Loss of type VI secretion systems in multi-drug resistant

Escherichia coli clones

Elizabeth A. Cummins[1], Robert A. Moran[1], Ann E. Snaith[1], Rebecca J. Hall[1],

Chris H. Connor[2], Steven J. Dunn[1], Alan McNally[1]

[1]Institute of Microbiology and Infection, College of Medical and Dental Sciences,

University of Birmingham, Birmingham, B15 2TT, UK

Corresponding author: a.mcnally.1@bham.ac.uk

[2]Doherty Institute for Infection and Immunity, University of Melbourne, Melbourne,

3000, Australia

Keywords: type VI secretion system, *Escherichia coli*, multi-drug resistance, ST131

Abstract

The repeated emergence of pandemic multi-drug resistant (MDR) *Escherichia coli* clones is a threat to public health globally. In recent work, drug resistant *E. coli* were shown to be capable of displacing commensal *E. coli* in the human gut. We hypothesise that there are three factors potentially responsible for the apparent competitive advantage of drug resistant *E. coli* clones: enhanced metabolic capabilities; production of lytic phage or bacteriocins; or the presence of a type VI secretion system (T6SS). We employed genomic and experimental approaches to investigate. First, we searched for T6SS apparatus genes across a curated dataset of over 20,000 genomes representing the full phylogenetic diversity of *E. coli*. This revealed large, non-phylogenetic variation in the presence of T6SS genes. No association was found between T6SS gene presence and MDR clones. However, multiple MDR clones have lost essential T6SS genes. We have shown that the pandemic MDR clone ST131-H30Rx lost the essential T6SS gene *tssM* when it was interrupted by insertion of IS*Ec12*. To investigate the importance of this, a three-way competition assay was designed to assess the contact-killing capabilities of three strains - ST131-H30Rx, its drug-susceptible TssM-producing ancestor, and laboratory strain K-12 MG1655. Our results confirmed the competitive advantage of ST131-H30Rx in the absence of antibiotics, but its success was not due to the presence of phage, secreted proteins or contact-dependent killing. Our findings suggest that metabolic advantages are therefore likely a key component in the success of ST131-H30Rx.

Data Summary

The genome sequence data generated in this study is publicly available from NCBI under BioProject PRJNA943186, alongside a complete assembly in GenBank under accessions CP120633-CP120634. All other sequence data used in this paper has been taken from ENA with the appropriate accession numbers listed within the methods section. The *E. coli* genome data sets used in this work are from a previous publication, the details of which can be found in the corresponding supplementary data files 10.6084/m9.figshare.21360108 [1].

Impact Statement

Escherichia coli is a globally significant pathogen that causes the majority of urinary tract infections. Treatment of these infections is exacerbated by increasing levels of drug resistance, with multi-drug resistant (MDR) pandemic clones such as ST131-H30Rx contributing significantly to global disease burden. MDR *E. coli* clones are able to colonise the human gut and displace resident commensal *E. coli.* It is important to understand how this process occurs to better understand why these pathogens are so successful. Type VI secretion may be one of the antagonistic systems employed by *E. coli* in this process. Our findings provide the first detailed characterisation of the T6SS locus in ST131 and shed light on an event in the evolutionary pathway of the prominent MDR pandemic pathogen ST131-H30Rx.

Introduction

Escherichia coli has been ranked globally as the number one causal pathogen of deaths associated with bacterial antimicrobial resistance (AMR) [2] and AMR has been declared by the World Health Organisation as a top ten global public health threat (www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/antimicrobial-resistance). The emergence and evolution of multi-drug resistant (MDR) *E. coli* is a pressing and relevant issue for global healthcare as their extensive resistance profiles result in diminishing therapeutic options for treating infections.

A trait increasingly ascribed to MDR *E. coli* lineages is the ability to rapidly, and asymptomatically, colonise the human intestinal tract [3]. Multiple traveller studies have now shown that people travelling from areas of low AMR incidence to AMR endemic regions become colonised by extended spectrum beta-lactam (ESBL)-resistant or MDR *E. coli* during travel [4; 5; 6]. Furthermore, genomic analysis has shown that the gain of MDR *E. coli* is due to the acquisition of a new MDR strain and not the preceding commensal *E. coli* becoming MDR [5]. The ability to displace and colonise may be attributed to the MDR phenotype itself, but longitudinal studies from the UK and Norway [7; 8] have shown that multi-drug resistance alone is not a sufficient driver for epidemiological success of *E. coli*. Recent metagenomic analysis has also revealed that colonisation by MDR *E. coli* does not disrupt the wider gut microbiome's composition or diversity [9].

We hypothesise that the ability of drug-resistant *E. coli* to displace resident commensal *E. coli* is a result of three possible biological scenarios. Firstly, the invading drug-resistant

E. coli has enhanced metabolic capabilities that facilitate out-competition of the resident

commensal *E. coli* leading to prolonged colonisation and dissemination [10; 11].

Secondly, the drug-resistant *E. coli* produce lytic phage or bacteriocins that can kill

competitors without requiring cell-to-cell contact [12; 13]. Thirdly, the drug-resistant *E.*

coli possess a type VI secretion system that allows contact-dependent killing of the

resident commensal *E. coli*.

The type VI secretion system (T6SS) is a multi-functional apparatus that some Gram-

negative bacteria possess to facilitate nutrient uptake [14], manipulation of host cells

[15], and the killing of competing bacteria [16; 17; 18; 19]. T6SS distribution varies by

environment [20; 21] and the presence of T6SSs varies on all taxonomic levels [20; 22;

23] with over-representation in Gammaproteobacteria [24]. T6SS genes can be gained

and lost via horizontal gene transfer (HGT) [16; 22] and T6SS presence may be influenced

by genomic incompatibilities, either between mobile genetic elements, donor and

recipient bacteria, or via assembly of multiple T6SSs [20]. T6SSs are particularly

prevalent in complex microbial communities that are host-associated [21; 22] and are

commonly found in pathogens, including *E. coli* [20; 25; 26; 27].

E. coli is a relatively understudied organism within the research field of T6SSs, with

studies focusing on specific pathotypes [26; 27; 28]. In *E. coli* T6SSs have been shown to

be directly involved in pathogenesis and antibacterial activity [25]. The existing

connections between T6SS and MDR in other species [29; 30] makes T6SSs in *E. coli* an

intriguing avenue of exploration in the context of pandemic MDR clones and how they

become successful. Here, we aim to assess the prevalence of T6SSs across *E. coli* and

identify any association with MDR lineages. Further, we will investigate, *in silico* and *in*

vitro, T6SS functionality in the successful MDR clone ST131-H30Rx.

Methods

Genome collection and multi-drug resistant classification

The 20577 *E. coli* genomes used here were curated from EnteroBase [31] and were fully

characterised   and   described   in   a   previous   study   (available   at

10.6084/m9.figshare.21360108) [1]. Briefly, they were chosen to represent the

phylogenetic, genotypic and phenotypic diversity of the species. Encompassing 21 sequence

types (STs). Genomic assemblies were screened for antibiotic resistance genes using ABRicate

(v0.9.8) (github.com/tseemann/abricate) with the NCBI database [32]. For the purposes of this

study strains were classified as MDR if their genome possessed at least one extended-

spectrum beta-lactamase or carbapenemase gene.

T6SS database creation and interrogation

The SecReT6 [33; 34] experimentally validated database was retrieved and reformatted

so that sequence identifiers were a suitable format for a custom ABRicate database.

ABRicate (v0.9.8) was run using 25% minimum coverage and 75% minimum sequence

identity to obtain a list of T6SS genes that are present across our 21 *E. coli* sequence

types. The results were summarised and partial hits (instances where a gene hit was split

over multiple contigs) were accounted for and processed with a custom Python script

(github.com/lillycummins/InterPangenome/blob/main/process_partial_hits.py). A gene

was determined as present in a genome if at least 85% of the gene was covered with a

sequence identity above 75%. Whether T6SS genes were likely located in plasmids or in

chromosomes was determined using mlplasmid [35].

120   Genomic screening for insertion sequences and bacteriocins

Gene Construction Kit (v4.5.1) (Textco Biosoftware, Raleigh, USA) was used to annotate

and visualise DNA sequences. Insertion sequences (ISs) were identified using the

ISFinder database [36]. Target site duplications (TSDs) flanking ISs were identified

manually. Two junction sequences specific for a given insertion were generated by

taking 100 bp contiguous sequences that span the left and right ends of the insertion.

Each junction sequence was comprised of 50 bp of the target IS and 50 bp of its adjacent

sequence. To generate an ancestral sequence *in silico*, the interrupting IS and one copy

of its associated TSD were removed manually. A 100 bp sequence that spanned the

insertion point was taken to represent the naïve site. A database of all ST131 genomes

in the collection was generated for screening with standalone BLASTn [37]. The database

was queried with insertion-junction sequences and the naïve site sequence to determine

whether an insertion was present, with only complete and identical matches to the 100

bp query sequences considered positive matches.

Genomes were screened for bacteriocins and prophage regions using the BACTIBASE

[38] online database and PHASTER [39; 40], respectively.

136   Strains and culture conditions

Three *E. coli* isolates were selected based on their phenotypic resistance profiles to allow

for selective differential growth after co-culture. The strains used in this study are

described in Table 1. *E. coli* strains were grown in Lysogeny broth (LB) at 37°C shaking or

on LB agar plates. Strains were grown in the presence of 30 μg/mL kanamycin, 4 μg/mL

cefotaxime or 100 μg/mL rifampicin as relevant.

The reads for MG1655-GFP (ENA accession: ERR1957959) and UTI62 (ENA accession:

ERR062295) were downloaded and assembled with SPAdes (v3.12.0). No existing

sequence data was available for USVAST219. Therefore, DNA was extracted using the

Monarch Genomic DNA Extraction Kit (New England Biolabs, Massachusetts, USA)

before sequencing with MinION (Oxford Nanopore Technology, UK) using R9.4.1 flow

cells. The data was basecalled with Guppy (v6.0.1)

(github.com/nanoporetech/pyguppyclient) and adapters were trimmed with qcat

(v1.1.0) (github.com/nanoporetech/qcat). Short-read genome sequencing was provided

by MicrobesNG (microbesng.com) where DNA was prepared using the Nextera XT kit

(Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA) and sequenced on the Illumina HiSeq platform (Illumina).

Long and short-read data are both available within BioProject PRJNA943186. A hybrid

assembly using both the long and short-read sequencing data was created with Unicycler

(v0.4.8) [41]. To generate a rifampicin-resistant mutant, 100 μL of USVAST219 overnight

culture was spread on a LB plate containing 100 μg/mL rifampicin and incubated at 37

°C overnight. The following day, a single colony was chosen to inoculate 5 μL LB +

rifampicin (100 μg/mL) broth. The broth was incubated at 37 °C overnight and a glycerol

stock was stored at -80 °C the following day.

Table 1 Strains used in this study.

Strain	Lineage	Isolation	Selective resistance	Ref.
K12-MG1655	ST10	-	Kanamycin	[42]
USVAST219[a]	ST131-H40	Urine sample from Veterans Affairs Medical Centre, Michigan. (2011)	Rifampicin	[43]
UTI62	ST131-H30Rx	Urine agar plate from Nottingham University Hospitals (2008-09)	Cefotaxime	[44]

164   [a]Rifampicin-resistant mutant.

Competition assay

Overnight cultures of *E. coli* strains were diluted to an OD_{600} of 1.0, mixed at a 1:1 ratio

on sterile filters and placed on LB agar plates. After four hours incubation at 37 °C,

bacteria were harvested from the filter by vigorous vortexing followed by centrifugation

at 12100 × g for two minutes. Harvested cells were then serially diluted and plated onto

LB agar plates supplemented with the appropriate antibiotic to enumerate the surviving

E. coli. All plates, including samples from time point 0, were incubated at 37 °C overnight

and CFUs were counted the following day. Relative fold change in growth was calculated

as the four-hour growth (cfu/ml) of a strain following incubation in isolation divided by

the four-hour growth of the strain following co-incubation. In a separate experiment,

the supernatant was extracted from UTI62 overnight culture with a 0.2 μm sterile syringe

filter. K-12 MG1655 was grown for four hours at 37 °C in the presence and absence of

UTI62 supernatant to test for the presence of potentially lethal phages, bacteriocins, or

excreted metabolites.

Results

T6SS gene presence varies between and within phylogroups and sequence types

All 20577 *E. coli* genomes interrogated contained at least one T6SS gene from the SecReT 6 experimental database [33; 34]. There was variation in the average number of T6SS genes present per ST and the average number of genes per ST within phylogroups (Figure 1). ST131 exhibited the largest range (2 to 29) of T6SS genes, highest number of T6SS genes in a single genome (n = 29), and highest average number of T6SS genes (joint with ST12, ST14, ST17, and ST127). The distribution of the number of T6SS genes present per genome showed no phylogenetic signal. STs known to contain MDR lineages, ST131, ST167, ST410, ST648 did not possess a similar range or average of T6SS genes (Figure 1), which does not support a hypothesis that there is an association between the MDR phenotype and T6SS carriage.

Fig. 1 Distributions of the number of type VI secretion system (T6SS) genes from the

SecReT6 experimentally validated database [33; 34] across 21 sequence types of *E. coli*

shows gene carriage varies by sequence type and phylogroup. Sequence types are colour

coded according to their respective phylogroups: orange = A; green = B1; purple = B2;

light blue = D; blue = E; red = F. A gene is determined as present if it exceeds a DNA

identity of 75% and coverage of 85%.

Pattern of T6SS loss in MDR clones

We further focused on STs containing MDR clones in greater detail. Figure 2 displays the

presence of T6SS genes within the major MDR lineages ST410 (Figure 2a) and ST131

(Figure 2b). Both STs demonstrate a correlation between multidrug resistance and the

absence of T6SS genes.

Fig. 2 Presence of MDR genotype and experimentally validated type VI secretion genes

taken from the SecReT6 database [33; 34] across (A) 1006 ST410 genomes and (B) 3186

ST131 genomes. Phylogenetic clades are shaded and labelled clade name/*fimH* allele.

Within ST410, a *tss* operon was present in 100% (n = 35) of clade A/H53 (Figure 2a) and

1.44% (n = 14) of clade B/H24 genomes. While isolates from clade A/H53 are largely drug

susceptible, clade B/H24 is a globally distributed multidrug resistant lineage [45;46]. The

conserved T6SS operon of ST410-A/H53 was confirmed as being chromosomal using

mlplasmid [35]. In the 14 rare instances where a full *tss* operon was present within the

B/H24 clade, nine genomes contained T6SS regions most closely related to plasmid

borne T6SS regions. Of these nine instances, five were most closely related to the F-type

plasmid pSTEC299_1 (GenBank accession: CP022280) and four to another F-type

plasmid, pRHB33C08_3 (GenBank accession: CP057209). The two plasmid-associated *tss*

regions were clearly distinct, sharing just 82.75% sequence identity (12289 bp

compared). The sporadically present ST410-B/H24 T6SS genes also shared low amino

acid sequence identity with the conserved T6SS genes of ST410-A/H53 (33 - 99%

identity). These findings together suggest multiple acquisitions of T6SS regions have

occurred within this clade.

Within ST131, the ancestral and less drug-resistant clades A and B possess a gene

labelled Vt4E, which is not present in the MDR clone of clade C (Figure 2b). To deduce

the function of Vt4E, we used BLASTn to search [37] the GenBank nonredundant

nucleotide database, which revealed that Vt4E shared 100% sequence identity with

vasK. The *vasK* gene is a homologue of *tssM* [47], which is a component of the inner

membrane complex of T6SS [25]. The absence of a functional VasK protein has been

shown to render T6SS non-functional in *Vibrio cholerae* [47].

Together, the observed patterns of presence/absence of T6SS genes in ST410 and ST131

could conceivably point towards a common evolutionary trajectory, where the transition

from a largely drug-susceptible to a multi-drug resistant phenotype coincides with the

loss of T6SS genes.

Characterisation of the ST131 type VI secretion locus

To facilitate comparative analyses, we sought to characterise the T6SS-determining

region of the ancestral ST131 lineage, ST131-H41 (clade A). First, we generated a

complete genome sequence for the ST131-H41 strain USVAST219 (PRJNA943186). We

found a complete and uninterrupted sequence of the T6SS locus in a 39211 bp region of

the USVAST219 chromosome, flanked by copies of a perfect 41 bp repeat sequences

(Figure 3). The region contained 27 open reading frames (ORFs), 22 of which could be

assigned functions. Among these were representatives of all 13 *tss* genes required for

synthesis of a type VI secretion apparatus (*tssA* to *tssM*), along with the structural gene

tagL and a second, different *tssA* gene. Genes for Hcp and PAAR-domain proteins, along

with three different *vgrG* (also called *tssI*) genes, encode the core and spike of the

secretion apparatus. Downstream of each *vgrG*, we found ORFs that encode putative

T6SS effector proteins; a M23-family peptidase, a lytic transglycosylase and proteins

with DUF4123 and DUF2235 domains, which have been associated with T6SS effectors

[48]. We conclude that this region of the ST131 chromosome includes all determinants

sufficient for production of a functional T6SS.

Reference strain EC958 (GenBank accession: HG941718) [49] was used to represent

ST131-H30Rx (clade C) in comparisons with USVAST219. The T6SS regions of USVAST219

and EC958 were almost identical, differing by just seven single nucleotide

polymorphisms (SNPs) (shown in Figure 3). The only SNP located in a structural gene

(*tssH*) was silent. We therefore conclude that this chromosomal region encodes the

native T6SS of ST131, and is conserved in clades A, B, and C, consistent with the

presence/absence data shown in Figure 2. However, the EC958 T6SS region does not

encode TssM.

Fig. 3 The ST131 chromosomal T6SS locus. The extents and orientations of open reading

frames are indicated by labelled arrows, with colours corresponding to encoded protein

types as outlined in the key below. SNPs that differentiate EC598 from USVAST219 are

shown in black text above. M23: M23-family peptidase, ltg: lytic transglycosylase. Drawn

to scale from GenBank accession CP120633.

tssM is interrupted by IS*Ec12* in ST131-H30Rx

To determine the cause of *tssM* loss in ST131-H30Rx, we examined the complete

genome of reference strain EC958 [49] in further detail. We found that *tssM* had been

interrupted by a copy of IS*Ec12*, which was flanked by the 5 bp target site duplication

ACTGC (Figure 4). The *tssM* reading frame was split approximately in half by the

insertion, with 1,570 bp located to the left of IS*Ec12*, and 1,768 bp to the right. To deduce

whether other *tssM* homologues were present in EC958, and could encode

replacements for the interrupted *tssM*, we used tBLASTn to query the complete EC958

chromosome with the TssM sequence encoded by USVAST219. This query returned no

hits, apart from the fragmented sequences produced by the IS*Ec12*-interrupted *tssM*.

The IS*Ec12* insertion has rendered *tssM* non-functional in EC958, and in the absence of

tssM redundancy, it appears most likely that the T6SS itself is also non-functional.

From the EC958 genome, we generated 100 bp sequences that span the left and right

ends of the IS*Ec12* insertion (marked left and right in Figure 4b), and from the

USVAST219 genome a 100 bp sequence that represents an ancestral *tssM* uninterrupted

by this insertion (marked naïve in Figure 4b). To determine whether the same insertion

event was responsible for the absence of *tssM* in all ST131-H30Rx genomes, we screened

the ST131 collection for the three 100 bp sequences. The naïve sequence was absent in

just 2.97% (n = 21) of clade A and B genomes, confirming that almost all of them

contained uninterrupted *tssM* (Figure 4a). 2462 out of the 2478 (99.48%) clade C

genomes lacked the naïve sequence but contained one or both of the left and right

IS*Ec12* junction sequences (Figure 3). Of the 16 remaining clade C genomes, three

genomes contained the naïve sequence, and 13 genomes did not include either the naïve

sequence or either of the IS*Ec12* junction sequences (Figure 4a). Manual inspection of

the 13 genomes that lacked indicator sequences revealed that in six the IS*Ec12* insertion

was present, but low assembly quality had resulted in truncated contigs that were too

short for recognition with the strict threshold used for junction sequence detection. The

remaining seven genomes were excluded from further analysis, as low coverage appears

to have resulted in highly fragmented assemblies such that the structure of the T6SS

region was impossible to determine accurately. Thus, while *tssM* is uninterrupted in

97.03% (n = 687) of ST131 clade A and B genomes, it has been interrupted by IS*Ec12* at

exactly the same position in 99.78% (n = 2465) of clade C genomes. We conclude that

the loss of *tssM* in ST131 clade C was the result of a single IS*Ec12* insertion event that

occurred in an ancestral strain.

Fig. 4 (A) ST131 phylogeny. Genomes are shaded according to their clade and *fimH* allele.

The presence of 100 bp IS*Ec12* insertion event indicator sequences is dictated by blue

shading to the right of the phylogeny. (B) Interruption of *tssM* by IS*Ec12*. Chromosomal

sequence is shown as a thin black line, and IS*Ec12* as a thicker blue line, with open

reading frames indicated by arrows beneath. The positions of target site duplication

sequences and extents of indicator sequences are shown above. Drawn to scale using

sequence obtained from GenBank accessions: CP120633 and HG94718.

ST131-H30Rx out-competes without a complete T6SS

To determine whether USVAST219 (ST131-H41, T6SS+) and UTI62 (ST131-H30Rx, T6SS-) exhibit differential T6SS-mediated antibacterial activity, we used a kanamycin-resistant K-12 MG1655 strain as prey in bacterial killing assays. Growth during co-incubation was compared to growth in monoculture for each strain in all experiments. A clear reduction in growth of MG1655 was observed after a four-hour co-incubation with UTI62 compared to monoculture (Figure 5a). Coincubation of MG1655 with USVAST219 did not show a clear reduction in growth when compared to monoculture of MG1655 (Figure 5a). Next, we tested the growth levels of the two ST131 strains, UTI62 and USVAST219, after a four-hour co-incubation period. Neither ST131 strain exhibited a reduction in growth following co-incubation compared to monoculture (Figures 5b, c). Our results show that the growth of ST131-H30Rx strain UTI62 (T6SS-) is not diminished by the presence of other *E. coli*, and that it out-competes MG1655 (T6SS-) *in vitro*.

Fig. 5 Pairwise competition assays. Measurements taken after 4 hours incubation. (A) Growth of MG1655 in monoculture (mono.) and in the presence of UTI62 (T6SS-) and USVAST219 (T6SS+). (B) Growth of UTI62 in monoculture and in the presence of USVAST219. (C) Growth of USVAST219 in monoculture and in the presence of UTI62.

UTI62 does not produce lytic phage or bacteriocins in lab growth conditions

To further deduce the biological mechanism allowing UTI62 to out-compete MG1655, we grew MG1655 to mid-exponential phase and then challenged with the filter sterilised

supernatant from a UTI62 liquid culture to test for the production of lytic phages or

bacteriocins by UTI62 that could be responsible for the reduction in growth of MG1655.

Growth during co-incubation was compared to growth during incubation of MG1655 in

monoculture. Co-incubation of MG1655 with the supernatant of UTI62 did not result in as

large a reduction of growth for MG1655 in comparison to co-incubation with UTI62 itself

(Figure 6). This contrasts with our previous result, where the growth of MG1655 was

reduced in the presence of UTI62 (Figure 5a). This finding suggests the production of lytic

phages or bacteriocins is not responsible for UTI62 out-competing MG1655 *in vitro*.

However, phages or toxins might still be produced under different growth conditions. To

investigate this possibility, the genome of UTI62 was screened for colicin/bacteriocin genes

using the BACTIBASE database [38], and for phages with PHASTER [39; 40]. No bacteriocins

were detected and none of 12 prophage regions detected by PHASTER contained lysis genes.

These results suggest that diffusible elements were not playing a role in the observed

reduction of MG1655 growth in the presence of UTI62.

Fig. 6 Competition assay. Measurements taken after 4 hours incubation. Growth of

MG1655 in monoculture compared to growth in the presence of liquid supernatant of

UTI62.

Discussion

Extensive work has been done to characterise the T6SS in terms of its structure, function,

variability and prevalence in various genera. However, this is the first study, to the best

of our knowledge, to examine the prevalence of T6SSs across a broad range of *E. coli*

phylogroups, sequence types and pathotypes. We determined the prevalence of the *tss*

operon within lineages known to contain pandemic MDR clones, completed the first

detailed characterisation of the T6SS locus in ST131, and identified an evolutionary event

within ST131 that led to the loss of the key structural T6SS component TssM. We also

tested the competitive capabilities of ST131 strains with and without a complete *tss*

operon experimentally. Our study's combination of wet and dry lab approaches has

yielded new insights on T6SS functionality in the MDR *E. coli* lineage ST131 and provided

a broader overview of T6SS presence in other lineages.

The similarities we found between ST410 and ST131 suggests we may have uncovered a

common evolutionary trajectory in two MDR lineages, where a functional T6SS was lost

before the expansion of an MDR clone. We have previously described the important role

of potentiating mutations involved in colonisation factors, anaerobic metabolism genes,

and intergenic regions as being common evolutionary trajectories in MDR clone

formation [11]. The loss or degradation of a T6SS could perhaps integrate into the

stepwise evolutionary course of ST410 and ST131 pandemic clones.

We found that the T6SS in ST131-H30 was inactivated by an insertion event. The

interruption of *tssM* by IS*Ec12* occurring prior to or during the formation of ST131-H30

(clade C) implies that this event may have contributed to the successful emergence and

expansion of the clade. The rare instances where *tssM* is interrupted in clade A/B, and

uninterrupted in clade C (Figure 4a), might be explained by inter-lineage horizontal gene

transfer and homologous recombination, but the T6SS region is too well-conserved

(>99.99% ID between lineages) to accurately detect recombinant sequences. We

speculate that the lack of a functional T6SS allowed clade C to become more receptive

to cell-to-cell contact, and therefore plasmid transfer, which may have enabled the

acquisition of MDR plasmids that have previously been described as a vital step in the

evolution of pandemic clones [11].

A caveat of this work is that contact-dependent killing capabilities were only tested *in*

vitro. An *in vivo* experiment would be better suited to capture the complex host-

associated microbial community of a mammalian gut, as numerous external factors

cannot be applied under standard laboratory conditions. Interactions with other species

within the gut microbiome could not be replicated here, although recent research has

shown that the displacement of commensal *E. coli* with MDR lineages does not disrupt

the wider gut microbiome [9]. *In vivo* work may also more accurately replicate the

diversity of nutrients available within the gut and any potential interactions with host

cells. These factors would need to be considered to draw further conclusions on the

superior displacement and colonisation capabilities that could be associated with the

loss of T6SSs in MDR lineages.

We observed that the T6SS-negative UTI62 (ST131-H30Rx) out-competed other *E. coli*

and confirmed through genomic analysis that this was not due to UTI62 secreting toxins,

or the interference of lytic phages. Therefore, the data presented here does not support

the hypothesis that the ability of drug-resistant *E. coli* to displace resident commensal *E.*

coli is due to the production of a T6SS, lytic phage or bacteriocins, so another explanation

is needed. This work strengthens our hypothesis [10, 11] that metabolism is the key

factor in MDR *E. coli* displacing commensal *E. coli* from the gut.

Author Statements

Author Contributions

E.A.C.: data curation, methodology, formal analysis, visualisation, writing – original draft

preparation and review and editing. R.A.M.: methodology, formal analysis, writing –

original draft preparation and review and editing. A.E.S: methodology. R.J.H.:

methodology, writing - review and editing. C.H.C.: data curation. S.J.D.: data curation.

A.M.: conceptualisation, supervision, writing – review and editing.

406 Conflicts of interest

407 The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.

408 Funding Information

409 EAC was funded by the Wellcome Antimicrobial and Antimicrobial Resistance (AAMR)

410 DTP (108876B15Z).

411 Acknowledgements

412 We would like to thank Professor Jose Bengoechea for helpful discussion and all

413 members of the McNally group for their support.

414 References

[1] Cummins EA, Hall RJ, Connor C, McInerney JO, McNally A. Distinct evolutionary

trajectories in the *Escherichia coli* pangenome occur within sequence types.

Microbial Genomics. 2022;8(11).

[2] Murray CJ, Ikuta KS, Sharara F, Swetschinski L, Robles Aguilar G, Gray A, et al. Global

burden of bacterial antimicrobial resistance in 2019: a systematic analysis. The

Lancet. 2022 2;399(10325):629-55.

[3]   Connor CH, Zucoloto AZ, Yu IL, Corander J, McDonald B, McNally A, et al. Multi-drug

422   resistant E. coli displace commensal E. coli from the intestinal tract, a trait

423   associated with elevated levels of genetic diversity in carbohydrate metabolism

424   genes. bioRxiv. 2022.

426   [4]   Arcilla MS, van Hattem JM, Haverkate MR, Bootsma MCJ, van Genderen PJJ,

427   Goorhuis A, et al. Import and spread of extended-spectrum β-lactamase producing

428   Enterobacteriaceae by international travellers (COMBAT study): a prospective,

429   multicentre cohort study. The Lancet Infectious Diseases. 2017 1;17(1):78-85.

430   [5]   Bevan ER, McNally A, Thomas CM, Piddock LJV, Hawkey PM. Acquisition and loss

431   of CTX-M-producing and non-producing *Escherichia coli* in the fecal microbiome of

432   travelers to South Asia. mBio. 2018 11;9(6).

433   [6]   Kantele A, Kuenzli E, Dunn SJ, Dance DAB, Newton PN, Davong V, et al. Dynamics

434   of intestinal multidrug-resistant bacteria colonisation contracted by visitors to a

435   high-endemic setting: a prospective, daily, real-time sampling study. The Lancet

436   Microbe. 2021;2(4):e151-8.

438   [7]   Kallonen T, Brodrick HJ, Harris SR, Corander J, Brown NM, Martin V, et al.

439   Systematic longitudinal survey of invasive Escherichia coli in England demonstrates

440   a stable population structure only transiently disturbed by the emergence of

441   ST131. Genome Research. 2017;27(8):1437-49.

442   [8]   Gladstone RA, McNally A, Pöntinen AK, Tonkin-hill G, Lees JA, Skytén K, et al.

443   Emergence and dissemination of antimicrobial resistance in Escherichia coli

causing bloodstream infections in Norway in 2002 – 17 : a nationwide , longitudinal

, microbial population genomic study. The Lancet Microbe.

2021;5247(21):1-11.

[9]   Davies M, Galazzo G, van Hattem JM, Arcilla MS, Melles DC, de Jong MD, et al.

Enterobacteriaceae and Bacteroidaceae provide resistance to travel-associated

intestinal colonization by multi-drug resistant Escherichia coli.

Gut Microbes. 2022;14(1).

[10] Dunn SJ, Connor C, McNally A. The evolution and transmission of multidrug

resistant Escherichia coli and Klebsiella pneumoniae: the complexity of clones and

plasmids. Current Opinion in Microbiology. 2019;51:51-6.

[11] Cummins EA, Snaith AE, McNally A, Hall RJ. The role of potentiating mutations in

the evolution of pandemic Escherichia coli clones. European Journal of Clinical

Microbiology & Infectious Diseases. 2021.

[12] Riley MA, Gordon DM. The ecological role of bacteriocins in bacterial competition.

1999.

[13] Ellis EL, Delbruck M. THE GROWTH OF BACTERIOPHAGE. The Journal of General

Physiology. 1938.

[14] Mariano G, Trunk K, Williams DJ, Monlezun L, Strahl H, Pitt SJ, et al. A family of Type

VI secretion system effector proteins that form ion-selective pores. Nature

Communications. 2019 12;10(1).

[15] Pukatzki S, Ma AT, Revel AT, Sturtevant D, Mekalanos JJ. Type VI secretion system

translocates a phage tail spike-like protein into target cells where it cross-links

actin. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of

America. 2007 9;104(39):15508-13.

[16] Salomon D, Klimko JA, Trudgian DC, Kinch LN, Grishin NV, Mirzaei H, et al. Type VI

Secretion System Toxins Horizontally Shared between Marine Bacteria. PLoS

Pathogens. 2015 8;11(8).

[17] Bernal P, Allsopp LP, Filloux A, Llamas MA. The Pseudomonas putida T6SS is a plant

warden against phytopathogens. ISME Journal. 2017 4;11(4):972-

87.

[18] Troselj V, Treuner-Lange A, Søgaard-Andersen L, Wall D. Physiological

Heterogeneity Triggers Sibling Conflict Mediated by the Type VI Secretion System

in an Aggregative Multicellular Bacterium. mBio. 2018;9(1).

[19] Tang L, Yue S, Li GY, Li J, Wang XR, Li SF, et al. Expression, secretion and bactericidal

activity of type VI secretion system in Vibrio anguillarum. Archives of Microbiology.

2016 10;198(8):751-60.

[20] Unni R, Pintor K, Diepold A, Unterweger D. Presence and absence of type VI

secretion systems in bacteria. Microbiology. 2022;in print:1-13.

[21] Kempnich MW, Sison-Mangus MP. Presence and abundance of bacteria with the

Type VI secretion system in a coastal environment and in the global oceans. PLoS

ONE. 2020 12;15(12 December 2020).

[22]   García-Bayona L, Coyne MJ, Comstock LE. Mobile Type VI secretion system loci of

486   the gut Bacteroidales display extensive intra-ecosystem transfer, multi-species

487   spread and geographical clustering. PLoS Genetics. 2021

488   4;17(4).

489   [23]   Abby SS, Cury J, Guglielmini J, Néron B, Touchon M, Rocha EPC. Identification of

490   protein secretion systems in bacterial genomes. Scientific Reports.

491   2016 3;6.

492   [24]   Bingle LE, Bailey CM, Pallen MJ. Type VI secretion: a beginner's guide.

493   Current Opinion in Microbiology. 2008 2;11(1):3-8.

494   [25]   Journet L, Cascales E. The Type VI Secretion System in Escherichia coli and Related

495   Species. EcoSal Plus. 2016;7(1).

496   [26]   Ma J, Bao Y, Sun M, Dong W, Pan Z, Zhang W, et al. Two functional type VI secretion

497   systems in avian pathogenic Escherichia coli are involved in different pathogenic

498   pathways. Infection and Immunity. 2014;82(9):3867-79.

499   [27]   De Pace F, Nakazato G, Pacheco A, De Paiva JB, Sperandio V, Da Silveira WD. The

500   type VI secretion system plays a role in type 1 fimbria expression and pathogenesis

501   of an avian pathogenic Escherichia coli strain. Infection and Immunity. 2010

502   12;78(12):4990-8.

503   [28]   Brunet YR, Espinosa L, Harchouni S, Mignot T, Cascales E. Imaging Type VI

504   Secretion-Mediated Bacterial Killing. Cell Reports. 2013;3(1):36-41.

[29] Weber BS, Ly PM, Irwin JN, Pukatzki S, Feldman MF. A multidrug resistance plasmid

contains the molecular switch for type VI secretion in Acinetobacter baumannii.

Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America.

2015;112(30):9442-7.

[30] Venanzio GD, Moon KH, Weber BS, Lopez J, Ly PM, Potter RF, et al. Multidrug-

resistant plasmids repress chromosomally encoded T6SS to enable their

dissemination. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United

States of America. 2019;116(4):1378-83.

[31] Zhou Z, Alikhan NF, Mohamed K, Fan Y, Achtman M. The EnteroBase user's guide,

with case studies on Salmonella transmissions, Yersinia pestis phylogeny, and

Escherichia core genomic diversity. Genome Research. 2020;30(1):138-52.

[32] Feldgarden M, Brover V, Haft DH, Prasad AB, Slotta DJ, Tolstoy I, et al. Validating

the AMRFINder tool and resistance gene database by using antimicrobial resistance

genotype-phenotype correlations in a collection of isolates.

Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy. 2019;63(11).

[33] Li J, Yao Y, Xu HH, Hao L, Deng Z, Rajakumar K, et al. SecReT6: A web-based resource

for type VI secretion systems found in bacteria. Environmental Microbiology. 2015

7;17(7):2196-202.

[34] Zhang J, Guan J, Wang M, Li G, Djordjevic M, Tai C, et al. SecReT6 update:

a comprehensive resource of bacterial Type VI Secretion Systems. Science China

Life Sciences. 2022 11.

[35] Arredondo-Alonso S, Rogers MRC, Braat JC, Verschuuren TD, Top J, Corander J, et

al. Mlplasmids: a User-Friendly Tool To Predict Plasmid- and Chromosome-Derived

Sequences for Single Species. Microbial genomics. 2018;4(11).

[36] Siguier P, Perochon J, Lestrade L, Mahillon J, Chandler M. ISfinder: the reference

centre for bacterial insertion sequences. Nucleic acids research.

2006;34 (Database issue).

[37] Camacho C, Coulouris G, Avagyan V, Ma N, Papadopoulos J, Bealer K, et al.

BLAST+: Architecture and applications. BMC Bioinformatics. 2009 12;10.

[38] Hammami R, Zouhir A, Ben Hamida J, Fliss I. BACTIBASE: A new web-accessible

database for bacteriocin characterization. BMC Microbiology. 2007;7.

[39] Zhou Y, Liang Y, Lynch KH, Dennis JJ, Wishart DS. PHAST: A Fast Phage Search Tool.

Nucleic Acids Research. 2011 7;39(SUPPL. 2).

[40] Arndt D, Grant JR, Marcu A, Sajed T, Pon A, Liang Y, et al. PHASTER: a better, faster

version of the PHAST phage search tool. Nucleic Acids Research.

2016 7;44(W1):W16-21.

[41] Wick RR, Judd LM, Gorrie CL, Holt KE. Unicycler: Resolving bacterial genome

assemblies from short and long sequencing reads. PLoS Computational Biology.

2017 6;13(6).

[42] Bottery MJ, Wood AJ, Brockhurst MA. Adaptive modulation of antibiotic resistance

through intragenomic coevolution. Nature Ecology and Evolution.

2017 9;1(9):1364-9.

[43] Colpan A, Johnston B, Porter S, Clabots C, Anway R, Thao L, et al. Escherichia coli
sequence type 131 (ST131) subclone h30 as an emergent multidrug-resistant
pathogen among US Veterans. Clinical Infectious Diseases. 2013 11;57(9):1256-65.

[44] Croxall G, Weston V, Joseph S, Manning G, Cheetham P, McNally A. Increased
human pathogenic potential of Escherichia coli from polymicrobial urinary tract
infections in comparison to isolates from monomicrobial culture samples. Journal
of Medical Microbiology. 2011 1;60(1):102-9.

[45] Feng Y, Liu L, Lin J, Ma K, Long H, Wei L, et al. Key evolutionary events in the
emergence of a globally disseminated, carbapenem resistant clone in the
Escherichia coli ST410 lineage. Communications Biology. 2019;2(1):1-13.

[46] Roer L, Overballe-Petersen S, Hansen F, Schønning K, Wang M, Røder BL, et al.
Escherichia coli Sequence Type 410 Is Causing New International HighRisk Clones.
mSphere. 2018;3(4):00337-18.

[47] Kostiuk B, Santoriello FJ, Diaz-Satizabal L, Bisaro F, Lee KJ, Dhody AN, et al. Type VI
secretion system mutations reduced competitive fitness of classical Vibrio cholerae
biotype. Nature Communications. 2021;12(1):1-11.

[48] Salomon D, Kinch LN, Trudgian DC, Guo X, Klimko JA, Grishin NV, et al. Marker for
type VI secretion system effectors. Proceedings of the National Academy of
Sciences of the United States of America. 2014 6;111(25):9271-6.

[49] Forde BM, Ben Zakour NL, Stanton-Cook M, Phan MD, Totsika M, Peters KM, et al.

The complete genome sequence of *Escherichia coli* EC958: A high quality reference

sequence for the globally disseminated multidrug resistant E. coli O25b:H4-ST131

clone. PLoS ONE. 2014 8;9(8).