Germination responses to experimental rainfall timing identify potential vulnerability to

climate change across a clade of California wildflowers

Samantha J. Worthy[1*], Arquel Miller[1], Sarah R. Ashlock[1], Eda Ceviker[1], Julin N.

Maloof[2], Sharon Y. Strauss[1,3], Johanna Schmitt[1,3], Jennifer R. Gremer[1,3]

[1]Department of Evolution and Ecology, University of California, Davis, CA, USA

[2]Department of Plant Biology, University of California, Davis, CA, USA

[3]Center for Population Biology, University of California, Davis, CA, USA

[*]Corresponding Author: Samantha J. Worthy; 2320 Storer Hall, University of California,

Davis, One Shields Avenue, Davis, CA, 95616; E-mail: sjworthy@ucdavis.edu

Abstract

1. The timing of germination, driven by seasonal cues, is critical for the life cycle of plants. Variation among species in germination responses can reflect evolutionary processes and adaptation to local climate and can reveal vulnerability to changing conditions. Climate change is altering the timing of precipitation and associated temperatures, which may interact with germination cueing to affect the timing, quantity, and speed of germination. Germination responses to climate change can then have consequences for individual fitness, population dynamics, and species distributions.

2. Here we assessed responses to the timing of germination-triggering rains and corresponding temperatures for 11 species across the *Streptanthus* (*s.l.*) clade of Brassicaceae. To do so, we experimentally manipulated the onset date of rainfall events and measured effects on germination fraction and rate. We also evaluated how responses varied with phylogeny. We then explored the possible consequences of these responses to contemporary shifts in precipitation timing.

3. Later onset rains and cooler temperatures significantly decreased germination rates for all species. Germination fractions decreased with later rains and cooler temperatures for all species except three *Caulanthus* species. We found that six species are likely already experiencing significant decreases in germination fractions and/or germination rates with observed climate change, which has shifted the timing of rainfall towards the cooler, winter months in California. Species' germination responses to the timing of rainfall and seasonal

temperatures were phylogenetically constrained, with *Caulanthus* species

appearing less sensitive.

4. *Synthesis*. Across the *Streptanthus* clade, later onset of seasonal rains during

cooler temperatures decreases germination fractions and rates. Contemporary

shifts toward later rainfall offset the effects of ongoing climate warming and may

already be negatively affecting germination in several species. Species'

germination responses may have evolved along the phylogeny, with *Caulanthus*

species, from drier and more variable climates, found to be less sensitive to the

timing of rainfall events or their associated temperatures than species from

wetter, less variable environments.

Key Words: germination cues, germination responses, rainfall onset timing,

Streptanthus clade

Introduction

The timing of germination is critical to seedling establishment, seasonal

phenology, and ultimately plant fitness (Kalisz, 1986; Donohue et al., 2010; Gremer et

al., 2020). Germination timing is driven by climatic cues including precipitation and

temperature (Grubb, 1977; Baskin and Baskin, 2014). Climate change is already

altering germination conditions through increasing temperatures and shifting

precipitation regimes, leading to potential mismatches between formerly adaptive

germination cues and both current and future environmental conditions (McNamara et

al., 2011; Parmesan and Hanley, 2015; Bernhardt et al., 2020). Shifts in climate may

affect the seasonal timing of germination, the proportion of viable seeds that germinate

(germination fraction), and/or the speed at which germination proceeds (germination

rate). The degree to which germination cues are constrained by evolutionary history

could, in part, determine closely related species' germination responses to future

climates with resulting impacts on individual fitness and population dynamics (Arène et

al., 2017; Fang et al., 2017; Fernández-Pascual et al., 2021).

Germination timing determines the abiotic and biotic environments seedlings will

experience, influencing performance during one of the most vulnerable plant life stages

(Donohue et al., 2010; Matías et al., 2011; Gremer et al., 2016). To time germination

with favorable conditions, germination cues may evolve such that seeds respond to

partially or wholly reliable cues signaling favorable conditions for germination and

establishment (e.g., plasticity; Cohen, 1967, Donohue et al., 2010, Bonamour et al.,

2019; Gremer et al., 2016; Gremer, 2023). Precipitation and temperature are critical

cues for germination (Probert, 2000; Finch-Savage and Leubner-Metzger, 2006;

Burghardt et al., 2015; Barga et al., 2017; Puglia et al., 2018), in particular, the timing of

precipitation and how it interacts with temperature associated with rain events (Went,

1949; Levine et al., 2008; Kimball et al., 2010; Walck et al., 2011; Mayfield et al., 2014;

Huang et al., 2016). For example, a global-scale meta-analysis of 661 alpine species

revealed their strong propensity to germinate in response to warm, wet conditions

(Fernández-Pascual et al., 2021), which signal the onset of the summer growing

season.

In variable and changing environments, seeds may not germinate in a given

year, either because appropriate conditions or cues are unavailable, or because seeds

may remain dormant even in the presence of those cues. Seed dormancy can act to

distribute germination through time, spreading the risk of germinating into unfavorable

conditions and acting as a bet hedging strategy (Cohen, 1966; Hoyle et al., 2015;

Gremer and Venable, 2014). Bet-hedging through germination is often found in

unpredictable environments like deserts, where environmental cues are unreliable

(Venable, 2007; Gremer and Venable, 2014). Thus, we may expect seeds from more

variable environments to have lower germination fractions that are less sensitive to

seasonal cues and conditions. If seeds fail to germinate because of the absence of

appropriate cues and conditions or due to seed dormancy, they must survive in the soil

seedbank in order to germinate in future conditions.

Variation among species' germination strategies and responses to environmental

cues for germination suggests that species may differ in vulnerability to climate change

(Kimball et al., 2011; Liu et al., 2013). Changes in environmental conditions and cues

under climate change affect germination timing, fractions, and rates (Lopéz et al., 2020;

Iler et al., 2021). In addition to rising temperatures, climate change has also shifted the

timing of germination-triggering rains (Kimball et al., 2010; Levine et al., 2011; Mayfield

et al., 2014; Pathak et al., 2018; Luković et al., 2021) both of which will affect

germination conditions. For species with winter growing seasons, rainfall events arriving

later in the season may occur under cooler temperatures, affecting germination fractions

and rates (Kimball et al., 2010, Kimball et al., 2011; Huang et al., 2016). Earlier

germination often leads to longer growing seasons and higher fitness (Donohue et al.,

2010; Kimball et al., 2011; Levine et al., 2011), so later onset of rains may adversely

affect fitness and population dynamics. Moreover, climate change may create

mismatches between germination cues and conditions, such that seeds may not

germinate at all if they do not receive appropriate germination cues or germinate outside

favorable environmental conditions (Donohue et al., 2010; Walck et al., 2011).

Depending on how increasing temperatures interact with rainfall timing, climate change

may either slow or accelerate the rate at which germination proceeds, with implications

for phenology and seedling establishment. Together, climate change induced

disruptions to both temperature and precipitation have implications for germination

processes (Kimball et al., 2010; Walck et al., 2011).

Indeed, climate change is already altering both temperature and precipitation

patterns and forecasts indicate continued change in coming decades (Wright et al.,

2016; Pathak et al., 2018; Swain et al., 2018). For example, the IPCC (2021) reports

global increases in temperature, shifts in the timing of precipitation, and increased

variability in both temperature and precipitation, along with more frequent and intense

extreme climatic events. Previous research has shown that plants in Mediterranean

climates are responsive to temperature and the timing of precipitation. In these climates

seeds typically germinate in cooler, wet conditions that arrive in the fall or early winter,

after a hot, dry summer (Levine et al., 2011; Gremer et al., 2020a). Studies have also

noted the potential for strictly cold temperature-cued germination in Mediterranean

climates associated with winter rainfall and species with winter growing seasons (Levine

et al., 2008; Levine et al., 2011; Mayfield et al., 2014). Contemporary climate change

has already shifted patterns of seasonal precipitation in Mediterranean climates (Giorgi

and Lionello, 2008; Walck et al., 2011; Barredo et al., 2018). For example, the timing of

first seasonal rains in California, USA, has shifted to later in the fall and winter growing

season, resulting in less fall precipitation and more concentrated precipitation during the

colder months (Luković et al., 2021). Conversely, Swain et al. (2018) report an

increased likelihood of extreme dryness during rainy seasons of the future, highlighting

the unpredictability of the timing and quantity of precipitation. However, much less is

known about how these shifts influence germination of closely-related native plants,

which has implications for population dynamics, species' persistence, and species'

distributions in the face of ongoing change.

The *Streptanthus* (*s.l.*) clade of Brassicaceae and its allies are an ideal system to

ask how shifting rainfall onset will alter germination patterns. The clade has desert

origins and diversified as it moved northward (e.g., Cacho et al., 2021), as did other

taxa comprising the Madro-tertiary geoflora (Axelrod, 1958). *Streptanthus* and

Caulanthus species have also diversified across a range of typically drier environments

in the Mediterranean climate of California (Cacho and Strauss, 2014; Cacho et al.,

2021). Prior work in this system has shown that as these species spread from the

desert, many of their traits diversified along the phylogeny, with closer relatives having

more similar traits (Cacho and Strauss, 2014; Christie and Strauss, 2018; Pearse et al.,

2020). For example, Pearse et al. (2020) found phylogenetic signal in water inflection

point, the water availability level at which a species' fitness increases most rapidly,

suggesting species have diverged from drought-adapted ancestral species.

To investigate how the timing of rainfall affects germination fraction and rate of

Streptanthus and *Caulanthus* species across the *Streptanthus* (*s.l.*) clade, we

experimentally varied the date of rainfall onset and asked three main questions, 1) How

does variation in the timing of germination-triggering precipitation and corresponding

seasonal temperature affect germination fraction and rate?, 2) What are the possible

effects of contemporary shifts in precipitation timing on germination fraction and rate

and do species vary in their sensitivity to these shifts?, and 3) How have germination

responses to seasonal conditions diversified across these closely related species? We

hypothesized that species would germinate at higher fractions and faster rates with

earlier rainfall events that occur during warmer fall temperatures because earlier

germination maximizes the growing season leading to higher fitness, and because

germination is expected to be faster under warmer temperatures. As a corollary, we

hypothesized that species would show decreased germination fractions and rates if

contemporary shifts in rainfall timing occur at cooler temperatures. We expected these

germination responses, unless there is germination cuing towards colder temperatures

associated with winter growing season of these species. We also expected that species

would vary in their sensitivity to germination conditions due to divergent climatic

conditions they have evolved under and adapted to. In particular, we hypothesized that

patterns of germination fraction and rate would differ among species based on climate

of origin. *Caulanthus* species occupy drier, more variable environments, and should be

less affected by the timing of germination-triggering rains and corresponding

temperatures than *Streptanthus* species, adapted to wetter, less variable environments

(Golodets et al., 2013; Figure 1). Correspondingly, we also expected to see lower

germination fractions in *Caulanthus* species as they are expected to be less responsive

to the timing of germination-triggering rain events owing to variability and

unpredictability of precipitation in their local environments.

Materials and Methods

Study System

To address these questions, we used 13 populations from 11 species spanning

the *Streptanthus clade* (*s.l.*; Thelypodieae Brassicaceae), which includes

nonmonophyletic genera *Streptanthus* and *Caulanthus* (Table 1; Cacho et al., 2014). As

a group, these species span the latitudinal range of the California Floristic Province and

typically inhabit relatively barren, dry substrates ranging from sandy deserts to rocky

and serpentine outcrops (Figure 1A). The clade spans habitats with a range of mean

temperature and precipitation, and also a range of variability in these measures (Figure

1B, Table 1; Table S1). All species and populations in this study experience the

Mediterranean climate in California, in which the winter growing season begins with

germination-triggering rain events in the fall or early winter and ends with the onset of

summer drought. Interannual variation in the onset of germination-triggering rains is

also high across California (Gremer et al., 2020; Luković et al., 2021).

Experimental Design

To assess species differences in germination responses to natural and climate

change-induced variation in the timing of germination-triggering rains, we experimentally

imposed seven rainfall onset events throughout the germination season. Specifically,

seven distinct germination cohorts were created by simulating germination-triggering

rain events every two weeks during the fall and winter of 2020: September 17, October

2, October 16, October 30, November 13, November 27, and December 11, 2020,

which encompasses natural interannual variation in the arrival of rains, as well as shifts

observed with contemporary climate change (Gremer et al., 2020, Luković et al., 2021).

This experiment was conducted in a "screenhouse" with a clear plastic roof that allowed

for controlled watering, but exposed seeds to seasonal temperature fluctuations and

changes in ambient light.

Seeds were collected as maternal families from field locations in 2019 and

pooled for this experiment (Table S2). For two species (*C. anceps* and *C. inflatus*) we

included seeds from two sites. For each cohort, individual seeds were sown into 107 mL

cone-tainer pots (Stuewe and Sons SC7) filled with a mix of 2/3 UC Davis potting soil

(1:1:1 parts sand, compost, peat moss with dolomite) and ⅓ coarse 16 grit sand. For

each species, except *C. coulteri*, 16 seeds were sown in each of three replicate blocks

for a total of 48 seeds sown per cohort. For *C. coulteri*, for which we had limited seed,

four seeds were sown per replicate block, 12 total seeds sown per cohort. Pots with

seeds were randomly assigned to one of three blocks, which were arranged across

three screenhouse benches.

We simulated germination-triggering rain events every two weeks by bottom-

watering pots to saturation before sowing, then intensely misting pots for one week after

sowing (Table S3). Soil moisture conditions following the germination-triggering rain

events were maintained by lower levels of watering (Table S3). This procedure was

repeated for each cohort with the watering amount reduced as the experiment

progressed because of cooler seasonal temperatures. One week after the last cohort

was sown, all cones were subjected to maintenance watering until germination surveys

ceased on April 16, 2021.

Germination surveys were conducted daily in which every cone was censused

and the germination date for each individual was recorded. By January 11, 2021,

germination had slowed, and germination surveys were reduced to twice weekly

(Mondays and Fridays) and then further reduced on February 1, 2021, to once per week

(Mondays). All surveys and watering were completely stopped on April 16, 2021, after

no germination had been observed in the previous two weeks.

To simulate the dry, Mediterranean California summer, all cones with

ungerminated seeds were allowed to dry out and kept in the screenhouse to expose

seeds to natural drying and hot temperatures over the summer. The following fall

(September 2021), these pots were re-randomized into new blocks, bottom-watered,

and then received one week of high-frequency watering, as in the previous year,

starting on September 15, 2021, to simulate the beginning of the next growing season

(year 2). Germination surveys were then conducted daily until November 5, 2021, when

they were reduced to three-times weekly (Monday, Wednesday, Friday). On November

22, 2021, surveys decreased to once per week (Monday) and were stopped on

December 27, 2021, after a two-week period without any germination.

Phylogeny

Full methods for phylogeny estimation can be found in Cacho et al. (2014). In

brief, the phylogenetic hypothesis was generated using six single copy nuclear genes,

three identified specifically for this group in combination with three traditionally used

nuclear regions (phyA, ITS, PEPC), and two chloroplast regions (trnL, trnH-psbA). The

hypothesis was based on Bayesian MCMC runs consisting of three 50-million-

generation independent runs with sampling every 5000 generations (details in Cacho et

al., 2014). For the two species with two populations, additional populations were added

to the phylogeny at the same node with the same edge length as the other population of

the species using the phytools (Revell, 2012) and ape (Paradis and Schliep, 2019)

packages in R programming language (R Core Team 2021).

Temperature Estimates

Thermochron DS1921G iButtons were deployed with each cohort, in each of the

three racks, into soil-filled blank cones to measure soil temperature. These sensors

recorded the soil temperature every hour. We determined the temperature each seed

experienced prior to germination by calculating the mean temperature between the day

of rainfall onset and day of germination from the iButton data (Figure 2; Figure 3B).

These values were then used in models of germination rate. In models of germination

fraction, the average was taken of the mean temperatures experienced by each seed in

each block, within each cohort. This gave a block-level estimate of mean temperature

experienced by seeds in each block between rainfall onset date and germination date.

Data Analysis

Germination Fraction

Germination fraction was calculated at the block level as the number of

germinated seeds divided by the total number of seeds sown. To evaluate the

relationship between germination fraction and the timing of rainfall onset and seasonal

temperature, we used grouped logistic regressions. Regressions were built for each

species, with groups comprising the seeds sown for each block (3), and successes

indicating germination, with block included as a random effect. Both linear and quadratic

models were fit for models of the timing of rainfall onset and likelihood ratio tests were

used to determine the significance of factors in the models. Only linear model results

are presented as their results were not distinguishable from quadratic models. Marginal

means were estimated for each species using the emmeans package (Lenth, 2022) for

use in *post hoc* comparisons.

We also tested whether species differ in their germination responsiveness to the

timing of rainfall onset. A Pearson's Chi-squared test with three contingencies (Year 1,

Year 2, Never) was used to evaluate germination timing. We performed *post hoc*

analyses using the chisq.posthoc.test package (Ebbert, 2019) in R with a Bonferroni p-

value adjustment.

Germination Rate

Germination rate was calculated for each seed as the reciprocal of the number of

days between germination date and rainfall onset date (Bewley et al., 2013). Models

were built to evaluate the relationship between germination rate and the timing of rainfall

onset, as well as the temperature each seed experienced during the season. For each

species, both linear and quadratic models of the timing of rainfall onset were fit and

likelihood ratio tests were used to determine the best fit model for each species. Only

linear model results are presented as they were not distinguishable from quadratic

models. A linear mixed effects model was also built, using the lme4 package (Bates et

al., 2015), with an interaction term between mean temperature each seed experienced

and species along with cohort included as fixed effects and block included as a random

effect. Marginal means were estimated for each species using the emmeans package

(Lenth, 2022) for use in *post hoc* comparisons.

Potential Effects of Climate Change

We sought to understand how climate change induced shifts in precipitation

timing affect germination responses. Specifically, we compared germination responses

(fractions and rates) on dates that represent mean historical and contemporary rainfall

onset. According to Luković et al. (2021), historical onset of precipitation in California

was October 1 based on years 1960 to1989, which has shifted approximately 27 days

to October 28 as the contemporary onset of precipitation (over the last 30 years). These

dates align closely with the rainfall onset dates of October 2 (historical date) and

October 30 (contemporary date) in this study. We compared germination fractions of

historical and contemporary rainfall onset dates using species-specific generalized

linear models with binomial error and a logit link function. The relationship between

germination rate and rainfall onset date was evaluated using species-specific linear

models. Marginal means were estimated, and comparisons between historical and

contemporary rainfall onset dates were made using the emmeans package (Lenth,

2022) with p-values adjusted using the Tukey method for multiple comparisons.

Phylogenetic Analyses of Species' Responses

We explored germination responses to rainfall onset date and seasonal

temperatures across the phylogeny to understand if these responses are constrained by

evolutionary history. We extracted the slopes from the relationships between

germination fraction and rate in response to rainfall onset and temperature for each

species and tested for phylogenetic signals in slopes. Estimates of phylogenetic signals

allow us to understand the degree to which phylogenetic relatedness explains similarity

in species' germination responses and how these responses have evolved as these

species spread across, and diversified into, different environments. Using the R

package phytools (Revell, 2012), we estimated phylogenetic signals using Pagel's λ.

We tested the null hypothesis that Pagel's $\lambda = 0$, where a value equal to 0 indicates low

phylogenetic signal, evolution of germination responses is independent of phylogeny,

and a value equal to 1 indicates high phylogenetic signal, differences in species'

responses are proportional to their shared history (Pagel, 1999). Intermediate values of

Pagel's λ suggest phylogenetic relatedness is not the only factor contributing to the

observed patterns.

Results

Throughout the experiment, temperatures decreased through time such that seeds in earlier rainfall onset events experienced warmer temperatures than seeds in later rainfall onset events (Figure 3A). In general, later rainfall onset had a negative effect on both germination fraction (Figure 4, Table 2) and germination rate (Figure 5, Table 3), though responses varied among species. Germination fraction significantly decreased with later onset of rains for all but three species (*C. amplexicaulis*, *C. anceps*, and *C. coulteri*) (Figure 4). Germination rate significantly decreased for all species with later onset of rains (Figure 5). Germination fraction and rate were sensitive to temperatures seeds experienced following germination-triggering rain events. Germination fraction significantly decreased for all species but *C. amplexicaulis*, *C. anceps*, and *C. coulteri* as the mean temperature experienced by seeds decreased (Figure 6; Table 4). Germination rate significantly decreased for all species as the mean temperature experienced by seeds decreased (Figure 7; Table 5).

To understand how climate change induced shifts in precipitation timing affect germination responses, we compared historical and contemporary timings of rainfall onset. We found that some species are likely already experiencing significant decreases in germination fractions and/or germination rates with observed climate change. Germination fraction significantly decreased between historical and contemporary rainfall timing for *S. diversifolius*, *S. glandulosus*, *S. tortuosus*, and one of the populations of *C. inflatus* (CAIN4; Figure 4; Table S4). Germination rate significantly decreased for *S. diversifolius*, *S. insignis*, *S. polygaloides*, *S. tortuosus*, and the other population of *C. inflatus* (CAIN3; Figure 5; Table S5).

We estimated phylogenetic signals of germination responses to rainfall onset date and

seasonal temperatures to evaluate if these responses are constrained by evolutionary

history (Figure 8). Slopes of relationships between germination fraction, the timing of

rainfall onset (λ = 0.87, P = 0.05), and the mean temperature seeds experienced (λ =

0.84, P = 0.08) showed moderate, but non-significant phylogenetic signal. Slopes of

relationships between germination rate and the timing of rainfall onset (λ = 0.99, P <

0.005) and between germination rate and the mean temperature seeds experienced (λ

= 0.99, P < 0.05) showed significant phylogenetic signal.

356   We also investigated whether species differed in their germination

responsiveness to the timing of rainfall onset to evaluate potential differences in

species' sensitivity to germination cues and germination strategies. Using a Pearson's

Chi-squared test with three contingencies of germination timing (Year 1, Year 2, Never),

we found that species differed in the fates of their seeds across the study (germination

in year 1, fall year 2, or never; chi-squared = 1006.1, df = 24, p < 0.0001; Figure 3A).

Post hoc analysis revealed significant associations between species and a variety of the

germination timing categories (Table S6). Most species germinated much less in the

second year (Figure 3A; Table S6). However, both populations of *C. anceps*, a desert

dwelling species, had higher germination in year 2 than in year 1. *S. glandulosus* had

low germination in the later rainfall onset events of year 1, which then germinated in

high fractions in the early season rainfall event of year 2 (Figure 3A: 27-Nov, 11-Dec).

C. amplexicaulis, a mid- high elevation lower latitude species, differed from other

species as it was not as sensitive to the timing of rainfall onset or temperature, with

significantly lower germination in both years (Figure 3A; Table S6).

Discussion

Here, we used experimentally imposed rainfall onset events to assess the impact

of the timing of germination-triggering rains and corresponding temperatures on

germination fraction and rate for closely related species that radiated into different

climate niches within California's Mediterranean climate. Our results indicate that later

onset of seasonal rains during cooler seasonal temperatures decreases germination

fractions and rates, with variable responses among species. Further, some species may

already be experiencing negative effects of contemporary shifts in the timing of rainfall.

While species varied in germination responses to the timing of rainfall and

corresponding temperatures, we found phylogenetic signal among species' responses,

evidence that the seasonal germination niche may be constrained by phylogenetic

relationships. Lastly, we found species differences in responsiveness to environmental

cues affecting germination timing: *Caulanthus* species from drier (*C. anceps*) and more

variable (*C. amplexicaulis* and *C. coulteri*) sites were found to be less sensitive to the

timing of rainfall onset events or their associated temperatures than species from

wetter, cooler environments.

In systems with winter growing seasons, earlier onset of seasonal rains typically

corresponds with warmer temperatures and may also lead to a longer growing season.

Thus, earlier rains may drive higher and faster germination simply due to thermal

requirements for germination or may be an adaptive response in which seeds are tuned

to early conditions for germination in order to take advantage of a longer growing

season. On the other hand, there may be advantages to germinating later in the season

during cooler temperatures that may indicate that reliable cool, wet weather has arrived

(Mayfield et al., 2014). In the current study, germination rate significantly decreased for

all species with later onset of precipitation that occurred under cooler temperatures.

Germination fraction also showed this pattern for most species. Huang et al. (2016)

reported similar results in a Sonoran Desert plant community finding longer thermal

times to germination (slower germination rates) and lower germination percentages

when germination occurred later in the season under less favorable conditions. They

also found greater germination when temperatures were high during rainfall (Huang et

al., 2016), as was found during this study. In their system and in ours, most of the

variability in growing season length comes from the onset of germination-triggering

rains, which start the growing season, instead of the timing of the onset of dry

conditions that end it (Kimball et al., 2011; Luković et al., 2021). Thus, earlier

germination, under warmer temperatures, is the main avenue to increase growing

season length. Research on the alpine plant *Wahlenbergia ceracea* also found

decreased germination, both rate and fraction, with lower temperatures and suggested

that the temperature window of ideal conditions for germination is narrow (Notarnicola et

al., 2022). Together, our findings and previous research highlight how important the

interaction of precipitation timing and temperature is for driving germination patterns.

However, understanding the adaptive nature of these responses depends on their

relationship with fitness.

A growing body of literature has found that germination cues interact to drive

climate change effects on germination timing, fractions, and rates (Levine et al., 2008;

Kimbell et al., 2010; Levine et al., 2011; Huang et al., 2016). Our findings here further

stress the importance of considering a combination of germination cues when

investigating climate change impacts on phenology (Donohue et al., 2010). Results here

also support the idea that changes in the timing of rainfall onset in concert with

temperatures following these rain events may have stronger effects on population

dynamics than changes in total rainfall or temperature alone (Levine et al., 2008;

Kimball et al., 2010; Levine et al., 2011).

While the timing of precipitation onset has shifted later since 1960 in California

(Luković et al., 2021), average temperature has not followed the same trend as sharply

as precipitation (Rapacciuolo et al., 2014; Wright et al., 2016; Pathak et al., 2018),

suggesting a recent and continuing mismatch between the timing of precipitation and

the temperature following rain events relative to historic patterns. Here, we found that

species in this study show decreases in germination fractions and rates when we

compare historic and contemporary onsets of precipitation (Figures 4-5; Tables 4-5).

Two species, *S. diversifolius* and *S. tortuosus* show significant decreases in both

germination fraction and rate when making this comparison, suggesting they may

already be experiencing more extreme effects of shifting precipitation timing than other

species. This highlights that the severity of impacts caused by climate change may be

species-specific, with each species differentially affected, calling for species-level

restoration and conservation plans (Barga et al., 2017; Finch-Savage and Footitt, 2017).

Species in this study showed variation in relationships between germination

responses and environmental cues for germination, but phylogenetic signal suggest

these responses may have evolved as these species diverged. Slopes of relationships

between germination fraction, germination rate, the timing of rainfall onset, and

temperatures experienced all had moderate to high phylogenetic signal, suggesting that

adaptation of germination to climate may be constrained by evolutionary history.

Previous work has also found strong phylogenetic signal in germination cues (Arène et

al., 2017; Fernández-Pascual et al., 2021; Baskin et al., 2022). In the *Streptanthus* (*s.l.*)

clade, research has linked divergence and spread of these species to edaphic and

climatic adaptations, including soil nutrients and precipitation quantity (Cacho and

Strauss, 2014; Christie and Strauss, 2018; Pearse et al., 2020). In this study, we found

that many of the *Caulanthus* species have much shallower slopes for their relationships

between germination fraction, timing of rainfall onset, and temperatures experienced

than *Streptanthus* species (Figure 8). Lower latitude *Caulanthus* species have typically

evolved in drier, warmer, and more variable habitats than higher latitude *Streptanthus*

species (Christie and Strauss, 2018, Fig. 1), potentially contributing to divergence in

their germination sensitivities and strategies. Germination fraction is an important

demographic parameter and fitness component where variation among species may

indicate differences in sensitivity of species to environmental cues for germination with

implications for the success of germination and populations in future climate scenarios.

With continued climate change, seeds will either germinate under suboptimal conditions

based on formerly adaptive germination cues, shift germination timing by tracking

optimal conditions (Catelotti et al., 2020), adapt to respond to new combinations of

germination cues (Donohue et al., 2010; Walck et al., 2011), or use a combination of

these strategies (Gremer et al., 2016; Gremer et al., 2020a).

Species can have different germination strategies including using germination

cues to germinate during appropriate conditions, not germinating in a given year if

germination conditions or cues are unavailable, or seeds remaining dormant in the soil

even if appropriate germination cues are given. Species in this study encompassed all

of these germination strategies where the majority of species were quite responsive to

the interaction of precipitation and temperature germination cues. *S. glandulosus*

showed the steepest decrease in germination fractions with later onset of rains and with

decreasing temperatures suggesting it may be more sensitive than the other species to

environmental conditions necessary for germination and have a narrower seasonal

germination niche. Indeed, *S. glandulosus* seeds in later rainfall onset events that did

not get the appropriate conditions for germination in the first year had high germination

in the second year. Secondary dormancy can be an evolved strategy to prevent

germination under unfavorable conditions, which could be occurring in these *S.*

glandulosus seeds from later rainfall onset events and then released under favorable

conditions in the early rainfall event of year 2. Other studies have also found support for

secondary dormancy in seeds when environmental conditions are no longer suitable for

germination (Probert, 2000; Walck et al., 2011; Finch-Savage and Footitt, 2017). For

example, Hawkins et al. (2017) found that seeds of *Bromus tectorum* cycled into

secondary dormancy when soil water potentials and temperatures were below the lower

limit of appropriate conditions for germination. In contrast, three of the *Caulanthus*

species, *C. amplexicaulis*, *C. anceps*, and *C. coulteri*, appear to favor a bet-hedging

strategy with similar low germination fractions regardless of the timing of rainfall onset

or corresponding temperatures that may indicate dormancy fractions. These species

inhabit a variety of habitats in lower latitudes (Figure 1A) where environmental

conditions can be harsh and temporally unpredictable (Figure 1B), conditions previously

linked to bet-hedging germination strategy (Cohen, 1966; Venable, 2007; Gremer and

Venable, 2014).

In this study we quantified germination responses to the timing of rainfall onset

and corresponding temperatures, though other seasonal cues may be at work that were

not considered here (Baskin and Baskin, 2014). Overall, our findings shed further light

on the germination niche and its species-specific sensitivity to changing climatic

conditions and environmental cues for germination (Barga et al., 2017). We also draw

attention to the importance of considering multiple environmental cues (Bernhardt et al.,

2020) and how climate change is creating mismatches between cues with effects on

germination processes that should scale-up to impact population persistence and

species dynamics. We do acknowledge the need for additional investigations of the role

of other potential germination cues, such daylength and chilling hours in future studies.

The data generated here will prove useful in studies seeking to generate process-based

predictions of germination under future climate conditions (Hamann et al., 2021), vital

studies needed to further our understanding of how climate factors drive germination

and contribute to demographic variation.

Authors' Contributions

J.R.G, J.S., S.Y.S. and J.N.M conceived and designed the study. A.M, E.C., S.R.A, J.S

performed the experiment, with help from J.R.G. and S.Y.S in data collection. S.J.W.,

J.R.G., S.Y.S., and J.S designed the data analyses. S.J.W. and J.R.G. analyzed the

data with assistance from J.S., S.Y.S, A.M., S.R.A., and E.C. S.J.W., J.R.G. A.M., and

J.S. wrote the manuscript with contributions from all other authors. All authors

contributed to development of ideas, analyses, and interpretation of results.

Data Availability Statement

Data and code are currently available on GitHub at

https://github.com/StreptanthusDimensions/Germination.Timing. A Zenodo doi will be

obtained for the GitHub material upon manuscript acceptance.

References

1. Arène, F., Affre, L., Doxa, A., & Saatkamp, A. (2017). Temperature but not moisture response of germination shows phylogenetic constraints while both interact with seed mass and lifespan. *Seed Science Research, 27,* 110-120.

2. Axelrod, D. I. (1958). Evolution of the madro-tertiary geoflora. *The Botanical Review, 24,* 433-509.

3. Barga, S., Dilts, T. E., & Leger, E. A. (2017). Climate variability affects the germination strategies exhibited by arid land plants. *Oecologia, 185,* 437-452.

4. Barredo, J. I., Mauri, A., Caudullo, G., & Dosio, A. (2018). *Pure and Applied Geophysics, 175,* 3955-3971.

5. Baskin, C. C., & Baskin, J. M. (2014). *Seeds: ecology, biogeography, and evolution of dormancy and germination*, 2nd ed. San Diego, Academic Press.

6. Baskin, C. C., Baskin, J. M., Hu, X. W., & Zhang, C. H. (2022). Width of the temperature range for seed germination of herbaceous plant species in temperate eastern North American: life cycles, seasons and temperature variation and implication for climate warming. *Seed Science Research, 32,* 13-22.

7. Bates, D., Maechler, M., Bolker, B., & Walker, S. (2015). Fitting linear mixed-effects models using lme4. *Journal of Statistical Software, 67,* 1-48.

8. Bernhardt, J. R., O'Connor, M. I., Sunday, J. M., & Gonzalez, A. (2020). Life in fluctuating environments. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society, B, Biological Sciences, 375,* 20190454.

9. Bewley, J. D., Bradford, K. J., Hilhorst, H. W. M., & Nonogaki H. (2013). *Seeds: physiology of development, germination and dormancy*, 3rd ed. New York, Springer.

10. Bonamour, S., Chevin, L.-M., Charmantier, A., & Teplitsky, C. (2019). Phenotypic

plasticity in response to climate change: the importance of cue variation.

Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society, B, Biological Sciences, 374,

20180178.

11. Burghardt, L. T., Metcalf, C. J. E., Wilczek, A. M., Schmitt, J., & Donohue, K. (2015).

Modeling the influence of genetic and environmental variation on the expression of

plant life cycles across landscapes. *The American Naturalist, 185,* 212-227.

12. Cacho, N. I., & Strauss, S. Y. (2014). Occupation of bare habitats, an evolutionary

precursor to soil specialization in plants. *Proceedings of the National Academy of*

Sciences, USA, 111, 15132-15137.

13. Cacho, N. I., Burrell, A. M., Pepper, A. E., & Strauss, S. Y. (2014). Novel nuclear

markers inform the systematics and the evolution of serpentine use in Streptanthus

and allies (Thelypodieae, Brassicaceae). *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution, 72,*

71-81.

14. Cacho, N. I., McIntyre, P. J., Kliebenstein, D. J., & Strauss, S. Y. (2021). Genome

size evolution is associated with climate seasonality and glucosinolates, but not life

history, soil nutrients or range size, across a clade of mustards. *Annals of Botany,*

127, 887-902.

15. Catelotti, K., Bino, G., & Offord, C. A. (2020). Thermal germination niches of

Persoonia species and projected spatiotemporal shifts under a changing climate.

Diversity and Distributions, 26, 589-609.

560 16. Christie, K., & Strauss, S. Y. (2018). Along the speciation continuum: Quantifying

561  intrinsic and extrinsic isolation barriers across five million years of evolutionary

562  divergence in California jewelflowers. *Evolution, 72,* 1063-1079.

563 17. Cohen, D. (1966). Optimizing reproduction in a randomly varying environment.

564  *Journal of Theoretical Biology, 12,* 119-129.

565 18. Cohen, D. (1967). Optimizing reproduction in a randomly varying environment when

566  a correlation may exist between the conditions at the time a choice has to be made

567  and the subsequent outcome. *Journal of Theoretical Biology, 16,* 1-14.

568 19. Donohue, K., de Casas, R. R., Burghardt, L., Kovach, K., & Willis, C. G. (2010).

569  Germination, postgermination adaptation, and species ecological ranges. *Annual*

570  *Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics*, *41*, 293-319.

571 20. Ebbert, D. (2019). chisq.posthoc.test: a post hoc analysis for Pearson's chi-squared

572  test for count data. R package version 0.1.2.

573 21. Fang, X.-W., Zhang, J.-J., Xu, D.-H., Pang, J., Gao, T.-P., Zhang, C.-H., Li, F.-M., &

574  Turner, N. C. (2017). Seed germination of *Caragana* species from different regions

575  is strongly driven by environmental cues and not phylogenetic signals. *Scientific*

576  *Reports, 7,* 11248.

577 22. Fernández-Pascual, E., Carta, A., Mondoni, A., Cavieres, L. A., Rosbakh, S., Venn,

578  S., … Jiménez-Alfaro, B. (2021). The seed germination spectrum of alpine plants: a

579  global meta-analysis. *New Phytologist, 229,* 3573-3586.

580 23. Finch-Savage, W. E., & Leubner-Metzger, G. (2006). Seed dormancy and the

581  control of germination. *New Phytologist, 171,* 501-523.

24. Finch-Savage, W. E., & Footitt, S. (2017). Seed dormancy cycling and the regulation

of dormancy mechanisms to time germination in variable field environments. *Journal*

of Experimental Botany, 68, 843-856.

25. Flint, L. E., & Flint, A. L. (2014). California basin characterization model: A dataset of

historical and future hydrologic response to climate change. U.S. Geological Survey

Data Release, https://doi.org/10.5066/F76T0JPB.

26. Giorgi, F., & Lionello, P. (2008). Climate change projections for the Mediterranean

region. *Global and Planetary Change, 63,* 90-104.

27. Golodets, C., Sternberg, M., Kigel, J., Boeken, B., Henkin, Z., Seligman, N. G., &

Ungar, E. D. (2013). From desert to Mediterranean rangelands: will increasing

drought and inter-annual rainfall variability affect herbaceous annual primary

productivity? *Climate Change, 119,* 785-798.

28. Gremer, J. R. (2023). Looking to the past to understand the future: linking

evolutionary modes of response with functional and life history traits in variable

environments. *New Phytologist, 237,* 751-757.

29. Gremer, J. R., & Venable, D. L. (2014). Bet hedging in desert winter annual plants:

optimal germination strategies in a variable environment. *Ecology Letters, 17,* 380-

387.

30. Gremer, J. R., Kimball, S., & Venable, D. L. (2016). Within-and among-year

germination in Sonoran Desert winter annuals: bet hedging and predictive

germination in a variable environment. *Ecology Letters, 19,* 1209-1218.

31. Gremer, J. R., Chiono, A., Suglia, E., Bontrager, M., Okafor, L., & Schmitt, J. (2020).

Variation in the seasonal germination niche across an elevational gradient: the role

605 of germination cueing in current and future climates. *American Journal of Botany,*

606 *107,* 350-363.

607 32. Gremer, J. R., Wilcox, C. J., Chiono, A., Suglia, E., & Schmitt, J. (2020a).

608 Germination timing and chilling exposure create contingency in life history and

609 influence fitness in the native wildflower *Streptanthus tortuosus. Journal of Ecology,*

610 *108,* 239-255.

611 33. Grubb, P. J. (1977). The maintenance of species-richness in plant communities: the

612 importance of the regeneration niche. *Biological Review, 52,* 107-145.

613 34. Hamann, E., Denney, D., Day, S., Lombardi, E., Jameel, M. I., MacTavish, R., &

614 Anderson, J. T. (2021). Plant eco-evolutionary responses to climate change:

615 emerging directions. *Plant Science, 304,* 110737.

616 35. Hawkins, K. K., Allen, P. S., & Meyer, S. E. (2017). Secondary dormancy induction

617 and release in *Bromus tectorum* seeds: the role of temperature, water potential and

618 hydrothermal time. *Seed Science Research, 27,* 12-25.

619 36. Hoyle, G. L., Steadman, K. J., Good, R. B., McIntosh, E. J., Galea, L. M. E., &

620 Nicotra, A. B. (2015). Seed germination strategies: an evolutionary trajectory

621 independent of vegetative functional traits. *Frontiers in Plant Sciences, 6,* 731.

622 37. Huang, Z., Liu, S., Bradford, K. J., Huxman, T. E., & Venable, D. L. (2016). *Ecology,*

623 *97,* 250-261.

624 38. Iler, A. M., CaraDonna, P. J., Forrest, J. R. K., & Post, E. (2021). Demographic

625 consequences of phenological shifts in response to climate change. *Annual Review*

626 *of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics, 52,* 221-245.

39. IPCC. (2021). Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis. *Contribution of*

Working Group I to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on

Climate Change. Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA: Cambridge

University Press.

40. Kalisz, S. (1986). Variable selection on the timing of germination in *Collinsia verna*

(Scrophulariaceae). *Evolution, 40,* 479-491.

41. Kimball, S., Angert, A. L., Huxman, T. E., & Venable, D. L. (2010). Contemporary

climate change in the Sonoran Desert favors cold-adapted species. *Global Change*

Biology, 16, 1555-1565.

42. Kimball, S., Angert, A. L., Huxman, T. E., & Venable, D. L. (2011). Differences in the

timing of germination and reproduction relate to growth physiology and population

dynamics of Sonoran Desert winter annuals. *American Journal of Botany, 98,* 1773-

1781.

43. Lenth, R. V. (2022). emmeans: estimated marginal means, aka least-squares

means. R package version 1.7.3.

44. Levine, J. M., McEachern, A. K., & Cowan, C. (2008). Rainfall effects on rare annual

plants. *Journal of Ecology, 96,* 795-806.

45. Levine, J. M., McEachern, A. K., & Cowan, C. (2011). Seasonal timing of first rain

storms affects rare plant population dynamics. *Ecology, 92,* 2236-2247.

46. Liu, K., Baskin, J. M., Baskin, C. C., Bu, H., Du, G., Ma, M. (2013). Effect of diurnal

fluctuating versus constant temperatures on germination of 445 species from the

Eastern Tibet Plateau. *PloS ONE, 8,* e69364.

47. López, R. P., Hayes, M., Maidana-Tuco, Y., & Naoki, K. (2020). Summer annual

plants exhibit germination responses to an aridity gradient consistent with both

delayed germination and phenotypic plasticity. *Plant Ecology, 221,* 1233-1242.

48. Luković, J., Chiang, J. C. H., Blagojević, D., & Sekulić, A. (2021). A later onset of the

rainy season in California. *Geophysical Research Letters, 48,* e2020GL090350.

49. Matías, L., Gómez-Aparicio, L., Zamora, R., & Castro, J. (2011). Effects of resource

availability on plant recruitment at the community level in a Mediterranean mountain

ecosystem. *Perspectives in plant ecology, evolution, and systematics, 13,* 277-285.

50. Mayfield, M. M., Dwyer, J. M., Main, A., & Levine, J. M. (2014). The germination

strategies of widespread annual plants are unrelated to regional climate. *Global*

Ecology and Biogeography, 23, 1430-1439.

51. McNamara, J. M., Barta, Z., Klaassen M., & Bauer, S. (2011). Cues and the optimal

timing of activities under environmental changes. *Ecology Letters, 14,* 1183-1190.

52. Notarnicola, R. F., Nicotra, A. B., Kruuk, L. E. B., & Arnold, P. A. (2022). Effects of

warming temperatures on germination responses and trade-offs between seed traits

in an alpine plant. *Journal of Ecology, 111,* 62-76.

53. Pagel, M. (1999). Inferring the historical patterns of biological evolution. *Nature, 401,*

887-884.

54. Paradis, E., & Schliep, K. (2019). ape 5.0: an environment for modern phylogenetics

and evolutionary analyses in R. *Bioinformatics, 35,* 526-528.

55. Parmesan, C., & Hanley, M. E. (2015). Plants and climate change: complexities and

surprises. *Annals of Botany, 116,* 849-864.

56. Pathak, T. B., Maskey, M. L., Dahlberg, J. A., Kearns, F., Bali, K. M., & Zaccaria, D.

(2018). Climate change trends and impacts on California agriculture: a detailed

review. *Agronomy, 8,* 25.

57. Pearse, I. S., Aguilar, J. M., & Strauss, S. Y. (2020). Life-history plasticity and water-

use trade-offs associated with drought resistance in a clade of California

jewelflowers. *American Naturalist, 195,* 691-704.

58. Probert, R. J. (2000). The role of temperature in the regulation of seed dormancy

and germination. In: Fenner, M. (ed) *Seeds: the ecology of regeneration in plant*

communities. Wallingford: CAB International, 261-292.

59. Puglia, G., Carta, A., Bizzoca, R., Toorop, P., Spampinato, G., & Raccuia, S. A.

(2018). Seed dormancy and control of germination in *Sisymbrella dentata* (L.). O.E.

Schulz (Brassicaceae). *Plant Biology, 20,* 879-885.

60. R Core Team (2021). R: a language and environment for statistical computing. *R*

Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.

61. Rapacciuolo, G., Maher, S. P., Schneider, A. C., Hammond, T. T., Jabis, M. D.,

Walsh, R. E., … Beissinger, S. R. (2014). Beyond a warming fingerprint:

individualistic biogeographic responses to heterogeneous climate change in

California. *Global Change Biology, 20,* 2841-2855.

62. Revell, L. J. (2012). phytools: an R package for phylogenetic comparative biology

(and other things). *Methods in Ecology and Evolution, 3,* 217-223.

63. Swain, D. L., Langenbrunner, B., Neelin, J. D., & Hall, A. (2018). Increasing

precipitation volatility in twenty-first-century California. *Nature Climate Change, 8,*

427-433.

64. Venable, D. L. (2007). Bet hedging in a guild of desert annuals. *Ecology, 88,* 1086-

1090.

65. Walck, J. L., Hidayati, S. N., Dixon, K. W., Thompson, K., & Poschlod, P. (2011).

Climate change and plant regeneration from seed. *Global Change Biology, 17,*

2145-2161.

66. Went, F. W. (1949). Ecology of desert plants. II. The effect of rain and temperature

on germination and growth. *Ecology, 30,* 1-13.

67. Wright, A. N., Schwartz, M. W., Hijmans, R. J., & Shaffer, H. B. (2016). Advances in

climate models from CMIP3 to CMIP5 do not change predictions of future habitat

suitability for California reptiles and amphibians. *Climate Change, 134,* 579-591.

Table 1. Species (11) and populations (13) included in this study from the *Caulanthus*

and *Streptanthus* genera of Brassicaceae. Average germination season (September –

December) climate data from 1991 – 2015 are also reported for each species' location:

precipitation (PPT, mm), maximum temperature (Tmax, °C), and minimum temperature

(Tmin, °C). Climate data sourced from Flint and Flint (2014).

Species	Abbreviation	PPT	Tmax	Tmin
Caulanthus amplexicaulis	CAAM	39.90	21.44	8.76
Caulanthus anceps	CAAN1	16.42	22.98	5.93
Caulanthus anceps	CAAN2	19.00	21.92	4.92
Caulanthus coulteri	CACO	21.74	18.71	8.22
Caulanthus inflatus	CAIN3	19.97	21.97	5.77
Caulanthus inflatus	CAIN4	18.07	21.03	9.04
Streptanthus breweri	STBR	66.99	21.71	8.45
Streptanthus diversifolius	STDI	58.07	20.61	8.08
Streptanthus drepanoides	STDR	100.18	21.66	9.61
Streptanthus glandulosus	STGL	124.75	18.45	8.29
Streptanthus insignis	STIN	30.53	22.27	5.47
Streptanthus polygaloides	STPO	142.42	19.82	7.93
Streptanthus tortuosus	STTO	50.76	22.11	8.25

711   **Table 2.** Results from grouped logistic regressions with germination fraction as the

712   response variable and rainfall onset date as the predictor variable. Coefficient estimates

713   are reported for the Intercept and Rainfall Onset Date terms from the models along with

714   the p-value associated with the Rainfall Onset Date term. P-values in bold are

715   significant.

Species	Intercept	Rainfall Onset Date	P-value
CAAM	-1.22	-0.11	0.26
CAAN1	-1.29	-0.08	0.33
CAAN2	-1.91	0.03	0.68
CACO	0.64	-0.12	0.36
CAIN3	1.96	-0.49	**< 0.0001**
CAIN4	0.99	-0.42	**< 0.0001**
STBR	2.20	-0.37	**< 0.0001**
STDI	1.33	-0.40	**< 0.0001**
STDR	1.52	-0.36	**< 0.0001**
STGL	2.00	-0.59	**< 0.0001**
STIN	0.53	-0.33	**< 0.0001**
STPO	1.96	-0.25	**< 0.0001**
STTO	1.75	-0.24	**< 0.0001**

717 **Table 3.** Results from linear regressions with germination rate as the response variable

718 and rainfall onset date as the predictor variable. Coefficient estimates are reported for

719 the Intercept and Rainfall Onset Date terms from the models along with the p-value

720 associated with the Rainfall Onset Date term. Adjusted R^2 values for the models are

721 also given. P-values in bold are significant.

Species	Intercept	Rainfall Onset Date	P-value	R^2
CAAM	0.11	-0.009	**0.04**	0.07
CAAN1	0.26	-0.06	**< 0.0001**	0.50
CAAN2	0.25	-0.03	**< 0.0001**	0.41
CACO	0.26	-0.03	**< 0.0001**	0.50
CAIN3	0.23	-0.02	**< 0.0001**	0.25
CAIN4	0.21	-0.02	**< 0.0001**	0.27
STBR	0.15	-0.01	**< 0.0001**	0.25
STDI	0.37	-0.04	**< 0.0001**	0.63
STDR	0.12	-0.004	**< 0.0001**	0.02
STGL	0.18	-0.01	**< 0.0001**	0.18
STIN	0.28	-0.03	**< 0.0001**	0.56
STPO	0.25	-0.03	**< 0.0001**	0.56
STTO	0.36	-0.04	**< 0.0001**	0.75

723 **Table 4.** Results from grouped logistic regressions with germination fraction as the

724 response variable, mean temperature seeds experienced as the predictor variable, and

725 block included as a random effect. Least-squares means were estimated for each

726 species and are reported along with 95% confidence intervals (CI) used to evaluate

727 significance. CI values in bold are significant.

Species	Temperature	CI
CAAM	0.05	-0.02 – 0.13
CAAN1	0.05	-0.02 – 0.11
CAAN2	-0.01	-0.08 – 0.05
CACO	0.06	-0.05 – 0.17
CAIN3	0.19	**0.14 – 0.24**
CAIN4	0.18	**0.13 – 0.24**
STBR	0.17	**0.11 – 0.22**
STDI	0.17	**0.13 – 0.22**
STDR	0.16	**0.10 – 0.22**
STGL	0.27	**0.21 – 0.33**
STIN	0.15	**0.10 – 0.20**
STPO	0.11	**0.06 – 0.17**
STTO	0.10	**0.05 – 0.15**

729 **Table 5.** Results from linear mixed-effects model with germination rates as the

730 response variable, mean temperature seeds experienced, species, their interaction, and

731 cohort as fixed effects, and block included as a random effect. Least-squares means

732 were estimated for each species and are reported along with 95% confidence intervals

733 (CI) used to evaluate significance. CI values in bold are significant.

Species	Temperature	CI
CAAM	0.01	**0.01 – 0.02**
CAAN1	0.02	**0.02 – 0.02**
CAAN2	0.02	**0.02 – 0.02**
CACO	0.02	**0.02 – 0.02**
CAIN3	0.02	**0.01 – 0.02**
CAIN4	0.01	**0.01 – 0.02**
STBR	0.01	**0.01 – 0.02**
STDI	0.02	**0.02 – 0.03**
STDR	0.01	**0.01 – 0.01**
STGL	0.01	**0.01 – 0.02**
STIN	0.02	**0.02 – 0.02**
STOP	0.02	**0.02 – 0.02**
STTO	0.02	**0.02 – 0.03**

Figure 1. Location and climate for study species. (A) Seeds were collected from 13

populations of 11 species across California. See Table 1 for species abbreviations. (B)

Principal component analysis of average germination season (September - December)

climate for 1991-2015 for each location. First two principal components (PCs) illustrated

on the x and y axes. Climate variables include precipitation (PPT), minimum and

maximum temperature (Tmin, Tmax, respectively), as well as variability in precipitation

(coefficient of variation, PPT_CV) and temperature (standard deviation of Tmin and

Tmax, Tmin_SD and Tmax_SD respectively). Data source was Flint and Flint (2014).

Figure 2. Mean and standard deviation of daily temperatures (°C) from the first rainfall

onset date (September 17, 2020) to the last day of observed germination (January 25,

2021) in year one of the experiment. Dashed lines represent each rainfall onset date for

each of seven cohorts with colors corresponding to those in Fig. 3A. The solid black line

corresponds to the date of historic onset of rainfall (October 1) and the solid gray line

represents the 27 day shift to the contemporary date of rainfall onset (October 28) in

California according to Luković et al. (2021).

753
754
755

Figure 3. Germination fractions and temperatures seeds experienced during the study. (A) Distribution of mean temperature seeds in each cohort experienced between their rainfall onset date, displayed on the y-axis, and germination date. Seeds that did not germinate during year one of the experiment (September 17, 2020, to April 16, 2021) were re-watered on September 15, 2021, and germination was followed until December 6, 2021. (B) Amount of total germination fraction for each species during year one versus year two of the study at each rainfall onset date.

Figure 4. Relationships between germination fraction and rainfall onset date and

comparison of germination fraction between 2-Oct (historical onset of rainfall) and 30-

Oct (contemporary onset of rainfall). Plots where regression lines are present had

significant relationships between germination fraction and rainfall onset date (back

transformed from logit scale). For all significant relationships, germination fraction

decreased as rainfall onset occurred later in the season. Points represent germination

fraction for each cohort (mean ± 1 SE, back transformed from logit scale). In plots

where points and SE bars are red, a significant decrease in germination fraction was

found between the historical onset date of rainfall (2-Oct) and the contemporary onset

Figure 5. Relationships between germination rate (1/days to germination) and rainfall

onset date and comparison of germination rate between 2-Oct (historical onset of

rainfall) and 30-Oct (contemporary onset of rainfall). Regression lines represent

significant, negative relationships between germination rate and rainfall onset date,

where germination rate decreased as rainfall onset occurred later in the season. Points

represent germination rate for each cohort (mean ± 1 SE). In plots where points and SE

bars are red, a significant decrease in germination rate was found between the historical

(   ).

  Figure 7. Relationships between germination rate and mean temperature seeds

829   experienced between their rainfall onset date and germination date. Regression lines

830   represent significant, positive relationships between germination rate (1/days to

831   germination) and mean temperature seeds experienced.

Figure 8. Slopes of the relationships evaluated in this study displayed across the

phylogeny. Yellow dots display the slopes of the relationship between germination

fraction and rainfall onset date (Fraction ~ Cohort) (Fig. 4). All slopes are negative

except for *Caulanthus anceps.2* (0.03). Green dots display the slopes of the negative

relationships between germination rate and rainfall onset date (Rate ~ Cohort) (Fig. 5).

Gray dots display the slopes of the relationship between germination fraction and mean

temperature experienced by seeds (Fig. 6). All slopes are positive except for

Caulanthus anceps.2 (-0.01). Black dots display the positive slopes of the relationships

between germination rate and mean temperature experienced by seeds (Fig. 7).