matlab function *ksdensity*. The expression value corresponding to the kernel peak is

assigned as the mean expression value for each variant.

Experimental validation of CLASSIC measurements

To assess the accuracy of CLASSIC measurements for both the EU and single-input li-

braries, single cells were sorted from the integrated cell populations into 96-well plates (MA900)

and clonally expanded from across the mRuby and eGFP expression distributions, respectively.

Isolated clonal populations, which are referred to as 'isolates', were expanded to 24-well plates

and the fluorescence distribution was measured by flow cytometry (MA900). For the EU library,

one 96-well plate of cells was sorted based on mRuby expression. For the single-input base li-

brary, two 96-well plates of cells were sorted based on eGFP expression from the uninduced cell

library. For the single-input fine-tuning library, one 96-well plate of cells was sorted based on

eGFP expression from the uninduced population. For both the multi-input base and expansion

libraries, two 96-well plates of cells were sorted based on eGFP expression from the uninduced

cell libraries. Following fluorescence measurement under library-specific experimental conditions,

gDNA was extracted from each clonal population (Omega Bio-Tek). For the EU and single-input

libraries, the genetic variant in each isolate was identified by PCR-based amplification and Na-

nopore sequencing of the LP locus using a forward primer in puromycin resistance cassette and

a reverse primer in the BFP ORF. A set of 30 different forward primers, each with defined bar-

codes, were paired with a common reverse primer to amplify the genetic variants from each iso-

late and associate the genetic variant with the isolate during Nanopore sequencing. 50 ng of

gDNA was used as the template in a 20 µL reaction along with 10 µL of 2X PhantaMax Master

Mix (Vazyme), 1 µL nmol of primer, and brought up to 20 µL in nuclease-free H_2O. The thermo-

cycling protocol was 2 min at 98 °C, then 30 cycles of 98 °C for 20 s, 65 °C for 20 s, 72 °C for 5

min and then a final step of 72 °C for 10 min. Each PCR reaction was extracted from a 1% agarose

gel and column purified using QG buffer (Qiagen), following manufacture instructions. Purified

amplicons were pooled and prepared for Nanopore sequencing using LSK-112 or LSK-114 liga-

tion sequencing kit (ONT) and sequenced with the R10 (FLO-MIN112) or R10.4.1 (FLO-MIN114)

MinION flow cell. Basecalling was performed using Guppy or Dorado (ONT, super high accuracy

mode) running on a GPU (Nvidia RTX 3090). Composition and barcode assignment was per-

formed using WIMPY, as described above. This process yielded 15 isolates from the EU library,

40 isolates from the single-input base library (**Fig. 2D, middle**), and 8 isolates from the single-

input fine-tuning. Isolates from the base and expansion 3.4B-member libraries, circuit identity was

determined by amplification of the barcode sequence from gDNA, following the PCR protocol

described above, extraction from a 2% agarose gel, and Sanger. Using the CLASSIC composi-

tion-to-barcode IDs to assign barcodes to circuit variants yielded 14 and 13 mapped isolates from

the base and expansion libraries, respectively.

MLP deep neural network regression for circuit behavior prediction

Model architecture and parameters. Fully connected multi-layer perceptron (MLP) neural network

architectures were constructed for single- and multi-input design spaces. The single-input model

had 4 hidden layers with 160/80/40/20 neurons, a 39 x 1 input channel, and 2 output channels

for log10 transformed basal and induced expression. The multi-input model consisted of 5 layers

160/80/40/20/10 neurons, a 68 x 1 input channel, and 4 output channels. Input compositions were

one-hot encoded for each part category and flattened into a 39 x 1 shape for the single-input and

68 x 1 shape for the multi-input library. Stochastic gradient descent with momentum (sgdm) was

used to optimize the root mean squared error loss function during training (momentum parame-

ter=0.9), learning rate of 5×10^{-2}, and a piecewise learn rate scheduler that drops the learning

rate by a factor of 0.5 every 10 epochs. The batch size was 256.

Data splits for model training. High-quality test sets were constructed from the single- and multi-

input base library datasets (121,292 and 88,551 measured compositions, respectively), by ran-

domly sampling 15% of compositions with >12 and >50 barcode-indexed reads (1,868 composi-

tions and 953 compositions respectively). Flow cytometry measurements for isolates were re-

moved from training datasets to allow for unbiased comparisons against these measurements

later. The remaining data was randomly split 90:10 into training:validation sets containing 103,878

compositions and validation sets containing 11,532 compositions for the single-input library, and

48,031 and 5,336, respectively, for multi-input library. The validation set was used to monitor

training progress, and for early stopping with a patience parameter of 300 iterations. The model

with the best validation performance was returned and used to evaluate performance on the high-

quality test and isolate sets.

Data downsampling. For demonstrating the single-input model's tolerance to lower amounts of

training data (**Fig. 3F**), compositions were downsampled in increasing order of the number of

barcoded reads per composition, retaining compositions from ≥ 1 read to ≥ 40 reads to simulate

scenarios in which lower amounts of higher-quality data is collected. The model was re-initialized

and trained with a new 90:10 training-validation split from the remaining dataset for each of the

40 downsampling iterations, which were all evaluated using the high quality test set mentioned

above.

Design space expansion via neural network fine-tuning. To demonstrate single-input model fine-

tuning, an MLP model with the same architecture and hyperparameters was trained on the original

library, with two extra input encoding slots to represent new parts that would be added during fine-

tuning. For the multi-input library, the base model already contained extra input slots for new

parts. A high-quality test set was created, as described above, from the expansion library da-

tasets, with an increased barcoded read count threshold of 40 (single-input) or 50 (multi-input).

The remaining data was split 90:10 into a training and validation temp sets. These sets were

combined with 2,000 compositions from the base training set and 500 compositions from the base

validation set to avoid memory loss during training. The combined validation set (with base and

new compositions) was used for early stopping and all hyperparameter optimization as described

above, except for the initial learning rate, which was changed to 5 x 10^{-3}. Model performance was

evaluated on the high-quality test sets and used to construct individual variants across the behav-

ior space.

Mutual information calculation

For a given pair of features in a region of design space, mutual information was computed

using the following formula:

$$\sum_{x,y} p\,(x,y)log_2 \frac{p(x,y)}{p(x)p(y)}$$

where x and y are 2 different features of the library, and p(x, y) represents the joint frequency for

specific x and y combinations divided by the total number of points in the dataset. p(x) and p(y)

represent the fractional frequency of the corresponding parts by themselves.

SHAP & UMAP clustering

For both the single- and multi-input design spaces, SHAP values were used to assess the

contribution of each feature in the HFC and AND/OR-like regions of behavior space, respectively.

For each model, SHAP values were computed by fitting a SHAP explainer model across 500

randomly sampled training points and then predicting on 200 randomly points sampled from the

regions of interest. These values were averaged across expression conditions and within part

categories to generate region-specific SHAP scores for each part category.

For the 166k-member library, UMAP dimensional reduction was performed on part com-

position data from variants in the HFC (>25-fold region) region of the behavior space, using the

run_umap function in Matlab with a Jaccard distance metric. To identify the optimal number of

clusters, a gap test was carried out on the 2D projection using the *clusterevaluation* function in

Matlab. HFC compositions were assigned to clusters using the *kmeans* function in Matlab. Cluster

stability was determined by performing 100 independent rounds of UMAP projection and k-means

clustering, recording cluster affiliation in each iteration, and computing the cluster stability index

(CSI) and adjusted rand index (ARI). We then assigned compositions to clusters the most stable

UMAP projection from the 100 runs mentioned above. Clustering for AND-like and OR-like circuits

from the multi-input library was performed as described above for the single-input library, including

the computation of cluster stability. For display purposes, boundaries have been manually drawn

around each cluster.

KL-divergence computation

For multi-input circuits, expression levels were normalized to relative abundances by di-

viding them by the summed expression across the four induction conditions (no inducer/4-

OHT/GZV/both) creating probability distributions suitable for computing Kullback-Leibler diver-

gence (D_{KL}) against "ideal" AND and OR gates, using the following equation:

698
$$D_{KL} = \sum p(x).\ln\left(\frac{p(x)}{q(x)}\right)$$

Where *p(x)* represents the normalized distribution across the four conditions, and *q(x)* refers to

the probability of the ideal AND [0.01, 0.01, 0.01, 0.97] and OR [0.01, 0.33, 0.33, 0.33] gate across

the four induction conditions. Low D_{KL} values indicate high similarity to ideal AND and OR distri-

butions.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Oleg Igoshin, Ankit Patel, Yashwanth Lagisetty, Satpreet Singh, and members of the

Bashor lab for helpful discussions. This work was supported by grants from NIH R01 EB029483

(C.J.B.), NIH R01 EB032272 (C.J.B.), ONR N00014-21-1-4006 (C.J.B.), and funding from the

Robert J. Kleberg Jr. and Helen C. Kleberg Foundation (C.J.B.). R.W.O. was supported by a

graduate fellowship from the American Heart Association (917746). B.K. was supported by a NLM

Training Program in Biomedical Informatics and Data Science fellowship (T15LM007093-31) and

by NIH grant P01-AI15299901. K.D.C. was supported by NSF EF-2126387 and the Ken Kennedy

Institute Computational Science & Engineering Recruiting Fellowship. P.M. and J.W.R were sup-

ported by NIH R35GM119461 (P.M.)

715 AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

R.W.O., K.R., and C.J.B. conceived of the study, R.W.O. and K.R. carried out the experiments

and developed the analysis software, with assistance from T.C.P., Y.W., L.C.B, K.D.S., J.A.W.,

S.L., T.H.Z., E.M.R., and A.S. R.W.O., and T.C.P. developed the modular cloning scheme and

landing pad cell line, with assistance from S.L. B.K., K.C., and T.J.T. helped develop the barcod-

ing scheme and analysis software. R.W.O., K.R., T.C.P., Y.W., J.W.R., P.M, and C.J.B. analyzed

the data. C.J.B. supervised the study. R.W.O., K.R., and C.J.B wrote the manuscript, with input

from all authors.

724 DATA AVAILABILITY

725 All raw data used in this study is available upon request. Accession codes will be made available

726 prior to publication.

728 CODE AVAILABILITY

729 All custom scripts used for Nanopore sequencing data analysis are available on GitHub

730 (github.com/cbashorlab/WIMPY). Code associated with Illumina data analysis and model training

731 are available upon request.

733 CORRESPONDING AUTHOR

734 Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Caleb J. Bashor.

COMPETING INTERESTS

The authors declare no competing interests.

Figure 1. Using CLASSIC to systematically map the design space of complex genetic pro-grams. (**A**) Overview of CLASSIC. Pooled assembly of genetic parts with DNA barcode se-quences yields libraries of barcode-indexed constructs of arbitrary length and complexity. Long-read nanopore sequencing is used to create an index matching construct composition to an as-sociated barcode. In parallel, libraries introduced into cells undergo sorting or selection to bin expression phenotypes. Barcode amplicons generated for each bin are subjected to short-read NGS to quantify expression phenotype, which is then mapped to construct composition via bar-code indexes. (**B**) Application of CLASSIC to profile a synthetic gene circuit design space. Hier-archical golden gate assembly is used to compose libraries of multi-EU circuits with combinatori-ally varied part compositions and circuit designs. Sequence fragment pools for different parts categories from level 0 are combined to yield level 1 pools of promoters, open reading frames (ORF), and terminators (Term.), which are then combined to yield level 2 EUs (square brackets: fragment/part pools). Barcode (BC) pools harbored on BFP EUs are combined with library EU pools to create indexed multi-EU circuit libraries (level 3) that are integrated into HEK293T-LP cells placed at the *AAVS1* locus in chromosome 19 via expression of the BxB1 recombinase (top right). Library analysis by a combination of nanopore and flow-seq yields composition-to-function mapping.

Figure 2. Using CLASSIC to quantitatively profile a synthetic gene circuit design land-scape. (**A**) Inducible synTF circuit diagram. Left: The circuit contains two EUs: one codes for the synTF and the other is an eGFP reporter. Without inducer, the synTF localizes to the cytoplasm. Upon addition of 4-OHT (input), the synTF translocates into the nucleus to bind to and activate

reporter eGFP expression (output). Right: Expression fold change is the ratio of expression levels

in the presence or absence of inducer. (**B**) synTF circuit design space. Left: SynTF diversity (ar-

ranged N-to-C term): of 4 TAs, 4 IDPs, and 3 ZF affinity mutants (high: WT, med: ΔC, low: ΔC

6x). synTF coding EU diversity: 4 constitutive promoters, 4 terminators. Reporter EU diversity: 4

BM number variants, 3 minimal core promoters. This unit contains a constant terminator. Both

EUs have 3' spacing sequences of 0bp, 250bp or 500bp downstream. Right: EUs assembled from

input parts and combined in 2 different 5'-to-3' orientations, to generate a combinatorial diversity

of 165,888 possible circuit variants. (**C**) Balance of circuit library assembly and indexing. Left:

data for ~121k out of 166k variants (73%) were recovered by CLASSIC; compositions, light grey;

barcodes, dark grey. Right: Nanopore sequencing data of the library were analyzed to assess

library composition count (mean and standard deviation [SD] computed on $\log_{10}$ scale) (top), com-

position/barcode balance (reads per composition [light grey], unique barcodes per composition

[dark grey]; data plotted in rank order of reads per composition) (bottom). (**D**) Circuit library sorting

and measurement. Top right: eGFP expression of circuit library in presence (solid green) and

absence (dotted green line) of 4-OHT (top, center) is shown, along with boundaries of flow sorting

bins (vertical grey dotted lines); grey histogram, empty HEK293T-LP cells. Top middle: Fold-

change values for 40 clonal isolates plotted against CLASSIC-derived values. Black dots, isolates

displayed at the bottom of the panel; *MAE*, mean average error; grey region, ERCH, error range

of clonal heterogeneity AU, arbitrary fluorescence units. Top right: % similarity between basal and

induced values for CLASSIC and isolates. Bottom: Flow data from 4 isolates (green dotted line,

uninduced; green solid, induced) are shown with corresponding CLASSIC-computed distributions

[kernel density (black line) calculated from normalized barcode read count (grey vertical bars)].

Parts combinations corresponding to each index are shown.

Figure 3. ML-aided mapping of single-input circuit design space reveals gene circuit de-

sign rules. (**A**) Left: Multi-layer perceptron (MLP) neural network model of inducible synTF circuit

behavior space. Circuit part inputs are one-hot encoded, flattened and passed through a 4-layer

MLP with 2 output heads that predict basal and induced expression. Right: ML task description.

From the complete design space (gray) of ~166k compositions, data from 121k was collected

(green) and used to train the ML model to re-fit circuit behavior for measured compositions and

infer unmeasured space (pink). (**B**) Left: MLP predictions on a held-out high-quality test set (see

Methods) against basal and induced CLASSIC measurements (purple, basal; blue, induced) (r^2,

Pearson's r^2). Right: Contour plot of MLP modeled basal and induced expression behavior space

(contours, light to dark: 97.5%, 90%, 70%, 50% of total measurements). Regions of interest

bounded by dotted lines: low basal (<500 AU), purple arrow; high induction (>70k AU) blue; high

fold change (HFC) (>25x, green). Values in the plot indicate the number of compositions in each

region. (**C**) Experimentally validating ML prediction of circuit function. Top left: Fold-change values

for cell lines from classic measured configurations (green filled) and unmeasured configurations

(pink outlined) were plotted against CLASSIC-derived values. Black dots, isolates displayed at

the periphery of the panel; *MAE*, mean average error; grey region, ERCH, error range of clonal

heterogeneity (see **Methods**); green box, HFC behavior region. Right and bottom: Flow cytometry

analysis of cell line behavior (green dotted line, basal; solid green, induced) and associated circuit

compositions (below each plot). Horizontal pink bars indicate ML predicted fold change. AU, ar-

bitrary fluorescence units. (**D**) Genetic part usage in highlighted regions of behavior space; left to

right: low basal, high induced, HFC. Part fold enrichment is calculated by dividing observed part

occurrence by expected part occurrence from a balanced library (**E**) Feature importance for all

part categories based on absolute SHAP values computed in the HFC region (see **Methods**).

Larger SHAP values indicate greater stronger contribution to the model's predictions of HFC be-

havior. (**F**) Mutual information between part categories in different regions of behavior space. MI

between part categories is denoted by red line thickness. (**G**) Clustering analysis of HFC circuit

designs. Part features from HFC designs were subjected to UMAP dimensional reduction followed

by K-means clustering with optimal cluster number determined using the gap test (see

Supplementary text). Top left: UMAP projection of HFC designs. All clusters displayed significant

part occurrence similarities in the locus design (top middle), and inter cluster differences in synTF

expression level, binding affinity, and activation domain preference (bottom). Right: Mechanism

for achieving HFC behavior based on clustering results. Locus features are optimized to reduce

basal and maximize induced expression levels, while cluster specific choices in synTF design

tend to maximize induced expression. (**H**) Model efficiency and expansion to new features via

fine-tuning. Left: The model training set was down-sampled by removing compositions and re-

training on smaller percentage of the design space. Middle left: Model performance (Pearson's

r^2) on compositions in the high-quality test set, evaluated on basal (purple line) and induced (green

line) expression levels as a function of percentage of total compositions removed from the training

set. Dark gray box: maximum observed r^2 ± 5%. Dotted line: number of compositions needed to

obtain 95% of maximum observed r^2 value. Middle right: Expansion of the design space by fine-

tuning the model on data collected from a library of compositions containing a new part feature

(NFZ activation domain). Right: Model performance (test set Pearson's r^2) for basal and induced

expression as a function of increasing number of compositions used in the training set during fine-

tuning. Dark gray box: maximum observed r^2 ± 5%. Dotted line: number of compositions needed

to obtain 95% of maximum observed r^2 value

Figure 4. ML guided exploration of multi-input gene circuit behavior. (**A**) Multi-input inducible

synTF circuit diagram. Left: the circuit contains three EUs: a 4-OHT inducible synTF A (navy), a

GZV-inducible synTF B (teal), and a GFP reporter unit (green). As in **Fig. 2A**, 4-OHT (input A)

binds to the ERT2 domain (light blue), allowing synTF A to translocate into the nucleus and acti-

vate expression of the GFP (output). GZV (input B) inhibits the proteolytic activity of NS3 (orange),

preventing the fragmentation of the synTF B and allowing it to GFP expression (output). Right:

the multi-input circuit architecture enables cells to perform Boolean logical operations, including

OR (top) and AND-logic (bottom). (**B**) The genetic components that comprise the multi-input

design space, organized into synTF parts (top), coding parts (middle left), and reporter parts (middle right). Schematic of the assembly workflow, from protein domains to full-length gene circuits (bottom), where the numbers inside each plasmid or plasmid pool represent the number of variants included in the base/full design space. (**C**) Coverage, balance, and indexing for multi-input circuit libraries. Left: circuit (light gray text, bottom) and barcode (dark gray text, top) recovery at each step of the CLASSIC workflow for the base (light blue boxes) and expansion (light gray boxes) libraries. Top right: Nanopore sequencing data of the library to assess composition counts, revealing 7% coverage in the base (light blue histogram) and 0.002% coverage of the expansion (light gray histogram) design spaces. Bottom right: Nanopore sequencing data plotted in rank order by reads per composition (base library: light blue, expansion library: light gray) and barcodes per composition (base library: dark blue, expansion library: dark gray). (**D**) Circuit library sorting and measurement. Top left: GFP expression histograms for base (dotted line) and expansion (shaded) circuit libraries in the presence of no inducer (gray, top left), 4-OHT only (navy, top right), GZV only (orange, bottom left), or both inducers (green, bottom right), overlaid with the boundaries of flow sorting binds (vertical grey dotted lines) and empty HEK293T-LP cells (light gray histogram). Top right: CLASSIC versus ground-truth GFP expression measurements of randomly isolated compositions from the base (n = 14, left) and expansion (n = 13, right) design spaces for all four inducer conditions (no inducer: gray, 4-OHT only: navy, GZV-only: orange, both inducers: green). Bottom: Flow cytometry histograms (top) and CLASSIC-computed distributions (bottom, kernel density (solid line) calculated from normalized barcode read count (shaded vertical bars) for one composition from the base library (dark gray fill in scatter) are shown alongside the accompanying composition ID.

Figure 5. Analysis of digital logic gene circuit design rules in >10^9 member design space. (**A**) Left: ML model for predicting multi-input circuit behaviors (basal: light gray, navy: 4-OHT only, orange: GZV only, green: both inducers) using OHE part compositions from base (navy) and

expansion (dark gray) library data (left). Right: the architecture of the MLP enables exploration of

large design spaces (light gray) by first training on a base library (green, top) to infer a small

section of the design space (pink, middle) and then fine-tuning using additional data (green, mid-

dle) to infer the entire design space (pink, bottom). (**B**) Left: scatter plots of the test set r^2 from the

fine-tuned model for each of the four input conditions (basal: light gray, navy: OHT only, orange:

GZV only, green: both inducers). Right: compositions from the base (dark gray) and full (light

gray) design spaces are plotted as Kullback-Leibler divergences (D_{KL}), which describe the simi-

larity between the model-computed output behaviors and the archetypal AND and OR behaviors.

Compositions to the left of the vertical dotted line or below the horizontal dotted line represent the

most AND- or OR-like designs, respectively. (**C**) Scatter plot of predicted versus ground-truth GFP

expression measurements for 36 individually constructed compositions from across the behavior

space (white dots: basal, navy dots: 4-OHT only, orange dots: GZV only, green dots: both induc-

ers) (*MAE = 0.32*) (top). Only 1 of the 36 compositions was measured by CLASSIC. The most

AND-like (thick red outline) and OR-like (thick purple outline) designs are highlighted in the scatter

plot (top) and broken out below (middle and bottom, respectively). (**D**) Genetic part usage in the

AND- (left) and OR-like (right) regions of design space, grouped according to the synTF (synTF

A: left, synTF B: right). Part fold enrichment is calculated by dividing the observed part occurrence

by expected part occurrence from a balance library. (**E**) Feature importance for all part categories

based on absolute SHAP values computed in either AND-like (top) or OR-like (bottom) regions.

Larger SHAP values indicate greater contribution to the model's predictions of AND- or OR-like

behavior (navy: synTF A, dark gray: synTF coding, teal: synTF B, light blue: synTF B coding,

green: reporter). (**F**) Mutual information between part categories in the AND- (left) and OR-like

(right) regions of behavior space, as indicated by red line thickness (navy: synTF A, dark gray:

synTF coding, teal: synTF B, light blue: synTF B coding, green: reporter). (**G**) Clustering analysis

of the AND-like circuit designs. Top left: UMAP projection of AND-like designs (light red: Cluster

A, dark red: cluster B, blue: cluster C). Top right: schematic of the most common locus design

observed in AND-like compositions (navy: synTF A, green: reporter, teal: synTF B). Bottom left:

schematics of the part usage in synTF B (left) and synTF A (middle) in clusters A and B (light red:

Cluster A, dark red: cluster B) and an overview of the dominant strategy for achieving AND-like

behavior (right). Bottom right: schematics of the part usage in synTF B (left) and synTF A (right)

in the minority cluster (blue: cluster C). (**H**) Clustering analysis of the OR-like circuit designs. (Top

left) UMAP projection of AND-like designs (blue: Cluster A, light red: Cluster B, dark red: cluster

C, dark blue: cluster D). Top right: schematic of the most common locus design observed in AND-

like compositions (navy: synTF A, green: reporter, teal: synTF B). Bottom: schematics of the part

usage in synTF B and synTF A (left, middle) in all clusters and an overview of the strategy for

achieving OR-like behavior (right) (blue: Cluster A, light red: Cluster B, dark red: cluster C, dark

blue: cluster D).

REFERENCES

1   English, M. A., Gayet, R. V. & Collins, J. J. Designing Biological Circuits: Synthetic Biology
Within the Operon Model and Beyond. *Annu Rev Biochem* **90**, 221-244,
doi:10.1146/annurev-biochem-013118-111914 (2021).
2   Mahata, B. *et al.* Compact engineered human transactivation modules enable potent and
versatile synthetic transcriptional control. *bioRxiv*, 2022.2003.2021.485228,
doi:10.1101/2022.03.21.485228 (2022).
3   Slusarczyk, A. L., Lin, A. & Weiss, R. Foundations for the design and implementation of
synthetic genetic circuits. *Nat Rev Genet* **13**, 406-420, doi:10.1038/nrg3227 (2012).
4   Bashor, C. J. & Collins, J. J. Understanding Biological Regulation Through Synthetic Biology.
Annu Rev Biophys **47**, 399-423, doi:10.1146/annurev-biophys-070816-033903 (2018).
5   Bashor, C. J., Hilton, I. B., Bandukwala, H., Smith, D. M. & Veiseh, O. Engineering the next
generation of cell-based therapeutics. *Nat Rev Drug Discov*, doi:10.1038/s41573-022-
00476-6 (2022).
6   Beitz, A. M., Oakes, C. G. & Galloway, K. E. Synthetic gene circuits as tools for drug
discovery. *Trends Biotechnol* **40**, 210-225, doi:10.1016/j.tibtech.2021.06.007 (2022).

7   Kitada, T., DiAndreth, B., Teague, B. & Weiss, R. Programming gene and engineered-cell
therapies with synthetic biology. *Science* **359**, doi:10.1126/science.aad1067 (2018).
8   Cameron, D. E., Bashor, C. J. & Collins, J. J. A brief history of synthetic biology. *Nat Rev*
Microbiol **12**, 381-390, doi:10.1038/nrmicro3239 (2014).
9   Yeung, E. *et al.* Biophysical Constraints Arising from Compositional Context in Synthetic
Gene Networks. *Cell Syst* **5**, 11-24 e12, doi:10.1016/j.cels.2017.06.001 (2017).
10   Lou, C., Stanton, B., Chen, Y. J., Munsky, B. & Voigt, C. A. Ribozyme-based insulator parts
buffer synthetic circuits from genetic context. *Nat Biotechnol* **30**, 1137-1142,
doi:10.1038/nbt.2401 (2012).
11   Muller, I. E. *et al.* Gene networks that compensate for crosstalk with crosstalk. *Nat*
Commun **10**, 4028, doi:10.1038/s41467-019-12021-y (2019).
12   Prindle, A. *et al.* Rapid and tunable post-translational coupling of genetic circuits. *Nature*
508, 387-391, doi:10.1038/nature13238 (2014).
13   Shaw, W. M. *et al.* Engineering a Model Cell for Rational Tuning of GPCR Signaling. *Cell*
177, 782-796 e727, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2019.02.023 (2019).
14   Kinney, J. B., Murugan, A., Callan, C. G., Jr. & Cox, E. C. Using deep sequencing to
characterize the biophysical mechanism of a transcriptional regulatory sequence. *Proc*
Natl Acad Sci U S A **107**, 9158-9163, doi:10.1073/pnas.1004290107 (2010).
15   Sharon, E. *et al.* Inferring gene regulatory logic from high-throughput measurements of
thousands of systematically designed promoters. *Nat Biotechnol* **30**, 521-530,
doi:10.1038/nbt.2205 (2012).
16   Noderer, W. L. *et al.* Quantitative analysis of mammalian translation initiation sites by
FACS-seq. *Mol Syst Biol* **10**, 748, doi:10.15252/msb.20145136 (2014).
17   Kosuri, S. *et al.* Composability of regulatory sequences controlling transcription and
translation in Escherichia coli. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **110**, 14024-14029,
doi:10.1073/pnas.1301301110 (2013).
18   Arnold, C. D. *et al.* Genome-wide quantitative enhancer activity maps identified by STARR-
seq. *Science* **339**, 1074-1077, doi:10.1126/science.1232542 (2013).
19   de Boer, C. G. *et al.* Deciphering eukaryotic gene-regulatory logic with 100 million random
promoters. *Nat Biotechnol* **38**, 56-65, doi:10.1038/s41587-019-0315-8 (2020).
20   Taskiran, II *et al.* Cell-type-directed design of synthetic enhancers. *Nature* **626**, 212-220,
doi:10.1038/s41586-023-06936-2 (2024).
21   Gosai, S. J. *et al.* Machine-guided design of cell-type-targeting cis-regulatory elements.
Nature **634**, 1211-1220, doi:10.1038/s41586-024-08070-z (2024).
22   Sahu, B. *et al.* Sequence determinants of human gene regulatory elements. *Nat Genet* **54**,
283-294, doi:10.1038/s41588-021-01009-4 (2022).
23   Agarwal, V. *et al.* Massively parallel characterization of transcriptional regulatory
elements. *Nature*, doi:10.1038/s41586-024-08430-9 (2025).
24   Bogard, N., Linder, J., Rosenberg, A. B. & Seelig, G. A Deep Neural Network for Predicting
and Engineering Alternative Polyadenylation. *Cell* **178**, 91-106 e123,
doi:10.1016/j.cell.2019.04.046 (2019).
25   Khoroshkin, M. *et al.* A generative framework for enhanced cell-type specificity in
rationally designed mRNAs. *bioRxiv*, doi:10.1101/2024.12.31.630783 (2024).

26   Gera, T., Jonas, F., More, R. & Barkai, N. Evolution of binding preferences among whole-
genome duplicated transcription factors. *Elife* **11**, doi:10.7554/eLife.73225 (2022).

27   DelRosso, N. *et al.* Large-scale mapping and systematic mutagenesis of human
transcriptional effector domains. *bioRxiv*, 2022.2008.2026.505496,
doi:10.1101/2022.08.26.505496 (2022).

28   Angenent-Mari, N. M., Garruss, A. S., Soenksen, L. R., Church, G. & Collins, J. J. A deep
learning approach to programmable RNA switches. *Nat Commun* **11**, 5057,
doi:10.1038/s41467-020-18677-1 (2020).

29   Jones, E. M. *et al.* Structural and functional characterization of G protein-coupled
receptors with deep mutational scanning. *Elife* **9**, doi:10.7554/eLife.54895 (2020).

30   Zhou, Y. *et al.* Encoding Genetic Circuits with DNA Barcodes Paves the Way for Machine
Learning-Assisted Metabolite Biosensor Response Curve Profiling in Yeast. *ACS Synth Biol*
11, 977-989, doi:10.1021/acssynbio.1c00595 (2022).

31   Wong, A. S., Choi, G. C., Cheng, A. A., Purcell, O. & Lu, T. K. Massively parallel high-order
combinatorial genetics in human cells. *Nat Biotechnol* **33**, 952-961, doi:10.1038/nbt.3326
(2015).

32   Matreyek, K. A. *et al.* Multiplex assessment of protein variant abundance by massively
parallel sequencing. *Nat Genet* **50**, 874-882, doi:10.1038/s41588-018-0122-z (2018).

33   Castellanos-Rueda, R. *et al.* speedingCARs: accelerating the engineering of CAR T cells by
signaling domain shuffling and single-cell sequencing. *Nat Commun* **13**, 6555,
doi:10.1038/s41467-022-34141-8 (2022).

34   Liu, H. *et al.* Magic Pools: Parallel Assessment of Transposon Delivery Vectors in Bacteria.
mSystems **3**, doi:10.1128/mSystems.00143-17 (2018).

35   Weber, E., Engler, C., Gruetzner, R., Werner, S. & Marillonnet, S. A modular cloning system
for standardized assembly of multigene constructs. *PLoS One* **6**, e16765,
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0016765 (2011).

36   O'Gorman, S., Fox, D. T. & Wahl, G. M. Recombinase-mediated gene activation and site-
specific integration in mammalian cells. *Science* **251**, 1351-1355,
doi:10.1126/science.1900642 (1991).

37   Duportet, X. *et al.* A platform for rapid prototyping of synthetic gene networks in
mammalian cells. *Nucleic Acids Res* **42**, 13440-13451, doi:10.1093/nar/gku1082 (2014).

38   Norrman, K. *et al.* Quantitative comparison of constitutive promoters in human ES cells.
PLoS One **5**, e12413, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0012413 (2010).

39   Qin, J. Y. *et al.* Systematic comparison of constitutive promoters and the doxycycline-
inducible promoter. *PLoS One* **5**, e10611, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0010611 (2010).

40   Ferreira, J. P., Overton, K. W. & Wang, C. L. Tuning gene expression with synthetic
upstream open reading frames. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **110**, 11284-11289,
doi:10.1073/pnas.1305590110 (2013).

41   Petitclerc, D. *et al.* The effect of various introns and transcription terminators on the
efficiency of expression vectors in various cultured cell lines and in the mammary gland
of transgenic mice. *J Biotechnol* **40**, 169-178, doi:10.1016/0168-1656(95)00047-t (1995).

42   Wang, X. Y. *et al.* Enhanced Transgene Expression by Optimization of Poly A in Transfected
CHO Cells. *Front Bioeng Biotechnol* **10**, 722722, doi:10.3389/fbioe.2022.722722 (2022).

43 Khalil, A. S. *et al.* A synthetic biology framework for programming eukaryotic transcription
functions. *Cell* **150**, 647-658, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2012.05.045 (2012).
44 Maeder, M. L., Thibodeau-Beganny, S., Sander, J. D., Voytas, D. F. & Joung, J. K.
Oligomerized pool engineering (OPEN): an 'open-source' protocol for making customized
zinc-finger arrays. *Nat Protoc* **4**, 1471-1501, doi:10.1038/nprot.2009.98 (2009).
45 Li, H. S. *et al.* Multidimensional control of therapeutic human cell function with synthetic
gene circuits. *Science* **378**, 1227-1234, doi:10.1126/science.ade0156 (2022).
46 Feil, R., Wagner, J., Metzger, D. & Chambon, P. Regulation of Cre recombinase activity by
mutated estrogen receptor ligand-binding domains. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* **237**,
752-757, doi:10.1006/bbrc.1997.7124 (1997).
47 Bashor, C. J. *et al.* Complex signal processing in synthetic gene circuits using cooperative
regulatory assemblies. *Science* **364**, 593-597, doi:10.1126/science.aau8287 (2019).
48 Donahue, P. S. *et al.* The COMET toolkit for composing customizable genetic programs in
mammalian cells. *Nat Commun* **11**, 779, doi:10.1038/s41467-019-14147-5 (2020).
49 Muldoon, J. J. *et al.* Model-guided design of mammalian genetic programs. *Sci Adv* **7**,
doi:10.1126/sciadv.abe9375 (2021).
50 Kabadi, A. M. & Gersbach, C. A. Engineering synthetic TALE and CRISPR/Cas9 transcription
factors for regulating gene expression. *Methods* **69**, 188-197,
doi:10.1016/j.ymeth.2014.06.014 (2014).
51 La Russa, M. F. & Qi, L. S. The New State of the Art: Cas9 for Gene Activation and
Repression. *Mol Cell Biol* **35**, 3800-3809, doi:10.1128/MCB.00512-15 (2015).
52 Sadowski, I., Ma, J., Triezenberg, S. & Ptashne, M. GAL4-VP16 is an unusually potent
transcriptional activator. *Nature* **335**, 563-564, doi:10.1038/335563a0 (1988).
53 Shin, Y. *et al.* Spatiotemporal Control of Intracellular Phase Transitions Using Light-
Activated optoDroplets. *Cell* **168**, 159-171 e114, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2016.11.054 (2017).
54 Schneider, N. *et al.* Liquid-liquid phase separation of light-inducible transcription factors
increases transcription activation in mammalian cells and mice. *Sci Adv* **7**,
doi:10.1126/sciadv.abd3568 (2021).
55 Boeynaems, S. *et al.* Phase Separation in Biology and Disease; Current Perspectives and
Open Questions. *J Mol Biol* **435**, 167971, doi:10.1016/j.jmb.2023.167971 (2023).
56 Gossen, M. & Bujard, H. Tight control of gene expression in mammalian cells by
tetracycline-responsive promoters. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **89**, 5547-5551,
doi:10.1073/pnas.89.12.5547 (1992).
57 Hansen, J. *et al.* Transplantation of prokaryotic two-component signaling pathways into
mammalian cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **111**, 15705-15710,
doi:10.1073/pnas.1406482111 (2014).
58 Ede, C., Chen, X., Lin, M. Y. & Chen, Y. Y. Quantitative Analyses of Core Promoters Enable
Precise Engineering of Regulated Gene Expression in Mammalian Cells. *ACS Synth Biol* **5**,
395-404, doi:10.1021/acssynbio.5b00266 (2016).
59 Chen, H., Covert, I. C., Lundberg, S. M. & Lee, S.-I. Algorithms to estimate Shapley value
feature attributions. *Nature Machine Intelligence* **5**, 590-601, doi:10.1038/s42256-023-
00657-x (2023).

60 McInnes, L., Healy, J. & Melville, J. UMAP: Uniform Manifold Approximation and
Projection for Dimension Reduction. arXiv:1802.03426 (2018).
<https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2018arXiv180203426M>.
61 Tycko, J. *et al.* Development of compact transcriptional effectors using high-throughput
measurements in diverse contexts. *Nat Biotechnol*, doi:10.1038/s41587-024-02442-6
(2024).
62 Tague, E. P., Dotson, H. L., Tunney, S. N., Sloas, D. C. & Ngo, J. T. Chemogenetic control of
gene expression and cell signaling with antiviral drugs. *Nat Methods* **15**, 519-522,
doi:10.1038/s41592-018-0042-y (2018).
63 Wimmer, E., Mueller, S., Tumpey, T. M. & Taubenberger, J. K. Synthetic viruses: a new
opportunity to understand and prevent viral disease. *Nat Biotechnol* **27**, 1163-1172,
doi:10.1038/nbt.1593 (2009).
64 Brophy, J. A. & Voigt, C. A. Principles of genetic circuit design. *Nat Methods* **11**, 508-520,
doi:10.1038/nmeth.2926 (2014).
65 Whyte, W. A. *et al.* Master transcription factors and mediator establish super-enhancers
at key cell identity genes. *Cell* **153**, 307-319, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2013.03.035 (2013).
66 Pinglay, S. *et al.* Synthetic regulatory reconstitution reveals principles of mammalian Hox
cluster regulation. *Science* **377**, eabk2820, doi:10.1126/science.abk2820 (2022).
67 Voigt, C. A. Synthetic biology 2020-2030: six commercially-available products that are
changing our world. *Nat Commun* **11**, 6379, doi:10.1038/s41467-020-20122-2 (2020).
68 Valeri, J. A. *et al.* Sequence-to-function deep learning frameworks for engineered
riboregulators. *Nat Commun* **11**, 5058, doi:10.1038/s41467-020-18676-2 (2020).
69 Hollerer, S. *et al.* Large-scale DNA-based phenotypic recording and deep learning enable
highly accurate sequence-function mapping. *Nat Commun* **11**, 3551, doi:10.1038/s41467-
020-17222-4 (2020).
70 LaFleur, T. L., Hossain, A. & Salis, H. M. Automated model-predictive design of synthetic
promoters to control transcriptional profiles in bacteria. *Nat Commun* **13**, 5159,
doi:10.1038/s41467-022-32829-5 (2022).
71 Rai, K., Wang, Y., O'Connell, R. W., Patel, A. B. & Bashor, C. J. Using Machine Learning to
Enhance and Accelerate Synthetic Biology. *Current Opinion in Biomedical Engineering*
(2024).
72 Karst, S. M. *et al.* High-accuracy long-read amplicon sequences using unique molecular
identifiers with Nanopore or PacBio sequencing. *Nat Methods* **18**, 165-169,
doi:10.1038/s41592-020-01041-y (2021).
73 Chung, C. T., Niemela, S. L. & Miller, R. H. One-step preparation of competent Escherichia
coli: transformation and storage of bacterial cells in the same solution. *Proc Natl Acad Sci
U S A* **86**, 2172-2175, doi:10.1073/pnas.86.7.2172 (1989).
74 Parrish, J. R. *et al.* High-throughput cloning of Campylobacter jejuni ORFs by in vivo
recombination in Escherichia coli. *J Proteome Res* **3**, 582-586, doi:10.1021/pr0341134
(2004).
75 Currin, A. *et al.* Highly multiplexed, fast and accurate nanopore sequencing for verification
of synthetic DNA constructs and sequence libraries. *Synth Biol (Oxf)* **4**, ysz025,
doi:10.1093/synbio/ysz025 (2019).

76   De Coster, W., Weissensteiner, M. H. & Sedlazeck, F. J. Towards population-scale long-
read sequencing. *Nat Rev Genet* **22**, 572-587, doi:10.1038/s41576-021-00367-3 (2021).

77   Smith, T. F. & Waterman, M. S. Identification of common molecular subsequences. *J Mol*
Biol **147**, 195-197, doi:10.1016/0022-2836(81)90087-5 (1981).

78   Cong, L. *et al.* Multiplex genome engineering using CRISPR/Cas systems. *Science* **339**, 819-
823, doi:10.1126/science.1231143 (2013).

79   Hermann, M. *et al.* Binary recombinase systems for high-resolution conditional
mutagenesis. *Nucleic Acids Res* **42**, 3894-3907, doi:10.1093/nar/gkt1361 (2014).

1101

Fig. 1

A
OVERVIEW OF CLASSIC

Genetic part pools → *Pooled DNA assembly* → Barcoded DNA construct libraries → *Express in cells* → Phenotypic sorting → *Short-read amplicon sequencing*

Barcode pool

Long-read sequencing → Construct-to-barcode index

Phenotype-to-barcode index

Map construct to phenotype

B
ULTRA HT ANALYSIS OF GENE CIRCUIT LIBRARIES

lvl 0 — *5' fragments* — *Coding parts* — *3' fragments* — *Single copy integration*

HEK 293T cells — Chr19: AAVS1 — BxB1

lvl 1 — *Promoter pool* — *ORF pool* — *Term. pool*

lvl 2 — *Expression unit pools* — Position: A B n n + 1 — **BC pool**

lvl 3 — *Indexed circuit library*

Flow seq

Short-read

Long-read

Circuit composition-to-function mapping

Identify designs with optimized target behavior

Rules for part context-dependence

Train ML models for functional prediction

Fig. 2

Fig. 3

A ML–GUIDED DESIGN SPACE MAPPING

One-hot encoded circuit part input
Flatten
Design features
MLP
Circuit behavior — Basal, Induced

Full design space
Measured space
Train model
Inferred space

B ML MODEL OF SINGLE-INPUT DESIGN SPACE

Basal — Test $r^2 = 0.86$
Induced — Test $r^2 = 0.90$
MLP predicted expression (AU) vs CLASSIC expression (AU)

165,888 circuits
high fold-change 5,532
always on 9,499
always off 41,230
Induced expression eGFP fluor. (AU) vs Basal expression eGFP fluor. (AU)

C MODEL VALIDATION

un-measured: MAE = 0.19, n = 15
CLASSIC-measured MAE = 0.18, n = 81
HFC circuits
Fold-change MLP model vs Fold-change cell lines

(4) 69.4 / 62.6
(3) 60.6 / 60.2
(2) 69.5 / 69.4
(1) 71.8 / 73.9
eGFP fluorescence (AU)
- 4-OHT (dotted)
+ 4-OHT (green)

D SINGLE-INPUT PART USAGE

synTF
TA: VPR, VP64, VP16, p65
ZF affinity: High, Med, Low
IDP: FusN, DDX4, HNR, SRSF

synTF coding
Prom.: CMV, EF1a1, RSV, hPGK
Term.: T1, T2, T4, T7
Pos. 1 spacer: 0 bp, 250, 500

Reporter
BM#: n=2, n=4, n=8, n=12
Core prom.: mCMV, ybTATA, miniTK
Pos. 2 spacer: 0 bp, 250, 500
Orien.: C→R, R→C

Part frequency fold enrichment 0.1 — 1.0 — 2.0+

E FEATURE IMPORTANCE

AD, IDR, ZF, Prom., Term, Sp. 1, BM#, Core. prom., Sp. 2, Orien.
SHAP value 0.1

F MUTUAL INFORMATION

HFC
TA, IDP, ZF, Orient., Prom., Term., Sp. 1, Sp. 2, Core prom., BM #

Always on
Always off

Mutual information. (bits)
$3 \cdot 10^{-1}$
$5 \cdot 10^{-2}$
$5 \cdot 10^{-3}$

G HFC DESIGN RULES

Cluster A: 5,018
B: 452
C: 62
UMAP 2 vs UMAP 1

LOCUS DESIGN (all clusters)
Orient R→C
Extended spacing 500 bp
Med./high expression EF1a, CMV
high valency n = 12, 8
Strong core prom. mCMV
Terminator T7

synTF DESIGN
A: Med. [synTF], Med. affinity, IDP: HNR, Med. TA: VP16/64
B, C: High [synTF], Med./low affinity, IDP: FusN, Weak/med. TA: p65/VP16

H MODEL EFFICIENCY AND ADAPTABILITY

Downsample training data
Full design space
Measured space
Inferred space
Train model

Test set r^2
Max $r^2 \pm 5\%$
Basal, Induced
Compositions removed (% of total)

Expansion of design space
new design features
new parts
Fine-tune model

Test set r^2
Max $r^2 \pm 5\%$
Basal, Induced
New compositions (×10³)

Fig. 4

A MULTI-INPUT GENE CIRCUIT

INPUT A: 4-OHT
mRuby IDP TA
ERT2
synTF A
OUTPUT: eGFP

synTF coding EUs
synTF B
NS3
INPUT B: GZV

12 - 16 kb

OR logic
AND logic

A - + - +
B - - + +

B MULTI-INPUT DESIGN SPACE

synTF A
N — [TA] [IDP] [ZF affinity] — C

TA: VPR, VP64, NFZ, p65, VP16
× IDP: FusN, none, DDX4, HNR
× ZF affinity: high, med, low, v. low, m. low1, m. low2

synTF B
N — [ZF affinity] [IDP] [TA] — C

ZF affinity: high, med, low, v. low, m. low1, m. low2
× IDP: FusN, none, DDX4, HNR
× TA: VPR, VP64, NFZ, p65, VP16

synTF coding
K1
Promoter: hEF1a v2, hEF1a1, hPGK, mPGK, CMV, sFFv
× Spacer: 1 kb, 0.5 kb, 0 kb

Reporter
K1 eGFP
Pattern: $(AB)_{n/2}$, $(BA)_{n/2}$, $A_{n/2}B_{n/2}$, $B_{n/2}A_{n/2}$
× BM#: n=2, n=8, n=12
× Core prom.: mCMV, ybTATA, SCP2, minTK
× Spacer: 1 kb, 0.5 kb, 0 kb

Protein domains
4/5 2/4 4/6   4/6 2/4 4/5

synTF part pools
3/6 32/120 1/3   3/6 32/120 1/3   20/40 1/3

synTF coding pools
96/2160   96/2160   Reporter part pools

Reporter pools
20/120

Gene circuit pools   Barcode pool
184,320/560 mil x 6 orientations

Indexed libraries
1.1 M (base)
3.4 B (full space)

C CIRCUIT LIBRARY COVERAGE

	Base	Expansion
Barcodes	280B	280B
Circuits	1.1M	3.2B
Assembly	~750k / 117k	~750k / 83k
Integration	345k / —	298k / —

	Basal	4-OHT	GZV	both
	331k / 81k	324k / 83k	333k / 79k	342k / 80k
	257k / 35k	252k / 34k	281k / 37k	256k / 35k
CLASSIC analysis	342k / 83k			288k / 45k

Compositions 111,715 (10%) Mean = 0.66 SD = 0.57
82,905 (0.002%) Mean = 0.62 SD = 0.61

Normalized prop. of library members
read count

mean = 4.2 mean = 3.2
Reads per composition / Barcodes per composition
Library member (x10k)

D CIRCUIT LIBRARY SORTING & MEASUREMENT

Bin# 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8

No inducer — HEK293T, Base, Expansion
4-OHT
GZV
Both

Normalized cell count
eGFP fluorescence (AU)

Base library MAE = 0.28 n = 14
ERCH = 0.32
CLASSIC expression (AU) vs Isolate expression (AU)

Expansion library MAE = 0.23 n = 13

Isolate: 3,052   19,186   44,288   128,992
CLASSIC: 4,432   15,520   48,980   118,358

	5′	mid	3′
	hEF1a	hEF1a	n=8
	p65	p65	$B_n A_n$
	no	no	mCMV
	ΔC2	WT	500
	500	500	•••
	•••	•••	

Fig. 5