Metabolic network construction reveals probiotic-specific alterations in the metabolic activity of a

synthetic small intestinal community

Jack Jansma [1], Anastasia Chrysovalantou Chatziioannou [1*], Kitty Castricum [2], Saskia van Hemert [2], Sahar

El Aidy [1#]

Affiliations

[1] Host-microbe Interactions, Groningen Biomolecular Sciences and Biotechnology Institute (GBB),

University of Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands.

[2] Winclove Probiotics, Amsterdam, the Netherlands

*Present address: Department of Chemistry, Laboratory of Analytical Biochemistry, University of

Crete, Heraklion, Greece

[#] Corresponding author

Sahar El Aidy. Host-microbe Interactions, Groningen Biomolecular Sciences and Biotechnology

Institute (GBB), University of Groningen, Nijenborgh 7, 9747 AG Groningen, The Netherlands. P:

+31(0)503632201.

E: sahar.elaidy@rug.nl

Abstract

The gut microbiota plays a crucial role in maintaining overall health and probiotics have emerged as a promising microbiota-targeted therapy for improving human health. However, the molecular mechanisms of probiotics action in general and the targeting of small intestinal microbiota by probiotics are not well understood. To address this, we constructed a synthetic community of three species, which resembles the upper small intestinal microbiota. Our results indicate that probiotic supplementation can directly affect the metabolism of the community, resulting in colonization resistance in a probiotic specific manner. Supplementation with *Streptococcus thermophilus* led to increased lactate production and a decrease in pH, while *Lactobacillus casei* supplementation increased the resistance to perturbations and nutrient utilization without affecting lactate production or pH. Additionally, when combined with kynurenine, *Lactobacillus casei* enhanced the kynurenine pathway metabolism resulting in elevated kynurenic acid levels and possibly indirect colonization resistance. Overall, our study reveals how selecting probiotics with distinct functional capacities can unlock the full potential of microbiota-targeted therapies.

Keywords

Small intestine, microbiota, probiotics, dynamic metabolic networks, kynurenic acid

Importance

The development of probiotic therapies targeted at the small intestinal microbiota represents a significant advancement in the field of probiotic interventions. This region poses unique opportunities due to its low number of gut microbiota, along with the presence of heightened immune and metabolic host responses. However, progress in this area has been hindered by a lack of detailed understanding regarding the molecular mechanisms through which probiotics exert their effects in the small intestine. Our study, utilizing a synthetic community of three small intestinal bacterial strains and the addition of two different probiotic species, and kynurenine as a representative dietary or endogenously

53   produced compound, highlights the importance of selecting probiotic species with diverse genetic

54   capabilities that complement the functional capacity of the resident microbiota, or alternatively,

55   constructing a multispecies formula. This approach holds great promise for the development of

56   effective probiotic therapies and underscores the need to consider the functional capacity of probiotic

57   species when designing interventions.

Introduction

In recent decades, there has been a growing interest in investigating the gut-microbiota and its

significant roles in maintaining human health [1, 2]. The gut-microbiota is composed primarily of

Bacteroidaceae, *Prevotellaceae*, *Rikenellaceae*, *Lachnospiraceae* and *Ruminococcaceae*, which reside

mainly in the colon [3]. In contrast to the colon, the small intestinal microbiota is highly dynamic and

location-specific. For example, *Streptococcaceae* and *Veilonellaceae* are abundant in the duodenum

[4], while *Streptococcaceae*, *Carnobacteriaceae* and *Actinomycetaceae* prevail in the jejunum [5] and

Lactobacillaceae, *Erysipelottrichaceae*, and *Enterobacteriaceae* are dominant in the ileum [6]. The

small intestinal microbiota plays a crucial role in regulating the metabolic, endocrine, and immune

functions of their hosts [7–9]. Consequently, altering the equilibrium between the host and the

microbiota can negatively affect the health of the host [10, 11]. Thus, to re-establish this equilibrium

and enhance the health of the host, multiple microbiota-targeted therapies, such as probiotics, are

employed [12].

Probiotics are defined as live microorganisms that, when administered in adequate amounts, confer a

health benefit on the host [13]. The development of probiotic therapies aiming at targeting the small

intestinal microbiota poses a significant challenge due to the limited access to the human small

intestine. Currently, investigations into the effect of probiotics on the small intestine are carried out

either directly on animal models [9, 14] or indirectly through small clinical trials that lack detailed

molecular mechanisms [15]. This lack of understanding hampers the development of novel probiotic

therapies. In recent years, it has been demonstrated that the microbiota retrieved from stoma effluent

exhibits similar compositional and behavioral characteristics with the healthy ileal microbiota [16].

These findings suggest that the stoma effluent-derived microbiota may serve as a promising source for

probiotic research [17, 18]. However, the utilization of stoma effluent is limited for the study of the

upper small intestine. To address this limitation, there is a need for the construction of synthetic

bacterial communities to bridge this research gap [19]. Synthetic bacterial communities are bacterial

mixtures produced through co-culturing of selected bacteria in controlled environments [20]. These

communities can comprise a range from two [21] to over one hundred species [22]. Synthetic

communities can be subjected to perturbation through manipulation of growth parameters or the

introduction of new bacterial species, and can also be supplemented with specific compounds such as

prebiotics [23]. Analysis of the community's molecular response allows a deeper understanding of the

underlying molecular mechanisms [24], optimization of metabolites' production [25] and assessment

of emergent properties such as stability or resistance to perturbations [26, 27]. This methodology has

the potential to guide the development of novel microbiota-targeted therapies.

In humans, the kynurenine pathway is the primary route for the metabolism of free tryptophan, and it

plays a critical role in the generation of energy in the form of nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide

(NAD$^+$), immune system regulation [28] and the production of the neuroactive compounds quinolinic

and kynurenic acid (KYNA) [29]. Increased tryptophan metabolism via the kynurenine pathway in the

gut is associated with obesity and alterations in the microbiota [29]. G-protein coupled receptor 35

(GPR35), which is activated by KYNA, is abundantly expressed in the intestine, where it plays a role in

immune regulation and epithelial barrier function [30]. The concentration of KYNA in the gut lumen

increases from the proximal to the distal part of the intestine, indicating production by the gut

microbiota [31, 32]. Several gut bacteria can produce compounds from the kynurenine pathway [32,

33] and probiotics supplementation have been shown to reduce intestinal inflammation and improve

intestinal barrier function accompanied by reduced kynurenine but increased KYNA levels [34, 35].

However, the precise effects of kynurenine pathway metabolism within the microbiota remain

unknown.

In the present study, a dynamic correlation-based network approach was utilized in

conjunction with multivariate analysis of experimental data obtained from chip-based digital

polymerase chain reaction (c-dPCR), proton-nuclear magnetic resonance (^{1}H-NMR) and high-

performance liquid chromatography-ultraviolet (HPLC-UV). The aim was to examine the impact of

introducing probiotic species on the general metabolic activity and the kynurenine pathway activity of

a simplified community consisting of three intestinal isolates, which represent the small intestinal

microbiota.

Material and methods

Bacteria and growth media

Bacteria were selected based on their abundance in the upper small intestine, ability to metabolically

affect the kynurenine pathway or probiotic activity in the small intestine. *Escherichia coli* DSM11250

was obtained from the German collection of microorganisms and cell cultures; *Pseudomonas*

fluorescens MFY63 was obtained from the laboratory of microbiology signals and microenvironment

[36]; *Streptococcus salivarius* HSISS4 was obtained from the laboratory of host-microbe interactomics

[37]; *Streptococcus thermophilus* W69 and *Lactobacillus casei* W56 were obtained from Winclove

probiotics. All individual bacterial and community growth experiments were performed using enriched

beef broth (EBB) [38].

Bacterial kynurenine pathway metabolism

To investigate kynurenine pathway metabolism by the bacterial strains, 3 mL EBB was inoculated from

a glycerol stock stored at -80 °C and grown overnight at 37 °C. *E. coli* and *P. fluorescens* were grown

shaking at 220 RPM, *S. salivarius*, *S. thermophilus* and *L. casei* were grown without shaking. Overnight

grown pre-culture were diluted 1:100 in fresh EBB supplemented with 500 µM tryptophan and

kynurenine or kynurenic acid. At the start (0h) and at termination of the experiment (24h) 250 µL

culture was transferred to a new tube and immediately 1 mL cold (-20 °C) methanol was added. The

samples were stored at -20 °C until further use.

Growth experiments, community construction and sampling in a mini-bioreactor

All   experiments   were   conducted   in   a   MiniBio 250 mL   bioreactor

(Applikon Biotechnology, The Netherlands) with a working volume of 250 mL. Each experiment was

performed in 200 mL EBB + 500 µM tryptophan and, if indicated 100 µM kynurenine. To prevent foam

accumulation 0.1 % (v/v) silicon antifoam emulsion (Carl Roth, Germany) was added. The temperature,

dissolved   oxygen   and   pH were   monitored online.   The   adaptive   my-Control   system

(Applikon Biotechnology, The Netherlands) was used to control process parameters. Setpoints of 50%

of the atmospheric oxygen and 37 °C were applied for dissolved oxygen and the temperature,

respectively [39, 40]. The culture was continuously stirred which was controlled with the adaptive my-

Control system. The stirrer speed increased linearly with decreasing oxygen below the setpoint up to

a maximum of 1200 RPM. The stirrer speed decreased linearly with increasing oxygen above the

setpoint down to a minimum of 220 RPM.

To determine kynurenine pathway metabolism of the individually grown bacteria in the bioreactor, a

single colony of *P. fluorescens* or 1 mL overnight grown precultures of *E. coli*, *S. salivarius*, *S.*

thermophilus or *L. casei* was added to 200 mL EBB supplemented with 500 µM tryptophan and, if

indicated, 100 µM kynurenine. At the start (0h) and at termination of the experiment (24h) 250 µL

culture was transferred to a new tube and immediately 1 mL cold (-20 °C) methanol was added. The

samples were stored at -20 °C until further use.

Community growth was obtained via inoculation of a single colony of *P. fluorescens* MFY63 in 200 mL

EBB supplemented with 500 µM tryptophan and, if indicated, 100 µM kynurenine. After 23 hours of

growth, 1 mL of combined overnight grown pre-cultures of *E. coli* (1:10000)*, S. Salivarius* (1:100) and

L. casei (1:100) or *S. thermophilus* (1:100) were added. At 0, 3, 5, 7, and 24 hours after inoculation with

the overnight grown pre-cultures a 5 mL sample was obtained. Immediately 250 µL was added to 400

µL NMR buffer (200 mM Na_2HPO_4, 44 mM NaH_2PO_4, 1 mM TSP, 3 mM NaN_3 and 20% (v/v) D_2O),

centrifuged at 4 °C, 21130 rcf for 20 min and 550 µL was transferred to a 5 mm NMR tube. For biomass

determination, 1.5 mL sample was centrifuged at room temperature for 1 min at 21130 rcf. The

supernatant was removed and the wet pellet was weighed. Lastly, 250 µL sample was transferred to a

new tube and immediately 1 mL cold (-20 °C) methanol was added. The samples were stored at -20 °C

until further use.

UHPLC-UV

Cell debris was removed from the sample stored at -20 °C in methanol by centrifugation at 4 °C, 21130

rcf for 10 min. The supernatant was transferred to a new tube and the methanol fraction was

evaporated using a Savant speed-vacuum dryer (SPD131, Fisher Scientific, Landsmeer, The

Netherlands) at 60 °C for 75 min. The dried material was reconstituted to 1 mL with 0.7% perchloric

acid. Samples were filtered using a 0.2 µM RC membranes (Phenomenex, Utrecht, The Netherlands)

and injected into the UHPLC system (Dionex UltiMate 3000 autosampler; Dionex UltiMate 3000 LPG-

3400SD pump, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, Massachusetts, USA). All samples were analyzed on

a C18 column (Kinetex 5 µm, C18 100 Å, 250 × 4.6 mm, Phenomenex, Utrecht, the Netherlands) using

a gradient of water/methanol with 0.1% formic acid (0 to 10 min, 95% to 80% H2O; 10 to 20 min, 80%

to 5% H2O; 20 to 23 min, 5% H2O; 23 to 31 min, 95% H2O), with a flowrate of 1 mL/min. The column

was kept at a temperature of 35 °C. UV-detection at 260 nm was performed with an UV6000LP

Detector (Dionex Ultimate 3000 variable wavelength detector, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham,

Massachusetts, USA) Data recording and analysis were performed using Chromeleon software (version

6.8 SR13).

DNA extraction

DNA extraction was performed as previously described [41] from the cell pellets obtained by

centrifuging 1.5 mL culture for 1 min at 21130 rcf. The supernatant was removed, the pellets were

resuspended in 750 mL lysis buffer (500 mM NaCl, 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 50 mM EDTA, 4 % SDS)

and transferred to a 2 mL screw cap tube containing 0.5 g, 0.1 mm zirconia beads and 4, 3 mm glass

beads. The cells were disrupted with a mini-bead beater (3X 1 min, with 1 min intervals on ice) and

incubated at 95 °C for 15 min. Cell debris was removed by centrifugation at 4 °C, 21130 rcf for 20 min.

500 µL of the supernatant was transferred to a clean tube. 200 µL 10 M ammonium acetate was added,

and the samples were incubated for 10 min on ice. The samples were centrifuged at 4 °C, 21130 rcf for

10 min. 600 µL was transferred to a clean tube and 600 µL isopropanol was added. The samples were

incubated overnight at -20 °C and afterwards centrifuged at 4 °C, 21130 rcf for 15 min to pellet the

nucleic acids. The supernatant was removed and to wash the nucleic acid pellet 700 µL 70 % ethanol

was added, the samples were mixed thoroughly and centrifuged at room temperature, 21130 rcf for 5

min. The pellets were completely dried by leaving the tubes open for 60 min at room temperature and

were dissolved in 100 µL MilliQ.

Digital chip-based PCR analysis

Chip-based digital polymerase chain reaction (c-dPCR) was performed with the QuantStudio™ 3D

Digital PCR System (User Guide, Catalog Number A29154, Thermo Scientific). Each c-dPCR reaction was

prepared in a final volume of 15,5 µL containing 5 µL QuantStudio™ 3D Digital PCR Master Mix v2

(Applied Biosystems), 1 µM end concentration forward and reverse primer, 0,25 µM end concentration

probe **(Supplementary table 1, Eurogentec)** and nuclease free water. 1 µL extracted genomic DNA was

added to the reaction mix. The DNA concentration was estimated by using the cycle threshold values

from the qPCR run. For no-template control 1 µL nuclease free water was added instead of genomic

DNA. The positive control was extracted genomic DNA from pure cultures. 14,5 µL was loaded into the

QuantStudio™ 3D Digital 20K Chip v2 using the QuantStudio™ 3D Digital PCR Chip Loader. The

amplification reactions were performed in the QuantStudio™ 3D Digital PCR System. The PCR

conditions were: 10 minutes at 96 °C for DNA polymerase activation, followed by 40 two-step cycles

of 2 minutes at 60 °C, 30 seconds at 98 °C and a final extension for 2 minutes at 60 °C and an infinite

10°C hold until the chips were read. After DNA amplification the chips were transferred to the

QuantStudio™ 3D Digital PCR Chip reader for imaging. The end-point fluorescence data were collected

and analyzed using the QuantStudio™ 3D AnalysisSuite cloud software (version 3.1.6-PRC-build18).

Droplets were considered positive when the fluorescence signal was above the threshold. The

threshold was set to the positive control DNA and no-template control. The number of positive and

negative reactions were counted and using Poisson statistics to measure absolute copies per µL.

^{1}H NMR spectroscopy and data processing

All ^{1}H-NMR spectra were recorded using a Bruker 600 MHz AVANCE II spectrometer equipped with a

5 mm triple resonance inverse cryoprobe and a z-gradient system. The temperature of the samples

was maintained at 25 °C during measurement. Prior to data acquisition, tuning and matching of the

probe head followed by shimming and proton pulse calibration were performed automatically for each

sample. One-dimensional (1D) ^{1}H-NMR spectra were recorded using the first increment of a NOESY

pulse sequence with presaturation (γB_1 = 50 Hz) for water suppression during a relaxation delay of 4 s

and a mixing time of 10 ms. 256 scans of 65,536 points covering 13,658 Hz were recorded and zero

filled to 65,536 complex points prior to Fourier transformation, an exponential window function was

applied with a line-broadening factor of 1.0 Hz. The spectra were phase and baseline corrected and

referenced to the internal standard (TSP; δ 0.0 ppm), using the MestReNova software (v.12.0.0-20080,

Mesterlab Research). With the same software, spectral alignment was performed selecting manually

areas and applying a linear filling method of the missing values, after the exclusion of the water signal

and the surrounding empty area (4.50-5.30 ppm). Spectral binning followed from -0.50 to 9.00 ppm

with an equal size binning step of 0.005 ppm. Noise removal was performed by averaging each

integrated bin separately and removing the bins with an average below 100. Before ordination analysis

bins belonging to TSP (-0.50 – 0.72 ppm), ethanol (1.170 – 1.220 ppm and 3.640 – 3.690 ppm) and a

pH sensitive area (7.850 – 8.190 ppm) were removed. The annotation of the bins was performed with

the Chenomx Profiler software (Chenomx NMR Suite 8.6 and Chenomx 600 MHz, version 11) and the

HMDB database 5.0 (http://www.hmdb.ca).

Statistical and network analysis

All analysis of variance (ANOVA) were performed using GraphPad Prism 7.0. When a comparison

resulted in a statistically significant difference ($P < 0.05$), multiple comparisons testing was performed

by controlling the false discovery rate (FDR) according to the Benjamini-Hochberg method ($\alpha < 0.05$).

The R package MixOmics was used for ordination and multivariate statistical analysis of the ^{1}H-NMR

spectra [42]. The dynamic profile comparison using Kendall's τ correlation with the BH ($\alpha < 0.05$) was

performed using the R package psych. In CytoScape 3.9.1 the plugin CoNet [43] was used for network

construction. The network properties were obtained using the network analyzer tool. To analyze the

PLS-DA separation, the accuracy, R2, Q2 and *P*-value of a permutation test performed with the

separation distance statistic and 1000 permutations are calculated using MetaboAnalyst 5.0 [44].

Results

Kynurenine pathway metabolism and pH changes in a three species synthetic community

To investigate the metabolic network changes resulting from probiotic supplementation, it is critical

to carefully select bacterial members for the community. These members should be isolated from the

normal small intestinal microbiota and capable of metabolizing key proximal small intestinal

metabolites such as, acetate and lactate [7]. Additionally, the kynurenine pathway should be affected

by the community. In this study, *Streptococcus salivarius* and two bacteria capable of affecting the

kynurenine pathway, namely *Pseudomonas fluorescens* and *Escherichia coli* [33, 45–47], were selected.

Two commonly used probiotic species, which were previously shown to be active in the small intestine,

Streptococcus thermophilus W69 [48, 49] and *Lactobacillus casei* W56 [50], were added separately to

distinguish between general and species-specific effects of probiotic supplementation. Before

constructing the community, each species was separately grown in flasks with 500 µM tryptophan and

kynurenine or KYNA to investigate the ability of the various community strains to metabolize

kynurenine pathway metabolites. After 24 hours of incubation, kynurenine pathway metabolism was

observed for *P. fluorescens* and *E. coli*, but not for *S. salivarius*, *S. thermophilus* and *L. casei*

(Supplementary figure 1). To confirm the kynurenine pathway metabolism in conditions resembling

the upper small intestine, each strain is separately grown in a MiniBio 250 mL bioreactor

(Applikon Biotechnology, The Netherlands) at 37 °C with controlled pO2 (50% of the atmospheric air)

as previously described [39, 40] with and without 100 µM kynurenine. After 24 hours of incubation,

the results revealed that *E. coli* effectively metabolized tryptophan and converted kynurenine into

KYNA. Conversely, *P. fluorescens* produced only a small amount of kynurenine from tryptophan. In

contrast, *S. salivarius*, *S. thermophilus*, and *L. casei* did not metabolize either tryptophan or kynurenine

(Supplementary figure 2A). These findings confirm the differential metabolic capabilities of bacterial

species in relation to kynurenine pathway metabolism. Concurrently, the pH dynamics of each strain

cultured in the presence or absence of kynurenine were assessed. *P. fluorescens* did not exhibit any

noticeably pH changes during the course of the experiment, whereas *E. coli*, *S. salivarius* and *S.*

thermophilus demonstrated a decrease in pH after 3.5h of growth. *L. casei* reduced the pH after 12h

of growth. Among all bacteria, only *E. coli* displayed an increase in pH after 6h. Comparable pH profiles

were observed for all bacteria, except for *E. coli* when incubated with 100 µM kynurenine. Co-culturing

E. coli with kynurenine resulted in an earlier and more pronounced decline in pH **(Supplementary**

figure 2B).

The synthetic community was then grown in the bioreactor under the same conditions stated above.

P. fluorescens was initially cultured in the bioreactor for a period of 23h. Thereafter, *S. salivarius*, *E.*

coli and one of the probiotic species were added to the bioreactor to create a bacterial community.

Furthermore, we supplemented each community with 100 µM kynurenine to simulate increased

activity of the small intestinal kynurenine pathway. The small intestine is known to undergo rapid

changes in response to food ingestion, necessitating the need for the bacterial community to adapt

[16, 51]. Moreover, substantial metabolism was observed in individually grown bacteria after 3.5h of

growth, as indicated by the pH profile **(Supplementary figure 2B).** Therefore, we collected samples

from the community at 0, 3, 5, 7 and 24h after community growth and analyzed the biomass, absolute

species distribution using c-dPCR, metabolic environment using ^{1}H-NMR, and kynurenine metabolism

using HPLC-UV **(Figure 1A)**. Our results showed that both kynurenine (between 3 and 7h) and KYNA

(between 7 and 24h) were produced in the control and probiotic-supplemented communities, with

kynurenine addition increasing KYNA production in all communities **(Supplementary figure 3)**.

However, we observed that the addition of kynurenine together with *L. casei* increased the levels of

kynurenine after 5h of growth when compared to the control and *S. thermophilus* supplemented

community in the presence of kynurenine and after 7h of growth only in the *S. thermophilus*

supplemented community in the presence of kynurenine subsequently leading to increased levels of

KYNA after 7 and 24h of growth compared to the control and *S. thermophilus* supplemented

communities **(Figure 1B)**. Moreover, the pH declined sharply after 3h of community growth, followed

by a linear increase and a plateau after 10h of growth **(Figure 1C)**, and the addition of kynurenine did

not affect the lowest pH and the time it was reached (ordinary two-way ANOVA; $F_{(1,12)} = 1.78$, *P* =

0.21 and $F_{(1,12)} = 0.76$, *P* = 0.4 respectively) **(Table 1)**. Probiotic supplementation altered both the

lowest pH and the time it was reached (ordinary two-way ANOVA; $F_{(2,12)} = 6.85$, *P* = 0.01 and $F_{(2,12)}$

= 4.0, *P* = 0.047) **(Table 1)**, mostly attributed to differences between *L. casei* and *S. thermophilus*

supplementation **(Figure 1C, Supplementary figure 4)**, indicating a probiotic specific effect.

Overall, the addition of kynurenine together with *L. casei* increased the production of kynurenine and

subsequently KYNA compared to the control and *S. thermophilus* supplemented communities.

Probiotic supplementation altered both the lowest pH and the time it was reached, mostly attributed

to differences between *L. casei* and *S. thermophilus* supplementation, indicating a probiotic-specific

effect. These findings suggest that probiotics, particularly *L. casei*, may influence the production of

kynurenine pathway metabolites in the small intestine, which could have important implications for

human health.

Effect of kynurenine and probiotic supplementation on biomass and cell counts in a simplified bacterial

community

Bacteria can gain a competitive advantage in a community through metabolizing compounds present

in the environment, inhibiting the growth of competitors, or stimulating other bacteria to secrete

beneficial metabolites [52]. Therefore, we hypothesized that the supplementation of a probiotic

species or kynurenine, which was only metabolized by *P. fluorescens* and *E. coli* **(Supplementary figure**

2A), may alter the composition of the simplified community. The total biomass and the community

composition were compared **(Figure 1A)** to investigate the effects of kynurenine and probiotic

supplementation on community growth. Kynurenine addition did not affect the biomass, while

probiotic addition altered total biomass production after 7h of growth **(Supplementary data 1).**

Specifically, the addition of *L. casei* resulted in an increase in biomass in the absence of kynurenine,

while the introduction of *S. thermophilus* in the presence of kynurenine led to a reduction in the total

biomass **(Supplementary figure 5)**.

Next, the total cell count and cell count of specific species in the community were monitored using c-

dPCR. Kynurenine supplementation did not affect the total cell count or the cell count of any individual

species **(Supplementary data 2).** Furthermore, probiotic supplementation did not alter the cell count

of *P. fluorescens* **(Figure 2, Supplementary data 2)**, but probiotic supplementation altered the total

cell count and the cell count of *E. coli* (after 3 and 5h of growth, although multiple comparison testing

did not identify specific alterations), and of *S. salivarius* (after 5 and 7h of growth) **(Figure 2,**

supplementary data 2), which coincides with the decrease in pH **(Figure 1C)** and with the growth of *S.*

thermophilus **(Figure 2).** In contrast, the cell counts of *L. casei* continuously increased over time **(Figure**

2). Cell counts of the probiotic species were not affected by kynurenine supplementation at any

sampling point **(Figure 2)**. Specifically, *L. casei* addition inhibited the growth of *S. salivarius* by altering

the community metabolism. These results suggest that the supplementation of certain compounds,

exemplified here by kynurenine, or probiotics, or a combination of both, can alter the growth and

composition of bacterial communities, which could have implications for the design of probiotic

therapies.

Metabolic network analysis of probiotic supplementation and kynurenine metabolism in the synthetic

bacterial community.

In order to elucidate the metabolic changes that are associated with alterations in pH, kynurenine

metabolism and cell count, we employed ^{1}H-NMR to analyze the supernatant **(Figure 1A).** Following

spectral binning and processing, a total of 943 bins were subjected to principal component analysis

(PCA). Our results revealed time-dependent clustering of the samples **(Figure 3A)**, whereby the most

significant contributors to the observed separation were lactate (bin 366, 368 and 369), acetate (bin

485 and 486).

To determine whether the dynamic metabolic profiles of the community were altered by probiotic or

kynurenine supplementation, we conducted partial least squares – discriminant analysis (PLS-DA) with

cross validation (CV) and a permutation test was performed with the 943 bins as input. Our analysis

revealed no significant differentiation between communities with and without kynurenine

supplementation, nor between control and *S. thermophilus*-supplemented communities

(Supplementary figure 6A and 6B). However, we observed significant separation in communities

supplemented with *L. casei,* with lactate (bin 923), glucose (bin 851 and 853) and threonine (bin 820)

contributing most to the separation from the control **(Figure 3B)**. Additionally, glucose (bin 848, 851

and 853 – 856), glycerol (bin 815) and cadaverine (bin 707) were the major contributors to the

differentiation between *L. casei* and *S. thermophilus* supplemented communities **(Figure 3C)**.

To demonstrate the metabolic contributions responsible for the variance obtained from the entire

spectral dataset, the chemical library of Chenomx profiler software and the online human metabolome

database version 5.0 were utilized. We identified 30 metabolites, and subjected the area under the

curve (AUC) of a representative peak for each metabolite to ordination analysis. The results of this

approach were similar to the ordination analyses conducted with the bins. PCA revealed temporal

clustering of the samples and PLS-DA revealed significant separation of the *L. casei* supplemented

community from the control and *S. thermophilus* supplemented communities **(Supplementary figure**

7A, B and C). Therefore, we postulated that the AUC values of the identified set of metabolites may

serve as a surrogate for the full spectra dataset and could be employed for subsequent analysis.

Given that the metabolic network of a community is highly interconnected, a substantial alteration in

certain metabolites can lead to multiple smaller changes in related metabolites that might not be

detectable by ordination analysis **(Figure 3A, B and C)**. Similarly, minor effects of kynurenine

supplementation on metabolism may go undetected via ordination analysis. To investigate smaller

metabolic changes induced by kynurenine or probiotic addition to the synthetic community, we

compared the dynamic metabolic profiles between experiments, which revealed similarities

(Supplementary figure 8) and differences in the dynamics of saccharolytic and proteolytic community

metabolism **(Figure 3D, Supplementary data 3)**. Of note, we observed increased lactate production in

the *S. thermophilus* supplemented community, which could potentially account for the observed pH

variation **(Figure 1C)**.

To explore the coordinate behavior of metabolites and network topology features across the tested

conditions [53], we constructed dynamic correlation-based networks using the Cytoscape plugin CoNet

[43], whereby the nodes represent the metabolites and the edges represent correlations between the

metabolic profiles per condition. Without kynurenine, *S. thermophilus* supplementation decreased the

number of edges and the clustering coefficient, while the metabolite with the highest number of edges

was aspartate (7), compared to cadaverine (12) in the control network **(Figure 3E, table 2)**. *L. casei*

supplementation had a more drastic effect on the network, increasing the number of edges, clustering

coefficient and network density substantially **(Figure 3E, table 2)**. Kynurenine supplementation

reduced the number of edges, including indole, present in the control community network, and

reduced the number of edges of cadaverine from 12 to 1. Consequently, cadaverine and indole no

longer connected two major hubs of connected metabolites compared to control community. The

clustering coefficient and network density of the control community supplemented with kynurenine

was 1, due to the connections between all the metabolites with all the other metabolites in each hub

(Figure 3E, table 2). Kynurenine supplementation to the *S. thermophilus* and *L. casei* supplemented

communities increased the number of edges, clustering coefficient and network density, with indole

and cadaverine being the metabolites with the most edges, similar to the control network without

kynurenine supplementation **(Figure 3E, table 2).** The node degree and betweenness centrality of

individual metabolites were also analyzed, showing probiotic-specific effects on the community

metabolism as well as an effect of kynurenine supplementation on cadaverine metabolism

(Supplementary data 4). Together the network analysis revealed probiotic-specific effects on the

community metabolism and an effect of kynurenine supplementation on clustering coefficient,

network density and node parameters of the metabolic networks, mainly due to alterations in

cadaverine utilization.

Discussion

The present study investigated the impact of probiotic supplementation on metabolism of the small

intestinal microbiota. To achieve this, we constructed a synthetic community consisting of three gut

isolates that mimicked the microbial community of the upper small intestine. Specifically, we examined

the metabolic impact of probiotic supplementation on both general metabolism and the kynurenine

pathway, which has been implicated in the modulation of immune responses and neuroinflammation.

Our findings shed light on the probiotic-specific mechanisms of action that drive colonization

resistance in the small intestine and suggest that the kynurenine pathway may be a promising target

for future probiotic-based therapies aimed at promoting intestinal health.

Probiotics are known to exert their effects through competitive exclusion often by reducing the pH of

the gut milieu through increased lactate production [54]. In our study, supplementation with *S.*

thermophilus led to increased lactate production, resulting in a reduction in pH, and accompanied by

a decrease in formate and acetate levels **(Figure 3D and 1C)**. However, this supplementation did not

result in significant changes to the composition of the community **(Figure 2)** or the dynamic metabolic

network **(Figure 3E)**. Conversely, when *L. casei* was supplemented, we observed a reduction in the

growth of *S. salivarius*, which was not accompanied by increased lactate production **(Figure 2, 3D)**.

Other studies have also reported beneficial effects of *L. casei* supplementation independent of

acidification or lactate production [55]. These results suggest that *L. casei* supplementation alters the

metabolic environment, improving metabolic utilization and thereby, possibly, enhancing the

resistance of the community to perturbations **(Figure 3D and E).** This finding is consistent with previous

studies showing a positive association between habitual *L. casei* Shirota intake and long-term

compositional stability of the fecal microbiota [56].

The concept of direct colonization resistance refers to the ability of the resident microbiota to resist

the colonization and expansion of invading species [57]. However, decreased diversity can lead to

unoccupied ecological niches that can be occupied by invading species potentially harmful to host

health [58]. Probiotics have been proposed as a means to increase colonization resistance by filling

unoccupied niches before pathogenic species can colonize [59]. However, current probiotic

interventions do not take into account the functional capacity of probiotics to occupy specific niches

in the gut [60], which may explain the variable effectiveness of probiotics [61]. To address this,

synthetic communities are constructed [22, 62–65], but the lack of molecular insights has hampered

the development of probiotic therapies. The genetic capacity of *L. casei* in our synthetic community of

three species made it more functionally diverse **(Figure 3D)** compared to the three initial community

members and compared to *S. thermophilus*, which resembles *S. salivarius*. Thus, our results suggest

several hypotheses on how the tested species mutually influence each other and consequently impact

the kynurenine pathway **(Figure 4)**. These hypotheses can be tested in future studies and potentially

expanded to include other species.; First, *L. casei* increased the metabolic utilization and, possibly, the

resistance of the community to perturbations **(Figure 3E, table 2)**, by occupying an empty ecological

niche, whereas *S. thermophilus* had to compete for the ecological niche occupied by *S. salivarius.*

However, the ability to occupy a niche is determined by the functional capability [66] and the

environment [67]. Therefore, selecting probiotic strains with a wide range of functional capacities and

constructing a diverse multispecies probiotic formula could be a promising approach for developing

effective probiotic therapies [18, 68].

Indirect colonization resistance involves improving the gut epithelial barrier or enhancing the hosts

immune system [68]. Metabolites produced by the microbiota can interact with the host and improve

gut integrity. For example, stimulation of GPR35 by KYNA was shown to enhance mucosal repair and

stimulate mucus secretion, which increased the integrity of the gut epithelial barrier [69, 70]. Thus,

increasing microbial production of KYNA in the small intestine may indirectly increase colonization

resistance. Although no in vivo studies were conducted in our study to confirm improved intestinal

barrier integrity due to increased microbial KYNA production resulting from probiotic addition, we

show that in the *L. casei* supplemented community, *E. coli* had a reduced impact on the metabolic

environment since the node degree and betweenness centrality of the *E. coli* produced metabolites

cadaverine [71] and indole [72] were lower **(Figure 3E)**. In the kynurenine and *S.*

thermophilus supplemented community, *E. coli* had an increased impact on the metabolic

environment **(Figure 3E),** even though this effect was not translated into increased cell counts for *E.*

coli **(Figure 2)**.

Overall, the present study demonstrates that supplementation with different probiotics can lead to

distinct alterations in the metabolic environment of the microbiota community, with *L. casei* showing

improved metabolic utilization. These findings highlight the importance of selecting probiotic species

with diverse genetic capabilities that complement the functional capacity of the resident microbiota

or constructing a multispecies formula. Such an approach holds promise for the development of

effective probiotic therapies. It emphasizes the need to consider the functional capacity of probiotic

species when designing interventions. Furthermore, while the probiotic species may not have directly

impacted the kynurenine pathway, they still had an influence on the metabolic profiles through

interactions with other species. This highlights the importance for researchers to consider not only the

direct effects of probiotic species but also their impacts on the overall community metabolism.

Moreover, the present findings suggest that increasing microbial production of metabolites such as

KYNA in the small intestine may indirectly improve intestinal barrier integrity and increase colonization

resistance. Further in vivo studies are necessary to confirm the effects of probiotic supplementation

on intestinal barrier integrity and to develop probiotic therapies aimed at specific ecological niches in

the gut.

Author Contributions: JJ, SEA: Conceptualization, investigation, writing-original draft, and editing; JJ,

ACC, KC: data analysis; JJ, ACC: methodology; SvH: Conceptualization, writing review; SEA: funding

acquisition. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Acknowledgements: We thank Valeria Mathlener of Winclove, B.V. assisting us with the c-dPCR

measurements.

Conflicts of Interest: S. van Hemert is employee in Winclove (Winclove manufactures and markets

probiotics). The content of this study was neither influenced nor constrained by this fact. The other

authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Funding: SEA has received research funding support from Winclove, B.V. This support neither

influenced nor constrained the contents of this article

Data availability: The raw ^{1}H-NMR data was deposited in the Metabolights public repository [73] under

the accession number: MTBLS7370

Tables

Table 1: properties of the pH profile of each community.

The mean ± SD of the lowest pH value and time the lowest pH value was reached for all communities

with and without kynurenine (kyn) supplementation.

Communities	Lowest pH value	Time of the lowest pH value (h)
Control	5.69 ± 0.09	4.7 ± 0.2
S. thermophilus	5.56 ± 0.08	3.8 ± 0.1
L. casei	5.77 ± 0.03	4.5 ± 0.7
Control + kyn	5.77 ± 0.17	4.0 ± 0.2
S. thermophilus + **kyn**	5.53 ± 0.11	3.9 ± 0.3
L. casei + **kyn**	6.05 ± 0.25	4.6 ± 0.4

Table 2: Properties of the dynamic correlation-based metabolic networks for each community. The number of nodes, edges, clustering coefficient, network density and the nodes with the most edges of the networks depicted in figure 3E for the communities with probiotic or kynurenine (kyn) supplementation.

Communities	Nodes	Edges	Clustering coefficient	Network density	Node with the most edges (edges)
Control	24	54	0.857	0.368	Cadaverine (12)
S. thermophilus	23	40	0.794	0.374	Aspartate (7)
L. casei	17	94	0.935	0.886	Gluconolactone/gluconate, glycerol (14)
L. casei + kyn	24	103	0.892	0.654	Glucose, tryptophan, glycerol (16)
S. thermophilus + kyn	20	56	0.901	0.549	Indole, cadaverine (12)
Control + kyn	20	54	1	1	Tryptophan, phenylalanine, alanine, methionine, glutamate, proline, isoleucine, glycine, valine, tyrosine (9)

Figure legends

Figure 1: *Lactobacillus casei* supplementation increases kynurenine pathway metabolism in a synthetic community

A) Overview of the construction of the community and sampling procedure. *P. fluorescens* was inoculated 23h prior to inoculating other species with probiotics. PH and pO_2 (indicated with dashed line) were continuously measured. Black arrows indicate sampling points (0, 3, 5, 7 and 24h) for obtaining metabolomics, compositional and biomass data. All experiments were performed in triplicates. B) Boxplots showing the area under the curve (AUC) for kynurenine and kynurenic acid as measured from the HPLC-UV chromatograms normalized to the AUC of the initial tryptophan peak obtained from -23h. Significant comparisons are depicted with letters (a-d): a: q < 0.05, b: q < 0.01, c: q < 0.001 and d: q < 0.0001 as obtained with an ordinary two-way ANOVA and multiple comparisons testing by controlling the false discovery rate according to the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure. C) pH profile for control, *S. thermophilus* supplemented and *L. casei* supplemented communities with/without kynurenine. Sampling points indicated by dashed lines. Boxplot indicates the lowest pH value per condition. Significant differences are indicated with asterisks; *, *q* < 0.05, as obtained with an ordinary one-way ANOVA and multiple comparison testing by controlling the false discovery rate according to the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure.

Figure 2: Supplementation of *Lactobacillus casei* reduces the growth of *Streptococcus salivarius* in a simplified community

Boxplots of total cell count and cell count per species of a simplified community (*E. coli*, *S. salivarius* and *P. fluorescens*) determined after 3, 5, 7 and 24h of growth. Cell counts of the communities grown with the supplemented probiotic species *L. casei* or *S. thermophilus* are depicted in different colors. Control or probiotic supplemented communities grown with 100 µM kynurenine are shown with stripes. Statistical differences between communities were determined by ordinary two way ANOVA

approach per timepoint, and significant differences (q < 0.05) after multiple comparisons testing by

controlling the false discovery rate according to the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure are indicated with

asterisks. Probiotic addition altered the cell count of *E. coli* after 3 and 5h of growth, and the cell count

of *S. salivarius* after 7h of growth (ordinary two-way ANOVA: $F_{(2,12)}$ = 4.43 and P = 0.036, $F_{(2,12)}$ =

4.15, P = 0.043 and $F_{(2,12)}$ = 7.62, P = 0.007 respectively), but multiple comparisons testing did not

yield any significant differences. Cell counts of added probiotic species grown with and without

kynurenine per timepoint were compared by performing an unpaired t test.

Figure 3: Probiotic and kynurenine supplementation alter the metabolism of a simplified community

in a specific manner

A) PCA with binned ^{1}H-NMR spectra as input showing separation of metabolites with lactate (bin

366,368 and 369), acetate (bin 485 and 486) being the most distinguishing factors (indicated with

arrows). The ellipses represent the 95 % confidence interval. B) PLS-DA with binned ^{1}H-NMR spectra

of control and *L. casei* supplemented communities, indicating lactate (bin 923), glucose (bin 851 and

853), and threonine (bin 820) as contributing factors. C) PLS-DA with binned ^{1}H-NMR spectra of *S.*

thermophilus and *L. casei* supplemented communities indicating glucose (bin 848, 851 and 853 – 856),

glycerol, (bin 815) and cadaverine (bin 707) as contributing factors. Statistical parameter accuracy, R2

and Q2 and *P*-values are included. The *P*-value is the results of a permutation test performed with the

separation distance statistic and 1000 permutations. All parameters were calculated using

MetaboAnalyst 5.0. D) Dynamic profiles of the significantly different saccharolytic and proteolytic

metabolites with repeated measure two-way ANOVA showing significant differences. The error bars

indicate the standard deviation. The timepoints where a significant result of multiple comparison

testing by controlling the false discovery rate according to the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure is

obtained are indicated with an asterisk; *: q < 0.05, detailed results of the multiple comparison tests

can be found in the supplementary data 3. E) Dynamic correlation-based networks of all measured

metabolites in the communities showing positive and negative correlations via red solid and blue

dashed edges, respectively. Nodes represent metabolites. Edges represents correlations between the

two connected nodes, obtained when 2/3 methods give a positive results; Kendall's -0.9 > τ > 0.9,

Spearman's -0.9 > ρ > 0.9. Brown's randomization method with 1000 iterations had a Benjamini-

Hochberg corrected *P*-value < 0.05. The calculation of the edges was performed using the Cytoscape

plugin CoNet.

Figure 4: Possible hypotheses of how our tested species affect each other and thereby the

kynurenine pathway. A) Microbial community composed of *P. fluorescens*, *E. coli*, and *S. salivarius* can

metabolize certain metabolites present in their shared metabolic environment (depicted in squares),

while being unable to metabolize others (depicted in stars). If an invading pathogen can metabolize

the unused metabolites, it may colonize and produce metabolites with a negative impact on host

health (depicted in pentagons). Probiotic intervention may prevent the colonization of invading

pathogens, but only if the functional profile of the probiotic species complements the functional

capacity of the existing microbiota. In our tested species, B) *S. thermophilus* is functionally similar to *S.*

salivarius; thus, the invading pathogen would still be able to colonize and negatively affect host health.

On the contrary, C) *L. casei* is functionally different from the existing microbiota and can metabolize

the unused metabolites (stars) into metabolites that the existing microbiota can utilize. As a result, an

invading pathogen cannot occupy the ecological niche, preventing colonization and positively affecting

host health. Additionally, the increased production of metabolites by *L. casei* enhances the metabolism

of the existing microbiota, consequently increasing the cross-feeding reaction between *P. fluorescens*

and *E. coli* regarding the kynurenine pathway, which positively affects the host as well.

References

1.   Hou K, Wu ZX, Chen XY, Wang JQ, Zhang D, Xiao C, et al. Microbiota in health and diseases.
Signal Transduction and Targeted Therapy 2022 7:1 2022; **7**: 1–28.
2.   de Vos WM, Tilg H, van Hul M, Cani PD. Gut microbiome and health: mechanistic insights. *Gut*
2022; **71**: 1020–1032.
3.   Donaldson GP, Lee SM, Mazmanian SK. Gut biogeography of the bacterial microbiota. *Nat Rev*
Microbiol 2016; **14**: 20.
4.   Leite G, Morales W, Weitsman S, Celly S, Parodi G, Mathur R, et al. The duodenal microbiome
is altered in small intestinal bacterial overgrowth. *PLoS One* 2020; **15**: e0234906.
5.   Villmones HC, Svanevik M, Ulvestad E, Stenstad T, Anthonisen IL, Nygaard RM, et al.
Investigating the human jejunal microbiota. *Sci Rep* 2022; **12**.

6.   Roberti MP, Rauber C, Kroemer G, Zitvogel L. Impact of the ileal microbiota on colon cancer.
Semin Cancer Biol 2022; **86**: 955–966.
7.   Zoetendal EG, Raes J, Van Den Bogert B, Arumugam M, Booijink CC, Troost FJ, et al. The
human small intestinal microbiota is driven by rapid uptake and conversion of simple
carbohydrates. *The ISME Journal 2012 6:7* 2012; **6**: 1415–1426.
8.   Kaczmarczyk M, Löber U, Adamek K, Węgrzyn D, Skonieczna-Żydecka K, Malinowski D, et al.
The gut microbiota is associated with the small intestinal paracellular permeability and the
development of the immune system in healthy children during the first two years of life.
Journal of Translational Medicine 2021 19:1 2021; **19**: 1–26.
9.   Martinez-Guryn K, Hubert N, Frazier K, Urlass S, Musch MW, Ojeda P, et al. Small intestine
microbiota regulate host digestive and absorptive adaptive responses to dietary lipids. *Cell
Host Microbe* 2018; **23**: 458.
10. Roberti MP, Rauber C, Kroemer G, Zitvogel L. Impact of the ileal microbiota on colon cancer.
Semin Cancer Biol 2022; **86**: 955–966.
11. Nouvenne A, Ticinesi A, Tana C, Prati B, Catania P, Miraglia C, et al. Digestive disorders and
Intestinal microbiota. *Acta Bio Medica : Atenei Parmensis* 2018; **89**: 47.
12. Wong AC, Levy M. New Approaches to Microbiome-Based Therapies. *mSystems* 2019; **4**.
13. Hill C, Guarner F, Reid G, Gibson GR, Merenstein DJ, Pot B, et al. The International Scientific
Association for Probiotics and Prebiotics consensus statement on the scope and appropriate
use of the term probiotic. *Nature Reviews Gastroenterology & Hepatology 2014 11:8* 2014;
11: 506–514.
14. Barbosa SJ de A, Oliveira MMB, Ribeiro SB, de Medeiros CACX, Lima ML de S, Guerra GCB, et
al. The beneficial effects of Lacticaseibacillus casei on the small intestine and colon of Swiss
mice against the deleterious effects of 5-fluorouracil. *Front Immunol* 2022; **13**: 6411.
15. Zhong C, Qu C, Wang B, Liang S, Zeng B. Probiotics for Preventing and Treating Small Intestinal
Bacterial Overgrowth. *J Clin Gastroenterol* 2017; **51**: 300–311.
16. Yilmaz B, Fuhrer T, Morgenthaler D, Krupka N, Wang D, Spari D, et al. Plasticity of the adult
human small intestinal stoma microbiota. *Cell Host Microbe* 2022; **30**: 1773-1787.e6.
17. Colom J, Freitas D, Simon A, Brodkorb A, Buckley M, Deaton J, et al. Presence and
Germination of the Probiotic Bacillus subtilis DE111® in the Human Small Intestinal Tract: A
Randomized, Crossover, Double-Blind, and Placebo-Controlled Study. *Front Microbiol* 2021;
12: 2189.
18. Jansma J, Thome NU, Schwalbe M, Chatziioannou AC, Elsayed SS, Wezel GP van, et al.
Probiotics supplementation to adult human small intestinal stoma microbiota causes dynamic
increase in the community resistance to perturbations and nutrient utilization. *bioRxiv* 2023;
2023.01.29.525861.
19. Weiss AS, Burrichter AG, Durai Raj AC, von Strempel A, Meng C, Kleigrewe K, et al. In vitro
interaction network of a synthetic gut bacterial community. *The ISME Journal 2021 16:4* 2021;
16: 1095–1109.
20. Großkopf T, Soyer OS. Synthetic microbial communities. *Curr Opin Microbiol* 2014; **18**: 72–77.
21. Christensen BB, Haagensen JAJ, Heydorn A, Molin S. Metabolic Commensalism and
Competition in a Two-Species Microbial Consortium. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2002; **68**: 2495.
22. Cheng AG, Ho PY, Aranda-Díaz A, Jain S, Yu FB, Meng X, et al. Design, construction, and in vivo
augmentation of a complex gut microbiome. *Cell* 2022; **185**: 3617-3636.e19.
23. Gutiérrez N, Garrido D. Species Deletions from Microbiome Consortia Reveal Key Metabolic
Interactions between Gut Microbes. *mSystems* 2019; **4**.
24. Kleerebezem M, Binda S, Bron PA, Gross G, Hill C, van Hylckama Vlieg JE, et al. Understanding
mode of action can drive the translational pipeline towards more reliable health benefits for
probiotics. *Curr Opin Biotechnol* 2019; **56**: 55–60.
25. Clark RL, Connors BM, Stevenson DM, Hromada SE, Hamilton JJ, Amador-Noguez D, et al.
Design of synthetic human gut microbiome assembly and butyrate production. *Nature
Communications 2021 12:1* 2021; **12**: 1–16.

26. Blasche S, Kim Y, Oliveira AP, Patil KR. Model microbial communities for ecosystems biology.
Curr Opin Syst Biol 2017; **6**: 51–57.
27. Tanoue T, Morita S, Plichta DR, Skelly AN, Suda W, Sugiura Y, et al. A defined commensal
consortium elicits CD8 T cells and anti-cancer immunity. *Nature 2019 565:7741* 2019; **565**:
600–605.
28. Savitz J, Savitz jsavitz J. The kynurenine pathway: a finger in every pie. *Molecular Psychiatry*
2019 25:1 2019; **25**: 131–147.
29. Laurans L, Venteclef N, Haddad Y, Chajadine M, Alzaid F, Metghalchi S, et al. Genetic
deficiency of indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase promotes gut microbiota-mediated metabolic
health. *Nature Medicine 2018 24:8* 2018; **24**: 1113–1120.
30. Kaya B, Melhem H, Niess JH. GPR35 in Intestinal Diseases: From Risk Gene to Function. *Front*
Immunol 2021; **12**: 4610.
31. Turski MP, Turska M, Paluszkiewicz P, Parada-Turska J, Oxenkrug GF. Kynurenic Acid in the
Digestive System–-New Facts, New Challenges. *International Journal of Tryptophan Research*
2013; **6**: IJTR.S12536.
32. Kuc D, Zgrajka W, Parada-Turska J, Urbanik-Sypniewska T, Turski WA. Micromolar
concentration of kynurenic acid in rat small intestine Short Communication. *Amino Acids*
2008; **35**: 503–505.
33. Kurnasov O, Goral V, Colabroy K, Gerdes S, Anantha S, Osterman A, et al. NAD Biosynthesis:
Identification of the Tryptophan to Quinolinate Pathway in Bacteria. *Chem Biol* 2003; **10**:
1195–1204.
34. Więdłocha M, Marcinowicz P, Janoska-Jaździk M, Szulc A. Gut microbiota, kynurenine
pathway and mental disorders – Review. *Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry* 2021;
106: 110145.
35. Purton T, Staskova L, Lane MM, Dawson SL, West M, Firth J, et al. Prebiotic and probiotic
supplementation and the tryptophan-kynurenine pathway: A systematic review and meta
analysis. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* 2021; **123**: 1–13.
36. Chapalain A, Rossignol G, Lesouhaitier O, Merieau A, Gruffaz C, Guerillon J, et al. Comparative
study of 7 fluorescent pseudomonad clinical isolates. *https://doi.org/101139/W07-110* 2007;
54: 19–27.
37. van den Bogert B, Boekhorst J, Herrmann R, Smid EJ, Zoetendal EG, Kleerebezem M.
Comparative Genomics Analysis of Streptococcus Isolates from the Human Small Intestine
Reveals their Adaptation to a Highly Dynamic Ecosystem. *PLoS One* 2013; **8**: e83418.
38. Waclawiková B, Bullock A, Schwalbe M, Aranzamendi C, Nelemans SA, van Dijk G, et al. Gut
bacteria-derived 5-hydroxyindole is a potent stimulant of intestinal motility via its action on L-
type calcium channels. *PLoS Biol* 2021; **19**: e3001070.
39. He G, Shankar RA, Chzhan M, Samouilov A, Kuppusamy P, Zweier JL. Noninvasive
measurement of anatomic structure and intraluminal oxygenation in the gastrointestinal tract
of living mice with spatial and spectral EPR imaging. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 1999; **96**: 4586.
40. Sheridan WG, Lowndes RH, Young HL. Intraoperative tissue oximetry in the human
gastrointestinal tract. *The American Journal of Surgery* 1990; **159**: 314–319.
41. Yu Z, Morrison M. Improved extraction of PCR-quality community DNA from digesta and fecal
samples. *Biotechniques* 2004; **36**: 808–812.
42. Rohart F, Gautier B, Singh A, Lê Cao KA. mixOmics: An R package for 'omics feature selection
and multiple data integration. *PLoS Comput Biol* 2017; **13**: e1005752.
43. Faust K, Raes J, Wilmes P, Heintz-Buschart A, Eiler A. CoNet app: inference of biological
association networks using Cytoscape. *F1000Research 2016 5:1519* 2016; **5**: 1519.
44. Xia J, Psychogios N, Young N, Wishart DS. MetaboAnalyst: A web server for metabolomic data
analysis and interpretation. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2009; **37**.
45. Bortolotti P, Hennart B, Thieffry C, Jausions G, Faure E, Grandjean T, et al. Tryptophan
catabolism in Pseudomonas aeruginosa and potential for inter-kingdom relationship. *BMC*
Microbiol 2016; **16**: 1–10.

46. Paulsen IT, Press CM, Ravel J, Kobayashi DY, Myers GSA, Mavrodi D v., et al. Complete genome
sequence of the plant commensal Pseudomonas fluorescens Pf-5. *Nature Biotechnology 2005*
23:7 2005; **23**: 873–878.
47. Kuc D, Zgrajka W, Parada-Turska J, Urbanik-Sypniewska T, Turski WA. Micromolar
concentration of kynurenic acid in rat small intestine. *Amino Acids* 2008; **35**: 503–505.
48. Martinović A, Cocuzzi R, Arioli S, Mora D. Streptococcus thermophilus: To Survive, or Not to
Survive the Gastrointestinal Tract, That Is the Question! *Nutrients* 2020; **12**: 1–13.
49. Mater DDG, Drouault-Holowacz S, Oozeer R, Langella P, Anba J, Corthier G. β-Galactosidase
production by Streptococcus thermophilus is higher in the small intestine than in the caecum
of human-microbiota-associated mice after lactose supplementation. *British Journal of*
Nutrition 2006; **96**: 177–181.
50. Timmerman HM, Niers LEM, Ridwan BU, Koning CJM, Mulder L, Akkermans LMA, et al. Design
of a multispecies probiotic mixture to prevent infectious complications in critically ill patients.
Clinical Nutrition 2007; **26**: 450–459.
51. Procházková N, Falony G, Dragsted LO, Licht TR, Raes J, Roager HM. Advancing human gut
microbiota research by considering gut transit time. *Gut* 2022; **0**: gutjnl-2022-328166.
52. Fritts RK, McCully AL, McKinlay JB. Extracellular Metabolism Sets the Table for Microbial
Cross-Feeding. *Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews* 2021; **85**.
53. Batushansky A, Matsuzaki S, Newhardt MF, West MS, Griffin TM, Humphries KM. GC-MS
metabolic profiling reveals Fructose-2,6-bisphosphate regulates branched chain amino acid
metabolism in the heart during fasting. *Metabolomics* 2019; **15**: 18.
54. van Zyl WF, Deane SM, Dicks LMT. Molecular insights into probiotic mechanisms of action
employed against intestinal pathogenic bacteria. *Gut Microbes* 2020; **12**.
55. Panpetch W, Phuengmaung P, Cheibchalard T, Somboonna N, Leelahavanichkul A, Tumwasorn
S. Lacticaseibacillus casei Strain T21 Attenuates Clostridioides difficile Infection in a Murine
Model Through Reduction of Inflammation and Gut Dysbiosis With Decreased Toxin Lethality
and Enhanced Mucin Production. *Front Microbiol* 2021; **12**: 3607.
56. Amamoto R, Shimamoto K, Park S, Matsumoto H, Shimizu K, Katto M, et al. Yearly changes in
the composition of gut microbiota in the elderly, and the effect of lactobacilli intake on these
changes. *Scientific Reports 2021 11:1* 2021; **11**: 1–14.
57. Sorbara MT, Pamer EG. Interbacterial mechanisms of colonization resistance and the
strategies pathogens use to overcome them. *Mucosal Immunology 2018 12:1* 2018; **12**: 1–9.
58. Khan I, Bai Y, Zha L, Ullah N, Ullah H, Shah SRH, et al. Mechanism of the Gut Microbiota
Colonization Resistance and Enteric Pathogen Infection. *Front Cell Infect Microbiol* 2021; **11**:
1273.
59. Litvak Y, Bäumler AJ. The founder hypothesis: A basis for microbiota resistance, diversity in
taxa carriage, and colonization resistance against pathogens. *PLoS Pathog* 2019; **15**.
60. Zmora N, Zilberman-Schapira G, Suez J, Mor U, Dori-Bachash M, Bashiardes S, et al.
Personalized Gut Mucosal Colonization Resistance to Empiric Probiotics Is Associated with
Unique Host and Microbiome Features. *Cell* 2018; **174**: 1388-1405.e21.
61. Kristensen NB, Bryrup T, Allin KH, Nielsen T, Hansen TH, Pedersen O. Alterations in fecal
microbiota composition by probiotic supplementation in healthy adults: A systematic review
of randomized controlled trials. *Genome Med* 2016; **8**: 1–11.
62. Pereira FC, Wasmund K, Cobankovic I, Jehmlich N, Herbold CW, Lee KS, et al. Rational design
of a microbial consortium of mucosal sugar utilizers reduces Clostridiodes difficile
colonization. *Nature Communications 2020 11:1* 2020; **11**: 1–15.
63. Brand MW, Wannemuehler MJ, Phillips GJ, Proctor A, Overstreet AM, Jergens AE, et al. The
Altered Schaedler Flora: Continued Applications of a Defined Murine Microbial Community.
ILAR J 2015; **56**: 169–178.
64. Darnaud M, De Vadder F, Bogeat P, Boucinha L, Bulteau AL, Bunescu A, et al. A standardized
gnotobiotic mouse model harboring a minimal 15-member mouse gut microbiota
recapitulates SOPF/SPF phenotypes. *Nature Communications 2021 12:1* 2021; **12**: 1–21.

65.   Brugiroux S, Beutler M, Pfann C, Garzetti D, Ruscheweyh HJ, Ring D, et al. Genome-guided
design of a defined mouse microbiota that confers colonization resistance against Salmonella
enterica serovar Typhimurium. *Nature Microbiology 2016 2:2* 2016; **2**: 1–12.

66.   Aktas B, De Wolfe TJ, Safdar N, Darien BJ, Steele JL. The Impact of Lactobacillus casei on the
Composition of the Cecal Microbiota and Innate Immune System Is Strain Specific. *PLoS One*
2016; **11**: e0156374.

67.   Colombo M, Oliveira AEZ de, Carvalho AF de, Nero LA. Development of an alternative culture
medium for the selective enumeration of Lactobacillus casei in fermented milk. *Food*
Microbiol 2014; **39**: 89–95.

68.   Sassone-Corsi M, Raffatellu M. No vacancy: how beneficial microbes cooperate with immunity
to provide colonization resistance to pathogens. *J Immunol* 2015; **194**: 4081–4087.

69.   Kaya B, Melhem H, Niess JH. GPR35 in Intestinal Diseases: From Risk Gene to Function. *Front*
Immunol 2021; **12**: 4610.

70.   Wang Z, Yin L, Qi Y, Zhang J, Zhu H, Tang J. Intestinal Flora-Derived Kynurenic Acid Protects
Against Intestinal Damage Caused by Candida albicans Infection via Activation of Aryl
Hydrocarbon Receptor. *Front Microbiol* 2022; **13**: 2554.

71.   Akhova A, Nesterova L, Shumkov M, Tkachenko A. Cadaverine biosynthesis contributes to
decreased Escherichia coli susceptibility to antibiotics. *Res Microbiol* 2021; **172**: 103881.

72.   Piñero-Fernandez S, Chimerel C, Keyser UF, Summers DK. Indole transport across Escherichia
coli membranes. *J Bacteriol* 2011; **193**: 1793–1798.

73.   Haug K, Salek RM, Conesa P, Hastings J, De Matos P, Rijnbeek M, et al. MetaboLights - An
open-access general-purpose repository for metabolomics studies and associated meta-data.
Nucleic Acids Res 2013; **41**.