The *C. elegans* proteome response to two protective *Pseudomonas* mutualists

Barbara Pees[a*], Lena Peters[a], Christian Treitz[b], Inga K. Hamerich[a], Kohar A. B. Kissoyan[a], Andreas Tholey[b], Katja Dierking[a*]

[a]Department of Evolutionary Ecology and Genetics, Zoological Institute, Christian-Albrecht University, Kiel, Germany

[b]Systematic Proteome Research & Bioanalytics, Institute for Experimental Medicine, Christian-Albrecht University, Kiel, Germany

*Correspondence: bpees@zoologie.uni-kiel.de, kdierking@zoologie.uni-kiel.de

Running title

A proteomic view on *C. elegans*-microbiota interactions

Abstract

The *C. elegans* natural microbiota isolates *Pseudomonas lurida* MYb11 and *Pseudomonas fluorescens* MYb115 protect the host against pathogens through distinct mechanisms. While *P. lurida* produces an antimicrobial compound and directly inhibits pathogen growth, *P. fluorescens* MYb115 protects the host without affecting pathogen growth. It is unknown how these two protective microbes affect host biological processes. We used a proteomics approach to elucidate the *C. elegans* response to MYb11 and MYb115. We found that both *Pseudomonas* isolates increase vitellogenin protein production in young adults, which confirms previous findings on the effect of microbiota on *C. elegans* reproductive timing. Moreover, the *C. elegans* responses to MYb11 and MYb115 exhibit common signatures with the response to other vitamin B_{12}-producing bacteria, emphasizing the importance of vitamin B_{12} in *C. elegans*-microbe metabolic interactions. We further analyzed signatures in the *C. elegans* response specific to MYb11 or MYb115. We provide evidence for distinct modification in lipid metabolism by both mutualistic microbes. We could identify activation of host pathogen defense responses as MYb11-specific proteome signature and provide evidence that the intermediate filament protein IFB-2 is required for MYb115-mediated protection. These results indicate that MYb11 not only produces an antimicrobial compound, but also activates host antimicrobial defenses, which together might increase resistance to infection. In contrast, MYb115 affects host processes such as lipid metabolism and cytoskeleton dynamics, which might increase host tolerance to infection. Overall, this study pinpoints proteins of interest that form the basis for

31 additional exploration into the mechanisms underlying *C. elegans* microbiota-mediated protection from
32 pathogen infection and other microbiota-mediated traits.

Introduction

In line with the growing general interest in host-microbiota interactions, *Caenorhabditis elegans* has
emerged as a model host to study the effect of different food and microbiota bacteria on host metabolism
and physiology. The bacteria used in these studies include bacteria that likely are associated with
nematodes in their habitat, such as *Comamonas aquatica*, *Bacillus subtilis*, and different *Escherichia coli*
strains (reviewed in (1)), and probiotic bacteria of human origin such as *Lactobacillus* and *Bifidobacterium*
(reviewed in (2)). The characterization of the *C. elegans* natural microbiome (3, 4) and the creation of the
simplified natural nematode microbiota mock community CeMbio (5) initiated a steadily increasing
number of recent studies on naturally associated microbes and their interaction with the nematode
(reviewed in (4, 6)). While we still know relatively little about the function of the *C. elegans* natural
microbiota, several studies highlight an important role of the microbiota in supporting the nematode
immune response (e.g. (3, 7–9)).

We previously identified two *Pseudomonas* isolates of the natural *C. elegans* microbiota, which protect
the worm from infection with *Bacillus thuringiensis* (*Bt*) through different mechanisms: While *P. lurida*
MYb11 produces the antimicrobial secondary metabolite massetolide E and directly inhibits pathogen
growth, *P. fluorescens* MYb115 does not seem to directly inhibit pathogen growth and may thus protect
the host by indirect, host-dependent mechanisms (9). The contribution of the host response to MYb11-
and MYb115-mediated protection is unclear.

C. elegans responses to different food bacteria and natural microbiota isolates have been investigated
mainly by transcriptome analyses (e.g. (10–14)) and only a few proteome analyses (15, 16). Here, we
analyzed the direct effects of the protective Pseudomonads MYb11 and MYb115 on the *C. elegans*
proteome. To this end, we employed quantitative proteomics and analyzed both, commonalities and
differences, in the *C. elegans* proteomic response to MYb11 and MYb115 and did comparative analyses
to previously published microbiota- and pathogen-driven host responses. We validated some of the
findings using reporter genes, or mutant analyses and thus pinpointed specific proteins that form the
groundwork for deeper research into the different molecular mechanisms that underlie *C. elegans*-
microbiota interactions particularly in the context of microbiota-mediated protection against pathogens.

Materials & Methods

Strains, maintenance, and preparations

Wild type *C. elegans* N2 and all used *C. elegans* mutants/transgenics, as well as bacteria control
Escherichia coli OP50, were received from sources indicated in Table S1 and maintained according to
standard procedures (17). For each experiment, worms were synchronized by bleaching gravid
hermaphrodites with alkaline hypochlorite solution and incubating the eggs in M9 overnight on a shaker.

Spore solutions of pathogenic *Bacillus thuringiensis* strains MYBt18247 (Bt247) and MYBt18679 (Bt679)
were prepared following a previously established protocol (18), and stored at -20 °C. Single aliquots were
freshly thawed for each inoculation.

Pseudomonas lurida MYb11 and *Pseudomonas fluorescens* MYb115 belong to the natural microbiota of *C.*
elegans (3) and were stored in glycerol stocks at -80 °C. Before each experiment, bacterial isolates were
streaked from glycerol stocks onto TSB (tryptic soy broth) agar plates, grown for 2 days at 25 °C, and
consequently for an overnight in TSB at 28 °C in a shaking incubator. One day before adding the worms,
bacteria of the overnight cultures were harvested by centrifugation, resuspended in 1x PBS (phosphate-
buffered saline), pH7, adjusted to an OD_{600} of 10, and used for inoculation of peptone-free medium (PFM,
nematode growth medium without peptone) plates.

qRT-PCR

Worms were raised on OP50, MYb11, or MYb115 at 20 °C until they reached young adulthood, 70 h after
synchronized L1s were transferred to the plates. For each replicate roughly 1,000 worms were washed off
the plates with 0.025% Triton X-100 in M9 buffer along with three gravity washing steps. Freezing and
RNA isolation was done following the instructions of the NucleoSpin RNA/Protein Kit (Macherey-Nagel;
Düren, Germany). 1 μg of the extracted total RNA per sample was reverse transcribed using oligo(dt)18
primers (First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit; ThermoFisher Scientific; Waltham, USA), and 1 μL cDNA was used
for qPCR with *tgb-1* as housekeeping gene (19). The expression levels of all tested primers were
determined using the iQ™ SYBR® Green Supermix (Bio-Rad, Hercules, USA) using the settings as suggested
in the manual. Primer sequences are found in Table S2. The $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ method was used to calculate the relative
gene expression (20).

Survival and lifespan experiments

For survival experiments, synchronized L1 larvae were grown on PFM plates prepared with lawns of OP50,
MYb11, or MYb115 at 20 °C as described above. PFM infection plates were inoculated with serial dilutions
of *Bt* spores mixed with bacterial OP50, MYb11, or MYb115 solutions. As L4s, worms were rinsed off the
plates and washed with M9 and pipetted in populations of approximately 30 worms on each *Bt* infection
plate. After 24 h incubation at 20 °C survival of worms was scored. Worms were considered to be alive
when they moved upon gentle prodding with a worm pick. Replicates with less than 15 worms at the time
of scoring were excluded.

For lifespan experiments, synchronized L4 larvae were picked onto NGM plates seeded with OP50. Worm
survival was determined every day and the alive adults were transferred to new NGM plates with OP50
until the end of the egg laying period.

Worm imaging and quantification

For imaging of *in vivo* gene/protein expression, transgenic worms were treated as for survival experiments
but without *Bt* infection. Young adults (24 h post L4) were then anesthetized with 10 mM tetramisole,
placed onto slides containing a fresh 2 % agarose patch, and imaged with a Leica stereomicroscope M205
FA (Wetzlar, Germany). Magnification and exposure time for the fluorophore signal were kept the same
in each experiment to ensure comparability; contrast and brightness were adjusted for representative
images (grouped worms).

Gene expression of reporter strains was quantified using ImageJ v1.53t (21). Young adults (24 h post L4)
were individually imaged and the integrated density (IntDen) of each worm was measured. To correct for
potential worm size differences IntDen values were normalized by the total area of each respective
individual.

Proteome analysis

Worms for proteomic analyses were grown on PFM plates prepared with lawns of OP50, MYb11, or
MYb115 at 20 °C as described above. L4 stage larvae were transferred to freshly inoculated PFM plates to
provide sufficient food. Approximately 1,500 worms per replicate were harvested at 12 h post L4 and
washed across a Steriflip® 20 µm nylon mesh filter (Merck; Darmstadt, Germany) with M9 buffer. The
samples were prepared as four independent biological replicates.

To each sample, 200 µL protein lysis buffer (100 mM triethylammonium bicarbonate TEAB, 2% SDS, 5 M
guanidinium chloride, 2 mM dithiothreitol DTT; 2x complete protease inhibitor) and approximately 200
µL of acid washed glass beads were added. The samples were homogenized using a Bioruptor pico for 20
cycles of 30 s sonication and 30 s cooling at 4 °C. The protein concentration was determined by BCA assay.
The proteins were reduced with 10 mM DTT for 1 h at 60 °C and alkylated with 25 mM chloroacetamide
at 20 °C for 20 min. The samples were centrifuged for 10 min at 10,000 g and aliquots of 100 µg were
prepared following the SP3 protocol (22).

A detailed description of the LC-MS analysis is provided in Supplemental Materials and Methods. Briefly,
for each of the 12 samples, approximately 1 µg of peptides were analyzed by liquid chromatography-
electrospray ionization-mass spectrometry (LC-ESI MS/MS). Proteome digests were separated over a 2 h
gradient on a 50 cm C18 nano-uHPLC column and high-resolution mass spectra were acquired with an
Orbitrap Fusion Lumos mass spectrometer. Proteome Discoverer software and the Sequest algorithm
were used for peptide identification and label-free quantification. MS data were searched against the
reference proteome of *C. elegans* (26,738 entries) combined with the UniParc entries of *P. lurida* (5,392
entries), *P. fluorescens* (5,548 entries), and *E. coli* OP50 (4,227 entries). Statistical evaluation of the
quantitative data was performed with the Perseus software (23). LC-MS raw data were deposited to the
ProteomeXchange Consortium via the PRIDE partner repository (24) with the dataset identifier
PXD040520.

Statistical analyses

For identification of differentially abundant proteins, we performed a one-way ANOVA comparing the
three conditions (OP50 *vs* MYb11 *vs* MYb115) and corrected for multiple comparisons using a
permutation-based FDR analysis. An FDR cut-off of 5% was applied and Tukey's HSD test was used for *post
hoc* analysis. Significant protein groups assigned to each of the pairs of conditions were tested for UniProt
keywords by Fisher exact test corrected for multiple testing by Benjamini-Hochberg FDR calculation. All
significant findings with an FDR below 5% are provided in Table S3.

Heatmaps were created using the Morpheus (https://software.broadinstitute.org/morpheus), GO term
overrepresentation analyses were done with eVitta v1.3.1 (25). All remaining statistical analyses were
carried out with RStudio, R v4.2.1, graphs created with its package ggplot2 v3.3.6 (26), and edited with
Inkscape v1.1.2.

Results

Common proteomic response to protective *Pseudomonas*.

We were interested in identifying the proteomic changes in *C. elegans* exposed to two protective *Pseudomonas* isolates, *P. lurida* MYb11 and *P. fluorescens* MYb115. To this end, worms were grown on MYb11, MYb115, or *E. coli* OP50 and harvested for proteome analysis as young adults. Using LC-MS analysis we identified 4,314 protein groups in total, which included 259 protein groups annotated to bacterial taxa and 4,055 to *C. elegans* protein groups. For statistical evaluation, the identified *C. elegans* proteins were filtered to 3,456 entries quantified in all four replicates of at least one bacterial treatment. The complete list of proteins is provided in Table S3.

Comparing MYb-treated worms to those grown on OP50, 674 proteins were differentially abundant. Among these proteins, 201 showed a significant difference in both *Pseudomonas* treatments, MYb11 *vs* OP50 and MYb115 *vs* OP50 (Figure 1A; Table S3). When we grouped the shared proteomic response towards *Pseudomonas* into more and less abundant proteins, we obtained 84 higher abundant proteins and 104 less abundant proteins (Figure 1B, C). Strikingly, among the more abundant proteins we found all six vitellogenins described in *C. elegans* (27, 28). Vitellogenins are yolk proteins which are primarily produced in the reproductive phase to supply energy to the embryos (29). Expression of the vitellogenins encoding *vit* genes is known to be greatly up-regulated in young adults and down-regulated in aging worms (30). We have previously shown that MYb11 and MYb115 accelerate *C. elegans* reproductive maturity without affecting the overall reproductive output (31). Thus, it might be possible that the abundance of vitellogenins in worms treated with either of the Pseudomonads reflects these differences in reproductive maturity. When we compared the abundance of the vitellogenin VIT-2 between young adults on MYb11, MYb115, or OP50 using a *C. elegans vit-2*::gfp reporter strain, we indeed observed an increased number of VIT-2 expressing eggs/embryos and VIT-2 abundance in worms on MYb11 and MYb115 (Figure 1B). This observation is reminiscent of data on *Comamonas aquatica* DA1877 and *Enterobacter cloacae* CEent1 that accelerate *C. elegans* development (7, 10).

Figure 1. Proteomic response of *C. elegans* towards mutualistic *Pseudomonas*. Venn diagrams showing (A) all significantly differentially abundant proteins resulting from comparing either MYb11-exposed worms to OP50-exposed worms or MYb115-exposed worms to OP50-exposed worm, (B) only the significantly more abundant proteins, or (C) the significantly less abundant proteins; ANOVA, post hoc Tukey HSD, p > 0.05. (B) Transgenic *C. elegans* reporter strain *vit-2*::gfp demonstrating *in vivo* abundance of VIT-2. Worms were exposed to either *E. coli* OP50, *P. lurida* MYb11, or *P. fluorescens* MYb115, and gfp signals imaged in groups of 20 individuals as young adults. Worms were arranged with the heads pointing to the right. The boxplot displays the quantification of VIT-2 expressing eggs/embryos in young adults (24 h post L4). Each dot represents one worm with n = 20, the dashed line represents the median number of eggs per worm for OP50-exposed worms. The *p*-value indicates the statistical significance among the differently exposed worms according to a Kruskal-Wallis rank sum test (32). The *post hoc* Dunn's test (33) with Bonferroni correction provides the statistical significances between the differently exposed worms and is denoted with letters (same letters indicate no significant differences). Raw data and corresponding *p*-values are provided in Table S6.

Microbiota bacteria elicit a robust proteomic response related to vitamin B$_{12}$-dependent metabolism.

Pseudomonas and *Ochrobactrum* represent the most prevalent genera in the natural *C. elegans* microbiota, are able to colonize the host, and seem to have largely beneficial effects on host life-history traits (3–5, 9, 34). We previously analyzed the effects of *O. vermis* MYb71 and *O. pseudogrignonense* MYb237 on the *C. elegans* proteome (15). Here, we asked whether the *C. elegans* proteome response to the Pseudomonads MYb11 and MYb115 shares common signatures with the response to *O. vermis* MYb71 and *O. pseudogrignonense* MYb237. We extracted significantly differentially abundant proteins in either MYb71 *vs E. coli* OP50 or MYb237 *vs E. coli* OP50 from the published dataset, and examined the overlap between responses to all four microbiota isolates. We identified 32 proteins, whose abundances were affected by all four microbiota bacteria (Figure 2A; Table S6). 31 of the 32 proteins showed a common increase and decrease in abundances, respectively, relative to the control *E. coli* OP50. One protein, the uncharacterized CHK domain-containing protein F58B4.5, was more abundant in worms fed with either *Ochrobactrum* isolates but less abundant on *Pseudomonas*. It thus represents a promising candidate for understanding contrasting responses to both taxa. We further noticed that 11 proteins out of the 31 proteins representing the common proteome response to *Pseudomonas* and *Ochrobactrum* are members of the interacting methionine/S-adenosylmethionine (met/SAM) cycle, which is part of the one-carbon cycle, and the alternative propionate shunt pathway (35, 36) (Figure 2B). In this signaling network, vitamin

B_{12} is a crucial micronutrient that feeds into methionine synthesis and allows the breakdown of propionate
(35, 37), thereby promoting *C. elegans* longevity, fertility, development, and mitochondrial health (38,
39).

Figure 2. Changes in vitamin B_{12}-dependent metabolism are shared proteomic responses to mutualistic *Pseudomonas* and
Ochrobactrum. (A) Venn diagram showing all significantly differentially abundant proteins resulting from the overlap of the
comparison MYb11 *vs* OP50 and MYb115 *vs* OP50 (Figure 1A) compared against differentially abundant proteins on
Ochrobactrum MYb71 and MYb237. (B) Excerpt of the one-carbon cycle (gray background) and the propionate pathways (yellow
background). Shown are mainly the steps which involve commonly differentially abundant proteins in worms grown on
mutualistic *Pseudomonas* and *Ochrobactrum*. Protein coloring depicts either less abundant (blue) or more abundant (red)
proteins. CoA = coenzyme A. Adapted from (35, 36). (C) Transgenic *C. elegans* reporter strain *acdh-1*p::gfp demonstrating *in vivo*
expression of *acdh-1*. Worms were exposed to either *E. coli* OP50, *P. lurida* MYb11, or *P. fluorescens* MYb115, and gfp signals
imaged in groups of 20 individuals as young adults. Worms were arranged with the heads pointing to the right; transmission light
images in upper panel corresponding to fluorescence images in lower panel.

In the presence of vitamin B_{12} *C. elegans* uses the canonical propionate pathway to degrade propionate
into less toxic metabolites and, simultaneously, inactivates the B_{12}-independent propionate shunt, i.e. by
down-regulating the partaking genes (35, 40) (Figure 2B). Exactly these propionate shunt proteins, ACDH-
1, ECH-6, HACH-1, HPHD-1, and ALH-8, were less abundant in the microbiota-treated worms which is
evidence for the provision of vitamin B_{12} by *Pseudomonas* and *Ochrobactrum*. Also, genes encoding the
12 proteins that show different abundances by *Pseudomonas* and *Ochrobactrum* (Table S6), were
reported to be differentially regulated by either *C. aquatica* DA1877 or vitamin B_{12} supplementation (35,

36, 41). We confirmed that expression of the acyl-CoA dehydrogenase encoding gene *acdh-1* is down-
regulated by MYb11 and MYb115 by using the dietary sensor *C. elegans* strain *acdh-1*p::gfp, which reacts
to vitamin B_{12} presence (35, 42) (Figure 2C).

Proteomic responses of *C. elegans* specific to MYb11 and MYb115.

While both Pseudomonads, MYb11 and MYb115, are able to protect *C. elegans* from *Bt* infection, the
underlying mechanisms are distinct (9). As a step toward understanding the contribution of the host
response to MYb11- and MYb115-mediated protection, we sought to identify the differences in the
proteomic responses between worms exposed to MYb11 and MYb115. Both treatments were directly
compared and we found 421 proteins that differed significantly in their abundance between the two
conditions (Figure 3A). Interestingly, 326 proteins were more abundant in worms grown on MYb11
compared to MYb115 and only 95 proteins were more abundant in MYb115-exposed worms compared
to MYb11-exposed worms (Figure 3A). To extract the proteins that were uniquely differently abundant in
either MYb11 or MYb115, we included the data on OP50 to generate 4 clusters using *k*-means clustering:
cluster 1 and 4 represent proteins whose abundance only changed in MYb11-exposed worms, i.e., in
reference to MYb115 and OP50, while cluster 2 and 3 represent proteins with different abundances
specifically in MYb115-exposed worms, i.e., in reference to MYb11 and OP50 (Figure 3A). Next, we
employed eVitta, an online tool developed for the analysis and visualization of transcriptome data (25),
to look for enriched gene ontology (GO) terms in these clusters.
242

Figure 3. Differences in the proteomic responses of *C. elegans* towards *P. lurida* MYb11 compared to *P. fluorescens* MYb115.
(A) Heatmap showing the $\log_2$ label-free intensity values of differentially abundant proteins in the comparison of MYb11-exposed worms against MYb115-exposed worms. The columns denote the bacterial treatment with 4 replicates each, each row represents one protein. By including the data on OP50, abundance values were separated into 4 clusters using the *k*-means clustering approach. The rows of exemplary proteins mentioned in the text are marked on the heatmap's right. Bar plot of significantly enriched gene ontology (GO) terms in either (B) clusters 1 and 4 (different abundances of proteins uniquely in MYb11-treated worms) or (C) clusters 2 and 3 (different abundances of proteins uniquely in MYb115-treated worms). The proteins which are assigned to the respective GO term are noted on the bars, their coloring indicates higher (red) or lower (blue) abundance. Shown are the 10 GO terms with the highest significance. The complete list of GO terms is to be found in Tables S4 and S5.

MYb11 causes a mild pathogen response in *C. elegans*.

Proteins affected by both *Pseudomonas* isolates were enriched in GO terms associated with nucleic acids (e.g., DNA replication, mRNA splicing), and also fatty acid-related terms albeit targeting different fat metabolism enzymes (further discussed in next paragraph). On the contrary, defense response proteins were a MYb11-linked feature with defense responses to Gram-positive bacterium (GO:0050830) and Gram-negative bacterium (GO:0050829) among the 10 highest significantly enriched GO terms in the unique MYb11 response (Figure 3B; Table S4). Interestingly, the 7 proteins (LYS-1, LEC-8, GALE-1, SKPO-1, MEV-1, DOD-24, F55G11.4) associated with the GO defense response terms were all more abundant in MYb11 compared to MYb115 (Figure 3C; Table S5), indicating that MYb11 induces *C. elegans* pathogen defenses while MYb115 does not. This finding is in line with the previous observation that MYb11 has a pathogenic potential in some contexts, despite its protective effect against *Bt* and *P. aeruginosa*, resulting in a shorter lifespan and increased susceptibility to purified *Bt* toxins (31). Interestingly, the lifespan of MYb11-exposed worms on nutritious medium (NGM) (Figure S1) is much more decreased than on minimal medium (PFM), suggesting that the detrimental effect on worms is primarily promoted by proliferating and metabolically active MYb11. Hence, we assessed the general pathogenic potential of MYb11 and MYb115 and tested activation of the *C. elegans* stress reporters, *hsp-4*::gfp (endoplasmic reticulum stress), *hsp-6*::gfp and *hsp-60*::gfp (mitochondrial stress (43, 44)), *gst-4*p::gfp (oxidative stress (45)), and the immune reporters *irg-1*p::gfp (46) and *clec-60*p::gfp (47) (Figure S2). Bacteria from the natural *C. elegans* habitat were reported to induce expression of some of these reporter genes (48). We found that the oxidative stress reporter *gst-4*p::gfp was significantly up-regulated only by MYb11 (Figure S2). MYb11 also slightly induced expression of the C-type lectin-like gene *clec-60* reporter compared to OP50, however, only significantly when compared to MYb115-mediated induction. These results indicate that mainly MYb11 activates the *C. elegans* oxidative stress response and the expression of *clec-60*p::gfp. To explore in how far the *C. elegans* induced proteome response to MYb11 overlaps with the induced proteome response to pathogenic bacteria, we compared our data (Figure 1B) with the proteomic changes elicited by pathogenic *P. aeruginosa* PA14 (49) and Bt247 (50). The comparison of proteins of higher abundance in MYb11-exposed worms with PA14-responsive proteins yielded an overlap of 14 more abundant proteins (Figure 4A). Among these 14 proteins were the known pathogen-responsive CUB-like domain proteins C17H12.8, C32H11.4, DOD-17, DOD-24 and F55G11.4, and the infection response gene (IRG) 3. Similarly, when we compared the response to MYb11 to the proteomic response to *Bt* infection, the abundances of 11 proteins were commonly increased (Figure 4B). Among these proteins were the CUB-like domain proteins C17H12.8, the lysozyme LYS-1, the galectins LEC-8 and LEC-9, and the C-type lectin-like domain proteins CLEC-41 and CLEC-65. Notably, most of these MYb11- and pathogen-responsive proteins were indeed less responsive to MYb115 (Figure 4A, B).

Figure 4. MYb11 activates expression of *C. elegans* innate immune response genes and proteins. Venn diagrams showing significantly more abundant proteins resulting from the comparison MYb11 *vs* OP50 (Figure 1B) compared against significantly more abundant proteins of (A) *P. aeruginosa* PA14 *vs E. coli* OP50, and (B) *B. thuringiensis* Bt247 *vs* non-pathogenic strain Bt407. The accompanying heatmaps represent the averaged log_2 label-free intensity values ($n = 4$) of the overlapping significant proteins. Data taken from (49, 50). (C) Transgenic *C. elegans* reporter strains demonstrating *in vivo* expression of selected promotor sequences tagged with gfp. Transgenic strains were exposed to either *E. coli* OP50, *P. lurida* MYb11, or *P. fluorescens* MYb115, and fluorescent signals imaged in groups of 20 individuals as young adults. Worms were arranged with the heads pointing to the right. The boxplots display the quantification of the gfp fluorescence in young adults (24 h post L4) normalized by the worm's body size (area). Each dot represents one worm with $n = 29$-35, the dashed line represents the median of the mean grey value for OP50-exposed worms. The *p*-value indicates the statistical significance among the differently exposed worms according to a Kruskal-Wallis rank sum test (32). The *post hoc* Dunn's test (33) with Bonferroni correction provides the statistical significances between the differently exposed worms and is denoted with letters (same letters indicate no significant differences. (D, E) Survival

of mutants *clec-41(tm6722)*, *dod-24(ok2629)*, and *lys-1(ok2445)* and wild type N2 infected with serial dilutions of *B. thuringiensis*
Bt247 after 24 hpi (post infection). Worms were exposed to either OP50 or MYb11, before and during infection. Each dot
represents the mean ± standard deviation (SD) of four worm populations (*n* = 4). Same letters indicate no significant differences
between the dose response curves according to a generalized linear model (GLM) (51) and Bonferroni correction. Raw data and
corresponding *p*-values are provided in Table S6, an additional repetition of the experiment (D) is to be found in Figure S4.

Although MYb11 produces the antimicrobial secondary metabolite massetolide E and directly inhibits
pathogen growth (9), activation of host-pathogen defense responses, i.e. production of host immune
proteins, may contribute to MYb11-mediated protection. To explore this possibility, we focused on
F55G11.4, DOD-24, LYS-1, and CLEC-41, whose abundances were strongly increased by MYb11. F55G11.4
was the protein with the highest abundance on MYb11 (Table S3). DOD-24 is commonly used as marker
of the immune response to PA14 and other Gram-negative pathogens (7, 52–54). LYS-1 is required for
normal resistance to the Gram-positive *Staphylococcus aureus* (55), and CLEC-41 has demonstrated
immune effector function and exhibits antimicrobial activity against Bt247 *in vitro* (56). Mutants of all
genes, but F55G11.4, were available at the CGC. First, using qRT-PCR and gfp reporter gene promoters,
we confirmed that expression of *dod-24* and F55G11.4 is significantly up-regulated by MYb11 in
comparison to MYb115 or OP50 also on the transcript level (Figure 4C; Figure S3). The expression of the
lys-1 reporter, however, was increased by both MYb11 and MYb115, albeit significantly only by MYb115,
and expression of the *clec-41* reporter was significantly induced by both Pseudomonads (Figure 4C). To
determine if these MYb11-induced genes have a function in MYb11-mediated protection against *Bt*
infection we grew the available *dod-24, clec-41, and lys-1* knock-out mutants on OP50, MYb11, or
MYb115, infected them with Bt247, and scored their survival. MYb11 increased resistance to Bt247
infection also in *dod-24, clec-41, and lys-1* mutants (Figure 4D, E; Figure S4).

325   MYb11 and MYb115 cause diverging responses in *C. elegans* fat metabolism.

Among the 10 highest significantly enriched GO terms concerning biological processes in the unique
MYb11 response as well as in the unique MYb115 response, we found the term fatty acid metabolic
process (GO:0006631) (Figure 3B, C). Moreover, the GO term phospholipid biosynthetic process
(GO:0008654) was enriched only in the unique MYb115 response (Figure 3C). Since the ability to mount
an immune response has been repeatedly linked to changes in *C. elegans* fat metabolism (e.g. (57, 58)),
we took a closer look at the underlying proteins. While the predicted fatty acid β-oxidation enzyme ECH-
1.1, the acyl-CoA dehydratase ACDH-11, and the acyl-CoA synthetase ACS-1 were of higher abundance in
worms on MYb11 (Figure 3B; Table S3), the fatty acid elongase ELO-2 and the fatty acid desaturase FAT-7
were of lower abundance in worms on MYb115 (Figure 3C; Table S3). FAT-6 and FAT-7 are members of
the long-chain fatty acid synthesis pathway and act redundantly in the synthesis of the monounsaturated
fatty acid oleate from stearic acid (59). We validated the effect of the *Pseudomonas* isolates on FAT-7 by
assessing the *in vivo* protein abundance of *fat-7*::gfp in worms exposed to *E. coli* OP50, *P. lurida* MYb11,
or *P. fluorescens* MYb115. Expression of *fat-7*::gfp was indeed significantly reduced in worms on MYb115
compared to worms on OP50 or MYb11 (Figure 5A), confirming that MYb11 and MYb115 cause diverging
responses in *C. elegans* fat metabolism.

Figure 5. Divergent proteomic changes in fat metabolism occur in MYb11- and MYb115-exposed worms but common fat
metabolism regulator NHR-49 is not involved in the defense against *Bt* infection. (A) Transgenic *C. elegans* reporter strain
demonstrating *in vivo* abundance of FAT-7. Worms were exposed to either *E. coli* OP50, *P. lurida* MYb11, or *P. fluorescens*
MYb115, and gfp signals imaged in groups of 20 individuals as young adults. Worms were arranged with the heads pointing to
the right. The boxplots display the quantification of the gfp fluorescence in young adults (24 h post L4) normalized by the worm's
body size (area). Each dot represents one worm with *n* = 29-30, the dashed line represents the median of the mean grey value
for OP50-exposed worms. The *p*-value indicates the statistical significance among the differently exposed worms according to a
Kruskal-Wallis rank sum test (32). The *post hoc* Dunn's test (33) with Bonferroni correction provides the statistical significances
between the differently exposed worms and is denoted with letters (same letters indicate no significant differences). (B) Survival
of mutant *nhr-49(ok2165)* and wild type N2 infected with serial dilutions of (B) *B. thuringiensis* Bt247 or (C) Bt679 after 24 hpi.
Worms were fed with either OP50, MYb11, or MYb115 before and during infection. Each dot represents the mean ± standard
deviation (SD) of (B) four or (C) three worm populations (*n* = 3-4). Same letters indicate no significant differences between the
dose response curves according to a generalized linear model (GLM) (51) and Bonferroni correction. Raw data and corresponding
p-values are provided in Table S6, additional repetitions of the same experiments are to be found in Figure S5.

The nuclear hormone receptor NHR-49 is a major regulator of *C. elegans* fat metabolism and activates *fat-*
7 expression (60). Thus, we evaluated the role of *nhr-49* in the protective effect mediated by either
Pseudomonas isolate. We tested the survival of the knock-out mutant *nhr-49(ok2165)* infected with the
Bt strain Bt247 or Bt679, in the presence of either OP50, MYb11, or MYb115. Neither MYb11-, nor
MYb115-mediated protection against *Bt* infection was dependent on *nhr-49* (Figure 5B, C; Figure S5).

Intermediate filament IFB-2 may be involved in MYb115-mediated protection
against *B. thuringiensis*.

Another intriguing result of our overrepresentation analysis was the presence of cytoskeleton-related
terms (e.g., GO:0007010, GO:0007071, GO:0000226) (Figure 3B, C). As our previous proteome dataset of
C. elegans infected with *B. thuringiensis* similarly showed an enrichment in cytoskeleton-based GO terms
(50, 61), we wondered whether systematic reorganization of the cytoskeleton evoked by microbiota
members MYb11 and MYb115 might mediate defense against *Bt*. Therefore, we extracted all proteins of

our proteome dataset with the GO term cytoskeleton (Table S3) and analyzed their abundance pattern
(Figure 6A). Strikingly, four out of five intermediate filaments we identified in the overall analysis, IFB-2,
IFP-1, and two IFA-1 isoforms, were more abundant in MYb115-treated worms compared to MYb11- or
OP50-fed worms.

Figure 6. MYb115-mediated protection against *Bt* infection may depend on IFB-2. (A) Heatmap showing the $\log_2$ label-free
intensity values of identified proteins related to the GO term cytoskeleton. The columns denote the bacterial treatment with 4
replicates each, each row represents one protein. Survival of wild type N2 and mutant *ifb-2(kc14)* infected with serial dilutions of
(B) *B. thuringiensis* Bt247 or (C) Bt679 after 24 hpi. Worms were exposed to either OP50, MYb11, or MYb115 before and during
infection. Each dot represents the mean ± standard deviation (SD) of (B) four or (C) three worm populations (*n* = 3-4). Same letters
indicate no significant differences between the dose response curves according to a generalized linear model (GLM) (51) and
Bonferroni correction. Raw data and corresponding *p*-values are provided in Table S6, additional repetitions of the same
experiments are to be found in Figure S6.

The cytoskeleton, consisting of actin-based microfilaments, tubulin-based microtubules, and intermediate
filaments (62), canonically stabilizes and maintains the cellular shape ((63); reviewed in (64)). The six *C.*
elegans intestinal intermediate filaments, IFB-2, IFC-1, IFC-2, IFD-1, IFD-2, and IFP-1 are all located in the
endotube (65), which is positioned at the interface between the intestinal brush border and the cytoplasm
(66). To determine the contribution of intermediate filament proteins in the endotube to microbiota-
mediated protection against Bt247 and Bt679 infection, we tested the *ifb-2(kc14)* mutant, which
completely lacks an endotube (66). We found that the protective effect of MYb115 against *Bt* infection is
indeed either partially (Figure 6B), or completely abolished in the *ifb-2* mutant in four out of five

experiments (Figure 6C; Figure S6A, B, D). On the contrary, the MYb11-mediated protective effect seems
to be independent of IFB-2 (Figure 6B, C; Figure S6C, D).

Discussion

This study represents a proteome analysis of the *C. elegans* response to its microbiota members *P. lurida*
MYb11 and *P. fluorescens* MYb115 that were previously shown to protect the host against pathogen
infection (9). We compared the proteome response elicited by MYb11 and MYb115 with the proteome
response to other naturally associated bacteria, to known *C. elegans* pathogens, and directly to each
other, to reveal common and specific signatures. We thus identified candidate proteins (Figure 7) that are
the basis for further investigation of the mechanisms that mediate pathogen protection.

Figure 7. Candidate proteins that are potentially involved in *C. elegans* microbiota-mediated protection. Both *Pseudomonas*
isolates, *P. lurida* MYb11 and *P. fluorescens* MYb115, increase *C. elegans* vitellogenin protein production and affect host vitamin
B_{12} metabolism. The latter is also affected by other vitamin B_{12}-producing microbiota bacteria, such as *O. vermis* MYb71 and *O.*
pseudogrignonense MYb237. MYb11 activates host-pathogen defense responses more strongly than MYb115. Moreover, both
MYb11 and MYb115 modify host fat metabolism, but affect different proteins. MYb115 increases intermediate filament proteins
and MYb115-mediated protection against *Bt* infection was reduced in an *ifb-2* mutant.

To reveal common signatures in the *C. elegans* proteome response to naturally associated bacteria, we
compared our data with the response to *O. vermis* MYb71 and *O. pseudogrignonense* MYb237, two other
members of the *C. elegans* natural microbiota (15). Strikingly, the robust, shared proteomic response of
C. elegans to mutualistic *Pseudomonas* and *Ochrobactrum* seems to be driven by the availability of vitamin
B_{12} and subsequent metabolic signaling: 35% of the commonly affected proteins are members of the
interacting met/SAM cycle and the alternative propionate shunt pathway (35, 36). Both *Ochrobactrum*
isolates, MYb71 and MYb237, and both *Pseudomonas* isolates, MYb11 and MYb115, are predicted vitamin
B_{12} producers (34) and our proteomic analysis corroborates this finding. The importance of microbial-
derived vitamin B_{12} in regulating the host met/SAM cycle has previously been demonstrated by comparing
a *C. aquatica* DA1877 diet, which is naturally rich in vitamin B_{12}, to the standard *C. elegans* laboratory food
bacterium *E. coli* OP50 (35). Since *E. coli* OP50, which is low in vitamin B_{12}, is also commonly used as control

in *C. elegans* microbiota studies, it is important to consider the effect of microbial-derived vitamin B_{12} on
C. elegans and the resulting, potentially divers effects on host physiology. For example, vitamin B_{12} was
identified as the major metabolite accelerating *C. elegans* development and reproductive timing (35, 39).
Moreover, vitamin B_{12} can affect regulation of host growth, lifespan, chemosensory receptor gene
expression, and responses to stress (10, 38, 67, 68). These and other findings stress the importance of
microbe-derived vitamin B_{12} in *C. elegans* metabolic processes, which should be considered when studying
the effects of the (potentially vitamin B_{12}-producing) *C. elegans* microbiota on host physiology.

We are also interested in placing the *C. elegans* proteome response to MYb11 and MYb115 in the context
of microbiota-mediated protection against pathogen infection. Both Pseudomonads protect the worm
against *Bt* infection, but in how far the host response contributes to Myb11- and Myb115-mediated
protection remains poorly understood (9, 31). Our proteome analyses revealed several interesting host
candidate proteins that may be involved in MYb11- and/or MYb115-mediated protection against *Bt*. First,
the abundance of all six vitellogenins described in *C. elegans* (27, 28) was affected by both *Pseudomonas*
isolates. In addition to their function in energy supply for the developing embryo, vitellogenins may play
a role in pathogen defenses. In the honey bee vitellogenin drives transgenerational immune priming by
binding pathogen-associated molecular patterns of e.g. *E. coli* and by transporting these signals into
developing eggs (69). Also, in *C. elegans*, vitellogenins are involved in defense against *Photorhabdus*
luminescens (70). Even more relevant, VIT-2 is required for *Lactobacillus*-mediated protection against
Methicillin-resistant *S. aureus*, albeit in aging worms (16). Second, as discussed above, both *Pseudomonas*
isolates decrease abundance of proteins of the vitamin B_{12}-independent propionate shunt, which
indicates that MYb11 and MYb115 provide vitamin B_{12} to the host. Increased vitamin B_{12} availability was
shown to improve *C. elegans* mitochondrial health and resistance to infection with *P. aeruginosa* and
Enterococcus faecalis in a liquid-based killing assay, but not to *P. aeruginosa*-mediated slow killing (39).
Furthermore, increased vitamin B_{12} availability protects *C. elegans* against exposure to the thiol-reducing
agent dithiothreitol (71).

We also identified proteins that were affected by either microbiota isolate. This aspect is of relevance
since we know that the protective mechanisms mediated by MYb11 and MYb115 are distinct and that
MYb11 and MYb115 have distinct effects on host physiology: MYb11 produces the antimicrobial
compound massetolide E and protects *C. elegans* against *Bt* infection directly, while MYb115 does not
seem to directly inhibit pathogen growth (9). Also, in contrast to MYb115 that only has neutral or
beneficial effects on host physiology, MYb11 reduces worm lifespan (31) and aggravates killing upon
exposure to purified *Bt* toxins (31). Thus, MYb11 may have a pathogenic potential in some contexts. In
line with this thought, we here found that *P. lurida* MYb11 increases the abundance of known pathogen-
responsive proteins, while *P. fluorescens* MYb115 does not. These proteins are commonly referred to as
C. elegans immune defense proteins, albeit the exact function of the majority of these proteins is
unknown. We could confirm MYb11-specific activation of expression of the CUB-like domain encoding
genes *dod-24* and *F55G11.4* on the transcript level. Interestingly, F55G11.4p::gfp expression is primarily
localized to the first intestinal ring (int1). This observation is reminiscent of exclusive expression of some
C. elegans C-type lectin-like genes such as *clec-42* and *clec-43* in int1 (56). The expression of potential
immune effectors specifically by int1 might reflect specialization of int1 as the 'entry gate' of the intestine,
creating a distinct microenvironment that is important for host-microbe interactions.
462
The increased abundance of immune effector proteins in the presence of MYb11 indicates that MYb11
activates *C. elegans* pathogen defenses. This may reflect its pathogenic potential but may also contribute

to its protective effect against *Bt* infection. Demonstrating the involvement of individual immune effectors
in microbiota-mediated protection using knock-outs of single genes can be challenging due to potential
functional redundancy or gene compensation among *C. elegans* immune effectors. Indeed, neither
mutant of *dod-24*, *lys-1*, or *clec-41* showed reduced protection by MYb11 upon *Bt* exposure. However,
several genes encoding the proteins that we found to be modulated by MYb11 are targets of the *C. elegans*
p38 MAPK immune and stress signaling pathway (72–75) and recent work by Griem-Krey *et al.* shows that
disruption of p38 MAPK signaling not only abolishes, but completely reverses the protective effect of
MYb11 upon infection with Bt679 (76). Thus, we hypothesize that in addition to the production of the
antimicrobial compound massetolide E that directly inhibits *Bt* growth (9), MYb11 can protect *C. elegans*
from pathogen infection by activating its immune defenses.
475

While we identified a clear MYb11-specific proteome signature that may contribute to its protective
effect, identifying MYb115-specific protein targets with a potential role in protection proved more
challenging. We found that both, *P. lurida* MYb11 and *P. fluorescens* MYb115, affect *C. elegans* fat
metabolism proteins, albeit in different ways. Immune response activation has been repeatedly linked to
changes in *C. elegans* fat metabolism. For example, the monounsaturated fatty acid oleate, which is the
product of FAT-7 activity, is required for the activation of *C. elegans* pathogen defenses against infection
with *E. faecalis*, *Serratia marcescens*, and *P. aeruginosa* (58). Also, the nuclear hormone receptor NHR-49,
which is a major regulator of *C. elegans* fat metabolism, mediates *C. elegans* defenses against infection
with *E. faecalis* (57), *P. aeruginosa* (77), and *S. aureus* (78). We could show that MYb115 reduces FAT-7
expression. However, our analysis of the *nhr-49(ok2165)* mutant indicates that MYb11-, and MYb115-
mediated protection against *Bt* infection is independent of *nhr-49*. Thus, the role of *C. elegans* fat
metabolism in microbiota-mediated protection against pathogen infection remains to be determined.
488

The most interesting candidate proteins that we could identify and that may be involved in MYb115-
mediated protection are the intermediate filament proteins of the *C. elegans* cytoskeleton: Several
intermediate filaments were more abundant in MYb115-treated worms compared to MYb11- or OP50-
exposed worms and the *ifb-2(kc14)* mutant, which lacks an endotube, showed reduced protection by
MYb115. In the context of infection, the cytoskeleton functions as a vital barrier against microbial
intruders (reviewed in (79, 80)), but can also be modulated by pathogens to support host colonization
(reviewed in (81, 82)). We speculate that modulations in cytoskeleton dynamics, i.e., via an increase in
intermediate filament protein production, by MYb115 might enhance the integrity of the intestinal barrier
and thus contribute to defense against pathogens. Indeed, the *Bt* pore-forming toxin Cry5B leads to
structural alterations in the *C. elegans* intermediate filament-rich endotube and the intermediate filament
IFB-2 is not only more abundant upon Cry5B exposure, but is also required to withstand the detrimental
impact of Cry5B (65). Furthermore, the *C. elegans* NCK-1 homolog to human Nck, an activator of actin
assembly, was reported to be required for membrane repair after pore-forming toxin attack (83). Further
research is warranted to elucidate the impact of *P. fluorescens* MYb115 on the *C. elegans* intestinal
cytoskeleton and its exact role in microbiota-mediated protection against *Bt* pore-forming toxins.

504   **Acknowledgments**

We thank Lena Bluhm, Sabrina Butze, Laura Brügmann, Johanna Jarstorff, and Hanne Griem-Krey for
technical support and the Schulenburg group for valuable feedback and discussions. We appreciate the

services of the CGC, which is funded by NIH Office of Research Infrastructure Programs (P40 OD010440),
for providing worm strains; the Leube Lab at RWTH Aachen, Germany, for providing the mutant *ifb-*
2(kc14), and SunyBiotech for generating transgenic strain F55G11.4p::gfp. This project was funded by the
German Science Foundation DFG (Collaborative Research Center CRC 1182 Origin and Function of
Metaorganisms, project A1.2 to KD and project Z3 to AT).

Supplemental Materials

515 **Figure S1. Exposure to *P. lurida* MYb11 reduces the lifespan of wild type N2.** Kaplan-Meier curves (84) showing the lifespan of
516 wild type N2 worms on NGM seeded with either OP50 or MYb11. Two independent experiments are shown. Significant
517 differences between worms exposed to OP50 and worms exposed to MYb11 were determined by a log-rank test (85) with (A)
518 individual worms (n = 20) and with (B) worm populations of 30 individuals each (n = 5).

Figure S2. MYb115 does not activate expression of *C. elegans* stress reporters, while MYb11 activates *clec-60*p::gfp and *gst-4*p::gfp expression. Transgenic *C. elegans* reporter strains demonstrating *in vivo* expression of selected genes/promotor sequences tagged with gfp. Transgenic strains were exposed to either *E. coli* OP50, *P. lurida* MYb11, or *P. fluorescens* MYb115, and fluorescent signals imaged in groups of 20 individuals as young adults. Worms were arranged with the heads pointing to the right. (A) The boxplots display the quantification of the gfp fluorescence in young adults (24 h post L4) normalized by the worm's body size (area). Each dot represents one worm with n = 29-35, the dashed line represents the median of the mean grey value for OP50-exposed worms. The p-value indicates the statistical significance among the differently exposed worms according to a Kruskal-Wallis rank sum test (32). The *post hoc* Dunn's test (33) with Bonferroni correction provides the statistical significances between the differently exposed worms and is denoted with letters (same letters indicate no significant differences).

Figure S3. *P. lurida* MYb11 induces the expression of F55G11.4 and *dod-24* more strongly than MYb115. Expression of *dod-24* and F55G11.4 in worms exposed to either MYb11 or MYb115 in relation to worms fed with OP50 (depicted as dashed line) measured with qRT-PCR. Means ± standard deviation (SD) of *n* = 2 are shown. Raw data is provided in Table S6.

Figure S4. *clec-41* is not required for the protection by MYb11 upon Bt247 exposure. Repetition of experiment in Figure 4D. Survival of mutants *clec-41(tm6722)* and wild type N2 infected with serial dilutions of *B. thuringiensis* Bt247 after 24 hpi (post infection). Worms were exposed to either OP50 or MYb11, before and during infection. Each dot represents the mean ± standard deviation (SD) of four worm populations (*n* = 4). Same letters indicate no significant differences between the dose response curves according to a generalized linear model (GLM) (51) and Bonferroni correction. Raw data and corresponding *p*-values are provided in Table S6.

Figure S5. Common fat metabolism regulator NHR-49 is not involved in the defense against Bt247 infection. Repetitions of experiment in Figure 5. Survival of mutant *nhr-49(ok2165)* and wild type N2 infected with serial dilutions of *B. thuringiensis* Bt247 after 24 hpi. Worms were fed with either (A) OP50 and MYb11 or (B) OP50 and MYb115 before and during infection. Each dot represents the mean ± standard deviation (SD) of three worm populations (*n* = 3). Same letters indicate no significant differences between the dose response curves according to a generalized linear model (GLM) (51) and Bonferroni correction. Raw data and corresponding *p*-values are provided in Table S6.

Figure S6. Knock-out of *ifb-2* affects MYb115-mediated protection against Bt247 infection . Repetitions of experiment in Figure 6. Survival of wild type N2 and mutant *ifb-2(kc14)* infected with serial dilutions of *B. thuringiensis* Bt247 after 24 hpi. Worms were exposed to either OP50, MYb11, or MYb115 before and during infection. Each dot represents the mean ± standard deviation (SD) of four worm populations (*n* = 4). Same letters indicate no significant differences between the dose response curves according to a generalized linear model (GLM) (51) and Bonferroni correction. Raw data and corresponding *p*-values are provided in Table S6.

Table S1. Transgenic strains and mutants employed in this study.

Table S2. Primer sequences for qRT–PCRs.

Table S3. Raw data and statistical analyses of proteome dataset.

Table S4. Significantly enriched gene ontology (GO) terms in cluster 1 and 4 (different abundances of proteins uniquely in MYb11-treated worms).

Table S5. Significantly enriched gene ontology (GO) terms in cluster 2 and 3 (different abundances of proteins uniquely in MYb115-treated worms).

Table S6. Raw data and statistical analyses of experiments.

Supplemental Materials and Methods

References

1. Zhang J, Holdorf AD, Walhout AJ. 2017. C. elegans and its bacterial diet as a model for systems-level understanding of host–microbiota interactions. Current Opinion in Biotechnology 46:74–80.

2. Roselli M, Schifano E, Guantario B, Zinno P, Uccelletti D, Devirgiliis C. 2019. *Caenorhabditis elegans* and probiotics interactions from a prolongevity perspective. 20. Int J Mol Sci 20:E5020.

3. Dirksen P, Marsh SA, Braker I, Heitland N, Wagner S, Nakad R, Mader S, Petersen C, Kowallik V, Rosenstiel P, Félix M-A, Schulenburg H. 2016. The native microbiome of the nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans*: gateway to a new host-microbiome model. BMC Biol 14:38.

4. Zhang F, Berg M, Dierking K, Félix M-A, Shapira M, Samuel BS, Schulenburg H. 2017. *Caenorhabditis elegans* as a model for microbiome research. Front Microbiol 8.

5. Dirksen P, Assié A, Zimmermann J, Zhang F, Tietje A-M, Marsh SA, Félix M-A, Shapira M, Kaleta C, Schulenburg H, Samuel B. 2020. CeMbio - The *Caenorhabditis elegans* microbiome resource. G3 g3.401309.2020.

6. Backes C, Martinez-Martinez D, Cabreiro F. 2021. *C. elegans*: A biosensor for host–microbe interactions. Lab Anim 50:127–135.

7. Berg M, Zhou XY, Shapira M. 2016. Host-specific functional significance of *Caenorhabditis* gut commensals. Front Microbiol 7.

8. Montalvo-Katz S, Huang H, Appel MD, Berg M, Shapira M. 2013. Association with soil bacteria enhances p38-dependent infection resistance in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Infection and Immunity 81:514–520.

9.   Kissoyan KAB, Drechsler M, Stange E-L, Zimmermann J, Kaleta C, Bode HB, Dierking K. 2019.

Natural *C. elegans* microbiota protects against infection via production of a cyclic lipopeptide of

the viscosin group. Current Biology 29:1030-1037.e5.

10.   MacNeil LT, Watson E, Arda HE, Zhu LJ, Walhout AJM. 2013. Diet-induced developmental

acceleration independent of TOR and insulin in *C. elegans*. Cell 153:240–252.

11.   Yang W, Petersen C, Pees B, Zimmermann J, Waschina S, Dirksen P, Rosenstiel P, Tholey A, Leippe

M, Dierking K, Kaleta C, Schulenburg H. 2019. The inducible response of the nematode

Caenorhabditis elegans to members of its natural microbiota across development and adult life.

Front Microbiol 10:1793.

12.   Coolon JD, Jones KL, Todd TC, Carr BC, Herman MA. 2009. *Caenorhabditis elegans* genomic

response to soil bacteria predicts environment-specific genetic effects on life history traits. 6. PLoS

Genet 5:e1000503.

13.   Goya ME, Xue F, Sampedro-Torres-Quevedo C, Arnaouteli S, Riquelme-Dominguez L, Romanowski

A, Brydon J, Ball KL, Stanley-Wall NR, Doitsidou M. 2020. Probiotic *Bacillus subtilis* protects against

α-synuclein aggregation in *C. elegans*. Cell Reports 30:367-380.e7.

14.   Grishkevich V, Ben-Elazar S, Hashimshony T, Schott DH, Hunter CP, Yanai I. 2012. A genomic bias

for genotype-environment interactions in *C. elegans*. Mol Syst Biol 8:587.

15.   Cassidy L, Petersen C, Treitz C, Dierking K, Schulenburg H, Leippe M, Tholey A. 2018. The

Caenorhabditis elegans proteome response to naturally associated microbiome members of the

genus *Ochrobactrum*. PROTEOMICS 18:1700426.

16.   Mørch MGM, Møller KV, Hesselager MO, Harders RH, Kidmose CL, Buhl T, Fuursted K, Bendixen E,

Shen C, Christensen LG, Poulsen CH, Olsen A. 2021. The TGF-β ligand DBL-1 is a key player in a

multifaceted probiotic protection against MRSA in C. elegans. Sci Rep 11:10717.

17.   Stiernagle T. 2006. Maintenance of *C. elegans*. WormBook

https://doi.org/10.1895/wormbook.1.101.1.

18.   Borgonie G, Van Driessche R, Leyns F, Arnaut G, De Waele D, Coomans A. 1995. Germination of

Bacillus thuringiensis spores in bacteriophagous nematodes (Nematoda: Rhabditida). Journal of

Invertebrate Pathology 65:61–67.

19.   Ou H-L, Kim CS, Uszkoreit S, Wickström SA, Schumacher B. 2019. Somatic niche cells regulate the

CEP-1/p53-mediated DNA damage response in primordial germ cells. Developmental Cell 50:167-

183.e8.

20.   Livak KJ, Schmittgen TD. 2001. Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time

quantitative PCR and the $2^{-\Delta\Delta C_T}$ method. Methods 25:402–408.

21.   Schneider CA. 2012. NIH Image to ImageJ: 25 years of image analysis. FOCUS ON BIOIMAGE

INFORMATICS.

22.   Hughes CS, Moggridge S, Müller T, Sorensen PH, Morin GB, Krijgsveld J. 2019. Single-pot, solid-

phase-enhanced sample preparation for proteomics experiments. Nat Protoc 14:68–85.

23.   Tyanova S, Cox J. 2018. Perseus: A bioinformatics platform for integrative analysis of proteomics

data in cancer research, p. 133–148. *In* von Stechow, L (ed.), Cancer Systems Biology: Methods and

Protocols. Springer New York, New York, NY.

24.   Vizcaíno JA, Deutsch EW, Wang R, Csordas A, Reisinger F, Ríos D, Dianes JA, Sun Z, Farrah T,

632   Bandeira N, Binz P-A, Xenarios I, Eisenacher M, Mayer G, Gatto L, Campos A, Chalkley RJ, Kraus H-J,

633   Albar JP, Martinez-Bartolomé S, Apweiler R, Omenn GS, Martens L, Jones AR, Hermjakob H. 2014.

634   ProteomeXchange provides globally coordinated proteomics data submission and dissemination.

635   Nat Biotechnol 32:223–226.

636   25.   Cheng X, Yan J, Liu Y, Wang J, Taubert S. 2021. eVITTA: a web-based visualization and inference

637   toolbox for transcriptome analysis. Nucleic Acids Research 49:W207–W215.

638   26.   Wickham H. 2016. ggplot2: Elegant graphics for data analysis. Springer-Verlag New York.

639   27.   Blumenthal T, Squire M, Kirtland S, Cane J, Donegan M, Spieth J, Sharrock W. 1984. Cloning of a

640   yolk protein gene family from *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Journal of Molecular Biology 174:1–18.

641   28.   Spieth J, Blumenthal T. 1985. The *Caenorhabditis elegans* vitellogenin gene family includes a gene

642   encoding a distantly related protein. Molecular and Cellular Biology 5:2495–2501.

643   29.   Perez MF, Lehner B. 2019. Vitellogenins - Yolk gene function and regulation in *Caenorhabditis*

644   *elegans*. Front Physiol 10:1067.

645   30.   Van Nostrand EL, Sánchez-Blanco A, Wu B, Nguyen A, Kim SK. 2013. Roles of the developmental

646   regulator *unc-62*/Homothorax in limiting longevity in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. PLoS Genet

647   9:e1003325.

648   31.   Kissoyan KAB, Peters L, Giez C, Michels J, Pees B, Hamerich IK, Schulenburg H, Dierking K. 2022.

649   Exploring effects of *C. elegans* protective natural microbiota on host physiology. Front Cell Infect

650   Microbiol 12:775728.

32.   Kruskal WH, Wallis WA. 1952. Use of ranks in one-criterion variance analysis. Journal of the

American Statistical Association 47:583–621.

33.   Dunn OJ. 1964. Multiple comparisons using rank sums. Technometrics 6:241–252.

34.   Zimmermann J, Obeng N, Yang W, Pees B, Petersen C, Waschina S, Kissoyan KA, Aidley J, Hoeppner

MP, Bunk B, Spröer C, Leippe M, Dierking K, Kaleta C, Schulenburg H. 2020. The functional

repertoire contained within the native microbiota of the model nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans*.

ISME J 14:26–38.

35.   Watson E, MacNeil LT, Ritter AD, Yilmaz LS, Rosebrock AP, Caudy AA, Walhout AJM. 2014.

Interspecies systems biology uncovers metabolites affecting *C. elegans* gene expression and life

history traits. Cell 156:759–770.

36.   Giese GE, Walker MD, Ponomarova O, Zhang H, Li X, Minevich G, Walhout AJ. 2020. *Caenorhabditis*

elegans methionine/S-adenosylmethionine cycle activity is sensed and adjusted by a nuclear

hormone receptor. eLife 9:e60259.

37.   Bender DA. 2003. Nutritional biochemistry of the vitamins, 2nd ed. Cambridge University Press,

Cambridge. https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/nutritional-biochemistry-of-the-

vitamins/10B31039A2B0F4B4DC58A89C523FAE97.

38.   Bito T, Matsunaga Y, Yabuta Y, Kawano T, Watanabe F. 2013. Vitamin B_{12} deficiency in

Caenorhabditis elegans results in loss of fertility, extended life cycle, and reduced lifespan. FEBS

Open Bio 3:112–117.

39.   Revtovich AV, Lee R, Kirienko NV. 2019. Interplay between mitochondria and diet mediates

pathogen and stress resistance in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. PLoS Genet 15:e1008011.

40.   Watson E, Olin-Sandoval V, Hoy MJ, Li C-H, Louisse T, Yao V, Mori A, Holdorf AD, Troyanskaya OG,

673   Ralser M, Walhout AJ. 2016. Metabolic network rewiring of propionate flux compensates vitamin

674   B_{12} deficiency in *C. elegans*. eLife 5:e17670.

675   41.   Bulcha JT, Giese GE, Ali MdZ, Lee Y-U, Walker MD, Holdorf AD, Yilmaz LS, Brewster RC, Walhout

676   AJM. 2019. A persistence detector for metabolic network rewiring in an animal. Cell Reports

677   26:460-468.e4.

678   42.   Arda HE, Taubert S, MacNeil LT, Conine CC, Tsuda B, Van Gilst M, Sequerra R, Doucette-Stamm L,

679   Yamamoto KR, Walhout AJM. 2010. Functional modularity of nuclear hormone receptors in a

680   *Caenorhabditis elegans* metabolic gene regulatory network. Mol Syst Biol 6:367.

681   43.   Benedetti C, Haynes CM, Yang Y, Harding HP, Ron D. 2006. Ubiquitin-like protein 5 positively

682   regulates chaperone gene expression in the mitochondrial unfolded protein response. Genetics

683   174:229–239.

684   44.   Haynes CM, Petrova K, Benedetti C, Yang Y, Ron D. 2007. ClpP mediates activation of a

685   mitochondrial unfolded protein response in *C. elegans*. Developmental Cell 13:467–480.

686   45.   Leiers B, Kampkötter A, Grevelding CG, Link CD, Johnson TE, Henkle-Dührsen K. 2003. A stress-

687   responsive glutathione S-transferase confers resistance to oxidative stress in *Caenorhabditis*

688   *elegans*. Free Radical Biology and Medicine 34:1405–1415.

689   46.   Estes KA, Dunbar TL, Powell JR, Ausubel FM, Troemel ER. 2010. bZIP transcription factor *zip-2*

690   mediates an early response to *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* infection in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Proc

691   Natl Acad Sci USA 107:2153–2158.

47.   Irazoqui JE, Ng A, Xavier RJ, Ausubel FM. 2008. Role for β-catenin and HOX transcription factors in

Caenorhabditis elegans and mammalian host epithelial-pathogen interactions. Proc Natl Acad Sci

USA 105:17469–17474.

48.   Samuel BS, Rowedder H, Braendle C, Félix M-A, Ruvkun G. 2016. *Caenorhabditis elegans* responses

to bacteria from its natural habitats. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 113:E3941–E3949.

49.   Liu Y, Sellegounder D, Sun J. 2016. Neuronal GPCR OCTR-1 regulates innate immunity by

controlling protein synthesis in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Sci Rep 6:36832.

50.   Treitz C, Cassidy L, Höckendorf A, Leippe M, Tholey A. 2015. Quantitative proteome analysis of

Caenorhabditis elegans upon exposure to nematicidal *Bacillus thuringiensis*. Journal of Proteomics

113:337–350.

51.   Nelder JA, Wedderburn RWM. 1972. Generalized linear models. Journal of the Royal Statistical

Society Series A (General) 135:370.

52.   Bolz DD, Tenor JL, Aballay A. 2010. A conserved PMK-1/p38 MAPK is required in *Caenorhabditis

elegans* tissue-specific immune response to Yersinia pestis infection. Journal of Biological

Chemistry 285:10832–10840.

53.   Mack HID, Kremer J, Albertini E, Mack EKM, Jansen-Dürr P. 2022. Regulation of fatty acid

desaturase- and immunity gene-expression by mbk-1/DYRK1A in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. BMC

Genomics 23:25.

54.   Styer KL, Singh V, Macosko E, Steele SE, Bargmann CI, Aballay A. 2008. Innate immunity in

Caenorhabditis elegans is regulated by neurons expressing NPR-1/GPCR. Science 322:460–464.

55. Jensen VL, Simonsen KT, Lee Y-H, Park D, Riddle DL. 2010. RNAi screen of DAF-16/FOXO target

genes in *C. elegans* links pathogenesis and dauer formation. PLoS ONE 5:e15902.

56. Pees B, Yang W, Kloock A, Petersen C, Peters L, Fan L, Friedrichsen M, Butze S, Zárate-Potes A,

Schulenburg H, Dierking K. 2021. Effector and regulator: Diverse functions of *C. elegans* C-type

lectin-like domain proteins. PLoS Pathog 17:e1009454.

57. Dasgupta M, Shashikanth M, Gupta A, Sandhu A, De A, Javed S, Singh V. 2020. NHR-49

transcription factor regulates immunometabolic response and survival of *Caenorhabditis elegans*

during *Enterococcus faecalis* infection. Infect Immun 88:e00130-20.

58. Anderson SM, Cheesman HK, Peterson ND, Salisbury JE, Soukas AA, Pukkila-Worley R. 2019. The

fatty acid oleate is required for innate immune activation and pathogen defense in *Caenorhabditis*

elegans. PLoS Pathog 15:e1007893.

59. Watts JL, Browse J. 2000. A palmitoyl-CoA-specific Δ9 fatty acid desaturase from <i>Caenorhabditis

elegans<i/>. Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications 272:263–269.

60. Gilst MRV, Hadjivassiliou H, Jolly A, Yamamoto KR. 2005. Nuclear hormone receptor NHR-49

controls fat consumption and fatty acid composition in <i>C. elegans<i/>. PLoS Biol 3:e53.

61. Yang W, Dierking K, Esser D, Tholey A, Leippe M, Rosenstiel P, Schulenburg H. 2015. Overlapping

and unique signatures in the proteomic and transcriptomic responses of the nematode

Caenorhabditis elegans toward pathogenic *Bacillus thuringiensis*. Developmental & Comparative

Immunology 51:1–9.

62. Carberry K, Wiesenfahrt T, Windoffer R, Bossinger O, Leube RE. 2009. Intermediate filaments in

Caenorhabditis elegans. Cell Motil Cytoskeleton 66:852–864.

63.   Alberts B, Bray D, Hopkin K, Johnson AD, Lewis J, Raff M, Roberts K, Walter P. 2013. Essential cell

biology, 4th ed. Garland Publishing.

64.   Coch R, Leube R. 2016. Intermediate filaments and polarization in the intestinal epithelium. Cells

5:32.

65.   Geisler F, Coch RA, Richardson C, Goldberg M, Denecke B, Bossinger O, Leube RE. 2019. The

intestinal intermediate filament network responds to and protects against microbial insults and

toxins. Development dev.169482.

66.   Geisler F, Coch RA, Richardson C, Goldberg M, Bevilacqua C, Prevedel R, Leube RE. 2020. Intestinal

intermediate filament polypeptides in C. elegans: Common and isotype-specific contributions to

intestinal ultrastructure and function. Sci Rep 10:3142.

67.   McDonagh A, Crew J, van der Linden AM. 2022. Dietary vitamin B_{12} regulates chemosensory

receptor gene expression via the MEF2 transcription factor in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. 6. G3

Genes|Genomes|Genetics 12:jkac107.

68.   Nair T, Chakraborty R, Singh P, Rahman SS, Bhaskar AK, Sengupta S, Mukhopadhyay A. 2022.

Adaptive capacity to dietary vitamin B_{12} levels is maintained by a gene-diet interaction that

ensures optimal life span. 1. Aging Cell 21:e13518.

69.   Salmela H, Amdam GV, Freitak D. 2015. Transfer of immunity from mother to offspring is mediated

via egg-yolk protein vitellogenin. PLoS Pathog 11:e1005015.

70.   Fischer M, Regitz C, Kull R, Boll M, Wenzel U. 2013. Vitellogenins increase stress resistance of

Caenorhabditis elegans after *Photorhabdus luminescens* infection depending on the steroid-

signaling pathway. Microbes and Infection 15:569–578.

71. Winter AD, Tjahjono E, Beltrán LJ, Johnstone IL, Bulleid NJ, Page AP. 2022. Dietary-derived vitamin

B$_{12}$ protects *Caenorhabditis elegans* from thiol-reducing agents. BMC Biol 20:228.

72. Alper S, McBride SJ, Lackford B, Freedman JH, Schwartz DA. 2007. Specificity and complexity of the

Caenorhabditis elegans innate immune response. Molecular and Cellular Biology 27:5544–5553.

73. Troemel ER, Chu SW, Reinke V, Lee SS, Ausubel FM, Kim DH. 2006. p38 MAPK regulates expression

of immune response genes and contributes to longevity in *C. elegans*. PLoS Genetics 2:e183.

74. Block DHS, Twumasi-Boateng K, Kang HS, Carlisle JA, Hanganu A, Lai TY-J, Shapira M. 2015. The

developmental intestinal regulator ELT-2 controls p38-dependent immune responses in adult *C.*

elegans. PLoS Genet 11:e1005265.

75. Pukkila-Worley R, Feinbaum R, Kirienko NV, Larkins-Ford J, Conery AL, Ausubel FM. 2012.

Stimulation of host immune defenses by a small molecule protects *C. elegans* from bacterial

infection. PLoS Genet 8:e1002733.

76. Griem-Krey H, Petersen C, Hamerich IK, Schulenburg H. 2023. The intricate triangular interaction

between protective microbe, pathogen and host determines fitness of the metaorganism. Proc R

Soc B 290:20232193.

77. Naim N, Amrit FRG, Ratnappan R, DelBuono N, Loose JA, Ghazi A. 2021. Cell nonautonomous roles

of NHR-49 in promoting longevity and innate immunity. Aging Cell 20.

78. Wani KA, Goswamy D, Taubert S, Ratnappan R, Ghazi A, Irazoqui JE. 2021. NHR-49/PPAR-α and

HLH-30/TFEB cooperate for *C. elegans* host defense via a flavin-containing monooxygenase. eLife

10:e62775.

79.   Geisler F, Leube R. 2016. Epithelial intermediate filaments: guardians against microbial infection?

775   Cells 5:29.

776   80.   Mostowy S, Shenoy AR. 2015. The cytoskeleton in cell-autonomous immunity: structural

777   determinants of host defence. Nat Rev Immunol 15:559–573.

778   81.   Dramsi S, Cossart P. 1998. Intracellular pathogens and the actin cytoskeleton. Annual Review of

779   Cell and Developmental Biology 14:137–66.

780   82.   Bhavsar AP, Guttman JA, Finlay BB. 2007. Manipulation of host-cell pathways by bacterial

781   pathogens. Nature 449:827–834.

782   83.   Sitaram A, Yin Y, Zamaitis T, Zhang B, Aroian RV. 2022. A *Caenorhabditis elegans nck-1* and

783   filamentous actin-regulating protein pathway mediates a key cellular defense against bacterial

784   pore-forming proteins. PLoS Pathog 18:e1010656.

785   84.   Kaplan EL, Meier P. 1958. Nonparametric estimation from incomplete observations. Journal of the

786   American Statistical Association 53:457.

787   85.   Harrington D. 2005. Linear rank tests in survival analysisEncyclopedia of Biostatistics. John Wiley &

788   Sons, Ltd.

A

MYb11
vs OP50
(380 proteins)

MYb115
vs OP50
(495 proteins)

179 201 294

B   more abundant proteins

MYb11
vs OP50
(200 proteins)

MYb115
vs OP50
(158 proteins)

116 84 74

C   less abundant proteins

MYb11
vs OP50
(180 proteins)

MYb115
vs OP50
(337 proteins)

76 104 233

vit-2::gfp

E. coli
OP50

P. lurida
MYb11

P. fluorescens
MYb115

eggs/worm

a   b   b

p < 0.001

A

MYb71
vs OP50
(116 proteins)

MYb237
vs OP50
(115 proteins)

8   75   7

1   32   1

MYb11 *vs* OP50 ∩
MYb115 *vs* OP50
(201 proteins)

B

one-carbon cycle

methionine sulfoxide

vitamin B₁₂ → methionine

MSRA-1

MTRR-1

S-adenosyl-homocysteine   **AHCY-1** → homocysteine

CBS-1

cysteine ← **CTH-1** ← cystathionine

CTH-1

vitamin B₁₂-independent
propionate shunt pathway

acrylyl-CoA ← **ACDH-1** ← propionyl-CoA

ECH-6

3-hydroxypropionate-CoA

HACH-1

3-hydroxypropionate

HPHD-1

malonic semialdehyde

ALH-8

acetyl-CoA + CO_2

α-ketobutyrate

vitamin B₁₂-dependent
**canonical
propionate pathway**

C

acdh-1p::gfp

E. coli
OP50

P. lurida
MYb11

P. fluorescens
MYb115

A cluster

OP50 MYb11 MYb115

◄ DOD-24
◄ ACDH-11
◄ LEC-8
◄ LYS-1
◄ F55G11.4

◄ FAT-7

◄ ELO-2
◄ BRE-1

◄ IFB-2

NaN row min — row max

B unique MYb11 response (cluster 1 and 4)

cytoskeleton organization
GO:0007010

meiotic cell cycle
GO:0051321

IRE1-mediated unfolded protein response
GO:0036498

cell cycle
GO:0007049

defense response to Gram-positive bacterium
GO:0050830

fatty acid metabolic process
GO:0006631

defense response to Gram-negative bacterium
GO:0050829

protein metabolic process
GO:0019538

DNA replication initiation
GO:0006270

cell division
GO:0051301

ANC-1;DEB-1;IFA-1;TLN-1

CAV-1;PLK-1;SMC-5;RIOK-1;
ZWL-1

CKB-2;DPY-18;LEC-8;GALE-1;
GFAT-1

MCM-2;MCM-5;NPP-19;PLK-1;
CZW-1;ZWL-1

LEC-8;LYS-1;GALE-1;SKPO-1

ECH-1;1;ACDH-11;ACS-1;
W03D8.8

LYS-1;MEV-1;DOD-24;
F55G11.4

LAP-1;Y50D4B.4

MCM-2;MCM-5

NPP-19;PLK-1;
CZW-1;ZWL-1

-log₁₀(p_adj)

C unique MYb115 response (cluster 2 and 3)

phospholipid biosynthetic process
GO:0008654

cell division
GO:0051301

cell death
GO:0008219

cell cycle
GO:0007049

microtubule-based process
GO:0007017

DNA replication
GO:0006260

mRNA splicing, via spliceosome
GO:0000398

microtubule cytoskeleton organization
GO:0000226

mitotic cell cycle
GO:0000278

fatty acid metabolic process
GO:0006631

ACL-1;ACL-2;PSD-1;PCYT-1;CRLS-1;
Y71F9B.2

EMB-27;GPA-16;GPB-1;LIG-1;NPP-10;
RAN-1;CDC-5L;TOP-2;MLC-5;CSNK-1

ASP-3;ASP-6;ASP-2;ASP-5;ASP-4

EMB-27;GPA-16;GPB-1;LIG-1;MCM-7;
NPP-10;RAN-1;TOP-2;MLC-5;CSNK-1

BEN-1;MEC-7;MEC-12;
TBA-2;TBB-2

HMG-3;HMG-4;LIG-1;
MCM-7;RNR-2;K07C5.3

EMB-4;PRP-3;SNRP-200;
PRP-6;RBMX-2;CDC-5L

TBA-2;MEC-7;TBB-2;
MEC-12;BEN-1

TBB-2;MEC-12;MEC-7;
BEN-1;TBA-2

ELO-2;FAT-7;F37C12.3;
T26C12.1;ARD-1;CPT-9

-log₁₀(p_adj)

A

PA14 vs OP50
(53 proteins)

39

14

186

MYb11 vs OP50
(200 proteins)

OP50
MYb11
MYb115

ASP-14
BRE-1;GMD-2
C17H12.8
C33H11.4
CAT-4
CPG-2
DOD-17
DOD-24
ENDU-2
F55G11.4,F55G11.8
IRG-9
PUD-2.1,PUD-2.2
RMD-1
SEC-24.2

NaN   row min   row max

B

Bt247 vs Bt407
(171 proteins)

160

11

189

MYb11 vs OP50
(200 proteins)

OP50
MYb11
MYb115

ADH-1;SODH-2
BEN-1
C17H12.8
CLEC-41
GLEC-65
IFA-1
K11H12.4
LEC-8
LEC-9
LYS-1
TTR-15

NaN   row min   row max

C

clec-41p::gfp
p < 0.001

lys-1::gfp
p < 0.001

dod-24p::gfp
p < 0.001

F55G11.4p::gfp
p < 0.001

D

N2   clec-41(tm6722)

OP50   MYb11

Bt247 concentration

E

dod-24(ok2629)   lys-1(ok2445)

OP50   MYb11

Bt247 concentration

A

mean grey value

p < 0.001

OP50   MYb11   MYb115

fat-7::gfp

E. coli   *P. lurida*   *P. fluorescens*
OP50   MYb11   MYb115

B

N2

nhr-49(ok2165)

proportion alive

1.00
0.75
0.50
0.25

—— OP50
– – MYb11
······ MYb115

a
a
b

a
a

b

1:100   1:50   1:25   1:100   1:50   1:25
Bt247 concentration

C

N2

nhr-49(ok2165)

proportion alive

1.00
0.75
0.50
0.25

a
a
b

a
a

b

1:100   1:50   1:25   1:100   1:50   1:25
Bt679 concentration

—— OP50
– – MYb11
······ MYb115

A

MRCK-1
IFA-1e
UNC-116
CAP-1
IFA-1a,b,c,d
IFP-1
IFB-2
T22B3.3
CPN-1
ACT-5
ACT-2
MEC-7
CAP-2
TBA-2
TBB-2
MEC-12
BEN-1
HUM-1
MLC-5
ANC-1
UNC-70
TLN-1
FRM-4
DEB-1
MLST-8
CLIK-2
UNC-115
IFD-2
CRML-1
CLIK-1
FLN-1

OP50   MYb11   MYb115

NaN   row min   row max

B

N2   *ifb-2(kc14)*

proportion alive

1.00
0.75
0.50
0.25

1:100 1:50 1:25   1:100 1:50 1:25

a
a
b

a

c
b

OP50
MYb11
MYb115

Bt247 concentration

C

N2   *ifb-2(kc14)*

proportion alive

1.00
0.75
0.50
0.25
0.00

1:100 1:50 1:25   1:100 1:50 1:25

a
b
c

a

b
b

OP50
MYb11
MYb115

Bt679 concentration

reproduction

vitellogenins ↑

VIT-2 ↑

vitamin B₁₂ metabolism

↓ ACDH-1

pathogen defense

CLEC-41
DOD-24
F55G11.4
LYS-1 ↑

fat metabolism

ACDH-11
ACS-1 ↑

↓ ELO-2
FAT-7

intermediate filaments

↑ IFB-2

IFP-1
IFA-1 ↑

P. lurida MYb11
P. fluorescens MYb115
O. vermis MYb71
O. pseudogrignonense MYb237
B. thuringiensis
vitamin B₁₂