TITLE

Ultra-high throughput mapping of genetic design space

AUTHORS

Ronan W. O'Connell[1,3,*], Kshitij Rai[1,4,*], Trenton C. Piepergerdes[1,3], Yiduo Wang[1,3], Kian D. Samra[1], Jack A. Wilson[1], Shujian Lin[1], Thomas H. Zhang[1], Eduardo M. Ramos[1], Andrew Sun[1], Bryce Kille[5], Kristen D. Curry[5], Jason W. Rocks[6], Todd J. Treangen[5], Pankaj Mehta[6,7,8], and Caleb J. Bashor[1,2,§]

AFFILIATIONS

[1]Department of Bioengineering, Rice University, Houston, TX 77030, USA
[2]Department of Biosciences, Rice University, Houston, TX 77030, USA
[3]Graduate Program in Bioengineering, Rice University, Houston, TX 77030, USA
[4]Graduate Program in Systems, Synthetic and Physical Biology, Rice University, Houston, TX 77030, USA
[5]Department of Computer Science, Rice University, Houston, TX 77005, USA
[6]Department of Physics, Boston University, Boston, MA 02215, USA
[7]Biological Design Center, Boston University, Boston, MA 02215, USA
[8]Faculty of Computing and Data Science, Boston University, Boston, MA 02215, USA
[§]Correspondence. Email: caleb.bashor@rice.edu
*These authors contributed equally to this work

ABSTRACT

Massively parallel genetic screens have been used to map sequence-to-function relationships for a variety of genetic elements. However, because these approaches only interrogate short sequences, it remains challenging to perform high throughput (HT) assays on constructs containing combinations of multiple sequence elements arranged across multi-kb length scales. Overcoming this barrier could accelerate synthetic biology; by screening diverse gene circuit designs, "composition-to-function" mappings could be created that reveal genetic part composability rules and enable rapid identification of behavior-optimized variants. Here, we introduce CLASSIC, a genetic screening platform that combines long- and short-read next-generation sequencing (NGS) modalities to quantitatively assess pools of constructs of arbitrary length containing diverse part compositions. We show that CLASSIC can measure expression profiles of >10^5 drug-inducible gene circuit designs (from 6-9 kb) in a single experiment in human cells. Using statistical inference and machine learning (ML) approaches, we demonstrate that data obtained with CLASSIC enables accurate predictive modeling of an entire circuit design landscape, offering critical insight into underlying design principles. Our work shows that by expanding the throughput and understanding gained with each design-build-test-learn (DBTL) cycle, CLASSIC dramatically augments the pace and scale of synthetic biology and establishes an experimental basis for data-driven design of complex genetic systems.

MAIN TEXT

Synthetic gene circuits are constructed by assembling DNA-encoded genetic parts into multi-gene programs that perform computational tasks in living cells[1-3]. Over the past two decades, gene circuits have emerged as important models for understanding native gene regulation[4] and have been used to create powerful biotechnologies by enabling user-defined control over cellular behavior[5-7]. Despite this progress, the design of quantitatively precise circuit behavior remains challenging. Regulatory interactions within a circuit must be carefully tuned, often through multiple iterative DBTL cycles, before part compositions that support a desired circuit behavior are identified[8]. Additionally, since genetic parts must work in close physical proximity to one another, as well as within a crowded intracellular environment[9], incidental molecular coupling can occur between parts and with host cell regulatory machinery[10-12]. Because these context-dependent interactions are difficult to predict, they can confound model-driven circuit design, further extending the number of DBTL cycles required to achieve a target behavior[13].

One potential strategy for increasing the pace of gene circuit engineering is to expand the number of circuits tested in each cycle by performing HT functional screens on pooled circuit libraries. By profiling an entire circuit design landscape in a single experiment, such an approach could enable rapid identification of circuit variants with desired behaviors. They could also facilitate the development of data-driven models that are capable of inferring context-specific part function or forward predicting the behavior of novel circuit designs. HT screening approaches that utilize short-read NGS as a readout[14-18] have been used to generate detailed sequence-to-function mappings for multiple genetic part classes, including promoters[19], terminators[20], transcription factors (TFs)[21,22], nucleic acid switches[23], and receptors[24]. However, high-depth functional profiling of libraries of DNA constructs long enough (>1 kb) to encode entire circuits can be costly and technically challenging. Methods have emerged that permit multiplexed analysis of long constructs by appending short barcode index sequences that facilitate amplicon-based readout by short-read NGS[25]. This approach has been used in array formats in which each construct is

assembled and barcoded separately, or through nested assembly schemes[25,26], limiting library

design flexibility and assay throughput. More recently, random barcoding and indexing via long-

read single-molecule real-time (SMRT) sequencing (PacBio) has been used to characterize li-

braries of variants ranging from 10^2 to 10^4 constructs, mostly for individual ORFs of up to 4 kb in

length[27-29].

To perform indexed multiplexing for construct libraries containing combinations of genetic

parts at larger scales, we devised an approach that combines long-read nanopore and short-read

Illumina NGS to analyze construct libraries generated via pooled genetic part assembly (**Fig. 1A**).

In our scheme, libraries are generated via pooled assemblies of genetic parts that incorporate

semi-random barcodes. Nanopore sequencing is used to rapidly and inexpensively assess part

composition and create a composition-to-barcode index. Libraries can then be introduced into

cells, binned based on expression phenotype, and analyzed by short-read (Illumina) amplicon

sequencing to produce a barcode-to-phenotype index. A map that matches construct composition

to phenotype can then be revealed by comparing the two indices. Using this technique, which we

refer to as CLASSIC (combining long- and short-range sequencing to investigate genetic com-

plexity), it is possible to obtain high-depth phenotypic expression data for large libraries (>10^5) of

genetic part compositions of arbitrary length using standard phenotypic selection or flow sorting

experiments.

In order to configure CLASSIC to quantitatively profile libraries of gene circuits with diverse

part combinations in human cells (**Fig. 1B**), we devised a custom hierarchical golden-gate[30] clon-

ing scheme in which library diversity is programmed through a series of pooled DNA assembly

steps. Diversified pools of 5', coding, and 3' gene elements are first generated through assembly

of input part fragments (level 0 to 1), and subsequently combined to create single-gene expres-

sion unit (EU) pools (level 1 to 2). EU pools are then combined with a plasmid-encoded barcode

pool to yield multi-EU circuit libraries (level 2 to 3). Following nanopore sequencing and data

analysis (see **Methods**), circuit libraries are genomically integrated at single copy into a HEK293T

cell line harboring a custom "landing pad" cassette (HEK293T-LP)[31,32] (see **Methods**). Library-

integrated cells are then flow-sorted based on circuit expression output, followed by Illumina NGS

to quantitate bin distributions of circuit-associated barcodes[14,15] (see **Methods**).

To evaluate the quantitative accuracy of this approach, we constructed and measured

expression for a 384-member library in which mRuby expression was modulated by variable com-

binations of genetic parts: a set of 8 constitutively active promoters frequently used in mammalian

genetic engineering[33,34]; 6 variable Kozak sequences capable of tuning expression by modulating

translational   initiation   rate[35];   and   8   common   mammalian   transcriptional   terminator   se-

quences[20,36,37] (**Fig. 2A left**). The library was cloned by combining promoter, Kozak, and termina-

tor input pools with a single mRuby ORF to generate a diversified EU pool, which was then com-

bined with barcode plasmids to create the indexed library (**Fig. 2A, right**). Nanopore sequencing

of the library demonstrated complete, part-balanced coverage of EU design space (**Fig. 2B top**)

with proportional assortment of unique barcodes, indicating an absence of systematic bias for

both EU assembly and barcode indexing steps (**Fig. 2B bottom**).

Following integration into HEK293T-LP cells, the EU library was flow-sorted into 10 bins

based on mRuby expression (414,407 cells) and EU-associated barcodes were measured by

Illumina NGS (**Fig. 2C left**). We aggregated barcodes that mapped to the same EU to infer a

mean expression and distribution for each library composition (**Fig. 2B bottom**; see **Methods**).

To compare our NGS-derived measurements to "ground-truth" values, we made direct measure-

ments on 15 randomly sorted and clonally expanded library members using flow cytometry. Ge-

ometric mean values for these isolates showed excellent agreement with corresponding CLAS-

SIC-derived values (*MAE*=0.07), with residual errors mostly falling within an experimentally de-

termined range of clonal expression heterogeneity (*ERCH*; see **Methods**) (**Fig. 2C**). Our analysis

demonstrated that the precision of our measurements was enhanced by mapping multiple unique

barcodes to each composition, leading to greater accuracy than one-to-one mapping. To validate

the precision of CLASSIC, we compared data for separate sorting experiments of the same 384-

member library (**Fig. 2D, top**) as well as independently integrated and sorted libraries (**Fig. 2D**

bottom). Both showed high correlation (r^2=0.99 and 0.97, respectively), demonstrating that

CLASSIC is highly repeatable between both technical and biological replicates.

The EU library data allowed us to systematically analyze relative contributions of individual

parts and part categories to mRuby expression magnitude; promoters showed the broadest range

of expression modulation, followed by Kozaks, and then terminators (**Fig. 2E**). To test whether

we could use an ML model to predict expression level based on part composition, we trained a

random forest (RF) regression model[38], which we selected due to its predictive power and ability

to capture non-linear relationships, as well as its relative interpretability over other black-box mod-

els such as neural networks. We used an 80:20 train:test split with part categories as features to

successfully demonstrate that the model has high accuracy (r^2=0.96) and assigns feature im-

portance to part categories in a manner consistent with their observed effect on expression (**Fig.**

2F bottom right), and showed superior performance to a first-principles mechanistic model. In-

terestingly, part compositions that were shown by both the RF regression models to have the

highest absolute error (>0.025) were determined to all contain the same terminator, T8, paired

with strong promoters (hEF1a1, hEF1a2, and CMV) and Kozaks, indicating that pairing weak and

strong transcriptional control elements can yield synergistic effects that confound expression level

prediction (**Fig. 2G**). These results demonstrate that data gathered with CLASSIC can be used in

conjunction with ML-based modeling to benchmark and accurately predict context-specific part

function.

We next tested whether CLASSIC could be scaled to higher throughput (>10^5) to quanti-

tatively profile a high-dimensional gene circuit design space. For this purpose, we selected a small

molecule drug-inducible circuit consisting of two EUs (**Fig. 3A**): one encoding a constitutively

expressed synthetic Cys2-His2 zinc-finger (ZF)-based transcription factor (synTF)[39-41] with ap-

pended transcriptional activation domains (ADs), and the other encoding a reporter gene harbor-

ing cognate synTF binding motifs (BMs) located upstream of a minimal promoter driving eGFP

expression[39]. Transcriptional induction occurs upon addition of the small molecule 4-hydroxyta-

moxifen (4-OHT), which binds to a mutant version of the human estrogen receptor (ERT2)[42] ap-

pended to the synTF, facilitating its translocation from the cytoplasm into the nucleus to activate

reporter transcription. As we and others have demonstrated[39,43-45], identifying designs that are

optimized for high fold-change (HFC) (**Fig. 3A, right**) expression can be challenging in eukaryotic

systems; basal and induced expression levels must be respectively minimized and maximized

through fine-tuning of both transcriptional regulatory features of the locus and the molecular prop-

erties of the synTF, thereby ensuring robust expression of a transgene exclusively in the presence

of inducer.

To create a composition-to-function map for an inducible synTF circuit, we compiled a 10-

feature design space consisting of genetic part categories that we hypothesized could be im-

portant for achieving HFC behavior. This included 4 different TAs[46-48], 3 ZF affinities[39], and a set

of 4 intrinsically disordered protein (IDP) domains[49-51] that have been shown to facilitate liquid-

liquid phase condensation of nuclear-localized TFs[49,50]. We also varied transcriptional regulatory

features of the circuit, including 4 promoters and 4 terminators in the synTF coding EU, as well

as 3 core promoters[52-54] and different numbers of BMs (2, 4, 8, or 12) in the reporter EU. Addi-

tionally, we varied the spacing between the EUs (0, 250, or 500 bp) along with their 5'-to-3' orien-

tation (**Fig. 3B, left**) to yield an overall circuit design space of 165,888 compositions (**Fig. 3B,**

left). This library was constructed in 3 steps by first assembling protein domain parts to create a

level 1 synTF ORF pool, then conducting parallel assemblies to generate level 2 pools of synTF

coding and reporter EUs, and finally combining EU and barcode pools into the level 3 destination

vector (**Fig. 3B right**).

Nanopore sequencing of the pooled library yielded barcode assignments for 95.3% of total

compositions (**Figs. 3C**), with a mean of 8.4 barcodes for each circuit (**Fig. 3C bottom left**). We

integrated the library into HEK293T-LP cells and sorted un-induced and 4-OHT-induced popula-

tions (8.6 and 15.7•10^6 cells, respectively) separately into 8 bins based on eGFP fluorescence

(**Fig. 3D left**). Following analysis by Illumina NGS, we matched a total of 121,292 (73% of design space) compositions to barcodes that were detected in both the sorted populations (mean barcodes circuit^{-1}=2.25) (**Fig. 3C**). We then used barcode bin distributions to compute basal, induced, and fold-change expression values for each variant. CLASSIC-derived fold-change values demonstrated excellent overall agreement (*MAE*=0.145) (**Fig. 3D top middle**) with those of random isolates (n=40) (**Figs. 3D bottom**), and values for both basal and induced expression demonstrated comparably high percent similarities (**Fig. 3D top right**). These results confirm that CLASSIC retains quantitative measurement accuracy when scaled to orders-of-magnitude larger and more complex libraries.

We analyzed the distribution of CLASSIC-measured values across a 2-dimensional behavior space (basal vs. induced eGFP expression), examining the relative density of compositions in 3 regions of interest: low basal (<500 AU), high induced (>70,000 AU), and HFC expression (>25x fold-change) (**Fig. 4A**). We observed a greater proportion of library members in the low basal than in the high induced region (~4.3x), and ~50% of compositions exhibited fold-change values of <3x, while a smaller fraction of the library (~8%) fell within the HFC region. Since incomplete measurement of our design space (27% was unmeasured) may limit our insight into circuit design principles—particularly for the HFC region—we asked whether we could create a complete mapping of design space by using an ML model to predict the behavior of unmeasured compositions. We trained two least-squares boosted RF regression models[55] with our basal and induced data sets, respectively, using randomized proportional down-sampling (see **Methods**) to normalize circuit representation across expression bins (**Fig. 4A middle**). Evaluation of the 80:20 train:test split indicated stronger predictive power for these RF models (train/test r^2 values of 0.88/0.83 for the basal and 0.92/0.87 for induced data sets) over regression models with linear and quadratic interaction terms where parts are independently parameterized to fit the data (train/test r^2 = 0.53/0.52 and 0.64/0.63 respectively). This suggests the existence of higher order non-linear interactions between part categories that are better captured by the RF model. RF

feature importance scores were highest for part categories related to synTF function (AD, ZF

affinity), the synTF expression promoter, and the reporter gene core promoter.

Using the RF models, we predicted basal and induced expression values for the 44,596

unmeasured part compositions, and the 121,292 measured compositions, yielding a behavior

map of our entire 165,888-member design space (**Fig. 4A right**). While a comparison between

RF-modeled and CLASSIC-measured distributions revealed similar global features, we observed

a high absolute model error (>2) for compositions measured at the periphery of behavior space

(~3% of variants, including many in the HFC region), potentially resulting from either CLASSIC

measurement error or poor model prediction in these regions (**Fig. 4A right inset**). To experi-

mentally validate that our RF models accurately reflect the behavior landscape of our circuit de-

sign space, we LP-integrated unmeasured (n=15) and measured (n=81) compositions from

across behavior space (**Fig. 4B**). Flow cytometry measurements of the resulting cell lines showed

close agreement with both predicted values for both unmeasured (*MAE*=0.16) and measured

compositions (*MAE*=0.15), allowing us to validate numerous compositions with the highest pre-

dicted fold-change values in the design space (50-100x) (**Fig. 4B**). Additionally, we demonstrated

that cell lines harboring circuit configurations with high-error measurements that were previously

observed in the behavior space periphery showed close agreement with RF predictions, indicating

that CLASSIC measurement outliers are accurately adjusted by the models.

With a quantitatively accurate functional mapping of synTF circuit design space in-hand,

we asked whether our dataset could provide insight into the design rules underlying circuit behav-

ior. First, we compared the frequency of part usage between low basal, high induced, and HFC

regions (**Fig. 4C**). We observed distinct part usage for compositions from each region, with highly

asymmetric usage in specific categories, including those associated with the synTF protein (AD,

IDP, and ZF affinity), the synTF expression promoter, the number of BMs, core promoter identity,

and 5'-to-3' EU orientation. For example, VPR, a strong AD, is used extensively in the high- in-

duced region, but is nearly absent amongst low basal circuits in favor of the weaker AD, p65,

while intermediate-strength VP16 and VP64 are enriched amongst HFC circuits. By the same

token, lower and medium activity core promoters (miniTK and ybTATA) are excluded from high

activity circuits, while low basal activity circuits exclude mCMV (high activity core promoter), and

HFC circuits utilize a mix of ybTATA and mCMV.

We next assessed whether part usage co-varies between categories by computing cross-

category mutual information (MI) for each behavior space region (**Fig. 4D**). We observed "cou-

pling" between categories in all 3 regions, with the highest degree in the HFC region. The strong-

est observed interactions were for AD with ZF affinity and the synTF expression promoter. To

better understand the role that this apparent part usage interdependence plays in optimizing HFC

behavior, we performed UMAP-assisted K-means clustering[56] on modeled HFC compositions us-

ing the 7 part categories with highest MI coupling (**Fig. 4E**). Inspection of the resulting projection

revealed three distinct clusters that were differentiated by their specific deployment of parts. Com-

positions in cluster A were enriched for higher expression of lower affinity synTFs harboring all

four ADs (including p65 and VPR), while those in cluster B and C favor medium expression of

higher affinity synTFs with moderate activity ADs (VP16, VP64).

Our CLASSIC-enabled analysis of part composition patterns supports a set of design prin-

ciples for programming HFC behavior that emphasizes a careful balance between minimizing

basal expression and enhancing induced expression (**Fig. 4F**). Part usage in categories associ-

ated with circuit locus design favors reporter-to-coding EU orientation, extended (500 bp) spacer

regions, and moderate synTF expression; features that are also found in the low basal expression

region of behavior space and thus likely contribute to minimizing leaky reporter expression in the

absence of inducer. On the other hand, high BM valency, higher-activity core promoters, and

preference for terminator T7—features also enriched in the high induced region—potentially max-

imize reporter output in the presence of nuclear-localized synTF. As our MI and clustering anal-

yses demonstrated, usage of parts comprising the synTF protein employ parallel molecular solu-

tions—matching strong expression with low affinity (cluster A) or medium-strength activators with

high affinity (clusters B and C)—that likely constrain specific activity of the synTF to a regime that

minimizes background expression while still producing strong induction.

Here, we have established the feasibility of combining long- and short-read NGS modali-

ties to perform massively parallel quantitative profiling of multi-kb length-scale genetic part as-

semblies in human cells. CLASSIC holds potential as an approach for exploring the emergence

of function from genetic composition across a range of organizational scales and phylogenetic

contexts, including for viruses[57], bacterial operons[58], and chromatin domains[58-60]. As we show in

this piece, by enabling HT profiling of diverse combinations of genetic parts, our approach signif-

icantly expands the scope of inquiry for synthetic biology projects; it reduces the time and cost

required to identify behavior-optimized part compositions and enables discovery of guidelines for

part composability. As demonstrated by our identification and analysis of HFC circuit variants, the

design rules revealed by CLASSIC may be non-intuitive and challenging to capture using bio-

physical modeling alone. Furthermore, since CLASSIC leverages extant, broadly-accessible mo-

lecular cloning and experimental analysis pipelines, we anticipate it will increase the pace and

scale of genetic design for diverse synthetic biology applications across a spectrum of organismal

hosts, including the development of bioproduction strains[61] and multigenic cell therapy programs[5],

as well as other applications that require probing complex genetic design spaces to achieve multi-

dimensional phenotypic optima.

Finally, we showed that data acquired using CLASSIC can be used to train ML models to

accurately make predictions for out-of-sample and edge-case circuit behavior. While extensive

recent work has used ML approaches to develop sequence-to-function models for various classes

of genetic parts[23,62-64] our work serves as a starting point for developing AI-based models of gene

circuit function that use part compositions as learned features. While our current work has focused

on mapping a design space of 10^5 compositions, it may be possible to create predictive models

for more complex circuits with far more expansive design spaces by using data acquired with

CLASSIC to train high capacity deep-learning algorithms (e.g., transformers) which require much

larger datasets than currently exist. Such approaches could work in black-box fashion, without

the incorporation of regulatory or biophysical priors, or synergistically with existing mechanistic

frameworks to create interpretable models that provide deeper insights into genetic design.

METHODS

Plasmid library construction

Circuit libraries were cloned using a custom hierarchical golden gate[30] assembly scheme,

which enables rapid, modular cloning of complex gene circuits starting from individual genetic part

sequences. Briefly, input DNA fragments are amplified from genomic or commercial DNA sources

and cloned into kanamycin resistant sub-part entry vectors using BpiI (Thermofisher) (*level 0*).

Sequence-verified sub-part plasmids are then used as inputs for assembly into carbenicillin-re-

sistant entry vectors using BsaI-v2 HF (NEB) to yield genetic part plasmids (i.e., promoters, ORFs,

terminators) (*level 1*). EUs are then constructed by assembling promoter, ORF, and terminator

part plasmids into a kanamycin-resistant entry vector using Esp3I (Thermofisher) (*level 2*). EUs

are then combined into multi-unit arrays by assembling into a carbenicillin– or spectinomycin-

resistant destination vectors using BpiI (Thermofisher) (*level 3*).

In this system, barcode pools are incorporated into library assemblies at level 2 and 3.

Level 2 barcoded EU pools were generated by first constructing a destination vector carrying a

ccdB placeholder expression cassette downstream of the BFP stop codon. A semi-degenerate

18 bp barcode oligo pool (IDT)[65] was polymerase-extended and cloned in place of the ccdB cas-

sette using BsaI-v2 (NEB) in a 20 µL golden gate reaction: 2 min at 37 °C and 5 min at 16 °C for

a number of cycles equal to 10x the number of input fragments, followed by a 30 min digestion

step at 37 °C and subsequent sequential 15 min denaturation steps at 65 °C and 80 °C. Resulting

assemblies were purified using a miniprep column (Epoch Life Sciences), electroporated (BioRad)

into 100 µL NEB 10-Beta electrocompetent *E. coli* (NEB), and plated onto a custom 30 in x 24 in

LB$_{Kan}$ agar plate, yielding ~120M colonies. Plates were grown at 37 °C for 16 h, at which time

colonies were scraped into LB_{Kan} (20 mL), incubated for 30 min at 37 °C, and the plasmid library

extracted via miniprep (Qiagen). For large-scale libraries level 3 barcode pools were generated

in order to ensure a unique barcode-to-circuit mapping. To create level 3 barcode pools, a semi-

degenerate 18 bp oligo pool (IDT) was polymerase-extended and cloned via golden gate assem-

bly into a SpectR destination vector carrying a placeholder ccdB cassette using PaqCI (NEB)

following the assembly protocol above. Resulting assemblies were transformed into 100 µL home-

made chemically competent[66,67] Stbl3 *E. coli* and plated onto a 10 cm LB_{Spect} agar plate. Plates

were grown at 37 °C for 20 h to yield approximately 15,000 colonies, followed by colony scraping

and plasmid DNA extraction, as described above.

Part pools (levels 1), EU pools (level 2) and circuit libraries (level 3) were constructed by

combining input plasmids at 50 fmol per part category (15 µL total volume) using the above-

described cycling protocol. Transformations varied by library size: level 2 and 3 assemblies for

the 384-member library (**Fig. 2**) were transformed into 100 µL of chemically competent *E. coli*

(DH5a and Stbl3, cells/mL) and respectively plated on LB_{Kan} and LB_{Carb} agar plates for 12-16 h

(37 °C) to yield ~15,000 colonies. Colonies were scraped into 13 mL LB_{Kan} or LB_{Carb} and mini-

prepped using a Qiagen kit. Level 1 and 2 assemblies for the 166k-member library (**Fig. 3**) were

respectively transformed using 100 µL and 400 µL of chemically competent *E. coli* (Stbl3,

cells/mL) and grown on LB_{Carb} and LB_{Kan} agar plates at 37 °C for 12-16 h to yield ~5,000 and

~20,000 colonies, respectively. Level 3 166k-member assemblies were purified using a miniprep

column (Epoch Life Sciences), electroporated (BioRad) into 100 µL 10-beta electrocompetent

cells (NEB), and then plated on 10 15 cm LB_{Spect} agar plates for 16-20 h (37 °C) to yield ~3M

colonies.

Long-read plasmid sequencing

To generate indices linking assembled constructs to their associated DNA barcodes, we

used Oxford Nanopore Technology (ONT) long-read sequencing[68,69]. Libraries were prepared for

long-read sequencing by digesting 2 μg of a level 3 plasmid library using Esp3I (Thermofisher)

and purifying linearized fragments using a 0.5x volume of magnetic beads (Omega Bio-Tek) by

volume. Nanopore sequencing adapters were added to the linearized DNA pool using the LSK-

112 genomic ligation kit (ONT) and sequenced using on a 14inion device (ONT) equipped with

an R10 flow cell (FLO-MIN112). Basecalling was performed using Guppy (ONT, super high ac-

curacy mode) running on a GPU (Nvidia RTX 3090). Composition and barcode assignment was

performed using WIMPY (what's in my pot, y'all), a custom Matlab analysis pipeline composed of

six discrete modules that converts basecalled Fastq files into a part composition array and bar-

code sequence for each Nanopore read. Briefly, WIMPY first calls Fastqall (1) to import fastq files

from Guppy and Bowtiles (2) to index the reads to a constant region in the level 3 plasmid back-

bone. In this study, the puromycin resistance cassette (PuroR) was designated as the constant

region, and after indexing to PuroR, reads were filtered to remove incomplete assemblies and

non-library fragments. WIMPY then calls Tilepin (3), which uses localized containment searching

(aka tiling) to identify important genetic landmarks, such as ORFs, to define the different sections

of the sequence (e.g., reporter array, synthetic transcription factor coding region, or barcoded

BFP expression unit). Chophat (4) then truncates the previously identified sections into smaller

arrays to aid later identification of individual parts. WIMPY then calls Viscount (5a), which deter-

mines compositions for filtered reads by identifying and assigning genetic parts through a combi-

nation of general Smith-Waterman alignments[70] and localized containment searches. This is done

by splitting the reference sequence into 6-10bp "tiles" with a 1bp stride, after which nanopore

reads are queried for the number of tiles contained for each part reference sequence. Reads

containing >3% of tiles for a reference sequence are assigned while reads with more than one

reference assignment are discarded. Barcode sequences for each read are concurrently deter-

mined by Barcoat (5b), in which the sequence section downstream of the BFP EU is aligned to a

degenerate reference sequence using a custom alignment matrix.

Cell culture

HEK293T cells (ATCC® CRL-11268™) used in this study were cultured under humidity

control at 37 °C with 5% CO_2 in media containing Dulbecco's modified Eagle medium (DMEM)

with high glucose (Gibco, 12100061) supplemented with 10% Fetal Bovine Serum (FBS; Gemini-

Bio, 900-108), 50 units/ml penicillin, 50 µg/ml streptomycin (Pen Strep; Gibco, 15070063), 2 mM

L-Alanyl-L-Glutamine (Caisson labs, GLL02), referred to hereafter as complete DMEM.

HEK293T-LP cells with and without integrated libraries were maintained in DMEM supplemented

with 50 µg/mL Hygromycin B (Sigma, H3274) and 1 µg/mL Puromycin (Sigma, P8833), respec-

tively.

Single-copy library integration

To establish a landing pad (LP) cell line, low passage HEK293T cells were co-transfected

a dual Cas9 and gRNA expression vector (pX330-T2) targeting the human *AAVS1* locus[71] and a

PmeI-linearized (NEB) repair template comprising a constitutively expressed YFP-HygR-expres-

sion cassette with a BxB1 *attP* recognition site flanked by 800bp homology arms for the human

AAVS1 locus (pROC079). Genomic integration events were selected using 50 µg/mL Hygromycin

B, and YFP+ cells were flow sorted to isolate clones (WOLF, NanoCellect). Clones were ex-

panded to 24-well plates and tested for integration competency by integration of an *attB*-contain-

ing destination vector and subsequent flow cytometry and the presence of the intact LP cassette

at the *AAVS1* locus by PCR. gDNA was extracted from each clonal population (Omega Bio-Tek)

and 50 ng of gDNA was used as the template in a 25 µL reaction along with 0.25 µL of Q5 poly-

merase (NEB), 0.5 µL of 10mM dNTPs, 5 µL of 5X Q5 polymerase buffer, and 1 µL of each 1 mM

genotyping. Primers were specific to the native AAVS1 locus, Landing Pad, or destination vector.

The thermocycling protocol was 2 min at 98 °C, then 30 cycles of 98 °C for 20 s, 65 °C for 20 s,

72 °C for 1 min and then a final step of 72 °C for 5 min. We identified a clone (LP79 #36) that

demonstrated BxB1-dependent, single copy integration of vectors harboring an *attB* recognition

site and used this cell line for all following experiments.

To integrate individual constructs, 125k cells were plated into a 24-well plate one day prior

to transfection. The well was co-transfected with 250 ng of a level 3 vector containing a construct

of interest and 125 ng of BxB1 expression plasmid (Addgene #51271) using JetPrime (VWR).

pCAG-NLS-HA-Bxb1 was a gift from Pawel Pelczar (Addgene plasmid #51271)[72]. Two days after

transfection, cells were passaged into complete DMEM containing 1 µg/mL Puromycin and se-

lected for 10 days to achieve homogenous expression. Library integration was performed by co-

transfecting HEK293T-LP with 250 ng of plasmid library and 125 ng of BxB1 per 200k cells (384-

member library = 1M cells transfected; 166k-member library = 100M cells transfected) using

JetPrime. Media exchange was performed after 8 h and cells were expanded for an additional 40

h, split into five culture dishes, and grown for 6-8 days under Puromycin selection. Cells were

passaged 1:3 and cultured for an additional 5 days under puromycin selection, and then combined

in complete DMEM for flow sorting (10M cells/mL).

To determine the clonal variability within LP-integrated cell populations, we integrated a

constitutively expressed mCherry EU following the protocol described above. We then randomly

sorted 23 clones from the population (WOLF, NanoCellect) and measured their mCherry expres-

sion. We calculated the MAE for this set (*MAE = 0.08*) and used this value to define an expected

error range from clonal heterogeneity (ERCH), which represents the expected variability of cells

from an integrated population. For the 384-member library, the expression ERCH was set to 0.08

on either side of the expected value, and for the 166k-member library, the fold change ERCH was

calculated to be 0.16 on either side of the expected value.

Flow sorting

To prepare libraries for flow sorting, cells were lifted using TrypLE (Gibco), washed twice

with PBS, and resuspended in complete DMEM (10M cells/mL). For the 384-member library, the

mRuby expression distribution was sorted into 10 evenly log-spaced bins on a Sony MA900 set

to purity mode. The number of cells collected for each bin was proportional to the percentage of

the mRuby distribution expressed in that bin, with a target of ~125k cells sorted in the most pop-

ulous bin [e.g., 2,252 cells were collected for bin 1 (0.53% of library), 126,562 for bin 4 (29.78%

of library)]. For the 166k-member library, cells were split and either grown in DMEM containing

1µM 4-OHT (Sigma Aldrich) ("induced") or without 4-OHT ("uninduced") for 72 h. The eGFP ex-

pression distributions for both conditions were then sorted separately into 8 evenly log-spaced

bins. Cells were sorted into bins in proportion to the relative abundance of the library in each bin,

with the most populous bin set at 1.5M cells. For both libraries, sorted cells were plated into 96-,

24- or 6-well plates to achieve a plating density of 10-30%, grown under puromycin selection for

2 days, passaged and washed with PBS, and grown for an additional 3 days. Cells were then

lifted using TrypLE and total RNA was extracted using the Takara RNA plus kit following manu-

facturer's instructions (Takara). 5 µL of total mRNA was converted to total cDNA using random

hexamers following manufacturer's instructions (Verso, Life Technologies).

Short-read (Illumina) sequencing

To prepare sorted libraries for short-read sequencing, barcode regions were PCR ampli-

fied from cDNA in a 15 µL reaction with Phantamax polymerase (Vazyme) and custom bin-specific

primer sets (IDT) using the following thermocycling protocol: 2 min at 98 °C, then 12 cycles of

98 °C for 20 s, 60 °C for 10 s, 66 °C for 10 s, 72 °C for 20 s and then a final step of 72 °C for 2

min. The resulting amplicon pool was extracted from a 2% agarose gel. A second PCR step,

which used 10 cycles of the thermocycling protocol for PCR step 1, added Illumina sequencing

adapters (i5 and i7) and sample-specific sequencing barcodes using Phantamax and custom pri-

mer sets (IDT), and the amplified product was extracted from a 2% agarose gel. Purified ampli-

cons from each bin were pooled at equimolar concentrations and sequenced using an Illumina

MiSeq (kit v2, 300 cycles) or NovaSeq6000 (Sp v1.5 kit, 300 cycles) for the 384- and 166k-

member libraries, respectively. Illumina data were analyzed using a custom analysis pipeline

(Matlab). Briefly, fastq files are imported and split according to their sample-specific sequencing

barcode. Barcode sequencing data is converted to average expression for all compositions/vari-

ants as follows: (1) Counts for each barcode in each sample/bin are normalized by the percentage

of the library distribution in that bin to account for differences in read depth across bins. (2) A

weighted average of number of reads in each bin for each barcode is then calculated, to assign

an average expression level (using a bin $\rightarrow$ expression conversion table) to each barcode. (3)

Barcode expression levels are then cross-referenced with the Nanopore indices to assign average

expression values for all barcodes associated with a given variant in a n-by-y cell array, where n

is the number of library variants and y is the (variable) number of barcodes for each variant. (4)

For each variant, a gaussian kernel density estimation is performed over the log-normalized ex-

pression values from all barcodes associated with each variant, using the Matlab function

ksdensity. The expression value corresponding to the kernel peak is assigned as the mean ex-

pression value for each variant.

Experimental validation of CLASSIC measurements

To assess the accuracy of CLASSIC measurements for both the 384-member and 166k-

member libraries, single cells were sorted from the integrated cell populations into 96-well plates

(MA900) and clonally expanded from across the mRuby and eGFP expression distributions, re-

spectively. Isolated clonal populations, which are referred to as 'isolates', were expanded to 24-

well plates and the fluorescence distribution was measured by flow cytometry (MA900). For the

384-member library, one 96-well plate of cells was sorted based on mRuby expression and 15

isolates were taken for validation. For the 166k-member library, two 96-well plates of cells were

sorted based on eGFP expression from the uninduced cell library to yield 40 isolates total. Fol-

lowing fluorescence measurement, gDNA was extracted from each cell population (Omega Bio-

Tek). The genetic variant in each isolate was identified by PCR-based amplification and Nanopore

sequencing of the Landing Pad locus using a forward primer in Puromycin resistance cassette

and a reverse primer in the BFP open reading frame. A set of 30 different forward primers, each

with a defined barcode, were paired with a common reverse primer to amplify the genetic variants

from each isolate and associate the genetic variant with the isolate during Nanopore sequencing.

50 ng of gDNA was used as the template in a 20 µL reaction along with 10 µL of 2X PhantaMax

Master Mix (Vazyme), 1 µL of each 1 mM primer, and brought up to 20 µL in nuclease-free H_2O.

The thermocycling protocol was 2 min at 98 °C, then 30 cycles of 98 °C for 20 s, 65 °C for 20 s,

72 °C for 5 min and then a final step of 72 °C for 10 min. Each PCR reaction was extracted from

a 1% agarose gel and column purified using QG buffer (Qiagen), following manufacture instruc-

tions. Purified amplicons were pooled and prepared for Nanopore sequencing using LSK-112

genomic ligation kit (ONT) and sequenced using on a 19inion device (ONT) equipped with an R10

flow cell (FLO-MIN112). Basecalling was performed using Guppy (ONT, super high accuracy

mode) running on a GPU (Nvidia RTX 3090). Composition and barcode assignment was per-

formed using WIMPY, as described above.

Random forest regression

For the 384-member EU library, a bootstrap aggregation (bag) RF model was constructed

using the matlab function *templatetree*. The promoter, Kozak and terminator were used as cate-

gorical input variables for each EU, with log(mRuby) expression (AU) as the predicted output. The

data was split 80:20 (307 EUs and 77 EUs) for training and testing, respectively. The number of

trees was chosen based on 10-fold cross validated RMSE loss as a function of increasing number

of trees using the Matlab function *fitrensemble*. The trained RF model was then validated on the

test set (**Fig. 2F**). Identification of genetic part interactions was determined by the absolute log

error between predicted and observed mRuby expression.

For the 166k-member circuit library, two independent least-squares boosted RF models

were used to predict basal and induced expression for experimentally unmapped circuit members

using the same Matlab functions mentioned above. Data used for RF training were obtained by

first filtering the dataset and keeping points that had 12 or more barcode reads. The space was

then split into 8 equally log-spaced regions. If the bin with the highest frequency of data contained

more than 2x the number of points as the next most frequent bin, data was randomly downsam-

pled from that bin to match the frequency of the next most frequent bin. This downsampled dataset

was then randomly split 80:20 into a training and test set respectively. The inputs to the model

were the 10 categorical variables representing the parameters tuned in the library (e.g., SynTF

affinity, #BM etc.), while the output was the log(eGFP) expression (AU) for basal or induced ex-

pression values. Following hyperparameter optimization for number of trees, least squares boost-

ing algorithm learn rate, and maximum decision splits, the model structures and performance

were as follows: basal, 70 trees, max number of splits=51, learn rate = 0.1061, r^2_{train}=0.88,

r^2_{test}=0.83 and induced, 100 trees, max number of splits=51, learn rate=0.1556, r^2_{train}=0.92,

r^2_{test}=0.87.

Mutual information calculation

For a given pair of features in a region of design space, mutual information was computed

using the following formula:

$$\sum_{x,y} p\,(x,y) log_2 \frac{p(x,y)}{p(x)p(y)}$$

where x and y are 2 different features of the library, and p(x, y) represents the joint frequency for

specific x and y combinations divided by the total number of points in the dataset. p(x) and p(y)

represent the fractional frequency of the corresponding parts by themselves.

UMAP clustering

For UMAP dimensional reduction, part composition data for the seven genetic part cate-

gories with the greatest part usage asymmetry (promoter, AD, IDR, ZF affinity, BM #, core

promoter, and orientation) from the high fold change (>25-fold region) were used as inputs into

the *clusterevaluation* function in Matlab. We carried out a gap test to identify the optimal number

of clusters in the data, followed by K-means clustering using the *kmeans* function in Matlab to

identify clusters. Cluster regions were generated by drawing a boundary around the outermost

points corresponding to a particular cluster.

Data analysis and statistics

All statistical analyses and graphical displays were performed in Matlab (2022a). Pear-

son's r^2 was used to calculate correlations between CLASSIC replicate experiments, CLASSIC

expression measurements and model predictions, and individual expression measurements and

their model predictions. The MAE was calculated as the mean of the absolute differences of the

$\log_{10}$ transformed expression between every pair of isolate and CLASSIC measurements. The

MAE of the $\log_{10}$ transformed fold change values was computed between CLASSIC measure-

ments and individual measurements as well as model predictions and individual measurements.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Oleg Igoshin, Ankit Patel, Yashwanth Lagisetty, Satpreet Singh, and members of the

Bashor lab for helpful discussions. This work was supported by grants from NIH R01 EB029483

(C.J.B.), NIH R01 EB032272 (C.J.B.), ONR N00014-21-1-4006 (C.J.B.), and funding from the

Robert J. Kleberg Jr. and Helen C. Kleberg Foundation (C.J.B.). R.W.O. was supported by a

graduate fellowship from the American Heart Association (917746). B.K. was supported by a NLM

Training Program in Biomedical Informatics and Data Science fellowship (T15LM007093-31) and

by NIH grant P01-AI15299901. K.D.C. was supported by NSF EF-2126387 and the Ken Kennedy

Institute Computational Science & Engineering Recruiting Fellowship. P.M. and J.W.R were sup-

ported by NIH R35GM119461 (P.M.)

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

R.W.O., K.R., and C.J.B. conceived of the study, R.W.O. and K.R. carried out the experiments

and developed the analysis software, with assistance from T.C.P., Y.W., K.D.S., J.A.W., S.L.,

T.H.Z., E.M.R., and A.S. R.W.O., and T.C.P. developed the modular cloning scheme and landing

pad cell line, with assistance from S.L. B.K., K.C., and T.J.T. helped develop the barcoding

scheme and analysis software. R.W.O., K.R., T.C.P., Y.W., J.W.R., P.M, and C.J.B. analyzed the

data. C.J.B. supervised the study. R.W.O., K.R., and C.J.B wrote the manuscript, with input from

all authors.

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Caleb J. Bashor.

COMPETING INTERESTS

The authors declare no competing interests.

A
OVERVIEW OF CLASSIC

Genetic part pools

Barcode pool

Pooled DNA assembly

Barcoded DNA construct libraries

Express in cells

Phenotypic sorting

Short-read amplicon sequencing

Phenotype-to-barcode index

Long-read sequencing

Construct-to-barcode index

Map construct to phenotype

B
ULTRA HT ANALYSIS OF GENE CIRCUIT LIBRARIES

5′ fragments   Coding parts   3′ fragments

lvl 0

Single copy integration

HEK 293T cells

Chr19: AAVS1

BxB1

lvl 1   Promoter pool   ORF pool   Term. pool

Position: A   B   n   n + 1

lvl 2   Expression unit pools   *BC pool*

Flow seq

Short-read

Long-read

lvl 3   Indexed circuit library

Circuit composition-to-function mapping

Identify designs with optimized target behavior

Rules for part context-dependence

Train ML models for functional prediction

Figure 1. Using CLASSIC to systematically map the design space of complex genetic pro-

grams. (**A**) Overview of CLASSIC. Pooled assembly of genetic parts with DNA barcode se-

quences yields libraries of barcode-indexed constructs of arbitrary length and complexity. Long-

read nanopore sequencing is used to create an index matching construct composition to an as-

sociated barcode. In parallel, libraries introduced into cells undergo sorting or selection to bin

expression phenotypes. Barcode amplicons generated for each bin are subjected to short-read

NGS to quantify expression phenotype, which is then mapped to construct composition via bar-

code indexes. (**B**) Application of CLASSIC to profile a synthetic gene circuit design space. Hier-

archical golden gate assembly is used to compose libraries of multi-EU circuits with combinatori-

ally varied part compositions and circuit designs. Sequence fragment pools for different parts

categories from level 0 are combined to yield level 1 pools of promoters, open reading frames

(ORF), and terminators (term.), which are then combined to yield level 2 EUs (square brackets:

fragment/part pools). Barcode pools are combined with EU pools to create indexed multi-EU cir-

cuit libraries (level 3) that are integrated into HEK293T-LP cells placed at the *AAVS1* locus in

chromosome 19 via expression of the BxB1 recombinase (top right). Library analysis by a combi-

nation of nanopore and flow-seq yields composition-to-function mapping.

Figure 2. CLASSIC can quantitatively profile diverse compositions of genetic parts. (**A**) EU library design space. Left: the EU library comprises combinations of parts from 3 categories (promoters, Kozak sequences, and terminators). Right: Part pools are assembled (step 1) with an mRuby ORF to generate a 384-member EU pool, which is combined with a barcoded-BFP EU pool to generate the indexed EU library. (**B**) EU library assembly and indexing balance. Oxford nanopore sequencing was performed on the assembled library. Data were analyzed to assess library composition count (top) and composition/barcode balance [reads per composition (light grey), unique barcodes per composition (dark grey); data plotted in rank order of reads per composition] (bottom). (**C**) EU library expression quantification. Top left: the library was flow sorted into 10 equally log-spaced bins [empty HEK293T cells (grey histogram), library (pink histogram)]. Top right: residual for FACS-measured geometric mean fluorescence values for sorting-isolated clones (n=15) plotted against CLASSIC-derived values [ERCH (grey band), error range from clonal heterogeneity (see **Methods**)]; black dots, clonal isolates. Bottom: Representative data from 4 clonal isolates (pink solid) are shown with corresponding CLASSIC-computed distributions [kernel density (black line) calculated from normalized barcode read count (grey vertical bars)]; MAE, mean absolute error; AU, arbitrary fluorescence units. (**D**) CLASSIC precision. Correlation of CLASSIC-computed EU expression between technical replicate (top) and biological replicate (bottom) experiments. (**E**) Influence of part identity on expression. Violin plots of each part-specific distribution, ordered from strongest to weakest. Dotted line, HEK293T background expression mean. (**F**) RF analysis of EU behavior space. Left: RF modeled values plotted against CLASSIC measurements. Grey, training data; purple, test data. Top right: 10-fold cross-validated error (y axis) vs number of learning cycles (x axis). CV, cross-validation; MSE, mean squared error. Bottom right: feature importance scores for each part category. (**G**) Analysis of part interference. Left: error [$\log_{10}$(RF predicted) – $\log_{10}$(CLASSIC-observed)] is plotted for all compositions associated with each promoter. White lines, median values; red dots, outliers (error >0.025). Right: outlier part configurations. Red shaded area highlights common terminator T8.

A. DRUG-INDUCIBLE GENE CIRCUIT

INPUT: 4-OHT

mRuby
ERT2
IDP
Transcriptional activation domain

Zinc finger

OUTPUT: eGFP

synTF coding EU   Reporter EU

6 - 9 kb

Fold change = I / B

Induced
Basal
4-OHT − +

B. CIRCUIT DESIGN SPACE

synTF

N — [AD] [IDP] [ZF affinity] — C

AD	IDP	ZF affinity
VPR	FusN	high
VP64	DDX4	med
VP16	HNR	low
p65	SRSF	

synTF coding

K1

Promoter	Term.	Spacer 1
CMV	T3	0 bp
hEF1a1	T4	250
RSV	T6	500
hPGK	T7	

Reporter

BM#   K1 eGFP   Core prom.   Spacer 2

BM#	Core prom.	Spacer 2
n=2	mCMV	0 bp
n=4	ybTATA	250
n=8	miniTK	500
n=12		

Protein domains: 4 × 4 × 4 × 4 × 3

synTF part pools: 4, 48, 12
Reporter part pools: 12, 3

synTF coding pools: 2,304
Reporter pools: 36

Gene circuit pools
Barcode pool

Orientation A: 82,944
Orientation B: 82,944

Indexed library: 165,888 members

C. CIRCUIT LIBRARY COVERAGE

Barcodes 280B
Circuits 166k

Assembly ↓
~2M / 158k

Integration ↓
450k −

Basal ↙   ↘ *Ind.*
277k / 124k   276k / 121k

↘   ↙
CLASSIC analysis
273k / 121k

Compositions IDed 158,038 (95.3%)
Mean = 1.07
SD = 0.43

read count

mean = 11.6
mean = 8.4

Reads per composition / Barcodes per composition
Library member (x10k)

D. CIRCUIT LIBRARY SORTING & MEASUREMENT

Bin# 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8

HEK293T
- 4-OHT
+ 4-OHT

Normalized cell count
eGFP fluorescence (AU)

MAE = 0.15
n = 40
ERCH = 0.32

Fold change *CLASSIC*
Fold change *isolates*

n = 2   n = 33
n = 2   n = 3

% similarity = 1 − abs (log(isolate) - log(CLASSIC) / log(isolate))

Percent similarity (%) *induced*
Percent similarity (%) *basal*

Isolates
CLASSIC

① 1.9x
111,448 / 59,292
1.5x
90,480 / 58,676

5′	3′
n=8	hEF1a1
mCMV	VPR
SV40	HNR
500 bp	WT
250 bp	T6

② 8.0x
1,915 / 241
20.5x
6,850 / 334

5′	3′
hEF1a1	n=8
VP64	ybTATA
HNR	SV40
0 bp	ΔC
500 bp	T4

③ 20.1x
54,555 / 2,714
23.1x
63,601 / 2,750

5′	3′
n=8	hPGK
mCMV	VP16
SV40	SRSF
0 bp	ΔC
500 bp	T3

④ 45.2x
118,568 / 2,622
50.6x
115,715 / 2,287

5′	3′
hPGK	n=8
VP16	mCMV
HNR	SV40
WT	250 bp
500 bp	T3

eGFP fluorescence (AU)

Figure 3. Using CLASSIC to profile a synthetic gene circuit design landscape. (**A**) Inducible synTF circuit diagram. Left: The circuit contains two EUs: one codes for the synTF and the other is an eGFP reporter. Without inducer, the synTF localizes to the cytoplasm. Upon addition of 4-OHT (input), the synTF translocates into the nucleus to bind to and activate reporter eGFP expression (output). Right: Expression fold change is the ratio of expression levels in the presence or absence of inducer. (**B**) synTF circuit design space. Left: SynTF diversity (arranged N-to-C term): of 4 ADs, 4 IDPs, and 3 ZF affinity mutants (high: WT, med: ΔC, low: ΔC 6x). synTF coding EU diversity: 4 constitutive promoters, 4 terminators. Reporter EU diversity: 4 BM number variants, 3 minimal core promoters. This unit contains a constant terminator. Both EUs have 3' spacing sequences of 0bp, 250bp or 500bp downstream. Right: EUs assembled from input parts and combined in 2 different 5'-to-3' orientations, to generate a combinatorial diversity of 165,888 possible circuit variants. (**C**) Balance of circuit library assembly and indexing. Left: data for ~121k out of 166k variants (73%) were recovered by CLASSIC; compositions, light grey; barcodes, dark grey. Right: Nanopore sequencing data of the library were analyzed to assess library composition count (top) and composition/barcode balance [reads per composition (light grey), unique barcodes per composition (dark grey); data plotted in rank order of reads per composition] (bottom). (**D**) Circuit library sorting and measurement. Top right: eGFP expression of circuit library in presence (solid green) and absence (dotted green line) of 4-OHT (top, center) is shown, along with boundaries of flow sorting bins (vertical grey dotted lines); grey histogram, empty HEK293T-LP cells. Top middle: Fold-change values for 40 clonal isolates plotted against CLASSIC-derived values. Black dots, isolates displayed at the bottom of the panel; MAE, mean average error; grey region, ERCH, error range of clonal heterogeneity AU, arbitrary fluorescence units. Top right: % similarity between basal and induced values for CLASSIC and isolates. Bottom: Flow data from 4 isolates (green dotted line, uninduced; green solid, induced) are shown with corresponding CLASSIC-computed distributions [kernel density (black line) calculated from normalized barcode read count (grey vertical bars)]. Parts combinations corresponding to each index are shown.

A FUNCTIONAL MAPPING OF CIRCUIT DESIGN SPACE

B ML PREDICTION OF CIRCUIT BEHAVIOR

D PART USAGE COUPLING

C PART USAGE DISTRIBUTION

E HIGH FOLD-CHANGE PART USAGE

F HIGH FOLD-CHANGE CIRCUIT DESIGN

Figure 4. Analysis of CLASSIC library behavior space reveals gene circuit design rules. (**A**)

ML model of inducible synTF circuit behavior space. Left: Basal and induced CLASSIC measure-

ments were plotted as a contour plot (contours, light to dark: 97.5%, 90%, 70%, 50% of total

measurements). Highlighted regions bounded by dotted lines: low basal (<500 AU), purple arrow;

high induction (>70k AU) blue; high fold change (HFC) (>25x, green). Values in the plot indicate

the number of compositions in each region. Middle: RF of with 80:20 train:test split for basal and

induced CLASSIC data [training data (grey) plotted against test data (purple, basal; blue, in-

duced). Right: Contour plot of RF modeled design space for unmeasured compositions predicted

by the RF model, measured circuits, and combined data (complete design space). Inset: average

model error (Manhattan distance) between the $\log_{10}$(CLASSIC-derived measurements) and

$\log_{10}$(RF-computed values). (**B**) Experimentally validating ML prediction of circuit function. Top

left: Fold-change values for cell lines from unmeasured configurations (red) and measured con-

figurations (teal) were plotted against CLASSIC-derived values. Black dots, isolates displayed at

the periphery of the panel; MAE, mean average error; grey region, ERCH, error range of clonal

heterogeneity; green box, HFC behavior region. Right and bottom: Flow cytometry analysis of cell

line behavior (green dotted line, basal; solid green, induced) and associated circuit compositions

(below each plot). Horizontal bars indicate RF predicted fold change. AU, arbitrary fluorescence

units. (**C**) Genetic part usage in highlighted regions of behavior space. Part fold enrichment is

calculated by dividing observed part occurrence by expected part occurrence from a balanced

library. Red text, categories with high asymmetry used for cluster analysis. (**D**) Mutual information

between part categories in different regions of behavior space. MI between part categories is

denoted by red line thickness. (**E**) Clustering analysis of HFC circuit designs. High asymmetry

part categories were chosen for UMAP dimensional reduction followed by K-means clustering.

Bar plots denote number of part occurrences within each cluster. (**F**) Strategies for engineering

synTF circuits with high fold change behavior involve combining design elements that maximize

induction while limiting leaky basal expression.

REFERENCES

English, M. A., Gayet, R. V. & Collins, J. J. Designing Biological Circuits: Synthetic Biology Within the Operon Model and Beyond. *Annu Rev Biochem* **90**, 221-244, doi:10.1146/annurev-biochem-013118-111914 (2021).

Mahata, B. *et al.* Compact engineered human transactivation modules enable potent and versatile synthetic transcriptional control. *bioRxiv*, 2022.2003.2021.485228, doi:10.1101/2022.03.21.485228 (2022).

Slusarczyk, A. L., Lin, A. & Weiss, R. Foundations for the design and implementation of synthetic genetic circuits. *Nat Rev Genet* **13**, 406-420, doi:10.1038/nrg3227 (2012).

Bashor, C. J. & Collins, J. J. Understanding Biological Regulation Through Synthetic Biology. *Annu Rev Biophys* **47**, 399-423, doi:10.1146/annurev-biophys-070816-033903 (2018).

Bashor, C. J., Hilton, I. B., Bandukwala, H., Smith, D. M. & Veiseh, O. Engineering the next generation of cell-based therapeutics. *Nat Rev Drug Discov*, doi:10.1038/s41573-022-00476-6 (2022).

Beitz, A. M., Oakes, C. G. & Galloway, K. E. Synthetic gene circuits as tools for drug discovery. *Trends Biotechnol* **40**, 210-225, doi:10.1016/j.tibtech.2021.06.007 (2022).

Kitada, T., DiAndreth, B., Teague, B. & Weiss, R. Programming gene and engineered-cell therapies with synthetic biology. *Science* **359**, doi:10.1126/science.aad1067 (2018).

Cameron, D. E., Bashor, C. J. & Collins, J. J. A brief history of synthetic biology. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **12**, 381-390, doi:10.1038/nrmicro3239 (2014).

Yeung, E. *et al.* Biophysical Constraints Arising from Compositional Context in Synthetic Gene Networks. *Cell Syst* **5**, 11-24 e12, doi:10.1016/j.cels.2017.06.001 (2017).

Lou, C., Stanton, B., Chen, Y. J., Munsky, B. & Voigt, C. A. Ribozyme-based insulator parts buffer synthetic circuits from genetic context. *Nat Biotechnol* **30**, 1137-1142, doi:10.1038/nbt.2401 (2012).

Muller, I. E. *et al.* Gene networks that compensate for crosstalk with crosstalk. *Nat Commun* **10**, 4028, doi:10.1038/s41467-019-12021-y (2019).

Prindle, A. *et al.* Rapid and tunable post-translational coupling of genetic circuits. *Nature* **508**, 387-391, doi:10.1038/nature13238 (2014).

Shaw, W. M. *et al.* Engineering a Model Cell for Rational Tuning of GPCR Signaling. *Cell* **177**, 782-796 e727, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2019.02.023 (2019).

Kinney, J. B., Murugan, A., Callan, C. G., Jr. & Cox, E. C. Using deep sequencing to characterize the biophysical mechanism of a transcriptional regulatory sequence. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **107**, 9158-9163, doi:10.1073/pnas.1004290107 (2010).

Sharon, E. *et al.* Inferring gene regulatory logic from high-throughput measurements of thousands of systematically designed promoters. *Nat Biotechnol* **30**, 521-530, doi:10.1038/nbt.2205 (2012).

Noderer, W. L. *et al.* Quantitative analysis of mammalian translation initiation sites by FACS-seq. *Mol Syst Biol* **10**, 748, doi:10.15252/msb.20145136 (2014).

Kosuri, S. *et al.* Composability of regulatory sequences controlling transcription and translation in Escherichia coli. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **110**, 14024-14029, doi:10.1073/pnas.1301301110 (2013).

Arnold, C. D. *et al.* Genome-wide quantitative enhancer activity maps identified by STARR-seq. *Science* **339**, 1074-1077, doi:10.1126/science.1232542 (2013).

de Boer, C. G. *et al.* Deciphering eukaryotic gene-regulatory logic with 100 million random promoters. *Nat Biotechnol* **38**, 56-65, doi:10.1038/s41587-019-0315-8 (2020).

Bogard, N., Linder, J., Rosenberg, A. B. & Seelig, G. A Deep Neural Network for Predicting and Engineering Alternative Polyadenylation. *Cell* **178**, 91-106 e123, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2019.04.046 (2019).

21 Gera, T., Jonas, F., More, R. & Barkai, N. Evolution of binding preferences among whole-
genome duplicated transcription factors. *Elife* **11**, doi:10.7554/eLife.73225 (2022).
22 DelRosso, N. *et al.* Large-scale mapping and systematic mutagenesis of human
transcriptional   effector   domains.   *bioRxiv*,   2022.2008.2026.505496,
doi:10.1101/2022.08.26.505496 (2022).
23 Angenent-Mari, N. M., Garruss, A. S., Soenksen, L. R., Church, G. & Collins, J. J. A deep
learning approach to programmable RNA switches. *Nat Commun* **11**, 5057,
doi:10.1038/s41467-020-18677-1 (2020).
24 Jones, E. M. *et al.* Structural and functional characterization of G protein-coupled
receptors with deep mutational scanning. *Elife* **9**, doi:10.7554/eLife.54895 (2020).
25 Zhou, Y. *et al.* Encoding Genetic Circuits with DNA Barcodes Paves the Way for Machine
Learning-Assisted Metabolite Biosensor Response Curve Profiling in Yeast. *ACS Synth
Biol* **11**, 977-989, doi:10.1021/acssynbio.1c00595 (2022).
26 Wong, A. S., Choi, G. C., Cheng, A. A., Purcell, O. & Lu, T. K. Massively parallel high-
order combinatorial genetics in human cells. *Nat Biotechnol* **33**, 952-961,
doi:10.1038/nbt.3326 (2015).
27 Matreyek, K. A. *et al.* Multiplex assessment of protein variant abundance by massively
parallel sequencing. *Nat Genet* **50**, 874-882, doi:10.1038/s41588-018-0122-z (2018).
28 Castellanos-Rueda, R. *et al.* speedingCARs: accelerating the engineering of CAR T cells
by signaling domain shuffling and single-cell sequencing. *Nat Commun* **13**, 6555,
doi:10.1038/s41467-022-34141-8 (2022).
29 Liu, H. *et al.* Magic Pools: Parallel Assessment of Transposon Delivery Vectors in
Bacteria. *mSystems* **3**, doi:10.1128/mSystems.00143-17 (2018).
30 Weber, E., Engler, C., Gruetzner, R., Werner, S. & Marillonnet, S. A modular cloning
system for standardized assembly of multigene constructs. *PLoS One* **6**, e16765,
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0016765 (2011).
31 O'Gorman, S., Fox, D. T. & Wahl, G. M. Recombinase-mediated gene activation and site-
specific   integration   in   mammalian   cells.   *Science*   **251**,   1351-1355,
doi:10.1126/science.1900642 (1991).
32 Duportet, X. *et al.* A platform for rapid prototyping of synthetic gene networks in
mammalian cells. *Nucleic Acids Res* **42**, 13440-13451, doi:10.1093/nar/gku1082 (2014).
33 Norrman, K. *et al.* Quantitative comparison of constitutive promoters in human ES cells.
PLoS One **5**, e12413, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0012413 (2010).
34 Qin, J. Y. *et al.* Systematic comparison of constitutive promoters and the doxycycline-
inducible promoter. *PLoS One* **5**, e10611, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0010611 (2010).
35 Ferreira, J. P., Overton, K. W. & Wang, C. L. Tuning gene expression with synthetic
upstream open reading frames. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **110**, 11284-11289,
doi:10.1073/pnas.1305590110 (2013).
36 Petitclerc, D. *et al.* The effect of various introns and transcription terminators on the
efficiency of expression vectors in various cultured cell lines and in the mammary gland of
transgenic mice. *J Biotechnol* **40**, 169-178, doi:10.1016/0168-1656(95)00047-t (1995).
37 Wang, X. Y. *et al.* Enhanced Transgene Expression by Optimization of Poly A in
Transfected   CHO   Cells.   *Front   Bioeng   Biotechnol*   **10**,   722722,
doi:10.3389/fbioe.2022.722722 (2022).
38 Couronne, R., Probst, P. & Boulesteix, A. L. Random forest versus logistic regression: a
large-scale benchmark experiment. *BMC Bioinformatics* **19**, 270, doi:10.1186/s12859-
018-2264-5 (2018).
39 Khalil, A. S. *et al.* A synthetic biology framework for programming eukaryotic transcription
functions. *Cell* **150**, 647-658, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2012.05.045 (2012).

40 Maeder, M. L., Thibodeau-Beganny, S., Sander, J. D., Voytas, D. F. & Joung, J. K.
Oligomerized pool engineering (OPEN): an 'open-source' protocol for making customized
zinc-finger arrays. *Nat Protoc* **4**, 1471-1501, doi:10.1038/nprot.2009.98 (2009).
41 Li, H. S. *et al.* Multidimensional control of therapeutic human cell function with synthetic
gene circuits. *Science* **378**, 1227-1234, doi:10.1126/science.ade0156 (2022).
42 Feil, R., Wagner, J., Metzger, D. & Chambon, P. Regulation of Cre recombinase activity
by mutated estrogen receptor ligand-binding domains. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun*
237, 752-757, doi:10.1006/bbrc.1997.7124 (1997).
43 Bashor, C. J. *et al.* Complex signal processing in synthetic gene circuits using cooperative
regulatory assemblies. *Science* **364**, 593-597, doi:10.1126/science.aau8287 (2019).
44 Donahue, P. S. *et al.* The COMET toolkit for composing customizable genetic programs
in mammalian cells. *Nat Commun* **11**, 779, doi:10.1038/s41467-019-14147-5 (2020).
45 Muldoon, J. J. *et al.* Model-guided design of mammalian genetic programs. *Sci Adv* **7**,
doi:10.1126/sciadv.abe9375 (2021).
46 Kabadi, A. M. & Gersbach, C. A. Engineering synthetic TALE and CRISPR/Cas9
transcription factors for regulating gene expression. *Methods* **69**, 188-197,
doi:10.1016/j.ymeth.2014.06.014 (2014).
47 La Russa, M. F. & Qi, L. S. The New State of the Art: Cas9 for Gene Activation and
Repression. *Mol Cell Biol* **35**, 3800-3809, doi:10.1128/MCB.00512-15 (2015).
48 Sadowski, I., Ma, J., Triezenberg, S. & Ptashne, M. GAL4-VP16 is an unusually potent
transcriptional activator. *Nature* **335**, 563-564, doi:10.1038/335563a0 (1988).
49 Shin, Y. *et al.* Spatiotemporal Control of Intracellular Phase Transitions Using Light-
Activated optoDroplets. *Cell* **168**, 159-171 e114, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2016.11.054 (2017).
50 Schneider, N. *et al.* Liquid-liquid phase separation of light-inducible transcription factors
increases transcription activation in mammalian cells and mice. *Sci Adv* **7**,
doi:10.1126/sciadv.abd3568 (2021).
51 Boeynaems, S. *et al.* Phase Separation in Biology and Disease; Current Perspectives and
Open Questions. *J Mol Biol* **435**, 167971, doi:10.1016/j.jmb.2023.167971 (2023).
52 Gossen, M. & Bujard, H. Tight control of gene expression in mammalian cells by
tetracycline-responsive promoters. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **89**, 5547-5551,
doi:10.1073/pnas.89.12.5547 (1992).
53 Hansen, J. *et al.* Transplantation of prokaryotic two-component signaling pathways into
mammalian cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **111**, 15705-15710,
doi:10.1073/pnas.1406482111 (2014).
54 Ede, C., Chen, X., Lin, M. Y. & Chen, Y. Y. Quantitative Analyses of Core Promoters
Enable Precise Engineering of Regulated Gene Expression in Mammalian Cells. *ACS*
Synth Biol **5**, 395-404, doi:10.1021/acssynbio.5b00266 (2016).
55 Mehta, P. *et al.* A high-bias, low-variance introduction to Machine Learning for physicists.
Phys Rep **810**, 1-124, doi:10.1016/j.physrep.2019.03.001 (2019).
56 McInnes, L., Healy, J. & Melville, J. UMAP: Uniform Manifold Approximation and
Projection for Dimension Reduction. arXiv:1802.03426 (2018).
<https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2018arXiv180203426M>.
57 Wimmer, E., Mueller, S., Tumpey, T. M. & Taubenberger, J. K. Synthetic viruses: a new
opportunity to understand and prevent viral disease. *Nat Biotechnol* **27**, 1163-1172,
doi:10.1038/nbt.1593 (2009).
58 Brophy, J. A. & Voigt, C. A. Principles of genetic circuit design. *Nat Methods* **11**, 508-520,
doi:10.1038/nmeth.2926 (2014).
59 Whyte, W. A. *et al.* Master transcription factors and mediator establish super-enhancers
at key cell identity genes. *Cell* **153**, 307-319, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2013.03.035 (2013).
60 Pinglay, S. *et al.* Synthetic regulatory reconstitution reveals principles of mammalian Hox
cluster regulation. *Science* **377**, eabk2820, doi:10.1126/science.abk2820 (2022).

61 Voigt, C. A. Synthetic biology 2020-2030: six commercially-available products that are
changing our world. *Nat Commun* **11**, 6379, doi:10.1038/s41467-020-20122-2 (2020).
62 Valeri, J. A. *et al.* Sequence-to-function deep learning frameworks for engineered
riboregulators. *Nat Commun* **11**, 5058, doi:10.1038/s41467-020-18676-2 (2020).
63 Hollerer, S. *et al.* Large-scale DNA-based phenotypic recording and deep learning enable
highly accurate sequence-function mapping. *Nat Commun* **11**, 3551, doi:10.1038/s41467-
020-17222-4 (2020).
64 LaFleur, T. L., Hossain, A. & Salis, H. M. Automated model-predictive design of synthetic
promoters to control transcriptional profiles in bacteria. *Nat Commun* **13**, 5159,
doi:10.1038/s41467-022-32829-5 (2022).
65 Karst, S. M. *et al.* High-accuracy long-read amplicon sequences using unique molecular
identifiers with Nanopore or PacBio sequencing. *Nat Methods* **18**, 165-169,
doi:10.1038/s41592-020-01041-y (2021).
66 Chung, C. T., Niemela, S. L. & Miller, R. H. One-step preparation of competent Escherichia
coli: transformation and storage of bacterial cells in the same solution. *Proc Natl Acad Sci
U S A* **86**, 2172-2175, doi:10.1073/pnas.86.7.2172 (1989).
67 Parrish, J. R. *et al.* High-throughput cloning of Campylobacter jejuni ORfs by in vivo
recombination in Escherichia coli. *J Proteome Res* **3**, 582-586, doi:10.1021/pr0341134
(2004).
68 Currin, A. *et al.* Highly multiplexed, fast and accurate nanopore sequencing for verification
of synthetic DNA constructs and sequence libraries. *Synth Biol (Oxf)* **4**, ysz025,
doi:10.1093/synbio/ysz025 (2019).
69 De Coster, W., Weissensteiner, M. H. & Sedlazeck, F. J. Towards population-scale long-
read sequencing. *Nat Rev Genet* **22**, 572-587, doi:10.1038/s41576-021-00367-3 (2021).
70 Smith, T. F. & Waterman, M. S. Identification of common molecular subsequences. *J Mol
Biol* **147**, 195-197, doi:10.1016/0022-2836(81)90087-5 (1981).
71 Cong, L. *et al.* Multiplex genome engineering using CRISPR/Cas systems. *Science* **339**,
819-823, doi:10.1126/science.1231143 (2013).
72 Hermann, M. *et al.* Binary recombinase systems for high-resolution conditional
mutagenesis. *Nucleic Acids Res* **42**, 3894-3907, doi:10.1093/nar/gkt1361 (2014).
864