TopBP1 utilises a bipartite GINS binding mode to support genome replication

Matthew Day[*2,8+], Bilal Tetik[*1], Milena Parlak[*1], Yasser Almeida-Hernández[3,4], Markus Räschle[5], Farnusch Kaschani[6,7], Heike Siegert[1], Anika Marko[1], Elsa Sanchez-Garcia[3,4], Markus Kaiser[6,7], Isabel A. Barker[2], Laurence H. Pearl[2,9+], Antony W. Oliver[2+], Dominik Boos[1+]

[1], Molecular Genetics II, Center of Medical Biotechnology, University of Duisburg-Essen, Universitätsstraße 2-5, 45141 Essen, Germany

[2], Cancer Research UK DNA Repair Enzymes Group, Genome Damage and Stability Centre, School of Life Sciences, University of Sussex, Falmer, Brighton BN1 9RQ, UK

[3], Computational Bioengineering, Fakultät Bio- und Chemieingenieurwesen, Technical University Dortmund, Emil-Figge Str. 66, 44227 Dortmund, Germany

[4], Computational Biochemistry, Center of Medical Biotechnology, University of Duisburg-Essen, Universitätsstraße 2-5, 45141 Essen, Germany

[5], Molecular Genetics, Technical University Kaiserslautern, Paul-Ehrlich Straße 24, 67663 Kaiserslautern, Germany

[6], Analytics Core Facility Essen, Center of Medical Biotechnology, University of Duisburg-Essen, Universitätsstraße 2-5, 45141 Essen, Germany

[7] Chemical Biology, Center of Medical Biotechnology, University Duisburg-Essen, Fakultät Biologie, Essen, Germany

[8] School of Biological and Behavioural Sciences, Blizard Institute, Queen Mary University of London, London, E1 2AT, UK

[9] Division of Structural Biology, Institute of Cancer Research, Chester Beatty Laboratories, 237 Fulham Road, London SW1E 6BT, UK

* equal contribution
+ corresponding author

Abstract
Activation of the replicative Mcm2-7 helicase by loading GINS and Cdc45 is crucial for
replication origin firing, and as such for faithful genetic inheritance. Our biochemical and
structural studies demonstrate that the helicase activator GINS interacts with TopBP1 through
two separate binding surfaces, the first involving a stretch of highly conserved amino acids in
the TopBP1-GINI region, the second a surface on TopBP1-BRCT4. The two surfaces bind to
opposite ends of the A domain of the GINS subunit Psf1. Mutation analysis revealed that either
surface is able to support TopBP1-GINS interaction, albeit with reduced affinity. Consistently,
either surface is sufficient for replication origin firing in *Xenopus* egg extracts and becomes
essential in the absence of the other. The TopBP1-GINS interaction appears sterically
incompatible with simultaneous binding of DNA polymerase epsilon (Polε) to GINS when
bound to Mcm2-7-Cdc45, although TopBP1-BRCT4 and the Polε subunit PolE2 bind to
largely distinct neighbouring sites in Psf1. Our TopBP1-GINS model improves our
understanding of the recently characterised metazoan pre-loading complex. It further predicts
the coordination of three molecular origin firing processes, DNA polymerase epsilon arrival,
TopBP1 ejection and GINS integration into Mcm2-7-Cdc45.

49
50
51   **Introduction**
52
Duplicating the genome accurately and exactly once each cell cycle lies at the heart of faithful
genetic inheritance. The effective execution and regulation of DNA replication origin firing is
pivotal to accurate genome duplication. Our understanding of how origin firing in eukaryotes
generates replisomes from pre-replicative complexes (pre-RCs), their inactive precursors, has
increased. However, how the two helicase activators GINS and Cdc45 are loaded onto pre-RCs
remains less well understood.
59
Pre-RCs form during origin licensing in the G1 phase of the cell cycle when the activity level
of cyclin-dependent kinases (CDKs) are low [1]. A set of essential licensing factors loads two
copies of the Mcm2-7 helicase onto origin DNA such that a head-two-head double Mcm2-7
hexamer forms with tightly interacting N-terminal faces. Double-stranded DNA passes through
the central channels of these helicase-inactive pre-RCs [2, 3, 4]. In the following S phase, high
CDK activity induces origin firing, converting each pre-RC into two bi-directional replisomes;
the Mcm2-7 double hexamer separates, helicase activity is switched on, the dsDNA running
inside the hexamers is unwound, and what will become the single lagging strand DNA template
is excluded from each hexamer (supplementary Fig. S1). The two active helicases to pass each
other, engaging with the DNA fork with their respective N-terminal faces [5, 6].
70
Mcm2-7 helicase activation involves the loading of Cdc45 and GINS onto pre-RCs to form the
CMG (Cdc45-Mcm2-7-GINS) helicase that shows robust in vitro helicase activity in contrast
to pre-RCs [7, 8, 9, 10]. CMG assembly requires ADP-ATP exchange in the active nucleotide
binding sites of the Mcm2-7 AAA ATP binding domains and occurs tightly coupled with
partial Mcm2-7 double hexamer splitting and limited untwisting of the dsDNA inside the

central CMG channels [6, 11, 12]. The subsequent extensive dsDNA unwinding, CMG bypass and
assembly of mature replisomes depends on the Mcm10 protein and on many additional
replisome components [6, 10].
79
In budding yeast, Cdc45 and GINS integration requires Dbf4-dependent kinase (DDK), S-
CDK, the Sld3-Sld7 protein complex (Treslin-MTBP in higher eukaryotes), Dpb11 (TopBP1),
Sld2 (potential orthologue RecQL4) and DNA polymerase epsilon (DNA Polε) [10, 13, 14]. DDK
binds pre-RCs and phosphorylates the Mcm2-7 helicase, allowing Sld3-Sld7$^{\text{Treslin-MTBP}}$
association [15, 16, 17]. Pre-RC-bound Sld3-Sld7$^{\text{Treslin-MTBP}}$ recruits Cdc45 involving a direct
Sld3$^{\text{Treslin}}$-Cdc45 interaction [14, 18, 19]. Sld3-Sld7$^{\text{Treslin-MTBP}}$ is also involved in recruiting
Dpb11$^{\text{TopBP1}}$. For this, S-CDK phosphorylates Sld3$^{\text{Treslin}}$ on conserved CDK consensus sites,
which can then bind to the N-terminal BRCT (breast cancer type 1 susceptibility) domains of
Dpb11$^{\text{TopBP1}}$ [20, 21, 22] (Fig. 1a(i)). The interaction between Sld3$^{\text{Treslin}}$ and Dpb11$^{\text{TopBP1}}$ serves to
recruit the pre-loading complex (pre-LC) to pre-RCs, comprising Dpb11$^{\text{TopBP1}}$, GINS,
Sld2$^{\text{RecQL4}}$ and DNA Polε [23]. Pre-LC recruitment is mediated by a CDK phosphorylation-
mediated interaction between phospho-threonine 84 of Sld2$^{\text{RecQL4}}$ and the C-terminal BRCT-
pair of Dpb11$^{\text{TopBP1}}$ (Fig. 1a(i)). Sld2$^{\text{RecQL4}}$, Dpb11$^{\text{TopBP1}}$ and Sld3-Sld7$^{\text{Treslin-MTBP}}$ then detach
from the origin-bound CMG [13, 24], leaving GINS and Cdc45 behind to form the CMG helicase.
DNA Polε associates with CMG in a dynamic fashion to form the CMGE complex (CMG-
DNA Polε) [25]. The N-terminus of the Dpb2 subunit of DNA Polε and its vertebrate equivalent
PolE2 bind to the Psf1 subunit of GINS [26, 27]. It has been suggested that this interaction
represents the essential activity of DNA Polε in replication origin firing [25].
98
The precise molecular mechanism underpinning how TopBP1$^{\text{Dpb11}}$, Treslin/$^{\text{Sld3}}$ and MTBP$^{\text{Sld7}}$
deliver GINS and Cdc45 to the Mcm2-7 helicase remains unclear. TopBP1$^{\text{Dpb11}}$ is a multi-
BRCT domain scaffold protein containing nine BRCT domains [28] (Fig. 1a(i)). The triple-BRCT
module at the N-terminus of TopBP1$^{\text{Dpb11}}$ plus the BRCT4/5 pair share homology with budding
yeast Dpb11 [29]. As detailed above, TopBP1 and Dpb11 facilitate the coupling of origin firing
to the cell cycle using their respective N-terminal BRCT modules [21, 22, 30]. TopBP1$^{\text{Dpb11}}$-
BRCT4/5 was, however, found to be non-essential to replication in *Xenopus* egg extracts [30];
perhaps surprisingly as the equivalent BRCT module of Dpb11$^{\text{TopBP1}}$ (BRCT3/4) is essential [23]
(Fig. 1a(i)). The minimal fragment of TopBP1$^{\text{Dpb11}}$ that supports replication includes
BRCT0/1/2, BRCT3 (not conserved in Dpb11) and a short segment C-terminal to BRCT3
termed the GINS interaction or 'GINI' region [30, 31]. Yeast-two hybrid experiments showed that
the GINI regions of TopBP1 and Dpb11, which have little sequence identity, both bind to GINS
[31] (Fig. 1a(i)). As both TopBP1 and Dpb11 are limiting factors for origin firing [32, 33, 34], it is
likely that they are released and recycled to facilitate replication from multiple different origins
[35]. Both association and disassociation of Dbp11$^{\text{TopBP1}}$ are therefore important processes.
Recent evidence showed that, in metazoa, TopBP1$^{\text{Dpb11}}$ and GINS are members of a pre-LC-
like complex that also contains DNA polε and a new metazoa-specific origin firing factor,
DONSON, which interacts with TopBP1$^{\text{Dpb11}}$ [36, 37, 38, 39]. Pre-LC integrity appears to be
important for metazoan origin firing because the interactions of DONSON with TopBP1 and

GINS with Mcm3 are necessary for efficient origin firing. The TopBP1-GINS interaction was
not characterised in detail in these recent publications.
120
Here, we have used purified proteins to address how TopBP1 loads GINS onto pre-RCs to
activate the Mcm2-7 helicase during replication origin firing. Our structural, biochemical, and
functional studies uncover the binding mode of GINS to TopBP1 and reveal an important role
for the TopBP1-BRCT4 domain in replication, previously thought to be dispensable. Our data
provide a model and framework for future investigation of CMG formation through GINS and
Cdc45 loading by TopBP1 and the Treslin-MTBP complex. Moreover, our study provides
insight how TopBP1 acts at a molecular level. Such detailed understanding of TopBP1 is key
to unravelling how this important scaffold protein can integrate its many different cellular roles
in chromosome biology [28].
130
131
132   **Results**
133
TopBP1 interacts with GINS *in vivo* and *in vitro*
As previous yeast two-hybrid experiments showed an interaction of the human and *Xenopus*
TopBP1-GINI region with GINS (Psf1 and Psf3) [31], we tested if a similar interaction could be
detected in human cells. Three proximity biotinylation experiments using cells expressing
APEX2-tagged TopBP1 resulted in a specific enrichment of Psf3 upon streptavidin pulldown
and mass spectrometry, amongst a range of other known TopBP1 interactors (supplementary
Fig. S2a). Psf2 was also detected in one experiment. Immunoprecipitation from lysates of cells
transfected with TopBP1-BRCT0-5 (aa, amino acids 1-766) showed a weak but specific signal
for the GINS subunit Sld5 (supplementary Fig. S2b,c). These experiments suggest that TopBP1
and GINS interact in human cells.
In addition, purified recombinant TopBP1-BRCT0-5 (TopBP1-0-5-WT) co-eluted with the
GINS complex from a size exclusion column at a higher apparent molecular mass, when
compared to either TopBP1 or the GINS complex alone (Fig. 1b; supplementary Fig. S3a).
These results demonstrate that a TopBP1 fragment spanning the BRCT repeats 0-5 is sufficient
to interact with GINS both *in vivo* and *in vitro*.
149
Two binding motifs within TopBP1 contribute to GINS binding
We then used deletion and mutation analysis to narrow down the GINS binding region within
the TopBP1-BRCT0-5 fragment (Fig. 1a(ii)). Removing the Treslin-binding BRCT0-2 module
(TopBP1-ΔBRCT0/1/2) or BRCT3 (TopBP1-ΔBRCT3) from TopBP1 did not affect binding
(supplementary Fig. S3b,c). In contrast, deleting BRCT4/5 downstream of the GINI region
(TopBP1-ΔBRCT4/5) strongly impaired GINS interaction (Fig. 1c). We confirmed that the
phospho-binding pocket of BRCT5 was not required for this interaction, using a triple point
mutant that compromises its phospho-binding ability (B5mut) [40] (Fig 1a(ii), supplementary
Fig. S3d,e)). Our observations strongly suggested that a second GINS-binding motif, in
addition to the GINI region, is present in the BRCT4/5 module. This was interesting, as no
replication role for TopBP1-BRCT4/5 had been defined previously.

161
Cryo-EM structure of TopBP1-BRCT4/5 in complex with GINS
To reveal how TopBP1 interacts with the GINS complex, peak fractions of TopBP1-
BRCTΔ0/1/2 in complex with GINS eluting from the size exclusion column (supplementary
Fig. S3b) were used for cryo-EM. Movies were collected on an FEI Titan Krios microscope
equipped with a Gatan K3 camera. 18,945 movies were processed using a combination of
CryoSPARC [41] and RELION [42] (supplementary Fig. S4) to produce a final 3-dimensional
reconstruction, derived from 208,115 particles at 4.6 Å based on Fourier shell correlation (FSC)
values. Density corresponding to the GINS tetramer could be readily identified in the resultant
map, allowing facile placement of an X-ray crystal structure by rigid-body docking
(PDB:2E9X) (Fig. 2a). As features of the individual GINS subunits were clearly identifiable,
this also allowed unambiguous orientation of the pseudo-symmetric complex. The crystal
structure appeared to account for all the density seen with the exception of a protrusion away
from the main body of the GINS complex. This remaining density was too large to represent
just the B domain of Psf1 (absent from the docked X-ray crystal structure), or the single BRCT3
of TopBP1, but was readily accounted for by docking of the X-ray crystal structure for
TopBP1-BRCT4/5 (PDB:3UEN). The two BRCT domains were arranged such that BRCT4
interacted directly with the Psf1 subunit of GINS.
179
The GINS interacting region within the BRCT4 repeat of TopBP1 faces towards the A domain
of Psf1 and the linker region connecting domains A and B (Fig. 2a,b), close to where the B
domain docks to the CMG helicase (B domain not resolved). Note that some ambiguity exists
as to the precise molecular details due to the moderate resolution. In BRCT4, the interaction
surface involves a region around beta strands β3 and β4. This region diverts from the canonical
BRCT fold in that it lacks an alpha helix (α2) [42] as if to create space for GINS binding
(supplementary Fig. S5a,b). The region shows high sequence conservation in BRCT4
equivalents across a wide range of metazoans (supplementary Fig. S5c). The GINS interaction
surface in BRCT4 consists of residues found at the edge of the central four-stranded β-sheet,
with additional interactions made by residues in the loops connecting the strands, including
amino acids in the variant region between strands β3 and β4. Together, TopBP1-BRCT4 binds
to the A domain of Psf1 and involves the linker region located towards the B domain. To our
knowledge, this represents a novel mode for a BRCT domain-mediated interaction.
193
TopBP1 residues Val590, Thr606 and Val610 appeared to be involved in the interface,
providing a series of direct hydrophobic interactions with the side chains of Trp92 and Ile97 in
Psf1 (Fig. 2b). We mutated the three TopBP1 residues to glutamate, arginine and glutamate,
respectively, and tested if the resulting protein (TopBP1-B4mut; Fig. 1a(ii)) co-purified with
GINS. Here, TopBP1-B4mut was strongly compromised in its ability to bind GINS (Fig. 2c)
but could still interact with phospho-53BP1 (supplementary Fig. S6a). We also altered the
opposing Psf1 interface by mutating Ile97 to an arginine (Psf1-I97R). Recombinant Psf1-I97R
bound TopBP1 weaker than the wild type protein (Fig. 2d), but could still form GINS tetramers
(supplementary Fig. S6b).
203

TopBP1 requires the conserved core of the GINI region for GINS binding

As the predicted interface between the TopBP1-GINI region and GINS [31] was not evident in our cryo-EM map we used additional interaction experiments to validate a role for this motif in GINS binding. The GINI region had been loosely defined as sitting between TopBP1-BRCT3 and BRCT4 (Fig. 1a(i)). Multiple sequence alignment across this region revealed a high degree of conservation between vertebrate species with the section from Glu484 to tyrosine 494 consisting of almost completely identical amino acids (Fig. 3a, supplementary Fig. S7). We mutated this core, and other regions of conservation, to generate the mutants TopBP1-Gcc (GINI centre core), Gcore (GINI core) and RI-III (regions I-III) (Fig. 3a, supplementary Fig. S7). Pull-down experiments revealed that TopBP1-Gcc and Gcore, but not RI-III, were compromised in GINS interaction (Fig. 3b). Secondary structure prediction and AlphaFold2 models of TopBP1 suggested the presence of a short helix within this core region for some vertebrate species. By mutation of the central leucine-leucine motif to two consecutive prolines to break the helix (TopBP1-Gpp; Fig. 3a) we could show that the interaction with GINS was strongly compromised, with no effect on binding to 53BP1 (supplementary Figs. S6a, Fig 3c)). To test if this helix constituted a GINS-interaction surface, we used fluorescence polarisation (FP). A fluorescently labelled GINI-core peptide (Ala478-Gly497) bound the GINS tetramer with a dissociation constant of K_d of ~8 µM (Fig. 3d). A peptide harbouring the PP mutation failed to bind (Fig. 3d). We therefore concluded that the GINI region is a GINS interaction site in its own right, but with moderate binding affinity.

The GINI-core helix binds Psf1 and 3

We next sought to identify the site in GINS that interacts with the TopBP1-GINI region. Structural prediction using AlphaFold2-Multimer suggested that the GINI-core helix interacted with the distal part of the Psf1 A domain (Fig 4a, supplementary Fig. S8). Moreover, 'blind' molecular docking simulations with the GINI core region (487-DEDLLSQY-494) resulted in the top-10 solutions clustering in the region of the AlphaFold-predicted GINI binding site (supplementary Fig. S9).

A BS[3]-crosslinking mass spectrometry experiment with purified recombinant TopBP1-GINS also confirmed the predicted proximity of the TopBP1-GINI core helix region (residues 487-494) and the Psf1-A domain. Several GINI-core-proximal residues crosslinked with Psf1-Lys63 and Thr61 (supplementary Fig. S10a, supplementary table 1); both crosslinked Psf1 residues are situated at the distal end of the Psf1 A domain (supplementary Fig. S10b,c). The GINI-core-proximal residues also crosslinked with lysines 74 and 80 of Psf3; each Psf3 residue also being in close proximity to the predicted site of interaction. Given that the GINI-core helix itself contains no lysine residues, our data are consistent with the hypothesis that this helix binds to both the distal region of the Psf1 A domain and the adjacent region of Psf3 (supplementary Fig. S10 provides a detailed description).

With all this information in hand, we could improve the resolution of our cryo-EM data, using an alternative GINS-expression construct, which omits the Psf1-B domain, and served to improve detail around the predicted interaction region (supplementary Fig. S11). The resultant map allowed a short helical element to be incorporated into our model, consistent with the

AlphaFold2-Multimer predictions (Fig. 4b). The hydrophobic side of the amphipathic GINI-
core helix can be seen to pack across two helices of the Psf1-A domain, making interactions
with the side chains of Leu490 and Leu491 of the GINI region and Val47, Lys51, Ile59, Ile62,
Lys63 and His66 of Psf1. Additionally, the side chain of Tyr494 in the GINI region is inserted
into a small pocket on the surface of Psf1 formed by Tyr40, Asn43 and Leu69 with the hydroxyl
group picking up a hydrogen bond with the side chain of His66.
254
For validation, we mutated Asn43 and Lys51, to Ala and Glu, respectively. Asn43 forms part
of the pocket accepting Tyr494 from the GINI region, whilst Lys51 is positioned such that it
could form a salt bridge with either Asp487 or Glu495 and part of the hydrophobic grove that
accommodates the leucines of the GINI-core helix. Psf1-N43A/K51E readily formed the GINS
tetramer (supplementary Fig. S6b) but was severely compromised in its ability to interact with
TopBP1-BRCT0-5-WT (Fig. 4c), again consistent with binding of the GINI region to the distal
end of the Psf1-A domain and the adjacent regions in Psf3.
262

Simultaneous inactivation of the GINI core region and BRCT4 is required to suppress
replication origin firing
Our pulldown interaction studies with GINS and TopBP1-BRCT0-5 carried out at 150 mM
sodium chloride suggested that the GINS interacting regions GINI and BRCT4 of TopBP1 are
individually required for GINS interaction (Figs. 1c,3c). However, pulldowns in 100 mM
sodium acetate revealed specific interactions of the individual interaction regions, albeit at
reduced levels compared to TopBP1-WT (Fig 5a, supplementary Fig. S12a). Here, the GINI
region bound to GINS more efficiently than BRCT4. Consistently, estimation of binding free
energies suggested that the affinities are lower when the surfaces interact individually rather
than simultaneously (Fig. 5b). Together, this suggests a model in which both interactions have
relatively moderate binding affinities, but cooperate to form a composite interaction surface
with high avidity.
275
We next asked how the GINI and BRCT4 regions contribute to genome replication, by testing
if mutational inactivation of the TopBP1-GINI and BRCT4 regions (Fig. 5c) could suppress
replication in *Xenopus* egg extracts. Immunodepleting TopBP1 with two independent
antibodies (anti-TopBP1-#1 and #2) effectively removed the endogenous TopBP1 (Fig. 5d(i)),
but neither GINS, MTBP, DNA Polε nor Cdc45 (Fig. 5d(ii), from the extracts. TopBP1
depletion strongly reduced incorporation of radioactive α-^{32}P-dCTP when compared to a
'mock' IgG depletion control (Fig. 5e). Adding recombinant TopBP1-BRCT0-5-WT
effectively rescued replication in depleted extracts (Fig. 5e), whereas addition of either
TopBP1-BRCT0-5-Gcc or TopBP1-BRCT0-5-Gpp (BRCT4 intact) showed moderate
reductions in replication when compared with WT (Fig. 5f, supplementary Fig. S13a),
consistent with the observed reduced affinity of BRCT4 for GINS (Fig. 5a). TopBP1-
ΔBRCT4/5 and TopBP1-B4mut (GINI domain intact) were not detectably compromised in
their abilities to support replication (Fig. 5f; supplementary Fig. S13b), as observed before [30,]
[31], consistent with the GINI region binding GINS stronger than BRCT4. Combination of
BRCT4/5 deletion or mutation (B4mut) with either TopBP1-BRCT0-5-Gcc or -Gpp decreased

replication to nearly background levels (Fig. 5f, supplementary Fig. S13), consistent with these
double mutants eliminating GINS binding (Fig. 5a/b). These results indicate that both TopBP1
binding regions, GINI and BRCT4, are individually capable of supporting replication, whilst
simultaneous inactivation of the GINS binding regions strongly suppresses replication.
295
We next tested if the TopBP1-GINS interaction is required for replication origin firing. We
isolated chromatin from *Xenopus* egg extracts upon immuno-depletion and add-back of
TopBP1-BRCT0-5-WT or mutants, 75 minutes after starting replication (a time point when
genome replication has progressed significantly). To increase signals, we added aphidicolin,
which prevents termination, allowing replisomes to accumulate on chromatin. Mass
spectrometry using the CHROMASS protocol [43] and immunoblotting showed geminin-
sensitive chromatin binding of Mcm2-7 subunits when buffer was added, indicating that
licensing is independent of TopBP1 (Fig. 6, supplementary Fig. S14, supplementary table 2).
Several replisome markers, including GINS and Cdc45, were detected on chromatin in extracts
containing TopBP1-WT, but were significantly reduced when buffer or the TopBP1-Gcc-
ΔBRCT4/5 mutant were added. Thus, the GINS binding sites in TopBP1 are required for origin
firing (replisome formation), more precisely for a step between pre-RC formation and CMG
formation. Chromatin samples upon complementation with the single mutants TopBP1-Gcc
and TopBP1-ΔBRCT4/5 showed stronger and milder replisome formation defects, respectively
(Fig. 6d, supplementary Fig S12b), consistent with the GINI domain mediating stronger GINS
interaction than BRCT4. The TopBP1-Gcc-ΔBRCT4/5 double mutant did not increase
replisome markers over geminin control samples, whereas TopBP1-Gcc increased the levels
of individual replisome markers weakly but detectably (PolE2 in Fig 6d and Cdc45 in
supplementary Fig. S12b). Together, we conclude that both GINS binding sites in TopBP1 act
in concert to assemble the CMG helicase. We noticed a surprisingly strong origin firing defect
with the TopBP1-Gcc mutant, given that it supports replication to a significant level. It is
feasible that compensatory fork acceleration partially compensates for decreased replisome
numbers in the Gcc mutant. We cannot formally rule out that the BRCT4-GINS interaction has
a role downstream of origin firing that aggravates the DNA synthesis defects of GINI site
mutants.
321
Mutually exclusive binding of TopBP1 and DNA Polε to GINS in the context of CMG
We next explored the relationship between the interactions of TopBP1 and DNA Polε with
GINS. These proteins are part of the recently characterised metazoan pre-LC [36, 37, 38, 39]. We
find evidence that, in pre-LC, GINS may simultaneously bind DNA Polε and TopBP1. The N-
terminal region of PolE2 (PolE2-N; aa 1-75) binds GINS mainly at the Psf1-B domain, with
minor interactions with residues in the A-domain that partly overlap with the TopBP1-BRCT4
interaction surface (Fig. 7a-c). Pulldowns with GINS lacking the Psf1-B domain indicated that
the B domain is dispensable for TopBP1 interaction, suggesting that BRCT4 and PolE2-N
binding surfaces on GINS are at least partly distinct (supplementary Fig S15a). Consistently,
we found no competition between TopBP1 and PolE2-N in GINS pulldown experiments
(supplementary Fig S15b). Next, we analysed the CMGE helicase. The accessibility of both
TopBP1 binding sites in the CMG helicase suggests that TopBP1 could bind GINS in the CMG

context (Fig. 7a,b) [27]. However, the binding of DNA Polε and TopBP1 seem mutually
exclusive. Superposition of CMGE and CMG-TopBP1 revealed potential major steric clashes
between TopBP1 and PolE2 around the BRCT4 interaction region (Fig. 7a-c). Thus, despite
the lack of direct competition for the binding surface, we speculate that TopBP1-BRCT4 must
disengage before DNA Polε associates to form CMGE. Our finding that both TopBP1-GINS
binding surfaces must cooperate for a strong interaction suggests that BRCT4 disengagement
will significantly weaken the TopBP1-GINS interaction, aiding full dissociation of TopBP1.
341
The transition of GINS from TopBP1-bound to a stable CMG requires reconfiguration of the
Psf1-B domain [44]. In CMG, the Psf1-B domain adopts a discrete conformation tightly packing
against Cdc45 (Fig. 7a) [27]. In contrast, the B domain appears flexible in the GINS tetramer and
in the TopBP1-GINS complex, because our cryo-EM data did not detect the B domain at the
same map contour level as the rest of GINS (supplementary Fig. S15c,d). A previous
crystallization study of the GINS tetramer also suggested Psf1-B domain flexibility [45]. Thus,
integration of GINS in the CMG appears to order the B domain. In this conformation, the B
domain binds to the N-terminus of PolE2 once DNA Polε associates to form CMGE [27].
350
Together, we envision that the Psf1-B domain reconfigures into a well-ordered state upon CMG
assembly. TopBP1-BRCT4 must then dissociate to exchange with DNA Polε for CMGE
formation, which likely helps TopBP1 dissociate. Once CMG has formed, DNA Polε seems to
dynamically associate with CMG [25]. These observations suggest that the three processes 1)
handover of GINS from TopBP1 to pre-RCs, 2) DNA Polε association and 3) TopBP1
dissociation may be mechanistically intertwined.
357
358

359 **Discussion**
360
Our data provide an understanding of the interaction between TopBP1[Dpb11] and GINS at the
molecular level, and how this supports replication origin firing. Recent insight into origin firing
has largely come from studies focussed on understanding how the yeast Mcm2-7 helicase
operates as a molecular machine to build replication forks [6, 10, 11, 12, 14, 16, 17, 19, 46, 47, 48, 49]. The
aspect of how GINS and Cdc45 are loaded to activate the helicase through formation of the
CMG complex has only recently been addressed in more detail by describing the metazoan
pre-LC [36, 37, 38, 39]. Our studies here reveal an important aspect of pre-LC, namely the essential
role of the TopBP1[Dpb11]-GINS interaction (supplementary Fig. S16).
Formation of the CMG complex serves as a key regulatory step of origin firing. In metazoa
and yeast, CMG formation involves the factors Treslin/Sld3, MTBP/Sld7, RecQL4/Sld2,
TopBP1/Dpb11 and the Mcm2-7 helicase itself. Apart from coupling origin firing to the S
phase via S-CDK and DDK, these signal integrators are targeted by the DNA damage
checkpoint and other regulatory pathways to mediate DNA damage-dependent origin firing
control, execution of the temporal replication program and fine-tuning of origin firing [50, 51, 52,
53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64]. Such regulation helps avoid replication stress that is key to
structural and numerical chromosomal instability in cancer cells [65].

TopBP1[Dpb11] is a scaffold protein. To mediate S phase coupling of origin firing, the N-terminal triple BRCT0-2 module of TopBP1[Dpb11] binds Treslin[Sld3], in a strictly phospho-dependant manner, via two CDK sites that are also conserved in budding yeast Sld3[Treslin] [21, 22]. Despite this level of conservation, some aspects of origin firing in vertebrates are likely to have changed mechanistically when compared to the yeast paradigm: 1) DDK strengthens the interaction between TopBP1[Dpb11] and the Treslin-MTBP[Sld3-Sld7] complex, albeit via an unclarified mechanism [66], whereas a similar role for DDK in yeast has not been reported. 2) an N-terminal fragment that excludes the BRCT4/5 module of TopBP1[Dpb11] effectively supports replication in *Xenopus* egg extracts [30, 31], whereas the equivalent BRCT3/4 module of Dpb11[TopBP1] is essential, thorough CDK-mediated binding to phospho-Sld2[RecQL4] [23]. The metazoan-specific DONSON protein may replace some roles of Sld2 [36, 37, 38, 39]. These mechanistic differences exemplify evolutionary flexibility in S-phase coupling, supported by findings in the *C. elegans* and fission yeast model organisms where the contributions of Treslin[Sld3] and RecQL4[Sld2] equivalents have changed during evolution [67, 68]. Such flexibility may be supported by the partially redundant roles of S phase kinases (S-CDK and DDK) and their substrates (Treslin[Sld3], RecQL4[Sld2], Mcm2-7).

TopBP1[Dpb11] supports CMG formation via an interaction with the GINS complex. In budding yeast, Dpb11[TopBP1] and GINS are recruited to pre-RCs as part of a 'pre-loading complex' (pre-LC) comprising Dpb11[TopBP1], GINS, DNA Polε and Sld2[RecQL4] [69]. Dpb11[TopBP1] appears to 'dock' the pre-LC onto Sld3[Treslin]-Sld7[MTBP]-Cdc45 via the CDK-mediated Dpb11[TopBP1]-Sld3[Treslin] interaction; DDK is required for initial binding of Sld3[Treslin]-Sld7[MTBP]-Cdc45 onto pre-RCs [14, 19]. In metazoans, RecQL4[Sld2] is not part of the stable protein complex defined as pre-LC, which instead contains DONSON. DONSON is a scaffold protein with a series of interaction sites for TopBP1[Dpb11], GINS, DNA Polε and Mcm3 (supplementary Fig. S16a) [36, 37, 38, 39]. Our described TopBP1[Dpb11]-GINS interaction probably plays a role in the context of pre-LCs.

The GINI region of TopBP1[Dpb11] that had been broadly defined as the region between BRCT3 and BRCT4, bound to Psf1 and 3 in Y2H experiments [31]. We show here that a short alpha-helix found at the core of the conserved GINI region (GINI-core helix) forms an essential part of the binding surface that is necessary and sufficient for detectable interaction with GINS. This helix cooperates with a second binding site situated in BRCT4 to form a composite high-affinity GINS-interaction surface; involving a hereinto unknown mode of BRCT-interaction that relies on a specific type of BRCT fold found in TopBP1-BRCT4, which lacks helix 2. Notably, our *in vitro* experiments show that each individual GINS interaction site is capable of binding GINS, albeit with reduced efficiency compared to the complete composite interaction surface. This was consistent with the two GINS binding sites being able to support significant levels of replication in *Xenopus* egg extracts, which is in line with an earlier study focussing on the GINI region [31]. These results indicate that even a low affinity TopBP1[Dpb11]-GINS interaction is sufficient to allow origin firing. *In vivo*, contacts between other pre-LC components may compensate for a weak TopBP1[Dpb11]-GINS interaction. A high degree of inter-dependence between association of the components was also observed for the yeast pre-LC complex [69].

Simultaneous mutation of both GINS binding domains in TopBP1 suppressed origin firing.
This suggests that neither interaction site has a unique molecular role beyond GINS binding.
The simplest scenario is that their common essential molecular role is to bind GINS
chaperoning it onto pre-RCs.

An alternative interpretation of our TopBP1 mutant analysis seems feasible: TopBP1-Δ4/5 and
B4mut only resulted in weak, if any, DNA synthesis and origin firing defects. This is consistent
with the possibility that the BRCT4-GINS interaction plays an unidentified role in replication,
for example in elongation, which could contribute to aggravating observed defects of GINI site
mutants.

Consistent with published Y2H experiments [31], our structural data indicate that the GINI core
helix region interacts with both Psf1 and Psf3, at a position close to the distal end of the Psf1
A domain, whereas BRCT4 interacts with both the base of the Psf1-A domain and the linker
that connects it to the B domain. Notably, the two receiving interfaces in GINS are fully
accessible both in the free GINS tetramer and in the CMG context, consistent with a model
where TopBP1[Dpb11] recruits GINS using both motifs (supplementary Fig. S16b). TopBP1[Dpb11]
may simultaneously bind phosphorylated Treslin[Sld3]-MTBP[Sld7] via its N-terminal BRCT0-2
module [21, 22, 70], with Treslin[Sld3] bringing Cdc45 along through its Sld3-Treslin homology
domain [18, 71]. One open question in the field had been the biological role of the BRCT3 domain
in TopBP1, which has no equivalent in Dpb11. We now know that an essential role of BRCT3
is the binding to DONSON [36] [37].

After delivery of GINS and Cdc45, the subsequent release of TopBP1[Dpb11] and Treslin[Sld3]-
MTBP[Sld7] is also likely to be important, as presumably they must be 'recycled' to allow the use
of late firing origins [34]. The action of phosphatases could help disassemble the Treslin[Sld3]-
MTBP[Sld7]-TopBP1[Dpb11] complex as part of factor recycling, which may assist release from the
helicase [35, 72]. Moreover, the low affinities of the two individual GINS-interacting sites in
TopBP1[Dpb11] offer the idea that release of one site would significantly destabilise the complex
to trigger TopBP1[Dpb11] dissociation. Competition of DNA Polε with TopBP1 binding may also
be involved in TopBP1 dissociation, because simultaneous binding of the two proteins is
sterically impossible without a significant conformational change. Whether TopBP1[Dpb11]
dissociation is a prerequisite for DNA Polε association, or whether the polymerase acts to
displace TopBP1[Dpb11] remains unclear; the important but mechanistically unclear role of DNA
Polε in CMG formation therefore remains open [25, 26]. The reconfiguration of the Psf1-B domain
during CMG formation suggests another potential mechanism for TopBP1 release. The B
domain seems flexible in the TopBP1-GINS complex and establishes an extensive set of
interactions with Cdc45 when it reconfigures to form CMG, which might favour Polε binding
over TopBP1[Dpb11]-BRCT4.

Recent insights have suggested that formation of the CMG complex is tightly associated with
(i) splitting of Mcm2-7 double hexamers into single hexamers; (ii) the exchange of ADP for
ATP in the Mcm2-7 nucleotide-binding sites; and (iii) limited untwisting of dsDNA inside the
central channels [6, 11, 12]. How TopBP1, Treslin and MTBP relates to these steps will be key to

understanding whether their mechanistic roles go beyond just a simple chaperoning function,
guiding Cdc45 and GINS to pre-RCs. For example, the reported homo-dimerization of TopBP1
and MTBP might play a role in the cooperative conversion of Mcm2-7 hexamers into
replisomes, thus guaranteeing bi-directional replication [66, 73].
467
468 Taken together, our data provide insight into, and a testable hypothesis for, the series of
469 molecular events leading to CMG formation, and its regulation; potentially leading to future
470 therapeutic routes for the treatment of cancer, due the high reliance of tumours on high levels
471 of replication initiation [74]. Targeted interference with origin firing could be one potential
472 avenue, consistent with the testing of DDK inhibitors as candidates in clinical trials [75].
473

474 **Methods**
475
476 **Antibodies**
477

name	Antigen/source
Anti-DB4	human TopBP1-aa1-360, rabbit, self-made
Anti Sld5	human Sld5-aa1-100, rabbit monoclonal ERP9318, Abcam ab139683
Anti-Flag	Flag peptide, mouse, clone M2, Sigma F1804
Anti-53BP1	Human 53BP1 aa 350-400, rabbit, Invitrogen PA1-16565
Anti-Myc	Myc tag, mouse, clone 9E10
Anti-TopBP1 #1	Xenopus TopBP1-aa1-365, rabbit, self-made
Anti-TopBP1 #2	Xenopus TopBP1-aa999-1299, rabbit, self-made
Anti-XCdc45	Xenopus Cdc45, rabbit, self-made
Anti-XSld5	Xenopus Sld5, rabbit, self-made
Anti-XPolE2	Xenopus PolE2, rabbit, self-made
Anti-Mcm6	Mcm6-aa775-821, rabbit, BETHYL A300-194A
Anti-Mcm4	Mcm4-aa750-850, rabbit, Abcam ab4459
Anti-PCNA	Human PCNA, mouse, clone PC10
Anti-MBP	MBP tag, mouse, New England BioLabs E8032S

478
479
480 **DNA plasmids**
481

plasmid name	vector backbone	recombinant protein
BT702	pLib	TopBP1-1-766 L489P/L490P-Strep
BT707	pLib	TopBP1-1-766-Strep
BT708	pLib	TopBP1-1-766 RegionI-Strep
BT709	pLib	TopBP1-1-766 RegionII-Strep
BT710	pLib	TopBP1-1-766 RegionIII-Strep
BT711	pLib	TopBP1-1-766 Gcore-Strep
BT712	pLib	TopBP1-1-766 Gcc-Strep
BT735	pLib	TopBP1-1-766 S654V/K661R/K704E-Strep
BT759	pLib	TopBP1-1-549-Strep
BT760	pLib	TopBP1-1-549 Gcc-Strep
BT761	pLib	TopBP1-1-549-L489P/L490P-Strep
BT770	pLib	TopBP1-1-766 B4mut-Strep
BT772	pLib	TopBP1-1-766Gcc/B4mut-Strep
BT805	pLib	6xHis-3xFlag-Sld5
BT806	pLib	Psf1
BT807	pLib	Psf2
BT808	pLib	Psf3
BT781	pLib	Psf1 N43A/K51E
MD106	pBIG1a	Psf1 Psf2 Psf3 6xHis-3xFlag-Sld5
MD101	pLib	Psf1(1-151)
MD107	pBIG1a	Psf1(1-151) Psf2 Psf3 6xHis-3xFlag-Sld5

MD146	pET17b	HIS-TopBP1-1-766-Strep
MD147	pET17b	HIS-TopBP1-331-766-Strep
BT764	pcDNA5	APEX2-TopBP1
MP784	pcDNA5	TopBP1-APEX2
BT818	pMal	MBP-PolE2-N-1-75 (PolE2-N)

Protein purification

Purification of recombinant TopBP1

Purification of TopBP1-331-766 (BRCT3-5) for structural studies was done using bacterial expression. BL21(DE3) cells carrying a pET17 plasmid encoding HIS-(3C)-TopBP1-331-766-Strep was were grown in TurboBroth at 37 °C to an OD600 of 2.0 and induced by with 0.5 mM IPTG prior to overnight incubation at 16 °C. Lysis by sonication was done in lysis buffer (25 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 200 mM NaCl, 0.5 mM TCEP, 10 U DNASE Turbo and complete, EDTA-free Protease Inhibitor Cocktail (Merck). The resulting lysate was clarified by centrifugation at 40,000 x g for 60 min at 4°C. The supernatant was applied to a 5 ml HiTrap TALON crude column (GE Healthcare, Little Chalfont, UK), washed with lysis buffer, followed by a wash with lysis buffer containing 10 mM imidazole. Elution followed by increasing imidazole to 250 mM. The eluted protein was separated on a 5 ml HiTrap STREP column (GE Healthcare, Little Chalfont, UK), and eluted with 2 mM desthiobiotin. The eluate was concentrated before separation on a Superdex200increase 10 300 column (GE Healthcare, Little Chalfont, UK) equilibrated in 10 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 0.5 mM TCEP.

Recombinant TopBP1-1-766-Strep-WT (BRCT0-5) and mutants for interaction studies and experiments in *Xenopus* egg extract were purified using SF9 insect cells. The cells were grown in suspension in insect cell media (Pan biotech, P04-850 500) at 27°C. Baculoviruses were generated using pLIB-based constructs (Addgene, 80610). 500 ml Sf9 cells ($1x10^6$/ml) were infected with a 1:100 dilution of recombinant baculovirus carrying TopBP1-1-766-Strep-WT or mutants, and were incubated at 27°C for 72 h. Cell pellets were lysed by douncing in 30 ml of lysis buffer (20 mM HEPES pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl, 0.1% (v/v) Tween-20, 0.5 mM TCEP, protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche Complete protease inhibitor cocktail, 05056489001)). The lysate was centrifuged at 44,800 g for 45 min and the supernatant was loaded onto the StrepTrapHP-1ml column (Cytiva, 28907546). Elution was done using 2.5 mM desthiobiotin in elution buffer (20 mM HEPES pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl, 0.01% Tween-20 (v/v), 0.5 mM TCEP, 2% (v/v) glycerol, 2.5 mM desthiobiotin).

Purification of GINS

GINS for structural and fluorescence polarisation studies was purified in SF9 insect cells. Psf2, Psf3 and HIS-(3C)-Sld5 were expressed together with Psf1 or Psf1(1-151; B domain deleted)) from a single baculovirus, respectively, produced using pBig1a. Cell pellets were re-suspended in lysis buffer containing 25 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 200 mM NaCl, 0.5 mM TCEP, 10 U DNASE Turbo and complete, EDTA-free Protease Inhibitor Cocktail (Merck), then disrupted by sonication. The resulting lysate was clarified by centrifugation at 40,000 x g for 60 min at 4°C. The supernatant was separated on a 5mL HiTrap TALON crude column (GE

Healthcare, Little Chalfont, UK), using washing in lysis buffer supplemented with 10 mM imidazole. Elution was done using a gradient up to 250 mM imidazole. Peak fractions were pooled and concentrated . For subsequent size exclusion chromatography (analytical and for EM) a Superdex200increase 10 300 column (GE Healthcare, Little Chalfont, UK) equilibrated in 10 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 0.5 mM TCEP was used while for FP experiments a Superdex200 16 600 column (GE Healthcare, Little Chalfont, UK) equilibrated in 25 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 0.25 mM TCEP, 0.02 % (v/v) Tween 20 was used.

GINS for pulldown experiments was purified using 6xHis-3xFlag-Sld5. Sf9 insect cells in suspension cultures were co-infected with 1:50 dilution of each four recombinant baculoviruses for expressing 6xHis-3xFlag-Sld5, Psf1, Psf2 and Psf3, respectively. The viruses were made using pLib-based plasmids. 72 h post infection, pellets from one litre cells were lysed by douncing in 60 ml of lysis buffer (20 mM HEPES pH 8.0, 300 mM NaCl, 0.1% (v/v) Tween-20, 25 mM imidazole, 0.5 mM TCEP, protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche Complete protease inhibitor cocktail, 05056489001)). The lysate was centrifuged at 44,800 g for 45 min and the supernatant was incubated with 2.5 ml Ni-NTA agarose beads (Qiagen,30210) for 1 h at 4°C. The bound protein was eluted with six bead volumes elution buffer (20 mM HEPES pH 8.0, 300 mM NaCl, 0.01% (v/v) Tween-20, 250 mM imidazole, 0.5 mM TCEP, 2% (v/v) glycerol). The eluate was dialysed into 20 mM HEPES pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl, 0.01% (v/v) Tween-20, 0.5 mM TCEP, 2% (v/v) glycerol, and then applied onto an HiTrap HP Q column (Cytiva, 17115301). To elute the bound protein, a linear gradient of NaCl (from 150 mM to 1 M) in elution buffer (20 mM HEPES pH8.0, 0.01% (v/v) Tween-20, 0.5mM TCEP, 2% (v/v) glycerol) was applied. Peak fractions were pooled and separated by size exclusion chromatography (equilibration buffer: 20 mM HEPES pH8.0, 300mM NaCl, 0.01% (v/v) Tween-20, 0.5mM TCEP, 2% (v/v) glycerol).

Purification of PolE2-N and geminin from *E.coli*
PolE2-N-1-75 (amino acids 1-75) with N-terminal MBP-TEV2 tag in pMal, and GST tagged *Xenopus* geminin (Xgeminin) in pGEX were expressed in Rosetta *E. coli* culture. Expressions were induced with 1 mM IPTG at OD600 = 0.6 at 20 °C (PolE2-N) or 25 °C (Xgeminin) overnight. Cells were harvested by centrifugation at 6000 rpm (JLA-8.1, Avanti JXN-26 Beckman Culture) for 20 min. For PolE2-N, cell pellets were resuspended in lysis buffer (20 mM Hepes pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl, 0.5 mM TCEP, 2 % (v/v) glycerol and protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche Complete protease inhibitor cocktail, 05056489001)) and lysed by sonication. Cell suspension was centrifuged at 20000 rpm (SS-34, Sorvall RC 6 PLUS) for 30 min at 4 °C. Supernatant was incubated with amylose resin (New England Biolabs, E8021S) for 1 h before elution with lysis buffer + 10 mM maltose. The peak fraction was dialysed into TopBP1 buffer (20 mM HEPES pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl, 0.5 mM TCEP, 0.01 % (v/v) Tween20 and 2 % (v/v) glycerol). For Xgeminin, lysis by sonication was carried out in 5 mg/ ml lysozyme, 20 mM Hepes pH 7.7, 200 mM NaCl, 5 mM β-mercaptoethanol, 5 % glycerin. After centrifugation at 20,000 rpm (SS-34, Sorvall RC 6 PLUS) for 20 min at 4 °C, the supernatant was incubated with glutathione-sepharose (0.5 ml per liter culture; GE Healthcare 17513201) for 3 h before elution with lysis buffer + 50 mM glutathione (Applichem A2084,0025). Peak fractions were pooled and dialyzed into XBE2 buffer (100 mM KCl, 2 mM MgCl2, 0.1 mM CaCl2, 1.71 %

565 w:v sucrose, 5 mM K-EGTA, 10 mM HEPES-KOH, pH 7.7) and concentrated to 360 μM
566 Xgeminin. Aliquots were shock frozen and stored at - 80 °C.
567
Size exclusion chromatography for isolating the TopBP1-GINS complex
569 Recombinant TopBP1 and GINS proteins were mixed in an equimolar ratio to give a final
570 concentration of 20 μM of each component and incubated on ice for at least 30 minutes prior
571 to application to a Superdex200increase 10 300 column (GE Healthcare, Little Chalfont, UK)
572 equilibrated in 10 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 0.5 mM TCEP.
573
Fluorescence polarisation experiments
Fluorescein-labelled peptides (WT: 'Flu'-GYGAPSEKHEQADEDLLSQYENG or LLPP:
'Flu'-GYGAPSEKHEQADEDPPSQYENG) (Peptide Protein Research Ltd, Bishops
Waltham, UK) at a concentration of 100 nM, were incubated at room temperature with
increasing concentrations of GINS in 25 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA,
0.25 mM TCEP, 0.02 % (v/v) Tween 20 in a black 96-well polypropylene plate (VWR,
Lutterworth, UK). Fluorescence polarisation was measured in a POLARstar Omega multimode
microplate reader (BMG Labtech GmbH, Offenburg, Germany). Binding curves represent the
mean of three independent experiments, with error bars of 1 standard deviation.
583
Immunoprecipitations from transiently transfected 293T cells
Transient transfections of 6xMyc-Tev2-TopBP1 into 293T cells (ATCC CRL-11268) were
carried out using PEI (polyethyleneimine) according to a protocol kindly shared by David
Cortez' lab. 4 μg plasmid DNA in 100 μl DMEM (Thermofisher, 41965039) without
penicillin-streptomycin were combined with 2.4 μl Polyethylenimin (Sigma, 408727; 10
mg/ml) and incubated for 20 minutes before addition to 4 x 10^6 cells on a 10 cm dish.
Transfected cells were used 72 h post transfection. 72 h post transfection, 293T cells were lysed
by douncing in ten times cell pellet volume of lysis buffer (20 mM HEPES pH8.0, 150mM
NaCl, 0.1 % (v/v) Tween-20, 0.5mM TCEP, 2% (v/v) glycerol, protease inhibitor cocktail
(Roche, Complete protease inhibitor cocktail, 05056489001)), and centrifuged at 20,000 g for
15 min at 4 °C. The soluble supernatant was added to magnetic anti-Myc beads (5 μl slurry per
sample; Thermofischer, 88842) and incubated for two hours at 4 °C rotating. Cells equivalent
to 50 % of a 15 cm dish were used per sample. Beads were washed three times in lysis buffer
with 5 min incubation each, and finally boiled in 50 μl Laemmli loading buffer (6.5 % glycerol,
715 mM β-mercaptoethanol, 3% SDS, 62.5 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.9, 0.005 % bromphenol blue).
599
Pulldown experiments of recombinant PolE2-N or TopBP1 using immobilised GINS
Magnetic anti-Flag beads (1 μl slurry for western blot/silver staining-scale experiments, 4 μl
for Coomassie-scale experiments) were coupled with 600 ng (western/silver-scale) or 2400 ng
(coomassie-scale) GINS via 3xFlag-Sld5. 100 nM final concentration of TopBP1-BRCT0-5-
Strep (WT or mutants) in 20 μl (western/silver-scale) or 80 μl (coomassie-scale) of interaction
buffer (20 mM HEPES pH8.0, 150mM NaCl (or 100 mM NaCH3COO when indicated), 0.01%
(v/v) Tween-20, 0.5mM TCEP, 2% (v/v) glycerol) were added to GINS-coupled beads in 5 μl
(western/silver-scale) or 20 μl (coomassie-scale) interaction buffer. For PolE2-N, 1 μM PolE2-
N protein in 20 μl were used for GINS-coupled beads in 5 μl reaction buffer. After incubation

for 45 min at 4°C rotating, beads were washed three times for 5 min with interaction buffer and
boiled in 50 µl Laemmli buffer before SDS PAGE.
611

Pulldown from cell lysates with recombinant TopBP1-1-766-strep (BRCT0-5)

For pulldown assays from soluble lysates of non-transfected 293T cells, 5 µl streptactin
Sepharose HP (Cytiva, 28935599) beads were coupled with 10 µg recombinant TopBP1-1-
766-strep-WT or mutants in coupling buffer (20 mM HEPES pH8.0, 150mM NaCl, 0.1% (v/v)
Tween-20, 0.5mM TCEP, 2% (v/v) glycerol) for 45 min at 4 °C before washing two times with
coupling buffer and then once in cell lysis buffer (20 mM HEPES pH8.0, 150mM NaCl, 0.1%
(v/v) Tween-20, 0.5mM TCEP, 2% (v/v) glycerol, protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche Complete
protease inhibitor cocktail, 05056489001). Control pulldowns were done with Flag-peptide-
coupled beads or, when indicated, using GINS-coupled beads and the non-GINS binding
TopBP1-Gcc-B4mut. 293T cells were lysed in ten times pellet volume of cell lysis buffer, and
centrifuged at 20,000 g for 15 min at 4 °C. Soluble lysate from cells equivalent to 50 % of a 15
cm tissue culture plate was added to the TopBP1-coupled beads and incubated for 2 h at 4°C
rotating. Beads were washed three times with 5 min incubation in lysis buffer and boiled in 50
µl Laemmli buffer before SDS PAGE.
626

Generation of replicating *Xenopus* egg extracts

Xenopus laevis egg extracts were prepared from metaphase II arrested eggs as described in [76].
After washing with MMR (100 mM NaCl, 2 mM KCl, 1 mM $MgCl_2$, 2 mM $CaCl_2$, 0.1 mM
EDTA, 5 mM HEPES–NaOH, pH 7.8) eggs were dejellied (2% cysteine w:v in H_2O, pH 7.8
with NaOH). Eggs were rinsed in XBE2 (100 mM KCl, 2 mM $MgCl_2$, 0.1 mM $CaCl_2$, 1.71 %
w:v sucrose, 5 mM K-EGTA, 10 mM HEPES-KOH, pH 7.7). Then, the eggs were transferred
into centrifuge tubes containing 1 ml XBE2 + 10 µg/ ml protease inhibitor (aprotinin Sigma
A6279, leupeptin Merck 108975, pepstatin Carl Roth 2936.1) + 100 µg/ ml cytochalasin D
(Sigma C8273). For packing, eggs were centrifuged for 1 min at 1,400 g at 16 °C in a swingout
rotor. Excess buffer, activated and apoptotic eggs were removed before crushing the eggs at
16,000 g for 10 min at 16 °C. The extract was collected with a 20 G needle and supplemented
with 10 µg/ml cytochalasin D, 10 µg/ml protease inhibitors, 1:80 dilution of energy regenerator
(1M phosphocreatine K salt, 600 µg/ ml creatine phosphokinase in 10 mM HEPES–KOH pH
7.6) and LFB1/50 (10% w:v sucrose, 50 mM KCl, 2 mM $MgCl_2$, 1 mM EGTA, 2 mM DTT,
20 mM K_2HPO_4/KH_2PO_4 pH 8.0, 40 mM HEPES–KOH, pH 8.0) to 15 % (v/v). The extract
was cleared at 84,000 g at 4 °C for 20 min in a swingout rotor. The cytoplasmatic layer was
collected and supplemented with 2 % glycerol v:v. The extract was frozen by dropping 20 µl
aliqouts into liquid nitrogen and stored at - 80 °C.
645

Immunodepletion of TopBP1 from *Xenopus* egg extract:

For XTopBP1 depletion from *Xenopus* egg extract, 0.5 µg antibody #1, #2 or IgG (rabbit; self-
made) were coupled per µl magnetic Protein G dynabeads (Life Technologies 10004D) for 1 h
at RT. Freshly thawed extracts were supplemented with 1/40 energy regenerator and 250 µg/ml
cycloheximide and released into interphase by adding 0.3 mM $CaCl_2$ for 15 min at 23 °C.
Subsequently, extracts were depleted for 45 min on ice with 0.675 µg antibody per µl extract.

After depletion the extract was aliquoted, snap frozen and stored at - 80 °C for replication
assays and chromatin isolations.
654
Xenopus egg extract replication assays
For TopBP1 addback experiments, 6 ng/ µl recombinant TopBP1 protein or buffer were added
to TopBP1 immunodepleted interphase *Xenopus* egg extract containing 50 nCi/µl α^{32}P-dCTP
(Perkin Elmer NEG513A250UC). To start the replication reaction the egg extracts were
supplemented with 5 ng sperm DNA (kindly provided by the lab of O. Stemmann) per µl
extract and incubated at 23°C. 1 µl extract was spotted for each time point onto a glass fibre
membrane. Unbound α^{32}P-dCTP was removed by rinsing the membrane three times 15 min
with ice cold 5 % TCA in water and once with ice cold EtOH. Newly replicated DNA was
detected by phospho-imaging. Standard error of the mean was calculated from three
independent experiments.
665
Chromatin isolation from *Xenopus* egg extracts and analysis by mass spectrometry
(CHROMASS) and western blotting
For chromatin isolation, XTopBP1-depleted interphase egg extract was supplemented with 50
µg/ml aphidicolin and aliquoted into 15 µl samples before addition of buffer or recombinant
TopBP1 to a final concentration 6 ng/µl. 2.25 µM Xgeminin or buffer were added before
incubation for 10 min on ice. To start the replication, 9 ng sperm DNA/µl extract was added
and incubated for 75 min at 23°C. Reactions were stopped with 300 µl ice cold ELB salt (10
mM HEPES-KOH pH 7.7, 50 mM KCl, 2.5 mM MgCl$_2$) + 250 mM sucrose + 0.6 % triton X-
100. The diluted extract was loaded onto a sucrose cushion (150 µl ELB salt + 25 % sucrose)
and centrifuged for 10 min at 2500 g at 4 °C in a swingout rotor. The supernatant above the
sucrose cushion was removed and the cushion surface was washed twice with 200 µl ELB salt
containing 250 mM sucrose. The cushion was removed leaving about 15 µl behind, followed
by centrifugation for 2 min at 10,000 g at 4 °C in a fixed angle rotor. For western blotting, the
chromatin pellet was resuspended in 24 µl 1x Laemmli SDS sample buffer (6.5 % glycerol,
715 mM β-mercaptoethanol, 3% SDS, 62.5 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.9, 0.005 % bromphenol blue)
and 5 µl were separated by denaturing SDS PAGE. For MS analysis, four biological replicates
of chromatin pellets were analysed by CHROMASS [43]. In brief, chromatin pellets were
resuspended in 50 µl denaturation buffer (8 M Urea; 100 mM Tris pH 8). Dithiothreitol (DTT)
was added to a final concentration of 5 mM and samples were incubated at 22 °C for 30
minutes. To alkylate peptides, iodoacetamide (20 mM) was added and samples were incubated
at 37 °C for 30 minutes. DTT (25 mM) was added, and samples were incubated at 22 °C for 5
minutes. 500 ng Lys-C (add Supplier) were added, and samples were incubated at 37 °C for 3
hours. Samples were diluted with 100 mM ammonium bicarbonate to adjust the urea
concentration to 1 M. Trypsin (Sigma, 500 ng per sample) was added and samples were
incubated over night at 37 °C. Digested peptides were acidified with 1 % trifluoroacetic acid
(TFA) and desalted on Empore C18 material according to Rappsilber et al.
https://www.nature.com/articles/nprot.2007.261). Eluted peptides were dried in a vacuum
concentrator and reconstituted with 9 µl of buffer A (0.1% formic acid) containing 0.2%
acetonitrile and 0.01% trifluoro acetic acid. For MS analysis, 4 µl of the reconstituted peptides
were separated on an EASY-nLC™ 1200 chromatography system (Thermo Scientific) coupled

to a Q Exactive HF-X Orbitrap LC-MS/MS System (Thermo Fisher Scientific) via a Nanoflex
ion source. Peptide separation was carried out in analytical columns (50 cm, 75 μm inner
diameter packed in-house with C18 resin ReproSilPur 120, 1.9 μm diameter Dr. Maisch) using
a 3-hour non-linear gradient at a flow rate of 250 nl/minute using buffer A (aqueous 0.1%
formic acid) and buffer B (80 % acetonitrile, 0.1% formic acid). MS data was acquired in data-
dependent fashion using a Top15 method. The exact parameters for chromatography and MS
instrument settings can be retrieved from the raw files available at ProteomeXchange
(PXD040024).
MS data files from single-shot experiments were processed with MaxQuant (version 2.0.1.0)
using a non-redundant *Xenopus laevis* data base available at ProteomExchange (PXD040024)
[43]. Raw data were normalized using the label-free quantitation (LFQ) algorithm implemented
in MaxQuant. MS Data with Perseus (version 1.6.15.0) [77]. Protein groups were filtered to
eliminate contaminants, reverse hits, and proteins identified by site only. For the heat map (Fig.
6a) LFQ intensities were log2 transformed and for each protein z-scores were calculated across
all replicates (N=4) of all four conditions. Subsequently, the average of the z-scores was
calculated for each condition and selected proteins were plotted (see suppl. table 2 for the z-
score of all proteins). Proteins were manually annotated and sorted according to their function
in DNA replication and DNA repair. To identify proteins with significant abundance changes
between the four conditions, LFQ intensities were log2 transformed and missing values were
imputed with random values drawn from a normal distribution centred around the detection
limit of the MS instrument (Perseus imputation; width=0.3, down shift = 1.8). Two sample
Student's t-tests with permutation-based FDR control were carried out in Perseus. For these
tests three valid values in at least one quadruplicate of either of the tested conditions was
required. FDR was adjusted for multiple testing by the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure using
a significance threshold of FDR<0.05 (see suppl. table 2). Data visualisation was carried out
in R. All scripts are available upon request.
722
Determination of TopBP1-proximal proteins by APEX2 biotinylation
Sample preparation:
Proximity labelling was performed in isogenic stable 293 Flip-In (Thermo Fisher; R75007)
cells stably expressing TopBP1 N-terminal tagged with APEX2 or in 293T cells transiently
expressing either N-terminal or C-terminally APEX2-tagged TopBP1. 24 h before transfection,
0.8×10^6 cells were seeded onto a 6 cm plate. For each condition, four dishes were separately
transfected (biological replicates). One day after transfection, cells were incubated for 30 min
with 500 μM biotin phenol at 37 °C, before incubation for 1 min at RT with or without
(controls) 1 mM H_2O_2. The medium was discarded, and cells were washed three times with
quenching buffer (10 mM sodium azide, 10 mM sodium ascorbate and 5 mM Trolox in
Dulbecco's PBS (Life Technologies 14190169). Cells were rinsed off the dishes with DPBS,
transferred into reaction tubes before washing again with DPBS. Cells were lysed at 95 °C for
10 min in TSD buffer (50 mM Tris pH 7.5, 5 mM DTT and 1 % SDS). Samples were diluted
ten times with TNN buffer (20 mM Tris pH 7.9, 200 mM NaCl and 0.5 % NP-40 alternative)
and benzonase (75 U per sample) (Sigma E1014). Samples were incubated for 15 min at 4 °C
and centrifuged at 21000 g for 2 min at 4 °C. Supernatants were incubated over night with 15
μl streptavidin sepharose beads (Sigma GE17-5113-01) at 4 °C. Beads were washed once with

TNN buffer + 0.1% SDS and twice with 25 mM ammonium bicarbonate before processing for
mass spectrometry. For this, captured proteins were washed two times with H_2O prior to on-
bead digestion to remove MS incompatible buffer components. The beads were taken up in
100 µl 0.8 M urea, 50 mM ammonium bicarbonate buffer (ABC) and supplemented with 5 mM
DTT. After incubation at 37°C for 30 min, 10 mM iodoacetamide (IAM) was added and
incubated for 30 min at room temperature in the dark while shaking at 1500 rpm (Thermomixer
C, Eppendorf). The IAM was quenched with 11 mM DTT. Trypsin was added to a total of 300
ng Trypsin per sample. The samples were incubated over night at 37°C, shaking at 1150 rpm
before stopping by 1% (vol/vol) formic acid (FA). After bead collection by centrifugation (650
g, 5 min) 100 µl supernatant were transferred to a Eppendorf Lo-bind 1.5 mL tube. The
remaining beads were incubated with 50 µl 1 % formic acid for (1150 rpm, 5 min rpm, RT)
and after collecting the beads once more by centrifugation (650 g, 5 min) the supernatant was
combined with the first one. The sepharose beads were discarded. To remove residual
sepharose beads, the combined peptide containing solutions were passed over pre-equilibrated
(50 µl 0.5 % formic acid) home-made 2-disc Glass microfiber StageTip (disc material: GE
Healthcare; pore size: 1.2 µM; thickness: 0.26 mm; 50× g 2 min). The cleared tryptic digests
were then desalted on home-made C18 StageTips as described [78]. Briefly, peptides were
immobilized and washed on a 2 disc C18 (Empore) StageTip. After elution from the StageTips,
samples were dried using a vacuum concentrator (Eppendorf) and the peptides were taken up
in 0.1% formic acid solution (10-15 µL) and directly used for LC-MS/MS experiments.
LC-MS/MS settings:
MS Experiments were performed on an Orbitrap Fusion LUMOS instrument (Thermo) coupled
to an EASY-nLC 1200 ultra-performance liquid chromatography (UPLC) system (Thermo).
The UPLC was operated in the one-column mode. The analytical column was a fused silica
capillary (75 µm × 46 cm) with an integrated fritted emitter (CoAnn Technologies) packed in-
house with Kinetex 1.7 µm C18-XB core shell beads (Phenomenex). The analytical column
was encased by a column oven (Sonation PRSO-V2) and attached to a nanospray flex ion
source (Thermo). The column oven temperature was set to 50 °C during sample loading and
data acquisition. The LC was equipped with two mobile phases: solvent A (0.2% FA, 2%
Acetonitrile, ACN, 97.8% H_2O) and solvent B (0.2% FA, 80% ACN, 19.8% H_2O). All solvents
were of UPLC grade (Honeywell). Peptides were directly loaded onto the analytical column
with a maximum flow rate that would not exceed the set pressure limit of 980 bar (usually
around 0.4 – 0.6 µL/min). Peptides were subsequently separated on the analytical column by
running a 105 min gradient of solvent A and solvent B (start with 3% B; gradient 3% to 9% B
for 6:30 min; gradient 9% to 30% B for 62:30 min; gradient 30% to 50% B for 24:00 min; 50%
to 100% B for 2:30 min; 100% for 9:30 min) at a flow rate of 300 nl/min. The mass
spectrometer was controlled by the Orbitrap Fusion Lumos Tune Application (version
3.3.2782.28) and operated using the Xcalibur software (version 4.3.73.11). The mass
spectrometer was set in the positive ion mode. The ionization potential (spray voltage) was set
to 2.5 kV. Source fragmentation was turned off. Precursor ion scanning was performed in the
Orbitrap analyser (FT; fourier transform mass spectrometer) in the scan range of m/z 370-1500
and at a resolution of 240000 with the internal lock mass option turned on (lock mass was
445.120025 m/z, polysiloxane) [79]. AGC (automatic gain control) was set to "standard" and
acquisition time to "auto". Product ion spectra were recorded in a data dependent fashion in

the IT (IT; ion trap mass spectrometer) in a variable scan range ("auto") and at "rapid" scan
rate. Peptides were analysed using a "top speed" regime (repeating cycle of full precursor ion
scan (AGC target "300%"; acquisition time "auto") followed by dependent MS2 scans for 3
seconds (minimum intensity threshold $4{\times}10^3$)). The MS2 precursor ions were isolated using
the quadrupole (isolation window 1.6 m/z) and fragmentation was achieved by Higher-energy
C-trap dissociation (HCD) (normalized collision mode set to "stepped" and normalized
collision energy set to "27, 32, 40%"). During MS2 data acquisition dynamic ion exclusion
was set to 20 seconds. Only charge states between 2-7 were considered for fragmentation.
Data processing:
RAW spectra were submitted to an Andromeda [80] search in MaxQuant (v2.0.3.0) using the
default settings (Cox & Mann 2008) [81]. Label-free quantification and match-between-runs was
activated [82]. The MS/MS spectra data were searched against the Uniprot *H. sapiens* reference
database (one protein per gene; UP000005640_9606_OPPG.fasta, 20585 entries, downloaded
1/10/2022) and a dedicated database containing the APEX2-myc sequence
(ACE_0741_SOI_v01.fasta; 1 entry). All searches included a contaminants database search (as
implemented in MaxQuant, 246 entries). The contaminants database contains known MS
contaminants and was included to estimate the level of contamination. Andromeda searches
allowed oxidation of methionine residues (16 Da) and acetylation of the protein N-terminus
(42 Da) as dynamic modifications and the static modification of cysteine (57 Da, alkylation
with iodoacetamide). Enzyme specificity was set to "Trypsin/P" with two missed cleavages
allowed. The instrument type in Andromeda searches was set to Orbitrap and the precursor
mass tolerance was set to $\pm$ 20 ppm (first search) and $\pm$ 4.5 ppm (main search). The MS/MS
match tolerance was set to $\pm$ 0.5 Da. The peptide spectrum match FDR and the protein FDR
were set to 0.01 (based on target-decoy approach). Minimum peptide length was 7 aa. For
protein quantification unique and razor peptides were allowed. Modified peptides were allowed
for quantification. The minimum score for modified peptides was 40. Label-free protein
quantification was switched on, and unique and razor peptides were considered for
quantification with a minimum ratio count of 2. Retention times were recalibrated based on the
built-in nonlinear time-rescaling algorithm. MS/MS identifications were transferred between
LC-MS/MS runs with the "match between runs" option in which the maximal match time
window was set to 0.7 min and the alignment time window set to 20 min. The quantification is
based on the "value at maximum" of the extracted ion current. At least two quantitation events
were required for a quantifiable protein. Further analysis and filtering of the results was done
in Perseus v1.6.10.0. [77]. For quantification we combined related biological replicates to
categorical groups and investigated only those proteins that were found in at least one
categorical group in a minimum of 3 out of 4 biological replicates. Comparison of protein
group quantities (relative quantification) between different MS runs is based solely on the
LFQ's as calculated by MaxQuant, MaxLFQ algorithm [82].
822
Analysis of the TopBP1-GINS by cross-linking mass spectrometry
Sample preparation
50 µg (1.15 µg/µl) of each GINS and TopBP1-BRCT0-5-strep (amino acids 1-766) were
incubated for 45 min on ice in cross-linking buffer (20mM HEPES pH8.0, 150mM NaCl,
0.01% Tween20, 2% Glycerol, 0.5mM TCEP). 600 µM or 2500 µM of BS^3 crosslinker were

added and incubated for 30 min at 35 °C shaking. The reaction was stopped by adding
ammonium bicarbonate to a final concentration of 100 mM. 90 % of the cross-linked sample
was used for mass spectrometry analysis of crosslinks. 10% of the reaction were separated by
SDS-PAGE . The coomassie-stained gel was cut in slices above the molecular weight of
monomeric TopBP1-BRCT0-5-strep for subsequent mass spectrometry.

Sample *processing for mass spectrometry*

Sample preparation of crosslinked samples for LC/MS/MS is based on the SP3 protocol [83]. 30
µg of protein from each cross-linking sample was taken up in 100 µl 1× SP3 lysis buffer (final
concentrations: 5% (wt/vol) SDS; 10 mM TCEP; 200 µL 40 mM chloracetamide; 200 mM
HEPES pH 8) and heated for 5 min at 90 °C. After cooling the samples to room temperature
(on ice) a mix of 150 µg hydrophobic (#65152105050250) and 150 µg hydrophilic
(#45152105050250) SeraMag Speed Beads (Cytiva) was added (bead to protein ratio 10 to 1)
and gently mixed. Then 100 µL 100% vol/vol Ethanol (EtOH) was added before incubation
for 20 min at 24°C shaking vigorously. The beads were collected on a magnet and the
supernatant aspirated. The beads were then washed 4 times with 180 µL 80 % EtOH (collection
time on the magnet minimum of 4 min). The beads were then finally taken up in 100 µL 25
mM ammoniumbicarbonate (ABC) containing 1 µg Trypsin (Protein:Trypsin ratio 30:1). To
help bead dissociation, samples were incubated for 5 min in a sonification bath (preheated to
37°C). Samples were incubated over night shaking at vigorously. Samples were acidified with
formic acid (FA, final 1% vol/vol) before collection on a magnet. The supernatants were
transferred to a fresh Eppendorf tube, before removing trace beads using a magnet for 5 min.
The tryptic digests were then desalted on home-made C18 StageTips as described [78]. Briefly,
peptides were immobilized and washed on a 2 disc C18 StageTip. Samples were then dried
using a vacuum concentrator (Eppendorf) and the peptides were taken up in 0.1% formic acid
solution (10 µL) and directly used for LC-MS/MS experiments.

LC-MS/MS settings crosslinking mass spectrometry:

MS Experiments were performed on an Orbitrap Fusion LUMOS instrument (Thermo) coupled
to an EASY-nLC 1200 ultra-performance liquid chromatography (UPLC) system (Thermo).
The UPLC was operated in the one-column mode. The analytical column was a fused silica
capillary (75 µm × 41 cm) with an integrated fritted emitter (CoAnn Technologies) packed in-
house with Kinetex 1.7 µm C18-XB core shell beads (Phenomenex). The analytical column
was encased by a column oven (Sonation PRSO-V2) and attached to a nanospray flex ion
source (Thermo). The column oven temperature was set to 50 °C during sample loading and
data acquisition. The LC was equipped with two mobile phases: solvent A (0.2% FA, 2%
Acetonitrile, ACN, 97.8% H_2O) and solvent B (0.2% FA, 80% ACN, 19.8% H_2O). All solvents
were of UPLC grade (Honeywell). Peptides were directly loaded onto the analytical column
with a maximum flow rate that would not exceed the set pressure limit of 980 bar (usually
around 0.4 – 0.6 µL/min). Peptides were subsequently separated on the analytical column by
running a 70 min gradient of solvent A and solvent B (start with 2% B; gradient 2% to 6% B
for 5:00 min; gradient 6% to 25% B for 42:00 min; gradient 25% to 40% B for 15:00 min; 40%
to 98% B for 1:00 min; 98% for 7:00 min) at a flow rate of 350 nl/min. The mass spectrometer
was controlled by the Orbitrap Fusion Lumos Tune Application (version 3.3.2782.28) and
operated using the Xcalibur software (version 4.3.73.11). The mass spectrometer was set in the
positive ion mode. The ionization potential (spray voltage) was set to 2.5 kV. Source

fragmentation was turned off. Precursor ion scanning was performed in the Orbitrap analyser
(FT; fourier transform mass spectrometer) in the scan range of m/z 370-1600 and at a resolution
of 120000 with the internal lock mass option turned on (lock mass was 445.120025 m/z,
polysiloxane) [79]. AGC (automatic gain control) was set to "standard" and acquisition time to
"auto". Product ion spectra were recorded in a data dependent fashion in the FT in a variable
scan range ("auto") and at 15000 resolution. Peptides were analysed using a "top speed" regime
(repeating cycle of full precursor ion scan (AGC target "standard"; acquisition time "200ms")
followed by dependent MS2 scans for 5 seconds (minimum intensity threshold 2×10^4). The
MS2 precursor ions were isolated using the quadrupole (isolation window 2.0 m/z) and
fragmentation was achieved by Higher-energy C-trap dissociation (HCD) (normalized
collision mode set to "stepped" and normalized collision energy set to "27, 30, 33%"). During
MS2 data acquisition dynamic ion exclusion was set to 60 seconds. Only charge states between
3-7 were considered for fragmentation.

Data processing protocol:

The searches for crosslinked peptides were performed with two different search engines:
MetaMorpheus (MM) version 0.0.320 [84] and pLink2 version v2.3.9. [85]. Searches were
performed on the Thermo RAW files using the database ACE_0704_SOI_plus_con_v01.fasta
(244 entries). The database contains the sequences for TopBP1 (1-766), PsfI, PsfII, PsfIII,
Sld5 and 239 known contaminating proteins found in MS samples. The peptide spectrum match
FDR for MM was 0.01 and for pLink2 0.05 (based on target-decoy approach, decoys are
generated by the software). The settings for MetaMorpheus were: crosslinker name = DSS
_KSTY (note: DSS and BS^3 have identical crosslinker size); crosslinker type = non-cleavable;
crosslinker mass = 138.06808; crosslinker modification site 1 = K; crosslinker modification
site 2 = KSTY; protease = trypsin; maximum missed cleavages = 3; minimum peptide length
= 5; maximum peptide length = 60; initiator methionine behaviour = Variable; max
modification isoforms = 1024; fixed modifications = Carbamidomethyl on C, variable
modifications = Oxidation on M; parent mass tolerance(s) = ±10 ppm; product mass tolerance
= ±20 ppm. The settings for pLink2 were: crosslinker name = DSS-BS^3-KSTY; crosslinker
type = non-cleavable; crosslinker mass = 138.06808; crosslinker modification site 1 = K;
crosslinker modification site 2 = KSTY; protease = trypsin; maximum missed cleavages = 3;
minimum peptide length = 6; maximum peptide length = 60; fixed modifications =
Carbamidomethyl on C, variable modifications = Oxidation on M; parent mass tolerance(s) =
±20 ppm; product mass tolerance = ±20 ppm. The results from both searches were converted
to the ProXL XML format using the respective converters (metaMorph2ProxlXML.jar,
plink2toProxlXML.jar, follow links on the ProXL website; https://proxl-ms.org/) and uploaded
to the ProXL server [86]. Analysis and evaluation of crosslinks was performed on our local ProXL
Server. The results from both searches was analysed together.

Computational modeling
Locally implemented AlphaFold2-Multimer version 2.2.0 [87] was used to predict the binding
interfaces of GINS and TopBP1 using the full-length sequences of each GINS subunit and
residues 301-766 of human TopBP1. 5 models were obtained, using 5 seeds per model, by
default and ranked with the combined score of ipTM+pTM implemented in AlphaFold2-

Multimer [87, 88]. The top ranked model used for figure production and statistical plots were
produced using Alphapickle 1.5.4 for the top seed from each model.
Blind molecular docking for insight into the GINI binding region used the GINI sequence
DEDLLSQY of TopBP1 (residues 487-494) in the servers Cluspro [89] (accessed on
13/06/2022), HPEPDOCK [90] (accessed on 13/06/2022), and MDockPeP [91, 92, 93] (accessed on
13/06/2022) web servers with 200 solutions per server. We further extended, refined, and re-
scored the sampling, by generating 10 additional conformations per web server solution (for a
total of 6000 solutions) with FlexPepDock [94]. The top-10 best-scored solutions were selected
for analysis and visualization.
The binding free energies of GINS with BRCT4 and GINI were estimated based on the best
scored AlphaFold2 model using the web servers PRODIGY [95] and PPI-Affinity [96]. The region
of GINI employed for the estimation comprised residues 481 to 496. Both PRODIGY and PPI-
Affinity analyze the residues in the binding interface for free energy estimation. PRODIGY
uses a linear amino acid chain model, whilst PPI-Affinity uses a structure-based machine
learning algorithm.
930
Cryo-electron microscopy
Grid preparation
Quantifoil 1.2/1.3, 300 mesh copper grids (Quantifoil) were glow discharged using a Tergeo
Plasma Cleaner (Pie Scientific) with an indirect plasma treatment for 30 seconds. Grids were
loaded into a Leica EM GP2 (Leica microsystems) and 3 µl of peak fractions from size
exclusion chromatography were diluted to 0.1 mg/ml and applied to the front of the grid, with
an additional 0.5 µl buffer applied to the grids rear, before back blotting for 3 seconds and
plunging into an ethane propane mix.
Electron microscopy and data processing
For dataset 1, grids were stored in liquid nitrogen prior to imaging at 300 kV on a FEI Titan
Krios (Thermo Fisher Scientific) equipped with K3 detector (Gatan). 18945 movies were
collected, using data acquisition software EPU (Thermo Fisher Scientific), at a magnification
of 105000 and a pixel size of 0.85Å using a total dose of 50 e-/Å2. These were motion corrected
in 5x5 patches using MOTIONCOR2 [97] implemented in RELION4.0 [42] before importing into
cryoSPARC [41]. Micrographs were CTF corrected prior to blob picking and extraction of an
initial set of particles. Subsequent filtering by 2D classification removed most of these leaving
a cleaned set of particles that were used to produce 4 initial models. The 2D classes and initial
models appeared to have at least two distinct sub populations, one containing just the GINS
complex alone and one that also had some additional density. In order to distinguish between
these two sets of particles heterorefinement was performed to split the two groups. The first
population of particles containing only the GINS complex were then imported into
RELION4.0 before several cycles of 3D classification, to yield a final set of 111455 particles
that gave a volume at 5.9 Å resolution used to produce the GINS alone volume used in
(supplementary Fig. S15c). The second group of TOPBP1-GINS particles were used to train a
TOPAZ model before implementing further picking using TOPAZ [98]. The resulting particles
were again filtered by rounds of 2D classification and heterorefinement before exporting the
particles, that appeared to consist of the full TOPBP1-GINS complex, into RELION4.0.
Several cycles of 3D classification were then performed with classes appearing to lack the

additional density eliminated, to yield a final set of 208115 particles. 3D refinement and post-
processing of these gave a volume at 4.71Å resolution into which previously solved crystal
structures of the GINS complex (29EX) and the central BRCT4/5 module of TopBP1 (3UEN)
could be docked.
For dataset 2, grids were stored in liquid nitrogen prior to imaging at 300 kV on a FEI Titan
Krios (Thermo Fisher Scientific) equipped with Fakcon 4i detector (Thermo Fisher Scientific).
8808 movies were collected, using data acquisition software EPU (Thermo Fisher Scientific),
at a magnification of 120000 and a pixel size of 0.74Å using a total dose of 39.69 e-/Å2. The
same processing schedule was followed as for dataset one to yield a final set of 154278
particles. 3D refinement and post-processing of these gave a volume at 4.1 Å resolution into
which the previously solved model could be docked alongside the predicted GINI helix from
the AlphaFold2-Multimer model. Minor adjustments to the model were made in Coot before
refinement of the final model using PHENIX [99].

Data Presentation
Figure panels for all structural data were produced using ChimeraX-1.3

Data Availability
The mass spectrometry proteomics data for the proximity dependent biotinylation experiments,
the cross-linking experiments and the chromatin mass spectrometry (CHROMASS)
experiments have been deposited to the ProteomeXchange Consortium via the PRIDE[100]
partner repository (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/pride/archive/) with the dataset identifiers
PXD040000 (PDB experiments), PXD040156 (CL-MS experiments) and PXD040024
(CHROMASS experiments). During the review process the data can be accessed via the
following Reviewer accounts:
PXD040000: (Username: reviewer_pxd040000@ebi.ac.uk; Password: Lk9VLXVF)
PXD040156: (Username: reviewer_pxd040156@ebi.ac.uk; Password: NLNLORQl)
PXD040024: (Username: reviewer_pxd040024@ebi.ac.uk; Password: 5OGweuE6)

Cryo-EM maps and refined coordinates have been deposited in the EMDB and Protein
Databank as EMD- 16916 and PDB ID 8OK2 respectively.

Research animals
We have complied with ethical regulations. The protocol used in this study was approved by
the Landesamt für Natur-, Umwelt- und Verbraucherschutz, Nordrhein-Westfalen (81-
02.05.40.20.050)

References
997

Acknowledgements

We thank Nora Cronin, Rebecca Thompson and Daniel Maskell, Yehuda Halfon, Pascale
Schelleberger and Fabienne Beuron for assistance with cryo-EM. We also thank Svenja
Heimann and Jenny Bormann for technical assistance with crosslinking and APEX2 mass
spectrometry and Ken L. Dreger for our local ProXL server. We further thank Stefan
Westermann, Hemmo Meyer and Olaf Stemmann for sharing reagents and expertise on
biochemistry and *Xenopus* egg extracts. The lab of D.B. was supported by the SFB1430
(Project-ID 424228829) and RTG1739 of the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG,
German Rersearch Foundation) and the NRW Rückkehrerförderprogramm (state of North-
Rhine-Westphalia), the labs of A.W.O and L.H.P. by Cancer Research UK Programme Grants
C302/A14532 and C302/A24386, and the lab of M.R. by the FOR2800 research group (DFG).
E.S.G. acknowledges funding from the DFG under Germany´s Excellence Strategy (EXC 2033
– 390677874 – RESOLV), the instrumentation program of the DFG (436586093) and
CRC1430. M.K. and F.K. are grateful for funding by the DFG (DFG, INST 20876/322-1
FUGG and CRC1430).

1013
1014

1015 # References

1016 1. Siddiqui K, On KF, Diffley JF. Regulating DNA replication in eukarya. *Cold Spring Harb*
1017   *Perspect Biol* **5**, (2013).
1018

1019 2. Remus D, Beuron F, Tolun G, Griffith JD, Morris EP, Diffley JFX. Concerted loading of
1020   Mcm2-7 double hexamers around DNA during DNA replication origin licensing. *Cell*
1021   **139**, 719-730 (2009).
1022

1023 3. Evrin C*, et al.* A double-hexameric MCM2-7 complex is loaded onto origin DNA during
1024   licensing of eukaryotic DNA replication. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **106**, 20240-20245
1025   (2009).
1026

1027 4. Li N*, et al.* Structure of the eukaryotic MCM complex at 3.8 A. *Nature* **524**, 186-191
1028   (2015).
1029

1030 5. Georgescu R*, et al.* Structure of eukaryotic CMG helicase at a replication fork and
1031   implications to replisome architecture and origin initiation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*
1032   **114**, E697-E706 (2017).
1033

1034 6. Douglas ME, Ali FA, Costa A, Diffley JFX. The mechanism of eukaryotic CMG helicase
1035   activation. *Nature* **555**, 265-268 (2018).
1036

1037 7. Moyer SE, Lewis PW, Botchan MR. Isolation of the Cdc45/Mcm2-7/GINS (CMG)
1038   complex, a candidate for the eukaryotic DNA replication fork helicase. *Proc Natl Acad*
1039   *Sci U S A* **103**, 10236-10241 (2006).
1040

1041   8.   Ilves I, Petojevic T, Pesavento JJ, Botchan MR. Activation of the MCM2-7 helicase by
1042   association with Cdc45 and GINS proteins. *Mol Cell* **37**, 247-258 (2010).
1043

1044   9.   Costa A*, et al.* DNA binding polarity, dimerization, and ATPase ring remodeling in the
1045   CMG helicase of the eukaryotic replisome. *Elife* **3**, e03273 (2014).
1046

1047   10.   Yeeles JT, Deegan TD, Janska A, Early A, Diffley JF. Regulated eukaryotic DNA
1048   replication origin firing with purified proteins. *Nature* **519**, 431-435 (2015).
1049

1050   11.   Lewis JS*, et al.* Mechanism of replication origin melting nucleated by CMG helicase
1051   assembly. *Nature* **606**, 1007-1014 (2022).
1052

1053   12.   Meagher M, Epling LB, Enemark EJ. DNA translocation mechanism of the MCM
1054   complex and implications for replication initiation. *Nat Commun* **10**, 3117 (2019).
1055

1056   13.   Kanemaki M, Labib K. Distinct roles for Sld3 and GINS during establishment and
1057   progression of eukaryotic DNA replication forks. *Embo J* **25**, 1753-1763 (2006).
1058

1059   14.   Heller RC, Kang S, Lam WM, Chen S, Chan CS, Bell SP. Eukaryotic Origin-Dependent
1060   DNA Replication In Vitro Reveals Sequential Action of DDK and S-CDK Kinases. *Cell* **146**,
1061   80-91 (2011).
1062

1063   15.   Francis LI, Randell JC, Takara TJ, Uchima L, Bell SP. Incorporation into the prereplicative
1064   complex activates the Mcm2-7 helicase for Cdc7-Dbf4 phosphorylation. *Genes Dev* **23**,
1065   643-654 (2009).
1066

1067   16.   Greiwe JF*, et al.* Structural mechanism for the selective phosphorylation of DNA-
1068   loaded MCM double hexamers by the Dbf4-dependent kinase. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* **29**,
1069   10-20 (2022).
1070

1071   17.   Saleh A*, et al.* The structural basis of Cdc7-Dbf4 kinase dependent targeting and
1072   phosphorylation of the MCM2-7 double hexamer. *Nat Commun* **13**, 2915 (2022).
1073

1074   18.   Itou H, Muramatsu S, Shirakihara Y, Araki H. Crystal structure of the homology domain
1075   of the eukaryotic DNA replication proteins Sld3/Treslin. *Structure* **22**, 1341-1347
1076   (2014).
1077

1078   19.   Deegan TD, Yeeles JT, Diffley JF. Phosphopeptide binding by Sld3 links Dbf4-dependent
1079   kinase to MCM replicative helicase activation. *EMBO J* **35**, 961-973 (2016).
1080

1081   20.   Zegerman P, Diffley JFX. Phosphorylation of Sld2 and Sld3 by cyclin-dependent kinases
1082   promotes DNA replication in budding yeast. *Nature* **445**, 281-285 (2007).
1083

1084   21.   Kumagai A, Shevchenko A, Dunphy WG. Direct regulation of Treslin by cyclin-
1085   dependent kinase is essential for the onset of DNA replication. *J Cell Biol* **193**, 995-
1086   1007 (2011).
1087

1088 22. Boos D*, et al.* Regulation of DNA Replication through Sld3-Dpb11 Interaction Is
1089   Conserved from Yeast to Humans. *Curr Biol* **21**, 1152-1157 (2011).
1090

1091 23. Tak YS, Tanaka Y, Endo S, Kamimura Y, Araki H. A CDK-catalysed regulatory
1092   phosphorylation for formation of the DNA replication complex Sld2-Dpb11. *Embo J* **25**,
1093   1987-1996 (2006).
1094

1095 24. Gambus A*, et al.* GINS maintains association of Cdc45 with MCM in replisome
1096   progression complexes at eukaryotic DNA replication forks. *Nat Cell Biol* **8**, 358-366
1097   (2006).
1098

1099 25. Sengupta S, van Deursen F, de Piccoli G, Labib K. Dpb2 integrates the leading-strand
1100   DNA polymerase into the eukaryotic replisome. *Curr Biol* **23**, 543-552 (2013).
1101

1102 26. Goswami P*, et al.* Structure of DNA-CMG-Pol epsilon elucidates the roles of the non-
1103   catalytic polymerase modules in the eukaryotic replisome. *Nat Commun* **9**, 5061
1104   (2018).
1105

1106 27. Jones ML, Baris Y, Taylor MRG, Yeeles JTP. Structure of a human replisome shows the
1107   organisation and interactions of a DNA replication machine. *EMBO J* **40**, e108819
1108   (2021).
1109

1110 28. Day M, Oliver AW, Pearl LH. Phosphorylation-dependent assembly of DNA damage
1111   response systems and the central roles of TOPBP1. *DNA Repair (Amst)* **108**, 103232
1112   (2021).
1113

1114 29. Makiniemi M*, et al.* BRCT domain-containing protein TopBP1 functions in DNA
1115   replication and damage response. *J Biol Chem* **276**, 30399-30406 (2001).
1116

1117 30. Kumagai A, Shevchenko A, Dunphy WG. Treslin collaborates with TopBP1 in triggering
1118   the initiation of DNA replication. *Cell* **140**, 349-359 (2010).
1119

1120 31. Tanaka S, Komeda Y, Umemori T, Kubota Y, Takisawa H, Araki H. Efficient initiation of
1121   DNA replication in eukaryotes requires Dpb11/TopBP1-GINS interaction. *Mol Cell Biol*
1122   **33**, 2614-2622 (2013).
1123

1124 32. Mantiero D, Mackenzie A, Donaldson A, Zegerman P. Limiting replication initiation
1125   factors execute the temporal programme of origin firing in budding yeast. *EMBO J* **30**,
1126   4805-4814 (2011).
1127

1128 33. Tanaka S, Nakato R, Katou Y, Shirahige K, Araki H. Origin association of Sld3, Sld7, and
1129   Cdc45 proteins is a key step for determination of origin-firing timing. *Curr Biol* **21**,
1130   2055-2063 (2011).
1131

1132 34. Collart C, Allen GE, Bradshaw CR, Smith JC, Zegerman P. Titration of four replication
1133   factors is essential for the Xenopus laevis midblastula transition. *Science* **341**, 893-896
1134   (2013).

1135

35. Jenkinson F, Tan KW, Schopf B, Santos MM, Zegerman P. Dephosphorylation of the pre-initiation complex is critical for origin firing. *Mol Cell* **83**, 12-25 e10 (2023).

36. Lim Y*, et al.* In silico protein interaction screening uncovers DONSON's role in replication initiation. *Science*, eadi3448 (2023).

37. Xia Y*, et al.* DNSN-1 recruits GINS for CMG helicase assembly during DNA replication initiation in Caenorhabditis elegans. *Science*, eadi4932 (2023).

38. Kingsley G*, et al.* DONSON facilitates Cdc45 and GINS chromatin association and is essential for DNA replication initiation. *Nucleic Acids Res*, (2023).

39. Hashimoto Y, Sadano K, Miyata N, Ito H, Tanaka H. Novel role of DONSON in CMG helicase assembly during vertebrate DNA replication initiation. *EMBO J*, e114131 (2023).

40. Bigot N, Day M, Baldock RA, Watts FZ, Oliver AW, Pearl LH. Phosphorylation-mediated interactions with TOPBP1 couple 53BP1 and 9-1-1 to control the G1 DNA damage checkpoint. *Elife* **8**, (2019).

41. Punjani A, Rubinstein JL, Fleet DJ, Brubaker MA. cryoSPARC: algorithms for rapid unsupervised cryo-EM structure determination. *Nat Methods* **14**, 290-296 (2017).

42. Leung CC*, et al.* Structural insights into recognition of MDC1 by TopBP1 in DNA replication checkpoint control. *Structure* **21**, 1450-1459 (2013).

43. Raschle M*, et al.* DNA repair. Proteomics reveals dynamic assembly of repair complexes during bypass of DNA cross-links. *Science* **348**, 1253671 (2015).

44. Kamada K, Kubota Y, Arata T, Shindo Y, Hanaoka F. Structure of the human GINS complex and its assembly and functional interface in replication initiation. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* **14**, 388-396 (2007).

45. Chang YP, Wang G, Bermudez V, Hurwitz J, Chen XS. Crystal structure of the GINS complex and functional insights into its role in DNA replication. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **104**, 12685-12690 (2007).

46. De Jesus-Kim L, Friedman LJ, Looke M, Ramsoomair CK, Gelles J, Bell SP. DDK regulates replication initiation by controlling the multiplicity of Cdc45-GINS binding to Mcm2-7. *Elife* **10**, (2021).

47. Looke M, Maloney MF, Bell SP. Mcm10 regulates DNA replication elongation by stimulating the CMG replicative helicase. *Genes Dev* **31**, 291-305 (2017).

1180   48.   Douglas ME, Diffley JFX. Recruitment of Mcm10 to Sites of Replication Initiation
1181   Requires Direct Binding to the Minichromosome Maintenance (MCM) Complex. *J Biol*
1182   *Chem* **291**, 5879-5888 (2016).
1183

1184   49.   Costa A, Diffley JFX. The Initiation of Eukaryotic DNA Replication. *Annu Rev Biochem*
1185   **91**, 107-131 (2022).
1186

1187   50.   Zegerman P, Diffley JFX. Checkpoint-dependent inhibition of DNA replication initiation
1188   by Sld3 and Dbf4 phosphorylation. *Nature* **467**, 474-478 (2010).
1189

1190   51.   Lopez-Mosqueda J, Maas NL, Jonsson ZO, Defazio-Eli LG, Wohlschlegel J, Toczyski DP.
1191   Damage-induced phosphorylation of Sld3 is important to block late origin firing.
1192   *Nature* **467**, 479-483 (2010).
1193

1194   52.   Natsume T*, et al.* Kinetochores coordinate pericentromeric cohesion and early DNA
1195   replication by Cdc7-Dbf4 kinase recruitment. *Mol Cell* **50**, 661-674 (2013).
1196

1197   53.   Reusswig KU, Zimmermann F, Galanti L, Pfander B. Robust Replication Control Is
1198   Generated by Temporal Gaps between Licensing and Firing Phases and Depends on
1199   Degradation of Firing Factor Sld2. *Cell Rep* **17**, 556-569 (2016).
1200

1201   54.   Tanaka S. Interaction of replication factor Sld3 and histone acetyl transferase Esa1
1202   alleviates gene silencing and promotes the activation of late and dormant replication
1203   origins. *Genetics* **217**, 1-11 (2021).
1204

1205   55.   Sansam CG*, et al.* A mechanism for epigenetic control of DNA replication. *Genes Dev*
1206   **32**, 224-229 (2018).
1207

1208   56.   Wittig KA, Sansam CG, Noble TD, Goins D, Sansam CL. The CRL4DTL E3 ligase induces
1209   degradation of the DNA replication initiation factor TICRR/TRESLIN specifically during
1210   S phase. *Nucleic Acids Res* **49**, 10507-10523 (2021).
1211

1212   57.   Johnson MC, Can G, Santos MM, Alexander D, Zegerman P. Checkpoint inhibition of
1213   origin firing prevents inappropriate replication outside of S-phase. *Elife* **10**, (2021).
1214

1215   58.   Ferreira P*, et al.* MTBP phosphorylation controls DNA replication origin firing. *Sci Rep*
1216   **11**, 4242 (2021).
1217

1218   59.   Ciardo D*, et al.* Polo-like kinase 1 (Plk1) regulates DNA replication origin firing and
1219   interacts with Rif1 in Xenopus. *Nucleic Acids Res* **49**, 9851-9869 (2021).
1220

1221   60.   Bohly N*, et al.* Increased replication origin firing links replication stress to whole
1222   chromosomal instability in human cancer. *Cell Rep* **41**, 111836 (2022).
1223

1224   61.   Toledo LI*, et al.* ATR prohibits replication catastrophe by preventing global exhaustion
1225   of RPA. *Cell* **155**, 1088-1103 (2013).
1226

62. Helmrich A, Ballarino M, Nudler E, Tora L. Transcription-replication encounters, consequences and genomic instability. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* **20**, 412-418 (2013).

63. Rhind N, Gilbert DM. DNA replication timing. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol* **5**, a010132 (2013).

64. Boos D, Ferreira P. Origin Firing Regulations to Control Genome Replication Timing. *Genes (Basel)* **10**, (2019).

65. Burrell RA, *et al.* Replication stress links structural and numerical cancer chromosomal instability. *Nature* **494**, 492-496 (2013).

66. Volpi I, Gillespie PJ, Chadha GS, Blow JJ. The role of DDK and Treslin-MTBP in coordinating replication licensing and pre-initiation complex formation. *Open Biol* **11**, 210121 (2021).

67. Gaggioli V, Zeiser E, Rivers D, Bradshaw CR, Ahringer J, Zegerman P. CDK phosphorylation of SLD-2 is required for replication initiation and germline development in C. elegans. *J Cell Biol* **204**, 507-522 (2014).

68. Fukuura M, Nagao K, Obuse C, Takahashi TS, Nakagawa T, Masukata H. CDK promotes interactions of Sld3 and Drc1 with Cut5 for initiation of DNA replication in fission yeast. *Mol Biol Cell* **22**, 2620-2633 (2011).

69. Muramatsu S, Hirai K, Tak YS, Kamimura Y, Araki H. CDK-dependent complex formation between replication proteins Dpb11, Sld2, Pol (epsilon}, and GINS in budding yeast. *Genes Dev* **24**, 602-612 (2010).

70. Rappas M, Oliver AW, Pearl LH. Structure and function of the Rad9-binding region of the DNA-damage checkpoint adaptor TopBP1. *Nucleic Acids Res*, (2010).

71. Ferreira P, Sanchez-Pulido L, Marko A, Ponting CP, Boos D. Refining the domain architecture model of the replication origin firing factor Treslin/TICRR. *Life Sci Alliance* **5**, (2022).

72. Chou DM, Petersen P, Walter JC, Walter G. Protein phosphatase 2A regulates binding of Cdc45 to the prereplication complex. *J Biol Chem* **277**, 40520-40527 (2002).

73. Kohler K, *et al.* The Cdk8/19-cyclin C transcription regulator functions in genome replication through metazoan Sld7. *PLoS Biol* **17**, e2006767 (2019).

74. Zimmerman KM, Jones RM, Petermann E, Jeggo PA. Diminished origin-licensing capacity specifically sensitizes tumor cells to replication stress. *Mol Cancer Res* **11**, 370-380 (2013).

1272   75.   Rainey MD, Quachthithu H, Gaboriau D, Santocanale C. DNA Replication Dynamics and
1273   Cellular Responses to ATP Competitive CDC7 Kinase Inhibitors. *ACS Chem Biol* **12**,
1274   1893-1902 (2017).

1276   76.   Gillespie PJ, Gambus A, Blow JJ. Preparation and use of Xenopus egg extracts to study
1277   DNA replication and chromatin associated proteins. *Methods* **57**, 203-213 (2012).

1279   77.   Tyanova S*, et al.* The Perseus computational platform for comprehensive analysis of
1280   (prote)omics data. *Nat Methods* **13**, 731-740 (2016).

1282   78.   Rappsilber J, Mann M, Ishihama Y. Protocol for micro-purification, enrichment, pre-
1283   fractionation and storage of peptides for proteomics using StageTips. *Nat Protoc* **2**,
1284   1896-1906 (2007).

1286   79.   Olsen JV*, et al.* Parts per million mass accuracy on an Orbitrap mass spectrometer via
1287   lock mass injection into a C-trap. *Mol Cell Proteomics* **4**, 2010-2021 (2005).

1289   80.   Cox J, Neuhauser N, Michalski A, Scheltema RA, Olsen JV, Mann M. Andromeda: a
1290   peptide search engine integrated into the MaxQuant environment. *J Proteome Res* **10**,
1291   1794-1805 (2011).

1293   81.   Cox J, Mann M. MaxQuant enables high peptide identification rates, individualized
1294   p.p.b.-range mass accuracies and proteome-wide protein quantification. *Nat*
1295   *Biotechnol* **26**, 1367-1372 (2008).

1297   82.   Cox J, Hein MY, Luber CA, Paron I, Nagaraj N, Mann M. Accurate proteome-wide label-
1298   free quantification by delayed normalization and maximal peptide ratio extraction,
1299   termed MaxLFQ. *Mol Cell Proteomics* **13**, 2513-2526 (2014).

1301   83.   Hughes CS, Moggridge S, Muller T, Sorensen PH, Morin GB, Krijgsveld J. Single-pot,
1302   solid-phase-enhanced sample preparation for proteomics experiments. *Nat Protoc* **14**,
1303   68-85 (2019).

1305   84.   Lu L*, et al.* Identification of MS-Cleavable and Noncleavable Chemically Cross-Linked
1306   Peptides with MetaMorpheus. *J Proteome Res* **17**, 2370-2376 (2018).

1308   85.   Chen ZL*, et al.* A high-speed search engine pLink 2 with systematic evaluation for
1309   proteome-scale identification of cross-linked peptides. *Nat Commun* **10**, 3404 (2019).

1311   86.   Riffle M, Jaschob D, Zelter A, Davis TN. ProXL (Protein Cross-Linking Database): A
1312   Platform for Analysis, Visualization, and Sharing of Protein Cross-Linking Mass
1313   Spectrometry Data. *J Proteome Res* **15**, 2863-2870 (2016).

1315   87.   Evans R*, et al.* Protein complex prediction with AlphaFold-Multimer. *bioRxiv*,
1316   2021.2010.2004.463034 (2022).

1318 88.	Jumper J*, et al.* Highly accurate protein structure prediction with AlphaFold. *Nature*
1319	**596**, 583-589 (2021).
1320

1321 89.	Kozakov D*, et al.* The ClusPro web server for protein-protein docking. *Nat Protoc* **12**,
1322	255-278 (2017).
1323

1324 90.	Zhou P, Jin B, Li H, Huang SY. HPEPDOCK: a web server for blind peptide-protein
1325	docking based on a hierarchical algorithm. *Nucleic Acids Res* **46**, W443-W450 (2018).
1326

1327 91.	Yu C*, et al.* A mechanism for preventing asymmetric histone segregation onto
1328	replicating DNA strands. *Science* **361**, 1386-1389 (2018).
1329

1330 92.	Xu X, Yan C, Zou X. MDockPeP: An ab-initio protein-peptide docking server. *J Comput*
1331	*Chem* **39**, 2409-2413 (2018).
1332

1333 93.	Yan C, Xu X, Zou X. Fully Blind Docking at the Atomic Level for Protein-Peptide Complex
1334	Structure Prediction. *Structure* **24**, 1842-1853 (2016).
1335

1336 94.	Raveh B, London N, Schueler-Furman O. Sub-angstrom modeling of complexes
1337	between flexible peptides and globular proteins. *Proteins* **78**, 2029-2040 (2010).
1338

1339 95.	Xue LC, Rodrigues JP, Kastritis PL, Bonvin AM, Vangone A. PRODIGY: a web server for
1340	predicting the binding affinity of protein-protein complexes. *Bioinformatics* **32**, 3676-
1341	3678 (2016).
1342

1343 96.	Romero-Molina S*, et al.* PPI-Affinity: A Web Tool for the Prediction and Optimization
1344	of Protein-Peptide and Protein-Protein Binding Affinity. *J Proteome Res* **21**, 1829-1841
1345	(2022).
1346

1347 97.	Zheng SQ, Palovcak E, Armache JP, Verba KA, Cheng Y, Agard DA. MotionCor2:
1348	anisotropic correction of beam-induced motion for improved cryo-electron
1349	microscopy. *Nat Methods* **14**, 331-332 (2017).
1350

1351 98.	Bepler T*, et al.* Positive-unlabeled convolutional neural networks for particle picking
1352	in cryo-electron micrographs. *Nat Methods* **16**, 1153-1160 (2019).
1353

1354 99.	Liebschner D*, et al.* Macromolecular structure determination using X-rays, neutrons
1355	and electrons: recent developments in Phenix. *Acta Crystallogr D Struct Biol* **75**, 861-
1356	877 (2019).
1357

1358 100.	Vizcaino JA*, et al.* 2016 update of the PRIDE database and its related tools. *Nucleic*
1359	*Acids Res* **44**, D447-456 (2016).
1360

1361

1362

Figure Legends

Figure 1: GINS and TopBP1 interact.

a: (i) Domain model of human (hs) TopBP1, its conservation with BRCT1/2 and 3/4 domains (grey boxes) of budding yeast Dpb11 (scDpb11). Arrows indicate binding domains of interacting proteins. (ii) Domain model overview of TopBP1 wild type (WT) and BRCT deletion (Δ) and point mutants. GINS binding capabilities are denoted in red and green. Numbers, amino acid position; capital letters indicate amino acid substitutions. Amino acid substitutions of point mutants in BRCT4 (B4mut) and 5 (B5mut) are coloured orange.

b: Elution profiles of size exclusion chromatography (Superdex200) of individual recombinant TopBP1-BRCT0-5 protein (grey) and GINS tetramers (green), or of both upon incubation (blue).

c: Recombinant GINS immobilised on Flag-beads and control beads (Flag beads coupled with Flag peptide) were used to pull down recombinant TopBP1-0-5-WT or TopBP1-ΔBRCT4/5 (compare panel a(ii)). SDS gels were silver stained. (kDa) specifies molecular weight marker bands.

Figure 2: Protein structure of the GINS-TopBP1-BRCT4/5 complex.
a: Structural model of the GINS-TopBP1-BRCT4/5 complex. Crystal structures of the GINS subunits (shades of green, yellow), and the central BRCT4/5 domain of TopBP1 (grey) were docked into the cryo-EM volume.

b: Zoom-in of the BRCT4-Psf1 interface. The residues in stick representation appear crucial for the interface. Mutations to break the interaction are coloured pink (Psf1-I97R; see Fig. 1a(ii)) and purple (TopBP1-B4mut; see Fig. 1a(ii)).

c: Pulldown of the indicated recombinant TopBP1-BRCT0-5-strep versions (see Fig. 1a(ii)) by Flag-beads-immobilised recombinant GINS or control beads (Flag peptide-coupled). Analysis was done by immunoblotting.

d: Pulldown of the indicated recombinant TopBP1-BRCT0-5-WT-strep using immobilised GINS-WT or GINS carrying a Ile97 to arginine mutation in Psf1.TopBP1-Gcc/B4mut-strep (Fig. 1a(ii)) was used as a non-GINS binding control.

Figure 3: The conserved core of the TopBP1-GINI domain is required for a stable interaction with GINS

a: Domain model and T-COFFEE alignment (in one-letter aa code) of TopBP1 proteins from humans (Q92547) *Xenopus laevis* (Q7ZZY3), *Bos taurus* (A0A3Q1LWE4) and *Rattus norvegicus* (A0A8I6GFZ6). Coloured boxes indicate GINI region mutants in sub-regions I (blue), II (green) and III (orange), and in the GINI core helix (red) (details in supplementary Fig S7). Amino acid substitutions and names of GINI core region mutations are shown (ii). In the alignment, '.', ':' and '*' indicate low conservation, high conservation and identical amino acids, respectively.

b/c: Pulldown of recombinant TopBP1-BRCT0-5-strep-WT or the indicated GINI region mutants (panel a) by bead-immobilised GINS or Flag peptide-coupled control beads. Analysis was done by immunoblotting.

d: Fluorescence polarisation measurements using recombinant GINS tetramer and fluorescently labelled TopBP1-GINI-core peptides (WT or Gpp (panel a)).

Figure 4:

a: Structural model of TopBP1-BRCT3-5 complexed to the GINS tetramer predicted using AlphaFold2-Multimer. Of TopBP1, only the GINS binding surfaces, GINI helix (residues 485-496) and BRCT4/5 (residues 551-766), are shown.

b: Cryo-EM volume showing extra helical density ascribed to the GINI helix (left). On the right a structural model based on this cryo-EM and an AlphaFold2-Multimer predicted structure.

c: Pulldown of recombinant TopBP1-BRCT0-5-WT-strep using immobilised GINS-WT or GINS carrying the indicated Psf1 mutations. TopBP1-BRCT0-5-Gcc/B4mut-strep served as a non-GINS binding control. Analysis was done by immunoblotting.

Figure 5: Both GINS binding surfaces in TopBP1 cooperate in supporting DNA replication.

a: Immobilized recombinant GINS was used to pulldown of indicated recombinant TopBP1-strep versions using 100 mM NaAc in the binding buffer. The pulldown was analysed by immunoblotting.

b: (i) shows the AlphaFold2-Multimer model of the GINS-TopBP1 complex that was used to estimate the binding free energy using PPI-Affinity and PRODIGY (ii). Estimations were done for the binding interfaces involving TopBP1-GINI (blue) and TopBP1-BRCT4 (red), both together and alone.

c: Coomassie-stained SDS PAGE gel of the indicated recombinant TopBP1-BRCT0-5 proteins (see Fig. 1a(ii) and 3a for nomenclature).

d: The indicated relative amounts (100 % - 10 %) of immunodepleted and non-depleted *Xenopus* egg extracts (Xtract) were analysed by immunoblotting using anti-TopBP1 #2 and the indicated antibodies. TopBP1 antibodies #1 and #2, or unspecific IgG (mock) were used for immunodepletions. Ponc, ponceau staining. Error bars, SEM, n=3

e/f: Replication analysis of *Xenopus* egg extracts immunodepleted with antibodies anti-TopBP1#1, #2 or unspecific IgG (mock). Buffer (buf), recombinant wild-type (WT) or mutant TopBP1-BRCT0-5-strep (panel B) were added. Error bars, SEM, n=3

Figure 6: GINS binding to TopBP1 is required for the origin firing step of replication.

a-c: Label-free quantification of chromatin-bound proteins (four biological replicates; 75 min after sperm chromatin addition) by mass spectrometry upon chromatin isolation from aphidicolin-treated interphase *Xenopus* egg extracts upon replacing endogenous TopBP1 with indicated TopBP1 mutants using immunodepletion as described in Fig. 5. (a) semi-quantitative heat map, (b) schematic model of DNA replication, (c) volcano plots highlighting a selection of differentially detected proteins (detailed volcanos in supplementary Fig. S14). Heatmaps (a) show hierarchical clustering performed on z-score normalized log2 transformed label-free intensity values. Label-free intensities prior z-score normalization are provided in supplementary Table 2.

d: Experiment as in a) was analysed by chromatin isolation and immunoblotting with the indicated antibodies. Coomassie staining of the gel part containing histones served as a loading control.

Figure 7: Exclusive binding of TopBP1 and DNA polymerase epsilon to GINS in the CMG context.

a/b: Structure of human CMG (PBD 7PFO), in panel a with our GINS-TopBP1 superposed in panel b with DNA Polε (CMGE; PBD 7PFO) both shown from the same angle, demonstrating accessibility of the TopBP1 binding sites in CMG, and strong overlap between BRCT4 and PolE2 occupied spaces .

c: In-detail views of GINS-TopBP1 and GINS-PolE2 (PBD 7PFO) interaction sites.

Supplementary figure legends

Figure S1: Schematic model of the origin firing reaction in yeast

Details are described in the main text.

Figure S2: Interaction of GINS and TopBP1 in cell lysates.

a: Volcano plots showing label-free mass spectrometry quantification (four biological replicates) of proteins biotinylated by TopBP1 fused to APEX2. The top plot shows the results for stable isogenic Hek293-FlipIn cells while the middle and lower plots are based on results from transiently transfected Hek293T cells. Non-APEX2-biotinylated samples ($-H_2O_2$) were used as controls. Four biological replicates were analysed per experiment. Red dots and text indicate significantly enriched known TopBP1 interactors. Black dots and text indicate non-significantly enriched TopBP1 interactors.

b: Immunoblots showing immunoprecipitation of 6Myc-TEV2-TopBP1-BRCT0-5 from transiently transfected 293T cell lysates using anti-Myc antibodies. Non-transfected cell lysates were used for control IPs. Immunoprecipitated TopBP1 was detected using Ponceau (Ponc.) staining. A weak but specific co-IP of Sld5 was detectable.

c: Myc-immunoprecipitation as in B, except that 6Myc-TEV2-TopBP1-BRCT0-5-WT was compared with 6Myc-TEV2-TopBP1-ΔBRCT4/5. The specific co-IP of Sld5 with TopBP1 found in b could be confirmed. TopBP1-ΔBRCT4/5 bound GINS less efficiently compared to WT.

Figure S3: Characterisation of the GINS-TopBP1 interaction.

a: Coomassie-stained SDS gel of fractions from the size exclusion chromatography experiment testing complexation of TopBP1-BRCT0-5-strep and GINS tetramer shown in Fig. 1b. Where both GINS and TopBP1 were mixed there is a shift of the individual components to the earlier fractions indicating a stable complex was formed.

b: Recombinant TopBP1-ΔBRCT0-2 was incubated with recombinant GINS before size exclusion chromatography using a Superdex200 increase 10/300 column. The individual recombinant proteins were run separately for comparison. Elution profiles are shown with GINS coloured green, TopBP1 grey and the complex is coloured cyan. The clear shift upon complex formation suggests the N-terminal BRCT0-2 module of TopBP1 is dispensable for the interaction.

c: Recombinant GINS immobilised on Flag-beads and control beads (Flag beads coupled with Flag peptide) were used to pull down recombinant TopBP1-0-5-WT (same as in Fig1c) or the deletion mutant ΔBRCT3 (Fig 1a(ii)). SDS gels were silver stained.

1529 d: The TopBP1 triple point mutant inactivating the phospho-binding pocket of BRCT5 (B5mut)
1530 that carries the mutations S654V, K661R and K704A (Fig. 1a(ii)) binds 53BP1 weakly in
1531 pulldowns from HEK293T cell lysates.

1532

1533 e: *In vitro* pulldown of TopBP1-WT and TopBP1-BRCT0-5-B5mut (Fig. 1a(ii)) with
1534 immobilised GINS (or Flag peptide for controls). The phospho-binding pocket of BRCT5 is
1535 inactive in B5mut (panel c). The B5mut mutant binds to GINS as well as TopBP1-BRCT0-5-
1536 WT, suggesting that the phospho-binding pocket of BRCT5 is dispensable for GINS binding.

1537

1538

1539 **Figure S4: Cryo electron microscopy analysis of GINS-TopBP1-BRCT4.**

1540

1541 EM processing diagram for the initial dataset including representative micrograph and final
1542 FSC curves.

1543

1544

1545 **Figure S5: TopBP1-BRCT4 diverts from the canonical BRCT fold**
1546 a) Superposition of BRCA1-BRCT1 (canonical BRCT; blue) and BRCT4 of TopBP1 (black).
1547 The canonical helix between beta strands three and four is missing in TopBP1-BRCT4.

1548

1549 b) This structural model of BRCT4 binding to Psf1 indicates that the missing helix in BRCT4
1550 helps create space for the interaction to take place. V590 and T606 are residues important for
1551 the interaction that were mutated in TopBP1-B4mut.

1552

1553 c) Multiple sequence alignment of the diverting region in BRCT4 in a wide range of metazoa
1554 shows that the binding region for Psf1 constitutes a highly conserved patch. Residues mutated
1555 in TopBP1-B4mut show high similarity.

1556

1557

1558 **Figure S6: Experiments to control quality of recombinant proteins.**

1559

a: Recombinant TopBP1 point mutants of the GINI and BRCT4 regions bind 53BP1 phospho-
dependently. TopBP1-BRCT0-5-strep-WT and indicated mutants (Figs. 1a and 3a summarize
the TopBP1 mutants) were used to pull down endogenous 53BP1 from Hek293T cell lysates.
Lysates and pulldowns were analysed by immunoblotting. 53BP1 has two binding domains in
TopBP1, BRCT0-2 and BRCT4/5 that both interact phosphorylation-dependently, as PPase-
treatments showed (last two lanes). TopBP1-BRCT0-5 contains both binding sites, explaining
its stronger binding to 53BP1 compared to the TopBP1-BRCT-ΔBRCT4/5 [40].

1567

1568 b: Psf1 I97R and Psf1 N43A/K51A form GINS tetramers normally. 100 nM of GINS-WT or
1569 the mutants were immobilized to the magnetic αFlag beads through 6xHis-3xFlag-Sld5 and
1570 then washed. Tetramer formation was detected by SDS PAGE and coomassie staining. GINS
1571 subunits were present in similar amounts, indicating normal GINS tetramer formation.

1572

1573

Figure S7: Alignment of TopBP1 proteins from different vertebrate species using T-COFFEE and relative position to the TopBP1 BRCT domains.

Xenopus, *Xenopus laevis* (Q7ZZY3), Cow, *Bos taurus* (A0A3Q1LWE4), Rat, *Rattus norvegicus* (A0A8I6GFZ6). Coloured labelling shows the amino acids mutated in the TopBP1 region I (RI), region II (RII), region III (RIII), and GINI core centre (Gcc) mutants.

Fig. S8: Probability plots for AlphaFold2-Multimer prediction of Fig. 4a.

Fig. S9: Molecular docking simulations of the GINI centre core peptide (487-DEDLLSQY-494) on the GINS tetramer using Cluspro (a), MDockPep (b) and HPEPDOCK (c). Docking refinement with FlexPepDock (d).

Figure S10: Crosslinking mass spectrometry to analyse the GINS-TopBP1 complex.

a: Schematic representation of BS^3-mediated cross-links between TopBP1-BRCT0-5-Strep and GINS (detailed description below). (i) shows crosslinks involving the GINI domain of TopBP1, (ii) shows circle plots of all inter-protein crosslinks detected. Recombinant TopBP1-BRCT0-5-strep (amino acids 1-766) and GINS were crosslinked with 600μM or 2500μM of BS^3 crosslinker and analysed by mass spectrometry. Crosslinked samples were either analysed directly (in solution, left) or after resolving cross links by SDS-PAGE and in-gel digestion (right). ProXL (Protein Cross-Linking Database) was used to analyse and visualize the data. Cross-links in (i) are coloured according to the number of peptide spectrum matches showing this link.

b: AlphaFold2 structure prediction of the TopBP1-Psf1-Psf3 complex with violet highlighted amino acids involved in crosslinking in panel a.

c: Structure of the GINS crystal structure superposed onto AlphaFold2 TopBP1-Psf1-Psf2 complex with Sld5 amino acids involved in crosslinking highlighted in violet.

GINI region crosslinks:

Most GINI region crosslinks were found with Psf1 lysines 63, and Psf3 Lys80 that cluster in the GINS structure. Fewer crosslinks were found with Psf1 Lys61 that is situated in the same region but facing the other direction. The distance between Lys482 in the GINI helix and Thr61 of Psf1 is 27,25 Å. Between TopBP1-Ser480 and Psf3-Lys74, it is 26,16 Å. The most extended distances with about 50 Å show crosslinks from TopBP1 lysines 466 and 468, which are furthest away from the GINI helix. This large distance is probably explained by the flexibility of this unstructured part. Crosslinking with Psf3 lysine 65 on the other face of this structural part of GINS supports this interpretation.

Crosslinks involving other regions of TopBP1 and GINS:

The GINI region also crosslinks with Sld5 residues 112, 113, 142, 164 and 208. These residues are located sufficiently close for BS^3 crosslinks to be permissible with the predicted GINI interaction site on the surface of the GINS tetramer as seen in panel c.

The GINI region appears the main GINS-crosslinking region in TopBP1. Further crosslinks were detected in the BRCT0-2 region of TopBP1, mainly with Sld5 and Psf1. These residues are situated in positions 102, 112, 113, 142, 164, 208 and 209 in Sld5, and 51, 61, 63, 154 and 171 in Psf1.

To our surprise, crosslinking between BRCT4 and GINS is not apparent. Only a single crosslink was detected between residue 576 of BRCT4 and 210 on Psf3. An explanation for this crosslink scarcity could be that the region of Psf1 that binds BRCT4 is crosslinked in a conformation that prevents BRCT4 binding. The presence of crosslinks between the C-terminal helix of Psf3 and the Psf1 B domain might suggest that crosslinking has locked the GINS complex into its CMG bound state where these two regions are brought into close proximity. It is possible this form of the GINS tetramer interferes with TopBP1 BRCT4-GINS complex formation.

Figure S11: EM processing diagram for the initial dataset including representative micrograph and final FSC curves.

Figure S12: Characterisation of TopBP1 mutants

a: Pulldowns of the indicated TopBP1 mutants with bead-immobilised GINS at reduced ionic strength of 100 mM sodium acetate compared to previous pulldown experiments in 150 mM sodium chloride. Mutating the individual sites revealed a reduced but specific pulldown efficiency compared to TopBP1-WT. Double mutants did not show pulldown.

b: Immuno-depletion and add-back experiment as described in Figures 5e/f and 6. Western blot with the indicated antibodies upon re-isolating sperm chromatin from egg extract 120 minutes after starting the replication reaction. TopBP1-Gcc mutant shows slightly stronger signals than the double mutant for Cdc45, but clearly weaker than WT and Δ4/5.

Figure S13: Replication analyses

a: Replication analysis of experiment as described in Fig. 5e/f, but using anti-TopBP1 antibody number one. Two independent experiments are shown.

b: Replication analysis using *Xenopus* egg extracts upon replacing endogenous TopBP1 with indicated recombinant TopBP1 versions to test the consequences of the TopBP1-B4mut triple point mutations that were identified by our structural studies (Fig. 2b,c). TopBP1 antibody #2 was used. TopBP1-B4mut and Δ4/5 behave similarly. The mutations in B4mut do not affect grossly the replication-inducing capacity compared to TopBP1-BRCT0-5-WT. However, the mutations in B4m aggravate the replication defect by the GINI site mutant Gcc. This

1659   aggravation effect is consistent with the model that the residues in BRCT4 that are required for
1660   normal GINS binding are also required for the normal replication-inducing activity of TopBP1.
1661   The time points shown in this experiment are different from the others in this study, because
1662   the egg extract used here replicated quicker.
1663
1664
1665   **Figure S14: Volcano plots of mass spectrometry-based chromatin analysis**
1666   Data of experiment described in Figure 5a/b is shown. Here all differentially detected proteins
1667   are labelled.
1668
1669
Figure S15: The PolE2 N-terminus-binding Psf1-B domain is dispensable for TopBP1-
BRCT4 interaction.
a/b: The Psf1-B domain is dispensable for binding TopBP1 in vitro. a) Pulldown experiments
comparing the binding of bead-immobilised GINS-WT and mutant GINS containing Psf1
lacking the B domain to TopBP1-0-5-WT. Deletion of the B domain, which is the main binding
site for PolE2, has moderate effects, if any, on TopBP1 association. b) Ten times molar excess
of MBP-PolE2-N does not compete TopBP1-0-5-WT off of bead-immobilised GINS.
1677
c: The Psf1-B domain is not visible in our cryo-EM data of the GINS tetramer and the GINS-
TopBP1 complex. GINS alone map volume with docked crystal structure of GINS
(PDB:2E9X) shown alongside the B domain of Psf1 taken from a superposed model of the full
GINS complex from a cryo-EM reconstruction of the full CMG structure (PDB:6XTX)
demonstrating no density for the B domain of Psf1 can be seen when the GINS complex is free
suggesting a flexible conformation.
1684
1685   d: GINS-TopBP1 volume shown with TopBP1-GINS model from this paper, alongside the B-
1686   domain of Psf1 as for panel a, showing in our dataset no density for the B domain of Psf1 can
1687   be seen when GINS is bound to TopBP1. Taken together these two volumes suggest the B
1688   domain of Psf1 is flexible when not bound to the MCM ring and CDC45.
1689
1690
1691   **Figure S16: Models of TopBP1-mediated loading of GINS onto pre-RCs.**
1692
a: TopBP1 functions as a regulated scaffold of pre-LC. TopBP1's numerous interaction
domains are key to assemble pre-LC. The CDK and DDK controlled interaction with Treslin
couples CMG formation to S phase. Here we demonstrate and characterise the essential
interaction with GINS. A second scaffold, DONSON, is an integral part of vertebrate pre-LC,
whereas the yeast pre-LC component Sld2 is not part of vertebrate pre-LC. An interesting
situation is present in pre-LCs of the metzoan *C. elegans* that possesses a yeast-like Sld2 in
addition to DONSON
1700
b: Hypothetical model of TopBP1's role in the CMG formation process. TopBP1 is recruited
through a phosphorylation dependent interaction between the N-terminal BRCT0-2 module

and Treslin (i). This allows delivery of the GINS tetramer to the MCM-CDC45 complex (ii).
The Psf1-B domain orders, packing against CDC45, simultaneously locking the MCM ring and
weakening the interface between TopBP1 BRCT4 and GINS complex (iii). TopBP1
dissociates, exchanging with Polε to form the CMGE complex.
1707
1708

Supplementary raw data file legends

(Data not included with the manuscript file)

Figure S17: Models of TopBP1-mediated loading of GINS onto pre-RCs.
Uncropped gel images and blots

Supplementary table 1
List of crosslinked GINI region peptides found by mass spectrometry (experiment supplementary Fig. S10).

Supplementary table 2
List with statistical analysis of peptides found on chromatin by chromass (experiment Fig. 6a-c).

Supplementary table 3
Raw data of replication analyses shown in Figures 5 and S13.

Figure 4

a

Psf3
TOPBP1 GINI
Psf1 A domain
TOPBP1 BRCTs4+5
Psf2
Sld5
Psf1 B domain
90°

b

Psf1
Ile-59
Lys-51
Leu-490
Ile-62
Val-47
Lys-63
Leu-491
GINI helix
Asn-43
Tyr-494
His-66
Leu-69
Tyr-40
90°

c

Psf1	WT		N43A/K51E	
TopBP1-BRCT0-5	WT	Gcc/B4mut	WT	Gcc/B4mut

TopBP1 — Pulldown
Sld5 — Pulldown
TopBP1 — Input
Sld5 — Input

1 2 3 4

Figure 5

Figure 6

Figure S3

Figure S4

a

Strand-3

BRCA1 BRCT1
TOPBP1 BRCT4

Helix-2
(absent in
TopBP1-BRCT4)

Strand-4

b

b3
b4

T606

V590

TOPBP1
GINS1

c

residues mutated
in TopBP1-B4mut

V590

V610

T606

b2
bbb

b3
bbbbb

b4
bbbb

Human	K I M S L L S R T V A D Y A V V P L L G C E V E A T V G E V V T N T W L V T C I D Y Q T L F D P K
Cow	K I V S L Q S R V V A D Y A V V P L L G C E V Q A T V G E V V T N T W L V M C I D Y Q T L I D P K
Rat	K I V S L P S R I V A D Y A V V P L L G C E V E A T V A E V V T N T W L V T C I D N Q T L V D P K
Frog	K V L S S Q K R A I A D Y A V V P L L G C E V E S T V G E V V T N A W L G M C I E Q E K L L D P H
Mouse	K I V S L P S R I V A D Y A V V P L L G C E V D V T V G E V V T N T W L V T C I D N Q T L V D P K
Fish	R V L T G Q T R M V A D Y A V V P L L G C S V E A T V D E V V T D T W L A M C V E K E S V L Q L S
Dolphin	K I V S L Q S R V V A D Y A V V P L L G C E V Q A T V G E V V T N T W L V T C I D Y Q T L T D P K
Pig	K I V S L Q S R I V A D Y A V V P L L G C E V Q A T V G E V V T N T W L V T C I D Y Q T L I D P K
Cat	K I V S L Q S R I V A D Y A V V P L L G C E V E A T V G E V V T N T W L V T C I D Y Q T L V D P K
Dog	K V V S L Q S R I V A D Y A V V P L L G C E V E A T V G E V V T N T W L V T C I D Y Q T L I D P K
Turtle	K V I S P Q N R A I A D Y A V V P L L G C K V E S T V G D V V T N T W L I M C V E Q Q L L L N P Q
Toad	K A L S P N M R A V A D F A V V P L L G C E V E S T V S E V V T N A W L G M C V E Q E K L L S P R
Octopus	I I L K - N I H Q I P D Y A L V P L G G C T I K F T A N E V V T H V W L E S C L E K H R L V P I S
Mussle	K V Y Q G N T R L V P D Y G V V P V D G F P V D K T V G E I A T S T W L Q M C A E S D T L L P V S

relative
conservation

5 7 6 5 0 5 3 8 5 9 7 * 9 + 9 * * 9 3 * 8 2 9 5 2 * 8 5 9 9 8 * 3 7 * 3 6 * 7 9 4 4 3 9 6 4 6 4

0: not conserved; *: identical

Figure S7

a

TopBP1-GINS BS3 crosslinks;
in solution

TopBP1-GINS BS3 crosslinks;
gel-extracted

(i) GINI core region crosslinks

(ii) All inter-protein crosslinks

b

c

a

b

Figure S13

wt_mut

wt_buffer

a

b

(i)

as part of
pre-LC

(ii)

(iii)