TITLE: The structure of the teleost Immunoglobulin M core provides insights on polymeric antibody evolution, assembly, and function

Mengfan Lyu[1], Andrey G. Malyutin[3,4,5], and Beth M. Stadtmueller[1,2,*]

[1]Department of Biochemistry, University of Illinois Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, Illinois 61801 USA

[2]Department of Biomedical and Translational Sciences, Carle Illinois College of Medicine, University of Illinois Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, Illinois 61801 USA

[3]Division of Biology and Biological Engineering, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91125 USA

[4]Beckman Institute, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91125 USA

[5]Present address, Takeda Development Center Americas, San Diego, California 92121

*Address correspondence to bethms@illinois.edu

ABSTRACT

Polymeric (p) immunoglobulins (Igs) serve broad functions during vertebrate immune responses. Typically, pIgs contain between two and six Ig monomers, each with two antigen binding fragments and one fragment crystallization (Fc). In addition, many pIgs assemble with a joining-chain (JC); however, the number of monomers and potential to include JC varies with species and heavy chain class. Here, we report the cryo-electron microscopy structure of IgM from a teleost (t) species, which does not encode JC. The structure revealed four tIgM Fcs linked through eight C-terminal tailpieces (Tps), which adopt a single β-sandwich-like domain (Tp assembly) located between two Fcs. Remarkably, two of eight heavy chains fold uniquely, resulting in a structure distinct from mammalian IgM, which typically contains five IgM monomers, one JC and a centrally-located Tp assembly. Together with mutational analysis, structural data indicate that pIgs have evolved a range of assembly mechanisms and structures, each likely to support unique antibody effector functions.

INTRODUCTION

Polymeric (p) immunoglobulins (Igs) play critical roles in immune system function and are presumed to exist in all jawed vertebrates[1]. Despite the prevalence of pIg in vertebrate species, considerable differences in structure and function are thought to exist. Typically, pIgs are comprised of two to six Ig monomers, each of which contains two heavy chains and two light chains that form one fragment crystallization (Fc) and two antigen binding fragments (Fabs). The heavy chain includes three to four constant domains (CH1-CH4) and the Fc region is typically formed by the two C-terminal domains (e.g. CH3 and CH4). The Fc is critical for pIg assembly and for binding to Fc receptors (FcRs) to elicit downstream functions[2,3]. In mammals, and most birds and reptiles, pIgs are assembled in plasma cells expressing IgA or IgM heavy chains and a protein called the joining chain (JC). Polymeric forms of IgA are typically dimeric (d), containing two IgA monomers and one JC; however functional trimeric, tetrameric and pentameric pIgA have been identified in lower abundance[4-6]. Polymeric forms of IgM are typically pentameric, containing five IgM monomers and one JC, however hexamers lacking a JC have been identified in serum[7,8]. JC is necessary for pIgA and pentameric IgM assembly and required for their secretion into the mucosa by the polymeric Ig Receptor (pIgR). The pIgR is a transcytotic Fc receptor expressed on the basal lateral surface of epithelial cells, which binds to JC-containing pIgA and pIgM and transports them to the apical surface of the cell[9]. On the apical surface the pIgR ectodomain, called secretory component (SC), is proteolytically cleaved, releasing a complex containing SC and the pIg, which is termed a secretory (S) Ig. Whereas pIgM functions in serum and SIgM functions in the mucosa, most pIgA is delivered to the mucosa and functions as SIgA[10]. The pIgM, SIgM and SIgA exhibit unique capabilities compared to monomeric Ig, including high avidity antigen binding, antigen coating or crosslinking, and binding to unique subsets of host and microbial FcRs. The functional outcomes of these interactions are diverse, ranging from complement activation (by IgM), pathogen agglutination and elimination, to commensal microbe colonization and poorly characterized FcR-dependent processes[11,12].

While mammalian pIgs have been studied extensively, less is known about pIgs from other

vertebrates. Of particular interest are pIgs from teleost (t), or bony fish, which represent an early

evolutionary stage of vertebrate adaptive immunity. In contrast to mammals, birds, and most

reptiles, teleost species do not encode a JC and express polymeric forms of tIgT and tIgM that

are presumed to contain four copies of each respective monomer[13,14]. Whereas both tIgT and

tIgM have been found in fish serum and mucus, tIgT is the predominant mucosal antibody,

functionally similar to IgA in mammals[14]. Both tIgT and tIgM can be transported to the mucosa by

tpIgR, which has distinct domain organization and structure compared to mammalian counterparts

and apparently, does not require a JC to bind tIgT or tIgM[15]. The tSC has been isolated in complex

with mucosal tIgT and tIgM, indicating that similar to mammalian SC, tSC remains bound to

secretory forms of tIgT and tIgM and may play a role in mucosal immune functions[14,16]. Similar to

mammalian pIgs, tpIgs have been associated with complement activation and bacterial coating[17].

The structural mechanisms underlying tpIgs' functions, and more broadly, the evolution of

pIg structure-function relationships across species, is poorly understood in-part because until now,

teleost antibody structures remained unreported. Furthermore, only in the past several years have

structures of mammalian pIgs been reported. Cryo-electron microscopy (cryo-EM) structures of

mammalian dIgA, SIgA and SIgM revealed a central role for the JC, which folded together with

C-terminal peptide sequences termed tailpiece (Tps) from the IgA or IgM heavy chains[18–20]. In

these structures, both the Tps and the JC contribute β-strands to a β-sandwich near the center of

the molecule, which stabilizes the assembly. Furthermore, the JC forms additional contacts with

two Fcs, provides part of the pIgR (and SC) binding site, and appears to contribute asymmetry to

pIg complexes that otherwise contain multiple heavy chains with identical sequence. Given the

critical role for the JC in mammalian pIg structures, we hypothesized that tpIgs lacking JC would

assemble using different mechanisms and adopt distinct structures. To test this hypothesis and

to explore the evolution and diversity of vertebrate pIg structure and function, we determined the

cryo-EM structure of the tIgM Fc core at 2.78Å resolution. Together with comparative and

mutational analysis, this structure provides a first glimpse of both a teleost pIg and a pIg lacking

a JC, revealing distinct modes of assembly compared to mammalian pIgs and suggesting

alternative mechanisms for interacting with antigens and FcRs, including tSC.

RESULTS

Overall structure of tetrameric teleost IgM core

Guided by previous structural studies indicating that the human IgM constant domain CH2 and

Fabs are flexible and poorly resolved in cryo-EM maps[20,21], we expressed and purified a truncated

teleost IgM heavy chain consisting of CHμ3, CHμ4, and the Tp. We utilized this tIgM Fc (tFcμ) to

determine a cryo-EM structure to an average resolution of 2.78Å (Fig. 1, Supplementary Figs. 1,

2). In the structure, most main chain and side chain atoms in CHμ4 domains and the Tps were

well resolved (resolution range 2.4-3.0Å). Map resolution was ~3.2Å and above for CHμ3 allowing

the positions of main chain atoms and ~50-75% of side chain atoms in each individual chain to

be refined; other side chains were built in geometrically reasonable positions (Supplementary Fig.

2).

The refined tFcμ structure contains four tFcμ monomers (Fcμ1 to Fcμ4) that lay

approximately flat on one plane and include interfaces between Fcμ1 and Fcμ2, Fcμ2 and Fcμ3,

Fcμ3 and Fcμ4. Fcμ1 and Fcμ4 are separated by Tp residues, which form a β-sandwich (hereafter

termed *Tp assembly*) comprising two β-strands from each of the four Fcμs (Fig. 1). Overall, the

tetramer is ~164Å across in the largest dimension and can be described as two structurally related

halves, one containing Fcμ1-Fcμ2 and associated Tps, and the other containing Fcμ3-Fcμ4 and

associated Tps; at the center of the tetramer is a solvent accessible hole with a diameter of ~12.2

Å. Despite C2 biological symmetry along an axis running between between Fcμ2, Fcμ3, and the

Tp assembly, the complex is not symmetrical with respect to all four Fcs. Rather, adjacent tFcs

are related by distinct angles measuring 81°, 72°, 86°, for Fcμ1 and Fcμ2, Fcμ2 and Fcμ3, Fcμ3

and Fcμ4, respectively. Fcμ1 and Fcμ4 are related by an angle of 121° and contact the Tp

assembly (Fig. 1b, c).

Fig. 1 | Overall structure of teleost IgM-Fc core, tFcμ. a Diagram of tIgM monomer. Constant (C) domains are represented as round corner rectangles and variable (V) domains are represented as ellipses. The heavy (H) is colored marine blue and the light (L) chain is colored light blue. **b** Cryo-EM structure of tFcμ (cartoon representation); chain IDs (A-H) and Fcμ1-4 and the Tp assembly are labeled. Glycans at residue Asn 374 are shown as yellow sticks. **c** Front view (*left*) and side view (*right*) of tFcμ tetramer, showing both cartoon representation and molecular surface. Values describing the angles between tFcμ monomers as well as the approximate diameter and thickness of the tFcμ tetramer are indicated. In panels b and c, tFcμ monomers are colored as indicated: *Blue*, tFcμ1. *Orange*, tFcμ2. *Green*, tFcμ3. *Pink*, tFcμ4.

tFcμ is formed from Fc monomers containing CHμ4 domains that adopt one of two folds

114   Given the lack of published structural data for a teleost antibody, we first analyzed the structures

115   of tFcμ monomers and their individual domains, CHμ3 and CHμ4. The tFcμ monomers comprise

116   two copies of CHμ3 and CHμ4, which together form a canonical Fc characterized by an angle of

117   ~87° between CHμ3 and CHμ4 on the same chain and interfaces between adjacent CHμ3 and

118   adjacent CHμ4 domains on different chains. Each domain adopts an Ig-constant fold consisting

of two β-sheets linked by a disulfide bond, one sheet containing four β-strands termed A, B, E, and D, and the other sheet containing three β-strands termed C, F, and G; the Tp β-strand follows the CHμ4 G strand. Ordered carbohydrates were apparent on CHμ4 residue Asn 374. Within each tFcμ, two CHμ4 domains interact primarily through residues located in the ABED β-sheets, which mediate the interactions between the C-terminal Ig domain in most Fcs[18–20,22,23]; the interface (including CHμ3, CHμ4 and Tp) is ~924Å^2 and is rich in electrostatic interactions (Fig. 2a and Supplementary Fig. 3a, b).

Remarkably, despite sharing identical sequence and adopting Ig constant folds, the eight copies of CHμ4 exhibit structural differences that stabilize the tFcμ tetramer. Specifically, the C-terminal 38 residues (residues 411-448; FG loop, G strand and Tp) adopt one of two folds, with chains A and H adopting an "A-type" fold and chains B-G adopting a "B-type" fold (Fig. 2b, c). In the A-type fold, the FG loop and the G strand include residues 411-416 and 417-422, respectively, and the Tp folds back to form β-sheet interactions with the G strand (Fig. 2b). In the B-type fold, the FG loop and the G strand instead include residues 411-420 and 421-426, respectively, resulting in Tp β-strand (residues 434-441) being directed away from CHμ4 where they form β-sheet interactions with other Tp(s) rather than the G strand (Fig. 2c). Whereas chains A and H are superimposable, the six B-type domains adopt one of three distinct conformations based on the trajectory of the Tp and thus, each B-type domain is superimposable (RMSD of less than 0.18Å) with one other B-type domain on the opposing side of the tetramer (Supplementary Fig. 5d). In sum, the A-type CHμ4 domains can be described as having a C-F-G-Tp sheet whereas B-type have a C-F-G sheet (with distinct F-G β-sheet interactions) and an extended Tp. Together these two folds facilitate the incorporation of each chain into the Tp assembly (discussed below).

Fig. 2 | tIgM CH4 domains adopt one of two Ig folds. a Cartoon representation of tFcμ1 with glycans shown in yellow; the orientation is the same as Fig. 1b. **b-c** A-type and B-type tCHμ4 folds. *Top*, cartoon representations of A-type tCHμ4 domain and B-type tCHμ4 domain. *Bottom*, topology diagram showing the secondary structure of tFcμ1A and tFcμ1B; terminal residues of each β-strand are numbered.

Interactions between Fcμs are mediated by CHμ4-CHμ4 and CHμ4-Tp interfaces

The tFcμ tetramer includes contacts between adjacent Fcμ monomers and Tps. Interactions

between adjacent Fcμ monomers (e.g., Fcμ1-Fcμ2, Fcμ2-Fcμ3, and Fcμ3-Fcμ4) are dominated

by a common set of residues in the CHμ4 CD loops, FG loops and G-strands, forming an interface

of ~1360Å^2 (Fig. 3a). Notable residues mediating these contacts include CHμ4 G strand residues

Met422, Thr424, and Arg 423, which form electrostatic interactions and hydrogen bonds with G

strand residues, CD loop (Asp359), and F strand (Ser406) residues in the neighboring CHμ4

domain. Additionally, FG loop residues Lys417 and Thr418 form electrostatic interactions with CD

loop Arg364 and F strand Tyr410, respectively (Fig. 3b, c).

Fig. 3 | The CHμ4 interface between adjacent tFcμ monomers. A Overview of interactions between tFcμ1B and tFcμ2C at the CHμ4 interface (shaded area in tFcμ tetramer). *Left*, open-book overview of tFcμ-tFcμ interface boxed in the right panel, but shown in a different orientation. Only CHμ4 C-F-G β-sheets and FG loops are shown. *Right*, tFcμ tetramer with tFcμ1B and tFcμ2C at the CHμ4 interface in shaded box. **b** Electrostatic interactions and hydrogen bonding mediated by FG loops between tFcμ1B and tFcμ2C at the CHμ4 interface. Cartoon representations are shown for the F strand, G strand, and FG loop. Residues participating in interactions are shown as sticks. **c** Electrostatic and hydrogen bonding mediated by G strands between tFcμ1B and tFcμ2C at the CHμ4 interface. A cartoon representation of G strand is shown and interfacing residues are shown as sticks.

Fcμ1 and Fcμ4 do not interface and instead interact with the Tp assembly, which is

situated between the two Fcμs (Fig. 1b and Fig. 4a). Notably, Fcμ1 and Fcμ4 each contain one

A-type CHμ4 domain and one B-type CHμ4 domain. The A-type domains neighbor the Tp

assembly and contribute uniquely to its structure. Specifically, because A-type Tp β-strands form

β-sheet interactions with CHμ4 G strands, residues in the pre-Tp loop (residues 434-441) are

positioned to contact both the top and bottom Tp β-sheets, effectively clamping the Fcμ1 and

Fcμ4 to the Tp assembly. Interactions between the pre-Tp loops and the rest of the Tp assembly

are dominated by polar contacts between A-type pre-Tp loop residues and B-type Tp residues

(Fig. 4d-f). Together Fc-Fc and Fc-Tp interactions form a band of contacts around the entire

tetramer, with A-type CHμ4 domains contributing directly to the Tp assembly (Fig. 4d-f) and B-

type CHμ4 domains forming interchain interactions between adjacent Fcμ monomers using CD

loops, FG loops and G-strands (Fig. 3b, c).

Tps from adjacent tFcμ monomers alternate to form two β-sheets that stabilize the Tp

assembly

Within tFcμ tetramer, β-strand pairing in the Tp assembly tightly links individual chains and also

promotes stabilizing interactions between the two halves of the tetramer (Fcμ1-Fcμ2 and Fcμ3-

Fcμ4). Specifically, we observe that Tp residues 434-440 form β-strands, which together

constitute two 4-stranded β-sheets, each comprised of Tps from two adjacent tFcμ monomers

(Fig. 4a). Notably, parallel Tp β-strand pairing alternates between Fcμs such that one sheet

comprises Tps from chains A-C-B-D and the other comprises Tps from chains E-G-F-H; in other

words, two Tp strands from the same Fcμ are separated by one Tp strand from an adjacent Fcμ

(Fig. 4a). The two β-sheets are stacked, forming two planes rotated at an angle of ~48° relative

to each other, and bury a hydrophobic core in-between. Buried residues include Val435, Leu437,

and Leu439 from each chain, whereas hydrophilic residues Asn436, Ser438, and Asn440 face

outwards (Fig. 4b, c).

Loop residues 441-448 follow the Tp β-strands. These residues are poorly ordered in the

map, however density suggests potential disulfide between copies of the residue Cys445

(Supplementary Fig. 5b). Residue 445 is a conserved Cys involved in interchain disulfide bonding

in mammalian IgA and IgM[19,20]; despite low local resolution, our map suggests possible disulfide

Fig. 4 | Teleost IgM Tp assembly and its interactions with pre-Tp loop of A-type CHμ4.
a Cartoon representation of the tFcμ Tp assembly. The top β-sheet consists of Tp^{tFcμ3E}, Tp^{tFcμ4G}, Tp^{tFcμ3F}, and Tp^{tFcμ4H} and bottom β-sheet consists of Tp^{tFcμ1A}, Tp^{tFcμ2C}, Tp^{tFcμ1B}, and Tp^{tFcμ2D}. Cys445 side chains are shown as sticks. Cys445 pairs that may form disulfides are linked using dashed lines. **b** Closeup view of the tFcμ Tp assembly hydrophobic core, which is depicted from the Tp C-termini looking toward the center of the tFcμ tetramer. **c** Closeup view of the tFcμ Tp assembly top β-sheet comprising β-strands from chains A-D. The side chains of hydrophobic residues are shown as lines while side chains of hydrophilic residues are shown as sticks. **d** Sideview of the tFcμ Tp assembly. **e** The tFcμ1A pre-Tp loop residues Arg423, Arg427, and Thr428 interact with Tp^{tFcμ2C} residues Gln431, Asn436 and Ser438; only chain A and chain C are shown. **f** The tFcμ1A pre-Tp loop residues Arg427 and Thr428 interact with Tp^{tFcμ3E} residues Asn436 and Ser438; only chain A and chain E are shown.

bonding between Cys445^{Fcμ1A} and Cys445^{Fcμ3E}, between Cys445^{Fcμ1B} and Cys445^{Fcμ2C}, Cys445^{Fcμ2D} and Cys445^{Fcμ4H}, and Cys445^{Fcμ3F} and Cys445^{Fcμ4G} (see Supplementary Fig. 5b). Because these potential disulfides are located in the C-terminal loop region following the Tp β-strands, they do not appear to play a direct role in stabilizing the interactions between adjacent Fcs; nevertheless, they may effectively provide a covalent link between the two halves of the tetramer by connecting the top and bottom sheets of the Tp assembly (Fig. 3a and Supplementary

Fig. 5b). Cysteine to serine mutation at this residue produced a tFcμ monomer, further suggesting

a critical role in tIgM polymeric assembly (Supplementary Fig. 5c).

Comparisons between teleost and human IgM

IgM is considered to be one of the evolutionarily oldest Igs. It is omnipresent in all jawed species

and teleost fish are the second oldest class expressing IgM, after cartilaginous fish[1]. To gain

insights on pIg evolution we compared teleost and human (h) IgM structures[20,21]. Whereas tIgM

is a tetramer, hIgM forms a JC-associated pentamer. Available human IgM structures contain the

Fc region (hFcμ), JC and human secretory component (hSC) (Fig. 5a). Overall, tFcμ and hFcμ

adopt structures characterized by adjacent Fc monomers linked through Fcμ-Fcμ contacts and a

Tp assembly; however, the structures are not globally superimposable and the relative orientation

of the Fcμs, residues involved in Fcμ-Fcμ contacts, and the location and structure of the Tp

assembly differ markedly, indicating that mechanisms of pIg assembly and function have evolved

from teleost to humans.

A fundamental difference between tFcμ and hFcμ is the geometric relationship among

adjacent Fcμ monomers. For example, adjacent tFcμs are related by angles of 80-85° and ~120°

(non-adjacent tFcμ1 and tFcμ4 separated by the Tp assembly) while the five adjacent hFcμs are

related by angles between ~53° and ~62° (non-adjacent hFcμ1 and hFcμ5 separated by hJC)

(Fig. 1b and Fig. 5a). The inter-Fc contacts that stabilize these geometries in both tFcμ and hFcμ

include CHμ4 C-F-G β-sheets and FG loops; however participating residues are not conserved,

resulting in an interface of ~400Å^2 between hCHμ4s and a larger interface of ~800Å^2 between

tCHμ4s. In addition, adjacent hFcμ monomer CH3 domains form contacts and are covalently

linked by disulfide bonds between Cys414 residues[20,21] whereas this cysteine residue is not

conserved in tFcμ and thus does not form inter-Fc disulfide bonds between tFcμ CH3 domains.

Structural comparisons between CH4 domains and Tps from humans and fish IgM are

especially relevant to understanding pIg evolution and the functional differences between species

because these domains form the majority of contacts that stabilize polymeric forms of IgM. Human and teleost IgM heavy chain constant domains share 28% sequence identity and 33% similarity among CH4 domains (Fig. 5d). A structural alignment between the human and teleost CHμ4 domains reveals a RMSD of 1.269Å when aligned to A-type domains and RMSD of 1.179Å when aligned to B-type domains, suggesting that that B-type domains share greater structural similarity to human IgM CHμ4. Structural variability in the main chain arises primarily from differences in the length and conformations of the CD and DE loops (Fig. 5c), which contain residues that mediate contacts within and between Fcμs and are not well conserved from teleost to mammalian IgM. Even in regions that share high structurally similarity, such as the A-B-D-E β-sheet, some residues mediating contacts are not conserved, including those that stabilize the CH4-CH4 interface within each Fc (Fig. 5d).

The Tp has long been implicated in pIg assembly; it is presumed to be present in all pIgs[2,3] and is moderately conserved among vertebrate pIg sequences (Supplementary Fig. 4). Mammalian IgM and IgA structures revealed Tps folding together with the JC to form β sandwich-like domains near the center of the complexes[18–20]. In human SIgM, residues 562-568 of each hFcμ Tp form a β-strand, and 10 copies form two parallel β-sheets that lie near-perpendicular to the central axis of the pentamer, positioning the distal β-strand from each sheet to pair with one β-strand from JC (Fig. 5b). In contrast, the tFcμ Tp assembly lacks a JC and is located toward the side of the complex between Fcμ1 and Fcμ4 with β-sheets positioned near-parallel to the central axis (Fig. 1b). In both structures, conserved hydrophobic residues Leu437 and Leu439 (Val564 and Leu566 in hFcμ) face the core of the sandwich and conserved hydrophilic residues Asn436 and Ser438 (Asn563 and Ser565 in hFcμ) face outward (Fig. 4b, c). However, despite the observation that conserved residues mediate hydrophobic interactions at the core of both hFcμ and tFcμ Tp assemblies, the relationship between the two Tp assembly β-sheets differs; the two tFcμ β-sheets are related by an angle of 48° whereas the two hFcμ β-sheets are related by an angle of 158° (Fig. 4a and Fig. 5b).

Fig. 5 | Comparison of IgM structures from trout and humans. a Human SFcµ structure, containing five hFcµ monomers, hJC, and hSC (PDB ID: 6kxs); hFcµ and hJC are shown as cartoon representations and hSC is shown as molecular surface representation. Angles between Fcµ monomers are indicated in the structure. **b** Overview of hFcµ Tp assembly. Residues 560-576 of hFcµ heavy chain and part of hJC are shown and colored using the same strategy as seen in panel a. **c** Structural alignment of hFcµ CHµ4 domain with tFcµ A-type CHµ4 domain and tFcµ B-type CHµ4 domain. All domains are shown as cartoon representations. **d** Sequence alignment of IgM CHµ3, CHµ4, and Tp from *Oncorhynchus mykiss* (rainbow trout) with *Homo sapiens* (human), with secondary structure (S.S) and interchain contacts shown at top (tFcµ) and bottom (hSFcµ) of the sequences. For B-type tCHµ4, secondary structure features identical to A-type are not indicated. In all panels, figures are annotated and colored according to the key.

The most striking difference between tFcμ and hFcμ Tp assemblies is a difference in β-

strand pairing. In tFcμ, each Tp pairs with a Tp originating from an adjacent Fc monomer while in

hFcμ, Tp β-strands pair with a second Tp from the same hFcμ monomer. The exception to this is

hFcμ3, which contributes one Tp to each β-sheet in the hFcμ Tp assembly (Fig. 4a and Fig. 5b).

The hFcμ Tp strand-pairing pattern is also observed for Tps in polymeric forms of IgA[19]. Despite

differences in strand pairing, the length from the last residues of CHμ4 (Asp426 in tIgM; Asp 553

in hIgM) to the first residue in the FcμTp β-strand (Leu434 in tIgM; Tyr562 in hIgM) is conserved

between the tFcμ B-type fold and hFcμ. Also conserved among hFcμ and tFcμ is a potential N-

linked glycosylation site (PNGS) including residues Asn436 and Ser438. Earlier studies indicated

that glycosylation at this site can influence hIgM polymerization and may prevent

aggregation[20,24,25]. However, we did not observe ordered glycosylation at this site in the tFcμ

structure (Supplementary Fig. 5a).

Finally, both tFcμ and hFcμ contain a conserved cysteine residue in the C-terminal loop

following the Tp β-strand. While only four out of ten copies of Cys575 are ordered in published

hFcμ structures[20], and density at this conserved cysteine (Cys445) is poor in the tFcμ structure

(Supplementary Fig. 5b), data point toward an essential role for a cysteine near the C-terminus

of IgMs. As noted, we observe putative disulfide bond formation linking tFcμ Cys445^{Fcμ1A} with

Cys445^{Fcμ3E} and Cys445^{Fcμ2D} with Cys445^{Fcμ4H} and deletion of this residue inhibits tetramer

formation (Supplementary Fig. 5c). In the case of hFcμ, this cysteine (Cys575) forms disulfides

with the JC or with another Tp located in the same sheet and is reportedly necessary for hIgM

assembly[20,21]. The pattern of disulfide bonding appears to be different and to link fundamentally

different regions in tIgM versus hIgM. In sum, structural analysis of tFcμ and hFcμ reveals that

despite conservation in sequence and length, IgM Tps can assemble into markedly different

structures to promote assembly of pIgs.

tFcμ motifs introduced into human heavy chains can promote the assembly of pIgs

Armed with new structural insights and a desire to better understand pIg evolution and assembly

mechanisms, we explored the ability of tFcμ motifs to facilitate the assembly of pIgs from other

heavy chains including human mu (IgM), alpha-1 (IgA1) and gamma-1 (IgG1). The tFcμ structure

suggests that both A-type and B-type tCHμ4 domains are essential for tFcμ assembly. Given that

tFcμ A-type and B-type tCHμ4 domains differed in the FG loop, G strand, and pre-Tp loop regions,

we hypothesized that the mechanisms of tFcμ assembly rely heavily on residues in these motifs.

To test this hypothesis, we designed twelve chimeric expression constructs, in which residues

found in the A-type or B-type tCHμ4 G strand and/or Tp residues are replaced with endogenous

counterparts in human Fcμ, Fcα1, and Fcγ1 (Fig. 6a). Chimeric Fc constructs and associated

controls were transiently transfected into human cells and the resulting proteins were purified and

the polymeric state was evaluated using size exclusion elution chromatography with in-line multi-

angle light scattering (SEC-MALS), which reports on the absolute molecular weight of target

proteins and complexes[26].

As noted, human IgM is naturally expressed as a hexamer or a JC-containing pentamer,

and the Tp is reportedly essential for the assembly of both complexes[25]. Consistent with published

studies, in our experimental system the removal of Tp residues resulted in only monomeric hFcμ

(Fig. 6b and Supplementary Fig. 6a). To determine if the tFcμ Tp could promote pIg assembly in

hFcμ constructs lacking the endogenous Tp (and the JC), we measured the molecular weight of

four chimeric proteins, hFcμ-tFcμ-chi1 to chi4 (Fig. 6b and Supplementary Fig. 6a). The hFcμ-

tFcμ-chi1 and hFcμ-tFcμ-chi2 were monomeric; however, hFcμ-tFcμ-chi3 and hFcμ-tFcμ-chi4

were polymeric with average molecular weights consistent with tetrameric complexes (Fig. 6b and

Supplementary Table 3). The hFcμ-tFcμ-chi3 contains the tFcμ Tp and hFcμ-tFcμ-chi4 contains

the B-type tCHμ4 G strand and tFcμ Tp residues; thus, data indicate that substitution of hFcμ

residues with these motifs, but not the A-type tCHμ4 G strand and tFcμ Tp can promote pIg

assembly. However, in contrast to the hexamers observed when full-length hFcμ is expressed

without JC, chimeric pIgs adopted probable tetramers.

Fig. 6 | Design and characterization of chimeric Fcs | a Top: the design of chimeric Fc constructs; bottom: schematic showing topology of chimeric Fc constructs. Each chimeric Fc consists of an N-terminal hexa-histidine tag, partial hFc sequence (orange), and tFcμ C-terminal sequence (marine or light blue). **b-d** SEC-MALS chromatograms for the indicated chimeric Fcs (top) and associated control complexes (bottom). SEC elution profiles are shown as solid curves (left axis; normalized UV signal) and light scattering data, indicating protein mass, is shown as horizontal dots (right axis; protein mass in kDa). All data are colored according to the key below. In top panels, average protein mass of controls is indicated by horizontal dashed lines. **b** hFcμ-tFcμ chimeric Fcs and relevant controls. **c** hFcα-tFcμ chimeric Fcs and relevant controls. **d** hFcγ1-tFcμ chimeric Fcs and relevant controls. For all samples, the predicted molecular weight from the protein sequence, the average molecular weight determined by MALS, and the number and potential of PNGS are listed in Supplementary Table 4.

We also sought to determine if the tCHμ4 G strand and/or Tp residues could promote pIg

assembly in the context of hFcα, which is monomeric in the absence of the JC and typically

dimeric when expressed with a JC, or in the context of Fcγ1, which is naturally monomeric and

does not contain a Tp. In contrast to hFcμ-tFcμ chimeras, we found that hFcα-tFcμ-chi1 and hFcα-

tFcμ-chi2 formed pIgs whereases hFcα-tFcμ-chi3 and hFcα-tFcμ-chi4 did not. The molecular

weight of resulting pIgs was consistent with a mix of species ranging from dimers to tetramers

(Figs. 6c and Supplementary Fig. 6b). Data signify that in the context of the human alpha-1 heavy

chain, the G strand and Tp residues found in A-type tCHμ4 fold, can promote pIg assembly in

the absence of JC, whereas G strand and Tp residues found in the B-type tCHμ4 fold, or Tp

residues alone, cannot. Among hFcγ1-tFcμ chimeras, only hFcγ1-tFcμ-chi4 promoted pIg

assembly, suggesting that in the context of the human gamma-1 heavy chain, substituting G

strand and Tp residues found in the B-type tCHμ4 fold could promote assembly whereas others

could not. For all chimeric Fc constructs that promoted pIg assembly, pIgs were isolated from

mixtures containing mIgs before SEC-MALS analysis (Supplementary Fig. 6). Among hFcμ-tFcμ

chimeras, the pIgs represented more than 50% of the total protein purified whereas pIgs isolated

from hFcα-tFcμ and hFcγ1-tFcμ chimeras represented less than 30% of the total protein purified,

with mIgs making up the rest of the total (Supplementary Fig. 6). This suggests that in our

experimental system, pIg assembly promoted by tFcμ motifs was most efficient for hFcμ-tFcμ

chimeras.

DISCUSSION

Throughout evolution, pIgs have evolved critical, yet incompletely characterized functions in

jawed vertebrates. Data reported here, together with recently published mammalian pIg

structures, demonstrate that pIgs are a structurally diverse group of antibodies varying in

composition, size, and conformation. For the host, assembly of these complexes is a critical first

step to implementing a vast array of downstream effector functions and we find evidence that

across species, this can be accomplished through several distinct yet overlapping mechanisms. Specifically, the Ig domains and Tps provide a common set of building blocks, while differences in heavy chain amino acid sequence and the presence or absence of JC are variables adding plasticity to the process.

In teleost fish, the Fc regions of pIgs are assembled from Ig domains and Tps formed by heavy chain amino acid sequence, and the tFcμ structure reported in this study revealed a folding pattern distinct from mammalian pIg structures containing the JC[27–29]. This points to the possibility that JC-independent, and JC-dependent assembly evolved to employ distinct biophysical mechanisms. However, we find that motifs from tFcμ are able to promote JC-independent pIg assembly of otherwise human heavy chains, suggesting some degree of interchangeability among the C-terminal sequences of pIg-forming heavy chains. For example, chimeric hFcμ-tFcμ revealed that a subset of tFcμ motifs were able to promote pIg assembly of an otherwise human IgM heavy chain in the absence of JC. It is intriguing that this effect was found only when the tFcμ CH4 G strand and/or Tp residues found in the B-type fold, but not the A-type fold, were used. While this may reflect the higher degree of similarity shared between the B type fold and human IgM domains, the resulting pIgs appeared to be tetramers rather hexamers, the predominant polymeric state associated with human IgM lacking the JC. It remains unknown whether hFcμ-tFcμ chimera tetramers assemble into structures more similar to tIgM or hIgM with respect to the Tp assembly structure, contacts, and geometric relationships between the incorporated Fcs. While pIg assembly of hFcα-tFcμ, and hFcγ1-tFcμ chimeras was notably less efficient than hFcμ-tFcμ chimeras, it is significant that tFcμ components could promote some pIg assembly in the context of human IgA1 and IgG1 heavy chains, neither of which naturally form pIgs in the absence of JC. While we cannot rule out the possibility that assembly in plasma cells differs from the HEK cells used in our experiments, experimental cell lines assembled controls of expected MW and provided a uniform system to evaluate how sequence differences among chimeras influences pIg assembly.

The JC is found in the genomes of cartilaginous fish, reptiles, amphibians, birds ,and

mammals; however it is unclear if it is functionally incorporated into pIg in all these species and

as noted, hexameric hIgM can assemble in the absence of JC[27–29]. This raises the question of

whether or pIg lacking the JC from species other than teleost fish have structures most similar to

hexameric hIgM or to tetrameric tIgM? At this time, a high resolution structure detailing the Tp

assembly of hexameric IgM has not been reported; however, negative-stain EM and small-angle

light scattering (SAXS) data suggest all six hFcμs form Fc-Fc contacts, and that the Tp assembly

remains in the center of the complex as seen in pentameric IgM, rather than bridging two Fcs as

we observed in tetrameric tFcμ[30,31]. The structures of unliganded Tps (e.g. those found in

monomeric IgA or IgM) are also unknown and therefore it remains unclear if and how Tps might

change conformation during mammalian pIg assembly in absence of JC and/or if they adopt

conformations distinct from what is observed in mammalian pIg and SIg structures. Without such

information, it is difficult to judge whether the Tp β-strands would alternate in hIgM hexamers, as

observed in tFcμ tetramers, or if they would pair with another Tp β-strand from the same Fc as

observed in hFcμ pentamer and mammalian IgA polymers.

While our structural data provide considerable insight on tIgM structure and assembly

mechanisms, the stages of the assembly process remain unclear. Identification of two distinct

tCHμ4 folds evokes the question of how tIgM heavy chains fold and if they adopt A- and/or B-type

CHμ4 domains prior to the assembly of pIgM. In isolation, the A-type fold appears likely to be

more stable than the B-type fold because the β-strand region of the Tp forms β-sheet interactions

with the G strand, rather than being extended away from the core of the Ig domain in a potentially

flexible location (see Fig. 2b). While further study is needed to define the stages of tFcμ assembly,

one possibility is that heavy chains first adopt the A-type conformation and that polymeric

assembly is triggered when two tFcμ monomers come into proximity and interactions at the Fc-

Fc interface displace Tp β-strands from both Fcs, effectively kicking them out of the Ig-fold and

allowing them to form parallel β-sheet interactions with each other. It is unclear if there is sufficient

energy in the tFcμ-tFcμ interface for its formation to break Tp-G strand hydrogen bonding and

thus if this model is correct, it is conceivable that molecular chaperones, which have been

implicated in mammalian pIgA and pIgM assembly processes, are involved[32,33]. Regardless,

sequential interactions between Fcs could add strands to the Tp assembly until the tetramer was

closed by the last remaining A-type fold. This model is consistent with the alternating Tp β-strand

pairing that we observe in tFcμ, but that is not seen in mammalian IgM or IgA containing JC[18–20].

Furthermore, given that Cys445Ser mutation abolished tetramer formation, it is possible that

disulfide bonds linking non-adjacent Fcs, and/or the top and bottom β-sheets in the Tp assembly

(see Fig. 4a, Supplementary Fig. 5b, c) stabilize some part of this process.

Another remarkable finding of our work is that the majority of pIg assembly mechanisms

appear likely to culminate in the release of an asymmetric pIg complex, despite being assembled

from multiple copies of identical Ig heavy chains with identical sequence. Asymmetry in

mammalian pIgM and pIgA was verified with the publication of pIgA and pIgM structures, in which

the JC was bound to two Igs uniquely, and is thus postulated to template the addition of monomers

during assembly and to confer asymmetry on the resulting complex[18–20]. However, the tFcμ

structure, which lacks a JC, also reveals elements of asymmetry, with four Fc monomers directed

away from the Tp assembly such that the relative geometric relationships between each tFcμ are

not identical. As noted, this arrangement appears to be dependent upon the existence of A-type

and B-type CHμ4 domains, yet it is unclear if asymmetry in pIgs evolved as an efficient means to

assemble a pIg and/or if asymmetry provides a functional advantage.

IgM is a critical Ig heavy chain class found in all jawed vertebrates. Studies in mammals

demonstrate that its effector functions are mediated by high avidity interactions with antigen (from

five sets of Fabs), complement activation, binding to IgM-specific Fc receptors (FcRs), and

mucosal functions associated with pIgR-dependent secretion. While relatively few reports have

documented its function in teleost fish, evidence suggests that tIgM also participates in

complement activation, mucosal functions such as bacterial coating and immune exclusion and

binds IgM-specific FcRs[17,34–36]. The tetrameric state of tIgM we observe implies that it has the

potential to bind multiple copies of an epitope simultaneously, which is likely to support high-

avidity antigen binding and/or antigen crosslinking. The structural relationship between tFcμ CH2

and Fab domains remains uncharacterized and thus modeling potential positions of tIgM Fabs is

non-trivial; however, based recent structures detailing the hIgM CH2 domain we estimate they

might extend ~125Å from the center of the complex[37]. Furthermore, our structure indicates that

Fabs are likely to be directed away from the Tp assembly, which separates Fcμ1 and Fcμ4,

leaving portions of the Tp assembly and its adjacent Fcs sterically accessible. It is functionally

intriguing that each copy of tFcμ is related to another by a different angle, raising the possibility

that different geometric relationships between Fabs within a pIg provide unique binding

advantages for different types of antigen distribution. For example, Fcs separated by 120° might

bind epitopes that are separated by larger distances compared to Fcs separated by 80° (Fig. 1c).

We also hypothesize that the 120° separation between tFcμ1 and tFcμ4 could promote FcR

binding to tFcμ1 and/or tFcμ4. We note that the two Fcs in dimeric forms of mammalian IgA are

related by angles of 97°; in human IgM, two Fcs are separated by 53°-62°, with exception of Fcμ1

and Fcμ5 which are separated by an angle of 127° (see Fig. 5a). The 97° bend between two IgA

monomers in the SIgA structure is predicted to increase accessibility to FcR binding sites and

promote binding away from Fabs[18]. Future studies will be necessary to determine if the geometric

relationship between Ig monomers in pIg have been selected for because of a functional

advantage, an assembly advantage, or both.

Although teleost SIgT has been identified as the immunoglobulin specialized in teleost

mucosal immunity, substantial amounts of tSIgM are also found in gut and skin mucus before and

after immunization[14,17,38]. SIg species are crucial to mucosal immunity because of their ability to

bind and exclude microbes before they breach epithelial cells. This is especially important to fish

since their skin is covered in mucus and constantly exposed to an external aquatic environment.

In both mammals and teleost fish, the pIgR binds and transports pIgM across epithelial cells and

is cleaved from the cell surface upon delivery to the mucosa, leaving its ectodomain bound to the

pIg where it is referred to as secretory component (SC). In mammals, the JC is necessary for this

process and forms direct contacts with SC, which contains five Ig-like domains[20,21]. In contrast,

JC is not required for delivery of tSIgM to the mucosa and tSC contains just two Ig-like domains

that share low sequence conservation with mammalian counterparts; these observations indicate

an alternative tSC-tIgM binding mechanism[15,39]. Consistent with these observations, we found

that only two hIgM residues that bind hSC are conserved and solvent-accessible on tFcμ (Fig.

5d). It is yet unknown where the tSC binding site is located and if that site overlaps with other FcR

binding sites.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Construct design and protein expression

The gene encoding the *Oncorhynchus mykiss* IgM heavy chain secretory form (GenBank:

AAW66974.1) was obtained from the NCBI database. DNA sequence encoding a signal peptide

(MFPASLLLLLAAASCVHC), a hexa-histidine tag, CHμ3 (residues 222-318), CHμ4 (residues

319-432), and Tp (residues 433-448) was codon optimized for human cell expression,

synthesized (Integrated DNA Technologies, Inc.) and cloned into mammalian transient

expression vector pD2610-v1 (Atum). Resulting plasmid DNA was transiently transfected into

HEK Expi293-F cell line using the ExpiFectamine™ 293 Transfection Kit (Thermo Fisher

Scientific). Six days after transfection, supernatants were harvested and His-tagged tIgM-Fc (tFcμ)

protein was purified using Ni-NTA Agarose (Qiagen) and subsequently, Superose 6 Increase

10/300 column (Cytiva) size exclusion chromatography (SEC). Elution fractions corresponding to

the predicted molecular weight of tFcμ tetramer were collected and stored in TBS buffer

(containing 20mM Tris-HCl and 150mL NaCl, pH 7.8) for cryo-EM.

To design chimeric Fcs, published structures containing hFcα, hFcγ1, and hFcμ (PDB IDs:

6UE7, 1MCO, 6KXS, respectively) were structurally aligned to A-type and B-type tCHμ4

structures. Constructs hFc-tFcµ-chi1 and hFc-tFcµ-chi2 were designed based on structural

alignment to A-type tCHµ4 and constructs hFc-tFcµ-chi3 and hFc-tFcµ-chi4 were designed based

on structural alignment to B-type tCHµ4. In construct hFc-tFcµ-chi1, A-type tCHµ4 residues in FG

loop, G strand, pre-Tp loop, and Tp (residues 412-448) were swapped into the C-terminal CH

domain of hFcs (CH3 or CH4). In the hFc-tFcµ-chi2 construct, A-type tCHµ4 residues in G strand,

pre-Tp loop, and Tp (residues 417-448) were swapped into the C-terminal CH domain of hFcs

(CH3 or CH4). In the hFc-tFcµ-chi3 construct, B-type tCHµ4 residues in pre-Tp loop, and Tp

(residues 427-448) were swapped into the C-terminal CH domain of hFcs (CH3 or CH4). In the

hFc-tFcµ-chi4 construct, B-type tCHµ4 residues in G strand, pre-Tp loop, and Tp (residues 421-

448) were swapped into the C-terminal CH domain of hFcα and hFcµ, or fused after the C-

terminus of hFcγ1. Chimeric Fc constructs were cloned, transfected, and purified using the same

protocol described for the tFcµ construct.

SEC-Multiangle light scattering (SEC-MALS) analysis.

Proteins samples were prepared as described. After purification by SEC (see Supplementary Figs.

1&6), fractions in each peak were pooled together, concentrated to approximately 2 g/L, filtered,

and sent to University of Pennsylvania for SEC-MALS analysis. SEC-MALS experiments were

performed on tFcµ and mutant variants. 100uL injections of purified protein in TBS buffer was

loaded onto a Superose 6 Increase 10/300 column (Cytiva) at 0.5 ml/min at 25°C. Absolute

molecular weights were determined using MALS. The scattered light intensity of the column eluant

was recorded at 18 different angles using a DAWN-HELEOS MALS detector (Wyatt Technology

Corp.) operating at 658 nm after calibration with the monomer fraction of Type V BSA (Sigma).

Protein concentration of the eluant was determined using an in-line Optilab T-rex interferometric

refractometer (Wyatt Technology Corp.). The weight-averaged molecular weight of species within

defined chromatographic peaks was calculated using the ASTRA software version 8.0 (Wyatt

Technology Corp.), by construction of Debye plots (KC/$R\theta$ *versus* $\sin^2[\theta/2]$) at 1-s data intervals.

The weight-averaged molecular weight was then calculated at each point of the chromatographic

trace from the Debye plot intercept, and an overall average molecular weight was calculated by

averaging across the peak. Data were further analyzed using the protein conjugate analysis

module as implemented in Astra for protein with carbohydrate modifier. For all samples, the

predicted molecular weight from the protein sequence, the average molecular weight determined

by MALS, and the number and potential of PNGS are listed in Supplementary Table 4.

Cryo-EM grid preparation and data collection

UltrAuFoil R1.2/1.3 300 mesh grids (Quantifoil) were glow discharged in a Pelco easiGlow

(TedPella Inc) for 90 seconds at 25 mA current. Using a Vitrobot Mark IV (ThermoFisher) grids

were prepared at 4 $^{\circ}$C, 100 % RH, blot force of 5, 0 s wait and drain time, and with blot time

ranging from 2 to 8 second. Sample was diluted from 1.8 mg/mL to 0.1 mg/mL prior to freezing.

Movies were collected using SerialEM on a Titan Krios G3 cryoTEM (Thermo Fisher) operating

at 300 kV, equipped with BioQuantum Energy Filter (20 eV slit width, Gatan) and a K3 direct

electron detector (Gatan) using beam image-shift in a 3x3x3 pattern. A total of 3618 movies were

collected at 105,000 magnification in super resolution mode with the corrected pixel size of 0.427

Å/pix, ~60 electros/Å^2 total dose, and 40 frames per movie.

Cryo-EM data processing

Movies were imported into cryoSPARC and binned by 2 during patch motion correction[40,41].

Images were curated for CTF fits, ice thickness, and contamination resulting in 3131 remaining

images. Blob picker was used with about half of the images to generate an initial particle stack.

After 2D classification, best classes were selected as templates for Template picker on the full

dataset, resulting in ~1.3 M picks. Particles were binned by 2 during extraction and subject to

several rounds of 2D classification and heterogeneous refinement. Remaining 698k particles were

re-extracted at the full pixel size. After an additional round of heterogeneous refinement, 610k

particles were used in non-uniform refinement (with C2 symmetry), resulting in a 2.9 Å map[42].

After a cycle of local and global CTF refinement, an improved map at 2.78 Å was obtained. A non-

uniform refinement with C1 symmetry was also computed, resulting in a 2.9 Å map. C1 and C2

maps were essentially superimposable (not shown) and the C2 map was used for structure

refinement.

Structure determination, refinement, and validation

A homology model for a single tCHμ4 domain was made with SWISS-MODEL using the human

IgM-Fc core cryo-EM structure (PDB ID: 6KXS) as template; the C-terminal Tp was not included

in the homology model[43]. The tCHμ4 homology model was docked into real-space density of

CHμ4^{Fcμ1A} using UCSF Chimera, developed by the Resource for Biocomputing, Visualization, and

Informatics at the University of California, San Francisco, with support from NIH P41-GM103311[44].

Initial inspection of the docked homology model revealed several regions poorly fitted into density.

To improved model fitting, less structured regions (residues Val362 to Gln378, C-terminal

sequence after residue Arg427) were deleted from the homology model and resulting model was

automatically fitted into real-space density using Coot Molecular Graphics Package[45].

Subsequently, residues 397-427 were deleted from the model due to mis-fitting and then rebuilt

manually. The outcome was inspected and manually rebuilt in Coot before being subjected to

Phenix real-space refinement[46]. After several refinement iterations, the model of CHμ4^{Fcμ1A} and

its Tp agreed well with the cryo-EM density map and a copy of the model was docked into density

of CHμ4^{Fcμ1B}. Due to the structural differences between CHμ4^{Fcμ1A} and CHμ4^{Fcμ1B}, adjustments to

the C-terminal sequence of CHμ4^{Fcμ1B} were made manually in Coot to optimize model fitting into

cryo-EM map. Models of CHμ4^{Fcμ1A} and CHμ4^{Fcμ1B} were combined and refined using Phenix and

Coot Molecular Graphics Package[45,46]. Copies of CHμ4^{Fcμ1B} were docked into the density of

CHμ4$^{Fcμ2C-4G}$ and one copy of CHμ4^{Fcμ1A} was docked into the density of CHμ4^{Fcμ4H} using UCSF

Chimera; these newly docked CHμ4 models were first refined individually using Phenix and then

combined with previously refined CHμ4[Fcμ1A] and CHμ4[Fcμ1B] domains[44,46]. Residues linking CHμ4

domains to Tps and residues in the Tp assembly were built manually into the combined model.

Cys445 residues were built into density with rotamers that assume preferred orientation to form

interchain disulfides. The resulting model was subjected to iterations of automatic refinement in

Phenix and manual adjustment in Coot Molecular Graphics Package. The refined CHμ4 model

was used as template to make a homology model for the tCHμ3 domain using SWISS-

MODEL[43,45,46]. The CHμ3 homology model was docked into the density of CHμ3[Fcμ2D] and refined

using similar methods as described above. Subsequently, copies of CHμ3[Fcμ2D] were docked into

the density of remaining CHμ3 domains. CHμ3 domains were joined with the previously refined

CHμ4 domains and Tp assembly; the entire model is refined using Phenix and Coot Molecular

Graphics Package[45,46]. The final tFcμ structure was evaluated by Phenix EM Validation,

MolProbity, and EMRinger[46,47]; results are summarized in Supplementary Table 1.

Sequence and Structural alignments and structural analysis

NCBI Genbank accession numbers for Ig heavy chain sequences shown in figures are:

Oncorhynchus mykiss IgM secretory form (AAW66974.1), *Salmo Salar* IgM (AAB24064.1),

Xenopus laevis IgM (AAA49774.1), *Trachemys scripta elegans* IgM (AFR90255.1), *Gallus gallus*

IgM (P01875), *Mus musculus* IgM (P01872), and *Homo sapiens* IgM (P01871). Sequences

alignments were made using ClustalOmega and corresponding figures were made using

Espript3[48,49]. Structural alignments between human and teleost IgM were made using the "super"

function in PyMOL[50]. Interfaces were calculated using PISA, "Protein Interfaces, surfaces and

assemblies" service at the European Bioinformatics Institute[51]; results are summarized in

Supplementary Table 2*.*

Fc angle and distance measurements

To measure radius of a polymeric forms of Fcµ, the tFcµ (this study) and human SIgM-Fc core (PDB ID: 6KXS) structures were imported into UCSF Chimera[44]. For each model, a plane was defined using built-in "structure measurements" tools and the radius was calculated automatically. To measure angles between adjacent Fcs, Tp sequences were removed from models. An axis was defined using mass weighting and helical correction for each Fc monomer and then angles between the defined axes were measured and defined as the angles between adjacent Fcs. To measure the diameter of the solvent accessible hole at the center of tFcµ tetramer, tFcµ model was imported into UCSF Chimera[44]. Nine atom pairs located on the surface were chosen around the hole. Distance between two atoms in each pair was measured and the diameter of this hole was determined to be the average of these distances. Chosen atom pairs and the distance measurements from each pair are summarized in Supplementary Table 3.

To measure the angle between the two tFcµ-Tp β-sheets, the tFcµ tetramer structure was imported into PyMOL[50]. The angle between $Tp^{tFcµ1A}$ and $Tp^{tFcµ3E}$ was measured as the dihedral angle between Cα of $Leu434^{tFcµ1A}$, $Asn440^{tFcµ1A}$, $Asn440^{tFcµ3E}$, and $Leu434^{tFcµ3E}$; angles between $Tp^{tFcµ1B}$ and $Tp^{tFcµ3F}$, $Tp^{tFcµ2C}$ and $Tp^{tFcµ4G}$, $Tp^{tFcµ2D}$ and $Tp^{tFcµ4H}$ were measured using the same method. The angle between two Tp β-sheets was determined to be the average of these measurements. To measure the angle between the two hFcµ-Tp β-sheets the hSIgM-Fc core structure (PDB ID: 6KXS) was imported into PyMOL[50]. The angle between $Tp^{Fcµ1A}$ and $Tp^{Fcµ5L}$ was measured as the dihedral angle between Cα of $Tyr562^{Fcµ1A}$, $Val567^{Fcµ1A}$, $Val567^{Fcµ5L}$, and $Tyr562^{Fcµ5L}$; angles between $Tp^{Fcµ1B}$ and $Tp^{Fcµ5K}$, $Tp^{Fcµ2C}$ and $Tp^{Fcµ4H}$, $Tp^{Fcµ2D}$ and $Tp^{Fcµ4G}$, $Tp^{Fcµ3E}$ and $Tp^{Fcµ3F}$ were measured using the same method. An average of these measurements was taken and the angle between two Tp β-sheets was determined to be the supplement angle of the average.

To measure the angle between tFcµ CH3 and CH4, the tFcµ tetramer model was imported into PyMOL[50]. For each copy of heavy chain, the angle between tFcµ CH3 and tFcµ CH4 was

measured as the dihedral angle between the Cα atoms of His298, Lys238, Glu412, and Thr332.

The average of eight angle measurements was reported as the final value of tFcμ CH3-CH4 angle.

Figures

Structural figures were made using UCSF Chimera, PyMOL[44,50]. SEC data and SEC-MALS data

were replotted using Origin. All figures were assembled using Adobe Photoshop.

DATA AVAILABILITY.

Cryo-EM density map has been deposited in the EM databank (www.ebi.ac.uk/emdb) with the

accession code EMD-40054 and the refined coordinate has been deposited in the Protein Data

Bank (www.rcsb.org) with accession code 8GHZ.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS.

The study was conceived by B.M.S and M.L.; experiments were conducted by M.L. and A.G.M.;

all authors contributed to data analysis and manuscript writing.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.

We thank Kushol Gupta (University of Pennsylvania) for assistance with SEC-MALS data

collection and analysis and thank members of the Stadtmueller Laboratory for insightful

conversations and suggestions related to this work. Cryogenic electron microscopy was done in

the Beckman Institute cryo-EM resource center at California Institute of Technology. This work

was supported by NIH grant 1R01AI165570 and University of Illinois start-up funding to B.M.S.

COMPETING INTERESTS.

BMS and ML are listed as inventors on a draft provisional patent application that includes the

design, production and use of chimeric antibodies, some of which include teleost Ig heavy chain

motifs.

MATERIALS AND CORRESPONDENCE.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Beth Stadtmueller,

bethms@illinois.edu.

REFERENCES

1. Flajnik, M. F. & Kasahara, M. Origin and evolution of the adaptive immune system: genetic
events and selective pressures. *Nat Rev Genet* **11**, 47–59 (2010).

2. Chapuis, R. M. & Koshland, M. E. Linkage and assembly of polymeric IgA immunoglobulins.
Biochemistry **14**, 1320–1326 (1975).

3. Wiersma, E. J. & Shulman, M. J. Assembly of IgM. Role of disulfide bonding and
noncovalent interactions. *J Immunol* **154**, 5265–5272 (1995).

4. Saito, S. *et al.* IgA tetramerization improves target breadth but not peak potency of
functionality of anti-influenza virus broadly neutralizing antibody. *PLoS Pathog* **15**,
e1007427 (2019).

5. Suzuki, T. *et al.* Relationship of the quaternary structure of human secretory IgA to
neutralization of influenza virus. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **112**, 7809–7814 (2015).

6. Terauchi, Y. *et al.* IgA polymerization contributes to efficient virus neutralization on human
upper respiratory mucosa after intranasal inactivated influenza vaccine administration.
Human Vaccines & Immunotherapeutics **14**, 1351–1361 (2018).

7. Davis, A. C., Roux, K. H. & Shulman, M. J. On the structure of polymeric IgM. *Eur. J.*
Immunol. **18**, 1001–1008 (1988).

8. Eskeland, T. & Christensen, T. B. IgM Molecules with and without J Chain in Serum and
after Purification, Studied by Ultra-centrifugation, Electrophoresis, and Electron Microscopy.
Scand J Immunol **4**, 217–228 (1975).

9. Kaetzel, C. S. The polymeric immunoglobulin receptor: bridging innate and adaptive
immune responses at mucosal surfaces. *Immunol Rev* **206**, 83–99 (2005).

10. Macpherson, A. J., Yilmaz, B., Limenitakis, J. P. & Ganal-Vonarburg, S. C. IgA Function in
Relation to the Intestinal Microbiota. *Annu. Rev. Immunol.* **36**, 359–381 (2018).
11. Keyt, B. A., Baliga, R., Sinclair, A. M., Carroll, S. F. & Peterson, M. S. Structure, Function,
and Therapeutic Use of IgM Antibodies. *Antibodies* **9**, 53 (2020).
12. Pabst, O. New concepts in the generation and functions of IgA. *Nat Rev Immunol* **12**, 821–
832 (2012).
13. Kaattari, S. *et al.* Varied redox forms of teleost IgM: an alternative to isotypic diversity?
Immunol Rev **166**, 133–142 (1998).
14. Zhang, Y.-A. *et al.* IgT, a primitive immunoglobulin class specialized in mucosal immunity.
Nat Immunol **11**, 827–835 (2010).
15. Stadtmueller, B. M. *et al.* The structure and dynamics of secretory component and its
interactions with polymeric immunoglobulins. *eLife* **5**, e10640 (2016).
16. Hamuro, K., Suetake, H., Saha, N. R., Kikuchi, K. & Suzuki, Y. A Teleost Polymeric Ig
Receptor Exhibiting Two Ig-Like Domains Transports Tetrameric IgM into the Skin. *J
Immunol* **178**, 5682–5689 (2007).
17. Salinas, I., Fernández-Montero, Á., Ding, Y. & Sunyer, J. O. Mucosal immunoglobulins of
teleost fish: A decade of advances. *Developmental & Comparative Immunology* **121**,
104079 (2021).
18. Kumar Bharathkar, S. *et al.* The structures of secretory and dimeric immunoglobulin A. *eLife*
9, e56098 (2020).
19. Kumar, N., Arthur, C. P., Ciferri, C. & Matsumoto, M. L. Structure of the secretory
immunoglobulin A core. *Science* **367**, 1008–1014 (2020).
20. Li, Y. *et al.* Structural insights into immunoglobulin M. *Science* **367**, 1014–1017 (2020).
21. Kumar, N., Arthur, C. P., Ciferri, C. & Matsumoto, M. L. Structure of the human secretory
immunoglobulin M core. *Structure* **29**, 564-571.e3 (2021).
22. Ahmed, A. A., Keremane, S. R., Vielmetter, J. & Bjorkman, P. J. Structural characterization
of GASDALIE Fc bound to the activating Fc receptor FcγRIIIa. *Journal of Structural Biology*
194, 78–89 (2016).
23. Wurzburg, B. A., Garman, S. C. & Jardetzky, T. S. Structure of the Human IgE-Fc Cε3-Cε4
Reveals Conformational Flexibility in the Antibody Effector Domains. *Immunity* **13**, 375–385
(2000).
24. Lalla, C. de, Fagioli, C., Cessi, F. S., Smilovich, D. & Sitia, R. Biogenesis and function of
IgM: the role of the conserved μ-chain tailpiece glycans. *Molecular Immunology* **35**, 837–
845 (1998).

25. Pasalic, D. *et al.* A peptide extension dictates IgM assembly. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **114**,

E8575–E8584 (2017).

26. Wyatt, P. J. Light scattering and the absolute characterization of macromolecules. *Analytica*

Chimica Acta **272**, 1–40 (1993).

27. Davis, A. C. & Shulman, M. J. IgM - molecular requirements for its assembly and function.

Immunology Today **10**, 118–128 (1989).

28. HADJIAZIMI, I. & MICHEAHAMZEHPOUR, M. XENOPUS-LAEVIS 19S

IMMUNOGLOBULIN - ULTRASTRUCTURE AND J-CHAIN ISOLATION. *IMMUNOLOGY*

30, 587–591 (1976).

29. Hohman, V. S. *et al.* J Chain in the Nurse Shark: Implications for Function in a Lower

Vertebrate. *J Immunol* **170**, 6016–6023 (2003).

30. Hiramoto, E. *et al.* The IgM pentamer is an asymmetric pentagon with an open groove that

binds the AIM protein. *Sci. Adv.* **4**, eaau1199 (2018).

31. Muller, R. *et al.* High-resolution structures of the IgM Fc domains reveal principles of its

hexamer formation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **110**, 10183–10188

(2013).

32. Anelli, T. *et al.* Sequential steps and checkpoints in the early exocytic compartment during

secretory IgM biogenesis. *EMBO J* **26**, 4177–4188 (2007).

33. van Anken, E. *et al.* Efficient IgM assembly and secretion require the plasma cell induced

endoplasmic reticulum protein pERp1. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **106**, 17019–17024

(2009).

34. Nayak, D. K., Tang, A., Wilson, M., Miller, N. W. & Bengtén, E. Channel catfish soluble

FcµR binds conserved linear epitopes present on Cµ3 and Cµ4. *Molecular Immunology* **47**,

1306–1316 (2010).

35. Sheng, X., Qian, X., Tang, X., Xing, J. & Zhan, W. Polymeric Immunoglobulin Receptor

Mediates Immune Excretion of Mucosal IgM–Antigen Complexes Across Intestinal

Epithelium in Flounder (Paralichthys olivaceus). *Front. Immunol.* **9**, 1562 (2018).

36. Ye, J., Kaattari, I. M., Ma, C. & Kaattari, S. The teleost humoral immune response. *Fish &*

Shellfish Immunology **35**, 1719–1728 (2013).

37. Chen, Q., Menon, R., Calder, L. J., Tolar, P. & Rosenthal, P. B. Cryomicroscopy reveals the

structural basis for a flexible hinge motion in the immunoglobulin M pentamer. *Nat Commun*

13, 6314 (2022).

38. Hu, Y.-L., Xiang, L.-X. & Shao, J.-Z. Identification and characterization of a novel
immunoglobulin Z isotype in zebrafish: Implications for a distinct B cell receptor in lower
vertebrates. *Molecular Immunology* **47**, 738–746 (2010).
39. Feng, L.-N. *et al.* Molecular cloning and functional analysis of polymeric immunoglobulin
receptor gene in orange-spotted grouper (Epinephelus coioides). *Comparative Biochemistry*
and Physiology Part B: Biochemistry and Molecular Biology **154**, 282–289 (2009).
40. Punjani, A., Rubinstein, J. L., Fleet, D. J. & Brubaker, M. A. cryoSPARC: algorithms for
rapid unsupervised cryo-EM structure determination. *Nat Methods* **14**, 290–296 (2017).
41. Rubinstein, J. L. & Brubaker, M. A. Alignment of cryo-EM movies of individual particles by
optimization of image translations. *Journal of Structural Biology* **192**, 188–195 (2015).
42. Punjani, A., Zhang, H. & Fleet, D. J. Non-uniform refinement: adaptive regularization
improves single-particle cryo-EM reconstruction. *Nat Methods* **17**, 1214–1221 (2020).
43. Waterhouse, A. *et al.* SWISS-MODEL: homology modelling of protein structures and
complexes. *Nucleic Acids Research* **46**, W296–W303 (2018).
44. Pettersen, E. F. *et al.* UCSF Chimera--A visualization system for exploratory research and
analysis. *J. Comput. Chem.* **25**, 1605–1612 (2004).
45. Emsley, P., Lohkamp, B., Scott, W. G. & Cowtan, K. Features and development of *Coot*.
Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr **66**, 486–501 (2010).
46. Liebschner, D. *et al.* Macromolecular structure determination using X-rays, neutrons and
electrons: recent developments in *Phenix*. *Acta Crystallogr D Struct Biol* **75**, 861–877
(2019).
47. Barad, B. A. *et al.* EMRinger: side chain–directed model and map validation for 3D cryo-
electron microscopy. *Nat Methods* **12**, 943–946 (2015).
48. Sievers, F. *et al.* Fast, scalable generation of high‑quality protein multiple sequence
alignments using Clustal Omega. *Mol Syst Biol* **7**, 539 (2011).
49. Robert, X. & Gouet, P. Deciphering key features in protein structures with the new
ENDscript server. *Nucleic Acids Research* **42**, W320–W324 (2014).
50. Schrödinger, LLC. The PyMOL Molecular Graphics System, Version 1.8. (2015).
51. Krissinel, E. & Henrick, K. Inference of Macromolecular Assemblies from Crystalline State.
Journal of Molecular Biology **372**, 774–797 (2007).
52. Gupta, R. & Brunak, S. Prediction of glycosylation across the human proteome and the
correlation to protein function. *Pac Symp Biocomput* 310–322 (2002).
737
738

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURES AND TABLES

Supplementary Fig. 1 | Design of tFcμ construct and characterization of recombinant tFcμ
expression. a Schematic of the tFcμ expression construct, including an N-terminal signal peptide
and hexa-histidine tag. **b** SDS-PAGE gel analysis of recombinant tFcμ protein. *NR*, non-reducing
condition. *R*, reducing condition. The higher molecular weight band in R lane is attributed to
incomplete reduction of the tFcμ monomer. **c** Size exclusion column chromatogram of
recombinant tFcμ protein.

Supplementary Fig. 2 | tFcµ cryo-EM data collection, processing, and validation. a
Schematic summary of tFcµ cryo-EM data processing pipeline in CryoSparc. **b** Representative
micrograph after motion correction and selection. **c** Representative 2D class averages. **d** FSC
curves for the final reconstruction with reported resolution at FSC = 0.143 shown by the blue
horizontal line. **e** Local resolution map of the final reconstruction calculated in CryoSparc and
rendered in UCSF Chimera; the unit of scale bar is given in Angstroms (Å).

Supplementary Fig. 3 | Interface between two CHμ4 domains within Fcμ monomer. **a**
Cartoon representation of tFcμ1 with glycans shown as yellow sticks. The intra-Fc tCHμ4-tCHμ4
interface is rendered using solid colors whereas the rest of the structure is rendered using
transparent color. The orientation is the same as Fig. 1b. **b** Closeup view of intra-Fc tCHμ4-tCHμ4
interface between chain A and chain B with Ig-fold strand nomenclature labeled (i.e. A, B, E, D β-
strands). **c** Interactions at intra-Fc tCHμ4-tCHμ4 interface between chain A and chain B. From
left to right: interacting residues between two B, β-strands, two D, β-strands, and two E, β-strands.

Supplementary Fig. 4 | Sequence alignment of CHμ3, CHμ4, and Tp residues. Sequence
alignment of CHμ3, CHμ4 and Tp residues from trout, salmon, frog, turtle, chicken mouse, and
human. Secondary structure (S.S) information for CHμ3, CHμ4, and the Tp are annotated. For
the B-type tCHμ4 register, elements identical to A-type are not included.

Supplementary Fig.5 | Local cryo-EM density and structural alignment of tFcµ chains. a-e Cryo-EM density near tFcµ C-termini. The coloring scheme is the same as Fig. 1b. **a** Overview of C-termini density with side chains of Cys445 shown as sticks. **b-e** Density around potential interchain disulfide bonds between Cys445[Fcµ1A] and Cys445[Fcµ3E], between Cys445[Fcµ1B] and Cys445Fcµ2C, between Cys445Fcµ2D and Cys445[Fcµ4H], and between Cys445[Fcµ3F] and Cys445[Fcµ4G], respectively. **f** SEC-MALS chromatograms for wildtype tFcµ tetramer and tFcµ-Cys445Ser monomer. SEC elution profiles are shown as solid curves (left axis; normalized UV signal) and light scattering data, indicating protein mass, is shown as horizontal dots (right axis; protein mass in kDa). **g** Structural alignments between tFcµ chain A and chain H, chain B and chain G, chain C and chain F, chain D and chain E. **h** Density of tFcµ Tp assembly around residue Asn436. Cartoon representation of Tp[tFcµ1A], Tp[tFcµ1B], Tp[tFcµ2C], and Tp[tFcµ2D] are shown. Density associated with non-Tp residues is shaded red.

Supplementary Fig. S6 Purification of hFc-tFcμ chimeric Fcs | a-b The **left** graph in each panel includes SEC chromatographs of hFc-tFcμ chimeras and relevant controls obtained during purification and prior to SEC-MALS experiments. For controls, peaks containing presumptive monomers are indicated with a single asterisk and peaks containing higher order polymers are indicated with two asterisks. For chimeras with more than one peak, "P1" designates higher order polymers and "P2" designates presumptive monomers. For each sample, elution fractions located at the center of each peak were and run on the SDS-PAGE gels under non-reducing and reducing condition, the results of which are shown in the **right** graph in each panel. **a** hFcμ-tFcμ chimeras and relevant controls. **b** hFcα-tFcμ chimeras and relevant controls. **c** hFcγ1-tFcμ chimeras and relevant controls. The two bands observed for reduced samples hFcγ1-tFcμ-chi1 and hFcγ1-tFcμ-chi2 are attributed to heterogeneous glycosylation.

Supplementary Table 1. Cryo-EM data collection and refinement statistics associated with tFcµ

Accession codes		Model	
EM Databank	EMD-40054	Refinement software	PHENIX[46,47] and Coot[45]
Protein Databank	8GHZ	Validation software	PHENIX[46,47]
		Chains	16
Data Collection		Total atoms	28,463
Magnification	105,000	Non-hydrogen atoms	14,390
Pixel size (Å)	0.427	Residues	1,768
Frames per movie	40	Bond RMSD	
Movies selected	3,618	Length (Å)	0.004
Defocus range (µm)	0.5-5	Angle (°)	1.036
Dosage (e/Å^2)	60	MolProbity score	1.95
Initial particles	1,928,186	Clash score	6.95
Final particles	610,190	Ramachandran plot (%)	
		Favored	91.89
Resolution estimate (unmasked data)		Allowed	8.11
Software	PHENIX[46,47]	Outliers	0
FSC (model)=0.143 (Å)	2.79	Rotamer outliers (%)	1.28
FSC (half map 1,2)=0.143 (Å)	2.86	Cβ outliers (%)	0
		Peptide plane (%)	
Density and Fit		Cis proline	0
Model/Data CC		Twisted proline	0
Mask	0.79	CaBLAM outliers (%)	3.57
Box	0.78	EMRinger score	3.09
Peaks	0.65		
Volume	0.78		

     Supplementary Table 2. tFcµ and hFcµ PISA calculations

Chain 1	Chain 2	Interface Area (Å^2)	Interface ΔG (kcal/mol)	Interface ΔG P -value
Interfaces between teleost IgM CH4 domain				
A	B	917.5	-7.54	0.36
C	D	928.5	-7.19	0.31
E	F	904.3	-9.60	0.19
G	H	914.9	-8.60	0.31
B	C	856.8	-2.73	0.61
D	E	729.4	-3.60	0.43
F	G	812.2	-3.61	0.55
Interfaces between human IgM CH4 domain				
A	B	1216.5	-18.92	0.06
C	D	1167.7	-17.20	0.11
E	F	1159.7	-20.98	0.04
G	H	1194.1	-14.29	0.22
K	L	1182.8	-17.45	0.12
B	C	447.5	-0.24	0.70
D	E	400.9	-0.75	0.66
F	G	372.7	-2.54	0.50
H	K	382.2	-2.40	0.55

804 **Supplementary Table 3. Diameter measurements for the solvent accessible hole at the**
805 **center of tFcμ structure**

Atom 1	Atom 2	Distance
Thr428[Fcμ2D]/CB	Asn433[Fcμ3E]/HB2	12.07Å
Thr428[Fcμ3E]/CB	Asn433[Fcμ2D]/HB3	12.89Å
Asn430[Fcμ2D]/CA	Pro432[Fcμ3E]/C	12.36Å
Ser429[Fcμ2D]/CB	Asn433[Fcμ4G]/HB2	12.11Å
Thr428[Fcμ3E]/O	Asn433[Fcμ2D]/HB3	11.47Å
Asn430[Fcμ3E]/CA	Pro432[Fcμ2D]/C	13.52Å
Asn430[Fcμ3E]/H	Asn433[Fcμ2D]/CB	11.99Å
Asn433[Fcμ3E]/N	Ser429[Fcμ2D]/O	11.15Å
Asn433[Fcμ3E]/CB	Asn430[Fcμ2D]/H	12.40Å
		Avg.: 12.2Å

808 **Supplementary Table 4. MALS data summary**

Sample description	Protein MW calculated from sequence (kDa)	Average protein MW from MALS data (Da)	TOTAL number of PNGS* (prediction potential**> 0.7)	TOTAL number of PNGS (prediction potential > 0.5)	Number of PNGS on each HC: (prediction potential > 0.7)	Number of PNGS on each HC: (prediction potential > 0.5)
hCHμ3-CHμ4	49.6	4.338×10^4 ($\pm0.543\%$)	0	4	0	2
hFcμ pentamer +	285.1	2.959×10^5 ($\pm0.106\%$)	11	31	1	3
hFcμ hexamer	340.4	3.156×10^5 ($\pm0.073\%$)	12	36	1	3
hFcμ-tFcμ-chi1	54.0	3.859×10^4 ($\pm0.499\%$)	0	6	0	3
hFcμ-tFcμ-chi2	54.5	3.310×10^4 ($\pm3.953\%$)	0	6	0	3
hFcμ-tFcμ-chi3-P1	267.5	2.708×10^5 ($\pm0.077\%$)	0	24	0	3
hFcμ-tFcμ-chi3-P2	53.5	3.748×10^4 ($\pm0.387\%$)	0	6	0	3
hFcμ-tFcμ-chi4-P1	214.3	2.725×10^5 ($\pm0.076\%$)	0	24	0	3
hFcμ-tFcμ-chi4-P2	53.6	4.283×10^4 ($\pm0.388\%$)	0	6	0	3
hFcα dimer +hJC	67.5	1.377×10^5 ($\pm0.180\%$)	9	9	1	2
hFcα monomer	25.9	6.117×10^4 ($\pm1.187\%$)	4	4	1	2
hFcα-tFcμ-chi1	52.7	1.054×10^5 ($\pm1.097\%$)	4	8	1	2
hFcα-tFcμ-chi2-P1	210.4	2.161×10^5 ($\pm1.352\%$)	8	16	1	2
hFcα-tFcμ-chi2-P2	52.6	6.119×10^4 ($\pm8.826\%$)	2	4	1	2
hFcα-tFcμ-chi3	52.1	4.519×10^4 ($\pm16.598\%$)	2	4	1	2
hFcα-tFcμ-chi4	52.1	4.888×10^4 ($\pm2.909\%$)	2	4	1	2
hFcγ1	49.6	4.723×10^4 ($\pm0.996\%$)	2	2	1	1
hFcγ1-tFcμ-chi1	54.0	5.217×10^4 ($\pm3.975\%$)	2	4	1	2
hFcγ1-tFcμ-chi2	54.5	5.598×10^4 ($\pm5.051\%$)	2	4	1	2
hFcγ1-tFcμ-chi3	53.5	5.481×10^4 ($\pm0.419\%$)	2	4	1	2
hFcγ1-tFcμ-chi4-P1	106.7	4.837×10^5 ($\pm0.091\%$)	16	32	1	2
hFcγ1-tFcμ-chi4-P2	53.4	4.917×10^4 ($\pm0.381\%$)	2	4	1	2

809 *PNGS stands for "potential N-linked glycosylation site".

810 **Prediction potential is calculated using NetNGlyc 1.0 developed by DTU Health Tech[52].