Understanding the Divergent Evolution and Epidemiology of H3N8

Influenza Viruses in Dogs and Horses

Brian R. Wasik[1], Evin Rothschild[1], Ian E.H. Voorhees[1], Stephanie E. Reedy[2], Pablo R. Murcia[3],

Nicola Pusterla[4], Thomas M. Chambers[2], Laura B. Goodman[5], Edward C. Holmes[6], James C.

Kile[7], Colin R. Parrish[1,8]

1) Baker Institute for Animal Health, Department of Microbiology and Immunology, College

of Veterinary Medicine, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853, USA.

2) Department of Veterinary Science, Gluck Equine Research Center, University of

Kentucky, Lexington, KY 40546, USA.

3) MRC-University of Glasgow Centre for Virus Research, School of Infection and

Immunity, College of Medical, Veterinary and Life Sciences, University of Glasgow,

Glasgow, Scotland, UK.

4) Department of Medicine & Epidemiology, School Veterinary Medicine, University of

California, Davis, CA 95616, USA.

5) Baker Institute for Animal Health, Department of Public and Ecosystems Health, College

of Veterinary Medicine, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853, USA.

6) Sydney Institute for Infectious Diseases, School of Medical Sciences, University of

Sydney, Sydney, New South Wales, Australia.

7) Influenza Division, National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases, Centers

for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, GA 30329, USA.

8) Corresponding author. Colin Parrish, crp3@cornell.edu, 607-379-4233

ABSTRACT

Cross-species virus transmission events can lead to dire public health emergencies in the form

of epidemics and pandemics. One example in animals is the emergence of the H3N8 equine

influenza virus (EIV), first isolated in 1963 in Miami, Florida, USA, after emerging among horses

in South America. In the early 21st century the American lineage of EIV diverged into two

'Florida' clades that persist today, while an EIV transferred to dogs around 1999 and gave rise

to the H3N8 canine influenza virus (CIV), first reported in 2004. Here, we compare CIV in dogs

and EIV in horses to reveal their host-specific evolution, to determine the sources and

connections between significant outbreaks, and to gain insight into the factors controlling their

different evolutionary fates. H3N8 CIV only circulated in North America, was geographically

restricted after the first few years, and went extinct in 2016. Of the two EIV Florida clades, clade

1 circulates widely and shows frequent transfers between the USA and South America, Europe

and elsewhere, while clade 2 was globally distributed early after it emerged, but since about

2018 has only been detected in Central Asia. Any potential zoonotic threat of these viruses to

humans can only be determined with an understanding of its natural history and evolution. Our

comparative analysis of these three viral lineages reveals distinct patterns and rates of

sequence variation yet with similar overall evolution between clades, suggesting epidemiological

intervention strategies for possible eradication of H3N8 EIV. (242 words)

IMPORTANCE

The emergence of viruses in new hosts is a threat to human and animal health. The H3N8

equine influenza virus (EIV) emerged in 1963 by transfer of an avian influenza virus, and the

H3N8 canine influenza virus (CIV) subsequently emerged in 1999 when EIV transferred to dogs.

H3N8 CIV persistently circulated in only a few locations in the USA, and has not been detected

since 2016. In the same period H3N8 EIV has circulated as two separate clades, one in North

America and other regions of the world, while the other currently appears to be found only in

51   Central Asia. By comparing the hosts, epidemiology, and evolution of these influenza viruses we

52   explain how these lineages had different evolutionary fates, and show why elucidating these

53   evolutionary processes is key to understanding zoonotic disease and viral emergence. (137

54   words)

Introduction

The processes driving virus outbreak epidemiology and cross-species virus transmission are topics of great importance. Studying viruses involved in emerging outbreaks in animals can reveal the fundamental processes that mediate successful transfer between hosts and the subsequent establishment of viral variants as epizootic or even zoonotic and pandemic pathogens. Such studies also suggest approaches to protecting humans and other animals from potential zoonotic viruses by preventing cross-species epizootic outbreaks, as well as new methods for blocking the emergence of transferred viruses or reducing the adaptation of viruses to new hosts, thereby reducing their pandemic potential (1–4).

Most subtypes of influenza A virus (IAV) are well established in their reservoir hosts, mainly birds living in aquatic environments (5). However, several subtypes appear to have a relatively high propensity for infecting other animal hosts, including domestic poultry, humans, swine, ferrets, seals, horses, and most recently dogs (5, 6). Some mammals occasionally suffer outbreaks from avian origin influenza, including seals and mink notably during recent spread of highly pathogenic avian influenza (HPAI) H5N1, that may suggest new subtype risks (7, 8). Most spillovers cause short-term outbreaks that rapidly die out, while some have transitioned from emergent to epidemic or pandemic pathogens in their mammalian hosts. In human populations, some IAV subtypes (primarily H1N1 and H3N2 in the modern era) have become endemic diseases (9). IAV are members of the family *Orthyomyxoviridae*, and have a negative-sense RNA genome arranged as eight segments: PB2, PB1, PA, HA, NP, NA, M, and NS (9).

Horses have historically been an important mammalian host for IAV, likely including epidemics in the 1870s in North America that posed a significant burden on animal health, as well as on a human society that was highly dependent on horses (10). In the 20th century, horses were infected by an H7N7 virus that was first recognized in 1956 and became extinct in the late 1970s (11). H3N8 equine influenza virus (EIV) was first isolated in 1963 in Florida, likely after being introduced along with infected horses from South America, and descendants of that

virus continue to circulate in horses today (12–15). The appearance and circulation of

reassortant H7N7 and H3N8 EIVs in the 1970s suggests that the H3N8 contributed to

displacement and extinction of the H7N7 subtype. Vaccines against H3N8 EIV were first

introduced in the late 1960s, and the period of the 1970s and early 1980s resulted in several

subclade divergences and increasing H3N8 diversity (13, 16). Around the year 2000 the H3N8

EIV population divided into different lineages: the Florida Clade 1 (FC1) was primarily in North

America but also observed in outbreak in other regions of the world, while the Florida Clade 2

(FC2) was found primarily in Europe, yet both virus clades have spread to many different

countries and continents (17–22). However, these clades appear to have kept some degree of

geographic separation, and they do not appear to have been in direct competition (23).

EIV is a common respiratory tract infection in horses and closely related equids, causing

influenza-like signs similar to those seen in humans (24). Current estimations of EIV incidence

in US horses comes from voluntary surveillance, and are frequently under 10% with seasonal

variability (25, 26). Vaccines for EIV have been available since the 1970s and include antigens

from the most commonly circulating strains, and those are widely used in domesticated horses

(27). While human influenza vaccines are updated frequently with the most widespread

antigenic variants, EIV vaccines are updated less often. In the United States, roughly 60% of

equine operations vaccinate for EIV (66.4% in 1998, 54.1% in 2005, 60.4% in 2015) (28). It is

unclear to what degree low vaccine effectiveness or antigenic mismatch have contributed to

recently observed 'breakthrough' outbreaks in multiple countries (22, 29–32).

Recommendations for vaccine formulations are regularly proposed by the World Organization

for Animal Health (formerly Office International des Epizooties, OIE) expert surveillance panel

(33, 34), but strain inclusion varies in different vaccine formulations (35). The potential for

horses to be sources of zoonotic disease given their close association with humans makes EIV

an identified neglected disease threat of concern (36, 37). Experimental inoculation of human

volunteers in the 1960s showed that the virus was able to replicate in humans with sufficient

exposure (38, 39). Only through proper surveillance and sequencing of circulating EIV in

different populations will a clearer picture of the virus variation be obtained. In particular, this

would enable targeted antigenic testing to justify the production of vaccines with a better

antigenic match and greater protective efficacy (34, 40). Determining the relationships between

viruses causing different outbreaks through surveillance will also reveal epidemiological

pathways that arise during epidemics, allowing better strategies for control and potentially

eradication.

A single H3N8 EIV transferred to dogs around 1999 to create the first known epidemic of

canine influenza virus (CIV). Molecular and epidemiological evidence suggests the epidemic

originated from a single, cross-species transmission event of a non-reassortant H3N8 EIV, with

cases being initially identified in greyhounds in a training facility in Florida in 2003 and 2004

(41–44). The H3N8 CIV spread to several regions of the USA around this period, likely due to

transport of infected greyhounds, although the virus only achieved prolonged transmission in

dogs within larger dense populations, including animal shelters, kennels, and canine day cares

(45). The virus appears to have persisted in large metropolitan areas (e.g. Denver and Colorado

Springs, New York City, and Philadelphia) with large shelter dog populations that had high

standing populations and high rates of turnover (46). While the emergence of CIV H3N8 led to

an epidemic, other observed spillovers of EIV H3N8 into dogs (in 2002 in the UK and 2007 in

Australia) did not result in onward canine transmission (47, 48). No human infections with H3N8

CIV were observed, despite frequent interactions (49). A second CIV arose around 2005 in

Asia, and that H3N2 strain appears to have been derived directly from an avian influenza virus

that transferred to dogs (50). H3N2 CIV appears to have circulated widely in Korea and China

for a number of years, and has been repeatedly introduced into the USA and Canada where it

has mostly caused local and self-limiting outbreaks (51). Risk assessment of H3N2 CIV

transmission to humans was found to be minimal (52).

Host transfers underpin many infectious disease epidemics or pandemics, and in recent

years such events have given rise to new emerging viruses in humans and other animals,

including the 2009 pandemic swine influenza (pdmH1N1), Ebolavirus, SARS-CoV-1 and -2, and

the H3N8 and H3N2 CIV (1, 53). Influenza surveillance networks (such as the World Health

Organization Global Influenza Surveillance & Response System, WHO GISRS) have made

considerable leaps in the past decade, with rapid identification of human cases of highly

pathogenic avian influenza (54, 55). Despite this, gaps remain in our ability to predict changes in

host-range that result in virus emergence, or to create early warning systems to detect and

mitigate outbreaks before they get underway (4, 56, 57). Strategies for controlling emerging

viruses primarily involve aggressively managing outbreaks after they first occur. Yet, this

requires a clear understanding of the routes of transmission, ongoing circulation, and likely host

adaptation leading to increased spread or immune evasion, and such knowledge is often lacking

in the early stages of outbreaks (3). It is also often unclear whether and how virus evolution in

the original host facilitates the initial successful spillover infection, or how the viruses later

evolve and adapt to their new hosts compared to evolution that occurs in their original hosts.

Understanding these processes in more detail may allow us to anticipate and forestall the

emergence of other viruses in the future.

As well as local outbreaks, a defining feature of the most threatening virus emergence

events is longer distance and international transfers that allow viral outbreaks to sustain over

time and develop into pandemics, particularly within human host populations (58, 59). Long-

distance transfers are also a feature of H3N8 EIV epidemiology, likely associated with the

movement of infected racing and sport horses (31, 60, 61). It is estimated that just 0.7% of

operations transport horses outside North America, yet these international transfers of horses

have made EIV a global pathogen of concern (28, 34, 62). Among dogs, H3N2 CIV has similarly

transferred between continents (51). In contrast, the H3N8 CIV outbreaks were more confined,

circulating within and between animal shelters and kennels within the central and eastern

regions in the United States (45, 46). Notably, that virus lineage did not appear to spread

outside the USA.

Modern genomic epidemiology has allowed the detailed examination of viral outbreaks,

including the spread and replacement of viral variants (2, 63–65). For example, the emergence

of more transmissible or antigenic variant viruses that displace earlier lineages is commonly

seen in evolving virus populations, including 2009 pdmH1N1, SARS-CoV-2 (B.1.1.7 'Alpha', or

B.1.1.529 'Omicron'), and the canine parvovirus type-2a variant that emerged and efficiently

replaced the earlier strains of that virus (66–72). These events highlight the importance of

continued surveillance and analysis of viruses; both in new hosts and in their original hosts, as

such comparative analysis allows us to identify mechanisms of true adaptive evolution following

emergence.

To resolve the evolution of H3N8 in dogs and horses we collected genomic data from

our own sequencing and public repositories of H3N8 beginning around 2001. Complete genome

sequences of all segments enable phylogenetic relationships and host-specific evolution to be

determined. We further employed use of the much larger collection of HA1 sequences (HA1

being the hemagglutinin 'head' containing the receptor binding domain and dominant antigenic

epitopes, H3 numbering 1-329). Here, we investigate the emergence the H3N8 canine influenza

virus (CIV) and its origin from the H3N8 equine influenza virus (EIV), describe the later

extinction of H3N8 in dogs while also following the continuing evolution and epidemiology of EIV

among horses in several geographic regions of the world.

Results

H3N8 experienced major clade divergence in the early 2000s, including the

emergence of CIV in dogs. Despite years of spread and evolution in horses since its first

emergence around 1963, H3N8 EIV diversity had largely collapsed to a single North American

clade by the end of the 20th century (Figure 1, inset) (17, 73). This 'Florida' clade then gave rise

to the FC1 and FC2 subclades. A full genome phylogeny since 2001 shows the presence of pre-

clade divergence viruses (preC), and viruses within the monophyletic FC2, FC1, and CIV

groups. Divergence occurred roughly after the appearance of Kentucky/5/2002,

California/8560/2002, and Newmarket/5/2003 preC (Figure 1), so that the first full-genome

samples from the FC2, FC1 and CIV clades are Richmond/1/2007, Wisconsin/1/2003,

ca/Florida/242/2003, respectively. These FC2 and FC1 sequences are currently (or closely

match) the EIV formulations frequently manufactured and used for vaccination (35).

We further gathered all detailed collection dates at best accuracy (YYYY-MM-DD) to

review the temporal nature of the data set. A root-to-tip regression analysis of the full genome

data set revealed strong clock-like structure (R^2 = 0.92) and an evolutionary rate of 1.5 x 10^{-3}

nucleotide substitutions/site/year (Figure S1A). It was obtained a reliable root-to-tip regression

for the expanded HA1 data set from 2001 to present, yet with rooting divergence from the

common ancestor between FC1/CIV and FC2 lineages (Figure S1B). The establishment and

persistence of these lineages allows a direct comparison of H3N8 influenza virus evolution in

novel (dog) and original (horse) hosts following the host transfer and emergence event giving

rise to CIV. To determine what genomic characteristics may have allowed FC1 (and FC2) to

persist in nature, while CIV went extinct, we analyzed the CIV, FC1 and FC2 clades since their

divergence in parallel (Figure 1).

CIV was restricted to the United States and went extinct around 2016. The last

known positive cases of H3N8 CIV were reported in the Northeast USA in 2016, two samples of

which (Maine and Massachusetts) were sequenced shortly thereafter by our group. No further

H3N8 positive cases in the USA have been reported, even though this was a time of frequent

canine influenza testing due to the emergence and spread of H3N2 CIV in the US in 2015 and

2016 (51).

We gathered a data set of 43 complete CIV genomes (and an expanded 88 HA1 reading

frame sequences) and employed the same methods as for the full H3N8 data set. Our full

genome CIV phylogeny (Figure 2A) closely matches the monophyletic group seen in the larger

H3N8 full genome data set (Figure 1) and previous analyses (74). The full genomes of CIV

showed a strong clock-like evolution (R^2 = 0.94) at approximately the same rate (1.8 x 10^{-3}

substitutions/site/year, Figure S1C) as observed with the larger H3N8 full genome data set. We

used the expanded HA1 data set to connect the phylogenetic tree to the geographic data

(Figure 2B). Subclades of CIV were highly structured by regional introduction and spread,

mostly localizing to outbreak centers in Florida, New York City, Philadelphia, and the cities of

Colorado. Secondary geographic sites appear to have involved secondary outbreaks that were

related by phylogenetics back to their source locations.

We further inferred the demographic history of these populations using Bayesian

analysis with a Skyline Plot (BSP) tree prior, enabling us to estimate changes in genetic

diversity – a measure of effective population size (N_e) – through time. For both data sets we

found that N_e plots correspond to virus natural history and epidemiology: large population and

diversity growth occurring during the initial US-wide outbreaks of 2005-2007, followed by

regional die out and the eventual complete extinction (Figures S2A-B).

EIV FC1 is a US-centric virus that contributes to international transfers and

outbreaks. We compiled 82 FC1 full genome and 252 HA1 sequence data sets including those

newly generated here. The FC1 full genome phylogeny shows a fairly linear clade divergence

(Figure 3). The trees show strong signatures of geographic clustering, suggesting frequent

single-introduction outbreaks in particular locations including South America, Europe, and other

regions. There is a strong clock-like evolution (R^2 = 0.99) at approximately the same rate (1.7 x

10^{-3} substitutions/site/year, Figure S1E) seen in the larger H3N8 full genome data set and the

CIV clade. We again estimated N_e changes through time, and while sampling bias and poor

admixture limits making broad conclusions, we were able to identify key demographic transitions

and data gaps (Figures S2A, S6, S7).

The phylogenetic tree of our expanded HA1 sequences largely paralleled the full-length

genome tree, further clarifying the larger geographic movements of FC1 since its divergence.

The overall phylogenetic structure reveals an apparent US-based continuous circulation that

seeds multiple international transfers and outbreaks in different regions of the world (Figure 4).

Some of these historical transfers have been reported and analyzed previously and confirmed

here (20, 75–79). Our Bayesian demographic analysis of the FC1 HA1 data set (Figure S8) also

shows that the effective population size largely mirrors the full-length genome data set, yet with

more points of transition and changes in diversity, some of which are likely revealed by the

increased sampling resolution (Figure S2B).

An analysis of recent FC1 phylogenetic structure (in both full genome and HA1 data

sets) reveals two closely related subclades at this point in time: the viruses circulating in the US,

and those collected from the 2019 European outbreak. The directions of transmission appear

complex, but since the virus in the United States was circulating for a few years prior to 2019,

that was likely transferred from the US to the United Kingdom and elsewhere in Europe. A few

viruses collected in California in 2019 clustered with the European subclade, noted in horses

that had been imported from Europe (metadata of samples collected by UC-Davis for this

study). Some 2020-2021 US cases in the Northeastern states of New York and Rhode Island

also more closely match the UK samples, suggesting likely transfers from Europe back to the

USA. Further sampling in the USA, particularly from the Northeast region, is needed to clarify

the relatedness of the North American and European FC1 viruses at this time.

FC2 represents an under sampled and likely limited clade of EIV with current

circulation in some regions of Asia. The public repositories have limited numbers of full

genomes from the FC2 clade, although more HA1 sequences are available. Sampling appears

to be relatively sparce, with multiple samples and sequences from notable outbreaks, so that

there is extensive genetic redundancy among the samples available. Our FC2 full genome ML

tree showed no variation from the full H3N8 data set analysis (Figure 5A, Figure 1). The more

substantial HA1 data set (n=254, Figure 5B) clearly revealed geographic distribution of recent

FC2 samples: while FC2 were centered in Europe between around 2000 and 2014, the clade

appears to have been transferred to Asia around 2009. Europe has seen fewer FC2 cases, with

last reports in 2017, while since 2018 only a single subclade has been present in mainland Asia.

The small number of full FC2 genomes (Figure S1G-H) resulted in Bayesian analyses

characterized by high statistical uncertainty (Figures S2A-B, S9-11).

We also note that FC2 isolates have been identified in various equid species (Mongolian

horses, Arabian horses, Donkeys), as well as dogs, although their epidemiological relevance is

unknown. Horses in Asia appear to be regularly exposed to avian influenza but have generally

failed to support a new emergent strain due to a lack of adaptation (80).

H3N8 clades show distinct mutational signatures reflecting host biology and

unique epidemiology. We next compared H3N8 evolution in different hosts to see if there were

obvious signatures of host-specific evolution after transfer to, and sustained transmission in,

dogs. The rate of genome evolution (i.e. nucleotide substitutions per site per year for each

segment) for each clade showed that substitution rates in CIV and FC1 were essentially the

same in all segments except HA (Figure 6A), suggesting that if new-host adaptation was

present it was masked in the general background rate of genetic drift (81, 82). In contrast, the

HA, NA, and M1 substitution rates are significantly greater within the FC1 clade than the FC2.

All H3N8 clades within horses and dogs exhibit lower substitution rates than reported for

influenza circulating in human host populations (huH3N2, Figure 6A), particularly in HA and NA

where antigenic selection would be most apparent.

We have previously reported comparative analyses of CIV and FC1 through 2015 (83).

Only two CIV isolates have been generated since this time, while FC1 has continued to circulate

widely in different regions of the world. Here, to better identify any residues subject to natural

selection, we have updated that analysis based on the many additional the full genome

sequences of the different clades. To do this we utilized several approaches in the Datamonkey

analytical toolset (https://datamonkey.org/, (84)). Using SLAC (Single-Likelihood Ancestor

Counting) we measured the mean d_N/d_S ratio of each segment for each clade (Figure 6B). An

elevated ratio is seen in the HA ORF (particularly in the HA1 antigenic domain), but with no

significant difference between clades. The divergent selection acting on the CIV and EIV H3N8

NS segment, reflected in variant segment length, has previously been analyzed in detail (85). In

sum, it appears that FC1 is undergoing greater evolutionary change in horses than the FC2

clade, or than the H3N8 CIV in dogs up until 2016.

CIV early mutations suggest host adaptation to dogs, yet with no change in

epidemiology and avoidance of extinction. The sequences of viruses spilling over into new

hosts will reflect the composition of the single virus that makes the initial transfer, and then will

likely undergo adaptation to the properties and physiology of the new host animal, including

optimizing onward transmission (3). We therefore compared the sequences and evolution of the

viruses that were closely related to the common ancestor of CIV (preC), the evolution of H3N8

CIV in its new host, and parallel continued evolution of the early FC1 H3N8 EIV in horses

(Figure 7A). This revealed multiple transitional mutations in CIV (Figure 7B), some of which

have been previously analyzed (42, 83, 85, 86). However, these genetic changes appear not to

have allowed CIV to increase its spread among the general dog populations, such that the virus

continued to be maintained as shelter-driven outbreaks which resulted in viral extinction, likely

through stochastic fade out (46). It is also likely that selection on the virus for spread within

dense and high turn-over shelter or kennel populations did not result in the selection of

mutations enabling enhanced spread in the household dog population required to develop a true

epidemic or pandemic (45). Despite this successful transfer of the H3N8 EIV to dogs, all other

spillovers have resulted in only single canine infections or short-lived local outbreaks (47).

It is also noteworthy that the H3N8 EIV FC1 acquired additional mutations during its

parallel spread in horses. There is some evidence that H3N8 may have undergone further host

adaptation in horses over time (87). This change in evolutionary potential of the EIV may

suggest that the preC consensus genome was particularly suited to clade divergence and host-

switching ~1999. While some antigenic selection may occur (22, 40, 88), most mutations are not

in the antigenic sites of the HA or NA, and likely represent genetic drift during the outbreak-

driven circulation of FC1 (Figure 4). Discerning true antigenic evolution from background genetic

drift will require greater surveillance and testing of immunity in horses.

Discussion

320   A detailed understanding of the replication and spread of infectious diseases allows

effective control strategies to be devised. While endemic human influenza outbreaks appear to

involve common global movement and widespread infections, IAVs in other hosts involves more

complex epidemiology, often with constrained geographic spread, and many outbreaks dying

out without obvious human interventions. The recent apparent extinction of the Influenza B

Yamagata lineage in humans suggest that influenza viruses may have a more tenuous foothold

in mammalian host population than has sometimes been assumed (89).

327   **Equine and canine H3N8 have different evolutionary fates.** The analysis presented

328   here focuses on the avian-mammalian emergent H3N8 viruses: first in their original equine

329   hosts in which they have been circulating for 60 years, and then with comparisons to the same

330   virus in dogs, to understand the divergent evolution of the same virus in different hosts. While

331   EIV continues to circulate and infect horses around the world, we report here that CIV was last

332   seen in 2016 in the Northeast United States, and is apparently now extinct.

333   H3N8 CIV was likely entirely contained to the United States during its ~17 years of

transmission in dogs, as no cases of this lineage have been reported in other countries. Our

new complete genome sequencing of the H3N8 CIV isolates from throughout the course

epidemic show that its geographic structure arose from an initial burst of widespread disease

that may have been associated with the movement of infected greyhounds. After 2007, only

local transmission was seen in Colorado and close by in Wyoming, up to around 2010 (90). In

the Northeast of the USA the virus was first reported in New York in 2005 and in Pennsylvania

in 2007. The virus continued to circulate in New York, where it was isolated from short-lived

outbreaks that occurred after introduction of virus (likely due to transport of infected dogs from

the shelters where the virus was endemic), and those were seen in Connecticut, Pennsylvania,

Vermont, Maine, and Massachusetts up until 2016 (45, 46). Our analysis confirms that the

outbreaks in Colorado and the Northeast were distinct, and also that after 2012 only a single

New York-centered lineage of virus continuing to circulate in dogs.

Equine influenza virus circulates widely in the USA and in different regions of the

world. In contrast, the H3N8 EIV has been found throughout North America for the 60 years

since it was first isolated. Ongoing passive surveillance systems confirm US circulation, with

some observed seasonality (26). However, frequent international transfers of the virus are also

a feature of its epidemiology. We confirm here that outbreaks in several countries around the

world were likely directly, or indirectly, caused by viruses from North America. Those included

widespread outbreaks in South America that started in 2018, and in the United Kingdom and

elsewhere in Europe starting in 2019. Similarly, viruses from Japan, Australia, Malaysia have

been closely related to viruses from the USA. Recent outbreaks in Western Africa also fit this

pattern, as viruses from Senegal, Nigeria, and Niger were closely related to those from South

America, which were due to viruses originating in the USA (76). SARS-CoV-2 drastically

changed human behavior during 2020 and 2021, with international travel spending being

reduced by 76% in 2020 compared to 2019 following international travel bans imposed by many

countries (91). Equine sport activities in the United States and around the world were

consequently impacted by varied economic curtailment, including the international transfer of

horses. Yet we see continued FC1 infections in the United States between 2020 and 2022, and

a potential inter-relatedness between US and European samples in that time, suggesting a

potential return to the norm. Overall, it appears that the FC1 lineage of EIV is maintained in the

horse population of the United States, and that provides viruses causing outbreaks in other

regions of the world (77).

What host differences explain the different viral evolutionary fates? As dogs

usually live in households as single animals or in small groups as their population structure and

movement patterns likely differ from that of horses. Larger numbers of susceptible dogs may be

found in animal shelters, kennels, or dog day cares, and these will allow increased transmission.

CIVs will spread rapidly within most shelters, and then the virus will die out once the population

has all been infected and become immune, or transmission may be stopped within the shelter

by quarantining affected dogs (45, 46). Horses are often found in groups or stables, yet its

impact on transmission is unclear. The transfer of horses for sport (including internationally) is

likely a large driver of EIV dissemination. Recent estimations by USDA-APHIS report that 57.8%

of equine operations in the US transported any resident equid by vehicle off the operation and

back in the preceding 12 months; 14.1% were >500 miles, 29.7% of operations transported

equids to an adjacent state, and 11.8% transported equids beyond adjacent states; while only

0.7% of operations transferred horses internationally (28). Additionally, there appear to have

been no international transfers of dogs infected with H3N8 CIV. Given the short lifespan of

dogs, limited circulation of H3N8, and the absence of a licensed vaccine until 2009, we assume

very little immune pressure on the CIV H3N8. Equids may be similar to humans as long-lived

vertebrates where relatively high levels of anti-EIV antibody immunity are induced due to either

infection or vaccination but wane in time between lifetime exposures (92).

Interestingly, the reservoir of H3N2 CIV in China and Korea appears to have been dogs

being bred in large numbers, with infected dogs then transported to the USA or Canada to

cause outbreaks among different dog populations. However, once again there has been no

onward transmission of that H3N2 CIV to other countries from North America, suggesting that

the recent US dog population structure may represent a 'dead-end' for endemic IAV circulation

(51).

Conclusion. Our analysis of H3N8 evolution in the horse and canine clades show

similar levels of variation that are lower than that observed for influenza in humans. The data

therefore suggest that host population structure and epidemiology rather than specific adaptive

evolution are driving the sustained transmission of FC1 in horses. Given the extinction of CIV

H3N8, this suggests that well targeted interventions could in turn result in extinction of EIV

H3N8 FC1 in horses. This likely requires a more refined epidemiological understanding of

circulation in the United States, updated vaccination formulation for FC1 and greater uptake. It

may further require screening of international transfers of horses for influenza to reduce

worldwide transmission, particularly the export of US-borne FC1 lineages, as well as the 'return'

of viruses to the USA from Europe. Rational human intervention may be necessary to 'break'

the host-structure epidemiology that is sustaining this virus.

Materials and Methods

H3N8 Sample Collection. Samples were obtained from various diagnostic centers

following routine passive diagnostic testing for respiratory disease (listed in Table S1, red).

Samples were received as either nasal or pharyngeal swab material, virus isolated in

culture/egg passages, or extracted total nucleic acid (tNA) first utilized for quantitative reverse

transcription-PCR (qRT-PCR) positivity. vRNA was extracted from clinical swab samples and

isolated using the QIAamp Viral RNA Mini kit. Purified vRNA or tNA was then either directly

used for influenza multi-segment RT-PCR or stored at -80˚C.

Generation of Influenza Virus Sequences. Genomes from samples were generated as

cDNAs using a whole genome multi-segment RT-PCR protocol as described previously (93,

94). A common set of primers (5′ to 3′, uni12a, GTTACGCGCCAGCAAAAGCAGG; uni12b,

GTTACGCGCCAGCGAAAGCAGG; uni13, GTTACGCGCCAGTAGAAACAAGG) that recognize

the terminal sequences of the influenza A segments were used in a single reaction with

SuperScript III OneStep RT-PCR with Platinum *Taq* DNA polymerase (Invitrogen). Following

confirmation by gel electrophoresis, viral cDNA was purified either by standard PCR reaction

desalting columns or with a 0.45X volume of AMPure XP beads (Beckman Coulter). Nextera XT

libraries were generated with 1 ng of cDNA material, while Nextera FLEX libraries were

generated with 150-200 ng of cDNA (Invitrogen). Libraries were multiplexed, pooled, sequenced

using Ilumina MiSeq 2 X 250 sequencing, and consensus sequences were determined for each

genome segment after assuring full genome coverage.

Consensus sequence editing was performed using Geneious Prime 2022.1.1. Paired

reads were trimmed using BBduk script (https://jgi.doe.gov/data-and-tools/bbtools/bb-tools-user-

guide/bbduk-guide/) and merged. Each sequence was assembled by mapping to a reference

sequence of a previously annotated equine or canine H3N8 sequence. Consensus positions

had read depth >300 and >75% identity. We did not observe unresolved positions under these

conditions from the samples generated in this study.

Phylogenetic Analysis. H3N8 nucleotide sequences were downloaded from the NCBI

Influenza Virus Database and combined with the consensus genomes generated in this study

(95). We focused on genomes from 2001 to present, encompassing the formation of the major

virus clades (FC2, FC1, CIV). Both inter- and intra-subtype reassortants were identified using

RDP4 (seven methods: RDP, GENECONV, Bootscan, MaxChi, Chimaera, SiScan, 3seq) and

excluded if found to be a statistically significant outlier in two or more methods (96). Our total

data set comprised 157 full genomes (preC: 3, FC2: 29, FC1: 82, CIV: 43), with an expanded

611 HA1 reading frames (preC: 17, FC2: 254, FC1: 252, CIV: 88) (Tables S1-2). Sequences

were manually trimmed to their major open reading frames (PB2: 2280nt, PB1: 2274nt, PA:

2151nt, HA: 1695-1701nt, NP: 1497nt, NA: 1410-1413nt, M1: 759nt, and NS1: 654-693nt) and

either analyzed separately or concatenated with all other genome segments from the same

virus sample. HA1 nucleotide sequences were trimmed to cover amino acids 1-329 (H3

numbering). Nucleotide sequences were aligned using MUSCLE (97). Maximum likelihood (ML)

phylogenetic analysis was performed by PhyML (98), employing a generalized time-reversable

(GTR) substitution model, gamma-distributed (Γ4) rate variation among sites, and bootstrap

resampling. Both MUSCLE and PhyML were run under the Geneious Prime platform. ML trees

were visualized and annotated using FigTree v1.4.4 (http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree/).

The temporal signal (i.e. molecular clock-like structure) of the data was assessed by a

regression of root-to-tip genetic distance against date of sampling using our ML tree and the

TempEst v.1.5.3 software (99). Accurate collection dating to the day (YYYY-MM-DD) was

utilized for all samples where this information was available. The sampling dates used for all

isolates are listed in Tables S1 and S2.

Bayesian Phylogeny and Analysis. We used a Bayesian Markov chain Monte Carlo

(MCMC) approach to better estimate virus divergence times and population dynamics. Analyses

were performed in BEAST v.1.10.4 (100), where MCMC sampling was performed with a strict

clock, a generalized time-reversable (GTR) substitution model with gamma distribution in four

categories (Γ4), and assuming a Bayesian Skyline Plot (BSP) prior demographic model.

Analyses were performed for a minimum of 100 million runs, with duplicates combined in Log

Combiner v1.10.4. All output results were examined for statistical convergence in Tracer v1.7.2

(effective sample size [ESS] ≥200, consistent traces, removed burn-in at 10-15%) (101).

Maximum clade credibility (MCC) phylogenetic trees were generated by Tree Annotator v1.10.4,

and visualized by FigTree v1.4.4.

Analysis of Selection Pressures. The relative numbers of synonymous (d_S) and

nonsynonymous (d_N) nucleotide substitutions per site in each ORF of each segment were

analyzed for the signature of positive selection (adaptive evolution, $p < 0.05$ or > 0.95 posterior)

using a variety of method packages available in the Datamonkey package

(https://datamonkey.org/, (84)): BUSTED (**B**ranch-site **U**nrestricted **S**tatistical **T**est

for **E**pisodic **D**iversification), FEL (**F**ixed **E**ffects **L**ikelihood), FUBAR

(**F**ast, **U**nconstrained **B**ayesian **A**pp**R**oximation), MEME (**M**ixed **E**ffects **M**odel of **E**volution), and

SLAC (**S**ingle-**L**ikelihood **A**ncestor **C**ounting).

Graphing and Statistical Analysis. All figure graphs were generated in GraphPad

Prism v.9.5.0.

Data Availability. Virus genome consensus sequences have been submitted to

GenBank, and accession numbers of the sequences used (and generated) for this study are

provided in Tables S1 and S2.

Acknowledgements

We thank the sources of the new samples used in this study, including diagnostic labs

and individual private veterinarians. We wish to thank members of the Parrish Lab for critical

feedback and support on this manuscript, particularly Wendy Weichert. SER and TMC were

supported by a project of the Kentucky Agricultural Experiment Station, Project No. KY-014067.

PRM was supported by the Medical Research Council of the United Kingdom (Grant

MC_UU_12014/9), the Horserace Betting Levy Board (Grants 797), the Biotechnology and

Biological Sciences Research Council (Grants BB/V002821/1 and BB/V004697/1). CRP (and by

extension BRW, ER, and IEHV) were supported by National Institutes of Health grant R01-

GM080533. This work was partially funded by the Influenza Division of the Centers for Disease

Control, Animal-Human Interface program, under contract #75D30121P12812 to CRP and LBG.

References

1. Parrish CR, Holmes EC, Morens DM, Park E-C, Burke DS, Calisher CH, Laughlin CA, Saif LJ,

Daszak P. 2008. Cross-species virus transmission and the emergence of new epidemic

diseases. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 72:457–470.

2. Antia R, Regoes RR, Koella JC, Bergstrom CT. 2003. The role of evolution in the emergence

of infectious diseases. Nature 426:658–661.

3. Wasik BR, de Wit E, Munster V, Lloyd-Smith JO, Martinez-Sobrido L, Parrish CR. 2019.

Onward transmission of viruses: how do viruses emerge to cause epidemics after spillover?

Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci 374:20190017.

4. Plowright RK, Parrish CR, McCallum H, Hudson PJ, Ko AI, Graham AL, Lloyd-Smith JO. 2017.

Pathways to zoonotic spillover. Nat Rev Microbiol 15:502–510.

5. Yoon S-W, Webby RJ, Webster RG. 2014. Evolution and ecology of influenza A viruses. Curr

Top Microbiol Immunol 385:359–375.

6. Parrish CR, Murcia PR, Holmes EC. 2015. Influenza Virus Reservoirs and Intermediate Hosts:

Dogs, Horses, and New Possibilities for Influenza Virus Exposure of Humans. Journal of

Virology 89:2990–2994.

7. Agüero M, Monne I, Sánchez A, Zecchin B, Fusaro A, Ruano MJ, del Valle Arrojo M,

Fernández-Antonio R, Souto AM, Tordable P, Cañás J, Bonfante F, Giussani E, Terregino C,

Orejas JJ. 2023. Highly pathogenic avian influenza A(H5N1) virus infection in farmed minks,

Spain, October 2022. Eurosurveillance 28.

8. Gamarra-Toledo V, Plaza PI, Inga G, Gutiérrez R, García-Tello O, Valdivia-Ramírez L,

Huamán-Mendoza D, Nieto-Navarrete JC, Ventura S, Lambertucci SA. 2023. First Mass

Mortality of Marine Mammals Caused by Highly Pathogenic Influenza Virus (H5N1) in South

America https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.02.08.527769.

9. Krammer F, Smith GJD, Fouchier RAM, Peiris M, Kedzierska K, Doherty PC, Palese P, Shaw

ML, Treanor J, Webster RG, García-Sastre A. 2018. Influenza. Nat Rev Dis Primers 4:3.

10. Morens DM, Taubenberger JK. 2010. An avian outbreak associated with panzootic equine

influenza in 1872: an early example of highly pathogenic avian influenza? Influenza Other

Respir Viruses 4:373–377.

11. Webster RG. 1993. Are equine 1 influenza viruses still present in horses? Equine Vet J

25:537–538.

12. Chambers TM. 2022. Equine Influenza. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med 12:a038331.

13. Murcia PR, Wood JLN, Holmes EC. 2011. Genome-scale evolution and phylodynamics of

equine H3N8 influenza A virus. J Virol 85:5312–5322.

14. Waddell GH, Teigland MB, Sigel MM. 1963. A NEW INFLUENZA VIRUS ASSOCIATED WITH

EQUINE RESPIRATORY DISEASE. J Am Vet Med Assoc 143:587–590.

15. Scholtens RG, Steele JH, Dowdle WR, Yarbrough WB, Robinson RQ. 1964. U.S. EPIZOOTIC OF

EQUINE INFLUENZA, 1963. Public Health Rep 79:393–402.

16. Murcia PR, Baillie GJ, Stack JC, Jervis C, Elton D, Mumford JA, Daly J, Kellam P, Grenfell BT,

Holmes EC, Wood JLN. 2013. Evolution of equine influenza virus in vaccinated horses. J Virol

87:4768–4771.

17. Daly JM, Lai AC, Binns MM, Chambers TM, Barrandeguy M, Mumford JA. 1996. Antigenic

and genetic evolution of equine H3N8 influenza A viruses. J Gen Virol 77 (Pt 4):661–671.

18. Oxburgh L, Klingeborn B. 1999. Cocirculation of two distinct lineages of equine influenza

virus subtype H3N8. J Clin Microbiol 37:3005–3009.

19. Miño S, Mojsiejczuk L, Guo W, Zhang H, Qi T, Du C, Zhang X, Wang J, Campos R, Wang X.

2019. Equine influenza virus in Asia: phylogeographic pattern and molecular features

revealed the circulation of an autochthonous lineage. J Virol 93:e00116-19.

20. Diallo AA, Souley MM, Issa Ibrahim A, Alassane A, Issa R, Gagara H, Yaou B, Issiakou A, Diop

M, Ba Diouf RO, Lo FT, Lo MM, Bakhoum T, Sylla M, Seck MT, Meseko C, Shittu I, Cullinane

A, Settypalli TBK, Lamien CE, Dundon WG, Cattoli G. 2021. Transboundary spread of equine

influenza viruses (H3N8) in West and Central Africa: Molecular characterization of identified

viruses during outbreaks in Niger and Senegal, in 2019. Transbound Emerg Dis 68:1253–

1262.

21. Karamendin K, Kydyrmanov A, Kasymbekov Y, Khan E, Daulbayeva K, Asanova S, Zhumatov

K, Seidalina A, Sayatov M, Fereidouni SR. 2014. Continuing evolution of equine influenza

virus in Central Asia, 2007-2012. Arch Virol 159:2321–2327.

22. Nemoto M, Ohta M, Yamanaka T, Kambayashi Y, Bannai H, Tsujimura K, Yamayoshi S,

Kawaoka Y, Cullinane A. 2021. Antigenic differences between equine influenza virus vaccine

strains and Florida sublineage clade 1 strains isolated in Europe in 2019. Vet J 272:105674.

23. Bryant NA, Rash AS, Russell CA, Ross J, Cooke A, Bowman S, MacRae S, Lewis NS, Paillot R,

Zanoni R, Meier H, Griffiths LA, Daly JM, Tiwari A, Chambers TM, Newton JR, Elton DM.

2009. Antigenic and genetic variations in European and North American equine influenza

virus strains (H3N8) isolated from 2006 to 2007. Vet Microbiol 138:41–52.

24. Dionísio L, Medeiros F, Pequito M, Faustino-Rocha AI. 2021. Equine influenza: a

comprehensive review from etiology to treatment. Anim Health Res Rev 22:56–71.

25. Pusterla N, James K, Barnum S, Bain F, Barnett DC, Chappell D, Gaughan E, Craig B,

Schneider C, Vaala W. 2022. Frequency of Detection and Prevalence Factors Associated with

Common Respiratory Pathogens in Equids with Acute Onset of Fever and/or Respiratory

Signs (2008-2021). Pathogens 11:759.

26. Chappell DE, Barnett DC, James K, Craig B, Bain F, Gaughan E, Schneider C, Vaala W, Barnum

SM, Pusterla N. 2023. Voluntary Surveillance Program for Equine Influenza Virus in the

United States during 2008-2021. Pathogens 12:192.

27. Oladunni FS, Oseni SO, Martinez-Sobrido L, Chambers TM. 2021. Equine Influenza Virus and

Vaccines. Viruses 13:1657.

28. 2016. Equine 2015, "Baseline Reference of Equine Health and Management in the United

States, 2015." #718.1216. USDA–APHIS–VS–CEAH–NAHMS.

29. Castro ER, Perez R, Rodriguez S, Bassetti L, Negro R, Vidal R. 2019. Epidemiological and

virological findings during an outbreak of equine influenza in Uruguay in 2018. Rev Sci Tech

38:737–749.

30. Daly JM, Megid J, Langoni H, de Nardi Júnior G, Ribeiro MG. 2021. Retrospective serological

survey for influenza in horses from Brazil. Braz J Microbiol 52:461–466.

31. Gildea S, Garvey M, Lyons P, Lyons R, Gahan J, Walsh C, Cullinane A. 2018. Multifocal Equine

Influenza Outbreak with Vaccination Breakdown in Thoroughbred Racehorses. Pathogens 7.

32. Olguin-Perglione C, Vissani MA, Alamos F, Tordoya MS, Barrandeguy M. 2020. Multifocal

outbreak of equine influenza in vaccinated horses in Argentina in 2018: Epidemiological

aspects and molecular characterisation of the involved virus strains. Equine Vet J 52:420–

427.

33. 2020. OIE Expert Surveillance Panel on Equine Influenza Vaccine Composition, Official

2020-1.

34. 2022. Expert surveillance panel on equine influenza vaccine composition. World

Organization for Animal Health.

35. Pavulraj S, Bergmann T, Trombetta CM, Marchi S, Montomoli E, Alami SSE, Ragni-Alunni R,

Osterrieder N, Azab W. 2021. Immunogenicity of Calvenza-03 EIV/EHV® Vaccine in Horses:

Comparative In Vivo Study. Vaccines (Basel) 9:166.

36. Sack A, Cullinane A, Daramragchaa U, Chuluunbaatar M, Gonchigoo B, Gray GC. 2019.

Equine Influenza Virus—A Neglected, Reemergent Disease Threat. Emerg Infect Dis

25:1185–1191.

37. Sack A, Oladunni FS, Gonchigoo B, Chambers TM, Gray GC. 2020. Zoonotic Diseases from

Horses: A Systematic Review. Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis 20:484–495.

38. Kasel JA, Couch RB. 1969. Experimental infection in man and horses with influenza A

viruses. Bull World Health Organ 41:447–452.

39. Kasel JA, Alford RH, Knight V, Waddell GH, Sigel MM. 1965. EXPERIMENTAL INFECTION OF

HUMAN VOLUNTEERS WITH EQUINE INFLUENZA VIRUS. Nature 206:41–43.

40. Nemoto M, Reedy SE, Yano T, Suzuki K, Fukuda S, Garvey M, Kambayashi Y, Bannai H,

Tsujimura K, Yamanaka T, Cullinane A, Chambers TM. 2023. Antigenic comparison of H3N8

equine influenza viruses belonging to Florida sublineage clade 1 between vaccine strains

and North American strains isolated in 2021-2022. Arch Virol 168:94.

41. Anderson TC, Bromfield CR, Crawford PC, Dodds WJ, Gibbs EPJ, Hernandez JA. 2012.

Serological evidence of H3N8 canine influenza-like virus circulation in USA dogs prior to

2004. Vet J 191:312–316.

42. Collins PJ, Vachieri SG, Haire LF, Ogrodowicz RW, Martin SR, Walker PA, Xiong X, Gamblin SJ,

Skehel JJ. 2014. Recent evolution of equine influenza and the origin of canine influenza.

Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 111:11175–11180.

43. Crawford PC, Dubovi EJ, Castleman WL, Stephenson I, Gibbs EPJ, Chen L, Smith C, Hill RC,

Ferro P, Pompey J, Bright RA, Medina M-J, Johnson CM, Olsen CW, Cox NJ, Klimov AI, Katz

JM, Donis RO. 2005. Transmission of equine influenza virus to dogs. Science 310:482–485.

44. Payungporn S, Crawford PC, Kouo TS, Chen L, Pompey J, Castleman WL, Dubovi EJ, Katz JM,

Donis RO. 2008. Influenza A virus (H3N8) in dogs with respiratory disease, Florida. Emerging

Infect Dis 14:902–908.

45. Dalziel BD, Huang K, Geoghegan JL, Arinaminpathy N, Dubovi EJ, Grenfell BT, Ellner SP,

Holmes EC, Parrish CR. 2014. Contact heterogeneity, rather than transmission efficiency,

limits the emergence and spread of canine influenza virus. PLoS Pathog 10:e1004455.

46. Hayward JJ, Dubovi EJ, Scarlett JM, Janeczko S, Holmes EC, Parrish CR. 2010. Microevolution

of canine influenza virus in shelters and its molecular epidemiology in the United States. J

Virol 84:12636–12645.

47. Crispe E, Finlaison DS, Hurt AC, Kirkland PD. 2011. Infection of dogs with equine influenza

virus: evidence for transmission from horses during the Australian outbreak. Aust Vet J 89

Suppl 1:27–28.

48. Daly JM, Blunden AS, Macrae S, Miller J, Bowman SJ, Kolodziejek J, Nowotny N, Smith KC.

2008. Transmission of equine influenza virus to English foxhounds. Emerg Infect Dis 14:461–

464.

49. Krueger WS, Heil GL, Yoon K-J, Gray GC. 2014. No evidence for zoonotic transmission of

H3N8 canine influenza virus among US adults occupationally exposed to dogs. Influenza

Other Respir Viruses 8:99–106.

50. Li S, Shi Z, Jiao P, Zhang G, Zhong Z, Tian W, Long L-P, Cai Z, Zhu X, Liao M, Wan X-F. 2010.

Avian-origin H3N2 canine influenza A viruses in Southern China. Infect Genet Evol 10:1286–

1288.

51. Voorhees IEH, Dalziel BD, Glaser A, Dubovi EJ, Murcia PR, Newbury S, Toohey-Kurth K, Su S,

Kriti D, Van Bakel H, Goodman LB, Leutenegger C, Holmes EC, Parrish CR. 2018. Multiple

Incursions and Recurrent Epidemic Fade-Out of H3N2 Canine Influenza A Virus in the United

States. J Virol 92:e00323-18.

52. Martinez-Sobrido L, Blanco-Lobo P, Rodriguez L, Fitzgerald T, Zhang H, Nguyen P, Anderson

CS, Holden-Wiltse J, Bandyopadhyay S, Nogales A, DeDiego ML, Wasik BR, Miller BL, Henry

C, Wilson PC, Sangster MY, Treanor JJ, Topham DJ, Byrd-Leotis L, Steinhauer DA, Cummings

RD, Luczo JM, Tompkins SM, Sakamoto K, Jones CA, Steel J, Lowen AC, Danzy S, Tao H, Fink

AL, Klein SL, Wohlgemuth N, Fenstermacher KJ, El Najjar F, Pekosz A, Sauer L, Lewis MK,

Shaw-Saliba K, Rothman RE, Liu Z-Y, Chen K-F, Parrish CR, Voorhees IEH, Kawaoka Y,

Neumann G, Chiba S, Fan S, Hatta M, Kong H, Zhong G, Wang G, Uccellini MB, García-Sastre

A, Perez DR, Ferreri LM, Herfst S, Richard M, Fouchier R, Burke D, Pattinson D, Smith DJ,

Meliopoulos V, Freiden P, Livingston B, Sharp B, Cherry S, Dib JC, Yang G, Russell CJ, Barman

S, Webby RJ, Krauss S, Danner A, Woodard K, Peiris M, Perera R a. PM, Chan MCW,

Govorkova EA, Marathe BM, Pascua PNQ, Smith G, Li Y-T, Thomas PG, Schultz-Cherry S.

2020. Characterizing Emerging Canine H3 Influenza Viruses. PLoS Pathog 16:e1008409.

53. Morens DM, Daszak P, Markel H, Taubenberger JK. 2020. Pandemic COVID-19 Joins

History's Pandemic Legion. mBio 11:e00812-20.

54. Hay AJ, McCauley JW. 2018. The WHO global influenza surveillance and response system

(GISRS)-A future perspective. Influenza Other Respir Viruses 12:551–557.

55. Ziegler T, Mamahit A, Cox NJ. 2018. 65 years of influenza surveillance by a World Health

Organization-coordinated global network. Influenza Other Respir Viruses 12:558–565.

56. Fagre AC, Cohen LE, Eskew EA, Farrell M, Glennon E, Joseph MB, Frank HK, Ryan SJ, Carlson

CJ, Albery GF. 2022. Assessing the risk of human-to-wildlife pathogen transmission for

conservation and public health. Ecol Lett 25:1534–1549.

57. Pepin KM, Lass S, Pulliam JRC, Read AF, Lloyd-Smith JO. 2010. Identifying genetic markers of

adaptation for surveillance of viral host jumps. Nat Rev Microbiol 8:802–813.

58. Lemey P, Rambaut A, Bedford T, Faria N, Bielejec F, Baele G, Russell CA, Smith DJ, Pybus OG,

Brockmann D, Suchard MA. 2014. Unifying viral genetics and human transportation data to

predict the global transmission dynamics of human influenza H3N2. PLoS Pathog

10:e1003932.

59. Worobey M, Pekar J, Larsen BB, Nelson MI, Hill V, Joy JB, Rambaut A, Suchard MA,

Wertheim JO, Lemey P. 2020. The emergence of SARS-CoV-2 in Europe and North America.

Science 370:564–570.

60. Murcia PR, Wood JLN. 2011. Equine influenza virus: a jumping virus that races with

Thoroughbred horses and greyhounds. Vet J 189:3–4.

61. Gildea S, Fitzpatrick DA, Cullinane A. 2013. Epidemiological and virological investigations of

equine influenza outbreaks in Ireland (2010-2012). Influenza Other Respir Viruses 7 Suppl

4:61–72.

62. Cullinane A, Newton JR. 2013. Equine influenza--a global perspective. Vet Microbiol

167:205–214.

63. Rochman ND, Wolf YI, Koonin EV. 2022. Molecular adaptations during viral epidemics.

EMBO Rep 23:e55393.

64. Grubaugh ND, Ladner JT, Lemey P, Pybus OG, Rambaut A, Holmes EC, Andersen KG. 2019.

Tracking virus outbreaks in the twenty-first century. Nat Microbiol 4:10–19.

65. Hadfield J, Megill C, Bell SM, Huddleston J, Potter B, Callender C, Sagulenko P, Bedford T,

Neher RA. 2018. Nextstrain: real-time tracking of pathogen evolution. Bioinformatics

34:4121–4123.

66. Parrish CR, Have P, Foreyt WJ, Evermann JF, Senda M, Carmichael LE. 1988. The global

spread and replacement of canine parvovirus strains. J Gen Virol 69 (Pt 5):1111–1116.

67. Elderfield RA, Watson SJ, Godlee A, Adamson WE, Thompson CI, Dunning J, Mirian F-A,

Blumenkrantz D, Hussell T, and Zambon M, Openshaw P, Kellam P, Barclay WS. 2014.

Accumulation of human-adapting mutations during circulation of A(H1N1)pdm09 influenza

virus in humans in the United Kingdom. J Virol 88:13269–83.

68. Su YCF, Bahl J, Joseph U, Butt KM, Peck HA, Koay ESC, Oon LLE, Barr IG, Vijaykrishna D,

Smith GJD. 2015. Phylodynamics of H1N1/2009 influenza reveals the transition from host

adaptation to immune-driven selection. Nat Commun 6:7952.

69. Hill V, Du Plessis L, Peacock TP, Aggarwal D, Colquhoun R, Carabelli AM, Ellaby N, Gallagher

E, Groves N, Jackson B, McCrone JT, O'Toole Á, Price A, Sanderson T, Scher E, Southgate J,

Volz E, Barclay WS, Barrett JC, Chand M, Connor T, Goodfellow I, Gupta RK, Harrison EM,

Loman N, Myers R, Robertson DL, Pybus OG, Rambaut A. 2022. The origins and molecular

evolution of SARS-CoV-2 lineage B.1.1.7 in the UK. Virus Evol 8:veac080.

70. Viana R, Moyo S, Amoako DG, Tegally H, Scheepers C, Althaus CL, Anyaneji UJ, Bester PA,

Boni MF, Chand M, Choga WT, Colquhoun R, Davids M, Deforche K, Doolabh D, du Plessis L,

Engelbrecht S, Everatt J, Giandhari J, Giovanetti M, Hardie D, Hill V, Hsiao N-Y, Iranzadeh A,

Ismail A, Joseph C, Joseph R, Koopile L, Kosakovsky Pond SL, Kraemer MUG, Kuate-Lere L,

Laguda-Akingba O, Lesetedi-Mafoko O, Lessells RJ, Lockman S, Lucaci AG, Maharaj A,

Mahlangu B, Maponga T, Mahlakwane K, Makatini Z, Marais G, Maruapula D, Masupu K,

Matshaba M, Mayaphi S, Mbhele N, Mbulawa MB, Mendes A, Mlisana K, Mnguni A, Mohale

T, Moir M, Moruisi K, Mosepele M, Motsatsi G, Motswaledi MS, Mphoyakgosi T, Msomi N,

Mwangi PN, Naidoo Y, Ntuli N, Nyaga M, Olubayo L, Pillay S, Radibe B, Ramphal Y, Ramphal

U, San JE, Scott L, Shapiro R, Singh L, Smith-Lawrence P, Stevens W, Strydom A, Subramoney

K, Tebeila N, Tshiabuila D, Tsui J, van Wyk S, Weaver S, Wibmer CK, Wilkinson E, Wolter N,

Zarebski AE, Zuze B, Goedhals D, Preiser W, Treurnicht F, Venter M, Williamson C, Pybus

OG, Bhiman J, Glass A, Martin DP, Rambaut A, Gaseitsiwe S, von Gottberg A, de Oliveira T.

2022. Rapid epidemic expansion of the SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant in southern Africa.

Nature 603:679–686.

71. Harari S, Tahor M, Rutsinsky N, Meijer S, Miller D, Henig O, Halutz O, Levytskyi K, Ben-Ami R,

Adler A, Paran Y, Stern A. 2022. Drivers of adaptive evolution during chronic SARS-CoV-2

infections. Nat Med 28:1501–1508.

72. Carabelli AM, Peacock TP, Thorne LG, Harvey WT, Hughes J, COVID-19 Genomics UK

Consortium, Peacock SJ, Barclay WS, de Silva TI, Towers GJ, Robertson DL. 2023. SARS-CoV-

2 variant biology: immune escape, transmission and fitness. Nat Rev Microbiol 1–16.

73. Lai AC, Chambers TM, Holland RE, Morley PS, Haines DM, Townsend HG, Barrandeguy M.

2001. Diverged evolution of recent equine-2 influenza (H3N8) viruses in the Western

Hemisphere. Arch Virol 146:1063–1074.

74. He W, Li G, Wang R, Shi W, Li K, Wang S, Lai A, Su S. 2019. Host-range shift of H3N8 canine

influenza virus: a phylodynamic analysis of its origin and adaptation from equine to canine

host. Vet Res 50:87.

75. Gahan J, Garvey M, Asmah Abd Samad R, Cullinane A. 2019. Whole Genome Sequencing of

the First H3N8 Equine Influenza Virus Identified in Malaysia. Pathogens 8:E62.

76. Olguin-Perglione C, Barrandeguy ME. 2021. An Overview of Equine Influenza in South

America. Viruses 13:888.

77. Lee K, Pusterla N, Barnum SM, Lee D-H, Martínez-López B. 2022. Investigation of cross-

regional spread and evolution of equine influenza H3N8 at US and global scales using

Bayesian phylogeography based on balanced subsampling. Transbound Emerg Dis

69:e1734–e1748.

78. Motoshima M, Okamatsu M, Asakura S, Kuribayashi S, Sengee S, Batchuluun D, Ito M,

Maeda Y, Eto M, Sakoda Y, Sodnomdarjaa R, Kida H. 2011. Antigenic and genetic analysis of

H3N8 influenza viruses isolated from horses in Japan and Mongolia, and imported from

Canada and Belgium during 2007-2010. Arch Virol 156:1379–1385.

79. Moloney B. 2011. Overview of the epidemiology of equine influenza in the Australian

outbreak. Australian Veterinary Journal 89:50–56.

80. Zhu H, Damdinjav B, Gonzalez G, Patrono LV, Ramirez-Mendoza H, Amat JAR, Crispell J, Parr

YA, Hammond T-A, Shiilegdamba E, Leung YHC, Peiris M, Marshall JF, Hughes J, Gilbert M,

Murcia PR. 2019. Absence of adaptive evolution is the main barrier against influenza

emergence in horses in Asia despite frequent virus interspecies transmission from wild

birds. PLoS Pathog 15:e1007531.

81. McCrone JT, Lauring AS. 2018. Genetic bottlenecks in intraspecies virus transmission. Curr

Opin Virol 28:20–25.

82. Sigal D, Reid JNS, Wahl LM. 2018. Effects of Transmission Bottlenecks on the Diversity of

Influenza A Virus. Genetics 210:1075–1088.

83. Feng KH, Gonzalez G, Deng L, Yu H, Tse VL, Huang L, Huang K, Wasik BR, Zhou B, Wentworth

DE, Holmes EC, Chen X, Varki A, Murcia PR, Parrish CR. 2015. Equine and Canine Influenza

H3N8 Viruses Show Minimal Biological Differences Despite Phylogenetic Divergence. J Virol

89:6860–6873.

84. Weaver S, Shank SD, Spielman SJ, Li M, Muse SV, Kosakovsky Pond SL. 2018. Datamonkey

2.0: A Modern Web Application for Characterizing Selective and Other Evolutionary

Processes. Mol Biol Evol 35:773–777.

85. Na W, Song M, Yeom M, Park N, Kang B, Moon H, Jeong D-G, Kim J-K, Song D. 2015.

Inefficient transmissibility of NS-truncated H3N8 equine influenza virus in dogs. J Microbiol

Biotechnol 25:317–320.

86. Feng KH, Sun M, Iketani S, Holmes EC, Parrish CR. 2016. Comparing the functions of equine

and canine influenza H3N8 virus PA-X proteins: Suppression of reporter gene expression

and modulation of global host gene expression. Virology 496:138–146.

87. Amat JAR, Patton V, Chauché C, Goldfarb D, Crispell J, Gu Q, Coburn AM, Gonzalez G, Mair

D, Tong L, Martinez-Sobrido L, Marshall JF, Marchesi F, Murcia PR. 2021. Long-term

adaptation following influenza A virus host shifts results in increased within-host viral

fitness due to higher replication rates, broader dissemination within the respiratory

epithelium and reduced tissue damage. PLoS Pathog 17:e1010174.

88. Lee K, Pusterla N, Barnum SM, Lee D-H, Martínez-López B. 2022. Genome-informed

characterisation of antigenic drift in the haemagglutinin gene of equine influenza strains

circulating in the United States from 2012 to 2017. Transbound Emerg Dis 69:e52–e63.

89. Dhanasekaran V, Sullivan S, Edwards KM, Xie R, Khvorov A, Valkenburg SA, Cowling BJ, Barr

IG. 2022. Human seasonal influenza under COVID-19 and the potential consequences of

influenza lineage elimination. Nat Commun 13:1721.

90. Rivailler P, Perry IA, Jang Y, Davis CT, Chen L-M, Dubovi EJ, Donis RO. 2010. Evolution of

canine and equine influenza (H3N8) viruses co-circulating between 2005 and 2008. Virology

408:71–79.

91. US Travel Association. (July 5, 2022). International travel spending in the United States

from 2019 to 2021, with a forecast until 2026 (in billion U.S. dollars) [Graph]. In Statista.

Retrieved February 16, 2023, from

https://www.statista.com/statistics/207083/international-travel-spending-in-the-us-since-

2002/.

92. Hannant D, Mumford JA, Jessett DM. 1988. Duration of circulating antibody and immunity

following infection with equine influenza virus. Vet Rec 122:125–128.

93. Wasik BR, Voorhees IEH, Barnard KN, Alford-Lawrence BK, Weichert WS, Hood G, Nogales A,

Martínez-Sobrido L, Holmes EC, Parrish CR. 2019. Influenza Viruses in Mice: Deep

Sequencing Analysis of Serial Passage and Effects of Sialic Acid Structural Variation. J Virol

93:e01039-19.

94. Mitchell PK, Cronk BD, Voorhees IEH, Rothenheber D, Anderson RR, Chan TH, Wasik BR,

Dubovi EJ, Parrish CR, Goodman LB. 2021. Method comparison of targeted influenza A virus

typing and whole-genome sequencing from respiratory specimens of companion animals. J

Vet Diagn Invest 33:191–201.

95. Bao Y, Bolotov P, Dernovoy D, Kiryutin B, Zaslavsky L, Tatusova T, Ostell J, Lipman D. 2008.

The influenza virus resource at the National Center for Biotechnology Information. J Virol

82:596–601.

96. Martin DP, Murrell B, Golden M, Khoosal A, Muhire B. 2015. RDP4: Detection and analysis

of recombination patterns in virus genomes. Virus Evol 1:vev003.

97. Edgar RC. 2004. MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high

throughput. Nucleic Acids Res 32:1792–1797.

98. Guindon S, Dufayard J-F, Lefort V, Anisimova M, Hordijk W, Gascuel O. 2010. New

algorithms and methods to estimate maximum-likelihood phylogenies: assessing the

performance of PhyML 3.0. Syst Biol 59:307–321.

99. Rambaut A, Lam TT, Max Carvalho L, Pybus OG. 2016. Exploring the temporal structure of

heterochronous sequences using TempEst (formerly Path-O-Gen). Virus Evol 2:vew007.

100.   Suchard MA, Lemey P, Baele G, Ayres DL, Drummond AJ, Rambaut A. 2018. Bayesian

phylogenetic and phylodynamic data integration using BEAST 1.10. Virus Evol 4:vey016.

101.   Rambaut A, Drummond AJ, Xie D, Baele G, Suchard MA. 2018. Posterior Summarization

in Bayesian Phylogenetics Using Tracer 1.7. Syst Biol 67:901–904.

102.   Westgeest KB, Russell CA, Lin X, Spronken MIJ, Bestebroer TM, Bahl J, van Beek R,

Skepner E, Halpin RA, de Jong JC, Rimmelzwaan GF, Osterhaus ADME, Smith DJ, Wentworth

DE, Fouchier RAM, de Graaf M. 2014. Genomewide analysis of reassortment and evolution

of human influenza A(H3N2) viruses circulating between 1968 and 2011. J Virol 88:2844–

2857.

Figures and Legends

Figure 1. Recent evolution of H3N8 influenza virus. Equine influenza virus (EIV) H3N8 evolution from 1963 to present has resulted in three distinct clades post 2002 (inset). ML tree of the whole genome data set revealing three distinct clades: the Florida Clade 1 (FC1) and Florida Clade 2 (FC2) in horses, and Canine Influenza Virus (CIV) in dogs. Tree was rooted to pre-clade (preC) genome Kentucky/5/2002. Scale denotes nucleotide divergence. White diamonds at major early nodes represent bootstrap support >99%. Most recent genome of each clade is noted.

Figure 2. Evolution of the CIV H3N8 clade. (A) ML tree of the full genome data set of CIV, with

taxa color defined by outbreak source region: Red, Florida/SE; Blue, New York City; Green,

Philadelphia; Purple, Colorado. Tree was rooted to earliest sample, Florida/242/2003. Scale

denotes nucleotide divergence. White diamonds at major nodes represent bootstrap support

>99%. (B) ML tree of expanded HA1 data set reveals the strong geographic organization of

subclades.

819 **Figure 3**. Evolution of the H3N8 EIV clade FC1. ML tree of full genome data set of FC1, with

820 taxa color defined by worldwide region. Tree was rooted to earliest sample, Wisconsin/1/2003.

821 Scale denotes nucleotide divergence. White diamonds at major nodes represent bootstrap

822 support >99%.

Figure 4. Evolution of the H3N8 EIV clade FC1. ML tree of the expanded HA1 data set (n=251), also colored by regional isolate. Tree was rooted to earliest sample, Wisconsin/1/2003. Scale denotes nucleotide divergence. Dates of and geography of regional subclades shows the recurring impact of US circulation on international transfers. Country ISO codes used: AE, United Arab Emirates; AR, Argentina; AU, Australia; BR, Brazil; CL, Chile; DE, Germany; EG, Egypt; FR, France; GB, United Kingdom; JP, Japan; MY, Malaysia; NE, Niger; NG, Nigeria; SA, Saudi Arabia; SN, Senegal; US, United States, UY, Uruguay.

Figure 5. Evolution of the H3N8 EIV clade FC2. (A) ML tree of full genome data set of FC2, with

taxa color defined by worldwide region. Tree was rooted to earliest full genome sample,

Richmond/1/2007. Scale denotes nucleotide divergence. White diamonds at major nodes

represent bootstrap support >99%. (B) ML tree of the expanded HA1 data set (n=254), also

colored by regional origin. Tree was rooted to earliest HA1 FC2 sample, NewMarket/5/2003.

Dates of and geography of regional subclades show that FC2 is now centered in mainland Asia.

Figure 6. Molecular evolution of the H3N8 clades. (A) The nucleotide substitution rate for each segment major ORF in each clade are compared to known huH3N2 reference data set (102). Datapoints are mean values and error bars represent 95% highest posterior density (HPD) values. (B) The mean d_N/d_S for each segment major ORF was determined using the SLAC program from the Datamonkey platform. Datapoints are mean values and error bars represent 95% confidence intervals (CI).

Figure 7. Early molecular evolution of H3N8 clade divergence and CIV emergence. (A) The H3N8 whole genome ML tree focused on the earliest preC, FC1, and CIV taxa for comparative alignment. (B) Key nonsynonymous changes in the earliest diverging taxa are identified by genome segment and position. Some late CIV mutations (*) are not present in all outbreak subclades.