bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.03.24.534071; this version posted July 19, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY-ND 4.0 International license.

1 # A soft tick vector of *Babesia* sp. YLG in
2 # Yellow-legged gull (*Larus michahellis*) nests

3 Claire Bonsergent*[1], Marion Vittecoq[2], Carole Leray[2], Maggy
4 Jouglin[1], Marie Buysse[3,4], Karen D. McCoy[3#], Laurence
5 Malandrin[1#]

6 [1] Oniris, INRAE, BIOEPAR, 44300, Nantes, France
7 [2] Tour du Valat, Research Institute for the Conservation of Mediterranean Wetlands, Arles, France
8 [3] MIVEGEC, University of Montpellier CNRS IRD, Centre IRD, Montpellier, France
9 [4] MEEDiN (Montpellier Ecology and Evolution of Disease Network), Montpellier, France
10
11 # These authors have contributed equally
12 *Corresponding author
13 Correspondence: claire.bonsergent-guillou@inrae.fr

14

15

16 **ABSTRACT**

17 *Babesia* sp. YLG has recently been described in Yellow-legged gull (*Larus michahellis*) chicks
18 and belongs to the Peircei clade in the new classification of Piroplasms. Here, we studied
19 *Babesia* sp. YLG vectorial transmission by ticks in the simplified environment of a single
20 seabird breeding colony where the Yellow-legged gull is the sole vertebrate host,
21 *Ornithodoros maritimus* (syn. *Alectorobius maritimus*) the sole tick species, and *Babesia* sp.
22 YLG is the only blood parasite species detected in chicks of the colony. We collected ticks
23 over four years, maintained certain individuals through moulting or oviposition, and
24 dissected fresh ticks to isolate different organs and test for the presence of the parasite
25 using molecular assays. We report the first strong evidence of a Piroplasmidae transmitted
26 by a soft tick. Indeed, *Babesia* sp. YLG DNA was detected in the salivary glands of nymphs,
27 females and males, a necessary organ to infect for transmission to a new vertebrate host.
28 Parasite DNA was also found in tick ovaries, which could indicate possible transovarial
29 transmission. Our detection of *Babesia* sp. YLG DNA in several male testes and in
30 endospermatophores, and notably in a parasite-free female (uninfected ovaries and salivary
31 glands), raise the interesting possibility of sexual transmission from infected males to
32 uninfected females. Future work in this system will now need to focus on the degree to
33 which the parasite can be maintained locally by ticks and the epidemiological consequences
34 of infection for both *O. maritimus* and its avian host.

35 ***Keywords:*** Argasidae, colonial seabirds, blood parasites, *Ornithodoros maritimus*, Piroplasmidae,
36 transmission ecology

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.03.24.534071; this version posted July 19, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY-ND 4.0 International license.

37 **Introduction**

38 The transmission of vector-borne disease is tightly linked to the ecology of both the vertebrate host
39 and the vector species. The circulation of vector-borne infectious agents can be particularly favoured
40 among marine birds due to their tendency to breed in large and dense colonies and the frequent presence
41 of nest-associated ectoparasites (McCoy et al., 2016). Although seabirds are normally only present in the
42 colony during the breeding season, a few months per year, during this time they aggregate by hundreds to
43 thousands, ensuring a short-term but reliable food source for blood-feeding vectors. This is particularly
44 true for ticks that can tolerate a wide variety of abiotic conditions when not associated with a host and can
45 survive long periods without feeding (Dautel & Knulle, 1997; Sonenshine & Roe, 2014). As seabirds tend to
46 show strong fidelity to their breeding colony among years, these colonies can support large tick populations
47 that build up over time (Danchin, 1992).
48 Seabirds are known hosts for a large variety of nidicolous – or nest-dwelling – tick species. These ticks
49 are well represented by species of the Argasidae family (soft ticks), although some important nidicolous
50 ticks belong to the Ixodidae family (hard ticks) (Dietrich et al., 2011). Between family differences in tick
51 feeding and life histories may profoundly influence pathogen transmission dynamics in these marine
52 systems. Argasid ticks have short and repeated blood meals during nymphal and adult stages, multiple
53 nymphal instars and egg clutches, as well as rapid stage/instar transitions (Vial, 2009). On the contrary,
54 Ixodid blood meals and metamorphoses are usually long and limited to one per life stage (Gray et al., 2014).
55 Therefore, Argasids likely have shorter generation times and more opportunities to acquire and/or
56 transmit pathogens within a seabird breeding season compared to Ixodids, at least at very local scales
57 (Kada et al., 2017).
58 The pathosystem in the present study includes three component species: a seabird host, the Yellow-
59 legged gull (YLG - *Larus michahellis,* Laridae), parasitized by a soft, nidicolous tick (*Ornithodoros maritimus,*
60 Argasidae, syn. *Alectorobius maritimus*, Mans et al. 2021) and by a blood parasite (*Babesia* sp. YLG,
61 Piroplasmidae). The YLG is a large and widespread species that forms breeding colonies throughout the
62 Mediterranean basin and along the Atlantic coasts of southern Europe and northern Africa (Pons et al.,
63 2004). The breeding season lasts three to four months, between March and July depending on the locality,
64 and nesting areas are reused year after year. As partial migrants, some birds travel widely after breeding,
65 going as far north as the British Iles, whereas others remain in the vicinity of the colony all year round (Souc
66 et al., 2023). These birds tend to remain gregarious even outside breeding, foraging at sea or scavenging
67 for food in dumps or in harbors (Ramos et al., 2009).
68 The second component in the system, the soft tick *O. maritimus,* infests nesting colonies of various
69 seabird species (e.g., terns, gulls, shags, shearwaters, boobies etc) from southern Great Britain to North
70 Africa (Hoogstraal et al., 1976), although there remains some doubts on the species status for certain host
71 records (Gomez-Diaz et al., 2012; Dupraz et al., 2016). *O. maritimus* is frequently found in YLG colonies of
72 the Mediterranean basin (Dietrich et al., 2011; Dupraz et al., 2016). All life stages of this tick co-occur in
73 the nest (larvae, nymphal instars and adult stages), and feed generally on birds (chicks and adults) at night
74 when the host is quiet. *O. maritimus* is suspected to transmit a great variety of viruses (Meaban, Soldado
75 and West Nile viruses, for example) and bacteria (*Borrelia turicatae*, *Rickettsia*-like organisms) (Dietrich et
76 al., 2011; Dupraz et al., 2017), although relatively few studies have examined this aspect in detail.
77 The last component species of the studied pathosystem is *Babesia* sp. YLG (Apicomplexa), a parasite
78 that we characterized previously from blood of YLG chicks (Bonsergent et al., 2022). This species belongs
79 to the Peircei group, a separate and well-defined clade among the Piroplasmidae (Jalovecka et al., 2019;
80 Yabsley et al., 2017), containing only avian infecting piroplasms. We found a high prevalence of the parasite
81 in young chicks and variable levels of parasitemia, with up to 20% infected erythrocytes in some individuals.
82 Based on infection patterns and suspected vectors of other *Babesia*, it is assumed that transmission among
83 hosts occurs in the colony via *O. maritimus*. However, to date, no formal demonstration of this mechanism
84 has been performed.
85 Here, we examine this question in more detail, focusing on this pathosystem in the particular context
86 of a single YLG colony on the islet of Carteau (Gulf of Fos, Camargue, France). On this islet, there is only
87 one vertebrate host (i.e., a mono-specific breeding colony of YLGs) and only one tick species (*O. maritimus*)
88 (Dupraz et al., 2017; Rataud et al., 2020). In this simplified ecosystem, we analyzed the transmission of
89 *Babesia* sp. YLG by collecting different tick life stages from YLG nests. Ticks were dissected and organs were

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.03.24.534071; this version posted July 19, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY-ND 4.0 International license.

90 analyzed separately to detect the presence of piroplasm DNA and to evaluate different transmission
91 pathways.

92 Methods

93 1. Study location and tick sampling

94 Ticks were collected on the islet of Carteau (GPS coordinates: 43° 22′ 39″ N 4°51′ 28″ E) from different
95 nests during four consecutive breeding seasons (2019, 2020, 2021 and 2022), between March and May,
96 and were sent alive to Nantes for analyses. Carteau is a small sandy islet within the Gulf of Fos in southern
97 France with a Mediterranean climate, typically characterized by wet autumns and winters, and warm, dry
98 summers. The local animal ethical committee (APAFIS n°25183-2020090713423689) approved the capture
99 and manipulation of YLG chicks. Permission to access the Carteau colony was provided by Grand Port
100 Maritime de Marseille and the DDTM 13/Service Mer Eau Environnement/Pôle Nature et Territoires (n◦13–
101 2018–02–2-003).

102 2. Tick identification and dissection

103 The life stage of each *O. maritimus* tick (nymph, adult female, adult male) was determined after
104 collection and all engorged females were isolated in individual collection tubes. In some cases, engorged
105 ticks laid eggs (if female) or moulted into subsequent life stages prior to analyses. Freshly moulted nymphs
106 and females were used to study trans-stadial transmission of the parasites, whereas eggs were used to
107 study transovarial transmission.
108 All ticks were dissected under a binocular stereo microscope using single-use equipment to avoid
109 contamination. Salivary glands, ovaries, male genitalia, endospermatophores and caeca were recovered
110 and individually frozen at -20 °C in 20 µl PBS 1X until extraction (Fig. 1). One to three successive egg clutches
111 were collected from ovipositing females. Each clutch of 10 to 200 eggs was analyzed as a separate batch
112 and was crushed in a microtube using a sterile pilar before DNA extraction.
113



114
115 **Figure 1.** Dissection of an engorged female *Ornithodoros maritimus*. A: all organs visible from the dorsal
116 surface, (Ca: caeca with host blood). B: salivary glands (SG) and ovaries (OV) after the caeca were collected.
117 C: salivary glands. D: ovocytes (OC) at different maturation stages (different sizes and colors), oviducts (OD)
118 and uterus (UT) cut in two parts. E: endospermatophores collected from a female tick (© M. Buysse).

119 3. Genomic DNA extraction from tick organs and eggs

120 Genomic DNA of tick organs and eggs was extracted using the NucleoSpin Tissue kit according to the
121 manufacturer's instructions (Macherey-Nagel, Germany). DNA was eluted with 50 µL of elution buffer and
122 was stored at -20°C until use.

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.03.24.534071; this version posted July 19, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY-ND 4.0 International license.

123 **4. Molecular detection and characterization of piroplasms in tick organs**

124 A nested PCR was performed to detect the 18S rDNA gene of piroplasms in different organs of *O.*
125 *maritimus*. The primary PCR was conducted to amplify the entire 18S rDNA gene with 5 µL of DNA extracted
126 from the different tick tissues (salivary glands, ovaries, male genitalia, endospermatophores, caeca and
127 eggs), using primers CRYPTOF and CRYPTOR (Table 1) (Malandrin et al., 2010). Reactions were carried out
128 in 30 µL reaction mixtures containing 1 X Go Taq buffer, 4 mM MgCl2, 0.2 mM of each dNTP (Eurobio), 1
129 unit GoTaq G2 Flexi DNA Polymerase (Promega), 0.5 µM of each primer and 10 µL of DNA template. The
130 amplification conditions comprised 5 min at 95◦C followed by 40 cycles at 95◦C for 30 s, 30 s at 63◦C, 1 min
131 at 72◦C, and a final extension at 72◦C for 5 min. A nested PCR with primers 18SBp_fw and 18SBp_rev (Table
132 1) was then carried out with 10 µL of 1/40 diluted amplicons in a 30 µL reaction mixture containing the
133 same components as the first PCR. Cycling conditions were the same as the primary reaction except that
134 the annealing temperature was reduced to 61◦C. Amplified fragments were purified with ExoSAP-IT
135 reagent following manufacturer's recommendations (Affymetrix) and were sequenced bi-directionally
136 using the same primers (Eurofins Genomics, Germany). Sequences were then assembled using the
137 Geneious R6 software (https://www.geneious.com) and an online BLAST (National Center for
138 Biotechnology Information) was performed.

139 In order to develop specific primers for controls, the 18S rDNA gene sequences of *L. michahellis*
140 (OP542589, this study) and of *O. maritimus* (OP542591, this study) were aligned with *Babesia* sp. YLG
141 sequence (MZ541058) using Clustal Omega (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/msa/clustalo/). Regions specific
142 to each organism were selected to design the control primers as described in Supplementary Material 1.

143 To control for the amount of tick DNA extracted from individual organs, we designed the primer pair
144 18SOm_fw and 18SOm_rev (Table 1) to amplify a 18S rDNA gene fragment of tick DNA. Reactions were
145 carried out in 30 µL reaction mixtures containing 1 X buffer, 4 mM MgCl2, 0.2 mM of each dNTP (Eurobio),
146 1 unit GoTaq G2 Flexi DNA Polymerase (Promega), 0.5 µM of each primer and 5 µL of total genomic DNA.
147 PCR cycling comprised 5 min at 95°C, 40 cycles of 30 s at 95°C, 30 s at 65°C, 30 s at 72°C, and a final extension
148 at 72°C for 5 min. This PCR resulted in a 425 bp fragment.

149 We also attempted to amplify the 18S rDNA gene of the YLG for each *Babesia* sp. YLG positive sample
150 to control for a potential contamination of tick organs by the caecal content that might have occurred
151 during the tick dissection, and consequently the potential presence of *Babesia* sp. YLG DNA coming from
152 the host blood found in the tick gut. As avian red blood cells are nucleated, the contamination of tick organs
153 by caecal spillover should be easily detected. Surprisingly, the CRYPTOF and CRYPTOR primers were able
154 to amplify the 18S rDNA gene sequence of *L. michahellis* (1831 bp) from YLG DNA. Therefore, the control
155 amplification of the 18S rDNA gene of *L. michahellis* was conducted by nested PCR with the use of
156 18SLm_fw and 18SLm_rev primers (Table 1) after a primary amplification with CRYPTOF and CRYPTOR using
157 the same reaction and cycling conditions as for the 18S rDNA gene of piroplasms, but with an annealing
158 temperature at 65°C and an elongation time of 30 s. This PCR resulted in a 429 bp fragment.

159 Ticks were considered infected when at least one of their organs was found positive by nested PCR. A
160 tick can be found positive either because it ingested infected blood just before sampling (i.e., parasites in
161 the caeca) or because the parasite had invaded other organs such as salivary glands or ovaries. In the
162 second case, the parasite would potentially be transmissible to a new host during the next bloodmeal or
163 to the next tick generation if trans-ovarial transmission takes place.

164 **5. *Cox1* gene**

165 To verify that the same genetic variants described in YLG chicks were also found in *O. maritimus*, and
166 to evaluate the possibility of mixed infections in ticks, a fragment of the *cox1* gene was amplified and
167 sequenced from several tick organs. Two successive amplifications of the *cox1* gene of *Babesia* sp. YLG
168 were performed with the primers, COX1Bp_fw and COX1Bp_rev (Table 1, Bonsergent et al., 2022), for
169 *Babesia* positive DNA samples. Both reactions were carried out in 30 µL reaction mixtures containing 1 X
170 buffer, 4 mM MgCl2, 0.2 mM of each dNTP (Eurobio), 1 unit GoTaq G2 Flexi DNA Polymerase (Promega),
171 0.5 µM of each primer. The template was 5 µL of genomic DNA for the first PCR and 5 µL of 1/20 diluted
172 amplicon for the second PCR. For both amplifications, the cycling conditions comprised 5 min at 95°C, 40
173 cycles of 30 s at 95°C, 30 s at 54°C, 1 min 20 s at 72°C, and a final extension at 72°C for 5 min. Amplified
174 fragments (1220 bp) were purified, sequenced and analyzed manually.

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.03.24.534071; this version posted July 19, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY-ND 4.0 International license.

Table 1. Primers used to amplify 18S rDNA and *cox1* (cytochrome c oxydase subunit 1) genes in the different organisms used in the study.

Gene	Organism	Primer name	Primer sequence (5'-3')	Tm (°C)	Amplicon length (bp)	References
18S rDNA	Apicomplexan	CRYPTOF	AACCTGGTTGATCCTGCCAGTAGTCAT	63	1728	Malandrin et al., 2010
		CRYPTOR	TGATCCTTCTGCAGGTTCACCTA			
	Babesia of Peircei group	18SBp_fw	CTTGAACTTCGGTTCATGGTG	60	1529	Bonsergent et al., 2022
		18SBp_rev	CTAGACGTTTCTAACGAATCAG			
	Larus michahellis	18SLm_fw	GATCTTGGGATCGAGCTGGC	65	429	this study
		18SLm_rev	AATAACGCCGCCGGATCGC			
	Ornithodoros maritimus	18SOm_fw	TCTCAGTTGCGGGCGGGT	65	425	this study
		18SOm_rev	AGTAACTCAGGCGGATTGCT			
cox1	*Babesia* of Peircei group	COX1Bp_fw	GTGGWTTAAAAATAATMACWATGG	54	1220	Bonsergent et al., 2022
		COX1Bp_rev	CCCATAAATCATCTGGATAATC			

6. Statistical analyses

We used Fisher Exact tests to evaluate variation in infection status among years and life stages (R Core Team, 2023).

Results

1. Tick collections

Over the four field seasons, a total of 144 ticks were collected for analyses: 23 in 2019, 63 in 2020, 38 in 2021 and 20 in 2022. All ticks were found in active nests during standardised searches (see Dupraz et al. 2017). Most were females (107), but males (15) and nymphs (22) were also sampled (Fig. 2). Four females and eight nymphs were freshly moulted. These ticks came from more than 38 different nest sites (6 in 2019, 26 in 2020, 6 in 2021, unknown for 2022), and represent a random sample of ticks from each sampled nest. Among the analyzed ticks, one to twelve came from the same nest. The average number of ticks analyzed per nest was 3.8 in 2019, 2.4 in 2020 and 6 in 2021. In 2022, the nest origin was not recorded.



Figure 2. Percentage of *Ornithodoros maritimus* ticks carrying *Babesia* sp. YLG DNA in at least one of their collected organs (salivary glands, ovaries, caeca, endospermatophores from females and/or male genitalia) for each sex/stage and year of collection. The average percentage over a year is indicated by a dashed line with the associated prevalence estimate.

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.03.24.534071; this version posted July 19, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY-ND 4.0 International license.

2. Prevalence of *Babesia* sp. YLG infected ticks

The 18S rDNA gene of *O. maritimus* was detected in all DNA extracts from tick organs. Of the 144 collected ticks, 40 were found positive for *Babesia* in at least one organ, representing 27.8% of the tested individuals. Infection was present in all years: 26.1% in 2019 (6/23 tested ticks), 15.9% in 2020 (10/63 tested ticks), 39.5% in 2021 (15/38 tested ticks) and 45% in 2022 (9/20 tested ticks) (Fig. 2). Although prevalence varied significantly among years (p-value= 0.01571), this result should be taken with caution because the delay between sampling and testing differed over time (notably due to the covid pandemic) and may have affected detection probability. All tick stages were found infected, with adults tending to show higher prevalence than nymphs (p-value = 0.07875): 31.8% of females (34/107), 26.7% of males (4/15) and 9.1% of nymphs (2/22). Positive ticks came from 18/38 of the identified nests (4/6 in 2019, 9/26 in 2020, 5/6 in 2021), indicating a broad distribution of *Babesia* sp. YLG within the Carteau colony.

3. *Babesia* sp. YLG in tick organs and potential transmission routes

3.1. Transmission to the vertebrate host

A total of 137 salivary glands were collected (females-103, males-12, nymphs-22) and analyzed for the presence of *Babesia* sp. YLG (Fig. 3; Supplementary Material 2). In 17 of these (12.4%), the parasite was detected without traces of gut contamination (i.e., the PCR for *L. michahellis* 18S rDNA was negative). Prevalence of positive salivary glands did not vary significantly among life stages (p-value = 0.6006): females (15/103, 14.6%), males (1/12, 8.3%) and nymphs (1/22, 4.5%).

3.2. Transovarial transmission

Ovaries from 105 females were recovered and *Babesia* sp. YLG was detected in 10 of them (9.5%), without traces of gut contamination (Fig. 3). A total of 59 egg clutches laid by 46 engorged females were also analyzed. However, no clutch was found positive, even for the three females (and five clutches) for which the ovaries tested positive. Transovarial transmission could therefore not be demonstrated.

3.3. Sexual transmission

Endospermatophores were frequently found in dissected females (66/107 females) indicating recent copulation. *Babesia* sp. YLG was detected in six of them (9.1%) without traces of gut contamination. Positive endospermatophores sometimes came from females (3) for which all other organs tested negative for the presence of *Babesia* sp. YLG (Fig. 3). Among the genitalia tested from 11 males, *Babesia* sp. YLG was detected in only one (9.1%), a prevalence of infection similar to that obtained for the female-derived endospermatophores.

A

Dissected organs and stages	SG	OV	Eggs	FE	MG	N	F
Number tested	137	105	59	66	11	8	4
Number positive	17	10	0	6	1	0	0
Infection prevalence (%)	**12.4**	**9.5**	**0**	**9.1**	**9.1**	**0**	**0**

B



Figure 3. Schematic representation of possible routes of transmission of *Babesia* sp. YLG by *O. maritimus* and the organs analyzed to evaluate each possibility. Panel A: number of tested and positive samples. SG: salivary glands, OV: ovaries, Eggs: egg batches containing 10-200 eggs from a given female, FE: female-derived endospermatophores, MG: male genitalia, N: newly moulted nymphs, F: newly moulted females. Panel B: possible routes of transmission that require the infection of the corresponding tissues in panel A. N: nymphs, A: adult.

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.03.24.534071; this version posted July 19, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY-ND 4.0 International license.

3.4. Transstadial transmission

From the 2020 collection, 12 newly moulted ticks (4 females and 8 nymphs) were tested for the presence of *Babesia* sp. YLG, but the parasite was not detected in any of the dissected organs (Fig. 3).

4. Variability of the *cox1* gene

The partial *cox1* gene fragment was successfully amplified from 33 of the 60 organs in which the *Babesia* sp. YLG 18S rDNA gene was detected (17 salivary glands, 10 ovaries, 6 female endospermatophores, 1 male genitalia and 26 caeca), and of these, 28 sequences were obtained from different ticks with lengths comprised between 314 and 1172 bp. Haplotypes a, b, c, d and e, previously described from YLG chicks (Bonsergent et al., 2022), were found either alone (13 ticks) or in mixtures within the same tick (4 ticks), indicating co-infection with different isolates of *Babesia* sp. YLG (Table 2). The same haplotype was often characterized from several organs of the same tick (i.e. ticks 24, 40, M1, M10 and M11). The presence of different combinations of haplotypes were sometimes suggested by double peaks on the chromatograms at a SNP position (i.e. 194bF1 salivary glands and M6 caeca), but we were unable to characterise the co-infecting isolates in these instances (Table 2).

Table 2. Genetic variants of *Babesia* sp. YLG *cox1* gene found in dissected organs of *Ornithodoros maritimus*. The position of each SNP is indicated as in Bonsergent et al., 2022. FE: female-derived endospermatophores, Ca: caeca, OV: ovaries, SG: salivary glands, ?: undetermined, Y: T or C, R: G or A.

Nest reference	Tick reference	Organs	SNP position 89	107	860	917	1123	Putative *cox1* variants
13	75	SG	C	G	A	C	?	d
17	104	Ca	?	?	G	C	?	a, c or f
		FE	?	?	G	C	?	
	106	Ca	T	G	G	?	?	a, b or f
		OV	T	G	G	?	?	
		SG	T	G	G	C	?	a or f
18	103	SG	C	G	A	C	?	d
71	24	FE	T	G	G	C	T	a
		Ca	T	G	G	C	T	
79	26	Ca	T	G	G	T	T	b
87	36	SG	T	A	G	C	?	c
107	40	FE	T	A	G	C	T	c
		SG	T	A	G	C	T	
		OV	T	A	G	C	T	
120	120F2	SG	T	G	G	C	T	a
194b	194F1	SG	T	G	G	Y	T	a + b
322	67	Ca	T	G	G	C	T	a
323	323F1	Ca	T	A	G	Y	T	c + e
	323F2	SG	T	A	G	C	T	c
nd	M1	SG	T	G	G	T	T	b
		Ca	T	G	G	T	T	
	M6	Ca	T	R	G	C	T	a + c
	M10	Ca	T	G	G	T	T	b
		OV	T	G	G	T	T	
	M11	SG	Y	G	R	C	T	a + d
		Ca	Y	G	R	C	T	
	M13	SG	T	G	G	T	T	b
	M17	SG	T	G	G	C	T	a

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.03.24.534071; this version posted July 19, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY-ND 4.0 International license.

249 **5. GenBank deposit**
250 Nucleotide sequences obtained in this study were submitted to Genbank and given accession numbers
251 OP566884 for 18S rDNA and OP588178-OP588182 for *cox1* variants of *Babesia* sp. YLG found in *O.*
252 *maritimus*. *L. michahellis* and *O. maritimus* (syn. *Alectorobius maritimus*, Mans et al., 2021) partial 18S
253 rDNA sequences were also deposited under accession numbers OP542589 and OP542591, respectively.

254 **Discussion**

255 To establish a species as a competent vector for a pathogen, formal proof of acquisition and
256 transmission of the parasite both to and from the host must be demonstrated. However, this task requires
257 experimental infection of pathogen-free individuals under controlled conditions. This type of experiment
258 is particularly challenging for ticks as they are often difficult to maintain and infect under laboratory
259 conditions. In addition, these procedures require the availability of *in vitro* cultures of the parasite and the
260 use of experimental animals, at least as blood donors to cultivate the parasite and feed tick stages. These
261 constraints may prove almost impossible in the case of ticks specialised on wildlife, such as in the biological
262 system we examine here involving a nidicolous soft tick species and a seabird host.
263
264 To avoid these issues, we studied *Babesia* sp. YLG transmission in the rather unique and simplified
265 environment of a single seabird breeding colony where the Yellow-legged gull is the sole vertebrate host
266 (so the only source of pathogens for ticks), *O. maritimus* the sole tick species (so the only possible tick
267 vector), and *Babesia* sp. YLG the unique blood parasite species detected in gull chicks (Bonsergent et al.,
268 2022; Dupraz et al., 2017; Rataud et al., 2020). In this environment, we were certain of the active
269 transmission of *Babesia* sp. YLG to chicks. In our previous study, we were indeed able to follow the infection
270 and fulminant multiplication of *Babesia* sp. YLG in two chicks initially found negative or with almost
271 undetectable parasite levels (< 0.1%), and with parasitemia as high as 15-20% recorded two weeks later
272 (Bonsergent et al., 2022). This demonstrated active chick infection in the nest, most probably by *O.*
273 *maritimus*, an abundant tick species in the colony (Dupraz et al., 2017).
274 In this pathosystem, we studied *Babesia* sp. YLG transmission by *O. maritimus* by analyzing its presence
275 in dissected tick organs using molecular amplifications of its DNA. This method raises the risk of false
276 positives due to the contamination of organs by infected red blood cells from the host that are present in
277 the tick gut during dissection. However, soft tick blood meals are smaller in volume compared to hard ticks
278 (Vial, 2009), and the risk of spill over during dissection is lower. Nonetheless, to control for this risk and
279 ensure that positive tick organs were due to active tick infections by the parasite and not infected host
280 blood spillover from the gut content, we attempted to detect *Larus michahellis* DNA in all positive tick
281 organ extracts. As avian red blood cells are nucleated, this test represents a very sensitive internal control
282 of gut content contamination. Therefore, in our study, each tick organ that we considered positive
283 (detection of *Babesia* sp. YLG DNA) was negative for *L. michahellis* DNA. Under these conditions, the
284 presence of *Babesia* sp. YLG DNA in salivary glands or ovaries is a strong indication of the passage of the
285 parasite from an initial infection in the gut to other organs that play a role in transmission.
286 Thus, we report the first strong evidence of the transmission of a Piroplasmidae, namely *Babesia* sp.
287 YLG, by a soft tick, *O. maritimus*. Indeed, *Babesia* sp. YLG DNA was detected in salivary glands, a
288 requirement for transmission to a new vertebrate host. Salivary glands from females, males, and nymphs
289 were positive, indicating a role of these three life stages in the transmission to the host. We were not able
290 to demonstrate transstadial transmission in this study as we could not detect *Babesia* sp. YLG DNA in ticks
291 after moulting. However, we analyzed only 12 moulted ticks of unknown infection status. Future work will
292 need to address this point to better understand transmission efficiency in the system and should also
293 attempt to demonstrate the presence of living parasites in the ticks, rather than working from DNA only.
294 Current molecular phylogenies now separate Piroplasms into ten clades with major biological
295 differences in life cycles (Jalovecka et al., 2019). *Babesia* sp. YLG belongs to the Peircei group (clade V
296 according to Jalovecka et al., 2019). The life cycles of well-known piroplasmids (*Babesia* sensu stricto or
297 *Theileria* sensu stricto, clade X) have been studied in detail and key biological features such as the vector
298 species, the primary target cells in the vertebrate host, transstadial and transovarial transmission are well
299 described (Jalovecka et al., 2018). These features are poorly known in other clades (II to VI), including the
300 Peircei clade V to which *Babesia* sp. YLG belongs. Phylogenetic work has further suggested that the Percei

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.03.24.534071; this version posted July 19, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY-ND 4.0 International license.

301 clade V is separated into two main clusters, one with *Babesia* infecting seabirds and the other with *Babesia*
302 related to terrestrial birds (Grey heron and European roller) (Chavatte et al., 2017; Palomar et al., 2021;
303 Yabsley et al., 2017). One of the *Babesia* described in this second cluster was characterized from a soft tick
304 *Argas* sp. collected in a European roller nest in Spain (Palomar et al., 2021). The only other *Babesia* species
305 reported to be potentially transmitted by a soft tick is *B. vesperuginis* from the Piroplasmidae clade III
306 (Western clade), with *Argas vespertilionis* as the strongly suspected vector (Hornok et al., 2017; Liu et al.,
307 2018; Jalovecka et al., 2019). Up to now, and to our knowledge, transmission of a *Babesia sensu stricto*
308 species (clade X) by a soft tick has never been demonstrated, and could be a biological feature specific to
309 piroplasmids of other clades (III and V). Soft ticks (*Otobius megnini*) collected from naturally infected cattle
310 have been found to carry *Babesia bovis* (clade X), but transmission has not been studied (Malhobo et al.,
311 2021).
312 Several studies on the characterization of seabird piroplasms from the Peircei clade proposed
313 *Ornithodoros capensis* as a possible vector (Paparini et al., 2014; Peirce & Parsons, 2012; Work & Rameyer,
314 1997; Yabsley et al., 2009, 2017). *O. capensis* sensu stricto is part of the *O. capensis* complex, like *O.*
315 *maritimus*, and has been recorded on a range of seabird species breeding close to the equator (Dietrich et
316 al., 2011; Dupraz et al., 2016; Hoogstral et al., 1976). *Babesia*-positive ticks from *O. capensis* females and
317 nymphs were collected from a red-billed gull *Chroicocephalus novaehollandiae* (Paparini et al., 2014) and
318 *O. capensis* larvae were found on a *Babesia*-free chick of *Phalacrocorax capensis* which was found to be
319 infected with *B. ugwidiensis* a week later (Peirce & Parsons, 2012). These indirect observations on
320 phylogenetically closely related tick-pathogen pairs, support a role for *O. maritimus* in the transmission of
321 *Babesia* sp. YLG during the bloodmeal and the possible transovarial transmission of the parasites during
322 tick oogenesis.
323 Indeed, in addition to finding *Babesia* sp. YLG DNA in the salivary glands, we also detected its presence
324 in tick ovaries, supporting its possible transovarial transmission. However, we were not able to detect
325 *Babesia* sp. YLG DNA in the eggs from infected female ticks. This could be due to the low number of
326 parasites per egg precluding amplification, a probable low number of infected eggs per clutch, and/or to
327 the tick oocyte maturation stage at the time of infection which may or may not allow parasite penetration
328 into the developing eggs (Denardi et al., 2004; Mitchell et al., 2019). Additional analyses will now need to
329 focus on this possible transmission pathway to determine whether *Babesia* sp. YLG can be transmitted
330 vertically in its soft tick vector and thus whether ticks can maintain local infection rates without the
331 required presence of infected host birds. As outlined above, transovarial transmission of piroplasms has
332 only been demonstrated to date in the *Babesia* sensu stricto clade X. This could be a crucial feature in
333 *Babesia* sp. YLG transmission by the soft tick *O. maritimus*. Soft tick females are able to support long periods
334 of starvation, with dormancy behavior, allowing them to wait for the return of breeding birds the following
335 year (Gray et al., 2014). *O. maritimus* females probably feed and oviposit at the onset of spring when adult
336 birds return to their nesting sites. Due to the duration of pre-oviposition and embryogenesis, larvae should
337 appear and be ready for feeding when chicks hatch (about 25 days after egg laying in the case of *Larus*
338 *michahellis*). If *Babesia* sp. YLG is indeed transovarially transmitted, chicks could be infected very early in
339 their development; larvae are frequently collected feeding on 5 to 39-day-old chicks (Estrada-Pena et al.,
340 1996).
341 Our detection of *Babesia* sp. YLG DNA in several male testes, along with its presence in
342 endospermatophores, and notably in a parasite-free female (uninfected ovaries and salivary glands), raises
343 the possibility of sexual transmission from infected males to uninfected females. Whether sexually
344 transmitted parasites are then able to invade the female's organs to be further transmitted remains to be
345 examined. Few studies have described sexual transmission of pathogens by soft or hard tick species. In
346 experimentally infected *Ixodes persulcatus* and *Hyalomma anatolicum*, viral particles of the tick-borne
347 encephalitis virus were detected by electron microscopy in spermatocytes and spermatids and the
348 transmission of the virus from an infected male to a female was demonstrated, with its subsequent
349 detection in the eggs (Chunikhin et al., 1983). The relapsing fever agent *Borrelia crocidurae* is also efficiently
350 transmitted to uninfected females of *Ornithodoros erraticus* during copulation with infected males, with
351 23 and 37% of the females found infected respectively after the first and second gonotrophic cycle (Gaber
352 et al., 1982). The specificity of this transmission was also demonstrated as *B. crocidurae* was not able to
353 infect the testes of *O. savignyi* following an infective blood meal, while testes of *O. erraticus* fed on the
354 same host were infected by the spirochaete (Gaber et al., 1984). The acquisition of *B. garinii* by *Ixodes*

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.03.24.534071; this version posted July 19, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY-ND 4.0 International license.

355 *persulcatus* females from naturally infected males is also suspected (Alekseev et al., 1999). The sexual
356 transmission of *Babesia* sp. YLG could represent an important biological characteristic of clade V, but also
357 an epidemiologically significant feature in the transmission cycle of this parasite. Due to the short seabird
358 breeding season, acquisition/transmission cycles of the parasite need to occur within a few weeks, when
359 adults as well as chicks are present in the nest. As *O. maritimus* females realize several successive
360 gonotrophic cycles after copulation, sexually transmitted parasites may have time to spread within the
361 female tick and invade salivary glands to be transmitted to the host, or ovaries to be transovarially
362 transmitted. Increasing the ratio of infective females through sexual transmission could therefore be an
363 efficient transmission strategy in soft ticks (several blood meals per life stage) compared to hard ticks (one
364 blood meal per life stage).
365 In our previous study, we demonstrated the occurrence of *Babesia* sp. YLG two years in a row in chicks
366 of the same breeding colony (Bonsergent et al., 2022) and, here, we find the parasite in ticks collected in
367 this same location over four years. *Babesia* sp. YLG can therefore be considered as endemic to Carteau
368 islet. The parasite reservoir may consist in *Babesia* infected ticks, surviving in the soil around the nests
369 during the non-breeding period, or in infected adult gulls, or both. The prevalence of *Babesia* infected
370 seabirds and parasite loads in the blood are usually lower in adults compared to chicks (Quillfeldt et al.,
371 2014; Espinaze et al., 2019; Snyman et al., 2020), so the role of adult birds as reservoirs may be limited.
372 However, further analyses of adult gulls are required, as many studies only use blood smears to detect
373 blood parasites, a method that lacks the sensitivity required to detect asymptomatic carriers (Malandrin
374 et al., 2004; Chauvin et al., 2009). Furthermore, parasitemia may change over time in infected birds, and
375 particularly so during the pre-breeding period when a lot of energy may be allocated to reproduction and
376 less to maintenance needs such as immunity (Gylfe et al., 2000).
377 *O. maritimus* may be a particularly good reservoir for parasites such as *Babesia* sp. YLG. Indeed, the life
378 cycle of these ticks is relatively long – likely covering at least 2 breeding seasons, and individuals can remain
379 in dormancy for many years when the host is absent (KD. McCoy, unpublished data). If transstadial
380 transmission is efficient, this would allow ticks to locally maintain the parasite over long periods of time.
381 The maintenance ability would be even higher if transovarial and sexual transmission pathways are verified
382 in the system, up to the point where the parasite could be maintained without a required passage by the
383 seabird host. However, regardless of the reservoir species, our previous study demonstrated a high
384 transmission efficiency of *Babesia* sp. YLG to chicks, with 58-85% of infected during the first 3 weeks post-
385 hatching, many with extremely high parasitemia (27 to 41% of individuals with parasitemia over 10%)
386 (Bonsergent et al., 2022). Future work will now need to focus on the degree to which the parasite can be
387 maintained without the vertebrate host and the epidemiological consequences of infection for both ticks
388 and seabirds.

389 ## Acknowledgements

390 We thank all the people that assisted with field sampling (Thomas Blanchon, Elodie Conte, Maxime
391 Duhayon, Thibault Langlois, Louisianne Burkhart, Charly Souc, Florence Nono-Almeida) and provided
392 excellent technical assistance in the lab (Caroline Hervet).

393 ## Data, scripts, code, and supplementary information availability

394 Data are available online: 10.57745/XSSMO1 of the webpage hosting the data
395 https://doi.org/10.57745/XSSMO1.

396 ## Conflict of interest disclosure

397 The authors declare that they comply with the PCI rule of having no financial conflicts of interest in
398 relation to the content of the article.

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.03.24.534071; this version posted July 19, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY-ND 4.0 International license.

399 ## Funding

400 Funding for this study was provided by the UMR BIOEPAR, the ANR grant EcoDIS (ANR-20-CE34-0002) and
401 by an exploratory research grant DISTIC from the Labex CeMEB (Centre Méditerranéen de l'Environnement
402 et de la Biodiversité) with the support an ANR "Investissements d'avenir" program (ANR-10-LABX-04-01).

403 ## Authors' contributions

404 **Claire Bonsergent**: Investigation, Formal analysis, Methodology, Visualization, writing-Original draft
405 preparation, Reviewing and Editing.
406 **Marion Vittecoq:** Resources, Funding acquisition, Reviewing and Editing.
407 **Carole Leray**: Resources, Reviewing and Editing.
408 **Maggy Jouglin**: Methodology, Reviewing and Editing.
409 **Karen McCoy**: Resources, Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Writing, Reviewing and Editing.
410 **Laurence Malandrin**: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Supervision, Writing-Original draft
411 preparation, Reviewing and Editing.

412 ## References

413 Alekseev, A.N., Dubinina, H.V., Rjipkema, S., Schouls, L.M. 1999. Sexual transmission of *Borrelia garinii* by
414 male *Ixodes persulcatus* ticks (Acari, Ixodidae). Exp. Appl. Acarol. 23:165–169.
415 https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1006058728821.
416 Bonsergent, C., 2023. Data obtained in the study "Ornithodoros maritimus as a vector of Babesia sp. YLG
417 in Yellow-legged gull". https://doi.org/10.57745/XSSMO1.
418 Bonsergent, C., Vittecoq, M., Leray, C., Burkart, L., McCoy, K.D., Malandrin, L. 2022. Characterization and
419 diversity of *Babesia* sp. YLG, a new member of the Peircei group infecting Mediterranean yellow-legged
420 gulls (*Larus michahellis*). Ticks Tick-borne Dis. 13(1):101852.
421 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ttbdis.2021.101852.
422 Chauvin, A., Moreau, E., Bonnet, S., Plantard, O., Malandrin, L., 2009. *Babesia* and its hosts: adaptation to
423 long-lasting interactions as a way to achieve efficient transmission. Vet. Res. 40(2):37.
424 https://doi.org/10.1051/vetres/2009020.
425 Chavatte, J.M., Okumura, C., Landau, I., 2017. Redescription of *Babesia ardeae* Toumanoff, 1940, a parasite
426 of Ardeidae, including molecular characterization. Parasitol. Res. 116:1089-1097.
427 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00436-017-5394-1.
428 Chunikhin, S.P., Stefutkina, L.F., Korolev, M.B., Reshetnikov, I.A. and Khozinskaya, G.A. 1983. Sexual
429 transmission of tick-borne encephalitis virus in ixodids (Ixodidae). Parazitologia 17: 214–217 (in
430 Russian).
431 Danchin, E., 1992. The incidence of the tick parasite *Ixodes uriae* in kittiwake *Rissa tridactyla* colonies in
432 relation to the age of the colony and the mechanism of infecting new colonies. Ibis 134:134-141.
433 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1474-919X.1992.tb08390.x.
434 Dautel, H. and Knulle W., 1997. Life cycle and seasonal development of post-embryonic *Argas reflexus*
435 (Acari: Argasidae) at two thermally different locations in Central Europe ». Exp. Appl. Acarol.
436 21:697-712. https://doi.org/10.1023/a:1018464820252.
437 Denardi, S. E., Bechara, G. H., Oliveira, P. R., Nunes, E. T., Saito, K. C., Mathias, M. I., 2004. Morphological
438 characterization of the ovary and vitellogenesis dynamics in the tick *Amblyomma cajennense* (Acari:
439 Ixodidae). Vet. Parasitol. 125, 379–395. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetpar.2004.07.015.
440 Dietrich, M., Gomez-Díaz, E., McCoy, K.D., 2011. Worldwide distribution and diversity of seabird ticks:
441 implications for the ecology and epidemiology of tickborne pathogens. Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis.
442 11:453-470. https://doi.org/10.1089/vbz.2010.0009.
443 Dupraz, M., Toty, C., Devillers, E., Blanchon, T., Elguero, E., Vittecoq, M., Moutailler, S., McCoy, K.D., 2017.
444 Population structure of the soft tick *Ornithodoros maritimus* and its associated infectious agents within
445 a colony of its seabird host *Larus michahellis*. Int. J. Parasitol. Parasites Wildl. 6(2):122-130.
446 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijppaw.2017.05.001.

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.03.24.534071; this version posted July 19, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY-ND 4.0 International license.

447 Dupraz, M., Toty, C., Noël, V., Estrada-Peňa, A., González-Solís, J., Boulinier, T., Dujardin, JP., McCoy, K.D.
448 2016. Linking morphometric and genetic divergence with host use in the tick complex, *Ornithodoros*
449 *capensis* sensu lato. Infect. Genet. Evol. 46:12-22. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meegid.2016.10.005.
450 Espinaze MPA, Hui C, Waller L, Dreyer F, Matthee S., 2019. Parasite diversity associated with African
451 penguins (*Spheniscus demersus*) and the effect of host and environmental factors. Parasitology
452 146(6):791-804. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0031182018002159.
453 Estrada-Pena, A., Bosch, M., Pedrocchi, V., 1996. First record of *Ornithodoros* (*Alectorobius*) *maritimus*
454 Vermeil and Marguet (Acari: Argasidae) in Spain. Acarologia 37(1):19-21.
455 Gaber, M.S., Khalil, G.M., Hoogstraal, H., 1982. *Borrelia crocidurae*: venereal transfer in Egyptian
456 *Ornithodorus erraticus* ticks. Exp. Parasitol. 54:182–184. https://doi.org/10.1016/0014-4894(82)90125-
457 4.
458 Gaber, M.S., Khalil, G.M., Hoogstraal, H., Aboul-Nasr, A.E., 1984. *Borrelia crocidurae* localization and
459 transmission in *Ornithodoros erraticus* and *O. savignyi*. Parasitology 88:403–413.
460 https://doi.org/10.1017/S0031182000054676.
461 Gomez-Diaz, E., Morris-Pocock, J.A., Gonzalez-Solis, J., McCoy, K.D., 2012. Trans-oceanic host dispersal
462 explains high seabird tick diversity on Cape Verde islands. Biol. Lett. 8:616-619.
463 https://doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2012.0179.
464 Gray, J.S., Estrada-Peña, A, Vial, L., 2014. Ecology of nidicolous ticks, in: Sonenshine, D.E., Roe, R.M. (Eds),
465 Biology of ticks, volume 2. Oxford University Press, New York, pp 39–60.
466 Gylfe, Å., Bergström, S., Lundström, J., Olsen, B., 2000. Reactivation of *Borrelia* infection in birds. Nature
467 403:724-725. https://doi.org/10.1038/35001663.
468 Hoogstraal, H., Clifford, C.M., Keirans, J.E., Kaiser, M.N., Evans, D.E., 1976. The *Ornithodoros* (*Alectorobius*)
469 *capensis* group (Acarina: Ixodoidea: Argasidae) of the Palearctic and oriental regions. *O.* (*A.*) *maritimus*:
470 identity, marine bird hosts, virus infections, and distribution in Western Europe and northwestern
471 Africa. J. Parasitol. 62:799–810. https://doi.org/10.2307/3278964.
472 Hornok, S., Szőke, K., Görföl, T., Földvári, G., Tu, V.T., Takács, N., Kontschán, J., Sándor, A.D., Estók, P., Epis,
473 S., Boldogh, S., Kováts, D., Wang, Y., 2017. Molecular investigations of the bat tick *Argas vespertilionis*
474 (Ixodida: Argasidae) and *Babesia vesperuginis* (Apicomplexa: Piroplasmida) reflect "bat connection"
475 between Central Europe and Central Asia. Exp. Appl. Acarol. 72(1):69-77.
476 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10493-017-0140-z.
477 Jalovecka, M., Hajdusek, O., Sojka, D., Kopacek, P., Malandrin, L., 2018. The complexity of Piroplasms life
478 cycles. Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol. 8:e248. https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2018.00248.
479 Jalovecka, M., Sojka, D., Ascencio, M., Schnittger, L., 2019. *Babesia* life cycle - When phylogeny meets
480 biology. Trends Parasitol. 35(5):356-368. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pt.2019.01.007.
481 Kada, S., McCoy K.D., Boulinier T.,2017. Impact of life stage-dependent dispersal on the colonization
482 dynamics of host patches by ticks and tick-borne infectious agents. Parasites & Vectors 10(1):375.
483 https://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-017-2261-y.
484 Liu, X., Yan, B., Wang, Q., Jiang, M., Tu, C., Chen, C., Hornok, S., Wang, Y., 2018. *Babesia vesperuginis* in
485 common Pipistrelle (*Pipistrellus pipistrellus*) and the bat soft tick *Argas vespertilionis* in the People's
486 Republic of China. J. Wildl. Dis. 54(2):419-421. https://doi.org/10.7589/2017-08-206.
487 Mahlobo, S.I., Zishiri, O.T., 2021. A descriptive study of parasites detected in ticks of domestic animals in
488 Lesotho. Vet. Parasitol. Reg. Stud. Reports 25:100611. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vprsr.2021.100611.
489 Malandrin, L., L'Hostis, M., Chauvin, A., 2004. Isolation of *Babesia divergens* from carrier cattle blood using
490 in vitro culture. Vet. Res. 35(1):131-139. https://doi.org/10.1051/vetres:2003047.
491 Malandrin, L., Jouglin, M., Sun, Y., Brisseau, N., Chauvin, A., 2010. Redescription of *Babesia capreoli* (Enigk
492 and Friedhoff, 1962) from roe deer (*Capreolus capreolus*): isolation, cultivation, host specificity,
493 molecular characterisation and differentiation from *Babesia divergens*. Int. J. Parasitol. 40(3):277-284.
494 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpara.2009.08.008.
495 Mans, B.J., Kelava, S., Pienaar, R., Featherston, J., de Castro, M.H., Quetglas, J., Reeves, W.K., Durden, L.A.,
496 Miller, M.M., Laverty, T.M., Shao, R., Takano, A., Kawabata, H., Moustafa, M.A.M., Nakao, R., Matsuno,
497 K., Greay, T.L., Evasco, K.L., Barker, D., Barker, S.C., 2021. Nuclear (18S-28S rRNA) and mitochondrial
498 genome markers of *Carios* (*Carios*) *vespertilionis* (Argasidae) support *Carios* Latreille, 1796 as a lineage
499 embedded in the Ornithodorinae: re-classification of the *Carios* sensu Klompen and Oliver (1993) clade

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.03.24.534071; this version posted July 19, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY-ND 4.0 International license.

500 into its respective subgenera. Ticks Tick-borne Dis. 12(4):101688.
501 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ttbdis.2021.101688.
502 McCoy, K.D., Dietrich, M., Jaeger, A., Wilkinson, D.A., Bastien, M., Lagadec, E., Boulinier, T., Pascalis, H.,
503 Tortosa, P., Le Corre M., 2016. The role of seabirds of the Iles Eparses as reservoirs and disseminators
504 of parasites and pathogens. Acta Oecologica 72:98-109. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actao.2015.12.013.
505 Mitchell, R.D.Ⅲ., Sonenshine, D.E., León, A.a.P.D., 2019. Vitellogenin receptor as a target for tick control:
506 A mini-review. Front. Physiology 10, 618. https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2019.00618.
507 Palomar, A.M., Veiga, J., Portillo, A., Santibáñez, S., Václav, R., Santibáñez, P., Oteo, J.A., Valera, F., 2021.
508 Novel genotypes of nidicolous *Argas* ticks and their associated microorganisms from Spain. Front. Vet.
509 Sci. 8:637837. https://doi.org/10.3389/fvets.2021.637837.
510 Paparini, A., McInnes, L.M., Di Placido, D., Mackereth, G., Tompkins, D.M., Clough, R., Ryan, U.M., Irwin,
511 P.J., 2014. Piroplasms of New Zealand seabirds. Parasitol. Res. 113:4407-4414.
512 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00436-014-4118-z.
513 Peirce, M.A., Parsons, N.J., 2012. *Babesia ugwidiensis*, a new species of avian piroplasm from
514 Phalacrocoracidae in South Africa. Parasite 19:375-379. https://doi.org/10.1051/parasite/2012194375.
515 Pons, J. M., Crochet, P.A., Thery, M., Bermejo, A., 2004. Geographical variation in the Yellow-Legged Gull:
516 introgression or convergence from the Herring Gull? J. Zool. Syst. Evol. Res. 42(3):245-256.
517 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0469.2004.00255.x.
518 Quillfeldt, P., Martínez, J., Bugoni, L., Mancini, P.L., Merino, S., 2014. Blood parasites in noddies and boobies
519 from Brazilian offshore islands - differences between species and influence of nesting habitat.
520 Parasitology 141(3):399-410. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0031182013001649.
521 Ramos, R., Ramírez, F., Sanpera, C., Jover, L., Ruiz X., 2009. Feeding ecology of yellow-legged gulls *Larus*
522 *michahellis* in the western Mediterranean: a comparative assessment using conventional and isotopic
523 methods. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 377:289-297. https://doi.org/ 10.3354/meps07792.
524 Rataud, A., Dupraz, M., Toty, C., Blanchon, T., Vittecoq, M., Choquet, R., McCoy, K.D., 2020. Evaluating
525 functional dispersal in a nest ectoparasite and its eco-epidemiological implications. Front. Vet. Sci.
526 7:570157. https://doi.org/10.3389/fvets.2020.570157.
527 R Core Team, 2023. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R Foundation for Statistical
528 Computing, Vienna, Austria. https://www.R-project.org/.
529 Snyman, A., Vanstreels, R.E.T., Nell, C., Schaefer, A.M., Stracke, T., Parsons, N.J., Ludynia, K., Pistorius, P.A.,
530 2020. Determinants of external and blood parasite load in African penguins (*Spheniscus demersus*)
531 admitted for rehabilitation. Parasitology 147(5):577-583.
532 https://doi.org/10.1017/S0031182020000141.
533 Sonenshine, D.E. and Roe, R.M., 2014. Overview: Ticks, People and Animals, in: Sonenshine, D.E., Roe, R.M.
534 (Eds), Biology of ticks, volume 1. Oxford University Press, New York, pp 39–60.
535 Souc, C., Sadoul, N., Blanchon, T., Vittecoq, M., Pin, C., Vidal, E., Mante, A., Choquet, R., McCoy, K. 2023.
536 Natal colony influences age-specific movement patterns of the Yellow-legged gull (*Larus michahellis*).
537 Movement Ecol. 11:11. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40462-023-00375-4.
538 Vial, L., 2009. Biological and ecological characteristics of soft ticks (Ixodida: Argasidae) and their impact for
539 predicting tick and associated disease distribution. Parasite 16:191-202.
540 https://doi.org/10.1051/parasite/2009163191.
541 Work, T.M., Rameyer, R.A., 1997. Description and epizootiology of *Babesia poelea* n. sp. in brown boobies
542 (*Sula leucogaster* (Boddaert)) on sand island, johnston Atoll, central Pacific. J. Parasitol. 83:734-738.
543 https://doi.org/10.2307/3284253.
544 Yabsley, M.J., Greiner, E., Tseng, F.S., Garner, M.M., Nordhausen, R.W., Ziccardi, M.H., et al., 2009.
545 Description of novel *Babesia* species and associated lesions from common murres (*Uria aalge*) from
546 California. J. Parasitol. 95:1183-1188. https://doi.org/10.1645/GE-1955.1.
547 Yabsley, M.J., Vanstreels, R.E.T., Shock, B.C., Purdee, M., Horne, E.C., Peirce, M.A., Parsons, N.J., 2017.
548 Molecular characterization of *Babesia peircei* and *Babesia ugwidiensis* provides insight into the
549 evolution and host specificity of avian piroplasmids. Int. J. Parasitol. Parasites Wildl. 6(3):257-264.
550 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijppaw.2017.08.006.
551

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.03.24.534071; this version posted July 19, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY-ND 4.0 International license.

Supplementary materials

SM1. Location of 18S primers for the specific amplification of a 18S rDNA gene fragment of *Larus michahellis* (18SLm_fw and 18SLm_rev, green colored) and *Ornithodoros maritimus* (18SOm_fw and 18SOm_rev, orange colored) designed according to a Clustal Omega alignment. GenBank accession numbers correspond to the 18S rDNA gene sequence of *Babesia* sp. YLG (MZ541058), *Ornithodoros maritimus* (OP542591) and *Larus michahellis* (OP542589).

Forward primer

```
MZ541058 ATTAAACTTGTTGCAGTTAAAAAGCTCGTAGTTGAACTTCTGCTGCCCGGTATTCGGTCC     456
OP542591 GCTAAAGCTGCTGCGGTTAAAAAGCTCGTAGTTGGATCTCAGTTGCGGGCGGGTGGTGCA     653
OP542589 CTTAAAGTTGCTGCAGTTAAAAAGCTCGTAGTTGGATCTTGGGATCGAGCTGGCGGTCCG     647
           ****  ** *** ******************* *  *  *   *  *      *  *
```

Reverse primer

```
MZ541058 TCCTAACCATAAACTATGCCGACTAGAGATTGGAGGTCGTCATTGTAAACGACTCCTTCA     858
OP542591 TTCTAACCATAAACGATGCCAACCAGCAATCCGCCTGAGTTACTCCA-ATGACTCTGCGG     1069
OP542589 TTCCGACCATAAACGATGCCGACTGGCGATCCGGCGGCGTTATTCCC-ATGACCCGCCGG     1065
         * *  ********* ***** **  *  **  *     ** * *    * *** *
```

SM2. Number of each organ type of *Ornithodoros maritimus* collected after dissection and used to test for the presence of *Babesia* sp. YLG (number of ticks in brackets).

Sex/stage	Salivary glands	Ovaries	Endospermatophores	Male genitalia	Caeca
Females (107)	103	105	66	/	101
Males (15)	12	/	/	11	14
Nymphs (22)	22	/	/	/	22