RIF1 regulates replication origin activity and early replication timing in B cells

Daniel Malzl[1,6], Mihaela Peycheva[1,6], Ali Rahjouei[2], Stefano Gnan[3], Kyle N. Klein[4],

Mariia Nazarova[1], Ursula Schoeberl[1], David M. Gilbert[4], Sara C. B. Buonomo[3],

Michela Di Virgilio[2], Tobias Neumann[1,5,7] and Rushad Pavri[1,7]

1. Research Institute of Molecular Pathology (IMP), Vienna Biocenter, 1030 Vienna, Austria

2. Max-Delbruck Center for Molecular Medicine in the Helmholtz Association (MDC), 13125

Berlin, Germany

3. Institute of Cell Biology, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh EH9 3FF, UK

4. Dept. of Biological Science, Florida State University, Tallahassee FL 32306, USA

5. Present address: Quantro Therapeutics, Vienna Biocenter, 1030 Vienna, Austria.

6. Equal contribution

7. Corresponding authors (tobias.neumann@imp.ac.at, rushad.pavri@imp.ac.at)

ABSTRACT

The mammalian DNA replication timing (RT) program is crucial for the proper functioning and integrity

of the genome. The best-known mechanism for controlling RT is the suppression of late origins of

replication in heterochromatin by RIF1. Here, we report that in antigen-activated, hypermutating B

lymphocytes, RIF1 binds predominantly to early-replicating active chromatin, regulates early origin

firing and promotes early replication. RIF1 has a minor role in gene expression and genome

organization in B cells. Furthermore, we find that RIF1 functions in a complementary and non-epistatic

manner with minichromosome maintenance (MCM) proteins to establish early RT signatures genome-

wide and, specifically, to ensure the early replication of highly transcribed genes. These findings

reveal a new layer of regulation within the B cell RT program, driven by the coordinated activity of

RIF1 and MCM proteins.

INTRODUCTION

The faithful and timely replication of the genome is essential for inheriting genetic information and avoiding chromosomal abnormalities. To ensure this, large metazoan genomes initiate replication from several discrete loci, termed origins of replication. Origins are not activated simultaneously across the genome, but rather, in an asynchronous manner referred to as the DNA replication timing (RT) program[1]. A hallmark of the RT program is that genomic A compartments enriched in transcriptionally active genes generally replicate earlier in S phase whereas B compartments harboring silent heterochromatin typically replicate later in S phase[2,3]. Deregulation of RT has been correlated with defects in chromosome condensation, sister chromatid cohesion, gene expression and genome instability [4-6]. Altered RT can disrupt the distribution of active and repressive epigenetic marks causing major alterations in genome architecture[7]. In genetic diseases and cancer, defects in RT have been correlated with deleterious chromosomal translocations[8-11]. Recently, RT has been directly implicated in the biogenesis of oncogenic translocations found in B cell lymphomas and other leukemias[12]. Yet, despite its key role in maintaining cellular physiology and genome integrity, our understanding of the mechanisms regulating RT remains incomplete.

Origins are specified in the late G2/M and early G1 phases of the cell cycle via the loading of the origin recognition complex (ORC) and associated factors[13-17]. The subsequent recruitment of the hexameric ring-shaped MCM complex helicase (MCM2-7) licenses these sites for activation[14], resulting in the formation of the pre-replication complex (pre-RC)[13-17]. In S phase, a subset of licensed origins is activated by a set of proteins collectively called replication firing factors, resulting in the initiation of replication[13-16]. A subset of licensed, MCM-bound origins can be activated by firing factors at any given point in S phase[18]. This has led to the idea that firing factors must be recycled to activate subsequent sets of origins later in S phase, thus ensuring timely completion of replication. In support, overexpression of firing factors has been shown to advance the RT of late-replicating chromatin[19-21]. These studies imply that the time of recruitment of the firing factors to a licensed origin determines its RT [22]. In essence, the probability of origin activation in S phase determines the RT of a region, meaning that early RT domains harbor origins that typically fire earlier in S phase whereas late RT domains contain origins that tend to fire later in S phase.

The best-studied mechanism of RT control is the suppression of late origin firing by the

multifunctional protein, RIF1[23-25]. RIF1 associates with late-replicating chromatin in large, mega-base

domains called RIF1-associated domains (RADs) and represses origin firing via protein phosphatase

1 (PP1)-mediated dephosphorylation of MCM4[26-28]. Ablation of RIF1 (*Rif1*^{−/−}) in human and mouse

embryonic stem cells (hESCs and mESCs) and in primary mouse embryonic fibroblasts [23] resulted in

a genome-wide loss of early and late RT domain distinction associated with alterations in epigenetic

marks and genome compartmentalization[7,27,28]. However, in some *Rif1*^{−/−} cell lines, this RT phenotype

was considerably weaker [7], suggesting the existence of additional modes of RT control.

We recently showed that in MCM-depleted CH12 cells, a murine B cell line, the RT program was

globally deregulated without major changes in transcription or genome architecture[12]. Since RIF1 is

the only other factor whose loss could lead to such a major phenotype[7,23,27], we investigated the role

of RIF1 in in the B cell RT program. We report the surprising findings that RIF1 is predominantly

bound to active chromatin in B cells, regulates early origin activity in these regions and promotes their

early replication. In addition, we find that RIF1 acts in concert with MCM complexes to drive early

replication of highly transcribed regions in B cells. In sum, our study reveals an additional regulatory

layer within the global RT program and a new role for RIF1 in promoting early replication.

RESULTS

RIF1 regulates early replication in B cells

To measure RT, we performed Repli-seq from early (E) and late (L) S phase fractions in normal and

Rif1^{−/−} CH12 B cells[29,30] (Fig. S1A) MCM complexes were depleted by infection with lentiviruses

expressing short hairpin RNAs (shRNAs) targeting Mcm6 (shMcm6), and an shRNA against LacZ

(shLacZ) served as a control for infection and shRNA expression, as described previously[12,31] (Fig.

S1B). RT was calculated as the (log2) E/L ratio[32] (Fig. 1A). RT values showed the expected bimodal

distribution in shLacZ cells reflecting distinct early (E; positive RT) and late (L; negative RT) domains

(Fig. 1A), which was also reflected in the genomic RT profiles (Fig. 1B). In shMcm6 cells, this

distinction was globally weakened with all early and late RT values approaching zero (Fig. 1A-B). In

Rif1^{−/−} shLacZ cells, many early-replicating domains underwent a delay in replication (Fig. 1B). In

comparison, late RT domains showed a mixed phenotype in *Rif1*$^{-/-}$ shLacZ cells with some, typically

smaller, domains showing advanced replication signatures, and other, typically larger, domains

undergoing delayed replication relative to shLacZ cells (Fig. 1A-B). Direct comparison of RT in 20 kb

genomic bins between the different conditions showed that most early- and late-replicating bins were

strongly shifted towards zero in shMcm6 cells indicative of a deregulation of the RT program (Fig.

1C). In *Rif1*$^{-/-}$ shLacZ cells, most early-replicating regions underwent delayed replication to varying

degrees (note the red stripe in Fig. 1C), albeit to a lesser extent than in shMcm6 cells. Although late-

replicating bins were mildly affected in *Rif1*$^{-/-}$ shLacZ cells, many of these bins underwent slightly

delayed RT (Fig. 1C). The latter observation is reminiscent of reports in other *Rif1*$^{-/-}$ cell lines where

the RT of many late-replicating domains that were not associated with RIF1 was unaffected by the

loss of RIF1 [7].

We also performed Repli-seq in a more physiological system, namely, primary activated splenic B

cells from *Rif1*$^{+/+}$, RIF1 heterozygous (*Rif1*$^{-/+}$) and *Rif1*$^{-/-}$ mice[33] (Fig. S1C). WT primary B cells had

relatively fewer early replicating domains and more mid-replicating domains than shLacZ CH12 cells,

resulting in different RT density profiles (Fig. 1D, compare with Fig. 1A). Strikingly, we observed a

nearly exclusive effect on early-replicating genomic bins in heterozygous *Rif1*$^{-/+}$ cells indicating that

reduced levels of RIF1 protein was sufficient to delay replication of early-replicating regions without

majorly affecting late replicating ones (Fig. 1 D-E). This phenotype was exacerbated in homozygous

Rif1$^{-/-}$ cells as seen by the further delay in replication of early RT domains, which was accompanied

by the advanced replication of several late RT domains albeit to varying degrees (Fig. 1 B and D-E).

These results, especially from heterozygous cells, highlight a new role for RIF1 in regulating early

replication in B cells.

To systematically measure changes in RT upon loss of RIF1, we generated three RT states, early

(E), middle (M) and late (L), in shLacZ CH12 cells using a Hidden Markov Model (HMM) to segment

the genome into 20 kb bins based on their RT value (Fig. 1F). We split the M state at the zero RT

value into early-like (E-like) having positive RT values and late-like (L-like) having negative RT values,

which resulted in four RT classes (Fig. 1F). To allow direct comparison of RT changes between

conditions, we created density plots for each of the four RT states in shLacZ cells displaying the RT of

all other experimental conditions in those genomic bins. The results showed that E and E-like bins in

shLacZ replicated later in *Rif1^{-/-}* cells, but, importantly, that this was not accompanied by

commensurate advances in the RT of L bins suggesting that loss of RIF1 does not globally deregulate

RT (Fig. 1G). Indeed, most L bins retained their RT values with only some bins showing advanced RT

and some others showing further delays in RT relative to shLacZ cells (Fig. 1G). In contrast, in

shMcm6 cells, all shLacZ RT bins shifted towards zero RT values implying that these cells have

undergone a global deregulation of the RT program (Fig. 1G). The same HMM-based analysis in

primary, splenic B cells revealed that in *Rif1^{-/+}* heterozygotes, there was a strong delay in the RT of E

bins associated with a much weaker advance in the RT of L bins and virtually no changes in L-like

bins, which further demonstrates that RIF1 primarily regulates early replication in B cells (Fig. 1H-I).

These changes were exacerbated in *Rif1^{-/-}* B cells as seen by the further delay in the RT of E bins

which as accompanied by the advanced RT of L bins relative to *Rif1^{-/+}* cells (Fig. 1H-I).

We conclude that RIF1 functions as modulator of early replication in B cells rather than as a global

regulator of RT.

RIF1 plays a minor role in gene expression and genome compartmentalization in B cells

In comparison to the RT phenotype of *Rif1^{-/-}* CH12 cells, where nearly all early replicating domains

were affected, only 55 and 102 genes were two-fold up- or downregulated in these cells (Fig. S2A and

Table S1). A gene ontology (GO) analysis revealed no enrichment of pathways associated with DNA

replication or gene expression (Fig. S2B).

RIF1 has been implicated in regulating genome compartmentalization, in part, through

deregulation of RT [7]. However, in *Rif1^{-/-}* shLacZ CH12 cells, Hi-C analysis revealed no gross changes

in compartment profiles based on evaluation of Hi-C heatmaps where compartments manifest as the

checkerboard patterns off the diagonal (Fig. 2A). We quantified the compartment signals based on the

first principal component (PC1) of the Hi-C contact matrix where positive PC1 values denote active,

early-replicating A compartments and negative PC1 values denote silent, late-replicating B

compartments (Fig. 2B-C)[34]. Comparative PC1 analysis showed that although the majority of the bins

in *Rif1^{-/-}* shLacZ cells underwent minor changes in their PC1 values relative to shLacZ cells, a few

bins did shift substantially, including PC1 sign flips in both directions, which gave a more dispersed

appearance to the distribution relative to control cells (Fig. 2B, right). Visualization of PC1 profiles in

various genomic regions confirmed that small changes in PC1 occurred in many locations in *Rif1*^{−/−}

shLacZ cells, but importantly, that these did not always correlate with the changes in RT (Fig. 2C).

However, the magnitude of PC1 changes and the frequency of compartment switching (PC1 sign

flips) is considerably milder than that reported in other cell types where loss of RIF1 led to substantial

compartment switching[7,27]. In comparison, PC1 values in shMcm6 cells were comparable to those in

shLacZ cells (Fig. 2B, left and Fig. 2C)[12].

We also generated PC1 versus RT density contour plots which allowed us to simultaneously

compare the changes in compartmentalization and RT within the same set of genomic 20 kb bins.

The density contour distribution profile in *Rif1*^{−/−} shLacZ cells showed a marked shift of early RT bins

towards delayed RT but without major changes in their PC1 values (Fig. 2D). This implies that the

roles of RIF1 in RT and genome architecture are separable in B cells, as they are in other cells[7,27].

However, *Rif1*^{−/−} shLacZ cells showed a gain of A-B inter-compartmental interactions with a

corresponding decrease in A-A and B-B contacts (Fig. 2E-F). This effect was clearly manifested in

fold-change saddle plots where contacts between regions of highest PC1 (early-replicating) and

lowest PC1 (late-replicating) were increased in *Rif1*^{−/−} shLacZ cells (Fig. 2F). Thus, RIF1 is important

for maintaining normal compartmentalization in B cells by preventing the mixing of A and B

compartments. Importantly, this compartmentalization phenotype was observed in mESCs and

hESCs where, in contrast to B cells, loss of RIF1 caused a severe deregulation of the RT program[7,27].

This suggests that the changes in compartmentalization in *Rif1*^{−/−} cells are conserved between

diverse cell lineages, but that these are unrelated to the changes in RT. Moreover, in shMcm6 cells,

where RT is globally disrupted to a similar degree as in *Rif1*^{−/−} mESCs and hESCs [7,12,27], we observed

relatively minor changes in compartmentalization, as seen by the mild increase in A-A contacts and a

similar decrease in B-B contacts (Fig. 2E-F).

In sum, the uncoupling of RT from genome organization in B cells and other cells [7,12,27] leads us to

conclude that although RIF1 contributes to the normal spatial separation of A and B compartments in

B cells, it's role in regulating early RT is unlikely to be directly linked to these structural changes.

RIF1 is predominantly located in early-replicating transcribed chromatin in B cells

We next investigated the genomic occupancy of RIF1 in B cells. We performed chromatin

immunoprecipitation (ChIP) from primary, mature splenic B cells derived from the *Rif1*[FH/FH] mouse line

wherein RIF1 is endogenously tagged at its C terminus with the Flag and Hemagluttinin (HA)

epitopes[23,28]. RIF1 chromatin occupancy was determined via ChIP sequencing (ChIP-seq) with an

anti-HA antibody in $Rif1^{FH/FH}$ and $Rif1^{+/+}$ cells (Fig. S3A).

Visual analysis on the genome browser revealed RIF1 binding predominantly in early replicating

domains in B cells (Fig. 3A). Comparison with ChIP-seq profiles from $Rif1^{FH/FH}$ mouse embryonic

fibroblasts (MEFs)[28] showed that while RIF1 localized to broad domains in late-replicating regions in

MEFs, in B cells, enrichments were mostly in early-replicating domains (Fig. 3B). We next identified

peaks of RIF1 occupancy [35] as well as RADs[36] in both B cells and MEFs. Peaks in both cell types

were enriched in early-replicating regions (Fig. 3C-D). However, although RADs in MEFs were mostly

late-replicating, as described[28], in B cells, they were largely early-replicating (Fig. 3C-D).

We next determined the overlap of RIF1 peaks with nascent transcription measured by precision

run-on sequencing (PRO-seq)[37], chromatin accessibility, measured by assay for transposase-

accessible chromatin (ATAC-seq)[38], and ChIP-seq of histone H3 acetylated at lysine 27 (H3K27Ac), a

mark of active transcription start sites (TSSs) and enhancers. The resulting heatmaps, centered on

the RIF1 peak summits, revealed an enrichment of RIF1 in transcribed, H3K27ac-rich accessible

chromatin (Fig. 3E). In agreement, ~70% of RIF1 peaks and ~50% of RADs overlapped TSSs or gene

bodies (Fig. 3F), and a metagene analysis showed that RIF1 was relatively enriched at TSSs (Fig.

3G).

We conclude that RIF1 predominantly occupies early-replicating, transcribed chromatin in B cells,

suggesting that RIF1 promotes their early replication via direct association.

RIF1 regulates the activity of a subset of origins of replication in active chromatin

The localization of RIF1 in active chromatin coupled with the delayed replication of these domains in

$Rif1^{-/-}$ cells led us to investigate whether RIF1 played a role in promoting origin firing in activated B

cells. To address this, we measured origin activity with short nascent strand sequencing (SNS-seq) in

WT and $Rif1^{-/-}$ CH12 cells (Fig. S3B). SNS-seq maps the location and relative usage of origins

(termed replication initiation sites; ISs) in a population of cells by quantifying the levels of nascent

leading strands[39,40]. As described in our previous work [12], ISs and initiation zones were defined based

on peak-calling and peak-clustering, respectively, and only ISs within initiation zones were used for

analysis.

We generated heatmaps of IS read densities in a 4 kb window centered at the IS peak summit

and ordered by the IS density in WT cells (Fig. 4B). To visualize how IS density correlated with

various chromatin features in the genomic neighborhood, we also generated heatmaps showing the

densities of DNase hypersensitive sites (DHSs) which mark accessible chromatin at active promoters

and enhancers, H3K36me3, which is enriched in the bodies of transcriptionally active genes, and the

repressive mark, H3K9me3 (Fig. 4B). In WT cells, the most active ISs were embedded in active

chromatin and gene bodies whereas the least active ISs were associated with H3K9me3 (Fig. 4B). In

Rif1^{−/−} cells, origin activity was repressed in active chromatin but increased in H3K9me3 domains (Fig.

4B). This phenotype is reminiscent of what we previously reported in shMcm6 B cells [12]. Importantly,

however, only ~5% of ISs are deregulated (1.5-fold) upon loss of RIF1 compared to ~38% in shMcm6

cells (Fig. S3C), which correlates with the magnitude of their respective RT phenotypes (Fig. 1).

To quantify the changes in origin efficiency, we calculated fold-changes of ISs (log2 WT/ *Rif1*^{−/−}),

ranked them from highest to lowest and created five equal classes (quintiles) such that class 1

contained the most downregulated ISs in *Rif1*^{−/−} cells and class 5 contained the most upregulated ISs

in *Rif1*^{−/−} cells. We next generated violin plots displaying the density of ISs (Fig. 4C) or RT values (Fig.

4D) in WT and *Rif1*^{−/−} cells within each IS class. The results showed that in WT cells, class 1 ISs were

the most active (Fig. 4C) and were mostly early-replicating (Fig. 4D) whereas class 5 ISs were the

least active with the majority being late-replicating (Fig. 4C-D). Importantly, class 5 IS densities in

Rif1^{−/−} cells were comparable to class 1 IS densities in WT cells, suggesting that the upregulated

origins in *Rif1*^{−/−} cells (class 5), which are normally the weakest, fire at similar efficiencies as the most

active origins in WT cells (class 1) (Fig. 4C).

We conclude that RIF1 is required for the optimal activity of a subset of early replicating origins in

B cells.

RIF1 and MCM complexes act in a complementary manner to regulate the B cell RT program

The differing RT phenotypes in *Rif1*^{−/−} and shMcm6 cells led us to hypothesize that they may function

in a non-epistatic manner to drive early replication in B cells. To address this, we infected *Rif1*^{−/−} cells

with lentiviruses expressing shMcm6 (*Rif1*^{−/−} shMcm6) or shLacZ (*Rif1*^{−/−} shLacZ) (Fig. S5A) and

performed Repli-seq (Fig. S4A). Of note, the converse experiment, that is, depletion of RIF1 in

shMcm6 cells was precluded by the fact that the viability of shMcm6 cells was severely compromised

upon viral infection with *Rif1*-specific sgRNAs or shRNAs, in line with the observation that cells with

limiting MCM proteins are sensitive to stress[41-43].

Repli-seq revealed that there was a further loss of early and late RT domain distinction in *Rif1*^{−/−}

shMcm6 cells compared to *Rif1*^{−/−} shLacZ cells or shMcm6 cells, with a shift of both E and L RT

values towards zero (Fig. 5A). An HMM-based classification of RT values, as in Fig. 1D, showed that

E bins replicated later, and L bins replicated earlier in *Rif1*^{−/−} shMcm6 compared to shMcm6 cells (Fig.

5B). However,

Direct comparison of RT values in 20 kb genomic bins showed that this exacerbation of the RT

phenotype in *Rif1*^{−/−} shMcm6 relative to *Rif1*^{−/−} shLacZ and shMcm6 cells was observed in most of the

RT bins (Fig. 5C). Additionally, in *Rif1*^{−/−} shMcm6 cells, many large early-replicating domains showed

considerable fluctuation in the RT values resulting in a highly fragmented RT profile (Fig. 5D).

However, this fragmentation was considerably lower in large late-replicating domains (Fig. 5D). The

RT profiles in *Rif1*^{−/−} shMcm6 cells were also marked by switching of RT signatures (both E to L and L

to E) and loss of clear domain boundaries (Fig. 5D). These changes, and especially the global

fluctuations of early RT values, result in *Rif1*^{−/−} shMcm6 cells acquiring an RT signature distinct from

shMcm6 cells (Fig. 5A, C). In sum, these findings reveal an additive effect of MCM depletion and loss

of RIF1 on RT in B cells.

Despite the changes in RT, gene expression (Fig. S4B-C and Table S2), compartment identity

(Fig. 5D and Fig. S4D) and compartment strength (Fig. S4E-F) were not majorly altered in *Rif1*^{−/−}

shMcm6 cells relative to *Rif1*^{−/−} shLacZ cells, although slight gains in A-B, B-A and A-A interactions

were observed, akin to the changes seen in shMcm6 cells (Fig. S4F, compare with Fig. 2F). However,

the major changes in RT led to a distinct pattern of PC1 versus RT profiles in in *Rif1*^{−/−} shMcm6 cells

relative to in *Rif1*^{−/−} shLacZ cells (Fig. S4G). Thus, the deregulation of the RT program by MCM

depletion does not majorly impact genome architecture in normal (Fig. 2) or in *Rif1*^{−/−} cells.

To determine whether the increased deregulation of RT in *Rif1*⁻/⁻ shMcm6 cells was associated

with changes in underlying replication origin activity, we performed SNS-seq in *Rif1*⁻/⁻ shLacZ and

Rif1⁻/⁻ shMcm6 cells (Fig. 6A). We further classified ISs based on fold-changes

(*Rif1*⁻/⁻ shLacZ/*Rif1*⁻/⁻ shMcm6) into quintiles and analyzed the distribution of IS densities (Fig. 6B)

and RT values (Fig. 6C) within the IS classes. The most downregulated ISs (class 1) were the most

active in *Rif1*⁻/⁻ shLacZ and were predominantly early-replicating, whereas the most upregulated ISs

(class 5) were the least active in *Rif1*⁻/⁻ shMcm6 cells and were mostly late-replicating (Fig. 6B-C).

This is consistent with the phenotype we reported previously in shMcm6 cells[12]. Indeed, SNS-seq

profiles showed a characteristic downregulation of origin activity in A compartments accompanied by

upregulation in B compartments in both shMcm6 and *Rif1*⁻/⁻ shMcm6 cells (Fig. 6D). We infer that the

additional delay in early replication in *Rif1*⁻/⁻ shMcm6 cells relative to *Rif1*⁻/⁻ shLacZ cells is likely due

to the further decrease in the efficiency of early-firing origins.

We conclude that MCM proteins and RIF1 regulate RT in a complementary and non-epistatic

manner in B cells.

Within early RT domains, the RT of highly transcribed regions is most sensitive to the

depletion of MCM complexes and, to a weaker extent, the loss of RIF1

A closer examination of the RT profiles revealed that that the extensive fragmentation seen in *Rif1*⁻/⁻

shMcm6 cells was also visible to a lesser extent in shMcm6 and to the weakest extent in *Rif1*⁻/⁻

shLacZ cells (Fig. 5C-D). Furthermore, we observed a high degree of similarity in the fragmentation

patterns between the different conditions. This suggested that these were not random fluctuations of

the RT signals but reflected an underlying mechanism supporting early replication that is reliant on

MCM proteins and RIF1. We therefore investigated whether the levels of nascent transcription within

genomic RT bins could explain these altered RT profiles. This reasoning was based on the

observations that fragmentation was typically seen in early RT domains where most transcribed

genes are located, and secondly, that in *Rif1*⁻/⁻, shMcm6 and *Rif1*⁻/⁻ shMcm6 cells, early origins in

active chromatin were downregulated despite there being no major changes in transcription.

To address this, we divided the genome into 20 kb bins and extracted all early-replicating (E)

bins in shLacZ cells using the HMM-based approach described in Fig. 1C. Within this group, we

defined transcribed bins as those having a PRO-seq density (RPM) ≥ 10 and these were divided into

three equal sub-groups (tertiles) based on their RPM values, termed High (199-3358 RPM), Medium

(78-198.9 RPM) and Low (10-77.9 RPM) (Fig. S4H). The remaining early-replicating bins were termed

Untranscribed (0-9.9 RPM) (Fig. S4H). To compare changes in RT values, we generated RT

heatmaps for the four transcription-based groups and ranked each of them by decreasing WT PRO-

seq read density such that, effectively, the entire set of bins were ranked from highest to lowest PRO-

seq density in shLacZ cells (Fig. 7A). In addition, we generated density plots to visualize the

differences in the distribution of RT values between the four groups in all experimental conditions (Fig.

7B).

In shLacZ cells, all four transcription-based groups showed nearly identical distributions of RT

values, indicating that transcribed and non-transcribed regions normally have similar probabilities of

origin activation and early replication (Fig. A-B). The High and Medium groups appeared very similar

in terms of the RT values between bins (Fig. 7A) and the overall distribution profiles (Fig. 7B).

However, in shMcm6 and $Rif1^{-/-}$ shMcm6 cells, the High and Medium transcribed bins showed the

strongest delays in RT whereas the Untranscribed group showed the least delays (Fig. 7A-B). These

differences were observed across all bins (Fig. 7A). The shift in RT between the High and

Untranscribed groups was ~2-fold (log2 RT ~1), as measured by the distance between the modes of

the distributions (marked by dotted lines in Fig. 7B). The lowly transcribed regions had an

intermediate distribution of RT values between the High/Medium and Untranscribed groups in both

shMcm6 and $Rif1^{-/-}$ shMcm6 cells (Fig. 7A-B). Thus, the strongest delays in RT are associated with

regions harboring higher levels of transcription. In $Rif1^{-/-}$ shLacZ cells, however, all groups had a

broad spread of RT values with similar distribution profiles (Fig. 7A-B). However, a closer inspection

of the heatmap and the modes of the distributions revealed a slightly greater delay in RT for the high

and medium transcribed regions compared to the lowly transcribed and non-transcribed bins (Fig.

7B).

Taken together, these results suggest that the RT of transcribed regions, and hence the

underlying activity of early origins, is more sensitive to the reduction of MCM proteins than to the loss

of RIF1, which correlates with the magnitude of the fragmentation observed in the early RT domains

(Fig. 5C-D). We conclude that the fragmented appearance of early RT profiles is due to underlying

transcription, with stronger delays correlating with higher levels of transcription. These results suggest

that transcriptional strength negatively regulates the probability of early origin firing at active genes,

and that this is normally overcome by the presence of a normal complement of MCM proteins and, to

a lesser extent, RIF1.

DISCUSSION

In this study, we show that the B cell RT program is driven by the complementary and non-epistatic

functions of RIF1 and MCM complexes. We identify a new role for RIF1, namely, the regulation of

early replication in B cells. RIF1 executes this function by directly associating with early-replicating

chromatin and transcribed regions and modulating the activity of a subset of early origins of

replication. Finally, we show that transcriptional strength impedes origin establishment and early RT,

and that overcoming this requires the normal quantum of MCM proteins with minor contribution from

RIF1.

How might transcription negatively impact the efficiency of replication origins? There is evidence

from *in vitro* studies that RNA polymerase (RNAP) complexes can push MCM complexes along DNA

[44,45]. Indeed, MCM and ORC proteins were found to be relatively depleted in gene bodies[46] and

Okazaki fragment sequencing (OK-seq) studies have reported that replication initiation zones occur

largely in the intergenic regions flanking transcribed genes[47]. A recent single molecule imaging study,

where replication-transcription encounters were reconstituted using purified proteins, may be

instructive in this regard [45]. This study found that T7 RNAP could efficiently push DNA-bound ORC,

OCCM (an intermediate of the pre-RC consisting of ORC, Cdc6, Cdt1 and a single MCM hexamer)

and MCM double hexamers (the configuration within the fully assembled pre-RC). However, whereas

OCCM and double hexamers were rarely ejected by RNAP, ORC alone was frequently evicted by

RNAP. Moreover, most of the ORC molecules repositioned by RNAP were unstable [45]. Since ORC

loading is the first step in origin licensing [17], the inference is that the labile binding of ORC makes

licensing in transcribed regions inherently less efficient than in non-transcribed regions, but that this is

overcome by the association of MCM complexes, which minimizes the loss of single ORC complexes

by RNAP.

Importantly, our data show that, normally, the distribution of early RT values is similar between

transcribed and non-transcribed regions. Hence, we propose that, in WT cells, the large pool of MCM

complexes ensures that the loading of ORC is rapidly followed by the assembly of the OCCM and

pre-RC such that the loss of single ORC complexes by RNAP is minimized. This ensures that both

transcribed and non-transcribed regions have a similar probability of early origin activation and early

replication in WT cells. When MCM complexes are limiting, licensing in both transcribed and non-

transcribed regions is reduced. However, given the inhibitory effect of transcription on ORC stability,

the formation of OCCM and pre-RC formation in highly transcribed regions will be inefficient, allowing

for more eviction of ORC by RNAP. This will reduce early origin licensing efficiencies in highly

transcribed chromatin to a greater degree than in non-transcribed chromatin, leading to our

observation of a higher probability of early replication in non-transcribed regions relative to transcribed

regions.

Although the mechanism by which RIF1 regulates early origin firing in B cells remains to be

deciphered, RIF1 can interact with MCM complexes in activated B cells [30]. Intriguingly, a previous

study in human cells reported a role for RIF1 in promoting origin licensing by protecting ORC1 from

phosphorylation-mediated degradation [48] . Thus, it is plausible that RIF1 prevents premature

disassembly of OCCM and pre-RC complexes in B cells. In addition, although RIF1 binds broadly

across early-replicating chromatin domains in B cells, ~70% of RIF1 peaks and ~50% of RADs in B

cells are in genes (Fig. 3). This suggests that the protective function of RIF1 in origin licensing may be

more pronounced in highly transcribed regions. According to this model, the loss of RIF1 would lead

to fewer licensed origins in highly transcribed regions compared to neighboring non-transcribed

regions within the same early-replicating domains, resulting in non-transcribed regions replicating

slightly later than highly transcribed regions, as we observe.

Given that RIF1 has not been previously implicated in regulating early replication, our study

raises the question of why B cells have functionally repurposed RIF1 in this manner. Antigen-

activated B cells are amongst the fastest proliferating cells in the body with a cell cycle duration of 6-8

h in vivo within germinal centers and 8-12 h in culture[49-51]. A unique feature of these cells is that they

undergo extremely high rates of somatic hypermutation at the highly transcribed immunoglobulin

genes, a necessary event in antibody maturation upon infection or vaccination. Genome instability is

further elevated by the fact that somatic hypermutation also occurs at many other transcribed loci,

including proto-oncogenes like *BCL6* and *MYC* [52-55], resulting in oncogenic translocations typical of

most mature B cell cancers [56-58]. Moreover, the DNA repair pathways activated by somatic

hypermutation result in single- and double-strand breaks as well as singe-strand patches, all of which

are impediments for replication[59,60]. Therefore, these B cells proliferate in a highly genotoxic

environment where replication stress is elevated. Under such conditions, it is plausible that B cells

have functionally repurposed RIF1 as an additional mechanism to safeguard its genome by enforcing

the early replication of active genes in order to ensure their faithful replication prior to cell division. It is

also possible that this function of RIF1 is masked in other cell types where the dominant mode of RT

regulation is that of RIF1-mediated suppression of origins in late-replicating chromatin[7,26,27].

METHODS

Cell culture

CH12 cells were maintained in RPMI medium with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), glutamine, sodium

pyruvate, Hepes and antibiotic/antimycotic mix. LentiX packaging cells were maintained in DMEM

medium with 10% FBS and antibiotics.

Transfections and infections

Transfection of LentiX cells with shRNAs against LacZ and Mcm6 followed by infection of CH12 cells

with lentiviral supernatants was done exactly as described in our previous study[31].

Sample preparation for replication timing (RT) analysis

Repli-seq was performed as previously described[32]. In brief, two million asynchronously dividing cells

were seeded and incubated with 100μM BrdU (Sigma) for 2h in a light protected environment to

maintain BrdU stability. Cells were fixed and incubated with a mix of RNase A (Invitrogen) and

propidium iodide (Sigma) for 30 min (light protected). For each sample, three fractions were sorted:

G1 phase, early S phase and late S phase cells, and for each fraction, two independent samples of

50,000 cells (technical replicates) were sorted on a Sony SH800S Cell Sorter. Sorted cells were lysed

with Proteinase K buffer overnight. Extracted DNA was sonicated for 9 min in a Diagenode Bioruptor

resulting in 100-500 bp DNA fragments as determined on an agarose gel. Sonicated DNA was

subjected to end-repair and adapter ligation using the NEBNext® Ultra™ II DNA Library Prep Kit

(NEB) following the NEB protocol. Adapter-ligated DNA was incubated with 25 μg/ml of anti-BrdU

antibody (BD Pharmingen) for 4h with rotation followed by incubation with 40 µg of anti-mouse IgG

antibody (Sigma) for 1h with rotation (light protected). DNA was precipitated via

Centrifugation at 16,000g for 5 min at 4°C. Pellet was resuspended in 200 µl of digestion buffer(for 50

ml of digestion buffer, combine 44 ml of autoclaved double-distilled water, 2.5 ml of 1M Tris-HCl, pH

8.0, 1 ml of 0.5M EDTA and 2.5 ml of 10% SDS) with freshly added 0.25 mg/ml proteinase K and

incubated overnight at 37°C. The immunoprecipitated DNA was used for Repli-qPCR or next

generation sequencing (Repli-seq). Libraries that were successfully validated by Repli-qPCR were

sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq 2500 machine (50bp, single-end). Up to 12 barcoded samples were

pooled per lane.

<u>Chromatin immunoprecipitation for RIF1 occupancy in primary B cells</u>

Chromatin immunoprecipitation was performed as described [28]. In summary, primary B cells were

isolated from Rif1[FH/FH] and C57BL/6 (Rif1[WT/WT]) mice spleens using anti-CD43 MicroBeads (Miltenyi

Biotec) and expanded in complete RPMI containing 5 µg/ml LPS (Sigma-Aldrich) and 5 ng/ml mouse

recombinant IL-4 (Sigma-Aldrich) to allow B cell activation and class switch recombination to IgG1. B

cells were harvested 72h after activation and 4 x 10^{7} cells were cross-linked by using 2 mM

disuccinimidyl glutarate (ThermoFisher 20593) in PBS for 45 min and 1% formaldehyde for 5 min

(Thermo Scientific 28908). The reaction was quenched with 0.125 M glycine. Cells were washed

thrice with ice-cold PBS and lysed in SDS lysis buffer. Chromatin fragmentation was performed using

a Covaris E220 sonicator to obtain fragments between 200 and 600 bp. Chromatin was quantified

with a ND-1000 NanoDrop spectrophotometer. Immunoprecipitation was performed with 0.5 µg of

anti-HA antibody (Santa Cruz sc-7392) and 50 µl of Dynabeads protein G (Thermofisher 10003D) and

25 µg chromatin. ChIP libraries were prepared by NEBNext ultra II DNA library preparation kit (NEB

E7645L) and sequenced on one lane of a NovaSeq 6000 (Illumina) machine.

<u>RNA-seq from CH12 cells</u>

RNA-seq was performed on CH12 and two independent RIF1-deficient (RIF1^{−/−}) CH12 clonal

derivatives [29] with three replicates. Cells were expanded in complete RPMI and 1 million cells were

collected by centrifugation. RNA was extracted with TRIzol (Invitrogen) according to manufacturer's

instructions. TruSeq RNA Library Prep Kit v2 (Illumina) was used to prepare a whole-transcriptome

sequencing library and sequenced on one lane of a NovaSeq 6000 SP (Illumina) machine.

Isolation of short nascent strands (SNSs)

SNSs were isolated following an established protocol[39] kindly provided by Dr. Maria Gomez (CBMSO,

Madrid) and also described in our previous study (Wiedemann et al, 2016). In brief, 200 million cells

per replicate from asynchronous cell cultures were harvested and genomic DNA was extracted. DNA

was denatured at 95°C for 10 minutes and then subjected to size fractionation via 5-20% neutral

sucrose gradient centrifugation (24,000g for 20h). Fractions were analyzed by alkaline agarose gel

electrophoresis and those in the 500-2000 nt range were pooled. Prior to all following enzymatic

treatments, ssDNA was heat denatured for 5 min at 95°C. DNA was phosphorylated for 1h at 37°C

with T4 Polynucleotide kinase (NEB or made in-house by the Molecular Biology Service, IMP). To

enrich for nascent DNA strands, the phosphorylated ssDNA was digested overnight at 37°C with

Lambda exonuclease (NEB or made in-house by the Molecular Biology Service, IMP). Both T4 PNK

and Lambda exonuclease steps were repeated twice for a total of three rounds of phosphorylation

and digestion. After the final round of digestion, the DNA was treated with RNaseA/T1 mix (Thermo

Scientific) to remove 5' RNA primers and genomic RNA contamination. DNA was purified via phenol-

chloroform extraction and ethanol precipitation This material was either used directly for qPCR or

further processed for library preparation.

SNS library preparation for SNS-seq

SNSs prepared as described above were converted to double stranded DNA (dsDNA) via random

priming with random hexamer primer phosphate (Roche) and ligation with Taq DNA ligase (NEB)[39].

DNA was checked on a fragment analyzer and 50ng was used for library preparation with the

NEBNext® Ultra™ II DNA Library Prep Kit (NEB) following the manufacturer's protocol. Libraries were

barcoded using the NEBNext® Singleplex Oligos (NEB) as per the NEB protocol which allowed 4-8

libraries to be pooled per run. Sequencing was performed on an Illumina HiSeq 2500 machine (50 bp,

single-end).

<u>In situ Hi-C</u>

Hi-C was performed as previously described[34] with minor modifications. In brief, 5 million cells were

crosslinked with 1% formaldehyde (Sigma) for 10 min and quenched with 0.6M glycine for 5 min.

Cells were lysed with Hi-C lysis buffer for 1h on ice and nuclei were collected by centrifugation. Nuclei

were digested with 375U of MboI (NEB) overnight at 37°C with rotation. Biotin-14-dATP (Life

Technologies) was incorporated for 1h at 37°C with rotation. Ligation of overhangs was performed

with 20,000U of T4 DNA ligase (NEB) for 4h at room temperature with rotation. Nuclei were pelleted

and reverse-crosslinked overnight. Purified DNA was sonicated for 14 min in a Diagenode Bioruptor

to obtain a size range of 200-700bp. This material was purified using Agencourt AMPure XP beads

(Beckman Coulter). Between 8-15 μg of DNA was incubated with 100μl (~10 mg) of Dynabeads

MyOne Streptavidin C1 (Invitrogen) for 15 min with rotation. End repair and adapter ligation using the

NEBNext® Ultra™ II DNA Library Prep Kit was performed on-beads following the kit manual. The

adapter-ligated DNA was washed, eluted and PCR-amplified with KAPA 2X HiFi HotStart ReadyMix

(Kapa Biosystems) and the NEBNext Multiplex Oligos for Illumina® (Dual Index Primers Set 1). Four

pooled, barcoded samples were sequenced on an Illumina NovaSeq 6000 machine (50 bp, paired-

end).

<u>PRO-seq</u>

PRO-seq was performed as described previously[61] with minor modifications. To isolate nuclei, CH12

cells and *Drosphila* S2 cells were resuspended in cold Buffer IA (160mM sucrose, 10mM Tris-Cl pH 8,

3mM CaCl2, 2mM MgAc2, 0.5% NP-40, 1mM DTT added fresh), incubated on ice for 3 min and

centrifuged at 700g for 5 min. The pellet was resuspended in nuclei resuspension buffer NRB (50mM

Tris-Cl pH 8, 40% glycerol, 5mM MgCl2, 0.1mM EDTA). For each run-on, 10 million CH12 nuclei were

spiked with 10% *Drosophila* S2 nuclei in a total of 100µL NRB and incubated at 30°C for 3 min with

100 µL 2x NRO buffer including 5µl of each 1mM NTP (biotinylated ATP and GTP, and unlabelled

UTP and CTP). The following steps were performed as described[61] with the following changes: (1) we

used different adapters, namely, 3` RNA adapter

5Phos/NNNNNNNGAUCGUCGGACUGUAGAACUCUGAAC/3InvdT-3' and 5'RNA adapter: 5'-

CCUUGGCACCCGAGAAUUCCANNNN-3'. (2) 3' and 5' ligations which were done at 16°C overnight,

and (3) CapClip pyrophosphatase (Cellscript) used for 5' decapping. RNA was reverse transcribed by

SuperScript III RT (Invitrogen) with RP1 Illumina primer to generate cDNA libraries. Libraries were

amplified using barcoding Illumina RPI-x primers and the universal RP1 and KAPA HiFi Real-Time

PCR Library Amplification Kit. Amplified libraries were subjected to electrophoresis on 2.5% low

melting agarose gel and amplicons from 150-350 bp were extracted from the gel, multiplexed and

sequenced on Illumina platform NextSeq 550 SR75 high. Bioinformatics analyses were performed as

described[61] but additionally the random 8-mer was used to exclude PCR duplicates and only

deduplicated reads were aligned.

<u>Biological and technical replicates</u>

For biological replicates, different frozen vials of CH12 cells were thawed and kept separate

throughout the course of the experiment. Replicates for all next-generation sequencing experiments

were derived in this manner. Technical replicates, where used (such as in RT-qPCR or ChIP-qPCR),

were subsets of the biological replicate.

<u>Statistical analyses</u>

For correlation scatter plots, the Pearson correlation coefficient was calculated to determine the

degree of correlation. In all other cases, the two-tailed Student's t test was used for statistical

significance.

Bioinformatics

Main next generation sequencing data analysis workflows for SNS-seq, Repli-seq and Hi-C have

been wrapped with *Nextflow* workflow language [62] and are centrally available at

https://github.com/pavrilab [12]. The usage of Docker and Singlularity containers ensures portability,

reproducibility and reliability for all workflows. Along with integration of GitHub repositories for self-

contained pipelines all workflows are easily ported to all major HPC computing platforms such as

SGE, SLURM, AWS. Furthermore, continuous checkpoints for pipeline execution allow for resuming

and automatic retrial of failed steps. All workflows produce elaborate QC-reports and out-of-the box,

resources consumption reports to allow tailoring resources requirements to your datasets which

especially for Hi-C datasets can vary by several orders of magnitudes.

Processing of raw Repli-seq, Hi-C, SNS-seq and RNA-seq data was done as described

previously.[12]

Generating heatmaps for IS peak summit neighborhoods

Sequencing coverage normalized bigWig tracks were generated from raw aligned reads of NGS data

sets with deeptools bamCoverage v3.3.0 [63] using command-line parameters --normalizeUsing CPM --

exactScaling and --ignoreDuplicates. Next, we computed the position of the replication start site

(RSS) as the genomic coordinate of the maximum pile-up of the merged tracks of compared

conditions. The generated summit positions were then grouped by their associated peak class and

sorted in decending order on the log2-ratio of CPM values of treatment versus control. The sorted

summits were then used as reference points for deeptools computeMatrix v3.3.0 [63] to compute signal

distributions for previously generated CPM-normalized bigWig tracks within a 4kb region centered on

the summit using a binsize of 50 bp and setting missing values to zero. The results were then plotted

using the associated plotHeatmap command.

PRO-seq analysis

3' adaptors were removed using cutadapt v1.4.2 [64] with -O 5 -a NNNNTGGAATTCTCGGTGCC) and

9 nucleotides from their 5′ were removed. The trimmed reads were reverse complemented using

fastx_reverse_complement (http://hannonlab.cshl.edu/fastx_toolkit; version 0.0.13). Trimmed reads

longer than 18bp were aligned to a hybrid mouse drosophila genome (mouse genome build NCBI

m37, GCA_000001635.18, drosophila FlyBase release 5) using bowtie v1.0.0 [65] with -v 2 --best --

strata --tryhard -m 1 --chunkmbs 256. Unique mappers from the resulting BAM file were used to

create bigwigs with deeptools bamCoverage 3.3.0.

RT domain analysis

20kb binned RT tracks for all conditions were segmented into three states using hmm_bigwigs

(https://github.com/gspracklin/hmm_bigwigs). Fitted states were then mapped to either early (E), mid

(M) or late (L) replication timing based on the RT value distribution of each state (E for RT > 0, L for

RT < 0 and M for RT ~ 0). M state bins were split at 0 into L-like (values < 0) and E-like (values > 0)

state bins.

<u>Generating saddle plots from Hi-C data</u>

Saddle plots were computed using cooltools v0.3.2. In brief, we subdivided all bins of a 20Kb KR-

normalized contact matrix into 50 equal-sized groups based on the bins compartment signal as

derived from the eigenvector of the WT data, where group 1 has the lowest signal (i.e. most B) and

group 50 has the highest signal (i.e. most A). Subsequently,we compute the mean observed/expected

value for each pair of groups and plot it as a 50 x 50 matrix. Similarly, replication timing and H3K9me3

data can be used for bin group assignment.

<u>RIF1 peak and RIF1 associated domains (RADs) analyses</u>

Peaks and domains (RADs) were called as described previously [66] additionally calling narrow peaks

with MACS2. In brief, raw ChIP-seq and input reads in MEFs and primary B cells were trimmed with

trim_galore v0.6.4 (https://github.com/FelixKrueger/TrimGalore) setting minimum length to 18 bases

and default arguments otherwise and aligned to the mm9 reference genome with bowtie v1.0.0 [65] with

-S --trim5 0 --trim3 0 -v 2 --best --strata --tryhard -m 1 --phred33-quals --chunkmbs 256.

Subsequently, RIF1 narrow and broad peaks were called for each replicate with MACS v2.2.6 [67], RIF1

associated domains (RADs) were called using EDD v1.1.19 [68]. RADs, narrow and broad peaks were

merged using bedtools v2.27.1 [69] and only those peaks called in both replicates were retained for

downstream analysis. Genome coverage tracks were computed with deeptools bamCoverage v3.3.0

[63] using command-line parameters --normalizeUsing CPM --exactScaling –binSize 50 and –

ignoreDuplicates. Log2 ratio tracks were computed with deeptools bamCompare v3.3.0 [63] using

command-line parameters --scaleFactorsMethod readCount --operation log2 --pseudocount 1 –

binSize 50 –ignoreDuplicates.

<u>Summary of all NGS data used</u>

Table 1: Summary of used data sets in this study including public Accession numbers

Sample Name	Data type	Source	Accession
CH12 shLacZ	SNS-seq	GEO	GSE161822
CH12 shMcm6	SNS-seq	GEO	GSE161822
CH12 WT	SNS-seq	This study	-
CH12 Rif1$^{-/-}$	SNS-seq	This study	-

CH12 H3K4me1	ChIP-seq	GEO/UCSC	GSM946546/wgEncodeEM002370
CH12 H3K4me3	ChIP-seq	GEO/UCSC	GSM946528/wgEncodeEM002366
CH12 H3K9me3 shLacZ	ChIP-seq	GEO	GSE161822
CH12 H3K9me3 shMcm6	ChIP-seq	GEO	GSE161822
CH12 H3K27ac	ChIP-seq	GEO/UCSC	GSM1000117/wgEncodeEM003167
CH12 H3K36me3	ChIP-seq	GEO/UCSC	GSM946530/wgEncodeEM002364
CH12 DHS-seq	DHS-seq	GEO/UCSC	GSM1014153/wgEncodeEM003416
CH12 shLacZ	Hi-C	GEO	GSE161822
CH12 shMcm6	Hi-C	GEO	GSE161822
CH12 Rif1$^{-/-}$ shLacZ	Hi-C	This study	-
CH12 Rif1$^{-/-}$ shMcm6	Hi-C	This study	-
CH12 shLacZ	Repli-seq	GEO	GSE161822
CH12 shMcm6	Repli-seq	GEO	GSE161822
CH12 Rif1$^{-/-}$ shLacZ	Repli-seq	This study	-
CH12 Rif1$^{-/-}$ shMcm6	Repli-seq	This study	-
CH12 shLacZ	RNA-seq	GEO	GSE161822
CH12 shMcm6	RNA-seq	GEO	GSE161822
CH12 Rif1$^{-/-}$ shLacZ	RNA-seq	This study	-
CH12 Rif1$^{-/-}$ shMcm6	RNA-seq	This study	-
CH12 shLacZ	PRO-seq	This study	-
primary B/CH12 input track	ChIP-seq	GEO	GSM2058441
primary B Rif1$^{FH/FH}$	ChIP-seq	This study	-
primary B Rif1$^{WT/WT}$	ChIP-seq	This study	-
primary B WT Repli-seq	Repli-seq	This study	-
primary B Rif1$^{-/+}$ Repli-seq	Repli-seq	This study	-
primary B Rif1$^{-/-}$ Repli-seq	Repli-seq	This study	-
primary B WT ATAC-seq	ATAC-seq	GEO	GSE132029
primary B WT PRO-seq	PRO-seq	This study	-
primary B WT H3K9me3	ChIP-seq	This study	-

581 <u>Summary of software used in the study</u>

582 All custom Python scripts and tools, except for scripts using ClusterScan, were developed, tested and

583 executed using Python v3.7.3. ClusterScan and related scripts were executed using Python v2.7.13.

584 The used software and packages are summarized in Table , Table 3 and Table 4.

586 *Table 2: Summary of standalone software*

Software	Version	Usage
bedtools	2.27.1	general operations on sets of genomic regions
bowtie	1.0.0/1.2.3	Alignment of reads
bowtie2	2.2.9	Alignment of reads
bwa	0.7.17	Alignment of Repli-seq data
ClusterScan	0.2.1	Clustering of initiation sites
cutadapt	2.6	Adapter trimming
deeptools	3.3.0	Read counting in regions and heatmap generation
DESeq2	1.22.2	Differential expression analysis
EDD	1.1.19	Calling of Rif1 associated domains
epic2	0.0.41	Peak calling for broad peak type data
FastQC	0.11.5	Quality control of sequencing reads
featureCounts	2.0.0	Computing RNA-seq data counts
HICUP	0.7.3	Processing of Hi-C data
hmm_bigwig	1.3	Segmentation of genome into RT states
HOMER	4.10	Hi-C Eigenvector and TAD annotation
UCSCkentUtils	356	Conversion between file formats
MACS2	2.2.6	Peak calling for narrow peak type data
Python2	2.7.13	Execution of ClusterScan and associated scripts
Python3	3.7.3	General script execution

R	3.5.1	Execution of R code
samtools	1.9	General operations on aligned reads
STAR	2.4.2a	Alignment of RNA-seq data
Trim_galore	0.6.4	Quality control of sequencing data

588 *Table 3: Summary of R packages*

Package	**Version**	**Usage**
ashr	2.2-47	Differential expression analysis

590 *Table 4: Summary of Python packages*

Package	**Version**	**Usage**
argparse	1.1	Passing command-line arguments to scripts
BioPython	1.77	Mapping of EntrezID to gene symbol
cooler	0.8.3	Hi-C matrix generation and storage
cooltools	0.3.2	Generic Hi-C downstream analysis
genomepy	0.8.3	Genome masking in Python
krbalancing	0.0.5	HiCExplorer implementation of KR for matrix correction
logging	0.5.1.2	Printing information to stdout
matplotlib	3.1.0	Generation of general plots
matplotlib_venn	0.11.5	Generation of Venn diagrams
numpy	1.16.4	General computations on data in matrices
pandas	1.1.0	General computations on tabulated data
pybedtools	0.8.0	Using bedtools within Python
pyBigWig	0.3.16	Generation of bigWig files
pysam	0.15.2	Using samtools in Python
re	2.2.1	Regular expressions for efficient text parsing
rpy2	3.0.5	Using R packages in Python

scipy	1.3.0	General statistical computation in Python
seaborn	0.11.1	Kernel density estimation of scatter plots
tables	3.5.2	Reading of HDF5 files

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are grateful to the Vienna Biocenter Core Facilities (VBCF) for next-generation sequencing and
the IMP/IMBA core facilities especially the animal house, bio-optics, molecular biology service and
proteomics service. We are grateful to Roman Stocsits and Dr. Anton Goloborodko for advice on Hi-C
data analysis. This work was funded by Boehringer Ingelheim, The Austrian Industrial Research
Promotion Agency (Headquarter Grant FFG-834223), grants from the Austrian Science Fund to RP
(FWF P 29163-B26) and UES (FWF T 795-B30), and the Helmholtz-Gemeinschaft Zukunftsthema
"Immunology and Inflammation" ZT810 0027 to MDV and AR. Please add grants from your side.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

DM performed most of the bioinformatics analyses, established pipelines and analyzed data. MP
performed Repli-seq, Hi-C, RNA-seq and SNS-seq, and analyzed data. AR performed RIF1 ChIP-seq
from primary B cells and RNA-Seq in CH12 cells. SG and KNK performed Repli-seq from primary B
cells. US performed PRO-seq. MN collaborated on Repli-seq from CH12 cells. DMG, SCBB and MDV
contributed personnel, resources, extensive discussions and critical reading of the manuscript. TN
and RP co-supervised the project and analyzed data. RP conceived the project and wrote the
manuscript with inputs from all authors.

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1: RIF1 regulates early RT in activated B cells

A. RT histograms in 5 kb genomic bins from shLacZ, shMcm6 and *Rif1*⁻/⁻ shLacZ CH12 cells. RT is

calculated as the ratio of the read densities of early (E) and late (L) Repli-seq fractions (log2 E/L).

B. UCSC genome browser view of RT for the indicated conditions in CH12 cells (top panel) and

primary, activated splenic B cells (bottom panel). Positive and negative values correspond to early

and late-replicating regions.

C. RT histograms as in (A) from WT, *Rif1*⁻/⁺ and *Rif1*⁻/⁻ primary, activated splenic B cells.

D. Comparison of RT values in 20 kb genomic bins between shLacZ and shMcm6 CH12 cells (left)

and shLacZ and *Rif1*⁻/⁻ shLacZ cells (right).

E. Comparison of RT values in 20 kb genomic bins between WT, *Rif1*⁻/⁺ and *Rif1*⁻/⁻ in primary B cells.

F. Classification of shLacZ RT bins into four states using a Hidden Markov Model (HMM). Three

states E, M (mid) and L were called, and the middle state was split into into E-like and L-like at the

log2 (E/L) = 0 boundary.

G. RT histograms showing how the bins in each shLacZ RT state (blue) called in (D) change in

shMcm6 (red) and *Rif1*⁻/⁻ shLacZ (green) CH12 cells.

H. HMM analysis as in (D) but using WT RT values from primary B cells to call RT states.

I. RT histograms showing how bins in each WT RT state (blue) change in *Rif1*⁻/⁺ (ochre) and

Rif1⁻/⁻ (green) primary B cells.

Figure 2: RIF1 has a minor role in B cell genome architecture

A. <u>Left</u>: Hi-C contact matrix of chromosome 11 showing shLacZ contacts (above the diagonal) and

shMcm6 contacts (below the diagonal). The PC1 compartment signals (Hi-C eigenvector

eigenvalues) and RT (log2 E/L) tracks are shown above (for shLacZ) and on the left (for

shMcm6) for each matrix. <u>Right</u>: Same as before but comparing shLacZ and *Rif1*⁻/⁻ shLacZ cells.

B. Density-contour plot of PC1 compartment signals per 20 kb genomic bin. The left plot compares

the PC1 signals in shLacZ and shMcm6 cells, and the right plot compares PC1 values between

shLacZ and *Rif1*⁻/⁻ shLacZ cells. the numbers within the plots are the percentage of bins in that

quadrant.

C.   A representative UCSC genome browser view comparing Hi-C PC1 profiles with RT (log2 E/L)

profiles in shLacZ, shMcm6 and *Rif1*⁻/⁻ shLacZ cells.

D.   PC1 versus RT density-contour plots in 20 kb genomic bins to compare changes in

compartmental identities (PC1) with RT in shLacZ, shMcm6 and *Rif1*⁻/⁻ shLacZ CH12 cells.

E.   Saddle plot from shLacZ, shMcm6 and *Rif1*⁻/⁻ shLacZ CH12 cells showing long-range (> 2Mb)

intra-chromosomal contact enrichments between bins of varying compartment signal strength

(PC1). The values were computed from 20 kb KR-normalized contact matrices.

F-G Fold-change saddle plots highlighting the changes in compartmentalization in shMcm6 and *Rif1*⁻/⁻

shLacZ cells relative to shLacZ cells.

Figure 3: RIF1 localizes predominantly to active chromatin in activated B cells

A. A representative UCSC genome browser view of RIF1 occupancy in *Rif1*^FH/FH primary, activated

splenic B cells. ChIP-seq was performed with an anti-HA antibody and *Rif1*^WT/WT cells were used

as a negative control. The RT track from WT, primary B cells provides a reference for early and

late-replicating domains.

B. UCSC browser snapshot comparing ChIP enrichments of RIF1 in primary B and MEFs from

Rif1^FH/FH mice. To allow direct comparison, ChIP signal was normalized to input signal and the

ratio (ChIP/Input) tracks are shown. RT tracks are from WT cells.

C. Box plots comparing RT values of RIF1 peaks and RADs in MEFs and primary B cells. 16,043

peaks and 289 RADs were identified in primary B cells and 862 peaks and 332 RADs were called

in MEFs.

D. Peaks and RADs were called as in C above and classified into the four RT states described in Fig.

1D.

E. Heatmap analysis of the chromatin locale in a 4 kb window surrounding RIF1 peaks called in

Rif1^FH/FH cells. The heatmap is centered on the RIF1 peak summit and ordered by decreasing RIF1

read density (reads per million, RPM). All other genomic tracks shown (PRO-seq, ATAC-seq,

H3K27Ac and Repli-seq) are from WT primary, activated splenic B cells.

F. Distribution of RIF1 peaks and RADs at TSSs, gene bodies and intergenic regions.

G. Metagene analysis showing average RIF1 occupancy patterns at genes in *Rif1*^{FH/FH} and *Rif1*^{WT/WT}

cells from two replicates each (rep1 and rep2). The TSS and TTS are the transcription start site

and transcription termination site.

Figure 4: RIF1 regulates the activity of early origins of replication in B cells

A. Scatter plot of IS density in log2 RPM (reads per million) in WT and *Rif1*^{−/−} cells identified from

SNS-seq.

B. Heatmaps spanning a 4 kb region centered on the IS peak summit were generated to visualize the

profiles of SNS-seq, DNase hypersensitivity (DHS)-seq and histone modifications (H3K36me3

and H3K9me3) around ISs. All heatmaps display read densities as RPM. Within each heatmap,

the ordering is based on decreasing SNS-seq fold-change (*Rif1*^{−/−}/WT).

C. ISs were split into five equal classes (quintiles) based on their fold-change (log2 *Rif1*^{−/−}/WT) such

that class 1 contained the most downregulated ISs and class 5 contained the most upregulated

ISs, respectively, in *Rif1*^{−/−} cells. The violin plots show the IS density within each class in WT and

Rif1^{−/−} cells.

Figure 5: RIF1 and MCM complexes act in an additive manner to regulate early RT in B cells

A. RT histogram comparing *Rif1*^{−/−} shLacZ and *Rif1*^{−/−} shMcm6 CH12 cells.

B. Identical to Fig. 1E showing how HMM-based RT states called in shLacZ cells change in the other

three conditions.

C. A representative UCSC genome browser view comparing Hi-C PC1 profiles with RT (log2 E/L)

profiles in shLacZ, shMcm6, *Rif1*^{−/−} shLacZ and *Rif1*^{−/−} shMcm6 CH12 cells.

D. A magnified view of the boxed region in C. The PRO-seq track shows nascent transcription profiles

in shLacZ cells.

Figure 6: MCM depletion in *Rif1*^{−/−} cells leads to a further deregulation of early origin activity

A. Scatter plot of IS density in log2 RPM (reads per million) in *Rif1*^{−/−} shLacZ and *Rif1*^{−/−} shMcm6 cells

identified from SNS-seq.

B. ISs were split into five equal classes (quintiles) based on their fold-change (log2 *Rif1*^{−/−} shMcm6/

Rif1^{−/−} shLacZ) such that class 1 contained the most downregulated ISs and class 5 contained the

most upregulated ISs, respectively, in $Rif1^{-/-}$ shMcm6 cells. The violin plots show the IS density

within each class in $Rif1^{-/-}$ shLacZ and $Rif1^{-/-}$ shMcm6 cells.

C. ISs were classified into five classes as in C above. The violin plots show the RT values within each

IS class.

D. Representative genomic snapshot of SNS-seq and HiC PC1 profiles. Data from shLacZ (blue) and

shMcm6 (red) are overlaid with black being the overlap between them. $Rif1^{-/-}$ shLacZ and $Rif1^{-/-}$

shMcm6 tracks are in green and purple, respectively, with black indicating the overlap between

them.

Figure 7: Within early-replicating domains, the RT of highly transcribed regions is more

sensitive to reduction in MCM proteins and loss of RIF1 than poorly transcribed regions

A. Heatmap of RT values in 20 kb E bins from shLacZ cells that were split into four groups based on

PRO-seq RPM. The range of PRO-seq RPMs in each group is indicated on the left. All heatmaps

are ranked from highest to lowest PRO-seq density such that the entire set of heatmaps is

effectively ranked from highest to lowest PRO-seq density for all shLacZ E bins.

B. Density distribution of the RT (top) values in the four transcription-based groups from A above. The

dashed black lines indicate the modes of the distributions in the High and Untranscribed classes.

Supplemental figure legends

Figure S1: Analysis of Repli-seq replicates

A. Pearson correlation matrix of Repli-seq data from two replicates (rep1 and rep2) of shLacZ,

shMcm6, $Rif1^{-/-}$ shLacZ and $Rif1^{-/-}$ shMcm6 CH12 cells. E and L indicate the early and late

fractions, respectively. The color gradient (bottom bar) corresponds to the Pearson correlation

coefficient.

B. Western blot for Mcm6 protein levels from nuclear extracts obtained from shLacZ and shMcm6

cells. Data from two replicates are shown with γ-Tubulin serves as the loading control. In all cases,

20 μg of extract was loaded.

C. Repli-seq correlation analysis as in A from WT (two replicates), $Rif1^{-/+}$ (four replicates) and $Rif1$1$^{-/-}$

(four replicates) primary, activated splenic B cells.

Figure S2: RNA-seq analysis from *Rif1*^{–/–} CH12 cells

A. Scatter plot of RNA-seq read densities in transcripts per million (TPM) versus fold-change (FC;

Rif1^{–/–} shLacZ/shLacZ) at 8,606 expressed genes (defined as TPM >5 in shLacZ cells). The dotted

lines mark log2 FC 1 or -1 corresponding to 2-fold upregulated and 2-fold downregulated genes,

respectively.

B. GO term analysis of the upregulated and downregulated genes from above.

Figure S3: Analysis of ChIP-seq and SNS-seq replicates

A. Pearson correlation analysis of RIF1 ChIP-seq datasets. Two replicates from *Rif1*^{FH/FH} and

Rif1^{WT/WT} primary B cells were analyzed.

B. Pearson correlation analysis of SNS-seq data. Two replicates from each indicated condition were

analyzed.

C. Bar plots showing the percent of ISs upregulated (1.5-fold), downregulated (1.5-fold) or unchanged

in shMcm6 (relative to shLacZ) or *Rif1*^{–/–} (relative to WT) cells. The shMcm6 data is from our

previous study[12].

Figure S4: Gene expression and genome compartmentalization analyses in *Rif1*^{–/–} shMcm6

cells

A. Western blot for Mcm6 protein levels from nuclear extracts obtained from two replicates of *Rif1*^{–/–}

shLacZ and *Rif1*^{–/–} shMcm6 cells. In all cases, 20 μg of extract was loaded. γ-Tubulin serves as the

loading control.

B. Scatter plot of RNA-seq read densities in TPM versus fold-change (*Rif1*^{–/–} shMcm6/*Rif1*^{–/–} shLacZ)

at 8,591 expressed genes (defined as TPM >5 in *Rif1*^{–/–} shLacZ cells). The dotted lines mark log2

FC 1 and -1 corresponding to 2-fold upregulated and 2-fold downregulated genes, respectively.

C. GO term analysis of the 50 downregulated genes from above.

D. Density-contour plot comparing PC1 compartment signals in 20 kb genomic bins from *Rif1*^{–/–}

shLacZ and *Rif1*^{–/–} shMcm6 cells.

E. Saddle plots comparing compartmental interactions between *Rif1*^{–/–} shLacZ and *Rif1*^{–/–} shMcm6

cells.

F. Fold-change saddle plots based on data from D.

G. PC1 versus RT density-contour plots in 20 kb genomic bins comparing the changes in either

feature in *Rif1*$^{-/-}$ shLacZ and *Rif1*$^{-/-}$ shMcm6 cells.

H. Histogram showing the distribution of PRO-seq densities (RPM) in 20 kb genomic bins from

shLacZ cells. High, Medium, Low and Untranscribed groups are color-coded to match the analysis

in Fig. 7B. The dotted red line indicates RPM 10 which marks the boundary between transcribed

and untranscribed regions.

REFERENCES

1. Marchal, C., Sima, J., and Gilbert, D.M. (2019). Control of DNA replication timing in the 3D genome. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol *20*, 721-737. 10.1038/s41580-019-0162-y.

2. Pope, B.D., Ryba, T., Dileep, V., Yue, F., Wu, W., Denas, O., Vera, D.L., Wang, Y., Hansen, R.S., Canfield, T.K., et al. (2014). Topologically associating domains are stable units of replication-timing regulation. Nature *515*, 402-405. 10.1038/nature13986.

3. Sima, J., Chakraborty, A., Dileep, V., Michalski, M., Klein, K.N., Holcomb, N.P., Turner, J.L., Paulsen, M.T., Rivera-Mulia, J.C., Trevilla-Garcia, C., et al. (2019). Identifying cis Elements for Spatiotemporal Control of Mammalian DNA Replication. Cell *176*, 816-830 e818. 10.1016/j.cell.2018.11.036.

4. Rivera-Mulia, J.C., Desprat, R., Trevilla-Garcia, C., Cornacchia, D., Schwerer, H., Sasaki, T., Sima, J., Fells, T., Studer, L., Lemaitre, J.M., and Gilbert, D.M. (2017). DNA replication timing alterations identify common markers between distinct progeroid diseases. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *114*, E10972-e10980. 10.1073/pnas.1711613114.

5. Platt, E.J., Smith, L., and Thayer, M.J. (2018). L1 retrotransposon antisense RNA within ASAR lncRNAs controls chromosome-wide replication timing. J Cell Biol *217*, 541-553. 10.1083/jcb.201707082.

6. Sasaki, T., Rivera-Mulia, J.C., Vera, D., Zimmerman, J., Das, S., Padget, M., Nakamichi, N., Chang, B.H., Tyner, J., Druker, B.J., et al. (2017). Stability of patient-specific features of altered DNA replication timing in xenografts of primary human acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Exp Hematol *51*, 71-82.e73. 10.1016/j.exphem.2017.04.004.

7. Klein, K.N., Zhao, P.A., Lyu, X., Sasaki, T., Bartlett, D.A., Singh, A.M., Tasan, I., Zhang, M., Watts, L.P., Hiraga, S.I., et al. (2021). Replication timing maintains the global epigenetic state in human cells. Science *372*, 371-378. 10.1126/science.aba5545.

8. Du, Q., Bert, S.A., Armstrong, N.J., Caldon, C.E., Song, J.Z., Nair, S.S., Gould, C.M., Luu, P.L., Peters, T., Khoury, A., et al. (2019). Replication timing and epigenome remodelling are associated with the nature of chromosomal rearrangements in cancer. Nat Commun *10*, 416. 10.1038/s41467-019-08302-1.

9. Janoueix-Lerosey, I., Hupe, P., Maciorowski, Z., La Rosa, P., Schleiermacher, G., Pierron, G., Liva, S., Barillot, E., and Delattre, O. (2005). Preferential occurrence of chromosome breakpoints within early replicating regions in neuroblastoma. Cell Cycle *4*, 1842-1846. 10.4161/cc.4.12.2257.

10. Shugay, M., Ortiz de Mendibil, I., Vizmanos, J.L., and Novo, F.J. (2012). Genomic hallmarks of genes involved in chromosomal translocations in hematological cancer. PLoS Comput Biol *8*, e1002797. 10.1371/journal.pcbi.1002797.

11. Sima, J., and Gilbert, D.M. (2014). Complex correlations: replication timing and mutational landscapes during cancer and genome evolution. Curr Opin Genet Dev *25*, 93-100. 10.1016/j.gde.2013.11.022.

12. Peycheva, M., Neumann, T., Malzl, D., Nazarova, M., Schoeberl, U.E., and Pavri, R. (2022). DNA replication timing directly regulates the frequency of oncogenic chromosomal translocations. Science *377*, eabj5502. 10.1126/science.abj5502.

13. Bleichert, F. (2019). Mechanisms of replication origin licensing: a structural perspective. Curr Opin Struct Biol *59*, 195-204. 10.1016/j.sbi.2019.08.007.

14. Bochman, M.L., and Schwacha, A. (2009). The Mcm complex: unwinding the mechanism of a replicative helicase. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev *73*, 652-683. 10.1128/MMBR.00019-09.

15. Burgers, P.M.J., and Kunkel, T.A. (2017). Eukaryotic DNA Replication Fork. Annu Rev Biochem *86*, 417-438. 10.1146/annurev-biochem-061516-044709.

16. Fragkos, M., Ganier, O., Coulombe, P., and Mechali, M. (2015). DNA replication origin activation in space and time. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol *16*, 360-374. 10.1038/nrm4002.

17.   Hu, Y., and Stillman, B. (2023). Origins of DNA replication in eukaryotes. Molecular Cell *83*,
352-372. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2022.12.024.
18.   Hyrien, O., Marheineke, K., and Goldar, A. (2003). Paradoxes of eukaryotic DNA replication:
MCM proteins and the random completion problem. Bioessays *25*, 116-125.
10.1002/bies.10208.
19.   Collart, C., Allen, G.E., Bradshaw, C.R., Smith, J.C., and Zegerman, P. (2013). Titration of four
replication factors is essential for the Xenopus laevis midblastula transition. Science *341*,
893-896. 10.1126/science.1241530.
20.   Tanaka, S., Nakato, R., Katou, Y., Shirahige, K., and Araki, H. (2011). Origin association of
Sld3, Sld7, and Cdc45 proteins is a key step for determination of origin-firing timing. Curr Biol
21, 2055-2063. 10.1016/j.cub.2011.11.038.
21.   Mantiero, D., Mackenzie, A., Donaldson, A., and Zegerman, P. (2011). Limiting replication
initiation factors execute the temporal programme of origin firing in budding yeast. Embo j
30, 4805-4814. 10.1038/emboj.2011.404.
22.   Douglas, Max E., and Diffley, John F.X. (2012). Replication Timing: The Early Bird Catches the
Worm. Current Biology *22*, R81-R82. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2011.12.028.
23.   Cornacchia, D., Dileep, V., Quivy, J.P., Foti, R., Tili, F., Santarella-Mellwig, R., Antony, C.,
Almouzni, G., Gilbert, D.M., and Buonomo, S.B. (2012). Mouse Rif1 is a key regulator of the
replication-timing programme in mammalian cells. EMBO J *31*, 3678-3690.
10.1038/emboj.2012.214.
24.   Yamazaki, S., Ishii, A., Kanoh, Y., Oda, M., Nishito, Y., and Masai, H. (2012). Rif1 regulates the
replication timing domains on the human genome. EMBO J *31*, 3667-3677.
10.1038/emboj.2012.180.
25.   Hayano, M., Kanoh, Y., Matsumoto, S., Renard-Guillet, C., Shirahige, K., and Masai, H. (2012).
Rif1 is a global regulator of timing of replication origin firing in fission yeast. Genes Dev *26*,
137-150. 10.1101/gad.178491.111.
26.   Hiraga, S., Alvino, G.M., Chang, F., Lian, H.Y., Sridhar, A., Kubota, T., Brewer, B.J., Weinreich,
M., Raghuraman, M.K., and Donaldson, A.D. (2014). Rif1 controls DNA replication by
directing Protein Phosphatase 1 to reverse Cdc7-mediated phosphorylation of the MCM
complex. Genes Dev *28*, 372-383. 10.1101/gad.231258.113.
27.   Gnan, S., Flyamer, I.M., Klein, K.N., Castelli, E., Rapp, A., Maiser, A., Chen, N., Weber, P.,
Enervald, E., Cardoso, M.C., et al. (2021). Nuclear organisation and replication timing are
coupled through RIF1-PP1 interaction. Nat Commun *12*, 2910. 10.1038/s41467-021-22899-2.
28.   Foti, R., Gnan, S., Cornacchia, D., Dileep, V., Bulut-Karslioglu, A., Diehl, S., Buness, A., Klein,
F.A., Huber, W., Johnstone, E., et al. (2016). Nuclear Architecture Organized by Rif1
Underpins the Replication-Timing Program. Mol Cell *61*, 260-273.
10.1016/j.molcel.2015.12.001.
29.   Delgado-Benito, V., Rosen, D.B., Wang, Q., Gazumyan, A., Pai, J.A., Oliveira, T.Y.,
Sundaravinayagam, D., Zhang, W., Andreani, M., Keller, L., et al. (2018). The Chromatin
Reader ZMYND8 Regulates Igh Enhancers to Promote Immunoglobulin Class Switch
Recombination. Mol Cell *72*, 636-649.e638. 10.1016/j.molcel.2018.08.042.
30.   Balasubramanian, S., Andreani, M., Andrade, J.G., Saha, T., Sundaravinayagam, D., Garzon, J.,
Zhang, W., Popp, O., Hiraga, S.I., Rahjouei, A., et al. (2022). Protection of nascent DNA at
stalled replication forks is mediated by phosphorylation of RIF1 intrinsically disordered
region. Elife *11*. 10.7554/eLife.75047.
31.   Wiedemann, E.M., Peycheva, M., and Pavri, R. (2016). DNA Replication Origins in
Immunoglobulin Switch Regions Regulate Class Switch Recombination in an R-Loop-
Dependent Manner. Cell Rep *17*, 2927-2942. 10.1016/j.celrep.2016.11.041.
32.   Marchal, C., Sasaki, T., Vera, D., Wilson, K., Sima, J., Rivera-Mulia, J.C., Trevilla-Garcia, C.,
Nogues, C., Nafie, E., and Gilbert, D.M. (2018). Genome-wide analysis of replication timing

by next-generation sequencing with E/L Repli-seq. Nat Protoc *13*, 819-839.
10.1038/nprot.2017.148.

33.   Enervald, E., Powell, L.M., Boteva, L., Foti, R., Blanes Ruiz, N., Kibar, G., Piszczek, A., Cavaleri,
F., Vingron, M., Cerase, A., and Buonomo, S.B.C. (2021). RIF1 and KAP1 differentially regulate
the choice of inactive versus active X chromosomes. The EMBO Journal *40*, e105862.
https://doi.org/10.15252/embj.2020105862.

34.   Rao, S.S., Huntley, M.H., Durand, N.C., Stamenova, E.K., Bochkov, I.D., Robinson, J.T.,
Sanborn, A.L., Machol, I., Omer, A.D., Lander, E.S., and Aiden, E.L. (2014). A 3D map of the
human genome at kilobase resolution reveals principles of chromatin looping. Cell *159*,
1665-1680. 10.1016/j.cell.2014.11.021.

35.   Zhang, Y., Liu, T., Meyer, C.A., Eeckhoute, J., Johnson, D.S., Bernstein, B.E., Nusbaum, C.,
Myers, R.M., Brown, M., Li, W., and Liu, X.S. (2008). Model-based analysis of ChIP-Seq
(MACS). Genome Biol *9*, R137. 10.1186/gb-2008-9-9-r137.

36.   Lund, E., Oldenburg, A.R., and Collas, P. (2014). Enriched domain detector: a program for
detection of wide genomic enrichment domains robust against local variations. Nucleic Acids
Res *42*, e92. 10.1093/nar/gku324.

37.   Kwak, H., Fuda, N.J., Core, L.J., and Lis, J.T. (2013). Precise maps of RNA polymerase reveal
how promoters direct initiation and pausing. Science *339*, 950-953.
10.1126/science.1229386.

38.   Buenrostro, J.D., Giresi, P.G., Zaba, L.C., Chang, H.Y., and Greenleaf, W.J. (2013).
Transposition of native chromatin for fast and sensitive epigenomic profiling of open
chromatin, DNA-binding proteins and nucleosome position. Nat Methods *10*, 1213-1218.
10.1038/nmeth.2688.

39.   Lombrana, R., Almeida, R., Revuelta, I., Madeira, S., Herranz, G., Saiz, N., Bastolla, U., and
Gomez, M. (2013). High-resolution analysis of DNA synthesis start sites and nucleosome
architecture at efficient mammalian replication origins. EMBO J *32*, 2631-2644.
10.1038/emboj.2013.195.

40.   Cayrou, C., Coulombe, P., Vigneron, A., Stanojcic, S., Ganier, O., Peiffer, I., Rivals, E., Puy, A.,
Laurent-Chabalier, S., Desprat, R., and Mechali, M. (2011). Genome-scale analysis of
metazoan replication origins reveals their organization in specific but flexible sites defined
by conserved features. Genome Res *21*, 1438-1449. 10.1101/gr.121830.111.

41.   Ge, X.Q., Jackson, D.A., and Blow, J.J. (2007). Dormant origins licensed by excess Mcm2-7 are
required for human cells to survive replicative stress. Genes Dev *21*, 3331-3341.
10.1101/gad.457807.

42.   Woodward, A.M., Gohler, T., Luciani, M.G., Oehlmann, M., Ge, X., Gartner, A., Jackson, D.A.,
and Blow, J.J. (2006). Excess Mcm2-7 license dormant origins of replication that can be used
under conditions of replicative stress. J Cell Biol *173*, 673-683. 10.1083/jcb.200602108.

43.   Ibarra, A., Schwob, E., and Mendez, J. (2008). Excess MCM proteins protect human cells from
replicative stress by licensing backup origins of replication. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *105*,
8956-8961. 10.1073/pnas.0803978105.

44.   Gros, J., Kumar, C., Lynch, G., Yadav, T., Whitehouse, I., and Remus, D. (2015). Post-licensing
Specification of Eukaryotic Replication Origins by Facilitated Mcm2-7 Sliding along DNA. Mol
Cell *60*, 797-807. 10.1016/j.molcel.2015.10.022.

45.   Scherr, M.J., Wahab, S.A., Remus, D., and Duderstadt, K.E. (2022). Mobile origin-licensing
factors confer resistance to conflicts with RNA polymerase. Cell Reports *38*, 110531.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2022.110531.

46.   Kirstein, N., Buschle, A., Wu, X., Krebs, S., Blum, H., Kremmer, E., Vorberg, I.M.,
Hammerschmidt, W., Lacroix, L., Hyrien, O., et al. (2021). Human ORC/MCM density is low in
active genes and correlates with replication time but does not delimit initiation zones. eLife
10, e62161. 10.7554/eLife.62161.

47. Petryk, N., Kahli, M., d'Aubenton-Carafa, Y., Jaszczyszyn, Y., Shen, Y., Silvain, M., Thermes, C.,
Chen, C.L., and Hyrien, O. (2016). Replication landscape of the human genome. Nat Commun
7, 10208. 10.1038/ncomms10208.
48. Hiraga, S.I., Ly, T., Garzon, J., Horejsi, Z., Ohkubo, Y.N., Endo, A., Obuse, C., Boulton, S.J.,
Lamond, A.I., and Donaldson, A.D. (2017). Human RIF1 and protein phosphatase 1 stimulate
DNA replication origin licensing but suppress origin activation. EMBO Rep *18*, 403-419.
10.15252/embr.201641983.
49. Dowling, M.R., Kan, A., Heinzel, S., Zhou, J.H.S., Marchingo, J.M., Wellard, C.J., Markham,
J.F., and Hodgkin, P.D. (2014). Stretched cell cycle model for proliferating lymphocytes.
Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences *111*, 6377-6382.
doi:10.1073/pnas.1322420111.
50. Zhang, J., MacLennan, I.C.M., Liu, Y.-J., and Lane, P.J.L. (1988). Is rapid proliferation in B
centroblasts linked to somatic mutation in memory B cell clones? Immunology Letters *18*,
297-299. https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-2478(88)90178-2.
51. Liu, Y.-J., Zhang, J., Lane, P.J.L., Chan, E.Y.-T., and Maclennan, I.C.M. (1991). Sites of specific B
cell activation in primary and secondary responses to T cell-dependent and T cell-
independent antigens. European Journal of Immunology *21*, 2951-2962.
https://doi.org/10.1002/eji.1830211209.
52. Liu, M., Duke, J.L., Richter, D.J., Vinuesa, C.G., Goodnow, C.C., Kleinstein, S.H., and Schatz,
D.G. (2008). Two levels of protection for the B cell genome during somatic hypermutation.
Nature *451*, 841-845.
53. Alvarez-Prado, A.F., Perez-Duran, P., Perez-Garcia, A., Benguria, A., Torroja, C., de Yebenes,
V.G., and Ramiro, A.R. (2018). A broad atlas of somatic hypermutation allows prediction of
activation-induced deaminase targets. J Exp Med *215*, 761-771. 10.1084/jem.20171738.
54. Pasqualucci, L., Neumeister, P., Goossens, T., Nanjangud, G., Chaganti, R.S., Kuppers, R., and
Dalla-Favera, R. (2001). Hypermutation of multiple proto-oncogenes in B-cell diffuse large-
cell lymphomas. Nature *412*, 341-346. 10.1038/35085588 35085588 [pii].

55. Shen, H.M., Peters, A., Baron, B., Zhu, X., and Storb, U. (1998). Mutation of BCL-6 gene in
normal B cells by the process of somatic hypermutation of Ig genes. Science *280*, 1750-1752.
56. Nussenzweig, A., and Nussenzweig, M.C. (2010). Origin of chromosomal translocations in
lymphoid cancer. Cell *141*, 27-38. S0092-8674(10)00289-8 [pii]10.1016/j.cell.2010.03.016.

57. Casellas, R., Basu, U., Yewdell, W.T., Chaudhuri, J., Robbiani, D.F., and Di Noia, J.M. (2016).
Mutations, kataegis and translocations in B cells: understanding AID promiscuous activity.
Nat Rev Immunol *16*, 164-176. 10.1038/nri.2016.2.
58. Basso, K., and Dalla-Favera, R. (2015). Germinal centres and B cell lymphomagenesis. Nat
Rev Immunol *15*, 172-184. 10.1038/nri3814.
59. Methot, S.P., and Di Noia, J.M. (2017). Molecular Mechanisms of Somatic Hypermutation
and Class Switch Recombination. Adv Immunol *133*, 37-87. 10.1016/bs.ai.2016.11.002.
60. Zeman, M.K., and Cimprich, K.A. (2014). Causes and consequences of replication stress.
Nature Cell Biology *16*, 2-9. 10.1038/ncb2897.
61. Mahat, D.B., Kwak, H., Booth, G.T., Jonkers, I.H., Danko, C.G., Patel, R.K., Waters, C.T.,
Munson, K., Core, L.J., and Lis, J.T. (2016). Base-pair-resolution genome-wide mapping of
active RNA polymerases using precision nuclear run-on (PRO-seq). Nat Protoc *11*, 1455-1476.
10.1038/nprot.2016.086.
62. Di Tommaso, P., Chatzou, M., Floden, E.W., Barja, P.P., Palumbo, E., and Notredame, C.
(2017). Nextflow enables reproducible computational workflows. Nature Biotechnology *35*,
316-319. 10.1038/nbt.3820.
63. Ramírez, F., Ryan, D.P., Grüning, B., Bhardwaj, V., Kilpert, F., Richter, A.S., Heyne, S., Dündar,
F., and Manke, T. (2016). deepTools2: a next generation web server for deep-sequencing
data analysis. Nucleic Acids Research *44*, W160-W165. 10.1093/nar/gkw257.

64.   Martin, M. (2011). Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing
978   reads. 2011 *17*, 3. 10.14806/ej.17.1.200.
979   65.   Langmead, B., Trapnell, C., Pop, M., and Salzberg, S.L. (2009). Ultrafast and memory-efficient
980   alignment of short DNA sequences to the human genome. Genome Biology *10*, R25.
981   10.1186/gb-2009-10-3-r25.
982   66.   Foti, R., Gnan, S., Cornacchia, D., Dileep, V., Bulut-Karslioglu, A., Diehl, S., Buness, A., Klein,
983   Felix A., Huber, W., Johnstone, E., et al. (2016). Nuclear Architecture Organized by Rif1
984   Underpins the Replication-Timing Program. Molecular Cell *61*, 260-273.
985   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2015.12.001.
986   67.   Zhang, Y., Liu, T., Meyer, C.A., Eeckhoute, J., Johnson, D.S., Bernstein, B.E., Nusbaum, C.,
987   Myers, R.M., Brown, M., Li, W., and Liu, X.S. (2008). Model-based Analysis of ChIP-Seq
988   (MACS). Genome Biology *9*, R137. 10.1186/gb-2008-9-9-r137.
989   68.   Lund, E., Oldenburg, A.R., and Collas, P. (2014). Enriched domain detector: a program for
990   detection of wide genomic enrichment domains robust against local variations. Nucleic Acids
991   Research *42*, e92-e92. 10.1093/nar/gku324.
992   69.   Quinlan, A.R., and Hall, I.M. (2010). BEDTools: a flexible suite of utilities for comparing
993   genomic features. Bioinformatics *26*, 841-842. 10.1093/bioinformatics/btq033.
994

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure 4

Figure 5

Figure 6

Figure 7

Figure S1

A

B

C

Figure S2

A

B   Downregulated genes (n = 102)

Figure S3

Figure S4