Parallel genetic adaptation of *Bacillus subtilis* to different plant species

Guohai Hu[1,2,3,6], Yue Wang[1,2,4,6], Christopher Blake[3], Mathilde Nordgaard[3], Xin Liu[1,2,4], Bo Wang[1,2,5,*], Ákos T. Kovács[3,7*]

[1] China National GeneBank, BGI-Shenzhen, 518120 Shenzhen, China

[2] BGI-Shenzhen, 518083 Shenzhen, China

[3] Bacterial Interactions and Evolution Group, DTU Bioengineering, Technical University of Denmark, 2800 Lyngby, Denmark

[4] BGI-Beijing, Beijing, 102601, China

[5] Shenzhen Key Laboratory of Environmental Microbial Genomics and Application, BGI-Shenzhen, 518083 Shenzhen, China

[6] These authors contributed equally

[7] New position: Institute of Biology, Leiden University, 2333BE Leiden, The Netherlands

[*]Corresponding authors: a.t.kovacs@biology.leidenuniv.nl (Ákos T. Kovács), wangbo@cngb.org (Bo Wang)

Abstract

Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria are known to benefit plants by stimulating their growth or protecting them against phytopathogens. Rhizobacteria must colonize and persist on plant roots effectively to exert their benefits. However, little is known regarding the process of rhizobacteria adapting to different plant species or in alternating host regime in long-term. Here we used experimental evolution and whole-population WGS sequencing to analysis how *Bacillus subtilis* evolves on *Arabidopsis thaliana* and tomato seedlings, as well as in an alternating host regime of the two plants in a static hydroponic setup. We identified parallel evolution across multiple levels of biological organization in all conditions, and highest in two heterogeneous, multi-resource spatially structured environments in gene level. Species-specific adaptation in genetic level was also observed, possibly caused by the selection stress imposed by different host plants. Further, the trade-off between motility and biofilm development was supported by the mutational changes in motility- and biofilm-related genes. Finally, we identified several condition-specific and common targeted genes in different environments by comparing three different *B. subtilis* biofilm adaptation settings. These results demonstrate a common strategy used by *B. subtilis* when adapting to the plant rhizosphere in similar conditions and also reveal the differences of genetic mechanism between the two different host plants, both will likely support strain improvements for sustainable agriculture.

Key words: adaptation, experimental evolution, plant-bacteria interaction, trade-off

Importance

To exert the benefits of rhizobacteria on plant growth and protection against phytopathogens, bacteria must colonize and persist on plant roots effectively. Understanding how rhizobacteria adapt to different plants species will assist strain development in sustainable agriculture. To explore the rhizobacterial adaptation process to different plant species or in alternating host regimes, *B. subtilis* was experimentally evolved on *A. thaliana* or tomato roots, or alternating these plants. Both parallel and species-specific adaptation is revealed at genetic level. Further, the trade-off between motility and biofilm formation was explored. Our results reveal several condition-specific and commonly targeted genes from our experiments and those performed previously with experimentally evolving *B. subtilis* biofilms.

1 Introduction

In natural environments, plant growth and yield depend on a plethora of interactions within the

complex and dynamic communities of bacteria and fungi (Schmidt et al. 2016). The rhizosphere

plays an important niche for the mutualistic relationships between plants and the

microorganisms in the surrounding soil. Overall, plants exude up to 20% of fixed carbon and

15% of nitrogen into their environment (Haichar et al. 2016; Venturi & Keel 2016), and these

exudates shape the microbiome. Importantly, the amount and composition of exudates vary

depending on the plant species, the stage of development, and the environmental stresses (Sasse

et al. 2018). The exudates contribute a rhizobiome formation by recruiting plant-growth-

promoting rhizobacterium (PGPR) and serving as nutrients (Lareen et al. 2016), while PGPRs

benefit plant growth and enhance plant tolerance to different abiotic and biotic stresses(Vives-

Peris et al. 2020). *Bacillus subtilis* is a soil-dwelling, biofilm forming, Gram-positive PGPR

that is commonly found in association with plants and their rhizosphere (Arnaouteli et al. 2021).

It has been widely recognized that this bacterial species aids plants in several direct and indirect

ways(Blake, et al. 2021). Some *B. subtilis* strains have been applied as biocontrol agents within

agriculture, but the effects vary immensely under field conditions (Peng et al. 2011; Wei et al.

2016). To exert their beneficial effects, PGPR usually must colonize and persist on the root

surface efficiently, which mainly depends on their ability to form biofilms.

Each plant species has a distinct effect on its rhizobiome that is partly driven by plant-

secreted exudates. Root exudates not only provide nutritional source for soil microorganisms,

but also serve as a signal to attract or repel specific groups of microbes (Badri et al. 2009). For

example, diverse sugars and organic acids induce biofilm formation of *B. subtilis in vitro* or

directly on the plant via different molecular mechanisms. Spo0A is a central transcriptional

regulator in *B. subtilis* involved in biofilm development (Hamon & Lazazzera 2001). Biofilm

formation is triggered when moderate level of phosphorylated Spo0A (Spo0A-P) is established

within a cell. The level of Spo0A-P is controlled by five histidine kinases (KinA-E), which

respond to different environmental signals (Jiang et al. 2000). Chen et al. described *B. subtilis*

biofilm formation on tomato roots to be dependent on KinD and small signaling molecules,

possibly L-malic acid, released by the roots to stimulate *B. subtilis* biofilm *in vitro* (Chen, Cao,

et al. 2012). In contrast to the observation with tomato, deletion of *kinCD* has only a moderate

effect on biofilm formation on *Arabidopsis thaliana* roots (Beauregard et al. 2013), suggesting

that the kinase requirement of *B. subtilis* for root colonization differs from one plant to another.

In the case of *A. thaliana*, biofilm formation by *B. subtilis* is triggered by plant polysaccharides

pectin, arabinogalactan, and xylan, which act both as environmental cues and substrates for

matrix synthesis (Beauregard et al. 2013). Moreover, the disaccharide sucrose that is abundantly

secreted from plant roots, activates a signaling cascade to trigger solid surface motility and

promotes rhizosphere colonization by *B. subtilis* (Tian et al. 2021).

Interestingly, a *B. subtilis* strain isolated from the banana rhizosphere and a *Bacillus*

amylolicefaciens strain isolated from the cucumber rhizosphere colonize their original plant

hosts more efficiently than the non-host plant (Zhang et al. 2014), demonstrating a species-

specific colonization pattern. Additionally, organic acids detected exclusively in one of the plant

species induce the chemotaxis and biofilm formation of the corresponding bacterial isolate from

that given host (Zhang et al. 2014). Chemotaxis and swarming motility are important for plant

colonization (Zhang et al. 2014; Gao et al. 2016). Defect in chemotaxis or swarming reduce the

ability of *B. subtilis* to efficiently colonize tomato seedlings in a gnotobiotic system (Gao et al.

2016). Similarly, mutants lacking flagellum production are unable to colonize the roots of *A.*

thaliana in a static hydroponic setup and tomato in a gnotobiotic system (Allard-Massicotte et

al. 2016; Gao et al. 2016). In addition to testing specific mutants, experimental evolution

provides a powerful tool to reveal the molecular mechanisms related to interaction with a plant,

as microbes adapt to the plant root niche (Lenski 2017). Experimentally evolved clones of

Bacillus thuringiensis from *A. thaliana* roots displayed improved root colonization ability and

enhanced swarming, while those were impaired in swimming, in addition to altered bacterial

differentiation and pathogenicity (Lin et al. 2021). In a similar setup, when *B. subtilis* was

adapted to *A. thaliana* roots, evolved isolates demonstrated elevated root colonization and

impaired swimming and swarming motility (Nordgaard et al. 2022), indicating a possible

biofilm-motility trade-off. *Pseudomonas protegens*, adapted to *A. thaliana* rhizosphere in an

axenic sandy condition, exhibited enhanced swimming motility and impaired swarming

motility (Erqin Li et al. 2021). These results all highlight the existence of an evolutionary trade-

off between biofilm development and motility. However, it remains largely unknown how such

mechanisms supervene from an evolutionary perspective.

Since crop rotation regime has great influence on soil multifunctionality and bacterial

community in agroecosystems (Minghui Li et al. 2021), we asked whether a plant colonizing

bacterium adapts differently in an alternating host regime compared with using the same plant

throughout the experiment. In addition, we questioned what portion of bacterial adaptation on

a plant root will be host specific. To explore these questions, we employed experimental

evolution combined with whole-population metagenome sequencing method to analyze how *B.*

subtilis evolves on *A. thaliana* and tomato seedlings, as well as in an alternating host regime of

these two species in a static hydroponic setup. We identified parallel evolution across multiple

levels of biological organization and a higher gene-level parallelism in populations evolved in

an alternating host regime. We also observed species-specific adaptation at genetic level, which

was potentially provoked by specific host plant-imposed selection, either due to root exudates,

plant polysaccharides, or certain stress conditions. Additionally, motility-biofilm trade-off was

revealed in the mutational landscape of related genes, in addition to reduced swimming and

swarming motility. Finally, we identified several condition-specific and shared list of mutated

genes of *B. subtilis* when evolved in different biofilm environments.

Results

B. subtilis *shows rapid improvement and diversification during evolution on plant roots*

Do plant colonizing bacteria adapt differently in an alternating host regime compared with a setup where the same plant is used throughout the experiment? To investigate this, we tested adaptation of *B. subtilis* to the roots of two different plant species and compared those with a condition where plants were sequentially alternated. Therefore, starting from a clonal *B. subtilis* DK1042 strain, four different evolution experiments each with five parallel lineages (from A to E), were passaged on both *A. thaliana* and tomato seedlings for 20 transfers, spanning over a total of 40 days (**Fig. 1a,** and **1b**). We conducted two mono-host evolution experiments, one using only *A. thaliana* (Bs_root_**A**) and another with **T**omato (Bs_root_**T**), as well as two host-cycling evolution experiments, which either started on *A. thaliana* (Bs_root_**A**T) or **T**omato (Bs_root_**T**A) and switched the plant species after every third transfers. Evolved clones from Bs_root_A setup have been examined in details previously (Blake, et al. 2021). To evaluate the improvements in root colonization during the experimental evolution, colony forming unit (CFU) per gram of roots was measured after transfer 1, 5, 10, 17, and 20 (T1, T5, T10, T17, and T20 respectively). As expected (Blake, et al. 2021; Lin et al. 2021; Nordgaard et al. 2022), root colonization ability improved significantly in all evolving lineages and conditions, on average 9.10-fold, 7.41-fold, 25.29-fold, and 11.98-fold after 20 transfers in Bs_root_A, Bs_root_T, Bs_root_AT, and Bs_root_TA, respectively (**Fig. 1c**). Furthermore, morphological diversification was also observed in all evolving lineages and conditions in the CFU assays with morphotypes termed as Wrinkle (WR), Snow (SN) and Smooth (SM) (Blake, Nordgaard, et al.

2021), where colony morphology of WR variant was comparable with the ancestor. To

investigate how the distribution of the distinct morphotypes developed during the experimental

evolution, overnight-grown cultures of the frozen stocks of evolved populations from root 5,

10, 17 and 20 as well as from the ancestral strain ("transfer 0") were prepared and plated on

LB-agar. Different morphological variants were identified and counted to calculate the ratio of

a given morphotype at each time point for each lineage. In all four conditions, WR was the most

abundant in all lineages through the experiment (**Fig. 1d**). SN appeared in all lineages at T5

reaching an average frequency of 21.78%, 15.48%, 23.81%, and 21.28% in Bs_root_A,

Bs_root_T, Bs_root_AT, and Bs_root_TA, respectively (**Fig. 1d**), however was below detection

limit in some of the lineages at T10 and T17, and raised again in all lineages at T20. SM was

observable in 11 of the 20 lineages at T10 and only absent in one lineage at T17 and T20 (**Fig.**

1d). The three distinct morphotypes coexisted until the end of the evolution experiment,

reaching on average highly similar ratios of approximately 80% WR, 17% SN and 3% SM in

all four evolution experiments, which showed astounding degree of parallelism at morphotypic

level.

Fig. 1 Evolution of *B. subtilis* on different plant roots. a. Experimental evolution scheme

of *B. subtilis* adapting to plant seedling (Created with BioRender.com), **b.** In total four evolution

experiments were conducted, either as mono evolution experiments, with only *A. thaliana* or

tomato seedlings, or cycling evolution experiments, where the plant species was switched after

every third transfer. Sequenced population samples are indicated with an arrow. **c.** root

colonization ability was detected during the experiment as CFU per gram root for T1, T5, T10,

T17, and T20 in all lineages. (*: P < 0.05, **: P < 0.01, ***: P < 0.001). **d.** the dynamic

frequency changes of different morphotypes along the evolution experiment in average of all

five populations in each condition (n = 5), data represent mean and error bars represent standard

error.

Evolved isolates showed impaired motility

In a soil environment, bacterial cells need to actively move towards the plant roots during the

initial phase of root colonization, as well as when recolonizing (Allard-Massicotte et al. 2016).

We hypothesized that, in the static hydroponic setup, which select for a regular root

recolonization, including chemotaxis, biofilm formation and dispersal, the motility would

improve significantly during the evolution experiment, resulting in better root colonization. To

examine this, evolved end-point isolates from Bs_root_A and Bs_root_T were tested for

swimming and swarming motility, by spotting 2μL of an overnight culture on 0.3% and 0.7%

LB-agar, respectively. Contrary to our expectations, none of the tested seven isolates evolved

on *A. thaliana*, as well as none of the tested five isolates evolved on tomato, showed

improvements in either swimming or swarming (**Fig. 2**). Instead, most isolates displayed

significantly smaller swimming radius after 6h and 8h compared with the ancestor, although all

isolates colonized the entire plate after 24h, thus mobility was preserved. Importantly, other

form of motility, e.g. sliding (Kovács et al. 2017; Hölscher & Kovács 2017), might mask the

effect of swimming after 24h. Moreover, all isolates exhibited either delayed, reduced or even

impaired swarming abilities.

Fig. 2 Evolved isolates showed impaired motility. Swimming (a) and **Swarming (b)**

motility of evolved isolates from Bs_root_A (green, 7 isolates) and Bs_root_T (magenta, 5

isolates) in comparison to the ancestor (**Black**). Notably, swarming motility of several isolates

are similar and low, thus indistinguishable from each other in panel b. Data represent mean and

error bars represent standard error, each isolate repeated for 3 times, the ancestor repeated for

9 times. Tested isolates include two SN from lineage A and E of Bs_root_A, two SM from

lineage A and E of Bs_root_A, three WR from lineage A, D and E of Bs_root_A, and five WR

from lineage A (1), B (2) and C (2) of Bs_root_T, respectively.

Mutation spectrum and genetic diversity

Mutations provide the ultimate source of diversity, allowing selection to act and evolution to

take place. To understand the genetic basis behind the observed phenotypic diversification,

impaired motility, and the dynamic landscape of evolution, we employed a longitudinal whole-

population genome sequencing approach of 79 *B. subtilis* population samples (one population

sample was lost during freezer maintenance) and the ancestor strain, using the DNBSEQ-Tx

platform (Chen et al. 2022; Han et al. 2022). More than >200× coverage depth raw data were

generated for each sample. Mutation of SNPs, Indels (short insertions and deletions) were

detected compared with the ancestor, using *breseq* pipeline (version 0.35.7) (Barrick et al. 2014;

Deatherage & Barrick 2014). As default parameter, mutations were reported only if those

appeared at least two reads from each strand and reached a frequency of at least 5% in the

population. This analysis revealed 117, 106, 104, and 134 mutations in the evolved lineages

from the Bs_root_A, Bs_root_T, Bs_root_AT, and Bs_root_TA conditions (**Table 1**),

respectively. No significant difference in mutation numbers were detected between the

evolution conditions (Kruskal–Wallis test, p = 0.1277), though the mutation spectra were

slightly different between the selection setups (**Table 1**). When the filtering step excluded the

mutations below 10% frequency, the cyclic evolving conditions were found to contain more

mutations than the mono-evolved conditions (p = 0.0005162, t = -4.2419, df = 17.542 via two-

tailed t-test), suggesting that the mono-evolved conditions contained several low frequency

mutations, especially the Bs_root_A setup. Only 4 fixed mutations were present parallel in all

20 lineages, possibly due to the short transfer times used in the experiment.

Table 1. mutation type and number

Category	Bs_root_A	Bs_root_T	Bs_root_AT	Bs_root_TA
Pseudogene	0	1	0	0
Non-coding gene*	0	1	0	0
Coding gene	81	91	88	104
Synonymous	15	15	19	19
Non-synonymous	57	58	47	58
Nonsense	0	1	3	6
InDel**	9	17	19	21
Intergenic***	36	13	16	30
Total mutation number	117	106	104	134
Total mutation number (>10%)	50	69	80	92
Fixed	1	0	2	1

Notes: there is no overlap between each mutation type. * Non-coding gene includes tRNA

gene, ncRNA gene, and repeat region (IS); ** InDel indicates small insertions or deletions in

coding gene regions; *** Intergenic refers to all kinds of mutations occurred in intergenic

regions.

Though there was no significant difference in mutation numbers between the evolution

conditions, we expected that the population samples evolved in cyclic evolved conditions would

increase the genetic diversity compared with the mono-evolved conditions at the end of the

experiment due to the higher complexity and fluctuating nutrient composition caused by the

alternating host plants. Therefore, the genotype diversities of the endpoint samples were

compared between each condition. Indeed, the genotype diversity was significantly lower in

mono-evolved conditions than cyclic evolving conditions ($p = 0.01133$, $t = -2.9044$, $df = 14.315$

via two-tailed t-test), being lowest in Bs_root_A condition (**Fig. 3**).

Fig. 3 Genotype diversity. Dynamic distribution of genotype alpha diversity (Shannon

method) in each population of four adaptation models over time. Genotype and their frequencies

were from genotype and genealogy analysis using *Lolipop*.

Ecological differentiation and parallel evolution

To infer the ecological differentiation in each lineage and visualize the changes in lineage

frequencies from shared, nested mutation trajectories over time, we utilized the *Lolipop*

software package developed by Cooper and colleagues (Scribner et al. 2020; Harris et al. 2021).

An average of 16 ± 1.10, 15.6 ± 2.15, 14.6 ± 1.85, and 17.4 ± 2.15 genotypes were obtained in

Bs_root_A, Bs_root_T, Bs_root_AT, and Bs_root_TA conditions, respectively, with multiple

mutations in each genotype. Consistent with mutation number, there was no significant

difference in genotype numbers between evolved conditions (Kruskal–Wallis test, $p = 0.3129$).

Overall, the number of genotypes continuously increased in all conditions, consistently with

the detected genotype diversity in each condition. Notably, the genotype number or diversity

didn't reach a peak within the time frame of the experiment (**Fig. 3**).

Each of the lineage was dominated by a few genotypes which contain one or more

mutations in certain genes. In general, *sinR*, *pstBA*, *yuxH*, *sigD* and eight flagellum-related

genes were the most selected, demonstrating a high parallel evolution in gene and function-

level within and between different evolved conditions (**Fig. 4**). Diverse functional genes were

mutated, among which, the bacterial motility related genes were targeted most frequently,

which show high parallelism in functional level across all environments. To further compare

the genetic similarity between conditions, we determined the Jaccard Index (*J*) of all pairs of

lineages within each condition. The Jaccard Index is commonly used in measuring similarity,

indicating how likely it is for the same gene to be mutated in two separate lineages (Bailey et

al. 2015, 2017), ranging from 0 to 1. Again, we observed relatively lower genetic similarity in

mono-evolved conditions than that of cyclic evolved conditions, and lowest genetic similarity

within condition in the Bs_root_A, in which is significantly lower than that in Bs_root_T ($p =$

0.0249, t = -2.4471, df = 17.998 via two-tailed t-test) and the other two cyclic evolved

conditions ($p < 0.001$ and < 0.05, respectively) (**Fig. 5**).

Subsequently, we compared the genetic similarity between different evolved conditions.

The similarity between the two cyclic evolved conditions was much higher than the two mono-

evolved conditions ($p = 2.628e-12$, t = -10.022, df = 38.695 via two-tailed t-test), and the

similarity between Bs_root_A and two cyclic conditions were also much lower than the

similarity between Bs_root_T and two cyclic conditions (**Fig. 5**). These results strongly

indicated that the selection pressure imposed by the *A. thaliana* was much weaker than observed

with tomato, and the tomato root was potentially the main selective factor in the two cyclic

evolution conditions.

We observed high parallelism from root colonization ability and morphotype, to functional

pathway and gene level, additionally, extensive parallelism at the level of individual nucleotides

was also observed (**Supplementary Dataset 1**). One of the most striking examples, 18 out of

the 20 lineages contained a frameshift in gene *flhB*, which is required for flagellum and

nanotube assembly (Kuhlen et al. 2020). Another 8bp deletion found in gene *yuxH*, which is

involved in c-di-GMP regulation in *B. subtilis* (Chen, et al. 2012; Gao et al. 2013), was detected

in 15 lineages. Additional high parallelism mutations at nucleotide level, which occurred in

more than 10 lineages and belong to nonsynonymous mutations, occurred in *hag* and *sigD* genes.

Notably, all mutations mentioned above reach high frequency from 12.9% to 68.53% in the

evolution conditions.

Fig. 4 Evolutionary dynamics of *B. subtilis* during plant root colonization. Each shade or color represents a different genotype and vertical

area corresponds to genotype frequency, inferred by *Lolipop*. Genotypes under selective pressure in certain condition(s) are highlighted in different

colors and with annotates within or near the shadows, while the other genotypes are in different levels of gray without annotation. The nested

genotypes of the highlighted genotype are highlighted with the same color, except for the nested genotypes which also contain the genes under

selective pressure. Notably, some genotypes are less presented in the figure due to their frequencies are similar to their nested genotypes, like *fliI*

and *yuxH* in lineage A of Bs_root_A, *pstBA* and *yuxH* in lineage B and C of Bs_root_A. Different colors represent different genotypes contain

certain targeted genes, flagellar related genes include *fliF*, *fliI*, *fliR*, *flhB*, *fliG*, *fliM*, *fliY*, and *hag*. Genotypes containing more than one targeted

gene are colored with the color of the gene displayed in the front.

Fig. 5 Parallelism. Degree of parallelism within and between each evolved environment

was estimated using the Jaccard index. Asterisks at the top indicate significant differences

between different environment combinations (*p < 0.05, ***p < 0.001, by student's unpaired

two-tailed t-test). Boxes indicate Q1–Q3, lines indicate the median, circles indicate the J value

of each pair within (blue) or between (soft red) evolved conditions.

B. subtilis adapt specifically towards the plant species

The lower mutation number (frequency >10%), lower genotype diversity, and lower genetic

similarity of Bs_root_A strongly imply that the adaptation strategy between Bs_root_A and

other three conditions were different. Therefore, we focused on the genes with a mutation

frequency higher than 10% and categorized them based on KEGG pathway. Overall, bacterial

motility proteins, genetic information processing and metabolism related genes were mutated

more frequently and reached high frequencies, account for 61.36% mutated genes

(frequency >10%).

As mentioned above, bacterial motility proteins were the most selected in our experiment.

Among the revealed motility protein genes, eight out of the eleven bacterial motility protein

genes are flagellar structure protein coding genes (**Fig. 6**) (Mukherjee & Kearns 2014), and

could be categorized into filament coding genes (flagellin, *hag*), basal body coding genes (*fliF*,

fliG, *fliM*, and *fliY*), and flagellar type III secretion (T3S) apparatus protein genes (*fliI*, *fliR*, and

flhB) (Mukherjee & Kearns 2014). Although bacterial motility genes were targeted frequently

in all conditions, *fliI* and *fliR* were only mutated in Bs_root_A and mutations in *fliG*, *fliY* and

hag were only absent in Bs_root_A. FliI is a flagellar-specific ATPase for the export of flagellar

proteins (Ibuki et al. 2011), and FliR is the part of the T3S apparatus (Kuhlen et al. 2018), which

required for flagellum and nanotube assembly. Another gene required for flagellum and

nanotube assembly, *flhB* (Kuhlen et al. 2020), was mutated in all evolved conditions. FliG

involved directly in flagellar rotation(Ward et al. 2019), while FliY interacts with the

chemotaxis system and control the direction of flagellar rotation (Sircar et al. 2013; Mukherjee

& Kearns 2014), and *hag* encoding the flagellin monomer protein Hag, which is also essential

for flagellar assembly (LaVallie & Stahl 1989; Hölscher et al. 2018). A sigma factor encoding

gene, *sigD*, which regulates flagella, motility, chemotaxis and autolysis in *B. subtilis* (Marquez

et al. 1990; Hölscher et al. 2015, 2018), was solely not mutated in the Bs_root_A samples, while

gene *yuxH* (*pdeH*), encoding for c-di-GMP degrading phosphodiesterase, was mutated in all

conditions and relatively high frequencies. c-di-GMP is a second messenger that regulates

diverse cellular processes in bacteria, including bacterial motility, biofilm formation, cell-cell

signaling, and host colonization (Chen, et al. 2012; Gao et al. 2013). Overall, species-specific

and common mutations in both flagellar structure proteins and motility regulator proteins were

identified, which were possibly caused by the species-specific evolution stress imposed by

different plant species and the same static hydroponic setup.

Mutation in a relevant gene for biofilm development, *sinR*, which codes for a DNA-binding

protein that regulate the expression of the operons involved in matrix production, *epsA-O* and

tapA-sipW-tasA (Kearns 2010; Chu et al. 2006), was only detected transiently with low

frequency (max at 7.19%) in Bs_root_T (**Fig. 4**, **Fig. 6** and **Supplementary Dataset 2**), while

it was observed more frequently and with higher frequency in the other conditions, suggesting

an *A. thaliana* specific mutation.

Another suspected *A. thaliana* specific mutated gene, *pstBA*, which encoding phosphate

transport system ATP-binding protein, was only absent in the Bs_root_T sample, and transiently

with low frequency in two cyclic evolved condition, while detected at high frequency in three

out of five lineages in Bs_root_A. *pstBA* belongs to the five genes containing *pst* operon, a

member of the PhoP-PhoR two-component signal transduction system (Atalla & Schumann

2003; Allenby et al. 2004; Moreno-Letelier et al. 2011). The encoded proteins are responsible

for phosphate uptake under nutrient stress in *B. subtilis* (Moreno-Letelier et al. 2011)*,* while the

pst operon is alkali inducible (Atalla & Schumann 2003).

Fig. 6 Genes with mutation frequency > 10%. Each column represents one replicate lineage. In each lineage, the color represents the highest frequency of mutations in that certain gene. Detailed information of these genes and mutations can be found in **Supplementary Dataset 2**.

Discussion

Do plant colonizing bacteria adapt differently in an alternating host regime in comparison with using the same plant throughout the experiment? Does a bacterial species adapt differently to different plant hosts? To explore these questions, experimental evolution and whole-population WGS sequencing method were exploited to analyze how *B. subtilis* evolves on *A. thaliana* and tomato seedlings, as well as when these two plant hosts were alternating in a static hydroponic setup (Blake, Nordgaard, et al. 2021). The observed data does not offer a simple binary answer for the initial questions.

Similar to several previous studies (Blake, et al. 2021; Lin et al. 2021; Nordgaard et al. 2022), *B. subtilis* showed rapid improvement on root colonization ability, albeit at varying rates, and diversified to three distinct morphotypes during adaptation in all evolved lineages and conditions. Additionally, the three distinct morphotypes coexisted until the end of the evolution experiment, reaching on average highly similar ratios in all four evolution experiments. Both the root colonization improvement and morphotypic diversification displayed remarkable degree of parallelism across all evolved conditions. Furthermore, all but one randomly selected isolate from Bs_root_A and Bs_root_T showed impaired swimming and swarming motility. The populations from two host-cyclic evolved conditions share numerous mutated genes with Bs_root_T suggesting similar trade-offs being present in the alternating plant setup also. Analysis of the genetic basis behind the two levels of parallelism (morphotypes and altered motility) revealed bacterial motility proteins, genetic information processing, and metabolism related genes to be mutated more frequently and reaching high frequencies in all evolved

conditions. Besides the parallelism on phenotypic and functional level, mutational resemblance

was observed in certain genes and even at individual nucleotide level. Two genes, *flhB* and

yuxH, showed striking parallelism on both gene and at individual nucleotide level, 18 out of the

20 lineages contained a frameshift in gene *flhB* and 15 lineages contained an 8bp deletion in

yuxH. *flhB* is required for flagellum and nanotube assembly and *yuxH* is involved in c-di-GMP

regulation in *B. subtilis* (Chen, et al. 2012; Gao et al. 2013), both may contribute to the

adaptation of *B. subtilis* to the plant roots related to motility. However, reintroduction of single

mutations alone or in combination will be necessary in the future to precisely measure the

influence of these mutations on fitness. Hereto, we identified repeated changes across multiple

levels of biological organization from phenotypic, functional pathways, genes, and even to

individual nucleotide (Bailey et al. 2015), demonstrating the overlapping strategies employed

by *B. subtilis* when adapting to *A. thaliana* and tomato roots as well as roots in an alternating

regime.

The static hydroponic setup used in our study selects for a regular root recolonization by

the bacterial cells on different plant species, that includes bacterial traits of chemotaxis, biofilm

formation and dispersal (Blake, et al. 2021). Different histidine kinases influence the

phosphorylation level of the global regulator, Spo0A (Jiang et al. 2000) when *B. subtilis* forms

a biofilm on the roots of *A. thaliana* (Chen, Cao, et al. 2012) and tomato (Beauregard et al.

2013), and different Bacillus species have distinct host plant colonization (Zhang et al. 2014).

These previous results motivated the hypothesis that *B. subtilis* adaptation to *A. thaliana* or

tomato will display distinct gene-level attributes behind the species-specific adaptation.

Although parallelism was identified at phenotypic and nucleotide change levels, obvious

differences were detected in the number of mutation (at a frequency >10%), the genotype

diversity, the mutated gene, and the genetic similarity between and among the different

conditions.

Less mutations (at a frequency >10%), lower genotype diversity, and lower within

condition genetic similarity were observed in mono-evolved conditions, especially in

Bs_root_A. The difference between mono-evolved condition and cyclic evolved conditions

could be explained by a more heterogeneous, multi-resource spatially structured environment

created by the host-cycling environment, suggesting that environmental heterogeneity might

have an important influence on adaptation (Bailey et al. 2015; Bram Van den Berghet al. 2018;

Harris et al. 2021). When *Pseudomonas fluorescens* is evolved in five different environments

that varied in resource type and arrangement, higher number of mutations and higher degree of

parallelism can be observed at gene level in the most heterogeneous environment (Bailey et al.

2015). Similarly, evolution of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* populations on plastic beads that favors

a biofilm lifestyle exhibits higher morphologic diversity and mutation rates compared with

planktonic cultures (Flynn et al. 2016). Consistent with previous studies, the degree of parallel

evolution was significantly higher in the here described *B. subtilis* populations evolved in a

heterogeneous, multi-resource spatially structured environment.

Bs_root_A populations harbored the lowest number of mutations and the least similarity

among these, even lower than that of the Bs_root_T samples. Additionally, the genetic

similarity between the two mono-evolved conditions was much lower than between the two

cyclic evolved conditions, and the similarity between Bs_root_A and the two cyclic conditions

were also much lower than the similarity between Bs_root_T and the two cyclic conditions.

Furthermore, several specific mutated genes were also observed, which were present or absent

exclusively in one of the plant species. These results imply that the adaptation pattern of *B.*

subtilis to the root of *A. thaliana* and tomato was different, and *A. thaliana* exerted less influence

on the adaptation process in the two cyclic evolved conditions. A potential key difference

between *A. thaliana* and tomato that might alter bacterial evolution is the composition and

amounts of exudates. In our experimental system, *B. subtilis* was evolved in a minimal salt

medium (MSM; see **Materials and Methods**), where bacterial cells are dependent on carbon

sources provided by the plant for their growth. The composition and amount of exudates in and

between *A. thaliana* (Chaparro et al. 2013) and tomato (Kravchenko et al. 2003) plants varies

depending on the growth stage.

We found the most targeted genes to be related to motility, while all lineages displayed

improved root colonization. Motility and biofilm formation are considered to be inversely

regulated lifestyles with bacteria expressing genes necessary for either motility or biofilm

matrix production but not both simultaneously (Guttenplan & Kearns 2013; van Ditmarsch et

al. 2013). Motility is vital for many bacteria, as this process enables them to explore resources

and supports the dispersal of progeny (Wadhwa & Berg 2022). Motility has been shown to be

important for the effective root colonization of different plant species by *B subtilis* under

different conditions (Allard-Massicotte et al. 2016; Gao et al. 2016; Zhang et al. 2014; Tian et

al. 2021). It is not surprising that the loss of motility is repeatedly evolved in populations

growing in well-mixed liquid media where motility is not essential (Bailey et al. 2015) and

where the production of proteins for motility, primarily for flagella, is energy and resources

costly (Keegstra et al. 2022). A biofilm-motility trade-off was previous also suggested where *B.*

subtilis evolved on *A. thaliana* roots under shaking condition (Nordgaard et al. 2022).

Surprisingly, all mutations in flagellar structure protein coding genes were nonsynonymous

SNPs or InDels, suggesting these mutations to cause loss-of-function of flagella. Notably, a

78bp duplication (23 amino acids, 133~155) occurred in *hag* in three evolved conditions (in 12

lineages) and reached high frequency, which would strongly affect the structure and function

of flagellin, i.e. cell motility. Additionally, widespread and high frequency mutations in *sinR*,

which encoding biofilm master regulator (Kearns et al. 2005), *sigD*, encoding sigma factor D

(Marquez et al. 1990; Hölscher et al. 2015, 2018), which regulates flagella, motility, chemotaxis

and autolysis of *B. subtilis*, *yuxH*, which is involved in c-di-GMP regulation in *B. subtilis* (Chen,

Chai, et al. 2012; Gao et al. 2013), and *pstBA*, which responsible for phosphate uptake under

nutrient stress in *B. subtilis* (Moreno-Letelier et al. 2011), were all predicted to regulate the

motility-biofilm balance in *B. subtilis*.

Finally, we compared the genetic similarities and differences in certain biological functions,

e.g. aerotaxis, chemotaxis, motility (regulation) and biofilm development, when *B. subtilis* was

evolved under different experimental conditions, including a static air-medium pellicle biofilm

transfer mode (Bs_pellicle) (Dragoš et al. 2018), an *A. thaliana* root colonization study under

shaking hydroponic setup (Bs_root) (Nordgaard et al. 2022) and the here reported plant root

colonization under static hydroponic setup (Bs_root_ATTA) (**Supplementary Fig. 1**). Both

common and condition-specific genes were identified to carry mutations. We found *hemAT* to

be mutated in both Bs_pellicle and Bs_root_ATTA systems, although less frequently in

Bs_root_ATTA. Importantly, both experiments were performed under static condition, where

an oxygen gradient is generated in the culture and HemAT is a key oxygen sensor important

during pellicle formation (Hölscher et al. 2015). The lower frequency of mutation in *hemAT*

detected in Bs_root_ATTA suggests lower selection for aerotaxis in the cells that colonize the

submerged part of the plant roots compared with the cells that localized the medium-air

interface. Interestingly, the sigma factor encoding gene, *sigD*, was mutated only in conditions

where tomato was used as host in Bs_root_ATTA, suggesting that it is a tomato-specific

mutational target gene. Flagella related genes were identified to be mutated in all three

conditions, although mutations were detected in different genes and with different frequency.

Further, the c-di-GMP degrading phosphodiesterase-encoding *pdeH* was mutated in all three

conditions and more frequently and with high frequencies in Bs_root_ATTA. c-di-GMP is a

second messenger that modulates diverse cellular activities in bacteria, including bacterial

motility, biofilm formation, cell-cell signaling, and host colonization (Chen, et al. 2012; Gao et

al. 2013), however, studies c-di-GMP signaling have mostly focused on Gram-negative bacteria

until recently. *sinR*, seems to be a target in plant specific conditions as it solely lacked mutations

in the Bs_pellicle samples. Another possible static condition specific target similar to *hemAT* is

pstBA, which involved in high-affinity phosphate uptake in *B. subtilis* (Moreno-Letelier et al.

2011).

In conclusion, our study identified parallel evolution across multiple levels of biological

organization from phenotypic to individual nucleotide level, while the degree of parallel

evolution in gene level was significantly higher in populations evolved in a heterogeneous,

multi-resource spatially structured environment. We also observed species-specific adaptation

at genetic level, which was possibly caused by the selection stress imposed by different host

plants. Additionally, a strong trade-off between motility and growth as well as biofilm formation

was observed under the here applied static selection condition. Finally, we identified several

condition-specific and common mutated genes by comparing the genetic similarities and

differences between three studies.

Materials and Methods

Bacterial strains and experimental methods

We used identical materials and methods for the tomato and two host-cycling evolution setups as described in detail previously for the *A. thaliana* selection approach (Blake, et al. 2021), including the bacterial strain and the culture conditions, the seedling preparation, the experimental evolution, and the morphological diversification experiments.

Motility assays

20 mL of either 0.3% or 0.7% lysogeny broth (LB)-agar plates were used to test swimming and swarming motility. In both cases, the plates were dried for exactly 20 minutes before the bacterial cultures were applied to the center of the plates. 2 µL overnight cultures of the ancestor or evolved isolates adjusted to an OD_{600} of 5.0 were spotted in the middle of the plate. To keep a similar humidity across all plates, multiple stacking was avoided. Plates were incubated at 30°C and images were taken for swimming after 6h, 8h and 24h and for swarming after 8h, 10h and 24h with a camera (Lumix DC T290, Panasonic equipped with lens DC Vario-ELMAR, Leica). The area the bacterial cells covered at a given time point as well as the area of the whole agar plate were measured using ImageJ (Fiji ImageJ 1.52p). The capacity in swimming and swarming motility was calculated by dividing the covered area by the total area.

Sequencing and variant calling

Archived samples from each experimental evolution environment were revived via cultivation in lysogeny broth (LB; Lennox, Carl Roth; 10 g/L tryptone, 5 g/L yeast extract, and 5 g/L NaCl)

medium at 37℃ for 16 hours. Then the genomic DNA was extracted from the harvested cells

from each culture using EURx Bacterial and Yeast Genomic DNA kit.

For whole-population genome sequencing of the evolved populations and ancestor strain

samples, the MGIEasy PCR-free Library Prep Set (MGI Tech) was used for libraries

preparation. 150bp × 2 pair-end sequencing were performed on a DNBSEQ-Tx sequencer (MGI

Tech) following the manufacturer's procedures(Chen et al. 2022; Han et al. 2022). More than

200× depth coverage raw data were generated for All population samples for further

polymorphism calling.

To remove low quality data, filtering was performed using SOAPnuke (version 1.5.6)

(Chen et al. 2018). Therefore, reads including more than 50% of bases with quality lower than

12, reads including more than 10% of unknown base "N", and reads containing adaptor

contamination were removed. Subsequently, the derived data was normalized to 200× depth for

all sample to ensure a similar variants calling sensitivity. Mutations were reported by using

breseq (version 0.35.7) with the default parameters and a -p option for population samples

(Deatherage & Barrick 2014; Barrick et al. 2014). The default parameters reported mutations

only if they appeared at least two reads from each strand and reached a frequency of at least 5%

in the population. Mutations that also found in the ancestor strains were removed for further

analysis.

Genotype inferences from populations and Muller plots

To generate the muller plots, the *Lolipop* package (https://github.com/cdeitrick/lolipop)

(version 0.6) was used with default parameters (Scribner et al. 2020; Harris et al. 2021). These

methods predict genotypes and lineages based on the shared trajectories of mutations over time

test their probability of nonrandom genetic linkage. Muller plots were then manually colored

by the presence of shared mutated genes or genotypes. The genotypes and their frequencies

were used for genotype diversity calculation in R (version 4.2.2) with the package vegan

(version 2.6-4).

Jaccard Index calculation

Jaccard Index (J) for two evolved lineages was calculated using formula (1), given two

lineage, each contains mutated gene set G1 and G2, respectively. In words, J is the number of

genes mutated genes in both lineages divided by the total number of genes mutated in both

lineages. J ranges from 0 to 1, with 1 indicating two lineages have exactly the same set of

mutated genes and 0 indicating no mutated genes in two lineages are shared. This value was

calculated for all pairs of evolved lineages, both within and between evolved environments.

$$J_{G1,G2} = \frac{|G1 \cap G2|}{|G1 \cup G2|}$$ (1)

Data availability

The sequencing data underlying this article are available in CNGB Sequence Archive (CNSA)

(Guo et al. 2020) of China National GeneBank DataBase (CNGBdb) (Chen et al. 2020) with

accession number CNP0002416 and CNP0003952. Note, the ancestor DK1042 strain data are

available in project CNP0002416.

Acknowledgements

This project was supported by China National GeneBank (CNGB), Danish National Research

Foundation (DNRF137) for the Center for Microbial Secondary Metabolites, and Novo Nordisk

Foundation within the INTERACT project of the Collaborative Crop Resiliency Program

(NNF19SA0059360).

Reference

Allard-Massicotte R et al. 2016. *Bacillus subtilis* Early Colonization of *Arabidopsis thaliana* Roots involves multiple chemotaxis receptors Straight, PD & Ruby, EG, editors. mBio. 7:e01664-16. doi: 10.1128/mBio.01664-16.

Allenby NEE et al. 2004. Post-transcriptional regulation of the *Bacillus subtilis pst* operon encoding a phosphate-specific ABC transporter. Microbiology (N Y). 150:2619–2628. doi: 10.1099/mic.0.27126-0.

Arnaouteli S, Bamford NC, Stanley-Wall NR, Kovács ÁT. 2021. *Bacillus subtilis* biofilm formation and social interactions. Nat Rev Microbiol. 19:600–614. doi: 10.1038/s41579-021-00540-9.

Atalla A, Schumann W. 2003. The *pst* Operon of *Bacillus subtilis* Is Specifically Induced by Alkali Stress. J Bacteriol. 185:5019–5022. doi: 10.1128/JB.185.16.5019-5022.2003/ASSET/F2AE8CF0-4236-48BF-8557-B0E383D6314C/ASSETS/GRAPHIC/JB1630029006.JPEG.

Badri D v., Weir TL, van der Lelie D, Vivanco JM. 2009. Rhizosphere chemical dialogues: plant–microbe interactions. Curr Opin Biotechnol. 20:642–650. doi: 10.1016/J.COPBIO.2009.09.014.

Bailey SF, Blanquart F, Bataillon T, Kassen R. 2017. What drives parallel evolution?: How population size and mutational variation contribute to repeated evolution. BioEssays. 39:1600176. doi: 10.1002/BIES.201600176.

Bailey SF, Rodrigue N, Kassen R. 2015. The Effect of Selection Environment on the Probability of Parallel Evolution. Mol Biol Evol. 32:1436–1448. doi: 10.1093/MOLBEV/MSV033.

Barrick JE et al. 2014. Identifying structural variation in haploid microbial genomes from short-read resequencing data using *breseq*. BMC Genomics. 15:1039. doi: 10.1186/1471-2164-15-1039.

Beauregard PB, Chai Y, Vlamakis H, Losick R, Kolter R. 2013. *Bacillus subtilis* biofilm induction by plant polysaccharides. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 110:1621–1630. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1218984110.

Blake C, Christensen MN, Kovács ÁT. 2021. Molecular Aspects of Plant Growth Promotion and Protection by *Bacillus subtilis*. Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions. 34:15–25. doi:

10.1094/MPMI-08-20-0225-CR.

Blake C, Nordgaard M, Maróti G, Kovács ÁT. 2021. Diversification of *Bacillus subtilis* during
experimental evolution on *Arabidopsis thaliana* and the complementarity in root colonization
of evolved subpopulations. Environ Microbiol. 23:6122–6136. doi: 10.1111/1462-2920.15680.

Bram Van den Bergh, Toon Swings, Maarten Fauvart JM. 2018. Experimental Design,
Population Dynamics, and Diversity in Microbial Experimental Evolution. Microbiology and
Molecular Biology Reviews. 82:e00008-18. doi: https://doi.org/10.1128/MMBR .00008-18.

Chaparro JM et al. 2013. Root Exudation of Phytochemicals in Arabidopsis Follows Specific
Patterns That Are Developmentally Programmed and Correlate with Soil Microbial Functions
Wu, K, editor. PLoS One. 8:e55731. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0055731.

Chen A et al. 2022. Spatiotemporal transcriptomic atlas of mouse organogenesis using DNA
nanoball-patterned arrays. Cell. 185:1777-1792.e21. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2022.04.003.

Chen FZ et al. 2020. CNGBdb: China National GeneBank DataBase. Hereditas. 42:799–809.
doi: 10.16288/j.yczz.20-080.

Chen Y, Cao S, et al. 2012. A *Bacillus subtilis* sensor kinase involved in triggering biofilm
formation on the roots of tomato plants. Mol Microbiol. 85:418–430. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-
2958.2012.08109.x.

Chen Y, Chai Y, Guo J-H, Losick R. 2012. Evidence for Cyclic Di-GMP-Mediated Signaling
in *Bacillus subtilis*. J Bacteriol. 194:5080–5090. doi: 10.1128/JB.01092-12.

Chen Yuxin et al. 2018. SOAPnuke: A MapReduce acceleration-supported software for
integrated quality control and preprocessing of high-throughput sequencing data. Gigascience.
7:gix120. doi: 10.1093/gigascience/gix120.

Chu F, Kearns DB, Branda SS, Kolter R, Losick R. 2006. Targets of the master regulator of
biofilm formation in *Bacillus subtilis*. Mol Microbiol. 59:1216–1228. doi: 10.1111/J.1365-
2958.2005.05019.X.

Deatherage DE, Barrick JE. 2014. Identification of Mutations in Laboratory-Evolved Microbes
from Next-Generation Sequencing Data Using *breseq*. Methods Mol Biol. 1151:165–188. doi:
10.1007/978-1-4939-0554-6_12.

van Ditmarsch D et al. 2013. Convergent evolution of hyperswarming leads to impaired biofilm
formation in pathogenic bacteria. Cell Rep. 4:697–708. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2013.07.026.

Dragoš A et al. 2018. Evolution of exploitative interactions during diversification in *Bacillus*
subtilis biofilms. FEMS Microbiol Ecol. 94:fix155. doi: 10.1093/femsec/fix155.

Flynn KM et al. 2016. Evolution of ecological diversity in biofilms of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*
by altered cyclic diguanylate signaling. J Bacteriol. 198:2608–2618. doi: 10.1128/JB.00048-16.

Gao S, Wu H, Yu X, Qian L, Gao X. 2016. Swarming motility plays the major role in migration
during tomato root colonization by *Bacillus subtilis* SWR01. Biological Control. 98:11–17. doi:
10.1016/j.biocontrol.2016.03.011.

Gao X et al. 2013. Functional Characterization of Core Components of the *Bacillus subtilis*
Cyclic-Di-GMP Signaling Pathway. J Bacteriol. 195:4782–4792. doi: 10.1128/JB.00373-13.

Guo X et al. 2020. CNSA: A data repository for archiving omics data. Database. 2020:baaa055.
doi: 10.1093/database/baaa055.

Guttenplan SB, Kearns DB. 2013. Regulation of flagellar motility during biofilm formation.
FEMS Microbiol Rev. 37:849–871. doi: 10.1111/1574-6976.12018.

Haichar F el Z, Heulin T, Guyonnet JP, Achouak W. 2016. Stable isotope probing of carbon
flow in the plant holobiont. Curr Opin Biotechnol. 41:9–13. doi:
10.1016/J.COPBIO.2016.02.023.

Hamon MA, Lazazzera BA. 2001. The sporulation transcription factor Spo0A is required for
biofilm development in *Bacillus subtilis*. Mol Microbiol. 42:1199–1209. doi: 10.1046/J.1365-
2958.2001.02709.X.

Han L et al. 2022. Cell transcriptomic atlas of the non-human primate *Macaca fascicularis*.
Nature. 604:723–731. doi: 10.1038/s41586-022-04587-3.

Harris KB, Flynn KM, Cooper VS. 2021. Polygenic Adaptation and Clonal Interference Enable
Sustained Diversity in Experimental Pseudomonas aeruginosa Populations. Mol Biol Evol.
38:5359–5375. doi: 10.1093/molbev/msab248.

Hölscher T et al. 2018. Impaired competence in flagellar mutants of *Bacillus subtilis* is
connected to the regulatory network governed by DegU. Environ Microbiol Rep. 10:23–32. doi:
10.1111/1758-2229.12601.

Hölscher T et al. 2015. Motility, Chemotaxis and Aerotaxis Contribute to Competitiveness
during Bacterial Pellicle Biofilm Development. J Mol Biol. 427:3695–3708. doi:
10.1016/j.jmb.2015.06.014.

Hölscher T, Kovács ÁT. 2017. Sliding on the surface: bacterial spreading without an active
motor. Environ Microbiol. 19:2537–2545. doi: 10.1111/1462-2920.13741.

Ibuki T et al. 2011. Common architecture of the flagellar type III protein export apparatus and
F- and V-type ATPases. Nat Struct Mol Biol. 18:277–282. doi: 10.1038/nsmb.1977.

Jiang M, Shao W, Perego M, Hoch JA. 2000. Multiple histidine kinases regulate entry into
stationary phase and sporulation in *Bacillus subtilis*. Mol Microbiol. 38:535–542. doi:
10.1046/J.1365-2958.2000.02148.X.

Kearns DB. 2010. A field guide to bacterial swarming motility. Nat Rev Microbiol. 8:634–644.
doi: 10.1038/nrmicro2405.

Kearns DB, Chu F, Branda SS, Kolter R, Losick R. 2005. A master regulator for biofilm
formation by *Bacillus subtilis*. Mol Microbiol. 55:739–749. doi: 10.1111/J.1365-
2958.2004.04440.X.

Keegstra JM, Carrara F, Stocker R. 2022. The ecological roles of bacterial chemotaxis. Nat Rev
Microbiol. 20:491–504. doi: 10.1038/s41579-022-00709-w.

Kovács ÁT, Grau R, Pollitt EJG. 2017. Surfing of bacterial droplets: *Bacillus subtilis* sliding
revisited. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 114:E8802. doi:
10.1073/pnas.1710371114.

Kravchenko L V. et al. 2003. Root exudates of tomato plants and their effect on the growth and
antifungal activity of *Pseudomonas* strains. Microbiology (N Y). 72:37–41. doi:
10.1023/A:1022269821379.

Kuhlen L et al. 2018. Structure of the Core of the Type Three Secretion System Export
Apparatus. Nat Struct Mol Biol. 25:583. doi: 10.1038/S41594-018-0086-9.

Kuhlen L et al. 2020. The substrate specificity switch FlhB assembles onto the export gate to
regulate type three secretion. Nat Commun. 11:1296. doi: 10.1038/s41467-020-15071-9.

Lareen A, Burton F, Schäfer P. 2016. Plant root-microbe communication in shaping root
microbiomes. Plant Mol Biol. 90:575–587. doi: 10.1007/S11103-015-0417-8.

LaVallie ER, Stahl ML. 1989. Cloning of the flagellin gene from *Bacillus subtilis* and
complementation studies of an in vitro-derived deletion mutation. J Bacteriol. 171:3085–3094.
doi: 10.1128/jb.171.6.3085-3094.1989.

Lenski RE. 2017. What is adaptation by natural selection? Perspectives of an experimental
microbiologist   Doolittle,   WF,   editor.   PLoS   Genet.   13:e1006668.   doi:
10.1371/journal.pgen.1006668.

Li Erqin et al. 2021. Experimental-evolution-driven identification of arabidopsis rhizosphere
competence   genes   in   *Pseudomonas   protegens*.   mBio.   12:e00927-21.   doi:
10.1128/MBIO.00927-21/SUPPL_FILE/MBIO.00927-21-SF005.JPG.

Li Minghui et al. 2021. Crop rotation history constrains soil biodiversity and multifunctionality
relationships. Agric Ecosyst Environ. 319:107550. doi: 10.1016/J.AGEE.2021.107550.

Lin Y et al. 2021. Adaptation of Bacillus thuringiensis to Plant Colonization Affects
Differentiation and Toxicity. mSystems. 6:e00864-21. doi: 10.1128/msystems.00864-21.

Marquez LM et al. 1990. Studies of σ(D)-dependent functions in *Bacillus subtilis*. J Bacteriol.
172:3435–3443. doi: 10.1128/jb.172.6.3435-3443.1990.

Moreno-Letelier A, Olmedo G, Eguiarte LE, Martinez-Castilla L, Souza V. 2011. Parallel
Evolution and Horizontal Gene Transfer of the *pst* Operon in Firmicutes from Oligotrophic
Environments. Int J Evol Biol. 2011:781642. doi: 10.4061/2011/781642.

Mukherjee S, Kearns DB. 2014. The structure and regulation of flagella in *Bacillus subtilis*.
Annu Rev Genet. 48:319–340. doi: 10.1146/annurev-genet-120213-092406.

Nordgaard M et al. 2022. Experimental evolution of *Bacillus subtilis* on *Arabidopsis thaliana*
roots reveals fast adaptation and improved root colonization. iScience. 25:104406. doi:
10.1016/j.isci.2022.104406.

Peng G et al. 2011. Potential biological control of clubroot on canola and crucifer vegetable
crops. Plant Pathol. 60:566–574. doi: 10.1111/J.1365-3059.2010.02400.X.

Sasse J, Martinoia E, Northen T. 2018. Feed Your Friends: Do Plant Exudates Shape the Root
Microbiome? Trends Plant Sci. 23:25–41. doi: 10.1016/j.tplants.2017.09.003.

Schmidt JE, Bowles TM, Gaudin ACM. 2016. Using ancient traits to convert soil health into
crop yield: Impact of selection on maize root and rhizosphere function. Front Plant Sci. 7:373.
doi: 10.3389/FPLS.2016.00373/BIBTEX.

Scribner MR et al. 2020. Parallel evolution of tobramycin resistance across species and
environments. mBio. 11:e00932-20. doi: 10.1128/mBio.00932-20.

Sircar R, Greenswag AR, Bilwes AM, Gonzalez-Bonet G, Crane BR. 2013. Structure and
activity of the flagellar rotor protein fliY: A member of the cheC phosphatase family. Journal
of Biological Chemistry. 288:13493–13502. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M112.445171.

Tian T et al. 2021. Sucrose triggers a novel signaling cascade promoting *Bacillus subtilis*
rhizosphere colonization. ISME J. 15:2723–2737. doi: 10.1038/s41396-021-00966-2.

Venturi V, Keel C. 2016. Signaling in the Rhizosphere. Trends Plant Sci. 21:187–198. doi:
10.1016/J.TPLANTS.2016.01.005.

Vives-Peris V, de Ollas C, Gómez-Cadenas A, Pérez-Clemente RM. 2020. Root exudates: from
plant to rhizosphere and beyond. Plant Cell Rep. 39:3–17. doi: 10.1007/s00299-019-02447-5.

Wadhwa N, Berg HC. 2022. Bacterial motility: machinery and mechanisms. Nat Rev Microbiol.
20:161–173. doi: 10.1038/s41579-021-00626-4.

Ward E et al. 2019. Organization of the flagellar switch complex of *Bacillus subtilis*. J Bacteriol.
201:e00626-18. doi: 10.1128/JB.00019-19.

Wei F, Hu X, Xu X. 2016. Dispersal of *Bacillus subtilis* and its effect on strawberry
phyllosphere microbiota under open field and protection conditions. Scientific Reports 2016
6:1. 6:1–9. doi: 10.1038/srep22611.

Zhang N et al. 2014. Effects of different plant root exudates and their organic acid components
on chemotaxis, biofilm formation and colonization by beneficial rhizosphere-associated
bacterial strains. Plant Soil. 374:689–700. doi: 10.1007/S11104-013-1915-6/FIGURES/6.

667