1 Hidden from plain sight: Novel *Chlamydiota* diversity
2 emerging from screening genomic and metagenomic
3 data.

4 Authors

5 Helen R. Davison and Gregory D.D. Hurst

6 Affiliations

7 Institute of Infection, Veterinary and Ecological Sciences, University of Liverpool, Crown
8 Street Liverpool L69 7ZB UK

9 Corresponding author

10 Helen R. Davison – davstaff@liverpool.ac.uk

11 Keywords

12 Chlamydiota, Symbiotic bacteria, Phylogenomics, Rhabdochlamydiaceae, Simkaniaceae

13 Abbreviations

14 MAG – Metagenome Assembled Genome

15 ANI – Average Nucleotide Identity

16 AAI – Average Amino-acid Identity

17 Acknowledgements

18 Conceptualisation, supervision, methodology and funding acquisition – HRD and GDDH

19 Investigation –HRD

20 Analysis, Visualisation, and Data Curation – HRD

21 Original Draft Preparation – HRD and GDDH

22 Additional thanks to Stefanos Siozios for guidance early on in this project.

23 Funding

24 This work was supported by Natural Environment Research Council (NERC) Adapting to the
25 Challenges of a Changing Environment (ACCE) Doctoral Training Studentship NE/L002450/1

26 Nucleotide sequence data

27 Bioproject accession PRJNA901896

Abstract

Chlamydiota are an ancient and hyperdiverse order of obligate intracellular bacteria. The best characterized representatives are pathogens or parasites of mammals, but it is thought that their most common hosts are microeukaryotes like Amoebozoa. The diversity in taxonomy, evolution, and function of non-pathogenic *Chlamydiota* are slowly being described. Here we use data mining techniques and genomic analysis to extend our current knowledge of *Chlamydiota* diversity and its hosts, in particular the family *Parachlamydiales*. We extract one *Rhabdochlamydiaceae* and three *Simkaniaceae* genomes from NCBI Short Read Archive deposits of ciliate and algal genome sequencing projects. We then use these to identify a further 14 and 8 genomes respectively amongst existing, unidentified environmental assemblies. From these data we identify two novel clades with host associated data, for which we propose the names '*Candidatus* Sacchlamydia' (Family *Rhabdochlamydiaceae)* and '*Candidatus* Amphrikania' (Family *Simkaniaceae*), as well as a third new clade of environmental MAGs '*Candidatus* Acheromydia' (Family *Rhabdochlamydiaceae*). The extent of uncharacterized diversity within the *Rhabdochlamydiaceae* and *Simkaniaceae* is indicated by 16 of the 22 MAGs being evolutionarily distant from currently characterised genera. Within our limited data, we observe great predicted diversity in *Parachlamydiales* metabolism and evolution, including the potential for metabolic and defensive symbioses as well as pathogenicity. These data provide an imperative to link genomic diversity in metagenomics data to their associated eukaryotic host, and to develop onward understanding of the functional significance of symbiosis with this hyperdiverse clade.

49   Graphical Abstract

Introduction

Chlamydiota are a phylum of bacteria that are highly diverged from any other microbial clade, such that they were for some time considered a separate kingdom of life (Prowazek, 1912; Horn, 2008). Species within the group uniquely share a biphasic lifestyle, with an inert non-dividing environmental stage and a reproductive intracellular stage (Kahane *et al.*, 1993; Bastidas *et al.*, 2013; König *et al.*, 2017). These bacteria also share proteins that are associated with chloroplast function in plants, which has been speculated to suggest a long-standing relationship with cyanobacteria and algae (Brinkman *et al.*, 2002; Horn *et al.*, 2004). It has also been proposed that ancient members of *Chlamydiota* facilitated the establishment of the first photosynthetic proto-algal eukaryotes (Huang and Gogarten, 2007).

Chlamydiota are most well known as mammalian pathogens and were first described by the ancient Chinese and Egyptians (Gruber, Lipozenčić and Kehler, 2015). However, the status of the clade has been revised since the advent of DNA taxonomy and it is now recognised that their host range is much broader. They are commonly found in free living amoeba species and environmental samples, though here the original host is often not known (Horn, 2008). Besides amoebae, they can also infect mammals, fish, worms, and a variety of arthropods (Draghi *et al.*, 2004; Horn, 2008; Kjeldsen *et al.*, 2010; Halter *et al.*, 2022). There are potentially many hundreds of unexplored families within *Chlamydiota* (Horn, 2008; Lagkouvardos *et al.*, 2014; König *et al.*, 2017). One order of *Chlamydiota*, the *Parachlamydiales*, are widely observed and sequenced in environmental samples, but have little to no functional information and recognised taxonomic affiliations are currently fluid (Horn, 2008; Nylund *et al.*, 2018; Halter *et al.*, 2022).

Very recently, *Parachlamydia acanthamoebae* has been shown to protect its amoeba host

from giant viruses (Arthofer *et al.*, 2022). The mechanism for this defensive symbiosis is not

yet known, but *P. acanthamoebae* seems to prevent the virus from co-opting the amoeba

machinery, thus preventing the formation of viral factories. Additionally, *Neochlamydia* has

previously been implicated in defensive symbioses against *Legionella pneumophila* (Ishida *et*

al., 2014; Maita *et al.*, 2018). These data indicate the potential these symbioses have as

hidden players in the biology, ecology, and evolution of microeukaryotes beyond a role as

pathogens. Moreover, this strong impact on host biology creates an imperative to investigate

symbioses in microeukaryotes outside of amoebae.

Sequence data provides an opportunity to estimate the level of uncharacterised diversity in

microbial symbionts. Two approaches are possible. First, genome sequencing projects for

eukaryotes commonly contain the reads from their associated symbionts within them.

Second, environmental sequencing projects allow the identification and assembly of draft

genomes without host association data. Here, we first screen the NCBI Sequence Read Archive

(SRA) databases for ciliates, macro- and micro-algae for the presence of *Chlamydiota* and

assemble 1 and 3 new draft genomes for the families, *Rhabdochlamydiaceae* and

Simkaniaceae respectively (both *Parachlamydiales*). We then use these genomes to identify

22 related MAGs from environmental metagenomic studies on online databases. Finally, we

conducted a phylogenetic and metabolic analyses of these, and existing genomes, to assess

their taxonomic placement and explore the potential impacts on their hosts.

Materials and methods

Data collection

The presence of *Chlamydiota* bacteria was investigated across Algae and Ciliate SRA databases on NCBI as described previously in Davison et al. (2022) using PhyloFlash to identify deposits with evidence of Chlamydiota bacteria 16S rRNA sequence. Those SRA providing evidence of *Chlamydiota* presence were taken forward to metagenomic binning and assembly. We used GTDBtk to screen bins and found that all genomes recovered were related to *Rhabdochlamydiaceae* or *Simkaniaceae*, so analyses from this point on were focused to Parachlamydiales. Genomes assembled here were then used as baits to identify related metagenomically assembled genomes (MAGs) in the NCBI nr database that were previously not fully classified.

Existing *Parachalmydiales* genomes, as well as a *Clavichalmydia* and a *Chlamydia* genome were downloaded from NCBI (see Supplementary data 1) alongside the GEM catalogue for genomes identified by Köstlbacher et al. (Köstlbacher *et al.*, 2021; Nayfach *et al.*, 2021).

Metagenomic assembly and annotation

Minimap2, MEGAHIT and MetaBAT2 (Li *et al.*, 2015; Li, 2018; Kang *et al.*, 2019) were used to assemble and bin metagenomic bacterial genomes from SRA data using the pipeline in Davison (2022). Genome quality and identities were checked with CheckM and NCBI Blastn (Camacho *et al.*, 2009; Parks *et al.*, 2015).

Four newly assembled genomes, 18 environmental MAGs, 82 previously established *Parachlamydiaceae*, and 2 outgroup *Chlamydiaceae* were then passed to Anvio-7 to be

annotated with COG20 and KEGG kofams through their pangenomics pipeline (Aramaki *et al.*,

2020; Eren *et al.*, 2021; Galperin *et al.*, 2021; Davison, 2022).

Phlyogenomics and metabolic predictions

Clusters of protein homologs shared by 90% of the 106 genomes used were identified and

extracted through Anvio-7. We extracted 34 single copy core gene clusters that contain a total

of 3604 genes. A phylogeny partitioned by gene cluster was constructed with IQTREE, using

model finder plus, 1000 ultrafast bootstraps, and 1000 SH-alrt replicates. Models per partition

can be found in Supplementary data 2.

We used GTDBtk for taxonomic classification of the recovered genomes and to identify

taxonomic novelty among them. AAI was calculated for all genomes through kostalabs online

enveomics suite (Rodriguez-R and Konstantinidis, 2016). ANIb was calculated with pyANI

through anvio-7 (Supplementary data 1) (Pritchard *et al.*, 2016). The network was visualised

in Gephi 0.9 (Bastian, Heymann and Jacomy, 2009), with edges filtered for >0.6 AAI and >0.95

ANI scores. Annotations were added in Inkscape (Inkscape Project, 2020).

Anvio-7 using KEGG kofams was used to assess metabolic completeness for high quality

genomes exceeding a CheckM score of 90% completeness to minimize the loss of information

caused by incompleteness (Eisenhofer, Odriozola and Alberdi, 2023). Heatmaps were

constructed with Seaborn 0.12.1 in Python 3.10 and annotated with Inkscape (Rossum and

Drake, 2009; Waskom and Seaborn development team, 2020). Toxin-antitoxin systems were

identified with antiSMASH (Blin *et al.*, 2021). CrisprCAS finder (Couvin *et al.*, 2018) was used

to establish the presence of cas systems in all extracted genomes.

Results

Genomes and Phylogeny

Four genomes were assembled from SRA data and an additional 22 recovered from the NCBI GenBank non-redundant database (Table 1). Of these 22 genomes, 16 came from environmental metagenome sequencing efforts that did not specifically target *Chlamydiota*, and the six that were targeted came from a single paper. Two were Identified to species and three to family level (Supplementary data 1). One of these, JAGXTH01, is a *Rhabdochlamydiaceae* misidentified as a *Simkania* sp. (see Figure 1 and accession GCA_018333475.1).

Several groups, like SM23-39 and most MCF types, are sufficiently different and diverse to warrant their own family name. In literature, SM23-39 has been referred to as both '*Ca*. Limichlamydiaceae' and '*Ca*. Anoxychlamydiaceae' (Pillonel, Bertelli and Greub, 2018; Dharamshi *et al.*, 2020, 2023). Their classification seems to be mixed, with genomes identified as SM23-39 also spanning a second proposed family '*Ca.* Enkichlamydiaceae' (Supplementary data 1 and Köstlbacher *et al.*, (2021). Based on GTDBtk analysis we have opted for using the clade name SM23-39 to refer to this clade to avoid naming confusion, and because all genomes share family level classification by this method, as well as equivalent diversity with *Rhabdochlamydiaceae* and *Simkaniaceae* (Figure 1 and 2). There is no set threshold for classifying family or order level, and although GTDBtk does overclassify higher taxons (Chaumeil *et al.*, 2020), it is currently the only objective way of designating family level classification. Higher classifications can be added later as needed, but at this stage we do not believe it is helpful to establish additional families or orders while the current within family phylogeny is so fluid.

AAI and ANI results shown in Figure 2 support most existing genera and species clusters, but

also indicates the potential need for some genera to be split (e.g., *Simkania*) and some species

to be taxonomically combined ('*Ca*. Rhabdochlamydia oedothora' and '*Ca*. Rhabdochlamydia'

sp. W815 RHOW815).

Using AAI values with a cut off of 65%, we find objective support for naming the clade

containing MGLO01 as its own genus, '*Ca*. Arenachlamydia', as suggested in (Pillonel, Bertelli

and Greub, 2018). However, contrary to Pillonel et al. (2018), we do not find that they are

unique within *Chlamydiota* in lacking a Menaquinone biosynthesis/futalosine pathway

(Supplementary data 1). Amongst the genomes included in this study, all lack the futalosine

pathway (KEGG module M00930 and M00931) except for MCF-G and '*Ca.* Sorochlamydiaceae'

(clade CC-IV). The Menaquinone biosynthesis pathway (M00116) is partially present in all

except 13 genomes, of which span several different clades across *Parachlamydiales*

(Supplementary data 1). Other clades form putative genera groups of four or more genomes

that are simply unnamed. *Simkaniaceae* and *Rhabdochlamydiaceae* in particular lack solid

categorisation, each having only two previously named genera (Figure 1 and 2). Further, the

genomic diversity displayed within both families is extensive. Some single genomes possess

more than ten times more unique genes than genes shared across all genomes (Figure 3),

though this could be an artifact of divergent orthologs not clustering together. It is very likely

that *Parachlamydiales* will need to be split into multiple orders as more data becomes

available, and revision of family level affiliation, to better describe this ancient clade of

bacteria.

Figure 1. Genome wide phylogeny of *Parachlamydiales*. Maximum likelihood (ML) phylogeny of *Parachlamydiales* constructed from 34 single copy gene clusters that contain a total of 3604 genes. New genomes are indicated by ▲ with blue labels for genomes derived from host SRA bins, and red for MAGS without host association data. Bootstrap values based on 1000 replicates are indicated with coloured circles (red = 91-100, yellow = 81-90, black <= 80).

Figure 2. Genus and species level clustering across *Parachlamydiales*. Frutcherman
Reingold networks of pairwise a) Average Amino Acid Identity (AAI) with edge weights >65%
similarity and b) Average Nucleotide Identity (ANI) with edge weights >95% similarity across
all genomes. AAI and ANI illustrate genus and species boundaries, respectively. Proposed
genus names are indicated with a ▲.

Figure 2a. Gene content comparison for *Rhabdochlamydiaceae* and *Simkaniaceae*. An upsetplot illustrating the disparity of gene presence absence across the families a) *Rhabdochlamydiaceae* and b) *Simkaniaceae*. Vertical bars illustrate the number of genes present shared across compared genomes, indicated by black circles beneath each bar. Orange circles indicate the core genome shared by all genomes in the comparison.

Figure 3b. Gene content comparison for *Rhabdochlamydiaceae* and *Simkaniaceae*. An upset plot illustrating the disparity of gene presence absence across the families a) *Rhabdochlamydiaceae* and b) *Simkaniaceae*. Vertical bars illustrate the number of genes present shared across compared genomes, indicated by black circles beneath each bar. Orange circles indicate the core genome shared by all genomes in the comparison.

 Proposed Taxonomy

Based on AAI, ANI, GTDBtk analysis, metabolic results, and phylogeny, we find evidence for at

least three new genus-level clusters within Rhabdochlamydiaceae and Simkaniaceae. The

genera we propose contain either multiple high-quality environmental genomes found with

the methods described in this study, or are high-quality assemblies extracted from potential

host SRAs.

'Candidatus Sacchlamydia' gen. nov. - For the cluster that contains RhabSjap6, a host

associated genome, named after the putative host *Saccharina japonica* and the pattern of

using "-chlamydia" as the suffix for Chlamydiota genera.

'Candidatus Amphrikania' gen. nov. - A second new clade with host association data lies

within the Simkaniaceae (the genome named SimkAspAT52). Amphri- after Amphritrite

Queen of the Sea and echoing its marine host *Amoebophyra*, and -kania after the type genus

for the family Simkaniaceae, *Simkania*.

Similarly to SimkAspAT52, clade SimkSjap42 is likely a new species group but will not be

named because it has genome that is only 65% complete in CheckM analysis (Figure 1., Table

1).

'Candidatus Acheromydia' gen. nov. - For the clade including the MAGs JAHCAX01 and

JACPWB01 which share >65% AAI similarity. For convenience, and so as not to over inflate

this group with new names, I also include the relatively closely related genome JAIEL01 (>62%

AAI similarity). This genus is named after Acheron (Acher-) one of five Greek rivers of the

underworld that occasionally surfaces above ground. The MAGs come from drinking water,

activated sludge and ground water. -mydia from *Chlamydia*.

Metabolism

Parachlamydiales have a broad array of metabolic pathways (Figure 4 and Supplementary data 1), including nutritional and defensive systems. Of note, several have mostly complete B vitamin synthesis pathways (biotin, riboflavin and thiamine), known to be associated with beneficial contributions to the host in other symbioses with intracellular bacteria.

Some Parachlamydiales have uroporphyrinogen decarboxylase and uroporphyrinogen-III synthase which form part of several biosynthesis pathways including heme, chlorophyll, cobalamin, and siroheme. In addition, some have incomplete phylloquinone (vitamin K1) biosynthesis pathways. All Parachlamydiales genomes here also have complete Type III secretion systems and incomplete gene sets associated with flagellar assembly (Supplementary data 1). Several terpenoid synthesis pathways are also present, including dTDP-L-rhamnose biosynthesis.

We find that KEGG Kofam identifies CRISPR-associated protein Cas2 in all *Parachlamydiales* studied in this study, including *P. acanthamoeba*. Several have additional types of CRISPR/Cas associated genes (Supplementary data 1). CRISPRcas-finder identifies cas and cas spacers in the four MAGs extracted from the SRA samples (Supplementary data 1) and only RhabSjap6 appears to possess both CRISPR elements and a cas cluster. Whether these genes are functional or associated with defensive symbiosis against phages based purely on metabolic prediction is unknown; the class of cas is not predictive of their function.

Figure 3. The number of each type of metabolic pathway found in each *Chlamydiota* genome. Red indicates environmental MAGs; blue indicates MAGs assembled in this study.

Figure 4. Heatmap of the completeness of KEGG metabolic pathways of interest across the *Parachlamydiaceae, Rhabdochlamydiaceae and Simkaniaceae*. Full metabolic pathway completeness for all genomes and all other pathways are available in Supplementary data 1. New genomes assembled in this study are indicated by ▲.

Discussion

Genomes and Phylogeny

We observe high genomic, phylogenetic, and metabolic diversity in the currently named families, genera, and within species of *Chlamydiota*, in line with other studies (Horn, 2008; Lagkouvardos *et al.*, 2014; König *et al.*, 2017). The current taxonomy is not sufficient and has been left undefined to the detriment of these bacteria, in part due to the nature of the clade being so broad and data being so sparse. This has resulted in some genomes such as *Rubidus massiliensis* and JAGXTH01 being miscategorised on NCBI. *Rubidus massiliensis* is categorised as *Chlamydiaceae* rather than *Parachlamydiaceae,* as proposed in the study where it was first described (Bou Khalil *et al.*, 2016) and as shown in Figure 1. MAG JAGXTH01 is identified as a *Simkania* sp., whereas we find it falls within the *Rhabdochlamydiaceae*.

Prior to this study, only two Environmental MAGs found had been assigned a taxonomic classification lower than family, and one of those two is misidentified (Supplementary data 1). At this stage we do not believe it is helpful to split existing family clades like SM23-39 into multiple families, especially since they are supported by GTDBtk analysis. There is also some confusion in literature for the taxonomy for some clades: '*Ca*. Limichlamydiaceae' (Pillonel, Bertelli and Greub, 2018) is included in '*Ca*. Anoxychlamydiaceae' (Dharamshi *et al.*, 2023), and '*Ca*. Enkichlamydiaceae' (Pillonel, Bertelli and Greub, 2018) == Chlamydiae clade III (Dharamshi *et al.*, 2023).

We believe the splitting of *Parachlamydiales* into three new orders are in some respects warranted: '*Ca*. Anoxychlamydiales', '*Ca*. Simkaniales' (which includes *Rhabdochlamydiaceae* and *Simkaniaceae*) and '*Ca*. Amoebachlamydiales' (for *Parachlamydiaceae*) (Dharamshi *et*

al., 2023). We could also argue that *Rhabdochlamydiaceae* and *Simkaniaceae* will eventually

need their own Order classifications based on the high gene content diversity within the

families (figures 3a and 3b). However, we do not believe it is helpful to split *Parachlamydiales*

into further orders at this time while most families and genera have not been properly

defined. Additionally, Order classification has no robust criteria and the erection of further

Orders may contribute to confusion around the taxonomy of *Chlamydiota*.

Metabolism

Vitamin B biosynthesis is known to be associated with beneficial contributions to the host in

other symbioses with intracellular bacteria. However, all characterised Parachlamydiales have

biphasic lifestyles, and many are known pathogens, so the presence of B vitamin modules

does not necessarily indicate potential benefit to the host. For instance, pathogenic

Chlamydia with bioY genes have previously been observed to uptake biotin from host cells

(Fisher *et al.*, 2012).

If Parachlamydiales do form beneficial symbioses with their host it is feasibly associated with

photosynthesis through vitamin Phylloquinone synthesis or chlorophyll synthesis.

Phylloquinone is produced and used by plants, algae, and cyanobacteria in photosynthesis.

Most *Parachlamydiales* genomes also have a complete chorismate synthase pathway (Figure

5, Supplementary data 1), an important intermediate product for phylloquinone production,

as well as intermediates for alkaloids and salicylic acid that are important in plant defence

systems (Hamberger *et al.*, 2006; Shanmugabalaji *et al.*, 2022). It should be noted that

chorismate can also be used to synthesize a variety of other vital aromatic compounds such

as ubiquinone, which is common in bacteria (Dosselaere and Vanderleyden, 2001).

There is also a possibility that these bacteria form defensive symbioses, as seen in the case of

viral protection of amoebae by *P. acanthamoeba* (Arthofer *et al.*, 2022). Unfortunately, we

do not know how *P. acanthamoeba* blocks viral factory formation, so we cannot identify any

associated pathways through homology. The presence of CRISPR/cas systems in some

genomes could indicate a potential mechanism for this trait, but we do not know that they

are functional, and, if they are, they may be associated with the free living stage rather than

antiviral activity in the host. CRISPR/Cas Phage defence are common across bacteria but

notably rare in microbial symbionts (Deveau, Garneau and Moineau, 2010). They have also

previously been found in *Protochlamydia* genomes and other *Chlamydiota* (Deveau, Garneau

and Moineau, 2010; Bertelli *et al.*, 2016; Köstlbacher *et al.*, 2021).

An alternative route for defensive symbiosis could be terpenoid production. Terpenoids such

as dTDP-L-rhamnose are associated with plant-bacteria symbioses as well as pathogenicity

(Ma, Pan and McNeil, 2002; Jofré, Lagares and Mori, 2004; French, 2017; Jiang *et al.*, 2021).

Terpenoids are also used by algae themselves in defence against threats such as bacteria and

heavy metals (French, 2017; Karimi *et al.*, 2019). Some Red algae use bacteria-like pathways

to produce their terpenoids (Wei *et al.*, 2019).

Conclusions

Chlamydiota are hyper diverse and under described. We have added to the known

phylogenies of the *Parachlamydiales* families: *Simkaniaceae* and *Rhabdochlamydiaceae*. In

addition, we clarify the status of two additional *Rhabdochlamydiaceae* and one *Simkaniaceae*

genera, for which we propose the names '*Ca*. Sacchlamydia', '*Ca.* Acherochlamydia', and '*Ca.*

Amphrikania'. The metabolic potential of *Chlamydiota* is likewise highly diverse, with several

clusters of genes that could be associated with both defensive and nutritional symbiosis or

otherwise associated with pathogenicity. Closer study of the metabolic interactions between

Parachlamydiales and their microeukaryotic hosts are required to elucidate if and how they

affect each other.

Tables

Table 1. Select genome metadata for newly assembled Rhabdochlamydiaceae and Simkaniaceae genomes and previously assembled NCBI environmental MAGs. Full metadata can be found in Supplementary data 1.

Name	Bacteria accession	Isolate Name	Family	Source accession	Host Type	Source	CheckM completion score	CheckM contamination	Genome size	Number of contigs	GC content %	Completion status
Genomes assembled in this study												
SimiAspAT52	SAMN32091777	-	*Simkaniaceae*	SRR3080743	Algae	*Amoebophrya* sp. AT5.2	91.55	0	1,800,129	71	36.81	contig
SimkCsp1	SAMN32091778	-	*Simkaniaceae*	SRR9841583	Ciliate	*Chilodochona* sp.	90.65	0.23	1,516,029	217	39.52	contig
RhabSjap6	SAMN32091779	-	*Rhabdochlamydiaceae*	SRR2043156	Algae	*Saccharina japonica*	91.05	0.9	1,734,283	4	45.53	contig
SimkSjap42	SAMN32091780	-	*Simkaniaceae*	SRR2043156	Algae	*Saccharina japonica*	65.79	1.73	972,015	316	43.90	contig
Existing environmental MAGs												
JAAKFB01	GCA_011065235.1	*K1000_chlam_2*	*Rhabdochlamydiaceae*	PRJNA504765	Unknown	marine sediment	82.56	2.73	1,738,011	252	44.0	contig
JAAKFC01	GCA_011065225.1	*K1000_chlam_3*	*Rhabdochlamydiaceae*	PRJNA504765	Unknown	marine sediment	78.01	2.03	1,662,393	270	41.5	contig
JAAKFF01	GCA_011065165.1	*K1060_chlam_2*	*Simkaniaceae*	PRJNA504765	Unknown	marine sediment	90.2	0	1,625,346	143	46.5	contig
JAAKFO01	GCA_011064755.1	*K940_chlam_6*	*Rhabdochlamydiaceae*	PRJNA504765	Unknown	marine sediment	82.02	3.6	1,505,120	432	42.5	contig
JAAKFS01	GCA_011064965.1	*KR126_chlam_1*	*Rhabdochlamydiaceae*	PRJNA504765	Unknown	marine sediment	92.91	1.35	1,614,069	25	46.5	contig
JAAKFU01	GCA_011064915.1	*KR126_chlam_3*	*Rhabdochlamydiaceae*	PRJNA504765	Unknown	marine sediment	84.12	2.25	1,677,468	185	42.5	contig
JACPOL01	GCA_016185065.1	*NC_groundwater_600_Pr5_B-0.1um_49_25*	*Rhabdochlamydiaceae*	PRJNA640378	Unknown	groundwater	95.61	0.68	1,865,878	10	48.5	contig

JACPWB01	GCA_016197265.1	NC_groundwater_800_Pr6_B-0.1um_47_6	Rhabdochlamydiaceae	PRJNA640378	Unknown	groundwater	76.96	5.69	1,071,557	362	46.5	scaffold
JACRBE01	GCA_016213235.1	NC_groundwater_1612_Pr3_B-0.1um_36_11	Simkaniaceae	PRJNA640378	Unknown	groundwater	82.09	1.04	1,468,519	59	36.0	contig
JAGOLG01	GCA_017994195.1	Gw_Bodden_bin_68	Rhabdochlamydiaceae	PRJNA524094	Unknown	wastewater	89.02	1.49	1,679,597	130	42.5	contig
JAGROF01	GCA_020440405.1	HKST-UBA11	Simkaniaceae	PRJNA432264	Unknown	Saline, activated sludge	80.23	0.34	1,731,737	299	44.5	scaffold
JAGROI01	GCA_020440305.1	HKST-UBA14	Simkaniaceae	PRJNA432264	Unknown	saline, activated sludge	96.28	0.56	2,560,732	197	39.0	scaffold
JAGROK01	GCA_020440285.1	HKST-UBA16	Simkaniaceae	PRJNA432264	Unknown	Saline, activated sludge	88.85	1.52	1,696,508	180	42.5	contig
JAGROM01	GCA_020440265.1	HKST-UBA18	Simkaniaceae	PRJNA432264	Unknown	Saline, activated sludge	92.44	5.63	2,391,591	302	41.5	Scaffold
JAGXTH01	GCA_018333475.1	CROMO_BS_87_3_0	Rhabdochlamydiaceae	PRJNA672823	Unknown	groundwater	84.46	4.05	1,945,191	100	42.0	Contig
JAHCAX01	GCA_019634675.1	ACE_VER1	Rhabdochlamydiaceae	PRJNA725625	Unknown	activated sludge	96.96	0.68	2,665,416	21	46.5	Contig
JAIELY01	GCA_019752555.1	co.spades.CONCOCT.1kb_142	Rhabdochlamydiaceae	PRJNA745370	Unknown	drinking water	95.61	0.68	2,067,720	42	44.0	contig
JAIETG01	GCA_019745395.1	time.spades.CONCOCT.1kb_023	Rhabdochlamydiaceae	PRJNA745370	Unknown	drinking water	93.69	1.35	2,621,400	37	39.5	Contig
JAJACJ01	GCA_022601575.1	O_S4.1_1	Simkaniaceae	PRJNA742377	Unknown	sponge, Haliclona oculata	95.95	0	1,780,284	3	45.0	Scaffold
JAJACS01	GCA_022601395.1	O_S4.2_37	Simkaniaceae	PRJNA742377	Unknown	sponge, Haliclona oculata	94.93	0.68	1,870,253	48	38.5	contig
MGLO01	GCA_001796065.1	-	Rhabdochlamydiaceae	PRJNA288027	Unknown	groundwater	84.46	0.17	1,177,368	32	49.0	Scaffold
RGUS01	GCA_010027655.1	WB9_2_327	Rhabdochlamydiaceae	PRJNA495371	Unknown	Freshwater	91.44	13.91	2,063,700	445	38.0	contig

Supplementary material captions

Supplementary data 1 – metadata and metabolic data for genomes used in this study.

Supplementary data 2 – tree partition models for Figure 1.

References

Aramaki, T. *et al.* (2020) 'KofamKOALA: KEGG Ortholog assignment based on profile HMM and adaptive score threshold', *Bioinformatics*. Edited by A. Valencia, 36(7), pp. 2251–2252. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btz859.

Arthofer, P. *et al.* (2022) 'Defensive symbiosis against giant viruses in amoebae', *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 119(36). Available at: https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2205856119.

Bastian, M., Heymann, S. and Jacomy, M. (2009) 'Gephi : An Open Source Software for Exploring and Manipulating Networks Visualization and Exploration of Large Graphs', *Proceedings of the International AAAI Conference on Web and Social Media*, 3(1), pp. 361–362.

Bastidas, R.J. *et al.* (2013) 'Chlamydial Intracellular Survival Strategies', *Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives in Medicine*, 3(5), pp. a010256–a010256. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1101/cshperspect.a010256.

Bertelli, C. *et al.* (2016) 'CRISPR System Acquisition and Evolution of an Obligate Intracellular Chlamydia-Related Bacterium', *Genome Biology and Evolution*, 8(8), pp. 2376–2386. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1093/GBE/EVW138.

Blin, K. *et al.* (2021) 'antiSMASH 6.0: improving cluster detection and comparison capabilities', *Nucleic Acids Research*, 49(W1), pp. W29–W35. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkab335.

Bou Khalil, J.Y. *et al.* (2016) 'Developmental Cycle and Genome Analysis of "Rubidus massiliensis," a New Vermamoeba vermiformis Pathogen', *Frontiers in Cellular and Infection Microbiology*, 6. Available at: https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2016.00031.

Brinkman, F.S.L. *et al.* (2002) 'Evidence That Plant-Like Genes in Chlamydia Species Reflect an Ancestral Relationship between Chlamydiaceae, Cyanobacteria, and the Chloroplast', *Genome Research*, 12(8), pp. 1159–1167. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.341802.

Camacho, C. *et al.* (2009) 'BLAST+: Architecture and applications', *BMC Bioinformatics*, 10. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-10-421.

Chaumeil, P.-A. *et al.* (2020) 'GTDB-Tk: a toolkit to classify genomes with the Genome Taxonomy Database', *Bioinformatics*, 36(6), pp. 1925–1927. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1093/BIOINFORMATICS/BTZ848.

Couvin, D. *et al.* (2018) 'CRISPRCasFinder, an update of CRISRFinder, includes a portable version, enhanced performance and integrates search for Cas proteins', *Nucleic Acids Research*, 46(W1), pp. W246–W251. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky425.

Davison, H.R. (2022) 'VibrantStarling/Code-used-to-extract-bacterial-genomes-from-invertebrate-genomes: SRA-dive v1.0.0'. Available at: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.6396821.

Deveau, H., Garneau, J.E. and Moineau, S. (2010) 'CRISPR/Cas System and Its Role in Phage-Bacteria Interactions', *Annual Review of Microbiology*, 64(1), pp. 475–493. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.micro.112408.134123.

Dharamshi, J.E. *et al.* (2020) 'Marine Sediments Illuminate Chlamydiae Diversity and Evolution', *Current Biology*, 30(6), pp. 1032-1048.e7. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2020.02.016.

Dharamshi, J.E. *et al.* (2023) 'Gene gain facilitated endosymbiotic evolution of Chlamydiae', *Nature Microbiology*, 8(1), pp. 40–54. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41564-022-01284-9.

Dosselaere, F. and Vanderleyden, J. (2001) 'A Metabolic Node in Action: Chorismate-Utilizing Enzymes in Microorganisms', *Critical Reviews in Microbiology*, 27(2), pp. 75–131. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1080/20014091096710.

Draghi, A. *et al.* (2004) 'Characterization of " Candidatus Piscichlamydia salmonis" (Order Chlamydiales), a Chlamydia-Like Bacterium Associated With Epitheliocystis in Farmed Atlantic Salmon (Salmo salar)', *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, 42(11), pp. 5286–5297. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.42.11.5286-5297.2004.

Eisenhofer, R., Odriozola, I. and Alberdi, A. (2023) 'Impact of microbial genome completeness on metagenomic functional inference - ISME Communications', *ISME Communications*, 3(1), p. 12. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1038/s43705-023-00221-z.

Eren, A.M. *et al.* (2021) 'Community-led, integrated, reproducible multi-omics with anvi'o', *Nature Microbiology*, 6(1), pp. 3–6. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41564-020-00834-3.

Fisher, D.J. *et al.* (2012) 'Uptake of Biotin by Chlamydia Spp. through the Use of a Bacterial Transporter (BioY) and a Host-Cell Transporter (SMVT)', *PLoS ONE*, 7(9), p. e46052. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0046052.

French, K.E. (2017) 'Engineering mycorrhizal symbioses to alter plant metabolism and improve crop health', *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 8. Available at: https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2017.01403.

Galperin, M.Y. *et al.* (2021) 'COG database update: focus on microbial diversity, model organisms, and widespread pathogens', *Nucleic Acids Research*, 49(D1), pp. D274–D281. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkaa1018.

Gruber, F., Lipozenčić, J. and Kehler, T. (2015) 'History of Venereal Diseases from Antiquity to the Renaissance', *Acta Dermatovenerologica Croatica*, 23(1), pp. 1–11.

Halter, T. *et al.* (2022) 'Ecology and evolution of chlamydial symbionts of arthropods', *ISME Communications*, 2(1), p. 45. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1038/s43705-022-00124-5.

Hamberger, B. *et al.* (2006) 'Comparative Genomics of The Shikimate Pathway in Arabidopsis, Populus Trichocarpa and Oryza Sativa: Shikimate Pathway Gene Family Structure and Identification of Candidates for Missing Links in Phenylalanine Biosynthesis', in *Recent Advances in Phytochemistry*. Elsevier, pp. 85–113. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0079-9920(06)80038-9.

Horn, M. *et al.* (2004) 'Illuminating the Evolutionary History of Chlamydiae', *Science*, 304(5671), pp. 728–730. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1096330.

Horn, M. (2008) 'Chlamydiae as Symbionts in Eukaryotes', *Annual Review of Microbiology*, 62(1), pp.
113–131. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.micro.62.081307.162818.

Huang, J. and Gogarten, J. (2007) 'Did an ancient chlamydial endosymbiosis facilitate the
establishment of primary plastids?', *Genome Biology*, 8(6), p. R99. Available at:
https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2007-8-6-r99.

Inkscape Project (2020) 'Inkscape'. Available at: https://inkscape.org.

Ishida, K. *et al.* (2014) 'Amoebal Endosymbiont Neochlamydia Genome Sequence Illuminates the
Bacterial Role in the Defense of the Host Amoebae against Legionella pneumophila', *PLoS ONE*, 9(4),
p. e95166. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0095166.

Jiang, N. *et al.* (2021) 'Rhamnose in plants - from biosynthesis to diverse functions', *Plant Science*,
302, p. 110687. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plantsci.2020.110687.

Jofré, E., Lagares, A. and Mori, G. (2004) 'Disruption of dTDP-rhamnose biosynthesis modifies
lipopolysaccharide core, exopolysaccharide production, and root colonization in *Azospirillum*
brasilense', *FEMS Microbiology Letters*, 231(2), pp. 267–275. Available at:
https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-1097(04)00003-5.

Kahane, S. *et al.* (1993) 'Description and partial characterization of a new chlamydia-like
microorganism', *FEMS Microbiology Letters*, 109(2–3), pp. 329–333. Available at:
https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6968.1993.tb06189.x.

Kang, D.D. *et al.* (2019) 'MetaBAT 2: An adaptive binning algorithm for robust and efficient genome
reconstruction from metagenome assemblies', *PeerJ*, 2019(7), p. e7359. Available at:
https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.7359.

Karimi, E. *et al.* (2019) 'Genomic blueprints of sponge-prokaryote symbiosis are shared by low
abundant and cultivatable *Alphaproteobacteria*', *Scientific Reports*, 9(1), p. 1999. Available at:
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-38737-x.

Kjeldsen, K.U. *et al.* (2010) 'Two Types of Endosymbiotic Bacteria in the Enigmatic Marine Worm
Xenoturbella bocki', *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 76(8), pp. 2657–2662. Available at:
https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.01092-09.

König, L. *et al.* (2017) 'Biphasic Metabolism and Host Interaction of a Chlamydial Symbiont',
mSystems. Edited by A.D. Kent, 2(3). Available at: https://doi.org/10.1128/mSystems.00202-16.

Köstlbacher, S. *et al.* (2021) 'Pangenomics reveals alternative environmental lifestyles among
chlamydiae', *Nature Communications*, 12(1), p. 4021. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-
021-24294-3.

Lagkouvardos, I. *et al.* (2014) 'Integrating metagenomic and amplicon databases to resolve the
phylogenetic and ecological diversity of the Chlamydiae', *The ISME Journal*, 8(1), pp. 115–125.
Available at: https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2013.142.

Li, D. *et al.* (2015) 'MEGAHIT: An ultra-fast single-node solution for large and complex metagenomics
assembly via succinct de Bruijn graph', *Bioinformatics*, 31(10), pp. 1674–1676. Available at:
https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btv033.

Li, H. (2018) 'Minimap2: pairwise alignment for nucleotide sequences', *Bioinformatics*. Edited by I.
Birol, 34(18), pp. 3094–3100. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bty191.

Ma, Y., Pan, F. and McNeil, M. (2002) 'Formation of dTDP-rhamnose is essential for growth of
mycobacteria', *Journal of Bacteriology*, 184(12), pp. 3392–3395. Available at:
https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.184.12.3392-3395.2002.

Maita, C. *et al.* (2018) 'Amoebal endosymbiont Neochlamydia protects host amoebae against
Legionella pneumophila infection by preventing Legionella entry', *Microbes and Infection*, 20(4), pp.
236–244. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micinf.2017.12.012.

Nayfach, S. *et al.* (2021) 'A genomic catalog of Earth's microbiomes', *Nature Biotechnology*, 39(4),
pp. 499–509. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41587-020-0718-6.

Nylund, A. *et al.* (2018) 'Genotyping of Candidatus Syngnamydia salmonis (chlamydiales;
Simkaniaceae) co-cultured in Paramoeba perurans (amoebozoa; Paramoebidae)', *Archives of
Microbiology*, 200(6), pp. 859–867. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1007/s00203-018-1488-0.

Parks, D.H. *et al.* (2015) 'CheckM: assessing the quality of microbial genomes recovered from
isolates, single cells, and metagenomes', *Genome Research*, 25(7), pp. 1043–1055. Available at:
https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.186072.114.

Pillonel, T., Bertelli, C. and Greub, G. (2018) 'Environmental Metagenomic Assemblies Reveal Seven
New Highly Divergent Chlamydial Lineages and Hallmarks of a Conserved Intracellular Lifestyle',
Frontiers in Microbiology, 9. Available at: https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2018.00079.

Pritchard, L. *et al.* (2016) 'Genomics and taxonomy in diagnostics for food security: soft-rotting
enterobacterial plant pathogens', *Analytical Methods*, 8(1), pp. 12–24. Available at:
https://doi.org/10.1039/C5AY02550H.

Prowazek, S. von S. (1912) 'Chlamydzoan', in *Handbuch der pathogenen Protozoen Vol. 1*. Leipzig: J.
A. Barth, pp. 119–121. Available at: https://doi.org/10.5962/bhl.title.10198.

Rodriguez-R, L.M. and Konstantinidis, K.T. (2016) 'The enveomics collection: a toolbox for specialized
analyses of microbial genomes and metagenomes'. Available at:
https://doi.org/10.7287/PEERJ.PREPRINTS.1900V1.

Rossum, G.V. and Drake, F.L. (2009) *Python 3 Reference Manual*. Scotts Valley, CA: CreateSpace.

Shanmugabalaji, V. *et al.* (2022) 'Plastoglobules: A hub of lipid metabolism in the chloroplast', in
Advances in Botanical Research. Elsevier, pp. 91–119. Available at:
https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.abr.2021.09.002.

Waskom, M. and Seaborn development team (2020) 'mwaskom/seaborn'. Zenodo. Available at:
https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.592845.

Wei, G. *et al.* (2019) 'Terpene biosynthesis in red algae Is catalyzed by microbial type but not typical
plant terpene synthases', *Plant Physiology*, 179(2), pp. 382–390. Available at:
https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.18.01413.

Figure captions

Figure 1. Genome wide phylogeny of Parachlamydiales. Maximum likelihood (ML) phylogeny of Parachlamydiales constructed from 34 single copy gene clusters that contain a total of 3604 genes. New genomes are indicated by ▲ with blue labels for genomes derived from host SRA bins, and red for MAGS without host association data. Bootstrap values based on 1000 replicates are indicated with coloured circles (red = 91-100, yellow = 81-90, black <= 80).

Figure 2. Genus and species level clustering across *Parachlamydiales*. Frutcherman Reingold networks of pairwise a) Average Amino Acid Identity (AAI) with edge weights >65% similarity and b) Average Nucleotide Identity (ANI) with edge weights >95% similarity across all genomes. AAI and ANI illustrate genus and species boundaries, respectively. Proposed genus names are indicated with a ▲.

Figure 3a. Gene content comparison for *Rhabdochlamydiaceae* and *Simkaniaceae*. An upsetplot illustrating the disparity of gene presence absence across the families a) *Rhabdochlamydiaceae* and b) *Simkaniaceae*. Vertical bars illustrate the number of genes present shared across compared genomes, indicated by black circles beneath each bar. Orange circles indicate the core genome shared by all genomes in the comparison.

Figure 3b. Gene content comparison for *Rhabdochlamydiaceae* and *Simkaniaceae*. An upset plot illustrating the disparity of gene presence absence across the families a) *Rhabdochlamydiaceae* and b) *Simkaniaceae*. Vertical bars illustrate the number of genes present shared across compared genomes, indicated by black circles beneath each bar. Orange circles indicate the core genome shared by all genomes in the comparison.

Figure 4. The number of each type of metabolic pathway found in each *Chlamydiota*

genome. Red indicates environmental MAGs; blue indicates MAGs assembled in this study.

Figure 5. Heatmap of the completeness of KEGG metabolic pathways of interest across the

***Parachlamydiaceae*, *Rhabdochlamydiaceae* and *Simkaniaceae*.** Full metabolic pathway

completeness for all genomes and all other pathways are available in Supplementary data 1.

New genomes assembled in this study are indicated by ▲.