Where and when local adaptation happens: lessons from the European barn owl (*Tyto alba*)

Hugo Corval[1Δ]*, Tristan Cumer[1Δ]*, Alexandros Topaloudis[1], Alexandre Roulin[1] and Jérôme Goudet[1,2]*

* corresponding authors

Δ co-first authors – sorted alphabetically

1 Department of Ecology and Evolution, University of Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland

2 Swiss Institute of Bioinformatics, Lausanne, Switzerland

Contact details for corresponding author: Department of Ecology and Evolution, Biophore Building, University of Lausanne, CH-1015 Lausanne, Switzerland. Email:

hugo.corval@unil.ch; tristan.cumer@unil.ch; jerome.goudet@unil.ch

Orcid

Hugo Corval - 0009-0008-1842-7754

Tristan Cumer - 0000-0002-0276-7462

Alexandros Topaloudis - 0000-0002-0909-8695

Alexandre Roulin - 0000-0003-1940-6927

Jérôme Goudet - 0000-0002-5318-7601

Abstract

Climatic variations subject living species to evolutionary stresses and shape their distributions. Since the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM) and the subsequent temperature rise, species (re-) colonised higher latitudes. Among the evolutionary mechanisms involved during this process, local adaptation is thought to be one of the keystones of species persistence. However, its relative role and location in space remain elusive. The Western Palearctic barn owl (*Tyto alba*) recolonised Europe after the LGM (from the Italian and Iberic peninsulas) and offers an excellent opportunity to study local adaptation at the continental scale. Here, we modelled the evolution of the barn owl's ecological niche since LGM and showed that the birds probably followed its shift towards the North during the recolonisation. To identify traces of local adaptation, we scanned the entire genomes of 74 owls from 9 European populations using both Tajima's D and population-specific FST and associated genomic variants to temperature and precipitation through a Redundancy analysis. The combination of these methods yielded a total of 394 genomic windows putatively involved in the local adaptation distributed over all populations. Interestingly, our results identified a large region under selection in both refugial populations, consistent with climate change and ecological convergence in the two peninsulas. This study shed light on the genomic basis of local adaptation of the European barn owl, emphasised the importance of considering the ecological niche as a dynamic entity and paved the way to a better understanding of where and how local adaptation happens in a context of postglacial recolonisation.

Key words

Introduction

Earth's climate fluctuates due to many extrinsic factors such as solar activity, changes in orbit or the amount of greenhouse gas in the atmosphere (Munn 2002). The resulting climatic variation affects species' distributions, which in turn shape the diversity of ecosystems around the world (Huntley and Webb 1989; Hewitt 2000; Davis and Shaw 2001). If individuals fail to survive or reproduce in a challenging environment induced by climatic change, local or global extinction of the species might happen (Jackson and Overpeck 2000). When the magnitude of climatic changes is not too wide nor too sudden, species persistence will depend on its ability to migrate and/or cope with and/or adapt to its environment (Lafontaine et al. 2018). Through migration, species will track their niches in space and shift their distribution to survive. However, In order to cope with the new conditions, species can either morphologically change via phenotypic plasticity or through genomic adaptation. With phenotypic plasticity, species will deal with the fluctuations of their environment by changing their phenotypes depending on the biotic and abiotic conditions (Nürnberger 2013) – a process described in plants (Gianoli and González-Teuber 2005; Atkin et al. 2006), insects (Sgrò et al. 2016) or birds (Biquet et al. 2022). However, because plasticity might have an evolutionary cost (Murren et al. 2015) or if the climatic changes exceed the range of possible plastic responses, natural selection will select the more tolerant individuals, and *de facto* induce the adaptation of the entire population.

Since the end of the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM), about 20'000 years ago (Clark et al. 2009), the earth's climate has experienced significant warming. With the rise of temperatures, the continental ice caps that covered part of the Northern and Southern Hemispheres melted, leaving room for many species to (re-)colonise previously unsuitable lands. Extensive

literature addressing the postglacial demographic history of European organisms showed that

this (re-)colonisation process was conditioned by the distribution of species during the

glaciation, combined with the complex landscape of the continent (reviewed in (Hewitt

2000)). However, even if the low-resolution genetic data used before the genomic era was

sufficient to retrace the past history of the species (such as demographic or migration events),

it proved too sparse to describe the complex evolutionary patterns that occurred during the

recolonisation, with the interaction of neutral and selective processes. In a theoretical point

of view, individuals of a given species might have migrated towards these new lands,

potentially inducing a decrease in population size and thus an increase in genetic drift. For

these newly founded populations, the environment could either be similar to the one

experienced previously or different to some extent. In the latter case, selective pressure could

have forced the population to locally adapt to the non-optimal environment. Individuals could

also have stayed at the core of their initial distribution (i.e., in the glacial refugia), but local

climatic conditions might also have changed since the LGM. Consequently, this change in

environmental pressure could have also led to adaptation in individuals who remained in the

glacial refugia. Given these different scenarios, the relative role of selective and neutral

processes as well as where they take place at the continental level remain elusive and, to our

knowledge, rarely addressed.

The Western Palearctic barn owl (*Tyto alba*), a nonmigratory raptor, recolonised Northern

Europe at the end of the LGM from two main glacial refugia located in the Iberian Peninsula

and the Italian / Greek Peninsulas (Cumer, Machado, Dumont, et al. 2022). Nowadays, its

range stretches from the Southwest to the Northeast of Europe (Cumer, Machado, Dumont,

et al. 2022). Studies investigating the genetic structure across its range showed that

populations of this species are weakly genetically differentiated (Antoniazza et al. 2010; Burri

et al. 2016; Cumer, Machado, Dumont, et al. 2022). Despite this, individuals from Southern Europe and the Levant show a white phenotype, whereas those from Northern Europe have a more reddish plumage (Antoniazza et al. 2010; Roulin 2013; Antoniazza et al. 2014; Burri et al. 2016). ; Antoniazza et al. 2014 pointed out that this phenotypic variation cannot be explained by purely neutral processes, emphasising that selection for local adaptation was or is still acting on this phenotype. The well-understood history of the barn owl in Europe since the Last Glacial Maximum, combined with the low genetic structure at the continental level, as well as the previous evidence of local adaptation throughout Europe, make this species an interesting model to test and quantify the extent of local adaptation for other traits than color in the context of postglacial recolonisation.

Here, we evaluated whether climatic selective pressures could have induced local adaptation in the European barn owl, assessed where in Europe these selective processes might have occurred and how it might have helped the species to cope with its environment. First, we used ecological information to model how the current climatic conditions experienced by the barn owl differ from those during the Last Glacial Maximum. We then quantified the present climatic heterogeneity faced by the barn owl, by evaluating how the current climatic conditions differ spatially at the continental level. Second, we scanned the whole genome of 74 owls from 9 different populations to detect traces of selection. Based on the hypothesis that some of the climatic conditions might play a role in local adaptation, we looked for an association between the variants and climatic variables (namely temperature and precipitation) through a Redundancy Analysis. Combining the genome scans and the results from the redundancy analysis allowed us to identify genomic regions and genes potentially selected under the influence of climate in each population. By using climatic information (i.e. temperature and precipitation), we characterise the shift in space of the ecological niche of

117   the barn owl, as well as the shift in climatic conditions through time in the past glacial refugia.

118   Coupled with genomic information, we identified the key biological functions that enable

119   individuals of the same species to cope with a variety of environments. All together, these

120   results give a better understanding of where and how local adaptation happens and shed light

121   on the mechanisms allowing the persistence of species in a changing environment.

Material and methods

124   The general workflow followed in this study is depicted in Figure 1.

Ecological modelling

Species Distribution Modelling

To quantify the extent to which past climatic conditions during LGM faced by the barn owl

differ from the current ones (i.e. present), we conducted a Species Distribution Modelling

(SDM) to identify suitable areas for the species at both time points. For consistency, SDM was

done using the same Maximum Entropy modelling (MaxEnt) (Phillips et al. 2006) procedure as

the one described in Cumer et al. (2022). In brief, 19 WorldClim variables were extracted at 5

arc min resolution (Hijmans et al. 2005) with the rbioclim R package (Exposito-Alonso 2017).

Variables with a correlation equal to or higher than 0.8 were removed. This filtration step led

to 7 remaining climatic variables: Mean Diurnal Range (Bio2), Min Temperature of Coldest

Month (Bio6), Temperature Annual Range (Bio7), Mean Temperature of Wettest Quarter

(Bio8), Precipitation Seasonality (Bio15), Precipitation of Driest Quarter (Bio17), and

Precipitation of Coldest Quarter (Bio19). We ran a total of 100 quadratic MaxEnt models (with

a regularisation multiplier = 1), each of them using 10 '000 presence points independently

sampled from the IUCN distribution map (BirdLife International 2016). For each replicate, we

projected the models to the climatic conditions from the Last Glacial Maximum (about 20'000

years ago) extracted from the WorldClim database (Hijmans et al. 2005) as well as in the

present time. We used the conservative "maximum test sensitivity plus specificity" threshold,

commonly used in MaxEnt Modelling (Kebede et al. 2014; Vale et al. 2014), to transform the

projected output from the model into binary suitability maps. Finally, we averaged the values

among replicates and retained cells as suitable only if they were so in at least 90% of the

models, i.e., an average value equal to or larger than 0.9. For the projection in the past, we

used the Multivariate Environmental Similarity Surface (MESS) (Elith et al. 2011) approach to

identify areas from the past with climatic conditions absent from those in the calibration data.

Such geographic regions were considered unsuitable during the LGM.

Quantification of currently suitable climate absent during the LGM

We then determined whether currently suitable areas displayed climatic conditions different

from those found during the LGM. To do so, we extracted values of the 7 climatic variables

used in the SDM (see *Species Distribution modelling* section for details) at every continental

cell of the studied area for both past and present, merged them (for a total of 598'652

observations for each climatic variable) and applied a PCA. The first three principal

components were retained (Figure S2), explaining up to 91.50 % of the climatic variance. We

projected past and present suitable pixels within this PCA space and formed the "3D-climatic

space", representing the climatic conditions faced by the barn owl. Next, we aimed to classify

the present suitable conditions as "present" or "absent" during the LGM. To do so, a polygon

was built within the 3D-climatic space around the suitable conditions from the LGM, using the

alphashape3d R package (α = 0.5) (Lafarge and Pateiro-Lopez 2020). We split the current

suitable conditions into two categories: the ones located inside or outside the polygon,

respectively "present" or "absent" from the range of LGM conditions. For the second category,

we also computed the Euclidean distance between each pixel from the present time outside

the polygon and the closest suitable pixel from the past, within the 3D-climatic space. This

way, we quantified how much they diverged from the range of conditions experienced by the

barn owl during LGM. We rescaled the distance values, by dividing each of them by the

maximum to obtain rescaled values ranging between 0 and 1.

Estimation of the shift of climate within suitable areas

170 For each cell that was suitable during LGM and at present, we quantified the shift in climatic

171 conditions using the 3D-climatic space described above. We computed the Euclidean distance

172 between the past and present positions in the 3D-climatic space for each of them. Distance

173 values were also rescaled from 0 to 1 by dividing each of them by the maximum value.

Individual barn owl sampling

Biological samples

This study took advantage of the datasets of European barn owls previously published by

Cumer *et al.* (2022), Machado, Cumer, et al. (2021) and Machado, Topaloudis, et al. (2021).

Whole genome sequences were retrieved from the Sequence Read Archive (SRA - Bioprojects

PRJNA700797, PRJNA727915 and PRJNA727977, Table S1). To avoid spurious effects caused

by strong genetic divergence, we focused on the mainland populations and discarded lineages

from Cyprus (Machado, Topaloudis, et al. 2021) and the Canary Islands ((Cumer, Machado,

Siverio, et al. 2022).

Genetic data preparation

Read mapping, variant discovery and variant filtering were performed according to Cumer *et*

al. (2022). In brief, raw reads were mapped to the reference barn owl genome (GenBank

accession GCA_018691265.1; Machado et al. 2021) with BWA-MEM v.0.7.15 (Li and Durbin

2009). Base quality score recalibration (BQSR) was performed in GATK v.4.1.3 using high-

confidence calls described in Cumer et al. (2022). Variants were called with GATK's

HaplotypeCaller and GenotypeGVCF v.4.1.3 from the recalibrated bam files. Genotype calls

were filtered using a hard-filtering approach as suggested for nonmodel organisms, using

GATK and VCFtools (Danecek et al. 2011). Details of technical filtration can be retrieved from

Cumer *et al.* (2022).

We identified pairs of individuals with a relatedness higher than 0.1, to prevent some alleles

from being over-represented in the dataset (relatedness statistic based on the method of

(Manichaikul et al. 2010), implemented in VCFtools v0.1.14 (Danecek et al. 2011)). We

removed one of the two individuals for each identified pair. In the end, this led to a dataset of

74 individuals (Table S1).

Thereafter, genomic regions whose SNP calling was uncertain were discarded. A further

filtering step was the exclusion of regions of the genome where our ability to confidently map

reads is limited (i.e. a "mappability" mask). To this end we followed the procedure

documented at lh3lh3.users.sourceforge.net/snpable.shtml. Briefly, the reference genome

was split into reads of 150 base pairs (bp) with a sliding window of 1bp. These artificial reads

were mapped back to the reference using BWA-MEM v.0.7.17. Regions of the sequence

where less than 90% of the reads map perfectly and uniquely were discarded by excluding

variants using a bed file in VCFtools v0.1.14. Thereafter, only bi-allelic SNPs were kept and

filtered for the Minor Allele Frequency (MAF) to be higher than 5% using VCFtools v0.1.14

(Danecek et al. 2011), thus removing rare alleles from the data set. Loci with more than 5% of

missing data were also removed and 16 scaffolds (out of 60) showing less than 1000 SNPs

were discarded from the analysis.

At the end of the filtration process, 4'689'284 SNPs from 39 scaffolds were retained,

genotyped in 74 European barn owls (10 individuals from the Aegean Islands (AE), 10 from

Denmark (DK), 4 from France (FR), 9 from Greece (GR), 9 from Italy (IT), 10 from Israel (IS), 9

from Portugal (PT), 5 from Serbia (SB) and 9 from Switzerland (CH)).

215 *Phasing process and evaluation*

Phasing and imputation of the individuals was performed in two steps. First, a read-based

phasing of each individual was performed using WhatsHap v1.0 (Martin et al. 2016). During

this step, haplotypes are reconstructed based on the mapped sequencing reads that cover

multiple variants. Second, an estimation-based phasing was done with ShapeIt4 v4.1.3 with

default parameters (Delaneau et al. 2019). The latter uses a statistical approach to infer

individuals' haplotypes based on the population genotypes (Delaneau et al. 2012) and uses

the phase information from WhatsHap.

To assess phasing performance, the switch error rate (SER) of the phasing produced by

ShapeIt4 was established for each individual (Choi et al. 2018). In brief, we ran a statistical

phasing of each individual without considering the read-based phasing from WhatsHap. This

phasing was then compared to the "true" local phasing, inferred from the read-based

approach (WhatsHap). The estimation of the switch error rate between both phasing sets was

done using the switchError code (available at https://github.com/SPG-group/switchError).

Among the 74 values, none exceeded 0.7% (Figure S4).

Detection of traces of selection

Genome scans in each population

We combined two summary statistics to detect genomic regions putatively under selection: population-specific F_{ST} and Tajima's D, both implemented in the hierfstat R package (Goudet 2005; Goudet et al. 2021). The two statistics were computed along the genome in non-overlapping windows of 1 '000 SNPs length for each population independently. To detect outlier windows, (regions with extreme values of positive population-specific F_{ST} and negative Tajima's D), the two statistics were transformed into Z-scores, by subtracting the population mean from each estimate and dividing it by the standard deviation, for each population independently. Z-scores from all populations were then merged and a window was considered an outlier in a given population if its Z-score for Tajima's D was in the lowest 5% and its Z-score for population-specific F_{ST} in the highest 5% of all Z-scores from all populations. This allowed us to focus on regions exhibiting signs of selection (low Tajima's D) with an excess similarity in the population compared to the rest of the genome (high population-specific F_{ST}). See Cumer et al. (2022) for more details about this approach.

Landscape genomics

We independently carried out a Genotype-Environment Association (GEA) analysis to assess the relationship between the genotypes of the barn owl and their surrounding environment for all populations simultaneously.

Based on the GPS coordinates of the 74 samples (Table S1), we extracted values for the same 7 climatic variables as the ones used in the Species Distribution Modelling (namely, Mean Diurnal Range (Bio2), Min Temperature of Coldest Month (Bio6), Temperature Annual Range

(Bio7), Mean Temperature of Wettest Quarter (Bio8), Precipitation Seasonality (Bio15), Precipitation of Driest Quarter (Bio17), and Precipitation of Coldest Quarter (Bio19), see *Species Distribution Modelling* section for details). We performed a Principal Component Analysis (PCA) on these climatic data to assess the level of climatic divergence experienced by the sampled barn owls. We retained the first three principal components, explaining 94.97 % of the climatic variance, and determined the differences between individuals within the PCA space.

We then associated variants with genomic information using a Redundancy Analysis (RDA). This method relies on a multiple linear regression of the genotypes on a set of climatic predictors. The expected genotypes based on the model (also called fitted values) are extracted and used as input for a PCA. Projection of the principal axes and components in the RDA space (PCA of the predicted genotypes) allows the detection of SNPs that contribute the most to the RDA axis and therefore whose allelic frequency might putatively be driven by the environment (Capblancq and Forester 2021). The imputed genotype matrix was used as the response matrix and we considered the bioclimatic variables extracted for each sampling locality for the multiple linear regression. The RDA was performed using the vegan package (Oksanen et al. 2020) in R.

A permutation test was performed to evaluate the significance of the relationship between genotypes and climatic variables (Borcard et al. 2011). In brief, a test statistic (F-statistic) was computed from the regression using the actual data. Afterwards, additional regressions were carried out 999 times on permuted rows of the response data (i.e., the genotype matrix), allowing the establishment of the empirical null distribution of the statistics, to which the observed statistic was compared (Borcard et al. 2011). From this test, we found a significant

relationship between the environmental variables and genetic components (Table S3). To

select the number of RDA axes to retain, we also performed a permutation test for each axis

(n = 100) by following the procedure given by Borcard *et al.* (2011). Based on this method, the

first four RDA axes were kept, explaining 69.83 % of the constrained variance (Table S4). To

detect loci that strongly contribute to the individual's discrimination in the RDA space (outlier

loci), we followed the procedure described in (Capblancq et al. 2018): we computed

Mahalanobis distances between each locus and the RDA space's centre using the previously

retained four axes. P-values were adjusted for the false discovery rate (FDR) by computing q-

values using the qvalue R package (Storey et al. 2022). A value was considered an outlier if its

q-value was less than 0.1, following suggestions from Capblancq et al. (2018).

Concordance between the genome scans and landscape genomics

Because the study aimed at detecting traces of selection linked with local climatic conditions,

outlier windows from the population-specific genome scans (see *Genome scans in each*

population section) which contained at least one SNP associated with the climate in the RDA

(see *Landscape genomics* section) were considered as the final list of windows putatively

under selection and used for downstream analysis.

We then assessed whether the number of climate-associated SNPs located within the outlier

windows from the genome scans was higher than expected by chance. We randomly sampled

along the genome as many windows as we previously identified as outliers (i.e., from

population-specific F_{ST} / Tajima's D genome scans, n = 544) and counted the number of

climate-associated SNPs in those randomly selected windows. We independently performed

this sampling 1'000 times. Based on the annotated barn owl genome (GenBank Assembly

Accession: GCA_018691265.1), genes that partially or fully overlapped the final list of outlier

windows were considered as potentially involved in local adaptation to climate and we,

therefore, extracted a list of genes for each population.

Gene Ontology

Gene Ontology Enrichment (GOE) was performed using ShinyGo v.076.3 (Ge et al. 2020) to

assess in which biological pathways the genes located in the final list of outlier windows could

be involved and if some of them might be linked to local adaptation to abiotic conditions.

GOEs were run for each set of genes coming from each population separately and one

additional GOE was performed using all the outlier genes from all populations. As a baseline

set of genes against which the observed enrichment signature was compared - also called

background list - we used all the genes annotated in the barn owl genome with coordinates

overlapping at least one of the 4'689'284 SNPs.

Ecological convergence in glacial refugia

Because we found a strong signal of selection shared between the Italian and Portuguese

populations (see *Results* section), we investigated in these two populations how the climate

has changed since the LGM. We extracted values of the 7 climatic variables used in the

ecological modelling for the LGM and today (see *Species Distribution Modelling* section) at the

GPS coordinates for the Italian and Portuguese samples. We projected these conditions inside

the 3D-climatic space (see *Quantification of currently suitable climate absent during the LGM*

section). Then, between each peninsula, we computed the 3D climatic pairwise Euclidean

distances between LGM and now for all sampling localities. This way, we assessed the change

in the climatic conditions in these two regions since the LGM.

Results

The shift of the suitable climatic conditions in Europe

Species Distribution Modelling of the barn owl today and during the LGM (~ 20'000 years ago) allowed us to evaluate how much the climatic conditions experienced by the bird differed between the two time points. During the Last Glacial Maximum, suitable regions were found mostly around the Mediterranean Sea, including the Northern part of Africa, the Iberian Peninsula as well as the Italian and Greek Peninsulas (Figure S1a). Nowadays, the suitable regions expanded to Western, Central and Northern Europe, with almost the entire continent being suitable (Figure S1b).

Based on these suitability maps, we evaluated the differences between the past and current climatic envelopes experienced by the barn owl. To do so, we build a PCA including climatic conditions at both time points with all the continental cells. We retained the three first axes as they explained 91.50 % of the variance. We then reprojected past and present suitable cells in this 3D-climatic space. Past suitable climatic conditions were used to build a past suitable polygon (see the *Quantification of currently suitable climate absent during the LGM* section for details).

31.05 % of the currently suitable areas were found within this past suitable polygon. These cells were thus considered as displaying climatic conditions similar to suitable conditions from the LGM. These areas, in purple surrounded by white in Figure 2a, are mostly located in the Northern part of Africa, the Northern-West part of the Iberian Peninsula, the Western part of France and the British Isles. In Western Europe, today's conditions are highly similar to those present during the LGM, with pixels outside the polygons but coloured in lighter blue, based on their low Euclidean distance to the past suitable polygon (Figure 2a). Finally, contemporary

climatic conditions become more and more different from those of the LGM as one moves to

central Europe (in light blue to white in Figure 2a). Overall, Western Europe today has similar

temperature and precipitation conditions to those in the glacial refugia, 20'000 years ago; The

further East we go, the largest the difference with climatic conditions that were present in the

Glacial refugia.

The areas considered suitable both during the LGM and today were mostly found in the

Northern part of Africa, the Iberian Peninsula and the coast of the Italian Peninsula. Within

these cells, we quantified the changes in climatic conditions by monitoring the shift of each of

them inside the 3D-climatic space (Figure 2b). The regions exhibiting the greatest climate shift

were the Iberian Peninsula and areas around the Strait of Gibraltar (in yellow in Figure 2b). In

comparison, the change in climatic conditions in the two other Mediterranean Peninsulas

(modern day Italy and Greece) was smaller or null (in green in Figure 2b).

To complement the general climatic investigation performed at the continental level, we also

evaluated how the climatic conditions experienced by the sampled barn owls differed

spatially. To do so, we applied a PCA on the climatic values extracted at the 74 GPS coordinates

(Figure S3). When reprojected inside the PCA space, individuals were clustered depending on

their sampling population. Polygons specific to each population did not overlap, except for

Greece and Portugal (Figure S3a) and Greece and Israel (Figure S3b). Overall, sampled barn

owls experienced different temperature and precipitation conditions depending on their

sampling location.

Detection of genomic regions under selection

Based on the hypothesis that the climatic condition differences played a role in the local

adaptation of the barn owl in the Western Palearctic, we combined a classical genome scan

approach with a Gene-Environment Analysis to identify regions of the genome under a

potential influence of climate. First, we detected a total of 2'101 outlier windows for Tajima's

D (616 privates and 1'485 shared by at least two populations) and 2'101 population-specific

F_{ST} outliers windows (987 unique and 1114 shared by at least two populations), corresponding

to the respective lowest and highest 5% quantile (Table S2). We combined the signals from

these two statistics and retained 544 outlier windows putatively under selection in at least

one population (grey squares in Figure 3a). On average, each population contained 76 outlier

windows. Portugal and Israel are the two extremes, with respectively 91 and 64 outlier

windows detected by our population-specific F_{ST}/Tajima's D genome scans (Table 1). Second,

we associated the variants to temperature and precipitation variables through a Redundancy

Analysis. According to the regression model, 4.99 % of the genetic variance was explained by

climatic variation. This analysis showed a total of 46'547 SNPs significantly associated with

climate, spread all over the genome (Figure S6).

To focus on selection induced by climate, we overlapped the two signals (i.e., one from

genome scans and one from the Redundancy Analysis). We retained genome scan outlier

windows in the final list of outliers if they contained at least one SNP associated with the

climate according to the RDA. Thus, 394 windows were retained (blue diamond in Figure 3a),

encompassing a total of 8'423 SNPs associated with temperature and precipitation. To

measure whether the windows identified in the genome scans were enriched in SNPs

identified by the Redundancy Analysis compared to the rest of the genome, we randomly

sampled 544 windows along the genome and counted the number of climate-associated SNPs

in those randomly selected windows. We performed this sampling 1'000 times and the

random distribution can be found in Figure S7. The observed number in the final list of

windows (8'423 SNPs) was larger than the random counts (mean of 5'395 SNPs) and distant

from the distribution.

Figure 3b shows the repartition of the 394 outlier windows among the nine populations of

European barn owls. A majority of these 394 outlier windows (80 %) were population-specific:

316 were private to one single population and 78 were shared between at least two

populations (Table 1, Figure 3b). Notably, a large part of the Italian signal was shared with the

Portuguese individuals (red square in Figure 3b). Figure 3c pictures the repartition of the

outliers along the genome, where each bar represents one of the 39 Super-Scaffolds ordered

by physical length. We observed that outlier windows containing climate-associated SNPs

(blue segments in Figure 3c) were spread throughout the entire genome. However, we

detected a strong signal from Super-Scaffold 22. This signal corresponds to the overlapping

windows between the Italian and Portuguese populations (Figure 3b-c; Figure 4). On this

portion of the genome, the population-specific F_{ST} increased drastically whereas Tajima's D

dropped in both populations (Figure 4).

Gene Ontology

We extracted a total of 1'461 genes from the 394 windows putatively under selection by the

climate. Among them, 967 were private to one single population (Table S5). Using genes

putatively under selection, we ran a Gene-Enrichment analysis. In Switzerland, we observed a

significant enrichment in some GO pathways, namely "T cell proliferation", "adult behaviour"

as well as "appendage and limb morphogenesis" (Table S6). For the other populations, the GO

enrichment analysis did not yield any significant results. Nonetheless, all the genes were

clustered in functional groups, based on their similar functions (Table S7). Among them, we

obtained functions related to anatomy, locomotion and immunity. These were common to

every population, but with different genes involved in the different populations.

Ecological convergence in glacial refugia

We then aimed to understand the strong signal of selection shared between individuals from

the Italian and Portuguese populations. We extracted the climatic variables during the LGM

and today at sampling localities of individuals from both populations. By projecting these

climatic conditions into the 3D-climatic space, we confirmed that conditions from the Iberian

Peninsula changed more than those from the Italian one (Figure S8). Both Portuguese and

Italian conditions shifted slightly along the first axis of the PCA (an axis correlated to bio6 and

bio8, two temperature variables), but Portuguese ones shifted more on the second axis of the

PCA, getting closer to the Italian conditions. This second axis was mostly negatively correlated

with bio19, a precipitation variable (Figure S2).

To quantify the evolution of the climatic conditions in the two peninsulas, we computed all

the pairwise Euclidean distances between the two sets of sampling localities at both time

points. This analysis showed that abiotic conditions at the Italian and Portuguese sampling

localities got closer in the climatic space, exhibiting a convergence of the climate in the two

peninsulas (Figure S8).

Discussion

Climatic conditions and their past fluctuations are known to have shaped today's distribution

and genetic diversity of many species (Huntley and Webb 1989; Hewitt 2000; Davis and Shaw

2001). Among several evolutionary mechanisms, genetic local adaptation is expected to play

a key role in the persistence of living species (Lafontaine et al. 2018). Here, we used the

European barn owl as a study organism to explore where and how local adaptation occurred,

in the context of postglacial colonisation. First, we compared the past and current climatic

conditions experienced by the European barn owl. We showed that today's climatic conditions

found along the West coast of Europe are either similar to or only slightly different from those

in the glacial refugia, 20'000 years ago. Nonetheless, we also demonstrated that nowadays,

the temperature and precipitation conditions vary spatially, and individuals encounter

heterogeneous climates throughout the continent. We scanned the genome of 74 barn owls

from the Western Palearctic and identified regions putatively under selection and we

independently looked for an association between variation at SNPs and climatic conditions.

The combination of these approaches allowed us to detect signals of selection linked to

climate scattered along the genome in every population, and identify functional groups

putatively involved in the local adaptation of the species. In particular, we found that Super-

Scaffold 22 showed important signs of selection linked to climate in two populations, both

located in the past glacial refugia (namely the Iberian and Italian Peninsulas). Concordantly,

we showed that the climatic conditions within these two regions converged since the LGM.

With these results, we highlight the changes in the ecological niche of the barn owl from the

Last Glacial Maximum to the present day and how these changes might have influenced the

genetic makeup of this non-migratory species. Additionally, our results provide valuable

insights into the location and mechanism of local adaptation that might have occurred since

the Last Glaciation.

Evolution of the European barn owl ecological niche

During a postglacial recolonisation event, a species might conserve its ecological niche and track it through space via migration, or the niche might evolve, with the species being able to thrive and survive in new environments (Banks et al. 2008). These two scenarios are described in the literature as niche conservation or niche evolution and discriminating between the two often relies on the reconstruction of the past distribution based on fossil data (Hennessy et al. 2001; Banks et al. 2008). In the case of the barn owl, too few records of fossils are described in the literature. Instead, we used Species Distribution Modelling to reconstruct the climatic niche (Wiens et al. 2009) and assumed that the barn owl conserved its niche. This hypothesis has been based on an extensive review from (Peterson 2011), showing that for short-term processes such as distribution shifts, niche conservation is widespread in many phyla such as plants, mammals, birds or invertebrates.

We first modelled the current realised niche through Maximum Entropy modelling (Phillips et al. 2006) before projecting it into the past. Thus, we did not expect to observe conditions suitable in the past but absent from today's distribution. However, this projection allowed us to quantify if some of today's conditions were absent during the LGM. We showed that contemporary climatic conditions along the Atlantic coast up to the UK were present 20'000 years ago (Figure 2a). In other words, barn owls that have migrated to these areas face a similar environment to that experienced in the glacial refugia. In what remains of Western Europe, we showed that conditions were only slightly different to that of the past. Altogether, we suggest that at the end of the LGM and along with the rise of temperature, the favourable conditions of the glacial refugia shifted to the North, which allowed the European barn owl to expand its range, as previously hypothesised by (Machado, Cumer, et al. 2021). However, this

only concerns the Western part of Europe and new, different climatic conditions can be found

in Central and Eastern Europe.

It is difficult to assess whether these new conditions limited the recolonisation of Central and

Eastern Europe, nor if the actual conditions constrain the survival of the barn owl because, to

our knowledge, no estimates of population sizes are available through the continent.

Furthermore, no samples coming from Eastern Europe (white areas in Figure 2a) were

available and it is thus difficult to know to which extent these new climatic conditions have

led to a local adaptation in these regions. Nonetheless, all populations included in this study

face distinct current environments (Figure S3), with temperature and precipitation conditions

varying at the continental scale. This current environmental heterogeneity thus enables the

search for traces of local adaptation to climatic conditions at the genomic level.

Genomic traces of local adaptation

Heterogeneous climatic conditions can be the source of major selective pressures for wild

species. Because the populations considered in this study face distinct conditions (Figure S3),

we scanned the genomes of 74 barn owls for traces of selection linked to the environment. To

do so, we combined both genome scans and Genotype-Environment Association analysis. The

use of Tajima's D allowed us to detect traces of selection in a set of individuals based on the

difference between nucleotide diversity (π) and the number of segregating sites (S). By

comparing the value observed in a given region to the expectation under its neutral evolution

(i.e. what we observe in the rest of the genome), this approach points to regions with an

excess of rare alleles, a sign of recent population expansion or a potential target of recent

selection. We complemented this classical approach with the population-specific F_{ST}. This

approach allowed us to identify genomic regions with an excess of genetic identity within a

population, compared to the genetic identity observed with other populations. Compared with the remainder of the genome, this approach allows for identifying regions of the genome with specific history (i.e., selection event), an approach first implemented by (Cumer, Machado, Siverio, et al. 2022). However, even if the use of genome scans to detect traces of selection is common in the literature, it presents some major caveats. Thus, it has been suggested that background selection and/or regions with low recombination can lead to genomic traces similar to positive selection (Johri et al. 2021; Jensen 2023). Moreover, the agent of selection remains unclear as many selective pressures might have led to such genomic patterns, including predation, competition, immunity or any other biotic or abiotic condition.

In addition to these scans, we thus conducted a Redundancy Analysis to identify loci influenced by the abiotic variables (temperature and precipitation), as commonly investigated through this type of approach. Such Genotype-Environment Association Analysis is a powerful tool to detect potential links with the environment (Dauphin et al. 2022), but the observed association might also be due to other factors than selection, such as allele surfing during expansion, drift or the covariation between climatic variable and geography. We, therefore, combined the signals from both methods and retained only outlier windows containing climate-associated SNPs. By using the two approaches together, we targeted regions of the genome being under selection (according to the genome scans) due to the climatic environment (according to the Redundancy Analysis), compensating for the caveats of methods taken independently.

In the end, we retained 394 windows containing a total of 8'423 climate-associated SNPS, highlighting areas of the genome potentially involved in local climate adaptation (Figure 3).

523   We showed that the set of windows identified in the first approach was enriched in climate-

524   associated SNPs compared to the remainder of the genome (Figure S7), supporting the role of

525   these regions in the adaptation to the local climate. Through this analysis, we identified 1'167

526   genes putatively under the influence of climate, giving insight into the functional targets of

527   the local adaptation of the barn owl.

The functional targets of selection

To assess the functional role of the genes within regions putatively under selection, we

investigated their ontologies. Despite no significantly enriched pathway within populations,

except for Switzerland, genes putatively under selection were clustered based on their known

function (Table S7). Surprisingly, this classification did not reveal many pathways directly

related to climate but an overall implication of genes involved in immunity (with terms such

as "immune system" and "process and immune response"), anatomy (i.e., "anatomical

structure morphogenesis", "regulation of the developmental process", "growth") and

locomotion. These pathways were involved in the local adaptation of every population - even

though the genes identified were different among them (Table S7).

Exposure to pathogens and infectious diseases is known to be another major selective

pressure in human species as well as in birds (Fumagalli et al. 2011; Shultz and Sackton 2019).

Additionally, temperature and rainfall are known to highly influence the composition of the

pathogen communities (Clark et al. 2018; Harvey and Voelker 2019). Thus, the heterogeneity

of climatic conditions could have influenced the pathogen-associated selective pressures,

explaining why we found genes related to immunity under selection by the local climate in the

European barn owl (Table S7). To corroborate this effect of climate on immune genes,

(O'Connor et al. 2020) demonstrated in birds that the genes forming the major

histocompatibility complex class I (MHC-I) show variation in diversity according to humidity.

Further work is needed to understand the precise role of these genes, but these results

suggest a genomic adaptation due to pathogenic selective pressure.

"Anatomical structure morphogenesis" is another recurrent functional group found under

selection in each population, encompassing several dozens of genes (Table S7). In line with

this result, the GO terms "appendage and limb morphogenesis" was significantly enriched in

the Swiss population. These results emphasise the potential link between phenotypic variation

and the local adaptation of the barn owl. A previous study identified a significantly positive

relationship between body size and the hunted prey size, suggesting that larger owls might be

more competitive in hunting larger prey (Romano et al. 2021). (Romano et al. 2020) also

highlighted a significant geographical and climatic trend in the diet of this raptor species, with

an increase in the proportion of small prey in the diet of colder environments (including

Central and Northern Europe). Finally, this variation in body size also co-varies with colour

polymorphism (with smaller owls being redder, (Romano et al. 2021), a trait known to be

maintained by selection (Antoniazza et al. 2010), and linked to hunting behaviour (Roulin

2004; Romano et al. 2021). Taken together, these results suggest an association between the

size of the barn owl, their colour, their behaviour and their diet, all possibly linked to the local

adaptation of the barn owl to heterogeneous climatic conditions in Europe. Nonetheless, we

should take this interplay between biotic and abiotic factors with caution, as this hypothesis

mostly relies on Gene Ontology enrichment. This type of analysis, although commonly used

and easy to conduct, can also be the source of spurious links between genome scan results

and functionally important genes (Pavlidis et al. 2012) and should be the target of further

investigation.

Climatic and genomic convergence

Our results highlighted a strong and common signal of selection in the genome in all

individuals from both populations located nowadays in glacial refugia (i.e., the Italian and

Portuguese populations). We also linked this signal to climatic variables through a Redundancy

Analysis, pointing out the role of this region in the adaptation to the local climate (Figure 4).

To explore environmental changes in the glacial refugia since LGM, we investigated the shifts

in climatic conditions at the sampling localities of the barn owl from the Italian and Portuguese

populations. We did find a smaller change of conditions in the Italian Peninsula compared to

the Iberian one (Figure S8), concordantly with what was found in the climate evolution

analysis (Figure 2a). However, these results pointed out that, even though Portuguese

conditions have changed further, they have become very similar to those currently found in

Italy, mostly due to a decreased amount of precipitation between the LGM and today (Figure

S8, Figure S2). Taken altogether, these results suggest that the Iberian Peninsula climate

changed more and got more similar to that in the Italian Peninsula. Through this climatic

convergence, the corresponding selective pressures might have favoured the same alleles in

these two distinct populations. Through time, their frequency increased, approaching fixation

in each of the two populations and resulting in a genomic convergence on this part of the

genome.

The size of the region involved, as well as the pronounced pattern of genetic differentiation

(in both F_{ST} and Tajima's D), could also arise due to a chromosomal rearrangement. It has been

shown in the literature that chromosomal inversion might facilitate local adaptation despite

gene flow (Tigano and Friesen 2016; Wellenreuther and Bernatchez 2018), thus permitting the

converging adaptation of the barn owl to the convergent climatic changes in its past refugia.

Further investigation in the future will allow us to test this hypothesis as well as understand

the molecular mechanisms affected by this genomic region.

594 The genomic resources for local adaptation

Based on our results, the recolonisation of Northern territories has been facilitated by

favourable conditions shifting North. However, climatic conditions are dynamic everywhere

and our results show that conditions in the South have also changed during the last 20'000

years (Figure 2b, Fig S8). These changes in climatic conditions across the entire continent

drove the environmental heterogeneity that the species faces today. This discrepancy of

climatic selective pressures impacted the genome of barn owls from all populations, leaving

traces of selection in a wide part of the genome (Figure 3, Figure S5). Given the pattern of

selection we have observed, one of the remaining questions concerns the origin of adaptive

alleles.

One first possibility would imply the occurrences of *de novo* mutations, bringing new

favourable alleles in the different populations. Considering the low mutation rate (Smeds et

al. 2016), and the need for the mutation to be advantageous and to appear within the right

population (Rees et al. 2020), it is not very likely that this mechanism would explain the extent

of selection we observed (Figure 3). A more realistic explanation relies on adaptation due to

already existing alleles. According to this second scenario, adaptation would have therefore

relied on allele sorting of standing variation. This can happen when segregating alleles become

advantageous following a change in selective pressures, for example, due to the colonisation

of a new habitat or climate changes (Peter and Slatkin 2013: 201). This explanation implied

that within glacial refugia, a pool of segregating alleles was maintained in sufficiently large

populations (de Filippo et al. 2016). These alleles were then sorted through space by local

adaptation, with different environments (biotic and abiotic) acting as a filter on deleterious and/or less advantageous alleles. This whole process has been reported in species as diverse as *Arabidopsis thaliana* and *Drosophila melanogaster* (Barrett and Schluter 2008), birds (Enbody et al. 2022) or in humans, following the 'Out-of-Africa' migration (50'000 - 70'000 years ago) (Haber et al. 2019; Rees et al. 2020). The latter example has been corroborated by de Filippo et al. (2016) as they highlighted that a change in selective regime following the 'Out-of-Africa' migration and consequently positive selection of standing variation in some populations was the most likely process explaining the observed genetic diversity.

In this study, we did not properly test each of the two scenarios (i.e., an adaptation from *de novo* mutation or standing variation). Therefore, further work is required to fully understand how the genome of the European barn owl evolved during the past 20'000 years. Investigating the ancestral state of the regions under selection (Keightley and Jackson 2018) will be of major interest in the future. Combined with the dating of the mutation appearance (with methods such as the one proposed by (Gandolfo et al. 2014)), as well as the timing of the selection (Albers and McVean 2020), it would allow us to confirm this hypothesis and further understand the mechanisms explaining the local adaptation of the barn owl to its environment.

Lessons from the European barn owl

Global warming since LGM and the subsequent postglacial expansion has had many consequences for (re-)colonising species. This complex process was dissected by a wide body of literature exploring many aspects of it, from dispersal limitation (Hastings et al. 2004; Marsico and Hellmann 2009; Hargreaves and Eckert 2014), the inability to adapt to potential new conditions (Angert et al. 2008; Holt and Barfield 2011; Polechová and Barton 2015) as

well as the genetic load accumulated during an expansion (Excoffier et al. 2009; Hallatschek

and Nelson 2010; Peischl et al. 2013; Peischl et al. 2015; Peischl and Excoffier 2015) and it's

interaction with local adaptation (Gilbert et al. 2017). In this work, we show that the barn owl

recolonised the Northern area by following its niche, but also faced climatic conditions absent

during LGM. In both cases, our results show signals of local adaptation in all Northern

populations, probably favoured by the absence of strong bottleneck during founding events

as well as the relatively high diversity in Northern populations, all combined with a low

differentiation of the populations, consistent with ongoing connectivity of these populations

(Cumer et al. 2022). This setup of large population sizes, combined with sustained gene flow

would have allowed selection for favourable alleles and for adaptation of the populations to

their environment during or since the expansion. Altogether, these results emphasise that the

evolutionary forces acting on the expanding populations are now well understood and allow

us to properly describe the dynamic of the populations in the North.

However, our results also show that climatic conditions in the glacial refugia changed while

the core niche present during the LGM moved North. At the genomic level, we show that the

populations located at the same geographical location as the refugia during the LGM also had

to adapt to these climatic changes, with traces of selection in modern populations living in

LGM refugia and evidence of a potential genetic convergence in response to an ecological

convergence (see *Climatic and genomic convergence* for details). Such adaptation might have

been fuelled by the diversity present in these populations, as well as their putative large

effective population sizes facilitating adaptation.

Our empirical study of the barn owl asserts that Southern populations are also subject to local

adaptation. Work on other species in the future will probably show that the barn owl is no

exception, with this process probably ongoing in many species. Indeed, to our knowledge, the

consequences of the climatic changes for the populations remaining at the base of the

expansion remain mostly unexplored. Considering the past but also ongoing and future

climate change, these southern populations are the ones that will face new climatic conditions

as the niche is shifting north. Our work paves the way for describing and understanding the

genomic bases of local adaptation to change environments but further work is also needed to

better understand what mechanisms will allow species to persist and adapt to these

challenging conditions.

669 Conclusion

This work gives insights on the selective processes that have acted on the European barn owl

since the Last Glacial Maximum and, more generally, during a postglacial recolonisation event.

Through a combined approach of ecological modelling, genome scans and a landscape

genomics analysis (RDA), we demonstrate that not only the species adapted to the local

climate in territories out of the glacial distribution (Northern part of Europe) but also had to

cope through genetic adaptation to an important climatic change in past glacial refugia

territories (i.e., Southern part of Europe). This work highlights the importance of considering

the evolution of ecological niches through time and space for a better understanding of local

adaptation to climate, but also that former glacial refugia are regions where the environment

might also undergo substantial changes, driving genetic adaptation.

The effect of postglacial recolonisation on the barn owl genome is probably not limited to

Europe. The presence of this species worldwide will allow to test if the pattern we highlighted

in this study is also found in other regions. For example, the barn owl is found across North

America - where the Northern territories' recolonisation after the Last Glacial Maximum is

well documented in birds (Lessa et al. 2003; Weir and Schluter 2004), and facing a continental

gradient of environmental conditions. This known recolonisation of Northern territories and

the current environmental gradient, coupled with the genetic structure explored at the

continental level (Machado et al. 2018), provide a good replicate to corroborate the results

found in the present study and expand the conclusions to a sister species.

At broader scale, this study of a wild and non-model raptor helps to better understand the

mechanisms allowing species to persist through changing environments and where these

processes occurred. Such knowledge is of particular interest nowadays, considering the

ongoing rapid climate disruption.

Acknowledgements

695 We thank Olivier Delaneau for his advice on the phasing of the individuals and its evaluation.

696 We thank Marc Robinson Rechavi for his advice on the gene ontology analysis. Finally, we

697 thank Flavien Collart for his advice on the Species Distribution Modelling and subsequent

698 analyses. This work was supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation with grants

699 31003A_179358 to JG.

Data Accessibility

702 The raw Illumina reads for the whole-genome sequenced individuals are available in

703 Bioproject PRJNA700797, Bioproject PRJNA727915 and Bioproject PRJNA727977.

Authors contribution

706   HC, TC, and JG designed this study; TC called the variants; AT produced the mappability mask;

707   HC conducted the analyses with the help of TC and suggestions of all authors; HC and TC led

708   the writing of the manuscript with inputs from all authors.

References

Albers PK, McVean G. 2020. Dating genomic variants and shared ancestry in population-scale sequencing data.Barton NH, editor. *PLOS Biol.* 18:e3000586.

Angert AL, Bradshaw Jr HD, Schemske DW. 2008. USING EXPERIMENTAL EVOLUTION TO INVESTIGATE GEOGRAPHIC RANGE LIMITS IN MONKEYFLOWERS. *Evolution* 62:2660–2675.

Antoniazza S, Burri R, Fumagalli L, Goudet J, Roulin A. 2010. Local adaptation maintains Clinal variation in melanin-based coloration of Euopean barn owl (Tyto alba). *Evolution* [Internet]. Available from: http://doi.wiley.com/10.1111/j.1558-5646.2010.00969.x

Antoniazza S, Kanitz R, Neuenschwander S, Burri R, Gaigher A, Roulin A, Goudet J. 2014. Natural selection in a postglacial range expansion: the case of the colour cline in the European barn owl. *Mol. Ecol.* 23:5508–5523.

Atkin OK, Loveys BR, Atkinson LJ, Pons TL. 2006. Phenotypic plasticity and growth temperature: understanding interspecific variability. *J. Exp. Bot.* 57:267–281.

Banks W, Derrico F, Peterson A, Kageyama M, Colombeau G. 2008. Reconstructing ecological niches and geographic distributions of caribou (Rangifer tarandus) and red deer (Cervus elaphus) during the Last Glacial Maximum. *Quat. Sci. Rev.* 27:2568–2575.

Barrett R, Schluter D. 2008. Adaptation from standing genetic variation. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 23:38–44.

Biquet J, Bonamour S, Villemereuil P, Franceschi C, Teplitsky C. 2022. Phenotypic plasticity drives phenological changes in a Mediterranean blue tit population. *J. Evol. Biol.* 35:347–359.

BirdLife International. 2016. IUCN Red List of Threatened Species: Tyto alba. *IUCN Red List Threat. Species* [Internet]. Available from: https://www.iucnredlist.org/en

Borcard D, Gillet F, Legendre P. 2011. Numerical Ecology with R. New York, NY: Springer New York Available from: http://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-1-4419-7976-6

Burri R, Antoniazza S, Gaigher A, Ducrest A-L, Simon C, The European Barn Owl Network, Fumagalli L, Goudet J, Roulin A. 2016. The genetic basis of color-related local adaptation in a ring-like colonization around the Mediterranean: GENETICS OF CIRCUM-MEDITERRANEAN COLOR ADAPTATION. *Evolution* 70:140–153.

Capblancq T, Forester BR. 2021. Redundancy analysis: A Swiss Army Knife for landscape genomics. *Methods Ecol. Evol.*:2041-210X.13722.

Capblancq T, Luu K, Blum MGB, Bazin E. 2018. Evaluation of redundancy analysis to identify signatures of local adaptation. *Mol. Ecol. Resour.* 18:1223–1233.

Choi Y, Chan AP, Kirkness E, Telenti A, Schork NJ. 2018. Comparison of phasing strategies for whole human genomes. *PLOS Genet.* 14:e1007308.

Clark NJ, Clegg SM, Sam K, Goulding W, Koane B, Wells K. 2018. Climate, host phylogeny and the connectivity of host communities govern regional parasite assembly.Austin J, editor. *Divers. Distrib.* 24:13–23.

Clark PU, Dyke AS, Shakun JD, Carlson AE, Clark J, Wohlfarth B, Mitrovica JX, Hostetler SW, McCabe AM. 2009. The Last Glacial Maximum. *Science* 325:710–714.

Cumer T, Machado AP, Dumont G, Bontzorlos V, Ceccherelli R, Charter M, Dichmann K, Kassinis N, Lourenço R, Manzia F, et al. 2022. Landscape and Climatic Variations Shaped Secondary Contacts amid Barn Owls of the Western Palearctic. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 39:msab343.

Cumer T, Machado AP, Siverio F, Cherkaoui SI, Roque I, Lourenço R, Charter M, Roulin A, Goudet J. 2022. Genomic basis of insularity and ecological divergence in barn owls (Tyto alba) of the Canary Islands. *Heredity* 129:281–294.

Danecek P, Auton A, Abecasis G, Albers CA, Banks E, DePristo MA, Handsaker RE, Lunter G, Marth GT, Sherry ST, et al. 2011. The variant call format and VCFtools. *Bioinforma. Oxf. Engl.* 27:2156–2158.

Dauphin B, Rellstab C, Wüest RO, Karger DN, Holderegger R, Gugerli F, Manel S. 2022. Re-thinking the environment in landscape genomics. *Trends Ecol. Evol.*:S0169534722002774.

Davis MB, Shaw RG. 2001. Range Shifts and Adaptive Responses to Quaternary Climate Change. *Science* 292:673–679.

Delaneau O, Marchini J, Zagury J-F. 2012. A linear complexity phasing method for thousands of genomes. *Nat. Methods* 9:179–181.

Delaneau O, Zagury J-F, Robinson MR, Marchini JL, Dermitzakis ET. 2019. Accurate, scalable and integrative haplotype estimation. *Nat. Commun.* 10:5436.

Elith J, Phillips SJ, Hastie T, Dudík M, Chee YE, Yates CJ. 2011. A statistical explanation of MaxEnt for ecologists. *Divers. Distrib.* 17:43–57.

Enbody ED, Sendell-Price AT, Sprehn CG, Rubin C-J, Visscher PM, Grant BR, Grant PR, Andersson L. 2022. Large effect loci have a prominent role in Darwin's finch evolution. Evolutionary Biology Available from: http://biorxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2022.10.29.514326

Excoffier L, Foll M, Petit RJ. 2009. Genetic Consequences of Range Expansions. *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst.* 40:481–501.

Exposito-Alonso M. 2017. rbioclim: Improved getData function from the raster R package to interact with past, present and future climate data from worldclim.org. Available from: github.com/MoisesExpositoAlonso/rbioclim

de Filippo C, Key FM, Ghirotto S, Benazzo A, Meneu JR, Weihmann A, NISC Comparative
Sequence Program, Parra G, Green ED, Andrés AM. 2016. Recent Selection Changes in
Human Genes under Long-Term Balancing Selection. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 33:1435–1447.

Fumagalli M, Sironi M, Pozzoli U, Ferrer-Admettla A, Pattini L, Nielsen R. 2011. Signatures of
Environmental Genetic Adaptation Pinpoint Pathogens as the Main Selective Pressure
through Human Evolution.Akey JM, editor. *PLoS Genet.* 7:e1002355.

Gandolfo LC, Bahlo M, Speed TP. 2014. Dating Rare Mutations from Small Samples with Dense
Marker Data. *Genetics* 197:1315–1327.

Ge SX, Jung D, Yao R. 2020. ShinyGO: a graphical gene-set enrichment tool for animals and
plants.Valencia A, editor. *Bioinformatics* 36:2628–2629.

Gianoli E, González-Teuber M. 2005. Environmental Heterogeneity and Population
Differentiation in Plasticity to Drought in Convolvulus Chilensis (Convolvulaceae). *Evol.*
Ecol. 19:603–613.

Gilbert KJ, Sharp NP, Angert AL, Conte GL, Draghi JA, Guillaume F, Hargreaves AL, Matthey-
Doret R, Whitlock MC. 2017. Local Adaptation Interacts with Expansion Load during
Range Expansion: Maladaptation Reduces Expansion Load. *Am. Nat.* 189:368–380.

Goudet J. 2005. hierfstat, a package for r to compute and test hierarchical F-statistics. *Mol.*
Ecol. Notes 5:184–186.

Goudet J, Jombart T, Kamvar ZN, Archer E, Hardy O. 2021. hierfstat: Estimation and Tests of
Hierarchical F-Statistics. Available from: https://CRAN.R-
project.org/package=hierfstat

Haber M, Jones AL, Connell BA, Asan, Arciero E, Yang H, Thomas MG, Xue Y, Tyler-Smith C.
2019. A Rare Deep-Rooting D0 African Y-Chromosomal Haplogroup and Its Implications
for the Expansion of Modern Humans Out of Africa. *Genetics* 212:1421–1428.

Hallatschek O, Nelson DR. 2010. LIFE AT THE FRONT OF AN EXPANDING POPULATION.
Evolution 64:193–206.

Hargreaves AL, Eckert CG. 2014. Evolution of dispersal and mating systems along geographic
gradients: implications for shifting ranges. *Funct. Ecol.* 28:5–21.

Harvey JA, Voelker G. 2019. Host associations and climate influence avian haemosporidian
distributions in Benin. *Int. J. Parasitol.* 49:27–36.

Hastings A, Cuddington K, Davies KF, Dugaw CJ, Elmendorf S, Freestone A, Harrison S, Holland
M, Lambrinos J, Malvadkar U, et al. 2004. The spatial spread of invasions: new
developments in theory and evidence: Spatial spread of invasions. *Ecol. Lett.* 8:91–101.

Hennessy S, Fung P, Scanlon E. 2001. Portable information technologies for supporting
graphical mathematics investigations: overview of the PIGMI Project. *J. Comput. Assist.*
Learn. 17:219–220.

Hewitt G. 2000. The genetic legacy of the Quaternary ice ages. *Nature* 405:907–913.

Holt RD, Barfield M. 2011. Theoretical Perspectives on the Statics and Dynamics of Species'
Borders in Patchy Environments. *Am. Nat.* 178:S6–S25.

Huntley B, Webb T. 1989. Migration: Species' Response to Climatic Variations Caused by
Changes in the Earth's Orbit. *J. Biogeogr.* 16:5.

Jackson ST, Overpeck JT. 2000. Responses of plant populations and communities to
environmental changes of the late Quaternary. *Paleobiology* 26:194–220.

Jensen JD. 2023. Population genetic concerns related to the interpretation of empirical
outliers and the neglect of common evolutionary processes. *Heredity* 130:109–110.

Johri P, Riall K, Becher H, Excoffier L, Charlesworth B, Jensen JD. 2021. The Impact of Purifying
and Background Selection on the Inference of Population History: Problems and
Prospects. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 38:2986–3003.

Kebede F, Moehlman PD, Bekele A, Evangelista PH. 2014. Predicting seasonal habitat
suitability for the critically endangered African wild ass in the Danakil, Ethiopia. *Afr. J.*
Ecol. 52:533–542.

Keightley PD, Jackson BC. 2018. Inferring the Probability of the Derived *vs.* the Ancestral Allelic
State at a Polymorphic Site. *Genetics* 209:897–906.

Lafarge T, Pateiro-Lopez B. 2020. alphashape3d: Implementation of the 3D Alpha-Shape for
the Reconstruction of 3D Sets from a Point Cloud. Available from: https://CRAN.R-
project.org/package=alphashape3d

Lafontaine G, Napier JD, Petit RJ, Hu FS. 2018. Invoking adaptation to decipher the genetic
legacy of past climate change. *Ecology* 99:1530–1546.

Lessa EP, Cook JA, Patton JL. 2003. Genetic footprints of demographic expansion in North
America, but not Amazonia, during the Late Quaternary. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*
100:10331–10334.

Li H, Durbin R. 2009. Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler transform.
Bioinforma. Oxf. Engl. 25:1754–1760.

Machado AP, Clément L, Uva V, Goudet J, Roulin A. 2018. The Rocky Mountains as a dispersal
barrier between barn owl (Tyto alba) populations in North America. *J. Biogeogr.*
45:1288–1300.

Machado AP, Cumer T, Iseli C, Beaudoing E, Ducrest A-L, Dupasquier M, Guex N, Dichmann K,
Lourenço R, Lusby J, et al. 2021. Unexpected post-glacial colonisation route explains
the white colour of barn owls (Tyto alba) from the British Isles.
bioRxiv:2021.04.23.441058.

Machado AP, Topaloudis A, Cumer T, Lavanchy E, Bontzorlos V, Ceccherelli R, Charter M,
Kassinis N, Lymberakis P, Manzia F, et al. 2021. Genomic consequences of colonisation,

migration and genetic drift in barn owl insular populations of the eastern Mediterranean. *Molecular Ecology*, 31:1375– 1388.

Manichaikul A, Mychaleckyj JC, Rich SS, Daly K, Sale M, Chen W-M. 2010. Robust relationship inference in genome-wide association studies. *Bioinformatics* 26:2867–2873.

Marsico TD, Hellmann JJ. 2009. Dispersal limitation inferred from an experimental translocation of *Lomatium* (Apiaceae) species outside their geographic ranges. *Oikos* 118:1783–1792.

Martin M, Patterson M, Garg S, Fischer SO, Pisanti N, Klau GW, Schöenhuth A, Marschall T. 2016. WhatsHap: fast and accurate read-based phasing. *bioRxiv*:085050.

Munn RE ed. 2002. Encyclopedia of global environmental change. Chichester ; New York: Wiley

Murren CJ, Auld JR, Callahan H, Ghalambor CK, Handelsman CA, Heskel MA, Kingsolver JG, Maclean HJ, Masel J, Maughan H, et al. 2015. Constraints on the evolution of phenotypic plasticity: limits and costs of phenotype and plasticity. *Heredity* 115:293–301.

Nürnberger B. 2013. Ecological Genetics. In: Encyclopedia of Biodiversity. Elsevier. p. 714–731. Available from: https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/B9780123847195000381

O'Connor EA, Hasselquist D, Nilsson J-Å, Westerdahl H, Cornwallis CK. 2020. Wetter climates select for higher immune gene diversity in resident, but not migratory, songbirds. *Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* 287:20192675.

Oksanen J, Blanchet FG, Friendly M, Kindt R, Legendre P, McGlinn D, Minchin PR, O'Hara RB, Simpson GL, Solymos P, et al. 2020. vegan: Community Ecology Package. Available from: https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=vegan

Pavlidis P, Jensen JD, Stephan W, Stamatakis A. 2012. A Critical Assessment of Storytelling: Gene Ontology Categories and the Importance of Validating Genomic Scans. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 29:3237–3248.

Peischl S, Dupanloup I, Kirkpatrick M, Excoffier L. 2013. On the accumulation of deleterious mutations during range expansions. *Mol. Ecol.* 22:5972–5982.

Peischl S, Excoffier L. 2015. Expansion load: recessive mutations and the role of standing genetic variation. *Mol. Ecol.* 24:2084–2094.

Peischl S, Kirkpatrick M, Excoffier L. 2015. Expansion Load and the Evolutionary Dynamics of a Species Range. *Am. Nat.* 185:E81–E93.

Peter BM, Slatkin M. 2013. DETECTING RANGE EXPANSIONS FROM GENETIC DATA: DETECTING RANGE EXPANSIONS FROM GENETIC DATA. *Evolution* 67:3274–3289.

Peterson AT. 2011. Ecological niche conservatism: a time-structured review of evidence: Ecological niche conservatism. *J. Biogeogr.* 38:817–827.

Phillips SJ, Anderson RP, Schapire RE. 2006. Maximum entropy modeling of species geographic
distributions. *Ecol. Model.* 190:231–259.

Polechová J, Barton NH. 2015. Limits to adaptation along environmental gradients. *Proc. Natl.*
Acad. Sci. 112:6401–6406.

Rees JS, Castellano S, Andrés AM. 2020. The Genomics of Human Local Adaptation. *Trends*
Genet. 36:415–428.

Romano A, Séchaud R, Roulin A. 2020. Global biogeographical patterns in the diet of a
cosmopolitan avian predator. *J. Biogeogr.* 47:1467–1481.

Romano A, Séchaud R, Roulin A. 2021. Geographic variation in body size and plumage colour
according to diet composition in a nocturnal raptor. *J. Avian Biol.* 52:jav.02716.

Roulin A. 2004. Covariation between plumage colour polymorphism and diet in the Barn Owl
Tyto alba: Plumage colour polymorphism and diet in the Barn Owl. *Ibis* 146:509–517.

Roulin A. 2013. Ring recoveries of dead birds confirm that darker pheomelanic Barn Owls
disperse longer distances. *J. Ornithol.* 154:871–874.

Sgrò CM, Terblanche JS, Hoffmann AA. 2016. What Can Plasticity Contribute to Insect
Responses to Climate Change? *Annu. Rev. Entomol.* 61:433–451.

Shultz AJ, Sackton TB. 2019. Immune genes are hotspots of shared positive selection across
birds and mammals. *eLife* 8:e41815.

Smeds L, Qvarnström A, Ellegren H. 2016. Direct estimate of the rate of germline mutation in
a bird. *Genome Res.* 26:1211–1218.

Storey JD, Bass AJ, Dabney A, Robinson D, Warnes G. 2022. qvalue: Q-value estimation for
false   discovery   rate   control.   Available   from:
https://bioconductor.org/packages/qvalue/

Tigano A, Friesen VL. 2016. Genomics of local adaptation with gene flow. *Mol. Ecol.* 25:2144–
2164.

Vale CG, Tarroso P, Brito JC. 2014. Predicting species distribution at range margins: testing the
effects of study area extent, resolution and threshold selection in the Sahara–Sahel
transition zone. *Divers. Distrib.* 20:20–33.

Weir JT, Schluter D. 2004. Ice sheets promote speciation in boreal birds. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B*
Biol. Sci. 271:1881–1887.

Wellenreuther M, Bernatchez L. 2018. Eco-Evolutionary Genomics of Chromosomal
Inversions. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 33:427–440.

Wiens JA, Stralberg D, Jongsomjit D, Howell CA, Snyder MA. 2009. Niches, models, and climate
change: Assessing the assumptions and uncertainties. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*
106:19729–19736.

Tables and Figures

Population	Genome scans outliers		Final outliers	
	Total number	Private	Total number	Private
Aegean	56	32	44	27
Switzerland	75	40	56	32
Denmark	88	58	63	44
France	80	51	53	31
Greece	83	47	61	38
Israel	64	50	47	37
Italy	75	40	49	26
Portugal	91	54	71	45
Serbia	77	53	49	36

Table 1 – Distribution of the different types of outlier windows among the nine populations.
"Genome-scans outliers" windows describe the number of significant windows from both the
population-specific F_{ST} and Tajima's D scans (see *Material and Methods* section for details).
"Final outliers" windows were obtained by retaining the initial outlier windows that contained
at least one SNP associated with climate based on the RDA. "Total number" refer to the
number of window detect in each population. "Private" represent the number of windows
exclusive to each of the population.

Figure 1 - Schematic representation of the workflow followed in this study. In brief, we modelled the distribution of the European barn owl nowadays and during LGM, 20000 years ago (left part of the scheme), based on the current repartition of the barn owl in the Western Palearctic. This Species Distribution Model was used to explore the evolution of the climatic niche used by the barn owl, as well as its changes in the refugia since the LGM. We combined this climatic analysis with an extensive sampling of 74 barn owls covering the entirety of the study area (right part of the scheme). We used whole genome sequences combined with climatic information, in order to identify genomic evidence of local adaptation in their genome. Based on the strong shared signal of selection in the Italian and Portuguese populations, we further investigated how the climate in the two peninsulas evolved since the last 20'000 years (dashed arrows).

Figure 2 - Suitable climatic conditions for the barn owl and their changes since the LGM. (A) Map showing, for the suitable cells at present, their distance to the suitable conditions from the LGM. Cells in polygons surrounded by a white border have conditions similar to the conditions present in the LGM refugia. Cells outside polygons delimited by a white line were coloured according to their distance to the nearest past suitable pixel in the climatic 3D space. Cells in blue have conditions presenting a low distance (i.e. climatic conditions slightly different) whereas for white cells, it represents highest distances (i.e. climatic conditions highly different, see *Quantification of currently suitable climate absent during the LGM* section for details). Red dots display the average coordinate for the sampling location of each of the nine populations. (B) Map showing the shift of climatic conditions between the LGM and nowadays in the cells suitable at both time points. Dark green to yellow gradient corresponds to the relative shift in conditions between the two time-points, with the higher changes in yellow. Dark grey cells are areas unsuitable now or during LGM.

Figure 3 - Genomic traces of selection linked to climate in the European barn owl genome.
(A) Scatterplot containing all the windows from all the nine populations. Vertical and
horizontal dashed lines show the top 5% of population-specific F_{ST} and the lowest 5% of
Tajima's D respectively. Each association symbol-colour presents a class of window: grey
circles represent non outlier windows; grey squares depict outlier windows according to the
population-specific F_{ST} and Tajima's D scans; blue diamonds represent outlier windows in both

population-specific F_{ST} and Tajima's D scans and containing at least one SNP associated with climate in the Redundancy Analysis. (B) Repartition of the outlier windows in the different populations. Each column displays one of the 9 studied populations (IS: Israel, FR: France, IT: Italy, CH: Switzerland, AE: Aegean islands, GR: Greece, SB: Serbia, DK: Denmark) whereas each row corresponds to one window containing at least one SNP associated with climate in at least one population. Rows are ordered based on hierarchical clustering. (C) Repartition of the outliers along the genome. Each bar represents one of the 39 Super-Scaffolds, ordered by physical length. Blue segments correspond to an outlier window containing at least one SNP associated with climate in at least one population. The red square highlights the signal of selection in common between the Italian and Portuguese populations. The red dashed line indicates the genomic location of this signal of selection in common between the Italian and Portuguese populations.

1009

Figure 4 - Population-specific F$_{ST}$/Tajima's D genome scans for the two populations located
in the past glacial refugia area. On each panel: Population-specific F$_{ST}$ is on top whereas
Tajima's D is below. Each dot represents a window of 1000 SNPs along the genome. Switching
between light and dark grey represents changes of scaffold along the genome. Outlier
windows containing at least one SNP associated with climate are highlighted in blue. The
names of all the scaffolds are displayed on the y-axis. (A) Genome scans on individuals from
Iberian Peninsula (PT). (B) Genome scans on individuals from the Italian Peninsula (IT).

1017

1018