**Testing for the fitness benefits of natural transformation during community-embedded evolution**

Macaulay Winter[1*], Klaus Harms[2], Pål Jarle Johnsen[2], Angus Buckling[3] and Michiel Vos[1]

1= European Centre for Environment and Human Health, University of Exeter Medical School,

Environment and Sustainability Institute, Penryn Campus, TR10 9FE, United Kingdom

2= Microbial Pharmacology and Population Biology Research Group, Department of Pharmacy,

Faculty of Health Sciences, UiT - The Arctic University of Norway, Tromsø, Norway

3= Department of Biosciences, University of Exeter, Penryn Campus, TR10 9FE, United Kingdom

*= corresponding author mw730@exeter.ac.uk

Running title: natural transformation during community evolution

Keywords: natural transformation; species interactions; community evolution; evolution of sex;

horizontal gene transfer; *Acinetobacter baylyi*

**Abstract**

Natural transformation is a process where bacteria actively take up DNA from the environment and

recombine it into their genome or reconvert it into extra-chromosomal genetic elements. The

evolutionary benefits of transformation are still under debate. One main explanation is that foreign

allele and gene uptake facilitates natural selection by increasing genetic variation, analogous to

meiotic sex. However, previous experimental evolution studies comparing fitness gains of evolved

transforming- and isogenic non-transforming strains have yielded mixed support for the "sex

hypothesis." Previous studies testing the sex hypothesis for natural transformation have largely

ignored species interactions, which theory predicts provide conditions favourable to sex. To test for

the adaptive benefits of bacterial transformation, the naturally transformable wildtype

*Acinetobacter baylyi* and a transformation-deficient *ΔcomA* mutant were evolved for five weeks. To

provide strong and potentially fluctuating selection, *A. baylyi* was embedded in a community of five

other bacterial species. DNA from a pool of different *Acinetobacter* strains was provided as a

substrate for transformation. No effect of transformation ability on the fitness of evolved

populations was found, with fitness increasing non-significantly in most treatments. Populations

showed fitness improvement in their respective environments, with no apparent costs of adaptation

to competing species. Despite the absence of fitness effects of transformation, wildtype populations

evolved variable transformation frequencies that were slightly greater than their ancestor which

potentially could be caused by genetic drift.

**Introduction**

Natural transformation is a process whereby bacteria actively take up free DNA from the

environment during a physiological state termed competence, followed by the recombination of this

DNA into the recipient's genome (or its reconversion into extra-chromosomal genetic elements).

Natural transformation has been demonstrated in 80+ species across divergent bacterial lineages

(Johnston *et al.*, 2014) but is likely to be present in more species. Natural transformation can

mediate the cell-to-cell transfer of large tracts of DNA, including virulence (Frosch and Meyer, 1992),

antibiotic resistance (Blokesch, 2016; Winter *et al.*, 2021) and metabolic genes (Tumen-Velasquez *et*

*al.*, 2018), making it one of the main prokaryote Horizontal Gene Transfer (HGT) mechanisms. The

uptake of free DNA from the environment has been argued to provide three distinct (but not

mutually exclusive) potential benefits to cells. First, as a source of nucleotides to be used for energy

or building blocks (with recombination or maintenance of extrachromosomal DNA being a by-

product) (Redfield, 1993, 2001; Hülter *et al.*, 2017), second, to serve as templates for repairing

genetic damage (Michod, Wojciechowski and Hoezler, 1988; Hoelzer and Michod, 1991; Mongold,

1992; Steinmoen, Knutsen and Håvarstein, 2002; Guiral *et al.*, 2005; Ambur *et al.*, 2016; Hülter *et al.*,

2017), and third as a mechanism to create genetic variation (Vos, 2009; Ambur *et al.*, 2016).

The genetic variation or 'sex' function of natural transformation has received most attention. Unlike

many other HGT mechanisms, natural transformation is not mediated by Mobile Genetic Elements

but is solely under the control of the recipient cell (Seitz and Blokesch, 2013; Johnston *et al.*, 2014;

Dubnau and Blokesch, 2019) and therefore could be assumed to be adaptive. By recombining

adaptive alleles and genes in the same genomic background, natural transformation can result in the

avoidance of clonal interference, allowing populations to adapt more rapidly. Indeed, both

mathematical modelling (Levin and Cornejo, 2009; Engelstädter and Moradigaravand, 2013;

Peabody, Li and Kao, 2017) and laboratory evolution experiments (Baltrus, Guillemin and Phillips,

2007; Woods *et al.*, 2020; Nguyen *et al.*, 2022) have supported this hypothesis. However, there

remains controversy about the sex function of transformation, and not all experimental studies have

found that fitness of recombining wildtype cells increased after evolution compared to isogenic,

non-recombinogenic mutants. For instance, evolution experiments utilising the model system

*Acinetobacter baylyi* found transformation-mediated fitness benefits either to be present (Perron *et*

*al.*, 2012), equivocal (Renda *et al.*, 2015; Utnes *et al.*, 2015) or absent (Bacher, Metzgar and De

Crécy-Lagard, 2006; McLeman *et al.*, 2016). Multiple studies found the ability to transform was lost

during experimental evolution, indicating that any potential recombination-mediated fitness

benefits were outweighed by the cost of maintaining the molecular machinery involved (Bacher,

Metzgar and De Crécy-Lagard, 2006; Renda *et al.*, 2015).

Studies to date lack interactions with multiple competitors that almost certainly characterise most

natural situations. This could be an important shortcoming, as for sex to remain selectively

advantageous it is necessary for selection to be strong and dynamic (Charlesworth, 1993; Burt,

2000), and interspecific competitors could greatly influence both these requirements (Otto and

Nuismer, 2004; Kawecki *et al.*, 2012). However, while interspecific competition can create

fluctuating conditions, it can also constrain evolution (Luján *et al.*, 2022). Experimental evolution

approaches have hitherto relied on evolving recombining clones in isolation. To study the

evolutionary benefits of natural transformation in the context of species interactions, we here

experimentally evolve a transformable *A. baylyi* wildtype and a non-transformable isogenic *ΔcomA*

mutant for five weeks in the presence of other species (*i.e.*, under biotic conditions) or alone (*i.e.*,

under abiotic conditions). Specifically, we use a system of five bacterial species which have been

previously shown to stably coexist (Castledine, Padfield and Buckling, 2020; Padfield *et al.*, 2020;

Newbury *et al.*, 2022). Our experimental evolution approach allows us to test 1) whether the

evolved wildtype strain will be fitter than its non-recombining counterpart, specifically after

evolution under biotic conditions and 2) whether transformation rate of the evolved wildtype has

changed in response to these treatments.

**Material and Methods**

*Acinetobacter baylyi* ADP1 constructs

Two variants of the wildtype *A. baylyi* ADP1 strain with chromosomally encoded GFP and RFP,

respectively were constructed using fluorescence::AMR cassettes derived from strains gifted by the

Charpentier lab (Claude Bernard University, Lyon), and Hasty lab (University of San Diego, California),

respectively. Briefly, the *sfGFP::apraR* cassette (Charpentier and Laaberki, unpublished) and

*mCherry::specR* (Cooper, Tsimring and Hasty, 2017) cassettes were amplified via PCR with 1kb

flanking regions homologous to the *att*Tn*7* locus and a putative prophage p4 region, respectively.

Primers used for the *sfGFP::apraR* and *mCherry::specR* cassettes were 5'3

AAAGCCAATCGCTGACAGATGGTGG-3', 5'-TTGGTCAGTGCCTGTCTTGCTGGTGAGCCGGTACGC-3', and

5'-TCACCTGCATCCACTCAAGTGTCGTTT-3', 5'-AAAGCCAATCGCTGACAGATGGTGG-3', respectively

(Integrated DNA Technologies, USA). PCR products were added to *A. baylyi* in LB Miller broth

(Formedium, England) at 37°C and 180rpm for 24 hours at 1μg/mL to allow for chromosomal

recombination of PCR amplificates via natural transformation Transformants were isolated by

plating on LB agar containing 240μg/mL apramycin (Duchefa, The Netherlands), or 360μg/mL

spectinomycin (Melford, UK), respectively. Next, non-competent counterparts of each fluorescent

strain were generated by deletion of the *comA* gene via sequential natural transformation with

linearised plasmids pKHNH6 and pKHNH3. Plasmids pKHNH6 and pKHNH3 were linearised prior to

transformation using restriction enzymes EcoRV (Promega, USA) and KpnI (Fisher, USA), respectively.

pKHNH6 carried a *comA*[+]::(*nptII sacB*) allele embedded in its natural flanking regions, and natural

transformation of the respective fluorescence-marked *A. baylyi* strains by linearized plasmid DNA

resulted in transformation-proficient isolates that were kanamycin-resistant and sensitive to 50g/L

sucrose. Transformation of those respective isolates by pKHNH3 (carrying a ΔcomA allele) resulted in

a sucrose-resistant, kanamycin-susceptible and transformation-deficient strains, respectively

Deletion of *comA* was verified using agar containing 50g/L sucrose, agar containing 10ug/mL

kanamycin, and by PCR using primers 5'-TTGGTGTGATTGGTACGGTGGCTGGTGC-3' and 5'-

CTTGCAGACGATTGCTTACCTCAGCACTCGG-3'. The non-competent *A. baylyi* strains were confirmed

to be non-transformable at the detectable limit ($10^{-7}$) in all (6) technical replicates.

### Five-species community

The five-species community was composed of compost isolates belonging to the genera *Pseudomonas, Achromobacter, Variovorax, Ochrobactrum* and *Stenotrophomonas* (as identified by 16S rRNA sequencing) (Castledine, Padfield and Buckling, 2020; Padfield *et al.*, 2020) (Table 1).

### Evolution experiment

The apramycin resistant wildtype and *ΔcomA A. baylyi* ADP1 focal strains were separately propagated in three distinct competition environments with sixfold replication. Experimental treatments included competition against none, or all five of the five-species community concurrently (Figure 1). Cultures were grown in 25% TSB medium at 28°C in static conditions in glass microcosms with one layer of ColiRoller glass beads (Millipore, Merck, USA) covering the base of the microcosm to provide additional spatial structure. Instead of transferring a small volume to new microcosms, spent nutrient broth was replaced with fresh broth in the same microcosms, resulting in approximately 34-fold daily dilutions. This approach maintained spatial structure and saved on glass and plastic waste (Alves *et al.*, 2021). Every 7th day, cultures were vortexed, diluted 10-fold and plated on LB agar plates containing 240μg/ml apramycin to select for the green-fluorescent wildtype or *ΔcomA A. baylyi* focal strain. After 24 hours of incubation at 37°C, the bacterial lawn from each individual replicate was scraped off with a sterile loop and transferred to a new microcosm for overnight growth (reaching stationary phase). Overnight cultures of the five competitor species were also made in LB broth at this time from -70°C freezer stocks. Equal volumes of overnight cultures of the focal *A. baylyi* strain and competitor species (where appropriate; Table 1) totalling 100uL was added to a new microcosm containing 9.9mL 25% TSB, commencing the next week of transfers. DNA as a substrate for transformation sourced from a pool of 20 *Acinetobacter* strains was added at the

point of nutrient replenishment each day for each treatment (see next section). At the end of the

final week of transfers, cultures were plated on LB agar supplemented with 240µg/ml apramycin

where 100 colonies were picked and pooled for overnight growth and later frozen at -70°C in 25%

glycerol prior to use in subsequent competition and transformation assays (Fig. 1).

<u>Donor DNA</u>

Twenty *Acinetobacter* strains (table 1; Ray and Nielsen, 2005; Alseth et al., 2019) were cultured

separately in LB broth overnight. Cultures were then pooled in equal volumes and lysed following

the Qiagen® Genomic DNA Handbook (April 2012) protocol. Combined DNA from the eluate was

precipitated by adding two volumes of ice-cold ethanol and centrifuged at 26000xg for 15 minutes to

pellet the DNA. DNA was dissolved in TE buffer to a final concentration of 227.2ng/µL (Nanodrop

2000, Thermo Scientific) by heating at 50°C and stirring for 16 hours. DNA was frozen at -20°C in

single-use aliquots for addition to each daily transfer. When used during the evolution period, DNA

was diluted to a final concentration of 250ng/ml (the saturating concentration of genomic DNA for

transformation in *A. baylyi* (Overballe-Petersen *et al.*, 2013)). The raw and annotated DNA

sequencing data for the 19 strains were deposited at the European Nucleotide Archive under

BioProject accession number PRJEB55833 (Winter *et al.*, 2023).

<u>Competition assays</u>

For all replicates of the five concurrent species community treatment and the abiotic treatment, 100

evolved green-fluorescent wildtype and Δ*comA A. baylyi* clones were picked, pooled and frozen at −

80°C before use. A mixture containing equal volumes of the green-fluorescent *A. baylyi* pool, a

Δ*comA* red-fluorescent *A. baylyi*, and members of the five species community (where appropriate)

was produced for each replicate. One hundred microlitres of mixed culture was immediately

inoculated to 9.9ml of 25% TSB and grown for 24 hours in glass microcosms at 28°C with no

agitation. Each of the six replicate evolved populations per treatment were competed with the

differentially marked ΔcomA strain in conditions identical to treatments in the evolution experiment

(i.e., containing either all or none of the five competitor species). Samples were plated 0 hours and

24 hours after inoculation on LB agar supplemented with either apramycin (240μg/ml) or

spectinomycin (360μg/mL) to determine the densities of the evolved (green)- and ΔcomA (red)-

fluorescent A. baylyi, respectively (Fig. 1).

<u>Transformation Assays</u>

Red fluorescent, spectinomycin-resistance conferring marker DNA was obtained by heating

overnight cultures of the A. baylyi ADP1 mCherry::specR at 70°C for 1.5 hours and centrifuging at

2500xg for 15 minutes and resuspending in reduced volume to produce a 50x concentration of

lysate. Lysate was stored at -20°C for up to one month before downstream application. Freezer

stocks of 100 pooled clones for each endpoint population were inoculated in LB broth and grown

overnight at 37°C. Cultures were diluted ten-fold with LB broth supplemented with cell lysate at a

final concentration of 2.5x maximum cell density and incubated for 3 hours at 37°C and 180 rpm.

Cells were then plated on LB agar supplemented with 240μg/ml apramycin and 360μg/ml

spectinomycin, and non-selective LB agar and incubated for 48 hours at 28°C. Transformation

frequency was calculated by dividing the CFU/mL of the transformed (doubly fluorescent and dually-

AMR) population by the total population CFU/mL. As a control for spontaneous spectinomycin

resistance mutations, we included a treatment where no mCherry::specR DNA was added.

<u>Statistical Analysis</u>

Normal distribution of the data was verified using Shapiro-Wilk tests. To determine the relative

fitness of evolved lines relative to the unevolved control in competition experiments, the selection-

rate constant was calculated as described in (Lenski *et al.*, 1991). Selection-rate constant estimates

were analysed with paired t-tests for comparisons using the mean value for each measured

population (treatments tested within assay conditions and grouped by genotype and evolution

treatment conditions). Because relative fitness values were often negative (i.e., the common

competitor displayed greater fitness than the evolved focal strains), analyses in this assay were

conducted using the selection-rate constant in lieu of the relative fitness parameter (Lenski *et al.*,

1991). As ancestral populations were not significantly different to each other in fitness as a function

of genotype (paired t-tests; biotic assay conditions, p=0.35, abiotic assay conditions, p=0.74), fitness

measurements of the evolved populations were standardised to ancestors by subtracting the

ancestral selection-rate constant (averaged for both genotypes) from that of the evolved population

in all analyses. Standardised selection rates significantly different to 0 demonstrate fitness change.

Generalised statements about treatment effects observed in fitness assays were made using linear

mixed effect models with the lme4 package v1.29 (Bolker, 2022). Model selection was achieved

using backward stepwise regression, using biological replicates as a random explanatory variable.

Model residuals were checked using the DHARMa package v0.4.5 (Hartig, 2022). All biological

replicates for the selection-rate constant analyses were measured in triplicate and averaged before

downstream analyses. Transformation frequencies were analysed non-parametrically with Wilcoxon

tests as the data were not normally distributed (Shapiro-Wilks tests, p<0.001). T-tests, Wilcoxon,

Kruskal-Wallis and Levene testing was conducted using the rstatix package v0.7.0 (Kassambara,

2021). In all analyses, a *p* value of less than 0.05 was considered significant. Multiple testing was

corrected for with false discovery rate (FDR) correction.

**Results**

<u>Natural transformation does not provide fitness benefits in a community context</u>

To test whether natural transformation favours adaptation in a biotic community context compared

to an abiotic environment, we evolved a recombining wildtype *A. baylyi* and an isogenic non-

recombining *ΔcomA* mutant supplemented with DNA extracted from a pool of conspecifics as a

substrate for natural transformation. This five species community has been shown to stably coexist

in 1/64th strength tryptic soy broth (TSB), and 28°C with weekly passages (Padfield *et al.*, 2020), but

was found to also exist with *A. baylyi* stably in 25% TSB medium with daily transfers (results not

shown). After five weeks of evolution, changes in fitness of each evolved line relative to a single

unevolved, differentially marked *ΔcomA* strain were measured using pairwise competition assays.

Populations were assayed in both presence and absence of competitors. All populations increased in

fitness relative to ancestral populations (1 sample t-tests: p<0.01, corrected for multiple testing,

Figure 2), except for the wildtype strains evolved in abiotic and biotic conditions when assayed in

biotic conditions (p=0.486, and p=0.058, respectively; Figure 2, Table 1).

Linear mixed effects models revealed no interactions between explanatory variables: genotype,

evolutionary conditions, and assay conditions when predicting fitness increases ($X^2$ = 4.2241, df =4, p

= 0.3765; Figure 2). Genotype and evolution conditions are very significant explanatory variables for

model predictions (p<0.001 and p<0.01, respectively). The *ΔcomA* populations appear better

adapted to the experimental environments relative to the wildtype (Welch's two sample t-test,

p=0.4401; Figure 2). Adaptation to abiotic conditions occurs more effectively than to biotic

conditions, irrespective of evolution conditions or genotype (t-test, p<0.0001). Evolution in a biotic

environment leads to greater adaptation to biotic environments, but no greater adaptation to

abiotic environments (pairwise t-testing, p<0.01, and p=0.113, respectively; Figure 2). Pairwise t-

tests of the differences in selection-rate constants of the evolved populations (grouped by genotype

and evolution conditions) tested separately with respect to their assay conditions (biotic and abiotic)

showed no significant differences (p>0.05; Figure 2; Table 2).

<u>Transformation frequency did not decrease after evolution</u>

To test whether the lack of fitness difference of the wildtype strain stemmed from a possible loss of

natural transformation, we conducted transformation assays for the abiotic treatment and the five

species community treatment (Figure 1). No significant differences in transformation frequency

were found between the evolved wildtype lineages across the two evolution environments or the

ancestor (Wilcoxon test, p>0.05; Figure 3; Table 4). While transformation frequency did not change

significantly, observed transformation frequencies were higher in all evolved wildtype populations

than in the ancestor. A separate experiment using donor DNA acquired through chemical lysis (the

method used in the evolution experiment), resulted in a much lower transformation frequency for

all tested samples and did not show a clear significant difference in transformation frequency

between any test groups (p>0.05; Supplemental Figure 1; Table 5).

**Discussion**

Here we tested if recombination mediated by natural transformation in *A. baylyi* provided adaptive

benefits under biotic and abiotic experimental conditions. All replicate populations in either

treatment increased fitness compared to their ancestors when assayed in abiotic conditions (Figure

2). Populations of the non-recombining (*ΔcomA)* genotype also show increased fitness relative to

their ancestor when assayed under biotic conditions regardless of evolutionary conditions. This

contrasts with the recombining wildtype, which does not show significant increases in fitness after

evolution in either environment, contrary to our hypothesis that sex is advantageous in the presence

of other species. However, the absence of significant fitness increases observed in the wildtype

which was evolved and tested in biotic conditions are probably due to a single tested population

which is less fit than the ancestor, lowering the estimation of the mean (Figure 2). Further, no

significant differences in fitness between evolved linages were found when comparing across

genotypes and assaying in biotic conditions. Therefore, this study has not found a clear and

significant beneficial (or deleterious) effect of natural transformation on adaptative evolution.

There is clear trade-off asymmetry displayed by evolving the wildtype *A. baylyi* in the presence or

absence of the five competitor species (biotic and abiotic conditions, respectively). Evolution of the

wildtype under biotic conditions did not constrain adaptation to an abiotic environment, but the

converse is not true (Figure 2). This is because the factors in abiotic conditions (growth media,

temperature, oxygen availability, spatial structure) were also consistent in the biotic condition and

are therefore selected for in the biotic environment. However, there may be some adaptive

mutations that arise under biotic conditions which are not advantageous in the abiotic environment,

and therefore were not selected for during evolution in abiotic conditions (Gomez *et al.*, 2022).  Our

data show that evolution in a biotic environment best prepares a population for a biotic

environment, and does not constrain adaptation to an abiotic environment, regardless of the

population's ability to naturally transform.

Interestingly, mean transformation frequencies of all tested populations increased relative to the

transformable ancestor, although this was not statistically significant. This contrasts with previous

studies where transformation frequencies declined markedly after experimental evolution (Bacher,

Metzgar and De Crécy-Lagard, 2006; Utnes *et al.*, 2015). The maintenance, and potential increase of

transformability in all evolved populations suggests that the ability to transform was not selected

against and may have increased because of genetic drift, given that it served no apparent adaptive

benefit. The increase of transformation frequency at varying rates per population (Figure 4) suggests

that significant differences both within and between treatment groups may occur after a more

prolonged evolution period.

Taken together, these findings show no significant evolutionary costs or benefits of transformation

in *A. baylyi*. This does not mean that no bacterial species use transformation to facilitate faster

adaptation, as the physiological contexts and molecular mechanisms of natural transformation vary

across species (Johnston *et al.*, 2014). Specifically, there is convincing evidence supporting the sex

hypothesis for transformation in *H. pylori* (Baltrus, Guillemin and Phillips, 2007; Woods *et al.*, 2020;

Nguyen *et al.*, 2022). There is no doubt that transformation can give an immediate adaptive benefit

to *A. baylyi* such as in the contexts of antimicrobial resistance acquisition (Domingues *et al.*, 2012;

Perron *et al.*, 2012; Hülter *et al.*, 2017; Mantilla-Calderon *et al.*, 2019), but its long-term benefits

remain uncertain. It is possible that different growth conditions, interactions with live DNA donors,

and reduced doubling rates of cells (limiting the frequency and contribution of mutation for

adaptation), can better demonstrate the adaptive benefit of natural transformation in *A. baylyi* than

what has been shown in this study.

**Acknowledgments**

We thank the Charpentier lab (Claude Bernard University, Lyon) and Hasty lab (University of San

Diego, California) for donation of *A. baylyi* strains. MW acknowledges support from the National

Environment Research Council (NERC) and the GW4+ Doctoral Training Partnership (grant

NE/S007504/1). MV acknowledges support from the National Environment Research Council (NERC;

grant NE/T008083/1). KH and PJ acknowledge support from The Research Council of Norway (NFR;

grant number 275672). We thank Daniel Padfield and Elze Hesse for help with statistical analyses.

Figure 1 was created with BioRender.com.

**References MAKE SURE TO HAVE 2 VERSIONS: ONE W AND ONE W/O ENDOTE. YOU PROBABLY**

**NEED TO REVISE THE FORMER (ADDING REFS) ALSO MANUALLY CLEAN REFERENCE LIST IN**

**VERSION TO SUBMIT**

Alseth, E.O. *et al.* (2019) 'Bacterial biodiversity drives the evolution of CRISPR-based phage
resistance', *Nature*, 574(7779), pp. 549–552.

Alves, J. *et al.* (2021) 'A case report: insights into reducing plastic waste in a microbiology
laboratory', *Access Microbiology*, 3(3).

Ambur, O.H. *et al.* (2016) 'Steady at the wheel: Conservative sex and the benefits of bacterial
transformation', *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*.

Bacher, J.M., Metzgar, D. and De Crécy-Lagard, V. (2006) 'Rapid evolution of diminished
transformability in Acinetobacter baylyi', *Journal of Bacteriology*, 188(24), pp. 8534–8542.

Baltrus, D.A., Guillemin, K. and Phillips, P.C. (2007) 'Natural transformation increases the rate of
adaptation in the human pathogen Helicobacter pylori', *Evolution*, 62(1), pp. 39–49.

Blokesch, M. (2016) 'Natural competence for transformation', *Current Biology*, 26(21), pp. R1126–
R1130.

Bolker, B. (2022) *lme4 package - RDocumentation*. Available at:
https://www.rdocumentation.org/packages/lme4/versions/1.1-30 (Accessed: 13 September 2022).

Burt, A. (2000) 'Perspective: Sex, recombination, and the efficacy of selection - Was Weismann
right?', *Evolution*. Society for the Study of Evolution, pp. 337–351.

Castledine, M., Padfield, D. and Buckling, A. (2020) 'Experimental (co)evolution in a multi-species
microbial community results in local maladaptation', *Ecology Letters* [Preprint].

Charlesworth, B. (1993) 'Directional selection and the evolution of sex and recombination', *Genetical
Research*, 61(3), pp. 205–224.

Cooper, R.M., Tsimring, L. and Hasty, J. (2017) 'Inter-species population dynamics enhance microbial
horizontal gene transfer and spread of antibiotic resistance', *eLife*, 6.

Domingues, S. *et al.* (2012) 'Natural Transformation Facilitates Transfer of Transposons, Integrons
and Gene Cassettes between Bacterial Species', *PLoS Pathogens*, 8(8), p. e1002837.

Dubnau, D. and Blokesch, M. (2019) 'Mechanisms of DNA Uptake by Naturally Competent Bacteria',
*Annual Review of Genetics*, 53(1), pp. 217–237.

Engelstädter, J. and Moradigaravand, D. (2013) 'Adaptation through genetic time travel? Fluctuating
selection can drive the evolution of bacterial transformation', *Proceedings of the Royal Society B:*
*Biological Sciences*, 281(1775).

Frosch, M. and Meyer, T.F. (1992) 'Transformation-mediated exchange of virulence determinants by
co-cultivation of pathogenic Neisseriae', *FEMS Microbiology Letters*, 100(1–3), pp. 345–349.

Gomez, P. *et al.* (2022) 'Rapid decline of adaptation of Pseudomonas fluorescens to soil biotic
environment', *Biology Letters*, 18(3).

Guiral, S. *et al.* (2005) 'Competence-programmed predation of noncompetent cells in the human
pathogen Streptococcus pneumoniae: Genetic requirements', *Proceedings of the National Academy*
*of Sciences of the United States of America*, 102(24), pp. 8710–8715.

Hartig, F. (2022) *DHARMa package - RDocumentation*. Available at:
https://www.rdocumentation.org/packages/DHARMa/versions/0.4.6 (Accessed: 13 September
2022).

Hoelzer, M.A. and Michod, R.E. (1991) 'DNA repair and the evolution of transformation in Bacillus
subtilis. III. Sex with damaged DNA', *Genetics*, 128(2), pp. 215–223.

Hülter, N. *et al.* (2017) 'Costs and benefits of natural transformation in Acinetobacter baylyi', *BMC*
*Microbiology*, 17(1), p. 34.

Johnston, C. *et al.* (2014) 'Bacterial transformation: Distribution, shared mechanisms and divergent
control', *Nature Reviews Microbiology*. Nature Publishing Group, pp. 181–196.

Kassambara, A. (2021) *Provides a simple and intuitive pipe-friendly framework for performing basic*
*statistical tests,*.

Kawecki, T.J. *et al.* (2012) 'Experimental evolution', *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*. Elsevier Current
Trends, pp. 547–560.

Lenski, R.E. *et al.* (1991) 'Long-Term Experimental Evolution in Escherichia coli. I. Adaptation and
Divergence During 2,000 Generations', 138(6), pp. 1315–1341.

Levin, B.R. and Cornejo, O.E. (2009) 'The population and evolutionary dynamics of homologous gene
recombination in bacteria', *PLoS Genetics*, 5(8), p. e1000601.

Luján, A.M. *et al.* (2022) 'Polymicrobial infections can select against Pseudomonas aeruginosa
mutators because of quorum-sensing trade-offs', *Nature Ecology and Evolution*, 6(7), pp. 979–988.

Mantilla-Calderon, D. *et al.* (2019) 'Water Disinfection Byproducts Increase Natural Transformation
Rates of Environmental DNA in Acinetobacter baylyi ADP1', *Environmental Science and Technology*,
53(11), pp. 6520–6528.

McLeman, A. *et al.* (2016) 'No effect of natural transformation on the evolution of resistance to bacteriophages in the Acinetobacter baylyi model system', *Scientific Reports*, 6.

Michod, R.E., Wojciechowski, M.F. and Hoezler, M.A. (1988) 'DNA repair and the evolution of transformation in the bacterium Bacillus subtilis', *Genetics*, 118(1), pp. 31–39.

Mongold, J.A. (1992) 'DNA repair and the evolution of transformation in Haemophilus influenzae', *Genetics*, 132(4), pp. 893–898.

Newbury, A. *et al.* (2022) 'Fitness effects of plasmids shape the structure of bacteria–plasmid interaction networks', *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 119(22).

Nguyen, A.N.T. *et al.* (2022) 'Recombination resolves the cost of horizontal gene transfer in experimental populations of Helicobacter pylori', *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 119(12).

Otto, S.P. and Nuismer, S.L. (2004) 'Species Interactions and the Evolution of Sex', *Science*, 304(5673), pp. 1018–1020.

Overballe-Petersen, S. *et al.* (2013) 'Bacterial natural transformation by highly fragmented and damaged DNA', *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 110(49), pp. 19860–19865.

Padfield, D. *et al.* (2020) 'Short-term relative invader growth rate predicts long-term equilibrium proportion in a stable, coexisting microbial community', *bioRxiv*, p. 2020.04.24.059097.

Peabody, G.L.V., Li, H. and Kao, K.C. (2017) 'Sexual recombination and increased mutation rate expedite evolution of Escherichia coli in varied fitness landscapes', *Nature Communications*, 8(1), p. 2112.

Perron, G.G. *et al.* (2012) 'Bacterial recombination promotes the evolution of multi-drug-resistance in functionally diverse populations', *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 279(1733), pp. 1477–1484.

Ray, J.L. and Nielsen, K.M. (2005) 'Experimental methods for assaying natural transformation and inferring horizontal gene transfer', *Methods in Enzymology*, 395, pp. 491–520.

Redfield, R.J. (1993) 'Genes for breakfast: The have-your-cake-and-eat-it-too of bacterial transformation', *Journal of Heredity*, 84(5), pp. 400–404.

Redfield, R.J. (2001) 'Do bacteria have sex?', *Nature Reviews Genetics*, 2(8), pp. 634–639.

Renda, B.A. *et al.* (2015) 'Genome Instability Mediates the Loss of Key Traits by Acinetobacter baylyi ADP1 during Laboratory Evolution', *Journal of Bacteriology*. Edited by I.B. Zhulin, 197(5), pp. 872–881.

Seitz, P. and Blokesch, M. (2013) 'Cues and regulatory pathways involved in natural competence and transformation in pathogenic and environmental Gram-negative bacteria', *FEMS Microbiology Reviews*, 37(3), pp. 336–363.

Steinmoen, H., Knutsen, E. and Håvarstein, L.S. (2002) 'Induction of natural competence in
Streptococcus pneumoniae triggers lysis and DNA release from a subfraction of the cell population',
*Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 99(11), pp. 7681–
7686.

Tumen-Velasquez, M. *et al.* (2018) 'Accelerating pathway evolution by increasing the gene dosage of
chromosomal segments', *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of*
*America*, 115(27), pp. 7105–7110.

Utnes, A.L.G. *et al.* (2015) 'Growth phase-specific evolutionary benefits of natural transformation in
Acinetobacter baylyi', *The ISME Journal*, 9(10), pp. 2221–2231.

Vos, M. (2009) 'Why do bacteria engage in homologous recombination?', *Trends in Microbiology*,
17(6), pp. 226–232.

Winter, M. *et al.* (2021) 'Antimicrobial resistance acquisition via natural transformation: context is
everything', *Current Opinion in Microbiology*, 64, pp. 133–138.

Winter, M. *et al.* (2023) 'Collection of Annotated Acinetobacter Genome Sequences', *Microbiology*
*Resource Announcements* [Preprint]. Edited by I.L.G. Newton.

Woods, L.C. *et al.* (2020) 'Horizontal gene transfer potentiates adaptation by reducing selective
constraints on the spread of genetic variation', *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of*
*the United States of America*, 117(43), pp. 26868–26875.

Table 1. List of strains used in this study.

| Strain | Fluorescence label | Naturally Competent? | Antibiotic resistance marker | Used as |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| wildtype A. baylyi ADP1 | sfGFP (green) | Yes | Apramycin (480ug/mL) | Focal strain in evolution experiment |
| ΔcomA A. baylyi ADP1 | sfGFP (green) | No | Apramycin (480ug/mL) | Focal strain in evolution experiment |
| ΔcomA A. baylyi ADP1 | mCherry (red) | No | Spectinomycin (600ug/mL) | Common competitor for fitness assays |
| Achromobacter | None | No | N/A | Community member |
| Ochrobactrum | None | No | N/A | Community member |
| Pseudomonas | None | No | N/A | Community member |
| Stenotrophomonas | None | No | N/A | Community member |
| Variovorax | None | No | N/A | Community member |

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| *A. sp. 01B0, KmR isolate 2.* | None | No | N/A | DNA Donor |
| *A. sp. 26B2, isol. 3.* | None | Yes | N/A | DNA Donor |
| *A. sp. 423D, isol. 3.* | None | Yes | N/A | DNA Donor |
| *A. sp. 48A1, isol. 3.* | None | No | N/A | DNA Donor |
| *A. sp. 511B, isol. 5.* | None | No | N/A | DNA Donor |
| *A. sp. 56A1, isol. 3.* | None | No | N/A | DNA Donor |
| *A. sp. 62A1, isol. 3.* | None | Yes | N/A | DNA Donor |
| *A. sp. 63A1, isol. 7.* | None | Yes | N/A | DNA Donor |
| *A. sp. 66A1, isol. 1.* | None | No | N/A | DNA Donor |
| *A. sp. 71A1, isol. 4.* | None | No | N/A | DNA Donor |

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| *A. sp. 81A1, isol. 2.* | None | No | N/A | DNA Donor |
| *A. sp. 85A1, isol.3.* | None | Yes | N/A | DNA Donor |
| *A. sp. A06, isol. 7.* | None | Yes | N/A | DNA Donor |
| *A. sp. A3-6, isol. 3.* | None | No | N/A | DNA Donor |
| *A. sp. AD512A, isol. 4.* | None | No | N/A | DNA Donor |
| *A. baumannii AZR3410, isol. 1.* | None | No | N/A | DNA Donor |
| *A. sp. AZR54, isol. 8.* | None | Yes | N/A | DNA Donor |
| *A. calcoaceticus AZR583, isol. 9.* | None | Yes | N/A | DNA Donor |
| *A. sp. P1-6, isol. 3.* | None | Yes | N/A | DNA Donor |

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| *A. baumannii clinical isolate FZ21* | None | Unknown | N/A | DNA Donor |

434 Table 2. Pairwise comparisons of evolved populations' fitness gains after 5 weeks of evolution

435 compared to a differentially marked *ΔcomA* A. baylyi strain (t-test).

| Genotype | Evolution conditions | Assay conditions | n | t statistic | df | p | p.adj | p.adj.signif |
|----------|---------------------|------------------|---|-------------|----|----|-------|--------------|
| *ΔcomA* | Abiotic | Abiotic | 6 | 14.3936022 | 5 | 0.0000292 | 0.0001168 | *** |
| *ΔcomA* | Biotic | Abiotic | 6 | 16.4278834 | 5 | 0.0000153 | 0.0001168 | *** |
| Wildtype | Abiotic | Abiotic | 6 | 5.92225311 | 5 | 0.00196 | 0.003136 | ** |
| Wildtype | Biotic | Abiotic | 5 | 6.20760288 | 4 | 0.00343 | 0.00457333 | ** |
| *ΔcomA* | Abiotic | Biotic | 6 | 6.00144 | 5 | 0.00184 | 0.003136 | ** |
| *ΔcomA* | Biotic | Biotic | 6 | 7.14547314 | 5 | 0.000834 | 0.002224 | ** |
| Wildtype | Abiotic | Biotic | 6 | 0.78449068 | 5 | 0.468 | 0.468 | ns |
| Wildtype | Biotic | Biotic | 5 | 2.7510881 | 4 | 0.0513 | 0.05862857 | ns |

436 Table 3. Pairwise comparisons of evolved populations' fitness gains after 5 weeks of evolution (t-
437 test).

| Assay conditions | group1 | group2 | n1 | n2 | statistic | df | p | p.adj | p.adj.signif |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Abiotic | ΔcomA - Abiotic | ΔcomA - Biotic | 6 | 6 | -2.3280544 | 9.93372775 | 0.042 | 0.1008 | ns |
| Abiotic | ΔcomA - Abiotic | Wildtype - Abiotic | 6 | 6 | 1.05998667 | 7.29968058 | 0.323 | 0.44933333 | ns |
| Abiotic | ΔcomA - Abiotic | Wildtype - Biotic | 6 | 5 | 0.22473268 | 5.58934404 | 0.83 | 0.83 | ns |
| Abiotic | ΔcomA - Biotic | Wildtype - Abiotic | 6 | 6 | 2.53678612 | 7.65122067 | 0.036 | 0.1008 | ns |
| Abiotic | ΔcomA - Biotic | Wildtype - Biotic | 6 | 5 | 1.60665424 | 5.85610684 | 0.16 | 0.27428571 | ns |
| Abiotic | Wildtype - Abiotic | Wildtype - Biotic | 6 | 5 | -0.6125396 | 8.62633793 | 0.556 | 0.60654545 | ns |
| Biotic | ΔcomA - Abiotic | ΔcomA - Biotic | 6 | 6 | -2.9648507 | 8.28098244 | 0.017 | 0.084 | ns |
| Biotic | ΔcomA - Abiotic | Wildtype - Abiotic | 6 | 6 | 2.78772855 | 8.92975545 | 0.021 | 0.084 | ns |
| Biotic | ΔcomA - Abiotic | Wildtype - Biotic | 6 | 5 | -0.6904628 | 4.90947446 | 0.521 | 0.60654545 | ns |
| Biotic | ΔcomA - Biotic | Wildtype - Abiotic | 6 | 6 | 4.85335787 | 9.83403025 | 0.000701 | 0.008412 | ** |
| Biotic | ΔcomA - Biotic | Wildtype - Biotic | 6 | 5 | 1.03915502 | 6.33112928 | 0.337 | 0.44933333 | ns |
| Biotic | Wildtype - Abiotic | Wildtype - Biotic | 6 | 5 | - | 5.8342106 | 0.078 | 0.156 | ns |

Abiotic                                    2.135 1918      9

438    Table 4. Pairwise comparisons of evolved populations' transformation frequency gains after 5 weeks

439    of evolution (Wilcoxon-test). Samples are tested using heat-killed cell lysate.

| group1 | group2 | n1 | n2 | statistic | p | p.adj | p.adj.signif |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| *ΔcomA Ancestor* | Abiotic Culture | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0.286 | 0.4995 | ns |
| *ΔcomA Ancestor* | Community | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0.333 | 0.4995 | ns |
| *ΔcomA Ancestor* | WT Ancestor | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | ns |
| *Abiotic Culture* | Community | 6 | 5 | 16 | 0.931 | 1 | ns |
| *Abiotic Culture* | WT Ancestor | 6 | 1 | 6 | 0.286 | 0.4995 | ns |
| *Community* | WT Ancestor | 5 | 1 | 5 | 0.333 | 0.4995 | ns |

440      Table 5. Pairwise comparisons of evolved populations' transformation frequency gains after 5 weeks

441      of evolution (Wilcoxon-test). Samples are tested using chemically acquired cell lysate.

| group1 | group2 | n1 | n2 | statistic | p | p.adj | p.adj.signif |
|---:|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| *ΔcomA Ancestor* | Abiotic Culture | 1 | 6 | 0.5 | 0.313 | 0.666 | ns |
| *ΔcomA Ancestor* | Community | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0.333 | 0.666 | ns |
| *ΔcomA Ancestor* | WT Ancestor | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | ns |
| *Abiotic Culture* | Community | 6 | 5 | 11 | 0.537 | 0.8055 | ns |
| *Abiotic Culture* | WT Ancestor | 6 | 1 | 4 | 0.857 | 1 | ns |
| *Community* | WT Ancestor | 5 | 1 | 5 | 0.333 | 0.666 | ns |

Figure 1. Illustration of the evolution experiment and direct competition assays. A: Two focal strains

(competent wildtype and isogenic non-competent counterpart) were cultured separately in their

respective treatment conditions (B). B: Focal strains were subjected to two treatment conditions:

monoculture (abiotic) or co-culture with five competitor species. C: Cultures were propagated by

replacing spent broth with fresh media resulting in an approximately 34-fold dilution each passage.

After the 6$^{th}$ passage, all species except the focal strain are killed off using LB agar amended with

apramycin. The focal strain and freezer stocks of the competitors were allowed to grow to maximum

density in LB broth before being inoculated together for another week of passaging. D: After five full

weeks of passaging, the focal strains are selected for again with use of apramycin agar and frozen in

25% glycerol at -70°C until tested against a common competitor to measure relative fitness. Figure

created                      with                         BioRender.com.

454
455

Figure 2. Selection-rate constants of evolved populations standardised to ancestral populations in

respective assay conditions (y=0). Bracketed asterisks describe significant differences (t-test)

between evolved populations within the same assay conditions. Non-bracketed asterisks describe

significant differences (t-test) between evolved populations' and 0. Fitness differences of each of the

six biological replicates per treatment were measured in triplicate. (ns, no significant difference; *,

p<0.05; **, p<0.01; ***, p<0.001).

462
Figure 3. Treatment-level transformation frequencies of ancestral and evolved populations using

heat-killed cell lysate. Transformation frequencies were measured in triplicate per biological

replicate. The No Competitor, Five Competitor, WT ancestor, and ΔcomA ancestor treatments had 6,

5, 1, and 1 biological replicates, respectively.

Figure 4. Variation of transformation frequencies of biological replicates within evolutionary

treatment groups for (A) chemically acquired and (B) heat-killed cell lysate. Transformation

frequencies were measured in triplicate per biological replicate. Points are biological replicates and

bars are means ± one standard error. Asterisks denote significantly different transformation

frequencies between intra-treatment biological replicates (Kruskal-Wallis, $p < 0.05$).

**Footnote**

One treatment sample containing the wildtype strain in the presence of five concurrent competitors

was lost near the end of the experimental evolution period. In the interest of ensuring all samples

were directly comparable by experiencing identical conditions, we decided to not replace the lost

sample by evolving it independently to the other samples. This explains why the replication level for

one treatment is 5 instead of 6.