Modeling *Braf*-induced thyroid cancer development and drug screening using

pluripotent stem cell-derived organoids

Hélène Lasolle [1], Andrea Schiavo [1], Adrien Tourneur[1], Pierre Gillotay [1], Bárbara de Faria

da Fonseca [1], Lucieli Ceolin [1, 6], Olivier Monestier [1], Benilda Aganahi [1], Laura Chomette

[1], Marina Malta Letro Kizys [1], Lieven Haenebalcke [2], Tim Pieters [2, 3], Steven Goossens

[2, 4], Jody Haigh [2, 5], Vincent Detours [1], Ana Luiza Silva Maia [6], Sabine Costagliola [1] and

Mírian Romitti [1*]

[1] Institut de Recherche Interdisciplinaire en Biologie Humaine et Moléculaire (IRIBHM),

Université Libre de Bruxelles (ULB), Brussels, Belgium

[2] VIB, Flanders Institute for Biotechnology, Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium

[3] Department of Biomolecular Medicine, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Ghent

University, Ghent, Belgium

[4] Department of Diagnostic Sciences, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Ghent

University, Ghent, Belgium

[5] CancerCare Manitoba Research Institute, Department of Pharmacology and

Therapeutics, Rady Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg,

Manitoba, Canada

[6] Thyroid Section, Endocrine Division, Hospital de Clínicas de Porto Alegre (HCPA),

Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul (UFRGS), Porto Alegre, Brazil

* Corresponding author: mirian.romitti@ulb.be

Abstract

Thyroid cancer is the most common endocrine malignancy and several genetic events

have been described to promote the development of thyroid carcinogenesis. Besides the

effects of specific mutations on thyroid cancer development, the molecular mechanisms

controlling tumorigenesis, tumor behavior, and drug resistance are still largely unknown.

Cancer organoids have been proposed as a powerful tool to study aspects related to tumor

development and progression and appear promising to test individual responses to

therapies. Here, using mESC-derived thyroid organoids, we developed a Braf^{V637E}-

inducible model able to recapitulate the features of papillary thyroid cancer in vitro.

Overexpression of Braf^{V637E} rapidly leads to MAPK activation, cell dedifferentiation, and

disruption of follicular organization. Braf^{V637E}-expressing organoids show a

transcriptomic signature for p53, focal adhesion, ECM-receptor interactions, EMT, and

inflammatory signaling pathways. Finally, PTC-like thyroid organoids were used for drug

screening assays. The combination of MAPK and PI3K inhibitors reversed *Braf*

oncogene-promoted cell dedifferentiation while restoring thyroid follicle organization

and function *in vitro*. Our results demonstrate that pluripotent stem cells-derived thyroid

cancer organoids can mimic tumor development and features while providing an efficient

tool for testing novel targeted therapies.

Introduction

Pluripotent Stem Cells (PSCs) emerged as a model to dissect and recapitulate the

molecular events and gene networks that regulate cell fate determination, cell

differentiation and organogenesis. Guided differentiation of PSCs into 3D organized

tissue by inducible overexpression of specific transcription or growth factors and

inhibitors allows the derivation of *in vitro* organoids and the understanding of

mechanisms regulating cell differentiation and tissue formation[1]. Due to their remarkable

self-organizing structures and functional properties, organoids technology became a

powerful tool to model organ development and disease 'in a dish' [2,3].

In the last decade, the use of organoids for cancer research emerged and opened

new possibilities to better understand tumor behavior. Initially, colon, prostate,

pancreatic, ovarian, lung and thyroid cancer-derived organoids have been efficiently

generated, which resemble phenotypically and genetically the tumor of origin[4–13].

Currently, a large set of healthy and tumour matching organoids has been generated and

is available through biobanks. These patient-derived organoids are particularly interesting

in testing individual responses to therapies[8,13,14].

Recently, studies have reported the generation of cancer models arising from

healthy (adult stem cells or aSCs and PSCs) organoids by controlling oncogene

expression[15–17]. By using gene expression manipulation tools, such as shRNA and

CRISPR-Cas9, murine stomach cancer ($Cdh1^{-/-}$; $Tp53^{-/-}$)[18], murine and human colon

cancer (APC, TP53, KRAS and Smad4 mutations)[15,16,19], human pancreatic cancer

(KRAS and/or TP53 mutations)[4,20] and human lung adenocarcinoma organoids (HER2

overexpression) could be efficiently generated[17]. Compared to patient-derived organoids,

healthy stem cells-derived cancer organoids are suitable to address additional questions

such as precise effects of oncogenes and early events driving tumorigenesis; the role of

cancer stem cells on tumor induction, genomic stability, the effect of treatments at

different stages of carcinogenesis and screening for new therapeutical tools[21]. Besides,

they allow multiple reproducible experiments free from inter-individual variability.

Thyroid cancer is the most frequent endocrine malignancy, and several genetic

events have been described as driving thyroid carcinogenesis. Papillary thyroid

carcinoma (PTC) is the most common malignant thyroid tumor[22], and aberrant activation

of the MAPK pathway is a hallmark[23]. The BRAF[V600E] mutation is the most frequent

genetic event, accounting for around 50% of PTCs[24–26] and results is an oncogenic protein

with markedly elevated kinase activity that constitutively induces MEK/ERK signaling

transduction[27–29].

BRAF-mutated thyroid tumors are often less differentiated, mainly due to the

lower expression levels of thyroid functional genes *NIS, TSHR, TG* or *TPO,* leading to a

low Thyroid Differentiation Score (TDS)[30]. This dedifferentiated state is associated with

a worse clinical condition and with a higher rate of radioactive iodine (RAI) refractory

tumors[31,32]. However, its impact on prognosis is still uncertain, as BRAF[V600E] alone has

not been proven to be an independent prognostic factor[33,34]. On the other hand, *BRAF* and

TERT promoter mutated tumors have been associated with higher specific mortality[35].

Also, studies have shown a small proportion (around 20%) of BRAF[V600E] mutated tumors

presenting a higher level of differentiation associated with a less aggressive behavior and

a preserved RAI uptake ability[30,36]. This suggests a possible cell heterogeneity among the

BRAF[V600E] mutated tumors.

Some years ago, our group showed the generation of functional 3D thyroid tissue

derived from mouse embryonic stem cells (mESC)[37] and, recently human thyroid

organoids were generated using the same approach[38]. The differentiation protocol relies

on transient induction of *Nkx2.1* and *Pax8* thyroid transcription factors allowing an

efficient generation of thyroid follicular cells organized into three-dimensional follicular

structures capable of thyroid hormone production *in vitro* and *in vivo*. This model and

further developed thyroid organoids from healthy mouse and human PSCs/aSC[39–49] open

new perspectives to explore thyroid development processes and to model thyroid

diseases, including thyroid cancer.

So far, efficient, fast and cost-effective tools are lacking to functionally

characterize a large set of candidate genes in the context of thyroid carcinogenesis.

Thyroid cancer organoids/spheroids models have been recently described based on

patient tumor-derived models[50–54]. Patient-derived organoid might be used to study

patient-specific tumor behavior and the treatment response on a case-to-case basis. It is

patient-to-patient dependent with high heterogeneity and low reproducibility. It is limited

by the accessibility to samples and since it is derived from an already established tumor,

it is not suitable to study mechanisms related to cancer development and drugs resistance

acquisition. Saito et al. described a mouse model of poorly differentiated thyroid

carcinoma obtained after transplantation of thyroid organoids derived from Tp53

knockout mice with NRAS activating mutation overexpression[12]. Recently, Veschi *et al.*

[55] generated of PTC and FTC organoids models by inducing *BRAF*, *NRAS* and *TP53*

mutations in thyroid progenitors derived from hESC. After transplantation, the tumors

generated resemble Papillary and Follicular tumors and, transcriptomics analysis

suggested a role of Kisspeptin receptor (KISS1R) in cooperation with Tissue Inhibitor of

Metalloproteinase 1 (TIMP1)/Matrix metallopeptidase 9 (MMP9)/Cluster of

differentiation 44 (CD44), on tumor development and progression[55].

Here, we aim to develop an *in vitro* PTC organoid model to screen for targets and

drugs by taking advantage of our model of thyroid generation by includible expression of

Braf^{V637E} mutation in mouse ESC-derived functional thyroid follicles.

Methods

Cell culture and mESC_Braf^{V637E} line generation

The previously genetically modified G4 RosaLuc mouse embryonic stem cell line

(mESC)[56] was initially cultured on gamma-irradiated mouse embryonic fibroblasts

(MEFs) feeder using mouse stem cell medium[37,57] and incubated at 37 °C, 5 % CO^2 and

>95 % humidity. To insert the target sequences into the modified Rosa26 locus of G4

RosaLuc mESCs, around $1x10^5$ cells were transfected using the Flpe expressing vector[56]

and the target vector containing the rtTA-TRE induction system, thyroid transcription

factors, Nkx2-1 and Pax8 and bTg-NES-Braf^{V637E}-ERT^2 sequences (Figure S1A)

following the lipofectamine 3000 protocol (Thermo Scientific). The Braf^{V637E} mutation

here used for mouse cells is equivalent to the human BRAF^{V600E} mutation[58]. G418 (300

μg/ml) was applied 48 h after transfection, typically for 10 days, and individual clones

were picked and further expanded. Clones were screened for by immunofluorescence for

Nkx2-1 and Pax8 expression induction after three days of incubation with 1 μg/ml

Doxycyclin (Dox) (Figure S1B). Positive clones were then characterized according to

pluripotency maintenance (Figure S1C), *Nkx2-1* and *Pax8* expression, and efficiency of

thyroid differentiation. In addition, we generated an mESC control line where the

sequences of NES-BRAF^{V637E}-ERT^2 were replaced by the eGFP sequence, resulting in

the TRE-Nkx2-1-Pax8_bTg-eGFP line (Figure S1D). The mESC control line generation,

selection and characterization were performed as described above (Figures S1E and F).

Thyroid differentiation protocol

G4   RosaLuc   TRE-Nkx2-1-Pax8_bTg-NES-Braf^{V637E}-ERT^2 and   TRE-Nkx2-1-

Pax8_bTg-eGFP cells were cultured and differentiated as described previously by

Antonica *et al.* (2012)[37] with few modifications. Briefly, embryoid bodies (EBs),

generated by hanging drops were collected after four days and embedded in growth factor

reduced Matrigel (GFR MTG; BD Biosciences); 50μl MTG drops (containing around 20

EBs) were plated into 12-wells plates. EBs were differentiated using a differentiation

medium initially supplemented with 1μg/ml of Doxycycline (Sigma) for five days,

followed by two weeks of maturation induction by using 0.3 μmol of 8-Br-cAMP

(BioLog) or 1mU ml^{-1} of thyrotropin (hrTSH; Genzyme). Thyroid differentiation and

functionality were evaluated by transcriptomics (RT-PCR and bulk RNA sequencing),

immunofluorescence and iodide organification.

Braf^{V637E} induction and drug screening

After full thyroid maturation (Day 25), MTG drops were washed twice with Hanks's

balanced salt solution (HBSS, containing calcium and magnesium; Invitrogen) and

incubated in an HBSS solution (1 ml per well) containing 10 U/ml of dispase II (Roche)

and 125 U/ml of collagenase type IV (Sigma) for 30 min at 37°C. Then the enzymes were

inactivated by adding 10% FBS and cells were centrifuged at 500g for 3 min. Cells were

rinsed twice with HBSS, and the follicles population was enriched by filtering using 30

μm (single cell removal) and 100 μm reverse strainer (Pluriselect). Finally, enriched

structures were re-embedded in GFR MTG (50μl) and plated into 12-well plates. Twenty-

four hours later, cells were incubated with 1 μM of 4-Hydroxytamoxifen (4OHT) (Sigma)

and 8-Br-cAMP or hrTSH for 24h, 48h or 7 days to promote Braf^{V637E}-induced

phosphorylation of MAPK pathway[58].

In addition, Braf-induced thyroid organoids (previously treated for four days with 4OHT)

were cultured with distinct compounds, previously suggested to regulate Nis re-

expression and inhibit *Braf* oncogenic effects[59,60]. Among the screened drugs, several

cell processes were targeted by the following compounds: PD0325901 (MEK inhibitor;

250nM; Stem Cell), LY204002 (PI3K inhibitor; 5μM; Selleckchem), VPA (HDAC

inhibitor; 250μM; Sigma), NAC (N-acetyl cysteine, antioxidant compound; 2mM;

Sigma), 5-AZA-2' deoxycytidine (DNA methyltransferase (DMNT) inhibitor; 1μM;

Sigma) and RG108 (DMNT inhibitor; 10μM; Sigma).

 Flow cytometry - Proliferation analysis

 Control condition (4OHT-) and organoids treated with 4OHT for 6 days were treated with

 BrdU (1mM) for 3 hours, collected and prepared for immunostaining as follows: Matrigel

 drops (at least five samples per condition) were first digested with HBSS solution

 containing 10 U/ml dispase II and 125 U/ml collagenase type IV for 30-60 min at 37°C;

 then a single cell suspension was obtained by dissociation with TripLE Express for 10-

 15 min incubation at 37°C, the enzymes were inactivated by addition of differentiation

 medium. After centrifugation, samples were rinsed with PBS, and then the BrdU Flow

 Kit staining protocol (BD) was used according to the manufacturer's instructions. In order

 to identify the BrdU incorporated cells among the thyroid population, cells were also

 stained using primary Nkx2-1 antibody (1:100) and anti-rabbit IgG Alexa fluor 647-

 conjugated secondary antibody (1:300). Non-stained and only secondary-incubated cells

 were used as a negative control for gate strategy. LSR-Fortessa X-20 flow cytometer was

 used for quantification, and FACSDiva software was used for the analysis. Data are

 presented as a percentage of positive cells.

 Immunofluorescence staining

 Organoids embedded in MTG were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA; Sigma) for 1 h

 at room temperature (RT) and washed three times in PBS. Blocking was performed using

 a solution of PBS containing 3% bovine serum albumin (BSA; Sigma), 5% horse serum

 (Invitrogen) and 0.3% Triton X-100 (Sigma) for 30 min at RT. The primary and

 secondary antibodies were diluted in a solution of PBS containing 3% BSA, 1% horse

 serum and 0.1% Triton X-100. Primary antibodies were incubated overnight at 4°C

 followed by incubation with secondary antibodies and Hoechst for 2 h at RT. Slides were

 mounted with Glycergel (Dako).

Organoids were fixed overnight at 4°C in 4% PFA for paraffin embedding and kept in

70% ethanol at 4°C before embedding. Samples were then embedded in paraffin,

sectioned (5 μm), mounted on glass slides, deparaffinized, and rehydrated. For

immunostaining, antigen retrieval was performed by incubating the sections for 10 min

in the microwave (850 W) in Sodium Citrate Buffer (10 mM Sodium Citrate, 0.05%

Tween 20, pH 6.0). After cooling, the sections were rinsed with PBS and blocked with

1% BSA and 10% horse serum PBS solution for 1 h at RT. Primary antibodies were

diluted (as described above) in the blocking solution and incubated overnight at 4°C. The

sections were rinsed three times in PBS and incubated with Hoechst and secondary

antibodies diluted in blocking solution for 1 h at room temperature. Slides were mounted

with Glycergel (Dako). Imaging was performed using a Zeiss LSM510 META confocal

microscope and a Leica DMI6000 microscope with DFC365FX camera. The antibodies

information is listed in the supplementary Table 1.

Western blot

Organoids were initially isolated (collagenase IV/dispase II solution, described above)

from at least 6 distinct wells and pooled together for protein extraction using RIPA buffer.

The protein concentration was determined according to Pierce 660 nm protein assay

reagent protocol (Thermo Scientific). Briefly, each sample's 30–50 μg of protein was

fractionated by 10% SDS–PAGE and blotted onto an Immobilon PVDF membrane

(Millipore). Blocking of non-specific binding sites were blocked by incubation with 5%

BSA in Tris-buffered saline (TBS) 0.1% Tween-20 for 1 h. Thereafter, the membrane

was incubated overnight at 4 °C with primary antibodies against p-ERK and β-Actin in a

blocking solution. Next, the membrane was incubated with horseradish peroxidase-

conjugated anti-rabbit or anti-mouse antibody in a blocking solution, for 60 min at RT.

The antigen-antibody complexes were visualized using an enhanced chemiluminescence

system (GE Healthcare) and captured by Azure 500 system (Azure Biosystems).

Iodide organification assay

Thyroid organoids treated with cAMP, cAMP+4OHT, cAMP+4OHT+PD+LY and

cAMP+4OHT+PD+LY+VPA were tested for the ability of iodide uptake and

organification as previously described[37,57]. Briefly, cells were washed with HBSS and

incubated with 1 ml per well of an organification medium containing 1,000,000 c.p.m.

per ml [125]I (PerkinElmer) and 100 nM sodium iodide (NaI, Sigma) in HBSS for 2 h at 37

°C. After, the reaction was stopped by the addition of methimazole (MMI; 4 mM, Sigma).

After two cold PBS washes, cells were detached using trypsin/EDTA (Invitrogen). For

iodide uptake quantification, cells were collected, and radioactivity was measured using

a c-counter. Subsequently, proteins were precipitated by adding 1 mg of gamma-globulins

(Sigma) and 20% TCA followed by centrifugation at 2,000 r.p.m. for 10 min, at 4°C and

the radioactivity of protein-bound [125]I (PBI) was measured. Iodide organification was

calculated as an iodide uptake/PBI ratio and, the values were expressed as a percentage.

Cells were also treated with 1 mM sodium perchlorate (Nis inhibitor; NaClO4, Sigma-

Aldrich) and 2 mM MMI (Tpo inhibitor; Sigma-Aldrich) as iodide uptake and protein-

binding controls, respectively. The experiments were performed in triplicates for each

condition.

Gene expression analysis

Real-time PCR (RT-qPCR) was performed on cDNA from thyroid organoids from at least

three independent experiments. Total RNA was extracted from thyroid organoids by the

addition of lysis RLT Lysis buffer (Qiagen) + 1% 2-mercaptoethanol directly on the MTG

drop containing the organoids. RNA was isolated using the RNeasy micro kit (Qiagen)

according to the manufacturer's instructions. cDNA was generated by reverse

transcription using the Superscript II kit (Invitrogen). qPCR was performed on cDNA in

triplicates using Takyon™ No ROX SYBR 2X MasterMix blue dTTP (Eurogentec) and

CFX Connect Real-Time System (Biorad). Results are presented as linearized values

normalized to the housekeeping gene, β2-microglobulin and the indicated reference value

(2-DDCt). Primer sequences are described in supplementary Table 2.

Bulk RNA sequencing and analysis

Bulk RNA-seq was performed on day 31, using the cAMP, cAMP+4OHT (5 days) and

cAMP+4OHT+PD+LY (3 days) conditions (see protocol, Figure 5A). RNA extraction

was performed as previously described (section "*Gene expression analysis*"), and

experiments were performed in duplicates. At least three distinct wells were pooled

together for each condition. RNA quality and concentration were evaluated using

Bioanalyser 2100 (Agilent) and RNA 6000 Nano Kit (Agilent). RNA integrity was

preserved, and no genomic DNA contamination was detected. Indexed cDNA libraries

were obtained using the TruSeq Stranded mRNA Sample Prep kit (Illumina) using an S2

flow cell, and sequences were produced using a 200 cycles reagent kit. The resulting high-

quality indexed cDNA libraries were quantified with the Quant-iT PicoGreen kit (Life

Sciences) and Infinite F200 Proplate reader (Tecan); DNA fragment size distribution was

examined with the 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent) using the DNA 1000 kit (Agilent).

Multiplexed libraries (10ρM) were loaded onto flow cells and sequenced on the HiSeq

1500 system (Illumina) in high-output mode using the HiSeq Cluster Kit v4 (Illumina).

The sequenced data were uploaded on the galaxy web platform version 22.05.1, and the

public server https://usegalaxy.eu was used for mapping and counting analysis.

Approximately 10 million paired-end reads were obtained per sample. After the removal

of low-quality bases and Illumina adapter sequences using Trimmomatic software[61],

paired-end reads were mapped against the mouse reference genome mm10 (GRCm38)

using HISAT2 sofware[62], allowing soft clipping. Raw read counts were obtained using

HTseq count software with unstranded option[63]. Low-expressed genes were filtered

using the EdgeR package in R software (https://www.r-project.org). Then, differential

expression analysis was performed using DESeq2 package[64]. Fold change and adjusted

p-value thresholds of 2 and 0.05, respectively were used to select differentially expressed

genes (DEG). Gene ontology and pathways enrichment analysis in up and down

differentially   expressed   gene   lists   was   realized   using   EnrichR

(https://maayanlab.cloud/Enrichr/).   Transformed   counts   corresponding   to   log2

(normalized counts +4) using the DESeq2 package were used for further analysis and

heatmap visualization. Thyroid differentiation score (TDS), Enhanced Thyroid

differentiation score (eTDS) and ERK activation score were calculated as previously

described[65]. The list of the genes used for the score's calculation is provided in

supplementary Table 3.

Data availability

The data that support this study are available from the corresponding author upon request.

Bulk RNA-seq data have been deposited in the NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus under

accession number GSE228281.

Statistical analysis

All statistical analysis were performed using GraphPad Prism 9. Comparison between

two groups and its statistical significance was tested using the unpaired *t*-test or

nonparametric Mann-Whitney U test. In contrast, comparison between multiple groups

was performed using one-way ANOVA or nonparametric Kruskal-Wallis test. Data are

displayed as mean $\pm$ SD or median (IQR). Differences were considered significant at

$p<0.05$ and presented as follows: $*p < 0.05$, $**p < 0.01$, $***p < 0.001$, $****p < 0.0001$.

All the data presented are from at least three independent experiments.

Results

mESC_BRAF^{V637E} cell line generation and characterization

We combined the previously described mESC transient induction thyroid differentiation

strategy (Antonica, 2012) with a Braf^{V637E}-ERT^2 inducible model (Ortiz, 2013) to

generate a double-inducible recombinant mESC_BRAF^{V637E} cell line. The resulting

mESC_Nkx2-1/Pax8_bTg_Braf^{V637E}_ERT^2 clones were initially selected and

characterized by the response to doxycycline (Dox) treatment, confirmed by the

overexpression of Nkx2-1 and Pax8; and ability to spontaneously differentiate into cells

from the three germ-layers (Figures S1A, B and C, respectively). In addition, a control

mESC line was generated in which Braf^{V637E}_ERT^2 system was replaced by the eGFP

sequence. Similarly, the control line responded properly to Dox induction and maintained

the pluripotency capacity (Figures S1D, E and F, respectively).

Thyroid differentiation protocol

The capability of the new cell line to generate thyroid follicles and express the Braf^{V637E}

oncogene was demonstrated following the mESC thyroid differentiation protocol[37,57,66].

Since we used a newly modified mESC line, the steps of the differentiation protocol were

tested and adapted accordingly (Figure 1A). Here, using the mESC_Nkx2-

1/Pax8_bTg_Braf^{V637E} line, we observed that Dox stimulation for 5 days leads to higher

exogenous and endogenous *Nkx2-1*, *Pax8* and *Tg* mRNA (day 9; Figure S2E) compared

to 3 or 4 days of treatment (Figure S2A-D). Similar results were obtained using the control

mESC line (Figure S2A-D). Next, two weeks of treatment with cAMP (from day 9 to 23)

markedly induced the expression levels of *Nkx2-1, Pax8, Tg, TshR, Nis* and *Tpo* when

compared to the control (-Dox -cAMP) (Figure 1B). Accordingly, immunofluorescence

staining showed follicular organized Nkx2-1 and Tg-expressing cells (Figure 1C), in

which the functionality is demonstrated by intraluminal iodinate-Tg (Tg-I) accumulation

(Figure 1D). Since our protocol also generates other cell types than thyroid[57], a follicle

enrichment step was added to the protocol to enrich the follicular structures and induce

Braf-oncogene in a purer population. This protocol led to an increase of thyroid markers

levels (Figure 1E) when compared to the non-enriched control condition, while the

follicular structure and functionality were preserved as demonstrated by Nkx2-1, Nis and

Tg-I staining (Figure 1F-G). Notably, after re-embedding in Matrigel (MTG), follicles

could be maintained in culture for at least 28 additional days without major histological

changes (data not shown).

Braf^{V637E} induction on thyroid organoids leads to PTC-like phenotype

To ensure that Braf^{V637E} oncogene is expressed specifically among follicular thyroid cells,

we used the bovine Tg (bTg) as a promoter controlling Braf^{V637E}_ERT^2 expression.

Effectively, exogenous *Braf^{V637E}* mRNA expression was rapidly induced after Dox

treatment (Day 9; Figure S2E), maintaining the expression levels stable over time (Figure

1B and Figure S3A), while *Tg* mRNA expression increased following the differentiation

program (Figure S3A). Even if *Braf^{V637E}* expression is driven by the bTg promoter, the

Braf-mutated protein is not active/phosphorylated since it is maintained in the nucleus in

an inactive complex with HSP90. The addition of 4OHT induces nuclear translocation of

the Braf mutant to the cytoplasm (NES signal), then driving MAPK activation[58] (Figure

2A). Indeed, 24 hours after 4OHT addition, we observed an increase in ERK phosphorylation (pERK) compared to the control condition (cAMP), which was maintained after 7 days of continuous 4OHT treatment (Figure 2B). To assess Braf-induced cell proliferation, flow cytometry analysis performed after 6 days of 4OHT treatment demonstrated an increased proportion of Nkx2-1/BrdU+ cells among the Braf^{V637E}-expressing condition (4OHT) compared to the control (cAMP) (Figure 2C).

One of the hallmarks of thyroid cancer development is the downregulation of thyroid differentiation markers (Mitsutake, 2005; Chakravarty, 2011). Indeed, the activation of Braf^{V637E} rapidly led to a progressive time-dependent decrease of *Tpo*, *TSHR* and *Tg* mRNA expression (Figures 2D-F and S3B), detected as early as 6 hours after 4OHT addition (Figure 2D). Notably, more significant downregulation was observed after 48 hours and maintained for at least 7 days (Figures 2E-F, respectively). As described among PTCs, in our model, the expression of thyroid transcription factors *Pax8* and *Nkx2-1* was also globally maintained. Conversely, *Lgals3 (Galectin 3)* expression, which has been suggested as a marker for thyroid malignancies and, more specifically, for PTC[67], was significantly increased in both time points (Figures 2E-F). Also, an increase in Fibronectin 1 (*Fn1*) expression, associated with aggressive thyroid cancer[68,69], was observed after 7 days of Braf^{V637E} induction, suggesting a more advanced dedifferentiated cell state in our organoid model (Figure 2F). Similar results were obtained when cells were differentiated using hrTSH instead of cAMP, and Braf^{V637E} was induced in such conditioned media, indicating that the phenotype is not dependent of TSH signaling (data not shown).

Histological analysis performed after 48 hours of continuous activation of Braf^{V637E} by 4OHT demonstrated disruption of the follicular organization in non-enriched thyroid organoids compared to the control condition (cAMP) (Figure 3A). Nkx2-1 and

Tg staining revealed that Braf^{V637E} oncogene strongly disturbs thyroid follicles forming

elongated and unorganized structures. In addition, heterogeneous expression of Tg was

observed among the Nkx2-1 cells. Further analysis, performed in follicle enriched

population, confirmed the effect of *Braf* oncogene in promoting cell dedifferentiation and

loss of follicle organization. Tg levels were overall reduced and heterogeneous among

the unorganized Nkx2-1+ cells (Figure 3B). Interestingly, the cell disorganization caused

by Braf oncogene might pass by an initial expansion of the follicular size with infiltration

of Nkx2-1 cells inside of the lumen compartment, which also presents a heterogeneous

distribution of Tg (Figure S3C), since this feature was observed among some "follicular-

like" remaining structures after 48 h of 4OHT treatment. Reassuringly, staining for E-

Cadherin (epithelial) and Zo-1 (intra-luminal) adhesion markers showed that Braf^{V637E}-

expressing cells (Nkx2-1) are not able to preserve polarization and, consequently lumen

space is not well defined in most of the structures (Figures 3C-D, respectively). Therefore,

the functionality of those cells was impaired and, Tg-I accumulation was significantly

reduced compared to the control condition (Figure 3E). Of note, despite the strong effect

of Braf^{V637E} oncogene on thyroid follicles disruption, we observed that few follicles still

preserved a certain degree of organization (Figure S3D) after 48 h of 4OHT treatment.

As expected, after 7 days of Braf^{V637E} continuous stimulation, a higher level of cell

dedifferentiation and lack of organization could be detected, with a lower proportion of

the Nkx2-1 cells expressing Tg compared to control (Figure 3F) and to the previous time

point (48 h; Figure 3A). The above-described experiments were also performed using the

control TRE-Nkx2-1-Pax8_bTg-eGFP cell line. Adding 4OHT to mature follicles (from

day 27 to day 36) did not impair the levels of the thyroid differentiation markers and the

follicles organization (Figures S3E-F). On the other hand, slight induction of *Nkx2.1,*

Pax8, Tg, TshR, Tpo and Nis levels was observed under cAMP co-treatment with 4OHT

(Figure S3E).

Effect of transient Braf^{V637E}-induction on cell differentiation

Since our system for Braf^{V637E} activation is dependent on continuous treatment with

4OHT, we transiently treated the cells with 4OHT for 2 days, followed by 5 days of

culture in a 4OHT-free medium (cAMP) in order to explore if the oncogenic effect is

maintained when the protein is not active. Surprisingly, we did not observe the recovery

of *Nkx2-1, TSHR, Tg* or *Tpo* gene expression levels (Figures 4A-E) after 4OHT removal.

However, *Pax8* and *Slc5a5/Nis* expression was partially recovered (Figure 4F),

confirming the specific inhibitory effect of *Braf* oncogene on *Slc5a5/Nis* expression.

These findings indicate that *Nis* regulation mechanisms are maintained in our system and

suggest it as a tool for Nis-reactivation studies.

Screening for Nis re-expression using signaling pathways inhibitors

Studies in mouse models and humans have demonstrated that tumors carrying *Braf*

mutations show reduced expression of *Nis* and, consequently a higher rate of radioiodine

(RAI) refractoriness[28,31,65]. Here, taking advantage of our PTC organoid model, we aim

to explore new strategies to reactivate *Nis* expression in Braf^{V637E}-expressing cells by

screening distinct categories of inhibitors previously described to be involved in *Nis*

regulation [59,60]. PD0325901 (MEK inhibitor), LY204002 (PI3K inhibitor), VPA (HDAC

inhibitor), NAC (N-acetyl cysteine, antioxidant compound), 5-AZA-2' deoxycytidine and

RG108 (DMNT inhibitors) were tested isolated and/or in combination for 3 days (in

addition to cAMP+4OHT) using Braf^{V637E}-expressing organoids (after 4 days of

cAMP+4OHT treatment; Figure 5A). Initially, we observed that VPA alone was the only

treatment able to completely restore *Nis* expression levels (Figure 5B-C and S4A).

However, when combined, PD0325901 and LY204002 inhibitors; PD0325901,

LY204002 and VPA; and PD0325901 and Nac resulted in a great increase of *Nis* mRNA

(Figure 5B-C and S4A). IF staining shows Nis protein re-expression among Braf^{V637E}-

induced cells treated with VPA alone, but localization was not restricted to the membrane.

In contrast, when cells were treated with MEK of PI3K inhibitors, associated or not with

VPA, we observed Nis protein at the apical membrane and surprisingly, restored the

follicular structure (Figure 5C).

MAPK and PI3K signaling inhibition cause Braf-induced cells redifferentiation and

function recovery

Since we observed that HDAC, MEK and PI3K inhibition seems to favor follicle

reorganization, we evaluated if such treatments also induce cell redifferentiation and

function recovery. Initially, we analyzed the gene expression levels of thyroid

differentiation markers strongly affected by Braf-induction. The effects of isolated and

combined drugs on *Tg, TSHR, Tpo, Nkx2-1* and *Duox* genes appear variable and pathway-

dependent (Figure S4B-F). As with *Slc5a5/Nis*, MEK and PI3K inhibition in combination

with or without VPA resulted in complete recovery of *Tg*, *TSHR* and *Tpo* mRNA levels

(Figures 6A-C), indicating cell redifferentiation. While *TSHR* levels can be restored by

treatment with isolated inhibitors (Figure 6B), *Tpo* expression appears to be dependent

on PI3K signaling (Figure 6C). On the other hand, *Tg* mRNA expression is recovered just

when the inhibitors are used in combination (Figure 6A). Indeed, immunostaining showed

that isolated inhibitors could not restore Tg levels. Nevertheless, a higher proportion of

Tg-expressing cells was observed with the MEK inhibitor PD0325901 (Figure S4G).

Conversely, combined MEK and PI3K inhibitors restored Tg protein levels and generated

a similar proportion of expressing follicles as under the cAMP condition (Figures 6D-E).

Considering that inhibition of MEK and PI3K pathways (+/-VPA) promote recovery of

thyroid redifferentiation and follicular organization, we tested the functionality of

reorganized follicles. Remarkably, we observed an accumulation of Tg-I in the lumen of

follicles treated with the combinations of inhibitors (Figure 6F). The organification assay

confirmed that the co-treatment restored iodide uptake and ^{125}I binding to proteins

resulting in organification levels comparable to the control (Figure 6G-I).

Transcriptomic characterization of the PTC model and drug screening tests

Transcriptomic analysis of cAMP, cAMP+4OHT and cAMP+4OHT+PD+LY (Figure

5A) confirmed downregulation of thyroid genes under Braf-oncogene stimulation (4OHT

condition) whereas, global recovery of expression was observed under inhibitors

treatment (Figure 7A). Accordingly, thyroid differentiation scores (TDS and enhanced

(e)TDS) were decreased under Braf^{V637E} induction while they recovered in the presence

of inhibitors (Figure 7B). Conversely, the ERK activation score was higher in the

Braf^{V637E} samples and decreased sharply under inhibitor conditions (Figure 7C). Since

bulk RNAseq was performed using the follicle-enriched population, the presence of non-

thyroid cells is reduced compared with the whole original organoids but still present. It

may explain the modest increase in ERK score among Braf-activated cells while the

apparent decrease under the condition with inhibitors reflects the effect of the treatment

on each cell type.

Differential expression analysis identified 321 Differential Expressed Genes (DEGs; 156

upregulated and 165 downregulated genes) in the 4OHT condition compared to cAMP;

and 853 DEGs in inhibitors compared to 4OHT (287 upregulated and 566 downregulated

genes). Gene enrichment classification analysis of DEGs results is presented in Figures

7D-G. Briefly, among the upregulated genes in Braf-induced compared to control, we

observed gene signature for the hyperactivation of PI3K-AKT-mTOR, TNF and

cytokines signaling and promotion of Epithelial-Mesenchymal transition (EMT) (Figure

7D). While genes associated to thyroid hormone production, TGF-beta, Wnt/Beta-

Catenin pathway and regulation of angiogenesis were down-regulated (Figure 7E).

Conversely, the inhibitor's treatment, compared to 4OHT condition, evidenced

upregulation of genes associated to thyroid hormone production, cell-cell contact, extra-

cellular matrix organization and angiogenesis processes (Figure 7F), while PI3K, MAPK,

TNF, cytokines signaling, ECM-receptor interactions and EMT-related genes were

downregulated (Figure 7G).

Discussion

Here, we described a Braf-oncogene derived thyroid cancer organoid model

recapitulating patient tumor features. *In vitro* thyroid cancer models that recapitulate

tumor development and behavior can facilitate the identification of early tumor drivers

and enable the screening of several new drugs for the treatment of thyroid cancer without

the need to use too many animal models. Combining our previous thyroid organoid model

derived from mESCs with an inducible system, the Braf^{V637E} oncogene could be induced

explicitly in mature Tg-expressing cells to obtain a 3D *in vitro* cancer model.

Overexpression of Braf^{V637E} rapidly led to MAPK activation with increased pERK, cell

dedifferentiation and disruption of follicular organization. Similar effects have been

described in genetically modified mouse models[28,70,71]. Furthermore, the gene expression

signature of our cancer organoids confirmed the findings found in the PTC samples,

which also showed enrichment of genes associated to p53, focal adhesion, ECM-receptor

interactions, EMT and inflammatory pathways[72–74].

Activating mutations in the *BRAF* gene are found in approximately 7% of all solid

human tumors, particularly common in PTCs, ATCs and melanomas. In addition, they

have been reported less frequently in colorectal cancers (CRCs), lung cancers, pediatric

low-grade gliomas (PLGGs), glioblastomas, breast cancers and serous ovarian cancers[75–

81]. In PTCs and melanomas, BRAF^{V600E} mutation seems to be associated with a higher

degree of dedifferentiation and more aggressive histological patterns. However, its

prognostic role is still debated as it was not found independently of histological

features[33,34]. Nevertheless, studies suggest that BRAF^{V600E} might predict response to

tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs) in melanoma and lung cancer[82,83].

Surgery remains the first choice for thyroid cancer therapy. Recommended post-

operative treatment includes TSH suppression and RAI ablation, particularly as adjuvant

treatment for patients at high risk for tumor recurrence and to treat patients with

persistent/recurrent or metastatic disease[84]. The benefit of RAI has been demonstrated in

patients by reducing the risk of recurrence and disease-related mortality[85]. However,

approximately two-thirds of metastatic DTC become radioiodine refractory (RR-DTC),

defined by the absence of iodine uptake or tumor progression despite uptake[86,87]. There

is no curative treatment for radioiodine refractory DTCs. The recommended first-line

systemic treatment when local therapies are not possible is targeted therapies using

mainly multitarget TKIs, such as Lenvatinib showed a progression-free survival of 18

months [65,88–90]. However, it leads to frequent resistance and adverse effects.

NIS, a basal membrane iodide transporter, plays a critical role in radioiodine

accumulation in DTC cells and its level is closely related to response to RAI (I^{131}) therapy.

Studies in mouse models and humans have shown that the presence of *BRAF* mutations

results in lower *Nis* levels and, consequently a higher rate of RAI-refractory tumours[28,31].

Here, we confirmed that this regulation is preserved in our cancer organoids, as disruption

of *Braf* activation led to in recovery of *Nis* levels. As TKIs, retinoic acids (RA), histone

deacetylase inhibitors (HDAC), peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-gamma

(PPARG) have been tested to promote redifferentiation and *NIS* re-expression of RR-

DTCs, to suggest RAI treatment after a short, targeted treatment[88,91–93]. This strategy

could lead to tumor response while limiting adverse effects and, several clinical trials are

ongoing. However, the re-expression of NIS is insufficient to explain the response to

redifferentiation therapeutics and RAI treatment. Membrane trafficking and the cellular

machinery that concentrate and retain iodine must be preserved[94] in a follicular

organization. Our model is proving to be a potential tool for redifferentiation studies

because of its ability to test large sets and combinations of treatments and to assess

follicular reorganization and iodide organification capacity using a functional assay that

is likely to be more strongly associated with response to RAI treatment than *NIS* mRNA

levels. $Braf^{V637E}$-expressing cells treated with VPA, MAPK and PI3K inhibitors showed

re-expression of *Nis* and corresponding uptake of radioactive iodide. Interestingly, the

combination of inhibitors also promoted the restoration of thyroid markers, follicular

organization, and iodide organification ability. Interestingly, an ongoing clinical trial tests

the effect of BKM120, a PI3K inhibitor, in patients with progressive, metastatic,

refractory, follicular or poorly differentiated thyroid cancers (NCT01830504). However,

the association with MAPK inhibitors is still not evaluated in patients with thyroid cancer.

In *BRAF*-mutated tumors, studies have shown that the combination of TKIs

therapies appears more effective and circumvents primary and acquired resistance to TKI

therapy. Often, resistance is due to reactivation of the MAPK/ERK pathway or activation

of other signaling pathways such as PTEN, NF-1 or RAS. It may also result from the

hyperactivation of tyrosine kinase receptors, such as PDFRβ, IGF-1R and HGF, which

lead to activation of the AKT/PI3K pathway[95]. Studies in patients with advanced

melanoma carrying a BRAF[V600E] mutation have shown that combining BRAF and MEK

inhibitors resulted in a higher rate of complete/partial responses and median progression-

free survival compared with monotherapy groups[96–98]. Such significant results lead to the

use of drug combinations as standard treatment for the patients[99,100].

In summary, we have developed a Braf oncogene-expressing thyroid cancer in

vitro organoid model from mESCs that recapitulates transcriptomic and histological

features of PTCs at early and advanced stages. Moreover, we demonstrated that the

combination of MEK and PI3K inhibitors promotes *Nis* re-expression and cell re-

differentiation leading to the restoration of follicular structures and thyroid functionality.

Considering the robustness of this *in vitro* model, which allows controlled induction of

the major thyroid oncogene in a three-dimensional system, its efficiency and simplicity,

our model can be used to study mechanisms associated with thyroid cancer development

and progression, thyroid redifferentiation and drug screening.

Acknowledgements and Funding

The authors acknowledge the ULB flow cytometry platform (C. Dubois), the ULB

genomic core facility (F. Libert and A. Lefort), LiMIF platform for confocal microscopy

(J.-M. Vanderwinden). We acknowledge the funding agencies that supported this study.

The Belgian National Fund for Scientific Research (FNRS) (PDR T.0140.14; PDR

T.0230.18, CDR J.0068.22, Televie 7.4633.17/7.4526.19) and the Fonds

d'Encouragement à la Recherche de l'Université Libre de Bruxelles (FER-ULB). The

Belgian Fondation contre le cancer (F/2020/1402; V.D.). FNRS (Chargé de Recherche,

No.825745; M.R.). The cooperation program CAPES-WBI (S.C. and A.L.M). The

Brazilian National Council for Scientific and Technological Development (CNPq; M.R.

and A.L.M), the Coordination for the Improvement of Higher Education Personnel

(CAPES; M.R. and A.L.M), the Brazilian Society of Endocrinology and Metabolism

(SBEM; M.R. and A.L.M) and the Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado do Rio

Grande do Sul (FAPERGS; A.L.M). S.C is Research Director at FNRS. M.R is researcher

at ULB.

Author contributions

H.L., S.C. and M.R. developed the project, designed the experiments and analyzed the

data. L.H., T.P., S.G. and J.H. generated the plasmids and the G4 Rosaluc mESC line.

M.R. and A.S. generated the G4 RosaLuc TRE-Nkx2-1-Pax8_bTg-NES-Braf^{V637E}-ERT^2

and TRE-Nkx2-1-Pax8_bTg-eGFP cell lines. H.L., M.R., B.F.F., A.S., O.M., L.C.,

M.K.P. B.A., L.C., performed the *in vitro* experiments and protocol set up. M.R. and P.G.

obtained confocal images. H.L., M.R. and A.T. performed bulk RNA-Sequencing and

analyzed the results. H.L. and A.T. performed the bioinformatics analysis. H.L. and M.R.

wrote the first draft and, A.L.M. and S.C. edited the manuscript. S.C., M.R. and A.L.M.

acquired funding for the project. All authors contributed to the article and approved the

submitted version.

Competing interests

All authors declare no competing interests.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Mírian Romitti.

References

598 1. Schutgens, F. & Clevers, H. Human Organoids: Tools for Understanding Biology
599   and Treating Diseases. *Annual Review of Pathology: Mechanisms of Disease* **15**,
600   211–234 (2020).

601 2. Clevers, H. Modeling Development and Disease with Organoids. *Cell* **165**, 1586–
602   1597 (2016).

603 3. Kim, J., Koo, B. K. & Knoblich, J. A. Human organoids: model systems for
604   human biology and medicine. *Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology* vol. 21
605   571–584 Preprint at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41580-020-0259-3 (2020).

606 4. Boj, S. F. *et al.* Organoid Models of Human and Mouse Ductal Pancreatic
607   Cancer. *Cell* **160**, 324–338 (2015).

608 5. Gao, D. *et al.* Organoid Cultures Derived from Patients with Advanced Prostate
609   Cancer. *Cell* **159**, 176–187 (2014).

610 6. Sato, T. *et al.* Long-term Expansion of Epithelial Organoids From Human Colon,
611   Adenoma, Adenocarcinoma, and Barrett's Epithelium. *Gastroenterology* **141**,
612   1762–1772 (2011).

613 7. van de Wetering, M. *et al.* Prospective Derivation of a Living Organoid Biobank
614   of Colorectal Cancer Patients. *Cell* **161**, 933–945 (2015).

615 8. Drost, J. & Clevers, H. Organoids in cancer research. *Nat Rev Cancer* **18**, 407–
616   418 (2018).

617 9. Gleave, A. M., Ci, X., Lin, D. & Wang, Y. A synopsis of prostate organoid
618   methodologies, applications, and limitations. *Prostate* **80**, 518–526 (2020).

619 10. Maenhoudt, N. & Vankelecom, H. Protocol for establishing organoids from
620   human ovarian cancer biopsies. *STAR Protoc* **2**, 100429 (2021).

621 11. Gunti, S., Hoke, A. T. K., Vu, K. P. & London, N. R. Organoid and Spheroid
622   Tumor Models: Techniques and Applications. *Cancers (Basel)* **13**, 874 (2021).

623 12. Saito, Y. *et al.* Development of a functional thyroid model based on an organoid
624   culture system. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* **497**, 783–789 (2018).

625 13. Chen, D. *et al.* Papillary thyroid cancer organoids harboring BRAFV600E
626   mutation reveal potentially beneficial effects of BRAF inhibitor-based
627   combination therapies. *J Transl Med* **21**, 9 (2023).

628 14. Vlachogiannis, G. *et al.* Patient-derived organoids model treatment response of
629   metastatic gastrointestinal cancers. *Science (1979)* **359**, 920–926 (2018).

630 15. Drost, J. *et al.* Sequential cancer mutations in cultured human intestinal stem
631   cells. *Nature* **521**, 43–47 (2015).

632 16. Matano, M. *et al.* Modeling colorectal cancer using CRISPR-Cas9–mediated
633   engineering of human intestinal organoids. *Nat Med* **21**, 256–262 (2015).

634 17. Miura, A. *et al.* Oncogenic potential of human pluripotent stem cell-derived lung
635   organoids with <scp>HER2</scp> overexpression. *Int J Cancer* **149**, 1593–1604
636   (2021).

637 18. Nadauld, L. D. *et al.* Metastatic tumor evolution and organoid modeling
638   implicate TGFBR2as a cancer driver in diffuse gastric cancer. *Genome Biol* **15**,
639   428 (2014).

640 19. Li, X. *et al.* Oncogenic transformation of diverse gastrointestinal tissues in
641   primary organoid culture. *Nat Med* **20**, 769–777 (2014).

642 20. Huang, L. *et al.* Ductal pancreatic cancer modeling and drug screening using
643   human pluripotent stem cell– and patient-derived tumor organoids. *Nat Med* **21**,
644   1364–1371 (2015).

645 21. Lo, Y.-H., Karlsson, K. & Kuo, C. J. Applications of organoids for cancer
646   biology and precision medicine. *Nat Cancer* **1**, 761–773 (2020).

647   22.   Lim, H., Devesa, S. S., Sosa, J. A., Check, D. & Kitahara, C. M. Trends in
648   Thyroid Cancer Incidence and Mortality in the United States, 1974-2013. *JAMA*
649   **317**, 1338 (2017).
650   23.   ROMITTI, M. *et al.* Signaling pathways in follicular cell-derived thyroid
651   carcinomas. *Int J Oncol* **42**, 19–28 (2013).
652   24.   Kimura, E. T. *et al.* High prevalence of BRAF mutations in thyroid cancer:
653   genetic evidence for constitutive activation of the RET/PTC-RAS-BRAF
654   signaling pathway in papillary thyroid carcinoma. *Cancer Res* **63**, 1454–7
655   (2003).
656   25.   Fagin, J. A. & Mitsiades, N. Molecular pathology of thyroid cancer: diagnostic
657   and clinical implications. *Best Pract Res Clin Endocrinol Metab* **22**, 955–969
658   (2008).
659   26.   Scheffel, R. S. *et al.* The BRAFV600E mutation analysis and risk stratification in
660   papillary thyroid carcinoma. *Arch Endocrinol Metab* (2020) doi:10.20945/2359-
661   3997000000285.
662   27.   Xing, M. The T1799A BRAF mutation is not a germline mutation in familial
663   nonmedullary thyroid cancer. *Clin Endocrinol (Oxf)* **63**, 263–266 (2005).
664   28.   Chakravarty, D. *et al.* Small-molecule MAPK inhibitors restore radioiodine
665   incorporation in mouse thyroid cancers with conditional BRAF activation.
666   *Journal of Clinical Investigation* **121**, 4700–4711 (2011).
667   29.   Zaman, Wu & Bivona. Targeting Oncogenic BRAF: Past, Present, and Future.
668   *Cancers (Basel)* **11**, 1197 (2019).
669   30.   Agrawal, N. *et al.* Integrated Genomic Characterization of Papillary Thyroid
670   Carcinoma. *Cell* **159**, 676–690 (2014).
671   31.   Romei, C. *et al.* Modifications in the Papillary Thyroid Cancer Gene Profile Over
672   the Last 15 Years. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* **97**, E1758–E1765 (2012).
673   32.   Sabra, M. M. *et al.* Clinical Outcomes and Molecular Profile of Differentiated
674   Thyroid Cancers With Radioiodine-Avid Distant Metastases. *J Clin Endocrinol
675   Metab* **98**, E829–E836 (2013).
676   33.   Xing, M. BRAFV600E Mutation and Papillary Thyroid Cancer. *JAMA* **310**, 535
677   (2013).
678   34.   Scheffel, R. S. *et al.* The BRAFV600E mutation analysis and risk stratification in
679   papillary thyroid carcinoma. *Arch Endocrinol Metab* (2021) doi:10.20945/2359-
680   3997000000285.
681   35.   Liu, R. *et al.* Mortality Risk Stratification by Combining *BRAF* V600E and *TERT*
682   Promoter Mutations in Papillary Thyroid Cancer. *JAMA Oncol* **3**, 202 (2017).
683   36.   Boucai, L. *et al.* Characterization of Subtypes of BRAF-Mutant Papillary
684   Thyroid Cancer Defined by Their Thyroid Differentiation Score. *J Clin
685   Endocrinol Metab* **107**, 1030–1039 (2022).
686   37.   Antonica, F. *et al.* Generation of functional thyroid from embryonic stem cells.
687   *Nature* **491**, 66–71 (2012).
688   38.   Romitti, M. *et al.* Transplantable human thyroid organoids generated from
689   embryonic stem cells to rescue hypothyroidism. *Nat Commun* **13**, 7057 (2022).
690   39.   Longmire, T. A. *et al.* Efficient derivation of purified lung and thyroid
691   progenitors from embryonic stem cells. *Cell Stem Cell* **10**, 398–411 (2012).
692   40.   Ma, R., Latif, R. & Davies, T. F. Human embryonic stem cells form functional
693   thyroid follicles. *Thyroid* **25**, 455–461 (2015).
694   41.   Ma, R., Latif, R. & Davies, T. F. Thyroid follicle formation and thyroglobulin
695   expression in multipotent endodermal stem cells. *Thyroid* **23**, 385–391 (2013).

42. Ma, R., Morshed, S. A., Latif, R. & Davies, T. F. TAZ Induction Directs
Differentiation of Thyroid Follicular Cells from Human Embryonic Stem Cells.
Thyroid **27**, 292–299 (2017).

43. Ma, R., Shi, R., Morshed, S. A., Latif, R. & Davies, T. F. Derivation and 97%
Purification of Human Thyroid Cells From Dermal Fibroblasts. *Front Endocrinol*
(Lausanne) **11**, 446 (2020).

44. Kurmann, A. A. *et al.* Regeneration of Thyroid Function by Transplantation of
Differentiated Pluripotent Stem Cells. *Cell Stem Cell* **17**, 527–542 (2015).

45. Serra, M. *et al.* Pluripotent stem cell differentiation reveals distinct
developmental pathways regulating Lung-Versus Thyroid-Lineage specification.
Development (Cambridge) **144**, 3879–3893 (2017).

46. Dame, K. *et al.* Thyroid Progenitors Are Robustly Derived from Embryonic Stem
Cells through Transient, Developmental Stage-Specific Overexpression of Nkx2-
1. *Stem Cell Reports* **8**, 216–225 (2017).

47. Ogundipe, V. M. L. *et al.* Generation and Differentiation of Adult Tissue-
Derived Human Thyroid Organoids. *Stem Cell Reports* **16**, 913–925 (2021).

48. Liang, J. *et al.* Modeling Human Thyroid Development by Fetal Tissue-Derived
Organoid Culture. *Advanced Science* **9**, (2022).

49. van der Vaart, J. *et al.* Adult mouse and human organoids derived from thyroid
follicular cells and modeling of Graves' hyperthyroidism. *Proceedings of the*
National Academy of Sciences **118**, (2021).

50. Chen, D. *et al.* Organoid Cultures Derived From Patients With Papillary Thyroid
Cancer. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* **106**, 1410–1426 (2021).

51. Sondorp, L. H. J. *et al.* Patient-Derived Papillary Thyroid Cancer Organoids for
Radioactive Iodine Refractory Screening. *Cancers (Basel)* **12**, 3212 (2020).

52. Pecce, V. *et al.* Establishment and maintenance of thyroid organoids from human
cancer cells. *STAR Protoc* **3**, 101393 (2022).

53. Chen, D. *et al.* Papillary thyroid cancer organoids harboring BRAFV600E
mutation reveal potentially beneficial effects of BRAF inhibitor-based
combination therapies. *J Transl Med* **21**, 9 (2023).

54. Yang, H. *et al.* Establishment of papillary thyroid cancer organoid lines from
clinical specimens. *Front Endocrinol (Lausanne)* **14**, (2023).

55. Veschi, V. *et al.* Recapitulating thyroid cancer histotypes through engineering
embryonic stem cells. *Nat Commun* **14**, 1351 (2023).

56. Haenebalcke, L. *et al.* Efficient ROSA26-Based Conditional and/or Inducible
Transgenesis Using RMCE-Compatible F1 Hybrid Mouse Embryonic Stem
Cells. *Stem Cell Rev Rep* **9**, 774–785 (2013).

57. Romitti, M. *et al.* Single-Cell Trajectory Inference Guided Enhancement of
Thyroid Maturation In Vitro Using TGF-Beta Inhibition. *Front Endocrinol*
(Lausanne) **12**, (2021).

58. Ortiz, O., Wurst, W. & Kühn, R. Reversible and tissue-specific activation of
MAP kinase signaling by tamoxifen in braf ^{V637} ER ^{T2} mice. *genesis* **51**, 448–455
(2013).

59. Azouzi, N. *et al.* NADPH Oxidase NOX4 Is a Critical Mediator of BRAF ^{V600E} -
Induced Downregulation of the Sodium/Iodide Symporter in Papillary Thyroid
Carcinomas. *Antioxid Redox Signal* **26**, 864–877 (2017).

60. Kogai, T. & Brent, G. A. The sodium iodide symporter (NIS): Regulation and
approaches to targeting for cancer therapeutics. *Pharmacol Ther* **135**, 355–370
(2012).

61.   Bolger, A. M., Lohse, M. & Usadel, B. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for
Illumina sequence data. *Bioinformatics* **30**, (2014).
62.   Kim, D., Langmead, B. & Salzberg, S. L. HISAT: a fast spliced aligner with low
memory requirements. *Nat Methods* **12**, (2015).
63.   Hao, Y. *et al.* Integrated analysis of multimodal single-cell data. *Cell* **184**, 3573-
3587.e29 (2021).
64.   Love, M. I., Huber, W. & Anders, S. Moderated estimation of fold change and
dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biol* **15**, 550 (2014).
65.   Dunn, L. A. *et al.* Vemurafenib Redifferentiation of *BRAF* Mutant, RAI-
Refractory Thyroid Cancers. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* **104**, 1417–1428 (2019).
66.   Antonica, F., Kasprzyk, D. F., Schiavo, A. A., Romitti, M. & Costagliola, S.
Generation of Functional Thyroid Tissue Using 3D-Based Culture of Embryonic
Stem Cells. in 85–95 (2017). doi:10.1007/978-1-4939-6949-4_7.
67.   Tang, W.-W., Huang, C., Tang, C., Xu, J. & Wang, H. Galectin-3 may serve as a
potential marker for diagnosis and prognosis in papillary thyroid carcinoma: a
meta-analysis. *Onco Targets Ther* 455 (2016) doi:10.2147/OTT.S94514.
68.   Xia, S. *et al.* Fibronectin 1 promotes migration and invasion of papillary thyroid
cancer and predicts papillary thyroid cancer lymph node metastasis. *Onco
Targets Ther* **Volume 10**, 1743–1755 (2017).
69.   Sponziello, M. *et al.* Fibronectin-1 expression is increased in aggressive thyroid
cancer and favors the migration and invasion of cancer cells. *Mol Cell
Endocrinol* **431**, 123–132 (2016).
70.   Knauf, J. A. *et al.* Targeted Expression of BRAFV600E in Thyroid Cells of
Transgenic Mice Results in Papillary Thyroid Cancers that Undergo
Dedifferentiation. *Cancer Res* **65**, 4238–4245 (2005).
71.   Saqcena, M. *et al.* SWI/SNF Complex Mutations Promote Thyroid Tumor
Progression and Insensitivity to Redifferentiation Therapies. *Cancer Discov* **11**,
1158–1175 (2021).
72.   Qiu, W., Yang, Z., Fan, Y. & Zheng, Q. ZNRF3 is downregulated in papillary
thyroid carcinoma and suppresses the proliferation and invasion of papillary
thyroid cancer cells. *Tumor Biology* **37**, 12665–12672 (2016).
73.   Han, J. *et al.* Identification of Biomarkers Based on Differentially Expressed
Genes in Papillary Thyroid Carcinoma. *Sci Rep* **8**, 9912 (2018).
74.   Yoo, S.-K. *et al.* Integrative analysis of genomic and transcriptomic
characteristics associated with progression of aggressive thyroid cancer. *Nat
Commun* **10**, 2764 (2019).
75.   Davies, H. *et al.* Mutations of the BRAF gene in human cancer. *Nature* **417**,
949–954 (2002).
76.   Dhomen, N. & Marais, R. New insight into BRAF mutations in cancer. *Curr
Opin Genet Dev* **17**, 31–39 (2007).
77.   Nikiforov, Y. E. & Nikiforova, M. N. Molecular genetics and diagnosis of
thyroid cancer. *Nat Rev Endocrinol* **7**, 569–580 (2011).
78.   Cheng, L., Lopez-Beltran, A., Massari, F., MacLennan, G. T. & Montironi, R.
Molecular testing for BRAF mutations to inform melanoma treatment decisions:
a move toward precision medicine. *Modern Pathology* **31**, 24–38 (2018).
79.   Schirripa, M. *et al.* Class 1, 2, and 3 BRAF-Mutated Metastatic Colorectal
Cancer: A Detailed Clinical, Pathologic, and Molecular Characterization.
Clinical Cancer Research **25**, 3954–3961 (2019).
80.   Pisapia, P., Pepe, F., Malapelle, U. & Troncone, G. Mutations in Lung Cancer.
Acta Cytol **63**, 247–250 (2019).

81. Pisapia, P. *et al.* BRAF: A Two-Faced Janus. *Cells* **9**, 2549 (2020).
82. Pavlick, A. C., Fecher, L., Ascierto, P. A. & Sullivan, R. J. Frontline Therapy for
BRAF-Mutated Metastatic Melanoma: How Do You Choose, and Is There One
Correct Answer? *American Society of Clinical Oncology Educational Book* 564–
571 (2019) doi:10.1200/EDBK_243071.
83. Anguera, G. & Majem, M. BRAF inhibitors in metastatic non-small cell lung
cancer. *J Thorac Dis* **10**, 589–592 (2018).
84. Haugen, B. R. *et al.* 2015 American Thyroid Association Management
Guidelines for Adult Patients with Thyroid Nodules and Differentiated Thyroid
Cancer: The American Thyroid Association Guidelines Task Force on Thyroid
Nodules and Differentiated Thyroid Cancer. *Thyroid* **26**, 1–133 (2016).
85. Schmidbauer, B., Menhart, K., Hellwig, D. & Grosse, J. Differentiated Thyroid
Cancer—Treatment: State of the Art. *Int J Mol Sci* **18**, 1292 (2017).
86. Kim, T. Y., Kim, W. G., Kim, W. B. & Shong, Y. K. Current Status and Future
Perspectives in Differentiated Thyroid Cancer. *Endocrinology and Metabolism*
29, 217 (2014).
87. Ahn, B.-C. Personalized Medicine Based on Theranostic Radioiodine Molecular
Imaging for Differentiated Thyroid Cancer. *Biomed Res Int* **2016**, 1–9 (2016).
88. Paeng, J. C., Kang, K. W., Park, D. J., Oh, S. W. & Chung, J.-K. Alternative
Medical Treatment for Radioiodine-Refractory Thyroid Cancers. *Nucl Med Mol*
Imaging **45**, 241–247 (2011).
89. Brose, M. S. *et al.* Sorafenib in radioactive iodine-refractory, locally advanced or
metastatic differentiated thyroid cancer: a randomised, double-blind, phase 3
trial. *The Lancet* **384**, 319–328 (2014).
90. Schlumberger, M. *et al.* Lenvatinib versus Placebo in Radioiodine-Refractory
Thyroid Cancer. *New England Journal of Medicine* **372**, 621–630 (2015).
91. Ho, A. L. *et al.* Selumetinib-Enhanced Radioiodine Uptake in Advanced Thyroid
Cancer. *New England Journal of Medicine* **368**, 623–632 (2013).
92. Rothenberg, S. M., Daniels, G. H. & Wirth, L. J. Redifferentiation of Iodine-
Refractory BRAF V600E-Mutant Metastatic Papillary Thyroid Cancer with
Dabrafenib—Response. *Clinical Cancer Research* **21**, 5640–5641 (2015).
93. Buffet, C. *et al.* Redifferentiation of radioiodine-refractory thyroid cancers.
Endocr Relat Cancer **27**, R113–R132 (2020).
94. Riesco-Eizaguirre, G. & Santisteban, P. A perspective view of sodium iodide
symporter research and its clinical implications. *Eur J Endocrinol* **155**, 495–512
(2006).
95. Czarnecka, A. M., Bartnik, E., Fiedorowicz, M. & Rutkowski, P. Targeted
Therapy in Melanoma and Mechanisms of Resistance. *Int J Mol Sci* **21**, 4576
(2020).
96. Flaherty, K. T. *et al.* Combined BRAF and MEK Inhibition in Melanoma with
BRAF V600 Mutations. *New England Journal of Medicine* **367**, 1694–1703
(2012).
97. Larkin, J. *et al.* Combined Vemurafenib and Cobimetinib in BRAF-Mutated
Melanoma. *New England Journal of Medicine* **371**, 1867–1876 (2014).
98. Dummer, R. *et al.* Encorafenib plus binimetinib versus vemurafenib or
encorafenib in patients with BRAF -mutant melanoma (COLUMBUS): a
multicentre, open-label, randomised phase 3 trial. *Lancet Oncol* **19**, 603–615
(2018).
99. Smalley, K. S. M. Increased immunity and BRAF inhibition: Yet another
argument for combination therapy? *Pharmacol Res* **113**, 719–720 (2016).

100.   Roskoski, R. Targeting oncogenic Raf protein-serine/threonine kinases in human
846   cancers. *Pharmacol Res* **135**, 239–258 (2018).

Figures

Figure 1. mESC_Nkx2-1/Pax8_bTg_Braf^{V637E} cell line differentiation into thyroid organoids. Scheme of the thyroid differentiation protocol and Braf^{V637E} induction in mature thyroid follicles (A). Differentiation of mESC_Nkx2-1/Pax8_bTg_Braf^{V637E} cell line promotes expression of the main thyroid genes and Braf exogenous (B). Immunofluorescence staining showing Nkx2-1 and Tg co-expressing cells organized in follicular structures (C), which are accumulating the thyroid hormone precursor, Tg-I, inside the lumen compartment (D). The follicular enrichment (FE) procedure significantly increased the expression levels of thyroid genes (E) while keeping the

structural organization of the follicles (F) and its functionality evidenced by Tg-I

accumulation (G). Scale bars, 50 μm and 10 μm for high magnification follicles.

Figure 2. Effect of the Braf^{V637E} oncogene induction on mature thyroid follicles.

Schematic representation of thyroid differentiation, Braf^{V637E} oncogene expression and

its activation under specific treatment conditions (A). Western blot demonstrates an

increase of p-ERK protein at 24 hours and 7 days after the addition of 4OHT (Tamoxifen)

to the organoids culture (B). Percentage of BrDU+ cells between control (cAMP) and

Braf-induced (4OHT; 6 days) condition (C). mRNA expression analysis showing the

inhibitory effect of Braf^{V637E}-oncogene induction on thyroid genes after 6 hours (D), 48

hours (E) and 7 days (F).

Figure 3. Morphological changes caused by Braf^{V637E} activation on thyroid follicles.

Control thyroid cells show follicular organization with Nkx2-1 nuclear expression and

Tg accumulation in the luminal compartment. In contrast, after 48 h of Braf oncogene

induction by 4OHT, most of the cells are not organized into follicular structures and a

great proportion of cells is expressing very low levels of Tg (A). Higher magnification

images showing the follicular organization of the thyroid cells in the control condition

(48 h), with proper expression of Nk2x-1 and/or Tg (B), E-cadherin (C), Zo-1 (D) and

Tg-I (E) accumulation in the lumen. While in the 4OHT condition the follicular

organization is disrupted as well as its function. Nkx2-1 and Tg staining in control and

Braf-induced cells for 7 days shows clear changes in thyroid morphology evidenced by

the heterogeneity of Nkx2-1 cells which mostly do not express Tg or at low levels, while

some groups cells continue to co-express Tg (F). Scale bars, 20 μm (A), 10 μm (B-E) and

50 μm (F).

Figure 4. 4OHT interruption does not restore the thyroid gene expression levels. The

induction of Braf^{V637E} for 2 days followed by removal of 4OHT for 5 days shows that it

is not enough to recover the levels of *Nkx2-1* (A), *Pax8* (B), *TSHR* (C), *Tg* (D), *Tpo* (E)

genes to the control levels. However, it led to an increase of *Nis* expression (F) close to

control values.

Figure 5. Drug screening reveals that VPA and MAPK/PI3K inhibition can restore Nis expression in Braf-induced cells. Schematic representation of the protocol used for drug screening experiments (A). qPCR data show that inhibition of MAPK (PD0325901) and PI3K (LY294002) pathways isolated increase but do not restore *Nis* expression to control levels. However, when combined, the levels are like the controls. On the other hand, the HDAC inhibitor (VPA) can recover Nis expression (B) by itself. Nkx2-1 and Nis immunostaining shows the downregulation of the transporter in 4OHT condition, which is restored by VPA treatment. However, the expression pattern differs from the control conditions. Co-inhibition of MAPK and PI3K pathways associated or not to VPA treatment restores of Nis protein expression while follicular structures are observed (C). Scale bars, 10 μm.

Figure 6. MAPK and PI3K inhibition cause thyroid redifferentiation and function recovery of Braf-expressing cells. mRNA expression analysis shows that PD0325901 and LY294002 co-treatment recover the *Tg* (A), *TSHR* (B), and *Tpo* (C) gene expression to control levels. Immunostaining for Nkx2-1 and Tg shows that protein levels are similar to control and that follicular organization is restored under such treatment conditions (D, E). Tg-I accumulation in the lumen of the restored follicles is observed under PD+LY and PD+LY+VPA conditions (F). Organification assay shows a recovery of Iodine uptake (G), Protein-bound to 125I (H), and % of iodine Organification (I) in the inhibitor's conditions. Scale bars, 50 μm (E), 20 μm (D) and 10 μm for high magnification follicles (F).

Figure 7. Transcriptomics analysis confirms thyroid redifferentiation of Braf^{V637E}-expressing cells treated with MAPK and PI3K inhibitors and suggests by which mechanisms. Heatmap of normalized bulk RNA-Seq expression of normal thyroid cells (cAMP), Braf^{V637E} expressing cells (4OHT), and Braf^{V637E} expressing cells treated with PD0325901+LY204002 inhibitors. Rows represent markers and columns represent specific conditions. Color values in the heatmap represent mean expression levels (A). Thyroid differentiation (eTDS and TDS) (B) and ERK (C) scores were calculated among the different conditions. Classification of upregulated and downregulated genes comparing 4OHT *vs.* cAMP (D, E) and PD+LY *vs.* 4OHT (F, G). Colors represent the classification method and scale the -log10 (adj p-value).

Figure S1. mESC-cell lines generation and characterization. Schematic representation

of the mESC_Nkx2-1/Pax8_bTg_Braf^{V637E} cell line (A). mESC_Nkx2-

1/Pax8_bTg_Braf^{V637E} line response to doxycycline treatment shows expression of Pax8

and Nkx2-1 proteins (B). Spontaneous differentiation mESC_Nkx2-

1/Pax8_bTg_Braf^{V637E} cell line leads to the generation of ectodermal (Beta-actin),

endodermal (AFP) and mesodermal (SMA) cells (C). Schematic representation of the

mESC_Nkx2-1/Pax8_bTg_eGFP control cell line (D). Dox treatment leads to an increase

of Pax8 and Nkx2-1 expression in control cells (E). Spontaneous differentiation of the

control cells leads to generation of ectodermal (Beta-actin), endodermal (AFP) and

mesodermal (SMA) cells (F). Scale bars, 50 μm (B, E) and 10 μm (C, F).

Figure S2. Doxycycline treatment for 5 days leads to more efficient thyroid gene

expression induction. Time course of Dox-dependent induction of exogenous and

endogenous *Nkx2-1* (A, B) *Pax8* (C) and *Tg* (D) expression. mRNA levels of thyroid

genes at day 9, after 5 days of Dox treatment (E).

Figure S3. 4OHT treatment rapidly induces Braf-carcinogenic changes in gene expression and follicular organization. Tg and Braf exogenous expression levels at day 9, 16, and 23 of thyroid differentiation protocol (A). Induction of Braf[V637E] for 8 hours promotes the downregulation of main thyroid genes (B). Nkx2-1 and Tg immunostaining shows that under BrafV637E activation (4OHT; 48 h) thyroid follicles present bigger size heterogeneous distribution of Tg compared to the control (C). Remaining follicular-like structures after Braf[V637E] activation (4OHT; 48 h) (D). mRNA expression of mESC-Nkx2-1-Pax8_bTg-eGFP derived follicles treated for 9 days with 4OHT (E). Nkx2-1 and Tg co-staining demonstrate that 4OHT treatment do not affect thyroid organization (F). Scale bars, 50 µm (C), 20 µm (F) and 10 µm (D).

Figure S4. Effect of distinct classes of inhibitors on thyroid markers. Gene expression

levels of *Nis* (A)*, Tg* (B)*, Tpo* (C)*, TSHR* (D)*, Nkx2.1* (E) *and Duox2A* (F) among normal

thyroid organoids, Braf[V637E]-expressing (4OHT) and treated with distinct inhibitor

(4OHT+inhib). Significance is compared to 4OHT condition. Nkx2-1 and Tg co-staining

shows that isolated PD0325901, LY294002 and VPA treatments are insufficient to revert

the inhibitory effect of Braf[V637E] activation on Tg expression and thyroid follicular

organization. Scale bar, 50 μm.

989 **Supplementary tables**

991 **Supplementary Table 1.** Antibodies information.

Primary antibodies						
Protein Target	*Provider*	*Catalog number*	*Host Species*	*Dilution Flow Cyt.*	*Dilution IF*	*Dilution WB*
AFP	Santa Cruz	sc-8108	Goat		1:100	
β-III Tubulin	Eurogentec	MMS-435P-200	Mouse		1:1,000	
αSMA	Abcam	ab32575	Rabbit		1:1,000	
Nkx2-1	Abcam	ab76013	Rabbit	1 :100	1:500	
PAX8	Cell Signaling	59019	Rabbit		1:500	
Tg	Dako	A0251	Rabbit		1:2,000	
Tg	Abcam	Ab187378	Mouse		1:250	
Nis	Gift from N. Carrasco		Rabbit		1:1,000	
TG-I	Gift from C. Ris-Stalpers		Mouse		1:250	
E-cadherin	BD	610181	Mouse		1:1,000	
ZO-1	Invitrogen	339100	Mouse		1:500	
Hoechst	Invitrogen	33342			1:1,000	
p-ERK	Cell Signaling	4370	Rabbit			1:1,000
β-Actin	Cell Signaling	3700	Mouse			1:1,000
Secondary antibodies						
Cy3-conjugated	Jackson Immunoresearch	715-165-150	Donkey anti-mouse IgG		1:500	
Cy3-conjugated	Jackson Immunoresearch	711-165-152	Donkey anti-rabbit IgG		1:500	

Cy3-conjugated	Jackson Immunoresearch	705-165-147	Donkey anti-goat IgG		1:500	
Alexa fluor 488-conjugated	Jackson Immunoresearch	715-545-150	Donkey anti-mouse IgG		1:500	
Alexa fluor 647-conjugated	Jackson Immunoresearch	715-605-150	Donkey anti-mouse IgG		1:500	
Alexa fluor 647-conjugated	Jackson Immunoresearch	711-605-152	Donkey anti-rabbit IgG	1:300	1:500	
Anti-rabbit IgG, HRP-linked	Cell Signaling	7074				1:1,000
Anti-mouse IgG, HRP-linked	Cell Signaling	7076				1:1,000

993 **Supplementary Table 2.** List of primers used for RT-qPCR analysis.

Gene	Primer Forward	Primer Reverse
β2m-Globulin	GCTTCAGTCGTCAGCATGG	CAGTTCAGTATGTTCGGCTTCC
Nkx2-1 exog.	GGCGCCATGTCTTGTTCT	ACACCGGCCTTATTCCAAG
Nkx2-1	GGCGCCATGTCTTGTTCT	GGGCTCAAGCGCATCTCA
Pax8	CAGCCTGCTGAGTTCTCCAT	CTGTCTCAGGCCAAGTCCTC
Foxe1	GGCGGCATCTACAAGTTCAT	GGATCTTGAGGAAGCAGTCG
Tg	GTCCAATGCCAAAATGATGGTC	GAGAGCATCGGTGCTGTTAAT
Nis/Slc5a5	AGCTGCCAACACTTCCAGAG	GATGAGAGCACCACAAAGCA
Tshr	GTCTGCCCAATATTTCCAGGATCTA	GCTCTGTCAAGGCATCAGGGT
Tpo	ACAGTCACAGTTCTCCACGGATG	ATCTCTATTGTTGCACGCCCC
Braf exog.	GCTTGTGCTTCTCCGAAAAC	AAGGCCAGGCTGTTCTTCTT
Dio1	ATGGCCAGGAACCCCCG	GCCTGCTGCCTTGAATGAAA
Dio2	TTCTCCAACTGCCTCTTCCTG	CCCATCAGCGGTCTTCTCC
Gal3	TTGAAGCTGACCACTTCAAGGTT	AGGTTCTTCATCCGATGGTTGT
Fn1	AATCACAGTAGTTGCGGCAGGAGA	TCTGTCCCAGGCAGGAGATTTGTT

995 **Supplementary Table 3.** Gene list used for thyroid differentiation (TDS and eTDS) and

996 ERK scores calculation.

	TDS	eTDS	ERK score
Included	DIO1	BCL2	ARID5A
	DIO2	CDH16	B4GALT6
	DUOX1	CLCNKB	BRIX1
	DUOX2	DLG2	BYSL
	FOXE1	DPP6	CCND1
	GLIS3	FAM167A	CD3EAP
	NKX2-1	FHL1	CHSY1
	PAX8	FLRT1	DDX21
	SLC26A4	GPM6A	DUSP4
	SLC5A5	GRIN2C	DUSP6
	SLC5A8	HGD	EGR1
	TG	KIT	ELOVL6
	THRA	LMOD1	ETV1
	THRB	LRP2	ETV4
	TPO	MATN2	ETV5
	TSHR	MPPED2	FOS
		PKHD1L1	FOSL1
		PLA2R1	GEMIN4
		SLC26A7	GTF2A1L
		SLC4A4	GNL3
		SORBS2	GPR3
		STXBP5L	GTPBP4
		TFCP2L1	HMGA2
		TFF3	HYDIN
		TPPP	IER3
		WSCD2	KIR3DL2
		ZMAT4	LIF
			MAFF
			MAP2K3
			MYC
			NOP16
			PHLDA2
			PLK3
			POLR1C
			POLR3G
			PPAN
			PPAT
			PYCRL
			RRS1
			SEMA6A
			SH2B3
			SLC1A5
			SLC4A7
			SPRED2
			SPRY2
			SPRY4
			TNC
			TNFRSF12A
			TSR1
			WDR3
			YRDC
Missing		LOC286002	CXCL8
		MT1G	

997