**Testosterone acts through membrane protein GPRC6A to cause cardiac edema in**

**zebrafish embryos**

Vahid Zadmajid & Daniel A. Gorelick

Center for Precision Environmental Health

Department of Molecular & Cellular Biology

Baylor College of Medicine

One Baylor Plaza, BCM229

Houston, Texas 77030

USA

Correspondence to DAG: gorelick@bcm.edu

**Abstract**

Androgen actions are classically thought to be mediated by intracellular androgen

receptors (AR), but they can also exert non-genomic effects via binding to integral

membrane proteins. Although several putative membrane androgen receptors were

cloned and characterized *in vitro*, their function as androgen receptors *in vivo* remains

to be further investigated. Here, we used a chemical-genetic screen in zebrafish and

found that the G-protein coupled receptor GPRC6A mediates non-genomic androgen

action during embryonic development. Exposure to three androgens, 5α-Androstane-

3,17-dione (androstanedione), dihydrotestosterone (DHT), and testosterone, caused

cardiac edema or tail curvature in wild-type embryos. *ar* mutant embryos also exhibited

cardiac edema or tail curvature following exposure to these androgens, suggesting the

involvement of *ar*-independent pathways. To identify the causative receptor, we mutated

putative membrane androgen receptors *gprc6a*, *hcar1-4*, or *zip9* genes and exposed

mutant embryos to the androgens. We found that *hcar1-4* and *zip9* mutant embryos

were susceptible to the identified androgens and developed cardiac edema or tail

curvature phenotype following exposure. In contrast, we observed a significant

reduction in cardiac edema phenotype in the *gprc6a* mutants compared to the wild-type

embryos following testosterone treatment. Additionally, we exposed wild-type embryos

to testosterone together with GPRC6A antagonists and observed a significant

suppression of the cardiac edema phenotype. These results suggest that testosterone

causes cardiac edema in zebrafish embryos by acting via the integral membrane protein

GPRC6A, independently of nuclear androgen receptors. Overall, our study provides

insights into non-genomic androgen signaling during embryonic development and

identifies GPRC6A as a key receptor mediating androgen action.

**Introduction**

Androgens regulate the development and function of multiple tissues and cell types, including muscle, heart, bone, skin, prostate, reproductive tract, and the brain (Bakker, 2022; Cunha et al., 2017; Murashima et al., 2015; Rossetti et al., 2017). Classically, androgens act by binding to cytosolic androgen receptors (AR), ligand-inducible transcription factors (Chang et al., 1988; Lubahn et al., 1988a; Lubahn et al., 1988b; Tilley et al., 1989; Trapman et al., 1988). Androgens can also activate signal transduction pathways independently of transcription, via a mechanism called non-genomic signaling (Gorczynska and Handelsman, 1995). These rapid androgen actions can be mediated by second messenger signaling pathways such as activation of intracellular kinases (eg MAPK, PI-3K) or increases in intracellular $Ca^{2+}$ (Estrada et al., 2003; Gatson and Singh, 2007; Gatson et al., 2006; Guo et al., 2002; Kang et al., 2004; Lieberherr and Grosse, 1994; Liu et al., 2022). Androgen signaling occurs in the absence of nuclear AR (Guo et al., 2002; Hatzoglou et al., 2005; Pi et al., 2010). Such non-genomic androgen signaling has been observed in different cell types, including T cells and macrophages (Benten et al., 1999; Wunderlich et al., 2002), osteoblasts (Kang et al., 2004; Lieberherr and Grosse, 1994), prostate and breast cancer cells (Hatzoglou et al., 2005; Liu et al., 2015; Sun et al., 2006), granulosa and Sertoli cells (Gorczynska and Handelsman, 1995; Machelon et al., 1998), and skeletal muscle (Estrada et al., 2000). However, the receptors that mediate non-genomic androgen signaling are not well understood.

Several putative membrane androgen receptors such as G protein-coupled receptor family C group 6 member A (GPRC6A) (Pi and Quarles, 2012; Pi et al., 2008;

Pi et al., 2010), the zinc transporter member 9 protein (ZIP9/SLC39A9) (Thomas et al.,

2014), the G protein-coupled oxo-eicosanoid receptor 1 (OXER1) (Kalyvianaki et al.,

2017), and ion channels such as transient receptor potential melastatin 8 (TRPM8)

(Asuthkar et al., 2015a; Asuthkar et al., 2015b), or L-type $Ca^{2+}$ channel, Cav1.2  (Scragg

et al., 2004; Scragg et al., 2007) were identified based on their ability to bind androgens

*in vitro*.

However, the evidence for androgen-dependent function of such proteins *in vivo*

is less clear, in part because receptors have multiple ligands. For example, zebrafish

*zip9* mutants exhibited reduced fecundity and egg viability compared with wild-type

(Converse and Thomas, 2020) while mouse and zebrafish with mutations in *Cav1.2*

exhibited cardiac abnormalities (Fu et al., 2011; Rosati et al., 2011; Rottbauer et al.,

2001; Seisenberger et al., 2000), but whether these phenotypes are influenced by

androgens, or other ligands binding to these receptors, is not known.

Here, we describe an *in vivo* screening approach combining chemical exposures

and CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing in zebrafish embryos to discover membrane

androgen receptors. Using this approach, we discovered that exposure to exogenous

testosterone alters cardiac development in zebrafish embryos, and that this occurs via

GPRC6A, independently of nuclear AR. We provide evidence that testosterone, and not

a metabolite, acts via GPRC6A. We also discovered that exposure to two additional

androgens, androstanedione and dihydrotestosterone, cause developmental

phenotypes in zebrafish, independently of nuclear AR, GPRC6A, ZIP9 or OXER1,

suggesting that additional membrane androgen receptors exist and influence embryonic

development.

**Materials and Methods**

**Zebrafish**

Zebrafish were raised at 28.5°C on a 14-h light, 10-h dark cycle in the Baylor College of

Medicine (BCM) Zebrafish Research Facility in a recirculating water system

(Aquaneering, Inc., San Diego, CA) and a Tecniplast recirculating water system

(Tecniplast USA, Inc., West Chester, PA). Wild-type zebrafish were AB strain

(Westerfield, 2000) and all mutant lines were generated on the AB strain. The following

previously published mutant lines were used: nuclear androgen receptor *ar* [uab142]

(Crowder et al., 2018), estrogen receptor mutants *esr1a* [uab118] (ERα), *esr2a* [uab134]

(ERβ1), and *esr2b* [uab128] (ERβ2) (Romano et al., 2017). All procedures were approved

by the BCM Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee.

**Embryo collection**

Adult zebrafish were allowed to spawn naturally in pairs or in groups. Embryos were

collected during 20 minute intervals to ensure precise developmental timing within a

group. Embryos were placed in 60 cm$^2$ Petri dishes at a density of no more than 100

per dish in E3B media (60X E3B: 17.2g NaCl, 0.76g KCl, 2.9g $CaCl_2$-$2H_2O$, 2.39g

$MgSO_4$ dissolved in 1 L Milli-Q water; diluted to 1X in 9 liter Milli-Q water plus 100 μL

0.02% methylene blue), and then stored in an incubator at 28.5°C on a 14-h light, 10-h

dark cycle. Between 24- and 48-hours post fertilization (hpf), embryos were manually

dechorionated.

**Embryo treatments**

At 2-4 hpf, embryos were incubated in E3B with androgen receptor modulator(s) or estradiol at 28.5°C until imaging at 3 dpf. Dead embryos were removed daily. Embryos that were alive but abnormal were left in the dish and recorded. All chemicals were purchased as follows: androstanedione CAS registry number 846-46-8, Isosciences catalog # 13386, purity ≥ 95%; dihydrotestosterone CAS 521-18-6, Isosciences catalog # 6065UNL, purity ≥ 98%; testosterone CAS 58-22-0, Sigma catalog # T1500, purity ≥ 98%; calindol CAS # 29610-18-8, Sigma catalog # SML1386, purity ≥ 98%; NPS-2143, CAS # 324523-20-8, Sigma catalog # SML0362, purity ≥ 98%; epigallocatechin gallate, CAS # 989-51-5, Sigma catalog # E4143, purity = 99.87%; estradiol, CAS # 50-28-2, Sigma catalog # E8875, purity ≥ 98%. All chemical stocks were made in 100% DMSO at 1000x and diluted in E3B embryo media to the final concentration (1x) at the time of treatment. All vehicle controls are 0.1% DMSO.

**CRISPR-Cas9 mutant generation**

Chemically synthesized gRNAs for *gprc6a*, *zip9*, *oxer1* (*hcar1-4*), *cav1.2*, and purified Cas9 protein were obtained from Synthego (Redwood City, CA, USA). The target sequences were identified using CHOPCHOP (Labun et al., 2021) or zebrafish genome-scale lookup table from Wu et al. (Wu et al., 2018). Target site sequences are shown in Table S1. 1-cell-stage embryos were injected using glass needles pulled on a Sutter Instruments Fleming/Brown Micropipette Puller, model P-97, and a regulated air-pressure micro-injector (Harvard Apparatus, New York, PL1–90). Each embryo was injected with a 1 nl solution containing 1 µl of 10µM gRNA, 1 µl of 20µM Cas9 protein, 2

µl of 1.5 M KCl, 1 µl phenol red. The mixture was filled to 10 µL with 1X microinjection

buffer (10mM Tris-HCL, 0.1mM EDTA, pH 8.0 in nuclease-free $H_2O$) and incubated at

37 °C for 5-7 min before injection as described (Burger et al., 2016).

To generate *cyp19a1b* mutants, we hybridized oligonucleotides (oligo 1 5'-

TAGGAGCATGTGGTAAAGGATG, oligo 2 5'-AAACCATCCTTTACCACATGCT) to

generate double-stranded target DNA and annealed the DNA into digested pT7-gRNA

plasmid. pT7-gRNA plasmid was digested simultaneously with BsmBI, BglII and SalI for

one hour at 37 °C followed by one hour at 55 °C. gRNAs were synthesized and purified

from this plasmid template using in vitro transcription (MegaShortScript T7 kit, Life

Technologies). Cas9 mRNA was generated using in vitro transcription (mMessage

mMachine T3 kit, Life Technologies) from a linearized pT3TS-nCas9n plasmid. RNA

was purified using RNA clean & concentrator kit (Zymo Research). Plasmids pT7-gRNA

and pT3TS-nCas9n were obtained from Addgene (numbers 46759, 46757)(Jao et al.,

2013). Embryos were injected with a 1 nl solution containing 150 ng/µl of Cas9 mRNA,

50 ng/µl of gRNA and 0.1% phenol red.

For all gRNAs, mixtures were injected into the yolk of each embryo. Injected embryos

were raised to adulthood and crossed to wild-type fish (AB strain) to generate F1

embryos. F1 offspring were sequenced via tail fin biopsies to identify mutations

predicted to cause loss of functional protein.

**Genomic DNA isolation**

Individual embryos or tail biopsies from individual adults were placed in 100 µL ELB (10

mM Tris pH 8.3, 50 mM KCl, 0.3% Tween 20) with 1 µL proteinase K (800 U/ml, NEB) in

96 well plates, one sample per well. Samples were incubated at 55°C for 2 hours

(embryos) or 8 hours (tail clips) to extract genomic DNA. To inactivate Proteinase K,

plates were incubated at 98°C for 10 minutes and stored at -20°C.

**High resolution melt curve analysis**

PCR and melting curve analysis was performed as described (Parant et al., 2009). PCR

reactions contained 1 µl of LC Green Plus Melting Dye (BioFire Diagnostics), 1 µl of Ex

Taq Buffer, 0.8 µl of dNTP Mixture (2.5 mM each), 1 µl of each primer (5 µM), 0.05 µl of

Ex Taq (Takara Bio Inc), 1 µl of genomic DNA, and water up to 10 µl. PCR was

performed in a Bio-Rad C1000 Touch thermal cycler, using black/white 96 well plates

(Bio-Rad HSP9665). PCR reaction protocol was 98°C for 1 min, then 34 cycles of 98°C

for 10 sec, 60°C for 20 sec, and 72°C for 20 sec, followed by 72°C for 1 min. After the

final step, the plate was heated to 95°C for 20 sec and then rapidly cooled to 4°C.

Melting curves were generated with either a LightScanner HR 96 (Idaho Technology)

over a 70–95°C range and analyzed with LightScanner Instrument and Analysis

Software (V. 2.0.0.1331, Idaho Technology, Inc, Salt Lake City, UT), or with a Bio-Rad

CFX96 Real-Time System over a 70–95°C range and analyzed with Bio-Rad CFX

Manager 3.1 software. All mutations were confirmed by TA cloning and sequencing.

PCR primer sequences are shown in Table S2.

**Live imaging**

All embryos were imaged with a Nikon SMZ25 microscope equipped with a Hamamatsu

ORCA-Flash4.0 digital CMOS camera, or with a Nikon SMZ18 microscope equipped

with a Nikon DS-Fi3 camera. Images were equally adjusted for brightness and contrast

in Adobe Photoshop CC 2022. For imaging of embryos following chemical exposure,

embryos were imaged in E3B in 60 $mm^2$ dishes in 0.02% tricaine anesthetic. For

embryos treated as mixed clutch, embryos were genotyped following imaging.

**Experimental design and data analysis**

Each experiment included comparing groups (treated vs untreated or mutant vs

wildtype) using 11-160 embryos per group with all embryos from the same clutch.

Experiments were performed on 3-6 clutches for each genotype. This is essentially a

complete block design with clutch as block. Mean cardiac edema or tail curvature rate of

individual embryos from a clutch was used for comparing treatment groups (or mutant

groups) within experiments using one-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparisons

test. For some individual pairs of comparisons, paired t test was used. Significance level

is 0.05. All Statistical analyses were performed using Prism version 9.4.1 (GraphPad

Software, Boston, MA).

**Results**

**Strategy to identify membrane androgen receptors using genetic and**

**pharmacologic approaches**

The strategy we adopted is shown schematically in Figure 1. We exposed wild-type

embryos to multiple androgens at different concentrations (10-100 μM) in embryo

media, beginning at 3 hours post fertilization. We assayed embryo morphology at 2- and

3- days post fertilization (see Materials and Methods). We identified three androgens,

5α-Androstane-3,17-dione (androstanedione) (15 μM), dihydrotestosterone (DHT) (30

μM), and testosterone (30 μM), that caused a morphologic abnormality. All three

compounds caused cardiac edema with incompletely looped hearts (Figure 2, Table 1;

androstanedione mean embryos with cardiac edema 84% ± 12% standard deviation,

DHT 96% ± 6%, testosterone 79% ± 4%, n=6 clutches, 15-27 embryos per clutch).

Additionally, androstanedione and DHT, but not testosterone, caused a tail curvature

phenotype in which the caudal tail was curved dorsally (androstanedione mean

embryos with tail curvature 94% ± 5% standard deviation, DHT 81% ± 20). For embryos

exposed to androstanedione or DHT, every embryo that exhibited cardiac edema also

exhibited a tail curvature phenotype (Table 1). Other features of embryos, like gross

morphology of the head, eyes, somites, otolith, notochord, brain ventricles, fin folds, and

yolk, appeared normal, suggesting that these androgens affect specific developmental

processes and do not cause generalized, non-specific toxicity.

**Neither androstanedione, DHT, nor testosterone act via nuclear androgen**

**receptor (*ar*) to cause morphologic phenotypes in zebrafish embryos**

To explore whether these three androgens act via nuclear *ar* to cause morphologic

phenotypes, we crossed heterozygous *ar* $^{uab142/+}$ zebrafish to each other and exposed

the resulting embryos to vehicle (0.1% DMSO), androstanedione, DHT, or testosterone

beginning at 3 hours post fertilization. Following chemical exposure, we observed no

statistically significant difference in the percent of embryos exhibiting cardiac edema or

tail curvature phenotypes between wild-type, heterozygous *ar* $^{uab142/+}$, or homozygous *ar*

$^{uab142/uab142}$ embryos (Figure 2, Table 1). We conclude that zebrafish embryos with

mutations in nuclear *ar* are sensitive to androstanedione, DHT, or testosterone

exposure, which raises the possibility that these androgens act via an *ar*-independent

pathway to cause morphologic phenotypes during embryonic development.

**Testosterone, but not androstanedione or DHT, act via GPRC6A to cause cardiac**

**edema**

In the experiments described above, we showed that nuclear *ar* mutant embryos

remained sensitive to androstanedione, DHT, or testosterone exposure, suggesting the

involvement of *ar*-independent pathways. To determine whether these androgens act

via putative membrane androgen receptors, we took an F0 CRISPR genome editing

approach to generate zebrafish with mosaic mutations in putative membrane androgen

receptor genes: *gprc6a*, *zip9*, *oxer1* (*hcar1-4), cacna1c* (*cav1.2*) (Thomas, 2019;

Treviño and Gorelick, 2021)(Fig. S1). This approach enables us to inject embryos with a

cocktail of 4 guide RNAs together with Cas9 protein, targeting the same gene

redundantly, which induces mutations at a high enough burden to observe phenotypes

in the injected embryos (Hoshijima et al., 2019; Kroll et al., 2021; Wu et al., 2018).

We exposed embryos injected with gRNAs targeting *gprc6a*, *zip9*, *hcar1-4,*

*cacna1c*, or control embryos injected with Cas9 alone, to androstanedione, DHT,

testosterone or vehicle (0.1% DMSO) beginning at 3 hours post fertilization and

assayed morphology at 2- and 3-days post fertilization. Following androstanedione,

DHT, or testosterone exposure, *hcar1-4* and *zip9* mutant embryos exhibited cardiac

edema or tail curvature phenotype (Fig. 3). Untreated *cacna1c* mosaic mutant embryos

exhibited cardiac edema with abnormal heart morphology at 3 days post fertilization (not

shown). Therefore, we excluded *cacna1c* from further screening. Following chemical

exposure, we found no statistically significant difference in the proportion of embryos

with cardiac edema or tail curvature phenotype between control or *hcar1-4* and *zip9* F0

mosaic mutants (Fig 3, Table 2).

We found that *gprc6a* mosaic mutants had a statistically significant reduction in

the percent of embryos exhibiting cardiac edema following testosterone exposure

(Figure 3B, Table 3; embryos injected with Cas9 alone mean cardiac edema 65% ±

11%, *gprc6a* mosaic mutants 45% ± 9%, n=8 clutches, 42-164 embryos per clutch).

However, the *gprc6a* mosaic mutants remained sensitive to androstanedione or DHT

exposure (Fig. 3B, Table 2).

As an additional control, we injected wild-type embryos with Cas9 plus gRNAs

targeting an unrelated aryl hydrocarbon receptor gene *(ahr2)*. We exposed *ahr2* mosaic

mutant embryos to testosterone and assayed cardiac edema phenotype at 3 days post

fertilization. There was no statistically significant difference in the percent embryos

exhibiting cardiac edema between control embryos versus *ahr2* mosaic mutant embryos

(Fig. 3C, Table 2).

Our results suggest that testosterone causes cardiac edema by acting via the

integral membrane protein GPRC6A independently of nuclear androgen receptor. We

hypothesize that GPRC6A acts as a membrane receptor for testosterone in zebrafish

embryos. This is consistent with results from cultured cells and adult mouse tissues

suggesting that *Gprc6a* responds to testosterone (Pi et al., 2010; Pi et al., 2015).

**CRISPR–Cas9 mutagenesis efficiency in F0 embryos**

The absence of a phenotype in a CRISPR screen could be due to low efficiency of

gRNAs. It is possible that targeting *zip9* or *hcar1-4* failed to rescue androgen

phenotypes because the *zip9* and *hcar1-4* gRNAs had low efficacy. To evaluate the

mutagenesis efficiency in our F0 embryos, we isolated genomic DNA from individual

embryos at 24 hours post fertilization. The targeted site was amplified using PCR.

Amplicons were Sanger sequenced and then deconvoluted and analyzed using the ICE

(Inference of CRISPR Edits) algorithm(Conant et al., 2022). We compared indel

mutations (the percent of sequence from each embryo that has a mutation) or knockout

score (the percent of mutations from each embryo that were predicted to produce a

non-functional protein) for each gRNA (Fig. S2). Different guides acted with variable

effectiveness. In the cocktail of 4 guide RNA sets to target *gprc6a*, G4 produced an

average of more than 50% indel mutations or knockout score (n=38 embryos from 2

clutches). G1 and G2 were less effective, with an average mutation frequency of less

than 10% (n=40 embryos from 2 clutches), and G3 produced 0% mutation (n=38

embryos from 2 clutches) (Fig. S2).

Comparing the efficiency of guide RNAs targeting *zip9*, G1, and G3 were the

most effective. G1 induced an average of 78% indel mutations (n=40 embryos from 2

clutches) or 52% knockout score (n=40 embryos from 2 clutches), and G3 induced an

average of 73% indel mutations (n=45 embryos from 2 clutches) or 5% knockout score

(n=45 embryos from 2 clutches). For *hcar1-4*, the average indel mutations or knockout

score for all G1, G2, and G4 was greater than 50% (n=2 clutches/24-45 embryos per

clutch). In analyzed *hcar1-4* mosaic mutant embryos, G4 induced greater than 90%

indel mutations or knockout-score (n=46 embryos from 2 clutches). Overall, these

results confirm the efficacy of multi-locus targeting to generate zebrafish F0 mutants for

the purpose of initial screening of gene function.

**Zygotic *gprc6a* mutants recapitulate phenotypes observed in mosaic mutants**

To validate our observations, we generated heritable, non-mosaic mutations in

*gprc6a* and determined whether homozygous embryos were protected from

testosterone-dependent cardiac edema, as were F0 mosaic mutants. We injected wild-

type embryos with Cas9 together with the same set of 4 guide RNAs, targeting different

coding regions of the *gprc6a* gene, as we did to generate *gprc6a* mosaic mutants (Fig.

S3). Injected embryos were raised to adulthood and outcrossed to wild-type zebrafish to

generate heterozygous F1 embryos. Heterozygous F1 adult fish were sequenced to

identify mutations predicted to cause loss of functional GPRC6A protein. We identified

several mutant alleles in F1 fish and derived five independent *gprc6a* mutant lines, from

which we performed experiments on homozygous embryos: $gprc6a^{\,bcm53/-;\ bcm52/-}$, $gprc6a$

$^{bcm56/-;\ bcm54/-;\ bcm55/-}$, $gprc6a^{\,bcm56/-;\ bcm54/-}$, $gprc6a^{\,bcm59/-;\ bcm58/-}$, and $gprc6a^{\,bcm61/-;\ bcm60/-}$

(Fig. S3A). For example, *bcm53* is a 1 bp deletion in exon 1 resulting in a frameshift at

amino acid 44 and a premature stop codon at amino acid 50 in the ligand binding

domain. *bcm5*2 is a 1 bp deletion in exon 3 resulting in a frameshift at amino acid 225

and a premature stop codon at amino acid 250. These two alleles are genetically linked

(we were unable to generate fish containing *bcm52* without *bcm53*), however the

upstream allele (*bcm53*) is likely driving the loss of function. We did not observe any

morphologic differences among untreated $gprc6a^{\,bcm53/-;\ bcm52/-}$, $gprc6a^{\,bcm56/-;\ bcm54/-;}$

$^{bcm55/-}$, $gprc6a^{\,bcm56/-;\ bcm54/-}$, $gprc6a^{\,bcm59/-;\ bcm58/-}$, and $gprc6a^{\,bcm61/-;\ bcm60/-}$ embryos.

We exposed homozygous *gprc6a* embryos to vehicle, androstanedione, DHT, or

testosterone beginning at 3 hours post fertilization. Each clutch contained a mix of wild-

type and mutant embryos (denoted as wild-type +/+, heterozygous +/-, or homozygous -

/-; Fig 4A). Following testosterone exposure, homozygous *gprc6a* mutant embryos

exhibited partial cardiac edema rescue, similar to what we observed in mosaic F0

mutants (Figure 4B, Table 3; wild-type mean percent cardiac edema 76% ± 9%,

heterozygous 76% ± 8%, homozygous 58% ± 10%, n=13 clutches, 12-57 embryos per

clutch). Analyzing the response of each allele separately revealed similar results (Fig.

S4, Table 3). Homozygous *gprc6a* mutants remained sensitive to androstanedione and

DHT exposure, similar to wild-type embryos (Fig. 4B, Table 3). We conclude that the F0

CRISPR *gprc6a* mosaic mutants recapitulate what occurs in non-mosaic homozygous

mutants. Taken together, our results suggest that testosterone causes cardiac edema

by acting via the integral membrane protein GPRC6A independently of the nuclear *ar*.

**GPRC6A antagonists inhibit testosterone-dependent cardiac edema in wild-type**

**embryos**

To further confirm that testosterone acts through *gprc6a* to cause cardiac edema,

we tested whether GPRC6A antagonists block testosterone-driven cardiac edema. We

exposed wild-type embryos to testosterone together with different GPRC6A antagonists,

NPS-2143 (5 µM), calindol (5 µM), and epigallocatechin gallate (EGCG, 100 µM) at

concentrations used to study GPRC6A responses in cultured cells (Faure et al., 2009;

Mizuta et al., 2021; Pi et al., 2018). We confirmed that 5 µM NPS-2143, 5 µM calindol,

and 100 µM EGCG do not cause abnormalities in embryos in the absence of androgen

exposure (Fig. 5A, Table 4). We found that wild-type embryos exposed to NPS-2143 or

EGCG with testosterone had statistically significantly reduced cardiac edema compared

to embryos exposed to testosterone alone (Figure 5A, Table 4; testosterone mean

embryos with cardiac edema 69% ± 7%, testosterone + NPS-2143 39% ± 9%,

testosterone + EGCG 32% ± 8%, n=6 clutches, 43-63 embryos per clutch). While NPS-

2143 and EGCG partially blocked testosterone-driven cardiac edema, calindol failed to

reduce testosterone-dependent cardiac edema (Fig. 5A, Table 4). Calindol was

developed as a calcimimetic that binds the human calcium sensing receptor and has

lower selectivity towards GPRC6A (Gloriam et al., 2011; Kessler et al., 2004). The

failure of calindol to inhibit zebrafish GPRC6A could be due to the lower selectivity

together with differences between zebrafish and human GPRC6A proteins.

To test whether NPS-2143 and EGCG act specifically on zebrafish GPRC6A, we

exposed homozygous *gprc6a* mutant embryos to testosterone alone or together with

NPS-2143 and EGCG and assayed cardiac edema. There was no statistically

significant difference in the percent of *gprc6a* homozygous embryos exhibiting cardiac

edema following exposure to NPS-2143 or EGCG alone or in combination with

testosterone (Figure 5B, Table 4; testosterone mean embryos with cardiac edema 45%

± 5%, testosterone + NPS-2143 55% ± 5%, testosterone + EGCG 47% ± 6%, n=4

clutches, 18-30 embryos per clutch). These results show that the zebrafish *gprc6a*

mutants do not respond to GPRC6A antagonists and supports the hypothesis that

testosterone acts via GPRC6A to cause cardiac edema.

**Testosterone, and not a metabolite, causes cardiac edema in a GPRC6A-**

**dependent manner**

Testosterone is metabolized into molecules like estradiol. We showed that exposure to

testosterone caused cardiac edema in a GPRC6A-dependent manner. However, it is

not known whether testosterone, or a metabolite, is the active compound that causes

the phenotype. We hypothesize that testosterone itself acts on GPRC6A to cause

cardiac edema in zebrafish embryos. To test whether estradiol, a testosterone

metabolite, causes a phenotype similar to testosterone, we exposed wild-type embryos

at 3 hours post fertilization to 30 μM estradiol or 30 μM testosterone and assayed

morphologic phenotypes at 3 days post fertilization. We found that exposure of wild-type

embryos to estradiol did not cause cardiac edema or other morphologic phenotypes

(Fig. 6A, Table 5), supporting the hypothesis that testosterone, and not estradiol, is the

active compound that causes the phenotype.

To further test whether testosterone acts on GPRC6A directly *in vivo*, we

generated aromatase mutant embryos and asked whether testosterone causes a

phenotype in an aromatase-dependent manner. Aromatase is the rate-limiting enzyme

in the conversion of testosterone into estradiol (Conley and Hinshelwood, 2001; Duffy et

al., 2010). Zebrafish have two aromatase genes, *cyp19a1a* and *cyp19a1b* (Chiang et

al., 2001a; Chiang et al., 2001b). *cyp19a1a* is expressed exclusively in the gonads

(which do not begin developing until ~10 days post fertilization), while *cyp19a1b* is

expressed in the brain at 2 days post fertilization (Chiang et al., 2001a; Chiang et al.,

2001b; Lassiter and Linney, 2007). Here we focused on the *cyp19a1b* gene because of

its expression during embryonic development.

Using CRISPR/Cas9, we generated heritable mutant alleles for *cyp19a1b* with a

20 bp insertion in exon 2 (Fig. S5A, maternal zygotic *cyp19a1b* mutant *MZcyp19a1b*

[uab124]). The mutation is predicted to result in a frameshift at amino acid 11 and a

premature stop codon at amino acid 30 (Fig. S5B). We exposed *MZcyp19a1b* [uab124]

embryos to vehicle (0.1% DMSO) or testosterone at 3 hours post fertilization and

assayed morphologic phenotypes at 3 days post fertilization. *MZcyp19a1b* [uab124]

embryos remained sensitive to testosterone exposure, similar to what we observed

when wild-type embryos were exposed to testosterone (Figure 6B, Table 5; wild-type

mean embryos cardiac edema 85% ± 8%, *MZcyp19a1b* 82% ± 6%, n=4 clutches, 21-35

embryos per clutch). These results suggest that aromatase is not required for

testosterone-dependent cardiac edema.

To exclude the possibility that testosterone acts via estrogen receptors (either via

non-specific binding or via crosstalk between GPRC6A and nuclear estrogen receptors),

we exposed estrogen receptor mutant embryos, *esr1a* $^{uab118/-}$ (ERα), *esr2a* $^{uab134/-}$

(ERβ1), and *esr2b* $^{uab128/-}$ (ERβ2) to testosterone. We found no significant difference in

the percent of embryos exhibiting cardiac edema phenotype between wild-type or

heterozygous embryos versus homozygous *esr1a* $^{uab118/-}$, *esr2a* $^{uab134/-}$, and *esr2b* $^{uab128/-}$

mutant embryos after testosterone exposure (Figure 6C-E, Table 5). Taken together,

these results suggest that testosterone, and not a metabolite, is the active agent that

causes cardiac edema via GPRC6A.

**Discussion**

We demonstrate that a CRISPR screen in zebrafish embryos can be used to

identify genes required for testosterone-dependent phenotypes. The zebrafish

screening approach complements existing cell-based approaches. Membrane steroid

receptors are typically identified based on their binding or activity in cultured cells, and

then tested for similar activity *in vivo*. It can be difficult to determine whether a receptor

responds to steroids *in vivo*, especially receptors that have multiple ligands. For

example, the estrogen receptor modulator tamoxifen was shown to bind directly to the

human sodium channel Nav1.2 and inhibit sodium conductance *in vitro* (Sula et al.,

2021), but whether this occurs *in vivo* is not known. The zebrafish screening approach

allows for the direct identification of membrane steroid receptors *in vivo*.

Our approach relies on using CRISPR-Cas9 to rapidly generate mutant

zebrafish. To generate heritable mutants, germline transmitting animals need to be

identified and mated to produce homozygous embryos, a process that takes months. In

contrast, analyzing gene function in mosaic mutant animals (F0 generation) takes less

than a week. Our approach was enabled by recent findings that injecting zebrafish

embryos with Cas9 protein and a cocktail of 3-4 guide RNAs targeting the same gene

induces mutations at a high enough burden to observe phenotypes in mosaic mutant

embryos (Klatt Shaw and Mokalled, 2021; Kroll et al., 2021; Wu et al., 2018). One

potential limitation of CRISPR screens is the failure of F0 mosaic mutants to

recapitulate phenotypes found in heritable, non-mosaic mutants (eg F1 and subsequent

generations). In our screen, this was not the case. We identified similar phenotypes in

F0 mosaic and in non-mosaic *gprc6a* mutants (compare figures 3 and 4), demonstrating

the validity of using the F0 CRISPR screening approach.

Our pilot screen tested 4 candidate genes using CRISPR-Cas9. However, new

barcoding and microfluidics approaches, such as MIC-Drop, could allow us to screen

and mutate hundreds or thousands of genes (Parvez et al., 2021). Combining different

chemical exposures with MIC-Drop offers the unprecedented ability to comprehensively

identify membrane receptors for any steroid molecule that causes a phenotype in

zebrafish embryos. Here, we assayed gross, easily observable morphologic

phenotypes. It is also possible to assay more subtle phenotypes, for example by using

transgenic reporter zebrafish that mark a cell-type of interest, or by using assays to

measure behaviors like locomotor activity.

Our chemical-genetic F0 CRISPR screening approach has several limitations.

Our scheme relies on identifying phenotypes following exposure to steroids, and then

testing whether a gene mutation rescues the exposure phenotype (Figure 1). If a mutant

has a phenotype in the absence of chemical exposure, then we cannot test that gene.

For example, embryos injected with gRNAs targeting *cav1.2* exhibited cardiac edema in

the absence of chemical exposure. Consequently, we could not test whether *cav1.2*

mutations rescue testosterone-dependent cardiac edema. This also demonstrates the

strength of the approach. The phenotype we observed in *cav1.2* mosaic mutants was

similar to the phenotypes observed in *cav1.2* heritable, homozygous mutants (Rottbauer

et al., 2001), further supporting the idea that F0 mosaic mutants recapitulate

phenotypes observed in heritable, non-mosaic mutants.

Another limitation is that genetic compensation could produce false negative

results. A mutation in a gene could lead to upregulation of a related gene that

compensates for the original mutation. In this case, we would falsely conclude that the

target gene is not involved in steroid signaling. Future studies can overcome this

limitation by performing a deletion screen, using two gRNAs flanking the target gene to

delete the entire target gene. This would prevent production of mRNA and consequently

would reduce the occurrence of compensation triggered by mutant mRNA (El-Brolosy et

al., 2019; Fernandez-Abascal et al., 2022; Ma et al., 2019; Serobyan et al., 2020).

Another concern are phenotypes resulting from the off-target effects of Cas9. These can

be validated by performing RNA rescue studies or by generating heritable, non-mosaic

mutations in the target gene. By successively breeding such mutants with wild-type

animals, one selects for the target mutation and loses potential off-target mutations.

This is the strategy we employed. We found that homozygous *gprc6a* mutants are

partially resistant to testosterone exposure (Figure 4), similar to what we observed in

mosaic *gprc6a* F0 mutants (Figure 3). Thus, the off-target effects of Cas9 are unlikely to

influence our results.

We found that testosterone exposure caused cardiac edema and heart

malformations in approximately 80% of wild-type embryos. This phenotype was partially

rescued by co-administration of two different GPRC6A antagonists (Figure 5). The

testosterone phenotype was also partially rescued in *gprc6a* mutants (both mosaic F0

and heritable homozygotes, Figure 3, Figure 4). Similar results using F0 mosaic and

non-mosaic mutants, and using pharmacologic antagonists, suggests the partial rescue

is not an artifact of mutagenesis. What explains the lack of a complete rescue?

Testosterone could be acting simultaneously through multiple receptors, in addition to

GPRC6A, to cause cardiac abnormalities. *ar uab142* mutants remained sensitive to

testosterone exposure, suggesting that testosterone acts independently of nuclear AR

here. We cannot exclude the possibility that *uab142* mutants are hypomorphs that retain

partial function of AR. Thus, it is possible that testosterone causes cardiac edema by

acting through both GPRC6A and nuclear AR. Testing *gprc6a;ar* double mutants, or

generating *ar* deletion mutants, could answer this question more directly. Testosterone

could also be acting simultaneously through multiple pathways, for example by binding

to receptors and by altering the fluidity of cell membranes or the mobility of membrane

proteins (Liang et al., 2001; Shivaji and Jagannadham, 1992; Whiting et al., 1995;

Whiting et al., 2000).

Our results are the first to identify a role for androgen-dependent GPRC6A

activity during embryonic development. Our results are consistent with results from

cultured cells and mouse models demonstrating that GPRC6A responds to

testosterone. In HEK-293 cells, testosterone stimulated extracellular signal-regulated

kinase (ERK) activity, which was blocked by GPRC6A antagonists (Pi et al., 2018). In

wild-type mice, testosterone treatment increased levels of phosphorylated ERK and

Egr-1 expression in bone marrow and testis, which was reduced or absent in GPRC6A

mutant mice (Pi et al., 2010). Several ligands activate GPRC6A, including calcium,

magnesium, and the peptide hormone osteocalcin (Pi et al., 2005). It remains to be seen

whether or how testosterone influences the response of GPRC6A to other ligands.

Osteocalcin is maternally deposited into zebrafish oocytes and expressed at 2 days

post fertilization (Bensimon-Brito et al., 2012), developmental stages when embryos

exposed to testosterone exhibit cardiac phenotypes. Future studies could explore

whether osteocalcin is required for testosterone-GPRC6A-dependent cardiac

phenotypes.

In conclusion, we developed a combined chemical and genetic screening

approach to identify genes required for androgen-dependent phenotypes in zebrafish

embryos. Our pilot screen revealed that testosterone acts via GPRC6A to cause cardiac

phenotypes. This chemical-genetic screening platform can be adapted to discover

genes encoding membrane proteins that act as receptors for any steroid or related

small molecule.

**Acknowledgements**

We thank Lauren Pandolfo and the staff of the BCM zebrafish facility for caring for our

zebrafish, the members of NR IMPACT for scientific feedback during the early phases

of this project, and members of the Gorelick laboratory for advice on experiments. This

work was supported by the training program in Precision Environmental Health

Sciences T32 ES027801.

## References

**Asuthkar, S., Elustondo, P. A., Demirkhanyan, L., Sun, X., Baskaran, P., Velpula, K. K., Thyagarajan, B., Pavlov, E. V. and Zakharian, E.** (2015a). The TRPM8 protein is a testosterone receptor: I. Biochemical evidence for direct TRPM8-testosterone interactions. *J. Biol. Chem.* **290**, 2659–2669.

**Asuthkar, S., Demirkhanyan, L., Sun, X., Elustondo, P. A., Krishnan, V., Baskaran, P., Velpula, K. K., Thyagarajan, B., Pavlov, E. V. and Zakharian, E.** (2015b). The TRPM8 protein is a testosterone receptor: II. Functional evidence for an ionotropic effect of testosterone on TRPM8. *J. Biol. Chem.* **290**, 2670–2688.

**Bakker, J.** (2022). The role of steroid hormones in the sexual differentiation of the human brain. *J. Neuroendocrinol.* **34**, e13050.

**Bensimon-Brito, A., Cardeira, J., Cancela, M. L., Huysseune, A. and Witten, P. E.** (2012). Distinct patterns of notochord mineralization in zebrafish coincide with the localization of Osteocalcin isoform 1 during early vertebral centra formation. *BMC Dev. Biol.* **12**, 28.

**Benten, W. P., Lieberherr, M., Stamm, O., Wrehlke, C., Guo, Z. and Wunderlich, F.** (1999). Testosterone signaling through internalizable surface receptors in androgen receptor-free macrophages. *Mol. Biol. Cell* **10**, 3113–3123.

**Burger, A., Lindsay, H., Felker, A., Hess, C., Anders, C., Chiavacci, E., Zaugg, J., Weber, L. M., Catena, R., Jinek, M., et al.** (2016). Maximizing mutagenesis with

solubilized CRISPR-Cas9 ribonucleoprotein complexes. *Development* **143**, 2025–

2037.

**Chang, C. S., Kokontis, J. and Liao, S. T.** (1988). Molecular cloning of human and rat

complementary DNA encoding androgen receptors. *Science* **240**, 324–326.

**Chiang, E. F., Yan, Y. L., Guiguen, Y., Postlethwait, J. and Chung Bc** (2001a). Two

Cyp19 (P450 aromatase) genes on duplicated zebrafish chromosomes are expressed

in ovary or brain. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **18**, 542–550.

**Chiang, E. F., Yan, Y. L., Tong, S. K., Hsiao, P. H., Guiguen, Y., Postlethwait, J. and**

**Chung, B. C.** (2001b). Characterization of duplicated zebrafish cyp19 genes. *J. Exp.*

*Zool.* **290**, 709–714.

**Conant, D., Hsiau, T., Rossi, N., Oki, J., Maures, T., Waite, K., Yang, J., Joshi, S.,**

**Kelso, R., Holden, K., et al.** (2022). Inference of CRISPR Edits from Sanger Trace

Data. *The CRISPR Journal* **5**, 123–130.

**Conley, A. and Hinshelwood, M.** (2001). Mammalian aromatases. *Reproduction* **121**,

685–695.

**Converse, A. and Thomas, P.** (2020). The zinc transporter ZIP9 (Slc39a9) regulates

zinc dynamics essential to egg activation in zebrafish. *Sci. Rep.* **10**, 15673.

**Crowder, C. M., Lassiter, C. S. and Gorelick, D. A.** (2018). Nuclear androgen receptor

regulates testes organization and oocyte maturation in zebrafish. *Endocrinology* **159**,

980–993.

**Cunha, G. R., Kurita, T., Cao, M., Shen, J., Robboy, S. and Baskin, L.** (2017).

Molecular mechanisms of development of the human fetal female reproductive tract.

*Differentiation.* **97**, 54–72.

**Duffy, T. A., Picha, M. E., Won, E. T., Borski, R. J., McElroy, A. E. and Conover, D.**

**O.** (2010). Ontogenesis of gonadal aromatase gene expression in atlantic silverside

(Menidia menidia) populations with genetic and temperature-dependent sex

determination. *J. Exp. Zool. A Ecol. Genet. Physiol.* **313**, 421–431.

**El-Brolosy, M. A., Kontarakis, Z., Rossi, A., Kuenne, C., Günther, S., Fukuda, N.,**

**Kikhi, K., Boezio, G. L. M., Takacs, C. M., Lai, S.-L., et al.** (2019). Genetic

compensation triggered by mutant mRNA degradation. *Nature* **568**, 193–197.

**Estrada, M., Liberona, J. L., Miranda, M. and Jaimovich, E.** (2000). Aldosterone- and

testosterone-mediated intracellular calcium response in skeletal muscle cell cultures.

*Am. J. Physiol. Endocrinol. Metab.* **279**, E132-9.

**Estrada, M., Espinosa, A., Müller, M. and Jaimovich, E.** (2003). Testosterone

stimulates intracellular calcium release and mitogen-activated protein kinases via a G

protein-coupled receptor in skeletal muscle cells. *Endocrinology* **144**, 3586–3597.

**Faure, H., Gorojankina, T., Rice, N., Dauban, P., Dodd, R. H., Bräuner-Osborne, H.,**

**Rognan, D. and Ruat, M.** (2009). Molecular determinants of non-competitive

antagonist binding to the mouse GPRC6A receptor. *Cell Calcium* **46**, 323–332.

**Fernandez-Abascal, J., Wang, L., Graziano, B., Johnson, C. K. and Bianchi, L.**

(2022). Exon-dependent transcriptional adaptation by exon-junction complex proteins

Y14/RNP-4 and MAGOH/MAG-1 in Caenorhabditis elegans. *PLoS Genet.* **18**,

e1010488.

**Fu, Y., Westenbroek, R. E., Yu, F. H., Clark, J. P., Marshall, M. R., Scheuer, T. and**

**Catterall, W. A.** (2011). Deletion of the distal C terminus of CaV1.2 channels leads to

loss of beta-adrenergic regulation and heart failure in vivo. *J. Biol. Chem.* **286**, 12617–

12626.

**Gatson, J. W. and Singh, M.** (2007). Activation of a membrane-associated androgen

receptor promotes cell death in primary cortical astrocytes. *Endocrinology* **148**, 2458–

2464.

**Gatson, J. W., Kaur, P. and Singh, M.** (2006). Dihydrotestosterone differentially

modulates the mitogen-activated protein kinase and the phosphoinositide 3-

kinase/Akt pathways through the nuclear and novel membrane androgen receptor in

C6 cells. *Endocrinology* **147**, 2028–2034.

**Gloriam, D. E., Wellendorph, P., Johansen, L. D., Thomsen, A. R. B., Phonekeo, K.,**

**Pedersen, D. S. and Bräuner-Osborne, H.** (2011). Chemogenomic discovery of

allosteric antagonists at the GPRC6A receptor. *Chem. Biol.* **18**, 1489–1498.

**Gorczynska, E. and Handelsman, D. J.** (1995). Androgens rapidly increase the

cytosolic calcium concentration in Sertoli cells. *Endocrinology* **136**, 2052–2059.

**Guo, Z., Benten, W. P. M., Krücken, J. and Wunderlich, F.** (2002). Nongenomic

testosterone calcium signaling. Genotropic actions in androgen receptor-free

macrophages. *J. Biol. Chem.* **277**, 29600–29607.

**Hatzoglou, A., Kampa, M., Kogia, C., Charalampopoulos, I., Theodoropoulos, P.**

**A., Anezinis, P., Dambaki, C., Papakonstanti, E. A., Stathopoulos, E. N.,**

**Stournaras, C., et al.** (2005). Membrane androgen receptor activation induces

apoptotic regression of human prostate cancer cells in vitro and in vivo. *J. Clin.*

*Endocrinol. Metab.* **90**, 893–903.

**Hoshijima, K., Jurynec, M. J., Klatt Shaw, D., Jacobi, A. M., Behlke, M. A. and**

**Grunwald, D. J.** (2019). Highly Efficient CRISPR-Cas9-Based Methods for

Generating Deletion Mutations and F0 Embryos that Lack Gene Function in Zebrafish.

*Dev. Cell* **51**, 645-657.e4.

**Jao, L.-E., Wente, S. R. and Chen, W.** (2013). Efficient multiplex biallelic zebrafish

genome editing using a CRISPR nuclease system. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **110**,

13904–13909.

**Kalyvianaki, K., Gebhart, V., Peroulis, N., Panagiotopoulou, C., Kiagiadaki, F.,**

**Pediaditakis, I., Aivaliotis, M., Moustou, E., Tzardi, M., Notas, G., et al.** (2017).

Antagonizing effects of membrane-acting androgens on the eicosanoid receptor

OXER1 in prostate cancer. *Sci. Rep.* **7**, 44418.

**Kang, H.-Y., Cho, C.-L., Huang, K.-L., Wang, J.-C., Hu, Y.-C., Lin, H.-K., Chang, C.**

**and Huang, K.-E.** (2004). Nongenomic androgen activation of phosphatidylinositol 3-

kinase/Akt signaling pathway in MC3T3-E1 osteoblasts. *J. Bone Miner. Res.* **19**,

1181–1190.

**Kessler, A., Faure, H., Petrel, C., Ruat, M., Dauban, P. and Dodd, R. H.** (2004). N2-

benzyl-N1-(1-(1-naphthyl)ethyl)-3-phenylpropane-1,2-diamines and conformationally

restrained indole analogues: development of calindol as a new calcimimetic acting at

the calcium sensing receptor. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **14**, 3345–3349.

**Klatt Shaw, D. and Mokalled, M. H.** (2021). Efficient CRISPR/Cas9 mutagenesis for

neurobehavioral screening in adult zebrafish. *G3 (Bethesda)* **11**,.

**Kroll, F., Powell, G. T., Ghosh, M., Gestri, G., Antinucci, P., Hearn, T. J., Tunbak,**

**H., Lim, S., Dennis, H. W., Fernandez, J. M., et al.** (2021). A simple and effective F0

knockout method for rapid screening of behaviour and other complex phenotypes.

*eLife* **10**,.

**Labun, K., Krause, M., Torres Cleuren, Y. and Valen, E.** (2021). CRISPR genome

editing made easy through the CHOPCHOP website. *Curr. Protoc.* **1**, e46.

**Lassiter, C. S. and Linney, E.** (2007). Embryonic expression and steroid regulation of

brain aromatase cyp19a1b in zebrafish (Danio rerio). *Zebrafish* **4**, 49–57.

**Liang, Y., Belford, S., Tang, F., Prokai, L., Simpkins, J. W. and Hughes, J. A.**

(2001). Membrane fluidity effects of estratrienes. *Brain Res. Bull.* **54**, 661–668.

**Lieberherr, M. and Grosse, B.** (1994). Androgens increase intracellular calcium

concentration and inositol 1,4,5-trisphosphate and diacylglycerol formation via a

pertussis toxin-sensitive G-protein. *J. Biol. Chem.* **269**, 7217–7223.

**Liu, G., Honisch, S., Liu, G., Schmidt, S., Alkahtani, S., AlKahtane, A. A.,**

**Stournaras, C. and Lang, F.** (2015). Up-regulation of Orai1 expression and store

operated Ca(2+) entry following activation of membrane androgen receptors in MCF-7

breast tumor cells. *BMC Cancer* **15**, 995.

**Liu, G., Han, S., Jiang, S., Jiang, Y., Chen, C., Sun, N. and Che, H.** (2022).

Extracellular Ca2+ aggravates IgE-induced allergic reaction in mast cells through

GPRC6A, a novel family C G-protein-coupled receptor. *Life Sci.* **311**, 121013.

**Lubahn, D. B., Joseph, D. R., Sullivan, P. M., Willard, H. F., French, F. S. and**

**Wilson, E. M.** (1988a). Cloning of human androgen receptor complementary DNA

and localization to the X chromosome. *Science* **240**, 327–330.

**Lubahn, D. B., Joseph, D. R., Sar, M., Tan, J., Higgs, H. N., Larson, R. E., French,**

**F. S. and Wilson, E. M.** (1988b). The human androgen receptor: complementary

deoxyribonucleic acid cloning, sequence analysis and gene expression in prostate.

*Mol. Endocrinol.* **2**, 1265–1275.

**Machelon, V., Nomé, F. and Tesarik, J.** (1998). Nongenomic effects of

androstenedione on human granulosa luteinizing cells. *J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.* **83**,

263–269.

**Ma, Z., Zhu, P., Shi, H., Guo, L., Zhang, Q., Chen, Y., Chen, S., Zhang, Z., Peng, J.**

**and Chen, J.** (2019). PTC-bearing mRNA elicits a genetic compensation response

via Upf3a and COMPASS components. *Nature* **568**, 259–263.

**Mizuta, H., Kumamoto, N., Ugawa, S. and Yamamoto, T.** (2021). Additive Effects of

L-Ornithine on Preferences to Basic Taste Solutions in Mice. *Nutrients* **13**,.

**Murashima, A., Kishigami, S., Thomson, A. and Yamada, G.** (2015). Androgens and

mammalian male reproductive tract development. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **1849**, 163–

170.

**Parant, J. M., George, S. A., Pryor, R., Wittwer, C. T. and Yost, H. J.** (2009). A rapid

and efficient method of genotyping zebrafish mutants. *Dev. Dyn.* **238**, 3168–3174.

**Parvez, S., Herdman, C., Beerens, M., Chakraborti, K., Harmer, Z. P., Yeh, J. J.,**

**MacRae, C. A., Yost, H. J. and Peterson, R. T.** (2021). MIC-Drop: A platform for

large-scale in vivo CRISPR screens. *Science* **373**, 1146–1151.

**Pi, M. and Quarles, L. D.** (2012). GPRC6A regulates prostate cancer progression.

*Prostate* **72**, 399–409.

**Pi, M., Faber, P., Ekema, G., Jackson, P. D., Ting, A., Wang, N., Fontilla-Poole, M.,**

**Mays, R. W., Brunden, K. R., Harrington, J. J., et al.** (2005). Identification of a novel

extracellular cation-sensing G-protein-coupled receptor. *J. Biol. Chem.* **280**, 40201–

40209.

**Pi, M., Chen, L., Huang, M.-Z., Zhu, W., Ringhofer, B., Luo, J., Christenson, L., Li,**

**B., Zhang, J., Jackson, P. D., et al.** (2008). GPRC6A null mice exhibit osteopenia,

feminization and metabolic syndrome. *PLoS ONE* **3**, e3858.

**Pi, M., Parrill, A. L. and Quarles, L. D.** (2010). GPRC6A mediates the non-genomic

effects of steroids. *J. Biol. Chem.* **285**, 39953–39964.

**Pi, M., Kapoor, K., Wu, Y., Ye, R., Senogles, S. E., Nishimoto, S. K., Hwang, D.-J.,**

**Miller, D. D., Narayanan, R., Smith, J. C., et al.** (2015). Structural and functional

evidence for testosterone activation of GPRC6A in peripheral tissues. *Mol.*

*Endocrinol.* **29**, 1759–1773.

**Pi, M., Kapoor, K., Ye, R., Smith, J. C., Baudry, J. and Quarles, L. D.** (2018).

GPCR6A is a molecular target for the natural products gallate and EGCG in green

tea. *Mol. Nutr. Food Res.* **62**, e1700770.

**Romano, S. N., Edwards, H. E., Souder, J. P., Ryan, K. J., Cui, X. and Gorelick, D.**

**A.** (2017). G protein-coupled estrogen receptor regulates embryonic heart rate in

zebrafish. *PLoS Genet.* **13**, e1007069.

**Rosati, B., Yan, Q., Lee, M. S., Liou, S.-R., Ingalls, B., Foell, J., Kamp, T. J. and**

**McKinnon, D.** (2011). Robust L-type calcium current expression following

heterozygous knockout of the Cav1.2 gene in adult mouse heart. *J Physiol (Lond)*

**589**, 3275–3288.

**Rossetti, M. L., Steiner, J. L. and Gordon, B. S.** (2017). Androgen-mediated

regulation of skeletal muscle protein balance. *Mol. Cell. Endocrinol.* **447**, 35–44.

**Rottbauer, W., Baker, K., Wo, Z. G., Mohideen, M. A., Cantiello, H. F. and Fishman,**

**M. C.** (2001). Growth and function of the embryonic heart depend upon the cardiac-

specific L-type calcium channel alpha1 subunit. *Dev. Cell* **1**, 265–275.

**Scragg, J. L., Jones, R. D., Channer, K. S., Jones, T. H. and Peers, C.** (2004).

Testosterone is a potent inhibitor of L-type Ca(2+) channels. *Biochem. Biophys. Res.*

*Commun.* **318**, 503–506.

**Scragg, J. L., Dallas, M. L. and Peers, C.** (2007). Molecular requirements for L-type

Ca2+ channel blockade by testosterone. *Cell Calcium* **42**, 11–15.

**Seisenberger, C., Specht, V., Welling, A., Platzer, J., Pfeifer, A., Kühbandner, S.,**

**Striessnig, J., Klugbauer, N., Feil, R. and Hofmann, F.** (2000). Functional

embryonic cardiomyocytes after disruption of the L-type alpha1C (Cav1.2) calcium

channel gene in the mouse. *J. Biol. Chem.* **275**, 39193–39199.

**Serobyan, V., Kontarakis, Z., El-Brolosy, M. A., Welker, J. M., Tolstenkov, O.,**

**Saadeldein, A. M., Retzer, N., Gottschalk, A., Wehman, A. M. and Stainier, D. Y.**

(2020). Transcriptional adaptation in Caenorhabditis elegans. *eLife* **9**,.

**Shivaji, S. and Jagannadham, M. V.** (1992). Steroid-induced perturbations of

membranes and its relevance to sperm acrosome reaction. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*

**1108**, 99–109.

**Sula, A., Hollingworth, D., Ng, L. C. T., Larmore, M., DeCaen, P. G. and Wallace, B.**

**A.** (2021). A tamoxifen receptor within a voltage-gated sodium channel. *Mol. Cell*.

**Sun, Y.-H., Gao, X., Tang, Y.-J., Xu, C.-L. and Wang, L.-H.** (2006). Androgens induce

increases in intracellular calcium via a G protein-coupled receptor in LNCaP prostate

cancer cells. *J. Androl.* **27**, 671–678.

**Thomas, P.** (2019). Membrane androgen receptors unrelated to nuclear steroid

receptors. *Endocrinology* **160**, 772–781.

**Thomas, P., Pang, Y., Dong, J. and Berg, A. H.** (2014). Identification and

characterization of membrane androgen receptors in the ZIP9 zinc transporter

subfamily: II. Role of human ZIP9 in testosterone-induced prostate and breast cancer

cell apoptosis. *Endocrinology* **155**, 4250–4265.

**Tilley, W. D., Marcelli, M., Wilson, J. D. and McPhaul, M. J.** (1989). Characterization

and expression of a cDNA encoding the human androgen receptor. *Proc Natl Acad*

*Sci U S A* **86**, 327–31.

**Trapman, J., Klaassen, P., Kuiper, G. G., van der Korput, J. A., Faber, P. W., van**

**Rooij, H. C., Geurts van Kessel, A., Voorhorst, M. M., Mulder, E. and Brinkmann,**

**A. O.** (1988). Cloning, structure and expression of a cDNA encoding the human

androgen receptor. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **153**, 241–248.

**Treviño, L. S. and Gorelick, D. A.** (2021). The interface of nuclear and membrane

steroid signaling. *Endocrinology* **162**,.

**Westerfield, M.** (2000). *The Zebrafish Book. A Guide for the Laboratory Use of*

*Zebrafish (Danio Rerio).* 4th ed. Eugene, OR: University of Oregon Press.

**Whiting, K. P., Brain, P. F. and Restall, C. J.** (1995). Steroid hormone induced effects

on membrane fluidity. *Biochem. Soc. Trans.* **23**, 438S.

**Whiting, K. P., Restall, C. J. and Brain, P. F.** (2000). Steroid hormone-induced effects

on membrane fluidity and their potential roles in non-genomic mechanisms. *Life Sci.*

**67**, 743–757.

**Wunderlich, F., Benten, W. P. M., Lieberherr, M., Guo, Z., Stamm, O., Wrehlke, C.,**

**Sekeris, C. E. and Mossmann, H.** (2002). Testosterone signaling in T cells and

macrophages. *Steroids* **67**, 535–538.

**Wu, R. S., Lam, I. I., Clay, H., Duong, D. N., Deo, R. C. and Coughlin, S. R.** (2018). A

rapid method for directed gene knockout for screening in G0 zebrafish. *Dev. Cell* **46**,

112-125.e4. Sciwheel inserting bibliography...

**Figure 1. Chemical-genetic screen to identify receptors that mediate androgen-dependent phenotypes.**
**(A)** We exposed wild-type embryos to multiple androgens at different concentrations beginning at 3 hours post fertilization. We assayed embryo morphology at 2- and 3- days post fertilization. We identified chemicals that caused morphologic abnormalities. **(B)** We tested whether the phenotype is rescued in zebrafish with mutations in the nuclear androgen receptor gene (*ar*). If the phenotype is rescued, then the steroid acts via AR. **(C)** If the phenotype persists in the nuclear *ar* mutant, then we test zebrafish with mutations in membrane steroid receptors. To efficiently generate membrane androgen receptor mutants, we inject embryos with Cas9 and guide RNAs, expose injected embryos to chemicals, and screen for phenotypes. Following phenotypic screening, embryos are genotyped to determine mutation burden. Figure created using BioRender.com.

**Figure 2. Identification of androgens that cause phenotypes in wild-type and nuclear androgen receptor (*ar*) mutant embryos.** Wild-type or ar mutant embryos were exposed to vehicle (0.1% DMSO), 5α-Androstane-3,17-dione (androstanedione), dihydrotestosterone (DHT), or testosterone beginning at 3 hours post fertilization. (A) Representative images of embryos at 3 days post fertilization. Note cardiac edema (arrows) and tail curvature (arrowheads). Each clutch was derived from heterozygous parents and contained a mix of wild-type, heterozygous ar uab142/+, or homozygous ar uab142/uab142 embryos. Lateral views with anterior to the left, dorsal to the top. Scale bar = 500 μm. (B) Percent of embryos exhibiting cardiac edema or tail curvature. Each data point is the mean percent of embryos in a single clutch exhibiting cardiac edema or tail curvature (11-57 embryos per clutch). Clutches in the same treatment group were assayed on different days. Genotypes and treatments are separated by vertical gray dotted lines in each graph. Horizontal lines are the mean of each treatment. ns, not significant (p > .05), one-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparisons test.

**Figure 3. Testosterone phenotype is partially rescued by CRISPR targeting *gprc6a*.** 1-cell stage embryos were injected with Cas9 protein alone or together with a cocktail of 4 guide RNAs targeting different regions of the indicated genes (*gprc6a*, *zip9*, *hcar1-4*). At 3 hours post fertilization, embryos were exposed to vehicle (0.1% DMSO), 5α-Androstane-3,17-dione (androstanedione), dihydrotestosterone (DHT), or testosterone. **(A)** Representative images of embryos at 3 days post fertilization. Note cardiac edema (arrows) and curved tail (arrowheads), except in *gprc6a* mosaic mutants following testosterone exposure. Lateral views with anterior to the left, dorsal to the top. Scale bars = 500 μm. **(B)** Percent of embryos exhibiting cardiac edema (left graphs) or tail curvature (right graphs). Each data point is the percent of embryos in a single clutch exhibiting cardiac edema or tail curvature (25-160 embryos per clutch). Clutches in the same treatment group were assayed on different days. Genotypes and treatments are separated by vertical gray dotted lines in each graph. Horizontal lines are the mean of each treatment. **(C)** As an additional control, embryos were injected with Cas9 protein alone or with guide RNAs targeting an unrelated gene *(ahr2),* and then exposed to vehicle or testosterone. The percent of embryos with cardiac edema was assayed at 3 days post fertilization. Each data point represents a single clutch (35-70 embryos per clutch). ns, not significant (p > .05), **p < .01, ***p < .001, one-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparisons test.

**Figure 4. Testosterone phenotype is partially rescued in homozygous *gprc6a* mutants**. Heterozygous *gprc6a* adult zebrafish were crossed to each other to generate zygotic *gprc6a* mutants. The clutch contained a mix of wild-type and mutant embryos (denoted as wild-type +/+, heterozygous +/-, or homozygous -/-). Embryos were exposed to vehicle (0.1% DMSO) or 5α-Androstane-3,17-dione (androstanedione), dihydrotestosterone (DHT), and testosterone beginning at 3 hours post fertilization. Morphologic phenotypes were assayed at 3 days post fertilization, then each embryo was genotyped. **(A)** Representative images of *gprc6a* <sup>bcm56/-; bcm54/-; bcm55/-</sup> embryos at 3 days post fertilization. Note cardiac edema (arrows) and curved tail (arrowheads), except in homozygous *gprc6a* mutants following testosterone exposure. Lateral views with anterior to the left, dorsal to the top. Scale bars = 500 µm. **(B)** Percent of embryos exhibiting cardiac edema (left graph) or tail curvature (right graph). Each data point is the mean percent of embryos in a single clutch exhibiting cardiac edema or tail curvature (15-50 embryos per clutch). Clutches in the same treatment group were assayed on different days. Horizontal lines are the mean of each group. Five independently generated zygotic mutant lines are shown, where each line is a different color and each allele designation indicates a unique DNA mutation. ***p < .001, ns, not significant (p > .05), one-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparisons test.

**Figure 5. GPRC6A antagonists rescue testosterone phenotype in wild-type embryos but have no effect in *gprc6a* mutants. (A)** Wild-type embryos were exposed to vehicle (0.1% DMSO) or GPRC6A antagonists: calindol (5 µM), NPS-2143 (5 µM), and epigallocatechin gallate (EGCG, 100 µM) with or without testosterone (30 µM) beginning at 3 hours post fertilization. Morphologic phenotypes were assayed at 3 days post fertilization. NPS-2143 and EGCG reduced cardiac edema following testosterone exposure. **(B)** Homozygous zygotic *gprc6a* mutant embryos were exposed to vehicle, NPS-2143 (5 µM), or EGCG (100 µM) with or without testosterone (30 µM) beginning at 3 hours post fertilization. Morphologic phenotypes were assayed at 3 days post fertilization. Antagonists failed to reduce cardiac edema in *gprc6a* mutant embryos. Each data point is the mean percent of embryos in a single clutch exhibiting cardiac edema (15-25 embryos per clutch). Clutches in the same treatment group were assayed on different days. Horizontal lines are the mean of each group. ****$p < .0001$, ns, not significant ($p > .05$), one-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparisons test.

**Figure 6. Testosterone directly acts on GPRC6A in zebrafish embryos. (A)** Wild-type embryos at 3 hours post fertilization were exposed to vehicle (0.1% DMSO), estradiol (30 µM) or testosterone (30 µM). Cardiac edema was assayed at 3 days post fertilization. **(B-E)** Zebrafish with mutations in aromatase (maternal zygotic *cyp19a1b*) or nuclear estrogen receptors (*esr2a*, *esr2b*, *esr1*) were exposed to vehicle or testosterone (30 µM) beginning at 3 hours post fertilization. Morphologic phenotypes were assayed at 3 days post fertilization. Each data point is the mean percent of embryos in a single clutch exhibiting cardiac edema (20-80 embryos per clutch). Clutches in the same treatment group were assayed on different days. Horizontal lines are the mean of each group. ns, not significant (p > .05), one-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparisons test.

| Genotype | Clutch (biological replicate) | Treatment | Number of embryos/clutch | % Cardiac edema, 3 dpf | Mean % embryos with cardiac edema | Standard deviation (cardiac edema) | % Tail curvature, 3 dpf | Mean % embryos with tail curvature | Standard deviation (tail curvature) | % Cardiac edema + tail curvature, 3 dpf | % Normal embryos, 3 dpf | Notes |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Wild-type | n = 6 | 0.1% DMSO | 12,10,12,14,10,10 | 0,0,0,0,0,0 | 0 | 0 | 0,0,0,0,0,0 | 0 | 0 | 0,0,0,0,0,0 | 100,100,100,100,100,000 | Pictured in Fig. 2 |
| Heterozygous ar $^{uab142/+}$ | n = 6 | 0.1% DMSO | 25,24,22,26,23,25 | 0,0,0,0,0,0 | 0 | 0 | 0,0,0,0,0,0 | 0 | 0 | 0,0,0,0,0,0 | 100,100,100,100,100,000 | Pictured in Fig. 2 |
| homozygous ar $^{uab142/uab142}$ | n = 6 | 0.1% DMSO | 10,12,11,10,14,10 | 0,0,0,0,0,0 | 0 | 0 | 0,0,0,0,0,0 | 0 | 0 | 0,0,0,0,0,0 | 100,100,100,100,100,000 | Pictured in Fig. 2 |
| Wild-type | n = 6 | 15 µM androstanedione | 15,19,15,19,17,12 | 64,84,85,84,88,100 | 84 | 12 | 94,89,89,100,100,93 | 94 | 5 | 64,84,85,84,88,100 | 6,11,11,0,0,0 | Pictured in Fig. 2 |
| Heterozygous ar $^{uab142/+}$ | n = 6 | 15 µM androstanedione | 33,26,29,39,25,20 | 75,88,93,76,96,85 | 86 | 9 | 100,100,93,100,100,100 | 99 | 3 | 75,88,93,76,96,85 | 0,0,7,0,0,0 | Pictured in Fig. 2 |
| homozygous ar $^{uab142/uab142}$ | n = 6 | 15 µM androstanedione | 17,12,13,21,17,13 | 88,100,55,71,100,92 | 84 | 16 | 76,75,100,95,100,100 | 91 | 11 | 88,100,55,71,100,92 | 12,0,0,5,0,0 | Pictured in Fig. 2 |
| Wild-type | n = 6 | 30 µM DHT | 20,27,25,18,20,13 | 86,92,100,100,100,100 | 96 | 5 | 55,58,71,100,100,100 | 81 | 20 | 86,92,100,100,100,100 | 14,8,0,0,0,0 | Pictured in Fig. 2 |
| Heterozygous ar $^{uab142/+}$ | n = 6 | 30 µM DHT | 37,57,50,48,48,25 | 83,100,88,100,100,100 | 95 | 7 | 94,100,72,100,100,100 | 94 | 10 | 83,100,88,100,100,100 | 6,0,12,0,0,0 | Pictured in Fig. 2 |
| homozygous ar $^{uab142/uab142}$ | n = 6 | 30 µM DHT | 20,25,15,20,22,13 | 85,100,94,100,100,100 | 97 | 6 | 60,81,76,100,100,100 | 86 | 15 | 85,100,94,100,100,100 | 15,0,6,0,0,0 | Pictured in Fig. 2 |
| Wild-type | n = 6 | 30 µM Testosterone | 15,23,26,20,26,20 | 80,82,76,85,73,80 | 79 | 4 | 0,0,0,0,0,0 | 0 | 0 | 0,0,0,0,0,0 | 20,17,23,15,26,20 | Pictured in Fig. 2 |
| Heterozygous ar $^{uab142/+}$ | n = 6 | 30 µM Testosterone | 50,36,39,48,40,48 | 86,77,94,70,75,77 | 80 | 8 | 0,0,0,0,0,0 | 0 | 0 | 0,0,0,0,0,0 | 14,22,5,29,25,22 | Pictured in Fig. 2 |
| homozygous ar $^{uab142/uab142}$ | n = 6 | 30 µM Testosterone | 13,17,23,23,30,22 | 84,70,69,82,76,77 | 76 | 6 | 0,0,0,0,0,0 | 0 | 0 | 0,0,0,0,0,0 | 15,29,30,17,23,22 | Pictured in Fig. 2 |

**Table 1.** Embryo data accompanying Figure 2.

| Genotype | Clutch (biological replicate) | Treatment | Number of embryos/clutch | % Cardiac edema, 3 dpf | Mean % embryos with cardiac edema | Standard deviation (cardiac edema) | % Tail curvature, 3 dpf | Mean % embryos with tail curvature | Standard deviation (tail curvature) | % Cardiac edema + tail curvature, 3 dpf | % Normal embryos, 3 dpf | Notes |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| wild-type | n = 6 | 0.1% DMSO | 22,24,10,22,22,24 | 0,0,0,0,0,0 | 0 | 0 | 0,0,0,0,0,0 | 0 | 0 | 0,0,0,0,0,0 | 100,100,100,100,100,100, | Pictured in Fig. 3 |
| gprc6a mosaic mutant | n = 6 | 0.1% DMSO | 10,10,22,14,24,22 | 0,0,0,0,0,0 | 0 | 0 | 0,0,0,0,0,0 | 0 | 0 | 0,0,0,0,0,0 | 100,100,100,100,100,100, | Pictured in Fig. 3 |
| ahr2 mosaic mutant | n = 4 | 0.1% DMSO | 24,12,22,16 | 0,0,0,0 | 0 | 0 | 0,0,0,0 | 0 | 0 | 0,0,0,0 | 100,100,100,100, | Pictured in Fig. 3 |
| Wild-type | n = 5 | 0.1% DMSO | 14,20,10,22,20 | 0,0,0,0,0 | 0 | 0 | 0,0,0,0,0 | 0 | 0 | 0,0,0,0,0 | 100,100,100,100,100, | Pictured in Fig. 3 |
| zip9 mosaic mutant | n = 5 | 0.1% DMSO | 11,10,22,14,14 | 0,0,0,0,0 | 0 | 0 | 0,0,0,0,0 | 0 | 0 | 0,0,0,0,0 | 100,100,100,100,100, | Pictured in Fig. 3 |
| Wild-type | n = 5 | 0.1% DMSO | 12,10,10,22,20 | 0,0,0,0,0 | 0 | 0 | 0,0,0,0,0 | 0 | 0 | 0,0,0,0,0 | 100,100,100,100,100, | Pictured in Fig. 3 |
| hcar1-4 mosaic mutant | n = 5 | 0.1% DMSO | 23,22,20,20,14 | 0,0,0,0,0 | 0 | 0 | 0,0,0,0,0 | 0 | 0 | 0,0,0,0,0 | 100,100,100,100,100, | Pictured in Fig. 3 |
| wild-type | n = 6 | 15 μM androstanedione | 115,32,50,36,36,26 | 78,68,72,80,72,65 | 73 | 6 | 93,100,92,91,83,92 | 92 | 5 | 78,68,72,80,72,65 | 7,0,8,9,17,8 | Pictured in Fig. 3 |
| gprc6a mosaic mutant | n = 6 | 15 μM androstanedione | 38,34,34,36,36,38 | 68,73,68,77,72,71 | 72 | 3 | 86,94,93,83,83,89 | 88 | 5 | 68,73,68,77,72,71 | 14,6,7,17,17,11 | Pictured in Fig. 3 |
| Wild-type | n = 5 | 15 μM androstanedione | 36,30,33,30,33 | 80,66,87,83,75 | 78 | 8 | 100,83,78,83,81 | 85 | 9 | 80,66,87,83,75 | 0,17,13,17,19 | Pictured in Fig. 3 |
| zip9 mosaic mutant | n = 5 | 15 μM androstanedione | 48,36,40,40,36 | 79,83,70,72,63 | 73 | 8 | 95,86,90,87,83 | 88 | 5 | 79,83,70,72,63 | 5,14,10,13,17 | Pictured in Fig. 3 |
| Wild-type | n = 5 | 15 μM androstanedione | 36,30,30,34,36 | 80,100,70,76,69 | 79 | 13 | 83,90,100,82,94 | 90 | 8 | 80,100,70,76,69 | 17,0,0,18,6 | Pictured in Fig. 3 |
| hcar1-4 mosaic mutant | n = 5 | 15 μM androstanedione | 3,30,35,36,35 | 63,83,65,80,71 | 72 | 9 | 100,93,100,83,91 | 93 | 7 | 63,83,65,80,71 | 0,7,0,7,9 | Pictured in Fig. 3 |
| wild-type | n = 6 | 30 μM DHT | 93,46,48,36,30,36 | 90,86,85,100,100,100 | 94 | 7 | 59,67,58,83,86,77 | 72 | 12 | 90,86,85,100,100,100 | 10,14,15,0,0,0 | Pictured in Fig. 3 |
| gprc6a mosaic mutant | n = 6 | 30 μM DHT | 27,40,36,40,36,31 | 62,90,80,95,100,93 | 87 | 14 | 51,87,66,75,77,96 | 75 | 16 | 62,90,80,95,100,93 | 38,10,20,5,0,4 | Pictured in Fig. 3 |
| Wild-type | n = 5 | 30 μM DHT | 40,30,35,30,35 | 100,93,94,83,85 | 91 | 7 | 90,86,77,76,65 | 79 | 10 | 100,93,94,83,85 | 0,7,6,17,15 | Pictured in Fig. 3 |
| zip9 mosaic mutant | n = 5 | 30 μM DHT | 25,30,40,40,36 | 80,96,95,95,83 | 90 | 8 | 97,83,72,87,75 | 83 | 10 | 80,96,95,95,83 | 3,4,5,5,17 | Pictured in Fig. 3 |
| Wild-type | n = 5 | 30 μM DHT | 36,29,35,36,30 | 88,79,85,97,76 | 85 | 8 | 75,86,85,80,93 | 84 | 7 | 88,79,85,97,76 | 12,14,15,3,7 | Pictured in Fig. 3 |
| hcar1-4 mosaic mutant | n = 5 | 30 μM DHT | 33,36,35,36,35 | 90,88,82,80,88 | 86 | 4 | 72,91,94,83,100 | 88 | 11 | 90,88,82,80,88 | 10,9,6,17,0 | Pictured in Fig. 3 |
| wild-type | n = 8 | 30 μM Testosterone | 90,75,82,53,59,42,53,50 | 51,56,62,56,69,66,88,68 | 65 | 11 | 0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0 | 0 | 0 | 0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0 | 49,44,38,44,31,34,12,32 | Pictured in Fig. 3 |
| gprc6a mosaic mutant | n = 8 | 30 μM Testosterone | 164,87,90,81,73,96,72,88 | 34,35,42,39,47,60,51,53 | 45 | 9 | 0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0 | 0 | 0 | 0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0 | 65,65,58,52,50,40,49,47 | Pictured in Fig. 3 |
| ahr2 mosaic mutant | n = 4 | 30 μM Testosterone | 48,72,34,48 | 72,70,67,68 | 69 | 2 | 0,0,0,0 | 0 | 0 | 0,0,0,0 | 28,30,33,32 | Pictured in Fig. 3 |
| Wild-type | n = 5 | 30 μM Testosterone | 34,60,50,68,60 | 73,66,72,75,66 | 70 | 4 | 0,0,0,0,0 | 0 | 0 | 0,0,0,0,0 | 27,34,28,25,34 | Pictured in Fig. 3 |
| zip9 mosaic mutant | n = 5 | 30 μM Testosterone | 60,95,96,95,48 | 70,70,71,76,89 | 75 | 8 | 0,0,0,0,0 | 0 | 0 | 0,0,0,0,0 | 30,30,29,24,11 | Pictured in Fig. 3 |
| Wild-type | n = 5 | 30 μM Testosterone | 58,47,48,48,49 | 70,70,83,79,81 | 77 | 6 | 0,0,0,0,0 | 0 | 0 | 0,0,0,0,0 | 30,30,17,21,19 | Pictured in Fig. 3 |
| hcar1-4 mosaic mutant | n = 5 | 30 μM Testosterone | 96,82,48,48,48 | 72,75,87,75,79 | 78 | 6 | 0,0,0,0,0 | 0 | 0 | 0,0,0,0,0 | 28,25,13,25,21 | Pictured in Fig. 3 |

**Table 2.** Embryo data accompanying Figure 3. Wild-type embryos were injected with Cas9 protein without any gRNAs

| Genotype | Clutch (biological replicate) | Treatment | Number of embryos/clutch | % Cardiac edema, 3 dpf | Mean % embryos with cardiac edema | Standard deviation (cardiac edema) | % Tail curvature, 3 dpf | Mean % embryos with tail curvature | Standard deviation (tail curvature) | % Cardiac edema + tail curvature, 3 dpf | % Normal embryos, 3 dpf | Notes |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Wild-type | n = 10 | 0.1% DMSO | 12,16,10,14,20,22,10,12,10,12 | 0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0 | 0 | 0 | 0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0 | 0 | 0 | 0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0 | 100,100,100,100,100,100,100,100,100, | Pictured in Fig. 4 |
| heterozygous *gprc6a* | n = 10 | 0.1% DMSO | 20,30,20,31,42,42,20,22,24,22 | 0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0 | 0 | 0 | 0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0 | 0 | 0 | 0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0 | 100,100,100,100,100,100,100,100,100,100, | Pictured in Fig. 4 |
| homozygous *gprc6a* | n = 10 | 0.1% DMSO | 14,16,10,18,20,20,10,12,11,12 | 0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0 | 0 | 0 | 0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0 | 0 | 0 | 0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0 | 100,100,100,100,100,100,100,100,100, | Pictured in Fig. 4 |
| Wild-type | n = 6 | 15 µM androstanedione | 20,21,20,26,18,25 | 88,70,80,100,100,92 | 88 | 12 | 90,85,100,100,100,92 | 95 | 6 | 88,70,80,100,100,92 | 10,15,0,0,0,8 | Pictured in Fig. 4 |
| heterozygous *gprc6a* | n = 6 | 15 µM androstanedione | 50,46,40,51,38,39 | 75,80,90,100,100,93 | 90 | 10 | 90,86,96,94,100,95 | 94 | 5 | 75,80,90,100,100,93 | 10,14,4,0,0,5 | Pictured in Fig. 4 |
| homozygous *gprc6a* | n = 6 | 15 µM androstanedione | 18,17,18,21,15,19 | 72,76,,90,100,100,88 | 88 | 12 | 94,76,100,100,100,100 | 95 | 10 | 72,76,,90,100,100,88 | 6,24,0,0,0,0 | Pictured in Fig. 4 |
| Wild-type | n = 6 | 30 µM DHT | 20,17,24,19,18,21 | 90,100,100,100,100,100 | 98 | 4 | 81,78,100,100,100,100 | 93 | 11 | 90,100,100,100,100,100 | 10,0,0,0,0,0 | Pictured in Fig. 4 |
| heterozygous *gprc6a* | n = 6 | 30 µM DHT | 50,42,54,42,42,36 | 95,100,100,100,100,94 | 98 | 3 | 85,82,90,100,100,96 | 92 | 8 | 95,100,100,100,100,94 | 5,0,0,0,0,4 | Pictured in Fig. 4 |
| homozygous *gprc6a* | n = 6 | 30 µM DHT | 22,19,21,23,25,22 | 92,95,100,100,100,100 | 98 | 3 | 78,85,86,100,100,90 | 90 | 9 | 92,95,100,100,100,100 | 8,5,0,0,0,0 | Pictured in Fig. 4 |
| Wild-type | n = 13 | 30 µM Testosterone | 15,26,25,12,28,16,27,23,21,26,14,20,23 | 73,71,65,66,81,84,72,92,68,82,92,75,73 | 76 | 9 | 0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0 | 0 | 0 | 0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0 | 27,29,35,34,19,16,28,8,32,18,8,27,27 | Pictured in Fig. 4 |
| heterozygous *gprc6a* | n = 13 | 30 µM Testosterone | 38,44,43,24,50,48,45,52,57,41,38,50,48 | 73,77,61,79,75,85,65,90,77,82,84,70,68 | 76 | 8 | 0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0 | 0 | 0 | 0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0 | 27,23,39,21,25,15,35,10,23,18,16,30,32 | Pictured in Fig. 4 |
| homozygous *gprc6a* | n = 13 | 30 µM Testosterone | 19,26,22,12,18,32,24,21,18,29,20,26,25 | 52,61,46,50,62,65,36,66,62,66,70,60,56 | 58 | 10 | 0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0 | 0 | 0 | 0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0 | 48,39,54,50,38,35,64,34,38,34,35,40,44 | Pictured in Fig. 4 |
| Wild-type | n = 13 | 30 µM Testosterone | 15,26,25,12,28,16,27,23,21,26,14,20,23 | 73,71,65,66,81,84,72,92,68,82,92,75,73 | 76 | 9 | 0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0 | 0 | 0 | 0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0 | 27,29,35,34,19,16,28,8,32,18,8,27,27 | Pictured in Fig. S4 |
| heterozygous *gprc6a* $^{bcm53+/+;\ bcm52+/+}$ | n = 2 | 30 µM Testosterone | 38,44 | 73,77 | 75 | 2 | 0,0 | 0 | 0 | 0,0 | 27,23 | Pictured in Fig. S4 |
| homozygous *gprc6a* $^{bcm53-/-;\ bcm52-/-}$ | n = 2 | 30 µM Testosterone | 19,26 | 52,61 | 57 | 5 | 0,0 | 0 | 0 | 0,0 | 48,39 | Pictured in Fig. S4 |
| heterozygous *gprc6a* $^{bcm56+/-;\ bcm54+/-;\ bcm55+/-}$ | n = 4 | 30 µM Testosterone | 43,24,50,48 | 61,79,75,85 | 75 | 9 | 0,0,0,0 | 0 | 0 | 0,0,0,0 | 39,21,25,15 | Pictured in Fig. S4 |
| homozygous *gprc6a* $^{bcm56-/-;\ bcm54-/-;\ bcm55-/-}$ | n = 4 | 30 µM Testosterone | 22,12,18,32 | 46,50,62,65 | 56 | 8 | 0,0,0,0 | 0 | 0 | 0,0,0,0 | 54,50,38,35 | Pictured in Fig. S4 |
| heterozygous *gprc6a* $^{bcm56+/-;\ bcm54+/-}$ | n = 5 | 30 µM Testosterone | 45,52,57,41,38 | 65,90,77,82,84 | 80 | 8 | 0,0,0,0,0 | 0 | 0 | 0,0,0,0,0 | 35,10,23,18,16 | Pictured in Fig. S4 |
| homozygous *gprc6a* $^{bcm56-/-;\ bcm54-/-}$ | n = 5 | 30 µM Testosterone | 24,21,18,29,20 | 36,66,62,66,70 | 60 | 12 | 0,0,0,0,0 | 0 | 0 | 0,0,0,0,0 | 64,34,38,34,35 | Pictured in Fig. S4 |
| heterozygous *gprc6a* $^{bcm59+/-;\ bcm58+/-}$ | n = 1 | 30 µM Testosterone | 50 | 70 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | Pictured in Fig. S4 |
| homozygous *gprc6a* $^{bcm59-/-;\ bcm58-/-}$ | n = 1 | 30 µM Testosterone | 26 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | Pictured in Fig. S4 |
| heterozygous *gprc6a* $^{bcm61+/-;\ bcm60+/-}$ | n = 1 | 30 µM Testosterone | 48 | 68 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 | Pictured in Fig. S4 |
| homozygous *gprc6a* $^{bcm61-/-;\ bcm60-/-}$ | n = 1 | 30 µM Testosterone | 25 | 56 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 44 | Pictured in Fig. S4 |

**Table 3.** Embryo data accompanying Figure 4 and Figure S4. The same data are pooled and grouped by gene (top table) or not pooled and grouped by allele (bottom table)

| Genotype | Clutch (biological replicate) | Treatment | Number of embryos/clutch | % Cardiac edema, 3 dpf | Mean % embryos with cardiac edema | Standard deviation (cardiac edema) | % Tail curvature, 3 dpf | % Cardiac edema + tail curvature, 3 dpf | % Normal embryos, 3 dpf | Notes |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Wild-type | n = 6 | 0.1% DMSO | 30,40,28,32,25,25 | 0,0,0,0,0,0 | 0 | 0 | 0,0,0,0,0,0 | 0,0,0,0,0,0 | 100,100,100,100,100,100, | Pictured in Fig. 5 |
| Wild-type | n = 3 | 5 µM Calindol | 40,38,40 | 0,0,0 | 0 | 0 | 0,0,0 | 0,0,0 | 100,100,100 | Pictured in Fig. 5 |
| Wild-type | n = 3 | 5 µM NPS-2143 | 30,30,30 | 0,0,0 | 0 | 0 | 0,0,0 | 0,0,0 | 100,100,100 | Pictured in Fig. 5 |
| Wild-type | n = 3 | 100 µM EGCG | 40,40,40 | 0,0,0 | 0 | 0 | 0,0,0 | 0,0,0 | 100,100,100 | Pictured in Fig. 5 |
| Wild-type | n = 6 | 30 µM Testosterone | 50,59,63,47,52,57 | 62,59,71,78,73,70 | 69 | 7 | 0,0,0,0,0,0 | 0,0,0,0,0,0 | 38,41,29,22,27,37 | Pictured in Fig. 5 |
| Wild-type | n = 6 | 5 µM Calindol + 30 µM Testosterone | 50,55,59,51,57,44 | 76,60,74,78,61,72 | 70 | 8 | 0,0,0,0,0,0 | 0,0,0,0,0,0 | 24,40,26,22,39,28 | Pictured in Fig. 5 |
| Wild-type | n = 6 | 5 µM NPS-2143 + 30 µM Testosterone | 50,59,64,57,55,65 | 50,42,43,43,25,33 | 39 | 9 | 0,0,0,0,0,0 | 0,0,0,0,0,0 | 50,58,57,57,75,67 | Pictured in Fig. 5 |
| Wild-type | n = 6 | 100 µM EGCG + 30 µM Testosterone | 50,61,67,43,48,67 | 42,34,28,27,22,40 | 32 | 8 | 0,0,0,0,0,0 | 0,0,0,0,0,0 | 59,66,72,73,78,67 | Pictured in Fig. 5 |
| gprc6a $^{bcm56/-; bcm54/-; bcm55/-}$ | n = 4 | 0.1% DMSO | 26,20,34,22 | 0,0,0,0 | 0 | 0 | 0,0,0,0 | 0,0,0,0 | 100,100,100,100 | Pictured in Fig. 5 |
| gprc6a $^{bcm56/-; bcm54/-; bcm55/-}$ | n = 3 | 5 µM NPS-2143 | 20,30,30 | 0,0,0 | 0 | 0 | 0,0,0 | 0,0,0 | 100,100,100 | Pictured in Fig. 5 |
| gprc6a $^{bcm56/-; bcm54/-; bcm55/-}$ | n = 3 | 100 µM EGCG | 30,40,28 | 0,0,0 | 0 | 0 | 0,0,0 | 0,0,0 | 100,100,100 | Pictured in Fig. 5 |
| gprc6a $^{bcm56/-; bcm54/-; bcm55/-}$ | n = 4 | 30 µM Testosterone | 25,18,21,26 | 48,50,42,38 | 45 | 5 | 0,0,0,0 | 0,0,0,0 | 52,50,58,62 | Pictured in Fig. 5 |
| gprc6a $^{bcm56/-; bcm54/-; bcm55/-}$ | n = 4 | 5 µM NPS-2143 + 30 µM Testosterone | 25,24,30,19 | 52,50,53,63 | 55 | 5 | 0,0,0,0 | 0,0,0,0 | 48,50,47,37 | Pictured in Fig. 5 |
| gprc6a $^{bcm56/-; bcm54/-; bcm55/-}$ | n = 4 | 100 µM EGCG + 30 µM Testosterone | 26,22,24,24 | 42,40,50,54 | 47 | 6 | 0,0,0,0 | 0,0,0,0 | 58,60,50,46 | Pictured in Fig. 5 |

**Table 4.** Embryo data accompanying Figure 5.

| Genotype | Clutch (biological replicate) | Treatment | Number of embryos/clutch | % Cardiac edema, 3 dpf | Mean % embryos with cardiac edema | Standard deviation (cardiac edema) | % Tail curvature, 3 dpf | % Cardiac edema + tail curvature, 3 dpf | % Normal embryos, 3 dpf | Notes |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Wild-type | n = 4 | 0.1% DMSO | 20,20,11,20 | 0,0,0,0 | 0 | 0 | 0,0,0,0 | 0,0,0,0 | 100,100,100,100 | Pictured in Fig. 6 |
| Wild-type | n = 4 | 30 µM Estradiol | 42,30,26,22 | 0,0,0,0 | 0 | 0 | 0,0,0,0 | 0,0,0,0 | 100,100,100,100 | Pictured in Fig. 6 |
| Wild-type | n = 4 | 30 µM Testosterone | 28,33,41,41 | 89,75,95,82 | 85 | 9 | 0,0,0,0 | 0,0,0,0 | 11,25,5,18 | Pictured in Fig. 6 |
| Wild-type | n = 4 | 0.1% DMSO | 20,25,24,19 | 0,0,0,0 | 0 | 0 | 0,0,0,0 | 0,0,0,0 | 100,100,100,100 | Pictured in Fig. 6 |
| MZcyp19a1b $^{uab124}$ | n = 4 | 0.1% DMSO | 20,20,20,10 | 0,0,0,0 | 0 | 0 | 0,0,0,0 | 0,0,0,0 | 100,100,100,100 | Pictured in Fig. 6 |
| Wild-type | n = 4 | 30 µM Testosterone | 35,27,21,26 | 94,88,80,76 | 85 | 8 | 0,0,0,0 | 0,0,0,0 | 6,12,20,24 | Pictured in Fig. 6 |
| MZcyp19a1b $^{uab124}$ | n = 4 | 30 µM Testosterone | 28,26,29,28 | 89,84,75,78 | 82 | 6 | 0,0,0,0 | 0,0,0,0 | 11,16,25,22 | Pictured in Fig. 6 |
| Wild-type | n = 4 | 0.1% DMSO | 12,10,12,14 | 0,0,0,0 | 0 | 0 | 0,0,0,0 | 0,0,0,0 | 100,100,100,100 | Pictured in Fig. 6 |
| heterozygous esr1a $^{uab118/+}$ | n = 4 | 0.1% DMSO | 25,24,23,28 | 0,0,0,0 | 0 | 0 | 0,0,0,0 | 0,0,0,0 | 100,100,100,100 | Pictured in Fig. 6 |
| homozygous esr1a $^{uab118/-}$ | n = 4 | 0.1% DMSO | 12,12,12,12 | 0,0,0,0 | 0 | 0 | 0,0,0,0 | 0,0,0,0 | 100,100,100,100 | Pictured in Fig. 6 |
| Wild-type | n = 4 | 30 µM Testosterone | 24,26,19,27 | 70,80,78,77 | 76 | 4 | 0,0,0,0 | 0,0,0,0 | 30,20,22,23 | Pictured in Fig. 6 |
| heterozygous esr1a $^{uab118/+}$ | n = 4 | 30 µM Testosterone | 44,50,39,45 | 65,86,71,71 | 73 | 9 | 0,0,0,0 | 0,0,0,0 | 35,14,29,29 | Pictured in Fig. 6 |
| homozygous esr1a $^{uab118/-}$ | n = 4 | 30 µM Testosterone | 23,22,20,22 | 69,90,75,81 | 79 | 9 | 0,0,0,0 | 0,0,0,0 | 31,10,25,19 | Pictured in Fig. 6 |
| Wild-type | n = 4 | 0.1% DMSO | 10,13,11,14 | 0,0,0,0 | 0 | 0 | 0,0,0,0 | 0,0,0,0 | 100,100,100,100 | Pictured in Fig. 6 |
| heterozygous esr2a $^{uab134/+}$ | n = 4 | 0.1% DMSO | 20,25,18,29 | 0,0,0,0 | 0 | 0 | 0,0,0,0 | 0,0,0,0 | 100,100,100,100 | Pictured in Fig. 6 |
| homozygous esr2a $^{uab134/-}$ | n = 4 | 0.1% DMSO | 11,12,12,12 | 0,0,0,0 | 0 | 0 | 0,0,0,0 | 0,0,0,0 | 100,100,100,100 | Pictured in Fig. 6 |
| Wild-type | n = 4 | 30 µM Testosterone | 30,30,25,30 | 70,70,84,80 | 76 | 7 | 0,0,0,0 | 0,0,0,0 | 30,30,16,20 | Pictured in Fig. 6 |
| heterozygous esr2a $^{uab134/+}$ | n = 4 | 30 µM Testosterone | 52,57,56,67 | 73,80,82,86 | 80 | 5 | 0,0,0,0 | 0,0,0,0 | 27,20,18,14 | Pictured in Fig. 6 |
| homozygous esr2a $^{uab134/-}$ | n = 4 | 30 µM Testosterone | 30,39,25,30 | 66,84,84,83 | 79 | 9 | 0,0,0,0 | 0,0,0,0 | 34,16,16,17 | Pictured in Fig. 6 |
| Wild-type | n = 4 | 0.1% DMSO | 11,11,13,10 | 0,0,0,0 | 0 | 0 | 0,0,0,0 | 0,0,0,0 | 100,100,100,100 | Pictured in Fig. 6 |
| heterozygous esr2b $^{uab128/+}$ | n = 4 | 0.1% DMSO | 18,22,27,20 | 0,0,0,0 | 0 | 0 | 0,0,0,0 | 0,0,0,0 | 100,100,100,100 | Pictured in Fig. 6 |
| homozygous esr2b $^{uab128/-}$ | n = 4 | 0.1% DMSO | 12,12,12,12 | 0,0,0,0 | 0 | 0 | 0,0,0,0 | 0,0,0,0 | 100,100,100,100 | Pictured in Fig. 6 |
| Wild-type | n = 4 | 30 µM Testosterone | 24,30,36,47 | 87,80,88,85 | 85 | 4 | 0,0,0,0 | 0,0,0,0 | 13,20,12,15 | Pictured in Fig. 6 |
| heterozygous esr2b $^{uab128/+}$ | n = 4 | 30 µM Testosterone | 76,61,67,80 | 77,77,86,82 | 81 | 4 | 0,0,0,0 | 0,0,0,0 | 23,23,14,18 | Pictured in Fig. 6 |
| homozygous esr2b $^{uab128/-}$ | n = 4 | 30 µM Testosterone | 32,26,33,34 | 84,80,84,90 | 85 | 4 | 0,0,0,0 | 0,0,0,0 | 16,20,16,10 | Pictured in Fig. 6 |

**Table 5**. Embryo data accompanying Figure 6. Experiments within horizontal lines were performed together.