Modeling *Braf*-induced thyroid cancer development and drug screening using

pluripotent stem cell-derived organoids

Hélène Lasolle [1], Andrea Schiavo [1], Adrien Tourneur[1], Pierre Gilotay [1], Bárbara de Faria

da Fonseca [1], Lucieli Ceolin [1,6], Olivier Monestier [1], Benilda Aganahi [1], Laura Chomette

[1], Marina Kisys Polisel [1], Lieven Haenabalcke [2], Tim Pieters [2,3], Steven Goossens [2,4],

Jody Haigh [2,5], Vincent Detours [1], Ana Luiza Silva Maia [6], Sabine Costagliola [1] and

Mírian Romitti [1*]

[1] Institut de Recherche Interdisciplinaire en Biologie Humaine et Moléculaire (IRIBHM),

Université Libre de Bruxelles (ULB), Brussels, Belgium

[2] VIB, Flanders Institute for Biotechnology, Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium

[3] Department of Biomolecular Medicine, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Ghent

University, Ghent, Belgium

[4] Department of Diagnostic Sciences, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Ghent

University, Ghent, Belgium

[5] CancerCare Manitoba Research Institute, Department of Pharmacology and

Therapeutics, Rady Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg,

Manitoba, Canada

[6] Thyroid Section, Endocrine Division, Hospital de Clínicas de Porto Alegre (HCPA),

Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul (UFRGS), Porto Alegre, Brazil

* Corresponding author: mirian.romitti@ulb.be

Abstract

Thyroid cancer is the most common endocrine malignancy and several genetic events

have been described to promote the development of thyroid carcinogenesis. Besides the

effects of specific mutations on thyroid cancer development, the molecular mechanisms

controlling tumorigenesis, tumor behavior, and drug resistance are still largely unknown.

Cancer organoids have been proposed as a powerful tool to study aspects related to tumor

development and progression and appear promising to test individual response to

therapies. Here, using mESC-derived thyroid organoids, we developed a $Braf^{V637E}$-

inducible model able of recapitulating the features of papillary thyroid cancer in vitro.

Overexpression of $Braf^{V637E}$ rapidly leads to MAPK activation, cell dedifferentiation, and

disruption of follicular organization. $Braf^{V637E}$-expressing organoids show a

transcriptomic signature for p53, focal adhesion, ECM-receptor interactions, EMT, and

inflammatory signaling pathways. Finally, PTC-like thyroid organoids were used for drug

screening assays. The combination of MAPK and PI3K inhibitors reversed *Braf*

oncogene-promoted cell dedifferentiation while restoring thyroid follicle organization

and function *in vitro*. Our results demonstrate that pluripotent stem cells-derived thyroid

cancer organoids can mimic tumor development and features while providing an efficient

tool for testing novel targeted therapies.

Introduction

Pluripotent Stem Cells (PSCs) emerged as a model to dissect and recapitulate the

molecular events and gene networks that regulate cell fate determination, cell

differentiation and organogenesis. Guided differentiation of PSCs into 3D organized

tissue, by inducible overexpression of specific transcription or growth factors and

inhibitors allows derivation of *in vitro* organoids and the understanding of mechanisms

regulating cell differentiation and tissue formation[1]. Due to their remarkable self-

organizing structures and functional properties, organoids technology became a powerful

tool to model organ development and disease 'in a dish' [2,3].

In the last decade, the use of organoids for cancer research emerged and opened

new possibilities to better understand tumor behavior. Initially, colon, prostate,

pancreatic, ovarian, lung and thyroid cancer derived organoids have been efficiently

generated which resemble phenotypically and genetically the tumour of origin[4–13].

Currently, a large set of healthy and tumour matching organoids has been generated and

available through biobanks. These patient-derived organoids are particularly interesting

to test individual response to therapies[8,13,14].

Recently, studies have reported the generation of cancer models arising from

healthy (adult stem cells or aSCs and PSCs) organoids by controlling oncogene

expression[15–17]. By using gene expression manipulation tools, such as shRNA and

CRISPR-Cas9, murine stomach cancer (Cdh1$^{-/-}$; Tp53$^{-/-}$)[18], murine and human colon

cancer (APC, TP53, KRAS and Smad4 mutations)[15,16,19], human pancreatic cancer

(KRAS and/or TP53 mutations)[4,20] and human lung adenocarcinoma organoids (HER2

overexpression) could be efficiently generated[17]. Compared to patient-derived organoids,

healthy stem cells-derived cancer organoids are suitable to address additional questions

such as precise effects of oncogenes and early events driving tumorigenesis; the role of

cancer stem cells on tumor induction, genomic stability, the effect of treatments at

different stages of carcinogenesis and screening for new therapeutical tools[21]. Besides,

they allow multiple reproductible experiments free from inter-individual variability.

Thyroid cancer is the most frequent endocrine malignancy and several genetic

events have been described driving thyroid carcinogenesis. Papillary thyroid carcinoma

(PTC) is the most common malignant thyroid tumor[22] and an aberrant activation of

MAPK pathway is a hallmark[23]. The BRAF[V600E] mutation is the most frequent genetic

event, accounting for around 50% of PTCs[24–26] and results is an oncogenic protein with

markedly elevated kinase activity that constitutively induces the MAPK pathway, mainly

through MEK/ERK signaling transduction[27–29].

BRAF-mutated thyroid tumors are often less differentiated, mainly due to the

lower expression levels of thyroid functional genes *NIS, TSHR, TG* or *TPO* leading to a

low Thyroid Differentiation Score (TDS)[30]. This dedifferentiated state is associated with

a worse clinical condition and with higher rate of radioactive iodine (RAI) refractory

tumors[31,32]. However, its impact on prognosis is still uncertain, as BRAF[V600E] alone has

not been proved to be an independent prognostic factor[33,34]. On the other hand, *BRAF* and

TERT promoter mutated tumors have been associated with higher specific mortality[35].

Also, studies have shown a small proportion (around 20%) of BRAF[V600E] mutated tumors

presenting a higher level of differentiation which is associated to a less aggressive

behavior and a preserved RAI uptake ability[30,36]. This suggests a possible cell

heterogeneity among the BRAF[V600E] mutated tumors.

Some years ago, our group showed the generation of functional 3D thyroid tissue

derived from mouse embryonic stem cells (mESC)[37] and recently human thyroid

organoids were generated using the same approach[38]. The differentiation protocol relies

on transient induction of *Nkx2.1* and *Pax8* thyroid transcription factors allowing an

efficient generation of thyroid follicular cells which organized into three-dimensional

follicular structures capable of thyroid hormone production *in vitro* and *in vivo*. This

model and further developed thyroid organoids from mouse and human healthy

PSCs/aSC[39–49] open new perspectives to explore thyroid development processes as well

as to model thyroid diseases, including thyroid cancer.

So far, efficient, fast and cost-effective tools are lacking to functionally characterize a

large set of candidate genes in the context of thyroid carcinogenesis. Thyroid cancer

organoids/spheroids models have been recently described which are based in patient

tumor-derived models[50–54]. Patient-derived organoid might be used to study patient-

specific tumor behavior and the treatment response in a case-to-case basis; however, it is

patient-to-patient dependent with high heterogeneity and low reproducibility; limited by

the accessibility to samples; and since it is derived from an already stablished tumor, it is

not suitable to study mechanisms related to cancer development and drugs resistance

acquisition. Saito et al. described a mouse model of poorly differentiated thyroid

carcinoma, obtained after transplantation of thyroid organoids derived from Tp53

knockout mice with NRAS activating mutation overexpression[12] . Recently, Veschi *et al.*

[55] generated of PTC and FTC organoids models, by inducing BRAF, NRAS and TP53

mutations in thyroid progenitors derived from hESC. After transplantation, the tumors

generated resemble Papillary and Follicular tumors and transcriptomics analysis

suggested a role of Kisspeptin receptor (KISS1R) in cooperation with Tissue Inhibitor of

Metalloproteinase 1 (TIMP1)/Matrix metallopeptidase 9 (MMP9)/Cluster of

differentiation 44 (CD44), on tumor development and progression[55].

Here, we aim to develop an *in vitro* PTC organoid model to screen for targets and

drugs, by taking advantage of our model of thyroid generation, by includible expression

of Braf^{V637E} mutation in mouse ESC-derived functional thyroid follicles.

Methods

Cell culture and mESC_Braf^{V637E} line generation

The previously genetically modified G4 RosaLuc mouse embryonic stem cell line

(mESC)[56] was initially cultured on gamma-irradiated mouse embryonic fibroblasts

(MEFs) feeder using mouse stem cell medium[37,57] and incubated at 37 °C, 5 % CO^2 and

>95 % humidity. To insert the target sequences into the modified Rosa26 locus of G4

RosaLuc mESCs, around $1x10^5$ cells were transfected using the Flpe expressing vector[56]

and the target vector containing the rtTA-TRE induction system, thyroid transcription

factors, Nkx2-1 and Pax8 and bTg-NES-Braf[V637E]-ERT[2] sequences (Figure S1A)

following the lipofectamine 3000 protocol (Thermo Scientific). The Braf[V637E] mutation

here used for mouse cells is equivalent to the human BRAF[V600E] mutation[58]. G418 (300

µg/ml) was applied 48 h after transfection typically for 10 days and individual clones

were picked and further expanded. Clones were screened for by immunofluorescence for

Nkx2-1 and Pax8 expression induction after 3 days incubation with 1 µg/ml Doxycyclin

(Dox) (Figure S1B). Positive clones were then characterized according to pluripotency

maintenance (Figure S1C), levels of *Nkx2-1* and *Pax8* expression, and efficiency of

thyroid differentiation. In addition, we generated a mESC control line where the

sequences of NES-BRAF[V637E]-ERT[2] were replaced by eGFP sequence, resulting in the

TRE-Nkx2-1-Pax8_bTg-eGFP line (Figure S1D). The mESC control line generation,

selection and characterization were performed as described above (Figures S1E and F).

Thyroid differentiation protocol

G4   RosaLuc   TRE-Nkx2-1-Pax8_bTg-NES-Braf[V637E]-ERT[2]   and   TRE-Nkx2-1-

Pax8_bTg-eGFP cells were cultured and differentiated as described previously by

Antonica *et al* (2012)[37] with few modifications. Briefly, embryoid bodies (EBs),

generated by hanging drops were collected after 4 days and embedded in growth factor

reduced Matrigel (GFR MTG; BD Biosciences); 50µl MTG drops (containing around 20

EBs) were plated into 12-wells plates. EBs were differentiated using a differentiation

medium initially supplemented with 1µg/ml of Doxycycline (Sigma) for 5 days, followed

by 2 weeks of maturation induction by using 0.3 nmol of 8-Br-cAMP (BioLog) or 1mU

ml^{-1} of thyrotropin (hrTSH; Genzyme). Thyroid differentiation and functionality were

evaluated by transcriptomics (RT-PCR and bulk RNA sequencing), immunofluorescence

and iodide organification.

Braf^{V637E} induction and drug screening

After thyroid full maturation (Day 25), MTG drops were washed twice with Hanks's

balanced salt solution (HBSS, containing calcium and magnesium; Invitrogen) and

incubated in a HBSS solution (1 ml per well) containing 10 U/ml of dispase II (Roche)

and 125 U/ml of collagenase type IV (Sigma) for 30 min at 37°C. Then the enzymes were

inactivated by adding 10% FBS and cells were centrifuged at 500g for 3 min. Cells were

rinsed twice with HBSS and the follicles population was enriched by filtering using 30

μm (single cell removal) and 100 μm reverse strainer (Pluriselect). Finally, enriched

structures were re-embedded in GFR MTG (50μl) and plated into 12-well plates. Twenty-

for hours later, cells were incubated with 1 μM of 4-Hydroxytamoxifen (4OHT) (Sigma)

and 8-Br-cAMP or hrTSH for 24h, 48h or 7 days to promote Braf^{V637E}-induced

phosphorylation of MAPK pathway[58].

In addition, Braf-induced thyroid organoids (previously treated for 4 days with 4OHT)

were cultured with distinct compounds, previously suggested to regulate Nis re-

expression and inhibit *Braf* oncogenic effects[59,60]. Among the screened drugs, several

cell processes were targeted by the following compounds: PD0325901 (MEK inhibitor;

250nM; Stem Cell), LY204002 (PI3K inhibitor; 5μM; Selleckchem), VPA (HDAC

inhibitor; 250μM; Sigma), NAC (N-acetyl cysteine, antioxidant compound; 2mM;

Sigma), 5-AZA-2' deocytidine (DNA methyltransferase (DMNT) inhibitor; 1μM;

Sigma) and RG108 (DMNT inhibitor; 10μM; Sigma).

Flow cytometry - Proliferation analysis

Control condition (4OHT-) and organoids treated with 4OHT for 6 days were treated with

BrdU (1mM) for 3 hours, collected and prepared for immunostaining as follows: Matrigel

drops (at least 5 samples per condition) were first digested with HBSS solution containing

10 U/ml dispase II and 125 U/ml collagenase type IV for 30-60 min at 37°C; then a single

cell suspension was obtained by dissociation with TripLE Express for 10-15 min

incubation at 37°C, the enzymes were inactivated by addition of differentiation medium.

After centrifugation, samples were rinsed with PBS and then the BrdU Flow Kit staining

protocol (BD) was used according to the manufacturer's instructions. In order to identify

the BrdU incorporated cells among the thyroid population, cells were also stained using

primary Nkx2-1 antibody (1:100) and anti-rabbit IgG Alexa fluor 647-conjugated

secondary antibody (1:300). Non-stained and only secondary-incubated cells were used

as negative control for gate strategy. LSR-Fortessa X-20 flow cytometer was used for

quantification and FACSDiva software used for the analysis. Data is presented as

percentage of positive cells.

Immunofluorescence staining

Organoids embedded in MTG were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA; Sigma) for 1 h

at room temperature (RT) and washed three times in PBS. Blocking was performed using

a solution of PBS containing 3% bovine serum albumin (BSA; Sigma), 5% horse serum

(Invitrogen) and 0.3% Triton X-100 (Sigma) for 30 min at RT. The primary and

secondary antibodies were diluted in a solution of PBS containing 3% BSA, 1% horse

serum and 0.1% Triton X-100. Primary antibodies were incubated overnight at 4°C

followed by incubation with secondary antibodies and Hoechst for 2 h at RT. Slides were

mounted with Glycergel (Dako).

For paraffin embedding, organoids were fixed overnight at 4°C in 4% PFA and kept in

70% ethanol at 4°C before embedding. Samples were then embedded in paraffin,

sectioned (5 μm), mounted on glass slides, deparaffinized, and rehydrated. For

immunostaining, antigen retrieval was performed by incubating the sections for 10 min

in the microwave (850 W) in Sodium Citrate Buffer (10 mM Sodium Citrate, 0.05%

Tween 20, pH 6.0). After cooling, the sections were rinsed with PBS and blocked with

1% BSA and 10% horse serum PBS solution for 1 h at RT. Primary antibodies were

diluted (as described above) in the blocking solution and incubated overnight at 4°C. The

sections were rinsed three times in PBS and incubated with Hoechst and secondary

antibodies diluted in blocking solution for 1 h at room temperature. Slides were mounted

with Glycergel (Dako). Imaging was performed using a Zeiss LSM510 META confocal

microscope and a Leica DMI6000 microscope with DFC365FX camera. The antibodies

information is listed in the supplementary Table 1.

Western blot

Organoids were initially isolated (collagenase IV/dispase II solution, described above)

from at least 6 distinct wells and pooled together for proteins extraction using RIPA

buffer. The protein concentration was determined according to Pierce 660 nm protein

assay reagent protocol (Thermo Scientific). Briefly, 30–50 μg protein of each sample was

fractionated by 10% SDS–PAGE and blotted onto an Immobilon PVDF membrane

(Millipore). Blocking of non-specific binding sites were blocked by incubation with 5%

BSA in Tris-buffered saline (TBS) 0.1% Tween-20 for 1 h. Thereafter, the membrane

was incubated overnight at 4 °C with primary antibodies against p-ERK and β-Actin in

blocking solution. Next, the membrane was incubated with horseradish peroxidase-

conjugated anti-rabbit or anti-mouse antibody in blocking solution, for 60 min at RT. The

antigen–antibody complexes were visualized using an enhanced chemiluminescence

system (GE Healthcare) and captured by Azure 500 system (Azure Biosystems).

Iodide organification assay

Thyroid organoids treated with cAMP, cAMP+4OHT, cAMP+4OHT+PD+LY and

cAMP+4OHT+PD+LY+VPA were tested for the ability of iodide uptake and

organification as previously described[37,57]. Briefly, cells were washed with HBSS and

incubated with 1 ml per well of an organification medium containing 1,000,000 c.p.m.

per ml [125]I (PerkinElmer) and 100 nM sodium iodide (NaI, Sigma) in HBSS for 2 h at 37

°C. After, the reaction was stopped by addition of methimazole (MMI; 4 mM, Sigma).

After two cold PBS washes, cells were detached using trypsin/EDTA (Invitrogen). For

iodide uptake quantification, cells were collected, and radioactivity was measured using

a c-counter. Subsequently, proteins were precipitated by adding 1 mg of gamma-globulins

(Sigma) and 20% TCA followed by centrifugation at 2,000 r.p.m. for 10 min, at 4°C and

the radioactivity of protein-bound [125]I (PBI) was measured. Iodide organification was

calculated as an iodide uptake/PBI ratio and the values expressed as a percentage. Cells

were also treated with 1 mM sodium perchlorate (Nis inhibitor; NaClO4, Sigma-Aldrich)

and 2 mM MMI (Tpo inhibitor; Sigma-Aldrich) as iodide uptake and protein-binding

controls, respectively. The experiments were performed in triplicates for each condition.

Gene expression analysis

Real time PCR (RT-qPCR) was performed on cDNA from thyroid organoids from at least

three independent experiments. Total RNA was extracted from thyroid organoids by

addition of lysis RLT Lysis buffer (Qiagen) + 1% 2-mercaptoethanol directly on the MTG

drop containing the organoids. RNA was isolated using RNeasy micro kit (Qiagen)

according to the manufacturer's instructions. cDNA was generated by reverse

transcription using Superscript II kit (Invitrogen). qPCR was performed on cDNA in

triplicates using Takyon™ No ROX SYBR 2X MasterMix blue dTTP (Eurogentec) and

CFX Connect Real-Time System (Biorad). Results are presented as linearized values

normalized to the housekeeping gene, β2-microglobulin and the indicated reference value

(2-DDCt). Primers sequences are described in the supplementary table 2.

Bulk RNA sequencing and analysis

Bulk RNA-seq was performed at day 31, using the cAMP, cAMP+4OHT (5 days) and

cAMP+4OHT+PD+LY (3 days) conditions (see protocol, Figure 5A). RNA extraction

was performed as previously described (section *"Gene expression analysis"*) and

experiments were performed in duplicates. At least 3 distinct wells were pooled together

for each condition. RNA quality and concentration were evaluated using Bioanalyser

2100 (Agilent) and RNA 6000 Nano Kit (Agilent). RNA integrity was preserved, and no

genomic DNA contamination was detected. Indexed cDNA libraries were obtained using

the TruSeq Stranded mRNA Sample Prep kit (Illumina) using a S2 flow cell and

sequences were produced using a 200 cycles reagent kit. The resulting high-quality

indexed cDNA libraries were quantified with the Quant-iT PicoGreen kit (Life Sciences)

and Infinite F200 Proplate reader (Tecan); DNA fragment size distribution was examined

with the 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent) using the DNA 1000 kit (Agilent). Multiplexed

libraries (10ρM) were loaded onto flow cells and sequenced on the HiSeq 1500 system

(Illumina) in high-output mode using the HiSeq Cluster Kit v4 (Illumina). The sequenced

data were uploaded on the galaxy web platform version 22.05.1 and the public sever

https://usegalaxy.eu was used for mapping and counting analysis. Approximately 10

million paired-end reads were obtained per sample. After the removal of low-quality

bases and Illumina adapter sequences using Trimmomatic software[61], paired-end reads

were mapped against the mouse reference genome mm10 (GRCm38) using HISAT2

sofware[62], allowing soft clipping. Raw read counts were obtained using HTseq count

software with unstranded option[63]. Low expressed genes were filtered using EdgeR

package in R software (https://www.r-project.org). Then, differential expression analysis

was performed using DESeq2 package[64]. Fold change and adjusted p-value thresholds of

2 and 0.05 respectively were used to select differentially expressed genes (DEG). Gene

ontology and pathways enrichment analysis in up and down differentially expressed

genes lists was realized using EnrichR (https://maayanlab.cloud/Enrichr/). Transformed

counts corresponding to log2 (normalized counts +4) using DESeq2 package were used

for further analysis and heatmap visualization. Thyroid differentiation score (TDS),

Enhanced Thyroid differentiation score (eTDS) and ERK activation score were calculated

as previously described[65]. The list of the genes used for the score's calculation is provided

in the supplementary table 3.

Data availability

The data that support this study are available from the corresponding author upon request.

Bulk RNA-seq data have been deposited in the NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus under

accession number GSE228281.

Statistical analysis

All statistics analysis were performed using GraphPad Prism 9. Comparison between two

groups and its statistical significance was tested using the unpaired *t* test or nonparametric

Mann-Whitney U test, while comparison between multiple groups was performed using

one-way ANOVA or nonparametric Kruskal-Wallis test. Data are displayed as mean ±

SD or median (IQR). Differences were considered significant at p<0.05 and presented as

follows: $*p < 0.05$, $**p < 0.01$, $***p < 0.001$, $****p < 0.0001$. All the data presented are

from at least three independent experiments.

Results

mESC_BRAF^{V637E} cell line generation and characterization

We combined the previously described mESC transient induction thyroid differentiation

strategy (Antonica, 2012) with a Braf^{V637E}-ERT^2 inducible model (Ortiz, 2013) to

generate a double-inducible recombinant mESC_BRAF^{V637E} cell line. The resulting

mESC_Nkx2-1/Pax8_bTg_Braf^{V637E}_ERT^2 clones were initially selected and

characterized by the response to doxycycline (Dox) treatment, confirmed by the

overexpression of Nkx2-1 and Pax8; and ability to spontaneously differentiate into cells

from the three germ-layers (Figures S1A, B and C, respectively). In addition, a control

mESC line was generated in which Braf^{V637E}_ERT^2 system was replaced by eGFP

sequence. Similarly, the control line showed a proper response to Dox induction and

maintained the pluripotency capacity (Figures S1D, E and F, respectively).

Thyroid differentiation protocol

The capability of the new cell line to generate thyroid follicles and express the Braf^{V637E}

oncogene was demonstrated following the mESC thyroid differentiation protocol

(Antonica, 2012; Antonica, 2017; Romitti, 2021). Since we used a newly modified mESC

line, the steps of the differentiation protocol were tested and adapted accordingly (Figure

1A). Here, using the mESC_Nkx2-1/Pax8_bTg_Braf^{V637E} line, we observed that Dox

stimulation for 5 days lead to higher levels of exogenous and endogenous *Nkx2-1*, *Pax8*

and *Tg* mRNA (day 9; Figure S2E) compared to 3 or 4 days of treatment (Figure S2A-

D). Similar results were obtained using the control mESC line (Figure S2A-D). Next, two

weeks of treatment with cAMP (from day 9 to 23) markedly induced the expression levels

of *Nkx2-1, Pax8, Tg, TshR, Nis* and *Tpo* when compared to the control (-Dox -cAMP)

(Figure 1B). Accordingly, immunofluorescence staining showed follicular organized

Nkx2-1 and Tg expressing cells (Figure 1C) in which the functionality is demonstrated

by intraluminal iodinate-Tg (Tg-I) accumulation (Figure 1D). Since our protocol also

generate other cell types than thyroid[57], a follicle enrichment step was added to the

protocol to enrich the follicular structures and induce Braf-oncogene in an purer

population. This protocol led to an increase of thyroid markers levels (Figure 1E) when

compared to non-enriched control condition, while the follicular structure and

functionality were preserved as demonstrated by Nkx2-1, Nis and Tg-I staining (Figure

1F-G). Notably, after re-embedding in Matrigel (MTG) follicles could be maintained in

culture for at least 28 additional days without major histological changes (data not

shown).

Braf^{V637E} induction on thyroid organoids leads to PTC-like phenotype

To ensure that Braf^{V637E} oncogene is expressed specifically among follicular thyroid cells,

we used the bovine Tg (bTg) as promoter controlling Braf^{V637E}_ERT^2 expression.

Effectively, exogenous *Braf^{V637E}* mRNA expression was rapidly induced after Dox

treatment (Day 9; Figure S2E), maintaining the expression levels stable over time (Figure

1B and Figure S3A), while *Tg* mRNA expression increased following the differentiation

program (Figure S3A). Even if *Braf^{V637E}* expression is driven by bTg promoter, the Braf-

mutated protein is not active/phosphorylated since it is maintained in the nucleus in an

inactive complex with HSP90. The addition of 4OHT induces nuclear translocation of the

Braf mutant to the cytoplasm (NES signal) then driving MAPK activation (Ortiz, 2013)

(Figure 2A). Indeed, 24 hours after 4OHT addition we observed an increase in ERK

phosphorylation (pERK) compared to the control condition (cAMP), which was

maintained after 7 days of continuous 4OHT treatment (Figure 2B). To assess Braf-

induced cell proliferation, flow cytometry analysis performed after 6 days of 4OHT

treatment demonstrated increased proportion of Nkx2-1/BrdU+ cells among the

Braf[V637E]-expressing condition (4OHT) compared to the control (cAMP) (Figure 2C).

One of the hallmarks of thyroid cancer development is the downregulation of

thyroid differentiation markers (Mitsutake, 2005; Chakravarty, 2011). Indeed, the

activation of Braf[V637E] rapidly led to a progressive time-dependent decrease of *Tpo*, *TSHR*

and *Tg* mRNA expression (Figures 2D-F and S3B), detected as early as 6 hours after

4OHT addition (Figure 2D). Notably, more significant downregulation was observed

after 48 hours and maintained for at least 7 days (Figures 2E-F, respectively). As

described among PTCs, in our model the expression of thyroid transcription factors *Pax8*

and *Nkx2-1* was also globally maintained. Conversely, *Lgals3 (Galectin 3)* expression,

which has been suggested as a marker for thyroid malignancies and more specifically for

PTC[66], was significantly increased in both time points (Figures 2E-F). Also, increase in

Fibronectin 1 (*Fn1*) expression, which has been associated with aggressive thyroid

cancer[67,68], was observed after 7 days of Braf[V637E] induction, suggesting a more advanced

dedifferentiated cell state in our organoid model (Figure 2F). Similar results were

obtained when cells were differentiated using hrTSH instead of cAMP and Braf[V637E] was

induced in such conditioned media, indicating that the phenotype is not dependent of TSH

signaling (data not shown).

Histological analysis performed after 48 hours of continuous activation of

Braf[V637E] by 4OHT, demonstrated disruption of the follicular organization in non-

enriched thyroid organoids compared to control condition (cAMP) (Figure 3A). Nkx2-1

and Tg staining revealed that Braf[V637E] oncogene strongly disturbs thyroid follicles

forming elongated and unorganized structures. In addition, heterogenous expression of

Tg was observed among the Nkx2-1 cells. Further analysis, performed in follicle enriched

population, confirmed the effect of *Braf* oncogene in promoting cell dedifferentiation and

loss of follicle organization. Tg levels were overall reduced and heterogeneous among

the unorganized Nkx2-1+ cells (Figure 3B). Interestingly, the cell disorganization caused

by Braf oncogene might pass by an initial expansion of the follicular size with infiltration

of Nkx2-1 cells inside of the lumen compartment, which also presents a heterogeneous

distribution of Tg (Figure S3C), since this feature was observed among some "follicular-

like" remaining structures after 48 h of 4OHT treatment. Reassuringly, staining for E-

Cadherin (epithelial) and Zo-1 (intra-luminal) adhesion markers showed that Braf^{V637E}-

expressing cells (Nkx2-1) are not able to preserve polarization and consequently lumen

space is not well defined in most of the structures (Figures 3C-D, respectively).

Consequently, the functionality of those cells was impaired and Tg-I accumulation was

significantly reduced compared to the control condition (Figure 3E). Of note, despite the

strong effect of Braf^{V637E} oncogene on thyroid follicles disruption, we observed that few

follicles still preserved certain degree of organization (Figure S3D) after 48 h of 4OHT

treatment. As expected, after 7 days of Braf^{V637E} continuous stimulation, a higher level of

cell dedifferentiation and lack of organization could be detected, with a lower proportion

of the Nkx2-1 cells expressing Tg compared to control (Figure 3F) and to the previous

time point (48 h; Figure 3A). The above-described experiments were also performed

using the control TRE-Nkx2-1-Pax8_bTg-eGFP cell line. The addition of 4OHT to

mature follicles (from day 27 to day 36) did not impair thyroid differentiation markers

gene expression and follicles organization (Figures S3E-F). On the other hand, slightly

induction of *Nkx2.1, Pax8, Tg, TshR, Tpo and Nis* levels was observed under cAMP co-

treatment with 4OHT (Figure S3E).

Effect of transient Braf^{V637E}-induction on cell differentiation

Since in our system for Braf^{V637E} activation is dependent of continuous treatment with

4OHT we transiently treated the cells with 4OHT for 2 days followed by 5 days culture

in 4OHT-free medium (cAMP) in order to explore if the oncogenic effect is maintained

when the protein is not active. Surprisingly, we did not observe recovery of *Nkx2-1,*

TSHR, Tg or *Tpo* gene expression levels (Figures 4A-E) after 4OHT removal. However,

Pax8 and *Slc5a5/Nis* expression were partially recovered (Figure 4F), confirming the

specific inhibitory effect of *Braf* oncogene on *Slc5a5/Nis* expression. These findings

indicate that *Nis* regulation mechanisms are maintained in our system and suggests it as

tool for Nis-reactivation studies.

Screening for Nis re-expression using signaling pathways inhibitors

Studies in mouse models and humans have demonstrated that tumors carrying *Braf*

mutations show reduced expression of *Nis* and consequently higher rate of radioiodine

(RAI) refractoriness[28,31,65]. Here, taking advantage of our PTC organoid model we aim to

explore new strategies to reactivate *Nis* expression in Braf^{V637E}-expressing cells by

screening distinct categories of inhibitors previously described to be involved on *Nis*

regulation [59,60]. PD0325901 (MEK inhibitor), LY204002 (PI3K inhibitor), VPA (HDAC

inhibitor), NAC (N-acetyl cysteine, antioxidant compound), 5-AZA-2' deocytidine and

RG108 (DMNT inhibitors) were tested isolated and/or in combination for 3 days (in

addition to cAMP+4OHT) using Braf^{V637E}-expressing organoids (after 4 days of

cAMP+4OHT treatment; Figure 5A). Initially, we observed that VPA alone was the only

treatment able to completely restore *Nis* expression levels (Figure 5B-C and S4A).

However, when combined, PD0325901 and LY204002 inhibitors; PD0325901,

LY204002 and VPA; and PD0325901 and Nac resulted in great increase of *Nis* mRNA

(Figure 5B-C and S4A). IF staining shows Nis protein re-expression among Braf^{V637E}-

induced cells treated with VPA alone, but localization was not restricted to the membrane.

In contrast, when cells were treated with MEK of PI3K inhibitors, associated or not with

VPA, we observed Nis protein at the apical membrane and, surprisingly, the follicular

structure also seems to be restored (Figure 5C).

MAPK and PI3K signaling inhibition cause Braf-induced cells redifferentiation and

function recovery

Since we observed that HDAC, MEK and PI3K inhibition seems to favor follicle

reorganization, we evaluated if such treatments also induce cell redifferentiation and

function recovery. Initially we analyzed the gene expression levels of thyroid

differentiation markers strongly affected by Braf-induction. The effects of isolated and

combined drugs on *Tg, TSHR, Tpo, Nkx2-1* and *Duox* genes appear to be variable and

pathway dependent (Figure S4B-F). As with *Slc5a5/Nis*, MEK and PI3K inhibition in

combination with or without VPA resulted in complete recovery of *Tg, TSHR* and *Tpo*

mRNA levels (Figures 6A-C), indicating cell redifferentiation. While *TSHR* levels can be

restored by treatment with isolated inhibitors (Figure 6B), *Tpo* expression appears to be

dependent on PI3K signaling (Figure 6C). On the other hand, *Tg* mRNA expression is

recovered only when the inhibitors are used in combination (Figure 6A). Indeed,

immunostaining showed that isolated inhibitors could not restore Tg levels. Nevertheless,

a higher proportion of Tg-expressing cells was observed with the MEK inhibitor,

PD0325901 (Figure S4G). Conversely, combined MEK and PI3K inhibitors restored Tg

protein levels and generated a similar proportion of expressing follicles as under the

cAMP condition (Figures 6D-E).

Considering that inhibition of MEK and PI3K pathways (+/-VPA) promote recovery of

thyroid redifferentiation and follicular organization, we tested the functionality of

reorganized follicles. Remarkably, we observed an accumulation of Tg-I in the lumen of

follicles treated with the combinations of inhibitors (Figure 6F). Organification assay

confirmed that the co-treatment, restored iodide uptake and ^{125}I binding to proteins

resulting in organification levels comparable to the control (Figure 6G-I).

Transcriptomic characterization of PTC model and drug screening tests

Transcriptomic analysis of cAMP, cAMP+4OHT and cAMP+4OHT+PD+LY (Figure

5A) confirmed downregulation of thyroid genes under Braf-oncogene stimulation (4OHT

condition) whereas global recovery of expression was observed under inhibitors

treatment (Figure 7A). Accordingly, thyroid differentiation scores (TDS and enhanced

(e)TDS) were decreased under Braf^{V637E} induction while they recovered in the presence

of inhibitors (Figure 7B). Conversely, ERK activation score was higher in the Braf^{V637E}

samples and decreased sharply under inhibitor conditions (Figure 7C). Considering that

bulk RNAseq was performed using the follicle-enriched population, the presence of non-

thyroid cells is reduced compared with the original whole organoids, but still present.

This may explain the modest increase in ERK score among Braf-activated cells while the

apparent decrease under the condition with inhibitors, reflects the effect of the treatment

on each cell type.

Differential expression analysis identified 321 Differential Expressed Genes (DEGs; 156

upregulated and 165 downregulated genes) in 4OHT condition compared to cAMP; and

853 DEGs in inhibitors compared to 4OHT (287 upregulated and 566 downregulated

genes). Gene enrichment classification analysis of DEGs results are presented in Figures

7D-G. Briefly, among the upregulated genes in Braf-induced compared to control we

observed gene signature for the hyperactivation of PI3K-AKT-mTOR, TNF and

cytokines signaling and promotion of Epithelial Mesenchymal transition (EMT) (Figure

7D). While genes associated to thyroid hormone production, TGF-beta, Wnt/Beta-

Catenin pathway and regulation of angiogenesis were down-regulated (Figure 7E).

Conversely, inhibitor's treatment compared to 4OHT condition, evidenced upregulation

of genes associated to thyroid hormone production, cell-cell contact, extra-cellular matrix

organization and angiogenesis processes (Figure 7F); while PI3K, MAPK, TNF,

cytokines signaling, ECM-receptor interactions and EMT-related genes were

downregulated (Figure 7G).

Discussion

Here, we described a Braf-oncogene derived thyroid cancer organoid model

recapitulating patient tumor features. *In vitro* thyroid cancer models that recapitulate

tumor development and behavior can facilitate the identification of early tumor drivers

and enable the screening of a large number of new drugs for the treatment of thyroid

cancer without the need to use too many animal models. By combining our previous

thyroid organoid model derived from mESCs with an inducible system, the Braf[V637E]

oncogene could be specifically induced in mature Tg-expressing cells to obtain a 3D *in*

vitro cancer model. Overexpression of Braf[V637E] rapidly led to MAPK activation with an

increase of pERK, cell dedifferentiation and disruption of follicular organization. Similar

effects have been described in genetically modified mouse models[28,69,70]. Furthermore,

the gene expression signature of our cancer organoids confirmed the findings found in

the PTC samples which also showed enrichment of genes associated to p53, focal

adhesion, ECM-receptor interactions, EMT and inflammatory pathways[71–73].

Activating mutations in the *BRAF* gene are found in approximately 7% of all

human solid tumors, being particularly common in PTCs, ATCs and melanomas. In

addition, they have been reported less frequently in colorectal cancers (CRCs), lung

cancers, pediatric low-grade gliomas (PLGGs), glioblastomas, breast cancers and serous

ovarian cancers[74–80]. In PTCs and melanomas, BRAF^{V600E} mutation seems to be

associated with a higher degree of dedifferentiation and more aggressive histological

patterns, but its prognostic role is still debated as it was not found independently of

histological features[33,34]. Nevertheless, studies suggest that BRAF^{V600E} might predict

response to tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs) in melanoma and lung cancer[81,82].

Surgery remains as the first choice for thyroid cancer therapy. Recommended post

operative treatment includes TSH suppression and RAI ablation particularly as adjuvant

treatment for patients at high risk for tumor recurrence and to treat patients with

persistent/recurrent or metastatic disease[83]. The benefit of RAI has been demonstrated in

patients by reducing the risk of recurrence and disease-related mortality[84]. However,

approximately two thirds of metastatic DTC become radioiodine refractory (RR-DTC),

defined by the absence of iodine uptake or tumor progression despite uptake[85,86]. There

is no curative treatment for radioiodine refractory DTCs and the recommended first-line

systemic treatment when local therapies are not possible is targeted therapies using

mainly multitarget TKIs, such as Lenvatinib, which showed a progression free survival

of 18 months [65,87–89]. However, it leads to frequent resistance and adverse effects.

NIS, a basal membrane iodide transporter, plays a critical role in radioiodine

accumulation in DTC cells and its level is closely related to response to RAI (I^{131}) therapy.

Studies in mouse models and humans have shown that the presence of *BRAF* mutations

results in lower *Nis* levels and consequently a higher rate of RAI-refractory tumours[28,31].

Here, we confirmed that this regulation is preserved in our cancer organoids, as disruption

of *Braf* activation led to in recovery of *Nis* levels. As TKIs, retinoic acids (RA), histone

deacetylase inhibitors (HDAC), peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-gamma

(PPARG) have been tested to promote redifferentiation and *NIS* re-expression of RR-

DTCs, to suggest RAI treatment after a short, targeted treatment[87,90–92]. This strategy

could lead to tumor response while limiting adverse effects and several clinical trials are

ongoing. However, re-expression of NIS is not sufficient to explain the response to

redifferentiation therapeutics and RAI treatment. Membrane trafficking and the cellular

machinery that concentrate and retain iodine must be preserved[93] in a follicular

organization. Our model is proving to be a potential tool for redifferentiation studies

because of its ability to test large sets and combinations of treatments and to assess

follicular reorganization and iodide organification capacity using a functional assay that

is likely to be more strongly associated with response to RAI treatment than *NIS* mRNA

levels. Braf^{V637E}-expressing cells treated with VPA, MAPK and PI3K inhibitors showed

re-expression of *Nis* and corresponding uptake of radioactive iodide. Interestingly, the

combination of inhibitors also promoted restoration of thyroid markers, follicular

organization, and iodide organification ability. Interestingly, an ongoing clinical trial is

testing the effect of BKM120, a PI3K inhibitor, in patients with progressive, metastatic,

refractory, follicular or poorly differentiated thyroid cancers (NCT01830504). However,

the association with MAPK inhibitors is still not evaluated in patients with thyroid cancer.

In *BRAF*-mutated tumors, studies have shown that the combination of TKIs

therapies appears to be more effective and circumvents primary and/or acquired

resistance to TKI therapy. Often, resistance is due to reactivation of the MAPK/ERK

pathway or activation of other signaling pathways such as PTEN, NF-1 or RAS. It may

also result from hyperactivation of tyrosine kinase receptors, such as PDFRβ, IGF-1R and

HGF, which lead to activation of the AKT/PI3K pathway[94]. Studies in patients with

advanced melanoma carrying a BRAF[V600E] mutation have shown that the combination of

BRAF and MEK inhibitors resulted in a higher rate of complete/partial responses and

median progression-free survival compared with monotherapy groups[95–97]. Such

important results lead to the use of drug combinations as standard treatment for these

patients[98,99].

In summary, we have developed a Braf oncogene-expressing thyroid cancer in

vitro organoid model from mESCs that recapitulates transcriptomic and histological

features of PTCs at early and advanced stages. Moreover, we demonstrated that the

combination of MEK and PI3K inhibitors promotes *Nis* re-expression and cell re-

differentiation leading to restoration of follicular structures and thyroid functionality.

Considering the robustness of this *in vitro* model which allows controlled induction of

the major thyroid oncogene in a three-dimensional system, its efficiency and simplicity,

our model can be used to study mechanisms associated with thyroid cancer development

and progression, thyroid redifferentiation and drug screening.

Limitations of the study

Although this in vitro model generates cancer organoids that recapitulate features

observed *in vivo* and it can be used as a powerful tool for drug screening, further work is

needed to fully explore the mechanisms associated with to tumorigenesis and long-term

cancer behavior. In our system, because Braf[V637E] mutation is driven by the bTg promoter,

it enables specificity of expression for thyroid cells. On the other hand, dedifferentiation

caused by the oncogenic process may lead to downregulation of Braf oncogene

expression. Although pERK activation is still higher after 7 days of 4OHT treatment

compared with the control, this limits the use of this model for long-term cultures and

studies. Another technical limitation of this model is the lack of a thyroid-specific reporter

that would allow tracking of Braf[V637E]-induced morphologic changes on follicular

structures by live imaging and the purification of cells for transcriptome analysis, which

was performed here in a bulk of enriched thyroid cells, which may hide some findings.

In addition, we did not explore early events associated with Braf^{V637E}-induced

transformation of thyroid cells, which could provide new insights into the early effectors

of thyroid carcinogenesis.

Acknowledgements and Funding

The authors acknowledge the ULB flow cytometry platform (C. Dubois), the ULB

genomic core facility (F. Libert and A. Lefort), LiMIF platform for confocal microscopy

(J.-M. Vanderwinden). We acknowledge the funding agencies that supported this study.

The Belgian National Fund for Scientific Research (FNRS) (PDR T.0140.14; PDR

T.0230.18, CDR J.0068.22, Televie 7.4633.17/7.4526.19) and the Fonds

d'Encouragement à la Recherche de l'Université Libre de Bruxelles (FER-ULB). The

Belgian Fondation contre le cancer (F/2020/1402; V.D.). FNRS (Chargé de Recherche,

No.825745; M.R.). The cooperation program CAPES-WBI (S.C. and A.L.M). The

Brazilian National Council for Scientific and Technological Development (CNPq; M.R.

and A.L.M), the Coordination for the Improvement of Higher Education Personnel

(CAPES; M.R. and A.L.M), the Brazilian Society of Endocrinology and Metabolism

(SBEM; M.R. and A.L.M) and the Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado do Rio

Grande do Sul (FAPERGS; A.L.M). S.C is Research Director at FNRS. M.R is researcher

at ULB.

Author contributions

H.L., S.C. and M.R. developed the project, designed the experiments and analyzed the

data. L.H., T.P., S.G. and J.H. generated the plasmids and the G4 Rosaluc mESC line.

M.R. and A.S. generated the G4 RosaLuc TRE-Nkx2-1-Pax8_bTg-NES-Braf^{V637E}-ERT^2

and TRE-Nkx2-1-Pax8_bTg-eGFP cell lines. H.L., M.R., B.F.F., A.S., O.M., L.C.,

M.K.P. B.A., L.C., performed the *in vitro* experiments and protocol set up. M.R. and P.G.

obtained confocal images. H.L., M.R. and A.T. performed bulk RNA-Sequencing and

analyzed the results. H.L. and A.T. performed the bioinformatics analysis. H.L. and M.R.

wrote the first draft and A.L.M. and S.C. edited the manuscript. S.C., M.R. and A.L.M.

acquired funding for the project. All authors contributed to the article and approved the

submitted version.

Competing interests

All authors declare no competing interests.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Mírian Romitti.

References

1.   Schutgens, F. & Clevers, H. Human Organoids: Tools for Understanding Biology
and Treating Diseases. *Annual Review of Pathology: Mechanisms of Disease* **15**,
211–234 (2020).
2.   Clevers, H. Modeling Development and Disease with Organoids. *Cell* **165**, 1586–
1597 (2016).
3.   Kim, J., Koo, B. K. & Knoblich, J. A. Human organoids: model systems for human
biology and medicine. *Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology* vol. 21 571–584
Preprint at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41580-020-0259-3 (2020).
4.   Boj, S. F. *et al.* Organoid Models of Human and Mouse Ductal Pancreatic Cancer.
Cell **160**, 324–338 (2015).
5.   Gao, D. *et al.* Organoid Cultures Derived from Patients with Advanced Prostate
Cancer. *Cell* **159**, 176–187 (2014).
6.   Sato, T. *et al.* Long-term Expansion of Epithelial Organoids From Human Colon,
Adenoma, Adenocarcinoma, and Barrett's Epithelium. *Gastroenterology* **141**,
1762–1772 (2011).
7.   van de Wetering, M. *et al.* Prospective Derivation of a Living Organoid Biobank
of Colorectal Cancer Patients. *Cell* **161**, 933–945 (2015).
8.   Drost, J. & Clevers, H. Organoids in cancer research. *Nat Rev Cancer* **18**, 407–418
(2018).

9. Gleave, A. M., Ci, X., Lin, D. & Wang, Y. A synopsis of prostate organoid
methodologies, applications, and limitations. *Prostate* **80**, 518–526 (2020).

10. Maenhoudt, N. & Vankelecom, H. Protocol for establishing organoids from human
ovarian cancer biopsies. *STAR Protoc* **2**, 100429 (2021).

11. Gunti, S., Hoke, A. T. K., Vu, K. P. & London, N. R. Organoid and Spheroid
Tumor Models: Techniques and Applications. *Cancers (Basel)* **13**, 874 (2021).

12. Saito, Y. *et al.* Development of a functional thyroid model based on an organoid
culture system. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* **497**, 783–789 (2018).

13. Chen, D. *et al.* Papillary thyroid cancer organoids harboring BRAFV600E
mutation reveal potentially beneficial effects of BRAF inhibitor-based
combination therapies. *J Transl Med* **21**, 9 (2023).

14. Vlachogiannis, G. *et al.* Patient-derived organoids model treatment response of
metastatic gastrointestinal cancers. *Science (1979)* **359**, 920–926 (2018).

15. Drost, J. *et al.* Sequential cancer mutations in cultured human intestinal stem cells.
Nature **521**, 43–47 (2015).

16. Matano, M. *et al.* Modeling colorectal cancer using CRISPR-Cas9–mediated
engineering of human intestinal organoids. *Nat Med* **21**, 256–262 (2015).

17. Miura, A. *et al.* Oncogenic potential of human pluripotent stem cell-derived lung
organoids with <scp>HER2</scp> overexpression. *Int J Cancer* **149**, 1593–1604
(2021).

18. Nadauld, L. D. *et al.* Metastatic tumor evolution and organoid modeling implicate
TGFBR2as a cancer driver in diffuse gastric cancer. *Genome Biol* **15**, 428 (2014).

19. Li, X. *et al.* Oncogenic transformation of diverse gastrointestinal tissues in primary
organoid culture. *Nat Med* **20**, 769–777 (2014).

20. Huang, L. *et al.* Ductal pancreatic cancer modeling and drug screening using
human pluripotent stem cell– and patient-derived tumor organoids. *Nat Med* **21**,
1364–1371 (2015).

21. Lo, Y.-H., Karlsson, K. & Kuo, C. J. Applications of organoids for cancer biology
and precision medicine. *Nat Cancer* **1**, 761–773 (2020).

22. Lim, H., Devesa, S. S., Sosa, J. A., Check, D. & Kitahara, C. M. Trends in Thyroid
Cancer Incidence and Mortality in the United States, 1974-2013. *JAMA* **317**, 1338
(2017).

23. ROMITTI, M. *et al.* Signaling pathways in follicular cell-derived thyroid
carcinomas. *Int J Oncol* **42**, 19–28 (2013).

24. Kimura, E. T. *et al.* High prevalence of BRAF mutations in thyroid cancer: genetic
evidence for constitutive activation of the RET/PTC-RAS-BRAF signaling
pathway in papillary thyroid carcinoma. *Cancer Res* **63**, 1454–7 (2003).

25. Fagin, J. A. & Mitsiades, N. Molecular pathology of thyroid cancer: diagnostic and
clinical implications. *Best Pract Res Clin Endocrinol Metab* **22**, 955–969 (2008).

26. Scheffel, R. S. *et al.* The BRAFV600E mutation analysis and risk stratification in
papillary thyroid carcinoma. *Arch Endocrinol Metab* (2020) doi:10.20945/2359-
3997000000285.

27. Xing, M. The T1799A BRAF mutation is not a germline mutation in familial
nonmedullary thyroid cancer. *Clin Endocrinol (Oxf)* **63**, 263–266 (2005).

28. Chakravarty, D. *et al.* Small-molecule MAPK inhibitors restore radioiodine
incorporation in mouse thyroid cancers with conditional BRAF activation. *Journal
of Clinical Investigation* **121**, 4700–4711 (2011).

29. Zaman, Wu & Bivona. Targeting Oncogenic BRAF: Past, Present, and Future.
Cancers (Basel) **11**, 1197 (2019).

30.   Agrawal, N. *et al.* Integrated Genomic Characterization of Papillary Thyroid
Carcinoma. *Cell* **159**, 676–690 (2014).
31.   Romei, C. *et al.* Modifications in the Papillary Thyroid Cancer Gene Profile Over
the Last 15 Years. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* **97**, E1758–E1765 (2012).
32.   Sabra, M. M. *et al.* Clinical Outcomes and Molecular Profile of Differentiated
Thyroid Cancers With Radioiodine-Avid Distant Metastases. *J Clin Endocrinol*
Metab **98**, E829–E836 (2013).
33.   Xing, M. BRAFV600E Mutation and Papillary Thyroid Cancer. *JAMA* **310**, 535
(2013).
34.   Scheffel, R. S. *et al.* The BRAFV600E mutation analysis and risk stratification in
papillary thyroid carcinoma. *Arch Endocrinol Metab* (2021) doi:10.20945/2359-
3997000000285.
35.   Liu, R. *et al.* Mortality Risk Stratification by Combining *BRAF* V600E and *TERT*
Promoter Mutations in Papillary Thyroid Cancer. *JAMA Oncol* **3**, 202 (2017).
36.   Boucai, L. *et al.* Characterization of Subtypes of BRAF-Mutant Papillary Thyroid
Cancer Defined by Their Thyroid Differentiation Score. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*
107, 1030–1039 (2022).
37.   Antonica, F. *et al.* Generation of functional thyroid from embryonic stem cells.
Nature **491**, 66–71 (2012).
38.   Romitti, M. *et al.* Transplantable human thyroid organoids generated from
embryonic stem cells to rescue hypothyroidism. *Nat Commun* **13**, 7057 (2022).
39.   Longmire, T. A. *et al.* Efficient derivation of purified lung and thyroid progenitors
from embryonic stem cells. *Cell Stem Cell* **10**, 398–411 (2012).
40.   Ma, R., Latif, R. & Davies, T. F. Human embryonic stem cells form functional
thyroid follicles. *Thyroid* **25**, 455–461 (2015).
41.   Ma, R., Latif, R. & Davies, T. F. Thyroid follicle formation and thyroglobulin
expression in multipotent endodermal stem cells. *Thyroid* **23**, 385–391 (2013).
42.   Ma, R., Morshed, S. A., Latif, R. & Davies, T. F. TAZ Induction Directs
Differentiation of Thyroid Follicular Cells from Human Embryonic Stem Cells.
Thyroid **27**, 292–299 (2017).
43.   Ma, R., Shi, R., Morshed, S. A., Latif, R. & Davies, T. F. Derivation and 97%
Purification of Human Thyroid Cells From Dermal Fibroblasts. *Front Endocrinol*
(Lausanne) **11**, 446 (2020).
44.   Kurmann, A. A. *et al.* Regeneration of Thyroid Function by Transplantation of
Differentiated Pluripotent Stem Cells. *Cell Stem Cell* **17**, 527–542 (2015).
45.   Serra, M. *et al.* Pluripotent stem cell differentiation reveals distinct developmental
pathways regulating Lung-Versus Thyroid-Lineage specification. *Development*
(Cambridge) **144**, 3879–3893 (2017).
46.   Dame, K. *et al.* Thyroid Progenitors Are Robustly Derived from Embryonic Stem
Cells through Transient, Developmental Stage-Specific Overexpression of Nkx2-
1. *Stem Cell Reports* **8**, 216–225 (2017).
47.   Ogundipe, V. M. L. *et al.* Generation and Differentiation of Adult Tissue-Derived
Human Thyroid Organoids. *Stem Cell Reports* **16**, 913–925 (2021).
48.   Liang, J. *et al.* Modeling Human Thyroid Development by Fetal Tissue-Derived
Organoid Culture. *Advanced Science* **9**, (2022).
49.   van der Vaart, J. *et al.* Adult mouse and human organoids derived from thyroid
follicular cells and modeling of Graves' hyperthyroidism. *Proceedings of the*
National Academy of Sciences **118**, (2021).
50.   Chen, D. *et al.* Organoid Cultures Derived From Patients With Papillary Thyroid
Cancer. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* **106**, 1410–1426 (2021).

51. Sondorp, L. H. J. *et al.* Patient-Derived Papillary Thyroid Cancer Organoids for
Radioactive Iodine Refractory Screening. *Cancers (Basel)* **12**, 3212 (2020).
52. Pecce, V. *et al.* Establishment and maintenance of thyroid organoids from human
cancer cells. *STAR Protoc* **3**, 101393 (2022).
53. Chen, D. *et al.* Papillary thyroid cancer organoids harboring BRAFV600E
mutation reveal potentially beneficial effects of BRAF inhibitor-based
combination therapies. *J Transl Med* **21**, 9 (2023).
54. Yang, H. *et al.* Establishment of papillary thyroid cancer organoid lines from
clinical specimens. *Front Endocrinol (Lausanne)* **14**, (2023).
55. Veschi, V. *et al.* Recapitulating thyroid cancer histotypes through engineering
embryonic stem cells. *Nat Commun* **14**, 1351 (2023).
56. Haenebalcke, L. *et al.* Efficient ROSA26-Based Conditional and/or Inducible
Transgenesis Using RMCE-Compatible F1 Hybrid Mouse Embryonic Stem Cells.
Stem Cell Rev Rep **9**, 774–785 (2013).
57. Romitti, M. *et al.* Single-Cell Trajectory Inference Guided Enhancement of
Thyroid Maturation In Vitro Using TGF-Beta Inhibition. *Front Endocrinol
(Lausanne)* **12**, (2021).
58. Ortiz, O., Wurst, W. & Kühn, R. Reversible and tissue-specific activation of MAP
kinase signaling by tamoxifen in braf ^{V637} ER ^{T2} mice. *genesis* **51**, 448–455 (2013).
59. Azouzi, N. *et al.* NADPH Oxidase NOX4 Is a Critical Mediator of BRAF ^{V600E} -
Induced Downregulation of the Sodium/Iodide Symporter in Papillary Thyroid
Carcinomas. *Antioxid Redox Signal* **26**, 864–877 (2017).
60. Kogai, T. & Brent, G. A. The sodium iodide symporter (NIS): Regulation and
approaches to targeting for cancer therapeutics. *Pharmacol Ther* **135**, 355–370
(2012).
61. Bolger, A. M., Lohse, M. & Usadel, B. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for
Illumina sequence data. *Bioinformatics* **30**, (2014).
62. Kim, D., Langmead, B. & Salzberg, S. L. HISAT: a fast spliced aligner with low
memory requirements. *Nat Methods* **12**, (2015).
63. Hao, Y. *et al.* Integrated analysis of multimodal single-cell data. *Cell* **184**, 3573-
3587.e29 (2021).
64. Love, M. I., Huber, W. & Anders, S. Moderated estimation of fold change and
dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biol* **15**, 550 (2014).
65. Dunn, L. A. *et al.* Vemurafenib Redifferentiation of *BRAF* Mutant, RAI-
Refractory Thyroid Cancers. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* **104**, 1417–1428 (2019).
66. Tang, W.-W., Huang, C., Tang, C., Xu, J. & Wang, H. Galectin-3 may serve as a
potential marker for diagnosis and prognosis in papillary thyroid carcinoma: a
meta-analysis. *Onco Targets Ther* 455 (2016) doi:10.2147/OTT.S94514.
67. Xia, S. *et al.* Fibronectin 1 promotes migration and invasion of papillary thyroid
cancer and predicts papillary thyroid cancer lymph node metastasis. *Onco Targets
Ther* **Volume 10**, 1743–1755 (2017).
68. Sponziello, M. *et al.* Fibronectin-1 expression is increased in aggressive thyroid
cancer and favors the migration and invasion of cancer cells. *Mol Cell Endocrinol*
431, 123–132 (2016).
69. Knauf, J. A. *et al.* Targeted Expression of BRAFV600E in Thyroid Cells of
Transgenic Mice Results in Papillary Thyroid Cancers that Undergo
Dedifferentiation. *Cancer Res* **65**, 4238–4245 (2005).
70. Saqcena, M. *et al.* SWI/SNF Complex Mutations Promote Thyroid Tumor
Progression and Insensitivity to Redifferentiation Therapies. *Cancer Discov* **11**,
1158–1175 (2021).

71.   Qiu, W., Yang, Z., Fan, Y. & Zheng, Q. ZNRF3 is downregulated in papillary
thyroid carcinoma and suppresses the proliferation and invasion of papillary
thyroid cancer cells. *Tumor Biology* **37**, 12665–12672 (2016).
72.   Han, J. *et al.* Identification of Biomarkers Based on Differentially Expressed
Genes in Papillary Thyroid Carcinoma. *Sci Rep* **8**, 9912 (2018).
73.   Yoo, S.-K. *et al.* Integrative analysis of genomic and transcriptomic characteristics
associated with progression of aggressive thyroid cancer. *Nat Commun* **10**, 2764
(2019).
74.   Davies, H. *et al.* Mutations of the BRAF gene in human cancer. *Nature* **417**, 949–
954 (2002).
75.   Dhomen, N. & Marais, R. New insight into BRAF mutations in cancer. *Curr Opin
Genet Dev* **17**, 31–39 (2007).
76.   Nikiforov, Y. E. & Nikiforova, M. N. Molecular genetics and diagnosis of thyroid
cancer. *Nat Rev Endocrinol* **7**, 569–580 (2011).
77.   Cheng, L., Lopez-Beltran, A., Massari, F., MacLennan, G. T. & Montironi, R.
Molecular testing for BRAF mutations to inform melanoma treatment decisions: a
move toward precision medicine. *Modern Pathology* **31**, 24–38 (2018).
78.   Schirripa, M. *et al.* Class 1, 2, and 3 BRAF-Mutated Metastatic Colorectal Cancer:
A Detailed Clinical, Pathologic, and Molecular Characterization. *Clinical Cancer
Research* **25**, 3954–3961 (2019).
79.   Pisapia, P., Pepe, F., Malapelle, U. & Troncone, G. Mutations in Lung Cancer.
Acta Cytol **63**, 247–250 (2019).
80.   Pisapia, P. *et al.* BRAF: A Two-Faced Janus. *Cells* **9**, 2549 (2020).
81.   Pavlick, A. C., Fecher, L., Ascierto, P. A. & Sullivan, R. J. Frontline Therapy for
BRAF-Mutated Metastatic Melanoma: How Do You Choose, and Is There One
Correct Answer? *American Society of Clinical Oncology Educational Book* 564–
571 (2019) doi:10.1200/EDBK_243071.
82.   Anguera, G. & Majem, M. BRAF inhibitors in metastatic non-small cell lung
cancer. *J Thorac Dis* **10**, 589–592 (2018).
83.   Haugen, B. R. *et al.* 2015 American Thyroid Association Management Guidelines
for Adult Patients with Thyroid Nodules and Differentiated Thyroid Cancer: The
American Thyroid Association Guidelines Task Force on Thyroid Nodules and
Differentiated Thyroid Cancer. *Thyroid* **26**, 1–133 (2016).
84.   Schmidbauer, B., Menhart, K., Hellwig, D. & Grosse, J. Differentiated Thyroid
Cancer—Treatment: State of the Art. *Int J Mol Sci* **18**, 1292 (2017).
85.   Kim, T. Y., Kim, W. G., Kim, W. B. & Shong, Y. K. Current Status and Future
Perspectives in Differentiated Thyroid Cancer. *Endocrinology and Metabolism* **29**,
217 (2014).
86.   Ahn, B.-C. Personalized Medicine Based on Theranostic Radioiodine Molecular
Imaging for Differentiated Thyroid Cancer. *Biomed Res Int* **2016**, 1–9 (2016).
87.   Paeng, J. C., Kang, K. W., Park, D. J., Oh, S. W. & Chung, J.-K. Alternative
Medical Treatment for Radioiodine-Refractory Thyroid Cancers. *Nucl Med Mol
Imaging* **45**, 241–247 (2011).
88.   Brose, M. S. *et al.* Sorafenib in radioactive iodine-refractory, locally advanced or
metastatic differentiated thyroid cancer: a randomised, double-blind, phase 3 trial.
The Lancet **384**, 319–328 (2014).
89.   Schlumberger, M. *et al.* Lenvatinib versus Placebo in Radioiodine-Refractory
Thyroid Cancer. *New England Journal of Medicine* **372**, 621–630 (2015).
90.   Ho, A. L. *et al.* Selumetinib-Enhanced Radioiodine Uptake in Advanced Thyroid
Cancer. *New England Journal of Medicine* **368**, 623–632 (2013).

91.   Rothenberg, S. M., Daniels, G. H. & Wirth, L. J. Redifferentiation of Iodine-
834   Refractory BRAF V600E-Mutant Metastatic Papillary Thyroid Cancer with
835   Dabrafenib—Response. *Clinical Cancer Research* **21**, 5640–5641 (2015).
836   92.   Buffet, C. *et al.* Redifferentiation of radioiodine-refractory thyroid cancers. *Endocr*
837   *Relat Cancer* **27**, R113–R132 (2020).
838   93.   Riesco-Eizaguirre, G. & Santisteban, P. A perspective view of sodium iodide
839   symporter research and its clinical implications. *Eur J Endocrinol* **155**, 495–512
840   (2006).
841   94.   Czarnecka, A. M., Bartnik, E., Fiedorowicz, M. & Rutkowski, P. Targeted Therapy
842   in Melanoma and Mechanisms of Resistance. *Int J Mol Sci* **21**, 4576 (2020).
843   95.   Flaherty, K. T. *et al.* Combined BRAF and MEK Inhibition in Melanoma with
844   BRAF V600 Mutations. *New England Journal of Medicine* **367**, 1694–1703
845   (2012).
846   96.   Larkin, J. *et al.* Combined Vemurafenib and Cobimetinib in BRAF-Mutated
847   Melanoma. *New England Journal of Medicine* **371**, 1867–1876 (2014).
848   97.   Dummer, R. *et al.* Encorafenib plus binimetinib versus vemurafenib or encorafenib
849   in patients with BRAF -mutant melanoma (COLUMBUS): a multicentre, open-
850   label, randomised phase 3 trial. *Lancet Oncol* **19**, 603–615 (2018).
851   98.   Smalley, K. S. M. Increased immunity and BRAF inhibition: Yet another argument
852   for combination therapy? *Pharmacol Res* **113**, 719–720 (2016).
853   99.   Roskoski, R. Targeting oncogenic Raf protein-serine/threonine kinases in human
854   cancers. *Pharmacol Res* **135**, 239–258 (2018).
855

Figure 1. mESC_Nkx2-1/Pax8_bTg_Braf^{V637E} cell line differentiation into thyroid organoids. Scheme of the thyroid differentiation protocol and Braf^{V637E} induction in mature thyroid follicles (A). Differentiation of mESC_Nkx2-1/Pax8_bTg_Braf^{V637E} cell line promotes expression of the main thyroid genes and Braf exogenous (B). Immunofluorescence staining showing Nkx2-1 and Tg co-expressing cells organized in follicular structures (C) which are accumulating the thyroid hormone precursor, Tg-I, inside the lumen compartment (D). Follicular enrichment (FE) procedure significantly increased the expression levels of thyroid genes (E) while kept the structural organization

of the follicles (F) and its functionality evidenced by Tg-I accumulation (G). Scale bars,

50 μm and 10 μm for high magnification follicles.

Figure 2. Effect of the Braf^{V637E} oncogene induction on mature thyroid follicles.

Schematic representation of thyroid differentiation, Braf^{V637E} oncogene expression and

its activation under specific treatment conditions (A). Western blot demonstrates increase

of p-ERK protein at 24 hours and 7 days after addition of 4OHT (Tamoxifen) to the

organoids culture (B). Percentage of BrDU+ cells between control (cAMP) and Braf-

induced (4OHT; 6 days) condition (C). mRNA expression analysis showing the inhibitory

effect of Braf^{V637E}-oncogene induction on thyroid genes after 6 hours (D), 48 hours (E)

and 7 days (F).

Figure 3. Morphological changes caused by Braf^{V637E} activation on thyroid follicles.
Control thyroid cells showing follicular organization with Nkx2-1 nuclear expression and
Tg accumulation in the luminal compartment while after 48 h of Braf oncogene induction
by 4OHT most of the cells are not organized into follicular structures and a great
proportion of cells is expressing very low levels of Tg (A). Higher magnification images
showing the follicular organization of the thyroid cells in the control condition (48 h),

with proper expression of Nk2x-1 and/or Tg (B), E-cadherin (C), Zo-1 (D) and Tg-I (E)

accumulation in the lumen. While in the 4OHT condition the follicular organization is

disrupted as well as its function. Nkx2-1 and Tg staining in control and Braf-induced cells

for 7 days shows clear changes in thyroid morphology evidenced by the heterogeneity of

Nkx2-1 cells which mostly do not express Tg or at low levels, while some groups cells

continue to co-express Tg (F). Scale bars, 20 μm (A), 10 μm (B-E) and 50 μm (F).

Figure 4. 4OHT interruption does not restores the thyroid gene expression levels.

The induction of Braf^{V637E} for 2 days followed by removal of 4OHT for 5 days shows

that it is not enough to recover the levels of *Nkx2-1* (A), *Pax8* (B), *TSHR* (C), *Tg* (D), *Tpo*

(E) genes to the control levels. However, it led to increase of *Nis* expression (F) close to

control values.

Figure 5. Drug screening reveals that VPA and MAPK/PI3K inhibition can restore

Nis expression in Braf-induced cells. Schematic representation of the protocol used for

drug screening experiments (A). qPCR data shows that inhibition of MAPK (PD0325901)

and PI3K (LY294002) pathways isolated increase but do not restore *Nis* expression to

control levels. However, when combined, the levels are like the controls. On the other

hand, the HDAC inhibitor (VPA) can, by itself, recover *Nis* expression (B). Nkx2-1 and

Nis immunostaining show the downregulation of the transporter in 4OHT condition,

which is restored by VPA treatment. However, the expression pattern differs from the

control conditions. Co-inhibition of MAPK and PI3K pathways associated or not to VPA

treatment restores of Nis protein expression while follicular structures are observed (C).

Scale bars, 10 μm.

Figure 6. MAPK and PI3K inhibition cause thyroid redifferentiation and function recovery of Braf-expressing cells. mRNA expression analysis showing that PD0325901 and LY294002 co-treatment recover the *Tg* (A), *TSHR* (B) and *Tpo* (C) gene expression to control levels. Immunostaining for Nkx2-1 and Tg shows that proteins levels are similar to control and that follicular organization is restored under such treatment condition (D, E). Tg-I accumulation in the lumen of the restored follicles is observed under PD+LY and PD+LY+VPA conditions (F). Organification assay shows recovery of Iodine uptake (G), Protein-bound to 125I (H) and % of iodine Organification (I) in the inhibitor's conditions. Scale bars, 50 μm (E), 20 μm (D) and 10 μm for high magnification follicles (F).

Figure 7. Transcriptomics analysis confirms thyroid redifferentiation of Braf^{V637E}-expressing cells treated with MAPK and PI3K inhibitors and suggests by which mechanisms. Heatmap of normalized bulk RNA-Seq expression of normal thyroid cells (cAMP), Braf^{V637E} expressing cells (4OHT) and Braf^{V637E} expressing cells treated with PD0325901+LY204002 inhibitors. Rows represent markers and columns represent specific conditions. Color values in the heatmap represent mean expression levels (A). Thyroid differentiation (eTDS and TDS) (B) and ERK (C) scores calculated among the different conditions. Classification of upregulated and downregulated genes comparing 4OHT *vs.* cAMP (D, E) and PD+LY *vs.* 4OHT (F, G). Colours represent the classification method and scale the -log10 (adj p-value).

Supplementary data

Supplementary figures

 Figure S1. mESC-cell lines generation and characterization. Schematic representation

 of the mESC_Nkx2-1/Pax8_bTg_Braf^{V637E} cell line (A). mESC_Nkx2-

 1/Pax8_bTg_Braf^{V637E} line response to doxycycline treatment shows expression of Pax8

 and Nkx2-1 proteins (B). Spontaneous differentiation mESC_Nkx2-

 1/Pax8_bTg_Braf^{V637E} cell line leads to generation of ectodermal (Beta-actin),

 endodermal (AFP) and mesodermal (SMA) cells (C). Schematic representation of the

 mESC_Nkx2-1/Pax8_bTg_eGFP control cell line (D). Dox treatment leads to increase of

 Pax8 and Nkx2-1 expression in control cells (E). Spontaneous differentiation of the

control cells leads to generation of ectodermal (Beta-actin), endodermal (AFP) and

mesodermal (SMA) cells (F). Scale bars, 50 μm (B, E) and 10 μm (C, F).

Figure S2. Doxycycline treatment for 5 days leads to more efficient thyroid genes

expression induction. Time course of Dox-dependent induction of exogenous and

endogenous *Nkx2-1* (A, B) *Pax8* (C) and *Tg* (D) expression. mRNA levels of thyroid

genes at day 9, after 5 days of Dox treatment (E).

Figure S3. 4OHT treatment rapidly induces Braf-carcinogenic changes in gene expression and follicular organization. Tg and Braf exogenous expression levels at day 9, 16 and 23 of thyroid differentiation protocol (A). Induction of $Braf^{V637E}$ for 8 hours promotes downregulation of main thyroid genes (B). Nkx2-1 and Tg immunostaining shows that under BrafV637E activation (4OHT; 48 h) thyroid follicles present bigger size heterogeneous distribution of Tg compared to the control (C). Remaining follicular-like structures after $Braf^{V637E}$ activation (4OHT; 48 h) (D). mRNA expression of mESC-Nkx2-1-Pax8_bTg-eGFP derived follicles treated for 9 days with 4OHT (E). Nkx2-1 and Tg co-staining demonstrate that 4OHT treatment do not affect thyroid organization (F). Scale bars, 50 μm (C), 20 μm (F) and 10 μm (D).

Figure S4. Effect of distinct classes of inhibitors on thyroid markers. Gene expression levels of *Nis* (A)*, Tg* (B)*, Tpo* (C)*, TSHR* (D)*, Nkx2.1* (E) *and Duox2A* (F) among normal thyroid organoids, Braf[V637E]-expressing (4OHT) and treated with distinct inhibitor (4OHT+inhib). Significance is compared to 4OHT condition. Nkx2-1 and Tg co-staining shows that PD0325901, LY294002 and VPA treatments isolated is not enough to revert the inhibitory effect of Braf[V637E] activation on Tg expression and thyroid follicular organization. Scale bar, 50 μm.

986   **Supplementary tables**

988   **Supplementary Table 1.** Antibodies information.

Primary antibodies						
Protein Target	*Provider*	*Catalog number*	*Host Species*	*Dilution Flow Cyt.*	*Dilution IF*	*Dilution WB*
AFP	Santa Cruz	sc-8108	Goat		1:100	
β-III Tubulin	Eurogentec	MMS-435P-200	Mouse		1:1,000	
αSMA	Abcam	ab32575	Rabbit		1:1,000	
Nkx2-1	Abcam	ab76013	Rabbit	1 :100	1:500	
PAX8	Cell Signaling	59019	Rabbit		1:500	
Tg	Dako	A0251	Rabbit		1:2,000	
Tg	Abcam	Ab187378	Mouse		1:250	
Nis	Gift from N. Carrasco		Rabbit		1:1,000	
TG-I	Gift from C. Ris-Stalpers		Mouse		1:250	
E-cadherin	BD	610181	Mouse		1:1,000	
ZO-1	Invitrogen	339100	Mouse		1:500	
Hoechst	Invitrogen	33342			1:1,000	
p-ERK	Cell Signaling	4370	Rabbit			1:1,000
β-Actin	Cell Signaling	3700	Mouse			1:1,000
Secondary antibodies						
Cy3-conjugated	Jackson Immunoresearch	715-165-150	Donkey anti-mouse IgG		1:500	
Cy3-conjugated	Jackson Immunoresearch	711-165-152	Donkey anti-rabbit IgG		1:500	

Cy3-conjugated	Jackson Immunoresearch	705-165-147	Donkey anti-goat IgG		1:500	
Alexa fluor 488-conjugated	Jackson Immunoresearch	715-545-150	Donkey anti-mouse IgG		1:500	
Alexa fluor 647-conjugated	Jackson Immunoresearch	715-605-150	Donkey anti-mouse IgG		1:500	
Alexa fluor 647-conjugated	Jackson Immunoresearch	711-605-152	Donkey anti-rabbit IgG	1:300	1:500	
Anti-rabbit IgG, HRP-linked	Cell Signaling	7074				1:1,000
Anti-mouse IgG, HRP-linked	Cell Signaling	7076				1:1,000

990 **Supplementary Table 2.** List of primers used for RT-qPCR analysis.

Gene	Primer Forward	Primer Reverse
β2m-Globulin	GCTTCAGTCGTCAGCATGG	CAGTTCAGTATGTTCGGCTTCC
Nkx2-1 exog.	GGCGCCATGTCTTGTTCT	ACACCGGCCTTATTCCAAG
Nkx2-1	GGCGCCATGTCTTGTTCT	GGGCTCAAGCGCATCTCA
Pax8	CAGCCTGCTGAGTTCTCCAT	CTGTCTCAGGCCAAGTCCTC
Foxe1	GGCGGCATCTACAAGTTCAT	GGATCTTGAGGAAGCAGTCG
Tg	GTCCAATGCCAAAATGATGGTC	GAGAGCATCGGTGCTGTTAAT
Nis/Slc5a5	AGCTGCCAACACTTCCAGAG	GATGAGAGCACCACAAAGCA
Tshr	GTCTGCCCAATATTTCCAGGATCTA	GCTCTGTCAAGGCATCAGGGT
Tpo	ACAGTCACAGTTCTCCACGGATG	ATCTCTATTGTTGCACGCCCC
Braf exog.	GCTTGTGCTTCTCCGAAAAC	AAGGCCAGGCTGTTCTTCTT
Dio1	ATGGCCAGGAACCCCCG	GCCTGCTGCCTTGAATGAAA
Dio2	TTCTCCAACTGCCTCTTCCTG	CCCATCAGCGGTCTTCTCC
Gal3	TTGAAGCTGACCACTTCAAGGTT	AGGTTCTTCATCCGATGGTTGT
Fn1	AATCACAGTAGTTGCGGCAGGAGA	TCTGTCCCAGGCAGGAGATTTGTT

992 **Supplementary Table 3.** Gene list used for thyroid differentiation (TDS and eTDS) and

993 ERK scores calculation.

	TDS	eTDS	ERK score
Included	DIO1 DIO2 DUOX1 DUOX2 FOXE1 GLIS3 NKX2-1 PAX8 SLC26A4 SLC5A5 SLC5A8 TG THRA THRB TPO TSHR	BCL2 CDH16 CLCNKB DLG2 DPP6 FAM167A FHL1 FLRT1 GPM6A GRIN2C HGD KIT LMOD1 LRP2 MATN2 MPPED2 PKHD1L1 PLA2R1 SLC26A7 SLC4A4 SORBS2 STXBP5L TFCP2L1 TFF3 TPPP WSCD2 ZMAT4	ARID5A B4GALT6 BRIX1 BYSL CCND1 CD3EAP CHSY1 DDX21 DUSP4 DUSP6 EGR1 ELOVL6 ETV1 ETV4 ETV5 FOS FOSL1 GEMIN4 GTF2A1L GNL3 GPR3 GTPBP4 HMGA2 HYDIN IER3 KIR3DL2 LIF MAFF MAP2K3 MYC NOP16 PHLDA2 PLK3 POLR1C POLR3G PPAN PPAT PYCRL RRS1 SEMA6A SH2B3 SLC1A5 SLC4A7 SPRED2 SPRY2 SPRY4 TNC TNFRSF12A TSR1 WDR3 YRDC
Missing		LOC286002 MT1G	CXCL8

994