The *Hypolimnas misippus* genome supports a common origin of the W chromosome in

Lepidoptera

Anna Orteu[1,2*], Shane A. McCarthy[2,3], Emily A. Hornett[4,5], Mathew Gemmel[4], Louise A.

Reynolds[4], Ian A. Warren[1], Ian J. Gordon[6], Gregory D. D. Hurst[4], Richard Durbin[3], Simon H.

Martin[7], Chris D. Jiggins[1]

1. Department of Zoology, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, United Kingdom

2. Tree of Life Programme, Wellcome Sanger Institute, Hinxton, United Kingdom

3. Department of Genetics, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, United Kingdom

4. IVES, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, United Kingdom

5. Vector Biology, Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine, Liverpool, United Kingdom

6. Centre of Excellence in Biodiversity and Natural Resource Management, University

of Rwanda, Huye Campus, Huye, Rwanda

7. Institute of Evolutionary Biology, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, United

Kingdom

* Author for Correspondence: Anna Orteu, Tree of Life Programme, Wellcome Sanger

Institute, Hinxton, United Kingdom, ao20@sanger.ac.uk

Abstract

Moths and butterflies (Lepidoptera) have a heterogametic sex chromosome system with

females carrying ZW chromosomes and males ZZ. The lack of W chromosomes in early

diverging lepidopteran lineages has led to the suggestion of an ancestral Z0 system in this

clade and a B chromosome origin of the W. This contrasts with the canonical model of W

chromosome evolution in which the W would have originated from the same homologous

autosomal pair as the Z chromosome. Despite the distinct models proposed, the rapid

evolution of the W chromosome has hindered the elucidation of its origin. Here, we present

high-quality, chromosome-level genome assemblies of two *Hypolimnas* species (*Hypolimnas*

bolina and *Hypolimnas misippus)* and use the *H. misippus* assembly to explore the evolution

of W chromosomes in butterflies and moths. We show that in *H. misippus* the W

chromosome has higher similarity to the Z chromosome than any other chromosome, which

could suggest a possible origin from the same homologous autosome pair as the Z

chromosome. However, using genome assemblies of closely related species (ditrysian

lineages) containing assembled W chromosomes, we present contrasting evidence suggesting

that the W chromosome might have evolved from a B chromosome instead. Crucially, by

using a synteny analysis to infer homology, we show that W chromosomes are likely to share

a common evolutionary origin in Lepidoptera. This study highlights the difficulty of studying

the evolution of W chromosomes and contributes to better understanding its evolutionary

origins.

Key words

B chromosome, sex chromosome evolution, synteny, genome assembly, neo-Z chromosome

Significance

Butterflies and moths have a sex determination system in which females carry two different sex chromosomes, Z and W, while males carry two copies of the Z. The evolutionary origin of the W chromosome has been elusive, with many possible scenarios being suggested, such as the independent evolution of W chromosomes in many butterfly and moth species. Here, we present genome assemblies of two *Hypolimnas* butterfly species and use one of them to shed light on the evolution of the W chromosome. We show that W chromosomes across butterflies and moths are very similar which suggests a shared common origin.

Introduction

Sex chromosomes are highly variable in eukaryotes and have evolved independently multiple times (Bachtrog et al. 2014, 2011; Beukeboom & Perrin 2014). In animals there are multiple types of chromosomal sex determination systems but two are predominant: male heterogamety as seen in mammals where males are XY and females XX; and female heterogamety as seen in birds where females are ZW and males ZZ (Beukeboom & Perrin 2014). These heteromorphic sex chromosomes (XY and ZW) can potentially originate from different processes. One possibility is that they initially arise from a pair of autosomes that evolve genetic sex determination and, through a process of reduced recombination, sex specific mutations accumulate (Wright et al. 2016). This reduction in recombination can also lead to gene depletion and the accumulation of repeat and transposable elements (TEs), which are common characteristics of sex specific (Y or W) chromosomes (Bachtrog et al. 2011; Wright et al. 2016). However, discerning between cause and consequence can be difficult, as repeats and TEs could be enhancing the reduction in recombination rather than resulting from it. Additionally, other autosomes may fuse to the sex chromosomes and become differentiated. Alternatively, the W/Y chromosomes can originate from the recruitment of a B

chromosome, which are dispensable chromosomes that are found variably in populations and

species (Yoshida et al. 2011).

Moths and butterflies commonly have a ZW sex chromosome system in which females are

heterogametic and show a lack of recombination (Turner & Sheppard 1975). Whilst the Z

chromosome has been shown to be highly conserved across the Lepidoptera (Fraïsse et al.

2017), the origin and evolution of the W chromosome remains unclear and several putative

origins have been hypothesised. First, some recent evidence has been shown to suggest that

the W chromosome originated from the same homologous chromosome pair as the Z (Dai et

al. 2022)(Figure 1A). However, the possible absence of W chromosomes in early-diverging

ditrysian lineages (a lepidopteran clade containing all butterflies and most moths) and the

deep conservation of the Z chromosome have been suggested to be evidence of an ancestral

Z0 sex determination system for Lepidoptera, and an origin for the W chromosome that is

independent of the Z chromosome (Dalíková et al. 2017; Fraïsse et al. 2017; Hejníčková et al.

2019; Lukhtanov 2000; Sahara et al. 2012). Two main alternative hypotheses have been

proposed for the origin of the W chromosome (that is independent of the Z chromosome):

evolution from a B chromosome (Dalíková et al. 2017; Lewis et al. 2021; Lukhtanov 2000)

(Figure 1B), or evolution from the homologous pair of an autosome that fused to the Z

chromosome (Sahara et al. 2012)(Figure 1C). Furthermore, and irrespective of the specific

origin, the number of evolutionary events leading to the formation of the W chromosome has

also been debated. That is whether all W chromosomes in the Lepidoptera share a common

evolutionary origin of if their inception was the result of multiple independent events, with

the most recent evidence supporting the latter (Dai et al. 2022; Lewis et al. 2021)(Figure 1D-

E).

Fig. 1. Possible origins of the W chromosome in Lepidoptera. **A.** One hypothesis on the

origin of W chromosomes is that Z and W evolved from the same autosomal pair. **B.** Another

hypothesis is that the W chromosome evolved from a B chromosome, which are non-essential

chromosomes that vary in number in populations and species. **C.** Finally, the inception of W

chromosomes could have involved the fusion of the Z chromosome to an autosome and

subsequent formation of the W from the autosomal pair. **D.** In any of these cases, if the W

chromosome has originated only once in Lepidoptera, W chromosomes of all species would

be more similar to each other than to the Z chromosomes. **E.** Whereas if they evolved

multiple times from the same autosomal pair as the Z, W chromosomes would be more

similar to the Z of the same species than to other Ws. While, multiple B chromosome

recruitments would result in a lack of similarity between Z and W chromosomes as well as

among Ws.

Despite interest in understanding the evolution of the W chromosome in the Lepidoptera, the

absence of high-quality reference genomes containing assembled W chromosomes has

limited its study. The elevated repeat and TE content have made its assembly challenging

until recently. Long read sequencing technologies and the decrease in sequencing price have

enabled the production of high-quality Lepidopteran genome assemblies containing W

chromosomes, which makes it increasingly possible to elucidate the enigmatic origin of the

Lepidoptera W chromosome.

Hypolimas butterflies, commonly known as eggflies, are a phenotypically diverse genus that

has served as a model for the study of ecology and evolutionary biology. Many *Hypolimnas*

species are mimics of toxic species, which has shaped the diversification of wing colour

pattern in the genus (Vane-Wright et al. 1977). Historically, two species, *Hypolimnas bolina*

and *Hypolimnas misippus*, have received most of the attention. *Hypolimnas bolina* and *H.*

misippus diverged 8 million years ago and share many similarities, both have a pantropical

distribution and the females of both species are polymorphic Batesian mimics of toxic models

(Marsh et al. 1977; Sahoo et al. 2018; Smith 1976). In contrast, males are monomorphic and

have retained what is likely to be the ancestral phenotype of white-spotted black wings.

Nonetheless, the two species also differ in many aspects. *Hypolimnas misippus* females are

mimics of the four morphs of the African Queen, *Danaus chrysippus*, while *H. bolina*

females are mimics of several *Euploea* species. *Hypolimnas bolina* has also received special

interest for its coevolution with the endosymbiont *Wolbachia* (Charlat et al. 2009; Dyson et

al. 2002). In *H. bolina*, *Wolbachia* has a male-killing phenotype that promotes spread of the

endosymbiont through females but has counter-evolved a suppressor locus that rescues male

butterflies (Hornett et al. 2006).

The diversity in phenotype, precision of mimicry and intricate coevolution, make *Hypolimnas*

a remarkable genus for evolutionary biology studies. However, there are few genomic

resources to date. Here, we present chromosome-level assemblies for *H. misippus*

(HypMis_v2) and *H. bolina* (HypBol_v1) and use our high-quality *H. misippus* assembly

containing the Z and W chromosomes to explore the evolution of sex chromosomes in

Lepidoptera. First, we compare synteny and TE content between the two *Hypolimnas*

assemblies. Next, we evaluate the annotation completeness and gene content by comparison

to the closely related painted lady butterfly, *Vanessa cardui*. Then, we investigate the

hypothesis of a B chromosome origin of the W chromosome by comparing homology

between the W chromosome, autosomes, and Z chromosome within *H. misippus*. Finally, we

examine the origin of the W and Z chromosomes across the Lepidoptera by analysing synteny

between *Hypolimnas misippus* and a diverse set of 10 Lepidoptera species in different

ditrysian families.

Results

Genome assemblies and synteny between *HypMis_v2* and *HypBol_v1*

The size of the final assemblies were 438.07 MB for HypMis_v2 and 444.68 MB for

HypBol_v1, assembled into 218 and 59 scaffolds respectively. HypMis_v2 was sequenced

using a trio-binning strategy, which, by using a combination of short and long-read

sequencing of the parents and offspring of a cross, allows for the assembly of two parental

haplotypes (Yen et al. 2020). We assembled both parental haplotypes to a quasi-chromosome

level and then scaffolded haplotype 2, which corresponds to the maternal haplotype, using

Hi-C data. Hereafter, all mentions of the *H. misippus* assembly, HypMis_v2, refer to the Hi-C

scaffolded haplotype 2 assembly.

HypMis_v2 was assembled into 32 chromosome-level scaffolds (>6 MB; 30 autosomes and

the Z and W chromosomes) and 186 unplaced scaffolds smaller than 1MB (Figure 2B) and

has an N50 of 14.6 MB; while HypBol_v1 was assembled into 59 scaffolds all placed onto 31

chromosomes, with an N50 of 15.2 MB. Thus, both species have retained the ancestral

karyotype of 31 chromosomes. This karyotype is present in other Nymphalinae species such

as the painted lady *Vanessa cardui*, which has a comparable genome size (424.8 Mb) to the

two *Hypolimnas* species. In general, all chromosomes were slightly larger in *HypBol_v1*

compared to *HypMis_v2* with only 4 exceptions (chromosomes 13, 14, 28 and 31; Figure

2C). When aligning the two *Hypolimnas* assemblies, there were no fusions or fissions among

chromosomes but with multiple large rearrangements (Figure 2A; Supplementary Figure 1).

The only caveat to these conclusions is that *HypMis_v2* was used to scaffold *HypBol_v1*

before using the linkage map, which might have altered the *H. bolina* chromosomal structure.

Nonetheless the linkage map applied after the Ragout scaffolding should correct for any

large-scale errors in scaffolding. Finally, 12 large inversions on multiple chromosomes are

apparent in the alignment of the two assemblies (Supplementary Figure 1).

Figure 2. Chromosome level assemblies for *Hypolimnas misippus* and *H. bolina*. **A.**

Chromosomal synteny is conserved in both species. **B.** Chromosome level scaffolds have

been assembled for both species. **C.** In general, *H. bolina* chromosomes are larger.

Chromosome 1 refers to the Z chromosome.

Transposable elements and repeat content

In total, the two *Hypolimnas* genomes contain similar levels of repeats, 43.86% for

HypBol_v1 and 42.34% for *H. misippus*, which are slightly higher than in the painted lady

Vanessa cardui (37.27%) of comparable genome size (424.8 Mb). The composition of

repeats is similar in the two *Hypolimnas* genomes, while they differ substantially from the

painted lady (Figure 3). The contribution of rolling-circles (also known as *Helitrons*) and

DNA-transposons is more than double in *Hypolimnas,* while retroelements are >1.5 fold

higher in the painted lady (Figure 3). This suggests a shift in TE activity, with *Helitrons* and

DNA-transposons playing a more important role in *Hypolimnas* species. In both *Hypolimnas*,

there has been both a recent and a more ancient expansion of *Helitron* family transposable

elements (Figure 3B). Finally, the percentage of unclassified repeats and GC content is

broadly the same in the three species.

Fig. 3. A. Repeat content of the *Hypolimnas bolina*, *Hypolimnas misippus* and *Vanessa*

cardui assemblies. **B**. Repeat landscape of the *H. misippus* assembly. Helitrons and LTR

(Long Terminal Repeat) retrotransposons have undergone a recent expansion, as seen in the

higher percentage of the genome covered by *Helitrons*.

Gene content and completeness of the annotation

In total 19,721 genes were annotated in HypBol_v1 and 21,784 coding mRNAs including all

isoforms of the same gene; while for HypMis_v2 there were 20,293 genes and 22,468 coding

mRNAs. These numbers are higher than other Nymphalidae species such as the painted lady

butterfly (*Vanessa cardui)*, whose latest annotation (v2.1) includes 13,223 genes and 19,836

mRNAs, with the number of genes being considerably larger in the two *Hypolimnas* species

(Supplementary Figure 2). Analysis with BUSCO using the *insecta_odb10* benchmarking set

193   showed that the completeness of the genome and annotation were 98.7% and 98.6% for

194   HypMis_v2, and 94% and 92.4% for HypBol_v1 (Table 1). These scores are comparable to

195   other published Nymphalidae assemblies and annotations such as that of *Danaus chrysippus*

196   (Singh et al. 2022), with those for *HypBol_v1* slightly lower possibly due to errors introduced

197   by Nanopore sequencing, which has a high per base error rate.

	Species	**Complete**	**Single**	**Dupl.**	**Frag.**	**Miss.**
Genomes	*Hypolimnas misippus* haplotype 1 (parental)	98.7	98.5	0.2	0.4	0.9
	Hypolimnas misippus haplotype 2 (maternal)	98.7	98.5	0.2	0.4	0.9
	Hypolimnas bolina	94.0	93.6	0.4	1.8	4.2
Annotations	*Hypolimnas misippus*	98.6	89.8	8.8	0.6	0.8
	Hypolimnas bolina	92.4	84.1	8.3	2.9	4.7

Table 1. BUSCO scores for the genome assemblies and gene annotations of *H. misippus* and

HypBol_v1 calculated using the Insecta_odb10 (n=1367) set of genes.

Despite having a larger number of genes and mRNAs, the two *Hypolimnas* annotations show

a smaller total number of exons and introns than *V. cardui* (Supplementary Figure 2). This is

because the two *Hypolimnas* annotations have more single exon genes and their mRNAs

have, on average, a smaller number of exons, which might be a difference produced by the

distinct annotation pipelines. The total length of mRNAs and genes in the two *Hypolimnas* is

shorter then in *V. cardui*, which results in a smaller percentage of the genome covered by

them. However, this trend is different for exons, which are on average the same length in the

three species, have a comparable total length and cover a similar percentage of the genome.

Thus, the longer total length of mRNAs and genes in *V. cardui* is due to a total longer length

of introns, due to a higher number of introns per mRNA and an average longer length.

Mixed evidence on the origin of W chromosomes from the same autosome pair as the Z

Using our HypMis_v2 assembly that contains a W chromosome, we set to explore the

evolutionary origins of the W chromosome in Lepidoptera. First, we wanted to clarify

whether the W chromosome had originated from a B chromosome or form the same

autosomal pair as the Z (Figure 1A-B). To specifically test the hypothesis that the Z and W

have a common autosomal origin, we used a synteny analysis to infer sequence homology

between the *H. misippus* W chromosome and the remaining 31 chromosomes. We would

expect that if the W and the Z share a common autosomal origin, they would share more

similarities between them than with any other chromosome. We found that the Z

chromosome shows a higher degree of similarity to the W chromosome than any autosome,

defined as the percentage of the W that is covered by non-overlapping matches to the target

chromosome (Figure 4A). However, the W chromosome is the chromosome with the highest

percentage of repeat regions (71% in *H. misippus*), which could be interfering with the

analysis. Furthermore, the Z chromosome is the longest chromosome in *H. misippus* and has

the highest absolute length of repeats (Supplementary Figure 3 and 4). To take this into

account, we filtered out of the synteny analysis all the repeat regions in the *H. misippus* W

chromosome. With this correction, the Z chromosome was the second most similar

chromosome to the W after chromosome 2.

Fig. 4. Genomic synteny of the *H. misippus* W chromosome provides mixed evidence on its

origin. **A.** Synteny analysis of the *H. misippus* W chromosome and the autosomes and Z

chromosome shows that the W and Z chromosome have the highest similarity. The analysis

of non-repeat regions shows that the Z chromosome is the second most similar chromosome

to the W after chromosome 19 (right). A schematic of the method is shown on top. **B.**

Phylogenetic tree modified from Kawahara, et al 2019 (Kawahara et al. 2019) including the

species used in the synteny analysis. **C.** Number of best BLAST hits of the proteins found in

the *H. misippus* W chromosome to the other chromosomes. Numbers summarised by

chromosome with the Z shown in green. **D.** Synteny analysis of the *H. misippus* W

chromosome compared to several Lepidoptera genome assemblies shows homology between

W chromosomes across many species and suggests a common origin. A schematic of the

method is shown on top.

To further explore the possible homology between the W and Z chromosomes, we extracted

the predicted sequences of the proteins in the *H. misippus* W chromosome and searched for

homology in the remaining chromosomes using BLASTp. Contrarily to the synteny results,

we found that the Z chromosome placed 4th in the number of best BLAST protein hits with 94

proteins mapping to it, while 104 mapped to chromosome 2, 3 and 14 (Figure 4C). The

average number of best BLAST hits was 66. These results suggests that the W chromosome

did not evolve from the same homologous autosomal pair as the Z. However, as a result of

the arrest of recombination and subsequent accumulation of mutations, sex specific

chromosomes as the W are often gene poor and highly degenerated compared to their

autosomal counterparts (Wright et al. 2016). Additionally, lepidopteran W chromosomes

have been shown to evolve rapidly (Yoshido et al. 2013; Vítková et al. 2007). Thus, the lack

of homology between proteins of the W and other chromosomes is expected given the rapid

turnover seen in the W chromosome and is in line with results shown in other Lepidoptera

species (Yang et al. 2020; Singh et al. 2022; Lewis et al. 2021). Interestingly, chromosome 2

showed the highest sequence similarity in non-repeat regions and the highest number of best

BLAST hits (Figure 5C).

In view of the differences between these results and previously published homology tests in

other species, we decided to expand the analysis to include other Lepidoptera species. We

performed synteny analysis between the W and the other 31 chromosomes in 6 diverse taxa:

Dryas iulia, Boloria selene, Papilio machaon, Crocallis elinguaria, Watsonalla binaria, and

Zygaena filipendulae. These species were chosen as they have a publicly available high-

quality assembly containing a W chromosome, and cover distinct ditrysian lineages

(including 4 families: *Zyganoidea, Papilonoidea, Drepanoidea* and *Geometroidea*). No

homology was observed between the W and Z in any species except for *P. machaon*, which

contrasts with the results in *H. misippus* (Figure 4D). However, these analyses were

performed using all regions as no repeat annotation was available for these species, which

could be interfering with the results.

Synteny analysis of the *H. misippus* W chromosome reveals a possible single common

origin of the W chromosome in ditrysian taxa

The second question we wanted to clarify was whether the W has a single origin in the

Lepidoptera or evolved independently multiple times (Figure 1D-E). To explore the evolution

of W chromosomes within ditrysian lineages, we performed a synteny analysis of the *H.*

misippus W chromosome compared to a diverse set of 10 Lepidoptera species, including the

6 species from the previous analysis plus *Vanessa cardui, Hemaris fuciformis, Mythimna*

farrago and *Marasmarcha lunaedactyla*. These species cover the breadth of ditrysian

lineages, including 8 distinct families: *Zyganoidea, Papilonoidea, Pterophonoidea,*

Pyraloidea, Drepanoidea, Noctuoidea, Geometroidea and *Bombycoidea*. We performed the

comparisons including all the chromosomes of the target species (including the W; Figure

5A) but using only the W chromosome of *H. misippus* as query. With this we can assess if W

chromosomes are more similar to each other than any autosome or the Z chromosome and

clarify if they share a common origin. When comparing the *H. misippus* W chromosome to

these species, we found that there is a high level of similarity among W chromosomes,

defined again as the percentage of non-repeat regions of the W chromosome covered by

matches to target chromosomes (Figure 5A). The highest degree of similarity is seen between

W chromosomes of closely related species such as *H. misippus* and *Vanessa cardui* (45

MYa), but is also true even for highly divergent lineages such as *Zygaena filipendulae*, which

diverged from *H. misippus* 156 MYa (Kawahara et al. 2019). Interestingly, there is no

consistent pattern in the distribution of homologous regions along the *H. misippus* W

chromosome, which means that different regions show homology to the *H. misippus* W

chromosome across species and chromosome types (W, Z and autosomes; Supplementary

Figure 5).

We then performed the same analysis including all chromosomes of *H. misippus* as the query.

With this we could assess similarity of all autosomes and sex chromsomes of *H. misippus* to

each of the target species. This approach produces only primary matches for each query

chromosome and is thus efficient at finding the homologous pair. However, using a single

chromosome as query as previously done ensures that secondary and tertiary matches, that is

matches that have low score, are also produces and is thus more exhaustive at describing

similarities of *one* chromosome has with any autosome or sex chromosome of another

assembly. This approach yielded the same results as the former, in which the W chromosome

of *H. misippus* share most similarities with the W of most species (Figure 5B right). Finally,

the Z chromosome does not show more similarity to the W than the autosomes and is highly

conserved across species (Figure 5B left).

Fig. 5 Genome wide synteny analysis suggests a single origin of ditrysian W chromosomes

and shows deep conservation of the Z chromosome. **A**. Percentage of query *H. misippus* W

chromosome matched to the 32 chromosomes of 10 ditrysian species. W *H. misippus*

chromosome used as query (a schematic of the method is shown on top). **B.** Subset results of

genome wide analysis. Percentage of Z (left) and W (right) chromosome covered by synteny

blocks to other ditrysian species. W chromosome shown in pink, Z in green and autosomes in

grey. A schematic of the method is shown on top. Species names have been abbreviated:

Vanessa cardui, Dryas iulia, Boloria selene, Papilio machaon, Hemaris fuciformis, Crocallis

elinguaria, Mythimna ferrago, Watsonalla binaria, Marasmarcha lunaedactyla and *Zygaena*

filipendulae.

A multi-species synteny comparison reinforces the hypothesis of the single origin of the W chromosome and reveals a neo-Z chromosome

In light of the results of the *H. misippus* synteny analyses suggesting a single origin of the W chromosome in ditrysian lineages, we decided to explore this further by comparing the analysed ditrysian assemblies with each other. We performed pairwise synteny analyses between all species pairs and observed consistent results. First, the Z chromosome is deeply conserved in multiple species pairs and the homology between Z chromosomes of different species decays with species divergence in a similar manner to autosomes (Supplementary Figure 6). Second, the W chromosome shows a more variable pattern of homology between species but is conserved even with distant species pairs (Supplementary Figure 6). Nonetheless, several of the comparisons found no similarity between W chromosomes. Finally, the cross-species comparison revealed that the Z chromosome of *Marasmarcha lunaedactyla* (chromosome name OV181339.1; identity as the Z chromosome assigned in the public assembly) presented high levels of synteny with chromosome 19 of most other species (Supplementary figures 7 and 8). This could suggest a historical fusion event between the ancestral chromosome 19 and the Z chromosome of *M. lunaedactyla* creating a neo-Z chromosome. The pattern of homologous regions seen in the OV181339.1 chromosome was similar for all species compared, with approximately half of the chromosome showing homology to chromosome 19 and half to the Z chromosome (Supplementary Figure 8). Furthermore, the pattern of homology with other species showed that recombination events between the parts belonging to the ancestral Z and ancestral chromosome 19 have resulted in an interleaved pattern of homology blocks. Consistent with these results, BUSCO matches of chromosome OV181339.1 are shared with chromosome 19 and chromosome 1 (the Z chromosome) of *Melitaea cinxia* (Supplementary Figures 7 and 8).

Discussion

Hypolimnas species have become a focus for studies of evolutionary genetics, due to their

mimetic colouration and co-evolution with *Wolbachia* parasites. Here, we have assembled

chromosome level reference genomes for *H. misippus* and *H. bolina* and RNA-informed

annotations for both genomes. HypBol_v1 represents the first assembly available for

Hypolimnas bolina, while HypMis_v2 is a significant improvement on a previously published

genome, with higher contiguity and higher N50 and BUSCO scores. Furthermore, the

annotation is also improved as we used RNA-seq data from *H. misippus* to inform our

annotation, as opposed to only homology methods. We present these two useful resources

and use the *H. misippus* assembly to shed light on the evolutionary origins of W

chromosomes in Lepidoptera.

Several models have been proposed to explain the origin and evolution of the W chromosome

in Lepidoptera (Dai et al. 2022; Fraïsse et al. 2017; Lukhtanov 2000; Sahara et al. 2012). The

deep conservation of the Z chromosome and its apparent lack of homology with the W have

been suggested to be evidence of a B chromosome origin of the W chromosome (Fraïsse et

al. 2017). Outside the Lepidoptera, it has been commonly found that heteromorphic sex

chromosomes retain a degree of similarity; evidence of their shared autosomal origin (Wright

et al. 2016). Thus, if the W chromosome originated from the same homologous autosome pair

as the Z, we might expect to find some residual sequence similarity between the W and Z, as

some recent evidence seems to suggest (Dai et al. 2022). However, opposing evidence has

also been presented, suggesting a lack of similarity between W and Z chromosomes which

could point to the evolution of the W from a B chromosome (Fraïsse et al. 2017; Lewis et al.

2021). Furthermore, the lack of similarity among W chromosomes of Lepidoptera species has

led to the suggestion of multiple independent recruitments from B chromosomes (Dai et al.

2022; Lewis et al. 2021). Taking this into account, we set out to elucidate two questions.

First, did the W chromosome evolve from the same homologous pair of autosomes as the Z

or from a B chromosome? Second, do W chromosomes in Lepidoptera share a common

origin, that is, did they evolve once or multiple times independently?

Using homology tests between the W and remaining 31 chromosomes in *H. misippus* and

other Lepidoptera we show that there is a degree of similarity between the W and the Z

chromosome, suggesting a possible common origin. These results differ from the homology

tests performed in *Danaus plexipuss* (Lewis et al. 2021), *Kallima inachus* (Yang et al. 2020)

and *Dryas iulia* (Lewis et al. 2021), where no similarity was found between the W and the Z

chromosome and are more in line with results in the Asian corn borer, *Ostrinia furnacalis*, a

moth belonging to the Pyraloidea, which suggests a common autosomal origin of the Z and

the W chromosome (Dai et al. 2022). Contrastingly, the lack of similarity between the W and

the Z in five of the seven species studied could suggest a B chromosome origin or could be

the result of the rapid evolution of the W, which could be interfering with the inference of

homology (Yoshido et al. 2013; Vítková et al. 2007). Taken together, the homology tests

between the W and remaining 31 chromosomes in *H. misippus* and other Lepidoptera provide

mixed evidence for the origin of the W from the same homologous pair of autosomes as the Z

or from a B chromosome. Alternatively, our results showing that there is a degree of synteny

between the *H. misippus* W and the Z could point to a fusion of the Z chromosome with an

autosome and subsequent formation of the W (Figure 1C). This hypothesis would fit the

mounting evidence supporting a Z0 system in non-ditrysian Lepidoptera and early diverging

ditrysian lineages (Fraïsse et al. 2017; Hejníčková et al. 2019; Lukhtanov 2000; Sahara et al.

2012).

The second question we wanted to clarify was whether the W has a single origin in the

Lepidoptera or evolved independently multiple times. Previously, the lack of similarity

among W chromosomes of Lepidoptera species has been suggested to be the result of

multiple independent recruitments from B chromosomes (Lewis et al. 2021). Similarly,

phylogenies of W genes in the Asian corn borer could suggest multiple independent origins

of the W chromosome from the same autosomal pair as the Z (Dai et al. 2022). Regardless of

the specific event that led to the formation of the W chromosome (i.e., from a B chromosome

or from an autosome), if the W chromosomes of ditrysian lineages share a common origin,

we would expect them to have a higher level of similarity among them than expected by

chance and thus higher than the similarity to the autosomes or Z chromosome. Using a

synteny analysis of the *H*. *misippus* W chromosome and the genomes of 10 other ditrysian

species, we found that there is a high level of similarity between the W chromosomes. These

results suggest that W chromosomes of ditrysian lineages may share a single common origin,

which contrasts with evidence of rapid turnover of W chromosomes in Lepidoptera (Dai et al.

2022; Lewis et al. 2021). However, it is possible that some species have retained the ancestral

W, while some other have recruited other B chromosomes as suggested. Furthermore, our

synteny results show clear homology among Z chromosomes of the studied ditrysian species,

which is consistent with evidence suggesting deep conservation of the Z chromosome across

the Lepidoptera (Fraïsse et al. 2017).

Overall, we present evidence of a single common origin of W chromosomes in the

Lepidoptera. Furthermore, our results hint at a possible origin from the same autosomal pair

as the Z or perhaps to a Z-autosome fusion event that culminated with the formation of the

W. Crucially, the rapid evolution of the W chromosome could cause the lack of similarity

observed between Z and W chromosomes in some species and hinders the elucidation of its

origin. Further studies including more species across the Lepidoptera are necessary to clarify

whether the W chromosome evolved from an autosome or from a B chromosome and to shed

light on the possible shared origin of W chromosomes, particularly including non-ditrysian

lineages.

Materials and methods

Hypolimnas _misippus_ butterfly rearing and cross preparation

A trio-binning approach was used for sequencing of the _Hypolimnas misippus_ assembly,

which consists of sequencing the parents and offspring from one family. First, butterflies

were obtained from Stratford-upon-Avon Butterfly Farm, UK, and reared in greenhouses in

Madingley, Cambridge, UK. Larvae were fed _Portulaca oleracea_ and _Portulaca quadrifida_.

Adult butterflies were kept in a large cage (1.5 meters x 1.5 meters x 2 meters) and observed

until mating occurred when mating pairs were transferred to separate smaller cages during

copulation. One mated pair was used for trio-based genome sequencing, and their offspring

were reared until pupation and then flash frozen as pupae in liquid nitrogen.

H. misippus **Trio binning genome assembly**

One _H. misippus_ family was used for sequencing and trio-binning genome assembly. DNA

was extracted using MagAttract HMW DNA Kit (QIAGEN). The two parents were

sequenced using Illumina short-read sequencing, which resulted in 368.67 and 346.56 million

read pairs and a total yield of 55.67 and 52.33 Gbp of data from the mother and father

respectively. One of the offspring was sequenced using PacBio long-read sequencing (total

yield of 13.46 Gb of data and N50 of 13,493). Trio binning enables the independent assembly

of the two parental haplotypes. First, yak-r55 (Li 2022) was used to create a kmer database

from the parental Illumina data. Then, hifiasm-0.7-r256 (Cheng et al. 2020) was run in trio

mode to assemble the HiFi PacBio long-read data, followed by the purging of haplotypes and

overlaps using purgedups v1.2.3 (Guan 2022). Haplotype 1 corresponds to the paternal

haplotype, while haplotype 2 corresponds to the maternal haplotype. All subsequent analysis

were performed using haplotype 2.

Curation of *HypMis_v2* with HiC

To place the assembled scaffolds into chromosomes, Hi-C sequencing was used, which is a

chromosome conformation capture technology that provides information about the 3-D

interactions between genomic loci. An offspring from an unrelated mating was flash frozen in

liquid nitrogen and used for Hi-C sequencing and analysis. 386.99 million read pairs were

produced, which represented 58,45 Gb of Hi-C data. Fastq files were converted to cram (two

files, one for each read pair end), mapped to haplotype 2 (the maternal haplotype). The *H.*

misippus assembly was produced after the purgedups stage and processed with Juicer v1.6

(Durand, Shamim, et al. 2016). Juicer transforms raw Hi-C data into a list of contacts, which

defines pairs of genomic positions that were in close physical contact during the experiment.

Then, the main reference assembly was curated using the 3D-DNA pipeline, which corrects

misassembles, anchors, orders and orients fragments of DNA based on the Hi-C data

(Dudchenko et al. 2017; Durand, Robinson, et al. 2016). 3D-DNA generated assembly

heatmaps as part of its workflow, which indicate the frequency of contact between pairs of

genomic locations. Obvious errors in the genome assembly such as large genomic inversions

were manually edited by examining the Hi-C heatmaps using the Juicebox tool (Durand,

Robinson, et al. 2016; Dudchenko et al. 2018). Finally, the edited assembly was exported as

an *.assembly* file and converted to a final fasta assembly file using the 'run-asm-pipeline-

post-review.sh' script setting –editor-repeat-coverage to 6.

***HypBol_v1* genome curation with Ragout**

The *H. bolina* reference assembly (*HypBol_v1*) was produced using a combination of

Nanopore long-read sequencing and a linkage map. Pupae originating from the Philippines

were ordered from Stratford-upon-Avon butterfly farm. One female pupa was used for

sequencing. High molecular weight DNA was extracted following a phenol chloroform and

glass capillary hook protocol following(Quick 2018). A library was prepared for Nanopore

sequencing using the Nanopore Ligation Sequencing Kit (SQK-LSK 109) following a

LSK109 bead free library preparation protocol (Tyson 2020). Post library preparation, a total

of 1.78μg DNA remained and was sequenced across 2 R9 chemistry Nanopore MinION flow

cells, resulting in 7.35 million reads totalling 14.16 Gb. Adapters were removed using

Porechop v0.2.4 (Wick 2022) and reads assembled using redbean (Ruan & Li 2020).

Assembled contigs were split into bins using MaxBin2 (Wu et al. 2016) and bacterial contigs

and reads were removed from the data using blobtools2 (Challis et al. 2020). This produced

an assembly with 13,492 contigs and an N50 of 1.4 kbp.

Leveraging the high synteny expected from the two *Hypolimnas* assemblies, Ragout v2.3

(Kolmogorov et al. 2014, 2018) was used to improve the initial *HypBol_v1* assembly using

HypMis_v2 as a reference. First, the two genomes were soft-masked using RepeatMasker

(Smit et al. 2015), creating the repeat library based on the genome being masked. Then,

Cactus (Paten et al. 2011) was used to align both genomes using Python 3.8. The resulting

HAL alignment was converted to MAF format using the hal2maf utility from the HAL

program (Hickey et al. 2013). Finally, Ragout was run using the MAF alignment between

HypBol_v1 and *HypMis_v2* as input.

Rearing of *H. bolina* individuals

A linkage map was used to improve the *HypBol_v1* assembly and place the assembled scaffolds into chromosomes. Two families (178303XX and 182703XX) were reared and sequenced. First, female *H. bolina* purchased from Stratford upon Avon Butterfly Farm (originating from the Philippines) were mated to wild-caught males from Mo'orea (French Polynesia) at the University of California Berkeley Gump Station research facility. Female Philippines-Mo'orea F1 hybrid offspring were then mated to pure Mo'orea F1 males. The F2 offspring of one of these crosses is family 178303XX. At the same time, male Philippines-Moorea F1 hybrids were mated to pure Philippine F1 females. The F2 offspring of one of these crosses is family 182703XX. Butterflies were kept in a large outdoor cage for mating under observation. Any copulating pairs were separated into a small cage. The mated female was then placed in an oviposition cup containing a small plant e.g. *Asystasia sp*. and allowed to lay eggs. Hatched eggs were moved to a rearing box with suitable food plant e.g. Ipomoea sp. and caterpillars reared until pupation. Pupae were moved to individual cups for emergence, adults left to dry for one day and then used for further matings or stored in -80°C freezer.

Illumina library preparation of *H. bolina* family samples

The offspring of the *H. bolina* families (F2) were processed to extract the DNA and prepare the libraries for Illumina sequencing. DNA extractions were carried out using a custom protocol using PureLink buffers and homemade magnetic beads. Briefly, a small piece of thorax tissue (1/10) is placed in an 8-tube PCR strip. Then, 45 μL of PureLink Digestion buffer and 10 μL of Proteinase K (20mg/mL) are added, and the mix is incubated at 58°C with shaking (500 rpm) for 2-3 hours. Afterwards, 2 μL of RNAseA are added (DNAse free, 10mg/mL) and incubated for 10min at room temperature. Then, 45 μL of PureLink Lysis

buffer is added to the mix and incubated at 58°C for 30 minutes with shaking (500 rpm).

Following that, a homemade magnetic bead mix is used to extract the DNA from the lysate.

First, 37.5 μL of magnetic beads are added together with 75 μL of lysate to a 96-well plate.

After mixing, the samples are incubated for 15 minutes at room temperature, then the plate is

placed on a magnetic stand for 10 minutes, the supernatant removed, and the beads cleaned

with 80% ethanol. After drying out, 50 μL of 10mM Tris (pH=8) are added to elute the

sample and incubated at 45°C for 15 minutes without resuspending. Then, the beads are

resuspended and incubated for 20 minutes at room temperature. Finally, the plate is placed on

the magnetic stand and, after 10 minutes, the supernatant (the DNA) is transferred to a fresh

tube.

The F2 were sequenced using a Nextera-based library preparation at intermediate coverage

(~11X). A secondary purification using magnetic SpeedBeads™ (Sigma) was performed

prior to Nextera-based library preparation. Libraries were prepared following a method based

on Nextera DNA Library Prep (Illumina, Inc.) with purified Tn5 transposase (Picelli et al.

2014). PCR extension with an i7-index primer (N701–N783) and the N501 and N502 i5-

index primers was performed to barcode the samples. Library purification and size selection

was done using the same homemade beads as above. Pooled libraries were sequenced by

Novogene Cambridge, UK. Libraries of the parental samples were prepared and sequenced to

~20X coverage by Novogene Cambridge, UK.

***H. bolina* linkage map construction and anchoring of the genome**

A linkage map was produced with Lep-Map3 (Rastas 2017) and then used to improve the *H.*

bolina assembly and place the scaffolds onto chromosomes. First, sequences were mapped to

the reference genome using bwa-mem (Li 2013). PCR duplicates were marked using the

MarkDuplicates from Picard tools. Sorted BAMs were then created using SAMtools (Li et al.

2009) and genotype likelihoods computed. The pedigree of individuals was checked and

corrected using IBD (identity-by-descent) with a random subset of 10% of the markers

(1,270,024 SNPs) following the IBD pipeline from Lep-Map3. These markers were also used

to construct the linkage map. Scaffolds were anchored into chromosomes based on the

linkage map using LepAnchor (Rastas 2020).

HypBol_v1 **polishing with Pilon**

After anchoring with the linkage map, three iterations of Pilon v1.24 (Walker et al. 2014) in

diploid mode were run to correct the draft assembly by correcting bases, filling gaps and

fixing mis-assemblies. Samples used for the Pilon correction were CAM035727,

CAM035728, CAM035186, CAM035187, CAM035188, CAM035189.

Repeat annotation

Once the two final assemblies had been produced, they were each assessed for repeat content

using a custom repeat library. First, a repeat database was built and the repeats of the two

finished assemblies modelled using RepeatModeler v. 2.0.2a. Each custom library was then

combined with the Lepidoptera library extracted from Dfam (Storer et al. 2021). This merged

library was used to soft mask the genome using RepeatMasker v 4.1.0 with the cut-off score

set to 250 and skipping the bacterial insertion element check. The resulting soft masked

assemblies were used for gene annotation.

RNA-seq sample preparation

To assist with genome annotation, RNA-seq data was obtained from 4 *H. misippus*

individuals (2 adults and 2 pupae) and 17 *H. bolina* individuals (6 adults and 11 pupae).

Butterflies were purchased from Stratford-upon-Avon Butterfly Farm and kept at room

temperature until dissection. 4 tissues were dissected out from *H. misippus* pupae and placed

in RNA-later (Sigma): wing discs, thorax, head, and abdomen; while *H. bolina* pupae 3

tissues were dissected: wing discs, thorax-head, and abdomen. Only abdomen, head and

thorax samples were dissected from adults of either species. Two pooling strategies were

followed: 1) 2 *H. bolina* pupae were pooled by individual, pooling head, thorax, abdomen,

and wing discs together and sequenced at high coverage (50M reads). And 2) 4 *H. misippus*

and 15 *H. bolina* adults and pupae were dissected into tissues and pooled by species and

tissue. Each pooled sample, 4 for *H. misippus* and 3 for *H. bolina*, was sequenced to 20M

reads. RNA was extracted using a modified Trizol protocol using the same protocol as in

(Brien et al. 2022).

RNA-seq mapping and gene annotation

First, low quality ends and adaptors from the RNA-seq data were trimmed using TrimGalore!

v 0.5.0 (Krueger 2015). Then, the reads were mapped to the soft masked genomes using

STAR v 2.5.0a (Dobin et al. 2013). Two rounds of mapping (2pass) were performed,

including all the splice junction files in the second round. Then the resulting mapped reads

and the soft masked genome assembly were used to generate a gene annotation using

BRAKER v 2.1.5 (Brůna et al. 2021), running it a second time to add UTR annotations with

options addUTR=on and skipAllTraining (Keilwagen et al. 2019). Completeness of the

annotation was assessed using BUSCO (Seppey et al. 2019; Simão et al. 2015) using the

Insecta_odb10 (n=1367) set of genes.

Homology with Merian units

A growing practice in Lepidoptera genetics is to name chromosomes of reference assemblies

by their homology to *Melitaea cinxia*, which is the first assembled lepidopteran genome that

retains the ancestral 31 chromosome karyotype (Ahola et al. 2014). The numbered

chromosomes are known as Merian units in honour of the scientist Maria Sibylla Merian

(Wulf 2016) and allow for the tracking of conserved synteny blocks across the phylogeny of

the Lepidoptera. *Melitaea cinxia* belongs to the *Nymphalinae* which is the same subfamily as

Hypolimnas, and the two taxa diverged about 30 MYa (Espeland et al. 2018). To assign

homology to the Merian units, lepidopteran_odb10 BUSCO assignment of the *Melitaea*

cinxia genome was compared to the lepidopteran_odb10 BUSCO assignment of *HypBol_v2*

and *HypBol_v1*. Merian units in *HypBol_v1* and *H. missipus* were assigned by choosing the

chromosome sharing the highest BUSCO hits with a *M. cinxia* chromosome.

Syteny analyses

Synteny of the two assemblies was assessed using two methods. First, the two final genome

assemblies were aligned using D-GENIES (Cabanettes & Klopp 2018), which produced a paf

file that was used to detect candidate inversions between the two genome assemblies

(Supplementary Figure 1). Second, synteny between the two assemblies was evaluated using

Satsuma2 Synteny (Grabherr et al. 2010) and a circos plot generated using the circlize

package v 0.4.14 (Gu et al. 2014) in R v 4.1.2 (Figure 2).

To examine the origin and evolution of the W chromosome in Lepidoptera, first, synteny-

based homology between the *H. misippus* W chromosome, the Z chromosome and the

autosomes was evaluated using Satsuma2. Satsuma2 is an aligner of whole genome

assemblies intended to find homology based on sequence similarity. Satsuma2 first maps all

genomic windows of the query genome to the target genome with a percentage of identity

higher than 45%, and then filters those hits based on large scale synteny, that is, it keeps only

matches that are concordant with each other. Thus, Satsuma2 is not only aligning genomic

windows, but also evaluating synteny between those blocks. Finally, Satsuma2 focusses on

regions with a high number of hits, to exhaustively evaluate the region around those hits, a

strategy analogous to the battleship game. To evaluate synteny between the W and the

remaining 31 chromosomes in *H. misippus*, the W chromosome was used as a query and the

31 assembled chromosomes as targets for Satstuma2. Resulting mapped regions were then

filtered to keep only non-overlapping regions using the package GenomicRanges in R v4.1.2

(Lawrence et al. 2013). Satsuma2 does not require input genome assemblies to be masked.

Because of its algorithm and filtering steps, repeat regions mapping to multiple places in the

genome have decreased score and may be filtered out. However, to evaluate only the synteny

of non-repeat regions, the RepeatMasker output, which details the coordinates of repeats in

the genome, was used to filter out repeat regions of the W chromosome. Finally, the effect of

sequence identity was evaluated by performing the analysis with no identity filter and

applying a threshold of 70% similarity.

The synteny between the W and the rest of the genome was also evaluated in 6 other

Lepidoptera species: *Boloria selene* (GCA_905231865.2), *Crocallis elinguaria*

(GCA_907269065.1), *Hemaris fuciformis* (GCA_907164795.1), *Papilio machaon*

(GCA_912999745.1), *Watsonalla binaria* (GCA_929442735.1), *Zygaena filipendulae*

(GCA_907165275.2) and *Dryas iulia* (GCA_019049465.1). In all cases, the W chromosome

was used as the query, results were converted to non-overlapping regions and percentage of

the W covered by matches calculated. No filter based on repeats was applied, as no repeat

library was available for these species.

After that, Satsuma2 was used to compare the synteny of the *H. misippus* W (used as query)

and Z chromosomes to 10 Lepidoptera species, the 6 from the previous analysis and also

Hemaris fuciformis (GCA_907164795.1), *Marasmarcha lunaedactyla* (GCA_923062675.1),

Vanessa cardui (GCF_905220365.1), and *Mythimna farrago* (GCA_910589285.2).

Chromosomes of all the species analysed were re-named by their homology to *M. cinxia* as

above. Again, results were converted to non-overlapping regions, repeat regions of the W

chromosome filtered and percentage of the W chromosome covered by matches calculated.

Using only the *H. misippus* W chromosome as query ensures that secondary and tertiary

matches are also reported. A more specific analysis was produced by using the *H. misippus*

assembly as query to search for synteny in the 10 Lepidoptera target species. Using whole

assemblies as input limits the results to only primary matches, pairing all homologous

autosomes.

BLAST of *H. misippus* W chromosome genes

To further explore the degree of homology between the *H. misippus* W chromosome and the

autosomes and Z chromosome, protein sequence homology was assessed using BLASTp

v2.4.0+ (BLAST 2013; Kent 2002). First a protein BLAST database was built using the

protein sequences contained in the *H. misippus* autosomes and the Z chromosome in the

HypMisi_v2 annotation. Then, protein sequences found in the W chromosome were extracted

and blasted to the database setting the minimum e-value to 1e-10 and the maximum number

of target sequences to be reported to 5,000. The best match for each protein sequence was

selected based on e-value score, and if two matched had the same value percentage of identity

was used. Finally, genome coordinates were extracted from the HypMisi_v2 annotation.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Natural and Environmental Research Council [grant number

NE/L002507/1 to A.O]; the Cambridge Trust [European Research Scholarship to A.O] and

St. John's College [Benefactors' Scholarship to A.O].

References

Bachtrog D et al. 2011. Are all sex chromosomes created equal? Trends in Genetics. 27:350–357. doi: 10.1016/j.tig.2011.05.005.

Bachtrog D et al. 2014. Sex Determination: Why So Many Ways of Doing It? PLOS Biology. 12:e1001899. doi: 10.1371/journal.pbio.1001899.

Beukeboom LW, Perrin N. 2014. *The Evolution of Sex Determination*. Oxford University Press doi: 10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199657148.001.0001.

BLAST. 2013. *BLAST Basic Local Alignment Search Tool*. doi: 10.1006/jmbi.1990.9999.

Brien MN et al. 2022. Colour polymorphism associated with a gene duplication in male wood tiger moths. 2022.04.29.490025. doi: 10.1101/2022.04.29.490025.

Brůna T, Hoff KJ, Lomsadze A, Stanke M, Borodovsky M. 2021. BRAKER2: automatic eukaryotic genome annotation with GeneMark-EP+ and AUGUSTUS supported by a protein database. NAR Genomics and Bioinformatics. 3:lqaa108. doi: 10.1093/nargab/lqaa108.

Cabanettes F, Klopp C. 2018. D-GENIES: dot plot large genomes in an interactive, efficient and simple way. PeerJ. 6:e4958. doi: 10.7717/peerj.4958.

Challis R, Richards E, Rajan J, Cochrane G, Blaxter M. 2020. BlobToolKit – Interactive Quality Assessment of Genome Assemblies. G3 Genes|Genomes|Genetics. 10:1361–1374. doi: 10.1534/g3.119.400908.

Charlat S et al. 2009. The joint evolutionary histories of Wolbachia and mitochondria in Hypolimnas bolina. BMC Evolutionary Biology. 9:64. doi: 10.1186/1471-2148-9-64.

Cheng H, Concepcion GT, Feng X, Zhang H, Li H. 2020. Haplotype-resolved de novo assembly with phased assembly graphs. arXiv:2008.01237 [q-bio]. http://arxiv.org/abs/2008.01237 (Accessed August 27, 2020).

Dai W, Mank JE, Ban L. 2022. Repeated origin of the W chromosome from the Z chromosome in Lepidoptera. 2022.10.21.512844. doi: 10.1101/2022.10.21.512844.

Dalíková M et al. 2017. New Insights into the Evolution of the W Chromosome in Lepidoptera. Journal of Heredity. 108:709–719. doi: 10.1093/jhered/esx063.

Dobin A et al. 2013. STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. Bioinformatics. 29:15–21. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/bts635.

Dudchenko O et al. 2017. De novo assembly of the Aedes aegypti genome using Hi-C yields chromosome-length scaffolds. Science. 356:92–95. doi: 10.1126/science.aal3327.

Dudchenko O et al. 2018. The Juicebox Assembly Tools module facilitates de novo assembly of mammalian genomes with chromosome-length scaffolds for under $1000. bioRxiv. 254797. doi: 10.1101/254797.

Durand NC, Robinson JT, et al. 2016. Juicebox Provides a Visualization System for Hi-C
Contact Maps with Unlimited Zoom. Cell Systems. 3:99–101. doi:
10.1016/j.cels.2015.07.012.

Durand NC, Shamim MS, et al. 2016. Juicer Provides a One-Click System for Analyzing Loop-
Resolution Hi-C Experiments. cels. 3:95–98. doi: 10.1016/j.cels.2016.07.002.

Dyson EA, Kamath MK, Hurst GDD. 2002. Wolbachia infection associated with all-female
broods in Hypolimnas bolina (Lepidoptera: Nymphalidae): evidence for horizontal
transmission of a butterfly male killer. Heredity. 88:166–171. doi: 10.1038/sj.hdy.6800021.

Espeland M et al. 2018. A Comprehensive and Dated Phylogenomic Analysis of Butterflies.
Current Biology. 28:770-778.e5. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2018.01.061.

Fraïsse C, Picard MAL, Vicoso B. 2017. The deep conservation of the Lepidoptera Z
chromosome suggests a non-canonical origin of the W. Nat Commun. 8:1486. doi:
10.1038/s41467-017-01663-5.

Grabherr MG et al. 2010. Genome-wide synteny through highly sensitive sequence
alignment: Satsuma. Bioinformatics. 26:1145–1151. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btq102.

Gu Z, Gu L, Eils R, Schlesner M, Brors B. 2014. circlize implements and enhances circular
visualization in R. Bioinformatics. 30:2811–2812. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btu393.

Guan D. 2022. Purge_Dups. https://github.com/dfguan/purge_dups (Accessed September
29, 2022).

Hejníčková M et al. 2019. Absence of W Chromosome in Psychidae Moths and Implications
for the Theory of Sex Chromosome Evolution in Lepidoptera. Genes. 10:1016. doi:
10.3390/genes10121016.

Hickey G, Paten B, Earl D, Zerbino D, Haussler D. 2013. HAL: a hierarchical format for storing
and analyzing multiple genome alignments. Bioinformatics. 29:1341–1342. doi:
10.1093/bioinformatics/btt128.

Hornett EA et al. 2006. Evolution of male-killer suppression in a natural population. PLoS
Biology. 4:1643–1648. doi: 10.1371/journal.pbio.0040283.

Kawahara AY et al. 2019. Phylogenomics reveals the evolutionary timing and pattern of
butterflies and moths. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 116:22657–22663. doi:
10.1073/pnas.1907847116.

Keilwagen J, Hartung F, Grau J. 2019. GeMoMa: Homology-Based Gene Prediction Utilizing
Intron Position Conservation and RNA-seq Data. In: Gene Prediction: Methods and
Protocols. Kollmar, M, editor. Methods in Molecular Biology Springer: New York, NY pp.
161–177. doi: 10.1007/978-1-4939-9173-0_9.

Kent WJ. 2002. BLAT - The BLAST-like alignment tool. Genome Research. doi:
10.1101/gr.229202. Article published online before March 2002.

Kolmogorov M et al. 2018. Chromosome assembly of large and complex genomes using
multiple references. Genome Res. doi: 10.1101/gr.236273.118.

Kolmogorov M, Raney B, Paten B, Pham S. 2014. Ragout—a reference-assisted assembly
tool for bacterial genomes. Bioinformatics. 30:i302–i309. doi:
10.1093/bioinformatics/btu280.

Krueger F. 2015. Trim galore. A wrapper tool around Cutadapt and FastQC to consistently
apply quality and adapter trimming to FastQ files.

Lawrence M et al. 2013. Software for Computing and Annotating Genomic Ranges. PLOS
Computational Biology. 9:e1003118. doi: 10.1371/journal.pcbi.1003118.

Lewis JJ et al. 2021. The Dryas iulia Genome Supports Multiple Gains of a W Chromosome
from a B Chromosome in Butterflies. Genome Biology and Evolution. 13. doi:
10.1093/gbe/evab128.

Li H. 2013. Aligning sequence reads, clone sequences and assembly contigs with BWA-MEM.
arXiv:1303.3997 [q-bio]. http://arxiv.org/abs/1303.3997 (Accessed August 27, 2020).

Li H. 2022. lh3/yak. https://github.com/lh3/yak (Accessed September 25, 2022).

Li H et al. 2009. The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. Bioinformatics.
25:2078–2079. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btp352.

Lukhtanov VA. 2000. Sex chromatin and sex chromosome systems in nonditrysian
Lepidoptera (Insecta). Journal of Zoological Systematics and Evolutionary Research. 38:73–
79. doi: 10.1046/j.1439-0469.2000.382130.x.

Marsh NA, Clarke CA, Rothschild M, Kellett DN. 1977. Hypolimnas bolina (L.), a mimic of
danaid butterflies, and its model Euploea core (Cram.) store cardioactive substances.
Nature. 268:726–728. doi: 10.1038/268726a0.

Paten B et al. 2011. Cactus: Algorithms for genome multiple sequence alignment. Genome
Res. 21:1512–1528. doi: 10.1101/gr.123356.111.

Picelli S et al. 2014. Tn5 transposase and tagmentation procedures for massively scaled
sequencing projects. Genome Res. 24:2033–2040. doi: 10.1101/gr.177881.114.

Quick J. 2018. Ultra-long read sequencing protocol for RAD004.
https://www.protocols.io/view/ultra-long-read-sequencing-protocol-for-rad004-mrxc57n
(Accessed December 13, 2022).

Rastas P. 2020. Lep-Anchor: automated construction of linkage map anchored haploid
genomes. Bioinformatics. 36:2359–2364. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btz978.

Rastas P. 2017. Lep-MAP3: robust linkage mapping even for low-coverage whole genome
sequencing data. Bioinformatics. 33:3726–3732. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btx494.

Ruan J, Li H. 2020. Fast and accurate long-read assembly with wtdbg2. Nat Methods.
17:155–158. doi: 10.1038/s41592-019-0669-3.

Sahara K, Yoshido A, Traut W. 2012. Sex chromosome evolution in moths and butterflies.
Chromosome Res. 20:83–94. doi: 10.1007/s10577-011-9262-z.

Sahoo RK et al. 2018. Evolution of Hypolimnas butterflies (Nymphalidae): Out-of-Africa
origin and Wolbachia-mediated introgression. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution.
123:50–58. doi: 10.1016/j.ympev.2018.02.001.

Seppey M, Manni M, Zdobnov EM. 2019. BUSCO: Assessing Genome Assembly and
Annotation Completeness. In: Gene Prediction: Methods and Protocols. Kollmar, M, editor.
Methods in Molecular Biology Springer: New York, NY pp. 227–245. doi: 10.1007/978-1-
4939-9173-0_14.

Simão FA, Waterhouse RM, Ioannidis P, Kriventseva EV, Zdobnov EM. 2015. BUSCO:
assessing genome assembly and annotation completeness with single-copy orthologs.
Bioinformatics. 31:3210–3212. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btv351.

Singh KS et al. 2022. Genome assembly of Danaus chrysippus and comparison with the
Monarch Danaus plexippus. G3 Genes|Genomes|Genetics. 12:jkab449. doi:
10.1093/g3journal/jkab449.

Smit A, Hubley R, Green P. 2015. RepeatMasker Open-4.0. 2013–2015.

Smith DAS. 1976. Phenotypic diversity, mimicry and natural selection in the African butterfly
Hypolimnas misippus L. (Lepidoptera: Nymphalidae). Biological Journal of the Linnean
Society. 8:183–204. doi: 10.1111/j.1095-8312.1976.tb00245.x.

Storer J, Hubley R, Rosen J, Wheeler TJ, Smit AF. 2021. The Dfam community resource of
transposable element families, sequence models, and genome annotations. Mobile DNA.
12:2. doi: 10.1186/s13100-020-00230-y.

Turner JRG, Sheppard PM. 1975. Absence of crossing-over in female butterflies (Heliconius).
Heredity. 34:265–269. doi: 10.1038/hdy.1975.29.

Tyson J. 2020. Bead-free long fragment LSK109 library preparation.
https://www.protocols.io/view/bead-free-long-fragment-lsk109-library-preparation-7eshjee
(Accessed December 13, 2022).

Vane-Wright RI, Ackery PR, Smiles RL. 1977. The polymorphism, mimicry, and host plant
relationships of Hypolimnas butterflies. Biological Journal of the Linnean Society. 9:285–297.
doi: 10.1111/j.1095-8312.1977.tb00271.x.

Vítková M, Fuková I, Kubíčková S, Marec F. 2007. Molecular divergence of the W
chromosomes in pyralid moths (Lepidoptera). Chromosome Res. 15:917–930. doi:
10.1007/s10577-007-1173-7.

Walker BJ et al. 2014. Pilon: An Integrated Tool for Comprehensive Microbial Variant
Detection and Genome Assembly Improvement. PLOS ONE. 9:e112963. doi:
10.1371/journal.pone.0112963.

Wick R. 2022. Porechop. https://github.com/rrwick/Porechop (Accessed September 28,
2022).

Wright AE, Dean R, Zimmer F, Mank JE. 2016. How to make a sex chromosome. Nat
Commun. 7:12087. doi: 10.1038/ncomms12087.

Wu Y-W, Simmons BA, Singer SW. 2016. MaxBin 2.0: an automated binning algorithm to
recover genomes from multiple metagenomic datasets. Bioinformatics. 32:605–607. doi:
10.1093/bioinformatics/btv638.

Wulf A. 2016. The Woman Who Made Science Beautiful. The Atlantic.
https://www.theatlantic.com/science/archive/2016/01/the-woman-who-made-science-
beautiful/424620/ (Accessed July 7, 2022).

Yang J et al. 2020. Chromosome-level reference genome assembly and gene editing of the
dead-leaf butterfly Kallima inachus. Molecular Ecology Resources. 20:1080–1092. doi:
10.1111/1755-0998.13185.

Yen EC et al. 2020. A haplotype-resolved, de novo genome assembly for the wood tiger
moth (Arctia plantaginis) through trio binning. Gigascience. 9. doi:
10.1093/gigascience/giaa088.

Yoshida K et al. 2011. B Chromosomes Have a Functional Effect on Female Sex
Determination in Lake Victoria Cichlid Fishes. PLOS Genetics. 7:e1002203. doi:
10.1371/journal.pgen.1002203.

Yoshido A, Šíchová J, Kubíčková S, Marec F, Sahara K. 2013. Rapid turnover of the W
chromosome in geographical populations of wild silkmoths, Samia cynthia ssp. Chromosome
Res. 21:149–164. doi: 10.1007/s10577-013-9344-1.

810