Dual targeting of MAPK and PI3K pathways unlocks re-differentiation of Braf-

mutated thyroid cancer organoids

Hélène Lasolle [1], Andrea Schiavo [1], Adrien Tourneur[1], Pierre Gillotay [1], Bárbara de Faria

da Fonseca [1], Lucieli Ceolin [1,6], Olivier Monestier [1], Benilda Aganahi [1], Laura Chomette

[1], Marina Malta Letro Kizys [1], Lieven Haenebalcke [2], Tim Pieters [2,3], Steven Goossens

[2,4], Jody Haigh [2,5], Vincent Detours [1], Ana Luiza Silva Maia [6], Sabine Costagliola [1] and

Mírian Romitti [1*]

[1] Institut de Recherche Interdisciplinaire en Biologie Humaine et Moléculaire (IRIBHM),

Université Libre de Bruxelles (ULB), Brussels, Belgium

[2] VIB, Flanders Institute for Biotechnology, Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium

[3] Department of Biomolecular Medicine, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Ghent

University, Ghent, Belgium

[4] Department of Diagnostic Sciences, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Ghent

University, Ghent, Belgium

[5] CancerCare Manitoba Research Institute, Department of Pharmacology and

Therapeutics, Rady Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg,

Manitoba, Canada

[6] Thyroid Section, Endocrine Division, Hospital de Clínicas de Porto Alegre (HCPA),

Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul (UFRGS), Porto Alegre, Brazil

* Corresponding author: mirian.romitti@ulb.be

The authors declare no potential conflicts of interest

Thyroid cancer is the most common endocrine malignancy and several genetic events

have been described to promote the development of thyroid carcinogenesis. Besides the

effects of specific mutations on thyroid cancer development, the molecular mechanisms

controlling tumorigenesis, tumor behavior, and drug resistance are still largely unknown.

Cancer organoids have been proposed as a powerful tool to study aspects related to tumor

development and progression and appear promising to test individual responses to

therapies. Here, using mESC-derived thyroid organoids, we developed a $Braf^{V637E}$-

inducible model able to recapitulate the features of papillary thyroid cancer in vitro.

Overexpression of $Braf^{V637E}$ rapidly leads to MAPK activation, cell dedifferentiation, and

disruption of follicular organization. $Braf^{V637E}$-expressing organoids show a

transcriptomic signature for p53, focal adhesion, ECM-receptor interactions, EMT, and

inflammatory signaling pathways. Finally, PTC-like thyroid organoids were used for drug

screening assays. The combination of MAPK and PI3K inhibitors reversed *Braf*

oncogene-promoted cell dedifferentiation while restoring thyroid follicle organization

and function *in vitro*. Our results demonstrate that pluripotent stem cells-derived thyroid

cancer organoids can mimic tumor development and features while providing an efficient

tool for testing novel targeted therapies.

INTRODUCTION

Pluripotent Stem Cells (PSCs) emerged as a model to dissect and recapitulate the

molecular events and gene networks that regulate cell fate determination, cell

differentiation and organogenesis. Guided differentiation of PSCs into 3D organized

tissue by inducible overexpression of specific transcription or growth factors and

inhibitors allows the derivation of *in vitro* organoids and the understanding of

mechanisms regulating cell differentiation and tissue formation[1]. Due to their remarkable

self-organizing structures and functional properties, organoids technology became a

powerful tool to model organ development and disease 'in a dish' [2,3].

In the last decade, the use of organoids for cancer research emerged and opened

new possibilities to better understand tumor behavior. Initially, colon, prostate,

pancreatic, ovarian, lung and thyroid cancer-derived organoids have been efficiently

generated, which resemble phenotypically and genetically the tumor of origin[4–13].

Currently, a large set of healthy and tumour matching organoids has been generated and

is available through biobanks. These patient-derived organoids are particularly interesting

in testing individual responses to therapies[8,13,14].

Recently, studies have reported the generation of cancer models arising from

healthy (adult stem cells or aSCs and PSCs) organoids by controlling oncogene

expression[15–17]. By using gene expression manipulation tools, such as shRNA and

CRISPR-Cas9, murine stomach cancer (Cdh1$^{-/-}$; Tp53$^{-/-}$)[18], murine and human colon

cancer (APC, TP53, KRAS and Smad4 mutations)[15,16,19], human pancreatic cancer

(KRAS and/or TP53 mutations)[4,20] and human lung adenocarcinoma organoids (HER2

overexpression) could be efficiently generated[17]. Compared to patient-derived organoids,

healthy stem cells-derived cancer organoids are suitable to address additional questions

such as precise effects of oncogenes and early events driving tumorigenesis; the role of

cancer stem cells on tumor induction, genomic stability, the effect of treatments at

different stages of carcinogenesis and screening for new therapeutical tools[21]. Besides,

they allow multiple reproducible experiments free from inter-individual variability.

Thyroid cancer is the most frequent endocrine malignancy, and several genetic

events have been described as driving thyroid carcinogenesis. Papillary thyroid

carcinoma (PTC) is the most common malignant thyroid tumor[22], and aberrant activation

of the MAPK pathway is a hallmark[23]. The BRAF^{V600E} mutation is the most frequent

genetic event, accounting for around 50% of PTCs[24–26] and results is an oncogenic protein

with markedly elevated kinase activity that constitutively induces MEK/ERK signaling

transduction[27–29].

BRAF-mutated thyroid tumors are often less differentiated, mainly due to the

lower expression levels of thyroid functional genes *NIS, TSHR, TG* or *TPO,* leading to a

low Thyroid Differentiation Score (TDS)[30]. This dedifferentiated state is associated with

a worse clinical condition and with a higher rate of radioactive iodine (RAI) refractory

tumors[31,32]. However, its impact on prognosis is still uncertain, as BRAF[V600E] alone has

not been proven to be an independent prognostic factor[33,34]. On the other hand, *BRAF* and

TERT promoter mutated tumors have been associated with higher specific mortality[35].

Also, studies have shown a small proportion (around 20%) of BRAF[V600E] mutated tumors

presenting a higher level of differentiation associated with a less aggressive behavior and

a preserved RAI uptake ability[30,36]. This suggests a possible cell heterogeneity among the

BRAF[V600E] mutated tumors.

Some years ago, our group showed the generation of functional 3D thyroid tissue

derived from mouse embryonic stem cells (mESC)[37] and, recently human thyroid

organoids were generated using the same approach[38]. The differentiation protocol relies

on transient induction of *Nkx2.1* and *Pax8* thyroid transcription factors allowing an

efficient generation of thyroid follicular cells organized into three-dimensional follicular

structures capable of thyroid hormone production *in vitro* and *in vivo*. This model and

further developed thyroid organoids from healthy mouse and human PSCs/aSC[39–49] open

new perspectives to explore thyroid development processes and to model thyroid

diseases, including thyroid cancer.

So far, efficient, fast and cost-effective tools are lacking to functionally

characterize a large set of candidate genes in the context of thyroid carcinogenesis.

Thyroid cancer organoids/spheroids models have been recently described based on

patient tumor-derived models[50–54]. Patient-derived organoid might be used to study

patient-specific tumor behavior and the treatment response on a case-to-case basis. It is

patient-to-patient dependent with high heterogeneity and low reproducibility. It is limited

by the accessibility to samples and since it is derived from an already established tumor,

it is not suitable to study mechanisms related to cancer development and drug resistance

acquisition. Saito et al. described a mouse model of poorly differentiated thyroid

carcinoma obtained after transplantation of thyroid organoids derived from Tp53

knockout mice with NRAS activating mutation overexpression[12]. Recently, Veschi *et al.*

[55] generated of PTC and FTC organoids models by inducing *BRAF*, *NRAS* and *TP53*

mutations in thyroid progenitors derived from hESC. After transplantation, the tumors

generated resemble Papillary and Follicular tumors and, transcriptomics analysis

suggested a role of Kisspeptin receptor (KISS1R) in cooperation with Tissue Inhibitor of

Metalloproteinase 1 (TIMP1)/Matrix metallopeptidase 9 (MMP9)/Cluster of

differentiation 44 (CD44), on tumor development and progression[55].

Here, we aim to develop an *in vitro* PTC organoid model to screen for targets and

drugs by taking advantage of our model of thyroid generation by inducible expression of

Braf^{V637E} mutation in mouse ESC-derived functional thyroid follicles.

RESULTS

mESC_BRAF^{V637E} cell line generation and characterization

We combined the previously described mESC transient induction thyroid differentiation

strategy (Antonica, 2012) with a Braf^{V637E}-ERT^2 inducible model (Ortiz, 2013) to

generate a double-inducible recombinant mESC_BRAF^{V637E} cell line. The resulting

mESC_Nkx2-1/Pax8_bTg_Braf^{V637E}_ERT^2 clones were initially selected and

characterized by the response to doxycycline (Dox) treatment, confirmed by the

overexpression of Nkx2-1 and Pax8; and ability to spontaneously differentiate into cells

from the three germ-layers (Supplementary Fig. 1A-C). In addition, a control mESC line

was generated in which Braf^{V637E}_ERT^2 system was replaced by the eGFP sequence.

Similarly, the control line responded properly to Dox induction and maintained the

pluripotency capacity (Supplementary Fig. 1D-F).

Thyroid differentiation protocol

The capability of the new cell line to generate thyroid follicles and express the Braf^{V637E}

oncogene was demonstrated following the mESC thyroid differentiation protocol[37,56,57].

Since we used a newly modified mESC line, the steps of the differentiation protocol were

tested and adapted accordingly (Fig. 1A). Here, using the mESC_Nkx2-

1/Pax8_bTg_Braf^{V637E} line, we observed that Dox stimulation for 5 days leads to higher

exogenous and endogenous *Nkx2-1*, *Pax8* and *Tg* mRNA (day 9; Supplementary Fig. 2E)

compared to 3 or 4 days of treatment (Supplementary Fig. 2A-D). Similar results were

obtained using the control mESC line (data not shown). Next, two weeks of treatment

with cAMP (from day 9 to 23) markedly induced the expression levels of *Nkx2-1, Pax8,*

Tg, TshR, Nis and *Tpo* when compared to the control (-Dox -cAMP) (Fig. 1B).

Accordingly, immunofluorescence staining showed follicular organized Nkx2-1 and Tg-

expressing cells (Fig. 1C), in which the functionality is demonstrated by intraluminal

iodinate-Tg (Tg-I) accumulation (Fig. 1D). Since our protocol also generates other cell

types than thyroid[57], a follicle enrichment step was added to the protocol to enrich the

follicular structures and induce Braf-oncogene in a purer population. This protocol led to

an increase of thyroid markers levels (Fig. 1E) when compared to the non-enriched

control condition, while the follicular structure and functionality were preserved as

demonstrated by Nkx2-1, Nis and Tg-I staining (Fig. 1F-G). Notably, after re-embedding

in Matrigel (MTG), follicles could be maintained in culture for at least 28 additional days

without major histological changes (data not shown).

Braf^{V637E} induction on thyroid organoids leads to PTC-like phenotype

To ensure that Braf^{V637E} oncogene is expressed specifically among follicular thyroid cells,

we used the bovine Tg (bTg) as a promoter controlling Braf^{V637E}_ERT^2 expression.

Effectively, exogenous *Braf^{V637E}* mRNA expression was rapidly induced after Dox

treatment (Day 9; Supplementary Fig. 2E), maintaining the expression levels stable over

time (Fig.1B and Supplementary Fig. 3A), while *Tg* mRNA expression increased

following the differentiation program (Supplementary Fig. 3A). Even if *Braf^{V637E}*

expression is driven by the bTg promoter, the Braf-mutated protein is not

active/phosphorylated since it is maintained in the nucleus in an inactive complex with

HSP90. The addition of 4OHT induces nuclear translocation of the Braf mutant to the

cytoplasm (NES signal), then driving MAPK activation[58] (Fig. 2A). Indeed, 24 hours

after 4OHT addition, we observed an increase in ERK phosphorylation (pERK) compared

to the control condition (cAMP), which was maintained after 7 days of continuous 4OHT

treatment (Fig. 2B). To assess Braf-induced cell proliferation, flow cytometry analysis

performed after 6 days of 4OHT treatment demonstrated an increased proportion of

Nkx2-1/BrdU+ cells among the Braf^{V637E}-expressing condition (4OHT) compared to the

control (cAMP) (Fig. 2C).

One of the hallmarks of thyroid cancer development is the downregulation of

thyroid differentiation markers[28,59]. Indeed, the activation of Braf^{V637E} rapidly led to a

progressive time-dependent decrease of *Tpo*, *TSHR* and *Tg* mRNA expression (Fig. 2D-

F and Supplementary Fig. 3B), detected as early as 6 hours after 4OHT addition (Fig.

2D). Notably, more significant downregulation was observed after 48 hours and

maintained for at least 7 days (Fig. 2E-F, respectively). As described among PTCs, in our

model, the expression of thyroid transcription factors *Pax8* and *Nkx2-1* was also globally

maintained. Conversely, *Lgals3 (Galectin 3)* expression, which has been suggested as a

marker for thyroid malignancies and, more specifically, for PTC[60], was significantly

increased in both time points (Fig. 2E-F). Also, an increase in Fibronectin 1 (*Fn1*)

expression, associated with aggressive thyroid cancer[61,62], was observed after 7 days of

Braf^{V637E} induction, suggesting a more advanced dedifferentiated cell state in our

organoid model (Fig. 2F). Similar results were obtained when cells were differentiated

using hrTSH instead of cAMP, and Braf^{V637E} was induced in such conditioned media,

indicating that the phenotype is not dependent of TSH signaling (data not shown).

Histological analysis performed after 48 hours of continuous activation of

Braf^{V637E} by 4OHT demonstrated disruption of the follicular organization in non-enriched

thyroid organoids compared to the control condition (cAMP) (Fig. 3A). Nkx2-1 and Tg

staining revealed that Braf^{V637E} oncogene strongly disturbs thyroid follicles forming

elongated and unorganized structures. In addition, heterogeneous expression of Tg was

observed among the Nkx2-1 cells. Further analysis, performed in follicle enriched

population, confirmed the effect of *Braf* oncogene in promoting cell dedifferentiation and

loss of follicle organization. Tg levels were overall reduced and heterogeneous among

the unorganized Nkx2-1+ cells (Fig. 3B). Interestingly, the cell disorganization caused

by Braf oncogene might pass by an initial expansion of the follicular size with infiltration

of Nkx2-1 cells inside of the lumen compartment, which also presents a heterogeneous

distribution of Tg (Supplementary Fig. 3C), since this feature was observed among some

"follicular-like" remaining structures after 48 h of 4OHT treatment. Reassuringly,

staining for E-Cadherin (epithelial) and Zo-1 (intra-luminal) adhesion markers showed

that Braf^{V637E}-expressing cells (Nkx2-1) are not able to preserve polarization and,

consequently lumen space is not well defined in most of the structures (Fig. 3C, D,

respectively). Therefore, the functionality of those cells was impaired and, Tg-I

accumulation was significantly reduced compared to the control condition (Fig. 3E). Of

note, despite the strong effect of Braf^{V637E} oncogene on thyroid follicles disruption, we

observed that few follicles still preserved a certain degree of organization (Supplementary

Fig. 3D) after 48 h of 4OHT treatment. As expected, after 7 days of Braf^{V637E} continuous

stimulation, a higher level of cell dedifferentiation and lack of organization could be

detected, with a lower proportion of the Nkx2-1 cells expressing Tg compared to control

(Fig. 3F) and to the previous time point (48 h; Fig. 3A). The above-described experiments

were also performed using the control TRE-Nkx2-1-Pax8_bTg-eGFP cell line. Adding

4OHT to mature follicles (from day 27 to day 36) did not impair the levels of the thyroid

differentiation markers and the follicles organization (Supplementary Fig. 3E, F). On the

other hand, slight induction of *Nkx2.1, Pax8, Tg, TshR, Tpo and Nis* levels was observed

under cAMP co-treatment with 4OHT (Supplementary Fig. 3E).

Effect of transient Braf^{V637E}-induction on cell differentiation

Since our system for Braf^{V637E} activation is dependent on continuous treatment with

4OHT, we transiently treated the cells with 4OHT for 2 days, followed by 5 days of

culture in a 4OHT-free medium (cAMP) in order to explore if the oncogenic effect is

maintained when the protein is not active. Surprisingly, we did not observe the recovery

of *Nkx2-1, TSHR, Tg* or *Tpo* gene expression levels (Fig. 4A-E) after 4OHT removal.

However, *Pax8* and *Slc5a5/Nis* expression was partially recovered (Fig. 4F), confirming

the specific inhibitory effect of *Braf* oncogene on *Slc5a5/Nis* expression. These findings

indicate that *Nis* regulation mechanisms are maintained in our system and suggest it as a

tool for Nis-reactivation studies.

Screening for Nis re-expression using signaling pathways inhibitors

Studies in mouse models and humans have demonstrated that tumors carrying *Braf*

mutations show reduced expression of *Nis* and, consequently a higher rate of radioiodine

(RAI) refractoriness[28,31,63]. Here, taking advantage of our PTC organoid model, we aim

to explore new strategies to reactivate *Nis* expression in Braf[V637E]-expressing cells by

screening distinct categories of inhibitors previously described to be involved in *Nis*

regulation [64,65]. PD0325901 (MEK inhibitor), LY204002 (PI3K inhibitor), VPA (HDAC

inhibitor), NAC (N-acetyl cysteine, antioxidant compound), 5-AZA-2' deoxycytidine and

RG108 (DMNT inhibitors) were tested isolated and/or in combination for 3 days (in

addition to cAMP+4OHT) using Braf[V637E]-expressing organoids (after 4 days of

cAMP+4OHT treatment; Fig. 5A). Initially, we observed that VPA alone was the only

treatment able to completely restore *Nis* expression levels (Fig. 5B, C and Supplementary

Fig. 4A). However, when combined, PD0325901 and LY204002 inhibitors; PD0325901,

LY204002 and VPA; and PD0325901 and Nac resulted in a great increase of *Nis* mRNA

(Fig. 5B, C and Supplementary Fig. 4A). IF staining shows Nis protein re-expression

among Braf[V637E]-induced cells treated with VPA alone, but localization was not restricted

to the membrane. In contrast, when cells were treated with MEK of PI3K inhibitors,

associated or not with VPA, we observed Nis protein at the apical membrane and

surprisingly, restored the follicular structure (Fig. 5C).

MAPK and PI3K signaling inhibition cause Braf-induced cells redifferentiation and

function recovery

Since we observed that HDAC, MEK and PI3K inhibition seems to favor follicle

reorganization, we evaluated if such treatments also induce cell redifferentiation and

function recovery. Initially, we analyzed the gene expression levels of thyroid

differentiation markers strongly affected by Braf-induction. The effects of isolated and

combined drugs on *Tg, TSHR, Tpo, Nkx2-1* and *Duox* genes appear variable and pathway-

dependent (Supplementary Fig. 4B-F). As with *Slc5a5/Nis*, MEK and PI3K inhibition in

combination with or without VPA resulted in complete recovery of *Tg*, *TSHR* and *Tpo*

mRNA levels (Fig. 6A-C), indicating cell redifferentiation. While *TSHR* levels can be

restored by treatment with isolated inhibitors (Fig. 6B), *Tpo* expression appears to be

dependent on PI3K signaling (Fig. 6C). On the other hand, *Tg* mRNA expression is

recovered just when the inhibitors are used in combination (Fig. 6A). Indeed,

immunostaining showed that isolated inhibitors could not restore Tg levels. Nevertheless,

a higher proportion of Tg-expressing cells was observed with the MEK inhibitor

PD0325901 (Supplementary Fig. 4G). Conversely, combined MEK and PI3K inhibitors

restored Tg protein levels and generated a similar proportion of expressing follicles as

under the cAMP condition (Fig. 6D-E).

Considering that inhibition of MEK and PI3K pathways (+/-VPA) promote recovery of

thyroid redifferentiation and follicular organization, we tested the functionality of

reorganized follicles. Remarkably, we observed an accumulation of Tg-I in the lumen of

follicles treated with the combinations of inhibitors (Fig. 6F). The organification assay

confirmed that the co-treatment restored iodide uptake and ^{125}I binding to proteins

resulting in organification levels comparable to the control (Fig. 6G-I).

Transcriptomic characterization of the PTC model and drug screening

Transcriptomic analysis of cAMP, cAMP+4OHT and cAMP+4OHT+PD+LY (Fig. 5A)

confirmed downregulation of thyroid genes under Braf-oncogene stimulation (4OHT

condition) whereas, global recovery of expression was observed under inhibitors

treatment (Fig. 7A). Accordingly, thyroid differentiation scores (TDS and enhanced

(e)TDS) were decreased under Braf^{V637E} induction while they recovered in the presence

of inhibitors (Fig. 7B). Conversely, the ERK activation score was higher in the Braf^{V637E}

samples and decreased sharply under inhibitor conditions (Fig. 7C). Since bulk RNAseq

was performed using the follicle-enriched population, the presence of non-thyroid cells

is reduced compared with the whole original organoids but still present. It may explain

the modest increase in ERK score among Braf-activated cells while the apparent decrease

under the condition with inhibitors reflects the effect of the treatment on each cell type.

Differential expression analysis identified 321 Differential Expressed Genes (DEGs; 156

upregulated and 165 downregulated genes) in the 4OHT condition compared to cAMP;

and 853 DEGs in inhibitors compared to 4OHT (287 upregulated and 566 downregulated

genes). Gene enrichment classification analysis of DEGs results is presented in Fig. 7D-

G. Briefly, among the upregulated genes in Braf-induced compared to control, we

observed gene signature for the hyperactivation of PI3K-AKT-mTOR, TNF, and

cytokines signaling and promotion of Epithelial-Mesenchymal transition (EMT) (Fig.

7D). While genes associated with thyroid hormone production, TGF-beta, Wnt/Beta-

Catenin pathway, and regulation of angiogenesis were down-regulated (Fig. 7E).

Conversely, the inhibitor's treatment, compared to 4OHT condition, evidenced

upregulation of genes associated with thyroid hormone production, cell-cell contact,

extra-cellular matrix organization, and angiogenesis processes (Fig. 7F), while PI3K,

MAPK, TNF, cytokines signaling, ECM-receptor interactions and EMT-related genes

were downregulated (Fig. 7G).

DISCUSSION

Here, we described a Braf-oncogene derived thyroid cancer organoid model recapitulating patient tumor features. *In vitro* thyroid cancer models that recapitulate tumor development and behavior can facilitate the identification of early tumor drivers and enable the screening of several new drugs for the treatment of thyroid cancer without the need to use too many animal models. Combining our previous thyroid organoid model derived from mESCs with an inducible system, the Braf[V637E] oncogene could be induced explicitly in mature Tg-expressing cells to obtain a 3D *in vitro* cancer model. Overexpression of Braf[V637E] rapidly led to MAPK activation with increased pERK, cell dedifferention and disruption of follicular organization. Similar effects have been described in genetically modified mouse models[28,59,66]. Furthermore, the gene expression signature of our cancer organoids confirmed the findings found in the PTC samples, which also showed enrichment of genes associated to p53, focal adhesion, ECM-receptor interactions, EMT and inflammatory pathways[67–69].

Activating mutations in the *BRAF* gene are found in approximately 7% of all solid human tumors, particularly common in PTCs, ATCs and melanomas. In addition, they have been reported less frequently in colorectal cancers (CRCs), lung cancers, pediatric low-grade gliomas (PLGGs), glioblastomas, breast cancers and serous ovarian cancers[70–76]. In PTCs and melanomas, BRAF[V600E] mutation seems to be associated with a higher degree of dedifferentiation and more aggressive histological patterns. However, its prognostic role is still debated as it was not found independently of histological features[33,34]. Nevertheless, studies suggest that BRAF[V600E] might predict response to tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs) in melanoma and lung cancer[77,78].

Surgery remains the first choice for thyroid cancer therapy. Recommended post-operative treatment includes TSH suppression and RAI ablation, particularly as adjuvant

treatment for patients at high risk for tumor recurrence and to treat patients with

persistent/recurrent or metastatic disease[79]. The benefit of RAI has been demonstrated in

patients by reducing the risk of recurrence and disease-related mortality[80]. However,

approximately two-thirds of metastatic DTC become radioiodine refractory (RR-DTC),

defined by the absence of iodine uptake or tumor progression despite uptake[81,82]. There

is no curative treatment for radioiodine refractory DTCs. The recommended first-line

systemic treatment when local therapies are not possible is targeted therapies using

mainly multitarget TKIs, such as Lenvatinib showed a progression-free survival of 18

months [63,83–85]. However, it leads to frequent resistance and adverse effects.

NIS, a basal membrane iodide transporter, plays a critical role in radioiodine

accumulation in DTC cells and its level is closely related to response to RAI (I^{131}) therapy.

Studies in mouse models and humans have shown that the presence of *BRAF* mutations

results in lower *Nis* levels and, consequently a higher rate of RAI-refractory tumours[28,31].

Here, we confirmed that this regulation is preserved in our cancer organoids, as disruption

of *Braf* activation led to in recovery of *Nis* levels. As TKIs, retinoic acids (RA), histone

deacetylase inhibitors (HDAC), peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-gamma

(PPARG) have been tested to promote redifferentiation and *NIS* re-expression of RR-

DTCs, to suggest RAI treatment after a short, targeted treatment[83,86–88]. This strategy

could lead to tumor response while limiting adverse effects and, several clinical trials are

ongoing. However, the re-expression of NIS is insufficient to explain the response to

redifferentiation therapeutics and RAI treatment. Membrane trafficking and the cellular

machinery that concentrate and retain iodine must be preserved[89] in a follicular

organization. Our model is proving to be a potential tool for redifferentiation studies

because of its ability to test large sets and combinations of treatments and to assess

follicular reorganization and iodide organification capacity using a functional assay that

is likely to be more strongly associated with response to RAI treatment than *NIS* mRNA

levels. Braf[V637E]-expressing cells treated with VPA, MAPK and PI3K inhibitors showed

re-expression of *Nis* and corresponding uptake of radioactive iodide. Interestingly, the

combination of inhibitors also promoted the restoration of thyroid markers, follicular

organization, and iodide organification ability. Interestingly, an ongoing clinical trial tests

the effect of BKM120, a PI3K inhibitor, in patients with progressive, metastatic,

refractory, follicular or poorly differentiated thyroid cancers (NCT01830504). However,

the association with MAPK inhibitors is still not evaluated in patients with thyroid cancer.

In *BRAF*-mutated tumors, studies have shown that the combination of TKIs

therapies appears more effective and circumvents primary and acquired resistance to TKI

therapy. Often, resistance is due to reactivation of the MAPK/ERK pathway or activation

of other signaling pathways such as PTEN, NF-1 or RAS. It may also result from the

hyperactivation of tyrosine kinase receptors, such as PDFRβ, IGF-1R and HGF, which

lead to activation of the AKT/PI3K pathway[90]. Studies in patients with advanced

melanoma carrying a BRAF[V600E] mutation have shown that combining BRAF and MEK

inhibitors resulted in a higher rate of complete/partial responses and median progression-

free survival compared with monotherapy groups[91–93]. Such significant results lead to the

use of drug combinations as standard treatment for the patients[94,95].

In summary, we have developed a Braf oncogene-expressing thyroid cancer in

vitro organoid model from mESCs that recapitulates transcriptomic and histological

features of PTCs at early and advanced stages. Moreover, we demonstrated that the

combination of MEK and PI3K inhibitors promotes *Nis* re-expression and cell re-

differentiation leading to the restoration of follicular structures and thyroid functionality.

Considering the robustness of this *in vitro* model, which allows controlled induction of

the major thyroid oncogene in a three-dimensional system, its efficiency and simplicity,

our model can be used to study mechanisms associated with thyroid cancer development

and progression, thyroid redifferentiation, and drug screening.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Cell culture and mESC_Braf^{V637E} line generation

The previously genetically modified G4 RosaLuc mouse embryonic stem cell line

(mESC)[96] was initially cultured on gamma-irradiated mouse embryonic fibroblasts

(MEFs) feeder using mouse stem cell medium[37,57] and incubated at 37 °C, 5 % CO^2 and

>95 % humidity. To insert the target sequences into the modified Rosa26 locus of G4

RosaLuc mESCs, around 1×10^5 cells were transfected using the Flpe expressing vector[96]

and the target vector containing the rtTA-TRE induction system, thyroid transcription

factors, Nkx2-1 and Pax8 and bTg-NES-Braf^{V637E}-ERT^2 sequences (Supplementary Fig.

1A) following the lipofectamine 3000 protocol (Thermo Scientific). The Braf^{V637E}

mutation here used for mouse cells is equivalent to the human BRAF^{V600E} mutation[58].

G418 (300 µg/ml) was applied 48 h after transfection, typically for 10 days, and

individual clones were picked and further expanded. Clones were screened for by

immunofluorescence for Nkx2-1 and Pax8 expression induction after three days of

incubation with 1 µg/ml Doxycyclin (Dox) (Supplementary Fig. 1B). Positive clones

were then characterized according to pluripotency maintenance (Supplementary Fig. 1C),

Nkx2-1 and *Pax8* expression, and efficiency of thyroid differentiation. In addition, we

generated an mESC control line where the sequences of NES-BRAF^{V637E}-ERT^2 were

replaced by the eGFP sequence, resulting in the TRE-Nkx2-1-Pax8_bTg-eGFP line

(Supplementary Fig. 1D). The mESC control line generation, selection and

characterization were performed as described above (Supplementary Fig. 1E, F).

Thyroid differentiation protocol

G4 RosaLuc TRE-Nkx2-1-Pax8_bTg-NES-Braf^{V637E}-ERT^2 and TRE-Nkx2-1-Pax8_bTg-eGFP cells were cultured and differentiated as described previously by Antonica *et al.* (2012)[37] with few modifications. Briefly, embryoid bodies (EBs), generated by hanging drops were collected after four days and embedded in growth factor reduced Matrigel (GFR MTG; BD Biosciences); 50µl MTG drops (containing around 20 EBs) were plated into 12-wells plates. EBs were differentiated using a differentiation medium initially supplemented with 1µg/ml of Doxycycline (Sigma) for five days, followed by two weeks of maturation induction by using 0.3 µmol of 8-Br-cAMP (BioLog Life Science Institute) or 1mU ml^{-1} of thyrotropin (hrTSH; Genzyme). Thyroid differentiation and functionality were evaluated by transcriptomics (RT-PCR and bulk RNA sequencing), immunofluorescence, and iodide organification.

Braf^{V637E} induction and drug screening

After full thyroid maturation (Day 25), MTG drops were washed twice with Hanks's balanced salt solution (HBSS, containing calcium and magnesium; Invitrogen) and incubated in an HBSS solution (1 ml per well) containing 10 U/ml of dispase II (Roche) and 125 U/ml of collagenase type IV (Sigma) for 30 min at 37°C. Then the enzymes were inactivated by adding 10% FBS and cells were centrifuged at 500g for 3 min. Cells were rinsed twice with HBSS, and the follicles population was enriched by filtering using 30 µm (single cell removal) and 100 µm reverse strainer (Pluriselect). Finally, enriched structures were re-embedded in GFR MTG (50µl) and plated into 12-well plates. Twenty-four hours later, cells were incubated with 1 µM of 4-Hydroxytamoxifen (4OHT) (Sigma) and 8-Br-cAMP or hrTSH for 24h, 48h or 7 days to promote Braf^{V637E}-induced phosphorylation of MAPK pathway[58].

In addition, Braf-induced thyroid organoids (previously treated for four days with 4OHT)

were cultured with distinct compounds, previously suggested to regulate Nis re-

expression and inhibit *Braf* oncogenic effects[64,65]. Among the screened drugs, several

cell processes were targeted by the following compounds: PD0325901 (MEK inhibitor;

250nM; Stem Cell), LY204002 (PI3K inhibitor; 5μM; Selleckchem), VPA (HDAC

inhibitor; 250μM; Sigma), NAC (N-acetyl cysteine, antioxidant compound; 2mM;

Sigma), 5-AZA-2' deoxycytidine (DNA methyltransferase (DMNT) inhibitor; 1μM;

Sigma) and RG108 (DMNT inhibitor; 10μM; Sigma).

Flow cytometry - Proliferation assay

Control condition (4OHT-) and organoids treated with 4OHT for 6 days were treated with

BrdU (1mM) for 3 hours, collected and prepared for immunostaining as follows: Matrigel

drops (at least five samples per condition) were first digested with HBSS solution

containing 10 U/ml dispase II and 125 U/ml collagenase type IV for 30-60 min at 37°C;

then a single cell suspension was obtained by dissociation with TripLE Express for 10-

15 min incubation at 37°C, the enzymes were inactivated by addition of differentiation

medium. After centrifugation, samples were rinsed with PBS, and then the BrdU Flow

Kit staining protocol (BD) was used according to the manufacturer's instructions. In order

to identify the BrdU incorporated cells among the thyroid population, cells were also

stained using primary Nkx2-1 antibody (1:100; Abcam; RRID:AB_1310784) and anti-

rabbit IgG Alexa fluor 647-conjugated secondary antibody (1:300; Jackson

Immunoresearch; RRID:AB_2492288). Non-stained and only secondary-incubated cells

were used as a negative control for gate strategy. LSR-Fortessa X-20 flow cytometer was

used for quantification, and BD FACSDiva software (RRID:SCR_001456) was used for

the analysis. Data are presented as a percentage of positive cells.

Immunofluorescence staining

Organoids embedded in MTG were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA; Sigma) for 1 h

at room temperature (RT) and washed three times in PBS. Blocking was performed using

a solution of PBS containing 3% bovine serum albumin (BSA; Sigma), 5% horse serum

(Invitrogen) and 0.3% Triton X-100 (Sigma) for 30 min at RT. The primary and

secondary antibodies were diluted in a solution of PBS containing 3% BSA, 1% horse

serum and 0.1% Triton X-100. Primary antibodies were incubated overnight at 4°C

followed by incubation with secondary antibodies and Hoechst for 2 h at RT. Slides were

mounted with Glycergel (Dako).

Organoids were fixed overnight at 4°C in 4% PFA for paraffin embedding and kept in

70% ethanol at 4°C before embedding. Samples were then embedded in paraffin,

sectioned (5 μm), mounted on glass slides, deparaffinized, and rehydrated. For

immunostaining, antigen retrieval was performed by incubating the sections for 10 min

in the microwave (850 W) in Sodium Citrate Buffer (10 mM Sodium Citrate, 0.05%

Tween 20, pH 6.0). After cooling, the sections were rinsed with PBS and blocked with

1% BSA and 10% horse serum PBS solution for 1 h at RT. Primary antibodies were

diluted (as described above) in the blocking solution and incubated overnight at 4°C. The

sections were rinsed three times in PBS and incubated with Hoechst and secondary

antibodies diluted in blocking solution for 1 h at room temperature. Slides were mounted

with Glycergel (Dako). Imaging was performed using a Zeiss LSM510 META confocal

microscope and a Leica DMI6000 microscope with DFC365FX camera. The antibodies

information is listed in the supplementary Table 1.

Western blot

Organoids were initially isolated (collagenase IV/dispase II solution, described above)

from at least 6 distinct wells and pooled together for protein extraction using RIPA buffer.

The protein concentration was determined according to Pierce 660 nm protein assay

reagent protocol (Thermo Scientific). Briefly, each sample's 30–50 µg of protein was

fractionated by 10% SDS–PAGE and blotted onto an Immobilon PVDF membrane

(Millipore). Blocking of non-specific binding sites were blocked by incubation with 5%

BSA in Tris-buffered saline (TBS) 0.1% Tween-20 for 1 h. Thereafter, the membrane

was incubated overnight at 4 °C with primary antibodies against p-ERK (1:1,000; Cell

Signaling; RRID:AB_2315112) and β-Actin (1:1,1000; Cell Signaling;

RRID:AB_2242334) in a blocking solution. Next, the membrane was incubated with

horseradish peroxidase-conjugated anti-rabbit (1:1,000; Cell Signaling;

RRID:AB_2099233) or anti-mouse antibody (1:1,000; Cell Signaling;

RRID:AB_330924) in a blocking solution, for 60 min at RT. The antigen-antibody

complexes were visualized using an enhanced chemiluminescence system (GE

Healthcare) and captured by Azure 500 system (Azure Biosystems).

Iodide organification assay

Thyroid organoids treated with cAMP, cAMP+4OHT, cAMP+4OHT+PD+LY and

cAMP+4OHT+PD+LY+VPA were tested for the ability of iodide uptake and

organification as previously described[37,57]. Briefly, cells were washed with HBSS and

incubated with 1 ml per well of an organification medium containing 1,000,000 c.p.m.

per ml [125]I (PerkinElmer) and 100 nM sodium iodide (NaI, Sigma) in HBSS for 2 h at 37

°C. After, the reaction was stopped by the addition of methimazole (MMI; 4 mM, Sigma).

After two cold PBS washes, cells were detached using trypsin/EDTA (Invitrogen). For

iodide uptake quantification, cells were collected, and radioactivity was measured using

a c-counter. Subsequently, proteins were precipitated by adding 1 mg of gamma-globulins

(Sigma) and 20% TCA followed by centrifugation at 2,000 r.p.m. for 10 min, at 4°C and

the radioactivity of protein-bound ^{125}I (PBI) was measured. Iodide organification was

calculated as an iodide uptake/PBI ratio and, the values were expressed as a percentage.

Cells were also treated with 1 mM sodium perchlorate (Nis inhibitor; NaClO4, Sigma-

Aldrich) and 2 mM MMI (Tpo inhibitor; Sigma-Aldrich) as iodide uptake and protein-

binding controls, respectively. The experiments were performed in triplicates for each

condition.

Gene expression analysis

Real-time PCR (RT-qPCR) was performed on cDNA from thyroid organoids from at least

three independent experiments. Total RNA was extracted from thyroid organoids by the

addition of lysis RLT Lysis buffer (Qiagen) + 1% 2-mercaptoethanol directly on the MTG

drop containing the organoids. RNA was isolated using the RNeasy micro kit (Qiagen)

according to the manufacturer's instructions. cDNA was generated by reverse

transcription using the Superscript II kit (Invitrogen). qPCR was performed on cDNA in

triplicates using Takyon™ No ROX SYBR 2X MasterMix blue dTTP (Eurogentec) and

CFX Connect Real-Time System (Biorad). Results are presented as linearized values

normalized to the housekeeping gene, β2-microglobulin and the indicated reference value

(2-DDCt). Primer sequences are described in supplementary Table 2.

Bulk RNA sequencing and analysis

Bulk RNA-seq was performed on day 31, using the cAMP, cAMP+4OHT (5 days) and

cAMP+4OHT+PD+LY (3 days) conditions (see protocol, Fig. 5A). RNA extraction was

performed as previously described (section "*Gene expression analysis*"), and

experiments were performed in duplicates. At least three distinct wells were pooled

together for each condition. RNA quality and concentration were evaluated using

Bioanalyser 2100 (Agilent) and RNA 6000 Nano Kit (Agilent). RNA integrity was

preserved, and no genomic DNA contamination was detected. Indexed cDNA libraries

were obtained using the TruSeq Stranded mRNA Sample Prep kit (Illumina) using an S2

flow cell, and sequences were produced using a 200 cycles reagent kit. The resulting high-

quality indexed cDNA libraries were quantified with the Quant-iT PicoGreen kit (Life

Sciences) and Infinite F200 Proplate reader (Tecan); DNA fragment size distribution was

examined with the 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent) using the DNA 1000 kit (Agilent).

Multiplexed libraries (10ρM) were loaded onto flow cells and sequenced on the HiSeq

1500 system (Illumina) in high-output mode using the HiSeq Cluster Kit v4 (Illumina).

The sequenced data were uploaded on the galaxy web platform version 22.05.1, and the

public server, Data independent acquisition proteomics workbench (RRID:SCR_021862,

https://usegalaxy.eu), was used for mapping and counting analysis. Approximately 10

million paired-end reads were obtained per sample. After the removal of low-quality

bases and Illumina adapter sequences using Trimmomatic software[97]

(RRID:SCR_011848), paired-end reads were mapped against the mouse reference

genome mm10 (GRCm38) using HISAT2 sofware[98] (RRID:SCR_015530), allowing soft

clipping. Raw read counts were obtained using HTseq (RRID:SCR_005514) count

software with unstranded option[99]. Low-expressed genes were filtered using the EdgeR

(RRID:SCR_012802) package in R Project for Statistical Computing

(RRID:SCR_001905; https://www.r-project.org). Then, differential expression analysis

was performed using DESeq2 package[100] (RRID:SCR_000154). Fold change and

adjusted p-value thresholds of 2 and 0.05, respectively were used to select differentially

expressed genes (DEG). Gene ontology and pathways enrichment analysis in up and

down differentially expressed gene lists was realized using EnrichR

(https://maayanlab.cloud/Enrichr/). Transformed counts corresponding to log2

(normalized counts +4) using the DESeq2 package were used for further analysis and

heatmap visualization. Thyroid differentiation score (TDS), Enhanced Thyroid

differentiation score (eTDS) and ERK activation score were calculated as previously

described[63]. The list of the genes used for the score's calculation is provided in

Supplementary Table 3.

Data availability

Bulk RNA-seq data have been deposited in the NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO;

RRID:SCR_005012) under accession number GSE228281.

Statistical analysis

All statistical analysis were performed using GraphPad Prism 9 (RRID:SCR_002798).

Comparison between two groups and its statistical significance was tested using the

unpaired t-test or nonparametric Mann-Whitney U test. In contrast, comparison between

multiple groups was performed using one-way ANOVA or nonparametric Kruskal-Wallis

test. Data are displayed as mean ± SD or median (IQR). Differences were considered

significant at $p < 0.05$ and presented as follows: $*p < 0.05$, $**p < 0.01$, $***p < 0.001$, $****p$

< 0.0001. All the data presented are from at least three independent experiments.

Acknowledgements and Funding

The authors acknowledge the ULB flow cytometry platform (C. Dubois), the ULB

genomic core facility (F. Libert and A. Lefort), LiMIF platform for confocal microscopy

(J.-M. Vanderwinden). We acknowledge the funding agencies that supported this study.

The Belgian National Fund for Scientific Research (FNRS) (PDR T.0140.14; PDR

T.0230.18, CDR J.0068.22, Televie 7.4633.17/7.4526.19) and the Fonds

d'Encouragement à la Recherche de l'Université Libre de Bruxelles (FER-ULB). The

Belgian Fondation contre le cancer (F/2020/1402; V.D.). FNRS (Chargé de Recherche,

No.825745; M.R.). The cooperation program CAPES-WBI (S.C. and A.L.M). The

Brazilian National Council for Scientific and Technological Development (CNPq; M.R.

and A.L.M), the Coordination for the Improvement of Higher Education Personnel

(CAPES; M.R. and A.L.M), the Brazilian Society of Endocrinology and Metabolism

(SBEM; M.R. and A.L.M) and the Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado do Rio

Grande do Sul (FAPERGS; A.L.M). S.C is Research Director at FNRS. M.R is researcher

at ULB.

Author contributions

H.L., S.C. and M.R. developed the project, designed the experiments and analyzed the

data. L.H., T.P., S.G. and J.H. generated the plasmids and the G4 Rosaluc mESC line.

M.R. and A.S. generated the G4 RosaLuc TRE-Nkx2-1-Pax8_bTg-NES-Braf^{V637E}-ERT^2

and TRE-Nkx2-1-Pax8_bTg-eGFP cell lines. H.L., M.R., B.F.F., A.S., O.M., L.C.,

M.K.P. B.A., L.C., performed the *in vitro* experiments and protocol set up. M.R. and P.G.

obtained confocal images. H.L., M.R. and A.T. performed bulk RNA-Sequencing and

analyzed the results. H.L. and A.T. performed the bioinformatics analysis. H.L. and M.R.

wrote the first draft and, A.L.M. and S.C. edited the manuscript. S.C., M.R. and A.L.M.

acquired funding for the project. All authors contributed to the article and approved the

submitted version.

Competing interests

All authors declare no competing interests.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Mírian Romitti.

REFERENCES

1   Schutgens F, Clevers H. Human Organoids: Tools for Understanding Biology

and Treating Diseases. *Annual Review of Pathology: Mechanisms of Disease*

2020; **15**: 211–234.

2   Clevers H. Modeling Development and Disease with Organoids. *Cell* 2016; **165**:

1586–1597.

3   Kim J, Koo BK, Knoblich JA. Human organoids: model systems for human

biology and medicine. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2020; **21**: 571–584.

4   Boj SF, Hwang C-I, Baker LA, Chio IIC, Engle DD, Corbo V *et al.* Organoid

Models of Human and Mouse Ductal Pancreatic Cancer. *Cell* 2015; **160**: 324–

338.

5   Gao D, Vela I, Sboner A, Iaquinta PJ, Karthaus WR, Gopalan A *et al.* Organoid

Cultures Derived from Patients with Advanced Prostate Cancer. *Cell* 2014; **159**:

176–187.

6   Sato T, Stange DE, Ferrante M, Vries RGJ, van Es JH, van den Brink S *et al.*

Long-term Expansion of Epithelial Organoids From Human Colon, Adenoma,

Adenocarcinoma, and Barrett's Epithelium. *Gastroenterology* 2011; **141**: 1762–

1772.

7   van de Wetering M, Francies HE, Francis JM, Bounova G, Iorio F, Pronk A *et al.*

Prospective Derivation of a Living Organoid Biobank of Colorectal Cancer

Patients. *Cell* 2015; **161**: 933–945.

8   Drost J, Clevers H. Organoids in cancer research. *Nat Rev Cancer* 2018; **18**: 407–

418.

9   Gleave AM, Ci X, Lin D, Wang Y. A synopsis of prostate organoid

methodologies, applications, and limitations. *Prostate* 2020; **80**: 518–526.

10   Maenhoudt N, Vankelecom H. Protocol for establishing organoids from human

ovarian cancer biopsies. *STAR Protoc* 2021; **2**: 100429.

11   Gunti S, Hoke ATK, Vu KP, London NR. Organoid and Spheroid Tumor

Models: Techniques and Applications. *Cancers (Basel)* 2021; **13**: 874.

12   Saito Y, Onishi N, Takami H, Seishima R, Inoue H, Hirata Y *et al.* Development

of a functional thyroid model based on an organoid culture system. *Biochem*

Biophys Res Commun 2018; **497**: 783–789.

13   Chen D, Su X, Zhu L, Jia H, Han B, Chen H *et al.* Papillary thyroid cancer

organoids harboring BRAFV600E mutation reveal potentially beneficial effects

of BRAF inhibitor-based combination therapies. *J Transl Med* 2023; **21**: 9.

14   Vlachogiannis G, Hedayat S, Vatsiou A, Jamin Y, Fernández-Mateos J, Khan K

et al. Patient-derived organoids model treatment response of metastatic

gastrointestinal cancers. *Science (1979)* 2018; **359**: 920–926.

15   Drost J, van Jaarsveld RH, Ponsioen B, Zimberlin C, van Boxtel R, Buijs A *et al.*

Sequential cancer mutations in cultured human intestinal stem cells. *Nature*

2015; **521**: 43–47.

16   Matano M, Date S, Shimokawa M, Takano A, Fujii M, Ohta Y *et al.* Modeling

colorectal cancer using CRISPR-Cas9–mediated engineering of human intestinal

organoids. *Nat Med* 2015; **21**: 256–262.

17 Miura A, Yamada D, Nakamura M, Tomida S, Shimizu D, Jiang Y *et al.*

Oncogenic potential of human pluripotent stem cell-derived lung organoids with

<scp>HER2</scp> overexpression. *Int J Cancer* 2021; **149**: 1593–1604.

18 Nadauld LD, Garcia S, Natsoulis G, Bell JM, Miotke L, Hopmans ES *et al.*

Metastatic tumor evolution and organoid modeling implicate TGFBR2as a cancer

driver in diffuse gastric cancer. *Genome Biol* 2014; **15**: 428.

19 Li X, Nadauld L, Ootani A, Corney DC, Pai RK, Gevaert O *et al.* Oncogenic

transformation of diverse gastrointestinal tissues in primary organoid culture. *Nat*

Med 2014; **20**: 769–777.

20 Huang L, Holtzinger A, Jagan I, BeGora M, Lohse I, Ngai N *et al.* Ductal

pancreatic cancer modeling and drug screening using human pluripotent stem

cell– and patient-derived tumor organoids. *Nat Med* 2015; **21**: 1364–1371.

21 Lo Y-H, Karlsson K, Kuo CJ. Applications of organoids for cancer biology and

precision medicine. *Nat Cancer* 2020; **1**: 761–773.

22 Lim H, Devesa SS, Sosa JA, Check D, Kitahara CM. Trends in Thyroid Cancer

Incidence and Mortality in the United States, 1974-2013. *JAMA* 2017; **317**: 1338.

23 ROMITTI M, CEOLIN L, SIQUEIRA DR, FERREIRA CV, WAJNER SM,

MAIA AL. Signaling pathways in follicular cell-derived thyroid carcinomas. *Int*

J Oncol 2013; **42**: 19–28.

24 Kimura ET, Nikiforova MN, Zhu Z, Knauf JA, Nikiforov YE, Fagin JA. High

prevalence of BRAF mutations in thyroid cancer: genetic evidence for

constitutive activation of the RET/PTC-RAS-BRAF signaling pathway in

papillary thyroid carcinoma. *Cancer Res* 2003; **63**: 1454–7.

25 Fagin JA, Mitsiades N. Molecular pathology of thyroid cancer: diagnostic and

clinical implications. *Best Pract Res Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2008; **22**: 955–969.

26   Scheffel RS, Cristo AP de, Romitti M, Vargas CVF, Ceolin L, Zanella AB *et al.*

The BRAFV600E mutation analysis and risk stratification in papillary thyroid

carcinoma. *Arch Endocrinol Metab* 2020. doi:10.20945/2359-3997000000285.

27   Xing M. The T1799A BRAF mutation is not a germline mutation in familial

nonmedullary thyroid cancer. *Clin Endocrinol (Oxf)* 2005; **63**: 263–266.

28   Chakravarty D, Santos E, Ryder M, Knauf JA, Liao X-H, West BL *et al.* Small-

molecule MAPK inhibitors restore radioiodine incorporation in mouse thyroid

cancers with conditional BRAF activation. *Journal of Clinical Investigation*

2011; **121**: 4700–4711.

29   Zaman, Wu, Bivona. Targeting Oncogenic BRAF: Past, Present, and Future.

Cancers (Basel) 2019; **11**: 1197.

30   Agrawal N, Akbani R, Aksoy BA, Ally A, Arachchi H, Asa SL *et al.* Integrated

Genomic Characterization of Papillary Thyroid Carcinoma. *Cell* 2014; **159**: 676–

690.

31   Romei C, Fugazzola L, Puxeddu E, Frasca F, Viola D, Muzza M *et al.*

Modifications in the Papillary Thyroid Cancer Gene Profile Over the Last 15

Years. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2012; **97**: E1758–E1765.

32   Sabra MM, Dominguez JM, Grewal RK, Larson SM, Ghossein RA, Tuttle RM *et*

al. Clinical Outcomes and Molecular Profile of Differentiated Thyroid Cancers

With Radioiodine-Avid Distant Metastases. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2013; **98**:

E829–E836.

33   Xing M. BRAFV600E Mutation and Papillary Thyroid Cancer. *JAMA* 2013; **310**:

535.

34 Scheffel RS, Cristo AP de, Romitti M, Vargas CVF, Ceolin L, Zanella AB *et al.*

The BRAFV600E mutation analysis and risk stratification in papillary thyroid

carcinoma. *Arch Endocrinol Metab* 2021. doi:10.20945/2359-3997000000285.

35 Liu R, Bishop J, Zhu G, Zhang T, Ladenson PW, Xing M. Mortality Risk

Stratification by Combining *BRAF* V600E and *TERT* Promoter Mutations in

Papillary Thyroid Cancer. *JAMA Oncol* 2017; **3**: 202.

36 Boucai L, Seshan V, Williams M, Knauf JA, Saqcena M, Ghossein RA *et al.*

Characterization of Subtypes of BRAF-Mutant Papillary Thyroid Cancer Defined

by Their Thyroid Differentiation Score. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2022; **107**:

1030–1039.

37 Antonica F, Kasprzyk DF, Opitz R, Iacovino M, Liao XH, Dumitrescu AM *et al.*

Generation of functional thyroid from embryonic stem cells. *Nature* 2012; **491**:

66–71.

38 Romitti M, Tourneur A, de Faria da Fonseca B, Doumont G, Gillotay P, Liao X-

H *et al.* Transplantable human thyroid organoids generated from embryonic stem

cells to rescue hypothyroidism. *Nat Commun* 2022; **13**: 7057.

39 Longmire TA, Ikonomou L, Hawkins F, Christodoulou C, Cao Y, Jean JC *et al.*

Efficient derivation of purified lung and thyroid progenitors from embryonic

stem cells. *Cell Stem Cell* 2012; **10**: 398–411.

40 Ma R, Latif R, Davies TF. Human embryonic stem cells form functional thyroid

follicles. *Thyroid* 2015; **25**: 455–461.

41 Ma R, Latif R, Davies TF. Thyroid follicle formation and thyroglobulin

expression in multipotent endodermal stem cells. *Thyroid* 2013; **23**: 385–391.

42   Ma R, Morshed SA, Latif R, Davies TF. TAZ Induction Directs Differentiation

of Thyroid Follicular Cells from Human Embryonic Stem Cells. *Thyroid* 2017;

27: 292–299.

43   Ma R, Shi R, Morshed SA, Latif R, Davies TF. Derivation and 97% Purification

of Human Thyroid Cells From Dermal Fibroblasts. *Front Endocrinol (Lausanne)*

2020; **11**: 446.

44   Kurmann AA, Serra M, Hawkins F, Rankin SA, Mori M, Astapova I *et al.*

Regeneration of Thyroid Function by Transplantation of Differentiated

Pluripotent Stem Cells. *Cell Stem Cell* 2015; **17**: 527–542.

45   Serra M, Alysandratos KD, Hawkins F, McCauley KB, Jacob A, Choi J *et al.*

Pluripotent stem cell differentiation reveals distinct developmental pathways

regulating Lung-Versus Thyroid-Lineage specification. *Development*

(Cambridge) 2017; **144**: 3879–3893.

46   Dame K, Cincotta S, Lang AH, Sanghrajka RM, Zhang L, Choi J *et al.* Thyroid

Progenitors Are Robustly Derived from Embryonic Stem Cells through

Transient, Developmental Stage-Specific Overexpression of Nkx2-1. *Stem Cell*

Reports 2017; **8**: 216–225.

47   Ogundipe VML, Groen AH, Hosper N, Nagle PWK, Hess J, Faber H *et al.*

Generation and Differentiation of Adult Tissue-Derived Human Thyroid

Organoids. *Stem Cell Reports* 2021; **16**: 913–925.

48   Liang J, Qian J, Yang L, Chen X, Wang X, Lin X *et al.* Modeling Human

Thyroid Development by Fetal Tissue-Derived Organoid Culture. *Advanced*

Science 2022; **9**. doi:10.1002/advs.202105568.

49   van der Vaart J, Bosmans L, Sijbesma SF, Knoops K, van de Wetering WJ, Otten

HG *et al.* Adult mouse and human organoids derived from thyroid follicular cells

and modeling of Graves' hyperthyroidism. *Proceedings of the National Academy*

of Sciences 2021; **118**. doi:10.1073/pnas.2117017118.

50   Chen D, Tan Y, Li Z, Li W, Yu L, Chen W *et al.* Organoid Cultures Derived

From Patients With Papillary Thyroid Cancer. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2021;

106: 1410–1426.

51   Sondorp LHJ, Ogundipe VML, Groen AH, Kelder W, Kemper A, Links TP *et al.*

Patient-Derived Papillary Thyroid Cancer Organoids for Radioactive Iodine

Refractory Screening. *Cancers (Basel)* 2020; **12**: 3212.

52   Pecce V, Sponziello M, Bini S, Grani G, Durante C, Verrienti A. Establishment

and maintenance of thyroid organoids from human cancer cells. *STAR Protoc*

2022; **3**: 101393.

53   Chen D, Su X, Zhu L, Jia H, Han B, Chen H *et al.* Papillary thyroid cancer

organoids harboring BRAFV600E mutation reveal potentially beneficial effects

of BRAF inhibitor-based combination therapies. *J Transl Med* 2023; **21**: 9.

54   Yang H, Liang Q, Zhang J, Liu J, Wei H, Chen H *et al.* Establishment of

papillary thyroid cancer organoid lines from clinical specimens. *Front*

Endocrinol (Lausanne) 2023; **14**. doi:10.3389/fendo.2023.1140888.

55   Veschi V, Turdo A, Modica C, Verona F, Di Franco S, Gaggianesi M *et al.*

Recapitulating thyroid cancer histotypes through engineering embryonic stem

cells. *Nat Commun* 2023; **14**: 1351.

56   Antonica F, Kasprzyk DF, Schiavo AA, Romitti M, Costagliola S. Generation of

Functional Thyroid Tissue Using 3D-Based Culture of Embryonic Stem Cells.

2017, pp 85–95.

57   Romitti M, Eski SE, Fonseca BF, Gillotay P, Singh SP, Costagliola S. Single-

Cell Trajectory Inference Guided Enhancement of Thyroid Maturation In Vitro

Using TGF-Beta Inhibition. *Front Endocrinol (Lausanne)* 2021; **12**.

doi:10.3389/fendo.2021.657195.

58   Ortiz O, Wurst W, Kühn R. Reversible and tissue-specific activation of MAP

kinase signaling by tamoxifen in braf [V637] ER [T2] mice. *genesis* 2013; **51**: 448–

455.

59   Knauf JA, Ma X, Smith EP, Zhang L, Mitsutake N, Liao X-H *et al.* Targeted

Expression of BRAFV600E in Thyroid Cells of Transgenic Mice Results in

Papillary Thyroid Cancers that Undergo Dedifferentiation. *Cancer Res* 2005; **65**:

4238–4245.

60   Tang W-W, Huang C, Tang C, Xu J, Wang H. Galectin-3 may serve as a

potential marker for diagnosis and prognosis in papillary thyroid carcinoma: a

meta-analysis. *Onco Targets Ther* 2016; : 455.

61   Xia S, Wang C, Postma EL, Yang Y, Ni X, Zhan W. Fibronectin 1 promotes

migration and invasion of papillary thyroid cancer and predicts papillary thyroid

cancer lymph node metastasis. *Onco Targets Ther* 2017; **Volume 10**: 1743–1755.

62   Sponziello M, Rosignolo F, Celano M, Maggisano V, Pecce V, De Rose RF *et al.*

Fibronectin-1 expression is increased in aggressive thyroid cancer and favors the

migration and invasion of cancer cells. *Mol Cell Endocrinol* 2016; **431**: 123–132.

63   Dunn LA, Sherman EJ, Baxi SS, Tchekmedyian V, Grewal RK, Larson SM *et al.*

Vemurafenib Redifferentiation of *BRAF* Mutant, RAI-Refractory Thyroid

Cancers. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2019; **104**: 1417–1428.

64   Kogai T, Brent GA. The sodium iodide symporter (NIS): Regulation and

approaches to targeting for cancer therapeutics. *Pharmacol Ther* 2012; **135**: 355–

370.

65 Azouzi N, Cailloux J, Cazarin JM, Knauf JA, Cracchiolo J, Al Ghuzlan A *et al.*

NADPH Oxidase NOX4 Is a Critical Mediator of BRAF [V600E] -Induced

Downregulation of the Sodium/Iodide Symporter in Papillary Thyroid

Carcinomas. *Antioxid Redox Signal* 2017; **26**: 864–877.

66 Saqcena M, Leandro-Garcia LJ, Maag JLV, Tchekmedyian V, Krishnamoorthy

GP, Tamarapu PP *et al.* SWI/SNF Complex Mutations Promote Thyroid Tumor

Progression and Insensitivity to Redifferentiation Therapies. *Cancer Discov*

2021; **11**: 1158–1175.

67 Qiu W, Yang Z, Fan Y, Zheng Q. ZNRF3 is downregulated in papillary thyroid

carcinoma and suppresses the proliferation and invasion of papillary thyroid

cancer cells. *Tumor Biology* 2016; **37**: 12665–12672.

68 Han J, Chen M, Wang Y, Gong B, Zhuang T, Liang L *et al.* Identification of

Biomarkers Based on Differentially Expressed Genes in Papillary Thyroid

Carcinoma. *Sci Rep* 2018; **8**: 9912.

69 Yoo S-K, Song YS, Lee EK, Hwang J, Kim HH, Jung G *et al.* Integrative

analysis of genomic and transcriptomic characteristics associated with

progression of aggressive thyroid cancer. *Nat Commun* 2019; **10**: 2764.

70 Davies H, Bignell GR, Cox C, Stephens P, Edkins S, Clegg S *et al.* Mutations of

the BRAF gene in human cancer. *Nature* 2002; **417**: 949–954.

71 Dhomen N, Marais R. New insight into BRAF mutations in cancer. *Curr Opin*

Genet Dev 2007; **17**: 31–39.

72 Nikiforov YE, Nikiforova MN. Molecular genetics and diagnosis of thyroid

cancer. *Nat Rev Endocrinol* 2011; **7**: 569–580.

73   Cheng L, Lopez-Beltran A, Massari F, MacLennan GT, Montironi R. Molecular

testing for BRAF mutations to inform melanoma treatment decisions: a move

toward precision medicine. *Modern Pathology* 2018; **31**: 24–38.

74   Schirripa M, Biason P, Lonardi S, Pella N, Pino MS, Urbano F *et al.* Class 1, 2,

and 3 BRAF-Mutated Metastatic Colorectal Cancer: A Detailed Clinical,

Pathologic, and Molecular Characterization. *Clinical Cancer Research* 2019; **25**:

3954–3961.

75   Pisapia P, Pepe F, Malapelle U, Troncone G. Mutations in Lung Cancer. *Acta*

Cytol 2019; **63**: 247–250.

76   Pisapia P, Pepe F, Iaccarino A, Sgariglia R, Nacchio M, Russo G *et al.* BRAF: A

Two-Faced Janus. *Cells* 2020; **9**: 2549.

77   Pavlick AC, Fecher L, Ascierto PA, Sullivan RJ. Frontline Therapy for BRAF-

Mutated Metastatic Melanoma: How Do You Choose, and Is There One Correct

Answer? *American Society of Clinical Oncology Educational Book* 2019; : 564–

571.

78   Anguera G, Majem M. BRAF inhibitors in metastatic non-small cell lung cancer.

J Thorac Dis 2018; **10**: 589–592.

79   Haugen BR, Alexander EK, Bible KC, Doherty GM, Mandel SJ, Nikiforov YE *et*

al. 2015 American Thyroid Association Management Guidelines for Adult

Patients with Thyroid Nodules and Differentiated Thyroid Cancer: The American

Thyroid Association Guidelines Task Force on Thyroid Nodules and

Differentiated Thyroid Cancer. *Thyroid* 2016; **26**: 1–133.

80   Schmidbauer B, Menhart K, Hellwig D, Grosse J. Differentiated Thyroid

Cancer—Treatment: State of the Art. *Int J Mol Sci* 2017; **18**: 1292.

81   Kim TY, Kim WG, Kim WB, Shong YK. Current Status and Future Perspectives

in Differentiated Thyroid Cancer. *Endocrinology and Metabolism* 2014; **29**: 217.

82   Ahn B-C. Personalized Medicine Based on Theranostic Radioiodine Molecular

Imaging for Differentiated Thyroid Cancer. *Biomed Res Int* 2016; **2016**: 1–9.

83   Paeng JC, Kang KW, Park DJ, Oh SW, Chung J-K. Alternative Medical

Treatment for Radioiodine-Refractory Thyroid Cancers. *Nucl Med Mol Imaging*

2011; **45**: 241–247.

84   Brose MS, Nutting CM, Jarzab B, Elisei R, Siena S, Bastholt L *et al.* Sorafenib in

radioactive iodine-refractory, locally advanced or metastatic differentiated

thyroid cancer: a randomised, double-blind, phase 3 trial. *The Lancet* 2014; **384**:

319–328.

85   Schlumberger M, Tahara M, Wirth LJ, Robinson B, Brose MS, Elisei R *et al.*

Lenvatinib versus Placebo in Radioiodine-Refractory Thyroid Cancer. *New*

England Journal of Medicine 2015; **372**: 621–630.

86   Ho AL, Grewal RK, Leboeuf R, Sherman EJ, Pfister DG, Deandreis D *et al.*

Selumetinib-Enhanced Radioiodine Uptake in Advanced Thyroid Cancer. *New*

England Journal of Medicine 2013; **368**: 623–632.

87   Rothenberg SM, Daniels GH, Wirth LJ. Redifferentiation of Iodine-Refractory

BRAF V600E-Mutant Metastatic Papillary Thyroid Cancer with Dabrafenib—

Response. *Clinical Cancer Research* 2015; **21**: 5640–5641.

88   Buffet C, Wassermann J, Hecht F, Leenhardt L, Dupuy C, Groussin L *et al.*

Redifferentiation of radioiodine-refractory thyroid cancers. *Endocr Relat Cancer*

2020; **27**: R113–R132.

89 Riesco-Eizaguirre G, Santisteban P. A perspective view of sodium iodide

symporter research and its clinical implications. *Eur J Endocrinol* 2006; **155**:

495–512.

90 Czarnecka AM, Bartnik E, Fiedorowicz M, Rutkowski P. Targeted Therapy in

Melanoma and Mechanisms of Resistance. *Int J Mol Sci* 2020; **21**: 4576.

91 Flaherty KT, Infante JR, Daud A, Gonzalez R, Kefford RF, Sosman J *et al.*

Combined BRAF and MEK Inhibition in Melanoma with BRAF V600

Mutations. *New England Journal of Medicine* 2012; **367**: 1694–1703.

92 Larkin J, Ascierto PA, Dréno B, Atkinson V, Liszkay G, Maio M *et al.*

Combined Vemurafenib and Cobimetinib in BRAF-Mutated Melanoma. *New*

England Journal of Medicine 2014; **371**: 1867–1876.

93 Dummer R, Ascierto PA, Gogas HJ, Arance A, Mandala M, Liszkay G *et al.*

Encorafenib plus binimetinib versus vemurafenib or encorafenib in patients with

BRAF -mutant melanoma (COLUMBUS): a multicentre, open-label, randomised

phase 3 trial. *Lancet Oncol* 2018; **19**: 603–615.

94 Smalley KSM. Increased immunity and BRAF inhibition: Yet another argument

for combination therapy? *Pharmacol Res* 2016; **113**: 719–720.

95 Roskoski R. Targeting oncogenic Raf protein-serine/threonine kinases in human

cancers. *Pharmacol Res* 2018; **135**: 239–258.

96 Haenebalcke L, Goossens S, Naessens M, Kruse N, Ghahremani MF, Bartunkova

S *et al.* Efficient ROSA26-Based Conditional and/or Inducible Transgenesis

Using RMCE-Compatible F1 Hybrid Mouse Embryonic Stem Cells. *Stem Cell*

Rev Rep 2013; **9**: 774–785.

97 Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina

sequence data. *Bioinformatics* 2014; **30**. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btu170.

98   Kim D, Langmead B, Salzberg SL. HISAT: a fast spliced aligner with low

887   memory requirements. *Nat Methods* 2015; **12**. doi:10.1038/nmeth.3317.

888   99   Hao Y, Hao S, Andersen-Nissen E, Mauck WM, Zheng S, Butler A *et al.*

889   Integrated analysis of multimodal single-cell data. *Cell* 2021; **184**: 3573-

890   3587.e29.

891   100 Love MI, Huber W, Anders S. Moderated estimation of fold change and

892   dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biol* 2014; **15**: 550.

FIGURES

Fig. 1 mESC_Nkx2-1/Pax8_bTg_Braf^{V637E} cell line differentiation into thyroid organoids. Scheme of the thyroid differentiation protocol and Braf^{V637E} induction in mature thyroid follicles (A). Differentiation of mESC_Nkx2-1/Pax8_bTg_Braf^{V637E} cell line promotes expression of the main thyroid genes and Braf exogenous (B). Immunofluorescence staining showing Nkx2-1 and Tg co-expressing cells organized in follicular structures (C), which are accumulating the thyroid hormone precursor, Tg-I, inside the lumen compartment (D). The follicular enrichment (FE) procedure significantly increased the expression levels of thyroid genes (E) while keeping the

structural organization of the follicles (F) and its functionality evidenced by Tg-I

accumulation (G). Scale bars, 50 µm and 10 µm for high magnification follicles.

Fig. 2 Effect of the Braf^{V637E} oncogene induction on mature thyroid follicles.

Schematic representation of thyroid differentiation, Braf^{V637E} oncogene expression and

its activation under specific treatment conditions (A). Western blot demonstrates an

increase of p-ERK protein at 24 hours and 7 days after the addition of 4OHT (Tamoxifen)

to the organoids culture (B). Percentage of BrDU+ cells between control (cAMP) and

Braf-induced (4OHT; 6 days) condition (C). mRNA expression analysis showing the

inhibitory effect of Braf^{V637E}-oncogene induction on thyroid genes after 6 hours (D), 48

hours (E) and 7 days (F).

Fig. 3 Morphological changes caused by Braf^{V637E} activation on thyroid follicles.

Control thyroid cells show follicular organization with Nkx2-1 nuclear expression and

Tg accumulation in the luminal compartment. In contrast, after 48 h of Braf oncogene

induction by 4OHT, most of the cells are not organized into follicular structures and a

great proportion of cells is expressing very low levels of Tg (A). Higher magnification

images showing the follicular organization of the thyroid cells in the control condition

(48 h), with proper expression of Nk2x-1 and/or Tg (B), E-cadherin (C), Zo-1 (D) and

Tg-I (E) accumulation in the lumen. While in the 4OHT condition the follicular

organization is disrupted as well as its function. Nkx2-1 and Tg staining in control and

Braf-induced cells for 7 days shows clear changes in thyroid morphology evidenced by

the heterogeneity of Nkx2-1 cells which mostly do not express Tg or at low levels, while

some groups cells continue to co-express Tg (F). Scale bars, 20 μm (A), 10 μm (B-E) and

50 μm (F).

Fig. 4 4OHT interruption does not restore the thyroid gene expression levels. The

induction of Braf^{V637E} for 2 days followed by removal of 4OHT for 5 days shows that it

is not enough to recover the levels of *Nkx2-1* (A), *Pax8* (B), *TSHR* (C), *Tg* (D), *Tpo* (E)

genes to the control levels. However, it led to an increase of *Nis* expression (F) close to

control values.

Fig. 5 Drug screening reveals that VPA and MAPK/PI3K inhibition can restore Nis expression in Braf-induced cells. Schematic representation of the protocol used for drug screening experiments (A). qPCR data show that inhibition of MAPK (PD0325901) and PI3K (LY294002) pathways isolated increase but do not restore *Nis* expression to control levels. However, when combined, the levels are like the controls. On the other hand, the HDAC inhibitor (VPA) can recover Nis expression (B) by itself. Nkx2-1 and Nis immunostaining shows the downregulation of the transporter in 4OHT condition, which is restored by VPA treatment. However, the expression pattern differs from the control conditions. Co-inhibition of MAPK and PI3K pathways associated or not to VPA treatment restores of Nis protein expression while follicular structures are observed (C). Scale bars, 10 µm.

Fig. 6 MAPK and PI3K inhibition cause thyroid redifferentiation and function recovery of Braf-expressing cells. mRNA expression analysis shows that PD0325901 and LY294002 co-treatment recover the *Tg* (A), *TSHR* (B), and *Tpo* (C) gene expression to control levels. Immunostaining for Nkx2-1 and Tg shows that protein levels are similar to control and that follicular organization is restored under such treatment conditions (D, E). Tg-I accumulation in the lumen of the restored follicles is observed under PD+LY and PD+LY+VPA conditions (F). Organification assay shows a recovery of Iodine uptake (G), Protein-bound to 125I (H), and % of iodine Organification (I) in the inhibitor's conditions. Scale bars, 50 μm (E), 20 μm (D) and 10 μm for high magnification follicles (F).

Fig. 7 Transcriptomics analysis confirms thyroid redifferentiation of Braf[V637E]-expressing cells treated with MAPK and PI3K inhibitors and suggests by which mechanisms. Heatmap of normalized bulk RNA-Seq expression of normal thyroid cells (cAMP), Braf[V637E] expressing cells (4OHT), and Braf[V637E] expressing cells treated with PD0325901+LY204002 inhibitors. Rows represent markers and columns represent specific conditions. Color values in the heatmap represent mean expression levels (A). Thyroid differentiation (eTDS and TDS) (B) and ERK (C) scores were calculated among the different conditions. Classification of upregulated and downregulated genes comparing 4OHT *vs.* cAMP (D, E) and PD+LY *vs.* 4OHT (F, G). Colors represent the classification method and scale the -log10 (adj p-value).