Long-term evolution of proliferating yeast cells using the eVOLVER platform

Daniel García-Ruano[1,2,*], Akanksha Jain[1,2,*], Zachary J Heins[3], Brandon G Wong[3], Ezira

Yimer Wolle[3], Ahmad S Khalil[3,4] and Damien Coudreuse[1,2,**]

[1] Institute of Genetics and Development of Rennes, CNRS UMR 6290 – University of Rennes

1, Rennes, France

[2] Institute of Biochemistry and Cellular Genetics, CNRS UMR 5095, Bordeaux, France

[3] Department of Biomedical Engineering and Biological Design Center, Boston University,

Boston, USA

[4] Wyss Institute for Biologically Inspired Engineering, Harvard University, Boston, USA

[*] These authors contributed equally to this work

[**] Corresponding author: Damien Coudreuse; damien.coudreuse@ibgc.cnrs.fr

ABSTRACT

Experimental evolution using fast-growing unicellular organisms is a unique strategy for deciphering the principles and mechanisms underlying evolutionary processes as well as the architecture and wiring of basic biological functions. Over the past decade, this approach has benefited from the development of powerful systems for the continuous control of the growth of independently evolving cultures. While the first devices compatible with multiplexed experimental evolution remained challenging to implement and required constant user intervention, the recently-developed eVOLVER framework represents a fully automated closed-loop system for laboratory evolution assays. However, it remained difficult to maintain and compare parallel evolving cultures in tightly controlled environments over long periods of time using eVOLVER. Furthermore, a number of tools were lacking to cope with the various issues that inevitably occur when conducting such long-term assays. Here we present a significant upgrade of the eVOLVER framework, providing major modifications of the experimental methodology, hardware and software as well as a new standalone protocol. Altogether, these adaptations and improvements make the eVOLVER a versatile and unparalleled setup for long-term experimental evolution.

INTRODUCTION

Over the last decades, experimental evolution approaches have gained great interest not only for the field of evolutionary biology, but also for investigating fundamental questions in cell biology. Evolving cells in controlled conditions provides an unprecedented entry point for unraveling novel biological mechanisms and exploring unanticipated areas of cellular function (Anderson et al. 2004; Blount et al. 2008; Bull 2010; Torres et al. 2010; Gresham and Dunham 2014; Kryazhimskiy et al. 2014; Hope et al. 2017; Fumasoni and Murray 2021; Pavani et al. 2021). It is also a unique method for studying long-term genome dynamics and reorganization (Yona et al. 2012; Lang et al. 2013; Maddamsetti et al. 2015; Vázquez-García et al. 2017). Moreover, laboratory evolution is a key component of biological engineering projects and applied research, for instance for improving the yield of *in vivo* cellular factories (Dragosits and Mattanovich 2013; Mundhada et al. 2017; Wang et al. 2023).

While the sequential dilution of batch cultures paved the way for deciphering the evolutionary biology of unicellular organisms from an experimental perspective (Lenski and Travisano 1994; Elena and Lenski 2003), the recent emergence of new methods and devices allows for performing such assays in a more controlled manner (Klein et al. 2013; Miller et al. 2013; Toprak et al. 2013; Takahashi et al. 2015; Callens et al. 2017; Wong et al. 2018). Such systems were developed to simultaneously evolve several independent populations in small culture volumes while remaining cost-effective compared to standard larger chemostats. One such device, the "ministat" array, represented a major breakthrough for conducting experimental evolution and was successfully implemented for both budding and fission yeast (Miller et al. 2013; Callens et al. 2017). However, this approach remains difficult to sustain in turbidostat mode and is very sensitive to small changes in the setup (*e.g.* needle height, pump

tubing), thus requiring constant monitoring by the user. Furthermore, while the simplicity of

the ministats is a major advantage of the system, the absence of automatization, real-time

recording of culture optical densities (OD) and feedback on the dilution rate makes it

challenging to maintain stable cultures.

More recently, the eVOLVER framework was designed as the first open-source and

automated system for experimental evolution (Wong et al. 2018; Heins et al. 2019). This device

measures the OD of each evolving population, triggering controlled dilutions in user-defined

density ranges (Fig. 1A). Furthermore, each vial can be run with a different medium,

temperature, and culture agitation rate, providing an unprecedented level of versatility.

However, when performing long-term assays over several months, we encountered a number

of issues that made it difficult to maintain consistent culture conditions and obtain robust

datasets. In particular, we observed differences in the accuracy of the OD measurements

between positions as well as alterations in OD readings upon changing a culture vial in a given

sleeve, a situation that occurs in the case of a dilution problem or vial contamination. This

represented a major drawback for comparing the growth rates and evolution dynamics between

experimental replicates or between populations of genetically distinct strains. We therefore set

out to improve the eVOLVER platform for the laboratory evolution of exponentially growing

yeast cell cultures. Here we describe a number of critical modifications of the eVOLVER

system, from its calibration procedure to its hardware, and provide a set of newly-developed

methods that are essential for performing long-term evolution assays. We discuss the rationale

and principles of the major improvements that we have implemented while including a

comprehensive and standalone Materials and Methods in the Supplementary Information,

together with all the scripts necessary to conduct these experiments. These changes to the

original system can be applied to both commercial setups and home-made eVOLVERs.

Altogether, our modified system and protocols can be used for long-term assays by any research

group without prior experience or know-how in experimental evolution.

 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Improving the quality and reproducibility of optical density measurements

Optimizing LED power

Multiplexed experimental evolution assays using the eVOLVER platform require

accurate measurement of population optical densities (OD) in each of the individual vials.

However, the system does not directly record OD but rather converts light scattering readings

into OD using a user-established calibration curve (Wong et al. 2018; Heins et al. 2019). The

method that is currently integrated in the eVOLVER framework for calibrating OD

measurements relies on the permutation of 16 different non-growing cultures of pre-determined

OD in each of the 16 sleeves of eVOLVER and records the corresponding light scattering

values. The calibration curve is then stored internally in the system and used to determine the

OD of evolving cultures during and between experiments. While relatively simple, this method

produces calibration curves that are established as a fit of heterogenous data points, and there

are significant differences in the quality and dynamic range of the calibration curves between

sleeves (Fig. 1B). In particular, relatively flat curves lead to highly imprecise and noise-

sensitive measurements. To improve the dynamic range of the curves, a hardware upgrade was

recently introduced to optimize the power of the emitting LED of each sleeve. To take

advantage of this, a set of successive standard calibrations of the OD readings must be

performed using different LED outputs, and the most appropriate values are then determined

for each vial based on the obtained set of curves (Fig. 1C). To facilitate this procedure, we are

providing the script *Cal_LEDpower* that not only allows for setting the LED power during this

initial step, but also for reconfiguring all sleeves with the final set of selected values to be used

for subsequent experiments (Supplementary Information). Note that for exponentially growing

yeast cells, the target OD range only requires the use of the 135 degrees photodiode of the

machine. Altogether, this initial optimization is essential for the quality of the measurements

and needs to be performed only once, although any modification of an eVOLVER sleeve (*e.g.*

re-positioning of the LED or photodiode) requires a new LED tuning.

A new OD calibration method for improved accuracy

Despite the improved dynamic range following the LED power optimization, there is

still significant noise in the calibration measurements. The scattering *vs*. OD curves thus remain

imprecise fits (Fig. 1D). This has implications for the quality of the OD determination, resulting

in major differences in OD values when comparing the readings from eVOLVER with those

from a standard and accurate spectrophotometer (Fig. 2A). This is a critical issue when

performing experimental evolution assays: such differences make it difficult to reliably

determine the population doubling time, influencing the frequency of culture dilution, which is

set by OD thresholds, and preventing the robust comparison of population behaviors between

vials.

We hypothesized that this noise in the calibration measurements and the subsequent

inaccuracy in OD determination during an evolution experiment may result from the impact of

the quality of the glass vials on the recording of the light scattering. Indeed, due to the

permutation protocol mentioned above, each data point of the calibration curve is acquired

using a different vial, and evolution assays are then performed using yet a different set of vials.

This parameter is neglected in the currently available protocol for setting up an eVOLVER

assay. To test this possibility, we first assessed whether simply rotating a vial inside a sleeve

by a few degrees changes the scattering measurements (Fig. 2B). Strikingly, we observe

variations in the data that are significantly higher than the basal noise of the measurements.

Importantly, when using a yeast cell culture of known OD, we found that rotation of the vial

inside the sleeve leads to significant inaccuracies in the OD value calculated by eVOLVER

(Fig. 2C). Furthermore, comparison of the ODs of the same yeast culture in different glass vials

measured in a single eVOLVER sleeve revealed major variability in the values (Fig. 2D).

In order to improve the quality of both the calibration curves and the OD determination

during an eVOLVER experiment, we established a new calibration protocol that eliminates the

impact of the glass vials on the recording of the light scattering (Supplementary Information).

This method is based on sets of calibration measurements that are made using a single, fixed

vial in each eVOLVER sleeve. Thus, while the integrated software for calibrating the machine

is still used, the vial permutation between sleeves is replaced by an initial measurement using

PBS (phosphate buffered saline, which prevents cells from growing during the calibration)

followed by the inoculation of increasing numbers of cells from a stock culture in each vial for

each datapoint. Once the last calibration measurement is performed, the ODs of each culture

are measured and averaged using a standard spectrophotometer. This allows for the initial OD

of the stock culture to be back-calculated based on the corresponding dilution factor (note that

when conducting a more complex experiment using different stock cultures for specific subsets

of sleeves, distinct averages must be made to back-calculate the ODs of each individual stock

culture). This procedure compensates for potential inaccuracies in the initial determination of

the stock culture OD, which is particularly prone to errors. Indeed, this culture is highly

concentrated prior to starting the calibration in order to limit the impact of the inoculation steps

on the total volume of the cultures in the eVOLVER vials. Using this new value for the stock

population, the actual ODs of the cultures at each calibration point are re-calculated and this

dataset is then used to correct the calibration data (see below). We are providing the calculation

form *Cal_Inoculation* that helps with determining the successive inoculation steps and resulting

ODs taking into account the OD of the stock culture (Supplementary Information).

However, when applying our calibration method, OD calibration curves cannot be

generated using the standard eVOLVER software. Indeed, the original calibration method relies

on 1) the rotational permutation of the vials between sleeves, which implies that the order of

the measurements is different between sleeves, and 2) the non-corrected OD values at each

point determined prior to back-calculation of the stock culture OD. Thus, to obtain the

appropriate calibration curves, the order of the measurements and calibration ODs must be

corrected in the file generated by the eVOLVER software. This is achieved using the newly-

provided script *Cal_correction* (Supplementary Information). Importantly, our method results

in significant improvement of the calibration curves (Fig. 2E), leading to highly accurate

determination of the culture densities in eVOLVER vials (Fig. 2A).

To perform robust laboratory evolution assays over long periods of time, a calibration

using this new method should be performed prior to each experiment. This contrasts with the

standard protocol, in which a single round of calibration of the sleeves is used for multiple

independent assays. In addition, the same set of vials, fixed in a given position in each sleeve,

should be used for both the calibration step and the ensuing evolution assay. This further

improves the quality of the experimental measurements. To this end, vials are flushed after the

calibration using fresh medium and the eVOLVER pump system, and cells are then newly

inoculated according to the design of the experiment. It is critical that the same strain is used

for both the calibration and the experiment so that the limited number of cells remaining from

the calibration procedure do not alter the evolution assay. Thus, when different strains are

evolved in parallel, each vial must be calibrated using the strain it will subsequently host. This

also contributes to the quality of the measurements, as the light scattering of a cell culture is

affected by various factors, including cell shape and size. Finally, in contrast to the currently

published approach, a given calibration is only used for the accompanying experiment. We are

therefore providing the script *Cal_delete* for removing obsolete calibrations (Supplementary

Information).

Altogether, while this calibration strategy takes more time to carry out, it substantially

improves the quality of the OD measurements, which is critical for maintaining the quality of

experiments that last for several months. Our method therefore represents a clear advance for

long-term assays, enhancing the control of population densities and allowing for accurate

estimation of their growth rates. This makes it possible to take full advantage of the possibility

of evolving multiple replicates or comparing strains and conditions within a single run.

Alteration of the temperature calibration range

Calibration of the temperature for each eVOLVER sleeve is a key step when setting up

an eVOLVER machine. Indeed, the temperature of an eVOLVER culture is determined based

on the temperature measured at the surface of the aluminum cylinder that makes up the sleeve.

The relationship between these two temperatures must therefore be established for each sleeve.

The eVOLVER software integrates a temperature calibration procedure based on three

measurements: room temperature (RT), RT + ~10 °C and RT + ~20 °C. However, when this

protocol is performed at a RT greater than 20 °C, the system often fails to reach the RT + ~20

°C temperature. We noticed that the lack of stability of the 3rd temperature point can lead to

inaccuracy in the working temperatures that are then set for the evolution assay. Furthermore,

depending on the RT, the temperature of the third point is generally outside of the physiological

range. To eliminate this source of variability, we have modified the eVOLVER software source

code and compiled a new application *eVOLVER_V2*, which uses RT, RT + ~6 °C and RT + ~12

°C (Supplementary Information). This modification generates accurate temperature calibration

curves and improves the reliability of the culture temperature during an evolution assay. While

the calibration target values were established based on standard growth temperatures of fission

and budding yeast cells (25 to 32 °C), this range can be modified by the user to generate a new

compilation of the eVOLVER application (Supplementary Information). We noted that despite

carefully performing this calibration, a limited temperature offset may persist between the

temperature determined by eVOLVER and the temperature measured using a highly accurate

sensor directly immersed in the culture (0.15 °C lower, on average, than the target temperature

in our experiments; data not shown). While this offset is largely negligible, it can be determined

for each vial and used to correct the target temperature when an experiment requires an

extremely accurate control of the growth temperature.

Long-term experimental evolution assay

OD calculation during an evolution experiment

An eVOLVER assay begins with the blank measurements of vials that contain only

growth medium. The raw_{blank} value (light scattering value) and the calibration curve

(OD=f(raw)) of the sleeve then allow for determining an intermediate OD value that we refer

to as OD_{blank}. Cells are then inoculated and at a time T1, the OD of the culture is determined as

follows. A raw_{T1} value is first measured, and an intermediate $OD_{interT1}$ is established using the

calibration curve. The final absolute OD is calculated as $OD_{interT1}$ - OD_{blank}. However, using the

original eVOLVER code and calibration procedure, this approach can introduce significant

errors in OD determination, as both OD_{blank} and $OD_{interT1}$ are calculated from the raw

measurements and the inaccurate, noisy calibration curves (see above).

While the new calibration and experimental methods using fixed glass vials generate

high-quality calibration curves, we reasoned that the use of the raw data for determining the

difference in measurements between the culture and the blank is a more reliable strategy to

calculate the OD of a given population. First, we establish a difference in raw values Δraw_{T1} =

raw_{T1}-raw_{blank}. As these two raw values are measured from the same fixed glass vial, Δraw_{T1}

results solely from changes in cell culture density. Nevertheless, by transposing this difference

to the calibration curve, we compensate for any small variations that may result from limited

movements of the glass vial. Thus, the OD of the culture is calculated using the calibration

curve and a light scattering value raw_{final} = raw_{0_cal} + Δraw_{T1}, with raw_{0_cal} being the raw value

of the first measurement obtained during the calibration, using PBS.

It is important to note that these methods can only be applied when there is no major

difference between the light scattering of the medium and that of PBS. We have validated this

through comparing the raw light scattering data from eVOLVER as well as the OD determined

by a spectrophotometer for different media (Table 1). We have now implemented the OD

calculation based on Δraw_{T1} in the eVOLVER code that we provide (Supplementary

Information).

Hardware improvements for long-term evolution assays

As discussed above, changes in the exact position of the glass vials in the smart sleeves

are problematic for both the calibration and the evolution assay, as they have a strong impact

on the OD determination. We have therefore designed new 2-part caps that prevent vial rotation

while providing the user with all the necessary ports for connecting the vials to the pump system

and for sampling the cultures (Fig. 3A). These caps consist of a base ring that is attached to the

sleeve, combined with a top complementary part that is fixed to the glass vial. CAD files are

provided for 3D-printing of these caps, which can be produced from autoclavable materials

(Supplementary Information).

One of the major problems encountered when performing long-term experimental

evolution assays using eVOLVER is the possibility of medium leaks from the vials. Most of

the time, these result from alteration of the effluent pump operation or clogging of the effluent

line. As it is difficult to fully avoid such leaks, we have designed additional hardware that

protects both the sleeves and main body of the eVOLVER from being exposed to liquid

medium. First, the new caps described above have a diameter that is larger than that of the

sleeves themselves (Fig. 3A). This prevents medium that may leak from the connectors or

sampling port from flooding the sleeve and damaging its electronic board. Silicone rings are

used to seal the cap to the vials. In addition, we have fabricated a protective casing for the

eVOLVER: combined with silicon pads, it protects the main eVOLVER hardware from being

damaged (Fig. 3B). Altogether, these improvements limit the risk of aborting an ongoing long-

term evolution assay.

Finally, over the course of an evolution experiment, the user regularly manipulates the

eVOLVER system and associated tubing. We noticed that the positioning of the eVOLVER

main switch makes it possible to accidentally turn the machine off, entirely stopping the

ongoing experiment. We are therefore using a casing system that protects the power switch

(Fig. 3C). All of these parts can be fabricated using a standard CO_2 laser cutter, using the

accompanying vector files (Supplementary Information).

Restarting an evolution assay from a problematic vial

One of the most critical aspects of running a long-term experimental evolution assay

using eVOLVER is to have the possibility to restart a culture when a specific vial fails,

generally due to contamination or medium leakage. Importantly, this must be achieved without

interfering with the other vials. First, this requires the sampling and freezing of all ongoing

cultures at regular and frequent intervals, making it possible to resume an experiment from a

well-defined time point. Second, the overall experiment must be paused, a new vial inserted in

the specific failed position and inoculated with cells, followed by resumption of the experiment.

However, this procedure is not straightforward: indeed, switching a failed vial for a new one

with a freshly thawed culture has an impact on the OD determination due to the change of glass

vial, as discussed above.

We have therefore established a robust method for dealing with this frequently

occurring and crucial issue. First, a new vial containing only growth medium is inserted. It is

then manually rotated to reach an OD close to zero, as determined by eVOLVER. To facilitate

this, we use the *VialReplace_Equilibrate* script, which displays the OD determined by

eVOLVER in real-time. Note that the OD indicated in the eVOLVER application or on the

touch screen of the machine cannot be used as it takes into account the calibration blank rather

than that of the growth medium. If a value of zero is reached or when the difference with the

blank that was determined at the start of the assay is considered by the user as non-significant

for the assay, the cells can be inoculated and the experiment resumed.

However, it is often difficult to reach an OD that is sufficiently close to zero, due to the

high variability between glass vials. In this case, the calibration curve of only the corresponding

position must be corrected to integrate the vial change. This is achieved through resetting the

calibration blank (Fig. 4), using the *VialReplace_Blank* script. A complete description of this

critical protocol is provided in the Supplementary Information. This method allows the user to

maintain a high degree of accuracy in OD measurements and doubling time determinations

despite the change in glass vial. Notably, this replacement procedure can also be used if an

experiment is designed to integrate additional cultures during an ongoing assay. To this end, all

vials should be calibrated prior to starting the experiment, and the addition of new vials should

simply be treated as the replacement of a failed vial.

Restarting individual cultures from intermediate frozen samples in an ongoing long-

term experimental evolution assay is frequently necessary. Here we provide all the tools to deal

with this issue while maintaining high quality measurements and data. Together with the other

improvements that we have implemented, this enables the user to maintain evolving cultures in

reproducible conditions over long periods of time (*e.g.* > 500 generations, Fig. 5)

Monitoring a long-term experimental evolution assay

An improved interface for monitoring evolving cultures

One of the keys to the success of a long-term experimental evolution assay is the

capacity to monitor the critical parameters associated with the experiment. The previous

eVOLVER graphic interface allowed the user to separately display the OD measurements of a

given culture. We have now made several improvements to this interface (Supplementary

Information). First, together with the OD recording, a plot displays the real-time population

growth rate, as calculated by the standard eVOLVER code based on the growth curve between

two successive dilutions, as well as the average growth rate over time using a sliding window

of 10 successive points. Second, in addition to the specific pages dedicated to each culture, the

main page now displays the growth rate data of all ongoing cultures, allowing the user to have

a rapid overview of the entire assay (Fig. 6A). Third, we have a new *DILUTIONS* page for

visualizing the dilution information and media consumption, as well as accessing the tools for

the management of the media bottles that are connected to the vials (Fig. 6B). This page

includes a setup interface, allowing the user to assign given media bottles to specific sets of

vials and subsequently track medium consumption. It also makes it possible to register a change

in media bottles, generating a log of all bottle switches over the course of the experiment.

Finally, the *DILUTIONS* page displays additional information such as the calculated vial

consumptions and the efficiency of the dilution steps (*i.e.* how many dilutions are required to

achieve the target; see Supplementary Information).

A new set of tools for the surveillance of the eVOLVER system

While performing a long-term evolution assay, it is essential for the user to deal rapidly

with potential issues and make sure that all vials remain connected to bottles that contain

sufficient amounts of media. To this end, we have integrated a messaging functionality that

takes advantage of the open-source Telegram Bots and automatically sends notifications to the

user (Supplementary Information). The current version of the messaging functionality that we

have implemented alerts the user when an error occurs in the eVOLVER experiment code and

blocks the assay (*e.g.* syntax error resulting from modifying the experimental script). It also

sends a notification when an experiment is paused for more than 10 min. This is particularly

important as an assay is often manually paused when manipulating the machine or sampling

the cultures. If the experiment is not resumed, cells grow to saturation, thus impinging on the

quality of the results.

In addition, we have developed a tool that monitors medium consumption using

individual scales (Fig. 6C) and sends a GSM message when the medium has reached a low limit

(Supplementary Information). This utilizes a commercial system (www.bker.io) that we have

implemented in the graphic interface. The messaging limits are defined by the user and set on

the device's online portal at the beginning of the experiment, but they can be modified even

during an ongoing evolution assay. A *SCALES* page related to this system is available in the

improved graphic interface (Fig. 6C). It provides the real-time weight of each bottle as well as

graphs of estimated medium consumption. It also includes a calculation of the medium

consumption rates and predictions of the time until a bottle change will be required. All the data

acquired by the scales are available in the experimental folder. Finally, this system also

monitors the power: when connected to the same electrical circuit as eVOLVER, it alerts the

user in case of a power cut and complete stoppage of the machine. Altogether, this system is

not only useful for alerting when a bottle of media runs empty (note that an unexpectedly high

medium consumption can occur in case of a vial failure and thereby affect all vials connected

to the same bottle), but it is also a resource that facilitates the planning and anticipation of the

different steps that are required to maintain a robust, long-term evolution assay.

CONCLUSION

The eVOLVER framework is a unique system for automated, multiplexed experimental evolution, providing an unprecedented versatility for performing complex experiments. However, a number of improvements were required for conducting reliable long-term assays over hundreds of generations or more and comparing the dynamics of cultures evolved in parallel. These include an increased accuracy and reproducibility in the OD measurements, the establishment of methods for dealing with issues that commonly occur when performing such long experiments, hardware and software upgrades, and access to tools that facilitate the monitoring of ongoing cultures. Here we provide all the methods, scripts and accompanying materials that allow any research group using eVOLVER to exploit these systems to their full potential. This makes the automated laboratory evolution of multiple parallel cultures over long periods of time robust and manageable. Experimental evolution as a research strategy has gained strong interest in a variety of fields, but its use remains relatively restricted due to the complexities of carrying out such analyses. The methodologies that we have developed will make it possible for a broader community of researchers to take advantage of this this powerful approach, opening the door to new investigations, projects and collaborations.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

410   A detailed and comprehensive step-by-step Materials and Methods section is provided

411   in Supplementary Information. It represents a complete standalone methodological guide for

412   performing experimental evolution assays using eVOLVER and the novel methods described

413   above.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Pei-Yun Jenny Wu and Snezhana Oliferenko for critically reading this manuscript. This work was supported by the Agence Nationale de la Recherche (PRC eVOLve, ANR-18-CD13-0009 to D.C.), the Région Nouvelle Aquitaine (program CHESS, grant agreements 15963520 and 15964420 to D.C.), the National Institutes of Health (NIH) (grants R01AI171100 and R01EB027793 to A.S.K.), and the National Science Foundation (NSF) (grant EF-1921677 to A.S.K.). A.S.K. also acknowledges funding from the Department of Defense (Vannevar Bush Faculty Fellowship N00014-20-1-2825) and the W.M. Keck Foundation. E.Y.W. was supported by an NIH-funded predoctoral training fellowship (T32GM130546). D.G.R. was co-funded by the ANR eVOLve, a grant from the Région Bretagne (ARED) and from the Fondation ARC. A.J. was funded by the ANR eVOLve.

Conflict of Interest: Z.G.H., B.G.W., and A.S.K. hold equity in Fynch Biosciences, a manufacturer of eVOLVER hardware.

REFERENCES

Anderson JB, Sirjusingh C, Ricker N. 2004. Haploidy, diploidy and evolution of antifungal
drug resistance in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *Genetics* 168:1915–1923.

Banyai G, Baïdi F, Coudreuse D, Szilagyi Z. 2016. Cdk1 activity acts as a quantitative
platform for coordinating cell cycle progression with periodic transcription. *Nature*
communications 7:11161.

Blount ZD, Borland CZ, Lenski RE. 2008. Historical contingency and the evolution of a key
innovation in an experimental population of Escherichia coli. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S*
A 105:7899–7906.

Bull AT. 2010. The renaissance of continuous culture in the post-genomics age. *J Ind*
Microbiol Biotechnol 37:993–1021.

Callens C, Coelho NC, Miller AW, Sananes MRD, Dunham MJ, Denoual M, Coudreuse D.
2017. A multiplex culture system for the long-term growth of fission yeast cells. *Yeast*
(Chichester, England) 34:343–355.

Coudreuse D, Nurse P. 2010. Driving the cell cycle with a minimal CDK control network.
Nature 468:1074–1079.

Dragosits M, Mattanovich D. 2013. Adaptive laboratory evolution – principles and
applications for biotechnology. *Microbial Cell Factories* 12:64.

Elena SF, Lenski RE. 2003. Evolution experiments with microorganisms: the dynamics and
genetic bases of adaptation. *Nat Rev Genet* 4:457–469.

Fumasoni M, Murray AW. 2021. Ploidy and recombination proficiency shape the
evolutionary adaptation to constitutive DNA replication stress. *PLoS Genet*
17:e1009875.

Gresham D, Dunham MJ. 2014. The enduring utility of continuous culturing in experimental
evolution. *Genomics* 104:399–405.

Hayles J, Nurse P. 1992. Genetics of the fission yeast Schizosaccharomyces pombe. *Annual*
review of genetics 26:373–402.

Heins ZJ, Mancuso CP, Kiriakov S, Wong BG, Bashor CJ, Khalil AS. 2019. Designing
Automated, High-throughput, Continuous Cell Growth Experiments Using
eVOLVER. *J Vis Exp*.

Hope EA, Amorosi CJ, Miller AW, Dang K, Heil CS, Dunham MJ. 2017. Experimental
Evolution Reveals Favored Adaptive Routes to Cell Aggregation in Yeast. *Genetics*
206:1153–1167.

Klein T, Schneider K, Heinzle E. 2013. A system of miniaturized stirred bioreactors for
parallel continuous cultivation of yeast with online measurement of dissolved oxygen
and off-gas. *Biotechnology and bioengineering* 110:535–542.

Kryazhimskiy S, Rice DP, Jerison ER, Desai MM. 2014. Global epistasis makes adaptation
469   predictable despite sequence-level stochasticity. *Science* 344.

470   Lang GI, Rice DP, Hickman MJ, Sodergren E, Weinstock GM, Botstein D, Desai MM. 2013.
471   Pervasive genetic hitchhiking and clonal interference in forty evolving yeast
472   populations. *Nature* 500:571–574.

473   Lenski RE, Travisano M. 1994. Dynamics of adaptation and diversification: a 10,000-
474   generation experiment with bacterial populations. *Proceedings of the National*
475   *Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 91:6808–6814.

476   Maddamsetti R, Lenski RE, Barrick JE. 2015. Adaptation, Clonal Interference, and
477   Frequency-Dependent Interactions in a Long-Term Evolution Experiment with
478   Escherichia coli. *Genetics* 200:619–631.

479   Miller AW, Befort C, Kerr EO, Dunham MJ. 2013. Design and use of multiplexed chemostat
480   arrays. *Journal of visualized experiments : JoVE*:e50262–e50262.

481   Moreno S, Klar A, Nurse P. 1991. Molecular genetic analysis of fission yeast
482   Schizosaccharomyces pombe. *Methods in enzymology* 194:795–823.

483   Mundhada H, Seoane JM, Schneider K, Koza A, Christensen HB, Klein T, Phaneuf PV,
484   Herrgard M, Feist AM, Nielsen AT. 2017. Increased production of L-serine in
485   Escherichia coli through Adaptive Laboratory Evolution. *Metab Eng* 39:141–150.

486   Pavani M, Bonaiuti P, Chiroli E, Gross F, Natali F, Macaluso F, Póti Á, Pasqualato S, Farkas
487   Z, Pompei S, et al. 2021. Epistasis, aneuploidy, and functional mutations underlie
488   evolution of resistance to induced microtubule depolymerization. *EMBO J*
489   40:e108225.

490   Takahashi CN, Miller AW, Ekness F, Dunham MJ, Klavins E. 2015. A low cost,
491   customizable turbidostat for use in synthetic circuit characterization. *ACS synthetic*
492   *biology* 4:32–38.

493   Toprak E, Veres A, Yildiz S, Pedraza JM, Chait R, Paulsson J, Kishony R. 2013. Building a
494   morbidostat: an automated continuous-culture device for studying bacterial drug
495   resistance under dynamically sustained drug inhibition. *Nat Protoc* 8:555–567.

496   Torres EM, Dephoure N, Panneerselvam A, Tucker CM, Whittaker CA, Gygi SP, Dunham
497   MJ, Amon A. 2010. Identification of aneuploidy-tolerating mutations. *Cell* 143:71–83.

498   Vázquez-García I, Salinas F, Li J, Fischer A, Barré B, Hallin J, Bergström A, Alonso-Perez E,
499   Warringer J, Mustonen V, et al. 2017. Clonal Heterogeneity Influences the Fate of
500   New Adaptive Mutations. *Cell Rep* 21:732–744.

501   Wang G, Li Q, Zhang Z, Yin X, Wang B, Yang X. 2023. Recent progress in adaptive
502   laboratory evolution of industrial microorganisms. *Journal of Industrial Microbiology*
503   *and Biotechnology* 50:kuac023.

504   Wong BG, Mancuso CP, Kiriakov S, Bashor CJ, Khalil AS. 2018. Precise, automated control
505   of conditions for high-throughput growth of yeast and bacteria with eVOLVER. *Nat*
506   *Biotechnol* 36:614–623.

507   Yona AH, Manor YS, Herbst RH, Romano GH, Mitchell A, Kupiec M, Pilpel Y, Dahan O.
508   2012. Chromosomal duplication is a transient evolutionary solution to stress. *Proc*
509   *Natl Acad Sci U S A* 109:21010–21015.

Figure 1. A. Principle of the eVOLVER system. Left panel: cells are grown in dedicated culture vials, whose optical densities are constantly monitored by eVOLVER. When a culture reaches a user-defined high OD threshold (HT), the influx pump that is connected to the vial is automatically triggered, allowing for the injection of medium until the culture OD reaches a user-defined low OD threshold (LT). A constant culture volume is maintained due to the concomitant operation of the efflux pump during the dilution process. Right panel: the eVOLVER system allows for maintaining continuous cultures that oscillate between two OD thresholds. Using a narrow range of ODs allows a turbidostat-like operation of the system. **B.** OD calibration curves of two representative eVOLVER sleeves generated using the original calibration protocol (Wong et al. 2018; Heins et al. 2019). **C.** Using the updated eVOLVER hardware, the LED power can be adjusted for each sleeve to improve the dynamic range of the OD calibration curves. The curves of two representative sleeves with three different LED powers are shown. These curves were obtained using the original calibration method. **D.** OD calibration curves of two representative eVOLVER sleeves after LED optimization, using the original calibration method. All experiments were performed using wild-type fission yeast cells grown in minimal medium (EMM) and subsequently diluted in PBS to prevent growth during the calibration procedure.

Figure 2. A. OD measurements of growing cultures in eVOLVER. Two representative sleeves are shown. At T=0, wild-type fission yeast cells were inoculated in minimal medium (EMM), and the OD of the populations were monitored using 1) culture sampling and OD determination in a spectrophotometer (Spectro), 2) the original calibration method after LED optimization (Standard) and 3) the new calibration method that we developed, after LED optimization (New).

For this experiment, no automated dilution was applied. **B.** Changes in the position of the glass vial within a smart sleeve strongly impact the accuracy of the raw measurements. The data for two representative sleeves are shown. First, the raw values of the light scattering using glass vials filled with PBS were measured continuously for 2h (447 datapoints – Fix; box and whiskers plot indicating the minimum, Q1, median, Q3 and maximum, with outliers determined by 1.5 IQR). Subsequently, 5 successive measurements were made, rotating the vials a few degrees between each acquisition (Rotation). The variability that results from vial rotation is significantly higher than the noise of the measurements observed with a fixed vial. **C.** Changes in the position of the glass vial within a smart sleeve strongly impact the quality of the OD determination. Vials with cells at an OD of ~0.35 were inserted into the sleeves. The OD of the cultures as determined by eVOLVER were recorded for 5 consecutive time points (1 min between points) first without moving the vials and then with a rotation of a few degrees of the vials between time points. As a control, the ODs of the populations were measured 5 consecutive times using a standard spectrophotometer. The data for two representative sleeves are shown. **D.** Impact of the glass vial on the reproducibility of the OD measurements. Cells were grown to an OD of ~0.35 as measured three times using a standard spectrophotometer at the beginning of the experiment (dashed lines). The culture was then split in 5 eVOLVER glass vials and the OD of each vial was determined within the same sleeve (3 consecutive points at 1 min intervals for each vial). **E.** OD calibration curves of two representative eVOLVER sleeves using optimized LED power and the new calibration method. *B-E:* All experiments were performed using wild-type fission yeast cells grown in minimal medium (EMM) and subsequently diluted in PBS to prevent growth during the calibration procedure.

Figure 3. A. Newly designed vial caps that allow for fixing the position of the vials in the sleeves while preventing leaked medium from flooding the sleeves. The needles are maintained

in position using standard heat-shrink tubing. The cap integrates two additional ports that can

be used for more complex assays. **B.** eVOLVER enclosure designed to protect the main body

of the eVOLVER machine from leakage of liquid medium. The casing is tilted to facilitate the

efficient evacuation of liquid medium. **C.** Casing designed to prevent accidental switching off

of the eVOLVER system during an experiment.

Figure 4. Overlay of distinct calibration curves obtained by performing five consecutive

calibrations of a single sleeve using five distinct vials. Data for two representative sleeves are

shown. While the overall shape of the curves is mostly determined by the sleeve hardware, the

light scattering measurement of the initial calibration sample (PBS) is influenced by the glass

vial. Thus, for a given sleeve, the calibration curves that are obtained with different vials are

mostly parallel, only differing by a constant offset in the raw scattering data. This makes it

possible to use the difference in medium blanks, rather than that in calibration blanks, to correct

the calibration curve of a sleeve when the vial needs to be changed during an evolution assay.

Experiments were performed using fission yeast cells operating with a minimal cell cycle

control network (Coudreuse and Nurse 2010; Banyai et al. 2016) grown in minimal medium

(EMM (Moreno et al. 1991; Hayles and Nurse 1992)) and subsequently diluted in PBS to

prevent growth during the calibration procedure.

Figure 5. Example of an experimental evolution assay using the improved eVOLVER platform

and fission yeast cells growing in the absence of stress (left panel) or exposed to hyperosmotic

conditions (right panel). For visualization purposes, the y-axis (generation time) in the stress

conditions is limited to 7h, preventing the full display of the generation time curve (maximum

generation time: ~14h). Experiments were performed using fission yeast cells operating with a

minimal cell cycle control network grown in minimal medium (EMM, left) or in EMM + 1.5

M KCl (right).

Figure 6. A. Screen capture of the main page of the new graphic interface displaying the data

for all ongoing cultures (only 12 vials were used in the displayed assay). **B.** Screen capture of

the *DILUTIONS* page for dilution monitoring and medium bottle management. Medium

consumption is calculated from the start of the experiment. In the displayed assay, not all sleeve

positions are used. **C.** Left: Scale dedicated to monitoring the quantity of medium in a feeding

bottle. Right: screen capture of the graphic interface dedicated to the scale-based media

monitoring system (assay with 2 bottles is displayed as an example).

Table 1. Light scattering measurements of different growth media.

	Water	PBS	EMM	EMM6S	YE4S
Raw value	41295 ± 33	41115 ± 30	41652 ± 54	41362 ± 79	41457 ± 66
Difference Raw	0	-180	358	68	162
OD$_{595}$	Blank	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.03

Raw values of light scattering were determined for different solutions and media using a single fixed glass vial in a single eVOLVER sleeve. For each condition, 5 consecutive raw measurements were recorded (averages and standard deviations are shown) and the differences in average raw values with that of water were calculated. OD$_{595}$ were determined using a standard spectrophotometer, with water used as a blank. The differences in light scattering between the solutions are in the range of the measurement noise (Fig. 2B). PBS is the standard solution for the calibration procedure. EMM: minimal medium. EMM6S: supplemented minimal medium. YE4S: supplemented yeast extract-based rich medium.

FIGURE 1

FIGURE 2

FIGURE 3

A   **B**   **C**

FIGURE 4

FIGURE 5

FIGURE 6

A

B

C