Structured connectivity in the output of the cerebellar cortex

Kim M. Gruver[1,2], Jenny W. Y. Jiao[1], Eviatar Fields[1,2], Sen Song[3], Per Jesper Sjöström[4], and

Alanna J. Watt[1*]

[1] Department of Biology, McGill University, Montréal, QC, Canada.

[2] Integrated Program in Neuroscience, McGill University, Montréal, QC, Canada.

[3] Laboratory of Brain and Intelligence and Department of Biomedical Engineering, Tsinghua

University, Beijing, China.

[4] Department of Neurology and Neurosurgery, McGill University, Montréal, QC, Canada.

*Correspondence: alanna.watt@mcgill.ca

Abstract

Circuits in the brain are built from connections between neurons, where the spatial organization

and functional properties of these connections determines circuit function. In the cerebellum,

Purkinje cells transmit information to neurons in the cerebellar nuclei, but how Purkinje cell –

nuclear neuron connections are organized remains unclear. Here, we explored the connections

between Purkinje cells and cerebellar nuclear neurons using whole-cell electrophysiology and

optogenetics to produce spatial connectivity maps of cerebellar cortical output. We observed non-

random connectivity between Purkinje cells and their target neurons, with inputs to cerebellar

nuclear neurons clustering along cerebellar transverse zones. While many nuclear neurons

received inputs from a single zone, a number of different connectivity motifs were observed.

Neurons receiving inputs from all four zones were more common than predicted by a random

model and showed topographic organization in the nucleus. Finally, we observed that small

Purkinje cell inputs were sufficient to pause the output of nuclear neurons, suggesting that

widespread Purkinje cell synchrony may not be necessary to influence cerebellar output. These

findings reveal cerebellar nuclear neurons as an important locus of multimodal cerebellar

integration.

Introduction

Introduction

Connections between neurons give rise to circuits that shape brain function. Identifying how

these connections are organized is therefore necessary to understand how a circuit functions. The

cerebellum is a highly conserved structure that receives input from many brain regions. In the

cerebellar cortex, Purkinje cells are organized into lobules, with both neuroimaging studies and

disease research suggesting that Purkinje cells in different regions of the cerebellar cortex process

different types of information[1–4]. In mammals, the cerebellar cortex is subdivided into four

functional transverse zones[5,6] that have been associated with different patterns of gene

expression[7,8] and physiological properties[9,10]. These zones include the anterior (lobules II-V),

central (VI-VII), posterior (VIII and dorsal IX), and nodular zones (ventral IX and X).

As the sole output of the cerebellar cortex, Purkinje cell axons target cerebellar nuclear (CN)

neurons with strong inhibitory synapses. It is these CN neurons that form the vast majority of

output neurons from the cerebellum. Previous studies have suggested that individual CN neurons

receive input from ~40 converging Purkinje cells[11], and anatomical studies have shown that narrow

bands of neighboring Purkinje cells converge on similar areas in the CN[12]. Despite the critical role

that the Purkinje cell – CN neuron synapse plays in cerebellar information processing, an

understanding of how Purkinje cells spatially converge on CN neurons has been lacking.

In our study, we set out to explore how Purkinje cells inputs onto CN neurons are spatially

organized. We focused on the cerebellar fastigial nucleus, since neurons in the fastigial nucleus

receive most Purkinje cell input from the vermis[13,14]. We used electrophysiology and focal

optogenetic stimulation to build spatial connectivity maps of Purkinje cell inputs onto individual

CN neurons. We found that Purkinje cell inputs to the CN are organized along the same four

transverse zones that are defined during cerebellar development[7]. While many CN neurons receive

input from a single zone, others receive Purkinje cell input from multiple zones, and connectivity

between Purkinje cells and CN neurons is not random. We performed viral labeling of Purkinje

cells from different zones and observed that their axons terminate in close proximity within the

CN, providing anatomical support for our functional data. Finally, we performed both cell-attached

and whole-cell recordings from single CN neurons to determine how Purkinje cell synaptic inputs

affect CN output. We found that even small synaptic inputs could shape CN neuron output, and

that the amplitude of the synaptic response influenced the length of the pause in spontaneous CN

firing. Our findings support CN neurons as a locus of integration within the cerebellum that is

important for cerebellar information processing.

Results

Mapping Purkinje cell input onto CN neurons

To build functional connectivity maps of Purkinje-cell convergence onto cerebellar nuclear

(CN) neurons, we used transgenic mice that expressed modified Channelrhodopsin-2 in Purkinje

cells, (Fig. 1a-c)[15]. We recorded from CN neurons in voltage-clamp configuration and used

focused blue light to stimulate Purkinje cell axons at the base of individual lobules sequentially

from lobule II to X (Fig. 1d, Supplementary Fig. 1) to evoke inhibitory postsynaptic currents

(IPSCs) in CN neurons. Stimulation from single lobules often evoked no synaptic response (e.g.

Fig. 1d, bottom), but occasionally IPSCs with fast rise and decay time constants and low failure

rates were observed (Fig. 1d, bottom, Supplementary Fig. 1), consistent with previous descriptions

of Purkinje cell – CN synapses[15,16]. By sequentially stimulating individual lobules with focally

restricted light, we identified the location of functional connections between Purkinje cells and CN

neurons which enabled us to build connectivity maps of Purkinje cell convergence (Fig. 1d, e). As

a positive control, we shone light over the Purkinje cell axonal terminals directly surrounding the

patched CN neuron soma and were able to produce large IPSCs (Fig. 1d, Axonal terminals;

Supplementary Fig. 1), since approximately half of CN neurons received no synaptic input from

lobule stimulation within the acute slice plane (Supplementary Fig. 2).

Determining whether Purkinje cells from a single lobule or multiple lobules (Fig. 1f)

converge on an individual CN neuron has important implications for cerebellar function. We found

that ~50% of CN neurons that produced IPSCs following lobule stimulation received input from

Purkinje cells located in a single lobule (Fig. 1g), while the remaining ~50% of CN neurons

received Purkinje cell input from between two and five lobules (Fig. 1h). We found no differences

in IPSC amplitudes from single-lobule stimulation in CN neurons compared with those receiving

multi-lobule input (Fig. 1i; Supplementary Fig. 1), suggesting that the total number of spatially-

distinct inputs does not influence the strength of connections from a single lobule. Furthermore,

the cumulative IPSC amplitude for the total input across lobules increased with the number of

lobules from which a cell received input (Fig. 1j). To address whether there were differences in

the subcellular location of Purkinje cell inputs onto CN neurons, we examined the disparity index[17]

of both IPSC amplitudes and rise times. We found no differences in the properties of IPSCs for

individual CN neurons based on the number of connected lobules (Supplementary Fig. 1). These

findings suggest that both single-lobule and multi-lobule innervation patterns are observed in

Purkinje cell convergence on CN neurons.

Anatomically defined lobules of the cerebellar cortex are not discrete processing units[1,5].

Rather, the cerebellar cortex has been subdivided into four major transverse functional zones: the

anterior, central, posterior, and nodular zones (Fig. 2a). Since retrograde labeling experiments have

shown that CN neurons receive input from narrow bands of neighboring Purkinje cells[12], we

hypothesized that Purkinje cells in lobules belonging to the same functional zone were more likely

to converge on a CN neuron than Purkinje cells in lobules from across zones. To address this, we

performed unsupervised hierarchical clustering analysis of our connectivity data. In agreement

with our hypothesis, we observed that Purkinje cells in lobules within the same functional zone

converged more often onto the same CN neuron than those in lobules from across zones (Fig. 2b).

This suggests that Purkinje cell output tends to respect the boundaries of the functional zones of

the cerebellar cortex.

Connectivity motifs in Purkinje cell input onto CN neurons

Do Purkinje cell inputs to CN neurons preferentially arise from single zones? As we found that CN neurons can receive inputs from multiple lobules and since these lobules are grouped into functional zones, we wondered whether multi-lobule innervations arose due to convergence from Purkinje cells within the same zone. To address this, we looked at convergence properties of CN neurons and found both single-zone and multi-zone convergence (Fig. 2c). We observed no differences in IPSC amplitudes or other properties between CN neurons innervated by different zones or numbers of zones (Fig. 2d; Supplemental Fig. 1), suggesting that there is no zone that forms a systematically stronger input onto CN neurons. We found that multi-zonal input was not rare: over a third of CNs received multi-zone input (Fig. 2e, inset). Different connectivity patterns appear with different frequencies in our dataset (Supplemental Fig. 3), suggesting the existence of non-random connectivity motifs.

To determine whether Purkinje cells converge across zones on individual CN neurons in non-random motifs[18,19], we used a random model that assumes Purkinje cell connectivity from each zone occurs independently of other zones and determined how well it describes our data (Fig. 2e). We found that four-zone convergence occurred in our dataset ~18 times more often than predicted by the model, and three-zone connections trended toward significant over-representation as well. This over-representation suggests that a four-zone connectivity motif may be an important feature of cerebellar information transfer, and by extension, that the CN may be an important locus of information integration in the cerebellum.

No morphological signature for CN neurons with different input patterns

Different classes of CN neurons have been distinguished by their morphological and

physiological properties[20]. To determine whether differences in morphological properties can be

used to classify CN neurons with different connectivity patterns, we filled CN neurons with

AlexaFluor 594 and performed two-photon imaging of filled cells after characterizing their

synaptic inputs (Fig. 3a). To characterize their physiological properties, we sampled their

spontaneous firing in cell-attached mode prior to recording synaptic input (Fig. 3a, bottom). We

compared the soma area, Sholl radius, branch index, number of primary dendrites, total dendrite

length, and firing rate from CN neurons with different connectivity patterns and found that these

properties were indistinguishable for CN neurons with different connectivity patterns (Fig. 3b-g)

or number of input zones (Supplementary Fig. 4). Thus, we observe that CN neurons with different

types of input could not be discerned by their morphological or physiological properties.

We next wondered whether the orientation of CN neuron dendrites was related to the location

of their Purkinje cell inputs (Supplementary Fig. 5). We found that most cells had at least some

dendrites oriented towards their Purkinje cell inputs, but that it was typically a small fraction of

the dendritic tree. The amount of dendrite – zone overlap increased as the number of zones

connected to a given CN neuron increased, likely due to the greater area covered by the input

direction from multiple lobules, suggesting that the orientation of CN dendrites is not shaped by

its input. We also did not observe a relationship between IPSC amplitudes or rise times and the

degree of overlap, suggesting that dendrites are not preferentially oriented toward or away from

larger lobule inputs, and that input from different lobules is not clustered on different regions of

the dendritic trees.

The CN has previously been described to exhibit a topographic organization that corresponds

with the post-synaptic targets of CN neurons[12,21,22]. We wondered whether CN neurons also

showed topographic organization according to their input connectivity patterns. Using coordinates

from the Allen Mouse Brain Atlas[23], we visualized our recorded cells in 3-D within the fastigial

CN[24] (Fig. 4a). CN neurons did not appear to cluster by connectivity pattern (Fig. 4b). However,

clustering of CN neurons based on the number of input zones was weakly apparent in our data. To

determine whether topographic organization along any axes was observed (Fig. 4c, d), we looked

at the fit of cells with different numbers of zonal inputs (e.g., 1 zone, 2 zones, 3 zones, 4 zones,

Fig. 4e). We fit a line for each group of cells receiving input from n zones and determined the R^2

of each. We then performed a bootstrap analysis to randomly sample an equivalent number of cells

for each group from our total distribution 50,000 times. To determine how similarly the observed

samples' fit matched our data, we assigned significance to any R^2 value from our data that was in

the top 5% of distribution of bootstrapped R^2 values (Supplementary Fig. 6). A significant R^2 value

was only observed once: for 4 zone cells along the rostroventral-caudodorsal axis (Fig. 4f), but not

along the mediolateral axis (Fig. 4g, h). This suggests that 4 zone cells are topographically

organized within the fastigial nucleus.

Purkinje cell puncta from different zones terminate onto CN neurons in close proximity

Our finding that CN neurons receive Purkinje cell input from multiple cerebellar zones was

surprising, so we next validated our findings using a complementary anatomical approach. We

performed stereotactic surgeries to inject up to 3 adeno-associated viruses (AAVs) expressing

different fluorophores into different cerebellar zones of adult *Pcp2-Cre*-negative mice to label

Purkinje cells and their axons. This enabled us to visualize the axons and terminals of Purkinje

cells from different locations within the CN (Fig. 5a). We found that Purkinje cells from different

zones (Fig. 5b, c) show gross differences in their projection patterns within the nucleus (Fig. 5d),

as previously reported[21]. However, in many regions of the CN, we observed puncta from different

zones in close proximity (Fig. 5e). In fact, ~30% of puncta from Purkinje cells originating in one

zone were located within 17.5 μm of puncta from a different zone, which is the average CN soma

diameter (Fig. 5f, Supplementary Fig. 7) and >80% of puncta were located within 97.2 μm, the

average length of a CN dendrite (Fig. 5f; Supplementary Fig. 7). This argues that Purkinje cells

from different zones frequently terminate within close enough proximity that they can synapse

onto the same CN neuron, supporting our optogenetic and electrophysiological findings of

convergence across multiple functional zones (Fig. 2).

Simultaneous activation of single-zone Purkinje cell inputs is sufficient to silence CN neurons

It has been suggested that Purkinje cell input synchrony is required to affect the output of

CN neurons[11]. Our observation that multiple zones converge on single CN neurons raises the

question of whether synchrony is required across functional zones to affect cerebellar output. To

explore this, we recorded action potentials from CN neurons from spontaneously active cells (Fig.

6a, b) prior to breaking through into voltage-clamp configuration for IPSC recordings (Fig. 6c),

and stimulated Purkinje cell axons as described above (Fig. 1d). This allowed us to measure both

the impact of Purkinje cell stimulation on CN firing and the synaptic response within the same CN

neuron. We measured the inter-spike intervals (ISI) of CN neurons immediately after the light

pulse as a ratio of the average ISI duration per trial for each CN neuron that fired regularly (Fig.

6d, "ISI ratio"). We found that the ISI ratio immediately following stimulation of lobules that were

connected to the CN neuron was typically higher than that for lobules that were not synaptically

connected to the CN neuron, and the ISI ratio for responsive lobules for each cell after stimulation

was greater than the ISI ratio from randomly sampled spike ISIs from the same trial

(Supplementary Fig. 8). We found that IPSC amplitude was positively correlated with the ISI ratio

(Fig. 6e), where small inputs produced small pauses, and larger inputs produced larger pauses.

Remarkably, even small inputs (~60 pA, where ~ 50% of all recorded lobule inputs ≥ 60pA) were

sufficient to pause CN firing. Thus, although widespread synchrony across Purkinje cell inputs

may occur, our findings suggest that is not necessary since even small inputs can alter CN output.

Discussion

Our results reveal how CN neurons in the fastigial nucleus receive input from Purkinje cells

that arise from multiple locations across the cerebellar vermis2023-03-17 2:00:00 PM[13,14],

revealing that CN neurons are an overlooked locus of cerebellar integration. Using

electrophysiology combined with focal optogenetic stimulation of Purkinje cell input, we mapped

the spatial patterns of inputs onto CN neurons. We found that input from Purkinje cells tended to

recapitulate the transverse zones of the cerebellar cortex, with roughly two thirds of CN neurons

receiving uni-zonal input. However, some CN neurons received input from multiple zones. In

particular, input from all four functional zones was observed more often than predicted by a

random model, and these 4-zone cells appeared to be topographically located near the midline of

the rostrodorsal – caudoventral axis in the CN. Corroborating these findings, we used stereotactic

viral expression of multiple fluorescent proteins to provide morphological evidence that puncta

from Purkinje cells in different functional zones are proximal to puncta from other zones within

the CN. Lastly, we showed that small synaptic inputs could pause CN neurons, and that the size

of the synaptic response was correlated with the length of the pause. These data demonstrate that

widespread Purkinje cell synchrony may not be necessary to influence CN neuron output. Taken

together, our results reveal that CN neurons are dynamic computational units that contribute more

to cerebellar integration than was previously appreciated.

One of the limitations of our study is that connectivity is restricted to axons within the

thickness of the slice preparation. While fastigial neurons receive most input from the vermis in a

spatially restricted manner[13,14], this suggests that the connectivity patterns we report correspond to

a lower limit, and that some multi-zonal cells may have been misclassified as uni-zonal due to

missed input. Notably, the size of the dendritic trees did not differ across cells with different

numbers of zonal inputs, suggesting that this was not a systematic artifact of slice preparation.

Despite our finding that 4-zone connectivity was over-represented, we may nonetheless

underestimate the prevalence of these cells in the CN. Furthermore, it is possible that findings in

the fastigial nucleus may not resemble the input connectivity patterns in the interposed and dentate

nuclei given the distinct three-dimensional features of each nucleus, as well as their different

downstream targets[12,14,21,25].

Functional transverse zones have been proposed as an underlying feature of the cerebellar

cortex based on cerebellar development, different patterns of gene expression, and Purkinje cell

physiology[5–10]. Our observation that input to CN neurons clusters based on these zones of the

cerebellar cortex underscores the importance of zonal organization in the cerebellum. We observed

that CN neurons receiving 4-zone inputs from Purkinje cells were observed more than would be

expected from a uniformly random model. Multi-zonal convergence onto a CN neuron suggests a

previously unknown site for multimodal integration and output. Such multimodal integration has

been observed before at the input level of the cerebellum in the granule cells[26], and is also a feature

of Purkinje cells, that integrate large numbers of inputs in their extensive dendritic trees[27–30].

However, it has not been clear whether such multimodal integration occurred in the CN. The

enrichment of 4-zone input CN neurons in our data suggests that multimodal processing may be a

feature of these neurons, and that they function as "integrator neurons" in the cerebellar circuit.

Recently a great deal of work has focused on the different classes of neurons of the CN,

based on their projections, molecular expression, and physiological properties[12,14,20,31]. We

expected that CN neurons might exhibit distinct features based on their input patterns. Our inability

to detect any morphological or physiological distinctions does not mean that they do not exist.

While properties such as firing rate have been described to differ for different classes of CN

neurons, the distributions of firing rates for each category overlaps, meaning that large numbers

are needed to differentiate between these cell types[20]. We would be unlikely to discern small

differences in overlapping distributions between CN neuron classes because the number of neurons

we have characterized in each class is relatively low. However, the topographic enrichment of 4-

zone input cells spread along the rostroventral-caudodorsal axis, where 4-zone input cells are

tightly clustered in the orthogonal rostrodorsal-caudoventral axis, is likely to have implications for

the output circuits of the CN[12,25], although they do not appear to represent any defined output cell

population identified to date (e.g. ref.[12]).

Several different theories have set out to explain how the cerebellum processes sensorimotor

information while producing complex behaviors. In the universal cerebellar transform hypothesis,

the cerebellum uses a one-size-fits-all computation to process diverse input and contribute to motor

and cognitive functions[32,33]. Alternatively, the multiple functionality transform hypothesis[34] posits

that distinct tasks require distinct algorithms which may be mediated by factors such as Purkinje

cell firing rate, molecular profile, or timing rules[34–36]. Since neither theory has incorporated a role

for CN neurons in cerebellar processing[37], our findings suggest that theories of cerebellar function

may need to be updated.

Both CN neurons and Purkinje cells fire spontaneous action potentials at high frequencies.

If inputs from different Purkinje cells were activated asynchronously, individual inputs may not

impact CN output. If, however, Purkinje cell inputs were activated synchronously, the

synchronized, stronger inputs may be more able to influence CN output[11]. Interestingly, our data

show that even relatively small inputs of ~60 pA can alter CN neuron output, which is smaller than

the previously estimated unitary amplitude for Purkinje cell – CN neuron synapses[11]. This suggests

that input synchrony from Purkinje cells across different zones may not be strictly necessary,

although it does not rule out that it occurs. Purkinje cell synchrony is still possible, since larger

amplitude synaptic responses had a stronger impact on CN output. It will be important in the future

to determine whether the synchronicity of multi-zonal Purkinje cell input onto individual CN

neurons can influence CN neuron output, as this will influence the role of CN information

processing in the cerebellum.

Methods

Animals

We crossed mice hemizygous for Purkinje cell-specific Cre [strain B6.Cg-Tg(Pcp2-

cre)3555Jdhu/J; stock number: 010536; PCP2-Cre] with mice with a loxP-flanked stop cassette

upstream of Channel-rhodopsin-2(ChR2)/H134R fused with enhanced YFP [strain: B6;129S-

Gt(ROSA)26Sor$^{tm32(CAG–COP4*H134R/EYFP)Hze}$/J; stock number 012569; Ai32] to produce hemizygous

PCP2-Cre/Ai32 mice [15] that express ChR2 in Purkinje cells, or ChR2(H134R)-EYFP mice. All

animal procedures were approved by the McGill Animal Care Committee, in accordance with

guidelines established by the Canadian Council on Animal Care.

Acute Slice Preparation

Acute sagittal slices were prepared as previously described[38] from 22 female and 25 male mice,

aged postnatal day P21 to P32 (Supplementary Fig. 9). Mice were deeply anesthetized with

isoflurane until unresponsive to a toe pinch and were then rapidly decapitated. Brains were

removed and placed in partial sucrose replacement slicing solution (in mM: 50 NaCl, 2.5 KCl, 0.5

$CaCl_2$, 10 $MgCl_2$, 1.25 NaH_2PO_4, 25 $NaHCO_3$, 25 glucose, and 111 sucrose bubbled with 95%

O2–5% CO_2, to maintain pH at 7.3; osmolality ∼320 mOsm) heated to ∼37º C[39], or in a subset of

experiments, in ice-cold slicing solution. We used a Leica VT 1200S vibrating blade microtome

(Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar, Germany) to cut parasagittal slices of left cerebellar paravermis at

a thickness of 200 μm in a chamber heated to 37º C. Slices were incubated in artificial

cerebrospinal fluid (ACSF; in mM: 125 NaCl, 2.5 KCl, 2 $CaCl_2$, 1 $MgCl_2$, 1.25 NaH_2PO_4, 25

$NaHCO_3$, and 25 glucose, bubbled with carbogen; osmolality ∼320 mOsm) at 37°C for 30–45 min

in a darkened slice chamber[38], and subsequently moved to room temperature for up to 6 h.

Chemicals were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Oakville, ON, Canada) except $CaCl_2$ and $MgCl_2$

from Fisher Scientific (Toronto, ON, Canada).

Electrophysiology

Using acute sagittal slices from mice expressing ChR2 in Purkinje cells, we performed cell-

attached and whole-cell patch clamp recordings from CN neurons from the fastigial (medial)

cerebellar nucleus. To do this, we pulled borosilicate patch pipettes (2–7 MΩ) using a P-1000

puller (Sutter Instruments, Novato, CA, United States) and filled pipettes with an internal solution

containing (in mM): 150 potassium gluconate, 3 KCl, 10 HEPES, 0.5 EGTA, 3 Mg-ATP, 0.5 GTP

tris salt, 5 phosphocreatine-(di)tris, with 302 mOsm and pH 7.2 (adjusted with KOH). AlexaFluor

594 (50 mM, ThermoFisher, Burlington, ON, CA) was added to the internal solution to fill patched

CN neurons to enable post-recording imaging and morphological reconstruction. Recordings were

acquired with a Multiclamp 700B amplifier (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA, United States)

on a SliceScope Pro 3000 microscope (Scientifica, Uckfield, United Kingdom) from CN neurons

in slices maintained at a temperature of $34°C \pm 1°C$ bathed with oxygenated ACSF. For cell-

attached recordings, we recorded from spontaneously-firing CN neurons before breaking through

into whole-cell patch configuration. For voltage-clamp recordings, CN neurons were clamped at

-60 mV and R_{in} and resting membrane potential were monitored, and recordings were excluded if

R_{in} fluctuated more than 20%. Data acquisition was performed using custom IGOR Pro acquisition

software[38,40] (Wavemetrics, Portland, OR, United States).

Optogenetics and spatial mapping

We used a Polygon400E patterned spatial illuminator with a 470 nm LED light source (Mightex,

Toronto, ON, Canada) at an estimated focal plane power density of 100 mW/mm^2 through a 40X

water-immersion objective (Olympus LUMPLFLN40XW, Tokyo, Japan) to optically stimulate

Purkinje cell axons focally[38] using a blue rectangular (85 x 95 μm) light pulse of 3 ms with an

inter-trial interval of 10 ms. To assess cell health, we stimulated the patched CN neuron to activate

a large proportion of the synaptic inputs onto the cell directly (Purkinje cell "axonal terminals").

We next stimulated the base of each lobule sequentially (e.g. moving from lobule II to III to IV/V,

etc. to lobule X, then over the axonal terminals, for ≥ 3 trials per location to identify functionally

connected lobules. In a subset of CN neurons, we sampled connectivity by stimulating Purkinje

cell axons at additional locations.

To assess the impact of synaptic input on CN neuron spontaneous firing, we performed cell-

attached recordings in CN neurons and sequentially stimulated individual lobules as described

above before breaking through into voltage-clamp mode. We excluded cells that broke through

into whole-cell mode before stimulating each lobule at least once, trials in which spontaneous

firing frequency was < 20 Hz, and trials that were marked by bursting rather than tonic firing. After

completing the stimulation protocol in cell-attached mode, we broke through and patch-clamped

the CN neurons to compare voltage-clamp recorded IPSCs with their corresponding spontaneous

firing data.

Analysis of electrophysiological data

All electrophysiological data were analyzed using custom Igor Pro data analysis software[38,40]. For

IPSCs, the rise time was measured as the time between 20-80% of the peak (standard deviation,

SD)[38]. To assess the decay phases of synaptic currents, we used a double-exponential to fit the

decay phases of IPSCs and calculated weighted decay τ time constants by assessing the

contribution of each component of the exponential to the peak IPSC amplitude[16]. Proportion of

failures was measured as the number of trials in which a responsive lobule failed to elicit an IPSC

while the patch was still successfully in place as determined by the axonal terminal stimulation.

For CN neurons that received input from more than one lobule or zone, we compared differences

in amplitudes and rise times between individual IPSCs using a disparity index, or a measure of the

coefficient of the variation of evoked IPSC amplitudes[17].

Identifying connectivity motifs

To determine whether structure in connectivity patterns was observed, we performed two analyses.

First, we created a binary dataset including each CN neuron's connectivity to lobules III through

X, excluding lobule II since several lateral slices did not include lobule II, and excluding neurons

from which we did not identify a connected lobule (n = 34 cells). We then performed unsupervised

hierarchical clustering of the cells with identified functional connections (n = 41 cells). We used

Seaborn to create a clustergram of the binary connections between cells (Fig. 2b, rows) and lobules

(Fig. 2b, columns) based on the Hamming distances between lobules with an unweighted average

linkage. Input from lobules II, III, and/or IV/V were categorized as the anterior zone; lobule VI/VII

as the central zone; lobule VIII, IX (without lobule X) as the posterior zone; input from lobule IX

and X, or only lobule X, as the nodular zone.

To identify whether a given pattern of input to a CN neuron constituted a motif, or a statistically

overrepresented connectivity pattern in our dataset, we tested the following hypothesis. From

adequately sampled CN neurons, we assumed input from each of the four cerebellar zones to occur

independently of input from another zone. We calculated the probability of input from each zone

within the dataset independent of each other probability. To evaluate multi-zone connectivity

patterns, we multiplied the probability of each zone occurring in our dataset to the probability of

the other combination(s), multiplied by the probably of non-connection to excluded zones. For

example, a Central-Posterior input probability = the probability of input from the central zone (26

cells/75 cells tested) * the probability of input from the posterior zone (16 cells/75 cells tested) *

by the probability of not-A anterior zone (1 – 13/75 cells) * the probability of not-N nodular zone

(1 – 13/75 cells) = (0.347 * 0.213 * 0.827 * 0.827). We then compared the actual probability of

the combinations occurring in our dataset to the predicted using a binomial probability test, where

combinations that occurred significantly more or less often than predicted were described as

"motifs."

Intracranial viral surgeries

To label Purkinje cell axonal projections to CN neurons, we first injected *Pcp2*-Cre negative mice

intraperitoneally with a 25% solution of mannitol in PBS (7.5g/Kg; Sigma-Aldrich, Oakville, ON,

Canada) in order to enhance virus distribution[41,42]. Mice were then anesthetized using isoflurane

(2.5% mixture in O2) before their fur was shaved and they were transferred to a stereotactic frame

(Stoelting Co). Carprofen was given subcutaneously, and a lidocaine/bupivacaine mixture was

applied locally for analgesia. We performed three injections on each mouse from posterior to

anterior. Under stereotactic guidance, a small craniotomy was drilled (< 1 mm) at the first location

using a dental drill. A capillary filled with mineral oil (Sigma-Aldrich, Oakville, ON, Canada)

attached to a nanoinjector (WPI, Sarasota, Florida, USA) was filled with 150-250 nL of virus,

injected into the posterior cerebellar vermis (lobule VIII) and allowed to diffuse for five minutes.

Once retracted, the mineral oil-filled capillary was replaced and realigned with Bregma. The

second and third injections were performed in the central (lobule VI/VII) and anterior vermis

(lobule IV/V) as described. The injection coordinates for surgeries were as follows along the

midline (X=0) from Bregma (Y, Z): Posterior vermis: (-7.25, -4.0 or 7.25, -4.25); Central vermis (-

6.9, -2.5 or -6.9, -1.8 or -6.65, -1.8); Anterior vermis (-5.65, -3.0 or -5.7, -2.0 or -5.85, -2.5). We

used the following viruses to label Purkinje cell axons arising from different cerebellar zones:

pENN.AAV.CB7.CI.mCerulean.WPRE.RBG (titer $\geq$ 5×10^{12} vg/mL), pAAV-CAG-tdTomato

(codon diversified; titer $\geq 1\times10^{13}$ vg/mL) and pAAV-CAG-GFP (titer $\geq 7\times10^{12}$ vg/mL) viral preps

were purchased from Addgene (Addegene viral prep #: 105557-AAV9, 59462-AAV8 and 37825-

AAV8). After surgeries, mice were allowed to recover for 2-3 weeks before being euthanized and

perfused as described below.

Immunohistochemistry and viral labeling

390 We performed immunohistochemistry to demonstrate that CN neurons do not express ChR2 by

391 perfusing mice and labeling for NeuN and anti-green fluorescent protein (GFP). For both

392 immunohistochemistry and viral labeling experiments, we prepared slices from animals by deeply

393 anesthetizing mice with 2,2,2-tribromoethanol (avertin, 0.02 mL/10g) via intraperitoneal injection,

394 followed by intracardiac perfusion[43]. We performed an initial flush using PBS and 5.6 μg/ml

395 heparin salt, followed by perfusion with 40 ml of 4% PFA in phosphate buffer (PB, pH 7.4). We

396 stored brains in 4% PFA for two days at 4ºC on a shaker at 70 RPM, followed by storage in PBS

397 with 0.5% sodium azide prior to slicing. We collected sagittal slices (100 μm thickness) using a

398 Vibratome 3000 sectioning system (Concord, ON, Canada). To label slices with NeuN and anti-

399 GFP, we incubated slices for thirty minutes in blocking solution (1x PBS, 0.1M, pH 7.4; 0.4%

400 Triton X; 5% bovine serum albumin (BSA); and 0.05% sodium azide) which was followed by a

401 3-day incubation with the primary antibodies in blocking solution. During primary antibody

402 incubation, CN neurons were labeled with guinea pig anti-NeuN primary antibody (1:500, abN90,

403 Millipore, Burlington, MA, United States) and rabbit anti-GFP primary antibody (1:500, ab3080,

404 Millipore, Burlington, MA, United States). Slices were subsequently labeled with DyLight 405

405 anti-guinea pig secondary antibody (1:500, 106475003, Jackson Immunoresearch) and goat Alexa

406 594 anti-rabbit secondary antibody (1:1000, A11037, Life Technologies) during a 90-minute

407 incubation in blocking solution. We then rinsed and immediately mounted slices onto glass slides

408 with Prolong Gold Antifade (ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, United States) under low

409 light, then stored slides in the dark at 4°C.

411 *Image acquisition and analysis*

Immediately after electrophysiology experiments, we performed live two-photon imaging of

AlexaFluor 594-filled CN neurons on a custom-built two-photon microscope (Scientifica) imaged

with a Ti:Sapphire laser (MaiTai; Spectra Physics, Santa Clara. CA, USA) tuned to 890 nm, as

previously described[44]. Images were acquired at a resolution of 512 by 512 pixels using ScanImage

3.7 running in MatLab 2011B (Mathworks, Natick, MA, USA). We later re-imaged slices

containing filled CN neurons using a LSM800 laser scanning confocal microscope at 10x and 20x

using Zeiss Zen software (Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany) following immunohistochemistry

staining and fixation in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA). Slices labeling Purkinje cell puncta (anti-

GFP) onto CN neurons (anti-NeuN) were imaged using the same confocal microscope.

After imaging prepared slices, we used Fiji (ImageJ, NIH)[45] to analyze filled, stained CN neurons

from electrophysiology and immunohistochemistry experiments. We confirmed the identity of

AlexaFluor 594-filled CN neurons by comparing them with the initial two-photon images acquired

following live electrophysiology experiments and identified the dendritic and lobule orientation in

slices with filled cells to evaluate the relationship between CN morphology and input patterns. We

used Neurolucida software (MBF Biosciences, Williston, VT, USA) to manually perform

morphological reconstructions of CN neurons from the two-photon imaging session following

patch clamp experiments. We traced cells in 3-D to obtain the cell soma contours, dendrite

projections, and axon within the image stack. We imported tracings into Neurolucida Explorer to

extract morphological data, including soma area, soma diameter, number, and length of dendrites.

Branch index was calculated by dividing the number of dendritic crossings 25 µm from the cell

soma by the number of primary dendrites[20]. Polar plots were made using IgorPro to evaluate the

overlap between dendritic orientation and Purkinje cell input. Dendritic polar plots were

normalized to the length of the longest dendrite.

To evaluate the proximity of virally-labeled Purkinje cell puncta originating from different

cerebellar zones following intracranial stereotactic surgeries, we imaged mounted 100 μm-thick

sections using the LSM-800 confocal microscope at 10x, 20x, and 63x oil immersion and acquired

tiled stacks. We used ImageJ to identify the X and Y coordinates of each puncta of each fluorescent

color. Using a custom Python script, we identified the nearest neighbor puncta across different

zonal inputs with different imaging channels to identify the Euclidean distance of the nearest

puncta across zones. To confirm that there was little to no colocalization of multiple viruses in the

same Purkinje cells, we performed thresholding of Purkinje cell puncta for each channel in ImageJ

and acquired the positions of puncta in the X-Y plane using ImageJ's plot profile analysis function

and used a custom Python script to identify the percentage of colocalized pixels per slice in each

stack which determined there was virtually no colocalization between channels (Table S1).

Cell topography within fastigial nucleus

The position of each recorded CN neuron (Fig. 5) was recorded manually during each experiment

and paired with an image of the position of each patch pipette during the recording. We mapped

these positions for each slice along the mediolateral axis using the coordinate system from the

Allen Mouse Brain Atlas[23], and for cells that were successfully filled with Alexa594 during each

experiment, we confirmed these positions after confocal imaging of each slice. We then used

Brainrender[24] to visualize these cells in 3-D within the nucleus. Next, we represented plotted CN

neurons based on their number of input zones (0 to 4 zones) along the Allen Mouse Brain Atlas

coordinates and produced graphs along the rostro-caudal and ventral-dorsal axes. We performed a

linear regression for each category (n = 0, 1, 2, 3, or 4 zones) and identified the R^2 value for each

group. To evaluate whether the strength of the best fit line for each category was significant, we

performed a bootstrap analysis where we randomly sampled n cells (equivalent to the number of

cells in our dataset that received input from n zones) from each category 50,000 times and

determined whether the R^2 value from our data occurred within the top 5% of R^2 values from the

bootstrapped samples. We plotted the percentile of the R^2 values from our data compared to the

bootstrapped samples as the "probability of R^2 compared to 50,000 random samples" (Fig. 4f, g).

Statistics

We used JMP software (SAS, Carey, NC, United States), to perform Student's t-tests on datasets

with normal distributions, and Igor Pro software for non-parametric Mann–Whitney *U* tests for

datasets with non-normal distributions, with the level of significance (α) set at *P* < 0.05. To

evaluate connectivity motifs, we used a binomial distribution calculator followed by multiple

hypothesis corrections using the Benjamini-Hochberg method. Data are reported as mean ± SEM

unless otherwise indicated. For all data, *n* = number of neurons and *N* = number of mice.

Acknowledgements

We thank D. Bowie, J. Lefebvre, and T. Ohyama for helpful advice. We thank C. Chou for training

and technical support. We thank C. Vaaga, N. Al-Sharif, and J.W. Vogel for advice about data

analysis. We thank all current and former members of the Watt laboratory for advice and support,

especially S. Jayabal and D. Lang-Ouellette. We thank the staff at the Advanced BioImaging

Facility (ABIF) at McGill University for their technical support with confocal imaging. We thank

A. Hargreaves and students from BIOL 610 at McGill for helpful comments and support in drafting

an early version of this manuscript. We thank T. Koch and the other team members from the

Comparative Medicine and Animal Resources Centre (CMARC) for their technical support.

Funding

This work was supported by the following: NSERC Discovery grants (RPGIN-2016005118 and RGPIN-2022-03439) and CIHR project grant (PJT-153150) (A.J.W.), a Fonds de recherche du Québec – Nature et technologies (FRQNT) Doctoral Scholarship, a McGill Integrated Program in Neuroscience Graduate Excellence Award, and a Graduate Student Fellowship from the Canada First Research Excellence Fund Healthy Brains for Healthy Lives initiative (K.M.G.), and a Fonds de recherche du Québec – Santé (FRQS) Doctoral Scholarship (E.F.).

Author Contributions

K.M.G. designed and ran experiments for all figures except Fig. 5, analyzed data for all figures, and wrote the manuscript.; J.W.Y.J. analyzed data for Figs. 3-4, S4-5, and S7.; E.F. designed and ran experiments for Fig. 5; S.S. analyzed data for Fig. 2; P.J.S. wrote custom Igor software packages for electrophysiology data acquisition and analysis, and analyzed data for Figs. 2 and 4. A.J.W. conceived of the project, designed experiments, analyzed data, supervised the project, and wrote the manuscript.

Competing Interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

References

1. King, M., Hernandez-Castillo, C. R., Poldrack, R. A., Ivry, R. B. & Diedrichsen, J. Functional boundaries in the human cerebellum revealed by a multi-domain task battery. *Nature Neuroscience* **22**, 1371–1378 (2019).

2. Larivière, R. *et al.* Sacs knockout mice present pathophysiological defects underlying autosomal recessive spastic ataxia of charlevoix-saguenay. *Human Molecular Genetics* **24**, 727–739 (2015).

3. Stoodley, C. J. *et al.* Altered cerebellar connectivity in autism and cerebellar-mediated rescue of autism-related behaviors in mice. *Nat Neurosci* **20**, 1744–1751 (2017).

4. Kelly, E. *et al.* Regulation of autism-relevant behaviors by cerebellar–prefrontal cortical circuits. *Nat Neurosci* **23**, 1102–1110 (2020).

5. Apps, R. & Hawkes, R. Cerebellar cortical organization: a one-map hypothesis. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience* **10**, 670–681 (2009).

6. Reeber, S. L., White, J. J., George-Jones, N. A. & Sillitoe, R. V. Architecture and development of olivocerebellar circuit topography. *Frontiers in Neural Circuits* **0**, 115 (2012).

7. Ozol, K., Hayden, J. M., Oberdick, J. & Hawkes, R. Transverse zones in the vermis of the mouse cerebellum. *Journal of Comparative Neurology* **412**, 95–111 (1999).

8. Apps, R. *et al.* Cerebellar Modules and Their Role as Operational Cerebellar Processing Units. *Cerebellum* **17**, 654–682 (2018).

9. Zhou, H. *et al.* Cerebellar modules operate at different frequencies. *eLife* **2014**, 1–18 (2014).

10. Zhou, H., Voges, K., Lin, Z., Ju, C. & Schonewille, M. Differential Purkinje cell simple spike activity and pausing behavior related to cerebellar modules. *Journal of Neurophysiology* **113**, 2524–2536 (2015).

11. Person, A. L. & Raman, I. M. Purkinje neuron synchrony elicits time-locked spiking in the

cerebellar nuclei. *Nature* **481**, 502–505 (2012).

12. Fujita, H., Kodama, T. & du Lac, S. Modular output circuits of the fastigial nucleus for diverse

motor and nonmotor functions of the cerebellar vermis. *eLife* **9**, e58613 (2020).

13. Gould, B. B. The organization of afferents to the cerebellar cortex in the cat: Projections from

the deep cerebellar nuclei. *Journal of Comparative Neurology* **184**, 27–42 (1979).

14. Kebschull, J. M. *et al.* Cerebellar nuclei evolved by repeatedly duplicating a conserved cell-

type set. *Science* **370**, (2021).

15. Jackman, S. L., Beneduce, B. M., Drew, I. R. & Regehr, W. G. Achieving High-Frequency

Optical Control of Synaptic Transmission. *Journal of Neuroscience* **34**, 7704–7714 (2014).

16. Vaaga, C. E., Brown, S. T. & Raman, I. M. Cerebellar modulation of synaptic input to freezing-

related neurons in the periaqueductal gray. *eLife* **9**, (2020).

17. Hashimoto, K. & Kano, M. Functional Differentiation of Multiple Climbing Fiber Inputs

during Synapse Elimination in the Developing Cerebellum. *Neuron* **38**, 785–796 (2003).

18. Song, S., Sjostrom, P. J., Reigl, M., Nelson, S. & Chklovskii, D. B. Highly nonrandom features

of synaptic connectivity in local cortical circuits. *PLoS Biology* **3**, 0507–0519 (2005).

19. Rieubland, S., Roth, A. & Häusser, M. Structured connectivity in cerebellar inhibitory

networks. *Neuron* **81**, 913–929 (2014).

20. Uusisaari, M., Obata, K. & Knöpfel, T. Morphological and electrophysiological properties of

GABAergic and non-GABAergic cells in the deep cerebellar nuclei. *Journal of*

neurophysiology **97**, 901–11 (2007).

21. Sugihara, I. *et al.* Projection of reconstructed single Purkinje cell axons in relation to the

cortical and nuclear aldolase C compartments of the rat cerebellum. *Journal of Comparative*

Neurology **512**, 282–304 (2009).

22. Sugihara, I. & Shinoda, Y. Molecular, Topographic, and Functional Organization of the

Cerebellar Nuclei: Analysis by Three-Dimensional Mapping of the Olivonuclear Projection

and Aldolase C Labeling. *Journal of Neuroscience* **27**, 9696–9710 (2007).

23. Wang, Q. *et al.* The Allen Mouse Brain Common Coordinate Framework: A 3D Reference

Atlas. *Cell* **181**, 936-953.e20 (2020).

24. Claudi, F. *et al.* Visualizing anatomically registered data with brainrender. *eLife* **10**, e65751

(2021).

25. Sugihara, I. Compartmentalization of the deep cerebellar nuclei based on afferent projections

and aldolase C expression. *Cerebellum* **10**, 449–463 (2011).

26. Ishikawa, T., Shimuta, M. & Häuser, M. Multimodal sensory integration in single cerebellar

granule cells in vivo. *eLife* **4**, (2015).

27. Kitamura, K. & Häusser, M. Dendritic Calcium Signaling Triggered by Spontaneous and

Sensory-Evoked Climbing Fiber Input to Cerebellar Purkinje Cells In Vivo. *J Neurosci* **31**,

10847–10858 (2011).

28. Pijpers, A., Apps, R., Pardoe, J., Voogd, J. & Ruigrok, T. J. H. Precise Spatial Relationships

between Mossy Fibers and Climbing Fibers in Rat Cerebellar Cortical Zones. *J. Neurosci.* **26**,

12067–12080 (2006).

29. Roome, C. J. & Kuhn, B. Dendritic coincidence detection in Purkinje neurons of awake mice.

eLife **9**, e59619 (2020).

30. Wang, S. S.-H., Denk, W. & Häusser, M. Coincidence detection in single dendritic spines

mediated by calcium release. *Nat Neurosci* **3**, 1266–1273 (2000).

31. Novello, M., Bosman, L. W. J. & De Zeeuw, C. I. A Systematic Review of Direct Outputs

from the Cerebellum to the Brainstem and Diencephalon in Mammals. *Cerebellum* (2022)

doi:10.1007/s12311-022-01499-w.

32. Schmahmann, J. D. The role of the cerebellum in affect and psychosis. *Journal of*

Neurolinguistics **13**, 189–214 (2000).

33. Schmahmann, J. D., Guell, X., Stoodley, C. J. & Halko, M. A. The Theory and Neuroscience

of Cerebellar Cognition. *Annual Review of Neuroscience* **42**, 337–364 (2019).

34. Diedrichsen, J., King, M., Hernandez-Castillo, C., Sereno, M. & Ivry, R. B. Universal

Transform or Multiple Functionality? Understanding the Contribution of the Human

Cerebellum across Task Domains. *Neuron* **102**, 918–928 (2019).

35. Cerminara, N. L., Lang, E. J., Sillitoe, R. V. & Apps, R. Redefining the cerebellar cortex as an

assembly of non-uniform Purkinje cell microcircuits. *Nat Rev Neurosci* **16**, 79–93 (2015).

36. Suvrathan, A., Payne, H. L. & Raymond, J. L. Timing Rules for Synaptic Plasticity Matched

to Behavioral Function. *Neuron* **92**, 959–967 (2016).

37. Kebschull, J. M. *et al.* Cerebellum Lecture: the Cerebellar Nuclei—Core of the Cerebellum.

Cerebellum (2023) doi:10.1007/s12311-022-01506-0.

38. Gruver, K. M. & Watt, A. J. Optimizing Optogenetic Activation of Purkinje Cell Axons to

Investigate the Purkinje Cell – DCN Synapse. *Frontiers in Synaptic Neuroscience* **11**, 1–8

(2019).

39. Ankri, L., Yarom, Y. & Uusisaari, M. Y. Slice it hot: acute adult brain slicing in physiological

temperature. *Journal of visualized experiments : JoVE* e52068 (2014) doi:10.3791/52068.

40. Watt, A. J. *et al.* Traveling waves in developing cerebellar cortex mediated by asymmetrical

Purkinje cell connectivity. *Nature Neuroscience* **12**, 463–473 (2009).

41. Carty, N. *et al.* Convection-enhanced delivery and systemic mannitol increase gene product

distribution of AAV vectors 5, 8, and 9 and increase gene product in the adult mouse brain.

Journal of neuroscience methods **194**, 144—153 (2010).

42. Mastakov, M. Y., Baer, K., Xu, R., Fitzsimons, H. & During, M. J. Combined Injection of

rAAV with Mannitol Enhances Gene Expression in the Rat Brain. *Molecular Therapy* **3**, 225–

232 (2001).

43. Cook, A. A. *et al.* Activation of TrkB-Akt signaling rescues deficits in a mouse model of

SCA6. *Science Advances* **8**, eabh3260 (2022).

44. Lang-Ouellette, D. *et al.* Purkinje cell axonal swellings enhance action potential fidelity and

cerebellar function. *Nature Communications* **12**, 4129 (2021).

45. Schindelin, J. *et al.* Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image analysis. *Nat Methods*

9, 676–682 (2012).

Figures

Figure 1: Cerebellar nuclear (CN) neurons receive both single-lobule and multi-lobule input. **a**,

Left, cartoon of a transgenic mouse expressing Channelrhodopsin-2 (ChR2) fused with enhanced

yellow fluorescent protein (EYFP) in Purkinje cells. Right, ChR2-EYFP (green) in Purkinje cells, with cerebellar lobule divisions. **b**, Expansion of white box in **a** shows ChR2-EYFP expression is restricted to Purkinje cell puncta (green) in the CN, while CN neurons express NeuN (blue). **c**, Purkinje cells predominantly project unidirectionally to CN neurons. **d**, Stimulation protocol. Top, durations of inter-stimulus interval and stimulus. Blue lightning bolts indicate a single 3 ms flash of 470 nm blue light above stimulation location from a focal spatial illuminator via a light-emitting diode. Middle, CN neurons were patch-clamped while Purkinje cell axons in each area (left, base of individual cerebellar lobules II through X; right, axonal terminals) were stimulated in rapid succession. Blue lobule indicates stimulation of Purkinje cell axons within each lobule that are located within the acute slice plane. Bottom, the evoked IPSC was recorded following stimulation. Grey traces represent individual IPSCs, and black traces indicate average. Blue rectangles indicate onset and duration of light pulse. Lobule average IPSC: 99.85 ± 10.65 pA; axonal terminals average IPSC: 402.20 ± 55.13 pA. **e**, Connectivity map representing Purkinje cell – CN connectivity from cell shown in **d**. **f**, Representation of two hypotheses of Purkinje cell convergence on CN neurons. Top, Purkinje cells originating from a single lobule converge on a CN neuron (hypothesis #1); bottom, Purkinje cells originating from multiple lobules converge on a CN neuron (hypothesis #2). **g**, Connectivity maps (top) and average evoked IPSCs (bottom) from three CN neurons receiving input from 1 (left), 2 (middle), or 3 (right) lobules. **h**, Percentage of cells connected to n lobules. **i**, Average IPSC amplitude per cell with n connected lobules. **j**, Sum of IPSC amplitudes per cell with n connected lobules. IPSC amplitudes compared using non-parametric multiple comparison Mann-Whitney U test. $*P < 0.05$, $**P < 0.01$. Unlabeled comparisons = not significantly different.

Figure 2: Purkinje cell – CN neuron connectivity is not random. **a**, Cartoon of cerebellar lobules (roman numerals) and zones (circles). **b**, Heatmap representing lobule inputs (columns) to individual CN neurons (rows) displaying connected lobules (red) and unconnected lobules (grey). Dendrogram of lobules created with unsupervised hierarchical clustering (top) using the Hamming

distances between lobules' connectivity patterns with the unweighted average linkage reveals inputs cluster along functional zones (delineated by colour, bottom). **c**, Connectivity maps (top) and evoked IPSCs (bottom) from four CN neurons receiving input from one (left), two (middle left), three (middle right), and four (right) zones. Roman numerals beside traces indicate responsive lobule. **d**, Top, average IPSC amplitude for each zone is not statistically different from other zones. Bottom, average IPSC amplitude per cell based on number of connected zones. **e**, Comparison of the actual vs. predicted occurrence of cells with each zone combination found in our dataset. Dotted line represents equal occurrence of predicted and actual combinations. P values represent the difference between the actual and predicted occurrence as determined using a binomial distribution test, with multiple hypothesis corrections performed using False Discovery Rate testing. Inset shows proportion of CN neurons receiving input from one zone or multiple zones. IPSC amplitudes compared using non-parametric multiple comparison Mann-Whitney U test. $**P < 0.01$. Unlabeled comparisons = not significantly different. n = 41 cells with functional connections out of 75 cells.

Figure 3: CN neurons with different input connectivity patterns are morphologically similar. **a**, Top, reconstruction of CN neurons from two-photon stack. Circle denotes cell receives input from the anterior ("A") zone. Bottom, representative traces of spontaneous firing from CN neurons receiving input from the "A," "C," "N," or "ACPN," zones, respectively, obtained before breaking through into whole-cell configuration. **b-g**, Soma area, Sholl radius, branch index, primary dendrite quantity, total dendrite length, and firing rate for cells with different connectivity patterns, groups with < 3 reconstructed cells were excluded. Morphological properties compared across connectivity patterns using non-parametric multiple comparison Mann-Whitney U test. Unlabeled comparisons = not significantly different.

Figure 4: CN neurons receiving input from all four zones are biased along the rostroventral-caudodorsal axis. **a**, 3-D representation of recorded cells using coordinates from the Allen Mouse Brain atlas and rendered using Brainrender. **b**, Left, expansion of inset in **a** depicting position of cells based on connectivity pattern. Right, cells in **b, left** categorized by number of input zones. **c,** Rendering of fastigial CN depicting the rostroventral-caudodorsal (RV-CD) and rostrodorsal-caudoventral (RD-CV) axes. **d**, Cartoons of two possible organization patterns within the CN, where one type of CN neurons receiving a pattern of Purkinje cell input do not demonstrate topographic organization (left, "Low R^2"), and another where CN neurons receiving a particular pattern of Purkinje cell input exhibit topographic organization biased along a particular axis of the nucleus (right, "High R^2"). **e**, Top (from left to right), rendering of position in the sagittal plane of CN neurons that responded only to axonal terminal stimulation (No responsive lobules), and CN neurons that received input from one zone, two zones, three zones, and four zones. Bottom, same as in **e, top**, but showing the plotted points for each CN neuron in the rostral-caudal and ventral-dorsal axes. Dotted lines and R^2 values in each graph represent the line of best fit for the neurons in each category. **f**, Graph demonstrating the probability that n randomly selected cells from a bootstrapped analysis with 50,000 iterations has an R^2 value $\geq$ the R^2 value from each group of n Zones from **e, bottom**. Only the n Zones $= 4$ group demonstrates an R^2 value ≥ 0.997 in fewer than 5% of the bootstrapped samples. Red dotted line indicates $P = 0.05$. **g-h**, Same as **e-f** but along the mediolateral and ventral-dorsal axes. $*P < 0.05$. Unlabeled comparisons $=$ not significantly different. C: caudal, D: dorsal, M: medial, R: rostral, V: ventral.

Figure 5: Purkinje cell puncta from different zones terminate in close proximity in the CN. **a**, Cartoon representing intracranial injections of fluorescent viruses into different areas of the cerebellar vermis. **b**, Cartoon representing Purkinje cells labeled with virus injections depicted in **c**, representative image of cerebellum with viruses expressed in different zones at 20x magnification. **d**, Expansion of nucleus in **c** showing that Purkinje cells from different lobules target different areas of the CN, 20x magnification. **e**, Expansion of numbered boxes in **d**, showing Purkinje cell puncta from different zones in apposition to individual CN neurons, 63x

magnification. **f**, Cumulative probability of nearest neighbor distance of Purkinje cell puncta from different zones. Dashed lines: average soma diameter (blue, 17.5 microns) and dendrite length (red, 100.5 microns). Shaded rectangles: 95% confidence interval of nearest neighbor puncta across animals. N = 6 animals.

Figure 6: Small inputs from a single lobule are sufficient to alter CN output. **a**, Stimulation protocol. **b**, Top, representative traces of spontaneous firing from a CN neuron during lobule stimulation before breaking into whole-cell configuration. Blue line with arrowhead indicates onset and duration of light pulse. Bottom, blue lines indicate duration of inter-spike interval (ISI) immediately following stimulation. **c**, Voltage-clamp traces during stimulation from cell in **b** after breaking through to whole-cell configuration. **d**, Top, ISI ratio calculated by dividing the ISI duration after stimulation with the average ISI duration per cell per trial. Bottom, example trace from a cell with an ISI ratio of 1.57. **e**, ISI ratio vs. IPSC amplitude per cell, Pearson's R^2 correlation coefficient. Shaded area depicts 95% confidence interval of the ISI ratio of non-responsive lobules (≥ 1).