TopBP1 utilises a bipartite GINS binding mode to activate the replicative helicase

Matthew Day[*2,8+], Bilal Tetik[*1], Milena Parlak[*1], Yasser Almeida-Hernández[3,4], Markus Räschle[5], Farnusch Kaschani[6,7], Heike Siegert[1], Anika Marko[1], Elsa Sanchez-Garcia[3,4], Markus Kaiser[6,7], Isabel A. Barker[2], Laurence H. Pearl[2,9+], Antony W. Oliver[2+], Dominik Boos[1+]

[1], Molecular Genetics II, Center of Medical Biotechnology, University of Duisburg-Essen, Universitätsstraße 2-5, 45141 Essen, Germany

[2], Cancer Research UK DNA Repair Enzymes Group, Genome Damage and Stability Centre, School of Life Sciences, University of Sussex, Falmer, Brighton BN1 9RQ, UK

[3], Computational Bioengineering, Fakultät Bio- und Chemieingenieurwesen, Technical University Dortmund, Emil-Figge Str. 66, 44227 Dortmund, Germany

[4], Computational Biochemistry, Center of Medical Biotechnology, University of Duisburg-Essen, Universitätsstraße 2-5, 45141 Essen, Germany

[5], Molecular Genetics, Technical University Kaiserslautern, Paul-Ehrlich Straße 24, 67663 Kaiserslautern, Germany

[6], Analytics Core Facility Essen, Center of Medical Biotechnology, University of Duisburg-Essen, Universitätsstraße 2-5, 45141 Essen, Germany

[7] Chemical Biology, Center of Medical Biotechnology, University Duisburg-Essen, Fakultät Biologie, Essen, Germany

[8] School of Biological and Behavioural Sciences, Blizard institute, Queen Mary University of London, London, E1 2AT, UK

[9] Division of Structural Biology, Institute of Cancer Research, Chester Beatty Laboratories, 237 Fulham Road, London SW1E 6BT, UK

[*] equal contribution
[+] corresponding author

Abstract

How the replicative Mcm2-7 helicase is activated during replication origin firing remains largely unknown. Our biochemical and structural studies reported here, demonstrate that the helicase activator GINS interacts with TopBP1 through two separate binding surfaces, the first involving a stretch of highly conserved amino acids in the TopBP1-GINI region, the second a surface located on TopBP1-BRCT4. The two surfaces bind to opposite ends of the A domain of the GINS subunit Psf1, and their cooperation is required for a biochemically stable TopBP1-GINS interaction. The GINI and BRCT4 domains also cooperate during replication origin firing, as revealed by immuno-replacement experiments in *Xenopus* egg extracts using different sets of mutations in either interface. Furthermore, the TopBP1-GINS interaction is incompatible with simultaneous binding of DNA polymerase epsilon to GINS when bound to Mcm2-7-Cdc45. Our TopBP1-GINS model predicts the coordination of three molecular processes, DNA polymerase epsilon arrival, TopBP1 ejection and GINS integration into Mcm2-7-Cdc45.

Introduction

Duplicating the genome accurately and exactly once each cell cycle lies at the heart of faithful genetic inheritance, with the effective execution and regulation of DNA replication origin firing being pivotal to this process. Our understanding of how origin firing in eukaryotes generates replisomes from pre-replicative complexes (pre-RCs), their inactive precursors, has recently increased. However, how the two helicase activators GINS and Cdc45 are loaded onto pre-RCs remains less well understood.

Replication initiates in two steps, origin licensing and origin firing [1]. Origin licensing generates pre-RCs in the G1 phase of the cell cycle when the activity levels of cyclin-dependent kinases (CDKs) are low [2]. The origin recognition complex (ORC), Cdc6 and Cdt1 load two hexamers of the Mcm2-7 helicase onto DNA in an ATP-dependent manner [3,4], with each hexamer forming a ring around double-stranded DNA (dsDNA). The Mcm2-7 hexamers form head-to-head double hexamers by tight interactions between their N-terminal domains. In pre-RCs, Mcm2-7 is not active as a helicase, avoiding the inappropriate generation of single-stranded DNA (ssDNA) in the G1 phase. In the following S phase, high CDK activity induces origin firing, converting each pre-RC into two bi-directional replisomes; the Mcm2-7 double hexamer separates, helicase activity is turned on, the dsDNA running inside the hexamers is unwound, and what will become the single lagging strand DNA template is excluded from each hexamer. Lagging strand extrusion enables the two now active helicases to pass each other, engaging with the DNA fork with their respective N-terminal faces [5,6].

The helicase activation step requires the loading of Cdc45 and GINS onto pre-RCs to form the CMG (Cdc45-Mcm2-7-GINS) helicase that shows robust in vitro helicase activity [7-10]. CMG assembly requires ADP-ATP exchange in the active nucleotide binding sites of the Mcm2-7

AAA ATP binding domains and occurs tightly coupled with Mcm2-7 double hexamer splitting

and limited untwisting of the dsDNA inside the central CMG channels [6,11]. The subsequent

extensive dsDNA unwinding, CMG bypass and assembly of mature replisomes depends on the

Mcm10 protein and on many additional replisome components [6,10].

In budding yeast, Cdc45 and GINS integration requires Dbf4-dependent kinase (DDK), S-CDK,

Sld3 (Treslin/TICRR in higher eukaryotes) and its constitutive partner Sld7 (MTBP), Dpb11

(TopBP1), Sld2 (RecQL4) and DNA polymerase epsilon (DNA Polε) [10,12,13]. DDK binds pre-RCs

to phosphorylate the Mcm2-7 helicase, allowing Sld3-Sld7$^{Treslin-MTBP}$ association [14-16] and

subsequent recruitment of Cdc45 to pre-RCs - presumably through a direct Sld3^{Treslin}-Cdc45

interaction [17]. S-CDK phosphorylates Sld3^{Treslin} on conserved CDK consensus sites, which can

then bind to the N-terminal BRCT domains of Dpb11^{TopBP1} [18-20] (Fig. 1a(i)). BRCT (breast cancer

type 1 susceptibility) domains constitute phospho-dependent or independent protein

interaction modules. The interaction between Sld3^{Treslin} and Dpb11^{TopBP1} serves to recruit an

additional protein complex to pre-RCs comprising GINS, Sld2^{RecQL4} and DNA Polε [21].

Recruitment requires a CDK phosphorylation-mediated interaction between Sld2^{RecQL4} and

Dpb11^{TopBP1}, involving phospho-threonine 84 in Sld2^{RecQL4} and the C-terminal BRCT-pair of

Dpb11^{TopBP1} (Fig. 1a(i)). Upon successful delivery of GINS and Cdc45, Sld2^{RecQL4}, Dpb11^{TopBP1}

and Sld3-Sld7$^{Treslin-MTBP}$ then detach from the origin-bound CMG [12,22]. DNA Polε associates with

CMG in a dynamic fashion to form the CMGE complex (CMG-DNA Polε) [23]. The N-terminus of

the Dpb2 subunit of DNA Polε and its vertebrate equivalent PolE2 bind to the Psf1 subunit oft

GINS [24,25]. It has been suggested that this interaction represents the essential activity of DNA

Polε in replication origin firing because yeast cells survive upon inactivation of DNA Polε, upon

overexpression of the Dpb2 N-terminus [23].

The precise molecular mechanism underpinning how TopBP1^{Dpb11}, Treslin/TICRR and MTBP

deliver GINS and Cdc45 to the Mcm2-7 helicase remains unclear. TopBP1^{Dpb11} is a multi-BRCT

domain scaffold protein containing nine BRCT domains [26]. The triple-BRCT module at the N-

terminus of TopBP1^{Dpb11} plus the BRCT4/5 pair share homology with budding yeast Dpb11 [27]

(Fig. 1a(i)). As mentioned above, TopBP1 and Dpb11 both fulfil the task of coupling origin

firing to the cell cycle via interaction with CDK-phosphorylated Treslin/TICRR^{Sld3} using their

respective N-terminal BRCT modules [19,20,28]. TopBP1^{Dpb11}-BRCT4/5 was, however, found to be

non-essential to replication in *Xenopus* egg extracts [28]; perhaps surprising as the equivalent

BRCT module of Dpb11^{TopBP1} (BRCT3/4) mediates the essential interaction with CDK-

phosphorylated Sld2 [21]. The minimal fragment of TopBP1^{Dpb11} that supports replication

includes BRCT0/1/2, BRCT3 (that has no equivalent in Dpb11) and a short segment C-terminal

to BRCT3 termed the GINS interaction or 'GINI' region [28,29]. Yeast-two hybrid experiments

have showed that the GINI regions of TopBP1 and Dpb11, which have little sequence identity,

both bind to GINS [29] (Fig. 1a(i)). As both TopBP1 and Dpb11 are limiting factors for origin firing

[30-32], it is likely that they are released and recycled to facilitate replication from multiple

different origins. It is therefore important to understand both association and disassociation
phases of the Dbp11^{TopBP1} interaction with GINS.
115
Here, we have used purified proteins to address how TopBP1^{Dpb11} loads GINS onto pre-RCs to
activate the Mcm2-7 helicase during replication origin firing. Our structural, biochemical, and
functional studies uncover the binding mode of GINS to TopBP1 and reveal an important role
for the TopBP1-BRCT4 domain in replication, previously thought to be dispensable. Our data
provide a model and framework for future investigation of CMG formation through GINS and
Cdc45 loading by TopBP1^{Dpb11} and the Treslin/TICRR-MTBP$^{Sld3-Sld7}$ complex. Moreover, our
study provides insight how TopBP1 acts at a molecular level. Such detailed understanding of
TopBP1^{Dpb11} is key to unravelling how this important scaffold protein can integrate its many
different cellular roles in chromosome biology [26].
125
126
127 **Results**
128
TopBP1 interacts with GINS *in vivo* and *in vitro*
As previous yeast two-hybrid experiments showed an interaction of the TopBP1-GINI region
with Psf3 of GINS [29], we confirmed that a similar interaction could be detected in human cells.
Three separate proximity biotinylation experiments using cells expressing APEX2-tagged
TopBP1 resulted in a specific enrichment of Psf3 upon streptavidin pulldown and mass
spectrometry, amongst a range of other known TopBP1 interactors (Fig. 1-S1a). Psf2 was
detected in one experiment. Immunoprecipitation from lysates of cells transfected with
TopBP1-BRCT0-5 (aa, amino acids 1-766) showed a weak but specific signal for the GINS
subunit Sld5 (Fig. 1-S1b,c).
138
139 In addition, purified recombinant TopBP1-BRCT0-5 (TopBP1-0-5-WT) co-eluted with the GINS
140 complex from a size exclusion column at a higher apparent molecular mass, when compared
141 to either TopBP1 or the GINS complex alone (Fig. 1b; Fig. 1-S2a). Taken together, these results
142 demonstrate that an amino-terminal TopBP1 fragment spanning the BRCT repeats 0-5 (aa 1-
143 766) is sufficient to interact with GINS both *in vivo* and *in vitro*.
144
Two binding motifs within TopBP1 contribute to GINS binding
We then used deletion and mutation analysis to narrow down the GINS binding region within
the TopBP1-BRCT0-5 fragment (Fig. 1a(ii)). As expected, removing the Treslin/TICRR-binding
BRCT0-2 module from TopBP1 (TopBP1-ΔBRCT0/1/2) did not affect binding (Fig. 1-S2b).
Similarly, deletion of BRCT3 (TopBP1-ΔBRCT3) did not compromise interaction (Fig. 1c,d). In
contrast, deleting BRCT4/5 downstream of the GINI region (TopBP1-ΔBRCT4/5) strongly
impaired GINS interaction (Fig. 1e). We confirmed that the phospho-binding pocket of BRCT5
was not required for this interaction, using a triple point mutant that compromises its

phospho-binding ability (B5mut). TopBP1-B5mut bound to GINS, but interacted poorly with
its phosphorylated interaction partner 53BP1 [33] (Fig. 1-S2c,d).

The inability of TopBP1-ΔBRCT4/5 to bind GINS was somewhat surprising, as a similar
fragment of *Xenopus* TopBP1 is sufficient for interaction in yeast two-hybrid experiments and
able to stimulate replication in TopBP1-depleted *Xenopus* egg extracts [29]. Although initially
difficult to reconcile with this previous report, our observations strongly suggested that a
second GINS-binding motif, in addition to the GINI region, is present in the BRCT4/5 module.

Cryo-EM structure of TopBP1-BRCT4/5 in complex with GINS
To reveal how TopBP1 interacts with the GINS complex, peak fractions of TopBP1-
BRCTΔ0/1/2 in complex with GINS eluting from the size exclusion column (Fig. 1-S2b) were
applied to cryo-EM grids and movies collected on an FEI Titan Krios microscope equipped with
a Gatan K3 camera. A dataset comprising 18,945 movies was collected for this sample and
processed using a combination of CryoSPARC [34] and RELION [35] (Fig. 2-S1) to produce a final 3-
dimensional reconstruction, derived from 208,115 particles, at 4.6 Å based on Fourier shell
correlation (FSC) values. Although the resultant map was of moderate resolution due to
preferred orientation issues, density corresponding to the GINS tetramer could be readily
identified, allowing facile placement of an X-ray crystal structure by rigid-body docking
(PDB:9EX) (Fig. 2a). As features of the individual GINS subunits were clearly identifiable, this
also allowed an unambiguous orientation of the pseudo-symmetric complex. A remaining
section of density was too large to represent just the B domain of Psf1 (absent from the
docked X-ray crystal structure), but was readily accounted for by docking of the X-ray crystal
structure for TopBP1-BRCT4/5 (PDB:3UEN). The orientation of the two BRCT domains with
respect to each other was also clear from the map, arranged such that BRCT4 interacts
directly with the Psf1 subunit of GINS.

In the resulting model, the GINS interacting region within the BRCT repeat of TopBP1 directly
faces towards the A domain of Psf1 (Fig. 2a), close to where the B domain docks to the CMG
helicase once GINS and Cdc45 have engaged with the MCM ring. The interface includes
several additional amino acid residues found in the linker region connecting the A and B
domains, although with some ambiguity as to the precise molecular details due to the
resolution of the cryo-EM map (Fig. 2b). The interaction surface on BRCT4 consists of residues
found at the edge of the central four-stranded β-sheet, with additional interactions made by
residues in the loops connecting the strands; this includes amino acids between strands β3
and β4 that would form the second α-helix found in canonical BRCT domains but is missing
from the variant fold of BRCT4 [36]. Together, TopBP1-BRCT4 binds to the A domain of Psf1 and
involves the linker region located towards the B domain. To our knowledge, this represents a
novel mode for a BRCT domain-mediated interaction and ascribes for the first time a specific
molecular function to TopBP1-BRCT4.

Our cryo-EM model predicts that in TopBP1, amino acid residues Val590, Thr606 and Val610
are involved in the interface, providing a series of direct hydrophobic interactions with the
side chains of Trp92 and Ile97 in Psf1 (Fig. 2b). We mutated the indicated TopBP1 residues to
glutamate, arginine and glutamate, respectively, and tested if the resulting protein (TopBP1-
B4mut; Fig. 1a(ii)) co-purified with GINS. Here, TopBP1-B4mut was strongly compromised in
its ability to bind GINS (Fig. 2c) but could still interact with phospho-53BP1 (Fig. 2-S2a). We
also altered the opposing Psf1 interface by mutating Ile97 to an arginine (Psf1-I97R).
Recombinant Psf1-I97R bound TopBP1 weaker than the wild type protein (Fig. 2d), but could
still form GINS tetramers (Fig. 2-S2b).
203
TopBP1 requires the conserved core of the GINI region for GINS binding
As the predicted interface between the TopBP1-GINI region and Psf3 [29] was not evident in our
cryo-EM map we used additional interaction experiments to validate a role for this motif in
GINS binding. The GINI region had been loosely defined as sitting between TopBP1-BRCT3 and
BRCT4 (Fig. 1a(i)). A multiple amino acid sequence alignment across this region revealed a
high degree of conservation between vertebrate species (Fig. 3-S1a) with the section from
Glu484 to Tyr494 consisting of almost completely identical amino acids (Fig. 3a). We mutated
this core, and other regions of conservation, to generate the mutants TopBP1-Gcc (GINI
centre core), Gcore (GINI core) and RI-III (regions I-III) (Fig. 3a, Fig.3-S1a).   Pull-down
experiments with these proteins revealed that TopBP1-Gcc and Gcore, but not RI-III, were
compromised in GINS interaction (Fig. 3b). Secondary structure prediction and AlphaFold2
models of TopBP1 suggested the presence of a short helix within this core region for some
vertebrate species. By mutation of the central leucine-leucine motif to two consecutive
prolines to break the helix (TopBP1-Gpp; Fig. 3a) we could show that the interaction with GINS
was strongly compromised, with no effect on binding to 53BP1 (Fig. 3-S1b; Fig. 2-S2a). To test
if this helix contributed to a GINS-interaction surface, we used fluorescence polarisation (FP)
to measure interaction between a fluorescently labelled GINI-core peptide (aa Ala478-
Gly497) and the GINS tetramer, determining a dissociation constant K_d of ~8 µM (Fig. 3c). A
fluorescently labelled peptide harbouring the PP mutation failed to bind (Fig. 3c). We
therefore concluded that the GINI region is a *bona fide* GINS interaction site in its own right,
but with only moderate binding affinity.
225
The GINI-core helix binds Psf1 and 3
We next sought to identify and confirm the site in GINS that interacts with the TopBP1-GINI
region. Structural prediction using the multimer mode of AlphaFold2 suggested that the GINI-
core helix interacted with the distal part of the Psf1 A domain (Fig. 3-S1c). In parallel, we also
used 'blind' molecular docking simulations with the GINI core region (487-DEDLLSQY-494) to
identify candidate binding sites on the GINS tetramer. Pleasingly, the resultant docking
calculations were consistent with the results obtained from AlphaFold, with solutions
amongst the top-10 candidates clustering in a binding site in close proximity (Fig. 3-S1d-g).
234

To validate the predictions, we performed a BS3-crosslinking mass spectrometry experiment
with purified recombinant TopBP1-GINS complex. The detected crosslinks confirmed
proximity of the TopBP1-GINI region and the Psf1-A domain, close to the site where the GINI-
core helix (residues 487-494) was predicted to contact the A domain. Several GINI-core-
proximal residues crosslinked with Lys63 and Thr61 of the Psf1 (Fig. 3-S2a); both crosslinked
Psf1 residues are situated at the distal end of the Psf1 A domain (Fig. 3-S2b,c). The GINI-core-
proximal residues also crosslinked with lysines 74 and 80 of Psf3; each Psf3 residue also being
in close proximity to the predicted site of interaction. Given that the GINI-core helix itself
contains no lysine residues, our data are consistent with the hypothesis that the GINI-core
helix binds to both the distal region of the Psf1 A domain and the adjacent region of Psf3 (see
Fig. 3-S2 for detailed description).
246
With this information in hand, we sought to improve the overall resolution of our cryo-EM
data, to provide additional evidence for the mapped TopBP1-GINI/GINS interaction. A sample
produced using an alternative GINS-expression construct that omits the Psf1 B domain served
to improve detail around the predicted interaction region (Fig. 3-S3). The resultant map
allowed a short helical element, consistent with the AlphaFold2 multimer predictions, to be
incorporated into our model (Fig. 3d).
253
The hydrophobic side of the amphipathic GINI-core helix can be seen to pack across two
helices of the Psf1-A domain, making interactions with the side chains of Leu490 and Leu491
of the GINI region and Val47, Lys51, Ile59, Ile62, Lys63 and His66 of Psf1. Additionally, the
sidechain of Tyr494 in the GINI region is inserted into a small pocket on the surface of Psf1
formed by Tyr40, Asn43 and Leu69 with the hydroxyl group picking up a hydrogen bond with
the side chain of His66.
260
For validation, we mutated two separate pairs of residues in Psf1 that appeared critical for
TopBP1-GINI interaction: Asn43/Lys51, and Lys63/His66. Both pairs were exchanged for
alanine and glutamate to yield Psf1-NK43/51AE and Psf1-KH63/66AE, respectively. One
residue of each pair forms part of the pocket accepting Tyr494 from the GINI region, whilst
Lys51 and Lys63 are positioned such that they could form salt bridges with either Asp487 or
Glu495 as well as forming part of the hydrophobic grove that accommodates the leucines of
the GINI-core helix. As Psf1-KH63/66AE failed to produce a GINS tetramer it was excluded
from future experiments. In contrast, Psf1-NK43/51AE readily formed the tetramer (Fig. 2-
S2b) but was severely compromised in its ability to interact with TopBP1-BRCT0-5-WT (Fig.
3e) again consistent with binding of the GINI region to the distal end of the Psf1 A domain
and the adjacent regions in Psf3.
272
Cooperation between the GINI core region and BRCT4
Our interaction studies demonstrate that both the GINI region and BRCT4 domain of TopBP1
are individually required to form a biochemically stable interaction with GINS. This suggests a

model in which both interactions have relatively moderate binding affinities, but cooperate
to form a composite interaction surface with high avidity. Consistent with this notion,
estimations of binding free energies suggests that affinities are lower when the surfaces
interact individually rather than simultaneously (Fig. 4a). The moderate binding affinity
determined for the GINI-core peptide measured by FP is consistent with this cooperative
binding model (Fig. 3c).
282
We moved on to ask if the GINI and BRCT4 regions cooperate to support DNA replication, by
testing if defined mutations in TopBP1 (Fig. 4b) could suppress replication in *Xenopus* egg
extracts. Immunodepleting TopBP1 with two independent antibodies (anti-TopBP1-#1 and
#2) effectively removed the endogenous protein from extracts (Fig. 4c), producing a strong
reduction in radioactive α-^{32}P-dCTP incorporation when compared to a 'mock' IgG depletion
control (Fig. 4d). Add-back experiments using recombinant TopBP1-BRCT0-5-WT effectively
rescued replication (Fig. 4d), whereas addition of either TopBP1-BRCT0-5-Gcc or TopBP1-
BRCT0-5-Gpp (Fig. 3a) showed moderate reductions in replication when compared with WT
(Fig. 4e, Fig. 4-S1a). TopBP1-ΔBRCT4/5 (GINI domain intact, Fig. 1a) was not detectably
compromised in its ability to support replication, consistent with previous observations [28,29].
TopBP1-B4mut behaved in a similar fashion (Fig. 4-S1b). However, combining deletion of
BRCT4/5 or the B4mut mutation with either TopBP1-BRCT0-5-Gcc or -Gpp reduced replication
to nearly background levels (Fig. 4e, Fig. 4-S1). These results indicate that add-back of wild-
type TopBP1 to depleted *Xenopus* egg extracts supports DNA replication at close to
physiological levels, and that whilst the presence of either a functional GINI or BRCT4 region
is sufficient to support replication, this is not as effective as adding the fully wild-type protein.
299
We next tested if the TopBP1-GINS interaction is required for the origin firing step of
replication. We isolated chromatin from *Xenopus* egg extracts after immuno-depletion and
add-back of TopBP1-BRCT0-5-WT or mutants, following a 75-minute incubation period. To
increase signal, we added aphidicolin, preventing termination and allowing replisomes to
accumulate on chromatin. Mass spectrometry using the CHROMASS protocol [37] and
immunoblotting showed geminin-sensitive chromatin binding of Mcm2-7 subunits
irrespective of whether buffer or recombinant TopBP1-BRCT0-5-WT was added, indicating
that licensing occurs independently of TopBP1 status (Fig. 5, Fig. 5-S1). Several replisome
markers, including GINS and Cdc45, were detected on chromatin in extracts containing
TopBP1-WT, but were significantly reduced when buffer or the TopBP1-Gcc-ΔBRCT4/5
mutant were added. Thus, the GINS binding sites in TopBP1 are required for origin firing
(replisome formation) upstream of CMG formation. Immunoblotting of chromatin samples
upon complementation with the single mutants TopBP1-Gcc and TopBP1-ΔBRCT4/5 showed
strong and mild replication defects, respectively, similar to their effects in replication analyses
at similar time points (Fig. 4e, Fig. 4-S1). We conclude that the BRCT4 and the GINI regions
cooperate to assemble the CMG helicase.
316

Mutually exclusive binding of TopBP1 and DNA Polε to GINS in the context of CMG

We next wanted to know how the TopBP1 interaction with GINS relates to the integration of GINS in the CMGE helicase. Analysis of the human CMG structure revealed that both binding sites for TopBP1 in GINS are accessible for protein interaction (Fig. 6a) [25]. However, the binding of DNA Polε and TopBP1 are mutually exclusive. Although the direct binding sites on GINS for PolE2 and for TopBP1 do not overlap, superposition of CMG bound to DNA Polε and TopBP1 revealed major steric clashes between TopBP1 and PolE2 (Fig. 6b,c). Thus, TopBP1 must leave the CMG complex before PolE2 associates.

Comparison of the GINS structures in different contexts revealed interesting conformational changes of the Psf1-B domain. The Psf1-B domain is not required for forming the GINS tetramer but is involved in CMG formation [38]. Cryo-EM data of the GINS tetramer alone suggests that the B domain is flexible because the B domain could not be detected at the same contour level as the rest of the GINS complex (Fig. 6-S1a). This is consistent with the reported 'invisibility' of the B domain in a previous crystallization study of GINS [39]. The B domain apparently retains similar flexibility in the TopBP1-GINS complex as it is not resolved in our BRCT4-GINS structure (Fig. 6-S1b). In contrast, previous cryo-EM evidence [25] suggested that the Psf1-B domain adopts a discrete conformation in the CMG context. It appears to become ordered through its packing against Cdc45 (Fig. 6a). In this conformation, the B domain binds to the N-terminus of PolE2 once DNA Polε associates to form CMGE.

These observations suggest that the Psf1-B domain reconfigures from a flexible state into a well-ordered state upon CMG assembly. TopBP1-BRCT4 must then dissociate to exchange with DNA Polε for CMGE formation. Once CMG has formed DNA Polε seems to dynamically associate with CMG [23]. Together, these observations suggest that the three processes 1) handover of GINS from TopBP1 to pre-RCs, 2) DNA Polε association and 3) TopBP1 ejection may be mechanistically intertwined.

Discussion

Relevance

Our data provide an understanding of the interaction between TopBP1[Dpb11] and GINS at the molecular level, and how this supports replication origin firing. Recent insight into origin firing has largely come from studies focussed on understanding how the yeast Mcm2-7 helicase operates as a molecular machine to build replication forks [1,6,10,11,13,15,16,40-43], however these studies have rarely investigated how the helicase is activated by loading of GINS and Cdc45 in order to form the CMG complex. Our approach here, provides a first detailed glimpse into how TopBP1[Dpb11] may load GINS onto pre-RCs.

Formation of the CMG complex serves as a key regulatory step of origin firing. In metazoa and
yeast, CMG formation involves the signal integrators Treslin/TICRR/Sld3, MTBP/Sld7,
RecQL4/Sld2, TopBP1/Dpb11 and the Mcm2-7 helicase itself. Apart from coupling origin firing
to the S phase, these signal integrators are targeted by the DNA damage checkpoint and other
regulatory pathways to mediate DNA damage-dependent origin firing control, execution of
the temporal replication program and fine-tuning of origin firing [44-55] [56-58]. Such regulation
helps avoid replication stress that is key to structural and numerical chromosomal instability
in cancer cells [59].
365
The molecular role of TopBP1 in S phase-specific CMG formation
Like yeast Dpb11, TopBP1 is a scaffold protein that couples origin firing to S phase of the cell
cycle (S-phase coupling). For this, the N-terminal triple BRCT0-2 module of TopBP1 binds
Treslin/TICRR^{Sld3}, in a strictly phospho-dependant manner, via two CDK sites that are which
are also conserved in the equivalent budding yeast protein Sld3^{Treslin} [19,20]. Despite this level of
conservation, some aspects of origin firing in vertebrates are likely to have changed
mechanistically when compared to the yeast paradigm: 1) It is known that DDK strengthens
the interaction between TopBP1^{Dpb11} and the Treslin/TICRR-MTBP$^{Sld3-Sld7}$ complex, albeit via
an unclarified mechanism [60], whereas a similar role for DDK in the equivalent Dpb11/Sld3-
Sld7 interaction has not been reported. 2) an N-terminal fragment that excludes the BRCT4/5
module of TopBP1^{Dpb11} effectively supports replication in *Xenopus* egg extracts [29] [28], whereas
the equivalent BRCT3/4 module of Dpb11^{TopBP1} is essential, as it is required for binding to
Sld2^{RecQL4} phosphorylated by S-CDK [21]. These mechanistic differences therefore exemplify
evolutionary flexibility in S-phase coupling, supported by findings in the *C. elegans* and fission
yeast model organisms where the contributions of Treslin/TICRR^{Sld3} and RecQL4^{Sld2}
equivalents have changed during evolution [61,62]. Such flexibility may be supported by the
partial redundancy of S phase kinases (S-CDK and DDK) and their substrates (Treslin/TICRR^{Sld3},
RecQL4^{Sld2}, Mcm2-7).
384
It is known that TopBP1^{Dpb11} supports CMG formation via an interaction with the GINS
complex. In budding yeast, Dpb11^{TopBP1} and GINS are recruited to pre-RCs as part of a 'pre-
loading complex' (pre-LC) comprising Dpb11^{TopBP1}, GINS, DNA Polε and Sld2^{RecQL4} [63].
Dpb11^{TopBP1} appears to 'dock' the pre-LC onto Sld3^{Treslin}-Sld7^{MTBP}-Cdc45 via a CDK-mediated
Dpb11^{TopBP1}-Sld3^{Treslin} interaction; DDK is required for initial binding of Sld3^{Treslin}-Sld7^{MTBP}-
Cdc45 onto pre-RCs [13,41]. However, the pre-LC complex containing TopBP1^{TopBP1} and GINS has
not been characterized in detail in metazoa.
392
393 The GINI region of TopBP1^{Dpb11}, broadly defined as the region between BRCT3 and BRCT4,
394 bound to Psf3 in Y2H experiments [29]. Its functional relevance was demonstrated by
395 experiments using a truncated TopBP1^{Dpb11} fragment lacking the GINI region, which only
396 poorly supported replication [29]. We show here that a short alpha-helix found at the core of
397 the conserved GINI region (GINI-core helix) forms an essential part of the binding surface

necessary and sufficient for detectable interaction with GINS. Moreover, this helix cooperates with a second binding site situated in BRCT4 to form a composite high-affinity GINS-interaction surface; involving a hereinto unknown mode of BRCT-interaction. Notably, our *in vitro* experiments show that each individual site is insufficient to form a biochemically stable complex with GINS, but are able to support significant levels of replication in *Xenopus* egg extracts, suggesting that even a low affinity TopBP1^{Dpb11}-GINS interaction is sufficient to allow origin firing. We rationalise this statement by suggesting that *in vivo*, contacts between other pre-LC components may compensate for a weakened TopBP1^{Dpb11}-GINS interaction. Whilst neither the GINI region nor BRCT4 are required individually for origin firing, their combined action is essential, suggesting that neither site has a unique molecular role beyond GINS binding. The simplest scenario is that their common essential molecular role is to chaperone GINS onto pre-RCs, and that the partial redundancy between the two binding sites provides an explanation for why the role of TopBP1^{Dpb11}-BRCT4/5 in replication has gone unrecognised.

Consistent with published Y2H experiments [29], our structural data indicate that the GINI core helix region interacts with both Psf1 and Psf3, at a position close to the distal end of the Psf1 A domain, whereas BRCT4 interacts with both the base of the Psf1-A domain and the linker that connects it to the B domain. Notably, both receiving interfaces are fully accessible in the context of either the unbound GINS tetramer or in the CMG complex, consistent with a model where TopBP1^{Dpb11} recruits GINS using both motifs (Fig. 6-S2). TopBP1^{Dpb11} may simultaneously bind phosphorylated Treslin/TICRR^{Sld3}-MTBP^{Sld7} via its N-terminal BRCT0-3 module [19,20,64], with Treslin/TICRR^{Sld3} bringing Cdc45 along through its Sld3-Treslin homology domain [17,65]. One open question in the field is the biological role of the BRCT3 domain in TopBP1, which has no equivalent in Dpb11. We speculate here, that it might function to bind a yet undescribed essential metazoan-specific origin firing factor.

After delivery of Cdc45, the subsequent release of TopBP1^{Dpb11} and Treslin/TICRR^{Sld3}-MTBP^{Sld7} is also likely to be important, as presumably they must be 'recycled' to allow the use of late firing origins [32]. However, such a release mechanism remains unclear. The low affinities of the two individual GINS-interacting sites in TopBP1^{Dpb11}, offers the idea that release of one site, in a 'zipper'-like fashion, would significantly destabilise the complex to trigger dissociation. In the context of the CMG, there is no obvious steric clash that would force TopBP1^{Dpb11} dissociation, however this is not true for CMGE, where binding of DNA Polε would be incompatible, suggesting that the two proteins exchange. Whether TopBP1^{Dpb11} dissociation is a prerequisite for DNA Polε association, or whether the polymerase acts to displace TopBP1^{Dpb11} remains unclear; the important but mechanistically unclear role of DNA Polε in CMG formation therefore remains open [23,24]. The reconfiguration of the Psf1-B domain during CMG formation suggests another potential mechanism for TopBP1 release. The B domain seems flexible in the TopBP1-GINS complex and establishes an extensive set of interactions with Cdc45 when it reconfigures to form CMG, which might favour Polε binding over

TopBP1[Dpb11]-BRCT4. The action of phosphatases could also potentially release the entire
Treslin/TICRR[Sld3]-MTBP[Sld7]-TopBP1[Dpb11] complex from pre-RCs [66].

Recent insights have suggested that formation of the CMG complex is tightly associated with
(i) splitting of Mcm2-7 double hexamers into single hexamers; (ii) the exchange of ADP for
ATP in the Mcm2-7 nucleotide-binding sites; and (iii) limited unwinding of dsDNA inside the
central channels of the split, single hexamers [6,11]. How TopBP1, Treslin/TICRR and MTBP
relates to these steps will be key to understanding whether their mechanistic roles go beyond
just a simple chaperoning function, guiding Cdc45 and GINS to pre-RCs. For example, the
reported homo-dimerization of TopBP1 and MTBP might play a role in the cooperative
conversion of Mcm2-7 hexamers into replisomes, thus guaranteeing bi-directional
replication[60,67].

Taken together, our data provide insight into, and a testable hypothesis for, the series of
molecular events leading to CMGs formation. Additional studies will be required to
understand more fully its regulation by the cell cycle, as well as DNA damage and other
pathways; potentially leading to future therapeutic routes for the treatment of cancer, due
the high reliance of tumours on high levels of replication initiation [68]. Targeted interference
with origin firing, which benefits from a molecular understanding of the process, could be one
potential avenue, consistent with the testing of DDK inhibitors as candidates in clinical trials
[69].

Methods

Antibodies

name	Antigen/source
Anti-DB4	TopBP11-360, rabbit, self-made
Anti Sld5	Sld5/Rabbit, self-made
Anti-Flag	Flag peptide, mouse
Anti-53BP1	53BP1, rabbit
Anti-Myc	Myc tag, mouse
Anti-TopBP1 #1	XTopBP1 1-365, rabbit, self-made
Anti-TopBP1 #2	XTopBP1 999-1299, rabbit, self-made
Anti-XCdc45	XCdc45/ rabbit, self-made
Anti-XSld5	XSld5, rabbit, self-made
Anti-XPolE2	XPolE25, rabbit, self-made
Anti-Mcm6	Mcm6 775-821/ rabbit, BETHYL A300-194A
Anti-Mcm4	Mcm4 750-850/ rabbit, Abcam ab4459

DNA plasmids

plasmid name	vector backbone	recombinant protein
BT702	pLib	TopBP1-766 LL489/490PP-Strep
BT707	pLib	TopBP11-766-Strep
BT708	pLib	TopBP11-766 RegionI-Strep
BT709	pLib	TopBP11-766 RegionII-Strep
BT710	pLib	TopBP11-766 RegionIII-Strep
BT711	pLib	TopBP11-766 Gcore-Strep
BT712	pLib	TopBP11-766 Gcc-Strep
BT735	pLib	TopBP11-766 SKK654/661/704VRE-Strep
BT759	pLib	TopBP11-549-Strep
BT760	pLib	TopBP11-549 Gcc-Strep
BT761	pLib	TopBP11-549-LL489/490PP-Strep
BT770	pLib	TopBP11-766 B4mut-Strep
BT772	pLib	TopBP11-766Gcc/B4mut-Strep
BT805	pLib	6xHis-3xFlag-Sld5
BT806	pLib	Psf1
BT807	pLib	Psf2
BT808	pLib	Psf3
BT781	pLib	Psf1 NK43/51AE
BT782	pLib	Psf1 KH63/66AE
MD106	pBIG1a	Psf1 Psf2 Psf3 6xHis-3xFlag-Sld5
MD101	pLib	Psf1(1-151)
MD107	pBIG1a	Psf1(1-151) Psf2 Psf3 6xHis-3xFlag-Sld5
MD146	pET17b	HIS-TopBP1(1-766)-Strep
MD147	pET17b	HIS-TopBP1(331-766)-Strep

Purification of TopBP1(331-766) for structural studies

TopBP1(1-766)-Strep was transferred into a pET17 vector, in order to add a HIS tag to aid purification and allow for bacterial expression, using NEBuilder (NEB, Hitchin, UK). A plasmid encoding HIS-(3C)-TopBP1(331-766)-Strep was transformed into BL21(DE3) cells, grown in TurboBroth at 37 °C to an OD600 of 2.0 and induced by the addition of 0.5 mM IPTG prior to overnight incubation at 16 °C. Cell pellets were re-suspended in lysis buffer containing 25 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 200 mM NaCl, 0.5 mM TCEP, and supplemented with 10 U DNASE Turbo and cOmplete, EDTA-free Protease Inhibitor Cocktail (Merck), then disrupted by sonication, and the resulting lysate clarified by centrifugation at 40,000 x g for 60 min at 4°C. The supernatant was applied to a 5mL HiTrap TALON crude column (GE Healthcare, Little Chalfont, UK), washed first with lysis buffer, followed lysis buffer supplemented with 10 mM imidazole, with any retained protein then eluted by application of the same buffer supplemented with 250mM imidazole. The eluted protein was diluted with lysis buffer before application to a 5mL HiTrap STREP column (GE Healthcare, Little Chalfont, UK), washed with lysis buffer and eluted using buffer supplemented with 2 mM desthiobiotin. The eluate was concentrated before application to a Superdex200increase 10 300 column (GE Healthcare, Little Chalfont, UK) equilibrated in 10 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 0.5 mM TCEP.

Purification of TopBP1-BRCT0-5-Strep for interaction and *Xenopus* egg extract studies

Sf9 insect cells were grown as suspension culture in insect cell media (Pan biotech, P04-850 500) at 27°C. Baculoviruses were generated using pLIB-based constructs (Addgene, 80610). 500 ml Sf9 cells (1x106/ml) were infected with a 1:100 dilution of recombinant baculovirus carrying an expression construct for TopBP1-1-766-Strep-WT or mutants, and were incubated at 27°C for 72 h. Cell pellets were lysed by douncing in 30 ml of lysis buffer (20 mM HEPES pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl, 0.1% (v/v) Tween-20, 0.5 mM TCEP, protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche Complete protease inhibitor cocktail, 05056489001)). The lysate was centrifuged at 44800 g for 45 min and the supernatant was loaded onto the StrepTrapHP-1ml column (Cytiva, 28907546) using an Äkta FPLC system. The bound protein was eluted with elution buffer (20 mM HEPES pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl, 0.01% Tween-20 (v/v), 0.5 mM TCEP, 2% (v/v) glycerol, 2.5 mM desthiobiotin).

Purification of GINS from insect cells for structural and fluorescence polarisation studies

Truncations of Psf1 were made using a Q5 Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit (NEB, Hitchin, UK). Psf1 Psf2 Psf3 HIS-(3C)-Sld5 or Psf1(1-151) Psf2 Psf3 HIS-(3C)-Sld5 were expressed from a single baculovirus produced through combing the individual pLib vectors into pBig1a (referecene for biGBac). Plasmids were transformed into DH10BAC cells (Fisher Scientific, UK) and bacmids were purified by standard procedures. Bacmids were transfected into SF9 cells (Thermofisher, UK) using Cellfectin II reagent (Fisher Scientific, UK), before viral amplification and protein expression. Cell pellets were re-suspended in lysis buffer containing 25 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 200 mM NaCl, 0.5 mM TCEP, and supplemented with 10 U DNASE Turbo and

cOmplete, EDTA-free Protease Inhibitor Cocktail (Merck), then disrupted by sonication, and
the resulting lysate clarified by centrifugation at 40,000 x g for 60 min at 4°C. The supernatant
was applied to a 5mL HiTrap TALON crude column (GE Healthcare, Little Chalfont, UK),
washed first with lysis buffer, followed lysis buffer supplemented with 10 mM imidazole, with
any retained protein then eluted by application of a gradient up to 250mM imidazole. Peak
fractions were pooled and concentrated before application to size exclusion chromatography.
For aSEC and EM experiments a Superdex200increase 10 300 column (GE Healthcare, Little
Chalfont, UK) equilibrated in 10 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 0.5 mM TCEP was used
while for FP experiments a Superdex200 16 600 column (GE Healthcare, Little Chalfont, UK)
equilibrated in 25 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 0.25 mM TCEP, 0.02 % (v/v)
Tween 20 was used.
520
Purification of GINS from insect cells for pulldown experiments
Sf9 insect cells cultured as described above were co-infected with 1:50 dilution of each four
recombinant baculoviruses for expressing 6xHis-3xFlag-Sld5, Psf1, Psf2 and Psf3, respectively.
72 h post infection, pellets from one litre cells were lysed by douncing in 60 ml of lysis buffer
(20 mM HEPES pH 8.0, 300 mM NaCl, 0.1% (v/v) Tween-20, 25 mM imidazole, 0.5 mM TCEP,
protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche Complete protease inhibitor cocktail, 05056489001)). The
lysate was centrifuged at 44800 g for 45 min and the supernatant was incubated with 2.5 ml
Ni-NTA agarose beads (Qiagen,30210) for 1 h at 4°C. The bound protein was eluted with six
bead volumes elution buffer (20 mM HEPES pH 8.0, 300 mM NaCl, 0.01% (v/v) Tween-20, 250
mM imidazole, 0.5 mM TCEP, 2% (v/v) glycerol). The eluate was dialysed into 20 mM HEPES
pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl, 0.01% (v/v) Tween-20, 0.5 mM TCEP, 2% (v/v) glycerol, and then applied
onto an HiTrap HP Q anion exchange column (Cytiva, 17115301). To elute the bound protein,
a linear gradient of NaCl (from 150 mM to 1 M) in elution buffer (20 mM HEPES pH8.0, 0.01%
(v/v) Tween-20, 0.5mM TCEP, 2% (v/v) glycerol) was used. Peak fractions including GINS were
pooled and loaded onto gel filtration column (running buffer: 20 mM HEPES pH8.0, 300mM
NaCl, 0.01% (v/v) Tween-20, 0.5mM TCEP, 2% (v/v) glycerol).
537
Purification of geminin
GST tagged *Xenopus* geminin (Xgeminin) in pGEX was purified from 6 l of Rosetta E. coli
culture. Expression of GST-Xgeminin was induced with 1 mM IPTG at OD600 = 0.8 at 25 °C
over night. Cells were harvested by centrifugation at 6000 rpm (JLA-8.1, Avanti JXN-26
Beckman Culture) for 15 min. Subsequently, cells were resuspended in lysis buffer (5 mg/ ml
lysozyme, 20 mM Hepes pH 7.7, 200 mM NaCl, 5 mM β-mercaptoethanol, 5 % glycerin) and
lysed by sonication. Cell suspension was centrifuged at 20000 rpm (SS-34, Sorvall RC 6 PLUS)
for 20 min at 4 °C. Supernatant was incubated with glutathione-sepharose (GE Healthcare
17513201) for 3 h before elution with lysis buffer + 50 mM glutathione (Applichem
A2084,0025=. Peak fractions were pooled and dialyzed into XBE2 buffer (100 mM KCl, 2 mM
MgCl2, 0.1 mM CaCl2, 1.71 % w:v sucrose, 5 mM K-EGTA, 10 mM HEPES-KOH, pH 7.7) and
concentrated to 360 µM Xgeminin. Aliquots were frozen at - 80 °C.

550
Size exclusion chromatography

TOPBP1 and GINS constructs were mixed in an equimolar ratio to give a final concentration of 20 μM of each component and incubated on ice for at least 30 minutes prior to application to a Superdex200increase 10 300 column (GE Healthcare, Little Chalfont, UK) equilibrated in 10 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 0.5 mM TCEP.

Fluorescence polarisation experiments

Fluorescein-labelled peptides (WT: 'Flu'-GYGAPSEKHEQADEDLLSQYENG or LLPP: 'Flu'-GYGAPSEKHEQADEDPPSQYENG) (Peptide Protein Research Ltd, Bishops Waltham, UK) at a concentration of 100nM, were incubated at room temperature with increasing concentrations of GINS in 25 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 0.25 mM TCEP, 0.02 % (v/v) Tween 20 in a black 96-well polypropylene plate (VWR, Lutterworth, UK). Fluorescence polarisation was measured in a POLARstar Omega multimode microplate reader (BMG Labtech GmbH, Offenburg, Germany). Binding curves represent the mean of 3 independent experiments, with error bars of 1 standard deviation.

Immunoprecipitations from transiently transfected 293T cells

Transient transfections of 293T cells (ATCC CRL-11268) were carried out as described using PEI (polyethyleneimine) according to a protocol kindly shared by David Cortez' lab. 4 μg plasmid DNA in 100 μl DMEM (Thermofisher, 41965039) without penicillin-streptomycin were combined with 2.4 μl Polyethylenimin (Sigma, 408727; 10 mg/ml) and incubated for 20 minutes before addition to 4 x 106 cells on a 10 cm dish. Transfected cells were used 72 h post transfection.

6xMyc-Tev2-TopBP1 was immunoprecipitated from native lysates of transiently transfected 293T cells. 72 h post transfection, 293T cells were lysed by douncing in ten times cell pellet volume of lysis buffer (20 mM HEPES pH8.0, 150mM NaCl, 0.1 % (v/v) Tween-20, 0.5mM TCEP, 2% (v/v) glycerol, protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche, Complete protease inhibitor cocktail, 05056489001)), and centrifuged at 20000 g for 15 min at 4 °C. The soluble fraction was added to magnetic anti-Myc beads (5 μl slurry per sample; Thermofischer, 88842) and incubated for 2 hours at 4 °C rotating. Cells equivalent to 50 % of a 15 cm dish were used per sample. Beads were washed three times in lysis buffer with 5 min incubation each, and finally boiled in 50 μl Laemmli buffer (10% SDS, 200 mM Tris-HCL pH 6.0, 20% glycerol, 2.16 M β-mercaptoethanol, 0.01% bromphenolblue).

Pulldown of recombinant TopBP1 fragments by immobilised GINS

For in vitro pulldown experiments using recombinant GINS and TopBP1, magnetic anti-Flag beads (1 μl slurry for western blot/silver staining-scale experiments, 4 μl for Coomassie-scale experiments) were coupled with 600 ng (western/silver-scale) or 2400 ng (coomassie-scale) GINS via 3xFlag-Sld5. 100 nM final concentration of TopBP1-Strep-WT or mutants in 20 l (western/silver-scale) or 80 ul (coomassie-scale) of interaction buffer (20 mM HEPES pH8.0,

591   150mM NaCl, 0.01% (v/v) Tween-20, 0.5mM TCEP, 2% (v/v) glycerol) were added to GINS-
592   coupled beads in 5 ul (western/silver-scale) or 20 µl (coomassie-scale) interaction buffer. The
593   reaction was incubated for 45 min at 4°C rotating. Then, beads were washed three times for
594   5 min with interaction buffer and boiled in 50 µl Laemmli buffer (10% SDS, 200 mM Tris-HCL
595   pH 6.0, 20% glycerol, 2.16 M β-mercaptoethanol,0.01% bromphenolblue) before SDS PAGE.
596

Pulldown from cell lysates with recombinant TopBP1-BRCT0-5-strep

For pulldown assays from soluble lysates non-transfected 293T cells, 5 µl streptactin
Sepharose HP (Cytiva, 28935599) beads were coupled with 10 g recombinant TopBP1-1-766-
strep-WT or different mutants in coupling buffer (20 mM HEPES pH8.0, 150mM NaCl, 0.1%
(v/v) Tween-20, 0.5mM TCEP, 2% (v/v) glycerol) for 45 min at 4 °C before washing two times
with coupling buffer and then once in cell lysis buffer (20 mM HEPES pH8.0, 150mM NaCl,
0.1% (v/v) Tween-20, 0.5mM TCEP, 2% (v/v) glycerol, protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche
Complete protease inhibitor cocktail, 05056489001). 293T cells were lysed in ten times pellet
volume of cell lysis buffer, and centrifuged at 20000 g for 15 min at 4 °C. Soluble lysate from
cells equivalent to 50 % of a 15 cm tissue culture plate was added to the TopBP1-coupled
beads and incubated for 2 h at 4°C rotating. Beads were washed three times with 5 min
incubation in lysis buffer and boiled in 50 µl Laemmli buffer (10% SDS, 200 mM Tris-HCL pH
6.0, 20% glycerol, 2.16 M β-mercaptoethanol,0.01% bromphenolblue) before SDS PAGE.
610

Generation of replicating *Xenopus* egg extracts

Xenopus laevis egg extracts were prepared from metaphase II arrested eggs as described in
[70]. After washing with MMR (100 mM NaCl, 2 mM KCl, 1 mM MgCl2, 2 mM CaCl2, 0.1 mM
EDTA, 5 mM HEPES–NaOH, pH 7.8) eggs were dejellied (2% cysteine w:v in H2O,pH 7.8 with
NaOH.). Subsequently, eggs were rinsed in XBE2 (100 mM KCl, 2 mM MgCl2, 0.1 mM CaCl2,
1.71 % w:v sucrose, 5 mM K-EGTA, 10 mM HEPES-KOH, pH 7.7). Eggs were transferred into
centrifuge tubes containing 1 ml XBE2 + 10 µg/ ml protease inhibitor (aprotinin Sigma A6279,
leupeptin Merck 108975, pepstatin Carl Roth 2936.1) + 100 µg/ ml cytochalasin D (Sigma
C8273). For packing, eggs were centrifuged for 1 min at 1400 g at 16 °C in a swingout rotor.
Excess buffer, activated and apoptotic eggs were removed before crushing the eggs at 16000
g for 10 min at 16 °C. Extract were collected with a 20 G needle and supplemented with 10
µg/ml cytochalasin D, 10 µg/ml protease inhibitors, 1:80 dilution of energy regenerator (1M
phosphocreatine K salt, 600 µg/ ml creatine phosphokinase in 10 mM HEPES–KOH pH 7.6)
and LFB1/50 (10% w:v sucrose, 50 mM KCl, 2 mM MgCl2, 1 mM EGTA, 2 mM DTT, 20 mM
K2HPO4/KH2PO4 pH 8.0, 40 mM HEPES–KOH, pH 8.0.) to 15 % v:v. Extract was cleared at
84000 g at 4 °C for 20 min in a swingout rotor. The cytoplasmatic layer was collected and
supplemented with 2 % glycerol v:v. Extract was frozen by dropping 20 µl aliqouts into liquid
nitrogen and stored at - 80 °C.
629

Immunodepletion of TopBP1 from *Xenopus* egg extract:

For XTopBP1 depletion from *Xenopus* egg extract, 0.5 µg antibody #1, #2 or IgG (rabbit) were

coupled per µl magnetic Protein G dynabeads (Life Technologies 10004D) for 1 h at RT. Freshly

thawed extracts were supplemented with 1/40 energy regenerator (1M phosphocreatine K

salt, 600 µg/ ml creatine phosphokinase in 10 mM HEPES–KOH pH 7.6) and 250 µg/ml

cycloheximide and released into interphase by adding 0.3 mM CaCl2 for 15 min at 23 °C.

Subsequently, extracts were depleted for 45 min on ice with 0.675 µg antibody per µl extract.

After depletion the extract was aliquoted, snap frozen and stored at - 80 °C for replication

assays and chromatin isolations.

Replication analysis

Interphase *Xenopus* egg extracts were supplemented with 5 ng sperm DNA (kindly provided

by the lab of O Stemmann) per µl extract and 50 nCi/µl α^{32}P-dCTP (Perkin Elmer

NEG513A250UC) at 23°C. 1 µl extract was spotted for each time point onto a glass fibre

membrane. For addback experiments, 6 ng/ µl recombinant TopBP1 protein or buffer was

added before sperm nuclei addition to TopBP1 depleted egg extract. Unbound α^{32}P-dCTP

was removed by rinsing the membrane three times 15 min with ice cold 5 % TCA in water

and once with ice cold EtOH. Newly synthesized DNA was detected by phospho-imaging.

Chromatin isolation

For chromatin isolation, XTopBP1 depleted interphase egg extract was supplemented with 50

µg/ml aphidicolin and aliquoted into 15 µl samples before addition of buffer or recombinant

TopBP1 to a final concentration 6 ng/µl. 2.25 µM geminin or buffer were added before

incubation for 10 min on ice. To start the replication reaction 9 ng sperm DNA/µl (I think this

is sperm DNA, not 9ng sperm) extract was added and incubated for 75 min at 23°C. Reactions

were stopped with 300 µl ice cold ELB salt (10 mM HEPES-KOH pH 7.7, 50 mM KCl, 2.5 mM

MgCl2) + 250 mM sucrose + 0.6 % triton X-100. Diluted extract was loaded onto 150 µl ELB

salt + 25 % sucrose and centrifuged for 10 min at 2500 g at 4 °C in a swingout rotor. Liquid

above the sucrose cushion was removed and the surface of the cushion was washed twice

with 200 µl EBL salt containing 250 mM sucrose. Subsequently the cushion was removed

leaving about 15 µl behind and centrifuged for 2 min at 10000 g at 4 °C in a fixed angle rotor

to remove rest of the cushionFor western blotting, the chromatin pellet was resuspended in

24 µl 1x Laemmli SDS sample buffer (6.5 % glycerol, 715 mM β-mercaptoethanol, 3% SDS,

62.5 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.9, 0.005 % Bromphenol blue) and 5 µl were separated by denaturing

SDS PAGE. (for anti-XCdc45 and anti-XSld5 samples were diluted again 1:5 before loading. For

MS analysis, chromatin pellets were analysed by CHROMASS [37]. In brief, chromatin pellets

were resuspended in 50 µl denaturing buffer (8 M Urea; 100 mM Tris pH 8). To reduce

proteins, dithiothreitol (DTT, 5 mM final concentration) was added and samples were

incubated at 22 °C for 30 minutes. To alkylate peptides, iodoacetamide (20 mM final) was

added and samples were incubated at 37 °C for 30 minutes. Finally, DTT (25 mM final) was

added, and samples were incubated at 22 °C for 5 minutes. To digest proteins, 500 ng Lys-C

(add Supplier) was added, and samples were incubated at 37 °C for 3 hours. Subsequently,
samples were diluted with dilution buffer (100 mM ammonium bicarbonate) to adjust the
urea concentration to 1 M. Trypsin (Sigma, 500 ng per sample) was added and samples were
incubated over night at 37 °C. Digested peptides were acidified with trifluoroacetic acid (TFA,
final conc. 1%)] and desalted on Empore C18 material according to Rappsilber et al.
https://www.nature.com/articles/nprot.2007.261). Eluted peptides were dried in a vacuum
concentrator and reconstituted with 9 µL of buffer A (0.1% formic acid) containing 0.2%
acetonitrile and 0.01% trifluoro acetic acid. For MS analysis, 4 µl of the reconstituted peptides
were separated on an EASY-nLC™ 1200 chromatography system (Thermo Scientific) coupled
to a Q Exactive HF-X Orbitrap LC-MS/MS System (Thermo Fisher Scientific) via a Nanoflex ion
source. Peptide separation was carried out in analytical columns (50 cm, 75 µm inner
diameter packed in-house with C18 resin ReproSilPur 120, 1.9 µm diameter Dr. Maisch) using
a 3-hour non-linear gradient at a flow rate of 250 nl/minute using buffer A (aqueous 0.1%
formic acid) and buffer B (80 % acetonitrile, 0.1% formic acid). MS data was acquired in data-
dependent fashion using a Top15 method. The exact parameters for chromatography and MS
instrument settings can be retrieved from the raw files available at ProteomeXchange (PDX-
XXX).
688
MS data files from single-shot experiments were processed with MaxQuant (version 2.0.1.0)
using a non-redundant *Xenopus laevis* data base available at ProteomExchange (PDX-XX) [37].
Raw data were normalized using the label-free quantitation (LFQ) algorithm implemented in
MaxQuant. MS Data with Perseus (version 1.6.15.0) [71]. Protein groups were filtered to
eliminate contaminants, reverse hits, and proteins identified by site only. For the heat map
(Fig. 5a) LFQ intensities were log2 transformed and for each protein z-scores were calculated
across all replicates (N=4) of all four conditions. Subsequently, the average of the z-scores
was calculated for each condition and selected proteins were plotted. Proteins were manually
annotated and sorted according to their function in DNA replication and DNA repair. To
identify proteins with significant abundance changes between the four conditions, LFQ
intensities were log2 transformed and missing values were imputed with random values
drawn from a normal distribution centred around the detection limit of the MS instrument
(Perseus imputation; width=0.3, down shift = 1.8). Two sample Student's t-tests with
permutation-based FDR control were carried out in Perseus. For these tests three valid values
in at least one quadruplicate of either of the tested conditions was required. FDR was adjusted
for multiple testing by the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure using a significance threshold of
FDR<0.05. Data visualisation was carried out in R. All scripts are available upon request.
706
APEX2 biotinylation and denaturing enrichment
Proximity labelling was performed in isogenic stable 293 Flip-In (Thermo Fisher; R75007) cells
stably expressing TopBP1 N-terminal tagged with APEX2 or in 293T cells transiently expressing
either N-terminal or C-terminally APEX2-tagged TopBP1. 24 h before transfection 0.8 x 10^6
cells were seeded onto a 6 cm plate. For each condition four dishes were used. The day after

transfection 90 % confluent cells were incubated for 30 min with 500 µM biotin phenol at 37
°C. Subsequently, cells were incubated for 1 min at RT with or without 1 mM H_2O_2. To stop
the biotinylation reaction, medium was discarded, and cells were washed three times with
quenching buffer (10 mM sodium azide, 10 mM sodium ascorbate and 5 mM Trolox in
Dulbecco's PBS (Life Technologies 14190169). Cells were washed off the dishes with DPBS and
harvest into reaction tubes and washed again with DPBS. Next, cells were lysed at 95 °C for
10 min in TSD buffer (50 mM Tris pH 7.5, 5 mM DTT and 1 % SDS). Samples were diluted ten
times with TNN buffer (20 mM Tris pH 7.9, 200 mM NaCl and 0.5 % NP-40 alternative) and
benzonase (75 U per sample) (Sigma E1014). Samples were incubated for 15 min at 4 °C and
centrifuged at 21000 g for 2 min at 4 °C. Supernatant was incubated over night with 15 µl
streptavidin sepharose beads (Sigma GE17-5113-01) per sample at 4 °C. After incubation
beads were washed once with TNN buffer + 0.1% SDS and twice with 25 mM ammonium
bicarbonate and then processed for mass spectrometry.
725
Sample preparation of APEX2-biotiylated proteins for mass spectrometry
Biotinylated proteins from PDB experiments were enriched on 15 µL streptavidin sepharose
beads. Captured proteins were washed 2× with H_2O prior to on-bead digestion to remove MS
incompatible buffer components. The beads were taken up in 100 µl 0.8 M Urea, 50 mM
ammonium bicarbonate buffer (ABC) and supplemented with 5 mM Dithiothreito (DTT). After
incubation at 37°C for 30 min, 10mM Iodoacetamide (IAM) was added and incubated for
another 30 min at room temperature in the dark while shaking at 1500 rpm (Thermomixer C,
Eppendorf). In order to quench unreacted IAM the DTT concentration was raised to a final of
11 mM. Trypsin digestion was initiated by adding a total of 300 ng Trypsin to each sample and
placing the samples at 37°C while shaking at 1150 rpm over night. The tryptic digestion was
stopped the next day by adding a final of 1% (vol/vol) formic acid (FA) to the samples. The
beads were collected by centrifugation (650× g, 5 min) and 100 µl of the supernatant
transferred to a Eppendorf Lo-bind 1.5 mL tube. The remaining beads were incubated with
50 µl 1 % formic acid for (1150 rpm, 5 min rpm, RT) and after collecting the beads once more
by centrifugation (650× g, 5 min) the supernatant was combined with the first one. The
sepharose beads were discarded. In order to remove residual sepharose beads, the combined
peptide containing solutions were passed over pre-equilibrated (50 µl 0.5 % formic acid)
home-made 2-disc Glass microfiber StageTip (disc material: GE Healthcare; pore size: 1.2 µM;
thickness: 0.26 mm; 50× g 2 min). The cleared tryptic digests were then desalted on home-
made C18 StageTips as described [72] Briefly, peptides were immobilized and washed on a 2
disc C18 (Empore) StageTip. After elution from the StageTips, samples were dried using a
vacuum concentrator (Eppendorf) and the peptides were taken up in 0.1% formic acid
solution (10-15 µL) and directly used for LC-MS/MS experiments (see below for details).
749
BS3 crosslinking of TopBP1-BRCT0-5 and GINS
50 µg (1.15 µg/µl) of each GINS and TopBP1-BRCT0-5-strep (amino acids 1-766) were
incubated for 45 min on ice in cross-linking buffer (20mM HEPES pH8.0, 150mM NaCl, 0.01%

Tween20, 2% Glycerol, 0.5mM TCEP). 600 μM or 2500 μM of BS3 crosslinker were added and
incubated for 30 min at 35°C with shaking. The reaction was stopped by adding ammonium
bicarbonate to a final concentration of 100 mM. 90 % of the cross-linked sample was used for
mass spectrometry analysis of crosslinks. For mass spectrometry of gel-extracted samples,
10% of the reaction were separated by SDS-PAGE. The coomassie-stained gel was cut in slices
above the molecular weight of monomeric TopBP1-BRCT0-5-strep for subsequent mass
spectrometry.
760
Processing of BS3 crosslinked protein preparations for mass spectrometry
Sample preparation of crosslinked samples for LC/MS/MS is essentially based on the SP3
protocol published by [73]. A volume corresponding to 30 μg of protein from each cross-linking
sample was taken up in 100 μL 1× SP3 Lysis Buffer (final concentrations: 5% (wt/vol) SDS; 10
mM TCEP; 200 μL 40 mM Chloracetamide; 200 mM HEPES pH 8) and heated for 5 min at 90°C.
After cooling the samples to room temperature (on ice) a mix of 150 μg hydrophobic
(#65152105050250) and 150 μg hydrophilic (#45152105050250) SeraMag Speed Beads
(Cytiva) was added to the denatured and alkylated protein containing solution (bead to
protein ratio 10 to 1) and gently mixed. Then 1 volume of 100% vol/vol Ethanol (EtOH) was
added and the protein/bead/EtOH suspension was then incubated for 20 min at 24°C on a
Thermomixer C (Eppendorf) while shaking at 1200 rpm. After this binding step, the beads
collected on a magnet washed 4× with 80% EtOH (collection time on the magnet minimum of
4 min). After the final wash & collect step the beads were taken up in 25 mM
Ammoniumbicarbonate (ABC) containing 1 μg Trypsin (Protein:Trypsin ratio 30:1). To help
bead dissociation samples were incubated for 5 min in a sonification bath (preheated to 37°C).
After this step samples were transferred to a Thermomixer C (preheated to 37°C) and
incubated over night while shaking at 1300 rpm. Next morning the samples were acidified
with formic acid (FA, final 1% vol/vol). The beads were collected on a magnet and the
supernatant transferred to a fresh Eppendorf tube. The samples were then put again on a
magnet for at least 5 min to remove remaining beads. The cleared tryptic digests were then
desalted on home-made C18 StageTips as described [72]. Briefly, peptides were immobilized
and washed on a 2 disc C18 StageTip. After elution from the StageTips, samples were dried
using a vacuum concentrator (Eppendorf) and the peptides were taken up in 0.1% formic acid
solution (10 μL) and directly used for LC-MS/MS experiments (see below for details).
785
LC-MS/MS settings crosslinking mass spectrometry
MS Experiments were performed on an Orbitrap Fusion LUMOS instrument (Thermo) coupled
to an EASY-nLC 1200 ultra-performance liquid chromatography (UPLC) system (Thermo). The
UPLC was operated in the one-column mode. The analytical column was a fused silica capillary
(75 μm × 41 cm) with an integrated fritted emitter (CoAnn Technologies) packed in-house
with Kinetex 1.7 μm C18-XB core shell beads (Phenomenex). The analytical column was
encased by a column oven (Sonation PRSO-V2) and attached to a nanospray flex ion source
(Thermo). The column oven temperature was set to 50 °C during sample loading and data

acquisition. The LC was equipped with two mobile phases: solvent A (0.2% FA, 2% Acetonitrile, ACN, 97.8% H_2O) and solvent B (0.2% FA, 80% ACN, 19.8% H_2O). All solvents were of UPLC grade (Honeywell). Peptides were directly loaded onto the analytical column with a maximum flow rate that would not exceed the set pressure limit of 980 bar (usually around 0.4 – 0.6 µL/min). Peptides were subsequently separated on the analytical column by running a 70 min gradient of solvent A and solvent B (start with 2% B; gradient 2% to 6% B for 5:00 min; gradient 6% to 25% B for 42:00 min; gradient 25% to 40% B for 15:00 min; 40% to 98% B for 1:00 min; 98% for 7:00 min) at a flow rate of 350 nl/min. The mass spectrometer was controlled by the Orbitrap Fusion Lumos Tune Application (version 3.3.2782.28) and operated using the Xcalibur software (version 4.3.73.11). The mass spectrometer was set in the positive ion mode. The ionization potential (spray voltage) was set to 2.5 kV. Source fragmentation was turned off. Precursor ion scanning was performed in the Orbitrap analyser (FT; fourier transform mass spectrometer) in the scan range of m/z 370-1600 and at a resolution of 120000 with the internal lock mass option turned on (lock mass was 445.120025 m/z, polysiloxane) [74]. AGC (automatic gain control) was set to "standard" and acquisition time to "auto". Product ion spectra were recorded in a data dependent fashion in the FT in a variable scan range ("auto") and at 15000 resolution. Peptides were analysed using a "top speed" regime (repeating cycle of full precursor ion scan (AGC target "standard"; acquisition time "200ms") followed by dependent MS2 scans for 5 seconds (minimum intensity threshold 2×10^4). The MS2 precursor ions were isolated using the quadrupole (isolation window 2.0 m/z) and fragmentation was achieved by Higher-energy C-trap dissociation (HCD) (normalized collision mode set to "stepped" and normalized collision energy set to "27, 30, 33%"). During MS2 data acquisition dynamic ion exclusion was set to 60 seconds. Only charge states between 3-7 were considered for fragmentation.

LC-MS/MS settings for PDB experiments

MS Experiments were performed on an Orbitrap Fusion LUMOS instrument (Thermo) coupled to an EASY-nLC 1200 ultra-performance liquid chromatography (UPLC) system (Thermo). The UPLC was operated in the one-column mode. The analytical column was a fused silica capillary (75 µm × 46 cm) with an integrated fritted emitter (CoAnn Technologies) packed in-house with Kinetex 1.7 µm C18-XB core shell beads (Phenomenex). The analytical column was encased by a column oven (Sonation PRSO-V2) and attached to a nanospray flex ion source (Thermo). The column oven temperature was set to 50 °C during sample loading and data acquisition. The LC was equipped with two mobile phases: solvent A (0.2% FA, 2% Acetonitrile, ACN, 97.8% H_2O) and solvent B (0.2% FA, 80% ACN, 19.8% H_2O). All solvents were of UPLC grade (Honeywell). Peptides were directly loaded onto the analytical column with a maximum flow rate that would not exceed the set pressure limit of 980 bar (usually around 0.4 – 0.6 µL/min). Peptides were subsequently separated on the analytical column by running a 105 min gradient of solvent A and solvent B (start with 3% B; gradient 3% to 9% B for 6:30 min; gradient 9% to 30% B for 62:30 min; gradient 30% to 50% B for 24:00 min; 50% to 100% B for 2:30 min; 100% for 9:30 min) at a flow rate of 300 nl/min. The mass spectrometer was

controlled by the Orbitrap Fusion Lumos Tune Application (version 3.3.2782.28) and operated using the Xcalibur software (version 4.3.73.11). The mass spectrometer was set in the positive ion mode. The ionization potential (spray voltage) was set to 2.5 kV. Source fragmentation was turned off. Precursor ion scanning was performed in the Orbitrap analyser (FT; fourier transform mass spectrometer) in the scan range of m/z 370-1500 and at a resolution of 240000 with the internal lock mass option turned on (lock mass was 445.120025 m/z, polysiloxane) [74]. AGC (automatic gain control) was set to "standard" and acquisition time to "auto". Product ion spectra were recorded in a data dependent fashion in the IT (IT; ion trap mass spectrometer) in a variable scan range ("auto") and at "rapid" scan rate. Peptides were analysed using a "top speed" regime (repeating cycle of full precursor ion scan (AGC target "300%"; acquisition time "auto") followed by dependent MS2 scans for 3 seconds (minimum intensity threshold 4×10^3)). The MS2 precursor ions were isolated using the quadrupole (isolation window 1.6 m/z) and fragmentation was achieved by Higher-energy C-trap dissociation (HCD) (normalized collision mode set to "stepped" and normalized collision energy set to "27, 32, 40%"). During MS2 data acquisition dynamic ion exclusion was set to 20 seconds. Only charge states between 2-7 were considered for fragmentation.

Data processing protocol on-bead digestion

RAW spectra were submitted to an Andromeda [75] search in MaxQuant (v2.0.3.0) using the default settings (Cox & Mann 2008) [76]. Label-free quantification and match-between-runs was activated [77]. The MS/MS spectra data were searched against the Uniprot *H. sapiens* reference database (one protein per gene; UP000005640_9606_OPPG.fasta, 20585 entries, downloaded 1/10/2022) and a dedicated database containing the APEX2-myc sequence (ACE_0741_SOI_v01.fasta; 1 entry). All searches included a contaminants database search (as implemented in MaxQuant, 246 entries). The contaminants database contains known MS contaminants and was included to estimate the level of contamination. Andromeda searches allowed oxidation of methionine residues (16 Da) and acetylation of the protein N-terminus (42 Da) as dynamic modifications and the static modification of cysteine (57 Da, alkylation with iodoacetamide). Enzyme specificity was set to "Trypsin/P" with two missed cleavages allowed. The instrument type in Andromeda searches was set to Orbitrap and the precursor mass tolerance was set to ± 20 ppm (first search) and ± 4.5 ppm (main search). The MS/MS match tolerance was set to ± 0.5 Da. The peptide spectrum match FDR and the protein FDR were set to 0.01 (based on target-decoy approach). Minimum peptide length was 7 aa. For protein quantification unique and razor peptides were allowed. Modified peptides were allowed for quantification. The minimum score for modified peptides was 40. Label-free protein quantification was switched on, and unique and razor peptides were considered for quantification with a minimum ratio count of 2. Retention times were recalibrated based on the built-in nonlinear time-rescaling algorithm. MS/MS identifications were transferred between LC-MS/MS runs with the "match between runs" option in which the maximal match time window was set to 0.7 min and the alignment time window set to 20 min. The quantification is based on the "value at maximum" of the extracted ion current. At least two

quantitation events were required for a quantifiable protein. Further analysis and filtering of
the results was done in Perseus v1.6.10.0. [71]. For quantification we combined related
biological replicates to categorical groups and investigated only those proteins that were
found in at least one categorical group in a minimum of 3 out of 4 biological replicates.
Comparison of protein group quantities (relative quantification) between different MS runs
is based solely on the LFQ's as calculated by MaxQuant, MaxLFQ algorithm [77].
882
Data processing protocol for crosslinking experiments
The searches for crosslinked peptides were performed with two different search engines:
MetaMorpheus (MM) version 0.0.320 [78] and pLink2 version v2.3.9. [79]. Searches were
performed on the Thermo RAW files using the database ACE_0704_SOI_plus_con_v01.fasta
(244 entries). The database contains the sequences for TopBP1 (1-766), PsfI, PsfII, PsfIII, Sld5
and 239 known contaminating proteins found in MS samples. The peptide spectrum match
FDR for MM was 0.01 and for pLink2 0.05 (based on target-decoy approach, decoys are
generated by the software). The settings for MetaMorpheus were: crosslinker name = DSS
_KSTY (note: DSS and BS3 have identical crosslinker size); crosslinker type = non-cleavable;
crosslinker mass = 138.06808; crosslinker modification site 1 = K; crosslinker modification site
2 = KSTY; protease = trypsin; maximum missed cleavages = 3; minimum peptide length = 5;
maximum peptide length = 60; initiator methionine behaviour = Variable; max modification
isoforms = 1024; fixed modifications = Carbamidomethyl on C, variable modifications =
Oxidation on M; parent mass tolerance(s) = ±10 ppm; product mass tolerance = ±20 ppm. The
settings for pLink2 were: crosslinker name = DSS-BS3-KSTY; crosslinker type = non-cleavable;
crosslinker mass = 138.06808; crosslinker modification site 1 = K; crosslinker modification site
2 = KSTY; protease = trypsin; maximum missed cleavages = 3; minimum peptide length = 6;
maximum peptide length = 60; fixed modifications = Carbamidomethyl on C, variable
modifications = Oxidation on M; parent mass tolerance(s) = ±20 ppm; product mass tolerance
= ±20 ppm. The results from both searches were converted to the ProXL XML format using
the respective converters (metaMorph2ProxlXML.jar, plink2toProxlXML.jar, follow links on
the ProXL website; https://proxl-ms.org/) and uploaded to the ProXL server [80]. Analysis and
evaluation of crosslinks was performed on our local ProXL Server. The results from both
searches was analysed together.
907
Computational modeling
We used the local implementation of AlphaFold-Multime (deepmind.com) to predict the
binding interfaces of GINS and TopBP1 using the full-length sequence of each chain. 25
models were obtained by default and ranked with the combined score of ipTM+pTM
implemented in AlphaFold-Multimer [81].
To gain more insights into the GINI binding region, we performed blind molecular docking
calculations taking the GINI sequence DEDLLSQY of TopBP1 (residues 487-494) with Cluspro
[82], HPEPDOCK [83], and MDockPeP [84] web servers, for 200 solutions per server. We further
extended, refined, and re-scored the sampling, by generating 10 additional conformations

per web server solution (for a total of 6000 solutions) with FlexPepDock [85]. The top-10 best-
scored solutions were selected for analysis and visualization.

The binding free energies of GINS with BRCT45 and GINI were estimated with the PRODIGY [86]
and PPI-Affinity [87] web servers. For the estimations, the best scored AlphaFold model was
used. The region of GINI employed for the estimation of the binding free energies comprised
residues 481 to 496.

Grid preparation

Quantifoil 1.2/1.3, 300 mesh copper grids (Quantifoil) were glow discharged using a Tergeo
Plasma Cleaner (Pie Scientific) with an indirect plasma treatment for 30 seconds. Grids were
loaded into a Leica EM GP2 (Leica microsystems) and 3 μl of peak fractions from size exclusion
chromatography were diluted to 0.1 mg/ml and applied to the front of the grid, with an
additional 0.5 μl buffer applied to the grids rear, before back blotting for 3 seconds and
plunging into an ethane propane mix.

Electron microscopy and data processing - Dataset one

Grids were stored in liquid nitrogen prior to imaging at 300 kV on a FEI Titan Krios (Thermo
Fisher Scientific) equipped with K3 detector (Gatan). 18945 movies were collected, using data
acquisition software EPU (Thermo Fisher Scientific), at a magnification of 105000 and a pixel
size of 0.85Å using a total dose of 50 e-/Å2. These were motion corrected in 5x5 patches using
MOTIONCORE2 implemented in RELION4.0 before importing into cryoSPARC. Micrographs
were CTF corrected prior to blob picking and extraction of an initial set of particles.
Subsequent filtering by 2D classification removed most of these leaving a cleaned set of
particles that were used to produce 4 initial models. The 2D classes and initial models
appeared to have at least two distinct sub populations, one containing just the GINS complex
alone and one that also had some additional density. In order to distinguish between these
two sets of particles heterorefinement was performed to split the two groups. The first
population of particles containing only the GINS complex were then imported into RELION4.0
before several cycles of 3D classification, to yield a final set of 111455 particles that gave a
volume at 5.9 Å resolution used to produce the GINS alone volume used in Fig. 6-S1a. The
second group of TOPBP1-GINS particles were used to train a TOPAZ model before
implementing further picking using TOPAZ. The resulting particles were again filtered by
rounds of 2D classification and heterorefinement before exporting the particles, that

appeared to consist of the full TOPBP1-GINS complex, into RELION4.0. Several cycles of 3D
classification were then performed with classes appearing to lack the additional density
eliminated, to yield a final set of 208115 particles. 3D refinement and post-processing of these
gave a volume at 4.71Å resolution into which previously solved crystal structures of the GINS
complex (29EX) and the central BRCT4/5 module of TopBP1 (3UEN) could be docked.

Electron microscopy and data processing - Dataset two
Grids were stored in liquid nitrogen prior to imaging at 300 kV on a FEI Titan Krios (Thermo
Fisher Scientific) equipped with Fakcon 4i detector (Thermo Fisher Scientific). 8808 movies
were collected, using data acquisition software EPU (Thermo Fisher Scientific), at a
magnification of 120000 and a pixel size of 0.74Å using a total dose of 39.69 e-/Å2. The same
processing schedule was followed as for dataset one to yield a final set of 154278 particles.
3D refinement and post-processing of these gave a volume at 4.1 Å resolution into which the
previously solved model could be docked alongside the predicted GINI helix from the
Alphafold2 model. Minor adjustments to the model were made in Coot before refinement of
the final model using PHENIX.

Data Presentation
Figure panels for all structural data were produced using ChimeraX-1.3

Data Availability
The mass spectrometry proteomics data for the proximity dependent biotinylation
experiments, the cross-linking experiments and the chromatin mass spectrometry
(CHROMASS) experiments have been deposited to the ProteomeXchange Consortium via the
PRIDE[88] partner repository (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/pride/archive/) with the dataset
identifiers PXD040000 (PDB experiments), PXD040156 (CL-MS experiments) and PXD040024
(CHROMASS experiments). During the review process the data can be accessed via the
following Reviewer accounts:
PXD040000: (Username: reviewer_pxd040000@ebi.ac.uk; Password: Lk9VLXVF)
PXD040156: (Username: reviewer_pxd040156@ebi.ac.uk; Password: NLNLORQl)
PXD040024: (Username: reviewer_pxd040024@ebi.ac.uk; Password: 5OGweuE6)

Cryo-EM maps and refined coordinates have been deposited in the EMDB and Protein
Databank as EMD- 16916 and PDB ID 8OK2 respectively.

Competing interests
none

References

1   Costa, A. & Diffley, J. F. X. The Initiation of Eukaryotic DNA Replication. *Annu Rev Biochem* **91**, 107-131 (2022). https://doi.org:10.1146/annurev-biochem-072321-110228

2   Siddiqui, K., On, K. F. & Diffley, J. F. Regulating DNA replication in eukarya. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol* **5** (2013). https://doi.org:10.1101/cshperspect.a012930

3   Remus, D. *et al.* Concerted loading of Mcm2-7 double hexamers around DNA during DNA replication origin licensing. *Cell* **139**, 719-730 (2009). https://doi.org:S0092-8674(09)01303-8 [pii]

10.1016/j.cell.2009.10.015

4   Evrin, C. *et al.* A double-hexameric MCM2-7 complex is loaded onto origin DNA during licensing of eukaryotic DNA replication. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **106**, 20240-20245 (2009). https://doi.org:0911500106 [pii]

10.1073/pnas.0911500106

5   Georgescu, R. *et al.* Structure of eukaryotic CMG helicase at a replication fork and implications to replisome architecture and origin initiation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **114**, E697-E706 (2017). https://doi.org:10.1073/pnas.1620500114

6   Douglas, M. E., Ali, F. A., Costa, A. & Diffley, J. F. X. The mechanism of eukaryotic CMG helicase activation. *Nature* **555**, 265-268 (2018). https://doi.org:10.1038/nature25787

7   Moyer, S. E., Lewis, P. W. & Botchan, M. R. Isolation of the Cdc45/Mcm2-7/GINS (CMG) complex, a candidate for the eukaryotic DNA replication fork helicase. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **103**, 10236-10241 (2006).

8   Ilves, I., Petojevic, T., Pesavento, J. J. & Botchan, M. R. Activation of the MCM2-7 helicase by association with Cdc45 and GINS proteins. *Mol Cell* **37**, 247-258 (2010). https://doi.org:S1097-2765(09)00963-0 [pii]

10.1016/j.molcel.2009.12.030

9   Costa, A. *et al.* DNA binding polarity, dimerization, and ATPase ring remodeling in the CMG helicase of the eukaryotic replisome. *Elife* **3**, e03273 (2014). https://doi.org:10.7554/eLife.03273

10 Yeeles, J. T., Deegan, T. D., Janska, A., Early, A. & Diffley, J. F. Regulated eukaryotic DNA replication origin firing with purified proteins. *Nature* **519**, 431-435 (2015). https://doi.org:10.1038/nature14285

11 Lewis, J. S. *et al.* Mechanism of replication origin melting nucleated by CMG helicase assembly. *Nature* **606**, 1007-1014 (2022). https://doi.org:10.1038/s41586-022-04829-4

12 Kanemaki, M. & Labib, K. Distinct roles for Sld3 and GINS during establishment and progression of eukaryotic DNA replication forks. *Embo J* **25**, 1753-1763 (2006).

13 Heller, R. C. *et al.* Eukaryotic Origin-Dependent DNA Replication In Vitro Reveals Sequential Action of DDK and S-CDK Kinases. *Cell* **146**, 80-91 (2011). https://doi.org:S0092-8674(11)00654-4 [pii]

10.1016/j.cell.2011.06.012

14 Francis, L. I., Randell, J. C., Takara, T. J., Uchima, L. & Bell, S. P. Incorporation into the prereplicative complex activates the Mcm2-7 helicase for Cdc7-Dbf4 phosphorylation. *Genes Dev* **23**, 643-654 (2009).

15 Greiwe, J. F. *et al.* Structural mechanism for the selective phosphorylation of DNA-
loaded MCM double hexamers by the Dbf4-dependent kinase. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* **29**,
10-20 (2022). https://doi.org:10.1038/s41594-021-00698-z
16 Saleh, A. *et al.* The structural basis of Cdc7-Dbf4 kinase dependent targeting and
phosphorylation of the MCM2-7 double hexamer. *Nat Commun* **13**, 2915 (2022).
https://doi.org:10.1038/s41467-022-30576-1
17 Itou, H., Muramatsu, S., Shirakihara, Y. & Araki, H. Crystal structure of the homology
domain of the eukaryotic DNA replication proteins Sld3/Treslin. *Structure* **22**, 1341-
1347 (2014). https://doi.org:10.1016/j.str.2014.07.001
18 Zegerman, P. & Diffley, J. F. X. Phosphorylation of Sld2 and Sld3 by cyclin-dependent
kinases promotes DNA replication in budding yeast. *Nature* **445**, 281-285 (2007).
https://doi.org:nature05432 [pii]
10.1038/nature05432
19 Kumagai, A., Shevchenko, A. & Dunphy, W. G. Direct regulation of Treslin by cyclin-
dependent kinase is essential for the onset of DNA replication. *J Cell Biol* **193**, 995-
1007 (2011). https://doi.org:jcb.201102003 [pii]
10.1083/jcb.201102003
20 Boos, D. *et al.* Regulation of DNA Replication through Sld3-Dpb11 Interaction Is
Conserved from Yeast to Humans. *Curr Biol* **21**, 1152-1157 (2011).
https://doi.org:S0960-9822(11)00644-0 [pii]
10.1016/j.cub.2011.05.057
21 Tak, Y. S., Tanaka, Y., Endo, S., Kamimura, Y. & Araki, H. A CDK-catalysed regulatory
phosphorylation for formation of the DNA replication complex Sld2-Dpb11. *Embo J* **25**,
1987-1996 (2006).
22 Gambus, A. *et al.* GINS maintains association of Cdc45 with MCM in replisome
progression complexes at eukaryotic DNA replication forks. *Nat Cell Biol* **8**, 358-366
(2006). https://doi.org:ncb1382 [pii]
10.1038/ncb1382
23 Sengupta, S., van Deursen, F., de Piccoli, G. & Labib, K. Dpb2 integrates the leading-
strand DNA polymerase into the eukaryotic replisome. *Curr Biol* **23**, 543-552 (2013).
https://doi.org:10.1016/j.cub.2013.02.011
24 Goswami, P. *et al.* Structure of DNA-CMG-Pol epsilon elucidates the roles of the non-
catalytic polymerase modules in the eukaryotic replisome. *Nat Commun* **9**, 5061
(2018). https://doi.org:10.1038/s41467-018-07417-1
25 Jones, M. L., Baris, Y., Taylor, M. R. G. & Yeeles, J. T. P. Structure of a human replisome
shows the organisation and interactions of a DNA replication machine. *EMBO J* **40**,
e108819 (2021). https://doi.org:10.15252/embj.2021108819
26 Day, M., Oliver, A. W. & Pearl, L. H. Phosphorylation-dependent assembly of DNA
damage response systems and the central roles of TOPBP1. *DNA Repair (Amst)* **108**,
103232 (2021). https://doi.org:10.1016/j.dnarep.2021.103232
27 Makiniemi, M. *et al.* BRCT domain-containing protein TopBP1 functions in DNA
replication and damage response. *J Biol Chem* **276**, 30399-30406 (2001).
28 Kumagai, A., Shevchenko, A. & Dunphy, W. G. Treslin collaborates with TopBP1 in
triggering the initiation of DNA replication. *Cell* **140**, 349-359 (2010).
https://doi.org:S0092-8674(09)01635-3 [pii]
10.1016/j.cell.2009.12.049

29   Tanaka, S. *et al.* Efficient initiation of DNA replication in eukaryotes requires
Dpb11/TopBP1-GINS interaction. *Mol Cell Biol* **33**, 2614-2622 (2013).
https://doi.org:10.1128/MCB.00431-13

30   Mantiero, D., Mackenzie, A., Donaldson, A. & Zegerman, P. Limiting replication
initiation factors execute the temporal programme of origin firing in budding yeast.
EMBO J **30**, 4805-4814 (2011). https://doi.org:10.1038/emboj.2011.404

31   Tanaka, S., Nakato, R., Katou, Y., Shirahige, K. & Araki, H. Origin association of Sld3,
Sld7, and Cdc45 proteins is a key step for determination of origin-firing timing. *Curr*
Biol **21**, 2055-2063 (2011). https://doi.org:10.1016/j.cub.2011.11.038

32   Collart, C., Allen, G. E., Bradshaw, C. R., Smith, J. C. & Zegerman, P. Titration of four
replication factors is essential for the Xenopus laevis midblastula transition. *Science*
341, 893-896 (2013). https://doi.org:10.1126/science.1241530

33   Bigot, N. *et al.* Phosphorylation-mediated interactions with TOPBP1 couple 53BP1 and
9-1-1 to control the G1 DNA damage checkpoint. *Elife* **8** (2019).
https://doi.org:10.7554/eLife.44353

34   Dilorio, M. C. & Kulczyk, A. W. A Robust Single-Particle Cryo-Electron Microscopy
(cryo-EM) Processing Workflow with cryoSPARC, RELION, and Scipion. *J Vis Exp* (2022).
https://doi.org:10.3791/63387

35   Kimanius, D., Dong, L., Sharov, G., Nakane, T. & Scheres, S. H. W. New tools for
automated cryo-EM single-particle analysis in RELION-4.0. *Biochem J* **478**, 4169-4185
(2021). https://doi.org:10.1042/BCJ20210708

36   Leung, C. C. *et al.* Structural insights into recognition of MDC1 by TopBP1 in DNA
replication checkpoint control. *Structure* **21**, 1450-1459 (2013).
https://doi.org:10.1016/j.str.2013.06.015

37   Raschle, M. *et al.* DNA repair. Proteomics reveals dynamic assembly of repair
complexes during bypass of DNA cross-links. *Science* **348**, 1253671 (2015).
https://doi.org:10.1126/science.1253671

38   Kamada, K., Kubota, Y., Arata, T., Shindo, Y. & Hanaoka, F. Structure of the human
GINS complex and its assembly and functional interface in replication initiation. *Nat*
Struct Mol Biol **14**, 388-396 (2007).

39   Chang, Y. P., Wang, G., Bermudez, V., Hurwitz, J. & Chen, X. S. Crystal structure of the
GINS complex and functional insights into its role in DNA replication. *Proc Natl Acad*
Sci U S A **104**, 12685-12690 (2007).

40   De Jesus-Kim, L. *et al.* DDK regulates replication initiation by controlling the
multiplicity of Cdc45-GINS binding to Mcm2-7. *Elife* **10** (2021).
https://doi.org:10.7554/eLife.65471

41   Deegan, T. D., Yeeles, J. T. & Diffley, J. F. Phosphopeptide binding by Sld3 links Dbf4-
dependent kinase to MCM replicative helicase activation. *EMBO J* **35**, 961-973 (2016).
https://doi.org:10.15252/embj.201593552

42   Looke, M., Maloney, M. F. & Bell, S. P. Mcm10 regulates DNA replication elongation
by stimulating the CMG replicative helicase. *Genes Dev* **31**, 291-305 (2017).
https://doi.org:10.1101/gad.291336.116

43   Douglas, M. E. & Diffley, J. F. X. Recruitment of Mcm10 to Sites of Replication Initiation
Requires Direct Binding to the Minichromosome Maintenance (MCM) Complex. *J Biol*
Chem **291**, 5879-5888 (2016). https://doi.org:10.1074/jbc.M115.707802

44   Zegerman, P. & Diffley, J. F. X. Checkpoint-dependent inhibition of DNA replication
initiation by Sld3 and Dbf4 phosphorylation. *Nature* **467**, 474-478 (2010).
https://doi.org:nature09373 [pii]
10.1038/nature09373
45   Lopez-Mosqueda, J. *et al.* Damage-induced phosphorylation of Sld3 is important to
block late origin firing. *Nature* **467**, 479-483 (2010). https://doi.org:nature09377 [pii]
10.1038/nature09377
46   Natsume, T. *et al.* Kinetochores coordinate pericentromeric cohesion and early DNA
replication by Cdc7-Dbf4 kinase recruitment. *Mol Cell* **50**, 661-674 (2013).
https://doi.org:10.1016/j.molcel.2013.05.011
47   Reusswig, K. U., Zimmermann, F., Galanti, L. & Pfander, B. Robust Replication Control
Is Generated by Temporal Gaps between Licensing and Firing Phases and Depends on
Degradation of Firing Factor Sld2. *Cell Rep* **17**, 556-569 (2016).
https://doi.org:10.1016/j.celrep.2016.09.013
48   Tanaka, S. Interaction of replication factor Sld3 and histone acetyl transferase Esa1
alleviates gene silencing and promotes the activation of late and dormant replication
origins. *Genetics* **217**, 1-11 (2021). https://doi.org:10.1093/genetics/iyaa001
49   Sansam, C. G. *et al.* A mechanism for epigenetic control of DNA replication. *Genes Dev*
32, 224-229 (2018). https://doi.org:10.1101/gad.306464.117
50   Wittig, K. A., Sansam, C. G., Noble, T. D., Goins, D. & Sansam, C. L. The CRL4DTL E3
ligase induces degradation of the DNA replication initiation factor TICRR/TRESLIN
specifically during S phase. *Nucleic Acids Res* **49**, 10507-10523 (2021).
https://doi.org:10.1093/nar/gkab805
51   Johnson, M. C., Can, G., Santos, M. M., Alexander, D. & Zegerman, P. Checkpoint
inhibition of origin firing prevents inappropriate replication outside of S-phase. *Elife*
10 (2021). https://doi.org:10.7554/eLife.63589
52   Ferreira, P. *et al.* MTBP phosphorylation controls DNA replication origin firing. *Sci Rep*
11, 4242 (2021). https://doi.org:10.1038/s41598-021-83287-w
53   Ciardo, D. *et al.* Polo-like kinase 1 (Plk1) regulates DNA replication origin firing and
interacts with Rif1 in Xenopus. *Nucleic Acids Res* **49**, 9851-9869 (2021).
https://doi.org:10.1093/nar/gkab756
54   Bohly, N. *et al.* Increased replication origin firing links replication stress to whole
chromosomal instability in human cancer. *Cell Rep* **41**, 111836 (2022).
https://doi.org:10.1016/j.celrep.2022.111836
55   Toledo, L. I. *et al.* ATR prohibits replication catastrophe by preventing global
exhaustion of RPA. *Cell* **155**, 1088-1103 (2013).
https://doi.org:10.1016/j.cell.2013.10.043
56   Helmrich, A., Ballarino, M., Nudler, E. & Tora, L. Transcription-replication encounters,
consequences and genomic instability. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* **20**, 412-418 (2013).
https://doi.org:10.1038/nsmb.2543
57   Rhind, N. & Gilbert, D. M. DNA replication timing. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol* **5**,
a010132 (2013). https://doi.org:10.1101/cshperspect.a010132
58   Boos, D. & Ferreira, P. Origin Firing Regulations to Control Genome Replication Timing.
Genes (Basel) **10** (2019). https://doi.org:10.3390/genes10030199
59   Burrell, R. A. *et al.* Replication stress links structural and numerical cancer
chromosomal instability. *Nature* **494**, 492-496 (2013).
https://doi.org:10.1038/nature11935

1181 60 Volpi, I., Gillespie, P. J., Chadha, G. S. & Blow, J. J. The role of DDK and Treslin-MTBP in
1182   coordinating replication licensing and pre-initiation complex formation. *Open Biol* **11**,
1183   210121 (2021). https://doi.org:10.1098/rsob.210121

1184 61 Gaggioli, V. *et al.* CDK phosphorylation of SLD-2 is required for replication initiation
1185   and germline development in C. elegans. *J Cell Biol* **204**, 507-522 (2014).
1186   https://doi.org:10.1083/jcb.201310083

1187 62 Fukuura, M. *et al.* CDK promotes interactions of Sld3 and Drc1 with Cut5 for initiation
1188   of DNA replication in fission yeast. *Mol Biol Cell* **22**, 2620-2633 (2011).
1189   https://doi.org:mbc.E10-12-0995 [pii]
1190 10.1091/mbc.E10-12-0995

1191 63 Muramatsu, S., Hirai, K., Tak, Y. S., Kamimura, Y. & Araki, H. CDK-dependent complex
1192   formation between replication proteins Dpb11, Sld2, Pol (epsilon}, and GINS in
1193   budding yeast. *Genes Dev* **24**, 602-612 (2010). https://doi.org:24/6/602 [pii]
1194 10.1101/gad.1883410

1195 64 Rappas, M., Oliver, A. W. & Pearl, L. H. Structure and function of the Rad9-binding
1196   region of the DNA-damage checkpoint adaptor TopBP1. *Nucleic Acids Res* (2010).
1197   https://doi.org:gkq743 [pii]
1198 10.1093/nar/gkq743

1199 65 Ferreira, P., Sanchez-Pulido, L., Marko, A., Ponting, C. P. & Boos, D. Refining the
1200   domain architecture model of the replication origin firing factor Treslin/TICRR. *Life Sci*
1201   *Alliance* **5** (2022). https://doi.org:10.26508/lsa.202101088

1202 66 Chou, D. M., Petersen, P., Walter, J. C. & Walter, G. Protein phosphatase 2A regulates
1203   binding of Cdc45 to the prereplication complex. *J Biol Chem* **277**, 40520-40527 (2002).

1204 67 Kohler, K. *et al.* The Cdk8/19-cyclin C transcription regulator functions in genome
1205   replication through metazoan Sld7. *PLoS Biol* **17**, e2006767 (2019).
1206   https://doi.org:10.1371/journal.pbio.2006767

1207 68 Zimmerman, K. M., Jones, R. M., Petermann, E. & Jeggo, P. A. Diminished origin-
1208   licensing capacity specifically sensitizes tumor cells to replication stress. *Mol Cancer*
1209   *Res* **11**, 370-380 (2013). https://doi.org:10.1158/1541-7786.MCR-12-0491

1210 69 Rainey, M. D., Quachthithu, H., Gaboriau, D. & Santocanale, C. DNA Replication
1211   Dynamics and Cellular Responses to ATP Competitive CDC7 Kinase Inhibitors. *ACS*
1212   *Chem Biol* **12**, 1893-1902 (2017). https://doi.org:10.1021/acschembio.7b00117

1213 70 Gillespie, P. J., Gambus, A. & Blow, J. J. Preparation and use of Xenopus egg extracts
1214   to study DNA replication and chromatin associated proteins. *Methods* **57**, 203-213
1215   (2012). https://doi.org:10.1016/j.ymeth.2012.03.029

1216 71 Tyanova, S. *et al.* The Perseus computational platform for comprehensive analysis of
1217   (prote)omics data. *Nat Methods* **13**, 731-740 (2016).
1218   https://doi.org:10.1038/nmeth.3901

1219 72 Rappsilber, J., Mann, M. & Ishihama, Y. Protocol for micro-purification, enrichment,
1220   pre-fractionation and storage of peptides for proteomics using StageTips. *Nat Protoc*
1221   **2**, 1896-1906 (2007). https://doi.org:10.1038/nprot.2007.261

1222 73 Hughes, C. S. *et al.* Single-pot, solid-phase-enhanced sample preparation for
1223   proteomics experiments. *Nat Protoc* **14**, 68-85 (2019).
1224   https://doi.org:10.1038/s41596-018-0082-x

1225 74 Olsen, J. V. *et al.* Parts per million mass accuracy on an Orbitrap mass spectrometer
1226   via lock mass injection into a C-trap. *Mol Cell Proteomics* **4**, 2010-2021 (2005).
1227   https://doi.org:10.1074/mcp.T500030-MCP200

75 Cox, J. *et al.* Andromeda: a peptide search engine integrated into the MaxQuant
environment. *J Proteome Res* **10**, 1794-1805 (2011).
https://doi.org:10.1021/pr101065j

76 Cox, J. & Mann, M. MaxQuant enables high peptide identification rates, individualized
p.p.b.-range mass accuracies and proteome-wide protein quantification. *Nat*
Biotechnol **26**, 1367-1372 (2008). https://doi.org:10.1038/nbt.1511

77 Cox, J. *et al.* Accurate proteome-wide label-free quantification by delayed
normalization and maximal peptide ratio extraction, termed MaxLFQ. *Mol Cell*
Proteomics **13**, 2513-2526 (2014). https://doi.org:10.1074/mcp.M113.031591

78 Lu, L. *et al.* Identification of MS-Cleavable and Noncleavable Chemically Cross-Linked
Peptides with MetaMorpheus. *J Proteome Res* **17**, 2370-2376 (2018).
https://doi.org:10.1021/acs.jproteome.8b00141

79 Chen, Z. L. *et al.* A high-speed search engine pLink 2 with systematic evaluation for
proteome-scale identification of cross-linked peptides. *Nat Commun* **10**, 3404 (2019).
https://doi.org:10.1038/s41467-019-11337-z

80 Riffle, M., Jaschob, D., Zelter, A. & Davis, T. N. ProXL (Protein Cross-Linking Database):
A Platform for Analysis, Visualization, and Sharing of Protein Cross-Linking Mass
Spectrometry Data. *J Proteome Res* **15**, 2863-2870 (2016).
https://doi.org:10.1021/acs.jproteome.6b00274

81 Jumper, J. *et al.* Highly accurate protein structure prediction with AlphaFold. *Nature*
596, 583-589 (2021). https://doi.org:10.1038/s41586-021-03819-2

82 Kozakov, D. *et al.* The ClusPro web server for protein-protein docking. *Nat Protoc* **12**,
255-278 (2017). https://doi.org:10.1038/nprot.2016.169

83 Zhou, P., Jin, B., Li, H. & Huang, S. Y. HPEPDOCK: a web server for blind peptide-protein
docking based on a hierarchical algorithm. *Nucleic Acids Res* **46**, W443-W450 (2018).
https://doi.org:10.1093/nar/gky357

84 Yu, C. *et al.* A mechanism for preventing asymmetric histone segregation onto
replicating DNA strands. *Science* **361**, 1386-1389 (2018).
https://doi.org:10.1126/science.aat8849

85 Raveh, B., London, N. & Schueler-Furman, O. Sub-angstrom modeling of complexes
between flexible peptides and globular proteins. *Proteins* **78**, 2029-2040 (2010).
https://doi.org:10.1002/prot.22716

86 Xue, L. C., Rodrigues, J. P., Kastritis, P. L., Bonvin, A. M. & Vangone, A. PRODIGY: a web
server for predicting the binding affinity of protein-protein complexes. *Bioinformatics*
32, 3676-3678 (2016). https://doi.org:10.1093/bioinformatics/btw514

87 Romero-Molina, S. *et al.* PPI-Affinity: A Web Tool for the Prediction and Optimization
of Protein-Peptide and Protein-Protein Binding Affinity. *J Proteome Res* **21**, 1829-1841
(2022). https://doi.org:10.1021/acs.jproteome.2c00020

88 Vizcaino, J. A. *et al.* 2016 update of the PRIDE database and its related tools. *Nucleic*
Acids Res **44**, D447-456 (2016). https://doi.org:10.1093/nar/gkv1145

1268
1269

Acknowledgements

We thank Nora Cronin, Rebecca Thompson and Daniel Maskell, Yehuda Halfon, Pascale
Schelleberger and Fabienne Beuron for assistance with cryo-EM. We also thank Svenja
Heimann and Jenny Bormann for technical assistance with crosslinking and APEX2 mass

spectrometry and Ken L. Dreger for our local ProXL server. We further thank Stefan
Westermann, Hemmo Meyer and Olaf Stemmann for sharing reagents and expertise on
biochemistry and *Xenopus* egg extracts. The lab of D.B. was supported by the CRC1430 and
RTG1739 of the DFG and the NRW Rückkehrerförderprogramm (state of North-Rhine-
Westphalia), the labs of A.W.O and L.H.P. by Cancer Research UK Programme Grants
C302/A14532 and C302/A24386, and the lab of M.R. by the FOR2800 research group (DFG).
E.S.G. acknowledges funding from the DFG under Germany´s Excellence Strategy (EXC 2033 –
390677874 – RESOLV), the instrumentation program of the DFG (436586093) and CRC1430.
M.K. and F.K. are grateful for funding by the DFG (DFG, INST 20876/322-1 FUGG and
CRC1430).

1284

 Figure Legends

1287 **Figure 1: GINS and TopBP1 interact.**

1288

1289 a: (i) Domain model of human (hs)TopBP1, its conservation with BRCT1/2 and 3/4 domains
1290 (grey boxes) of budding yeast Dpb11 (scDpb11), and interacting proteins. (ii): Overview of
1291 TopBP1 BRCT deletion mutants and respective GINS binding capabilities. Numbers, amino
1292 acid position; capital letters indicate amino acid substitutions.

1293

1294 b: Elution profiles of size exclusion chromatography of individual recombinant TopBP1-
1295 BRCT0-5 protein (grey) and GINS tetramers (green), or of both upon incubation (blue).

1296

1297 c-e: Immobilised recombinant GINS was used to pull down TopBP1-0-5-WT (d), TopBP1-
1298 ΔBRCT3 (e) or TopBP1-ΔBRCT4/5 (f). SDS gels were silver stained.

1299

1300

1301 **Figure 2: Protein structure of the GINS-TopBP1-BRCT4/5 complex.**
1302 a: Model of the GINS-TopBP1-BRCT4/5 complex produced by docking crystal structures of the
1303 GINS complex, and the central BRCT4/5 domain of TopBP1, into the volume determined by
1304 cryo-EM.

1305

1306 b: Zoom-in of the BRCT4-Psf1 interface. The residues in stick representation appear crucial
1307 for the interface. Mutations to break the interaction are coloured pink and purple in Psf1-
1308 I97R and TopBP1-B4mut, respectively.

1309

1310 c: Pulldown of the indicated recombinant TopBP1-BRCT0-5-strep versions by bead-
1311 immobilised GINS. Analysis was done by immunoblotting.

1312

1313 d: Pulldown of the indicated recombinant TopBP1-BRCT0-5-strep versions using immobilised
1314 GINS-WT or GINS carrying a Ile97 to arginine mutation in Psf1.

1315

1316

1317 **Figure 3: The conserved core of the TopBP1-GINI domain is required for a stable interaction**
1318 **with GINS**

1319

1320 a: T-COFFEE alignment of TopBP1 proteins from humans (Q92547) *Xenopus laevis* (Q7ZZY3),
1321 *Bos taurus* (A0A3Q1LWE4) and *Rattus norvegicus* (A0A8I6GFZ6). Amino acid substitutions and
1322 names of GINI core region mutations are shown. Coloured boxes indicate regions I (blue), core
1323 helix (red), regions II (green) and III (orange). GINI-core mutants are shown with their
1324 respective GINS binding capabilities (details in Fig. 3-S1a).

1325

1326 b: Pulldown of the indicated recombinant TopBP1-BRCT0-5-strep versions (Fig. 3-S1a) by
1327 bead-immobilised GINS. Analysis was done by immunoblotting.

1328

1329 c: Fluorescence polarisation experiments using recombinant GINS tetramer and fluorescently
1330 labelled TopBP1-GINI-core (WT or Gpp (panel a)) peptides are shown.

1331

d) Cryo-EM volume showing extra helical density ascribed to the GINI helix (left) alongside a structural model (right) based on this cryo-EM and an AlphaFold2 predicted structure.

e) Pulldown of the indicated recombinant TopBP1-BRCT0-5-strep versions using immobilised GINS-WT or GINS carrying the indicated Psf1 mutations. Analysis was done by immunoblotting.

Figure 4: Both GINS binding surfaces in TopBP1 cooperate in supporting DNA replication.

a: PPI-Affinity and PRODIGY were used to estimate the free energy (in kcal/mol) of the interactions involving TopBP1-GINI and BRCT4.

b: Coomassie-stained SDS PAGE gel of the indicated recombinant TopBP1-BRCT0-5 proteins.

c: The indicated relative amounts (100 % - 10 %) of immunodepleted and non-depleted *Xenopus* egg extracts (Xtract) were analysed by immunoblotting using TopBP1 antibody #2. TopBP1 antibodies #1 and #2 and IgG (mock) were used for immunodepletion. Ponc, ponceau staining.

d/e: Replication analysis of *Xenopus* egg extracts immunodepleted with indicated antibodies upon adding back buffer (buf), or recombinant wild-type (WT) or mutant TopBP1-BRCT0-5-strep shown in b.

Figure 5: GINS binding to TopBP1 is required the origin firing step of replication.
a-c: Label-free quantification of chromatin-bound proteins by mass spectrometry upon chromatin isolation from *Xenopus* egg extracts after replacing endogenous TopBP1 with indicated TopBP1 mutants as described in figure 4. (a) Semi-quantitative heat map, (b) schematic model of DNA replication, (c) volcano plots highlighting a selection of differentially detected proteins (details in Fig. 5-S1).

d: Immunoblot analysis of chromatin-bound proteins upon isolation from *Xenopus* egg extracts as described in a.

Figure 6: Exclusive binding of TopBP1 and DNA polymerase epsilon to GINS in the CMG context.

a: Structure of human CMG with our GINS-TopBP1 superposed to show the binding sites in the context of the CMG

b: CMG-Polε shown from the same angle as CMG-TopBP1 in a demonstrates BRCT4 and PolE2 occupy the same region

c: Closeup of interaction sites on GINS for TopBP1 BRCT4 and PolE2

Figure 1

a

TOPBP1
BRCTs4+5

Psf1

Psf3

Psf2

Sld5

90°

b

TOPBP1
BRCT4

Psf1

Psf2

Psf3

Ile-97

Val-610

Thr-606

Trp-92

Val-590

c

	GINS Pulldown			Control Pulldown		
TopBP1:	WT	B4mut	Δ4/5	WT	B4mut	Δ4/5

TopBP1 — BRCT0-5 / BRCTΔ4/5 — Pulldown

Sld5 — Pulldown

TopBP1 — BRCT0-5 / BRCTΔ4/5 — Input

Sld5 — Input

1 2 3 4 5 6

d

TopBP1-BRCT0-5:	WT		Gcc/B4mut	
Psf1:	WT	I97R	WT	I97R

TopBP1 — Pulldown

Sld5 — Pulldown

TopBP1 — Input

Sld5 — Input

1 2 3 4

Figure 3

Figure 5

a

GINI interaction site

BRCT4 interaction site

Psf3
Sld5 Psf2

TopBP1

MCM ring

Psf1
A domain

120°

Psf1
B domain

CDC45

CMG helicase

CMG helicase

b

PolE2

PolE1

CMGE helicase

c

TOPBP1

PolE2